

DUPLEX HECKE ALGEBRAS AND RELATED QUANTUM SCHUR DUALITY

CHENLIANG XUE, AN ZHANG

ABSTRACT. We introduce the duplex Hecke algebra, which is an infinite dimensional algebra generated by two Hecke algebras. This concept originates from the degenerate duplex Hecke algebra in the theory of Schur-Weyl duality related to enhanced reductive algebraic groups. We will study the finite dimensional natural representation of the duplex Hecke algebra on tensor space and prove that the duplex Hecke algebra forms a duality with the quantum group of Levi type.

1. INTRODUCTION

An algebraic group G is called a semi-reductive group if G is a semi-direct product of a reductive closed subgroup G_0 and the unipotent radical U . When the underground field is of characteristic $p > 0$, the study of semi-reductive algebraic groups and their Lie algebras is very important in many aspects of the representation theory (see [8] or [9]).

Let $G = \mathrm{GL}(V)$ and ν be the natural representation on V . Let \underline{V} be a one-dimensional extension of V . Then we have a typical enhanced reductive algebraic group $\underline{G} = G \times_{\nu} V$, which is a closed subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(\underline{V})$. The enhanced reductive group \underline{G} is naturally a semi-reductive group. By the classical Schur-Weyl duality, the study of polynomial representations of general linear groups produces Schur algebras. By analogy of this, the tensor representations of an enhanced group \underline{G} naturally produce the so-called enhanced Schur algebra $\mathcal{E}(n, r)$, which is the algebra generated by the image of \underline{G} in the r -th tensor representation of $\underline{V}^{\otimes r}$. In order to develop the representation of enhanced Schur algebras and to investigate dualities of invariant groups and algebras in the enhanced tensor representations, the degenerate duplex Hecke algebra, denoted by \mathcal{H}_r , is introduced in [9].

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20C08, 20G42, 20G43.

Key words and phrases. quantum group, duplex Hecke algebra, quantum q-Schur duality, double centralizer.

This work is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) Gr: 12071136.

The following results about degenerate duplex Hecke algebras are established in [9]:

$$\text{End}_{\mathbb{C}\Phi(\text{GL}_n \times \mathbb{G}_m)}(\underline{V}^{\otimes r}) = \Xi(\mathcal{H}_r),$$

$$\text{End}_{\Xi(\mathcal{H}_r)}(\underline{V}^{\otimes r}) = \mathbb{C}\Phi(\text{GL}_n \times \mathbb{G}_m),$$

where $\Phi : \text{GL}_n \times \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \text{GL}(\underline{V}^{\otimes r})$ and $\Xi : \mathcal{H}_r \rightarrow \text{End}(\underline{V}^{\otimes r})$ are natural representations. This result is called Levi Schur-Weyl duality. The quantum q -Schur duality is the q -deformation of classical Schur-Weyl duality. A natural question is the q -deformation of Levi Schur-Weyl duality, which is the purpose of this article.

The article is divided into three parts. In the first part, we introduce some basic concepts, especially quantum group $\mathbf{U}_q(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ and Hecke algebra $\mathbf{H}(\mathfrak{S}_r)$. We describe their natural representations on $V^{\otimes r}$, where V is an n -dimensional vector space. Furthermore, we recall the quantum q -Schur duality given in [6] and [7]. In the second part, we define the duplex Hecke algebra \mathfrak{H}_r . We give the representation of \mathfrak{H}_r on $\underline{V}^{\otimes r}$ and prove it is well defined. The image of the representation is denoted by $\mathcal{D}(n, r)$. In the third part, we define Levi quantum group $L_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{n+1})$ and prove Levi quantum group $L_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{n+1})$ and duplex Hecke algebra \mathfrak{H}_r form double centralizes:

$$\text{End}_{\mathcal{D}(n, r)}(\underline{V}^{\otimes r}) = \Phi(L_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{n+1})),$$

$$\text{End}_{L_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{n+1})}(\underline{V}^{\otimes r}) = \mathcal{D}(n, r).$$

In this article, q is transcendental over \mathbb{Q} .

