

Uncertainty principle for the two-sided quaternion windowed linear canonical transform

Wen-Biao Gao · Bing-Zhao Li*

Received: date / Accepted: date

Abstract In this paper, we investigate the (two-sided) quaternion windowed linear canonical transform (QWLCT) and study the uncertainty principles associated with the QWLCT. Firstly, several important properties of the QWLCT such as bounded, shift, modulation, orthogonality relation, are presented based on the spectral representation of the quaternionic linear canonical transform (QLCT). Secondly, Pitt's inequality and Lieb inequality for the QWLCT are explored. Moreover, we study different kinds of uncertainty principles for the QWLCT, such as Logarithmic uncertainty principle, Entropic uncertainty principle, Lieb uncertainty principle and Donoho-Stark's uncertainty principle. Finally, we give a numerical example and a potential application in signal recovery by using Donoho-Stark's uncertainty principle associated with the QWLCT.

Keywords Quaternion Fourier transform · Quaternion linear canonical transform · Quaternion windowed linear canonical transform · Uncertainty principle · Signal recovery

1 Introduction

The linear canonical transform (LCT) plays an important role for chirp signal analysis in parameter estimation, applied mathematics, signal processing,

Wen-Biao Gao
School of Mathematics and Statistics, Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing 102488, P.R. China
E-mail: wenbiaogao@163.com

Corresponding author, Dr. Bing-Zhao Li
Tel.: 86-10-81384471, Fax: 86-10-81384701
Beijing Key Laboratory on MCAACI, Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing 102488, P.R. China
E-mail: li_bingzhao@bit.edu.cn

radar system analysis, filter design, phase retrieval, and pattern recognition and optics [1–6]. However, the LCT can't show the local LCT-frequency contents as a result of its global kernel. To meet this request, the LCT has been successfully applied to research the generalized windowed function in [7, 8]. The windowed linear canonical transform (WLCT) is a method devised to study signals whose spectral content changes in time. As shown in [2, 9, 42, 43] some important properties of the WLCT such as the analogue of the Poisson summation formula, sampling formulas, Paley-Wiener theorem, and dual window solution are discussed. The discrete WLCT is discussed in [44]. It presents the time and LCT-frequency information, and is originally a local LCT distribution.

In the last few years, some authors have generalized the LCT to quaternion-valued signals, known as the quaternionic linear canonical transform (QLCT). It transforms a quaternionic 2D signal into a quaternion valued frequency domain signal which is an effective processing tool for color image analysis. The QLCT was firstly studied in [13] including prolate spheroidal wave signals and uncertainty principles [14]. Some useful properties of the QLCT such as linearity, reconstruction formula, continuity, boundedness, positivity inversion formula and the uncertainty principle were established in [13, 15–19]. An application of the QLCT to study of generalized swept-frequency filters was introduced in [20]. According to the QLCT, Xiong and Fu [21] have proposed the two-side quaternion linear canonical transform associated with the real window function-called the quaternion window linear canonical transform (QWLCT), and they studied several properties and Heisenberg's uncertainty principle for the QWLCT [21]. Different from the [21], based on the quaternion window function, we [22] have investigated some properties of the two-side QWLCT, and gave an example to illustrative the QWLCT is highly effective.

On the other hand, the uncertainty principle plays a vital role in time-frequency signal analysis and quantum mechanics [23–28]. It was first proposed by the German physicist W. Heisenberg in 1927 [28]. In quantum mechanics, an uncertainty principle asserts that one cannot make certain of the position and velocity of an electron (or any particle) at the same time. That is, increasing the knowledge of the position decreases the knowledge of the velocity or momentum of an electron. In signal processing, an uncertainty principle states that the product of the variances of the signal in the time and frequency domains has a lower bound. There are many different kinds of uncertainty principles, for instance, Heisenberg uncertainty principle [22, 30, 31, 39], Logarithmic uncertainty principle [32], Hardy's uncertainty principle [39, 40], Beurling's uncertainty principle [50], Lieb uncertainty principle [7, 35], Donoho-Stark's uncertainty principle [36–38]. The Lieb uncertainty principle for the WLCT has been discussed in [7], which takes the LCT version as one of its special cases. In [30], Xu et al. studied the uncertainty relation for the WLCT in two WLCT domains. Huang et al. [31] discussed the uncertainty principle and orthogonal condition for the WLCT in one WLCT domains. In [21], based on the real window function, the Heisenberg's uncertainty principle for the QWLCT has been obtained.

However, to the best of our knowledge, other kinds of the uncertainty principles for the QWLCT have not been found in the literature. The aim of this paper is to obtain several uncertainty principles for the QWLCT. First of all, some properties of the QWLCT are reviewed. Second, based on the relationship between the QWLCT and the quaternion Fourier transform (QFT) [39, 40, 42, 43, 50], we establish the Pitt's inequality and Lieb inequality associated with the QWLCT. Third, the uncertainty principles for the QWLCT such as Logarithmic uncertainty principle, Entropic uncertainty principle, Lieb uncertainty principle and Donoho-Stark's uncertainty principle are obtained. Finally, a numerical example and a potential application in signal recovery associated with Donoho-Stark's uncertainty principle are given.

The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 gives a brief introduction to some general definitions and basic properties of quaternion algebra, QLCTs of 2D quaternion-valued signals. We present the definition and the properties of the QWLCT in Section 3. In Section 4, provides the uncertainty principles associated with the QWLCT. We give a numerical example and a potential application in Section 5. In Section 6, some conclusions are drawn.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we mainly review some basic facts on the quaternion algebra and the QLCT, which will be needed throughout the paper.

2.1 Quaternion algebra

The quaternion algebra is an extension of the complex number to 4D algebra. It was first invented by W. R. Hamilton in 1843 and classically denoted by \mathbb{H} in his honor. Every element of \mathbb{H} has a Cartesian form given by

$$\mathbb{H} = \{q \mid q := [q]_0 + \mathbf{i}[q]_1 + \mathbf{j}[q]_2 + \mathbf{k}[q]_3, [q]_i \in \mathbb{R}, i = 0, 1, 2, 3\} \quad (1)$$

where $\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}$ are imaginary units obeying Hamilton's multiplication rules (see [45])

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{i}^2 = \mathbf{j}^2 = \mathbf{k}^2 &= -1, \\ \mathbf{ij} = -\mathbf{ji} = \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{jk} &= -\mathbf{kj} = \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{ki} = -\mathbf{ik} = \mathbf{j}. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Let $[q]_0$ and $q = \mathbf{i}[q]_1 + \mathbf{j}[q]_2 + \mathbf{k}[q]_3$ denote the real scalar part and the vector part of quaternion number $q = [q]_0 + \mathbf{i}[q]_1 + \mathbf{j}[q]_2 + \mathbf{k}[q]_3$, respectively. Then, from [30], the real scalar part has a cyclic multiplication symmetry

$$[pql]_0 = [qlp]_0 = [lpq]_0, \quad \forall q, p, l \in \mathbb{H}, \quad (3)$$

the conjugate of a quaternion q is defined by $\bar{q} = [q]_0 - \mathbf{i}[q]_1 - \mathbf{j}[q]_2 - \mathbf{k}[q]_3$, and the norm of $q \in \mathbb{H}$ defined as

$$|q| = \sqrt{q\bar{q}} = \sqrt{[q]_0^2 + [q]_1^2 + [q]_2^2 + [q]_3^2}. \quad (4)$$

It is easy to verify that

$$\overline{pq} = \overline{q} \overline{p}, |qp| = |q||p|, \quad \forall q, p \in \mathbb{H}. \quad (5)$$

If $1 \leq s < \infty$, the quaternion modules $L^s(\mathbb{R}^t, \mathbb{H})$ are defined as

$$L^s(\mathbb{R}^t, \mathbb{H}) := \left\{ f|f : \mathbb{R}^t \rightarrow \mathbb{H}, \|f\|_{L^s(\mathbb{R}^t, \mathbb{H})} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^t} |f(\mathbf{x})|^s d\mathbf{x} \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} < \infty \right\}. \quad (6)$$

For $s = \infty$, $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^t, \mathbb{H})$ is a collection of essentially bounded measurable functions with the norm

$$\|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^t, \mathbb{H})} = \text{ess sup} |f(\mathbf{x})|,$$

if $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^t, \mathbb{H})$ is continuous then

$$\|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^t, \mathbb{H})} = \text{sup} |f(\mathbf{x})|.$$

Now we introduce an inner product of quaternion functions f, g defined on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$ given by

$$(f, g)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(\mathbf{x}) \overline{g(\mathbf{x})} d\mathbf{x}, \quad (7)$$

with symmetric real scalar part

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \{ (f, g) + (g, f) \} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} [f(\mathbf{x}) \overline{g(\mathbf{x})}]_0 d\mathbf{x}, \quad (8)$$

where $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $d\mathbf{x} = dx_1 dx_2$.

