

# Local Hölder continuity of solutions of the complex Monge-Ampère equation

Nguyen Xuan Hong<sup>a</sup>, Pham Thi Lieu<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Mathematics, Hanoi National University of Education, 136 Xuan Thuy Street, Cau Giay District, Hanoi, Vietnam

<sup>b</sup>Department of Basis Sciences and Foreign Languages, People's Police University of Technology and Logistics, Bacninh, Vietnam

---

## Abstract

In this paper, we are interested in studying the Dirichlet problem for the complex Monge-Ampère operator. We provide necessary and sufficient conditions for the problem to have Hölder continuous solutions.

*Keywords:* Dirichlet problem, Hölder continuous, Monge-Ampère, subsolution problem

*2010 MSC:* 32U05, 32U15, 32U40

---

## 1. Introduction

The Dirichlet problem for the complex Monge-Ampère operator is one of the important and central problems of pluripotential theory. It has a long history, starting in the 70s of the 20th century. In this paper we are interested in the Hölder continuity of the solution of the problem. More precisely, we seek a plurisubharmonic function  $u$  defined on a bounded domain  $\Omega$  of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  satisfying:

$$\mathcal{M}(\Omega, \mu) : \begin{cases} u \text{ is Hölder continuous on } \overline{\Omega}; \\ (dd^c u)^n = \mu \text{ in } \Omega; \\ u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

There are some known results for the existence for this problem due to [3], [11], [18], etc. In those papers, the authors only consider a simple case, that is, the measure  $\mu$  only in

$$\mu_f := fdV_{2n}, \quad f \geq 0.$$

The first result in this direction tells us that the problem  $\mathcal{M}(\Omega, \mu_f)$  is solvable if  $\Omega$  is strictly pseudoconvex and  $f^{1/n}$  is Hölder continuous (see [3]). Therefore, strictly pseudoconvex domains have been widely accepted as the standard domain in which we can analyze the Dirichlet problem for the complex Monge-Ampère operator. This problem is further studied in [4], where the authors showed the existence of a continuous solution if  $f$  is continuous. Later, some other authors also generalized the result above. There is a very important result due to Guedj, Kołodziej and Zeriahi that the problem is solvable for class of measure  $\mu_f$  with  $f \in L^p$ ,  $p > 1$  (see [11]). Recently, several other authors have used the technique of [11] to study the problem  $\mathcal{M}(\Omega, \mu_f)$  for some subclasses of the class of pseudoconvex domains (see [2], [5], [17], etc). In the case of manifolds, the problem is studied by [9], [12] and some other authors.

When  $\mu$  is arbitrary, the problem becomes much more complicated. This problem remained open until recently. In the recent paper [10], Dinew, Guedj and Zeriahi posed the question of the existence of Hölder continuous solutions of Dirichlet problem (see Question 17 in [10]). This question was partially solved by Cuong [7] in 2018 and fully completed in 2020 (see [8]).

The main purpose of this paper is to study the conditions which the problem can be solved. To study this, we will first find the properties of the measure that have local sub-solutions. Here, a function is called sub-solution to  $\mathcal{M}(\Omega, \mu)$  if it is a solution to  $\mathcal{M}(\Omega, \nu)$  with some measure  $\nu \geq \mu$ . Our first main result is the following theorem.

---

*Email address:* xuanhongdhsp@yahoo.com (Nguyen Xuan Hong)

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and let  $\mu$  be a non-negative Borel measure in  $\Omega$ . Assume that for every  $z \in \overline{\Omega}$ , there exists  $r_z > 0$  such that  $\mathcal{M}(\Omega \cap \mathbb{B}(z, r_z), \mu)$  has a negative sub-solution. Then, there exists a Hölder continuous function  $u$  on  $\overline{\Omega}$  such that it is plurisubharmonic in  $\Omega$  and satisfies*

$$(dd^c u)^n \geq \mu \text{ on } \Omega.$$

The above theorem provides us with a condition to be able to solve the problem. For the problem to be solved on the domain  $\Omega$ , we need to add the geometry of  $\Omega$ , specifically, we require it to be strictly pseudoconvex. Our second main result is the following theorem on the locality of the problem.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $\Omega$  be a strictly pseudoconvex domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and let  $\mu$  be a non-negative Borel measure in  $\Omega$ . Then, the problem  $\mathcal{M}(\Omega, \mu)$  is local, i.e., it is solvable on  $\Omega$  if and only if for every  $z \in \overline{\Omega}$ , there exists  $r_z > 0$  such that it is solvable on  $\Omega \cap \mathbb{B}(z, r_z)$ .*

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we prove an auxiliary lemma and use it to prove Theorem 1.1. Section 3 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.2.