2. PRELIMINARIES

We recall some fundamental results on the quantum q -Schur duality.

Definition 2.1. *The quantum group $\mathbf{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ is the associative algebra generated by E_i, F_i, K_i, K_i^{-1} ($1 \leq i \leq n-1$) over $\mathbb{Q}(q)$, which satisfies the following relations:*

$$(2.1.1) \quad K_i K_i^{-1} = 1 = K_i^{-1} K_i; \quad K_i K_j = K_j K_i;$$

$$(2.1.2) \quad K_i E_j K_i^{-1} = q^{c_{ij}} E_j; \quad K_i F_j K_i^{-1} = q^{-c_{ij}} F_j;$$

$$(2.1.3) \quad E_i F_i - F_i E_i = \delta_{ij} \frac{K_i - K_i^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}};$$

$$(2.1.4) \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} E_i E_j = E_j E_i \\ F_i F_j = F_j F_i \end{array} \right\} \text{if } c_{ij} = 0;$$

$$(2.1.5) \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} E_i^2 E_j - (q + q^{-1}) E_i E_j E_i + E_j E_i^2 = 0 \\ F_i^2 F_j - (q + q^{-1}) F_i F_j F_i + F_j F_i^2 = 0 \end{array} \right\} \text{if } c_{ij} = -1.$$

where $C = (c_{ij})_{(n-1) \times (n-1)}$ is the Cartan matrix of \mathfrak{sl}_n .

Definition 2.2. The quantum group $\mathbf{U}_q(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ is the associative algebra generated by $E_i, F_i, H_\alpha, H_\alpha^{-1}$ ($1 \leq i \leq n-1, 1 \leq \alpha \leq n$) over $\mathbb{Q}(q)$, which satisfies the relations (2.1.3) - (2.1.5) and the following relations:

$$(2.2.1) \quad H_i H_i^{-1} = H_i^{-1} H_i = 1, \quad H_i H_j = H_j H_i;$$

$$(2.2.2) \quad H_i E_i H_i^{-1} = q E_i, \quad H_i F_i H_i^{-1} = q^{-1} F_i;$$

$$(2.2.3) \quad H_{i+1} E_i H_{i+1}^{-1} = q^{-1} E_i, \quad H_{i+1} F_i H_{i+1}^{-1} = q F_i;$$

$$(2.2.4) \quad H_k E_i H_k^{-1} = E_i (k \neq i, i+1), \quad H_k F_i H_k^{-1} = F_i (k \neq i, i+1).$$

We have a natural embedding from $\mathbf{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ to $\mathbf{U}_q(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ by $\mathbf{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n) \rightarrow \mathbf{U}_q(\mathfrak{gl}_n) : E_i \mapsto E_i, F_i \mapsto F_i, K_i \mapsto H_i H_{i+1}^{-1}$. Then we can regard K_i, K_i^{-1} ($1 \leq i \leq n-1$) as the elements in $\mathbf{U}_q(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$. What's more, the quantum group $\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{U}_q(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ is a Hopf algebra over $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ with comultiplication $\Delta : \mathbf{U} \rightarrow \mathbf{U} \otimes \mathbf{U}$ such that

$$H_i \mapsto H_i \otimes H_i;$$

$$E_i \mapsto 1 \otimes E_i + E_i \otimes K_i^{-1};$$

$$F_i \mapsto F_i \otimes 1 + K_i \otimes F_i.$$

For \mathbf{U} -modules M and N , the tensor product $M \otimes N$ is again a \mathbf{U} -module by $u.(m \otimes n) = \Delta(u)(m \otimes n) = \sum u_1 m \otimes u_2 n$, where $\Delta(u) = \sum u_1 \otimes u_2$. Let V be an n -dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{Q}(q)$. Let η_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) be a basis of V . Note that V is a natural module of \mathbf{U} under the following actions:

