

# QUASI-INVARIANCE OF THE DIRICHLET SERIES KERNELS, ANALYTIC SYMBOLS AND HOMOGENEOUS OPERATORS

SAMEER CHAVAN AND CHAMAN KUMAR SAHU

ABSTRACT. For a scalar matrix  $\mathbf{a} = (a_{m,n})_{m,n=1}^{\infty}$ , the Dirichlet series kernel  $\kappa_{\mathbf{a}}$  is the double Dirichlet series  $\kappa_{\mathbf{a}}(s, u) = \sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} a_{m,n} m^{-s} n^{-\bar{u}}$  in the variables  $s$  and  $\bar{u}$ , which is regularly convergent on some right half-plane  $\mathbb{H}_{\rho}$ . The analytic symbols  $A_{n,\mathbf{a}} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{m,n} m^{-s}$ ,  $n \geq 1$  play a central role in the study of the reproducing kernel Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}$  associated with the positive semi-definite kernel  $\kappa_{\mathbf{a}}$ . In particular, they form a total subset of  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}$  and provide the formula  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle f, A_{n,\mathbf{a}} \rangle n^{-s}$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{H}_{\rho}$ , for  $f \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}$ . We combine the basic theory of Dirichlet series kernels with the Gelfond-Schneider theorem (Hilbert's seventh problem) to show that any quasi-invariant Dirichlet series kernel  $\kappa_{\mathbf{a}}(s, u)$  factors as  $f(s)\overline{f(u)}$  for some Dirichlet series  $f$  on  $\mathbb{H}_{\rho}$ . In particular, there is no quasi-invariant Dirichlet series kernel  $\kappa_{\mathbf{a}}$  if the dimension of  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}$  is bigger than one. This is in strict contrast with the case of the unit disc, where non-factorable quasi-invariant kernels exist in abundance. We further discuss the Dirichlet series kernels  $\kappa_{\mathbf{a}}$  invariant under the group  $\mathcal{T}$  of translation automorphisms of  $\mathbb{H}_{\rho}$  and construct a family of densely defined  $\mathcal{T}$ -homogeneous operators in  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}$ , whose adjoints are defined only at the zero vector.

## 1. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we collect preliminaries related to the general Dirichlet series and explain the role of the analytic symbols associated with the Dirichlet series kernels in the study of the reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces of Dirichlet series.

**1.1. Dirichlet series in one and two variables.** Denote by  $\mathbb{N}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_+$ , the sets of positive integers and non-negative integers, respectively. Let  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{C}$  denote the sets of rational, real and complex numbers, respectively. For  $s \in \mathbb{C}$ , let  $\Re(s)$ ,  $\Im(s)$ ,  $\bar{s}$ ,  $|s|$ , and  $\arg(s)$  denote the real part, the imaginary part, the complex conjugate, the modulus and the argument of  $s$ , respectively. The open unit disc  $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$  is denoted by  $\mathbb{D}$ , while the open upper half-plane  $\{z : \Im(z) > 0\}$  is denoted by  $\mathbb{H}$ . For  $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$ , let  $\mathbb{H}_{\rho}$  denote the open right half-plane  $\{s \in \mathbb{C} : \Re(s) > \rho\}$ . By a *domain*, we understand a nonempty open subset of  $\mathbb{C}$ . For a domain  $\Omega$ , let  $\text{Hol}(\Omega)$  denote the linear space of complex-valued holomorphic functions on  $\Omega$  and  $\text{Aut}(\Omega)$  denote the group of automorphisms of  $\Omega$ .

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 11M99, 46E22; Secondary 47B25, 11Z05.

*Key words and phrases*. Dirichlet series, Dirichlet series kernel, analytic symbol, quasi-invariance, homogeneous operator.

A *general Dirichlet series* is a series of the form  $f(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e^{-\lambda_n s}$ , where  $a_n$  are complex numbers and  $\{\lambda_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  is a sequence of non-negative exponents which increases to  $+\infty$  (the reader is referred to [12] for the basic theory of the general Dirichlet series). The *abscissa of absolute convergence* of the series  $f(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e^{-\lambda_n s}$  is the extended real number given by

$$\sigma_a(f) = \inf \{ \Re(s) : f(s) \text{ is absolutely convergent} \},$$

where we used the conventions that the infimum of an empty set is  $\infty$  and infimum of a set not bounded from below is  $-\infty$ .

**Remark 1.1.** If the general Dirichlet series  $f(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n e^{-\lambda_n s}$  is convergent in  $\mathbb{H}_\rho$ , then by [12, Theorem 9], the abscissa  $\sigma_a(f)$  of the absolute convergence of  $f$  satisfies

$$\sigma_a(f) \leq \rho + \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(n)}{\lambda_n}.$$

If  $\lambda_n := \omega \log(n)$ ,  $n \geq 1$  for some  $\omega > 0$ , then  $\sigma_a(f) \leq \rho + \omega^{-1}$ .

The general Dirichlet series is uniquely determined in the following sense.

**Proposition 1.2** (Uniqueness of the general Dirichlet series). *For  $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$ , suppose that the general Dirichlet series  $f(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e^{-\lambda_n s}$  converges on  $\mathbb{H}_\rho$ . If  $f = 0$  on  $\mathbb{H}_\rho$ , then  $a_n = 0$  for every integer  $n \geq 1$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e^{-\lambda_n s}$  converges at  $s = s_0$  if and only if the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e^{-\lambda_n s_0} e^{-\lambda_n s}$  converges at  $s = 0$ , the desired uniqueness is immediate from [12, Theorem 6].  $\square$

A *Dirichlet series* is a series of the form  $f(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n n^{-s}$ , where  $a_n$  are given complex numbers and  $s$  is the complex variable. Note that the Dirichlet series is obtained from the general Dirichlet series by letting  $\lambda_n = \log(n)$ ,  $n \geq 1$ . If  $f$  is convergent at  $s = s_0$ , then it converges uniformly throughout the angular region  $\{s \in \mathbb{C} : |\arg(s - s_0)| < \frac{\pi}{2} - \delta\}$  for every positive real number  $\delta < \frac{\pi}{2}$ . Consequently, the series  $f$  defines a holomorphic function on  $\mathbb{H}_{\Re(s_0)}$  (refer to [22, Chapter IX] for an excellent exposition on the theory of Dirichlet series). A *Dirichlet polynomial* is a Dirichlet series  $f(s)$  given by  $f(s) = \sum_{n=1}^N a_n n^{-s}$  for some  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ .

A *double Dirichlet series* is a series of the form

$$\sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} a_{m,n} m^{-s} n^{-u},$$

where  $a_{m,n}$  are given complex numbers and  $s, u$  are the complex variables. In several variables, the following notion of convergence introduced by Hardy serves our purpose (see also [8]). A double Dirichlet series

$$f(s, u) = \sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} a_{m,n} m^{-s} n^{-u}$$

is *regularly convergent* at  $(s_0, u_0) \in \mathbb{C}^2$  if the series  $f(s, u)$  is convergent at  $(s_0, u_0)$ ,  $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{m,n} m^{-s_0}$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{m,n} n^{-u_0}$  are convergent for all integers  $m, n \geq 1$ . For  $\rho_1, \rho_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ , we say that  $f(s, u)$  is *regularly convergent on*  $\mathbb{H}_{\rho_1} \times \mathbb{H}_{\rho_2}$  if it is regularly convergent at every point  $(s_0, u_0) \in \mathbb{H}_{\rho_1} \times \mathbb{H}_{\rho_2}$ .

The following lemma is related to the product of two general Dirichlet series and it will be required in Section 4.

**Lemma 1.3.** *Let  $f$  and  $g$  be two general Dirichlet series given by*

$$f(s) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m e^{-\lambda_m s} \quad \text{and} \quad g(s) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} b_m e^{-\mu_m s},$$

which are absolutely convergent on  $\mathbb{H}_\rho$ . If the function  $\varphi : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $\varphi(m, n) = \lambda_m + \mu_n$ ,  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , is injective, then

$$f(s)g(s) = \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} a_{m_q} b_{n_q} e^{-\nu_q s}, \quad s \in \mathbb{H}_\rho,$$

where  $\{\nu_q\}_{q \geq 1}$  is a sequence formed by putting the values of  $\lambda_m + \mu_n$  in the ascending order and  $\varphi^{-1}(\{\nu_q\}) = (m_q, n_q)$ ,  $q \geq 1$ .

*Proof.* Note that injectivity of  $\varphi$  implies that for every integer  $q \geq 1$ , the cardinality of  $\{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \varphi(m, n) = \nu_q\}$  is one, and hence

$$\sum_{(m,n) \in \varphi^{-1}(\{\nu_q\})} a_m b_n = a_{m_q} b_{n_q},$$

where  $\varphi^{-1}(\nu_q) = (m_q, n_q)$ . The desired conclusion is now immediate from [12, Theorem 53] (see also the discussion prior to [12, Theorem 53]).  $\square$

We record some known facts about double Dirichlet series for later use.

**Lemma 1.4.** *Let  $f(s, u) = \sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} a_{m,n} m^{-s} n^{-u}$  be a double Dirichlet series. Then the following statements are valid:*

- (i) *if  $f(s, u)$  is regularly convergent at some  $(s_0, u_0) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ , then it is absolutely convergent on  $\mathbb{H}_{\Re(s_0)+1} \times \mathbb{H}_{\Re(u_0)+1}$ ,*
- (ii) *if  $f$  is regularly convergent at  $(s_0, u_0)$ , then the series  $f$  defines a holomorphic function in  $\mathbb{H}_{\Re(s_0)} \times \mathbb{H}_{\Re(u_0)}$ ,*
- (iii) *if  $f$  is regularly convergent and zero on  $\mathbb{H}_\rho \times \mathbb{H}_\rho$ , then  $a_{m,n} = 0$  for all integers  $m, n \geq 1$ .*

*Proof.* The part (i) is recorded in [5, Remark 5]. To see (ii), note that if  $f(s, u)$  is regularly convergent at  $(s_0, u_0)$ , then it converges regularly and uniformly throughout the region

$$\{(s, u) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : |\arg(s - s_0)| < \frac{\pi}{2} - \delta, |\arg(u - u_0)| < \frac{\pi}{2} - \delta\}$$

for every positive real number  $\delta < \frac{\pi}{2}$  (see [8, Theorem 1.8]). The desired conclusion in (ii) is now immediate. Finally, (iii) follows from (i), Fubini's theorem, and two applications of Proposition 1.2.  $\square$

**1.2. Analytic symbols.** One of the objects of interests in this paper is the Dirichlet series kernel  $\kappa_{\mathbf{a}}$  with the coefficient matrix  $\mathbf{a}$  (see Definition 2.1). If  $\kappa_{\mathbf{a}}$  is positive semi-definite, then the Moore's theorem (see [19, Theorem 2.14]) yields a reproducing kernel Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}$  with the reproducing kernel  $\kappa_{\mathbf{a}}$ . In case of diagonal matrix  $\mathbf{a}$ , these spaces have been studied extensively in the literature in the last two decades in various contexts (see, for example, [5, 8, 15, 16]). The purpose of this paper is to discuss the role

of the so-called analytic symbols in the study of  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}$ . The *analytic symbol*  $A_{n,\mathbf{a}}$  of  $\mathbf{a} = (a_{m,n})_{m,n=j}^{\infty}$ ,  $j \geq 1$  are the Dirichlet series given by

$$A_{n,\mathbf{a}}(s) = \sum_{m=j}^{\infty} a_{m,n} m^{-s}, \quad s \in \mathbb{H}_{\rho}, \quad n \geq j.$$

In this paper, we show that the analytic symbols  $A_{n,\mathbf{a}}$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , appear in several contexts in the study of the reproducing kernel Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}$ . In particular, they play the role of a canonical “basis” for the reproducing kernel Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}$ . We combine this fact with some results from the analytic and transcendental number theory (see [3, 12, 18, 23]) to study the Dirichlet series kernels invariant under various subgroups of the automorphism group of a right half-plane.

