

# ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR OF LEAST ENERGY SOLUTIONS TO THE FINSLER LANE-EMDEN PROBLEM WITH LARGE EXPONENTS

SADAF HABIBI<sup>1</sup> AND FUTOSHI TAKAHASHI<sup>2</sup>

ABSTRACT. In this paper we are concerned with the least energy solutions to the Lane-Emden problem driven by an anisotropic operator, so-called the Finsler  $N$ -Laplacian, on a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . We prove several asymptotic formulae as the nonlinear exponent gets large.

*Key words:* Finsler Lane-Emden problem, Finsler Laplacian, Least energy solution

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:* 35J35, 35J60

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $N \geq 2$  be an integer. In this paper, we study the following Lane-Emden problem driven by an anisotropic operator  $Q_N$ :

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} -Q_N u = u^p & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u > 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where  $\Omega$  is a smooth bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $p > 1$  is any positive number, and  $Q_N$  is a quasilinear operator, so-called *the Finsler  $N$ -Laplacian*, defined by

$$Q_N u = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (H(\nabla u)^{N-1} H_{\xi_i}(\nabla u)).$$

---

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Osaka City University, 3-3-138 Sugimoto, Sumiyoshi-ku, Osaka 558-8585, Japan.  
e-mail:habibzaisadaf@gmail.com

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Osaka City University, 3-3-138 Sugimoto, Sumiyoshi-ku, Osaka 558-8585, Japan.  
e-mail:futoshi@sci.osaka-cu.ac.jp

*Date:* August 19, 2021.

Here  $H \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\})$  is any norm on  $\mathbb{R}^N$  and  $H_{\xi_i}(\xi) = \frac{\partial H(\xi)}{\partial \xi_i}$ . We assume that  $H^N \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and  $\text{Hess}(H^N(\xi))$  is positive definite for any  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $\xi \neq 0$ . Note that  $Q_N u$  can be written as

$$Q_N u = \text{div} \left( \nabla_{\xi} \left( \frac{1}{N} H(\xi)^N \right) \Big|_{\xi = \nabla u} \right) = \sum_{i,j=1}^N a_{ij}(\nabla u) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j},$$

where  $a_{ij}(\nabla u) = \text{Hess}(\frac{1}{N} H^N(\xi))_{i,j} \Big|_{\xi = \nabla u}$ . If  $H(\xi) = |\xi|$  (the Euclidean norm), then  $Q_N u$  coincides with the  $N$ -Laplacian  $\Delta_N u = \text{div}(|\nabla u|^{N-2} \nabla u)$  of a function  $u$ . In this case, the problem (1.1) was treated by Ren and Wei [21] [22] when  $N = 2$ , and in [23] for general  $N \geq 2$ . Ren and Wei [23] considered the least energy solution  $u_p$  of the following quasilinear problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_N u = u^p & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u > 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where  $\Omega$  is a smooth bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . They studied the asymptotic behavior of  $u_p$  as the nonlinear exponent  $p \rightarrow \infty$ , and proved that the least energy solutions remain bounded in  $L^\infty$ -norm regardless of  $p$ . When the dimension  $N = 2$ , they showed that the least energy solutions must develop one ‘‘peak’’ in the interior of  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ , that is, the shape of graph of  $u_p$  looks like a single spike as  $p \rightarrow \infty$ . Moreover they showed that this peak point must be a critical point of the Robin function of the domain. For other generalizations of this problem to various situations, see for example, [28], [29], [30], [24], [25].

Now, main aim of the paper is to extend the results of Ren and Wei [21], [22], [23] to the anisotropic problem (1.1).

As in [21], [22], [23], we restrict our attention to the least energy solutions to (1.1) constructed as follows:

Consider the constrained minimization problem:

$$(1.2) \quad C_p = \inf \left\{ \int_{\Omega} H(\nabla u)^N dx : u \in W_0^{1,N}(\Omega), \int_{\Omega} |u|^{L^{p+1}(\Omega)} dx = 1 \right\}.$$

Since the Sobolev imbedding  $W_0^{1,N}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{p+1}(\Omega)$  is compact for any  $p > 1$ , we have at least one minimizer  $\underline{u}_p$  for the problem (1.2), where  $\underline{u}_p \in W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$ ,  $\|\underline{u}_p\|_{p+1} = 1$ . As  $|\underline{u}_p| \in W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$  also achieves  $C_p$ , we may assume  $\underline{u}_p > 0$ . Note that  $Q_N(cu) = c^{N-1} Q_N(u)$  for a constant  $c > 0$ . Thus if we define

$$u_p = C_p^{\frac{1}{p+1-N}} \underline{u}_p,$$

then  $u_p$  solves (1.1) and  $C_p = \int_{\Omega} H(\nabla u_p)^N dx / (\int_{\Omega} |u_p|^{p+1} dx)^{\frac{N}{p+1}}$ . Standard regularity argument implies that any weak solution  $u \in W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$  satisfies  $u \in C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$  for some  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ . We call  $u_p$  the *least energy solution* to (1.1).

Our first result is the following  $L^\infty$ -bound of least energy solutions.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $u_p$  be a least energy solution to (1.1). Then there exist  $C_1, C_2$  (independent of  $p$ ), such that*

$$0 < C_1 \leq \|u_p\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C_2 < \infty$$

for  $p$  large enough.

Furthermore, we have

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} p^{N-1} \int_{\Omega} H(\nabla u_p)^N dx = \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} p^{N-1} \int_{\Omega} u_p^{p+1} dx = \left( \frac{Ne\beta_N}{N-1} \right)^{N-1}$$

where  $\beta_N = N(N\kappa_N)^{\frac{1}{N-1}}$ ,  $\kappa_N = |\mathcal{W}|$  is the volume (with respect to the  $N$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure) of the unit Wulff ball associated with the dual norm  $H^0$  of  $H$ :

$$\mathcal{W} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : H^0(x) < 1\}.$$

On the asymptotic behavior of the  $L^\infty$ -norm of  $u_p$ , we have

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $u_p$  be a least energy solution to (1.1). Then it holds that*

$$1 \leq \limsup_{p \rightarrow \infty} \|u_p\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq e^{\frac{N-1}{N}}.$$

To state further results, we need some definitions. Set

$$(1.3) \quad v_p = \frac{u_p}{\left(\int_{\Omega} u_p^p dx\right)^{\frac{1}{N-1}}}.$$

Then  $v_p$  is a weak solution of

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{cases} -Q_N v_p = f_p(x) = \frac{u_p^p}{\int_{\Omega} u_p^p dx} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ v_p > 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ v_p = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

For  $X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $X, Y \neq 0$ ,  $X \neq Y$ , we put

$$d(X, Y) = \frac{(H^{N-1}(X)(\nabla_{\xi} H)(X) - H^{N-1}(Y)(\nabla_{\xi} H)(Y)) \cdot (X - Y)}{H^N(X - Y)},$$

and

$$(1.5) \quad d_N = \inf\{d(X, Y) \mid X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^N, X, Y \neq 0, X \neq Y\},$$

where  $X \cdot Y = \sum_{j=1}^N X_j Y_j$  denotes the usual inner product for  $X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^N$ . As in [32] Lemma 5.1, we can obtain the estimate

$$\min\left\{\frac{\lambda}{\beta^N}, 1\right\} \leq d_N \leq 1$$

where  $\lambda$  is the least eigenvalue of  $\text{Hess}\left(\frac{1}{N}H^N(\xi)\right)$ , which is positive by the assumption (2.2) and  $\beta$  is as in (2.3), see §2. Also define

$$(1.6) \quad L_0 = \limsup_{p \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p \left(\int_{\Omega} u_p^p dx\right)^{\frac{1}{N-1}}}{\left(\frac{N}{N-1} e^{\frac{N-1}{N}}\right)}, \quad L_1 = d_N^{-\left(\frac{1}{N-1}\right)} L_0.$$

For a sequence  $v_{p_n}$  of  $v_p$ , we define the *blow-up set*  $S$  of  $\{v_{p_n}\}$  as usual:

$$S = \{x \in \bar{\Omega} : \exists \text{ a subsequence } v_{p'_n}, \exists \{x_n\} \subset \Omega \text{ s.t. } x_n \rightarrow x \text{ and } v_{p'_n}(x_n) \rightarrow \infty\}.$$

In the following,  $\sharp A$  denotes the cardinality of a set  $A$  and  $[\cdot]$  denotes the Gauss symbol.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  be a smooth bounded domain. Then for any sequence  $v_{p_n}$  of  $v_p$  with  $p_n \rightarrow \infty$ , the blow-up set  $S$  of  $v_{p_n}$  is non-empty. Also there exists a subsequence (still denoted by  $v_{p_n}$ ) such that the estimate*

$$\sharp(S \cap \Omega) \leq \left[ \frac{e^{\frac{N-1}{N}}}{d_N} \right]$$

holds true for this subsequence.

Assume  $S \cap \Omega = \{x_1, \dots, x_k\} \subset \Omega$ . Then we have

(i)

$$f_n = \frac{u_{p_n}^{p_n}}{\int_{\Omega} u_{p_n}^{p_n} dx} \overset{*}{\rightharpoonup} \sum_{i=1}^k \gamma_i \delta_{x_i}$$

in the sense of Radon measures of  $\Omega$ , where

$$\gamma_i \geq \left(\frac{\beta_N}{L_1}\right)^{N-1}$$

and  $\sum_{i=1}^k \gamma_i \leq 1$ .

(ii)  $v_{p_n} \rightarrow G$  in  $C_{loc}^1(\Omega \setminus (S \cap \Omega))$  for some function  $G$  satisfying

$$\begin{cases} -Q_N G = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \setminus (S \cap \Omega), \\ G = +\infty & \text{on } S \cap \Omega, \\ G = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \setminus (\partial\Omega \cap S). \end{cases}$$

(iii)  $\|u_{p_n}\|_{L^\infty(K)} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for any compact set  $K \subset \Omega \setminus (S \cap \Omega)$ .

In [23], Ren and Wei obtained an estimate of the number of interior blow-up set

$$\sharp(S \cap \Omega) \leq \left[ \frac{1}{d_N} \left( \frac{N}{N-1} \right)^{N-1} \right]$$

when  $H(\xi) = |\xi|$  case. Since  $e^x < \frac{1}{1-x}$  for  $x \in (0, 1)$ , we check that  $e^{\frac{N-1}{N}} < \left(\frac{N}{N-1}\right)^{N-1}$  for all  $N \geq 2$ . Thus the estimate in Theorem 1.3 is better than that in [23] even when  $H(\xi)$  coincides with the Euclidean norm  $|\xi|$ . Also, Theorem 1.2 seems new even for  $H(\xi) = |\xi|$  and  $N > 2$  case.