$$H_i \eta_i = q \eta_i, \quad H_i \eta_j = \eta_j \quad (j \neq i);$$

$$\begin{aligned} F_i \eta_i &= \eta_{i+1}, & F_i \eta_j &= 0 \quad (j \neq i); \\ E_i \eta_{i+1} &= \eta_i, & E_i \eta_j &= 0 \quad (j \neq i+1). \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, $V^{\otimes r}$ is also a \mathbf{U} -module. For $u \in \mathbf{U}$, the action is under $\Delta^r \triangleq (\Delta \otimes 1^{r-2}) \circ \cdots \circ (\Delta \otimes 1) \circ \Delta(u)$, where 1 means the identity. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^r(H_i) &= \overbrace{H_i \otimes \cdots \otimes H_i}^r; \\ \Delta^r(E_i) &= \sum_{j=1}^r \overbrace{1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1}^{j-1} \otimes E_i \otimes \overbrace{K_i^{-1} \otimes \cdots \otimes K_i^{-1}}^{r-j}; \\ \Delta^r(F_i) &= \sum_{j=1}^r \overbrace{K_i \otimes \cdots \otimes K_i}^{r-j} \otimes F_i \otimes \overbrace{1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1}^{j-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.3. *The Hecke algebra $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{H}_q(\mathfrak{S}_r)$ (\mathfrak{S}_r is the symmetric group generated by $s_i = (i, i+1)$ with $i = 1, 2, \dots, r-1$) is a $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ -algebra with unit 1, generated by T_{s_i} ($1 \leq i \leq r-1$) subject to the following relations:*

$$\begin{aligned} (T_{s_i} + q)(T_{s_i} - q^{-1}) &= 0; \\ T_{s_i} T_{s_{i+1}} T_{s_i} &= T_{s_{i+1}} T_{s_i} T_{s_{i+1}}; \\ T_{s_i} T_{s_j} &= T_{s_j} T_{s_i}, \quad (|i-j| > 1). \end{aligned}$$

Define $T_\sigma = T_{s_{i_1}} \cdots T_{s_{i_k}}$, for any reduced expression $\sigma = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_k} \in \mathfrak{S}_r$. (It is independent of choices of reduced expression.)

We denote $\mathbf{H}_q(\mathfrak{S}_r)$ simply by $\mathbf{H}(\mathfrak{S}_r)$. A right action on a basis $\{M_f = \eta_{f(1)} \otimes \eta_{f(2)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \eta_{f(r)} \mid f : \{1, 2, \dots, r\} \mapsto \{1, 2, \dots, n\}\}$ of $V^{\otimes r}$ is given by:

$$M_f T_{s_i} = \begin{cases} M_{f s_i}, & f(i) < f(i+1), \\ M_{f s_i} + (q^{-1} - q)M_f, & f(i) > f(i+1), \\ q^{-1}M_f, & f(i) = f(i+1). \end{cases}$$

where $f s_i$ is defined by $(f s_i)(j) = f(s_i(j))$.

The left action of \mathbf{U} and the right action of \mathbf{H} on $V^{\otimes r}$ are denoted by Φ and Ψ respectively.

Definition 2.4. *Suppose r and n are two positive integers.*

- (1) Let $\Lambda(n, r) = \{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n \mid \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = r\}$ be the set of compositions of r into n parts.

(2) Let $P(n, r) = \{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \cdots \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n \mid \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = r, \lambda_1 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_n \geq 0\}$ be the set of partitions of r of length not exceeding n .

