

FROM RIGHT $(n + 2)$ -ANGULATED CATEGORIES TO n -EXANGULATED CATEGORIES

JIAN HE AND PANYUE ZHOU

ABSTRACT. The notion of right semi-equivalence in a right $(n + 2)$ -angulated category is defined in this article. Let \mathcal{C} be an n -exangulated category and \mathcal{X} is a strongly covariantly finite subcategory of \mathcal{C} . We prove that the standard right $(n + 2)$ -angulated category \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{X} is right semi-equivalence under a natural assumption. As an application, we show that a right $(n + 2)$ -angulated category has an n -exangulated structure if and only if the suspension functor is right semi-equivalence. Besides, we also prove that an n -exangulated category \mathcal{C} has the structure of a right $(n + 2)$ -angulated category with right semi-equivalence if and only if for any object $X \in \mathcal{C}$, the morphism $X \rightarrow 0$ is a trivial inflation.

1. INTRODUCTION

Triangulated categories and exact categories are two fundamental structures in algebra, geometry and topology. They are also important tools in many mathematical branches. Nakaoka and Palu [NP] recently introduced the notion of extriangulated categories, whose extriangulated structures are given by \mathbb{E} -triangles with some axioms. Triangulated categories and exact categories are extriangulated categories. There are a lot of examples of extriangulated categories which are neither triangulated categories nor exact categories, see [NP, ZZ, HZZ2, NP1, T]. Beligiannis and Marmaridis [BM] defined the notion of right triangulated category. Informally, a right triangulated category is a triangulated category whose suspension functor is not necessarily an equivalence. Subsequently, in order to research some homological properties, the notion of a right triangulated category with right semi-equivalence was introduced by Assem, Beligiannis and Marmaridis [ABM], these are the right triangulated category whose suspension functor is fully faithful and right dense. We need to pay attention to this relation: a triangulated category is a right triangulated category with right semi-equivalence, but the opposite is not necessarily true, see Example 3.5. Recently, Tattar [T] proved a right triangulated category with right semi-equivalence is an extriangulated category. More specifically, Tattar [T] gave a characterization of right triangulated categories which are extriangulated as follows.

Theorem 1.1. [T, Theorem A] Let $(\mathcal{C}, \Sigma, \nabla)$ be a right triangulated category. Then \mathcal{C} has an extriangulated structure if and only if the suspension functor Σ is right semi-equivalence.

Moreover, Tattar also gave a characterization of extriangulated categories which are right triangulated with right semi-equivalences.

Theorem 1.2. [T, Theorem B] Let $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ be an extriangulated category. Then \mathcal{C} has a right triangulated structure and the suspension functor is right semi-equivalence if and only if for any object $C \in \mathcal{C}$, the morphism $C \rightarrow 0$ is an inflation.

In [GKO], Geiss, Keller and Oppermann introduced a new type of categories, called $(n + 2)$ -angulated categories, which generalize triangulated categories: the classical triangulated categories are the special case $n = 1$. Lin [L] introduced right $(n + 2)$ -angulated categories, the right triangulated categories are the special case $n = 1$. Later, Jasso [J] introduced n -exact categories which are categories inhabited by certain exact sequences with $n + 2$ terms terms, called n -exact sequences. The case $n = 1$ corresponds to the usual concepts of exact categories. Recently, Herschend, Liu and Nakaoka [HLN] introduced the notion of n -exangulated categories. It should be noted that the case $n = 1$ corresponds to extriangulated categories. As typical examples we have that n -exact and $(n + 2)$ -angulated categories are

Panyue Zhou is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11901190) and by the Scientific Research Fund of Hunan Provincial Education Department (Grant No. 19B239).

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 18G80; 18G50; 18G25.

Key words and phrases. n -exangulated categories; extriangulated categories; right $(n + 2)$ -angulated categories; right triangulated categories; right semi-equivalences.

n -exangulated, see [HLN, Proposition 4.5 and Proposition 4.34]. However, there are some other examples of n -exangulated categories which are neither $(n+2)$ -angulated nor n -exact, see [HLN, LZ1, HZZ1].

Motivated by the definition of a right triangulated category with right semi-equivalence and the Tattar's idea, we define right $(n+2)$ -angulated categories with right semi-equivalences (see Definition 3.1), and prove the following.

Theorem 1.3. (see Theorem 3.12 for details) *Let $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ be an n -exangulated category and \mathcal{X} be a strongly covariantly finite subcategory of \mathcal{C} . Then \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{X} is a right $(n+2)$ -angulated category with right semi-equivalence under a reasonable assumption.*

We also show that Tattar's result has a higher counterpart:

Theorem 1.4. (see Corollary 4.5 for details) *Let $(\mathcal{C}, \Sigma^n, \Theta)$ be a right $(n+2)$ -angulated category. Then \mathcal{C} has the natural structure of an n -exangulated category if and only if the n -suspension functor Σ^n is right semi-equivalence.*

Moreover, we also gives a way to characterize which n -exangulated categories induces right $(n+2)$ -angulated categories with right semi-equivalences.

Theorem 1.5. (see Theorem 4.3 for details) *Let $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ be an n -exangulated category. Then \mathcal{C} induces an $(n+2)$ -angulated structure with right semi-equivalence if and only if for any object $C \in \mathcal{C}$, the morphism $C \rightarrow 0$ is a trivial inflation.*

This article is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review some elementary definitions and facts on n -exangulated categories and right $(n+2)$ -angulated categories. In Section 3, we define a notion of right semi-equivalences for right $(n+2)$ -angulated categories, and we give the proof of Theorem 1.3. In Section 4, we prove Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 1.5.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we briefly review basic concepts and results concerning n -exangulated categories and right $(n+2)$ -angulated categories.

2.1. n -exangulated categories. For any pair of objects $A, C \in \mathcal{C}$, an element $\delta \in \mathbb{E}(C, A)$ is called an \mathbb{E} -extension or simply an *extension*. We also write such δ as ${}_A\delta_C$ when we indicate A and C . The zero element ${}_A0_C = 0 \in \mathbb{E}(C, A)$ is called the *split \mathbb{E} -extension*. For any pair of \mathbb{E} -extensions ${}_A\delta_C$ and ${}_{A'}\delta'_{C'}$, let $\delta \oplus \delta' \in \mathbb{E}(C \oplus C', A \oplus A')$ be the element corresponding to $(\delta, 0, 0, \delta')$ through the natural isomorphism $\mathbb{E}(C \oplus C', A \oplus A') \simeq \mathbb{E}(C, A) \oplus \mathbb{E}(C, A') \oplus \mathbb{E}(C', A) \oplus \mathbb{E}(C', A')$.

For any $a \in \mathcal{C}(A, A')$ and $c \in \mathcal{C}(C', C)$, $\mathbb{E}(C, a)(\delta) \in \mathbb{E}(C, A')$ and $\mathbb{E}(c, A)(\delta) \in \mathbb{E}(C', A)$ are simply denoted by $a_*\delta$ and $c^*\delta$, respectively.

Let ${}_A\delta_C$ and ${}_{A'}\delta'_{C'}$ be any pair of \mathbb{E} -extensions. A *morphism* $(a, c): \delta \rightarrow \delta'$ of extensions is a pair of morphisms $a \in \mathcal{C}(A, A')$ and $c \in \mathcal{C}(C, C')$ in \mathcal{C} , satisfying the equality $a_*\delta = c^*\delta'$.