Finally, we prove that if the blow-up set consists of one point, it must be an interior point of  $\Omega$ .

**Theorem 1.4.** *Assume  $\sharp S = 1$  and  $S = \{x_0\}$ ,  $x_0 \in \overline{\Omega}$ . Then  $x_0 \in \Omega$  must hold.*

The organization of the paper is as follows: In §2, we recall basic properties of the Finsler norm and collect useful lemmas about the Finsler  $N$ -Laplacian. In §3, we obtain asymptotic formula for  $C_p$  as  $p \rightarrow \infty$ , and prove the latter half part of Theorem 1.1. In §4, we prove the  $L^\infty$ -bound of least energy solutions in Theorem 1.1. In §5, we prove Theorem 1.2 using an argument by Adimurthi and Grossi [1]. In §6, we prove Theorem 1.3. We use a notion of  $(L, \delta)$ -regular, or irregular points, which was originally introduced by Brezis and Merle [6]. Finally in §7, we prove Theorem 1.4 by using a local Pohozaev identity and an idea by Santra and Wei [25].

## 2. NOTATIONS AND BASIC PROPERTIES

Let  $H$  be any *norm* on  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , i.e.,  $H$  is convex,  $H(\xi) \geq 0$  and  $H(\xi) = 0$  if and only if  $\xi = 0$ , and  $H$  satisfies

$$(2.1) \quad H(t\xi) = |t|H(\xi), \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

By (2.1),  $H$  must be even:  $H(-\xi) = H(\xi)$  for all  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$ . Throughout of the paper, we also assume that  $H \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\})$ ,  $H^N \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , and

$$(2.2) \quad \text{Hess} (H^N(\xi)) \text{ is positive definite for any } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N, \xi \neq 0.$$

Since all norms on  $\mathbb{R}^N$  are equivalent to each other, we see the existence of positive constants  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  such that

$$(2.3) \quad \alpha|\xi| \leq H(\xi) \leq \beta|\xi|, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

The dual norm of  $H$  is the function  $H^0 : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$H^0(x) = \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\xi \cdot x}{H(\xi)}.$$

It is well-known that  $H^0$  is also a norm on  $\mathbb{R}^N$  and satisfies the inequality

$$\frac{1}{\beta}|x| \leq H^0(x) \leq \frac{1}{\alpha}|x|, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

The set

$$\mathcal{W} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : H^0(x) < 1\}$$

is called the *Wulff ball*, or the  $H^0$ -unit ball, and we denote  $\kappa_N = \mathcal{H}^N(\mathcal{W})$ , where  $\mathcal{H}^N$  denotes the  $N$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure on  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . We also denote  $\mathcal{W}_r = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid H^0(x) < r\}$  for any  $r > 0$ .

For a domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  and a Borel set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ , the *anisotropic  $H$ -perimeter* of a set  $E$  with respect to  $\Omega$  is defined as

$$P_H(E, \Omega) = \sup \left\{ \int_{E \cap \Omega} \operatorname{div} \sigma dx : \sigma \in C_0^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N), H^0(\sigma(x)) \leq 1 \right\}.$$

If  $E$  is Lipschitz, then it holds  $P_H(E, \Omega) = \int_{\Omega \cap \partial^* E} H(\nu) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}$ , where  $\partial^* E$  denotes the reduced boundary of the set  $E$  and  $\nu(x)$  is the measure theoretic outer unit normal of  $\partial^* E$  (see [16]). Also we have  $P_H(\mathcal{W}, \mathbb{R}^N) = N\kappa_N$ . For more explanation about the anisotropic perimeter, see [3] and [5].

Here we just recall some properties of  $H$  and  $H^0$ . These will be proven by using the homogeneity property of  $H$  and  $H^0$ , see [4] Lemma 2.1, and Lemma 2.2.

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $H$  be a Finsler norm on  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . Then the following properties hold true:*

- (1)  $|\nabla_\xi H(\xi)| \leq C$  for any  $\xi \neq 0$ .
- (2)  $\nabla_\xi H(\xi) \cdot \xi = H(\xi)$ ,  $\nabla_x H(x) \cdot x = H(x)$  for any  $\xi \neq 0$ ,  $x \neq 0$ .
- (3)  $(\nabla_\xi H)(t\xi) = \frac{t}{|t|} (\nabla_\xi H)(\xi)$  for any  $\xi \neq 0$ ,  $t \neq 0$ .
- (4)  $H(\nabla H^0(x)) = 1$ .  $H^0(\nabla_\xi H(\xi)) = 1$ .
- (5)  $H^0(x)(\nabla_\xi H)(\nabla_x H^0(x)) = x$ .

Finally, given a smooth function  $u$  on  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , the *Finsler Laplace operator* of  $u$  (associated with  $H$ ) is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} Qu(x) &= \operatorname{div} (H(\nabla u(x)) (\nabla_\xi H) (\nabla u(x))) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( H(\xi) H_{\xi_j}(\xi) \Big|_{\xi=\nabla u(x)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

and, more generally, for any  $1 < q < \infty$ , the Finsler  $q$ -Laplace operator  $Q_q$  by

$$Q_q u(x) = \operatorname{div} \left( H^{q-1}(\nabla u(x)) (\nabla_\xi H)(\nabla u(x)) \right).$$

If we assume that  $\operatorname{Hess}(H^q(\xi))$  is positive definite on  $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $Q_q$  becomes a uniformly elliptic operator locally. The Finsler  $q$ -Laplacian has been widely investigated in literature by many authors in different settings, see [2], [5], [8], [9], [10], [12], [13], [14], [17], [20] [34] and the references therein.

We collect here several useful facts.

**Theorem 2.2.** (Finsler Trudinger-Moser inequality [32]) *Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $N \geq 2$ . Let  $u \in W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$  satisfy  $\int_\Omega H(\nabla u)^N dx \leq 1$ . Then there exists a constant  $C$  depending only on the dimension  $N$  such that*

$$\int_\Omega \exp \left( \beta |u|^{\frac{N}{N-1}} \right) dx \leq C |\Omega|$$

holds for any  $\beta \leq \beta_N = N(N\kappa_N)^{\frac{1}{N-1}}$ . Furthermore,  $\beta_N$  is optimal in the sense that there exists a sequence  $\{u_n\} \subset W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$  with  $\int_\Omega H(\nabla u_n)^N dx \leq 1$ , such that  $\int_\Omega \exp \left( \beta |u_n|^{\frac{N}{N-1}} \right) dx \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for  $\beta > \beta_N$ .

Next is the unique existence of the Green function for the Finsler  $p$ -Laplacian.

**Theorem 2.3.** ([32]) *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  be a bounded domain containing the origin. Define  $\Omega^* = \Omega \setminus \{0\}$  and*

$$\Gamma(x) = \begin{cases} C(p, N) (H^0(x))^{\frac{p-N}{p-1}} & \text{for } 1 < p < N, \\ C(N) \log \frac{1}{H^0(x)} & \text{for } p = N, \end{cases}$$

where  $C(p, N) = \frac{p-N}{p-1} (N\kappa_N)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}$  and  $C(N) = (N\kappa_N)^{-\frac{1}{N-1}}$ . Then there exists a unique function  $G(\cdot, 0) \in C^{1,\alpha}(\Omega^*)$  with  $|\nabla G| \in L^{p-1}(\Omega)$ ,  $G/\Gamma \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ , satisfying

$$\begin{cases} -Q_p G(\cdot, 0) = \delta_0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ G(\cdot, 0) = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Moreover,  $g = G - \Gamma$  satisfies  $g \in C(\Omega)$  and  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} H^0(x) \nabla g(x) = 0$ .

We recall here useful regularity estimates which are valid for the Finsler  $N$ -Laplacian equations, under the assumption (2.2); see Serrin [26], Tolksdorf [31], DiBenedetto [15] and Lieberman [19].

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  be a smooth bounded domain. Then the following statements are true.*

- (1) *Let  $u \in W^{1,N}(\Omega)$  be a weak solution of  $-Q_N u = f$  in  $\Omega$ , where  $f \in L^q(\Omega)$  for some  $q > 1$ . Then for any subdomain  $\Omega' \subset\subset \Omega$ , there exists a constant  $C = C(\Omega, \Omega', q, N) > 0$  such that*

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega')} \leq C (\|f\|_{L^q(\Omega)} + \|u\|_{L^N(\Omega)})$$

*holds.*

- (2) *Let  $u \in W^{1,N}(\Omega)$  be a weak solution of  $-Q_N u = f$  in  $\Omega$ . Suppose  $\|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq a$  and  $\|f\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq b$  for some  $a, b < \infty$ . Then  $u \in C_{loc}^{1,\alpha}(\Omega)$  for some  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and for any subdomain  $\Omega' \subset\subset \Omega$ , there exists a constant  $C = C(\Omega, \Omega', a, b, \alpha) > 0$  such that*

$$\|u\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(\Omega')} \leq C$$

*holds. If, in addition,  $u$  satisfies the Dirichlet boundary condition  $u = \phi$  on  $\partial\Omega$  where  $\phi \in C^{1,\beta}(\partial\Omega)$ ,  $\beta \in (0, 1)$ , then  $u \in C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$  for some  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  holds.*

- (3) (Harnack inequality) *Let  $u \in W^{1,N}(\Omega)$  be a nonnegative weak solution of  $-Q_N u = f$  in  $\Omega$ . Suppose  $\|f\|_{L^q(\Omega)} \leq b$  for some  $q > 1$ . Then for any subdomain  $\Omega' \subset\subset \Omega$ , there exists a constant  $C = C(\Omega, \Omega', q, b) > 0$  such that*

$$\sup_{x \in \Omega'} u(x) \leq C \left( 1 + \inf_{x \in \Omega'} u(x) \right)$$

*holds.*

Next is the result from [33] (Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2).

**Theorem 2.5.** (Finsler Brezis-Merle type inequality [33]) *Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $N \geq 2$ .*

- (1) *Suppose  $u$  is a weak solution to*

$$\begin{cases} -Q_N u = f(x) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

*where  $f \in L^1(\Omega)$ . Then for any  $\varepsilon \in (0, \beta_N)$  where  $\beta_N = N(N\kappa_N)^{\frac{1}{N-1}}$ , it holds that*

$$\int_{\Omega} \exp \left( \frac{(\beta_N - \varepsilon)|u(x)|}{\|f\|_{L^1(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{N-1}}} \right) dx \leq \frac{\beta_N}{\varepsilon} |\Omega|.$$



(2) Suppose  $u$  and  $v$  are weak solutions to

$$-Q_N u = f(x) > 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

and

$$-Q_N v = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \quad v = u \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega,$$

respectively. Then for any  $\varepsilon \in (0, \beta_N)$ , we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \exp \left( \frac{(\beta_N - \varepsilon) d_N^{\frac{1}{N-1}} |u(x) - v(x)|}{\|f\|_{L^1(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{N-1}}} \right) dx \leq \frac{|\Omega|}{\varepsilon},$$

where  $d_N$  is defined in (1.5).