Theorem 2.5. (quantum q -Schur duality)

(1) The actions of \mathbf{U} and \mathbf{H} commute and they form double centralizers, i.e.:

$$\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{U}_q(\mathfrak{gl}_n) \xrightarrow{\Phi} V^{\otimes r} \xleftarrow{\Psi} \mathbf{H}_q(\mathfrak{S}_r) = \mathbf{H},$$

$$\text{End}_{\mathbf{H}}(V^{\otimes r}) = \Phi(\mathbf{U}),$$

$$\text{End}_{\mathbf{U}}(V^{\otimes r}) = \Psi(\mathbf{H}).$$

(2) As a (\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{H}) -module,

$$V^{\otimes r} \simeq \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P(n, r)} L_q(\lambda) \otimes S_q(\lambda),$$

where $L_q(\lambda)$ is the irreducible \mathbf{U} -module with highest weight λ and S_q^λ is the irreducible \mathbf{H} -module called the Specht module (see [2] or [5] for details).

This theorem is due to Jimbo (see [6]), which can be proved by R -Matrix. Furthermore, there are different approaches to the q -Schur duality using the q -Schur algebra developed in [3] and [1].

Definition 2.6. Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_m) \in \Lambda(m, n)$. For $1 \leq i \leq m$, let

$$[a, b] := [a, a+1, \cdots, b-1, b], (a < b);$$

$$R_i^\lambda = [\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_{i-1} + 1, \lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_i].$$

If $\lambda_i = 0$, then $R_i^\lambda := \emptyset$. In this way, we get a decomposition of $[1, n]$ into a disjoint union of subsets:

$$[1, n] = R_1^\lambda \cup R_2^\lambda \cup \cdots \cup R_m^\lambda.$$

Let \mathfrak{S}_λ be the subgroup of \mathfrak{S}_n stabilizing this decomposition. More precisely, define

$$\mathfrak{S}_\lambda := \{w \in \mathfrak{S}_n \mid wR_i^\lambda = R_i^\lambda, 1 \leq i \leq m\}.$$

We call \mathfrak{S}_λ the Young subgroup of \mathfrak{S}_n defined by the composition λ .

From the above definition, ${}^\lambda \mathfrak{S}$ is the set of shortest left coset representatives of \mathfrak{S}_λ in \mathfrak{S}_n . Let ${}^\lambda \mathfrak{S}^\mu$ be the set of shortest $(\mathfrak{S}_\lambda, \mathfrak{S}_\mu)$ -double coset representatives. These notations will be used in section 4.

3. DOUBLED HECKE ALGEBRAS

In this section, we will introduce Doubled Hecke algebra. Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{Q}(q)$. For given positive integers r and l with $r > l$, we consider the following \mathcal{A} -algebra \mathfrak{H}_r^l with unit 1, defined by generators $\{T_{s_i} \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, r-1\} \cup \{x_\sigma \mid \sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_l\}$ subject to the following relations:

$$(3.0.1) \quad (T_{s_i} + q)(T_{s_i} - q^{-1}) = 0 \quad (0 < i \leq r-1);$$

$$(3.0.2) \quad T_{s_i}T_{s_j} = T_{s_j}T_{s_i} \quad (0 < i \neq j \leq r-1, |i-j| > 1);$$

$$(3.0.3) \quad T_{s_i}T_{s_j}T_{s_i} = T_{s_j}T_{s_i}T_{s_j} \quad (0 < i \neq j \leq r-1, |i-j| = 1);$$

$$(3.0.4) \quad x_\sigma x_{s_i} = \begin{cases} x_{\sigma s_i}, & l(\sigma s_i) = l(\sigma) + 1, \\ x_{\sigma s_i} + (q^{-1} - q)x_\sigma, & l(\sigma s_i) = l(\sigma) - 1; \end{cases}$$

$$(3.0.5) \quad x_{s_i} x_\sigma = \begin{cases} x_{s_i \sigma}, & l(s_i \sigma) = l(\sigma) + 1, \\ x_{s_i \sigma} + (q^{-1} - q)x_\sigma, & l(s_i \sigma) = l(\sigma) - 1; \end{cases}$$

$$(3.0.6) \quad T_{s_i} x_\sigma = \begin{cases} x_{s_i \sigma}, & l(s_i \sigma) = l(\sigma) + 1, i < l, \\ x_{s_i \sigma} + (q^{-1} - q)x_\sigma, & l(s_i \sigma) = l(\sigma) - 1, i < l; \end{cases}$$