Definition 2.1. [HLN, Definition 2.7] Let $\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{C}}$ be the category of complexes in \mathcal{C} . As its full subcategory, define $\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{C}}^{n+2}$ to be the category of complexes in \mathcal{C} whose components are zero in the degrees outside of $\{0, 1, \dots, n+1\}$. Namely, an object in $\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{C}}^{n+2}$ is a complex $X^* = \{X_i, d_i^X\}$ of the form

$$X_0 \xrightarrow{d_0^X} X_1 \xrightarrow{d_1^X} \dots \xrightarrow{d_{n-1}^X} X_n \xrightarrow{d_n^X} X_{n+1}.$$

We write a morphism $f^*: X^* \rightarrow Y^*$ simply $f^* = (f^0, f^1, \dots, f^{n+1})$, only indicating the terms of degrees $0, \dots, n+1$.

Definition 2.2. [HLN, Definition 2.11] By Yoneda lemma, any extension $\delta \in \mathbb{E}(C, A)$ induces natural transformations

$$\delta_{\#}: \mathcal{C}(-, C) \Rightarrow \mathbb{E}(-, A) \quad \text{and} \quad \delta^{\#}: \mathcal{C}(A, -) \Rightarrow \mathbb{E}(C, -).$$

For any $X \in \mathcal{C}$, these $(\delta_{\#})_X$ and $\delta_X^{\#}$ are given as follows.

- (1) $(\delta_{\#})_X: \mathcal{C}(X, C) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}(X, A) : f \mapsto f^*\delta.$
- (2) $\delta_X^{\#}: \mathcal{C}(A, X) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}(C, X) : g \mapsto g_*\delta.$

We simply denote $(\delta_{\#})_X(f)$ and $\delta_X^{\#}(g)$ by $\delta_{\#}(f)$ and $\delta^{\#}(g)$, respectively.

Definition 2.3. [HLN, Definition 2.9] Let $\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, n$ be as before. Define a category $\mathbb{A} := \mathbb{A}_{(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E})}^{n+2}$ as follows.

- (1) A pair $\langle X^\bullet, \delta \rangle$ is an object of the category \mathbb{A} with $X^\bullet \in \mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{C}}^{n+2}$ and $\delta \in \mathbb{E}(X_{n+1}, X_0)$, called an \mathbb{E} -attached complex of length $n+2$, if it satisfies

$$(d_0^X)_* \delta = 0 \text{ and } (d_n^X)^* \delta = 0.$$

We also denote it by

$$X_0 \xrightarrow{d_0^X} X_1 \xrightarrow{d_1^X} \cdots \xrightarrow{d_{n-2}^X} X_{n-1} \xrightarrow{d_{n-1}^X} X_n \xrightarrow{d_n^X} X_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\delta} 0.$$

- (2) For such pairs $\langle X^\bullet, \delta \rangle$ and $\langle Y^\bullet, \rho \rangle$, $f^\bullet: \langle X^\bullet, \delta \rangle \rightarrow \langle Y^\bullet, \rho \rangle$ is defined to be a morphism in \mathbb{A} if it satisfies $(f_0)_* \delta = (f_{n+1})^* \rho$.

Definition 2.4. [HLN, Definition 2.13] An n -exangle is an object $\langle X^\bullet, \delta \rangle$ in \mathbb{A} that satisfies the listed conditions.

- (1) The following sequence of functors $\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$ is exact.

$$\mathcal{C}(-, X_0) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}(-, d_0^X)} \cdots \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}(-, d_n^X)} \mathcal{C}(-, X_{n+1}) \xrightarrow{\delta^\sharp} \mathbb{E}(-, X_0)$$

- (2) The following sequence of functors $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$ is exact.

$$\mathcal{C}(X_{n+1}, -) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}(d_n^X, -)} \cdots \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}(d_0^X, -)} \mathcal{C}(X_0, -) \xrightarrow{\delta^\sharp} \mathbb{E}(X_{n+1}, -)$$

In particular any n -exangle is an object in \mathbb{A} . A *morphism of n -exangles* simply means a morphism in \mathbb{A} . Thus n -exangles form a full subcategory of \mathbb{A} .

Definition 2.5. [HLN, Definition 2.22] Let \mathfrak{s} be a correspondence which associates a homotopic equivalence class $\mathfrak{s}(\delta) = [{}_A X_C^\bullet]$ to each extension $\delta = {}_A \delta_C$. Such \mathfrak{s} is called a *realization* of \mathbb{E} if it satisfies the following condition for any $\mathfrak{s}(\delta) = [X^\bullet]$ and any $\mathfrak{s}(\rho) = [Y^\bullet]$.

- (R0) For any morphism of extensions $(a, c): \delta \rightarrow \rho$, there exists a morphism $f^\bullet \in \mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{C}}^{n+2}(X^\bullet, Y^\bullet)$ of the form $f^\bullet = (a, f_1, \dots, f_n, c)$. Such f^\bullet is called a *lift* of (a, c) .

In such a case, we simply say that “ X^\bullet realizes δ ” whenever they satisfy $\mathfrak{s}(\delta) = [X^\bullet]$.

Moreover, a realization \mathfrak{s} of \mathbb{E} is said to be *exact* if it satisfies the following conditions.

- (R1) For any $\mathfrak{s}(\delta) = [X^\bullet]$, the pair $\langle X^\bullet, \delta \rangle$ is an n -exangle.
(R2) For any $A \in \mathcal{C}$, the zero element ${}_A 0_0 = 0 \in \mathbb{E}(0, A)$ satisfies

$$\mathfrak{s}({}_A 0_0) = [A \xrightarrow{\text{id}_A} A \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0].$$

Dually, $\mathfrak{s}(0_0 A) = [0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\text{id}_A} A]$ holds for any $A \in \mathcal{C}$.

Note that the above condition (R1) does not depend on representatives of the class $[X^\bullet]$.

Definition 2.6. [HLN, Definition 2.23] Let \mathfrak{s} be an exact realization of \mathbb{E} .

- (1) An n -exangle $\langle X^\bullet, \delta \rangle$ is called an \mathfrak{s} -*distinguished* n -exangle if it satisfies $\mathfrak{s}(\delta) = [X^\bullet]$. We often simply say *distinguished n -exangle* when \mathfrak{s} is clear from the context.
(2) An object $X^\bullet \in \mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{C}}^{n+2}$ is called an \mathfrak{s} -*conflation* or simply a *conflation* if it realizes some extension $\delta \in \mathbb{E}(X_{n+1}, X_0)$.
(3) A morphism f in \mathcal{C} is called an \mathfrak{s} -*inflation* or simply an *inflation* if it admits some conflation $X^\bullet \in \mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{C}}^{n+2}$ satisfying $d_X^0 = f$.
(4) A morphism g in \mathcal{C} is called an \mathfrak{s} -*deflation* or simply a *deflation* if it admits some conflation $X^\bullet \in \mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{C}}^{n+2}$ satisfying $d_X^n = g$.