The associated scalar norm of $f(\mathbf{x}) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$ is defined by both (7) and (8):

$$\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})}^2 = \langle f, f \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |f(\mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x} < \infty. \quad (9)$$

The convolution of $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^t, \mathbb{H})$ and $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^t, \mathbb{H})$, denoted by $f * g$, is defined by

$$(f * g)(\mathbf{y}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^t} f(\mathbf{x}) g(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}.$$

where $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

Lemma 1 *If $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$, then the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality holds[25]*

$$|\langle f, g \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})}|^2 \leq \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})}^2 \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})}^2. \quad (10)$$

If and only if $f = \lambda g$ for some quaternionic parameter $\lambda \in \mathbb{H}$, the equality holds.

2.2 The quaternion linear canonical transform

The QLCT is firstly defined by Kou, et., which is a generalization of the LCT in the frame of quaternion algebra [44,45]. Due to the non-commutativity of quaternion multiplication, there are three different types of the QLCT: the left-sided QLCT, the right-sided QLCT, and the two-sided QLCT. In this paper, we mainly focus on the two-sided QLCT.

Definition 1 Let $A_i = \begin{bmatrix} a_i & b_i \\ c_i & d_i \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$ be a matrix parameter satisfying $\det(A_i) = 1$, for $i = 1, 2$. The two-sided QLCT of signal $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{L}_{A_1, A_2}\{f\}(\mathbf{w}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} K_{A_1}^{\mathbf{i}}(x_1, \omega_1) f(\mathbf{x}) K_{A_2}^{\mathbf{j}}(x_2, \omega_2) d\mathbf{x}, \quad (11)$$

where $\mathbf{w} = (\omega_1, \omega_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ is regarded as the QLCT domain, and the kernel signals $K_{A_1}^{\mathbf{i}}(x_1, \omega_1)$, $K_{A_2}^{\mathbf{j}}(x_2, \omega_2)$ are respectively given by

$$K_{A_1}^{\mathbf{i}}(x_1, \omega_1) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi b_1}} e^{\mathbf{i}\left(\frac{a_1}{2b_1}x_1^2 - \frac{x_1\omega_1}{b_1} + \frac{d_1}{2b_1}\omega_1^2 - \frac{\pi}{4}\right)}, & b_1 \neq 0 \\ \sqrt{d_1} e^{\mathbf{i}\frac{c_1 d_1}{2}\omega_1^2} \delta(x_1 - d_1 \omega_1), & b_1 = 0 \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

and

$$K_{A_2}^{\mathbf{j}}(x_2, \omega_2) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi b_2}} e^{\mathbf{j}\left(\frac{a_2}{2b_2}x_2^2 - \frac{x_2\omega_2}{b_2} + \frac{d_2}{2b_2}\omega_2^2 - \frac{\pi}{4}\right)}, & b_2 \neq 0 \\ \sqrt{d_2} e^{\mathbf{j}\frac{c_2 d_2}{2}\omega_2^2} \delta(x_2 - d_2 \omega_2), & b_2 = 0 \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

where $\delta(x)$ representing the Dirac function.

From the above definition, it is noted that for $b_i = 0, i = 1, 2$ the QLCT of a signal is a kind of scaling and chirp multiplication operations, and it is of no particular interest for our objective in this work. Hence, without loss of generality, we set $b_i \neq 0$ in the following section unless stated otherwise. Under some suitable conditions, the QLCT above is invertible and the inversion is given in the following section.

Lemma 2 Suppose $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$, then the inversion of the QLCT of f is given by

$$\begin{aligned} f(\mathbf{x}) &= \mathcal{L}_{A_1, A_2}^{-1}[\mathcal{L}_{A_1, A_2}\{f\}](\mathbf{x}) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} K_{A_1}^{-\mathbf{i}}(x_1, \omega_1) \mathcal{L}_{A_1, A_2}\{f\}(\mathbf{w}) K_{A_2}^{-\mathbf{j}}(x_2, \omega_2) d\mathbf{w}. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

3 Quaternionic windowed linear canonical transform (QWLCT)

In this section, the generalization of window function associated with the QLCT will be discussed, which is denoted by QWLCT. Moreover, several basic properties of them are presented.

3.1 The definition of 2D QWLCT

This section leads to 2D quaternion window function associated with the QLCT. Due to the noncommutative property of multiplication of quaternions, there are three different types of QWLCTs: two-sided QWLCT, left-sided QWLCT and right-sided QWLCT. Alternatively, we use the two-sided QWLCT to define the QWLCT.

Definition 2 (QWLCT) [21, 22] Let $A_i = \begin{bmatrix} a_i & b_i \\ c_i & d_i \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$ be a matrix parameter satisfying $\det(A_i) = 1$, for $i = 1, 2$. Let $\phi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H}) \setminus \{0\}$ be a quaternion window function. The two-sided QWLCT of a signal $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$ with respect to ϕ is defined by

$$G_\phi^{A_1, A_2}\{f\}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} K_{A_1}^i(x_1, \omega_1) f(\mathbf{x}) \overline{\phi(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u})} K_{A_2}^j(x_2, \omega_2) d\mathbf{x}, \quad (15)$$

where $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, u_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $K_{A_1}^i(x_1, \omega_1)$ and $K_{A_2}^j(x_2, \omega_2)$ are given by (12) and (13), respectively.

For a fixed \mathbf{u} , we have

$$G_\phi^{A_1, A_2}\{f\}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u}) = \mathcal{L}_{A_1, A_2}\{f(\mathbf{x}) \overline{\phi(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u})}\}(\mathbf{w}). \quad (16)$$

Applying the inverse QLCT to (16), we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{x}) &= f(\mathbf{x}) \overline{\phi(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u})} = \mathcal{L}_{A_1, A_2}^{-1}\{G_\phi^{A_1, A_2}\{f\}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u})\} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} K_{A_1}^{-i}(x_1, \omega_1) G_\phi^{A_1, A_2}\{f\}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u}) K_{A_2}^{-j}(x_2, \omega_2) d\mathbf{w}, \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where $f_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{x})$ is called modified signal.

Moreover, we can also obtain the relationship between the QWLCT and the QFT [38]:

$$G_\phi^{A_1, A_2}\{f\}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi b_1}} e^{i\left(\frac{d_1}{2b_1}\omega_1^2 - \frac{\pi}{4}\right)} F_Q(h) \left(\frac{\mathbf{w}}{\mathbf{b}}, \mathbf{u} \right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi b_2}} e^{j\left(\frac{d_2}{2b_2}\omega_2^2 - \frac{\pi}{4}\right)}, \quad (18)$$

where $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, b_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $F_Q(f)(\mathbf{w}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-i x_1 \omega_1} f(\mathbf{x}) e^{-j x_2 \omega_2} d\mathbf{x}$ is the QFT of the signal $f(\mathbf{x})$ and

$$\begin{aligned} h(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) &= e^{i\frac{a_1}{2b_1}x_1^2} f(\mathbf{x}) \overline{\phi(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u})} e^{j\frac{a_2}{2b_2}x_2^2} \\ &= e^{i\frac{a_1}{2b_1}x_1^2} f_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{x}) e^{j\frac{a_2}{2b_2}x_2^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

3.2 Some properties of QWLCT

In this subsection, we present several basic properties of the QWLCT. These properties have been proved in [21, 22].

Property 1 (Boundedness) Let $\phi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H}) \setminus \{0\}$ be a window function and $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$, then

$$|G_\phi^{A_1, A_2}\{f\}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u})| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{|b_1 b_2|}} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})} \|\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})}. \quad (20)$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\|G_\phi^{A_1, A_2}\{f\}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u})\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^4, \mathbb{H})} \leq \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{|b_1 b_2|}} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})} \|\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})}. \quad (21)$$

Property 2 (Linearity) Let $\phi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H}) \setminus \{0\}$ be a window function and $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$. The QWLCT is a linear operator, namely,

$$[G_\phi^{A_1, A_2}\{\lambda f + \mu g\}](\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u}) = \lambda G_\phi^{A_1, A_2}\{f\}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u}) + \mu G_\phi^{A_1, A_2}\{g\}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u}), \quad (22)$$

for arbitrary real constants λ and μ .

Property 3 (Parity) Let $\phi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H}) \setminus \{0\}$ be a window function and $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$. Then we have

$$G_{P\phi}^{A_1, A_2}\{Pf\}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u}) = G_\phi^{A_1, A_2}\{f\}(-\mathbf{w}, -\mathbf{u}), \quad (23)$$

where $P\phi(\mathbf{x}) = \phi(-\mathbf{x})$ for every window function $\phi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$.

Property 4 (Shift) Let $\phi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H}) \setminus \{0\}$ be a window function and $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$. Then we have

$$G_\phi^{A_1, A_2}\{T_{\mathbf{r}}f\}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u}) = e^{i\mathbf{r}_1 \omega_1 c_1} e^{-i\frac{a_1 r_1^2}{2} c_1} G_\phi^{A_1, A_2}\{f\}(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}) e^{j\mathbf{r}_2 \omega_2 c_2} e^{-j\frac{a_2 r_2^2}{2} c_2}, \quad (24)$$

where $T_{\mathbf{r}}f(\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{r})$, $\mathbf{r} = (r_1, r_2)$, $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, m_2)$, $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, n_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $m_i = w_i - a_i r_i$, $n_i = u_i - r_i$, $i = 1, 2$.