Here is the plan of the paper. In Section 2, we characterize positive semi-definite Dirichlet series kernels (see Theorem 2.3). Among various applications, we give sufficient and necessary conditions for a Dirichlet series to be a member of  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}$  (see Corollary 2.8). We also show that  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}$  consists only of Dirichlet series. Further, the analytic symbols of the coefficient matrix  $\mathbf{a}$  form a total subset of  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}$  (see Theorem 2.9). In Section 3, we combine the results from Section 2 with the Schur complement and a variant of Weyl’s inequality to produce a non-diagonal reproducing kernel Hilbert space for which all but finitely many analytic symbols are Dirichlet polynomials. In Section 4, we show that there are no quasi-invariant Dirichlet series kernels on the right half-plane unless the associated reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces are of dimension at most one (see Theorem 4.2). The proof of this result relies on the results obtained in Section 2 and solution to the Hilbert’s seventh problem (see [18, 23]). As a consequence of Theorem 4.2, we show that there are no non-constant  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{H}_{\rho})$ -invariant Dirichlet series kernels (see Corollary 4.6). In Section 5, we discuss the translation-invariant Dirichlet series kernels and formally introduce the class of  $\mathcal{T}$ -homogeneous operators (see Proposition 5.1 and Definition 5.2). The main result of this section exhibits a family of densely defined  $\mathcal{T}$ -homogeneous operators whose adjoints are defined only at the zero vector (see Theorem 5.4).

## 2. REPRODUCING KERNEL HILBERT SPACES OF DIRICHLET SERIES

We formally introduce the notion of the Dirichlet series kernel.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $\mathbf{a} = (a_{m,n})_{m,n=1}^{\infty}$  be a matrix with complex entries. We say that  $\kappa_{\mathbf{a}}$  given by

$$\kappa_{\mathbf{a}}(s, u) = \sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} a_{m,n} m^{-s} n^{-\bar{u}}$$

is a *Dirichlet series kernel* if there exists  $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$  such that the double Dirichlet series  $(s, u) \mapsto \kappa_{\mathbf{a}}(s, \bar{u})$  is regularly convergent on  $\mathbb{H}_{\rho} \times \mathbb{H}_{\rho}$ . We refer to  $\mathbf{a}$  as the *coefficient matrix* of the Dirichlet series kernel  $\kappa_{\mathbf{a}}$ .

Let  $X$  be a nonempty set and  $f : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a kernel function. We say that  $f$  is *positive semi-definite* if for any finitely many points  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ , the matrix  $(f(x_i, x_j))_{i,j=1}^n$  is positive semi-definite, that is, it is self-adjoint with eigenvalues contained in  $[0, \infty)$ . A matrix  $\mathbf{a} = (a_{m,n})_{m,n=1}^{\infty}$

with complex entries is said to be *formally positive semi-definite* if the kernel function  $f(m, n) = a_{m, n}$ ,  $m, n \geq 1$ , is positive semi-definite.

Consider a positive semi-definite Dirichlet series kernel  $\kappa_{\mathbf{a}} : \mathbb{H}_\rho \times \mathbb{H}_\rho \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . By [2, Theorem 2.14], there exists a unique complex Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}$  such that  $\kappa_{\mathbf{a}, t} \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}} &= \bigvee \{ \kappa_{\mathbf{a}, t} : t \in \mathbb{H}_\rho \}, \\ \langle f, \kappa_{\mathbf{a}, t} \rangle &= f(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{H}_\rho, f \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

where  $\bigvee$  stands for the closed linear span and  $\kappa_{\mathbf{a}, t}(s) = \kappa_{\mathbf{a}}(s, t)$ ,  $s, t \in \mathbb{H}_\rho$ . We refer to the space  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}$  as the *reproducing kernel Hilbert space associated with  $\kappa_{\mathbf{a}}$* . Sometimes, the inner-product on  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}$  will be denoted by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}}$ .

**Remark 2.2.** We note the following:

- (i) If  $\mathbb{L}$  denotes a countable dense subset of  $\mathbb{H}_\rho$ , then by the reproducing property of  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}$  (see (2.1)),  $\text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{\kappa_{\mathbf{a}, t} : t \in \mathbb{L}\}$  is a countable dense subset of  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}$ , where  $\text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  stands for the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear span. In particular,  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}$  is separable.
- (ii) By (2.1), the convergence in  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}$  implies the uniform convergence on every compact subset of  $\mathbb{H}_\rho$ . Since  $\kappa_{\mathbf{a}, t}$  is holomorphic on  $\mathbb{H}_\rho$  (consult Lemma 1.4(ii)), every function  $f \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}$ , being a limit of a sequence in  $\text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{\kappa_{\mathbf{a}, t} : t \in \mathbb{L}\}$ , is holomorphic on  $\mathbb{H}_\rho$ .

The following theorem characterizes positive semi-definite Dirichlet series kernels (cf. [9, Lemma 4.1] and [16, Lemma 20]).

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $\mathbf{a} = (a_{m, n})_{m, n=1}^\infty$  be a matrix with complex entries and let  $\kappa_{\mathbf{a}}$  be a Dirichlet series kernel. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) *the Dirichlet series kernel  $\kappa_{\mathbf{a}}$  is positive semi-definite,*
- (ii) *the coefficient matrix  $\mathbf{a}$  is formally positive semi-definite.*

*If (i) holds, then for every positive integer  $n$ , the analytic symbol  $A_{n, \mathbf{a}}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}$  and satisfies*

$$a_{m, n} = \langle A_{n, \mathbf{a}}, A_{m, \mathbf{a}} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}}, \quad m, n \geq 1. \quad (2.2)$$

The non-trivial part in the proof of Theorem 2.3 is the fact that the analytic symbols  $A_{n, \mathbf{a}}$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , belong to  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}$ . Given this, it is not difficult to obtain (2.2) from Schnee formula (see [3, Theorem 11.17]). Both these facts can be derived simultaneously by a strong induction argument and this occupies the major portion of the proof of Theorem 2.3 (see Lemma 2.6). We begin with the following simple fact, which is a consequence of the uniqueness of the Dirichlet series.

**Lemma 2.4.** *Assume that  $\kappa_{\mathbf{a}} : \mathbb{H}_\rho \times \mathbb{H}_\rho \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a positive semi-definite Dirichlet series kernel. Then  $\mathbf{a}$  is a self-adjoint matrix.*

*Proof.* Since  $\kappa_{\mathbf{a}}(s, u) = \overline{\kappa_{\mathbf{a}}(u, s)}$ ,  $s, u \in \mathbb{H}_\rho$ , we may conclude that

$$\eta_{\mathbf{a}}(s, u) := \sum_{m, n=1}^{\infty} (a_{m, n} - \overline{a_{n, m}}) m^{-s} n^{-\bar{u}} = 0, \quad s, u \in \mathbb{H}_\rho.$$

Applying Lemma 1.4(iii) to the double Dirichlet series  $(s, u) \mapsto \eta_{\mathbf{a}}(s, \bar{u})$  completes the proof.  $\square$

We also need a counterpart of [3, Lemma 11.1] for double Dirichlet series.

**Lemma 2.5.** *For positive integers  $k, l$ , consider the double Dirichlet series*

$$f(s, u) = \sum_{m=k}^{\infty} \sum_{n=l}^{\infty} a_{m,n} m^{-s} n^{-u}.$$

*Assume that  $f$  is absolutely convergent on  $\mathbb{H}_{\rho_1} \times \mathbb{H}_{\rho_2}$  for some  $\rho_1, \rho_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ . If  $r > \max\{\rho_1, \rho_2\}$ , then there exist positive constants  $C_r$  and  $D_r$  such that for every  $s, u \in \mathbb{H}_r$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & |k^s l^u f(s, u) - a_{k,l}| \tag{2.3} \\ \leq & C_r \left( \frac{l^{\Re(u)}}{(l+1)^{\Re(u)-r}} + \frac{k^{\Re(s)}}{(k+1)^{\Re(s)-r}} + \frac{k^{\Re(s)} l^{\Re(u)}}{(k+1)^{\Re(s)-r} (l+1)^{\Re(u)-r}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| l^u f(s, u) - \sum_{m=k}^{\infty} a_{m,l} m^{-s} \right| \tag{2.4} \\ \leq & D_r \left( \frac{l^{\Re(u)}}{k^{\Re(s)} (l+1)^{\Re(u)-r}} + \frac{l^{\Re(u)}}{(k+1)^{\Re(s)-r} (l+1)^{\Re(u)-r}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Let  $r > \max\{\rho_1, \rho_2\}$ . Note that for any  $s, u \in \mathbb{H}_r$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & |k^s l^u f(s, u) - a_{k,l}| \\ \leq & k^{\Re(s)} l^{\Re(u)} \sum_{\substack{m \geq k, n \geq l \\ (m,n) \neq (k,l)}} |a_{m,n}| m^{-\Re(s)} n^{-\Re(u)} \\ = & l^{\Re(u)} \sum_{n \geq l+1} |a_{k,n}| n^{-\Re(u)} + k^{\Re(s)} \sum_{m \geq k+1} |a_{m,l}| m^{-\Re(s)} \\ + & k^{\Re(s)} l^{\Re(u)} \sum_{m \geq k+1, n \geq l+1} |a_{m,n}| m^{-\Re(s)} n^{-\Re(u)} \\ \leq & \frac{l^{\Re(u)}}{(l+1)^{\Re(u)-r}} \sum_{n \geq l+1} |a_{k,n}| n^{-r} + \frac{k^{\Re(s)}}{(k+1)^{\Re(s)-r}} \sum_{m \geq k+1} |a_{m,l}| m^{-r} \\ + & \frac{k^{\Re(s)} l^{\Re(u)}}{(k+1)^{\Re(s)-r} (l+1)^{\Re(u)-r}} \sum_{m \geq k+1, n \geq l+1} |a_{m,n}| m^{-r} n^{-r}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $f$  is absolutely convergent, all the series appearing in the last inequality are convergent, and hence we obtain the constant  $C_r$  satisfying (2.3). To see the estimate (2.4), note that for any  $s, u \in \mathbb{H}_r$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| l^u f(s, u) - \sum_{m=k}^{\infty} a_{m,l} m^{-s} \right| \\ \leq & l^{\Re(u)} \sum_{m \geq k, n \geq l+1} |a_{m,n}| m^{-\Re(s)} n^{-\Re(u)} \\ = & \frac{l^{\Re(u)}}{k^{\Re(s)}} \sum_{n \geq l+1} |a_{k,n}| n^{-\Re(u)} + l^{\Re(u)} \sum_{m \geq k+1, n \geq l+1} |a_{m,n}| m^{-\Re(s)} n^{-\Re(u)} \\ \leq & \frac{l^{\Re(u)}}{k^{\Re(s)} (l+1)^{\Re(u)-r}} \sum_{n \geq l+1} |a_{k,n}| n^{-r} \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \frac{l^{\Re(u)}}{(k+1)^{\Re(s)-r}(l+1)^{\Re(u)-r}} \sum_{m \geq k+1, n \geq l+1} |a_{m,n}| m^{-r} n^{-r}.$$

Now one may argue as in the previous estimate to obtain the constant  $D_r$  satisfying (2.4). This completes the proof.  $\square$

The following lemma ensures that the coefficient matrix  $\mathbf{a}$  of a positive semi-definite Dirichlet series kernel  $\kappa_{\mathbf{a}}$  can be expressed as a Grammian of the sequence of analytic symbols  $A_{n,\mathbf{a}}$ ,  $n \geq 1$ .

**Lemma 2.6.** *Let  $\rho$  be a real number and let  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}$  be the reproducing kernel Hilbert space associated with the positive semi-definite Dirichlet series kernel  $\kappa_{\mathbf{a}} : \mathbb{H}_{\rho} \times \mathbb{H}_{\rho} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . The following statements are valid:*

- (i) *for every integer  $n \geq 1$ , the analytic symbol  $A_{n,\mathbf{a}}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}$ ,*
- (ii) *for every integer  $m, n \geq 1$ ,  $a_{m,n} = \langle A_{n,\mathbf{a}}, A_{m,\mathbf{a}} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}}$ .*

*Proof.* We prove (i) and (ii) by a strong induction on  $k \geq 1$  with the following induction hypothesis:

$$A_{n,\mathbf{a}} \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}, \quad n = 1, \dots, k. \quad a_{m,n} = \langle A_{n,\mathbf{a}}, A_{m,\mathbf{a}} \rangle, \quad m, n = 1, \dots, k. \quad (2.5)$$

We divide the proof into two steps:

*Step 1.*  $A_{1,\mathbf{a}} \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}$  and

$$\langle g, A_{1,\mathbf{a}} \rangle = \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} g(p), \quad g \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}. \quad (2.6)$$

In particular,  $a_{1,1} = \langle A_{1,\mathbf{a}}, A_{1,\mathbf{a}} \rangle$ .