Definition 2.7. [HLN, Definition 2.27] For a morphism $f^\bullet \in \mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{C}}^{n+2}(X^\bullet, Y^\bullet)$ satisfying $f^0 = \text{id}_A$ for some $A = X_0 = Y_0$, its *mapping cone* $M_f^\bullet \in \mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{C}}^{n+2}$ is defined to be the complex

$$X_1 \xrightarrow{d_0^{M_f}} X_2 \oplus Y_1 \xrightarrow{d_1^{M_f}} X_3 \oplus Y_2 \xrightarrow{d_2^{M_f}} \cdots \xrightarrow{d_{n-1}^{M_f}} X_{n+1} \oplus Y_n \xrightarrow{d_n^{M_f}} Y_{n+1}$$

where $d_0^{M_f} = \begin{bmatrix} -d_1^X \\ f_1 \end{bmatrix}$, $d_i^{M_f} = \begin{bmatrix} -d_{i+1}^X & 0 \\ f_{i+1} & d_i^Y \end{bmatrix}$ ($1 \leq i \leq n-1$), $d_n^{M_f} = [f_{n+1} \quad d_n^Y]$.

The *mapping cocone* is defined dually, for morphisms h^\bullet in $\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{C}}^{n+2}$ satisfying $h_{n+1} = \text{id}$.

Definition 2.8. [HLN, Definition 2.32] An n -exangulated category is a triplet $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ of additive category \mathcal{C} , additive bifunctor $\mathbb{E}: \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$, and its exact realization \mathfrak{s} , satisfying the following conditions.

- (EA1) Let $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C$ be any sequence of morphisms in \mathcal{C} . If both f and g are inflations, then so is $g \circ f$. Dually, if f and g are deflations, then so is $g \circ f$.
- (EA2) For $\rho \in \mathbb{E}(D, A)$ and $c \in \mathcal{C}(C, D)$, let ${}_A\langle X^*, c^*\rho \rangle_C$ and ${}_A\langle Y^*, \rho \rangle_D$ be distinguished n -exangles. Then (id_A, c) has a *good lift* f^* , in the sense that its mapping cone gives a distinguished n -exangle $\langle M_f^*, (d_0^X)_*\rho \rangle$.
- (EA2^{op}) Dual of (EA2).

Note that the case $n = 1$, a triplet $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ is a 1-exangulated category if and only if it is an extriangulated category, see [HLN, Proposition 4.3].

Example 2.9. From [HLN, Proposition 4.34] and [HLN, Proposition 4.5], we know that n -exact categories and $(n+2)$ -angulated categories are n -exangulated categories. There are some other examples of n -exangulated categories which are neither n -exact nor $(n+2)$ -angulated, see [HLN, LZ1, HZZ1].

Lemma 2.10. [HLN, Proposition 3.6] Let ${}_A\langle X^*, \delta \rangle_C$ and ${}_B\langle Y^*, \rho \rangle_D$ be distinguished n -exangles. Suppose that we are given a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X^0 & \xrightarrow{d_X^0} & X^1 \\ a \downarrow & \circlearrowleft & \downarrow b \\ Y^0 & \xrightarrow{d_Y^0} & Y^1 \end{array}$$

in \mathcal{C} . Then there is a morphism $f^*: \langle X^*, \delta \rangle \rightarrow \langle Y^*, \rho \rangle$ which satisfies $f^0 = a$ and $f^1 = b$.

2.2. Right $(n+2)$ -angulated categories. Let \mathcal{A} be an additive category with an endofunctor $\Sigma^n: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$. An $(n+2)$ - Σ^n -sequence in \mathcal{A} is a sequence of morphisms

$$A_0 \xrightarrow{f_0} A_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} A_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} A_n \xrightarrow{f_n} A_{n+1} \xrightarrow{f_{n+1}} \Sigma^n A_0.$$

Its *left rotation* is the $(n+2)$ - Σ^n -sequence

$$A_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} A_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} A_3 \xrightarrow{f_3} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_n} A_{n+1} \xrightarrow{f_{n+1}} \Sigma^n A_0 \xrightarrow{(-1)^n \Sigma^n f_0} \Sigma^n A_1.$$

A *morphism* of $(n+2)$ - Σ^n -sequences is a sequence of morphisms $\varphi = (\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_{n+1})$ such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} A_0 & \xrightarrow{f_0} & A_1 & \xrightarrow{f_1} & A_2 & \xrightarrow{f_2} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{f_n} & A_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{f_{n+1}} & \Sigma^n A_0 \\ \downarrow \varphi_0 & & \downarrow \varphi_1 & & \downarrow \varphi_2 & & & & \downarrow \varphi_{n+1} & & \downarrow \Sigma^n \varphi_0 \\ B_0 & \xrightarrow{g_0} & B_1 & \xrightarrow{g_1} & B_2 & \xrightarrow{g_2} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{g_n} & B_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{g_{n+1}} & \Sigma^n B_0 \end{array}$$

where each row is an $(n+2)$ - Σ^n -sequence. It is an *isomorphism* if $\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_{n+1}$ are all isomorphisms in \mathcal{A} .

Definition 2.11. [L, Definition 2.1] A *right $(n+2)$ -angulated category* is a triple $(\mathcal{A}, \Sigma^n, \Theta)$, where \mathcal{A} is an additive category, Σ^n is an endofunctor of \mathcal{A} (which is called the n -suspension functor), and Θ is a class of $(n+2)$ - Σ^n -sequences (whose elements are called right $(n+2)$ -angles), which satisfies the following axioms:

- (RN1) (a) The class Θ is closed under isomorphisms, direct sums and direct summands.
(b) For each object $A \in \mathcal{A}$ the trivial sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{1_A} A \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0$$

belongs to Θ .

- (c) Each morphism $f_0: A_0 \rightarrow A_1$ in \mathcal{A} can be extended to a right $(n+2)$ -angle:

$$A_0 \xrightarrow{f_0} A_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} A_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} A_n \xrightarrow{f_n} A_{n+1} \xrightarrow{f_{n+1}} \Sigma^n A_0.$$

- (RN2) If an $(n+2)$ - Σ^n -sequence belongs to Θ , then its left rotation belongs to Θ .

(RN3) Each solid commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
 A_0 & \xrightarrow{f_0} & A_1 & \xrightarrow{f_1} & A_2 & \xrightarrow{f_2} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{f_n} & A_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{f_{n+1}} & \Sigma^n A_0 \\
 \downarrow \varphi_0 & & \downarrow \varphi_1 & & \downarrow \varphi_2 & & & & \downarrow \varphi_{n+1} & & \downarrow \Sigma^n \varphi_0 \\
 B_0 & \xrightarrow{g_0} & B_1 & \xrightarrow{g_1} & B_2 & \xrightarrow{g_2} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{g_n} & B_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{g_{n+1}} & \Sigma^n B_0
 \end{array}$$

with rows in Θ can be completed to a morphism of $(n+2)$ - Σ^n -sequences.