Property 5 (Modulation) Let $\phi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H}) \setminus \{0\}$ be a window function and $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$. $\mathbb{M}_s f$ be modulation operator defined by $\mathbb{M}_s f(\mathbf{x}) = e^{i\mathbf{x}_1 s_1} f(\mathbf{x}) e^{j\mathbf{x}_2 s_2}$ with $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, s_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Then we have

$$G_\phi^{A_1, A_2}\{\mathbb{M}_s f\}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u}) = e^{i\omega_1 s_1 d_1} e^{-i\frac{b_1 d_1 s_1^2}{2}} G_\phi^{A_1, A_2}\{f\}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u}) e^{j\omega_2 s_2 d_2} e^{-j\frac{b_2 d_2 s_2^2}{2}}, \quad (25)$$

where $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, v_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $v_i = w_i - s_i b_i$, $i = 1, 2$.

Property 6 (Inversion formula) Let $\phi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H}) \setminus \{0\}$ be a window function, $0 < \|\phi\|^2 < \infty$ and $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$. Then we have the inversion formula of the QWLCT,

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{\|\phi\|^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} K_{A_1}^{-i(x_1, \omega_1)} G_{\phi}^{A_1, A_2} \{f\}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u}) K_{A_2}^{-j(x_2, \omega_2)} \phi(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u}) d\mathbf{w} d\mathbf{u}. \quad (26)$$

Property 7 (Parseval's theorem) Let $\phi, \psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H}) \setminus \{0\}$ be window functions and $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$. Then

$$\langle G_{\phi}^{A_1, A_2} \{f\}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u}), G_{\psi}^{A_1, A_2} \{g\}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u}) \rangle = [(f, g)(\phi, \psi)]_0. \quad (27)$$

Based on the above theorem, we may conclude the following important consequences.

(i) If $\phi = \psi$, then

$$\langle G_{\phi}^{A_1, A_2} \{f\}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u}), G_{\phi}^{A_1, A_2} \{g\}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u}) \rangle = \|\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})}^2 \langle f, g \rangle. \quad (28)$$

(ii) If $f = g$, then

$$\langle G_{\phi}^{A_1, A_2} \{f\}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u}), G_{\psi}^{A_1, A_2} \{f\}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u}) \rangle = \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})}^2 \langle \phi, \psi \rangle. \quad (29)$$

(iii) If $f = g$ and $\phi = \psi$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle G_{\phi}^{A_1, A_2} \{f\}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u}), G_{\phi}^{A_1, A_2} \{f\}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u}) \rangle &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |G_{\phi}^{A_1, A_2} \{f\}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u})|^2 d\mathbf{w} d\mathbf{u} \\ &= \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})}^2 \|\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

3.3 Pitt's inequality

Lemma 3 (*Pitt's inequality of the QFT [32]*) For $f \in S(\mathbb{R}^t, \mathbb{H})$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^t} |\mathbf{w}|^{-\alpha} |F_Q(f)(\mathbf{w})|^2 d\mathbf{w} \leq M_{\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^t} |\mathbf{x}|^{\alpha} |f(\mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x}, \quad (31)$$

where $M_{\alpha} = \pi^{\alpha} [\Gamma(\frac{2t-\alpha}{4}) \Gamma(\frac{2t+\alpha}{4})]$, $0 \leq \alpha \leq t$ and $S(\mathbb{R}^t, \mathbb{H})$ denotes the Schwartz class and Γ is Gamma function.

According to the above Lemma, we obtain the Pitt's inequality of the QWLCT.

Theorem 1 (*Pitt's inequality of the QWLCT*) For $f \in S(\mathbb{R}^t, \mathbb{H})$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^t} |\mathbf{w}|^{-\alpha} |G_{\phi}^{A_1, A_2} \{f\}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u})|^2 d\mathbf{u} d\mathbf{w} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4\pi^2 |\mathbf{b}|^{\alpha}} M_{\alpha} \|\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^t, \mathbb{H})}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^t} |\mathbf{x}|^{\alpha} |f(\mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x}, \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

where $M_{\alpha} = \pi^{\alpha} [\Gamma(\frac{2t-\alpha}{4}) \Gamma(\frac{2t+\alpha}{4})]$, $0 \leq \alpha \leq t$ and Γ is Gamma function.

Proof From (18), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^t} |\mathbf{w}|^{-\alpha} |G_{\phi}^{A_1, A_2} \{f\}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u})|^2 d\mathbf{u} d\mathbf{w} \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi^2 |\mathbf{b}|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^t} |\mathbf{w}|^{-\alpha} |F_Q(h)\left(\frac{\mathbf{w}}{\mathbf{b}}, \mathbf{u}\right)|^2 d\mathbf{u} d\mathbf{w}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\frac{\mathbf{w}}{\mathbf{b}} = \xi$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^t} |\mathbf{w}|^{-\alpha} |G_{\phi}^{A_1, A_2} \{f\}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u})|^2 d\mathbf{u} d\mathbf{w} \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi^2 |\mathbf{b}|^{\alpha}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^t} |\xi|^{-\alpha} |F_Q(h)(\xi, \mathbf{u})|^2 d\mathbf{u} d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^t} |\mathbf{w}|^{-\alpha} |G_{\phi}^{A_1, A_2} \{f\}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u})|^2 d\mathbf{u} d\mathbf{w} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4\pi^2 |\mathbf{b}|^{\alpha}} M_{\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^t} |\mathbf{x}|^{\alpha} |h(\mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{u} d\mathbf{x}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the (19), the above formula becomes that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^t} |\mathbf{w}|^{-\alpha} |G_{\phi}^{A_1, A_2} \{f\}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u})|^2 d\mathbf{u} d\mathbf{w} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4\pi^2 |\mathbf{b}|^{\alpha}} M_{\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^t} |\mathbf{x}|^{\alpha} |f(\mathbf{x}) \overline{\phi(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u})}|^2 d\mathbf{u} d\mathbf{x} \\ & = \frac{1}{4\pi^2 |\mathbf{b}|^{\alpha}} M_{\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^t} |\mathbf{x}|^{\alpha} |f(\mathbf{x})|^2 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^t} |\overline{\phi(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u})}|^2 d\mathbf{u} \right) d\mathbf{x}. \end{aligned}$$

According to (6), then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^t} |\mathbf{w}|^{-\alpha} |G_{\phi}^{A_1, A_2} \{f\}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u})|^2 d\mathbf{u} d\mathbf{w} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4\pi^2 |\mathbf{b}|^{\alpha}} M_{\alpha} \|\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^t, \mathbb{H})}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^t} |\mathbf{x}|^{\alpha} |f(\mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x}. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 1 While $A_1 = A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, the Pitt's inequality of the QWLCT leads to the Pitt's inequality of the two-sided quaternion windowed Fourier transform (QWFT) [35, 47], i.e.

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^t} |\mathbf{w}|^{-\alpha} |G_{\phi} \{f\}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u})|^2 d\mathbf{u} d\mathbf{w} \leq M_{\alpha} \|\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^t, \mathbb{H})}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^t} |\mathbf{x}|^{\alpha} |f(\mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x},$$

where $G_{\phi} \{f\}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u})$ is the QWFT of the signal f .

Corollary 2 While $\phi = 1$ and $A_1 = A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, the Pitt's inequality of the QWLCT leads to the Pitt's inequality of the QFT.

Remark 1 When the window function is normalized, namely, $\|\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})} = 1$, then (32) implies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^t} |\mathbf{w}|^{-\alpha} |G_\phi^{A_1, A_2}\{f\}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u})|^2 d\mathbf{u} d\mathbf{w} \leq \frac{1}{4\pi^2 |\mathbf{b}|^\alpha} M_\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^t} |\mathbf{x}|^\alpha |f(\mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x}.$$

3.4 Lieb inequality

Theorem 2 Let $\phi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H}) \setminus \{0\}$ be window functions. For every $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$ and $s \geq 2$. Then

$$\|G_\phi^{A_1, A_2}\{f\}\|_{L^s(\mathbb{R}^4, \mathbb{H})} \leq \frac{|\mathbf{b}|^{\frac{1}{s} - \frac{1}{2}}}{2\pi} D_{s, s'} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})} \|\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})}, \quad (33)$$

where $D_{s, s'} = \left(\frac{4}{s}\right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \left(\frac{4}{s'}\right)^{\frac{1}{s'}}$ and $\frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{s'} = 1$.