Next is the Pohozaev identity for the Finsler  $q$ -Laplacian problem without the boundary condition. This is a special case of much more general identity proved in [11]. The identity below is known to hold for solutions in  $C^1(\overline{\Omega}) \cap C^2(\Omega)$ . The important point is that we can remove the condition  $u \in C^2(\Omega)$  with the cost of the convexity and the  $C^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ -regularity of the map  $\mathbb{R}^N \ni \xi \mapsto H^q(\xi)$ . This improvement is crucial for the application to the Finsler Laplacian problem, since the best possible regularity result of solutions is  $C^{1,\alpha}$ , not  $C^2$ , see Theorem 2.4.

**Theorem 2.6.** ([11]) *Let  $1 < q < \infty$ . Let  $u \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$  be a weak solution of  $-Q_q u = f(u)$  in  $\Omega$ , where  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  is a domain with the boundary of class  $C^1$ , and  $f \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ . Assume the map  $\mathbb{R}^N \ni \xi \mapsto H^q(\xi)$  is convex and belongs to  $C^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . Then the identity*

$$\begin{aligned} N \int_{\Omega} F(u) dx - \left( \frac{N-q}{q} \right) \int_{\Omega} H^q(\nabla u) dx \\ &= \int_{\partial\Omega} F(u)(x-y) \cdot \nu(x) ds_x \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{q} \int_{\partial\Omega} H^q(\nabla u)(x-y) \cdot \nu(x) ds_x \\ &\quad + \int_{\partial\Omega} (H^{q-1}(\nabla u)(\nabla_{\xi} H)(\nabla u) \cdot \nu(x)) ((x-y) \cdot \nu(x)) ds_x \end{aligned}$$

holds true for any  $y \in \mathbb{R}^N$ . Here  $\nu$  is the outer unit normal of  $\partial\Omega$  and  $F(s) = \int_0^s f(t) dt$ .

*Proof.* Indeed, since  $\mathcal{L}(x, s, \xi) = \frac{1}{q} H^q(\xi) - F(s)$  is of the ‘‘splitting’’ form,  $F \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ , and  $\xi \mapsto H^q(\xi)$  is convex and in  $C^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , Lemma 5, thus the equation (3) in [11] holds as it is. Also, if we do not impose

the boundary condition  $u = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$  (and put  $f = 0$  there) in Lemma 2 in [11], we obtain the identity

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\partial\Omega} \mathcal{L}(x, u, \nabla u)(h \cdot \nu) ds_x - \sum_{i,j=1}^N \int_{\partial\Omega} h_j D_{\xi_i} \mathcal{L}(x, u, \nabla u) D_{x_j} u \nu_i ds_x \\ &= \int_{\Omega} (\operatorname{div} h) \mathcal{L}(x, u, \nabla u) dx - \sum_{i,j=1}^N \int_{\partial\Omega} D_i h_j D_{\xi_i} \mathcal{L}(x, u, \nabla u) D_{x_j} u dx \end{aligned}$$

for every  $h \in C^1(\bar{\Omega}, \mathbb{R}^N)$ . Inserting  $h(x) = x$  leads to the claim.  $\square$

Finally, we prove the following simple lemma.

**Lemma 2.7.** *Let  $u \in W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$  be a weak solution to  $-Q_N u = f(u)$  in  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ , where  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous. Let  $a, c > 0$ ,  $d \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $b \in \mathbb{R}^N$ . Then  $v(x) = cu(ax + b) + d$ ,  $x \in \Omega_{a,b} = \frac{\Omega - b}{a}$  is a weak solution to*

$$-Q_N v = a^N c^{N-1} f\left(\frac{v-d}{c}\right) \quad \text{in } \Omega_{a,b}, \quad v = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega_{a,b}.$$

*Proof.* For  $x \in \Omega_{a,b}$ , put  $y = ax + b \in \Omega$ . Then for any  $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega_{a,b})$ ,  $\tilde{\phi}(y) = \phi(x)$  belongs to  $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ . Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega_{a,b}} H^{N-1}(\nabla v(x))(\nabla_\xi H)(\nabla v(x)) \cdot \nabla \phi(x) dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega_{a,b}} H^{N-1}(ca(\nabla u)(ax + b))(\nabla_\xi H)(ca(\nabla u)(ax + b)) \cdot \nabla \phi(x) dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} c^{N-1} a^{N-1} H^{N-1}(\nabla u(y))(\nabla_\xi H)((\nabla u(y)) \cdot a \nabla \tilde{\phi}(y) a^{-N} dy \\ &= c^{N-1} \int_{\Omega} H^{N-1}(\nabla u(y))(\nabla_\xi H)(\nabla u(y)) \cdot \nabla \tilde{\phi}(y) dy \\ &= c^{N-1} \int_{\Omega} f(u(y)) \tilde{\phi}(y) dy \\ &= c^{N-1} \int_{\Omega_{a,b}} f\left(\frac{v(x) - d}{c}\right) \phi(x) a^N dx, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (2.1) and Proposition 2.1 (3). Thus we see

$$\int_{\Omega_{a,b}} H^{N-1}(\nabla v(x))(\nabla_\xi H)(\nabla v(x)) \cdot \nabla \phi(x) dx = a^N c^{N-1} \int_{\Omega_{a,b}} f\left(\frac{v(x) - d}{c}\right) \phi(x) dx.$$

This holds true for any  $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega_{a,b})$ , which implies Lemma.  $\square$

3. ASYMPTOTIC ESTIMATE FOR  $C_p$ 

In this section, first by using the Finsler Trudinger-Moser inequality Theorem 2.2, we establish the refined Sobolev embedding.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  be a bounded domain. For any  $t \geq 2$ , there exists  $D_t > 0$  such that for any  $u \in W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$ ,*

$$\|u\|_{L^t(\Omega)} \leq D_t t^{\frac{N-1}{N}} \|H(\nabla u)\|_{L^N(\Omega)}$$

holds true. Furthermore, we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} D_t = \left( \frac{1}{N\kappa_N^{1/N}} \right) \left( \frac{N-1}{Ne} \right)^{\frac{N-1}{N}}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $u \in W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$ . By the elementary inequality  $\frac{x^s}{\Gamma(s+1)} \leq e^x$  for  $x \geq 0$  and  $s \geq 0$ , where  $\Gamma(s)$  is the Gamma function, and the Finsler Trudinger-Moser inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{N-1}{N}t + 1)} \int_{\Omega} |u|^t dx \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{N-1}{N}t + 1)} \int_{\Omega} \left( \beta_N \left( \frac{|u|}{\|H(\nabla u)\|_{L^N(\Omega)}} \right)^{\frac{N}{N-1}} \right)^{\frac{N-1}{N}t} dx \beta_N^{-\frac{N-1}{N}t} \|H(\nabla u)\|_{L^N(\Omega)}^t \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} \exp \left( \left( \beta_N \frac{|u(x)|}{\|\nabla u\|_{L^N(\Omega)}} \right)^{\frac{N}{N-1}} \right) dx \beta_N^{-\frac{N-1}{N}t} \|H(\nabla u)\|_{L^N(\Omega)}^t \\ &\leq C |\Omega| \beta_N^{-\frac{N-1}{N}t} \|H(\nabla u)\|_{L^N(\Omega)}^t. \end{aligned}$$

Put

$$D_t = \Gamma \left( \frac{N-1}{N}t + 1 \right)^{1/t} C^{1/t} |\Omega|^{1/t} \beta_N^{-\frac{N-1}{N}t} t^{-\frac{N-1}{N}}.$$

Then we have

$$\|u\|_{L^t(\Omega)} \leq D_t t^{\frac{N-1}{N}} \|H(\nabla u)\|_{L^N(\Omega)}.$$

Stirling's formula implies that

$$\left( \Gamma \left( \frac{(N-1)t}{N} + 1 \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{t}} \sim \left( \frac{N-1}{Ne} \right)^{\frac{N-1}{N}} t^{\frac{N-1}{N}}$$

as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . So we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} D_t = \beta_N^{-\frac{N-1}{N}} \left( \frac{N-1}{Ne} \right)^{\frac{N-1}{N}} = \left( \frac{1}{N\kappa_N^{1/N}} \right) \left( \frac{N-1}{Ne} \right)^{\frac{N-1}{N}},$$

which is a desired result.  $\square$

Recall that  $C_p$  is defined in (1.2). Using the above Lemma and energy comparison, we get the following.

**Proposition 3.2.** *We have*

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} p^{N-1} C_p = \left( \frac{Ne}{N-1} \beta_N \right)^{N-1}.$$

where  $\beta_N = N(N\kappa_N)^{\frac{1}{N-1}}$ .

*Proof.* Lower bound  $\liminf_{p \rightarrow \infty} (p+1)^{N-1} C_p \geq \left( \frac{Ne}{N-1} \beta_N \right)^{N-1}$  is a direct consequence of Lemma 3.1 and the fact

$$(3.1) \quad C_p = \frac{\|H(\nabla u_p)\|_{L^N(\Omega)}^N}{\|u_p\|_{L^{p+1}(\Omega)}^N}$$

for least energy solutions  $u_p$ .

Therefore we must prove only the upper bound. We will do this by constructing a suitable test function for the value  $C_p$ .

