

On Topological Bihyperbolic Modules

Soumen Mondal¹, Chinmay Ghosh², Sanjib Kumar Datta³

¹28, Dolua Dakshinpara Haridas Primary School

Beldanga, Murshidabad

Pin-742133

West Bengal, India

mondalsoumen79@gmail.com

²Department of Mathematics

Kazi Nazrul University

Nazrul Road, P.O.- Kalla C.H.

Asansol-713340, West Bengal, India

chinmayarp@gmail.com

³Department of Mathematics

University of Kalyani

P.O.-Kalyani, Dist-Nadia, PIN-741235,

West Bengal, India

sanjibdatta05@gmail.com

Abstract

In this paper we introduce topological modules over the ring of bihyperbolic numbers. We discuss bihyperbolic convexity, bihyperbolic-valued seminorms and bihyperbolic-valued Minkowski functionals in topological bihyperbolic modules. Finally we introduce locally bihyperbolic convex modules.

AMS Subject Classification (2010) : 30G35, 46A03, 46A19, 52A07.

Keywords and phrases: Bihyperbolic modules, Topological bihyperbolic modules, Bihyperbolic convexity, Bihyperbolic-valued seminorms, Bihyperbolic-valued Minkowski functionals, Locally bihyperbolic convex modules.

1 Introduction

In 1882, Corrado Segre [11] introduced bicomplex numbers. Bicomplex numbers are generalization of complex numbers by four real numbers and form a commutative ring with divisors of zero. With the discovery of bicomplex numbers (Tessarines), a new number system has been found which is called a real

Tessarines and defined as the set of $a + \mathbf{j}c$, where $a, c \in \mathbb{R}$, $\mathbf{j}^2 = 1$, $\mathbf{j} \notin \mathbb{R}$. The real Tessarine numbers are called hyperbolic numbers.

In 2002, S. Olariu introduced hyperbolic four complex numbers [8] which are also called bihyperbolic numbers in [9]. Many properties of such numbers have been discovered during the last few year. These numbers form a commutative ring with divisors of zero. Algebraic properties of bihyperbolic numbers have been discussed in [1].

Topological vector spaces are one of the basic structures investigated in functional analysis. The bicomplex version of topological module spaces was introduced in [3] and some basic concepts and results on it have been discussed in [4].

In this paper we define topological modules over the ring of bihyperbolic numbers and discuss some basic concepts and results on it. We also discuss bihyperbolic-valued seminorm in section 4, bihyperbolic-valued Minkowski functionals in section 5 and locally bihyperbolic convex modules in section 6.

2 A Review of Bihyperbolic Numbers

In this section we state some basic facts about bihyperbolic numbers.

Bihyperbolic numbers (also called canonical hyperbolic quaternions or hyperbolic four complex numbers) set is defined by

$$H_2 := \{\zeta = x + y\mathbf{j}_1 + z\mathbf{j}_2 + w\mathbf{j}_3 : x, y, z, w \in \mathbb{R}; \mathbf{j}_1, \mathbf{j}_2, \mathbf{j}_3 \notin \mathbb{R}\}$$

where the multiplication is given by the following rules

$$\mathbf{j}_1^2 = \mathbf{j}_2^2 = \mathbf{j}_3^2 = 1, \mathbf{j}_1\mathbf{j}_2 = \mathbf{j}_2\mathbf{j}_1 = \mathbf{j}_3, \mathbf{j}_2\mathbf{j}_3 = \mathbf{j}_3\mathbf{j}_2 = \mathbf{j}_1, \mathbf{j}_3\mathbf{j}_1 = \mathbf{j}_1\mathbf{j}_3 = \mathbf{j}_2.$$

The canonical form of $\zeta = x + yi + zj + wk \in H_2$ is

$$\zeta = \lambda_1(\zeta)\mathbf{e}_1 + \lambda_2(\zeta)\mathbf{e}_2 + \lambda_3(\zeta)\mathbf{e}_3 + \lambda_4(\zeta)\mathbf{e}_4$$

where

$$\lambda_1(\zeta) = (x+y+z+w), \lambda_2(\zeta) = (x-y+z-w), \lambda_3(\zeta) = (x+y-z-w), \lambda_4(\zeta) = (x-y-z+w)$$

and

$$\mathbf{e}_1 = \frac{(1 + \mathbf{j}_1 + \mathbf{j}_2 + \mathbf{j}_3)}{4}, \mathbf{e}_2 = \frac{(1 - \mathbf{j}_1 + \mathbf{j}_2 - \mathbf{j}_3)}{4}, \mathbf{e}_3 = \frac{(1 + \mathbf{j}_1 - \mathbf{j}_2 - \mathbf{j}_3)}{4}, \mathbf{e}_4 = \frac{(1 - \mathbf{j}_1 - \mathbf{j}_2 + \mathbf{j}_3)}{4}.$$

The bihyperbolic numbers $\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3, \mathbf{e}_4$ have the following properties

$$\mathbf{e}_i\mathbf{e}_j = \begin{cases} 0, & i \neq j \\ \mathbf{e}_i, & i = j \end{cases} \quad i, j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^4 \mathbf{e}_i = 1.$$

The ring of bihyperbolic numbers $(H_2, +, \cdot)$ is a commutative ring. The inverse of a bihyperbolic number $\zeta = x + y\mathbf{j}_1 + z\mathbf{j}_2 + w\mathbf{j}_3$ exist if $\lambda_k(\zeta) \neq 0, \forall k = 1, 2, 3, 4$. The set of non-invertible bihyperbolic numbers is called null cone as

$$NC = \{\zeta : \lambda_1(\zeta)\lambda_2(\zeta)\lambda_3(\zeta)\lambda_4(\zeta) = 0\}.$$

A non zero bihyperbolic number $\zeta = x + y\mathbf{j}_1 + z\mathbf{j}_2 + w\mathbf{j}_3$ is called zero divisor if there exist a non zero bihyperbolic number $\zeta' = x' + y'\mathbf{j}_1 + z'\mathbf{j}_2 + w'\mathbf{j}_3$ such that $\zeta\zeta' = 0$. Thus zero divisors exist if ζ, ζ' satisfy the following equations

$$\begin{aligned} x + y + z + w &= 0 \text{ and } x' = y' = z' = w' \\ &\text{or} \\ x - y + z - w &= 0 \text{ and } x' = -y' = z' = -w' \\ &\text{or} \\ x + y - z - w &= 0 \text{ and } x' = y' = -z' = -w' \\ &\text{or} \\ x - y - z + w &= 0 \text{ and } x' = -y' = -z' = w'. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to verify that the set of zero divisors of the ring $H_2 = NC - \{0\} = NC^*$ (say), where $0 = 0 + 0\mathbf{j}_1 + 0\mathbf{j}_2 + 0\mathbf{j}_3 \in H_2$.

It is clear that \mathbf{e}_i ($i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$) are zero divisors of the ring H_2 .