(RN4) Given a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
 A_0 & \xrightarrow{f_0} & A_1 & \xrightarrow{f_1} & A_2 & \xrightarrow{f_2} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} & A_n & \xrightarrow{f_n} & A_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{f_{n+1}} & \Sigma^n A_0 \\
 \parallel & & \downarrow \varphi_1 & & & & & & & & & & \parallel \\
 A_0 & \xrightarrow{g_0} & B_1 & \xrightarrow{g_1} & B_2 & \xrightarrow{g_2} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{g_{n-1}} & B_n & \xrightarrow{g_n} & B_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{g_{n+1}} & \Sigma^n A_0 \\
 & & \downarrow h_1 & & & & & & & & & & \\
 & & C_2 & & & & & & & & & & \\
 & & \downarrow h_2 & & & & & & & & & & \\
 & & \vdots & & & & & & & & & & \\
 & & \downarrow h_{n-1} & & & & & & & & & & \\
 & & C_n & & & & & & & & & & \\
 & & \downarrow h_n & & & & & & & & & & \\
 & & C_{n+1} & & & & & & & & & & \\
 & & \downarrow h_{n+1} & & & & & & & & & & \\
 & & \Sigma^n A_1 & & & & & & & & & &
 \end{array}$$

whose top rows and second column belong to Θ . Then there exist morphisms $\varphi_i: A_i \rightarrow B_i$ ($i = 2, 3, \dots, n+1$), $\psi_j: B_j \rightarrow C_j$ ($j = 2, 3, \dots, n+1$) and $\phi_k: A_k \rightarrow C_{k-1}$ ($k = 3, 4, \dots, n+1$) with the following two properties:

- (I) The sequence $(1_{A_1}, \varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_{n+1})$ is a morphism of $(n+2)$ - Σ^n -sequences.
- (II) The $(n+2)$ - Σ^n -sequence

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_2 & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} f_2 \\ \varphi_2 \end{pmatrix}} A_3 \oplus B_2 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -f_3 & 0 \\ \varphi_3 & -g_2 \end{pmatrix}} A_4 \oplus B_3 \oplus C_2 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -f_4 & 0 & 0 \\ -\varphi_4 & -g_3 & 0 \\ \phi_4 & \psi_3 & h_2 \end{pmatrix}} A_5 \oplus B_4 \oplus C_3 \\
 & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -f_5 & 0 & 0 \\ \varphi_5 & -g_4 & 0 \\ \phi_5 & \psi_4 & h_3 \end{pmatrix}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -f_n & 0 & 0 \\ (-1)^{n+1} \varphi_n & -g_{n-1} & 0 \\ \phi_n & \psi_{n-1} & h_{n-2} \end{pmatrix}} A_{n+1} \oplus B_n \oplus C_{n-1} \\
 & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} (-1)^{n+1} \varphi_{n+1} & -g_n & 0 \\ \phi_{n+1} & \psi_n & h_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}} B_{n+1} \oplus C_n \xrightarrow{(\psi_{n+1}, h_n)} C_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\Sigma^n f_1 \circ h_{n+1}} \Sigma^n A_2
 \end{aligned}$$

belongs to Θ , and $h_{n+1} \circ \psi_{n+1} = \Sigma^n f_0 \circ g_{n+1}$.

The notion of a *left $(n+2)$ -angulated category* is defined dually.

If Σ^n is an automorphism, it is easy to see that the converse of an axiom (RN2) also holds, thus the right $(n+2)$ -angulated category $(\mathcal{A}, \Sigma^n, \Theta)$ is an $(n+2)$ -angulated category in the sense of Geiss-Keller-Oppermann [GKO, Definition 1.1] and in the sense of Bergh-Thaule [BT, Theorem 4.4]. If $(\mathcal{A}, \Sigma^n, \Theta)$ is a right $(n+2)$ -angulated category, $(\mathcal{A}, \Omega^n, \Phi)$ is a left $(n+2)$ -angulated category, Ω^n is a quasi-inverse of Σ^n and $\Theta = \Phi$, then $(\mathcal{A}, \Sigma^n, \Theta)$ is an $(n+2)$ -angulated category.

3. RIGHT $(n + 2)$ -ANGULATED CATEGORIES WITH RIGHT SEMI-EQUIVALENCES

We first introduce the concept of right semi-equivalence in a *right $(n + 2)$ -angulated category*.

Definition 3.1. Let $(\mathcal{C}, \Sigma, \Theta)$ be a *right $(n + 2)$ -angulated category*. The endofunctor Σ is called a right semi-equivalence if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) Σ is full;
- (2) Σ is faithful;
- (3) Σ is right dense, that is, for any morphism $u : U \rightarrow TV$, there exists a right $(n + 2)$ -angle

$$V \rightarrow U_1 \rightarrow U_2 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow U_n \rightarrow U \xrightarrow{u} \Sigma V.$$

Remark 3.2. Let $(\mathcal{C}, \Sigma, \Theta)$ be a right triangulated category. We recalled the notion of right semi-equivalence was introduced in [ABM]. The suspension functor Σ is called right dense if for any right triangle of the form $A \xrightarrow{u} \Sigma B \xrightarrow{v} C' \xrightarrow{w} \Sigma A$, there exists $C \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $C' \cong \Sigma C$. The suspension functor Σ is called a right semi-equivalence if it is full, faithful and right dense. In fact, if $n = 1$, then Definition 3.1 coincides with the definition of right semi-equivalence of right triangulated category in [ABM].

Proof. Let $(\mathcal{C}, \Sigma, \Theta)$ be a right triangulated category and Σ be fully faithful. We only need to show that Σ is right semi-equivalence if and only if for any morphism $u : U \rightarrow \Sigma V$, there exists a right triangle $V \xrightarrow{v} W \xrightarrow{w} U \xrightarrow{u} \Sigma V$.

“ \implies ” See the proof of Corollary 1.7 in [ABM].

“ \impliedby ” For any right triangle of the form $U \xrightarrow{u} \Sigma V \xrightarrow{v'} W' \xrightarrow{w'} \Sigma U$, there exists a right triangle $V \xrightarrow{f} M \xrightarrow{g} U \xrightarrow{u} \Sigma V$ by assumption. By (RTR2), we have that $U \xrightarrow{u} \Sigma V \xrightarrow{-\Sigma f} \Sigma M \xrightarrow{-\Sigma g} \Sigma U$ is a right triangle. By (RTR4), we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} U & \xrightarrow{u} & \Sigma V & \xrightarrow{v'} & W' & \xrightarrow{w'} & \Sigma U \\ \parallel & & \parallel & & \downarrow h & & \parallel \\ U & \xrightarrow{u} & \Sigma V & \xrightarrow{-\Sigma f} & \Sigma M & \xrightarrow{-\Sigma g} & \Sigma U \end{array}$$

where the rows are right triangle in \mathcal{C} . By Corollary 1.5 in [ABM], we have that h is an isomorphism. This shows that Σ is right dense. Moreover, Σ is right semi-equivalence. \square

Remark 3.3. Similarly, for a *left $(n + 2)$ -angulated category*, we can define left semi-equivalence.

Example 3.4. A *$(n + 2)$ -angulated category* is a *right $(n + 2)$ -angulated category* with right semi-equivalence. But the opposite is not necessarily true. A non-trivial example of a right $(n + 2)$ -angulated category with right semi-equivalence, see Theorem 3.12.

Now we give some examples of right triangulated categories with right semi-equivalences, These examples come from [ABM, Sections 3.1-3.4], one also can see [Z].