By (2.1), for all integers  $p, q \geq \rho + 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\kappa_{\mathbf{a},p} - \kappa_{\mathbf{a},q}\|^2 &= \|\kappa_{\mathbf{a},p}\|^2 - 2\Re(\langle \kappa_{\mathbf{a},p}, \kappa_{\mathbf{a},q} \rangle) + \|\kappa_{\mathbf{a},q}\|^2 \\ &= \kappa_{\mathbf{a}}(p,p) - 2\Re(\kappa_{\mathbf{a}}(q,p)) + \kappa_{\mathbf{a}}(q,q), \end{aligned}$$

which converges to 0 as  $p, q \rightarrow \infty$  (use (2.3) with  $k = 1$  and  $l = 1$ ). Thus,  $\{\kappa_{\mathbf{a},p}\}_{p \geq \rho+1}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}$ , and hence it converges to some  $f \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}$ . By Remark 2.2(ii),  $\kappa_{\mathbf{a},p}(s) \rightarrow f(s)$  as  $p \rightarrow \infty$  for every  $s \in \mathbb{H}_{\rho}$ . Hence, by (2.4) (with  $k = 1$  and  $l = 1$ ),

$$f(s) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{m1} m^{-s}, \quad s \in \mathbb{H}_{\rho+1}.$$

This combined with Remark 2.2(ii) and the identity theorem shows that the above equality holds everywhere on  $\mathbb{H}_{\rho}$ . This yields that  $A_{1,\mathbf{a}} \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}$ . To see (2.6), note that by the reproducing property of  $\kappa_{\mathbf{a}}$  (see (2.1)), for any  $g \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}$ ,

$$\langle g, A_{1,\mathbf{a}} \rangle = \langle g, \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \kappa_{\mathbf{a},p} \rangle = \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \langle g, \kappa_{\mathbf{a},p} \rangle = \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} g(p).$$

To get the remaining part of Step 1, let  $g = A_{1,\mathbf{a}}$  in (2.6) and apply [3, Lemma 11.1].

*Step 2.* If (2.5) holds, then  $A_{k+1,\mathbf{a}} \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}$  and  $a_{k+1,n} = \langle A_{n,\mathbf{a}}, A_{k+1,\mathbf{a}} \rangle$  for every  $n = 1, \dots, k+1$ .

For every integer  $p \geq \rho + 1$ , note that  $g_p := \kappa_{\mathbf{a},p} - \sum_{l=1}^k l^{-p} A_{l,\mathbf{a}} \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}$  and

$$g_p(s) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} a_{m,n} m^{-s} n^{-p}, \quad s \in \mathbb{H}_{\rho+1}. \quad (2.7)$$

We claim that  $\{(k+1)^p g_p\}_{p \geq \rho+1}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}$ . Note that for any integer  $p \geq \rho + 1$ , by the induction hypothesis (2.5), (2.1) and the conjugate symmetry of  $\mathbf{a}$  (see Lemma 2.4),

$$\begin{aligned} \langle g_q, g_p \rangle &= \kappa_{\mathbf{a}}(p, q) - \sum_{l=1}^k l^{-q} A_{l,\mathbf{a}}(p) - \sum_{l=1}^k l^{-p} \overline{A_{l,\mathbf{a}}(q)} \\ &+ \sum_{m,n=1}^k m^{-q} n^{-p} \langle A_{m,\mathbf{a}}, A_{n,\mathbf{a}} \rangle \\ &= \sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} a_{m,n} m^{-p} n^{-q} - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^k a_{m,l} l^{-q} m^{-p} \\ &- \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^k a_{l,m} l^{-p} m^{-q} + \sum_{m,n=1}^k m^{-q} n^{-p} \overline{a_{m,n}} \\ &= \sum_{m,n=k+1}^{\infty} a_{m,n} m^{-p} n^{-q}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

It follows that for any integers  $p, q \geq \rho + 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(k+1)^p g_p - (k+1)^q g_q\|^2 &= (k+1)^{2p} \|g_p\|^2 - (k+1)^{p+q} \langle g_q, g_p \rangle \\ &- (k+1)^{p+q} \langle g_p, g_q \rangle + (k+1)^{2q} \|g_q\|^2 \\ &= (k+1)^{2p} \sum_{m,n=k+1}^{\infty} a_{m,n} m^{-p} n^{-p} \\ &- (k+1)^{p+q} \sum_{m,n=k+1}^{\infty} a_{m,n} m^{-p} n^{-q} \\ &- (k+1)^{p+q} \sum_{m,n=k+1}^{\infty} a_{n,m} m^{-p} n^{-q} \\ &+ (k+1)^{2q} \sum_{m,n=k+1}^{\infty} a_{m,n} m^{-q} n^{-q}, \end{aligned}$$

which converges 0 as  $p, q \rightarrow \infty$  (apply (2.3)). Thus, the claim stands verified. As in Step 1, one can see using (2.7) and (2.4) together with the identity theorem that  $A_{k+1,\mathbf{a}}$ , being the limit of  $\{(k+1)^p g_p\}_{p \geq \rho+1}$ , belongs to  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}$ . Moreover, for any  $q = 1, \dots, k$  and integer  $p \geq \rho + 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle A_{q,\mathbf{a}}, (k+1)^p g_p \rangle &= (k+1)^p \left( \langle A_{q,\mathbf{a}}, \kappa_{\mathbf{a},p} \rangle - \sum_{l=1}^k l^{-p} \langle A_{q,\mathbf{a}}, A_{l,\mathbf{a}} \rangle \right) \\ &\stackrel{(2.5)}{=} (k+1)^p \left( A_{q,\mathbf{a}}(p) - \sum_{l=1}^k l^{-p} a_{l,q} \right) \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
&= (k+1)^p \left( \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{m,q} m^{-p} - \sum_{l=1}^k a_{l,q} l^{-p} \right) \\
&= (k+1)^p \sum_{m=k+1}^{\infty} a_{m,q} m^{-p},
\end{aligned}$$

which, by [3, Chapter 11, Lemma 1], converges to  $a_{k+1,q}$ . Hence, we obtain

$$\langle A_{q,\mathbf{a}}, A_{k+1,\mathbf{a}} \rangle = a_{k+1,q}, \quad q = 1, \dots, k.$$

Since  $\{(k+1)^p g_p\}_{p \geq \rho+1}$  converges to  $A_{k+1,\mathbf{a}}$  in  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}$ , one can see using (2.8) and (2.3) that  $\langle A_{k+1,\mathbf{a}}, A_{k+1,\mathbf{a}} \rangle = a_{k+1,k+1}$ , which completes the proof.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 2.3.* The implication (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) and the remaining part follow from Lemma 2.6. To see the implication (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i), assume that  $\mathbf{a}$  is a formally positive semi-definite matrix. For a positive integer  $N$ ,  $\{s_1, \dots, s_N\} \subseteq \mathbb{H}_{\rho}$  and  $\{c_1, \dots, c_N\} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ , note that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i,j=1}^N c_i \bar{c}_j \kappa_{\mathbf{a}}(s_i, s_j) &= \sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{i,j=1}^N c_i \bar{c}_j m^{-s_i} n^{-\bar{s}_j} \right) a_{m,n} \\
&= \lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m,n=1}^{\ell} \left( \sum_{i=1}^N c_i m^{-s_i} \right) \overline{\left( \sum_{j=1}^N c_j n^{-s_j} \right)} a_{m,n} \\
&= \lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \langle A_{\ell} X_{\ell}, X_{\ell} \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^{\ell}},
\end{aligned}$$

where  $A_{\ell}$  is the  $\ell \times \ell$  matrix  $(a_{m,n})_{m,n=1}^{\ell}$  and  $X_{\ell}$  is the  $\ell \times 1$  matrix with  $k^{\text{th}}$  entry  $\sum_{i=1}^N c_i k^{-s_i}$ . Since each  $A_{\ell}$  is positive semi-definite, we get the desired conclusion.  $\square$

We have already seen that the limit of any element in  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}$  at infinity exists (see (2.6)). This additional feature of  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}$  leads to the following fact (cf. [19, Theorem 4.5]).

**Corollary 2.7.** *Let  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}$  be the reproducing kernel Hilbert space associated with the Dirichlet series kernel  $\kappa_{\mathbf{a}} : \mathbb{H}_{\rho} \times \mathbb{H}_{\rho} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . Then*

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a},\infty} := \{f \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}} : \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} f(s) = 0\}$$

*is a closed subspace of  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}$ . If  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \kappa_{\mathbf{a}}(t, r) \neq 0$ , then the reproducing kernel  $\kappa_{\mathbf{a},\infty}$  of  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a},\infty}$  is given by*

$$\kappa_{\mathbf{a},\infty}(s, u) = \kappa_{\mathbf{a}}(s, u) - \frac{\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \kappa_{\mathbf{a}}(s, r) \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \kappa_{\mathbf{a}}(t, u)}{\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \kappa_{\mathbf{a}}(t, r)}, \quad s, u \in \mathbb{H}_{\rho}.$$

*Proof.* By Step I of Lemma 2.6, the analytic symbol  $A_{1,\mathbf{a}}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}$ ,

$$\{\kappa_{\mathbf{a}}(\cdot, r)\}_{r \geq \rho} \text{ converges to } A_{1,\mathbf{a}} \text{ in } \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}, \quad (2.9)$$

and  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a},\infty} = \{f \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}} : \langle f, A_{1,\mathbf{a}} \rangle = 0\}$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a},\infty}$  is a closed subspace of  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}$ . To see the second part, assume that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \kappa_{\mathbf{a}}(t, r) \neq 0$ . Note that  $\kappa_{\mathbf{a},\infty} = \kappa_{\mathbf{b}}$ , where

$$\mathbf{b} = \left( a_{m,n} - \frac{a_{m,1} a_{1,n}}{a_{1,1}} \right)_{m,n=1}^{\infty}.$$

By Cholesky's theorem (see [19, Theorem 4.2]),  $\mathbf{b}$  is formally positive semi-definite, and hence by Theorem 2.3,  $\kappa_{\mathbf{a},\infty}$  is a positive semi-definite Dirichlet series kernel. It is now easy to see using (2.9) that  $\kappa_{\mathbf{a},\infty}$  is a reproducing kernel for  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a},\infty}$ .  $\square$

As a consequence of Theorem 2.3, we characterize those members of  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}$ , which are Dirichlet series.

**Corollary 2.8.** *Let  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}$  be the reproducing kernel Hilbert space associated with the Dirichlet series kernel  $\kappa_{\mathbf{a}} : \mathbb{H}_{\rho} \times \mathbb{H}_{\rho} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . Let  $f(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \hat{f}(n)n^{-s}$  be a Dirichlet series convergent at every  $s \in \mathbb{H}_{\rho}$ . Then  $f$  belongs to  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}$  if and only if there exists a real number  $c \geq 0$  such that  $(c^2 a_{m,n} - \hat{f}(m)\hat{f}(n))_{m,n=1}^{\infty}$  is formally positive semi-definite.*

*Proof.* By [19, Theorem 3.11],  $f \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}$  if and only if there exists a real number  $c$  such that

$$\kappa(s, u) := c^2 \kappa_{\mathbf{a}}(s, u) - f(s)\overline{f(u)}, \quad s, u \in \mathbb{H}_{\rho}$$

is a positive semi-definite kernel. It is easy to see that  $\kappa$  is a Dirichlet series kernel with the coefficient matrix  $(c^2 a_{m,n} - \hat{f}(m)\hat{f}(n))_{m,n=1}^{\infty}$ . The desired equivalence now follows from Theorem 2.3.  $\square$

We now show that  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}$  consists only of Dirichlet series.

**Theorem 2.9.** *Let  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}$  be the reproducing kernel Hilbert space associated with the Dirichlet series kernel  $\kappa_{\mathbf{a}} : \mathbb{H}_{\rho} \times \mathbb{H}_{\rho} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . If  $A_{n,\mathbf{a}}$ ,  $n \geq 1$  are the analytic symbols of  $\mathbf{a}$ , then the following statements are valid:*

(i) *the Dirichlet series kernel  $\kappa_{\mathbf{a}}$  is given by*

$$\kappa_{\mathbf{a}}(s, u) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n,\mathbf{a}}(s)n^{-\bar{u}}, \quad s, u \in \mathbb{H}_{\rho},$$

(ii) *any element  $f$  in  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}$  is a Dirichlet series given by*

$$f(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle f, A_{n,\mathbf{a}} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}} n^{-s}, \quad s \in \mathbb{H}_{\rho},$$

(iii)  $\{A_{n,\mathbf{a}}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  *is a total set in  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}$ .*

*Proof.* By Theorem 2.3, for every positive integer  $n$ , the Dirichlet series  $A_{n,\mathbf{a}}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}$ . We claim that for every  $u \in \mathbb{H}_{\rho}$ , the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n,\mathbf{a}}n^{-\bar{u}}$  is convergent in  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}$ . Note that for any positive integers  $M, N$  such that  $M > N$  and  $u \in \mathbb{H}_{\rho}$ ,

$$\left\| \sum_{n=N+1}^M A_{n,\mathbf{a}}n^{-\bar{u}} \right\|^2 = \sum_{k,l=N+1}^M \langle A_{k,\mathbf{a}}, A_{l,\mathbf{a}} \rangle k^{-\bar{u}}l^{-u} \stackrel{(2.2)}{=} \sum_{k,l=N+1}^M a_{l,k}k^{-\bar{u}}l^{-u},$$

which converges to 0 as  $M, N \rightarrow \infty$  (since  $\kappa_{\mathbf{a}}$  is convergent on  $\mathbb{H}_{\rho} \times \mathbb{H}_{\rho}$ ). This completes the verification of the claim.