Thus the sets

$$H_2(\mathbf{e}_i) := \mathbf{e}_i \cdot H_2, i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$$

are (principal) ideals in the ring H_2 such that

$$H_2(\mathbf{e}_i) \cap H_2(\mathbf{e}_j) = \phi, i \neq j; i, j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}.$$

and H_2 can be decomposed into the following direct sum

$$H_2 = H_2(\mathbf{e}_1) \oplus H_2(\mathbf{e}_2) \oplus H_2(\mathbf{e}_3) \oplus H_2(\mathbf{e}_4).$$

Observe that

$$H_2(\mathbf{e}_i) = \{r\mathbf{e}_i : r \in \mathbb{R}\} = \mathbb{R}\mathbf{e}_i, i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}.$$

Remark 1 We have the following useful property

- (1) $\zeta \in H_2(\mathbf{e}_i)$ if and only if $\zeta\mathbf{e}_i = \zeta$, for $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$.
- (2) $\zeta \in H_2(\mathbf{e}_i) \oplus H_2(\mathbf{e}_j)$ if and only if $\zeta(\mathbf{e}_i + \mathbf{e}_j) = \zeta$, for $i \neq j; i, j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$.
- (3) $\zeta \in H_2(\mathbf{e}_i) \oplus H_2(\mathbf{e}_j) \oplus H_2(\mathbf{e}_k)$ if and only if $\zeta(\mathbf{e}_i + \mathbf{e}_j + \mathbf{e}_k) = \zeta$, for $i \neq j \neq k; i, j, k \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$.

The set of non-negative bihyperbolic number is

$$H_2^+ := \{\zeta = w_1\mathbf{e}_1 + w_2\mathbf{e}_2 + w_3\mathbf{e}_3 + w_4\mathbf{e}_4 : w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4 \geq 0\}.$$

The binary relation on H_2 defined by:

$$\zeta \preceq \varphi \text{ if and only if } \lambda_k(\zeta) \leq \lambda_k(\varphi) \text{ for all } k \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$$

is a partial order on H_2 . If we take $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, then $\alpha \preceq \beta$ if and only if $\alpha \leq \beta$.

For $\zeta, \eta, \xi, \vartheta \in H_2$, it is easy to verify that

- (1) If $\zeta \preceq \eta$ and $\xi \in H_2^+$, then $\zeta\xi \preceq \eta\xi$.
- (2) If $\zeta \preceq \eta$ and $\xi \preceq \vartheta$, then $\zeta + \xi \preceq \eta + \vartheta$.
- (3) If $\zeta \preceq \eta$, then $-\eta \preceq -\zeta$.

The bihyperbolic-valued modulus of a number ζ is defined by

$$|\zeta| = |\lambda_1(\zeta)| \mathbf{e}_1 + |\lambda_2(\zeta)| \mathbf{e}_2 + |\lambda_3(\zeta)| \mathbf{e}_3 + |\lambda_4(\zeta)| \mathbf{e}_4.$$

This satisfies the following properties:

- (1) $|\zeta| = 0$ if and only if $\zeta = 0$.
- (2) $|\zeta\varphi| = |\zeta| \cdot |\varphi|$.
- (3) $|\zeta + \varphi| \preceq |\zeta| + |\varphi|$ for any $\zeta, \varphi \in H_2$.

Let $A \subset H_2$, if there exists $M \in H_2^+$ such that $|x| \preceq M \quad \forall x \in A$, we say that A is H_2 -bounded set.

If $A \subset H_2$ is H_2 -bounded from above, then the H_2 -supremum of A is defined as

$$\sup_{H_2} A = \sum_{i=1}^4 \sup A_i \mathbf{e}_i,$$

where $A_i = \{a_i : \sum_{i=1}^4 a_i \mathbf{e}_i \in A\}$.

Similarly H_2 -infimum of a H_2 -bounded below set A is defined as

$$\inf_{H_2} A = \sum_{i=1}^4 \inf A_i \mathbf{e}_i,$$

where A_i are defined as above.

3 Topological Bihyperbolic Modules

Topological bicomplex modules have been introduced in [3]. In this section, we introduce topological bihyperbolic modules, the concept of balancedness, convexity and absorbedness in bihyperbolic modules and discuss some of their properties.

Definition 2 Let X be a H_2 module and τ be a Hausdorff topology on X such that the operations

$$(i) + : X \times X \longrightarrow X \text{ and}$$

$$(ii) \cdot : H_2 \times X \longrightarrow X$$

are continuous. Then the pair (X, τ) is called a topological bihyperbolic module or topological H_2 -module.

Example 3 Every H_2 -module with H_2 -valued norm is a topological H_2 -module.

Remark 4 Let (X, τ) be a topological H_2 -module. Write

$$X = \sum_{i=1}^4 \mathbf{e}_i X$$

where $X_i = \mathbf{e}_i X$ are \mathbb{R} -vector spaces. Then $\tau_l = \{\mathbf{e}_l G : G \in \tau\}$ is a Hausdorff topology on X_l and so (X_l, τ_l) is a topological \mathbb{R} -vector space for $l \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$.

Definition 5 Let X be a H_2 module and a function $\|\cdot\|_{H_2} : X \rightarrow H_2^+$ such that

1. $\|x\|_{H_2} = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = 0$,
 2. $\|\lambda.x\|_{H_2} = |\lambda| \|x\|_{H_2} \quad \forall x \in X, \forall \lambda \in H_2$,
 3. $\|x + y\|_{H_2} \preceq \|x\|_{H_2} + \|y\|_{H_2}$,
- is called bihyperbolic-valued or H_2 -valued norm on X .

If $\|\cdot\|_i$ are \mathbb{R} -valued norm on X_i for $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, then X can be endowed canonically with H_2 -valued norm given by the formula

$$\|x\|_{H_2} = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^4 \mathbf{e}_i x_i \right\|_{H_2} = \sum_{i=1}^4 \|x_i\|_i \mathbf{e}_i.$$

Lemma 6 Let X be a topological H_2 -module. Then, for any $y \in X$, the map $T_y : X \rightarrow X$ defined by

$$T_y(x) = x + y \quad \text{for each } x \in X,$$

is a homeomorphism.

Proof. The proof is similar to ([4], Lemma 2.5). ■

Lemma 7 Let X be a topological H_2 -module. Then for any $\lambda \in H_2 \setminus NC$, the map $M_\lambda : X \rightarrow X$ defined by

$$M_\lambda(x) = \lambda.x \quad \text{for each } x \in X,$$

is a homeomorphism.

Proof. The proof is similar to ([4], Lemma 2.6). ■

Definition 8 Let B be a subset of a H_2 -module X . Then B is called a H_2 -balanced set if for any $x \in B$ and $\lambda \in H_2$ with $|\lambda| \preceq 1$, $\lambda x \in B$.

In other words, $\lambda B \subseteq B$ for any $\lambda \in H_2$, $|\lambda| \preceq 1$. It is obvious that if B is H_2 -balanced set, then $0 \in H_2$.

Theorem 9 Let B be a H_2 -balanced subset of a H_2 -module X . Then

(i) $\lambda B = B$ whenever $\lambda \in H_2$ with $|\lambda| = 1$.

(ii) $\lambda B = |\lambda|B$ for each $\lambda \in H_2 \setminus NC^*$.

Proof. (i) Let $\lambda \in H_2$ with $|\lambda| = 1$. Since B is H_2 -balanced, $\lambda B \subseteq B$. Writing

$\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^4 \lambda_i \mathbf{e}_i$, we have $|\lambda_i| = 1$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$.

