

MODULAR PROPERTIES OF ELLIPTIC ALGEBRAS

ALEX CHIRVASITU, RYO KANDA, AND S. PAUL SMITH

ABSTRACT. Fix a pair of relatively prime integers $n > k \geq 1$, and a point $(\eta | \tau) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{H}$, where \mathbb{H} denotes the upper-half complex plane, and let $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$. We show that Feigin and Odesskii's elliptic algebras $Q_{n,k}(\eta | \tau)$ have the property $Q_{n,k}\left(\frac{\eta}{c\tau+d} \mid \frac{a\tau+b}{c\tau+d}\right) \cong Q_{n,k}(\eta | \tau)$. As a consequence, given a pair (E, ξ) consisting of a complex elliptic curve E and a point $\xi \in E$, one may unambiguously define $Q_{n,k}(E, \xi) := Q_{n,k}(\eta | \tau)$ where $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ is any point such that $\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\tau \cong E$ and $\eta \in \mathbb{C}$ is any point whose image in E is ξ . This justifies Feigin and Odesskii's notation $Q_{n,k}(E, \xi)$ for their algebras.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
1.1. The main result	2
1.2. The definition of $Q_{n,k}(\eta \tau)$	2
1.3. Feigin and Odesskii's elliptic algebras $Q_{n,k}(E, \xi)$	3
1.4. The case when $k = 1$	4
1.5. Remarks on the proof of the main theorem	4
1.6. The contents of this paper	5
Acknowledgements	5
2. Theta functions with characteristics and the functions $w_{(u,v)}(z)$	5
2.1. Definition of $\theta_{u,v}$	5
2.2. Definition of $w_{(u,v)}$	6
2.3. The action of $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ on $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{H}$	6
2.4. Equivariance properties of $w_{(u,v)}(z, \eta \tau)$	6
3. An extension of the finite Heisenberg group	9
3.1. The Heisenberg group H_n	10
3.2. The extension \tilde{H}_n of H_n	10
3.3. The action of $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ as automorphisms of \tilde{H}_n	11
3.4. Extending representations of H_n to representations of \tilde{H}_n	12
3.5. The algebra A_n and the action of $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ on it	13
4. The main results	13
4.1. Introduction	13
4.2. $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ -equivariance properties of $T_k(z, \eta \tau)$ and $L_k(z, \eta \tau)$	14
4.3. R-matrix and elliptic-algebra equivariance, and proof of the main theorem	15
4.4. The proof of Theorem 1.2	16
4.5. Modularity	17
References	18

1. INTRODUCTION

We will use the notation $e(z) := e^{2\pi iz}$.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 16S38 (Primary), 16S37, 16W50, 14H52 (Secondary).

Key words and phrases. Elliptic algebras; elliptic curves; theta functions; modular group; finite Heisenberg group; automorphisms of the Heisenberg group; modular functions; Yang-Baxter equation.

Always, τ denotes a point in the upper-half complex plane, $\mathbb{H} := \{x + iy \mid x, y \in \mathbb{R}, y > 0\}$.

1.1. The main result. Let

$$(1-1) \quad \vartheta(z \mid \tau) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e(nz + \frac{1}{2}n^2\tau).$$

In 1828, C.G.J. Jacobi [Jac28] proved the remarkable identity

$$(1-2) \quad \vartheta\left(\frac{z}{\tau} \mid -\frac{1}{\tau}\right) = \sqrt{-i\tau} e\left(\frac{z^2}{2\tau}\right) \vartheta(z \mid \tau),$$

where $\sqrt{-i\tau}$ is the square root of $-i\tau$ having non-negative real part.¹ Jacobi's identity is a special case of the following functional equation: if

$$(1-3) \quad \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$$

is such that ab and cd are even, then there is an 8th root of unity ζ such that

$$(1-4) \quad \vartheta\left(\frac{z}{c\tau + d} \mid \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}\right) = \zeta \sqrt{c\tau + d} e\left(\frac{cz^2}{2(c\tau + d)}\right) \vartheta(z \mid \tau).$$

The precise value of ζ , which is not important in this paper, can be found at [Mum07, Thm. 7.1, p. 32], for example.

In 1989, Feigin and Odesskii defined a remarkable family of graded associative \mathbb{C} -algebras which we denote here by $Q_{n,k}(\eta \mid \tau)$. These are the elliptic algebras of the title. They depend on a pair of relatively prime integers $n > k \geq 1$ and a point $(\eta \mid \tau) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{H}$. We define $Q_{n,k}(\eta \mid \tau)$ in §1.2.

The main result in this paper is the following.

Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 4.5). *If (1-3) holds, then there is an isomorphism of graded \mathbb{C} -algebras*

$$(1-5) \quad Q_{n,k}\left(\frac{\eta}{c\tau + d} \mid \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}\right) \cong Q_{n,k}(\eta \mid \tau).$$

In §4.5 we explain how this result can be interpreted as saying that $Q_{n,k}(\cdot \mid \cdot)$ is a “weakly modular function of weight -1 taking values in the category of graded \mathbb{C} -algebras”.

1.2. The definition of $Q_{n,k}(\eta \mid \tau)$. Fix the data (n, k, η, τ) as above; i.e., $n > k \geq 1$ is a pair of relatively prime positive integers and $(\eta \mid \tau)$ is a point in $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{H}$.

Write $\Lambda_\tau := \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\tau$ and $E_\tau := \mathbb{C}/\Lambda_\tau$.

Fix a complex vector space V with basis x_0, \dots, x_{n-1} indexed by \mathbb{Z}_n , the cyclic group of order n . In [CKS21a, Prop. 2.6], we defined a particular basis $\theta_0, \dots, \theta_{n-1}$, also indexed by \mathbb{Z}_n , for the vector space $\Theta_n(\Lambda_\tau)$ which, by definition, consists of all holomorphic functions $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} f(z+1) &= f(z) & \text{and} \\ f(z+\tau) &= -e(-nz)f(z) \end{aligned}$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Copying Feigin and Odesskii, we then defined for each $\eta \in \mathbb{C} - \frac{1}{n}\Lambda_\tau$ a family of holomorphic linear operators

$$R_{n,k}(z, \eta \mid \tau) : V \otimes V \longrightarrow V \otimes V, \quad z \in \mathbb{C},$$

given by the formula

$$(1-6) \quad R_{n,k}(z, \eta \mid \tau)(x_i \otimes x_j) := \frac{\theta_0(-z) \cdots \theta_{n-1}(-z)}{\theta_1(0) \cdots \theta_{n-1}(0)} \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}_n} \frac{\theta_{j-i+r(k-1)}(-z+\eta)}{\theta_{j-i-r}(-z)\theta_{kr}(\eta)} x_{j-r} \otimes x_{i+r}$$

¹Jacobi did not use this notation, nor did he provide a proof in [Jac28]. Jacobi's identity is equivalent to a similar identity for the function $\vartheta_1(z \mid \tau)$ that appears in Chapter XXI of Whittaker-Watson [WW62, pp. 475–476]. On the other hand, (1-2) is equivalent to a similar identity for Whittaker and Watson's function $\vartheta_3(z \mid \tau)$ since $\vartheta(z \mid \tau) = \vartheta_3(\pi z \mid \tau)$ and, as Whittaker and Watson indicate, their identities for $\vartheta_1(z \mid \tau)$ and $\vartheta_3(z \mid \tau)$ are equivalent.

for all $(i, j) \in \mathbb{Z}_n^2$. Although this formula does not make sense when $\eta \in \frac{1}{n}\Lambda$, because in that case $\theta_{kr}(\eta) = 0$ for some $r \in \mathbb{Z}_n$, the function $R_{n,k}(\eta, \eta | \tau) : \mathbb{C} - \frac{1}{n}\Lambda_\tau \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(V^{\otimes 2})$ extends in a unique way to a holomorphic function on the entire complex plane [CKS21a, Lem. 3.13]—we will write $R_{n,k}(\eta, \eta | \tau)$ for the extension. This allows us to define, for all $\eta \in \mathbb{C}$, the algebra

$$(1-7) \quad Q_{n,k}(\eta | \tau) := \frac{TV}{(\text{the image of } R_{n,k}(\eta, \eta | \tau))}$$

where TV denotes the tensor algebra on V .

Although the formula for $R_{n,k}(z, \eta | \tau)$ is not very illuminating, by building on results and ideas of Richey and Tracy [RT86] we were able to show in [CKS20] that $R(z) = R_{n,k}(z, \eta | \tau)$ satisfies the quantum Yang-Baxter equation: for all $u, v \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$R(u)_{12}R(u+v)_{23}R(v)_{12} = R(v)_{23}R(u+v)_{12}R(u)_{23}$$

as operators on $V^{\otimes 3}$, where $R(z)_{12} := R(z) \otimes I$, $R(z)_{23} := I \otimes R(z)$, and I is the identity operator on V . This identity plays a key role in the proof of several results in [CKS20] which showed, for suitable η , that $Q_{n,k}(\eta | \tau)$ has many of the good properties enjoyed by the polynomial ring on n variables; for example, when the image of η in E_τ is not a torsion point, $Q_{n,k}(\eta | \tau)$ has the same Hilbert series as that polynomial ring.

The work of Feigin and Odesskii in [FO89, OF89, OF95, Ode02], and our later work in [CKS21a, CKS19, CKS21b, CKS20], shows that $Q_{n,k}(\eta | \tau)$ has many beautiful properties. Roughly speaking, the algebras $Q_{n,k}(\eta | \tau)$ are a step beyond enveloping algebras and quantized enveloping algebras in the same way as elliptic and theta functions are a step beyond rational and trigonometric functions.

1.3. Feigin and Odesskii’s elliptic algebras $Q_{n,k}(E, \xi)$. Let X denote a compact Riemann surface of genus one. A pair (X, p) consisting of a compact Riemann surface X of genus one and a point p on it will be called a **complex elliptic curve**. We usually omit the adjective “complex”. There is a unique way to make X an algebraic (or Lie) group with p as its identity—see, e.g., [Hai11, Cor. 1.11]. We will always view (X, p) as a group in this way.