## 2. Measure with local sub-solutions

Some elements of pluripotential theory that will be used throughout the paper can be found in [1]-[20]. To prove Theorem 1.1, we need the following result on a special class of smooth increasing convex functions.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $f_j : (-\infty, 0) \rightarrow (-\infty, 0)$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq m$ , be increasing functions such that*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} f_j(x) = 0.$$

*Then, for every  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$  there exists a smooth increasing convex function  $\tau_\varepsilon : (-\infty, -\varepsilon) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that*

- (a)  $|\tau_\varepsilon \circ (f_j + x) - \tau_\varepsilon \circ (f_k + x)| < 1$  on  $(-\infty, 0)$ ,  $\forall x \leq -\varepsilon$ ;
- (b)  $\tau'_\varepsilon(x) \geq \delta_\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\forall x \in (-\frac{1}{\varepsilon}, -\varepsilon)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\{a_j\}_{j=0}^\infty$  be an increasing sequence of negative real numbers such that  $a_j \rightarrow 0$  and

$$\begin{aligned} b_j &:= \max_{1 \leq k \leq m} f_k(a_j) \\ &< \min_{1 \leq k \leq m} f_k(a_{j+1}) =: c_{j+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Set

$$d_j := \begin{cases} c_1 - \frac{2}{\varepsilon} & \text{if } j = 1 \\ b_j & \text{if } j \in \{2, 4, 6, \dots\} \\ c_j & \text{if } j \in \{3, 5, 7, \dots\}. \end{cases}$$

We infer by the hypotheses that  $d_j \nearrow 0$  as  $j \nearrow +\infty$ . Let  $\tau : (-\infty, 0) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be defined by

$$\tau(x) := \begin{cases} n - \left( \frac{d_2}{d_1} + \dots + \frac{d_n}{d_{n-1}} \right) - \frac{x}{d_n} & \text{if } d_n < x \leq d_{n+1}, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \leq d_1. \end{cases}$$

Proof of Lemma 2 in [6] tells us that  $\tau$  is continuous, increasing, convex and satisfies

$$|\tau \circ f_j - \tau \circ f_k| \leq A \text{ on } (-\infty, 0), \forall 1 \leq j, k \leq m. \quad (2.1)$$

Here,  $A > 0$  is a constant. We now claim that

$$|\tau(x) - \tau(y)| \geq \left| \frac{x-y}{d_1} \right|, \forall x, y \in (d_1, 0). \quad (2.2)$$

Indeed, since  $0 < |d_n| \leq |d_1|$ , we infer by the definition of  $\tau$  that

$$\begin{aligned} |\tau(x) - \tau(y)| &= \left| \frac{x-y}{d_n} \right| \\ &\geq \left| \frac{x-y}{d_1} \right|, \quad \forall x, y \in [d_n, d_{n+1}]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Now, we assume that

$$d_n < x \leq d_{n+1}$$

and

$$d_{n+k} < y \leq d_{n+k+1}, \quad k \geq 1.$$

Using the inequality (2.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(y) - \tau(x) &= [\tau(y) - \tau(d_{n+k})] + \sum_{s=n+2}^{n+k} [\tau(d_s) - \tau(d_{s-1})] + [\tau(d_{n+1}) - \tau(x)] \\ &\geq \frac{y - d_{n+k}}{-d_1} + \sum_{s=n+2}^{n+k} \frac{d_s - d_{s-1}}{-d_1} + \frac{d_{n+1} - x}{-d_1} \\ &= \frac{y - x}{-d_1}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the claim. Let  $\rho$  be a smooth non-negative function in  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $\rho(\lambda) = 0, \forall |\lambda| \geq 1$  and

$$\int_{\{|\lambda| < 1\}} \rho(\lambda) d\lambda = 1.$$

We define

$$\tau_\varepsilon(x) := \frac{1}{2A} \int_{\{|\lambda| < 1\}} \tau(x - \varepsilon\lambda) \rho(\lambda) d\lambda, \quad x \in (-\infty, -\varepsilon).$$

Then,  $\tau_\varepsilon$  is smooth, increasing, convex on  $(-\infty, -\varepsilon)$ . Moreover, we deduce by (2.2) that

$$|\tau_\varepsilon(x) - \tau_\varepsilon(y)| \geq \left| \frac{x-y}{2Ad_1} \right|, \quad \forall x, y \in (d_1 + \varepsilon, -\varepsilon).$$