Therefore

$$\left| \frac{1}{\lambda} \right| = \frac{1}{|\lambda|} = |\lambda|^{-1} = \sum_{i=1}^4 |\lambda_i|^{-1} \mathbf{e}_i = 1.$$

So,

$$\frac{1}{\lambda} B \subseteq B \implies B \subseteq \lambda B.$$

(ii) Let $\lambda \in H_2 \setminus NC^*$. If $\lambda = 0$, then $\lambda B = |\lambda|B$. Now let $\lambda \neq 0$. Writing

$\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^4 \lambda_i \mathbf{e}_i$, we obtain

$$\frac{\lambda}{|\lambda|} = \sum_{i=1}^4 \frac{\lambda_i}{|\lambda_i|} \mathbf{e}_i.$$

Hence

$$\left| \frac{\lambda}{|\lambda|} \right| = 1.$$

So by (i), we have

$$\frac{\lambda}{|\lambda|} B = B \implies \lambda B = |\lambda|B.$$

■

Theorem 10 Let B be a H_2 -balanced subset of H_2 -module X . Then

(i) $\mathbf{e}_i B$ are balanced sets in \mathbb{R} -vector spaces $\mathbf{e}_i X$,

(ii) $\mathbf{e}_i B \subset B$ for all $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$.

Proof. (i) Let $x \in \mathbf{e}_i B$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $|a| \leq 1$. Then there exists x' and $a' \in H_2$ with $|a'| \leq 1$ such that $x = \mathbf{e}_i x'$ and $a = \mathbf{e}_i a'$.

Since B is H_2 -balanced, $a' x' \in B$. Thus $ax = a \mathbf{e}_i x' = \mathbf{e}_i a' x' \in \mathbf{e}_i B$, showing that $\mathbf{e}_i B$ is balanced set in \mathbb{R} -vector space $\mathbf{e}_i X$.

(ii) Let $x \in \mathbf{e}_i B$. Then there is an $x' \in B$ such that $x = \mathbf{e}_i x'$. Since $x' \in B$, by H_2 -balancedness of B , $\lambda x' \in B$ for any $\lambda \in H_2$ with $|\lambda| \leq 1$.

In particular, taking $\lambda = \mathbf{e}_i$, we get $x = \mathbf{e}_i x' \in B$. Thus $\mathbf{e}_i B \subset B$. ■

Definition 11 Let B be a subset of a H_2 -module X . Then B is called a H_2 -convex set if $x, y \in X$ and $\lambda \in H_2^+$ satisfying $0 \preceq \lambda \preceq 1$ implies that $\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y \in B$.

Definition 12 Let $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ be a subset of a H_2 -module X . Then the linear combinations $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i$ in which $a_i \in H_2^+$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i = 1$ are called H_2 -convex combinations of the x_i 's.

In this terminology, a H_2 -convex is one that contains all its H_2 -convex combinations.

Theorem 13 Let B be a H_2 -convex subset of a H_2 -module X . Then

- (i) $\mathbf{e}_i B$ are convex sets in \mathbb{R} -vector spaces $\mathbf{e}_i X$, for $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$.
- (ii) $\mathbf{e}_i B \subset B$ whenever $0 \in B$, for all $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$.

Proof. (i) Take $x, y \in \mathbf{e}_i B$, then there exist $x', y' \in B$ such that $x = \mathbf{e}_i x'$, $y = \mathbf{e}_i y'$. Take $\lambda_i \in [0, 1]$ be such that $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^4 \lambda_i \mathbf{e}_i$ satisfy $0 \preceq \lambda \preceq 1$. Since B is H_2 -convex, $\lambda x' + (1 - \lambda)y' \in B$. Hence $\mathbf{e}_i (\lambda x' + (1 - \lambda)y') = \lambda_i x + (1 - \lambda_i)y \in \mathbf{e}_i B$, proving that $\mathbf{e}_i B$ are convex.

(ii) Given $x \in \mathbf{e}_i B$, take as before $x' \in B$ such that $x = \mathbf{e}_i x'$. Since $0 \in B$, for any $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^4 \lambda_i \mathbf{e}_i \in H_2$ with $0 \preceq \lambda \preceq 1$, there follows that $\lambda x' \in B$.

In particular, taking $\lambda = \mathbf{e}_i$, one has $\mathbf{e}_i x' = x \in B$, i.e., $\mathbf{e}_i B \subset B$. ■

The following lemma is easy to prove:

Lemma 14 In a H_2 -module X , if $\{B_l : l \in \Delta\}$ is a collection of H_2 -convex sets, then $\cap_l B_l$ is H_2 -convex.

Theorem 15 Let B be a H_2 -convex subset of H_2 -module X . Then B can be written as $B = \sum_{i=1}^4 \mathbf{e}_i B$.

Proof. Let $x \in B$, then $\mathbf{e}_i x \in \mathbf{e}_i B \forall i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$.

Therefore

$$x = \left(\sum_{i=1}^4 \mathbf{e}_i \right) x = \sum_{i=1}^4 \mathbf{e}_i x \in \sum_{i=1}^4 \mathbf{e}_i B.$$

Hence $B \subset \sum_{i=1}^4 \mathbf{e}_i B$.

Now let $x_i \in \mathbf{e}_i B$. Then there exist $x'_i \in B$ such that $x = \mathbf{e}_i x'_i$.

Now

$$\sum_{i=1}^4 x_i = \sum_{i=1}^4 \mathbf{e}_i x'_i$$

and it is a H_2 -convex combination of elements of B .

Since B is H_2 -convex, then $\sum_{i=1}^4 x_i \in B$. Thus $\sum_{i=1}^4 \mathbf{e}_i B \subset B$. ■

Theorem 16 Let X be a H_2 -module and $B \subset X$. If $\mathbf{e}_i B$ are convex sets in \mathbb{R} -vector spaces $\mathbf{e}_i X$, for each $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, then $\sum_{i=1}^4 \mathbf{e}_i B$ is a H_2 -convex subset of X .

Proof. Let $x, y \in \sum_{i=1}^4 \mathbf{e}_i B$ and $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$. Write

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^4 \mathbf{e}_i x_i, \quad y = \sum_{i=1}^4 \mathbf{e}_i y_i \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda = \sum_{i=1}^4 \mathbf{e}_i \lambda_i,$$

where $\mathbf{e}_i x_i, \mathbf{e}_i y_i \in \mathbf{e}_i B$ and $0 \leq \lambda_i \leq 1$.