(i) Let  $u \in \mathbb{H}_{\rho+1}$ . By Lemma 1.4(i),

$$\kappa_{\mathbf{a},u}(s) = \sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} a_{m,n}m^{-s}n^{-\bar{u}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n,\mathbf{a}}(s)n^{-\bar{u}}, \quad s \in \mathbb{H}_{\rho+1}.$$

Since  $\kappa_{\mathbf{a},u}$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n,\mathbf{a}} n^{-\bar{u}}$  are holomorphic on  $\mathbb{H}_\rho$  (see Remark 2.2(ii)), by the identity theorem (see [21]), we obtain

$$\kappa_{\mathbf{a},u}(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n,\mathbf{a}}(s) n^{-\bar{u}}, \quad s \in \mathbb{H}_\rho.$$

By fixing  $s \in \mathbb{H}_\rho$  and varying  $u$  over  $\mathbb{H}_{\rho+1}$ , another application of the identity theorem yields (i).

(ii) By the reproducing property (2.1) of  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}$  and (i),

$$f(s) = \langle f, \kappa_{\mathbf{a},s} \rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle f, A_{n,\mathbf{a}} \rangle \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}} n^{-s}, \quad s \in \mathbb{H}_\rho.$$

(iii) By (ii),  $f = 0$  provided  $\langle f, A_{n,\mathbf{a}} \rangle = 0$  for every integer  $n \geq 1$ .  $\square$

### 3. WHEN ANALYTIC SYMBOLS ARE DIRICHLET POLYNOMIALS

Let  $k$  be a non-negative integer and let  $\kappa_{\mathbf{a}}$  be a Dirichlet series kernel. We say that  $\kappa_{\mathbf{a}}$  is a *k-diagonal kernel* or *finite bandwidth  $2k$*  if  $a_{m,n} = 0$  for  $m, n \geq 1$  such that  $|m - n| > k$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $\kappa_{\mathbf{a}}$  be a positive semi-definite k-diagonal Dirichlet series kernel. Then, for every integer  $n \geq 1$ , the analytic symbols  $A_{n,\mathbf{a}}$  of  $\mathbf{a}$  is a Dirichlet polynomial. Moreover,  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}$  admits an orthonormal basis consisting of Dirichlet polynomials. In addition, if  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}$  contains the space  $\mathcal{D}[s]$  of Dirichlet polynomials, then  $\mathcal{D}[s]$  is dense in  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\kappa_{\mathbf{a}}$  is  $k$ -diagonal, the analytic symbol  $A_{n,\mathbf{a}} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{m,n} m^{-s}$  is equal to the Dirichlet polynomial  $\sum_{m=N}^{n+k} a_{m,n} m^{-s}$ , where  $N$  is equal to  $\max\{n-k, 1\}$ . Thus, by Theorem 2.9 and Gram-Schmidt orthonormalization process,  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}$  has an orthonormal basis consisting of Dirichlet polynomials. The remaining assertion is now clear.  $\square$

It is evident that all analytic symbols  $A_{n,\mathbf{a}}$  could be Dirichlet polynomials without the kernel  $\kappa_{\mathbf{a}}$  being  $k$ -diagonal for any integer  $k \geq 1$ . Indeed, for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $A_k$  denote the positive semi-definite square matrix  $A_k$  of order  $k$  that has all entries equal to 1 and consider the matrix  $\mathbf{a}$  given by  $\mathbf{a} = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k$ . Clearly,  $\kappa_{\mathbf{a}}$  is a positive semi-definite kernel, which is not  $k$ -diagonal for any integer  $k \geq 1$ . We exhibit below a class of Dirichlet series kernels for which all but finitely many analytic symbols are Dirichlet polynomials. Our construction relies on a variant of Weyl's inequality and the Schur complement (see [6]).

For a positive integer  $k$ , let  $\mathbf{b} = (b_{i,j})_{i,j=1}^k$  be a positive semi-definite matrix,  $\{c_{k+l}\}_{l \geq 1}$  be a sequence of complex numbers and  $\{d_{k+l}\}_{l \geq 1}$  be a

sequence of positive real numbers. Consider the matrix  $\mathbf{a}$  given by

$$\mathbf{a} = \begin{pmatrix} b_{1,1} & b_{1,2} & \dots & b_{1,k} & c_{k+1} & c_{k+2} & \dots \\ b_{2,1} & b_{2,2} & \dots & b_{2,k} & c_{k+1} & c_{k+2} & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \dots \\ b_{k,1} & b_{k,2} & \dots & b_{k,k} & c_{k+1} & c_{k+2} & \dots \\ \bar{c}_{k+1} & \bar{c}_{k+1} & \dots & \bar{c}_{k+1} & d_{k+1} & 0 & \dots \\ \bar{c}_{k+2} & \bar{c}_{k+2} & \dots & \bar{c}_{k+2} & 0 & d_{k+2} & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}.$$

Equivalently,  $\mathbf{a}$  is a block symmetric matrix given by

$$\mathbf{a} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{b} & \mathbf{c} \\ \mathbf{c}^* & \mathbf{d} \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\mathbf{c}$  is the  $k \times \infty$  matrix with all rows equal to  $(c_{k+1}, c_{k+2}, \dots)$  and  $\mathbf{d}$  is the diagonal matrix with diagonal entries  $d_{k+1}, d_{k+2}, \dots$ . We say that  $\mathbf{a}$  belongs to the class  $\mathcal{S}_k$  if the following conditions hold:

(C1)  $\mathbf{b}$  is a positive semi-definite matrix,

(C2)  $\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{|c_{k+l}|^2}{d_{k+l}}$  is finite.

**Remark 3.2.** The series given in (C2) can be thought of as the maximum eigenvalue of  $k^{-1}\mathbf{c}\mathbf{d}^{-1}\mathbf{c}^*$ .

With every  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{S}_k$ , we associate the following number:

$$f(\mathbf{a}) := \lambda_{\min}(\mathbf{b}) - k \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{|c_{k+l}|^2}{d_{k+l}},$$

where  $\lambda_{\min}(\cdot)$  denotes the minimum eigenvalue. For a positive integer  $m$ , let  $\mathbf{e}_m = (e_{p,q})_{p,q=1}^{\infty}$  denote the matrix given by

$$e_{p,q} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p = m, q = m, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**Lemma 3.3.** *Assume that  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{S}_k$ . Then the following statements are valid:*

- (i) *if  $f(\mathbf{a}) \geq 0$  and  $\epsilon$  is a real number such that  $0 \leq \epsilon \leq f(\mathbf{a})$ , then for every positive integer  $m \leq k$ ,  $\mathbf{a} - \epsilon\mathbf{e}_m$  is a formally positive semi-definite matrix,*
- (ii) *if  $f(\mathbf{a}) \geq 0$ , then  $\mathbf{a}$  is a formally positive semi-definite matrix,*
- (iii) *if  $f(\mathbf{a}) > 0$ , then for every positive integer  $m \geq 1$ , there exists  $\epsilon_m > 0$  such that  $f(\mathbf{a} - \epsilon_m\mathbf{e}_m) > 0$ . In this case,  $\mathbf{a} - \epsilon_m\mathbf{e}_m$  is a formally positive semi-definite matrix.*

*Proof.* (i) Let  $j \geq 1$  and  $m \leq k$  be positive integers and let  $\epsilon$  be a real number such that  $0 \leq \epsilon \leq f(\mathbf{a})$ . Let  $\mathbf{a}_j$  and  $\mathbf{e}_{m,j}$  denote the  $(k+j) \times (k+j)$  truncated matrices of  $\mathbf{a}$  and  $\mathbf{e}_m$ , respectively. Note that  $\mathbf{a}_j - \epsilon\mathbf{e}_{m,j}$  is rewritten as

$$\mathbf{a}_j - \epsilon\mathbf{e}_{m,j} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{b}_{j,\epsilon}^{(m)} & \mathbf{c}_j \\ \mathbf{c}_j^* & \mathbf{d}_j \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\mathbf{b}_{j,\epsilon}^{(m)}$  is the leading principal submatrix of  $\mathbf{a}_j - \epsilon \mathbf{e}_{m,j}$ ,  $\mathbf{c}_j$  is the matrix of order  $k \times j$ , and  $\mathbf{d}_j = \text{diag}(d_{k+1}, \dots, d_{k+j})$ . Note that  $\mathbf{d}_j$  is a strictly positive definite matrix and

$$\mathbf{c}_j \mathbf{d}_j^{-1} \mathbf{c}_j^* = \left( \sum_{l=1}^j \frac{|c_{k+l}|^2}{d_{k+l}} \right) \mathbf{1},$$

where  $\mathbf{1}$  denotes the  $k \times k$  matrix with all entries 1. By [19, Lemma 2.20],  $\mathbf{c}_j \mathbf{d}_j^{-1} \mathbf{c}_j^*$  has eigenvalues  $k \sum_{l=1}^j \frac{|c_{k+l}|^2}{d_{k+l}}$  and 0 of multiplicity 1 and  $k-1$ , respectively. It now follows from a variant of the Weyl's inequality (see [6, Theorem 3.8.2]) applied two times that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{\min}(\mathbf{b}_{j,\epsilon}^{(m)} - \mathbf{c}_j \mathbf{d}_j^{-1} \mathbf{c}_j^*) &\geq \lambda_{\min}(\mathbf{b}_{j,\epsilon}^{(m)}) - k \sum_{l=1}^j \frac{|c_{k+l}|^2}{d_{k+l}} \\ &\geq \lambda_{\min}(\mathbf{b}) - \epsilon - k \sum_{l=1}^j \frac{|c_{k+l}|^2}{d_{k+l}} \\ &\geq f(\mathbf{a}) - \epsilon. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

Thus,  $\mathbf{b}_{j,\epsilon}^{(m)} - \mathbf{c}_j \mathbf{d}_j^{-1} \mathbf{c}_j^*$  is positive semi-definite. Since  $\mathbf{d}_j$  is positive semi-definite, by the Schur complement (see the discussion following the proof of [6, Theorem 26.2]),  $\mathbf{a}_j - \epsilon \mathbf{e}_{m,j}$  is positive semi-definite, and we obtain (i).

(ii) If  $f(\mathbf{a}) \geq 0$  and  $\epsilon = 0$  then the conclusion in (i) is valid for any integer  $m > k$  (since (3.1) holds with  $\epsilon = 0$ ). This gives (ii).

(iii) In view of (i), we may assume that  $m$  be a positive integer bigger than  $k$ . Assume that  $f(\mathbf{a}) > 0$ . Note that for any real number  $\epsilon$  with  $0 < \epsilon < d_m$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} f(\mathbf{a} - \epsilon \mathbf{e}_m) &= \lambda_{\min}(\mathbf{b}) - k \left( \sum_{\substack{l=1 \\ l \neq m-k}}^{\infty} \frac{|c_{k+l}|^2}{d_{k+l}} + \frac{|c_m|^2}{d_m - \epsilon} \right) \\ &= f(\mathbf{a}) + k|c_m|^2 \left( \frac{1}{d_m} - \frac{1}{d_m - \epsilon} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $f(\mathbf{a}) > 0$ , there exists  $\epsilon_m \in (0, d_m)$  such that  $f(\mathbf{a} - \epsilon_m \mathbf{e}_m) > 0$ . The desired conclusion now follows from (ii).  $\square$

The converse of Lemma 3.3(ii) does not hold in general.