Given an elliptic curve $E = (X, p)$ and a point $\xi \in E$, i.e., a second point $\xi \in X$, which is allowed to be p , Feigin and Odesskii defined an algebra they denoted by $Q_{n,k}(E, \xi)$ —see [OF89], and [CKS21a] for a definition when ξ is one of the points that Feigin and Odesskii disallow.²

At [OF89, §1.2], Feigin and Odesskii define $Q_{n,k}(E, \xi)$ by the formula on the right-hand side of (1-7) where $(\eta | \tau) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{H}$ is such that E is isomorphic to E_τ and η is a point whose image in $\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\tau$ is ξ .

There are many points $(\eta | \tau) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{H}$ with the property in the previous sentence. It is easy to see that $Q_{n,k}(\eta | \tau) = Q_{n,k}(\eta' | \tau)$ if η and η' have the same image in E_τ so Feigin and Odesskii’s definition of $Q_{n,k}(E, \xi)$ does not depend on the choice of η . However, Feigin and Odesskii do not show that the algebra they denote by $Q_{n,k}(E, \xi)$ stays the same when τ is replaced by another point $\tau' \in \mathbb{H}$ for which E is isomorphic to $E_{\tau'}$. We also failed to address this issue in our earlier papers [CKS21a, CKS19, CKS21b, CKS20]. [Theorem 1.1](#) remedies this oversight and, as we will now explain, allows us to conclude that $Q_{n,k}(E, \xi)$ is well-defined up to isomorphism when we define it as

$$Q_{n,k}(E, \xi) := Q_{n,k}(\eta | \tau)$$

where $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ is any point such that $E_\tau \cong E$ and the image of η under this isomorphism is ξ .

There is a notion of isomorphism between such pairs $(E, \xi) = (X, p, \xi)$, and it follows from [Theorem 1.1](#) that $Q_{n,k}(E, \xi)$ is isomorphic to $Q_{n,k}(E', \xi')$ when (E, ξ) is isomorphic to (E', ξ') . More precisely:

²In truth, Feigin and Odesskii use the notation $Q_{n,k}(E, \tau)$ where τ denotes a point on E —see §§1.3.1 and 1.3.2 below.

Theorem 1.2 (Corollary 4.8). *If $(E, \xi) = (E_\tau, \eta + \Lambda_\tau)$ and $(E', \xi') = (E_{\tau'}, \eta' + \Lambda_{\tau'})$ and $\mu : E \rightarrow E'$ is an isomorphism of algebraic groups such that the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{\mu} & E' \\ x \mapsto x + \xi \downarrow & & \downarrow x' \mapsto x' + \xi' \\ E & \xrightarrow{\mu} & E' \end{array}$$

commutes, then

$$Q_{n,k}(\eta | \tau) \cong Q_{n,k}(\eta' | \tau').$$

All the results about $Q_{n,k}(E, \xi)$ in Feigin and Odesskii's papers and in our earlier papers are, in fact, results about $Q_{n,k}(\eta | \tau)$.

1.3.1. *Changing the roles of the symbols τ and η .* In our papers [CKS21a, CKS19, CKS21b, CKS20] we used η to denote a point in \mathbb{H} and τ to denote a point in \mathbb{C} . In this paper we switch that notation in order to agree with the common convention in the literature on elliptic functions, theta functions, and modular forms, that τ denotes a point in \mathbb{H} .

1.3.2. *Warning.* In [FO89, OF89], Feigin and Odesskii use the symbol τ for both a point in \mathbb{C} and a point on E —in [OF89, §1.1] the symbol τ denotes a point on E but in [OF89, §1.2] the notation $\theta_\alpha(\tau)$ only makes sense when $\tau \in \mathbb{C}$.

1.4. **The case when $k = 1$.** When $k = 1$, [Theorem 1.2](#) was proved by Tate and Van den Bergh [TVdB96]. In fact, they proved more because they work with elliptic curves over arbitrary fields.

In [TVdB96, §4.1], Tate and Van den Bergh define a graded \mathbb{F} -algebra $A(E, \sigma, \mathcal{L})$ when E is an elliptic curve over an arbitrary field \mathbb{F} , σ is a translation automorphism of E , and \mathcal{L} is an invertible \mathcal{O}_E -module of degree $n \geq 3$. They then prove in Proposition 4.1.1 the following result: if $\mu : E' \rightarrow E$ is an isomorphism between elliptic curves, then there is a canonical isomorphism $A(E, \sigma, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow A(E', \mu^{-1}\sigma\mu, \mu^*\mathcal{L})$ sending $x \in A(E, \sigma, \mathcal{L})_1 = H^0(E, \mathcal{L})$ to $\mu^*x \in H^0(E', \mu^*\mathcal{L})$.

When E is a complex elliptic curve, σ is translation by ξ , and $\deg \mathcal{L} = n$, then

$$A(E, \sigma, \mathcal{L}) \cong Q_{n,1}(E, \xi) = Q_{n,1}(\eta | \tau)$$

where $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ is any point such that $E \cong E_\tau$ and ξ is the image of η under an isomorphism $E \rightarrow E_\tau$.³ Thus, [TVdB96, Prop. 4.1.1] proves [Theorem 1.2](#) when $k = 1$.

1.5. **Remarks on the proof of the main theorem.** The main theorem is an immediate consequence of the “functional equation” (1-8) below. Let us explain.

Let $M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ and let $(\eta' | \tau') = M \triangleright (\eta | \tau) := \left(\frac{\eta}{c\tau + d} \mid \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d} \right)$. Since the space of defining relations for $Q_{n,k}(\eta | \tau)$ is the image of $R_{n,k}(\eta, \eta | \tau) : V^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow V^{\otimes 2}$, to show that $Q_{n,k}(\eta' | \tau')$ is isomorphic to $Q_{n,k}(\eta | \tau)$ we must show there is an automorphism $\psi \in \mathrm{GL}(V)$, depending on M , such that $\psi^{\otimes 2}$ sends the image of $R_{n,k}(\eta, \eta | \tau)$ to the image of $R_{n,k}(\eta', \eta' | \tau')$; i.e., such that

$$(\psi^{\otimes 2}) \circ R_{n,k}(\eta, \eta | \tau) = R_{n,k}(\eta', \eta' | \tau') \circ \phi$$

for some $\phi \in \mathrm{GL}(V^{\otimes 2})$; (1-8) is a stronger and more precise result.

To state (1-8) we extend the action of $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ on $(\eta | \tau) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{H}$ to an action on $(z, \eta | \tau) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{H}$,

$$M \triangleright (z, \eta | \tau) := \left(\frac{z}{c\tau + d}, \frac{\eta}{c\tau + d} \mid \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d} \right).$$

[Theorem 4.4](#) shows there is a $\psi \in \mathrm{GL}(V)$ and a nowhere-vanishing holomorphic function $f(z)$, both depending on $M \in \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$, such that

$$(1-8) \quad R_{n,k}(M \triangleright (z, \eta | \tau)) = f(z) (\psi^{\otimes 2}) \circ R_{n,k}(z, \eta | \tau) \circ (\psi^{\otimes 2})^{-1}.$$

³A proof that $A(E, \sigma, \mathcal{L})$ is isomorphic to $Q_{n,1}(\eta | \tau)$ is given in [CKS21a, §3.2.6].

1.6. The contents of this paper. The equality (1-8) and the main theorem are proved in section 4. Section 2, which concerns certain normalizations $w_{(u,v)}(z, \eta | \tau)$ of theta functions with characteristics, and section 3, which is about a finite Heisenberg group H_n of order n^3 and its extension \tilde{H}_n when n is even, are essential preliminaries.

The key to proving (1-8) is to use a presentation of the R -matrix defined in (1-6) that has more structure. This is done in several steps.

In section 3, we make V , the degree-one component of $Q_{n,k}(\eta | \tau)$, into an irreducible representation of H_n ; as a consequence there is a surjective homomorphism $\rho : \mathbb{C}H_n \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$ so we can, and do, choose a basis for $\text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$ consisting of images of certain elements $J_{(u,v)} \in H_n$ —this works as stated when n is odd but needs a small adjustment when n is even; we then write $R_{n,k}(z, \eta | \tau)$ in terms of this new basis and the functions $w_{(u,v)}(z, \eta | \tau)$ —the essential part of this rewriting involves the operator $T_k(z, \eta | \tau) : V^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow V^{\otimes 2}$ which is defined in (4-2)—its relation to $R_{n,k}(z, \eta | \tau)$ is given in (4-3).

The “matrix coefficients” for $T_k(z, \eta | \tau)$ involve the functions $w_{(u,v)}(z, \eta | \tau)$ indexed by $(u, v) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, which are introduced in section 2. The main result in section 2 is that for each $M \in \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ there is a nowhere-vanishing holomorphic function $g(z)$, depending on M but not on (u, v) , such that

$$w_{(u,v)}(M \triangleright (z, \eta | \tau)) = g(z) w_{(u,v)M}(z, \eta | \tau).$$

Thus, as far as $w_{(u,v)}(z, \eta | \tau)$ is concerned, the modular action of $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ on $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{H}$ can be replaced by the natural right action of $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ on \mathbb{Z}^2 or \mathbb{Z}_n^2 .

Acknowledgements. A.C. was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-2001128.

R.K. was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers JP16H06337, JP17K14164, JP20K14288, and JP21H04994, Leading Initiative for Excellent Young Researchers, MEXT, Japan, and Osaka City University Advanced Mathematical Institute (MEXT Joint Usage/Research Center on Mathematics and Theoretical Physics JPMXP0619217849).

2. THETA FUNCTIONS WITH CHARACTERISTICS AND THE FUNCTIONS $w_{(u,v)}(z)$

We will use the notation $\omega := e(\frac{1}{n})$.

When $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ we will write $\Lambda_\tau := \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\tau$ and $E_\tau := \mathbb{C}/\Lambda_\tau$.

We write M^t for the transpose of a matrix M and M^{-t} for the inverse of M^t when it exists.