Since $\mathbf{e}_i B$ are convex in \mathbb{R} -vector spaces $\mathbf{e}_i X$, then we have

$$\mathbf{e}_i \lambda_i x_i + \mathbf{e}_i (1 - \lambda_i) y_i \in \mathbf{e}_i B.$$

Then a simple calculation shows that

$$\lambda x + (1 - \lambda) y \in \sum_{i=1}^4 \mathbf{e}_i B,$$

showing that $\sum_{i=1}^4 \mathbf{e}_i B$ is H_2 -convex. ■

If $\mathbf{e}_i B$ are convex sets in \mathbb{R} -vector spaces $\mathbf{e}_i X$ for $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, then $B = \sum_{i=1}^4 \mathbf{e}_i B$ may not hold:

Example 17 Let $X = H_2$ and $B = \{x = \sum_{i=1}^4 \mathbf{e}_i x_i : x_i \in \mathbb{R}, \sum_{i=1}^4 |x_i| < 2\}$. Then $\mathbf{e}_i B = \{\mathbf{e}_i x_i : |x_i| < 2\}$ are convex sets in \mathbb{R} -vector spaces $\mathbf{e}_i X$. Now $\mathbf{e}_i \frac{3}{4} \in \mathbf{e}_i B$, but $\frac{3}{4} = \sum_{i=1}^4 \mathbf{e}_i \frac{3}{4} \notin B$. Therefore $B \neq \sum_{i=1}^4 \mathbf{e}_i B$ and hence B is not H_2 -convex.

Theorem 18 Let X be a topological H_2 -module and $B \subset X$. Then the following statements hold:

- (i) $(\mathbf{e}_i B)^\circ = \mathbf{e}_i B^\circ \quad \forall i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$.
- (ii) $\overline{\mathbf{e}_i B} = \mathbf{e}_i \overline{B} \quad \forall i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$.

Proof. The proof is similar to ([4], Theorem 2.16). ■

Theorem 19 Let B be a H_2 -convex set in a topological H_2 -module X . Then the following statements hold:

- (i) $B^\circ = \sum_{i=1}^4 \mathbf{e}_i B^\circ$ and $\overline{B} = \sum_{i=1}^4 \mathbf{e}_i \overline{B}$.
- (ii) B° and \overline{B} are H_2 -convex sets.

Proof. (i) Since B is H_2 -convex, we can write B as

$$B = \sum_{i=1}^4 \mathbf{e}_i B.$$

Clearly $B^\circ \subset \sum_{i=1}^4 \mathbf{e}_i B^\circ$.

Now $\sum_{i=1}^4 \mathbf{e}_i B^\circ$ is an open set in X such that $\sum_{i=1}^4 \mathbf{e}_i B^\circ \subset \sum_{i=1}^4 \mathbf{e}_i B = B$.

But B° is the largest open set contained in B . Therefore $\sum_{i=1}^4 \mathbf{e}_i B^\circ \subset B^\circ$.

Thus $B^\circ = \sum_{i=1}^4 \mathbf{e}_i B^\circ$.

Again, trivially

$$\overline{B} \subset \sum_{i=1}^4 \mathbf{e}_i \overline{B}.$$

From Theorem 18 and [10], it follows that

$$\sum_{i=1}^4 \mathbf{e}_i \overline{B} = \sum_{i=1}^4 \overline{\mathbf{e}_i B} \subset \overline{\sum_{i=1}^4 \mathbf{e}_i B} = \overline{B}.$$

Thus we have $\overline{B} = \sum_{i=1}^4 \mathbf{e}_i \overline{B}$.

(ii) Since B is H_2 -convex, $\mathbf{e}_i B$ are convex sets in \mathbb{R} -vector spaces $\mathbf{e}_i X$ for $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. Then it follows from [10], $(\mathbf{e}_i B)^\circ$ are convex in \mathbb{R} -vector spaces $\mathbf{e}_i X$ and hence by Theorem 18(i), $\mathbf{e}_i B^\circ$ are convex in \mathbb{R} -vector spaces $\mathbf{e}_i X$. Now from Theorem 16 we see that $\sum_{i=1}^4 \mathbf{e}_i B^\circ$ is H_2 -convex and by (i), it follows that B° is H_2 -convex subset of X .

Similarly we can prove that \overline{B} is H_2 -convex. ■

Theorem 20 Let B be a H_2 -convex set in a topological H_2 -module X such that $0 \in B$. Then

(i) $\mathbf{e}_i B + \mathbf{e}_j B \subset B$ for all $i \neq j$, $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$.

(ii) $\mathbf{e}_i B + \mathbf{e}_j B + \mathbf{e}_k B \subset B$ for all $i \neq j \neq k$, $i, j, k \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$.

Proof. (i) Let $x \in \mathbf{e}_i B + \mathbf{e}_j B$. Then $x = \mathbf{e}_i x_i + \mathbf{e}_j x_j$ for some $x_i, x_j \in B$.

Since, B is H_2 -convex subset, then $B = \sum_{q=1}^4 \mathbf{e}_q B$. Also since $0 \in B$, then $0 \in \mathbf{e}_l B$ for $l \neq i \neq j$, $l \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. Hence

$$x = \mathbf{e}_i x_i + \mathbf{e}_j x_j + 0 + 0 \in \sum_{q=1}^4 \mathbf{e}_q B = B.$$

So, $\mathbf{e}_i B + \mathbf{e}_j B \subset B$.

(ii) Let $x \in \mathbf{e}_i B + \mathbf{e}_j B + \mathbf{e}_k B$. Then $x = \mathbf{e}_i x_i + \mathbf{e}_j x_j + \mathbf{e}_k x_k$ for some $x_i, x_j, x_k \in B$.

Since, B is H_2 -convex subset, then $B = \sum_{q=1}^4 \mathbf{e}_q B$. Also since $0 \in B$, then $0 \in \mathbf{e}_l B$ for $l \neq i \neq j \neq k$, $l \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. Hence

$$x = \mathbf{e}_i x_i + \mathbf{e}_j x_j + \mathbf{e}_k x_k + 0 \in \sum_{q=1}^4 \mathbf{e}_q B = B.$$

So, $\mathbf{e}_i B + \mathbf{e}_j B + \mathbf{e}_k B \subset B$. ■

Theorem 21 Let B be a H_2 -balanced and H_2 -convex set in a topological H_2 -module X . Then \overline{B} is H_2 -balanced and so is B° if $0 \in B^\circ$.

Proof. Let $\lambda \in H_2$ such that $|\lambda| \leq 1$. If $\lambda = 0$, then $\lambda \overline{B} = \{0\} \subset \overline{B}$. If $\lambda \notin NC$, then by Lemma 7, we have $\lambda \overline{B} = \overline{\lambda B} \subset \overline{B}$.

If $\lambda \in NC^*$ such that $\lambda = \lambda_i \mathbf{e}_i$ for $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, then $0 < \lambda_i \leq 1$. Then using respectively Theorem 18 (ii), ([5], Theorem 2.1.2), balancedness of $\mathbf{e}_i B$ and Theorem 10 (ii), we obtain

$$\lambda \overline{B} = \lambda_i \mathbf{e}_i \overline{B} = \lambda_i \overline{\mathbf{e}_i B} = \overline{\lambda_i \mathbf{e}_i B} \subset \overline{\mathbf{e}_i B} \subset \overline{B}.$$