Proof According to (18) and Hausdorff-Young theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |G_\phi^{A_1, A_2}\{f\}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u})|^s d\mathbf{w} \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} &= \frac{1}{2\pi \sqrt{|\mathbf{b}|}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |F_Q(h)\left(\frac{\mathbf{w}}{\mathbf{b}}, \mathbf{u}\right)|^s d\mathbf{w} \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi \sqrt{|\mathbf{b}|}} |\mathbf{b}|^{\frac{1}{s}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |h(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u})|^{s'} d\mathbf{x} \right)^{\frac{1}{s'}} \\ &= \frac{|\mathbf{b}|^{\frac{1}{s} - \frac{1}{2}}}{2\pi} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |f(\mathbf{x})|^{s'} |\phi(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u})|^{s'} d\mathbf{x} \right)^{\frac{1}{s'}} \\ &= \frac{|\mathbf{b}|^{\frac{1}{s} - \frac{1}{2}}}{2\pi} \left(|f|^{s'} * |\tilde{\phi}|^{s'}(\mathbf{x}) \right)^{\frac{1}{s'}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{s'} = 1$, $s \geq 2$ and $\tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{x}) = \phi(-\mathbf{x})$.

$$\begin{aligned} \|G_\phi^{A_1, A_2}\{f\}\|_{L^s(\mathbb{R}^4, \mathbb{H})} &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |G_\phi^{A_1, A_2}\{f\}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u})|^s d\mathbf{w} \right) d\mathbf{u} \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \\ &\leq \frac{|\mathbf{b}|^{\frac{1}{s} - \frac{1}{2}}}{2\pi} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(|f|^{s'} * |\tilde{\phi}|^{s'}(\mathbf{x}) \right)^{\frac{s}{s'}} d\mathbf{u} \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \\ &= \frac{|\mathbf{b}|^{\frac{1}{s} - \frac{1}{2}}}{2\pi} \| |f|^{s'} * |\tilde{\phi}|^{s'} \|_{L^{\frac{s}{s'}}(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})}^{\frac{1}{s'}}. \end{aligned}$$

If $k = \frac{2}{s'}$, $l = \frac{s}{s'}$ and $\frac{1}{k} + \frac{1}{k'} = 1$, $\frac{1}{l} + \frac{1}{l'} = 1$, then $\frac{1}{k} + \frac{1}{k'} = 1 + \frac{1}{l}$ and hence as $|f|^{s'}$, $|\tilde{\phi}|^{s'} \in L^k(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$ and by Young inequality, we have

$$\| |f|^{s'} * |\tilde{\phi}|^{s'} \|_{L^l(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})} \leq B_k^4 B_{l'}^2 \| |f|^{s'} \|_{L^k(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})} \| |\tilde{\phi}|^{s'} \|_{L^k(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})},$$

where $B_s = \left(\frac{s \frac{1}{s}}{s' \frac{1}{s'}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $\frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{s'} = 1$.

On the other hand

$$\| |f|^{s'} \|_{L^k(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |f(\mathbf{x})|^{s' \frac{2}{s'}} d\mathbf{x} \right)^{\frac{s'}{2}} = \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})}^{s'},$$

and

$$\| |\tilde{\phi}|^{s'} \|_{L^k(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\phi(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u})|^{s' \frac{2}{s'}} d\mathbf{x} \right)^{\frac{s'}{2}} = \|\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})}^{s'}.$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|G_\phi^{A_1, A_2}\{f\}\|_{L^s(\mathbb{R}^4, \mathbb{H})} &\leq \frac{|\mathbf{b}|^{\frac{1}{s} - \frac{1}{2}}}{2\pi} \| |f|^{s'} * |\tilde{\phi}|^{s'} \|_{L^{\frac{s}{s'}}(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})}^{\frac{1}{s'}} \\ &\leq \frac{|\mathbf{b}|^{\frac{1}{s} - \frac{1}{2}}}{2\pi} \left(B_k^4 B_{l'}^2 \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})}^{s'} \|\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})}^{s'} \right)^{\frac{1}{s'}} \\ &= \frac{|\mathbf{b}|^{\frac{1}{s} - \frac{1}{2}}}{2\pi} B_k^{\frac{4}{s'}} B_{l'}^{\frac{2}{s'}} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})} \|\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})} \\ &= \frac{|\mathbf{b}|^{\frac{1}{s} - \frac{1}{2}}}{2\pi} D_{s, s'} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})} \|\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})}. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 3 While $A_1 = A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, the Lieb inequality of the QWLCT becomes the Lieb inequality of the QWFT [48].

Remark 2 When $\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})} = \|\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})} = 1$, then (33) implies

$$\|G_\phi^{A_1, A_2}\{f\}\|_{L^s(\mathbb{R}^4, \mathbb{H})} \leq \frac{|\mathbf{b}|^{\frac{1}{s} - \frac{1}{2}}}{2\pi} D_{s, s'}.$$

4 Uncertainty principles for the QWLCT

4.1 Logarithmic uncertainty principle

Based on Pitt's inequality, Logarithmic uncertainty principle for the QFT has been proved in [32]. In this subsection, applying Logarithmic uncertainty principle for the QFT, we study Logarithmic uncertainty principle for the QWLCT.

Lemma 4 (Logarithmic uncertainty principle for the QFT) [32] For $f \in S(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \ln |\mathbf{x}| |f(\mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \ln |\mathbf{w}| |F_Q(f)(\mathbf{w})|^2 d\mathbf{w} \geq \Delta \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |f(\mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x}, \quad (34)$$

where $\Delta = \varphi(\frac{1}{2}) - \ln(\pi)$, $\varphi(t) = \frac{\Gamma'(t)}{\Gamma(t)}$.

Now we arrive at the following result.

Theorem 3 (Logarithmic uncertainty principle for the QWLCT) For $f, \phi \in S(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\|\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})}^2}{4\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \ln |\mathbf{x}| |f(\mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \ln |\mathbf{w}| |G_\phi^{A_1, A_2}\{f\}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u})|^2 d\mathbf{w}d\mathbf{u} \\ & \geq \frac{(\Delta + \ln |\mathbf{b}|)}{4\pi^2} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})}^2 \|\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

where $\Delta = \varphi(\frac{1}{2}) - \ln(\pi)$, $\varphi(t) = \frac{\Gamma'(t)}{\Gamma(t)}$.

Proof According to (18), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \ln |\mathbf{w}| |G_\phi^{A_1, A_2}\{f\}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u})|^2 d\mathbf{w}d\mathbf{u} \\ & = \frac{1}{4\pi^2 |\mathbf{b}|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \ln |\mathbf{w}| |F_Q(h)\left(\frac{\mathbf{w}}{\mathbf{b}}, \mathbf{u}\right)|^2 d\mathbf{u}d\mathbf{w} \\ & = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \ln |\mathbf{b}\mathbf{y}| |F_Q(h)(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{u})|^2 d\mathbf{u}d\mathbf{y} \\ & = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \ln |\mathbf{b}| |F_Q(h)(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{u})|^2 d\mathbf{u}d\mathbf{y} \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \ln |\mathbf{y}| |F_Q(h)(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{u})|^2 d\mathbf{u}d\mathbf{y}, \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

from Parseval's formula for QFT, the (36) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \ln |\mathbf{w}| |G_\phi^{A_1, A_2}\{f\}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u})|^2 d\mathbf{w}d\mathbf{u} \\ & = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \ln |\mathbf{b}| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |h(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u})|^2 d\mathbf{u}d\mathbf{x} + \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \ln |\mathbf{y}| |F_Q(h)(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{u})|^2 d\mathbf{u}d\mathbf{y} \\ & = \frac{\ln |\mathbf{b}|}{4\pi^2} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})}^2 \|\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})}^2 + \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \ln |\mathbf{y}| |F_Q(h)(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{u})|^2 d\mathbf{u}d\mathbf{y}. \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

On the other hand, because $f, \phi \in S(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$, which implies $h(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) \in S(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$. Therefore, according to the Lemma 4, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \ln |\mathbf{x}| |h(\mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \ln |\mathbf{w}| |F_Q(h)(\mathbf{w})|^2 d\mathbf{w} \geq \Delta \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |h(\mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x}, \quad (38)$$

let $h(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) = e^{i\frac{a_1}{2b_1}x_1^2} f(\mathbf{x}) \overline{\phi(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u})} e^{i\frac{a_2}{2b_2}x_2^2}$, multiply both sides of the (38) by $\frac{1}{4\pi^2}$ and integrating both sides of the (38) with respect to $d\mathbf{u}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \ln |\mathbf{x}| |h(\mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x}d\mathbf{u} + \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \ln |\mathbf{w}| |F_Q(h)(\mathbf{w})|^2 d\mathbf{w}d\mathbf{u} \\ & \geq \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \Delta \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |h(\mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x}d\mathbf{u}, \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

that is

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\|\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})}^2}{4\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \ln |\mathbf{x}| |f(\mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x} + \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \ln |\mathbf{w}| |F_Q(h)(\mathbf{w})|^2 d\mathbf{w} d\mathbf{u} \\ & \geq \frac{\Delta}{4\pi^2} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})}^2 \|\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

Inserting (37) into the (40), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\|\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})}^2}{4\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \ln |\mathbf{x}| |f(\mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \ln |\mathbf{w}| |G_\phi^{A_1, A_2} \{f\}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u})|^2 d\mathbf{w} d\mathbf{u} \\ & \geq \frac{(\Delta + \ln |\mathbf{b}|)}{4\pi^2} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})}^2 \|\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 4 While $A_1 = A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, the Logarithmic uncertainty principle of the QWLCT becomes the Logarithmic uncertainty principle of the QWFT [35].