2.1. Definition of $\theta_{u,v}$. As in [CKS20, §2.5], we will use theta functions with characteristics, namely the functions

$$\theta_{u,v}(z | \tau) = \vartheta \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix} (z | \tau) := e(u(z+v) + \frac{1}{2}u^2\tau) \vartheta(z + u\tau + v | \tau)$$

where $\vartheta(z | \tau)$ is the function defined in (1-1). In particular, $\theta_{0,0} = \vartheta$.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

- (1) $\theta_{u,v}(z + s | \tau) = \theta_{u,v+s}(z | \tau)$.
- (2) $\theta_{u,v}(z + s\tau + t | \tau) = e(-s(z+v) - \frac{s^2\tau}{2} + tu) \theta_{u,v}(z | \tau)$.
- (3) $\theta_{u,v}(z | \tau) = 0$ if and only if $z \in \frac{1}{2}(\tau + 1) - (u\tau + v) + \Lambda_\tau$.
- (4) *Each of these zeros is a simple zero.*

Proof. Parts (1), (2), and (3) were stated in [CKS20, the introductory remarks in §2.5, and Prop. 2.8], with the roles of η and τ interchanged. For (3), see also [RT86, p. 315, (iv)] and the discussion before Proposition 2.8 in [CKS20]. By [CKS20, Lem. 2.5], $\theta_{u,v}(z | \tau)$ has a single zero in each fundamental parallelogram for Λ_τ located at $\frac{1}{2}(1 + \tau) - (u\tau + v)$ modulo Λ_τ . \square

2.2. Definition of $w_{(u,v)}$. As in [CKS20, §3], we define

$$(2-1) \quad w_{(u,v)}(z) := \frac{\theta_{\frac{u}{n}, \frac{v}{n}}(z + \zeta | \tau)}{\theta_{\frac{u}{n}, \frac{v}{n}}(\zeta | \tau)},$$

where

$$(2-2) \quad \zeta := \eta + \frac{1}{2}(\tau + 1).$$

We denoted $\eta + \frac{1}{2}(\tau + 1)$ by ξ in [CKS20], but in this paper ξ always denotes an arbitrary point on E .

The next result follows immediately from [Proposition 2.1](#).

Proposition 2.2. *The functions $w_{(u,v)}(z)$ have the following properties:*

- (1) $w_{(u,v)}(0) = 1$;
- (2) if $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$, then

$$\frac{w_{(u,v)}(z + s\tau + t)}{w_{(u,v)}(z)} = e\left(-s(z + \eta) - \frac{s}{2}(s\tau + \tau + 1) + \frac{1}{n}(tu - sv)\right);$$

- (3) $w_{(u,v)}(z) = 0$ if and only if $z = -\eta - \frac{1}{n}(u\tau + v)$ modulo Λ_τ ;
- (4) each of these zeros is a simple zero.

In particular, $w_{(u,v)}(z + 1) = e(\frac{u}{n})w_{(u,v)}(z)$ and $w_{(u,v)}(z + \tau) = e(-z - \eta - \tau - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n}v)w_{(u,v)}(z)$.

2.2.1. The notation $\theta_{u,v}$ and $w_{(u,v)}$ makes sense for arbitrary complex numbers u and v . As explained in the discussion preceding Theorem 3.1 in [CKS20], when u and v are integers $w_{(u,v)}(z)$ depends only on their images in \mathbb{Z}_n so, in such a case, we will think of the subscript in $w_{(u,v)}(z)$ as an element of \mathbb{Z}_n^2 .

2.3. The action of $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ on $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{H}$. If $M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ and $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ we define

$$M \triangleright \tau := \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}.$$

If $\Im(z)$ denotes the imaginary part of a complex number z , $\Im(M \triangleright \tau) = \det(M) |c\tau + d|^{-2} \Im(\tau)$. Hence the group $\mathrm{GL}^+(2, \mathbb{R})$ of 2×2 real matrices with positive determinant acts on \mathbb{H} . That action extends to actions on $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{H}$, given by the formula

$$(2-3) \quad \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \triangleright (z | \tau) := \left(\frac{z}{c\tau + d} \mid \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d} \right),$$

and on $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{H}$, given by the formula

$$(2-4) \quad \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \triangleright (z, \eta | \tau) := \left(\frac{z}{c\tau + d}, \frac{\eta}{c\tau + d} \mid \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d} \right).$$

In particular, the modular group $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ acts on $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{H}$ and $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{H}$. The action on $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{H}$ is the same as the action in [RT86, (2.12)], which is a source we often refer to, both here and in [CKS20].

2.4. Equivariance properties of $w_{(u,v)}(z, \eta | \tau)$. To state the next two results, we make explicit the dependence of $w_{(u,v)}$ on all parameters by writing

$$(2-5) \quad w_{(u,v)}(z, \eta | \tau) := w_{(u,v)}(z).$$

Proposition 2.3. *Let $M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ act on the triples $(z, \eta | \tau)$ via (2-4). The functions*

$$(2-6) \quad z \mapsto w_{(u,v)M}(z, \eta | \tau)$$

and

$$(2-7) \quad z \mapsto w_{(u,v)}(M \triangleright (z, \eta | \tau))$$

have the same zeros with the same multiplicities.

Proof. Let

$$(z', \eta' | \tau') := M \triangleright (z, \eta | \tau) = \left(\frac{z}{c\tau + d}, \frac{\eta}{c\tau + d} \mid \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d} \right).$$

By [Proposition 2.2\(3\)](#), $w_{(u,v)M}(z, \eta | \tau) = 0$ if and only if

$$z + \eta + \frac{1}{n}((au + cv)\tau + (bu + dv)) \in \Lambda_\tau = \mathbb{Z}(a\tau + b) + \mathbb{Z}(c\tau + d),$$

where the equality uses the fact that $M \in \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$. After dividing by $c\tau + d$, this condition becomes

$$z' + \eta' + \frac{1}{c\tau + d} \frac{1}{n} (u(a\tau + b) + v(c\tau + d)) \in \mathbb{Z}\tau' + \mathbb{Z} = \Lambda_{\tau'}.$$

Therefore $w_{(u,v)M}(z, \eta | \tau) = 0$ if and only if

$$z' + \eta' + \frac{1}{n}(u\tau' + v) \in \Lambda_{\tau'}.$$

By [Proposition 2.2\(3\)](#), this happens if and only if $w_{(u,v)}(z', \eta' | \tau') = 0$; i.e., if and only if $w_{(u,v)}(M \triangleright (z, \eta | \tau)) = 0$. Thus the zeros of the functions are the same. By [Proposition 2.2\(4\)](#) all the zeros are simple zeros so their multiplicities are the same. \square

We will now improve [Proposition 2.3](#). First, we recall some standard terminology.

2.4.1. Quasi-periodicity. Suppose that $\{\omega_1, \omega_2\}$ is an \mathbb{R} -basis for \mathbb{C} , and let $\Lambda := \mathbb{Z}\omega_1 + \mathbb{Z}\omega_2$. We say that a function $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is **quasi-periodic with respect to Λ** if there are elements $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} f(z + \omega_1) &= e^{2\pi i(az+b)} f(z) & \text{and} \\ f(z + \omega_2) &= e^{2\pi i(cz+d)} f(z) \end{aligned}$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$.

2.4.2. Factors of automorphy. A **factor of automorphy** for Λ_τ is a function

$$\Lambda_\tau \times \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times, \quad (\lambda, z) \mapsto e_\lambda(z),$$

such that $z \mapsto e_\lambda(z)$ is a nowhere-vanishing holomorphic function on \mathbb{C} and

$$e_{\lambda+\mu}(z) = e_\lambda(z + \mu)e_\mu(z)$$

for all $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda_\tau$; i.e., the function $\lambda \mapsto e_\lambda(-)$ is a 1-cocycle on Λ_τ taking values in the group of nowhere-vanishing holomorphic functions on \mathbb{C} , where the latter is a representation of Λ_τ via the action $(\lambda \cdot f)(z) := f(z + \lambda)$.

If $f(z | \tau)$ is quasi-periodic in z with respect to $\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\tau$, then its quasi-periodicity properties imply that the function

$$(s\tau + t, z) \mapsto e_{s\tau+t}(z) := \frac{f(z + s\tau + t | \tau)}{f(z | \tau)} \quad (s, t \in \mathbb{Z})$$

is a factor of automorphy for $\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\tau$. We call it **the factor of automorphy for $f(z)$** . For example, [Proposition 2.2\(2\)](#) says that the factor of automorphy for $w_{(u,v)}(z, \eta | \tau)$ is

$$e \left(-s(z + \eta) - \frac{s}{2}(s\tau + \tau + 1) + \frac{1}{n}(u, v) \begin{pmatrix} t \\ -s \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

2.4.3. The following fact will be used in the next proof: if $f(z | \tau)$ and $g(z | \tau)$ are quasi-periodic with respect to $\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\tau$ having the same factors of automorphy and the same zeros (counted with multiplicity), then there is a constant c such that $f(z | \tau) = cg(z | \tau)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$. The reason is that $f(z | \tau)/g(z | \tau)$ is an elliptic function with respect to Λ_τ having no zeros and no poles, and hence constant.

2.4.4. *Vague remark.* The terminology “factor of automorphy” is also used in the context of line bundles on the elliptic curve $E_\tau := \mathbb{C}/\Lambda_\tau$. Theta functions with respect to Λ_τ are essentially the same things as sections of line bundles on E_τ (see, e.g., [BL04, p. 24 and Appendix B]).