Example 3.5. (a) Let A be a finite dimensional k -algebra, where k is a commutative ring. We define

$$\Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} A_0 & & 0 \\ Q_0 & A_1 & \\ & Q_1 & A_1 \\ 0 & & \ddots & \ddots \end{bmatrix},$$

where lower triangular matrices have only finitely many non-zero coefficients, $A_i = A, Q_i = DA = \text{Hom}_k(A, k)$ (with its canonical A - A -bimodule structure) for all $i \geq 0$, addition is the usual addition of matrices and multiplication is induced from the bimodule structure of DA and the zero morphism $DA \otimes_A DA \rightarrow 0$. Then the stable module category $\underline{\text{mod}} \Lambda$ is a right triangulated category, whose suspension functor is a right semi-equivalence. But the stable module category $\text{mod} \Lambda$ is not a triangulated category.

- (b) If A is an APR-iterated tilted algebra over an algebraically closed field, then the full subcategory $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}^{-1}(A)$ of $K^b(\text{proj} A)$ consisting of the complexes having vanishing cohomology in the positive indices is a right triangulated category, whose suspension functor is a right semi-equivalence. But $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}^{-1}(A)$ is not a triangulated category.

(c) Let \mathcal{C} be a k -abelian category, where k is a commutative ring, and \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{X} be two k -linear subcategory of \mathcal{C} . Then \mathcal{Y} is said to be \mathcal{X} -coresolving [AS] if it satisfies:

- (i) \mathcal{Y} contain \mathcal{X} ;
- (ii) If $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ is \mathcal{X} -exact, with $A, B \in \mathcal{Y}$, then $C \in \mathcal{Y}$;
- (iii) If $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ is \mathcal{X} -exact, with $A, C \in \mathcal{Y}$, then $B \in \mathcal{Y}$.

Assume that A is \mathcal{X} -coresolving, each \mathcal{X} -monic in \mathcal{Y} is a monomorphism and every object in \mathcal{X} is \mathcal{X} -projective. Then the stable category \mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{X} is a right triangulated category, whose suspension functor is a right semi-equivalence. But \mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{X} is not a triangulated category.

Let \mathcal{C} be an additive category and \mathcal{X} a subcategory of \mathcal{C} . Recall that we say a morphism $f: A \rightarrow B$ in \mathcal{C} is an \mathcal{X} -monic if

$$\mathcal{C}(f, X): \mathcal{C}(B, X) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(A, X)$$

is an epimorphism for all $X \in \mathcal{X}$. We say that f is an \mathcal{X} -epic if

$$\mathcal{C}(X, f): \mathcal{C}(X, A) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(X, B)$$

is an epimorphism for all $X \in \mathcal{X}$. Similarly, we say that f is a left \mathcal{X} -approximation of B if f is an \mathcal{X} -monic and $A \in \mathcal{X}$. We say that f is a right \mathcal{X} -approximation of A if f is an \mathcal{X} -epic and $B \in \mathcal{X}$.

We denote by \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{X} the category whose objects are objects of \mathcal{C} and whose morphisms are elements of $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, B)/\mathcal{X}(A, B)$ for $A, B \in \mathcal{C}$, where $\mathcal{X}(A, B)$ is the subgroup of $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, B)$ consisting of morphisms which factor through an object in \mathcal{X} . Such category is called the (additive) quotient category of \mathcal{C} by \mathcal{X} . For any morphism $f: A \rightarrow B$ in \mathcal{C} , we denote by \bar{f} the image of f under the natural quotient functor $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{X}$.

Definition 3.6. [LZ1, Definition 3.1] Let $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ be an n -exangulated category. A subcategory \mathcal{X} of \mathcal{C} is called *strongly contravariantly finite*, if for any object $C \in \mathcal{C}$, there exists a distinguished n -exangle

$$B \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow X_2 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_{n-1} \rightarrow X_n \xrightarrow{g} C \dashrightarrow$$

where g is a right \mathcal{X} -approximation of C and $X_i \in \mathcal{X}$. Dually we can define *strongly covariantly finite* subcategory.

A strongly contravariantly finite and strongly covariantly finite subcategory is called *strongly functorially finite*.

Construction 3.7. Assume that \mathcal{X} is a strongly covariantly finite subcategory of an n -exangulated category \mathcal{C} .

Step 1: For any object $A \in \mathcal{C}$, take a distinguished n -exangle

$$A \xrightarrow{f} X_1 \rightarrow X_2 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_n \rightarrow X_{n+1} \dashrightarrow^{\delta},$$

where f is a left \mathcal{X} -approximation of A and $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{n-1}, X_n \in \mathcal{X}$.

Define $\mathbb{G}(A) = \mathbb{G}A$ to be the image of X_{n+1} in \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{X} .

For any morphism $a \in \mathcal{C}(A, A')$, since f is a left \mathcal{X} -approximation of A and $X'_1 \in \mathcal{X}$, we can complete the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} A & \xrightarrow{f} & X_1 & \longrightarrow & X_2 & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & X_n & \longrightarrow & X_{n+1} & \dashrightarrow^{\delta} & \triangleright \\ \downarrow a & & \downarrow a_1 & & \downarrow a_2 & & & & \downarrow a_n & & \downarrow b & & \\ A' & \longrightarrow & X'_1 & \longrightarrow & X'_2 & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & X'_n & \longrightarrow & X'_{n+1} & \dashrightarrow^{\delta'} & \triangleright \end{array}$$

For any morphism $\bar{a} \in (\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{X})(A, A')$, define $\mathbb{G}\bar{a}$ to be the image \bar{b} of b in \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{X} .

It is not difficult to see that the endofunctor $\mathbb{G}: \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{X}$ is well defined.

Step 2: Let

$$A_0 \xrightarrow{\alpha_0} A_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} A_2 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow A_n \xrightarrow{\alpha_n} A_{n+1} \dashrightarrow^{\eta}$$

is a distinguished n -exangle in \mathcal{C} , where α_0 is \mathcal{X} -monomorphism. It follows that there exists the following commutative diagram of distinguished n -exangles

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} A_0 & \xrightarrow{\alpha_0} & A_1 & \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} & A_2 & \xrightarrow{\alpha_2} & \cdots & \longrightarrow & A_n & \xrightarrow{\alpha_n} & A_{n+1} & \dashrightarrow^{\eta} & \triangleright \\ \parallel & & \downarrow g_1 & & \downarrow g_2 & & & & \downarrow g_n & & \downarrow \alpha_{n+1} & & \\ A_0 & \xrightarrow{f_0} & X_1 & \xrightarrow{f_1} & X_2 & \xrightarrow{f_2} & \cdots & \longrightarrow & X_n & \xrightarrow{f_n} & \mathbb{G}A_0 & \dashrightarrow^{\zeta} & \triangleright \end{array}$$

We define

$$A_0 \xrightarrow{\overline{\alpha}_0} A_1 \xrightarrow{\overline{\alpha}_1} A_2 \xrightarrow{\overline{\alpha}_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{\overline{\alpha}_n} A_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\overline{\alpha}_{n+1}} \mathbb{G}A_0$$

to be a standard right $(n+2)$ -angle in \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{X} .