Corollary 5 Suppose that $\phi = 1$ and $A_1 = A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, the Logarithmic uncertainty principle of the QWLCT becomes the Lemma 4.

4.2 Entropic uncertainty principle

In this subsection, we present Entropic uncertainty principle for the QWLCT. Entropic uncertainty principle is very important in signal processing and harmonic analysis. Its locality is measured by Shannon entropy. The entropy represents an advantageous way to measure the decay of a function.

Definition 3 The Shannon entropy of p is denoted as

$$E(p) = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \ln(p(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u})) p(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u}) d\mathbf{w} d\mathbf{u}, \quad (41)$$

where p is a probability density function on $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$.

Theorem 4 Let ϕ be a quaternion window function and $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$ such that $f, \phi \neq 0$ and $|b_1 b_2| \geq \frac{1}{4\pi^2}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & E(|G_\phi^{A_1, A_2} \{f\}|^2) \\ & \geq \frac{1}{2\pi^2} (\ln(2) - \ln(2\pi) - \ln(|b_1 b_2|)) \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})}^2 \|\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})}^2 \\ & \quad - \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})}^2 \|\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})}^2 \ln(\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})}^2 \|\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})}^2). \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

Proof Step 1: Suppose that $\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})} = \|\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})} = 1$, according to (21), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq |G_\phi^{A_1, A_2}\{f\}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u})| \leq \|G_\phi^{A_1, A_2}\{f\}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^4, \mathbb{H})} \leq \\ &\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{|b_1 b_2|}} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})} \|\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})} \leq 1, \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

then $\ln(|G_\phi^{A_1, A_2}\{f\}|) \leq 0$ and $E(|G_\phi^{A_1, A_2}\{f\}|) \geq 0$.

i. If the entropy $E(|G_\phi^{A_1, A_2}\{f\}|) = +\infty$, then the (42) hold.

ii. Now assume that the entropy $E(|G_\phi^{A_1, A_2}\{f\}|) < +\infty$. Let $\Theta_y(z) = \frac{y^z - y^2}{z-2}$ be the function defined on $(2, 3]$ and $0 < y < 1$, then for $\forall 2 < z \leq 3$, we have

$$\frac{d\Theta_y}{dz}(z) = \frac{(z-2)y^z \ln(y) - y^z + y^2}{(z-2)^2}. \quad (44)$$

Let $\tilde{h}_y(z) = (z-2)y^z \ln(y) - y^z + y^2$, then for every $0 < y < 1$, the function \tilde{h}_y is differentiable on \mathbb{R} , hence

$$\frac{d\tilde{h}_y}{dz}(z) = (z-2)(\ln(y))^2 y^z. \quad (45)$$

We have that for all $0 < y < 1$, $\frac{d\tilde{h}_y}{dz}(z)$ is positive on $(2, 3]$, so \tilde{h}_y is increasing on $(2, 3]$.

In addition, for all $0 < y < 1$, $\lim_{z \rightarrow 2^+} \tilde{h}_y(z) = \tilde{h}_y(2) = 0$, then \tilde{h}_y is positive which implies that $\frac{d\tilde{h}_y}{dz}(z)$ is positive on $(2, 3]$, hence Θ_y is increasing on $(2, 3]$. Therefore $\forall 2 < z \leq 3$, we have

$$y^2 \ln(y) = \lim_{z \rightarrow 2^+} \frac{y^z - y^2}{z-2} \leq \tilde{h}_y(z), \quad (46)$$

that is to say $\forall 2 < z \leq 3$,

$$0 \leq \frac{y^2 - y^z}{z-2} \leq -y^2 \ln(y). \quad (47)$$

When $y = 0$ and $y = 1$, the inequality (47) holds. So for every $0 \leq y \leq 1$, we have the inequality (47).

Step 2: According to (43), we know that for every $(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u}) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$, $0 \leq |G_\phi^{A_1, A_2}\{f\}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u})| \leq 1$, then for every $2 < z \leq 3$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{|G_\phi^{A_1, A_2}\{f\}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u})|^2 - |G_\phi^{A_1, A_2}\{f\}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u})|^z}{z-2} \leq \\ &- |G_\phi^{A_1, A_2}\{f\}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u})|^2 \ln(|G_\phi^{A_1, A_2}\{f\}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u})|). \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

Let Φ be defined on $[2, +\infty)$ by

$$\Phi(s) = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |G_\phi^{A_1, A_2}\{f\}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u})|^s d\mathbf{w} d\mathbf{u} \right) - \left(\frac{|\mathbf{b}|^{\frac{1}{s} - \frac{1}{2}}}{2\pi} D_{s, s'} \right)^s. \quad (49)$$

From Lieb inequality (33), we know that $\Phi(s) \leq 0$ for every $2 \leq s < +\infty$ and using (30), we obtain

$$\Phi(2) = 1 - \frac{1}{\pi^2} > 0. \quad (50)$$

Hence $(\frac{d\Phi}{ds})_{s=2^+} < 0$.

If for every $2 < z \leq 3$ and $(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u}) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{|G_\phi^{A_1, A_2}\{f\}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u})|^z - |G_\phi^{A_1, A_2}\{f\}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u})|^2}{z - 2} \right| \leq \\ & - |G_\phi^{A_1, A_2}\{f\}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u})|^2 \ln(|G_\phi^{A_1, A_2}\{f\}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u})|). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left| \frac{|G_\phi^{A_1, A_2}\{f\}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u})|^z - |G_\phi^{A_1, A_2}\{f\}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u})|^2}{z - 2} \right| d\mathbf{w}d\mathbf{u} \leq \\ & - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |G_\phi^{A_1, A_2}\{f\}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u})|^2 \ln(|G_\phi^{A_1, A_2}\{f\}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u})|) d\mathbf{w}d\mathbf{u} \\ & = \frac{1}{2} E(|G_\phi^{A_1, A_2}\{f\}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u})|^2) < +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

If for every $3 \leq z < +\infty$ and $(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u}) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{|G_\phi^{A_1, A_2}\{f\}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u})|^z - |G_\phi^{A_1, A_2}\{f\}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u})|^2}{z - 2} \right| \leq \\ & 2|G_\phi^{A_1, A_2}\{f\}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u})|^2, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left| \frac{|G_\phi^{A_1, A_2}\{f\}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u})|^z - |G_\phi^{A_1, A_2}\{f\}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u})|^2}{z - 2} \right| d\mathbf{w}d\mathbf{u} \\ & \leq 2 \|G_\phi^{A_1, A_2}\{f\}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^4, \mathbb{H})} < +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore for every $2 \leq z < +\infty$ and $(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u}) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left| \frac{|G_\phi^{A_1, A_2}\{f\}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u})|^z - |G_\phi^{A_1, A_2}\{f\}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u})|^2}{z - 2} \right| d\mathbf{w}d\mathbf{u} < +\infty. \quad (51)$$

According to (48), We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\frac{d}{dz} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |G_\phi^{A_1, A_2} \{f\}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u})|^z d\mathbf{w} d\mathbf{u} \right)_{z=2^+} \\
&= \lim_{z \rightarrow 2^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{|G_\phi^{A_1, A_2} \{f\}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u})|^z - |G_\phi^{A_1, A_2} \{f\}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u})|^2}{z-2} d\mathbf{w} d\mathbf{u} \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \lim_{z \rightarrow 2^+} \frac{|G_\phi^{A_1, A_2} \{f\}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u})|^z - |G_\phi^{A_1, A_2} \{f\}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u})|^2}{z-2} d\mathbf{w} d\mathbf{u} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |G_\phi^{A_1, A_2} \{f\}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u})|^2 \ln(|G_\phi^{A_1, A_2} \{f\}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u})|^2) d\mathbf{w} d\mathbf{u} \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} E(|G_\phi^{A_1, A_2} \{f\}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u})|^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by (49), we have

$$\left(\frac{d\Phi}{dz} \right)_{z=2^+} = -\frac{1}{2} E(|G_\phi^{A_1, A_2} \{f\}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u})|^2) - \left(\frac{d}{dz} \left(\frac{|\mathbf{b}|^{\frac{1}{z} - \frac{1}{2}}}{2\pi} D_{z, z'} \right)^z \right)_{z=2^+},$$

where $D_{z, z'} = \left(\frac{4}{z}\right)^{\frac{1}{z}} \left(\frac{4}{z'}\right)^{\frac{1}{z'}}$ and $\frac{1}{z} + \frac{1}{z'} = 1$.