Theorem 2.4. *Let $M \in \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$. There is a nowhere-vanishing holomorphic function $f(z) = f_M(z)$, that does not depend on (u, v) , such that*

$$w_{(u,v)M}(z, \eta | \tau) = f(z) w_{(u,v)}(M \triangleright (z, \eta | \tau)).$$

Proof. If the theorem holds for M and N , then it holds for MN with $f_{MN}(z) = f_M(z)f_N(z)$ and for M^{-1} with $f_{M^{-1}}(z) = f_M(z)^{-1}$. It therefore suffices to prove the theorem for each of the two generators ([Ser80, §1.5.3])

$$X := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad Y := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

of $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$. To prove the theorem it suffices to show that $w_{(u,v)M}(z, \eta | \tau)$ and $w_{(u,v)}(M \triangleright (z, \eta | \tau))$ have the same quasi-periodicity properties with respect to Λ_τ , and the same zeros. We already showed in [Proposition 2.3](#) that they have the same zeros, so it remains to show that they have the same factors of automorphy with respect to Λ_τ .

Let $M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$. As in the previous proof, we adopt the notation

$$(z', \eta' | \tau') := M \triangleright (z, \eta | \tau) = \left(\frac{z}{c\tau + d}, \frac{\eta}{c\tau + d} \mid \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d} \right).$$

Claim. There are unique integers s', t' such that $(z' + s'\tau' + t', \eta' | \tau') = M \triangleright (z + s\tau + t, \eta | \tau)$, namely $s' := ds - ct$ and $t' := at - bs$, i.e., $\begin{pmatrix} t' \\ -s' \end{pmatrix} = M \begin{pmatrix} t \\ -s \end{pmatrix}$.

Proof. By definition,

$$M \triangleright (z + s\tau + t, \eta | \tau) = \left(\frac{z + s\tau + t}{c\tau + d}, \frac{\eta}{c\tau + d} \mid \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d} \right).$$

Since $z' = \frac{z}{c\tau + d}$ it suffices to show there are unique integers s', t' such that $s'\tau' + t' = \frac{s\tau + t}{c\tau + d}$. Certainly, if such an $(s', t') \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ exists it is unique because $\{1, \tau'\}$ is linearly independent over \mathbb{Z} —even over \mathbb{R} . Since $\tau' = \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}$, a simple calculation shows that $(ds - ct)\tau' + (at - bs) = \frac{s\tau + t}{c\tau + d}$: just multiply both sides by $c\tau + d$ and use the fact that $ad - bc = 1$.⁴ \diamond

By [Proposition 2.2\(2\)](#), the factor of automorphy for $w_{(u,v)M}(z | \tau)$ is

$$(2-8) \quad e \left(-s(z + \eta) - \frac{s}{2}(s\tau + \tau + 1) + \frac{1}{n}(u, v) M \begin{pmatrix} t \\ -s \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

By [Proposition 2.2\(2\)](#), the factor of automorphy for $w_{(u,v)}(M \triangleright (z, \eta | \tau))$, i.e., the ratio

$$\frac{w_{(u,v)}(M \triangleright (z + s\tau + t, \eta | \tau))}{w_{(u,v)}(M \triangleright (z, \eta | \tau))} = \frac{w_{(u,v)}(z' + s'\tau' + t', \eta' | \tau')}{w_{(u,v)}(z', \eta' | \tau')}$$

is

$$(2-9) \quad e \left(-s'(z' + \eta') - \frac{s'}{2}(s'\tau' + \tau' + 1) + \frac{1}{n}(u, v) \begin{pmatrix} t' \\ -s' \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

But the terms involving (u, v) in (2-8) and (2-9) are equal, so

$$(2-10) \quad \frac{(2-8)}{(2-9)} = \frac{e \left(-s(z + \eta) - \frac{s}{2}(s\tau + \tau + 1) \right)}{e \left(-s'(z' + \eta') - \frac{s'}{2}(s'\tau' + \tau' + 1) \right)}.$$

⁴Alternatively, if $(s', t') \in \mathbb{R}^2$ is such that $(c\tau + d)(s', t') \begin{pmatrix} \tau' \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = (s, t) \begin{pmatrix} \tau \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$, then $(s, t) \begin{pmatrix} \tau \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = (s', t') \begin{pmatrix} a\tau + b \\ c\tau + d \end{pmatrix} = (s', t') M \begin{pmatrix} \tau \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and, since $\{1, \tau\}$ is linearly independent over \mathbb{R} , $(s', t') = (s, t) M^{-1} = (s, t) \begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix} = (ds - ct, at - bs)$.

(1) (Proof for $M = Y$.) In this case, $(z', \eta' | \tau') = (z, \eta | \tau + 1)$ and $(t', -s') = (t, -s)Y^t = (t - s, -s)$. Thus, when $M = Y$, the denominator in (2-10) is

$$e\left(-s(z + \eta) - \frac{s}{2}(s\tau + s + \tau + 1 + 1)\right),$$

which is equal to the numerator in (2-10) because $e\left(-\frac{s}{2}(s+1)\right) = 1$. Hence (2-8)=(2-9) when $M = Y$ so, by the remark in §2.4.3, $w_{(u,u+v)}(z, \eta | \tau) = c w_{(u,v)}(z, \eta | \tau + 1)$ for some constant c . But $w_{(u,u+v)}(0, \eta | \tau) = 1 = w_{(u,v)}(0, \eta | \tau + 1)$ so $c = 1$, and we conclude that the theorem holds for Y with $f_Y(z) = 1$ for all z .

(2) (Proof for $M = X$.) In this case, $(u, v)M = (v, -u)$, $(z', \eta' | \tau') = (z/\tau, \eta/\tau | -1/\tau)$, and $(s', t') = (-t, s)$. Thus, when $M = X$,

$$(2-11) \quad \frac{(2-8)}{(2-9)} = e\left(-s(z + \eta) - \frac{s}{2}(s\tau + \tau + 1) - \frac{t}{2}\left(\frac{t}{\tau} - \frac{1}{\tau} + 1\right) - \frac{t}{\tau}(z + \eta)\right).$$

If we replaced the term $w_{(u,v)M}(z, \eta | \tau)$ by $g(z) w_{(u,v)M}(z, \eta | \tau)$ where $g(z) = e(Az^2 + Bz)$, then (2-11) would be multiplied by

$$\frac{g(z + s\tau + t)}{g(z)} = \frac{e(A(z + s\tau + t)^2 + B(z + s\tau + t))}{e(Az^2 + Bz)} = e(A(2z + s\tau + t)(s\tau + t) + B(s\tau + t)).$$

Hence if $A = \frac{1}{2\tau}$ and $B = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2\tau} + \frac{\eta}{\tau}$, then (2-11) would be multiplied by

$$e\left(\frac{1}{2\tau}(2z + s\tau + t)(s\tau + t) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2\tau} + \frac{\eta}{\tau}\right)(s\tau + t)\right)$$

which equals

$$e\left(sz + \frac{zt}{\tau} + \frac{1}{2\tau}(s^2\tau^2 + 2st\tau + t^2) + \frac{s\tau}{2} - \frac{s}{2} + s\eta + \frac{t}{2} - \frac{t}{2\tau} + \frac{t\eta}{\tau}\right).$$

A straightforward calculation shows that the product of this with (2-11) equals 1, so we conclude that $g(z) w_{(u,v)X}(z, \eta | \tau)$ and $w_{(u,v)}(X \triangleright (z, \eta | \tau))$ have the same factors of automorphy with respect to Λ_τ . Since $g(z) \neq 0$ for all z , they also have the same zeros by Proposition 2.3, and both take the value 1 when $z = 0$, so we conclude that

$$w_{(u,v)X}(z, \eta | \tau) = e\left(-\frac{1}{2\tau}z^2 + \left(\frac{1}{2\tau} - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\eta}{\tau}\right)z\right) w_{(u,v)}(X \triangleright (z, \eta | \tau)).$$

The proof is complete. □

3. AN EXTENSION OF THE FINITE HEISENBERG GROUP

Heisenberg groups play an important organizational role in the theory of theta functions and abelian varieties—see, for example, [BL04, Ch. 6], [Mum07, Ch. I, §3], [Mum91], and [Pol03]. In the present setting, the relevant Heisenberg group is an extension $1 \rightarrow \mu_n \rightarrow H_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_n^2 \rightarrow 0$ where μ_n is the group of complex n^{th} roots of unity. Below we will make V , the degree-one component of $Q_{n,k}(\eta | \tau)$, an irreducible representation for H_n . As Feigin and Odesskii first noticed, that action lifts to an action of H_n as automorphisms of $Q_{n,k}(\eta | \tau)$ (see [CKS21a, §§2.3 and 3.5] for details).

Proposition 3.1 shows that when n is odd the natural action of each $M \in \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ on $\mathbb{Z}_n^2 = H_n / \langle \epsilon \rangle$ lifts to an action of M as an automorphism of H_n . (But this does not yield a homomorphism $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(H_n)$.) Such a lifting does not exist when n is even. For this reason we introduce an index-two extension \tilde{H}_n of H_n for which such a lifting does exist. The utility of H_n and \tilde{H}_n becomes apparent in section 4 when we introduce a new presentation for the linear operator $R_{n,k}(z, \eta | \tau) : V^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow V^{\otimes 2}$ in terms of a new linear operator $T_k(z, \eta | \tau) : V^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow V^{\otimes 2}$ that transforms nicely with respect to the actions of, first, $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ on $(z, \eta | \tau)$ and the subscripts $(u, v) \in \mathbb{Z}_n^2$ in $w_{(u,v)}(z)$, and, second, \tilde{H}_n on $V^{\otimes 2}$, and, third, an action of $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ on \tilde{H}_n .