We define

$$\Theta = \{X^* \mid X^* \text{ is an } (n+2)\text{-}\mathbb{G}\text{-sequence with } X^* \oplus Y^* \cong Z^*, \text{ where } Y^* \text{ is an } (n+2)\text{-}\mathbb{G}\text{-sequence and } Z^* \text{ is a standard right } (n+2)\text{-angle}\}.$$

Lemma 3.8. *Let \mathcal{C} be an n -exangulated category and \mathcal{X} is a strongly covariantly finite subcategory of \mathcal{C} . Then the quotient category \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{X} is a right $(n+2)$ -angulated category with the endofunctor \mathbb{G} and right $(n+2)$ -angles defined in Construction 3.7.*

Proof. Since the proof is very similar to [ZW, Theorem 3.11], we omit it. Moreover, one also can see [LZ2, Lemma 3.2]. \square

Lemma 3.9. [ZW, Lemma 3.3] Let

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} X_0 & \xrightarrow{f_0} & X_1 & \xrightarrow{f_1} & X_2 & \xrightarrow{f_2} & \cdots & \longrightarrow & X_n & \xrightarrow{f_n} & X_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \triangleright \\ \downarrow a_0 & & \downarrow a_1 & & \downarrow a_2 & & & & \downarrow a_n & & \downarrow a_{n+1} & & \\ Y_0 & \xrightarrow{g_0} & Y_1 & \xrightarrow{g_1} & Y_2 & \xrightarrow{g_2} & \cdots & \longrightarrow & Y_n & \xrightarrow{g_n} & Y_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{\eta} & \triangleright \end{array}$$

be any morphism of distinguished n -exangles. Then a_0 factors through f_0 if and only if a_{n+1} factors through g_n if and only if $(a_0)_*\delta = (a_{n+1})^*\eta = 0$.

Definition 3.10. [ZW, Definition 3.14] Let $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ be an n -exangulated category.

(1) An object $P \in \mathcal{C}$ is called *projective* if, for any distinguished n -exangle

$$A_0 \xrightarrow{\alpha_0} A_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} A_2 \xrightarrow{\alpha_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{\alpha_{n-2}} A_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{n-1}} A_n \xrightarrow{\alpha_n} A_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\delta} \triangleright$$

and any morphism c in $\mathcal{C}(P, A_{n+1})$, there exists a morphism $b \in \mathcal{C}(P, A_n)$ satisfying $\alpha_n \circ b = c$. We denote the full subcategory of projective objects in \mathcal{C} by \mathcal{P} . Dually, the full subcategory of injective objects in \mathcal{C} is denoted by \mathcal{I} .

(2) We say that \mathcal{C} has enough projectives if for any object $C \in \mathcal{C}$, there exists a distinguished n -exangle

$$B \xrightarrow{\alpha_0} P_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} P_2 \xrightarrow{\alpha_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{\alpha_{n-2}} P_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{n-1}} P_n \xrightarrow{\alpha_n} C \xrightarrow{\delta} \triangleright$$

satisfying $P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n \in \mathcal{P}$. We can define the notion of having enough injectives dually.

Lemma 3.11. [LZ1, Lemma 4.3] Let $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ be an n -exangulated category and \mathcal{X} be a subcategory of \mathcal{C} . For each pair of objects A and C in \mathcal{C} , define

$$\mathbb{F}^{\mathcal{X}}(C, A) = \{A_0 \xrightarrow{f} A_1 \rightarrow A_2 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow A_{n-1} \rightarrow A_n \rightarrow A_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\delta} \triangleright \mid f \text{ is an } \mathcal{X}\text{-monic}\}.$$

Then $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{F}^{\mathcal{X}}, \mathfrak{s}_{\mathbb{F}^{\mathcal{X}}})$ is an n -exangulated category.

Dually, we define for each pair of objects A and C in \mathcal{C}

$$\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{X}}(C, A) = \{A_0 \rightarrow A_1 \rightarrow A_2 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow A_{n-1} \rightarrow A_n \xrightarrow{g} A_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\delta} \triangleright \mid g \text{ is an } \mathcal{X}\text{-epic}\}.$$

Then $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{X}}, \mathfrak{s}_{\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{X}}})$ is an n -exangulated category.

We denote the full subcategory of projective objects in $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{F}^{\mathcal{X}}, \mathfrak{s}_{\mathbb{F}^{\mathcal{X}}})$ by $\text{Proj}_{\mathbb{F}^{\mathcal{X}}}\mathcal{C}$.

Our first main result is the following.

Theorem 3.12. *Let \mathcal{C} be an n -exangulated category and \mathcal{X} be a strongly covariantly finite subcategory of \mathcal{C} . Then*

- (1) *The quotient category \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{X} is a right $(n+2)$ -angulated category whose suspension functor \mathbb{G} is right dense;*
- (2) *If $\mathcal{X} \subset \text{Proj}_{\mathbb{F}^{\mathcal{X}}}\mathcal{C}$, then \mathbb{G} is right semi-equivalence.*

Proof. (1) By Lemma 3.8, we know that \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{X} is a right $(n+2)$ -angulated category. Now we show that \mathbb{G} is right semi-equivalence.

For any morphism $\bar{u} : U \rightarrow \mathbb{G}V$ in \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{X} , since \mathcal{X} is a strongly covariantly finite subcategory of \mathcal{C} , there exists a distinguished n -exangle

$$V \xrightarrow{f_0} X_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} X_2 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_n \xrightarrow{f_n} \mathbb{G}V \xrightarrow{-\eta}$$

where f_0 is a left \mathcal{X} -approximation of V and $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \in \mathcal{X}$. By (EA2), we can observe that (id_V, u) has a *good lift* $f^* = (\text{id}_V, h_1, \dots, h_n, u)$, that is, we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} V & \xrightarrow{g_0} & A_1 & \xrightarrow{g_1} & A_2 & \xrightarrow{g_2} & \cdots & \longrightarrow & A_n & \xrightarrow{g_n} & U & \xrightarrow{-u^*\eta} & \triangleright \\ \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ \text{id}_V & & h_1 & & h_2 & & & & h_n & & u & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow & & & & \\ V & \xrightarrow{f_0} & X_1 & \xrightarrow{f_1} & X_2 & \xrightarrow{f_2} & \cdots & \longrightarrow & X_n & \xrightarrow{f_n} & \mathbb{G}V & \xrightarrow{-\eta} & \triangleright \end{array}$$

of distinguished n -exangles. We claim that g_0 is an \mathcal{X} -monic. Indeed, let $a : V \rightarrow X$ be any morphism with $X \in \mathcal{X}$. Since f_0 is a left \mathcal{X} -approximation, there exists a morphism $b : X_1 \rightarrow X$ such that $a = bf_0$ and then $a = bh_1g_0$. This shows that g_0 is an \mathcal{X} -monic. Thus we have

$$V \xrightarrow{\bar{g}_0} A_1 \xrightarrow{\bar{g}_1} A_2 \xrightarrow{\bar{g}_2} \cdots \rightarrow A_n \xrightarrow{\bar{g}_n} U \xrightarrow{\bar{u}} \mathbb{G}V$$

is a right $(n+2)$ -angle in \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{X} by Construction 3.7, hence \mathbb{G} is right dense.

(2) We only need to show that \mathbb{G} is fully faithful by (1).