**Example 3.4.** Consider the  $2 \times 2$  positive semi-definite matrix  $\mathbf{b}$  given by

$$\mathbf{b} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{2}{3} \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is easy to see that the eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{b}$  are  $\frac{1}{6}$  and 1. Let  $\{c_{l+2}\}_{l \geq 1}$  be the constant sequence with value 1 and let  $\{d_{l+2}\}_{l \geq 1}$  be the sequence given by  $d_{l+2} = 4^l$ ,  $l \geq 1$ . Since  $\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{|c_{l+2}|^2}{d_{l+2}}$  converges to  $\frac{1}{3}$ , the matrix  $\mathbf{a}$  given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{b} & \mathbf{c} \\ \mathbf{c}^* & \mathbf{d} \end{pmatrix}$$

belongs to  $\mathcal{S}_2$ . Since  $\lambda_{\min}(\mathbf{b}) = 1/6$ , we obtain  $f(\mathbf{a}) = \frac{1}{6} - \frac{2}{3} < 0$ . We check that the matrix  $\mathbf{a}$  is positive semi-definite. In view of the Schur complement

lemma, it suffices to check that for every integer  $j \geq 1$ ,  $\mathbf{g}_j = \mathbf{g}_j^{(1)} \oplus \mathbf{g}_j^{(2)}$  is positive semi-definite, where

$$\mathbf{g}_j^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} - S_j & \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} - S_j \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} - S_j & \frac{2}{3} - S_j \end{pmatrix},$$

with  $S_j = \sum_{i=1}^j \frac{1}{4^i}$  and  $\mathbf{g}_j^{(2)}$  is the diagonal matrix with diagonal entries  $4, 4^2, \dots, 4^j$ . Note that  $S_j = \frac{1}{3}(1 - \frac{1}{4^j})$ , and hence  $S_j \in [\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{3}]$ . Let  $\text{Tr}$  and  $\det$  denote the trace and determinant, respectively. Thus

$$\text{Tr } \mathbf{g}_j^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{3} - 2S_j > 0.$$

Moreover, since  $S_j > \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}})$ , we get

$$\det \mathbf{g}_j^{(1)} = (\frac{1}{2} - S_j)(\frac{2}{3} - S_j) - (\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} - S_j)^2 \geq \frac{1}{18} - (\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} - S_j)^2 > 0.$$

This shows that  $\mathbf{g}_j$  is positive semi definite.  $\diamond$

We now exhibit a family of reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces associated with a non-diagonal Dirichlet series kernels (cf. [1, Theorem 3.1]).

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{S}_k$  be such that  $f(\mathbf{a})$  is positive. Assume that there exists a real number  $\rho > 1$  such that  $\mathbf{d}$  satisfies*

$$d_l = \mathcal{O}(l^{\rho-1}), \quad l \geq k+1. \quad (3.2)$$

*Then  $\kappa_{\mathbf{a}} : \mathbb{H}_\rho \times \mathbb{H}_\rho \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a positive semi-definite Dirichlet series kernel. Moreover, for every integer  $n \geq k+1$ , the analytic symbol  $A_{n,\mathbf{a}}$  is a Dirichlet polynomial and Dirichlet polynomials form a dense subspace of  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}$ .*

*Proof.* Note that for any integer  $p \geq k+1$ ,

$$\frac{|c_p|^2}{d_p} \leq \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{|c_{k+l}|^2}{d_{k+l}} = \frac{\lambda_{\min}(\mathbf{b}) - f(\mathbf{a})}{k} \leq \frac{\lambda_{\min}(\mathbf{b})}{k}.$$

Thus, we obtain

$$|c_p| \leq \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_{\min}(\mathbf{b})}}{\sqrt{k}} \sqrt{d_p}, \quad p \geq k+1.$$

This combined with (3.2) shows that

$$|a_{m,n}| \leq C \max \left\{ \sqrt{d_m}, d_m, \sqrt{d_n}, d_n \right\} \leq C m^{\rho-1} n^{\rho-1}, \quad m, n \geq k+1,$$

where  $C = \max \left\{ \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_{\min}(\mathbf{b})}}{\sqrt{k}}, 1 \right\}$ . Thus, the Dirichlet series kernel  $\kappa_{\mathbf{a}}$  converges absolutely on  $\mathbb{H}_\rho \times \mathbb{H}_\rho$ . By Lemma 3.3,  $\mathbf{a}$  is formally positive semi-definite, and hence by Theorem 2.3,  $\kappa_{\mathbf{a}}$  is positive semi-definite.

By Lemma 3.3(iii), for every integer  $m \geq 1$ , there exists  $\epsilon_m > 0$  such that  $\mathbf{a} - \epsilon_m \mathbf{e}_m$  is formally positive semi-definite. An application of Corollary 2.8 now shows that  $m^{-s} \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}$  for every integer  $m \geq 1$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}$  contains the space of Dirichlet polynomials. Moreover, by Theorem 2.9,  $A_{n,\mathbf{a}}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}$  for every integer  $n \geq 1$ . Note that for every integer  $n \geq k+1$ ,  $a_{m,n} = 0$  for all  $m \geq n+1$ , and hence  $A_{n,\mathbf{a}}$  is a Dirichlet polynomial. Since  $\{A_{n,\mathbf{a}}\}_{n \geq 1}$  is a total set in  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}$  (see Theorem 2.9), the Dirichlet polynomials are dense in  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}$ .  $\square$

4. QUASI-INVARIANCE

Recall that Bergman type-kernels satisfy a natural transformation rule; that is, the quasi-invariance property (see Definition 4.1; refer to [17] for more details). In this section, we are primarily concerned in the study of positive semi-definite Dirichlet series kernels, which admit the quasi-invariance property. Some variants of the following notion appeared in [11] and [13].

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $\Omega$  be a domain and let  $G$  be a group acting on  $\Omega$ . Let  $\mathcal{J} : G \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a nowhere-vanishing function such that  $\mathcal{J}(g, \cdot)$  is holomorphic on  $\Omega$  for every  $g \in G$ . Let  $\kappa : \Omega \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a positive semi-definite kernel.

- $\kappa$  is  $G$ -invariant if

$$\kappa(g \cdot s, g \cdot u) = \kappa(s, u) \quad s, u \in \Omega, g \in G.$$

- $\kappa$  is  $G$ -quasi-invariant with respect to  $\mathcal{J}$  if

$$\mathcal{J}(g, s)\kappa(g \cdot s, g \cdot u)\overline{\mathcal{J}(g, u)} = \kappa(s, u) \quad s, u \in \Omega, g \in G. \quad (4.1)$$

If  $G = \text{Aut}(\Omega)$ , then we refer to the  $G$ -quasi-invariant kernel as the *quasi-invariant kernel*.

Before we state the classification theorem for quasi-invariant Dirichlet series kernels, we determine the automorphism group of the right half plane  $\mathbb{H}_\rho$  using that of the upper half-plane  $\mathbb{H}$ . To see this, consider the conformal map  $\eta_\rho : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_\rho$  given by  $\eta_\rho(z) = -iz + \rho$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{H}$ , and let  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  denote the group of  $2 \times 2$  real matrices of determinant 1. For  $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ , and define  $\phi_A : \mathbb{H}_\rho \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_\rho$  by

$$\phi_A(s) := \frac{a(s - \rho) - ib}{ic(s - \rho) + d} + \rho, \quad s \in \mathbb{H}_\rho.$$

Since  $d + ic(s - \rho) = 0$  if and only if  $s = \frac{d}{c}i + \rho$  with  $c \neq 0$  or  $c = d = 0$ ,  $\phi_A$  defines a biholomorphism on  $\mathbb{H}_\rho$ . Thus,

$$\text{Aut}(\mathbb{H}_\rho) = \{\eta_\rho \circ \psi \circ \eta_\rho^{-1} : \psi \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{H})\}.$$

It is now easy to see using [21, Theorem 2.4] that

$$\text{Aut}(\mathbb{H}_\rho) = \{\phi_A : A \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})\}.$$

Let  $\text{Aut}_L(\mathbb{H}_\rho)$  denote the subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{H}_\rho)$  (the “linear” automorphisms) given by

$$\text{Aut}_L(\mathbb{H}_\rho) = \{\phi_A : A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})\}.$$

The matrices  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{R}$ , yield the so-called translation automorphisms:

$$\phi_b(s) = s - ib, \quad s \in \mathbb{H}_\rho. \quad (4.2)$$

We denote by  $\mathcal{T}$ , the group of all translation automorphisms of  $\mathbb{H}_\rho$ .

The quasi-invariant kernels on the unit disc are in abundance. In fact, the Bergman kernel  $B_\Omega$  of any bounded domain  $\Omega$  is quasi-invariant with respect to

$$\mathcal{J}(\psi, z) = \psi'(z), \quad \psi \in \text{Aut}(\Omega), z \in \Omega$$

(see [14, Proposition 1.4.12]). In contrast to this, there are no quasi-invariant Dirichlet series kernels in dimension bigger than 1. Indeed, we have the following:

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $\mathbf{a} = (a_{m,n})_{m,n=1}^{\infty}$  be a matrix with complex entries and  $\kappa_{\mathbf{a}} : \mathbb{H}_{\rho} \times \mathbb{H}_{\rho} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be the Dirichlet series kernel with the coefficient matrix  $\mathbf{a}$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\kappa_{\mathbf{a}}$  is quasi-invariant with respect to some  $\mathcal{J}$ ,
- (ii)  $\kappa_{\mathbf{a}}$  is  $\text{Aut}_L(\mathbb{H}_{\rho})$ -quasi-invariant with respect to some  $\mathcal{J}$ ,
- (iii) there exists a Dirichlet series  $f : \mathbb{H}_{\rho} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$\kappa_{\mathbf{a}}(s, u) = f(s)\overline{f(u)}, \quad s, u \in \mathbb{H}_{\rho},$$

where  $f$  is either identically zero or nowhere-vanishing,

- (iv)  $\kappa_{\mathbf{a}}$  is positive semi-definite and the reproducing kernel Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}$  associated with  $\kappa_{\mathbf{a}}$  is either  $\{0\}$  or spanned by a nowhere-vanishing Dirichlet series on  $\mathbb{H}_{\rho}$ .

In order to prove Theorem 4.2, we need several lemmas. The first one asserts that any  $\text{Aut}_L(\mathbb{H}_{\rho})$ -quasi-invariant Dirichlet series kernel is either nowhere-vanishing or identically zero (cf. [3, Theorem 11.4]).

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let  $\kappa_{\mathbf{a}} : \mathbb{H}_{\rho} \times \mathbb{H}_{\rho} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a Dirichlet series kernel with the coefficient matrix  $\mathbf{a} = (a_{m,n})_{m,n=1}^{\infty}$ . Assume that  $\kappa_{\mathbf{a}}$  is a non-zero function. Then the following statements are valid:*

- (i) there exists a real number  $r > \rho + 1$  such that  $\kappa_{\mathbf{a}}$  never vanishes on  $\mathbb{H}_r \times \mathbb{H}_r$ ,
- (ii) if  $\kappa_{\mathbf{a}}$  is  $\text{Aut}_L(\mathbb{H}_{\rho})$ -quasi-invariant with respect to some  $\mathcal{J}$ , then  $\kappa_{\mathbf{a}}$  is nowhere-vanishing.

*Proof.* (i): Suppose that the conclusion is not true. Thus, there exists a sequence  $\{(s_n, u_n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathbb{H}_{\rho} \times \mathbb{H}_{\rho}$  such that  $\{\Re(s_n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, \{\Re(u_n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  are unbounded and

$$\kappa_{\mathbf{a}}(s_n, u_n) = 0, \quad n \geq 1. \quad (4.3)$$

Since  $\kappa_{\mathbf{a}}$  is absolutely convergent on  $\mathbb{H}_{\rho+1} \times \mathbb{H}_{\rho+1}$ , an application of (2.3) shows that for any positive integers  $i$  and  $j$ ,

$$a_{i,j} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} i^{s_n} j^{u_n} \kappa_{\mathbf{a}}(s_n, u_n) \stackrel{(4.3)}{=} 0.$$

This shows that  $\mathbf{a} = 0$ .

(ii): Suppose that  $\kappa_{\mathbf{a}}$  vanishes at some point  $(s_0, u_0) \in \mathbb{H}_{\rho} \times \mathbb{H}_{\rho}$ . Since  $s \rightarrow \mathcal{J}(\psi, s)$  is a nowhere-vanishing function, by (4.1),

$$\kappa_{\mathbf{a}}(\psi_a(s_0), \psi_a(u_0)) = 0, \quad a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}, \quad (4.4)$$

where  $\psi_a(s) = a^2(s-\rho) + \rho$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{H}_{\rho}$ . Since  $\lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \psi_a(s) = \infty$  for any  $s \in \mathbb{H}_{\rho}$ , for every  $\rho' \geq \rho$ , there exists  $a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $\psi_a(s_0), \psi_a(u_0) \in \mathbb{H}_{\rho'}$ . This combined with (4.4) implies that  $\kappa_{\mathbf{a}}$  admits a zero in  $\mathbb{H}_{\rho'} \times \mathbb{H}_{\rho'}$  for every  $\rho' \geq \rho$ . In view of (i), this is not possible, and hence we get (ii).  $\square$

We also need a solution to the Hilbert's seventh problem in the proof of Theorem 4.2 (see [18, Chapter 10] and [23] for more details).