On the other hand,

$$\left(\frac{d}{dz} \left(\frac{|\mathbf{b}|^{\frac{1}{z} - \frac{1}{2}}}{2\pi} D_{z, z'} \right)^z \right)_{z=2^+} = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} (\ln(2\pi) + \ln(|b_1 b_2|) - \ln(2)).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\frac{d\Phi}{dz} \right)_{z=2^+} \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} E(|G_\phi^{A_1, A_2} \{f\}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u})|^2) + \frac{1}{4\pi^2} (\ln(2) - \ln(2\pi) - \ln(|b_1 b_2|)) \leq 0,
\end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$E(|G_\phi^{A_1, A_2} \{f\}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u})|^2) \geq \frac{1}{2\pi^2} (\ln(2) - \ln(2\pi) - \ln(|b_1 b_2|)).$$

Step 3: For generic $f, \phi \neq 0$, Let $\zeta = \frac{f}{\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})}}$ and $\vartheta = \frac{\phi}{\|\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})}}$, such that $\|\zeta\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})} = \|\vartheta\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})} = 1$ and $E(|G_\vartheta^{A_1, A_2} \{\zeta\}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u})|^2) \geq \frac{1}{2\pi^2} (\ln(2) - \ln(2\pi) - \ln(|b_1 b_2|))$.

According to the definition of the QWLCT, we know that

$$G_\vartheta^{A_1, A_2} \{\zeta\}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u}) = \frac{G_\phi^{A_1, A_2} \{f\}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u})}{\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})} \|\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})}}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
E(|G_{\phi}^{A_1, A_2}\{\zeta\}|^2) &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\ln(|G_{\phi}^{A_1, A_2}\{f\}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u})|^2) \\
&\quad - \ln(\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})}^2 \|\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})}^2)) \frac{|G_{\phi}^{A_1, A_2}\{f\}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u})|^2}{\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})}^2 \|\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})}^2} d\mathbf{w} d\mathbf{u} \\
&= \frac{E(|G_{\phi}^{A_1, A_2}\{f\}|^2)}{\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})}^2 \|\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})}^2} + \ln(\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})}^2 \|\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})}^2) \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2\pi^2} (\ln(2) - \ln(2\pi) - \ln(|b_1 b_2|)),
\end{aligned}$$

consequently

$$\begin{aligned}
&E(|G_{\phi}^{A_1, A_2}\{f\}|^2) \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2\pi^2} (\ln(2) - \ln(2\pi) - \ln(|b_1 b_2|)) \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})}^2 \|\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})}^2 \\
&\quad - \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})}^2 \|\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})}^2 \ln(\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})}^2 \|\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})}^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Corollary 6 While $A_1 = A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, the Entropic uncertainty principle of the QWLCT becomes the Entropic uncertainty principle of the QWFT [48].

4.3 Lieb uncertainty principle

In this subsection, we prove Lieb uncertainty principle of the QWLCT based on the following definition and Lieb inequality.

Definition 4 A function $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$ be ϵ -concentrated on a measurable set $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$, where $\Omega^c = \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Omega$, if

$$\|\chi_{\Omega^c} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})} = \left(\int_{\Omega^c} |f(\mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \epsilon \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})}, \quad (52)$$

where χ_{Ω} denote the characteristic function of Ω . If $0 \leq \epsilon \leq \frac{1}{2}$, then the most of energy is concentrated on Ω and Ω can be called the essential support of f . If $\epsilon = 0$, then Ω contains the support of f .

Theorem 5 (Lieb uncertainty principle for the QWLCT) Let ϕ be a quaternion window function and $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$ such that $f \neq 0$. Let Ω be a measurable set of $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$ and $\epsilon \geq 0$. If $G_{\phi}^{A_1, A_2}(f)$ is ϵ -concentrated on Ω , then for every $s > 2$, we have

$$|\mathbf{b}| (1 - \epsilon^2)^{\frac{s}{s-2}} \left(\frac{D_{s, s'}}{2\pi} \right)^{\frac{2s}{2-s}} \leq |\Omega|, \quad (53)$$

where $|\Omega|$ is the normalized Lebesgue measure of the set Ω , $D_{s, s'} = \left(\frac{4}{s}\right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \left(\frac{4}{s'}\right)^{\frac{1}{s'}}$ and $\frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{s'} = 1$.

Proof Because $G_\phi^{A_1, A_2}\{f\}$ is ϵ -concentrated on Ω , according to the Definition 4 and the (30), it implies that

$$\|\chi_{\Omega^c} G_\phi^{A_1, A_2}\{f\}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^4, \mathbb{H})} \leq \epsilon \|G_\phi^{A_1, A_2}\{f\}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^4, \mathbb{H})} = \epsilon \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})} \|\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})}, \quad (54)$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|\chi_\Omega G_\phi^{A_1, A_2}\{f\}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^4, \mathbb{H})}^2 &= \|G_\phi^{A_1, A_2}\{f\}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^4, \mathbb{H})}^2 - \|\chi_{\Omega^c} G_\phi^{A_1, A_2}\{f\}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^4, \mathbb{H})}^2 \\ &\geq (1 - \epsilon^2) \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})}^2 \|\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

and applying Hölder inequality, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\chi_\Omega G_\phi^{A_1, A_2}\{f\}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^4, \mathbb{H})}^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \chi_\Omega(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u}) |G_\phi^{A_1, A_2}\{f\}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u})|^2 d\mathbf{w} d\mathbf{u} \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\chi_\Omega(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u}))^{\frac{s-2}{s-2}} d\mathbf{w} d\mathbf{u} \right)^{\frac{s-2}{s}} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|G_\phi^{A_1, A_2}\{f\}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u})|^2)^{\frac{s}{2}} d\mathbf{w} d\mathbf{u} \right)^{\frac{2}{s}} \\ &= |\Omega|^{\frac{s-2}{s}} \times \|G_\phi^{A_1, A_2}\{f\}\|_{L^s(\mathbb{R}^4, \mathbb{H})}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

In addition, by Lieb inequality, the above inequality deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\chi_\Omega G_\phi^{A_1, A_2}\{f\}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^4, \mathbb{H})}^2 &\leq |\Omega|^{\frac{s-2}{s}} \left(\frac{|\mathbf{b}|^{\frac{1}{s}-\frac{1}{2}}}{2\pi} D_{s, s'} \right)^2 \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})}^2 \|\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

According to (55) and (57), we obtain

$$1 - \epsilon^2 \leq |\Omega|^{\frac{s-2}{s}} \left(\frac{|\mathbf{b}|^{\frac{1}{s}-\frac{1}{2}}}{2\pi} D_{s, s'} \right)^2. \quad (58)$$

So

$$|\mathbf{b}| (1 - \epsilon^2)^{\frac{s}{s-2}} \left(\frac{D_{s, s'}}{2\pi} \right)^{\frac{2s}{2-s}} \leq |\Omega|. \quad (59)$$

Corollary 7 While $A_1 = A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, the Lieb uncertainty principle of the QWLCT results in the Lieb uncertainty principle of the QWFT [48].

4.4 Donoho-Stark's uncertainty principle

In this subsection, according to the relationship between the QWLCT and the QFT, we obtain Donoho-Stark's uncertainty principle for QWLCT.

Lemma 5 (Donoho-Stark's uncertainty principle for QFT) [32] *Let $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$ with $f \neq 0$ is ϵ_A -concentrated on $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$, and $F_Q(f)$ is ϵ_Ξ -concentrated on $\Xi \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$. Then*

$$|\Lambda||\Xi| \geq 2\pi(1 - \epsilon_A - \epsilon_\Xi)^2. \quad (60)$$

Inspired by the Donoho-Stark's uncertainty principle for QFT, we can obtain the Donoho-Stark's uncertainty principle for QWLCT.

Theorem 6 (Donoho-Stark's uncertainty principle for QWLCT) *Suppose that the nonzero signal quaternion function $\mathcal{L}_{A_1, A_2}^{-1}[G_\phi^{A_1, A_2}\{f\}](\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$ is ϵ_A -concentrated on $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$, and $G_\phi^{A_1, A_2}\{f\}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u})$ is ϵ_Ξ -concentrated on $\Xi \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$. Then*

$$|\Lambda||\mathbf{b}\Xi| \geq 2\pi(1 - \epsilon_A - \epsilon_\Xi)^2. \quad (61)$$

Proof By applying (17) and (19), we have

$$h(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) = e^{i\frac{a_1}{2b_1}x_1^2} \mathcal{L}_{A_1, A_2}^{-1}\{G_\phi^{A_1, A_2}\{f\}\}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) e^{i\frac{a_2}{2b_2}x_2^2}. \quad (62)$$

Since $\mathcal{L}_{A_1, A_2}^{-1}[G_\phi^{A_1, A_2}\{f\}](\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$ is ϵ_A -concentrated on $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$, then $h(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$ is ϵ_A -concentrated on $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$.