Let $\bar{u} : U \rightarrow V$ be a morphism in \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{X} with $\mathbb{G}\bar{u} = 0$. We have the commutative diagram of distinguished n -exangles

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} U & \xrightarrow{f_u} & X_1 & \xrightarrow{g_1} & X_2 & \xrightarrow{g_2} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{g_{n-1}} & X_n & \xrightarrow{g_u} & \mathbb{G}U & \xrightarrow{-\delta} & \triangleright \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ u & & u_1 & & u_2 & & & & u_n & & \mathbb{G}u = u' & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow & & & & \\ V & \xrightarrow{f_v} & X'_1 & \xrightarrow{g'_1} & X'_2 & \xrightarrow{g'_2} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{g'_{n-1}} & X'_n & \xrightarrow{g_v} & \mathbb{G}V & \xrightarrow{-\delta'} & \triangleright, \end{array}$$

Where f_u and f_v are left \mathcal{X} -approximation, $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n; X'_1, X'_2, \dots, X'_n \in \mathcal{X}$. Since $\bar{u}' = \mathbb{G}\bar{u} = 0$, there exists $v : X \rightarrow \mathbb{G}V$ and $w : \mathbb{G}U \rightarrow X$ such that $u' = vw$ with $X \in \mathcal{X}$. Since $X \in \text{Proj}_{\mathbb{F}^x} \mathcal{C}$, there exists $w' : X \rightarrow X'_n$ such that $v = g_v w'$, that is, we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & X & \xleftarrow{w} & \mathbb{G}U \\ & & & & \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & & & & w' & & \mathbb{G}u = u' \\ V & \xrightarrow{f_v} & X'_1 & \xrightarrow{g'_1} & X'_2 & \xrightarrow{g'_2} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{g'_{n-1}} & X'_n & \xrightarrow{g_v} & \mathbb{G}V & \xrightarrow{-\delta'} & \triangleright. \end{array}$$

Therefore $u' = vw = g_v w' w$ and u' factors through g_v . By Lemma 3.9, we get u factors through f_u , hence $\bar{u} = 0$. This shows that \mathbb{G} is faithful.

To prove that \mathbb{G} is full, let $\bar{u} : \mathbb{G}U \rightarrow \mathbb{G}V$ be a morphism in \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{X} . Since $\mathcal{X} \subset \text{Proj}_{\mathbb{F}^x} \mathcal{C}$, We have the commutative diagram of distinguished n -exangles by the dual of Lemma 2.10

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} U & \xrightarrow{f_u} & X_1 & \xrightarrow{g_1} & X_2 & \xrightarrow{g_2} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{g_{n-1}} & X_n & \xrightarrow{g_u} & \mathbb{G}U & \xrightarrow{-\delta} & \triangleright \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ u' & & u_1 & & u_2 & & & & u_n & & u & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow & & & & \\ V & \xrightarrow{f_v} & X'_1 & \xrightarrow{g'_1} & X'_2 & \xrightarrow{g'_2} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{g'_{n-1}} & X'_n & \xrightarrow{g_v} & \mathbb{G}V & \xrightarrow{-\delta'} & \triangleright. \end{array}$$

Where f_u and f_v are left \mathcal{X} -approximation, $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n; X'_1, X'_2, \dots, X'_n \in \mathcal{X}$. Thus $\bar{u} = \mathbb{G}\bar{u}'$ by Construction 3.7. \square

As a special case of Theorem 3.12 when $n = 1$, we have the following.

Corollary 3.13. [T, Lemma 3.3] Let \mathcal{C} be an extriangulated category and \mathcal{X} be a covariantly finite subcategory of \mathcal{C} . If $\mathcal{X} \subset \text{Proj}_{\mathbb{F}^x} \mathcal{C}$, then the quotient category \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{X} is a right triangulated category with right semi-equivalence.

4. ON THE RELATION n -EXANGULATED CATEGORY AND RIGHT $(n + 2)$ -ANGULATED CATEGORY

Definition 4.1. Let $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ be an n -exangulated category and X be any object in \mathcal{C} . The morphism $X \rightarrow 0$ is called *trivial inflation* if it can be embedded in a distinguished n -exangle

$$X \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow Y \dashrightarrow .$$

Dually the morphism $0 \rightarrow X$ is called *trivial deflation* if it can be embedded in a distinguished n -exangle

$$Z \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow X \dashrightarrow .$$

Remark 4.2. In extriangulated categories, note that the inflation and the trivial inflation are the same, and the deflation and the trivial deflation are the same.

Our second main result is the following.

Theorem 4.3. Let $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ be an n -exangulated category. Then the following statements are equivalent.

- (1) There exists a fully faithful additive endofunctor $\Sigma : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ such that for any morphism $u : U \rightarrow \Sigma V$, there exists a distinguished n -exangle $V \rightarrow U_1 \rightarrow U_2 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow U_n \rightarrow U \dashrightarrow$. Moreover, $\mathbb{E}(-, -) \cong \mathcal{C}(-, \Sigma -)$.
- (2) For any object $C \in \mathcal{C}$, the morphism $C \rightarrow 0$ is a trivial inflation.
- (3) There is a right $(n + 2)$ -angulation of \mathcal{C} which induces the n -exangulated category structure $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) We claim that for any $C \in \mathcal{C}$, the morphism $1_{\Sigma C} \in \mathcal{C}(\Sigma C, \Sigma C) \cong \mathbb{E}(\Sigma C, C)$ is realised by the distinguished n -exangle

$$C \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \Sigma C \dashrightarrow .$$

Indeed, Let $\mathfrak{s}(1_{\Sigma C}) = [C \rightarrow E_1 \rightarrow E_2 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow E_n \rightarrow \Sigma C]$, then we have two exact sequence by Definition 2.4

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}(-, C) &\rightarrow \mathcal{C}(-, E_1) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(-, \Sigma C) \xrightarrow{(1_{\Sigma C})_{\#} = \text{id}} \mathbb{E}(-, C) \cong \mathcal{C}(-, \Sigma C); \\ \mathcal{C}(\Sigma C, -) &\rightarrow \mathcal{C}(E_n, -) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(C, -) \xrightarrow{(1_{\Sigma C})^{\#} = \Sigma} \mathbb{E}(\Sigma C, -) \cong \mathcal{C}(\Sigma C, \Sigma -). \end{aligned}$$

Since Σ is faithful, then $(1_{\Sigma C})_{\#}$ and $(1_{\Sigma C})^{\#}$ are monomorphic. That is to say $C \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \Sigma C \dashrightarrow$ is a n -exangle. Thus $C \rightarrow E_1 \rightarrow E_2 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow E_n \rightarrow \Sigma C \dashrightarrow$ is homotopically equivalent to the object $C \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \Sigma C \dashrightarrow$ by [HLN, Proposition 3.1]. This shows $C \rightarrow 0$ is a trivial inflation.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) For any object $C \in \mathcal{C}$, since the morphism $C \rightarrow 0$ is a trivial inflation, then we have a distinguished n -exangle

$$C \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow Y \dashrightarrow .$$

Notice that $C \rightarrow 0$ is a left 0-approximation of C , then 0 is a strongly covariantly finite subcategory of an n -exangulated category \mathcal{C} . On the other hand, $0 \subset \text{Proj}_{\mathbb{E}} \mathcal{C}$. Then $\mathcal{C} \cong \mathcal{C}/0$ has a right $(n + 2)$ -angulated structure $(\mathcal{C}, \Sigma, \Theta)$ with Σ is right semi-equivalence by Construction 3.7, Lemma 3.8 and Theorem 3.12.