**Lemma 4.4.** *Let  $\omega$  be an algebraic and irrational real number, and let  $\psi : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a function defined by*

$$\psi(m, n) = \log(mn^{\omega}), \quad m, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$



Then  $\psi$  is an injective function and the range of  $\psi$  is a countably infinite subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

*Proof.* If  $\psi(m, n) = \psi(p, q)$  for some  $(m, n), (p, q) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ , then since logarithmic function on  $(0, \infty)$  is injective, we obtain

$$\frac{m}{p} = \left(\frac{q}{n}\right)^\omega. \quad (4.5)$$

Recall that the Gelfond-Schneider Theorem (see [18, Theorem 10.1]) asserts that if  $a$  and  $b$  are algebraic numbers with  $a \neq 0$ ,  $a \neq 1$ , and if  $b$  is not a real rational number, then any value of  $a^b$  is transcendental. If  $q \neq n$ , then applying this theorem to  $a = \frac{q}{n} \notin \{0, 1\}$  and  $b = \omega$ , we obtain that  $\left(\frac{q}{n}\right)^\omega$  is a transcendental number, which contradicts (4.5). Thus  $q = n$ , and hence by another application of (4.5),  $m = p$ , which proves that  $\psi$  is injective. The remaining part is now clear since  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  is countably infinite.  $\square$

We also need a characterization of  $G$ -quasi-invariant kernels  $\kappa$  with respect to some  $\mathcal{J} : G \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . To state this result, consider the map  $U_{g, \mathcal{J}}$  given by

$$U_{g, \mathcal{J}}(f)(s) = \mathcal{J}(g^{-1}, s)f(g^{-1} \cdot s), \quad f \in \text{Hol}(\Omega), \quad (4.6)$$

where  $g \in G$  is fixed but arbitrary. For the case of  $\Omega = \mathbb{D}$  and  $G = \text{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$ , this characterization appears in [13, Proposition 2.1], and for the general bounded domain  $\Omega$  with  $G = \text{Aut}(\Omega)$ , this is stated in [11, Proposition 6.6]. The proof given in [13] extends naturally to even unbounded domains.

**Theorem 4.5.** *Let  $\Omega$  be a domain and let  $G$  be a group acting on  $\Omega$ . Let  $\kappa : \Omega \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a positive semi-definite kernel and let  $\mathcal{H}(\kappa)$  be the reproducing kernel Hilbert space associated with  $\kappa$ . Then  $\kappa$  is  $G$ -quasi-invariant with respect to  $\mathcal{J}$  if and only if the linear map  $U_{g, \mathcal{J}}$ , as given in (4.6), is unitary on  $\mathcal{H}(\kappa)$  for all  $g \in G$ .*

*Proof of Theorem 4.2.* Clearly, the zero kernel function defined on any half plane  $\mathbb{H}_\rho$  satisfies (4.1) for  $\mathcal{J}(\psi, \cdot) = 1, \psi \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{H}_\rho)$ , which yields that  $\kappa_{\mathbf{a}}$  is quasi-invariant with respect to  $\mathcal{J}$ . Also, in this case, (iii) holds with  $f = 0$ . Hence, we may assume that  $\kappa_{\mathbf{a}}$  is non-zero. Also, since (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) is trivial, it suffices to check that (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii), (iii) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iv) and (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i).

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii): Suppose that  $\kappa_{\mathbf{a}}$  is quasi-invariant with respect to some  $\mathcal{J}$ . By Theorem 4.5,  $U_{\psi, \mathcal{J}}$  is unitary on  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}$  for every  $\psi \in \text{Aut}_L(\mathbb{H}_\rho)$ . By Theorem 2.3, the analytic symbol  $A_{n, \mathbf{a}} \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}$  for every integer  $n \geq 1$ . Let  $i, j$  be two positive integers. Since  $U_{\psi, \mathcal{J}}(A_{i, \mathbf{a}})$  and  $U_{\psi, \mathcal{J}}(A_{j, \mathbf{a}})$  belong to  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}$ , by Theorem 2.9(ii), we obtain sequences  $\{c_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  and  $\{d_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  such that

$$U_{\psi, \mathcal{J}}(A_{i, \mathbf{a}})(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n n^{-s}, \quad U_{\psi, \mathcal{J}}(A_{j, \mathbf{a}})(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n n^{-s}, \quad s \in \mathbb{H}_\rho. \quad (4.7)$$

By (4.6),

$$U_{\psi, \mathcal{J}}(A_{i, \mathbf{a}})A_{j, \mathbf{a}} \circ \psi^{-1} = U_{\psi, \mathcal{J}}(A_{j, \mathbf{a}})A_{i, \mathbf{a}} \circ \psi^{-1}, \quad \psi \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{H}_\rho). \quad (4.8)$$

For a positive algebraic and irrational number  $\omega$  (for example,  $\omega = \sqrt{2}$  will serve the purpose), let  $\psi(s) = \frac{1}{\omega}(s - \rho) + \rho, s \in \mathbb{H}_\rho$ . Since  $\psi^{-1}(s) =$

$\omega(s - \rho) + \rho$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{H}_\rho$ , by (4.7) and (4.8), we have

$$\left. \begin{aligned} & \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n n^{-s} \right) \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n,j} n^{(\omega-1)\rho} n^{-\omega s} \right) \\ & = \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n n^{-s} \right) \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n,i} n^{(\omega-1)\rho} n^{-\omega s} \right), \quad s \in \mathbb{H}_\rho. \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (4.9)$$

We may conclude from Remark 1.1 that all the general Dirichlet series appearing in (4.9) are absolutely convergent on  $\mathbb{H}_{\rho'}$ , where  $\rho' = \rho + \max\{1, \omega^{-1}\}$ . Since  $\varphi(m, n) = \log(m) + \omega \log(n)$  is injective on  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  (see Lemma 4.4), an application of Lemma 1.3 with  $\lambda_m = \log(m)$  and  $\mu_n = \omega \log(n)$  yields that (4.9) may be rewritten as

$$\sum_{q=1}^{\infty} c_{m_q} a_{n_q, j} n_q^{(\omega-1)\rho} e^{-\nu_q s} = \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} d_{m_q} a_{n_q, i} n_q^{(\omega-1)\rho} e^{-\nu_q s}, \quad s \in \mathbb{H}_{\rho'},$$

where  $\nu_q = \lambda_{m_q} + \mu_{n_q}$ . By Proposition 1.2,

$$c_{m_q} a_{n_q, j} = d_{m_q} a_{n_q, i} \text{ for all integers } q \geq 1. \quad (4.10)$$

Let  $\{\nu_{q_k}\}_{k \geq 1}$  be the sequence  $\{\varphi(k, i)\}_{k \geq 1}$ . Since  $\varphi$  is injective,  $(k, i) = \varphi^{-1}(\nu_{q_k}) = (m_{q_k}, n_{q_k})$  for every integer  $k \geq 1$ . Letting this in (4.10), we get  $c_k a_{i, j} = d_k a_{i, i}$  for every integer  $k \geq 1$ . If  $a_{i, i} = 0$ , then by (2.2),  $A_{i, \mathbf{a}} = 0$ . Otherwise, we obtain

$$d_k = \frac{a_{i, j}}{a_{i, i}} c_k, \quad \text{for every integer } k \geq 1.$$

By (4.7), it follows that  $U_{\psi, \mathcal{J}}(A_{i, \mathbf{a}})$  and  $U_{\psi, \mathcal{J}}(A_{j, \mathbf{a}})$  are linearly dependent. Since  $U_{\psi, \mathcal{J}}$  is unitary,  $A_{i, \mathbf{a}}$  and  $A_{j, \mathbf{a}}$  are linearly dependent. Since  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$  are fixed but arbitrary and  $\{A_{q, \mathbf{a}}\}_{q \geq 1}$  is a total set in  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}$  (see Theorem 2.9(iii)), the dimension of  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}$  is exactly 1. Since  $\dim(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}) = 1$ ,  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}$  is spanned by some function  $g$  of unit norm. Thus,  $\kappa_{\mathbf{a}}(\cdot, s) = c(s)g$  for some scalar  $c(s)$ , and hence define  $f : \mathbb{H}_\rho \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by  $f(s) = \overline{c(s)}$ . Thus, by (2.1),

$$\kappa_{\mathbf{a}}(s, u) = \langle \kappa_{\mathbf{a}}(\cdot, u), \kappa_{\mathbf{a}}(\cdot, s) \rangle = c(u)\overline{c(s)} = f(s)\overline{f(u)}, \quad s, u \in \mathbb{H}_\rho.$$

Since  $g$  is non-zero,  $g(u_0) \neq 0$  for some  $u_0 \in \mathbb{H}_\rho$ . Thus,  $f(\cdot) = \frac{\kappa_{\mathbf{a}}(\cdot, u_0)}{g(u_0)}$  is a Dirichlet series. Since  $\kappa_{\mathbf{a}}$  is nowhere-vanishing (see Lemma 4.3(ii)), so is  $f$ .

(iii) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iv): In view of the discussion in the last paragraph, this follows from [19, Proposition 2.19].

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): Since  $\kappa_{\mathbf{a}}$  is nonzero by assumption,  $f$  is nowhere-vanishing. Define  $\mathcal{J} : \text{Aut}(\mathbb{H}_\rho) \times \mathbb{H}_\rho \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by

$$\mathcal{J}(\psi, s) = \frac{f(s)}{f(\psi(s))}, \quad \psi \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{H}_\rho), s \in \mathbb{H}_\rho.$$

Since  $f$  is a holomorphic function and  $\psi \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{H}_\rho)$ ,  $\mathcal{J}(\psi, \cdot)$  is holomorphic for each  $\psi \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{H}_\rho)$ . Also,

$$\mathcal{J}(\psi, s) \kappa_{\mathbf{a}}(\psi(s), \psi(u)) \overline{\mathcal{J}(\psi, u)} = \kappa_{\mathbf{a}}(s, u), \quad s, u \in \mathbb{H}_\rho, \psi \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{H}_\rho).$$

Thus,  $\kappa_{\mathbf{a}}$  is quasi-invariant with respect to  $\mathcal{J}$ .  $\square$

The following is a manifestation of the fact that Bergman-type kernels are never invariant under the automorphism group (see [17, Section 3]).

**Corollary 4.6.** *Let  $\mathbf{a} = (a_{m,n})_{m,n=1}^{\infty}$  be a matrix with complex entries and  $\kappa_{\mathbf{a}} : \mathbb{H}_{\rho} \times \mathbb{H}_{\rho} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be the Dirichlet series kernel with the coefficient matrix  $\mathbf{a}$ . If  $\kappa_{\mathbf{a}}$  is non-constant, then it is never  $\text{Aut}_L(\mathbb{H}_{\rho})$ -invariant.*

*Proof.* Assume that  $\kappa_{\mathbf{a}}$  is  $\text{Aut}_L(\mathbb{H}_{\rho})$ -invariant. Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $\kappa_{\mathbf{a}}$  non-zero. Thus,  $\kappa_{\mathbf{a}}$  is  $\text{Aut}_L(\mathbb{H}_{\rho})$ -quasi-invariant with respect to  $\mathcal{J}(\psi, s) = 1$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{H}_{\rho}$ ,  $\psi \in \text{Aut}_L(\mathbb{H}_{\rho})$ . Hence, by Theorem 4.2, there exists a nowhere-vanishing Dirichlet series  $f : \mathbb{H}_{\rho} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$\kappa_{\mathbf{a}}(s, u) = f(s)\overline{f(u)}, \quad s, u \in \mathbb{H}_{\rho}. \quad (4.11)$$

Moreover, by Theorem 2.9(ii),  $f(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n n^{-s}$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{H}_{\rho}$ , where  $c_n = \langle f, A_{n, \mathbf{a}} \rangle$ . Since  $\kappa_{\mathbf{a}}$  is invariant under translation automorphisms  $\phi_b$  (see (4.2)), by (4.11), we obtain

$$\sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} c_m \overline{c_n} m^{ib} n^{-ib} m^{-s} n^{-\bar{u}} = \sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} c_m \overline{c_n} m^{-s} n^{-\bar{u}}, \quad b \in \mathbb{R}, \quad s, u \in \mathbb{H}_{\rho}.$$