We may assume that  $0 \in \Omega$  and  $\mathcal{W}_L \subset \Omega$  where  $\mathcal{W}_L = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : H^0(x) < L\}$ . For  $0 < l < L$ , consider the Finsler Moser function

$$m_l(x) = \frac{1}{(N\kappa_N)^{1/N}} \begin{cases} \left( \log \frac{L}{l} \right)^{\frac{N-1}{N}}, & 0 \leq H^0(x) \leq l, \\ \frac{\log \frac{L}{H^0(x)}}{\left( \log \frac{L}{l} \right)^{\frac{1}{N}}}, & l \leq H^0(x) \leq L, \\ 0, & L \leq H^0(x). \end{cases}$$

We check that the Moser function  $m_l \in W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$  and  $\|H(\nabla m_l)\|_{L^N(\Omega)} = 1$ . Also it is easily checked that

$$\left( \int_{\Omega} m_l^{p+1} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p+1}} \geq \left( \int_{\mathcal{W}_l} m_l^{p+1} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p+1}} \geq \frac{1}{(N\kappa_N)^{1/N}} \left( \log \frac{L}{l} \right)^{\frac{N-1}{N}} (l^N \kappa_N)^{\frac{1}{p+1}}.$$

Choosing  $l = L \exp\left(-\frac{N-1}{N^2}(p+1)\right)$ , we have

$$\|m_l\|_{L^{p+1}(\Omega)} \geq \frac{1}{(N\kappa_N)^{1/N}} \left( \frac{N-1}{N^2} \right)^{\frac{N-1}{N}} e^{-\frac{N-1}{N}(p+1)} (L^N \kappa_N)^{\frac{1}{p+1}}.$$

and

$$C_p \leq \frac{\|H(\nabla m_l)\|_{L^N(\Omega)}^N}{\|m_l\|_{L^{p+1}(\Omega)}^N} \leq N\kappa_N \left( \frac{N^2 e}{N-1} \right)^{N-1} (p+1)^{-(N-1)} (L^N \kappa_N)^{-\frac{N}{p+1}},$$

which implies  $\limsup_{p \rightarrow \infty} (p+1)^{N-1} C_p \leq \left( \frac{Ne}{N-1} \beta_N \right)^{N-1}$ .  $\square$

Since

$$\int_{\Omega} H(\nabla u_p)^N dx = \int_{\Omega} u_p^{p+1} dx$$

and (3.1), we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.3.**

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} p^{N-1} \int_{\Omega} H(\nabla u_p)^N dx = \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} p^{N-1} \int_{\Omega} u_p^{p+1} dx = \left( \frac{Ne}{N-1} \beta_N \right)^{N-1}.$$

#### 4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

To obtain a lower bound for  $\|u_p\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}$ , define the first eigenvalue of the Finsler  $N$ -Laplacian  $Q_N$ :

$$\lambda_1(\Omega) = \inf \left\{ \int_{\Omega} H(\nabla u)^N dx : u \in W_0^{1,N}(\Omega), \int_{\Omega} |u|^N dx = 1 \right\}.$$

It is known that  $0 < \lambda_1(\Omega) < \infty$  and

$$\int_{\Omega} u_p^{p+1} dx = \int_{\Omega} H(\nabla u_p)^N dx \geq \lambda_1(\Omega) \int_{\Omega} u_p^N dx.$$

Thus

$$\int_{\Omega} (u_p^{p+1} - \lambda_1(\Omega) u_p^N) dx \geq 0,$$

which implies

$$(4.1) \quad \|u_p\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{p+1-N} \geq \lambda_1(\Omega).$$

To obtain a uniform upper bound of  $\|u_p\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}$ , we use an argument with the coarea formula and the Finsler isoperimetric inequality in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . Set

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_p &= \max_{x \in \Omega} u_p(x), \\ \Omega_t &= \{x \in \Omega : u_p(x) > t\}, \\ \mathcal{A} &= \{x \in \Omega : u_p(x) > \frac{\gamma_p}{2}\}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.1 with  $t = \frac{Np}{N-1}$  and by Lemma 3.3, we have

$$\left( \int_{\Omega} u_p^{\frac{Np}{N-1}} dx \right)^{\frac{N-1}{Np}} \leq D_{\frac{Np}{N-1}} \left( \frac{Np}{N-1} \right)^{\frac{N-1}{N}} \|H(\nabla u_p)\|_{L^N(\Omega)} \leq M$$

where  $M$  is independent of  $p$  if  $p$  large. From this and Chebyshev's inequality, we have

$$(4.2) \quad \left( \frac{\gamma_p}{2} \right)^{\frac{Np}{N-1}} |\mathcal{A}| \leq M^{\frac{Np}{N-1}}.$$

On the other hand, by approximating the constant 1 on  $\Omega_t$  by  $C_0^\infty$ -functions, we have

$$-\int_{\Omega_t} \operatorname{div} (H(\nabla u_p)^{N-1}(\nabla_\xi H)(\nabla u_p)) dx = \int_{\Omega_t} u_p^p dx.$$

Thus integration by parts leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_t} u_p^p dx &= -\int_{\partial\Omega_t} H(\nabla u_p)^{N-1}(\nabla_\xi H)(\nabla u_p) \cdot \nu ds \\ (4.3) \quad &= \int_{\partial\Omega_t} \frac{H(\nabla u_p)^{N-1}(\nabla_\xi H)(\nabla u_p) \cdot \nabla u_p}{|\nabla u_p|} ds \\ &= \int_{\partial\Omega_t} \frac{H(\nabla u_p)^N}{|\nabla u_p|} ds, \end{aligned}$$

since the outer unit normal  $\nu$  to  $\partial\Omega_t$  is  $\nu = -\frac{\nabla u_p}{|\nabla u_p|}$ . Here we used Proposition 2.1 (3) in the last equality. Coarea formula implies

$$|\Omega_t| = \int_{\Omega_t} 1 dx = \int_t^\infty \int_{\{u_p=s\}} \frac{ds}{|\nabla u_p|}.$$

Thus

$$(4.4) \quad -\frac{d}{dt}|\Omega_t| = \int_{\partial\Omega_t} \frac{ds}{|\nabla u_p|}.$$

By (4.3), (4.4), and the Schwartz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(-\frac{d}{dt}|\Omega_t|\right)^{N-1} \int_{\Omega_t} u_p^p dx &= \left(\int_{\partial\Omega_t} \frac{1}{|\nabla u_p|} ds\right)^{N-1} \left(\int_{\partial\Omega_t} \frac{H^N(\nabla u_p)}{|\nabla u_p|} ds\right) \\ (4.5) \quad &\geq \left(\int_{\partial\Omega_t} \frac{H(\nabla u_p)}{|\nabla u_p|} ds\right)^N \\ &= \left(\int_{\partial\Omega_t} H(\nu) ds\right)^N \\ &= P_H(\Omega_t, \mathbb{R}^N)^N \geq N^N \kappa_N |\Omega_t|^{N-1}. \end{aligned}$$

In the last inequality of (4.5), we used the Finsler isoperimetric inequality in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  [3], [27], [18]:

$$(4.6) \quad P_H(E, \mathbb{R}^N) \geq N \kappa_N^{\frac{1}{N}} |E|^{\frac{N-1}{N}}$$

for any set of finite perimeter  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  with respect to  $H$ .

Now, define  $r(t) > 0$  such that

$$|\Omega_t| = \kappa_N r^N(t).$$

Then

$$\frac{d}{dt}|\Omega_t| = N\kappa_N r^{N-1}(t)r'(t).$$

Note that  $r'(t) < 0$ . Putting this in (4.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(-N\kappa_N r^{N-1}(t)\frac{dr}{dt}(t)\right)^{N-1} \int_{\Omega_t} u_p^p dx \geq N^N \kappa_N |\Omega_t|^{N-1}, \\ & \left(-\frac{dr}{dt}\right)^{N-1} \int_{\Omega_t} u_p^p dx \geq (N\kappa_N)r^{N-1}, \\ & -\frac{dt}{dr} \leq \left(\int_{\Omega_t} u_p^p dx\right)^{\frac{1}{N-1}} (N\kappa_N)^{-\frac{1}{N-1}} r^{-1} \\ & \leq Cr^{-1} \gamma_p^{\frac{p}{N-1}} |\Omega_t|^{\frac{1}{N-1}} = C\gamma_p^{\frac{p}{N-1}} r^{\frac{1}{N-1}}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $C$  is a constant dependent only on  $N$  and varies from line to line. Integrating the last inequality from  $r = 0$  to  $r = r_0$ , we have

$$t(0) - t(r_0) \leq C\gamma_p^{\frac{p}{N-1}} r_0^{\frac{N}{N-1}}.$$

Choose  $r_0$  such that  $t(r_0) = \frac{\gamma_p}{2}$ . Then the above inequality implies

$$\gamma_p \leq C\gamma_p^{\frac{p}{N-1}} r_0^{\frac{N}{N-1}}, \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad \gamma_p \leq C\gamma_p^{\frac{p}{N-1}} |\mathcal{A}|^{\frac{1}{N-1}}.$$

Combining this with (4.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_p & \leq C\gamma_p^{\frac{p}{N-1}} \left(\frac{M^{\frac{Np}{N-1}}}{\left(\frac{\gamma_p}{2}\right)^{\frac{Np}{N-1}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{N-1}} = C\gamma_p^{-\frac{p}{(N-1)^2}} M^{\frac{Np}{(N-1)^2}}, \\ \gamma_p^{1+\frac{p}{(N-1)^2}} & \leq CM^{\frac{Np}{(N-1)^2}}, \\ \gamma_p & \leq C^{\frac{(N-1)^2}{(N-1)^2+p}} M^{\frac{Np}{(N-1)^2+p}}. \end{aligned}$$

From this, we conclude that there exists  $C > 0$  (independent of  $p$ ) such that  $\gamma_p \leq C$  for  $p$  large.

The latter half part of Theorem 1.1 is already proven in Lemma 3.3. Thus we have completed the proof of Theorem 1.1.  $\square$

From Theorem 1.1, we have the following consequence.

**Corollary 4.1.** *There exist  $C, C' > 0$  independent of  $p$  large such that*

$$C \leq p^{N-1} \int_{\Omega} u_p^p dx \leq C'$$

*holds true.*

*Proof.* By Theorem 1.1, we have

$$\frac{1}{C_2} p^{N-1} \int_{\Omega} u_p^{p+1} dx \leq \frac{\|u_p\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}}{C_2} p^{N-1} \int_{\Omega} u_p^p dx \leq p^{N-1} \int_{\Omega} u_p^p dx$$

where  $C_2$  is as in Theorem 1.1. The left-hand side of the above inequality is bounded from below by a positive constant by Lemma 3.3. On the other hand, Hölder's inequality implies

$$p^{N-1} \int_{\Omega} u_p^p dx \leq \left( p^{N-1} \int_{\Omega} u_p^{p+1} dx \right)^{\frac{p}{p+1}} p^{\frac{1}{p+1}} |\Omega|^{\frac{1}{p+1}}$$

and the right-hand side of the above inequality is bounded from above by Lemma 3.3. This proves the conclusion.  $\square$

## 5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.2. Since  $\limsup_{p \rightarrow \infty} \|u_p\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \geq 1$  immediately follows from (4.1) (this is true for any solution sequence, not necessary least energy solutions), we just need to prove  $\limsup_{p \rightarrow \infty} \|u_p\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq e^{\frac{N-1}{N}}$ . For this purpose, we follow the argument by Adimurthi and Grossi [1].