In order to show $\mathbb{E}(-, -) \cong \mathcal{C}(-, \Sigma -)$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} F : \mathcal{C}(C, \Sigma A) &\rightarrow \mathbb{E}(C, A) \\ f &\rightarrow f^* \delta \end{aligned}$$

for any $A, C \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\delta_A \in \mathcal{C}(\Sigma A, A)$. It is not difficult to see that the F is well defined and injective. We only show that F is surjective. Let $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{E}(C, A)$ be realised by $A \rightarrow A_1 \rightarrow A_2 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow A_n \rightarrow C$, we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} A & \longrightarrow & A_1 & \longrightarrow & A_2 & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & A_n & \longrightarrow & C & \xrightarrow{f^* \delta_A} \\ \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow f & \\ A & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & \Sigma A & \xrightarrow{\delta_A} \end{array}$$

of distinguished n -exangles by Lemma 2.10 and assumption. Thus we have that $\varepsilon = f^* \delta_A =: F(f)$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Since $\mathbb{E}(-, -) \cong \mathcal{C}(-, \Sigma -)$ by (2), then the right $(n + 2)$ -angulated structure on \mathcal{C} of Lemma 3.8 coincides with the n -exangulated structure of \mathcal{C} . That is to say, the $(n + 2)$ -angulation of \mathcal{C} (with right semi-equivalence) induces an n -exangulation on \mathcal{C} .

(3) \Rightarrow (2) Let $(\mathcal{C}, \Sigma, \Theta)$ be a right $(n + 2)$ -angulation of \mathcal{C} . Then for the morphism $1_C: C \rightarrow C$ in \mathcal{C} , by axioms (RN1) and (RN2), there exists an right $(n + 2)$ -angle

$$C \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \Sigma C \rightarrow \Sigma C$$

in Θ , we have that

$$C \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \Sigma C \xrightarrow{\delta} \Sigma C$$

is a distinguished n -exangle for some $\delta \in \mathbb{E}(\Sigma C, C)$. So the morphism $C \rightarrow 0$ is a trivial inflation. \square

As a special case of Theorem 4.3 when $n = 1$, we have the following.

Corollary 4.4. [T, Theorem B] Let $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ be an extriangulated category. Then \mathcal{C} has a right triangulated structure $(\mathcal{C}, \Sigma, \Theta)$ with Σ is right semi-equivalence if and only if for any object $C \in \mathcal{C}$, the morphism $C \rightarrow 0$ is an inflation.

Proof. This follows from Theorem 4.3 and Remark 4.2. \square

In general, a right $(n + 2)$ -angulated category do not have a natural n -exangulated structure. As a direct consequence of Theorem 4.3, we have the following.

Corollary 4.5. Let $(\mathcal{C}, \Sigma^n, \Theta)$ be a right $(n + 2)$ -angulated category. Then \mathcal{C} has an n -exangulated structure $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ if and only if the suspension functor Σ^n is right semi-equivalence.

As a special case of Corollary 4.5 when $n = 1$, we have the following.

Corollary 4.6. [T, Theorem A] Let $(\mathcal{C}, \Sigma, \Theta)$ be a right triangulated category. Then \mathcal{C} has an extriangulated structure $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ if and only if the suspension functor Σ is right semi-equivalence.

Remark 4.7. (1) One can get that the Example 3.5 can induce extriangulated structures which are not triangulated by Corollary 4.6.

(2) Let \mathcal{C} be an n -exangulated category and \mathcal{X} is a strongly covariantly finite subcategory of \mathcal{C} . If $\mathcal{X} \subset \text{Proj}_{\mathbb{F}\mathcal{X}} \mathcal{C}$, then the standard right $(n + 2)$ -angulated category \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{X} has an n -exangulated structure by Theorem 3.12 and Corollary 4.5.

REFERENCES

- [ABM] I. Assem, A. Beligiannis, N. Marmaridis. Right triangulated categories with right semi-equivalences. Can. Math. Soc. Conf. Proc. 24: 17–37, 1998.
- [AS] M. Auslander, Ø. Solberg. Relative homology and representation theory. I and II. Comm. Algebra, 21(9): 2995–3031 and 21(9): 3033–3079, 1993.
- [BM] A. Beligiannis, N. Marmaridis. left triangulated categories arising from contravariantly finite subcategories. Comm. Algebra, 22(12): 5021–5036, 1994.
- [BT] P. Bergh, M. Thaule. The axioms for n -angulated categories.
- [GKO] C. Geiss, B. Keller, S. Oppermann. n -angulated categories. J. Reine Angew. Math. 675: 101–120, 2013.
- [HLN] M. Herschend, Y. Liu, H. Nakaoka. n -exangulated categories (I): Definitions and fundamental properties. J. Algebra 570: 531–586, 2021.
- [HZZ1] J. Hu, D. Zhang, P. Zhou. Two new classes of n -exangulated categories. J. Algebra 568: 1–21, 2021.
- [HZZ2] J. Hu, D. Zhang, P. Zhou. Proper classes and Gorensteinness in extriangulated categories. J. Algebra 551: 23–60, 2020.
- [J] G. Jasso. n -abelian and n -exact categories. Math. Z. 283(3–4): 703–759, 2016.
- [LZ1] Y. Liu, P. Zhou. Frobenius n -exangulated categories. J. Algebra 559: 161–183, 2020.
- [LZ2] Y. Liu, P. Zhou. From n -exangulated categories to n -abelian categories. J. Algebra 579: 210–230, 2021.
- [L] Z. Lin. Right n -angulated categories arising from covariantly finite subcategories. Comm. Algebra, 45(2): 828–840, 2017.
- [NP] H. Nakaoka, Y. Palu. Extriangulated categories, Hovey twin cotorsion pairs and model structures. Cah. Topol. Géom. Différ. Catég. 60(2): 117–193, 2019.
- [NP1] H. Nakaoka, Y. Palu. External triangulation of the homotopy category of exact quasi-category. arXiv: 2004.02479, 2020.
- [T] A. Tattar. Right triangulated categories: As extriangulated categories, aisles and co-aisles. arXiv: 2106.09107v1, 2021.
- [Z] P. Zhou. A right triangulated version of Gentle-Todorov’s theorem. Comm. Algebra, 46(1): 82–89, 2018.
- [ZW] Q. Zheng, J. Wei. $(n + 2)$ -angulated quotient categories. Algebra Colloq. 26(4): 689–720, 2019.
- [ZZ] P. Zhou, B. Zhu. Triangulated quotient categories revisited. J. Algebra 502: 196–232, 2018.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NANJING UNIVERSITY, 210093 NANJING, JIANGSU, P. R. CHINA
Email address: `jianhe30@163.com`

COLLEGE OF MATHEMATICS, HUNAN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, 414006 YUEYANG, HUNAN, CHINA
Email address: `panyuezhou@163.com`