On the other hand, from $G_\phi^{A_1, A_2}\{f\}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u})$ is ϵ_Ξ -concentrated on $\Xi \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$, based on the relationship (18), we know that $F_Q(h)\left(\frac{\mathbf{w}}{\mathbf{b}}, \mathbf{u}\right)$ is ϵ_Ξ -concentrated on $\Xi \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$, that is to say, $F_Q(h)(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u})$ is ϵ_Ξ -concentrated on $\mathbf{b}\Xi \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$.

Hence, according to Lemma 5, we obtain

$$|\Lambda||\mathbf{b}\Xi| \geq 2\pi(1 - \epsilon_A - \epsilon_\Xi)^2.$$

Corollary 8 *While $\mathcal{L}_{A_1, A_2}^{-1}[G_\phi^{A_1, A_2}\{f\}](\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$, $\text{supp}\mathcal{L}_{A_1, A_2}^{-1}[G_\phi^{A_1, A_2}\{f\}](\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) \subseteq \Lambda$ and $\text{supp}G_\phi^{A_1, A_2}\{f\}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u}) \subseteq \Xi$, then*

$$|\Lambda||\mathbf{b}\Xi| \geq 2\pi.$$

5 Numerical example and potential application

The uncertainty principles for the QWLCT have been studied in this paper. In this section, to show the correctness and useful of the theorems, an numerical example is given to verify the result, and the potential applications are also presented to show the importance of the theorems.

Example: Consider a signal

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{(\pi\beta)^{\frac{1}{2}}} e^{ix_1 u_0} e^{-\frac{|\mathbf{x}|^2}{2\beta}} e^{ix_2 v_0},$$

and the window function is

$$\phi(\mathbf{x}) = 2\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\beta}} e^{ix_1 u_0} e^{-\frac{|\mathbf{x}|^2}{2\beta}} e^{ix_2 v_0},$$

where $\beta > 0, u_0$ and v_0 are real constants.

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})}^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |f(\mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x} \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi\beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-\frac{|\mathbf{x}|^2}{\beta}} d\mathbf{x} \\ &= 1, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})}^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\phi(\mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x} \\ &= \frac{4\pi^2}{\pi\beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-\frac{|\mathbf{x}|^2}{\beta}} d\mathbf{x} \\ &= 4\pi^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the Logarithmic uncertainty principle for the QWLCT becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \ln |\mathbf{x}| |f(\mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \ln |\mathbf{w}| |G_\phi^{A_1, A_2} \{f\}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u})|^2 d\mathbf{w} d\mathbf{u} \\ \geq \Delta + \ln |\mathbf{b}|. \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

By Jensen's inequality [32], Logarithmic uncertainty principle for the QWLCT implies Heisenberg-Weyl's uncertainty principle for the QWLCT

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\mathbf{x}|^2 |f(\mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\mathbf{w}|^2 |G_\phi^{A_1, A_2} \{f\}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u})|^2 d\mathbf{w} d\mathbf{u} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \geq \frac{|\mathbf{b}|}{4\pi}. \quad (64)$$

Let $A_1 = A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{4} \\ -\frac{1}{4} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $u_0 = v_0 = 0$ and $\beta = \frac{1}{16}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} h(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) &= e^{i\frac{\alpha_1}{2b_1} x_1^2} f(\mathbf{x}) \overline{\phi(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u})} e^{j\frac{\alpha_2}{2b_2} x_2^2} \\ &= \frac{2}{\beta} e^{-\frac{|\mathbf{x}|^2}{2\beta}} e^{-\frac{|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{u}|^2}{2\beta}}, \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} F_Q(h) \left(\frac{\mathbf{w}}{\mathbf{b}}, \mathbf{u} \right) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-ix_1 \frac{\omega_1}{b_1}} \frac{2}{\beta} e^{-\frac{|\mathbf{x}|^2}{2\beta}} e^{-\frac{|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{u}|^2}{2\beta}} e^{-jx_2 \frac{\omega_2}{b_2}} d\mathbf{x} \\ &= 2\pi e^{-\frac{u_1^2}{4\beta} - \frac{\beta \omega_1^2}{4b_1^2} - i\frac{u_1 \omega_1}{2b_1}} e^{-\frac{u_2^2}{4\beta} - \frac{\beta \omega_2^2}{4b_2^2} - j\frac{u_2 \omega_2}{2b_2}} \end{aligned}$$

According to (18), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & G_\phi^{A_1, A_2} \{f\}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u}) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{b_1 b_2}} e^{i\left(\frac{d_1}{2b_1} \omega_1^2 - \frac{\pi}{4} - \frac{u_1 w_1}{2b_1}\right)} e^{-\frac{u_1^2}{4\beta} - \frac{\beta w_1^2}{4b_1^2}} e^{-\frac{u_2^2}{4\beta} - \frac{\beta w_2^2}{4b_2^2}} e^{j\left(\frac{d_2}{2} \omega_2^2 - \frac{\pi}{4} - \frac{u_2 w_2}{2b_2}\right)}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\mathbf{x}|^2 |f(\mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x} = \frac{1}{\pi\beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (x_1^2 + x_2^2) e^{-\frac{|\mathbf{x}|^2}{\beta}} d\mathbf{x} = \beta = \frac{1}{16}, \quad (65)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\mathbf{w}|^2 |G_\phi^{A_1, A_2} \{f\}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u})|^2 d\mathbf{w} d\mathbf{u} \\ &= \frac{1}{b_1 b_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (w_1^2 + w_2^2) e^{-\frac{u_1^2}{2\beta} - \frac{\beta w_1^2}{2b_1^2}} e^{-\frac{u_2^2}{2\beta} - \frac{\beta w_2^2}{2b_2^2}} d\mathbf{w} d\mathbf{u} \\ &= \frac{4\pi^2}{b_1 b_2} (b_1^3 \sqrt{b_2} + b_2^3 \sqrt{b_1}) \\ &= \frac{\pi^2}{16^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (66)$$

Hence

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\mathbf{x}|^2 |f(\mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\mathbf{w}|^2 |G_\phi^{A_1, A_2} \{f\}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u})|^2 d\mathbf{w} d\mathbf{u} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{\pi}{4}. \quad (67)$$

On the other hand

$$\frac{|\mathbf{b}|}{4\pi} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{16\pi}. \quad (68)$$

Then, expressions (67) and (68) verify (64). This means that Theorem 3 is verified.

The uncertainty principle has some applications in signal recovery. In [36, 50], the authors studied the problem of signal recovery by uncertainty principles. The paper [37] evaluated in signal recovery problems where there is an interplay of missing and time-limiting data. The correlative result has been applied to the window Fourier transform (WFT) as well in [49]. Recently, the authors [38] extended signal recovery by using local uncertainty principle to the QLCT [50]. In this section, we will give a potential application for signal recovery by using Donoho-Stark's uncertainty principle for QWLCT. Let the modified signal $f_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{x}) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$ is bandlimited to a set Q of finite measure for the QLCT. Assume that the receiver is unable to observe all of $f_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{x})$, a certain subset T of x -values is unobserved. Furthermore, the modified signal $f_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{x})$ is transmitted and the received signal is corrupted by observational noise $n(\mathbf{x})$. Thus the received signal $r(\mathbf{x})$ can be taken to have the form

$$r(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} f_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{x}) + n(\mathbf{x}), & \mathbf{x} \notin T \\ 0, & \mathbf{x} \in T \end{cases} \quad (69)$$

The receiver's aim is to reconstruct the transmitted signal $f_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{x})$ as nearly as possible, making use of the bandlimited hypothesis and the received data $r(\mathbf{x})$. The uncertainty principle can make stable signal recovery possible as long as $0 < |Q||T| < \frac{2\pi}{|B|}$. That is to say, if there exists a linear operator P and a constant \mathcal{Y} such that $\|f_{\mathbf{u}} - Pr\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})} \leq \mathcal{Y}\|n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})}$, where $\mathcal{Y} \leq \left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{|B|}{2\pi}} \sqrt{|Q||T|}\right)^{-1}$. Then $f_{\mathbf{u}}$ can be stably reconstructed from r . The application of the uncertainty principles for QWLCT in signal recovery is our main research direction in the future.

Moreover, the derived results in this paper can be extended to other transforms, such as Wigner Distribution (WD) and ambiguity function (AF) [35,48].

6 Conclusions

The QWLCT is not only a linear transform, but also has similar properties as the WLCT [2, 9]. In this paper, Pitt's inequality and Lieb inequality for the QWLCT are investigated and different forms of uncertainty principles associated with the QWLCT are proposed. Firstly, we present some important properties of the QWLCT. These properties have been proved in [22]. Secondly, based on the relationship between the QWLCT and the QFT, the Pitt's inequality and Lieb inequality associated with the QWLCT are demonstrated. Thirdly, the uncertainty principles for the QWLCT such as Logarithmic uncertainty principle, Entropic uncertainty principle, Lieb uncertainty principle and Donoho-Stark's uncertainty principle are obtained. Finally, we give a numerical example and a potential application in signal recovery by using uncertainty principle. The results can be viewed as a generalized form of other transforms uncertainty relations, such as the QLCT, QWFT and QFT. Further investigations on this topic are now under investigation such as the left-sided QWLCT, the right-sided QWLCT and the QWLCT directional uncertainty principle. Moreover, we will discuss the physical significance and engineering background of this paper in the further. They will be reported in a forthcoming paper.