This implies that

$$\tau'_\varepsilon(x) \geq \frac{1}{2Ad_1} =: \delta_\varepsilon, \quad \forall x \in \left( -\frac{1}{\varepsilon}, -\varepsilon \right)$$

because

$$d_1 + \varepsilon < -\frac{1}{\varepsilon}.$$

Therefore, it remains to verify that

$$|\tau_\varepsilon \circ (f_j + x) - \tau_\varepsilon \circ (f_k + x)| < 1, \quad \forall x \leq -\varepsilon. \quad (2.4)$$

Indeed, assume that  $|\lambda| < 1$ . It is clear that

$$x - \varepsilon\lambda \leq -\varepsilon(1 + \lambda) < 0.$$

From the convexity of  $\tau$  we infer by (2.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \tau \circ (f_j + x - \varepsilon\lambda) - \tau \circ (f_k + x - \varepsilon\lambda) \right| \\ & \leq \left| \tau \circ f_j - \tau \circ f_k \right| \leq A. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\tau_\varepsilon \circ (f_j + x) - \tau_\varepsilon \circ (f_k + x)| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2A} \int_{\{|\lambda| < 1\}} |\tau(f_j + x - \varepsilon\lambda) - \tau(f_k + x - \varepsilon\lambda)| \rho(\lambda) d\lambda \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\{|\lambda| < 1\}} \rho(\lambda) d\lambda < 1
\end{aligned}$$

and (2.4) is proved. This proves the lemma.  $\square$

We now able to give the proof of theorem 1.1. Techniques used come from [6].

*Proof of theorem 1.1.* Since  $\overline{\Omega}$  is a compact set, we can find  $z_1, \dots, z_m \in \overline{\Omega}$  and  $r_1, \dots, r_m > 0$  such that  $\overline{\Omega} \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^m \mathbb{B}(z_j, r_j)$  and  $\mathcal{M}(\Omega \cap \mathbb{B}(z_j, 3r_j), \mu)$  has a negative sub-solution  $u_j$ . Define

$$u_j = 0 \text{ on } \mathbb{C}^n \setminus (\Omega \cap \mathbb{B}(z_j, 3r_j)). \quad (2.5)$$

Then,  $u_j$  are Hölder continuous on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . For  $1 \leq j, k \leq m$ , we set

$$G_{j,k} := \Omega \cap \mathbb{B}(z_j, 3r_j) \cap \mathbb{B}(z_k, 3r_k)$$

and

$$f_{j,k}(x) = \begin{cases} \inf\{u_j(z) : z \in G_{j,k} \cap \{u_k \geq x\}\} & \text{if } G_{j,k} \neq \emptyset, \\ x & \text{if } G_{j,k} = \emptyset. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that  $f_{j,k}$  are increasing functions and

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} f_{j,k}(x) = 0.$$

Let  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$  be such that

$$u_j > -\frac{1}{\varepsilon}, \quad \forall j = 1, \dots, m. \quad (2.6)$$

Lemma 2.1 tells us that there exists a smooth increasing convex function  $\tau : (-\infty, -\frac{\varepsilon}{2}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that:

- (a)  $|\tau(x - \varepsilon) - \tau(f_{j,k}(x) - \varepsilon)| < 1, \forall x < 0;$
- (b)  $\tau'(x) \geq \delta > 0, \forall x \in (-\frac{2}{\varepsilon}, -\frac{\varepsilon}{2}).$

Assume that  $z \in G_{j,k}$ . Since  $f_{j,k}(u_k(z)) \leq u_j(z)$ , we deduce by (a) that

$$|\tau \circ (u_j(z) - \varepsilon) - \tau \circ (u_k(z) - \varepsilon)| < 1. \quad (2.7)$$

Let  $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_{2m}$  be smooth functions in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  such that

$$0 \leq \chi_{2j-1} \leq \chi_{2j} \leq 1$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{B}(z_j, r_j) & \in \{\chi_{2j-1} = 1\} \in \{\chi_{2j-1} \neq 0\} \\
& \in \mathbb{B}(z_j, 2r_j) \in \{\chi_{2j} = 1\} \\
& \in \{\chi_{2j} \neq 0\} \in \mathbb{B}(z_j, 3r_j)
\end{aligned}$$

for all  $j = 1, \dots, m$ . We infer by (2.7) that

$$\chi_{2j-1} + \chi_{2j} \tau(u_j - \varepsilon) < \chi_{2k-1} + \chi_{2k} \tau(u_k - \varepsilon)$$