By Lemma 1.4(iii), we obtain

$$c_m \overline{c_n} (m^{ib} n^{-ib} - 1) = 0, \quad m \neq n, \quad b \in \mathbb{R},$$

or equivalently,  $c_m \overline{c_n} = 0$  for every pair of integers  $m, n$  such that  $m \neq n$ . This implies that the cardinality of  $\{m \in \mathbb{N} : c_m \neq 0\}$  is either 0 or 1. Since  $f \neq 0$ , the cardinality of  $\{m \in \mathbb{N} : c_m \neq 0\}$  must be 1. If  $c_j \neq 0$  for some  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , then

$$\kappa_{\mathbf{a}}(s, u) = c_j^2 j^{-s-\bar{u}}, \quad s, u \in \mathbb{H}_{\rho}. \quad (4.12)$$

Then by the invariance of  $\kappa_{\mathbf{a}}$ , for  $\psi(s) = a^2(s - \rho) + \rho$ ,  $a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ , we obtain

$$j^{-a^2(s+\bar{u})+2\rho(a^2-1)} = j^{-s-\bar{u}}, \quad s, u \in \mathbb{H}_{\rho}.$$

In particular, for  $u = 2\rho$ , this implies that  $j^{(1-a^2)s} = 1$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{H}_{\rho}$ . So,  $j$  must be 1, which by (4.12) yields that  $\kappa_{\mathbf{a}}$  is constant. This completes the proof.  $\square$

## 5. $\mathcal{T}$ -HOMOGENEOUS OPERATORS

Let  $\mathbf{a} = (a_{m,n})_{m,n=1}^{\infty}$  be a matrix with complex entries and  $\kappa_{\mathbf{a}} : \mathbb{H}_{\rho} \times \mathbb{H}_{\rho} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be the positive semi-definite Dirichlet series kernel with the coefficient matrix  $\mathbf{a}$ . Let  $\phi_b$  be given by (4.2) and let  $\mathcal{T} = \{\phi_b : b \in \mathbb{R}\}$  be the subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{H}_{\rho})$ . We say that  $\kappa_{\mathbf{a}}$  is *translation-invariant* if it is  $\mathcal{T}$ -invariant, that is,

$$\kappa_{\mathbf{a}}(\phi_b(s), \phi_b(u)) = \kappa_{\mathbf{a}}(s, u), \quad b \in \mathbb{R}, \quad s, u \in \mathbb{H}_{\rho}.$$

It is easy to characterize all translation-invariant Dirichlet series kernels.

**Proposition 5.1.** *Let  $\mathbf{a} = (a_{m,n})_{m,n=1}^{\infty}$  be a matrix with complex entries and  $\kappa_{\mathbf{a}} : \mathbb{H}_{\rho} \times \mathbb{H}_{\rho} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be the positive semi-definite Dirichlet series kernel with the coefficient matrix  $\mathbf{a}$ . Then  $\kappa_{\mathbf{a}}$  is translation-invariant if and only if  $\mathbf{a}$  is a diagonal matrix.*

*Proof.* We argue as in the proof of Corollary 4.6. Note that for any  $s, u \in \mathbb{H}_{\rho}$  and  $b \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\kappa_{\mathbf{a}}(\phi_b(s), \phi_b(u)) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{m,m} m^{-\phi_b(s)-\overline{\phi_b(u)}} + \sum_{m \neq n}^{\infty} a_{m,n} m^{-\phi_b(s)} n^{-\overline{\phi_b(u)}}$$

$$= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{m,m} m^{-s-\bar{u}} + \sum_{m \neq n}^{\infty} a_{m,n} m^{ib} n^{-ib} m^{-s} n^{-\bar{u}}.$$

Thus,  $\kappa_{\mathbf{a}}(\phi_b(s), \phi_b(u)) = \kappa_{\mathbf{a}}(s, u)$  holds for  $b \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $s, u \in \mathbb{H}_{\rho}$  if and only if

$$\sum_{m \neq n}^{\infty} a_{m,n} m^{ib} n^{-ib} m^{-s} n^{-\bar{u}} = \sum_{m \neq n}^{\infty} a_{m,n} m^{-s} n^{-\bar{u}}, \quad b \in \mathbb{R}, \quad s, u \in \mathbb{H}_{\rho}.$$

By Lemma 1.4(iii), this is equivalent to

$$a_{m,n} (m^{ib} n^{-ib} - 1) = 0, \quad m \neq n, \quad b \in \mathbb{R},$$

or equivalently,  $a_{m,n} = 0$  for every  $m \neq n$ ; that is,  $\kappa_{\mathbf{a}}$  is a diagonal Dirichlet series kernel. This completes the proof.  $\square$

Consider the diagonal matrix  $\text{diag}(\mathbf{a})$  with diagonal entries  $\mathbf{a} := \{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  of positive real numbers. Let  $\kappa_{\text{diag}(\mathbf{a})}$  be the Dirichlet series kernel with the coefficient matrix  $\text{diag}(\mathbf{a})$ , that is,

$$\kappa_{\text{diag}(\mathbf{a})}(s, u) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n n^{-s-\bar{u}}, \quad s, u \in \mathbb{H}_{\rho}.$$

For sake of convenience, we denote  $\kappa_{\text{diag}(\mathbf{a})}$  by  $\kappa_{\mathbf{a}}$ , and we refer to  $\kappa_{\mathbf{a}}$  as the *diagonal Dirichlet series kernel associated with  $\mathbf{a}$* . Clearly, the kernel  $\kappa_{\mathbf{a}}$  is a positive definite Dirichlet series kernel (see [16, Lemma 20]).

In the remaining part of this section, we analyze a class of operators acting on the reproducing kernel Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}$  associated with a diagonal Dirichlet series kernel  $\kappa_{\mathbf{a}}$ . We begin with a notion reminiscent of that of the circularity introduced in [4] (variants of this notion appeared in [7, 13]).

**Definition 5.2.** Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a complex Hilbert space. A densely defined linear operator  $T$  with domain  $\mathcal{D}(T) \subseteq \mathcal{H}$  is said to be  $\mathcal{T}$ -homogeneous if for every  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ , there exists a unitary  $U_c : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  such that  $U_c$  maps  $\mathcal{D}(T)$  into  $\mathcal{D}(T)$  and satisfies

$$U_c T f = (T - icI) U_c f, \quad f \in \mathcal{D}(T).$$

**Remark 5.3.** If  $T$  is  $\mathcal{T}$ -homogeneous and if  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $T$ , then for every  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\lambda - ic$  is an eigenvalue of  $T$ . Thus, if the point-spectrum  $\sigma_p(T)$  of any  $\mathcal{T}$ -homogeneous operator  $T$  is nonempty, then

$$\sigma_p(T) = \{\lambda - ic : \lambda \in \sigma_p(T), c \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

Similar remark is valid for the spectrum. By [10, Theorem 3.6], the spectrum of a bounded linear operator  $T$  on a nonzero Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  is never empty, and hence any  $\mathcal{T}$ -homogeneous operator  $T$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  is necessarily unbounded.

For the sake of convenience, we refer to a sequence  $\mathbf{a}$  of real numbers as *admissible sequence* if the support  $\text{supp}(\mathbf{a})$  of  $\mathbf{a}$  is an infinite multiplicative subset of  $\mathbb{N}$  such that there exist positive integers  $p, q \in \text{supp}(\mathbf{a}) \setminus \{1\}$  with  $\text{gcd}(p, q) = 1$ . Clearly,  $\{n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is an admissible sequence.

The following result produces a family of  $\mathcal{T}$ -homogeneous operators on reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces associated with diagonal Dirichlet series kernels.

**Theorem 5.4.** Let  $\mathbf{a} = \{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be an admissible sequence of non-negative real numbers and let  $\kappa_{\mathbf{a}} : \mathbb{H}_{\rho} \times \mathbb{H}_{\rho} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be the diagonal Dirichlet series kernel associated with  $\mathbf{a}$ . For  $u \in \Omega$ , let  $\kappa_{\mathbf{a},u}$  denote the function  $\kappa_{\mathbf{a}}(\cdot, u)$  in  $\mathcal{H}(\kappa_{\mathbf{a}})$ . For every real number  $a > \rho$ , define a linear operator  $T_a$  on  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}$  by

$$T_a \kappa_{\mathbf{a},a+ib} = ib \kappa_{\mathbf{a},a+ib}, \quad b \in \mathbb{R},$$

and extend it linearly to  $\mathcal{D}(T_a) := \text{span}\{\kappa_{\mathbf{a},a+ib} : b \in \mathbb{R}\}$ . Then the following statements are valid:

- (i)  $T_a$  is a  $\mathcal{T}$ -homogeneous operator in  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}$ ,
- (ii) the domain  $\mathcal{D}(T_a^*)$  of  $T_a^*$  is trivial.

In this case,  $T_a$  is not closable.

The proof of Theorem 5.4 relies on a couple of facts.

**Proposition 5.5.** Let  $\mathbf{b} = \{b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be an admissible sequence of real numbers. For every  $b \in \mathbb{R}$ , assume that the Dirichlet series

$$f_b(s) = \sum_{n \in \text{supp}(\mathbf{b})} n^{ib} b_n n^{-s}$$

converges on  $\mathbb{H}_{\rho}$  for some  $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then the family  $\{f_b : b \in \mathbb{R}\}$  is linearly independent in  $\text{Hol}(\mathbb{H}_{\rho})$ .

*Proof.* The proof is divided into two steps.

**Step 1:** We prove by induction on  $N \geq 1$  that

if there exist  $c_1, \dots, c_N \in \mathbb{C}$  and distinct numbers  $d_1, \dots, d_N \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\sum_{j=1}^N c_j n^{id_j} = 0$  for every integer  $n \in \text{supp}(\mathbf{b})$ , then  $c_j = 0$  for every  $j = 1, \dots, N$ .

If  $N = 1$ , then this is trivial. Assume that (5) holds for  $N$ . Suppose to the contrary, that (5) does not hold for  $N + 1$ . After renaming and the coefficients  $c_1, \dots, c_{N+1}$  and distinct real numbers  $d_1, \dots, d_{N+1}$ , we may assume that

$$n^{id_0} = \sum_{j=1}^N c_j n^{id_j}, \quad n \in \text{supp}(\mathbf{b}). \quad (5.1)$$

Thus, there exists  $l \in \{1, \dots, N\}$  such that  $c_l \neq 0$ . For integers  $m, n \in \text{supp}(\mathbf{b})$ , by (5.1) and the multiplicativity of  $\text{supp}(\mathbf{b})$ ,

$$\sum_{j=1}^N c_j m^{id_j} n^{id_j} = (mn)^{id_0} = m^{id_0} n^{id_0} = \sum_{j=1}^N c_j m^{id_0} n^{id_j},$$

and hence we obtain

$$\sum_{j=1}^N c_j (m^{id_0} - m^{id_j}) n^{id_j} = 0, \quad m, n \in \text{supp}(\mathbf{b}).$$

By the induction hypothesis, we have  $c_j (m^{id_0} - m^{id_j}) = 0$  for  $j = 1, \dots, N$  and integers  $m \in \text{supp}(\mathbf{b})$ . Since  $c_l \neq 0$ , we get  $m^{id_0} = m^{id_l}$  for every integer  $m \in \text{supp}(\mathbf{b})$ . Thus, for every integer  $m \in \text{supp}(\mathbf{b})$ , there exists a nonzero integer  $k_m$  such that

$$(d_0 - d_l) \log(m) = 2k_m \pi. \quad (5.2)$$

Since  $\mathbf{b}$  is admissible,  $\text{supp}(\mathbf{b})$  contain integers  $p, q \neq 1$  with  $\gcd(p, q) = 1$ . Thus, by (5.2), we obtain  $\frac{\log(p)}{\log(q)} = \frac{k_p}{k_q}$ , which is not possible since  $\frac{\log(p)}{\log(q)}$  is an irrational number (consult the Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic). Hence, (5) holds true for  $k = N + 1$ .