3.1. The Heisenberg group H_n . The Heisenberg group of order n^3 is

$$H_n := \langle S, T, \epsilon \mid S^n = T^n = \epsilon^n = 1, [S, \epsilon] = [T, \epsilon] = 1, [S, T] = \epsilon \rangle.$$

The following equalities are useful when computing in H_n :

$$\begin{aligned} S^a T^b &= T^b S^a \epsilon^{ab}, \\ S^{a_1} T^{b_1} S^{a_2} T^{b_2} S^{a_3} T^{b_3} \dots &= T^B S^A \epsilon^C, \quad \text{where } A = a_1 + a_2 + \dots, \quad B = b_1 + b_2 + \dots, \\ &\quad C = a_1 b_1 + (a_1 + a_2) b_2 + (a_1 + a_2 + a_3) b_3 + \dots, \\ (S^a T^b)^m &= T^{bm} S^{am} \epsilon^X, \quad \text{where } X = \frac{1}{2} m(m+1) ab, \\ (T^b S^a)^m &= T^{bm} S^{am} \epsilon^Y, \quad \text{where } Y = \frac{1}{2} m(m-1) ab. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.1. *Let $M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$. If n is odd, there is an automorphism $\Psi'_M : H_n \rightarrow H_n$ such that*

$$(3-1) \quad \Psi'_M : \quad T \mapsto T^a S^c, \quad S \mapsto T^b S^d, \quad \epsilon \mapsto \epsilon^{\det M}.$$

Proof. The expressions $T^a S^c$ and $T^b S^d$ make sense when $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ because T and S have order n . The result follows from the fact that $T^a S^c$ and $T^b S^d$ have order n , and

$$(T^b S^d) (T^a S^c) (T^b S^d)^{-1} (T^a S^c)^{-1} = \epsilon^{ad-bc}.$$

The calculations contain no surprises. □

The map $\text{GL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(H_n)$, $M \mapsto \Psi'_M$, is *not* a group homomorphism. For example, if $M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, then $\Psi'_M(T) = S$, $\Psi'_M(S) = T^{-1}S$, $\Psi'_M \Psi'_M(S) = S^{-1}T^{-1}S = \epsilon T^{-1}$, but $\Psi'_{M^2}(S) = T^{-1}$. Similarly, if $M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $N = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, then $\Psi'_M \Psi'_N(T) = \Psi'_M(TS) = ST \neq TS = \Psi'_{MN}(T)$.

Because $M \mapsto \Psi'_M$ is not a group homomorphism we will make no use of Ψ'_M .

When n is even, an additional problem arises: the map Ψ'_M in (3-1) does not extend to an automorphism of H_n because $T^a S^c$ and $T^b S^d$ need not have order n . For example, TS has order $2n$.⁵ In order to address this problem we introduce a new group when n is even, the group \tilde{H}_n defined below.

3.2. The extension \tilde{H}_n of H_n . When n is even we define

$$\tilde{H}_n := \langle S, T, \epsilon^{1/2} \mid S^n = T^n = \epsilon^n = 1, [S, \epsilon^{1/2}] = [T, \epsilon^{1/2}] = 1, [S, T] = \epsilon = (\epsilon^{1/2})^2 \rangle.$$

Here $\epsilon^{1/2}$ is a symbol that behaves like a square root of ϵ . We note that $\langle S, T, \epsilon \rangle$ is a normal subgroup of index two in \tilde{H}_n and is isomorphic to H_n .

3.2.1. The notation \tilde{H}_n when n is odd. For convenience, we define $\tilde{H}_n := H_n$ when n is odd, with the convention that $\epsilon^{1/2} := \epsilon^{(n+1)/2}$. In that case, $(\epsilon^{(n+1)/2})^2 = \epsilon$, so $\langle \epsilon^{(n+1)/2} \rangle = \langle \epsilon \rangle$, and $(\epsilon^{1/2})^{-1} = \epsilon^{(n-1)/2}$.

3.2.2. The action of \tilde{H}_n as automorphisms of $Q_{n,k}(\eta \mid \tau)$. Feigin and Odesskii observed that H_n acts as automorphisms of $Q_{n,k}(\eta \mid \tau)$ [Ode02, p. 1143] via the actions

$$S \cdot x_i = \omega^i x_i, \quad T \cdot x_i = x_{i+1}, \quad \epsilon \cdot x_i = e\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) x_i.$$

A proof of this can be found at [CKS21a, Prop. 3.23]. It is easy to see that this extends to an action of \tilde{H}_n as automorphisms of $Q_{n,k}(\eta \mid \tau)$ when n is even.

Proposition 3.2. *The group \tilde{H}_n acts as degree-preserving \mathbb{C} -algebra automorphisms of $Q_{n,k}(\eta \mid \tau)$ by*

$$(3-2) \quad S \cdot x_i = \omega^i x_i, \quad T \cdot x_i = x_{i+1}, \quad \epsilon^{1/2} \cdot x_i = -e\left(\frac{1}{2n}\right) x_i = e\left(\frac{n+1}{2n}\right) x_i.$$

3.2.3. The reason for choosing $\epsilon^{1/2} \cdot x_i = -e\left(\frac{1}{2n}\right) x_i$ rather than $\epsilon^{1/2} \cdot x_i = e\left(\frac{1}{2n}\right) x_i$ in (3-2) is so the formula works for both even and odd n ; i.e., the choice we have made for $\epsilon^{1/2} \cdot x_i$ is compatible with the convention in §3.2.1 that $\epsilon^{1/2} = \epsilon^{(n+1)/2}$ when n is odd, but the other choice is incompatible.

⁵If b and c are even residues modulo n , however, both $T^a S^c$ and $T^b S^d$ have order n .

3.3. The action of $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ as automorphisms of \tilde{H}_n . There are many actions of $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ as automorphisms of \tilde{H}_n , but we single out one particular action in [Proposition 3.4](#) that has the virtue of simplicity.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\nu = \epsilon^{1/2}$ when n is even and let $\nu = \epsilon^{(n+1)/2}$ when n is odd. If $M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$, then there is an automorphism Ψ_M of \tilde{H}_n such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_M : \quad T &\mapsto T^a S^c \nu^{ac}, & S &\mapsto T^b S^d \nu^{bd}, & \nu &\mapsto \nu^{ad-bc}, \\ T^m S^r &\mapsto T^{am+br} S^{cm+dr} \nu^Z, \end{aligned}$$

where $Z = acm^2 + bdr^2 + 2bcmr$.

Proof. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_M(S)\Psi_M(T) &= T^b S^d \nu^{bd} T^a S^c \nu^{ac} = T^{a+b} S^{c+d} \epsilon^{ad} \nu^{bd+ac} && \text{and} \\ \Psi_M(T)\Psi_M(S) &= T^a S^c \nu^{ac} T^b S^d \nu^{bd} = T^{a+b} S^{c+d} \epsilon^{bc} \nu^{bd+ac}, \end{aligned}$$

we have $\Psi_M(S)\Psi_M(T) = \Psi_M(T)\Psi_M(S)\epsilon^{ad-bc} = \Psi_M(T)\Psi_M(S)\Psi_M(\epsilon)$.

Furthermore,

$$\Psi_M(T)^n = (T^a S^c \nu^{ac})^n = T^{an} S^{cn} \epsilon^D \nu^{acn}$$

where $D = \frac{1}{2}n(n-1)ac$. If n is odd, then D is an integer multiple of n so $\epsilon^D = 1$, and $\nu^{acn} = \epsilon^{acn(n+1)/2} = 1$ so $\Psi_M(T)^n = 1$. If n is even, then $D = m(n-1)ac$ where $m = n/2$ and $\nu^{acn} = \epsilon^{acm}$, whence $\epsilon^D \nu^{acn} = \epsilon^{mnac} = 1$; therefore $\Psi_M(T)^n = 1$ when n is even. In conclusion, $\Psi_M(T)^n = 1$ when n is odd and when n is even. Similar calculations show that $\Psi_M(S)^n = 1$ when n is odd and when n is even.

Hence Ψ_M is a group homomorphism.

Clearly, $\ker(\Psi_M) \cap \langle \nu \rangle = \{1\}$. However, every non-trivial normal subgroup of \tilde{H}_n has non-trivial intersection with $\langle \nu \rangle$ so we conclude that $\ker(\Psi_M) = \{1\}$. Hence Ψ_M is an automorphism of \tilde{H}_n .

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_M(T^m S^r) &= (T^a S^c \nu^{ac})^m (T^b S^d \nu^{bd})^r \\ &= T^{am} S^{cm} \epsilon^X T^{br} S^{dr} \epsilon^Y \nu^{acm+bd r} \\ &= T^{am+br} S^{cm+dr} \epsilon^{X+Y+bcmr} \nu^{acm+bd r} \end{aligned}$$

where $X = \frac{1}{2}m(m-1)ac$ and $Y = \frac{1}{2}r(r-1)bd$. Since $\epsilon = \nu^2$, the last part of the lemma holds with $Z = m(m-1)ac + r(r-1)bd + 2bcmr + acm + bdr$. Hence the result. \square

Proposition 3.4. *Let $\nu = \epsilon^{1/2}$ when n is even and let $\nu = \epsilon^{(n+1)/2}$ when n is odd. The map $\Psi : \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(\tilde{H}_n)$ that sends $M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ to the automorphism*

$$\Psi_M : \quad T \mapsto T^a S^c \nu^{ac}, \quad S \mapsto T^b S^d \nu^{bd}, \quad \nu \mapsto \nu,$$

is a group homomorphism.

Proof. Let $N = \begin{pmatrix} a' & b' \\ c' & d' \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\Psi_N \Psi_M)(T) &= (T^{a'} S^{c'} \nu^{a'c'})^a (T^{b'} S^{d'} \nu^{b'd'})^c \nu^{ac} \\ &= T^{a'a} S^{c'a} \epsilon^A \nu^{a'c'a} T^{b'c} S^{d'c} \epsilon^B \nu^{b'd'c} \nu^{ac} \\ &= T^{a'a+b'c} S^{c'a+d'c} \epsilon^{c'ab'c} \epsilon^{A+B} \nu^{a'c'a+b'd'c+ac} && \text{and} \\ \Psi_{NM}(T) &= T^{a'a+b'c} S^{c'a+d'c} \nu^{(aa'+b'c)(c'a+d'c)} \end{aligned}$$

where $A = \frac{1}{2}a(a-1)a'c'$ and $B = \frac{1}{2}c(c-1)b'd'$. We have

$$\nu^{(aa'+b'c)(c'a+d'c)} \nu^{-a'c'a-b'd'c-ac} = \nu^D$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
D &= a'c'a^2 + a'd'ac + b'c'ac + b'd'c^2 - a'c'a - b'd'c - ac \\
&= ac(a'd' + b'c' - 1) + a'c'(a^2 - a) + b'd'(c^2 - c) \\
&= 2acb'c' + a(a-1)a'c' + c(c-1)b'd' \\
&= 2(acb'c' + A + B).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\nu^2 = \epsilon$, $\nu^D = \epsilon^{acb'c'+A+B}$. Hence $(\Psi_N\Psi_M)(T) = \Psi_{NM}(T)$. A similar calculation shows that $(\Psi_N\Psi_M)(S) = \Psi_{NM}(S)$. Hence $\Psi_N\Psi_M = \Psi_{NM}$, so Ψ is a group homomorphism. \square

3.3.1. *Remark.* There is another way to obtain the homomorphism $\Psi : \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(\tilde{H}_n)$.