If $\lambda \in NC^*$ such that $\lambda = \lambda_i \mathbf{e}_i + \lambda_j \mathbf{e}_j$ for $i \neq j$, $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. Then $0 < \lambda_i \leq 1$ and $0 < \lambda_j \leq 1$. Then using Theorem 18, ([5], Theorem 2.1.2), balancedness of $\mathbf{e}_i B$, Theorem 10 (ii), ([7], Theorem 4.4.1(b)) and Theorem 20 (i), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda \overline{B} &= (\lambda_i \mathbf{e}_i + \lambda_j \mathbf{e}_j) \overline{B} \subset \lambda_i \overline{\mathbf{e}_i B} + \lambda_j \overline{\mathbf{e}_j B} \\ &= \overline{\lambda_i \mathbf{e}_i B} + \overline{\lambda_j \mathbf{e}_j B} \\ &= \overline{\lambda_i \mathbf{e}_i B} + \overline{\lambda_j \mathbf{e}_j B} \\ &\subset \overline{\mathbf{e}_i B} + \overline{\mathbf{e}_j B} \\ &\subset \overline{\mathbf{e}_i B + \mathbf{e}_j B} \subset \overline{B}. \end{aligned}$$

If $\lambda \in NC^*$ such that $\lambda = \lambda_i \mathbf{e}_i + \lambda_j \mathbf{e}_j + \lambda_k \mathbf{e}_k$ for $i \neq j \neq k$, $i, j, k \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. Then $0 < \lambda_i, \lambda_j, \lambda_k \leq 1$. Then using Theorem 18, ([5], Theorem 2.1.2), balancedness of $\mathbf{e}_i B$, Theorem 10 (ii), ([7], Theorem 4.4.1(b)) and Theorem 20 (ii), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda \overline{B} &= (\lambda_i \mathbf{e}_i + \lambda_j \mathbf{e}_j + \lambda_k \mathbf{e}_k) \overline{B} \\ &\subset \lambda_i \overline{\mathbf{e}_i B} + \lambda_j \overline{\mathbf{e}_j B} + \lambda_k \overline{\mathbf{e}_k B} \\ &= \overline{\lambda_i \mathbf{e}_i B} + \overline{\lambda_j \mathbf{e}_j B} + \overline{\lambda_k \mathbf{e}_k B} \\ &= \overline{\lambda_i \mathbf{e}_i B} + \overline{\lambda_j \mathbf{e}_j B} + \overline{\lambda_k \mathbf{e}_k B} \\ &\subset \overline{\mathbf{e}_i B} + \overline{\mathbf{e}_j B} + \overline{\mathbf{e}_k B} \\ &\subset \overline{\mathbf{e}_i B + \mathbf{e}_j B + \mathbf{e}_k B} \subset \overline{B}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence \overline{B} is H_2 -balanced.

Now suppose that $0 \in B^\circ$ and $\lambda \in H_2$ with $|\lambda| \leq 1$. If $\lambda = 0$, then $\lambda B^\circ = \{0\} \in B^\circ$. If $\lambda \notin NC^*$, then by Lemma 7, we have $\lambda B^\circ = (\lambda B)^\circ \subset B^\circ$.

If $\lambda \in NC^*$ such that $\lambda = \lambda_i \mathbf{e}_i$ for $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, then $0 < \lambda_i \leq 1$. Then using respectively Theorem 18 (i), ([5], Theorem 2.1.2), balancedness of $\mathbf{e}_i B$ and Theorem 10 (ii), we obtain

$$\lambda B^\circ = \lambda_i \mathbf{e}_i B^\circ = \lambda_i (\mathbf{e}_i B)^\circ = (\lambda_i \mathbf{e}_i B)^\circ \subset (\mathbf{e}_i B)^\circ \subset B^\circ.$$

If $\lambda \in NC^*$ such that $\lambda = \lambda_i \mathbf{e}_i + \lambda_j \mathbf{e}_j$ for $i \neq j$, $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. Then $0 < \lambda_i \leq 1$ and $0 < \lambda_j \leq 1$. Then using Theorem 18, ([5], Theorem 2.1.2), balancedness of $\mathbf{e}_i B$, Theorem 10 (ii), ([7], Theorem 4.4.1(e)] and Theorem 20 (i), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda B^\circ &= (\lambda_i \mathbf{e}_i + \lambda_j \mathbf{e}_j) B^\circ \\ &\subset \lambda_i \mathbf{e}_i B^\circ + \lambda_j \mathbf{e}_j B^\circ \\ &= \lambda_i (\mathbf{e}_i B)^\circ + \lambda_j (\mathbf{e}_j B)^\circ \\ &= (\lambda_i \mathbf{e}_i B)^\circ + (\lambda_j \mathbf{e}_j B)^\circ \\ &\subset (\mathbf{e}_i B)^\circ + (\mathbf{e}_j B)^\circ \\ &\subset (\mathbf{e}_i B + \mathbf{e}_j B)^\circ \subset B^\circ. \end{aligned}$$

If $\lambda \in NC^*$ such that $\lambda = \lambda_i \mathbf{e}_i + \lambda_j \mathbf{e}_j + \lambda_k \mathbf{e}_k$ for $i \neq j \neq k$, $i, j, k \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. Then $0 < \lambda_i, \lambda_j, \lambda_k \leq 1$. Then using Theorem 18, ([5], Theorem 2.1.2), balancedness of $\mathbf{e}_i B$, Theorem 10 (ii), ([7], Theorem 4.4.1(e)] and Theorem 20 (ii), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda B^\circ &= (\lambda_i \mathbf{e}_i + \lambda_j \mathbf{e}_j + \lambda_k \mathbf{e}_k) B^\circ \\ &\subset \lambda_i \mathbf{e}_i B^\circ + \lambda_j \mathbf{e}_j B^\circ + \lambda_k \mathbf{e}_k B^\circ \\ &= \lambda_i (\mathbf{e}_i B)^\circ + \lambda_j (\mathbf{e}_j B)^\circ + \lambda_k (\mathbf{e}_k B)^\circ \\ &= (\lambda_i \mathbf{e}_i B)^\circ + (\lambda_j \mathbf{e}_j B)^\circ + (\lambda_k \mathbf{e}_k B)^\circ \\ &\subset (\mathbf{e}_i B)^\circ + (\mathbf{e}_j B)^\circ + (\mathbf{e}_k B)^\circ \\ &\subset (\mathbf{e}_i B + \mathbf{e}_j B + \mathbf{e}_k B)^\circ \subset B^\circ. \end{aligned}$$

So, B° is balanced when $0 \in B^\circ$. ■

Definition 22 Let B be a subset of a H_2 -module X . Then B is called a H -absorbing set if for each $x \in X$, there exists $\epsilon \succ 0$ such that $\lambda x \in B$ whenever $0 \preceq \lambda \preceq \epsilon$.

It is clear that H_2 -absorbing set always contains the origin.

Theorem 23 Let B be a H_2 -absorbing set in a H_2 -module X . Then for $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, $\mathbf{e}_i B$ are absorbing sets in \mathbb{R} -vector spaces $\mathbf{e}_i X$.

Proof. Let $x \in \mathbf{e}_i X$. Then there exists $x' \in X$ such that $x = \mathbf{e}_i x'$. Since B is H_2 -absorbing, there exists $\epsilon \succ 0$ such that $\lambda x' \in B$ whenever $0 \preceq \lambda \preceq \epsilon$.

Let $\epsilon = \sum_{i=1}^4 \epsilon_i \mathbf{e}_i$ and $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^4 \lambda_i \mathbf{e}_i$. Then we have $\epsilon_i > 0$ and $0 \leq \lambda_i \leq \epsilon_i$.