Let  $x_p \in \Omega$  be a point so that the least energy solution to (1.1) takes its maximum:  $u_p(x_p) = \|u_p\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}$ . As in [1], We make a change of variable

$$(5.1) \quad z_p(x) = \frac{p}{u_p(x_p)} (u_p(\varepsilon_p x + x_p) - u_p(x_p)), \quad x \in \Omega_p = \frac{\Omega - x_p}{\varepsilon_p},$$

where  $\varepsilon_p > 0$  is defined so that

$$(5.2) \quad \varepsilon_p^N p^{N-1} u_p(x_p)^{p+1-N} \equiv 1.$$

By Theorem 1.1, we see  $\varepsilon_p \rightarrow 0$  as  $p \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $u_p$  is a weak solution to (1.1),  $z_p$  is a weak solution to

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{cases} -Q_N z_p = \left(1 + \frac{z_p}{p}\right)^p & \text{in } \Omega_p, \\ z_p|_{\partial\Omega_p} = -p, \\ \max_{x \in \bar{\Omega}_n} z_n(x) = z_n(0) = 0, \\ -p < z_p \leq 0 & \text{in } \Omega_p \end{cases}$$

by Lemma 2.7. We want to pass to the limit as  $p \rightarrow \infty$  in (5.3). For this purpose, take any ball  $B_R(0) \subset \Omega_p$  centered at the origin and radius  $R$ . Consider

$$\begin{cases} -Q_N w_p = \left(1 + \frac{z_p}{p}\right)^p & \text{in } B_R(0), \\ w_p|_{\partial B_R(0)} = 0. \end{cases}$$



Comparison principle for  $-Q_N$  (see for example, [33] Theorem 3.1) and Serrin's elliptic estimate Theorem 2.4 yield that  $0 \leq w_p \leq C$  on  $B_R(0)$  where  $C$  is a constant independent of  $p$ . Set  $\psi_p(x) = w_p(x) - z_p(x)$ ,  $x \in B_R(0)$ . Then  $\psi_p$  is nonnegative in  $B_R(0)$  and  $\psi_p(0) = w_p(0) - z_p(0) = w_p(0) \leq C$  uniformly in  $p$ . Moreover, we have

$$0 = -(Q_N w_p - Q_N z_p) = -\tilde{Q}_N(w_p - z_p) = -\tilde{Q}_N \psi_p$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{Q}_N(w_p - z_p) \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^N \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left[ \int_0^1 \frac{1}{N} \frac{\partial^2 H^N}{\partial \xi_i \partial \xi_j} (t \nabla w_p + (1-t) \nabla z_p) dt \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (w_p(x) - z_p(x)) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Thanks to the assumption that  $\text{Hess}H^N(\xi)$  is positive definite,  $\tilde{Q}_N$  is a quasilinear elliptic differential operator. Thus we can apply Serrin's Harnack inequality (Theorem 2.4 (3)) to  $\psi_p$ , which implies that there exists  $C = C(R, r) > 0$  for any  $0 < r < R$  such that

$$\sup_{B_r(0)} \psi_p(x) \leq C \left( 1 + \inf_{x \in B_r(0)} \psi_p(x) \right) \leq C(1 + \psi_p(0)) = C(1 + w_p(0)) \leq C.$$

Thus we have

$$0 \geq z_p(x) = w_p(x) - \psi_p(x) \geq -C$$

for  $x \in B_r(0)$ . Since  $0 < r < R$  is arbitrary, we have  $\{|z_p|\} \subset L_{loc}^\infty(B_R(0))$  is uniformly bounded in  $p$ . Again Serrin's regularity estimate implies that  $\{z_p\}$  is bounded in  $C_{loc}^{1,\alpha}(B_R(0))$  for any  $R > 0$  uniformly in  $p$ .

Now, we consider two cases:

**Case (i):**  $\frac{\text{dist}(x_p, \partial\Omega_p)}{\varepsilon_p} \rightarrow +\infty$

**Case (ii):**  $\frac{\text{dist}(x_p, \partial\Omega_p)}{\varepsilon_p}$  is bounded and

$$\Omega_p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^N(s_0) = \{x = (x', x_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N : x_N > s_0\} \quad (p \rightarrow \infty)$$

for some  $s_0$ .

In the case (i), note that  $\Omega_p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  as  $p \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence by the Ascoli-Arzelá theorem, we know that (up to a subsequence),  $\{z_p\}$  converges to some function  $z \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and  $z$  satisfies

$$-Q_N z = e^z \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Now we claim that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^z dx < +\infty$ . In fact, since  $z_p \rightarrow z$  in  $C_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , we obtain

$$1_{\Omega_p}(x) \left( 1 + \frac{z_p(x)}{p} \right)^p \rightarrow e^{z(x)}$$

pointwisely for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ , where  $1_{\Omega_p}$  is the characteristic function of  $\Omega_p$ . By using Fatou's lemma and Hölder's inequality, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^z dx &\leq \liminf_{p \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega_p} \left(1 + \frac{z_p(x)}{p}\right)^p dx \\ &\leq \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p^{N-1}}{(u_p(x_p))^{N-1}} \int_{\Omega} (u_p(y))^p dy \\ &\leq \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p^{N-1}}{(u_p(x_p))^{N-1}} \left( \int_{\Omega} (u_p(y))^{p+1} dy \right)^{p/(p+1)} |\Omega|^{1/(p+1)} \\ &\leq C < \infty \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the facts that  $\int_{\Omega} u_p^{p+1} dy = \frac{O(1)}{p^{N-1}}$  by Lemma 3.3 and  $u_p(x_p) \geq C_1 > 0$  by Theorem 1.1. Hence, we check that the limit function satisfies

$$(5.4) \quad \begin{cases} -Q_N z = e^z & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ z \leq 0, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^z dx < \infty. \end{cases}$$

In the case (ii), almost the same proof works, and we see that the limit function  $z$  is a solution of

$$(5.5) \quad \begin{cases} -Q_N z = e^z & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^N(s_0), \\ z \leq 0, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^N(s_0), \\ z = -\infty, & \text{on } \partial \mathbb{R}_+^N(s_0), \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N(s_0)} e^z dx < \infty. \end{cases}$$

Now we prove the following lemma. The case  $N = 2$  was treated by Ding (see [7]) when  $H(\xi) = |\xi|$ , and by Wang and Xia [32] for general  $H(\xi)$ .

**Lemma 5.1.** *If  $z$  is a  $C^1$  weak solution of (5.4), then we have*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^z dx \geq \left( \frac{N}{N-1} \right)^{N-1} N^N \kappa_N.$$

*If  $z$  is a  $C^1$  weak solution of (5.5), then we have*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N(s_0)} e^z dx \geq \left( \frac{N}{N-1} \right)^{N-1} N^N \kappa_N.$$

*Proof.* As in the proof of Theorem 1.1, we use a level set argument. First, we assume  $z$  is a solution of (5.4). Put

$$\Omega_t = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : z(x) > t\}, \quad \mu(t) = |\Omega_t|.$$

Integration by parts on  $\Omega_t$  leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_t} e^z dx &= - \int_{\Omega_t} Q_N z dx = \int_{\partial\Omega_t} H^{N-1}(\nabla z)(\nabla_\xi H)(\nabla z) \cdot \frac{\nabla z}{|\nabla z|} ds_x \\ &= \int_{\partial\Omega_t} \frac{H^N(\nabla z)}{|\nabla z|} ds_x. \end{aligned}$$

By the Finsler isoperimetric inequality (4.6) and Hölder's inequality, we see

$$\begin{aligned} N\kappa_N^{1/N} |\Omega_t|^{\frac{N-1}{N}} &\leq P_H(\Omega_t, \mathbb{R}^N) = \int_{\partial\Omega_t} \frac{H(\nabla z)}{|\nabla z|} ds_x \\ &\leq \left( \int_{\partial\Omega_t} \frac{H^N(\nabla z)}{|\nabla z|} ds_x \right)^{\frac{1}{N}} \left( \int_{\partial\Omega_t} \frac{ds_x}{|\nabla z|} \right)^{\frac{N-1}{N}} \\ &= \left( \int_{\Omega_t} e^z dx \right)^{\frac{1}{N}} (-\mu'(t))^{\frac{N-1}{N}}, \end{aligned}$$

here we have used coarea formula

$$\mu(t) = \int_t^\infty \int_{\{x:z(x)=s\}} \frac{ds_x}{|\nabla z|} ds.$$

Thus we have

$$\mu(t) \leq \left\{ \frac{1}{N\kappa_N^{1/N}} \left( \int_{\Omega_t} e^z dx \right)^{\frac{1}{N}} (-\mu'(t))^{\frac{N-1}{N}} \right\}^{\frac{N}{N-1}}.$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^z dx &= \int_{-\infty}^{\max z} e^t \mu(t) dt \\ &\leq \left( \frac{1}{N\kappa_N^{1/N}} \right)^{\frac{N}{N-1}} \int_{-\infty}^{\max z} e^t \left( \int_{\Omega_t} e^z dx \right)^{\frac{1}{N-1}} (-\mu'(t)) dt \\ &= \left( \frac{1}{N\kappa_N^{1/N}} \right)^{\frac{N}{N-1}} \left( \frac{N-1}{N} \right) \int_{-\infty}^{\max z} \frac{d}{dt} \left( \int_{\Omega_t} e^z dx \right)^{\frac{N}{N-1}} dt \\ &= \left( \frac{1}{N\kappa_N^{1/N}} \right)^{\frac{N}{N-1}} \left( \frac{N-1}{N} \right) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^z dx \right)^{\frac{N}{N-1}}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\left( \frac{N}{N-1} \right)^{N-1} N^N \kappa_N \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^z dx.$$

The proof when  $z$  is a solution to (5.5) is similar, since the boundary condition  $z = -\infty$  on  $\partial\mathbb{R}_+^N(s_0)$  assures that all level sets of  $z$  are confined in  $\mathbb{R}_+^N(s_0)$ .  $\square$

By the change of variables, we have

$$(5.6) \quad p^{N-1} \int_{\Omega} u_p^{p+1}(y) dy = u_p^N(x_p) \int_{\Omega_p} \left(1 + \frac{z_p(x)}{p}\right)^{p+1} dx.$$

Let us take  $\limsup_{p \rightarrow \infty}$  of both sides of (5.6). Then we see