By [Ser80, §1.5.3] or [CCS12, Prop. 2.1], for example, $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ is the amalgamated free product $\mathbb{Z}_4 *_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{Z}_6$ with \mathbb{Z}_4 and \mathbb{Z}_6 generated by

$$(3-3) \quad X := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad Y := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

respectively. Independently of Lemma 3.3, it is easy to check that the formulas

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_X : \quad T &\mapsto S^{-1}, & S &\mapsto T, & \nu &\mapsto \nu, \\
\Psi_Y : \quad T &\mapsto TS^{-1}\nu^{-1}, & S &\mapsto T, & \nu &\mapsto \nu,
\end{aligned}$$

(where $\nu = \epsilon^{1/2}$) extend to automorphisms of \tilde{H}_n . Since

$$\Psi_X^2 = \Psi_Y^3 : \quad T \mapsto T^{-1}, \quad S \mapsto S^{-1}, \quad \nu \mapsto \nu,$$

$\Psi_X^4 = \Psi_Y^6 = \mathrm{id}$. Hence there is a unique group homomorphism $\Psi : \mathbb{Z}_4 *_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{Z}_6 = \langle X \rangle *_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \langle Y \rangle \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut} H_n$ with the property that $\Psi(X) = \Psi_X$ and $\Psi(Y) = \Psi_Y$.

The drawback to this alternative approach is that some additional calculation is needed to produce a formula for $\Psi(M)$ for all $M \in \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$.

3.3.2. *Remark.* In Proposition 3.4, the automorphism Ψ_M only depends on the image of M in $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}_n)$ because T^m and S^m only depend on m modulo n .

3.3.3. *Notation.* If $M \in \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ and $x \in \tilde{H}_n$ we will use the notation

$$M \triangleright x := \Psi_M(x).$$

3.3.4. A nice feature of the action of $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ on \tilde{H}_n in Proposition 3.4 is the following: if we define $J_{(u,v)} := T^u S^v \in \tilde{H}_n$, then in $\tilde{H}_n / \langle \epsilon^{1/2} \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_n^2$ we have $M \triangleright J_{(u,v)} = J_{(u,v)Mt}$.

3.4. **Extending representations of H_n to representations of \tilde{H}_n .** It is well-known that the n -dimensional irreducible representations of H_n are determined up to isomorphism by their central characters; see, for example, [GH01, §2].

For a moment, let $\rho : H_n \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ be any irreducible representation of H_n on \mathbb{C}^n . Because ϵ has order n it acts on \mathbb{C}^n as multiplication by an n^{th} root of unity, ζ say.

When n is even ρ can be extended to a representation $\tilde{\rho}$ of \tilde{H}_n by having $\epsilon^{1/2}$ act by a chosen square root of ζ . By [FH91, Prop. 5.1], for example, there are exactly two irreducible \tilde{H}_n -representations $\tilde{\rho}$ such that $\tilde{\rho}|_{H_n} \cong \rho$, namely $\tilde{\rho}$ and $\tilde{\rho} \otimes \varepsilon$, where ε is the non-trivial 1-dimensional character of \tilde{H}_n that sends H_n to 1. In particular, such a representation of \tilde{H}_n is also determined by its central character, i.e., the scalar by which the generator $\epsilon^{1/2}$ of the center acts. The next statement follows.

Proposition 3.5. *Let $\rho : H_n \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ be any n -dimensional irreducible representation of H_n on which ϵ acts as multiplication by ζ . Fix a square root, $\zeta^{1/2}$, of ζ . Extend ρ to a group homomorphism $\tilde{\rho} : \tilde{H}_n \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ by declaring that*

$$\tilde{\rho}(T^a S^b \epsilon^{c/2}) := \rho(T)^a \rho(S)^b (\zeta^{1/2})^c.$$

If $\phi \in \text{Aut}(\tilde{H}_n)$ acts as the identity on $\epsilon^{1/2}$, then the representations $\tilde{\rho}\phi$ and $\tilde{\rho}$ are isomorphic.

Corollary 3.6. *Let $\rho : H_n \rightarrow \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ be any n -dimensional irreducible representation of H_n and $\tilde{\rho} : H_n \rightarrow \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ an extension of it to \tilde{H}_n . For $M \in \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$, let $\Psi_M \in \text{Aut}(\tilde{H}_n)$ be the automorphism in [Proposition 3.4](#). There is a set map—not a group homomorphism, in general—*

$$(3-4) \quad \psi : \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$$

such that

$$(3-5) \quad \tilde{\rho}(\Psi_M(x)) = \psi(M) \tilde{\rho}(x) \psi(M)^{-1}$$

for all $x \in \tilde{H}_n$.

Proof. This follows from the previous proposition because $\Psi_M(\epsilon^{1/2}) = \epsilon^{1/2}$. \square

3.4.1. *The notation ρ .* From now on we will use the notation $\rho : \tilde{H}_n \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$ for the representation of \tilde{H}_n in [Proposition 3.2](#), and also for its linear extension $\mathbb{C}\tilde{H}_n \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$ to the group algebra. We will therefore write (3-5) as

$$(3-6) \quad \rho(M \triangleright x) = \psi(M) \rho(x) \psi(M)^{-1}.$$

3.4.2. *Remark.* In analogy with the remark in [§3.3.2](#), the automorphism $\psi(M) : V \rightarrow V$ depends only on the image of M in $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}_n)$. For example, if the image of M in $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}_n)$ is the identity, then $\Psi_M = \text{id}_{\tilde{H}_n}$ so, in [Corollary 3.6](#), we can take $\psi(M) = \text{id}_V$.

3.5. **The algebra A_n and the action of $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ on it.** Let

$$A_n := \mathbb{C}\tilde{H}_n \otimes_{\mathbb{C}\langle \epsilon^{1/2} \rangle} \mathbb{C}\tilde{H}_n,$$

the tensor square of the group algebra $\mathbb{C}\tilde{H}_n$ over the group algebra of its center, $\langle \epsilon^{1/2} \rangle$. The action of $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ on \tilde{H}_n extends to an action of $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ on $\mathbb{C}\tilde{H}_n$ and, because elements of $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ act as the identity on the center of \tilde{H}_n , there is an induced action of $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ on A_n , namely $M \triangleright (x \otimes y) = (M \triangleright x) \otimes (M \triangleright y)$.

4. THE MAIN RESULTS

4.1. **Introduction.** Let $g, h \in \text{GL}(V)$ be the linear operators

$$g \cdot x_i := \omega^i x_i \quad h \cdot x_i := x_{i-1}$$

where $\omega := e(\frac{1}{n})$. The map $S \mapsto g, T \mapsto h$, extends to an irreducible representation of H_n on V (essentially because if m is an integer, then g^m and h^m depend only on m modulo n) in which ϵ acts as multiplication by ω^{-1} . For $(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z}_n^2$, we define the linear operator

$$I_{a,b} := h^a g^b : V \rightarrow V,$$

and its “universal” version

$$J_{a,b} := J_{(a,b)} := T^a S^b \in \mathbb{C}\tilde{H}_n.$$

4.1.1. We note that $M \triangleright J_{(a,b)} = c J_{(a,b)M^t}$ where c is some element in the center of \tilde{H}_n . Thus, in A_n we have the useful equality

$$(4-1) \quad M \triangleright (J_{(a,b)} \otimes J_{(a,b)}^{-1}) = J_{(a,b)M^t} \otimes J_{(a,b)M^t}^{-1}.$$

This is the reason we treat $J_{(a,b)} \otimes J_{(a,b)}^{-1}$ as an element in A_n rather than $\mathbb{C}\tilde{H}_n \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}\tilde{H}_n$ when we define $L_k(z, \eta | \tau)$ in (4-4) below.

4.1.2. Let $T_k(z, \eta | \tau) : V^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow V^{\otimes 2}$ be the linear operator

$$(4-2) \quad T_k(z, \eta | \tau) := \sum_{(u,v) \in \mathbb{Z}_n^2} w_{(u,v)}(-nz, \eta | \tau) I_{-k'u,v} \otimes I_{-k'u,v}^{-1}$$

where $k' :=$ the unique integer such that $n > k' \geq 1$ and $kk' = 1 \pmod n$.

4.1.3. Let $P : V \otimes V \rightarrow V \otimes V$ be the linear map $P(x \otimes y) = y \otimes x$.

Theorem 4.1. [CKS20, Prop. 3.5] *The images of $R_{n,k}(z, \eta | \tau)$ and $PT_k(z, \eta | \tau)$ are the same because*

$$(4-3) \quad R_{n,k}(z, \eta | \tau) = \frac{1}{n} e(-\frac{1}{2}n(n+1)z) P T_k(z, \eta | \tau).$$

Hence

$$Q_{n,k}(\eta | \tau) = \frac{TV}{(\text{the image of } P T_k(\eta, \eta | \tau))}.$$

The only difference between the operator $R_{n,k}(z, \eta | \tau)$ defined in (1-6) and $\frac{1}{n} e(-\frac{1}{2}n(n+1)z) P T_k(z, \eta | \tau)$ defined via (4-2) is that they are the matrix representations of the same linear operator with respect to different bases for $\text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$. The advantage of $T_k(z, \eta | \tau)$ over $R_{n,k}(z, \eta | \tau)$ is that we can exploit the way in which the actions of \tilde{H}_n and A_n on $V^{\otimes 2}$ interact with the actions of $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ on \tilde{H}_n and the functions $w_{(u,v)}(z, \eta | \tau)$.⁶ To determine how $Q_{n,k}(\eta | \tau)$ transforms when $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ acts on $(\eta | \tau)$ we will determine how $T_k(z, \eta | \tau)$ transforms under the action of $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ on $(z, \eta | \tau) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{H}$.