Also, $\lambda_i x = \lambda_i \mathbf{e}_i x' = \mathbf{e}_i \lambda_i x' \in \mathbf{e}_i B$.

This proves that $\mathbf{e}_i B$ are absorbing sets in \mathbb{R} -vector spaces $\mathbf{e}_i X$. ■

Remark 24 We have already seen that if B is H_2 -balanced set, then for $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, $e_i B \subset B$ and if B is H_2 -convex set containing 0, then $e_i B \subset B$. But if B is H_2 -absorbing set then $e_i B \subset B$ does not hold.

We have the following example:

Example 25 Let $X = H_2$ and $B = \{\xi \in H_2 : |\xi| \prec \frac{1}{2}\} \cup \{1\}$. Then B is a H_2 -absorbing of H_2 . Now $1 \in B$, so $e_i \in e_i B$ for $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. But $e_i \notin B$. So $e_i B \not\subset B$.

Theorem 26 Let (X, τ) be a topological H_2 -module. Then the following statements hold:

- (i) Each neighbourhood of 0 in X is H_2 -absorbing.
- (ii) Each neighbourhood of 0 in X contains a H_2 -balanced neighbourhood of 0.

Proof. (i) Let $U \subset X$ be a neighbourhood of 0. Let $x \in X$. Since scalar multiplication is continuous and $\cdot(0, x) = 0$, there exists a neighbourhood V of x and $\epsilon \succ 0$ such that whenever $|\gamma| \prec \epsilon$ we have $\gamma V \subset U$. In particular for γ satisfying $0 \preceq \gamma \preceq \frac{\epsilon}{2}$, we have $\gamma x \in U$. This shows that U is H_2 -absorbing.

(ii) Let $U \subset X$ be a neighbourhood of 0. Since scalar multiplication is continuous and $\cdot(0, 0) = 0$, there exists a neighbourhood V of 0 and $\epsilon \succ 0$ such that whenever $|\gamma| \prec \epsilon$, we have $\gamma V \subset U$. Let $M = \bigcup_{|\gamma| \prec \epsilon} \gamma V$. Then M is a neighbourhood of 0 and $M \subset U$. To show that M is H_2 -balanced, let $x \in M$ and $|\lambda| \preceq 1$. Then $x = \gamma y$, for some $y \in V$. Since $|\lambda \gamma| = |\lambda| |\gamma| \prec \epsilon$, it follows that $\lambda x = \lambda \gamma y \in M$. ■

4 Bihyperbolic-valued seminorm

Hyperbolic-valued seminorm have been studied in [6] and its properties have been studied in [4].

In this section first we introduce bihyperbolic-valued seminorm and investigate some properties of bihyperbolic-valued seminorm in topological bihyperbolic modules.

Definition 27 Let X be a H_2 -module. Then a function $p : X \rightarrow H_2^+$ is said to be a bihyperbolic-valued (or H_2 -valued) seminorm if for any $x, y \in X$ and $\lambda \in H_2$, the following properties hold:

- (i) $p(\lambda x) = |\lambda| p(x)$,
- (ii) $p(x + y) \preceq p(x) + p(y)$.

Theorem 28 Let p be a H_2 -valued seminorm on a H_2 -module X . Then for any $x, y \in X$, the following properties hold:

- (i) $p(0) = 0$.
- (ii) $|p(x) - p(y)| \preceq p(x - y)$.
- (iii) $p(x) \succeq 0$.
- (iv) $\{x : p(x) = 0\}$ is H_2 -submodule of X .

Proof. The proof is similar to ([4], Theorem 3.2). ■

Remark 29 Every H_2 -valued norm on a H_2 -module is a H_2 -valued seminorm. However, the converse is not true in general.

Here is an example:

Example 30 Define a function $p : H_2 \rightarrow H_2$ by

$$p(x) = |x_1| \mathbf{e}_1, \text{ for each } x = \sum_{i=1}^4 x_i \mathbf{e}_i \in H_2.$$

Then clearly p is a H_2 -valued seminorm on H_2 . Now $\mathbf{e}_2 \in H_2$ and $\mathbf{e}_2 \neq 0$, but $p(\mathbf{e}_2) = 0$.

So, p is not a H_2 -valued norm on H_2 .

Theorem 31 Let p be a H_2 -valued seminorm on a topological H_2 -module X . Denote the sets $\{x \in X : p(x) \prec 1\}$ and $\{x \in X : p(x) \preceq 1\}$ by A and C respectively. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) p is continuous.
- (ii) A is open.
- (iii) $0 \in A^\circ$.
- (iv) $0 \in C^\circ$.
- (v) p is continuous at 0.
- (vi) there exists a continuous H_2 -valued seminorm q on X such that $p \preceq q$.

Proof. The proof is similar to ([4], Theorem 3.5). ■

Theorem 32 Let X be a H_2 -module and p be a H_2 -valued seminorm on X . Then $\{x \in X : p(x) \prec 1\}$ and $\{x \in X : p(x) \preceq 1\}$ are H_2 -convex, H_2 -balanced and H_2 -absorbing on X .

Proof. The proof is similar to ([4], Theorem 3.6). ■

5 Bihyperbolic-valued Minkowski Functionals

Hyperbolic-valued Minkowski functionals in hyperbolic modules have been studied in [6] and hyperbolic-valued Minkowski functionals in bicomplex modules have been studied in [4].

In this section, we define bihyperbolic-valued Minkowski functionals in bihyperbolic modules and it has been shown that a H_2 -valued Minkowski functionals of a H_2 -balanced, H_2 -convex and H_2 -absorbing set turns out to be a H_2 -valued seminorm.

Definition 33 Let B be a H_2 -convex, H_2 -absorbing subset of a H_2 -module X . Then the mapping $q_B : X \rightarrow H_2^+$ defined by

$$q_B(x) = \inf_{H_2} \{\alpha \succ 0 : x \in \alpha B\}, \text{ for each } x \in X$$

is called bihyperbolic-valued gauge or bihyperbolic-valued Minkowski functional on B .

Since B is H_2 -convex, then by Theorem 15, we have $B = \sum_{i=1}^4 \mathbf{e}_i B$. Then for $x = \sum_{i=1}^4 \mathbf{e}_i x_i$, $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^4 \mathbf{e}_i \alpha_i$, q_B can be written as

$$q_B(x) = \sum_{i=1}^4 \mathbf{e}_i q_{B_i}(x),$$

where

$$q_{B_i}(x) = \inf\{\alpha_i > 0 : x_i \in \alpha_i \mathbf{e}_i B\}.$$

Theorem 34 *Let B be a H_2 -convex, H_2 -balanced, H_2 -absorbing subset of a H_2 -module X . Then the H_2 -valued gauge q_B is a H_2 -valued seminorm on X .*

Proof. *Let $x, y \in X$ such that $q_B(x) = \alpha$ and $q_B(y) = \gamma$. Then for any $\epsilon > 0$, we have $x \in (\alpha + \epsilon)B$ and $y \in (\gamma + \epsilon)B$. Therefore we can find $u, v \in B$ such that $x = (\alpha + \epsilon)u$ and $y = (\gamma + \epsilon)v$. Observe that $0 < \frac{(\alpha + \epsilon)}{(\alpha + \gamma + 2\epsilon)} < 1$ and $0 < \frac{(\gamma + \epsilon)}{(\alpha + \gamma + 2\epsilon)} < 1$. Therefore, by H_2 -convexity of B , we have*

$$\frac{(\alpha + \epsilon)u + (\gamma + \epsilon)v}{(\alpha + \gamma + 2\epsilon)} \in B \implies (\alpha + \epsilon)u + (\gamma + \epsilon)v \in (\alpha + \gamma + 2\epsilon)B$$

which implies that

$$x + y \in (\alpha + \gamma + 2\epsilon)B.$$

Letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we obtain

$$q_B(x + y) \preceq \alpha + \gamma = q_B(x) + q_B(y).$$

We now show that $q_B(\lambda x) = |\lambda| q_B(x)$ for each $x \in X$ and $\lambda \in H_2$.