Data availability statement

Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

References

1. K. B. Wolf, *Canonical transforms, in Integral Transforms in Science and Engineering*. Plenum Press, New York, NY, USA, (1979).
2. K. I. Kou, R. H. Xu, Windowed linear canonical transform and its applications. *Signal Process.* **92**(1) 179-188 (2012)

3. Z. Zalevsky, D. Mendlovic, M. AlperKutay, H. M. Ozaktas, J. Solomon, Improved acoustic signals discrimination using fractional Fourier transform based phase-space representations. *Opt. Commun.* **190** (1-6) 95-101 (2001)
4. R. Tao, B. Z. Li, Y. Wang, et al. On sampling of band-limited signals associated with the linear canonical transform. *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.* **56** (11) 5454-5464 (2008)
5. B. Z. Li, Y. P. Shi, *Image watermarking in the linear canonical transform domain*. Mathematical Problems in Engineering. 2014.
6. R. M. Jing, Q. Feng, B. Z. Li, Higher order derivative sampling associated with fractional Fourier transform. *Circuits Syst. Signal Process.* **38** (4) 1751-1774 (2019)
7. K. I. Kou, R. H. Xu, Y. H. Zhang, Paley-Wiener theorems and uncertainty principles for the windowed linear canonical transform. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **35** (17) 2122-2132 (2012)
8. K. I. Kou, R. H. Xu, Windowed linear canonical transform and its applications. *Signal Processing*. **92** (1) 179-188 (2012)
9. M. Bahri, R. Ashino, Some properties of windowed linear canonical transform and its logarithmic uncertainty principle. *International Journal of Wavelets, Multiresolution and Information Processing*. **14** (03) 1-21 (2016)
10. L. Huang, K. Zhang, Y. Chai, S. Q. Xu, Computation of the short-time linear canonical transform with dual window. *Math. Probl. Eng.* **2017** 1-8 (2017)
11. Z. C. Zhang, Sampling theorem for the short-time linear canonical transform and its applications. *Signal Process.* **113** 138-146 (2015)
12. Q. Y. Zhang, Discrete windowed linear canonical transform, ICSPCC. Hong Kong, China, 2016
13. M. Bahri, R. Ashino, Simplified proof of uncertainty principle for quaternion linear canonical transform. *Abstract and Applied Analysis*. 1-11 (2016)
14. K. I. Kou, J. Y. Ou, J. Morais, Uncertainty principles associated with quaternionic linear canonical transforms. *Math. Meth. Appl. Sci.* **39** (10) 2722-2736 (2016)
15. Y. N. Zhang, B. Z. Li, Novel uncertainty principles for two-sided quaternion linear canonical transform. *Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebr.* **28** (1) 1-15 (2018)
16. K. I. Kou, J. Morais, Asymptotic behaviour of the quaternion linear canonical transform and the Bochner-Minlos theorem. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **247** (15) 675-688 (2014)
17. K. I. Kou, J. Morais, Y. Zhang, Generalized prolate spheroidal wave functions for offset linear canonical transform in Clifford analysis. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **36** (9) 1028-1041 (2013)
18. K. I. Kou, M. Liu, S. Tao, Herglotz's theorem and quaternion series of positive term. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **39** (18) 5607-5618 (2016)
19. B. Hu, Y. Zhou, L. D. Lie, J. Y. Zhang, Polar linear canonical transform in quaternion domain. *Journal of Information Hiding and Multimedia Signal Process.* **6** (6) 1185-1193 (2015)
20. M. Bahri, Quaternion linear canonical transform application. *Global Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*. **11** (1) 19-24 (2015)
21. Z. Xiong and Y. X. Fu, *A kind of windowed quaternionic linear canonical transform*. Master Thesis, Hubei University, Wuhan, China, (2015)
22. W. B. Gao, B. Z. Li, Quaternion windowed linear canonical transform of two-dimensional signals. *Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebr.* **30** (1) 1-18 (2020)
23. P. Dang, G. T. Deng, T. Qian, A sharper uncertainty principle. *J. Funct. Anal.* **265** 2239-2266 (2013)
24. S. Shinde, M. G. Vikram, An uncertainty principle for real signals in the fractional Fourier transform domain. *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.* **49** (11) 2545-2548 (2001)
25. H. M. Ozaktas, O. Aytur, Fractional Fourier domains. *Signal Process.* **46** 119-124 (1995)
26. D. Mustard, Uncertainty principle invariant under fractional Fourier transform, *J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. B.* **33** 180-191 (1991)
27. P. J. Loughlin, L. Cohen, The uncertainty principle: global, local, or both?. *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.* **52** (5) 1218-1227 (2004)
28. W. Heisenberg, Uber den anschaulichen inhalt der quanten theoretischen kinematik und mechanik. *Zeitschrift fur Physik.* **43** 172-198 (1927)
29. Y. E. Haoui, S. Fahlaoui, The uncertainty principle for the two-sided quaternion Fourier transform. *Mediterr. J. Math.* **14** (6) 1-8 (2017)

30. G. L. Xu, X. T. Wang, X. G. Xu, Uncertainty inequalities for linear canonical transform. IET Signal Process. **3** (5) 392-402 (2009)
31. L. Huang, K. Zhang, Y. Chai, S. Q. Xu, Uncertainty principle and orthogonal condition for the short-time linear canonical transform. Signal Image Video Process. **10** 1177-1181 (2016)
32. L. P. Chen, K. I. Kou, M. S. Liu, Pitt's inequality and the uncertainty principle associated with the quaternion Fourier transform. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **423** (1) 681-700 (2015)
33. G. H. Hardy, A theorem concerning Fourier transforms. J. London Math. Soc. **8** (3) 227-231 (1933)
34. J. A. Hogan, J. D. Lakey, *Time Frequency and Time Scale Methods: Adaptive Decompositions, Uncertainty Principles, and Sampling*. Birkhauser, Boston. (2005)
35. K. Brahim, T. Emna, Uncertainty principle for the two-sided quaternion windowed Fourier transform. Integral Transform. Spec. Funct. **30** (9) 362-382 (2019)
36. D. L. Donoho, P. B. Stark, Uncertainty principles and signal recovery. SIAM J. Appl. Math. **49** 906-931 (1989)
37. K. I. Kou, Y. Yang, C. Zou, Uncertainty principle for measurable sets and signal recovery in quaternion domains. Math. Methods Appl. Sci. **40** (11) 3892-3900 (2017)
38. A. Achak, A. Abouelaz, R. Daher, N. Safouane, Uncertainty principles for the quaternion linear canonical transform. Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebr. **29** (5) 1-19 (2019)
39. D. Cheng, K. I. Kou, Plancherel theorem and quaternion Fourier transform for square integrable functions. Complex Var. Elliptic Equ. **64** (2) 223-242 (2019)
40. X. X. Hu, K. I. Kou, Quaternion Fourier and linear canonical inversion theorems. Math. Methods Appl. Sci. **40** (7) 2421-2440 (2017)
41. E. M. S. Hitzer, Quaternion Fourier transform on quaternion fields and generalizations. Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebr. **17** (3) 497-517 (2007)
42. M. H. Yel, Relationships among various 2-D quaternion Fourier transforms. IEEE Signal Process. Lett. **15** 669-672 (2008)
43. T. A. Ell, N. L. Bihan, S. J. Sangwine, *Quaternion Fourier Transforms for Signal and Image Processing*. John Wiley Sons, Ltd, 2014
44. X. L. Fan, K. I. Kou, M. S. Liu, Quaternion Wigner-Ville distribution associated with the linear canonical transforms. Signal Process. **130** 129-141 (2017)
45. K. I. Kou, Y. O. Jian, J. Morais, On uncertainty principle for quaternionic linear canonical transform. Abstr. Appl. Anal. **2013** 1-14 (2013)
46. H. Eckhard, J. S. Stephen, *Quaternion and Clifford Fourier Transforms and Wavelets*. Birkhäuser, Basel. 2013
47. M. Bahri, E. S. M. Hitzer, R. Ashino, R. Vaillancourt, Windowed Fourier transform of two-dimensional quaternionic signals. Appl. Math. Comput. **216** (8) 2366-2379 (2010)
48. K. Brahim, T. Emna, Uncertainty principle for the two sided quaternion windowed Fourier transform. J. Pseudo-Differ. Oper. Appl. **11** (1) 159-185 (2020)
49. M. S. Liu, K. I. Kou, J. Morais, P. Dang, Sharper uncertainty principles for the windowed Fourier transform. J. Mod. Opt. **62** (1) 46-55 (2015)
50. X. Y. Zhu, S. Z. Zheng, Uncertainty principles for the two-sided quaternion linear canonical transform. Circuits Syst. Signal Process. **39** (9) 4436-4458 (2020)