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{LHS of (5.6)} = \left(\frac{Ne}{N-1}\right)^{N-1} N^N \kappa_N$$

by Lemma 3.3. On the other hand, Fatou's lemma and Lemma 5.1 implies

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{p \rightarrow \infty} \text{RHS of (5.6)} &\geq (\limsup_{p \rightarrow \infty} u_p(x_p))^N \times \begin{cases} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^z dx & \text{when case (i)} \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N(s_0)} e^z dx & \text{when case (ii)} \end{cases} \\ &\geq (\limsup_{p \rightarrow \infty} u_p(x_p))^N \left(\frac{N}{N-1}\right)^{N-1} N^N \kappa_N. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$e^{N-1} \geq (\limsup_{p \rightarrow \infty} \|u_p\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)})^N.$$

which implies Theorem 1.2  $\square$

## 6. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.3. Given any sequence  $p_n$  of  $p$  with  $p_n \rightarrow \infty$ , let us recall (1.3) and (1.6) for  $p = p_n$ ,  $u_n = u_{p_n}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} v_n &= \frac{u_n}{\lambda_n} = \frac{u_n}{\left(\int_{\Omega} u_n^{p_n} dx\right)^{\frac{1}{N-1}}}, \quad \lambda_n = \left(\int_{\Omega} u_n^{p_n} dx\right)^{\frac{1}{N-1}}, \\ f_n(x) &= \frac{u_n^{p_n}}{\int_{\Omega} u_n^{p_n} dx}, \\ L_0 &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p_n \left(\int_{\Omega} u_n^{p_n} dx\right)^{\frac{1}{N-1}}}{\left(\frac{N}{N-1} e^{\frac{N-1}{N}}\right)}, \quad L_1 = d_N^{-\left(\frac{1}{N-1}\right)} L_0. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $v_n$  is a weak solution of (1.4) for  $p = p_n$ . By Hölder's inequality and Theorem 1.1, we see

$$p_n^{N-1} \int_{\Omega} u_n^{p_n} dx \leq p_n^{N-1} \left(\int_{\Omega} u_n^{p_n+1} dx\right)^{\frac{p_n}{p_n+1}} |\Omega|^{\frac{1}{p_n+1}} \rightarrow \left(\frac{Ne}{N-1} \beta_N\right)^{N-1}$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . This shows that

$$L_0 \leq e^{\frac{1}{N}} \beta_N, \quad L_1 \leq e^{\frac{1}{N}} \beta_N d_N^{-\left(\frac{1}{N-1}\right)}.$$

First, we prove  $S \neq \emptyset$  for any sequence  $v_n = v_{p_n}$  of  $v_p$  with  $p_n \rightarrow \infty$ . Indeed, by Theorem 1.1, we have  $\|u_n\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \geq C_1 > 0$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $x_n \in \Omega$  be a point such that  $u_n(x_n) = \|u_n\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}$ , then

$$v_n(x_n) = \frac{u_n(x_n)}{\left(\int_\Omega u_n^{p_n} dx\right)^{\frac{1}{N-1}}} \geq \frac{C_1}{\left(\int_\Omega u_n^{p_n} dx\right)^{\frac{1}{N-1}}} = \frac{C_1}{O\left(\frac{1}{p_n}\right)} \rightarrow +\infty$$

by Lemma 3.3. This implies that any accumulation point of  $\{x_n\}$  is contained in  $S$  and hence  $S \neq \emptyset$ .

Next, as in [6], [21], [22], we define  $(L, \delta)$ -regular set and  $(L, \delta)$ -irregular set of a sequence  $\{u_n\}$ . Since

$$f_n = \frac{u_n^{p_n}}{\int_\Omega u_n^{p_n} dx} \in L^1(\Omega), \quad f_n \geq 0, \quad \int_\Omega f_n dx = 1,$$

there exists a subsequence (still denoted by  $n$ ) such that

$$f_n \xrightarrow{*} \mu, \quad \mu(\Omega) \leq 1$$

in the sense of Radon measures of  $\Omega$ , where  $\mu$  is a nonnegative Radon measure.

Given  $L > 0$  and  $\delta > 0$ , we call a point  $x_0 \in \Omega$  a  $(L, \delta)$ -regular point of  $\{u_n\}$  if there exists  $\varphi \in C_0(\Omega)$ ,  $0 \leq \varphi \leq 1$  with  $\varphi \equiv 1$  near  $x_0$  such that

$$\int_\Omega \varphi d\mu < \left(\frac{\beta_N}{L + 3\delta}\right)^{N-1}$$

where  $\beta_N = N(N\kappa_N)^{\frac{1}{N-1}}$  is as in Theorem 2.2. We put

$$R_L(\delta) = \{x_0 \in \Omega : x_0 \text{ is a } (L, \delta)\text{-regular point}\},$$

$$\Sigma_L(\delta) = \Omega \setminus R_L(\delta).$$

We call a point in  $\Sigma_L(\delta)$  an  $(L, \delta)$ -irregular point of the sequence  $\{u_n\}$ . Note that  $(L, \delta)$ -regular, or  $(L, \delta)$ -irregular points are automatically interior points of  $\Omega$ . Also note that if  $x_0 \in \Sigma_L(\delta)$ , then we have

$$(6.1) \quad \mu(\{x_0\}) \geq \left(\frac{\beta_N}{L + 3\delta}\right)^{N-1}.$$

Since

$$1 \geq \mu(\Omega) \geq \left(\frac{\beta_N}{L + 3\delta}\right)^{N-1} \#\Sigma_L(\delta)$$

by (6.1), we see that  $\Sigma_L(\delta)$  is a finite set for any  $L > 0$  and  $\delta > 0$ .

Next Lemma is the key to analyze the interior blow-up set  $S \cap \Omega$ .

**Lemma 6.1.** (smallness of  $\mu$  implies boundedness) *Let  $x_0$  be a  $(L_1, \delta)$ -regular point of a sequence  $\{u_n\}$  where  $L_1$  is defined in (1.6). Then  $\{v_n\}$  is bounded in  $L^\infty(B_{R_0}(x_0))$  for some  $R_0 > 0$ .*

*Proof.* Key point in the proof is to get the following pointwise estimate

$$(6.2) \quad f_n(x) < \exp \left( (L_1 + \delta/2) d_N^{\frac{1}{N-1}} v_n(x) \right), \quad x \in \Omega.$$

In checking (6.2), we use the elementary inequality

$$(6.3) \quad \frac{\log x}{x} \leq \frac{\log y}{y} \quad \text{for any } 0 < x \leq y \leq e.$$

Let

$$\alpha_n = \frac{\|u_n\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}}{\left(\int_\Omega u_n^{p_n} dx\right)^{\frac{1}{p_n}}} = \frac{\|u_n\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}}{\lambda_n^{\frac{N-1}{p_n}}},$$

and recall that  $\lambda_n = O\left(\frac{1}{p_n}\right)$  by Corollary 4.1, so  $\lambda_n^{\frac{N-1}{p_n}} = O\left(\frac{1}{p_n}\right)^{\frac{N-1}{p_n}} \rightarrow 1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus we have

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq e^{\frac{N-1}{N}}$$

by Theorem 1.2. From this, we see that for any small  $\varepsilon' > 0$ ,

$$\frac{u_n(x)}{\lambda_n^{\frac{N-1}{p_n}}} \leq \alpha_n \leq e^{\frac{N-1}{N}} + \varepsilon' < e$$

holds for any  $x \in \Omega$  and for large  $n$ . Therefore by (6.3), we have for fixed small  $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\frac{\log \left( \frac{u_n(x)}{\lambda_n^{\frac{N-1}{p_n}}} \right)}{\frac{u_n(x)}{\lambda_n^{\frac{N-1}{p_n}}}} \leq \frac{\log \alpha_n}{\alpha_n} \leq \left( \frac{N-1}{N} \right) \frac{1}{e^{\frac{N-1}{N}}} + \varepsilon$$

for large  $n$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \log f_n(x) &= p_n \log \frac{u_n(x)}{\lambda_n^{\frac{N-1}{p_n}}} \leq p_n \left( \frac{u_n(x)}{\lambda_n^{\frac{N-1}{p_n}}} \right) \left( \frac{N-1}{N} \frac{1}{e^{\frac{N-1}{N}}} + \varepsilon \right) \\ &= p_n \lambda_n \left( \frac{N-1}{N} e^{-\frac{N-1}{N}} + \varepsilon \right) \frac{v_n(x)}{\lambda_n^{\frac{N-1}{p_n}}} \\ &\leq \left( \frac{N}{N-1} e^{\frac{N-1}{N}} L_1 d_N^{\frac{1}{N-1}} + \varepsilon \right) \left( \frac{N-1}{N} e^{-\frac{N-1}{N}} + 2\varepsilon \right) v_n(x), \end{aligned}$$

here we have used  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n^{\frac{N-1}{pn}} = 1$  and

$$p_n \lambda_n \leq \frac{N}{N-1} e^{\frac{N-1}{N}} L_1 d_N^{\frac{1}{N-1}} + \varepsilon$$

for large  $n$  by the definition of  $L_1$ . Therefore

$$\log f_n(x) \leq \left( (L_1 + \delta/2) d_N^{\frac{1}{N-1}} \right) v_n(x)$$

holds if we choose  $\varepsilon > 0$  small enough. This proves the pointwise estimate (6.2).

Next, by the use of Brezis-Merle theory for the Finsler  $N$ -Laplacian, we obtain the integral estimate

$$(6.4) \quad \int_{B_{R_1/2}(x_0)} \exp \left( (L_1 + \delta) d_N^{\frac{1}{N-1}} v_n(x) \right) dx \leq C$$

for some  $R_1 > 0$  small and  $C > 0$  independent of  $n$ , here  $x_0$  is a  $(L_1, \delta)$ -regular point.

Indeed, by the definition of  $(L_1, \delta)$ -regular point, we can find  $R_1 > 0$  such that

$$\int_{B_{R_1}(x_0)} f_n dx \leq \left( \frac{\beta_N}{L_1 + 2\delta} \right)^{N-1}.$$

Also by Theorem 2.5 (i) and the fact that  $\|f_n\|_{L^1(\Omega)} = 1$ , we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \exp((\beta_N - \varepsilon)v_n(x)) dx \leq \frac{\beta_N}{\varepsilon} |\Omega|$$

for any  $\varepsilon \in (0, \beta_N)$ . From this, we obtain

$$(6.5) \quad \|v_n\|_{L^N(\Omega)} \leq C$$

where  $C > 0$  is independent of  $n$ . Next, let  $\phi_n$  be a weak solution of

$$-Q_N \phi_n = 0 \quad \text{in } B_{R_1}(x_0) \quad \phi_n = v_n \quad \text{on } \partial B_{R_1}(x_0).$$

Then by Theorem 2.5 (2) and the fact that  $\|f_n\|_{L^1(B_{R_1}(x_0))}^{\frac{1}{N-1}} < \frac{\beta_N}{L_1 + 2\delta}$ , we have

$$(6.6) \quad \int_{\Omega} \exp \left( (L_1 + \delta) d_N^{\frac{1}{N-1}} |v_n(x) - \phi_n(x)| \right) dx \leq C$$

if we choose  $\varepsilon \in (0, \beta_N)$  sufficiently small. By the comparison principle for the Finsler  $N$ -Laplacian (see [33] Theorem 3.2) and Serrin's estimates Theorem 2.4 (i), we have

$$\|\phi_n\|_{L^\infty(B_{R_1/2}(x_0))} \leq \|v_n\|_{L^\infty(B_{R_1/2}(x_0))} \leq C \|v_n\|_{L^N(B_{R_1}(x_0))} \leq C$$

where we have used (6.5). Combining this with (6.6), we obtain the desired integral estimate (6.4).