Clearly, $q_B(0) = 0$. So we assume that $\lambda \in H_2 \setminus NC$. Since B is H_2 -balanced, by Theorem 9, we have

$$\begin{aligned} q_B(\lambda x) &= \inf_{H_2} \{\alpha > 0 : \lambda x \in \alpha B\} \\ &= \inf_{H_2} \left\{ \alpha > 0 : x \in \alpha \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} B \right) \right\} \\ &= \inf_{H_2} \left\{ \alpha > 0 : x \in \alpha \left(\frac{1}{|\lambda|} B \right) \right\} \\ &= |\lambda| \inf_{H_2} \left\{ \frac{\alpha}{|\lambda|} > 0 : x \in \frac{\alpha}{|\lambda|} B \right\} \\ &= |\lambda| q_B(x). \end{aligned}$$

Now suppose $\lambda \in NC^*$ such that $\lambda = \lambda_i \mathbf{e}_i$ for $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. Since B is H_2 -balanced set in X , it follows that $\mathbf{e}_i B$ is balanced set in \mathbb{R} -vector space

$\mathbf{e}_i X$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
q_B(\lambda x) &= \sum_{l=1}^4 \mathbf{e}_l q_{Bl}(\lambda x) \\
&= \mathbf{e}_i \inf\{\alpha_i > 0 : \lambda_i x_i \in \alpha_i \mathbf{e}_i B\} \\
&= \mathbf{e}_i \inf\left\{\alpha_i > 0 : x_i \in \alpha_i \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_i} \mathbf{e}_i B\right)\right\} \\
&= \mathbf{e}_i \inf\left\{\alpha_i > 0 : x_i \in \alpha_i \left(\frac{1}{|\lambda_i|} \mathbf{e}_i B\right)\right\} \\
&= |\lambda_i| \mathbf{e}_i \inf\left\{\frac{\alpha_i}{|\lambda_i|} > 0 : x_i \in \frac{\alpha_i}{|\lambda_i|} \mathbf{e}_i B\right\} \\
&= |\lambda_i| \mathbf{e}_i q_{Bi}(x) = |\lambda| q_B(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Now suppose $\lambda \in NC^*$ such that $\lambda = \lambda_i \mathbf{e}_i + \lambda_j \mathbf{e}_j$ for $i \neq j$, $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. Since B is H_2 -balanced set in X , it follows that $\mathbf{e}_i B, \mathbf{e}_j B$ are balanced set in \mathbb{R} -vector spaces $\mathbf{e}_i X, \mathbf{e}_j X$ respectively. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
q_B(\lambda x) &= \sum_{l=1}^4 \mathbf{e}_l q_{Bl}(\lambda x) \\
&= \mathbf{e}_i \inf\{\alpha_i > 0 : \lambda_i x_i \in \alpha_i \mathbf{e}_i B\} + \mathbf{e}_j \inf\{\alpha_j > 0 : \lambda_j x_j \in \alpha_j \mathbf{e}_j B\} \\
&= \mathbf{e}_i \inf\left\{\alpha_i > 0 : x_i \in \alpha_i \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_i} \mathbf{e}_i B\right)\right\} + \mathbf{e}_j \inf\left\{\alpha_j > 0 : x_j \in \alpha_j \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_j} \mathbf{e}_j B\right)\right\} \\
&= \mathbf{e}_i \inf\left\{\alpha_i > 0 : x_i \in \alpha_i \left(\frac{1}{|\lambda_i|} \mathbf{e}_i B\right)\right\} + \mathbf{e}_j \inf\left\{\alpha_j > 0 : x_j \in \alpha_j \left(\frac{1}{|\lambda_j|} \mathbf{e}_j B\right)\right\} \\
&= |\lambda_i| \mathbf{e}_i \inf\left\{\frac{\alpha_i}{|\lambda_i|} > 0 : x_i \in \frac{\alpha_i}{|\lambda_i|} \mathbf{e}_i B\right\} + |\lambda_j| \mathbf{e}_j \inf\left\{\frac{\alpha_j}{|\lambda_j|} > 0 : x_j \in \frac{\alpha_j}{|\lambda_j|} \mathbf{e}_j B\right\} \\
&= |\lambda_i| \mathbf{e}_i q_{Bi}(x) + |\lambda_j| \mathbf{e}_j q_{Bj}(x) = |\lambda| q_B(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Now suppose $\lambda \in NC^*$ such that $\lambda = \lambda_i \mathbf{e}_i + \lambda_j \mathbf{e}_j + \lambda_k \mathbf{e}_k$ for $i \neq j \neq k$, $i, j, k \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. Since B is H_2 -balanced set in X , it follows that $\mathbf{e}_i B, \mathbf{e}_j B, \mathbf{e}_k B$ are balanced set in \mathbb{R} -vector spaces $\mathbf{e}_i X, \mathbf{e}_j X, \mathbf{e}_k X$ respectively. Hence by similar technique used as above, we can prove in this case also

$$q_B(\lambda x) = |\lambda| q_B(x).$$

This completes the proof. ■

Definition 35 Let (X, τ) be a topological H_2 -module. Then a subset $B \subset X$ is said to be bounded if for each neighbourhood U of 0, there exists $\lambda \succ 0$ such that $B \subset \lambda U$.

Corollary 36 Let B be a bounded H_2 -convex, H_2 -balanced, H_2 -absorbing subset of a topological H_2 -module (X, τ) . Then q_B is H_2 -valued norm on X .

The next result follows from Theorems 32 and 34.

Theorem 37 *Let B be a H_2 -convex, H_2 -balanced, H_2 -absorbing subset of a H_2 -module X and q_B be the H_2 -valued gauge on B . Then both the subsets $\{x \in X : q_B(x) \prec 1\}$ and $\{x \in X : q_B(x) \preceq 1\}$ of X are H_2 -convex, H_2 -balanced and H_2 -absorbing.*

Theorem 38 *Let (X, τ) be a topological H_2 -module, B be a H_2 -convex, H_2 -balanced, H_2 -absorbing subset of X and q_B be the H_2 -valued gauge on B . Let us denote $\{x \in X : q_B(x) \prec 1\}$ and $\{x \in X : q_B(x) \preceq 1\}$ by A_B and C_B respectively. Then, the following statements hold:*

- (i) $B^\circ \subset A_B \subset B \subset C_B \subset \overline{B}$.
- (ii) If B is open, then $B = A_B$.
- (iii) If B is closed, then $B = C_B$.
- (iv) If q_B is continuous, then $B^\circ = A_B$.