4.2. **SL(2, \mathbb{Z})-equivariance properties of $T_k(z, \eta | \tau)$ and $L_k(z, \eta | \tau)$.** Following [CKS20, Thm. 3.2] and the discussion before it, we will determine how $T_k(z, \eta | \tau)$ transforms under the action of $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ by examining the action of $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ on the “universal” version of $T_k(z, \eta | \tau)$ which belongs to the algebra A_n . That universal version is

$$(4-4) \quad L_k(z, \eta | \tau) := \sum_{(u,v) \in \mathbb{Z}_n^2} w_{(u,v)}(-nz, \eta | \tau) J_{-k'u,v} \otimes J_{-k'u,v}^{-1} \in A_n.$$

The next “result” formalizes the statement that $L_k(z, \eta | \tau)$ is the universal version of $T_k(z, \eta | \tau)$.

Lemma 4.2. *If $\rho : \mathbb{C}\tilde{H}_n \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$ is the representation in Proposition 3.2, then*

$$T_k(z, \eta | \tau) = (\rho \otimes \rho)(L_k(z, \eta | \tau)).$$

The main result in this section, Theorem 4.3, determines the “equivariance” properties of the map

$$(4-5) \quad L_k : \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{H} \longrightarrow A_n, \quad (z, \eta | \tau) \mapsto L_k(z, \eta | \tau)$$

with respect to the actions of $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ on its domain and co-domain. To state it we introduce the matrix

$$(4-6) \quad D := \begin{pmatrix} -k & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}(2, \mathbb{R})$$

and, for $M \in \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$, define

$$M' := D^{-1} M^{-t} D.$$

Note that $D^{-t} = D^{-1}$ and $M'' = (M')' = M$.

Theorem 4.3. *Fix the data (n, k, η, τ) and $M \in \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$. There is a nowhere-vanishing holomorphic function $f(z)$ such that*

$$(4-7) \quad L_k(M \triangleright (z, \eta | \tau)) = f(z) M' \triangleright L_k(z, \eta | \tau),$$

where $M' \triangleright$ denotes the action of $M' \in \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ on A_n .

⁶We learned this from Richey and Tracy’s paper [RT86].

Proof. Note that $(-k'u, v) = (u, v)D^{-1}$ for all $(u, v) \in \mathbb{Z}_n^2$.

Since $M'' = M$, (4-7) is equivalent to the statement that there is a nowhere-vanishing holomorphic function $f(z)$ such that

$$L_k(M' \triangleright (z, \eta | \tau)) = f(z) M \triangleright L_k(z, \eta | \tau).$$

That is what we will prove.

By the definition of $L_k(z, \eta | \tau)$ in (4-4),

$$\begin{aligned} M \triangleright L_k(z, \eta | \tau) &= \sum_{u,v} w_{(u,v)}(-nz, \eta | \tau) J_{(u,v)D^{-1}M^t} \otimes J_{(u,v)D^{-1}M^t}^{-1} \\ &= \sum_{u,v} w_{(u,v)M^{-t}D}(-nz, \eta | \tau) J_{u,v} \otimes J_{u,v}^{-1} \\ &= \sum_{u,v} w_{(u,v)D(D^{-1}M^{-t}D)}(-nz, \eta | \tau) J_{u,v} \otimes J_{u,v}^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

(The first equality in the previous computation uses the fact that $L_k(z, \eta | \tau)$ is, by definition, an element of A_n , not an element of $\mathbb{C}\tilde{H}_n \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}\tilde{H}_n$.) By Theorem 2.4, there is a nowhere-vanishing holomorphic function $g(z)$ such that this equals

$$g(z) \sum_{u,v} w_{(u,v)D} (D^{-1}M^{-t}D \triangleright (-nz, \eta | \tau)) J_{u,v} \otimes J_{u,v}^{-1},$$

which is, as we see after re-indexing the summation over (u, v) , the same thing as

$$g(z) \sum_{u,v} w_{(u,v)} (M' \triangleright (-nz, \eta | \tau)) J_{-k'u,v} \otimes J_{-k'u,v}^{-1} = g(z) L(M' \triangleright (z, \eta | \tau)).$$

This finishes the proof. □

If $M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$, then the left-hand side of (4-7) is

$$L_k\left(\frac{z}{c\tau + d}, \frac{\eta}{c\tau + d} \middle| \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}\right).$$

4.3. R-matrix and elliptic-algebra equivariance, and proof of the main theorem. We now translate (4-7), which is a statement about how $L_k(z, \eta | \tau)$ transforms under the action of $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$, into a statement about how $R_{n,k}(z, \eta | \tau)$ transforms under the action of $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$. To do that we make use of the set map $\psi : \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$ in Corollary 3.6.

Theorem 4.4. *Fix the data (n, k, η, τ) . Let $M \in \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ and let $\psi(M')$ be the automorphism of V identified in Corollary 3.6. There is a nowhere-vanishing holomorphic function $f(z)$ such that*

$$R_{n,k}(M \triangleright (z, \eta | \tau)) = f(z) \psi(M')^{\otimes 2} \cdot R_{n,k}(z, \eta | \tau) \cdot (\psi(M')^{\otimes 2})^{-1}.$$

Proof. Let $\rho : \mathbb{C}\tilde{H}_n \rightarrow \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$ denote the representation in Proposition 3.2.

Since there is a nowhere-vanishing holomorphic function $f(z)$ such that

$$R_{n,k}(z, \eta | \tau) = f(z) PT_k(z, \eta | \tau) = f(z) P(\rho \otimes \rho)(L_k(z, \eta | \tau)),$$

it suffices to show that

$$(\rho \otimes \rho)(L_k(M \triangleright (z, \eta | \tau))) = g(z) \psi(M')^{\otimes 2} \cdot (\rho \otimes \rho)(L_k(z, \eta | \tau)) \cdot (\psi(M')^{\otimes 2})^{-1}$$

for some nowhere-vanishing holomorphic function $g(z)$. However, it follows from Theorem 4.3 that there is a nowhere-vanishing holomorphic function $g(z)$ such that

$$(\rho \otimes \rho)(L_k(M \triangleright (z, \eta | \tau))) = g(z) (\rho \otimes \rho)(M' \triangleright L_k(z, \eta | \tau)),$$

which is equal to

$$g(z) \psi(M')^{\otimes 2} \cdot (\rho \otimes \rho)(L_k(z, \eta | \tau)) \cdot (\psi(M')^{\otimes 2})^{-1}$$

because, by (3-6), $\rho(M' \triangleright x) = \psi(M')\rho(x)\psi(M')^{-1}$ for all $x \in \widetilde{H}_n$, and hence for all $x \in \mathbb{C}\widetilde{H}_n$. The proof is complete. \square

Theorem 4.5 (Theorem 1.1). *Let $M \in \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$. The linear isomorphism $\psi(M') : V \rightarrow V$ identified in Corollary 3.6 extends to a graded \mathbb{C} -algebra isomorphism*

$$(4-8) \quad \psi(M') : Q_{n,k}(\eta | \tau) \xrightarrow{\sim} Q_{n,k}(M \triangleright (\eta | \tau)).$$

In particular, if $M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$, then

$$(4-9) \quad Q_{n,k} \left(\frac{\eta}{c\tau + d} \middle| \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d} \right) \cong Q_{n,k}(\eta | \tau).$$

Proof. By definition, $Q_{n,k}(M \triangleright (\eta | \tau)) = TV/I$ where I is the ideal generated by the image of

$$R_{n,k}(M \triangleright (\eta, \eta | \tau)) := \lim_{z \rightarrow \eta} R_{n,k}(M \triangleright (z, \eta | \tau))$$

By Theorem 4.4, this is the same as the image of $\psi(M')^{\otimes 2} \cdot R_{n,k}(\eta, \eta | \tau)$. The conclusion follows. \square

4.3.1. $\psi(M')$ is \widetilde{H}_n -equivariant if and only if M is the identity. If $\psi : R \rightarrow R'$ is an isomorphism of rings there is an induced isomorphism of automorphism groups $\widehat{\psi} : \mathrm{Aut}(R) \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(R')$, $\alpha \mapsto \psi\alpha\psi^{-1}$. Since \widetilde{H}_n acts as automorphisms of $Q_{n,k}(\eta | \tau)$ and $Q_{n,k}(\eta' | \tau')$ it is natural to ask whether $\widehat{\psi}(\widehat{M'})$ sends the copy of \widetilde{H}_n in $\mathrm{Aut}(Q_{n,k}(\eta | \tau))$ to the copy of \widetilde{H}_n in $\mathrm{Aut}(Q_{n,k}(\eta' | \tau'))$. It does: if $x \in \widetilde{H}_n$, then $\psi(M')\rho(x)\psi(M')^{-1} = \rho(M' \triangleright x)$; i.e., $\widehat{\psi}(\widehat{M'})$ restricts to an isomorphism between the two copies of \widetilde{H}_n and that isomorphism is $x \mapsto M' \triangleright x$. In particular, if M is not the identity, then $\psi(M')$ is not \widetilde{H}_n -equivariant.

4.3.2. The next result involves an equality, not just an isomorphism.

Corollary 4.6. *If $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $Q_{n,k}(\frac{\eta}{mn\tau+1} | \frac{\tau}{mn\tau+1}) = Q_{n,k}(\eta | \tau)$.*

Proof. As we remarked in §§3.3.2 and 3.4.2, the automorphisms Ψ_M of \widetilde{H}_n and $\psi(M)$ of V defined for $M \in \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ only depend on the image of M in $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}_n)$; in particular, if the image of M' in $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}_n)$ is the identity, then we can take $\psi(M') = \mathrm{id}_V$. If $M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ mn & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, then the image of M' in $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}_n)$ is the identity so we can take $\psi(M') = \mathrm{id}_V$, whence Theorem 4.5 gives the result. \square

4.4. **The proof of Theorem 1.2.** That result is a consequence of the following lemma.