Comparing (6.4) and (6.2), we see that  $f_n$  is bounded uniformly in  $n$  in  $L^q(B_{R_1/2}(x_0))$  where  $q = \frac{L_1+\delta}{L_1+\delta/2} > 1$ . Therefore, Serrin's regularity estimate Theorem 2.4 (i) again implies that

$$\|v_n\|_{L^\infty(B_{R_1/4}(x_0))} \leq C$$

independent of  $n$ . Taking  $R_0 = R_1/4$  ends the proof of Lemma 6.1.  $\square$

We know that  $\Sigma_{L_1}(\delta)$  is a set of finite points, all of those are interior of  $\Omega$ . From Lemma 6.1, we obtain  $S \cap \Omega = \Sigma_{L_1}(\delta)$  for any  $\delta > 0$  and

$$1 \geq \mu(\Omega) \geq \left(\frac{\beta_N}{L_1 + 3\delta}\right)^{N-1} \sharp(\Sigma_{L_1}(\delta)) = \left(\frac{\beta_N}{L_1 + 3\delta}\right)^{N-1} \sharp(S \cap \Omega).$$

Hence

$$\sharp(S \cap \Omega) \leq \left(\frac{L_1 + 3\delta}{\beta_N}\right)^{N-1} \leq \left(\frac{e^{\frac{1}{N}} \beta_N d_N^{-(\frac{1}{N-1})} + 3\delta}{\beta_N}\right)^{N-1}.$$

Taking a limit  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ , we have

$$\sharp(S \cap \Omega) \leq e^{\frac{N-1}{N}} d_N^{-1}$$

This proves the first part of Theorem 1.3.

If  $x_0 \in S \cap \Omega = \Sigma_{L_1}(\delta)$ , then for any  $R > 0$ , we have

$$(6.7) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|v_n\|_{L^\infty(B_R(x_0))} = +\infty.$$

Indeed, if for some  $R > 0$ , assume there exists  $C > 0$  independent of  $n$  such that  $\|v_n\|_{L^\infty(B_R(x_0))} \leq C$  for all large  $n$ . Then

$$f_n = \frac{v_n^{p_n}}{\lambda_n^{N-1-p_n}} \leq C^{p_n} O\left(\frac{1}{p_n}\right)^{p_n-(N-1)} \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

uniformly on  $B_R(x_0)$ . This implies  $x_0$  is a  $(L_1, \delta)$ -regular point, which is absurd. The same kind of argument leads to that the limit measure  $\mu$  is atomic and of the form

$$\mu = \sum_{i=1}^k \gamma_i \delta_{x_i}$$

where  $S \cap \Omega = \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ . Since  $\mu(\Omega) \leq 1$ , we have  $\sum_{i=1}^k \gamma_i \leq 1$  and

$$\gamma_i \geq \left(\frac{\beta_N}{L_1}\right)^{N-1}$$

for all  $i = 1, \dots, k$  by letting  $\delta \rightarrow 0$  in (6.1) with  $L = L_1$ . This proves Theorem 1.3 (i).



On any compact sets in  $\Omega \setminus (S \cap \Omega)$ ,  $\{v_n\}$  is uniformly bounded. Then by Serrin's and Tolksdorf's regularity estimate,  $\{v_n\}$  is also bounded in  $C_{loc}^{1,\alpha}(\Omega \setminus (S \cap \Omega))$  for some  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ . By Ascoli-Arzelá theorem, we have a subsequence and a function  $G$  such that  $v_n \rightarrow G$  in  $C_{loc}^1(\Omega \setminus (S \cap \Omega))$ . That this  $G$  satisfies Theorem 1.3 (ii) is clear.

Finally, since  $\lambda_n = O(\frac{1}{p_n})$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $v_n(x) = \frac{u_n(x)}{\lambda_n}$  is uniformly bounded in  $L_{loc}^\infty(\Omega \setminus (S \cap \Omega))$ , we easily see that Theorem 1.3 (iii) holds.

Thus all the proof of Theorem 1.3 has been completed.

## 7. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.4

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.4.

*Proof.* Assume the contrary that  $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$ , where  $x_0$  is the unique blow-up point of a sequence  $v_n = v_{p_n}$  with  $p_n \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . For  $R > 0$  small, we may use the Pohozaev identity Theorem 2.6 on  $\Omega \cap B_R(x_0)$ , with the aid of Theorem 2.4:

$$(7.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{N}{p_n + 1} \int_{\Omega \cap B_R(x_0)} u_n^{p_n+1} dx = \int_{\partial(\Omega \cap B_R(x_0))} \frac{u_n^{p_n+1}}{p_n + 1} (x - y) \cdot \nu(x) ds_x \\ & - \frac{1}{N} \int_{\partial(\Omega \cap B_R(x_0))} H^N(\nabla u_n)(x - y) \cdot \nu(x) ds_x \\ & + \int_{\partial(\Omega \cap B_R(x_0))} (H^{N-1}(\nabla u_n)(\nabla_\xi H)(\nabla u_n) \cdot \nu(x)) (x - y) \cdot \nu(x) ds_x. \end{aligned}$$

In order to remove the integral terms involving  $\partial\Omega$ , we use a trick in [25]. Define

$$\rho_n = \frac{\int_{\partial\Omega \cap B_R(x_0)} H^N(\nabla u_n)(x - x_0) \cdot \nu(x) ds_x}{\int_{\partial\Omega \cap B_R(x_0)} H^N(\nabla u_n)\nu(x_0) \cdot \nu(x) ds_x}$$

and put  $y_n = x_0 + \rho_n \nu(x_0)$ . We assume  $R > 0$  so small such that  $1/2 \leq \nu(x_0) \cdot \nu(x) \leq 1$  for  $x \in \partial\Omega \cap B_R(x_0)$ . Then we have that  $\rho_n \leq 2R$ . By the definition of  $y_n$  and  $\rho_n$ , we see that

$$\int_{\partial\Omega \cap B_R(x_0)} H^N(\nabla u_n)(x - y_n) \cdot \nu(x) ds_x \equiv 0$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Also since  $u_n = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$  and  $u_n > 0$  in  $\Omega$ , we see  $\nu(x) = -\frac{\nabla u_n(x)}{|\nabla u_n(x)|}$ . By using these, we see (7.1) with  $y = y_n$  becomes

$$(7.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{N}{p_n + 1} \int_{\Omega \cap B_R(x_0)} u_n^{p_n+1} dx = \frac{1}{p_n + 1} \int_{\Omega \cap \partial B_R(x_0)} u_n^{p_n+1}(x - y_n) \cdot \nu(x) ds_x \\ & - \frac{1}{N} \int_{\Omega \cap \partial B_R(x_0)} H^N(\nabla u_n)(x - y_n) \cdot \nu(x) ds_x \\ & + \int_{\Omega \cap \partial B_R(x_0)} (H^{N-1}(\nabla u_n)(\nabla_\xi H)(\nabla u_n) \cdot \nu(x)) (x - y_n) \cdot \nabla u_n(x) ds_x. \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying  $(\frac{1}{\lambda_n})^N$  to both sides of (7.2) and recalling  $v_n = \frac{u_n}{\lambda_n}$ , we have

$$(7.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{N}{p_n + 1} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_n}\right)^N \int_{\Omega \cap B_R(x_0)} u_n^{p_n+1} dx \\ & = \frac{1}{p_n + 1} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_n}\right)^N \int_{\Omega \cap \partial B_R(x_0)} u_n^{p_n+1}(x - y_n) \cdot \nu(x) ds_x \\ & - \frac{1}{N} \int_{\Omega \cap \partial B_R(x_0)} H^N(\nabla v_n)(x - y_n) \cdot \nu(x) ds_x \\ & + \int_{\Omega \cap \partial B_R(x_0)} (H^{N-1}(\nabla v_n)(\nabla_\xi H)(\nabla v_n) \cdot \nu(x)) (x - y_n) \cdot \nabla v_n(x) ds_x \\ & = I + II + III. \end{aligned}$$

We estimate the terms  $I, II, III$  on the right-hand side of (7.3) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} |I| &= \frac{1}{p_n + 1} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_n}\right)^N \left| \int_{\Omega \cap \partial B_R(x_0)} u_n^{p_n+1}(x - y_n) \cdot \nu(x) ds_x \right| \\ &\leq \frac{O(p_n^N)}{p_n^{N-1}(p_n + 1)} \|p_n^{N-1} u_n^{p_n+1}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega \cap \partial B_R(x_0))} \int_{\Omega \cap \partial B_R(x_0)} |(x - y_n) \cdot \nu(x)| ds_x \\ &= \frac{O(p_n^N)}{p_n^{N-1}(p_n + 1)} \|p_n^{N-1} u_n^{p_n+1}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega \cap \partial B_R(x_0))} O(R^{N-1}). \end{aligned}$$

We note that since  $S \cap \Omega = \emptyset$  by assumption,

$$f_n = \frac{u_n^{p_n}}{\lambda_n^{N-1}} \rightarrow 0$$

uniformly on compact sets in  $\Omega$  and

$$p_n^{N-1} u_n^{p_n+1}(x) \leq \|u_n\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} p_n^{N-1} u_n^{p_n}(x) \leq C \frac{u_n^{p_n}(x)}{\lambda_n^{N-1}} \leq C f_n(x)$$

by Theorem 1.1 and the fact that  $\lambda_n = O(\frac{1}{p_n})$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus we have

$$\|p_n^{N-1}u_n^{p_n+1}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega \cap \partial B_R(x_0))} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

and thus

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |I| = 0.$$

Also, by Theorem 1.3 (ii), we have  $v_n \rightarrow G$  in  $C_{loc}^{1,\alpha}(\Omega \setminus (S \cap \Omega))$ . Thus we have  $H^N(\nabla v_n) = O(1)$  on  $\Omega \cap \partial B_R(x_0)$ , which implies

$$\begin{aligned} |II| &= \frac{1}{N} \left| \int_{\Omega \cap \partial B_R(x_0)} H^N(\nabla v_n)(x - y_n) \cdot \nu(x) ds_x \right| \\ &\leq O(1) \int_{\Omega \cap \partial B_R(x_0)} |(x - y_n) \cdot \nu(x)| ds_x \leq O(1)O(R^{N-1}), \\ |III| &= \left| \int_{\Omega \cap \partial B_R(x_0)} (H^{N-1}(\nabla v_n)(\nabla_\xi H)(\nabla v_n) \cdot \nu(x)) (x - y_n) \cdot \nabla v_n(x) ds_x \right| \\ &\leq O(1) \int_{\Omega \cap \partial B_R(x_0)} |(x - y_n) \cdot \nu(x)| ds_x \leq O(1)O(R^{N-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |II| = \lim_{R \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |III| = 0.$$