Proof. *The proof is similar to ([4], Theorem 4.6). ■*

6 Locally Bihyperbolic Convex Modules

In this section, we introduce the bihyperbolic version of locally convex topological spaces, bihyperbolic metrizable and bihyperbolic normable locally bihyperbolic convex modules.

Definition 39 *Let X be a H_2 -module and \mathcal{P} be a family of H_2 -valued seminorms on X . Then the family \mathcal{P} is said to be separated if for each $x \neq 0$, there exists $p \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $p(x) \neq 0$.*

We define a topology on a H_2 -module X determined by the family \mathcal{P} of H_2 -valued seminorms on X as follows:

For $x \in X$, $\epsilon \succ 0$ and $p \in \mathcal{P}$, we set

$$U(x, \epsilon, p) = \{y \in X : p(y - x) \prec \epsilon\},$$

and for $x \in X$, $\epsilon \succ 0$ and $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n \in \mathcal{P}$, set

$$U(x, \epsilon, p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) = \{y \in X : p_i(y - x) \prec \epsilon, p_2(y - x) \prec \epsilon, \dots, p_n(y - x) \prec \epsilon\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{P}}(x) = \{U(x, \epsilon, p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) : \epsilon \succ 0, p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n \in \mathcal{P} \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

Then $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{P}} = \{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{P}}(x) : x \in X\} = \bigcup_{x \in X} \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{P}}(x)$ forms a base for a topology $\tau_{\mathcal{P}}$ on X , called topology generated by the family \mathcal{P} .

Theorem 40 *Let \mathcal{P} be a separated family of H_2 -valued seminorms on X . Then $(X, \tau_{\mathcal{P}})$ is a topological H_2 -module.*

Proof. *The proof is similar to ([4], Theorem 5.2). ■*

Lemma 41 Let X is a topological H_2 -module and $\mathcal{P} = \{p_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a family of H_2 -valued seminorms on X . For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, define $q_m : X \rightarrow H_2$ by

$$q_m(x) = \sup\{p_1(x), p_2(x), \dots, p_m(x)\} \text{ for each } x \in X.$$

Then, $\mathcal{Q} = \{q_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a family of H_2 -valued seminorms on X such that the following hold:

- (i) \mathcal{Q} is separated if \mathcal{P} is so.
- (ii) $q_m \preceq q_{m+1}$ for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$.
- (iii) $(X, \tau_{\mathcal{P}})$ and $(X, \tau_{\mathcal{Q}})$ are topologically isomorphic.

Proof. The proof is similar to ([5], Lemma 2.5.1). ■

Definition 42 A topological H_2 -module (X, τ) is said to be locally bihyperbolic convex (or H_2 -convex) module if it has a neighbourhood base at 0 of H_2 -convex sets.

Theorem 43 A topological H_2 -module (X, τ) is a locally H_2 -convex module if and only if its topology is generated by a separated family \mathcal{P} of H_2 -valued seminorms on X .

Proof. The proof is similar to ([4], Theorem 5.5). ■

Definition 44 Let $d_{H_2} : X \times X \rightarrow H_2$ be a function such that for any $x, y, z \in X$, the following properties hold:

- (i) $d_{H_2}(x, y) \succeq 0$ and $d_{H_2}(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$,
- (ii) $d_{H_2}(x, y) = d_{H_2}(y, x)$,
- (iii) $d_{H_2}(x, z) \preceq d_{H_2}(x, y) + d_{H_2}(y, z)$.

Then d_{H_2} is called a bihyperbolic-valued (or H_2 -valued) metric on X and the pair (X, d_{H_2}) is called a bihyperbolic metric (or H_2 -metric) space.

The following result is easy to prove

Lemma 45 Every H_2 -metric space is first countable.

Definition 46 A topological H_2 -module X is said to be bihyperbolic metrizable (or H_2 -metrizable) if the topology on X is generated by a H_2 -valued metric on X .

Definition 47 A topological H_2 -module X is said to be bihyperbolic normable (or H_2 -normable) if the topology on X is generated by a H_2 -valued norm on X .

Lemma 48 Let $\mathcal{P} = \{p_n\}$ be a countable separated family of H_2 -valued seminorms on a topological H_2 -module (X, τ) such that $p_n \preceq p_{n+1}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Define a function $d : X \times X \rightarrow H_2$ by

$$d(x, y) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} \frac{p_n(x-y)}{1 + p_n(x-y)}, \text{ for each } x, y \in X.$$

Then, d is a translation invariant H_2 -valued metric on X and the topology on X generated by d is the topology generated by the family \mathcal{P} .

Proof. The proof is similar to ([5], Theorem 2.5.1). ■

Theorem 49 *A locally H_2 -convex module (X, τ) is H_2 -metrizable if and only if its topology is generated by a countable separated family \mathcal{P} of H_2 -valued seminorms on X .*

Proof. *The proof is similar to ([4], Theorem 5.11). ■*

Theorem 50 *A topological H_2 -module (X, τ) is H_2 -normable if and only if it contains a bounded H_2 -convex neighbourhood of 0.*

Proof. *The proof is similar to ([4], Theorem 5.12). ■*

References

- [1] Bilgin, M., Ersoy, S.: Algebraic properties of bihyperbolic numbers, *Advances in Applied Clifford Algebras*, 30(1): 13, (2020).
- [2] Cockle, J.: On certain functions resembling quaternions and on a new imaginary in algebra, *Lond-Dublin-Edinb. Philos. Mag.*, 3(33), 435–439 (1848).
- [3] Kumar, R., Kumar, R., Rochon, D.: The fundamental theorems in the framework of bicomplex topological modules, *arXiv: 1109.3424v1* (2011).
- [4] Kumar, R., Saini, H.: Topological bicomplex modules, *Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebras*, 26(4), 1249–1270 (2016).
- [5] Larsen, R.: *Functional Analysis: An Introduction*, Marcel Dekker, New York (1973).
- [6] Luna-Elizarraras, M.E., Perez-Regalado, C.O., Shapiro, M.: On linear functionals and Hahn–Banach theorems for hyperbolic and bicomplex modules, *Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebras*, 24, 1105–1129 (2014).
- [7] Narici, L., Beckenstein, E.: *Topological Vector Spaces*, Marcel Dekker, New York (1985).
- [8] Olariu, S.: *Complex Numbers in n-dimensions*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, vol. 190, pp. 51–148. Elsevier, Amsterdam, Boston (2002).
- [9] Pogorui, A.A., Rodriguez-Dagnino, R.M., Rodrigue-Said, R.D.: On the set of zeros of bihyperbolic polynomials, *Complex Var. Elliptic Equ.* 53(7), 685–690 (2008).
- [10] Rudin, W.: *Functional Analysis*, 2nd edn., McGraw Hill, New York (1991).
- [11] Segre, C.: Le rappresentazioni reali delle forme complesse e gli enti iperalgebrici (The real representation of complex elements and hyperalgebraic entities), *Math. Ann.* 40, 413–467 (1892).