**Step 2:** To prove the linear independence of the family  $\{f_b : b \in \mathbb{R}\}$ , suppose that there exists  $\{c_j\}_{j=1}^N \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  and  $\{d_j\}_{j=1}^N \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^N c_j f_{d_j}(s) = 0, \quad s \in \mathbb{H}_\rho.$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\sum_{n \in \text{supp}(\mathbf{b})} \left( \sum_{j=1}^N c_j n^{id_j} \right) b_n n^{-s} = 0, \quad s \in \mathbb{H}_\rho.$$

Since each  $b_n \neq 0$  for  $n \in \text{supp}(\mathbf{b})$ , by Proposition 1.2, we obtain

$$\sum_{j=1}^N c_j n^{id_j} = 0, \quad n \in \text{supp}(\mathbf{b}).$$

Thus, by Step 1, we obtain that

$$c_j = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, N.$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.6.** *Under the assumptions of Theorem 5.4, for every real number  $a > \rho$ , the family  $\{\kappa_{\mathbf{a}, a+ib} : b \in \mathbb{R}\}$  is a linearly independent total subset of  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}$ .*

*Proof.* Define  $\mathbf{b}$  by

$$b_n = \begin{cases} a_n n^{-a} & \text{if } n \in \text{supp}(\mathbf{a}), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Applying Proposition 5.5 to  $\mathbf{b}$ , we obtain the linear independence of  $\{\kappa_{\mathbf{a}, a+ib} : b \in \mathbb{R}\}$ . The remaining part follows from the reproducing property (2.1) and an application of the identity theorem.  $\square$

As a consequence of Corollary 5.6, we conclude that the vertical translates of the Riemann zeta function are linearly independent in  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{1}}$ . We also need the following lemma in the proof of Theorem 5.4.

**Lemma 5.7.** *Let  $\mathbf{a} = \{a_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a sequence of nonnegative real numbers and  $\kappa_{\mathbf{a}} : \mathbb{H}_\rho \times \mathbb{H}_\rho \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be the diagonal Dirichlet series kernel associated with  $\mathbf{a}$ . For  $b \in \mathbb{R}$  and an admissible sequence  $\mathbf{b} = \{b_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  of real numbers such that  $\text{supp}(\mathbf{b}) = \text{supp}(\mathbf{a})$ , consider the Dirichlet series*

$$f_b(s) = \sum_{n \in \text{supp}(\mathbf{b})} n^{ib} b_n n^{-s}, \quad s \in \mathbb{H}_\rho.$$

*Assume that the family  $\{f_b : b \in \mathbb{R}\}$  is a total subset of  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}$ . Define a linear operator  $T$  on  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}$  by*

$$Tf_b = ibf_b, \quad b \in \mathbb{R},$$

and extend it linearly to  $\mathcal{D}(T) = \text{span}\{f_b : b \in \mathbb{R}\}$ . Then  $T$  is a  $\mathcal{T}$ -homogeneous operator in  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}$ . If, in addition, there exists a positive number  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\sum_{n \in \text{supp}(\mathbf{a})} \frac{n^{2\delta} b_n^2}{a_n} < \infty, \quad (5.3)$$

then the domain  $\mathcal{D}(T^*)$  of the Hilbert space adjoint  $T^*$  of  $T$  is trivial.

*Proof.* By Proposition 5.5,  $T$  is well defined and by the assumption,  $T$  is a densely defined operator. Let  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  and define a unitary  $U_c$  by setting  $U_c(n^{-s}) = n^{ic} n^{-s}$ ,  $n \in \text{supp}(\mathbf{a})$ , and extending it linearly and continuously to  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}$ . Note that

$$(U_c f_b)(s) = \sum_{n \in \text{supp}(\mathbf{a})} n^{ib} b_n U_c(n^{-s}) = f_{b+c}(s), \quad b, c \in \mathbb{R}, s \in \mathbb{H}_{\rho}, \quad (5.4)$$

and hence  $\mathcal{D}(T)$  is invariant under  $U_c$ . It follows that for any  $b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$U_c T f_b = ib U_c f_b \stackrel{(5.4)}{=} ib f_{b+c}, \quad (5.5)$$

and consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} (T - icI)U_c f_b &\stackrel{(5.4)}{=} (T - icI)f_{b+c} \\ &= i(b+c)f_{b+c} - icf_{b+c} \\ &\stackrel{(5.5)}{=} U_c T f_b. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $T$  is a  $\mathcal{T}$ -homogeneous operator in  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}$ .

Assume that (5.3) holds for some  $\delta > 0$ , and let  $h \in \mathcal{D}(T^*)$ . Thus, there exists a Dirichlet series  $g_h \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}$  such that

$$-ib \langle h, f_b \rangle = \langle h, T f_b \rangle = \langle g_h, f_b \rangle, \quad b \in \mathbb{R}.$$

It follows that

$$-ib \phi_1(ib) = \phi_2(ib), \quad b \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (5.6)$$

where  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  are formal Dirichlet series given by

$$\phi_1(s) = \sum_{n \in \text{supp}(\mathbf{a})} \frac{1}{a_n} \hat{h}(n) b_n n^{-s}, \quad \phi_2(s) = \sum_{n \in \text{supp}(\mathbf{a})} \frac{1}{a_n} \hat{g}_h(n) b_n n^{-s}$$

with  $\hat{h}(\cdot)$  and  $\hat{g}_h(\cdot)$  denoting the Dirichlet coefficients of the Dirichlet series  $h$  and  $g_h$ , respectively. By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{n \in \text{supp}(\mathbf{a})} \frac{1}{a_n} \hat{h}(n) b_n n^{\delta} \right| &\leq \sum_{n \in \text{supp}(\mathbf{a})} \frac{1}{a_n} |\hat{h}(n)| b_n n^{\delta} \\ &\leq \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{a}}} \left( \sum_{n \in \text{supp}(\mathbf{a})} \frac{n^{2\delta} b_n^2}{a_n} \right)^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

which is finite by (5.3). Thus,  $\phi_1$  is absolutely convergent on  $\mathbb{H}_{-\delta}$ . A similar argument shows that  $\phi_2$  is also absolutely convergent on  $\mathbb{H}_{-\delta}$ . Hence  $s\phi_1(s)$  and  $\phi_2(s)$  are holomorphic functions on  $\mathbb{H}_{-\delta}$ . Since  $-s\phi_1(s)$  and  $\phi_2(s)$  agree on the imaginary axis (see (5.6)), by the identity theorem (see [21]),

$$-s\phi_1(s) = \phi_2(s), \quad s \in \mathbb{H}_{-\delta}. \quad (5.7)$$

We claim that  $\hat{\phi}_1(n) = 0$  and  $\hat{\phi}_2(n) = 0$  for every integer  $n \geq 1$  (although we need to check this for every  $n \in \text{supp}(\mathbf{a})$ , we find it convenient to check the same for all positive integers  $n$ ), where  $\hat{\phi}_j(n)$  denotes the  $n$ th Dirichlet coefficient of  $\phi_j, j = 1, 2$ . First we show that  $\hat{\phi}_1(1) = 0$ . Indeed, for  $s \in \mathbb{H}_0$ , by (5.7),

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \phi_1(s) = - \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\phi_2(s)}{s} = 0,$$

which yields  $\hat{\phi}_1(1) = 0$ . Hence, we obtain,

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \phi_2(s) = - \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} s\phi_1(s) = - \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{s}{2^s} \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} 2^s \phi_1(s) = 0,$$

which yields that  $\hat{\phi}_2(1) = 0$ . Assuming that  $\hat{\phi}_1(k) = 0$  and  $\hat{\phi}_2(k) = 0$  for  $k = 1, \dots, n-1$ , we get

$$\sum_{m=n}^{\infty} \hat{\phi}_1(m) \left(\frac{m}{n}\right)^{-s} = -\frac{1}{s} \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} \hat{\phi}_2(m) \left(\frac{m}{n}\right)^{-s}, \quad s \in \mathbb{H}_0,$$

and hence letting  $s \rightarrow \infty$ , we obtain  $\hat{\phi}_1(n) = 0$  and  $\hat{\phi}_2(n) = 0$ . Since  $\hat{\phi}_1(n) = \frac{1}{a_n} \hat{h}(n) b_n$  for every integer  $n \geq 1$ , it follows that  $h = 0$ . This shows that  $\mathcal{D}(T^*) = \{0\}$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 5.4.* Part (i) follows from Corollary 5.6 and Lemma 5.7. To see (ii), let  $a > \rho$  and choose  $\delta > 0$  such that  $a - \delta > \rho$ . For  $b_n = a_n n^{-a}$ ,  $n \in \text{supp}(\mathbf{a})$ , note that

$$\sum_{n \in \text{supp}(\mathbf{a})} \frac{n^{2\delta} b_n^2}{a_n} = \sum_{n \in \text{supp}(\mathbf{a})} a_n n^{-2(a-\delta)} = \kappa_{\mathbf{a}}(a - \delta, a - \delta) < \infty.$$

It now follows from Lemma 5.7 that  $\mathcal{D}(T^*)$  is trivial. Since a densely defined linear operator is closable if and only if its adjoint is densely defined (see [20, Theorem 1.8(i)]), the remaining part follows from (ii).  $\square$

We do not know whether or not there exists a closed  $\mathcal{S}$ -homogeneous operator on a reproducing kernel Hilbert space associated with a Dirichlet series kernel.

*Acknowledgment:* The authors convey their sincere thanks to Md. Ramiz Reza, Soumitra Ghara and Rahul Singh for some helpful discussions concerning the subject of the paper.

*Declarations:*

**Conflict of interest** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

## REFERENCES

- [1] G. T. Adams, J. Froelich, P. J. McGuire and V. I. Paulsen, Analytic reproducing kernels and factorization, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **43** (1994), 839-856.
- [2] J. Agler, J. E. McCarthy and N. J. Young, *Operator Analysis: Hilbert Space Methods in Complex Analysis*, Cambridge University Press, 2020. xv+375 pp.
- [3] T. M. Apostol, *Introduction to analytic number theory*, Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1976. xii+338 pp.



- [4] W. Arveson, D. Hadwin, T. Hoover and E. Kymala, Circular operators, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **33** (1984), 583-595.
- [5] F. Bayart, J. Castillo-Medina, D. García, M. Maestre and P. Sevilla-Peris, Composition operators on spaces of double Dirichlet series, *Rev. Mat. Complut.* **34** (2021), 215-237.
- [6] R. Bhatia, *Perturbation bounds for matrix eigenvalues*, Classics in Applied Mathematics, 53. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Philadelphia, PA, 2007. xvi+191 pp.
- [7] B. Bekker and M. B. Bekker, On selfadjoint homogeneous operators, *Complex Anal. Oper. Theory* **7** (2013), 9-31.
- [8] J. Castillo-Medina and D. García, M. Maestre, Isometries between spaces of multiple Dirichlet series, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **472** (2019), 526-545.
- [9] R. Curto and N. Salinas, Generalized Bergman kernels and the Cowen-Douglas theory, *Amer. J. Math.* **106** (1984), 447-488.
- [10] J. B. Conway. *A course in functional analysis*, Second edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 96. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1990, xvi+399 pp.
- [11] S. Ghara and G. Misra, Decomposition of the tensor product of two Hilbert modules. Operator theory, operator algebras and their interactions with geometry and topology-Ronald G. Douglas memorial volume, 221-265, *Oper. Theory Adv. Appl.* **278**, Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 2020.
- [12] G. H. Hardy and M. Riesz. *The General Theory of Dirichlet Series*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics and Mathematical Physics, 18. Stechert-Hafner, Inc., New York, 1964, vii+78 pp.
- [13] A. Korányi and G. Misra. Homogeneous operators on Hilbert spaces of holomorphic functions. *J. Funct. Anal.* **254(9)**(2008), 2419-2436.
- [14] S. G. Krantz, *Function theory of several complex variables*, AMS Chelsea Publishing, Providence, RI, 2001, xvi+564 pp..
- [15] J. E. McCarthy, Hilbert spaces of Dirichlet series and their multipliers, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **356** (2004), 881-893.
- [16] J. E. McCarthy and O. M. Shalit, Spaces of Dirichlet series with the complete Pick property, *Israel J. Math.* **220** (2017), 509-530.
- [17] G. Misra, The Bergman kernel function, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* **41** (2010), 189-197.
- [18] I. Niven. *Irrational numbers*, The Carus Mathematical Monographs, 11. The Mathematical Association of America, New York, 1956, xii+164 pp.
- [19] V. Paulsen and M. Raghupathi, *An introduction to the theory of reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 152. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2016. x+182 pp.
- [20] K. Schmüdgen, *Unbounded self-adjoint operators on Hilbert space*, Springer, 2012, xx+432 pp.
- [21] E. M. Stein and R. Shakarchi, *Complex analysis*, Princeton University Press, 2003, xviii+379 pp.
- [22] E. C. Titchmarsh, *The theory of functions*, Reprint of the second (1939) edition. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1958. x+454 pp.
- [23] R. Tubbs, *Hilbert's seventh problem. Solutions and extensions*. HBA Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer, Singapore; 2016. ix+85 pp.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
KANPUR, INDIA

*Email address:* [chavan@iitk.ac.in](mailto:chavan@iitk.ac.in)

*Email address:* [chamanks@iitk.ac.in](mailto:chamanks@iitk.ac.in)