From these, we obtain

$$(7.4) \quad \lim_{R \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\text{RHS of (7.3)}) = 0.$$

On the other hand, recall

$$\begin{aligned} z_n(x) &= \frac{p_n}{u_n(x_n)} (u_n(\varepsilon_n x + x_n) - u_n(x_n)), \\ x \in \Omega_{R,n} &= \frac{(\Omega \cap B_R(x_0)) - x_n}{\varepsilon_n}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\varepsilon_n^N p_n^{N-1} u_n(x_n)^{p_n+1-N} \equiv 1$ . Then we see from Fatou's lemma, Theorem 1.1, and Lemma 5.1, that

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{R \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega \cap B_R(x_0)} p_n^{N-1} u_n^{p_n+1}(y) dy \\ &= \lim_{R \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n(x_n)^N \int_{\Omega_{R,n}} \left(1 + \frac{z_n(x)}{p_n}\right)^{p_n+1} dx \\ &\geq C_1^N \int_U e^z dx \geq C_1^N \left(\frac{N}{N-1}\right)^{N-1} N^N \kappa_N \end{aligned}$$

where  $u = \mathbb{R}^N$  or  $\mathbb{R}_+^N(s_0)$  for some  $s_0 > 0$  according to the cases  $\frac{\text{dist}(x_n, \partial \Omega_{R,n})}{\varepsilon_n} \rightarrow +\infty$  or  $\frac{\text{dist}(x_n, \partial \Omega_{R,n})}{\varepsilon_n} \rightarrow s_0$ . Note that our assumption

$\#S = 1$  assures that we can choose  $x_n$  as a maximum points of  $u_n$ . From this and the fact that  $\lambda_n = O(\frac{1}{p_n})$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$(7.5) \quad \lim_{R \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\text{LHS of (7.3)}) \geq C > 0$$

for some positive constant  $C > 0$  independent of  $n$ .

Clearly (7.5) contradicts to (7.4), and we conclude that  $x_0 \notin \partial\Omega$ .  $\square$

Finally, as a corollary, we prove the following.

**Corollary 7.1.** *Let  $R > 0$  and let  $\{u_p\}$  be a sequence of least energy solutions to*

$$(7.6) \quad \begin{cases} -Q_N u_p = u_p^p & \text{in } \mathcal{W}_R, \\ u_p > 0 & \text{in } \mathcal{W}_R, \\ u_p = 0 & \text{on } \partial\mathcal{W}_R \end{cases}$$

where  $\mathcal{W}_R = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : H^0(x) < R\}$ . Then the blow-up set  $S$  of  $v_p$  satisfies  $S \cap \mathcal{W}_R = \{0\}$ , and

$$u_p \rightarrow G(\cdot, 0) \quad \text{in } C_{loc}^1(\mathcal{W}_R \setminus \{0\})$$

where  $G$  is the unique Green function on  $\mathcal{W}_R$  obtained in Theorem 2.3, and

$$f_p = \frac{u_p^p}{\int_{\mathcal{W}_R} u_p^p dx} \stackrel{*}{\rightharpoonup} \delta_0$$

in the sense of Radon measures on  $\mathcal{W}_R$ , along the full sequence.

*Proof.* The usual method of moving plane to prove the symmetry of solutions is not applicable in the anisotropic situation. However, we can use Theorem 4.1 in [5] under the convexity and  $C^1$ -assumption of the map  $\xi \mapsto H^N(\xi)$ . (Note that the key point of the proof of Theorem 4.1 in [5] is the Pohozaev identity Theorem 4.2 in [5] for  $C^1(\bar{\Omega})$ -weak solutions, which is valid by the above assumptions). Thus we assure that any positive solution  $u_p$  to (7.6) is *Finsler-radial*, that is, all level sets of  $u_p$  are homothetic to  $\mathcal{W}_R$  for any  $p > 1$ . Let  $S$  be the blow-up set of  $v_p$ . Then we see that  $S \cap \mathcal{W}_R = \{0\}$ . Indeed, if there were a point  $x_0 \in S \cap \mathcal{W}_R$ , then all points on the level set of  $u_p$  passing through  $x_0$  must be blow-up points of  $v_p$ , which contradicts to the fact that  $\#(S \cap \mathcal{W}_R)$  is finite. Thus by Theorem 1.3, we see

$$v_p \rightarrow G(\cdot, 0) \quad \text{in } C_{loc}^1(\mathcal{W}_R \setminus \{0\})$$

for some function  $G$  along a subsequence. The limit function must be the unique Green function constructed in Theorem 2.3, and by the uniqueness, the convergence is true for the full sequence.  $\square$

### Acknowledgments.

This work was partly supported by Osaka City University Advanced Mathematical Institute MEXT Joint Usage / Research Center on Mathematics and Theoretical Physics JPMXP0619217849. The second author (F.T.) was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B), JP19H01800, and JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (S), JP19H05597.

### REFERENCES

- [1] Adimurthi, and M. Grossi: *Asymptotic estimates for a two-dimensional problem with polynomial nonlinearity*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **132**, no. 4, (2003), 1013–1019.
- [2] A. Alvino, V. Ferone, A. Mercaldo, F. Takahashi, R. Volpicelli: *Finsler Hardy-Kato's inequality*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **470**, no. 1, (2019), 360–374.
- [3] A. Alvino, V. Ferone, G. Trombetti, and P. L. Lions: *Convex symmetrization and applications*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire, **14** (1997), no. 2, 275–293.
- [4] G. Bellettini, and M. Paolini: *Anisotropic motion by mean curvature in the context of Finsler geometry*, Hokkaido Math. J., **25** (1996), 537–566.
- [5] M. Belloni, V. Ferone, and B. Kawohl: *Isoperimetric inequalities, Wulff shape and related questions for strongly nonlinear elliptic operators*, ZAMP. **54** (2003), 771–783.
- [6] H. Brezis, and F. Merle: *Uniform estimates and blow-up behavior for solutions of  $-\Delta u = V(x)e^u$  in two dimensions*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, **16** (1991) 1223-1253.
- [7] E. Chen, and C. Li: *Classification of solutions of some nonlinear elliptic equations*, Duke Math. J., **63**, no.3, (1991) 615–622.
- [8] A. Cianchi and P. Salani: *Overdetermined anisotropic elliptic problems*, Math. Ann., **345** (2009), 859–881.
- [9] G. Ciraolo, A. Figalli and A. Roncoloni: *Symmetry results for critical anisotropic  $p$ -Laplacian equations in convex cones*, Geom. Funct. Anal., **30** (2020), 770–803.
- [10] M. Cozzi, A. Farina and E. Valdinoci: *Gradient bounds and rigidity results for singular, degenerate, anisotropic partial differential equations*, Comm. Math. Phys., **331** (2014), 189–214.
- [11] M. Degiovanni, A. Musesti, and M. Squassina: *On the regularity of solutions in the Pucci-Serrin identity*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, **18** (2003), 318–334.
- [12] F. Della Pietra, and G. di Blasio: *Blow-up solutions for some nonlinear elliptic equations involving a Finsler-Laplacian*, Publ. Mat., **61** (2017), 213–238
- [13] F. Della Pietra, G. di Blasio, and N. Gavitone: *Anisotropic Hardy inequalities*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A, 148A, (2018), no.3, 483–498.
- [14] F. Della Pietra, and N. Gavitone: *Symmetrization with respect to the anisotropic perimeter and applications*, Math. Ann., **363** (2015), 953–971
- [15] E. DiBenedetto:  *$C^{1,\alpha}$  local regularity of weak solutions of degenerate elliptic equations*, Nonlinear Anal., **7** (1983), 827–850

- [16] L. C. Evans, and R. F. Gariepy: *Measure Theory and Fine Properties of Functions*, CRC press (1992), iv + 268 pages.
- [17] V. Ferone, and B. Kawohl: *Remarks on a Finsler-Laplacian*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **137** (2009), no.1, 247–253.
- [18] I. Fonseca, and S. Müller: *A uniqueness proof for the Wulff theorem*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A., **119** (1991) 125–136.
- [19] G. Lieberman. *Boundary regularity for solutions of degenerate elliptic equations*, Nonlinear Anal., **12** (1983), no.11, 1203–1219.
- [20] A. Mercaldo, M. Sano, and F. Takahashi: *Finsler Hardy inequalities*, Math. Nachr., **293** (2020), no.12, 2370–2398.
- [21] X. Ren, and J. Wei: *On a two-dimensional elliptic problem with large exponent in nonlinearity*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **343** (1994) 749–763.
- [22] X. Ren, and J. Wei: *Single-point condensation and least-energy solutions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **124** (1996) 111–120.
- [23] X. Ren, and J. Wei: *Counting peaks of solutions to some quasilinear elliptic equations with large exponents*, J. Differential Equations, **117** (1995) 28–55.
- [24] S. Santra: *Existence and shape of the least energy solutions of a fractional Laplacian*, Calc. Var. and Partial Differential Equations, **58** no.2, (2019) page no. 48, 25 pages.
- [25] S. Santra, and J. Wei: *Asymptotic behavior of solutions of a biharmonic Dirichlet problem with large exponents*, J. Anal. Math., **115** (2011) 1–31.
- [26] J. Serrin: *Local behavior of solutions of quasi-linear equations*, Acta. Math., **111** (1964) 248–302.
- [27] J. Taylor: *Crystalline variational problems*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **84** (1978) 568–588.
- [28] F. Takahashi: *Asymptotic behavior of least energy solutions to a four-dimensional biharmonic semilinear problem*, Osaka. J. Math., **42** (2005) 633–651.
- [29] F. Takahashi: *Single-point condensation phenomena for a four-dimensional biharmonic Ren-Wei problem*, Calc. Var. and Partial Differential Equations, **29** (2007) 509–520.
- [30] F. Takahashi: *Asymptotic behavior of least energy solutions for a 2D nonlinear Neumann problem with large exponent*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **411** (2014) no.1, 95–106.
- [31] P. Tolksdorf: *Regularity for a more general class of quasilinear elliptic equations*, J. Differential Equations, **51** (1984) 126–150.
- [32] G. Wang, and C. Xia: *Blow-up analysis of a Finsler-Liouville equation in two dimensions*, J. Differential Equations, **252** (2012), 1668–1700.
- [33] R. L. Xie, and H. J. Gong: *A priori estimates and blow-up behavior for solutions of  $-Q_N u = V e^u$  in bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^N$* , Sci. China Math., **59** (2016), 479–492.
- [34] Changliang Zhou, and Chunqin Zhou: *Moser-Trudinger inequality involving the anisotropic Dirichlet norm  $(\int_{\Omega} F^N(\nabla u) dx)^{\frac{1}{N}}$  on  $W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$* , J. Funct. Anal., **276** (2019), no.9, 2901–2935.