Lemma 4.7. *Let Λ_1 and Λ_2 be lattices in \mathbb{C} and $f : \mathbb{C}/\Lambda_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}/\Lambda_2$ a morphism of algebraic groups.*

- (1) *There is $u \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ such that $f(z + \Lambda_1) = uz + \Lambda_2$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$.*
- (2) *If f is an isomorphism of algebraic groups, then the u in (1) is unique.*
- (3) *Assume $\Lambda_1 = \Lambda_{\tau_1}$ and $\Lambda_2 = \Lambda_{\tau_2}$ for some $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in \mathbb{H}$. If f is an isomorphism of algebraic groups, then there is a unique*

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$$

such that $f(z + \Lambda_1) = \frac{z}{c\tau_1 + d} + \Lambda_2$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\tau_2 = \frac{a\tau_1 + b}{c\tau_1 + d}$.

Proof. (1) This is [Hai11, Lem. 1.9].

(2) If $u_1z + \Lambda_2 = u_2z + \Lambda_2$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$, then $(u_1 - u_2)z \in \Lambda_2$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$, which implies that $u_1 - u_2 = 0$. Hence the u in (1) is unique.

(3) (Existence.) Since $f(z + \Lambda_1) = uz + \Lambda_2$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$, $u\Lambda_1 = \Lambda_2$. Hence $\tau_2 = u(a\tau_1 + b)$ and $1 = u(c\tau_1 + d)$ for some $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $u\Lambda_1 = \Lambda_2$, $\{a\tau_1 + b, c\tau_1 + d\}$ is a \mathbb{Z} -basis for Λ_{τ_1} . Hence $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$. Since $\tau_2 = \frac{a\tau_1 + b}{c\tau_1 + d}$, the imaginary part of τ_2 is

$$\Im(\tau_2) = \det \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} |c\tau_1 + d|^{-2} \Im(\tau_1).$$

Since the imaginary parts of τ_1 and τ_2 are positive, $\det\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} > 0$. Hence $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ is in $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$.

(Uniqueness.) Since $\tau_1 \notin \mathbb{Q}$, the integers c and d in the equality $1 = u(c\tau_1 + d)$ are unique. Likewise, since $\tau_1 \notin \mathbb{Q}$, the integers a and b in the equality $a\tau_1 + b = u^{-1}\tau_2$ are unique. \square

Corollary 4.8 (Theorem 1.2). *If $f : E_\tau \rightarrow E_{\tau'}$ is an isomorphism of algebraic groups such that $f(\eta + \Lambda_\tau) = \eta' + \Lambda_{\tau'}$, then*

$$Q_{n,k}(\eta | \tau) \cong Q_{n,k}(\eta' | \tau').$$

Proof. By Lemma 4.7(3), there is $M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ such that $f(z + \Lambda_\tau) = \frac{z}{c\tau + d} + \Lambda_{\tau'}$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\tau' = \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}$. In particular, $\eta' + \Lambda_{\tau'} = \frac{\eta}{c\tau + d} + \Lambda_{\tau'}$. By Theorem 4.5,

$$Q_{n,k}(\eta | \tau) \cong Q_{n,k}\left(\frac{\eta}{c\tau + d} \mid \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}\right) = Q_{n,k}(\eta' | \tau')$$

where the last equality holds since $\frac{\eta}{c\tau + d}$ and η' have the same image in $E_{\tau'}$. \square

4.5. Modularity. The action of the modular group $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ on $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{H}$ is the starting point for the theory of modular forms and modular functions. For example, (see, e.g., [DS05, Defn. 1.1.1] and [Sil94, §I.3]) a meromorphic function $f : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called a **weakly modular function of weight k** if

$$(4-10) \quad f\left(\frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}\right) = (c\tau + d)^k f(\tau)$$

for all $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ and all

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}).$$

We will now restate (4-10) in a way that resembles the isomorphism in (4-9).

First, we associate to a function $f : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ its (backward) graph

$$\Gamma_f := \{(f(\tau) | \tau) \mid \tau \in \mathbb{H}\} \subseteq \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{H}.$$

Subsets of a given set can be viewed as $\{0, 1\}$ -valued functions on that set. Here such a procedure produces the function

$$F : \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}, \quad F(z | \tau) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } f(\tau) = z, \\ 0 & \text{if } f(\tau) \neq z. \end{cases}$$

With this notation, f satisfies (4-10) if and only if

$$F\left((c\tau + d)^k z \mid \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}\right) = F(z | \tau).$$

In particular, $f(\tau)$ is a weakly modular function of weight -1 if and only if the $\{0, 1\}$ -valued function $F(z | \tau)$ satisfies the identity

$$F\left(\frac{z}{c\tau + d} \mid \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}\right) = F(z | \tau),$$

which resembles the isomorphism in (4-9).

If we express this identity by saying that F is a “weakly modular function of weight -1 taking values in $\{0, 1\}$ ”, and extend this terminology in the obvious way, then Theorem 4.5 says that $Q_{n,k}$ is a “weakly modular function of weight -1 taking values in the category of graded \mathbb{C} -algebras”.

REFERENCES

- [BL04] C. Birkenhake and H. Lange, *Complex abelian varieties*, second ed., Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 302, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004. MR 2062673
- [CCS12] F. Callegaro, F. R. Cohen, and M. Salvetti, *The cohomology of the braid group B_3 and of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ with coefficients in a geometric representation*, Configuration spaces, CRM Series, vol. 14, Ed. Norm., Pisa, 2012, pp. 193–211. MR 3203639
- [CKS19] A. Chirvasitu, R. Kanda, and S. P. Smith, *The characteristic variety for Feigin and Odesskii’s elliptic algebras*, arXiv:1903.11798v4.
- [CKS20] ———, *Elliptic R -matrices and Feigin and Odesskii’s elliptic algebras*, arXiv:2006.12283v1.
- [CKS21a] ———, *Feigin and Odesskii’s elliptic algebras*, J. Algebra **581** (2021), 173–225. MR 4249759
- [CKS21b] ———, *Maps from Feigin and Odesskii’s elliptic algebras to twisted homogeneous coordinate rings*, Forum Math. Sigma **9** (2021), Paper No. e4, 50. MR 4202489
- [DS05] F. Diamond and J. Shurman, *A first course in modular forms*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 228, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2005. MR 2112196
- [FH91] W. Fulton and J. Harris, *Representation theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 129, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991, A first course, Readings in Mathematics. MR 1153249
- [FO89] B. L. Feigin and A. V. Odesskii, *Sklyanin algebras associated with an elliptic curve*, Preprint deposited with Institute of Theoretical Physics of the Academy of Sciences of the Ukrainian SSR (1989), 33 pages.
- [GH01] J. Grassberger and G. Hörmann, *A note on representations of the finite Heisenberg group and sums of greatest common divisors*, Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci. **4** (2001), no. 2, 91–100. MR 1835586
- [Hai11] R. Hain, *Lectures on moduli spaces of elliptic curves*, Transformation groups and moduli spaces of curves, Adv. Lect. Math. (ALM), vol. 16, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2011, pp. 95–166. MR 2883686
- [Jac28] C. G. J. Jacobi, *Suite des notices sur les fonctions elliptiques*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **3** (1828), 403–404. MR 1577711
- [Mum91] D. Mumford, *Tata lectures on theta. III*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 97, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1991, With the collaboration of Madhav Nori and Peter Norman. MR 1116553
- [Mum07] D. Mumford, *Tata lectures on theta. I*, Modern Birkhäuser Classics, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2007, With the collaboration of C. Musili, M. Nori, E. Previato and M. Stillman, Reprint of the 1983 edition. MR 2352717
- [Ode02] A. V. Odesskii, *Elliptic algebras*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **57** (2002), no. 6(348), 87–122. MR 1991863
- [OF89] A. V. Odesskii and B. L. Feigin, *Sklyanin elliptic algebras*, Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. **23** (1989), no. 3, 45–54, 96. MR 1026987 (91e:16037)
- [OF95] A. V. Odesskii and B. L. Feigin, *Elliptic sklyanin algebras. The case of points of finite order*, Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. **29** (1995), no. 2, 9–21, 95. MR 1340300
- [Pol03] A. Polishchuk, *Abelian varieties, theta functions and the Fourier transform*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 153, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003. MR 1987784
- [RT86] M. P. Richey and C. A. Tracy, *Z_n Baxter model: symmetries and the Belavin parametrization*, J. Statist. Phys. **42** (1986), no. 3-4, 311–348. MR 833020
- [Ser80] J.-P. Serre, *Trees*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1980, Translated from the French by John Stillwell. MR 607504
- [Sil94] J. H. Silverman, *Advanced topics in the arithmetic of elliptic curves*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 151, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994. MR 1312368
- [TVdB96] J. T. Tate and M. Van den Bergh, *Homological properties of Sklyanin algebras*, Invent. Math. **124** (1996), no. 1-3, 619–647. MR 1369430 (98c:16057)
- [WW62] E. T. Whittaker and G. N. Watson, *A course of modern analysis. An introduction to the general theory of infinite processes and of analytic functions: with an account of the principal transcendental functions*, Cambridge University Press, New York, 1962, Fourth edition. Reprinted. MR 0178117

(Alex Chirvasitu) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY AT BUFFALO, BUFFALO, NY 14260-2900, USA.

Email address: achirvas@buffalo.edu

(Ryo Kanda) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SCIENCE, OSAKA CITY UNIVERSITY, 3-3-138, SUGIMOTO, SUMIYOSHI, OSAKA, 558-8585, JAPAN.

Email address: ryo.kanda.math@gmail.com

(S. Paul Smith) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BOX 354350, UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON, SEATTLE, WA 98195, USA.

Email address: smith@math.washington.edu