on  $\Omega \cap \mathbb{B}(z_k, r_k) \cap (\mathbb{B}(z_j, 3r_j) \setminus \mathbb{B}(z_j, 2r_j))$ . Hence,

$$\chi_{2j-1} + \chi_{2j} \tau(u_j - \varepsilon) < \chi_{2k-1} + \chi_{2k} \tau(u_k - \varepsilon) \quad (2.8)$$

on  $\mathbb{B}(z_k, r_k) \setminus \mathbb{B}(z_j, 2r_j)$ . Let  $\varphi$  be a smooth strictly plurisubharmonic function in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  such that  $\varphi + \chi_{2j-1}$  is plurisubharmonic in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, m$ . We define

$$v := \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} v_j \text{ in } \mathbb{C}^n,$$

where

$$v_j := \varphi + \chi_{2j-1} + \chi_{2j} \tau(u_j - \varepsilon).$$

Now, for  $z \in \Omega \cap \mathbb{B}(z_k, r_k)$ , we set

$$J_k(z) = \{j \in \{1, \dots, m\} : z \in \mathbb{B}(z_j, 2r_j)\}.$$

From (2.8) we have

$$v_j(z) < v_k(z), \quad \forall j \notin J_k(z).$$

This implies that

$$U_k(z) := \Omega \cap \mathbb{B}(z_k, r_k) \cap \bigcap_{j \in J_k(z)} \mathbb{B}(z_j, 2r_j) \cap \bigcap_{1 \leq j \leq m, j \notin J_k(z)} \{v_j < v_k\}$$

is a open neighborhood of  $z$ . Since  $\varphi + \chi_{2j-1}$  are plurisubharmonic and

$$v_j = \varphi + \chi_{2j-1} + \tau(u_j - \varepsilon) \text{ on } U_k(z),$$

it follows that  $v_j \in PSH(U_k(z))$  and

$$\begin{aligned} (dd^c v_j)^n &\geq (dd^c \tau(u_j - \varepsilon))^n \\ &\geq [\tau'(u_j - \varepsilon)]^n (dd^c u_j)^n \text{ on } U_k(z). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we deduce from (b) that  $v \in PSH(U_k(z))$  and

$$(dd^c v)^n \geq \delta^n \mu \text{ on } U_k(z) \tag{2.9}$$

because

$$u_j - \varepsilon > -\frac{2}{\varepsilon} \text{ on } U_k(z).$$

Now, since  $\tau$  is smooth on  $(-\frac{2}{\varepsilon}, -\frac{\varepsilon}{2})$ , we obtain by (2.5) and (2.6) that

$$\tau \circ (u_j - \varepsilon) \text{ are Hölder continuous in } \mathbb{C}^n.$$

Combining this with (2.9) we arrive that  $v$  is Hölder continuous on  $\overline{\Omega}$ , plurisubharmonic on  $\Omega$  and satisfies

$$(dd^c v)^n \geq \delta^n \mu \text{ on } \Omega.$$

Then, function  $u := \delta v$  satisfies the requirements of the theorem, and the proof is complete.  $\square$

### 3. Local Hölder continuity

*Proof of theorem 1.2.* The necessity is obvious. We prove the sufficiency. Since  $\Omega$  is strictly pseudoconvex, by Theorem B in [8], we need to prove that  $\mathcal{M}(\Omega, \mu)$  has a sub-solution. To achieve this, we will use Theorem 1.1, so we have to show that there exist local sub-solutions. Let  $\rho$  be a Hölder continuous function on  $\overline{\Omega}$  such that it is negative, plurisubharmonic in  $\Omega$  and satisfies

$$\rho = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega.$$

Let  $z \in \overline{\Omega}$  and define

$$\rho_z(t) := \max(\rho(t), \|t - z\|^2 - r_z^2), \quad t \in \overline{\Omega}.$$

Then,  $\rho_z$  is Hölder continuous on  $\overline{\Omega}$  and plurisubharmonic on  $\Omega$ . This implies that  $\rho_z$  is a negative sub-solution of  $\mathcal{M}(\Omega \cap \mathbb{B}(z, r_z), 0)$ . Let  $u_z$  is a solution of  $\mathcal{M}(\Omega \cap \mathbb{B}(z, r_z), \mu)$ . The maximum principle tells us that

$$u_z \leq 0 \text{ on } \Omega \cap \mathbb{B}(z, r_z),$$

and hence,

$$\varphi_z := \rho_z + u_z$$

is a negative sub-solution of  $\mathcal{M}(\Omega \cap \mathbb{B}(z, r_z), \mu)$ . Theorem 1.1 states that there exists a Hölder continuous function  $\varphi$  on  $\overline{\Omega}$  such that it is plurisubharmonic in  $\Omega$  and satisfies

$$(dd^c \varphi)^n \geq \mu \text{ on } \Omega.$$

On the other hand, since  $\Omega$  is strictly pseudoconvex, Theorem 1.2 in [18] shows that there is a Hölder continuous function  $\psi$  on  $\overline{\Omega}$  such that it is plurisubharmonic in  $\Omega$  and

$$\psi = -\varphi \text{ on } \partial\Omega.$$

It is easy to see that  $\varphi + \psi$  is a sub-solution of  $\mathcal{M}(\Omega, \mu)$ , and therefore, we conclude by Theorem B in [8] that  $\mathcal{M}(\Omega, \mu)$  is solvable. The proof is complete.  $\square$

## References

- [1] P. Åhag, U. Cegrell, R. Czyż and P. H. Hiep, *Monge-Ampère measures on pluripolar sets*, J. Math. Pures Appl., **92** (2009), 613–627.
- [2] L. Baracco, T. V. Khanh, S. Pinton and G. Zampieri, *Hölder regularity of the solution to the complex Monge-Ampère equation with  $L^p$  density*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, **55** (2016), no. 4, Art. 74, 8 pp.
- [3] E. Bedford and B. A. Taylor, *The Dirichlet problem for a complex Monge-Ampère operator*, Invent. Math., **37**, 1–44 (1976).
- [4] E. Bedford and B. A. Taylor, *A new capacity for plurisubharmonic functions*, Acta Math., **149**, 1–40 (1982).
- [5] M. Charabati, *Hölder regularity for solutions to complex Monge-Ampère equations*, Ann. Pol. Math. **113**, No. 2, 109–127 (2015).
- [6] M. Coltoiu and N. Mihalache, *Pseudoconvex domains on complex spaces with singularities*, Compositio Math. **72** (3) (1989), 241–247.
- [7] N. N. Cuong, *On the Hölder continuous subsolution problem for the complex Monge-Ampère equation*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, **57** (2018), no. 1, Paper No. 8, 15 pp.
- [8] N. N. Cuong, *On the Hölder continuous subsolution problem for the complex Monge-Ampère equation, II*, Anal. PDE, **13** (2020), no. 2, 435–453.
- [9] J. P. Demailly, S. Dinew, V. Guedj, P. H. Hiep, S. Kołodziej and A. Zeriahi, *Hölder continuous solutions to Monge-Ampère equations*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **16**, No. 4, 619–647 (2014).
- [10] S. Dinew, V. Guedj and A. Zeriahi, *Open problems in pluripotential theory*, Complex Var. Elliptic Equ. **61**(7), 902–930 (2016).
- [11] V. Guedj, S. Kołodziej and A. Zeriahi, *Hölder continuous solutions to the complex Monge-Ampère equations*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. **40**, No. 6, 1070–1080 (2008).
- [12] P. H. Hiep, *Hölder continuity of solutions to the Monge-Ampère equations on compact Kähler manifolds*, Ann. Inst. Fourier **60**, No. 5, 1857–1869 (2010).
- [13] N. X. Hong, *Smooth approximation of quaternionic plurisubharmonic functions*, Complex Var. Elliptic Equ. (2021), <https://doi.org/10.1080/17476933.2021.1882435>
- [14] N. X. Hong, *Maximality of plurifinely plurisubharmonic functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. , **491** (2020), 124285
- [15] N. X. Hong and H. V. Can, *Weakly solutions to the complex Monge-Ampère equation on bounded plurifinely hyperconvex domains*, Complex Analysis and Operator Theory, **13** (2019), 1713–1727
- [16] N. X. Hong and H. V. Can, *On the approximation of weakly plurifinely plurisubharmonic functions*, Indagationes Mathematicae, **29** (2018), 1310–1317
- [17] N. X. Hong and T. V. Thuy, *Hölder continuous solutions to the complex Monge-Ampère equations in non-smooth pseudoconvex domains*, Anal. Math. Phys., **8** (2018), no. 3, 465–484.
- [18] S. Y. Li, *On the existence and regularity of Dirichlet problem for complex Monge-Ampère equations on weakly pseudoconvex domains*, Calc. Var PDE, **20** (2004), 119–132.
- [19] N. V. Khue and P. H. Hiep, *A comparison principle for the complex Monge-Ampère operator in Cegrell's classes and applications*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **361** (2009), 5539–5554.
- [20] S. Kołodziej, *The complex Monge-Ampère equation*, Acta Math. **180** (1998), no. 1, 69–117.