$$(3.0.7) \quad x_\sigma T_{s_i} = \begin{cases} x_{\sigma s_i}, & l(\sigma s_i) = l(\sigma) + 1, i < l, \\ x_{\sigma s_i} + (q^{-1} - q)x_\sigma, & l(\sigma s_i) = l(\sigma) - 1, i < l; \end{cases}$$

$$(3.0.8) \quad T_{s_i} x_\sigma = q^{-1} x_\sigma = x_\sigma T_{s_i}, i > l.$$

This is an infinite-dimensional associative algebra. We call \mathfrak{H}_r^l the l -th duplex Hecke algebra of $\mathbf{H}(\mathfrak{S}_r)$. By definition, the subalgebra generated by $\{T_{s_i} \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, r-1\}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{H}(\mathfrak{S}_r)$.

Furthermore, we make an appointment that $\mathfrak{H}_r^0 := \mathbf{H}(\mathfrak{S}_r)$ and $\mathfrak{H}_r^r := \langle T_{s_i}, x_\sigma \rangle$ with all T_{s_i}, x_σ , $i = 1, \dots, r-1$ and $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_r$ satisfying (3.0.1) - (3.0.7). Now we combine all $\mathfrak{H}_r^l (l = 0, 1, \dots, r)$.

Definition 3.1. *The duplex Hecke algebra \mathfrak{H}_r of $\mathbf{H}(\mathfrak{S}_r)$ is a $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ -associative algebra with unit 1 and generators T_{s_i} ($i = 1, \dots, r-1$), $x_\sigma^{(l)}$ for $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_l$ ($l = 1, 2, \dots, r$), and with relations as (3.0.1) - (3.0.8) in which x_σ, x_{s_i} are replaced by $x_\sigma^{(l)}, x_{s_i}^{(l)}$ and addition ones:*

$$(3.1.1) \quad x_\sigma^{(l)} x_\gamma^{(k)} = 0, \text{ for } \sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_l, \gamma \in \mathfrak{S}_k, k \neq l.$$

Naturally, $\mathbf{H}(\mathfrak{S}_r)$ is a subalgebra of \mathfrak{H}_r .

Let \underline{V} be a one-dimensional extension of V with enhanced vector η_{n+1} . Then $\boldsymbol{\eta}_i = \eta_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \eta_{i_r}$, where $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_r) \in \mathcal{N}^r$ ($\mathcal{N} := \{1, 2, \dots, n+1\}$), form a basis of $\underline{V}^{\otimes r}$. For a given $\mathbf{j} = (j_1, \dots, j_r) \in \mathcal{N}^r$, we call the number of elements j_i which is not equal to $n+1$ the \underline{n} -rank of \mathbf{j} , denoted by $rk_{\underline{n}}(\mathbf{j})$. All vectors with \underline{n} -rank equal to l form a set \mathcal{N}_l^r and it is easy to check $\mathcal{N}^r = \bigcup_{l=0}^r \mathcal{N}_l^r$. Then $\underline{V}^{\otimes r}$ can be decomposed into the direct sum of \mathcal{A} -subspace: $\underline{V}^{\otimes r} = \bigoplus_{l=0}^r \underline{V}_l^{\otimes r}$, where $\underline{V}_l^{\otimes r} := \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathcal{N}_l^r} \mathcal{A} \boldsymbol{\eta}_i$, $l = 0, 1, \dots, r$. Naturally, each $\underline{V}_l^{\otimes r}$ is stable under the natural action of $\mathbf{H}(\mathfrak{S}_r)$, i.e. $\mathbf{H}(\mathfrak{S}_r) \cdot \underline{V}_l^{\otimes r} \subseteq \underline{V}_l^{\otimes r}$. We can get a representation of $\mathbf{H}(\mathfrak{S}_r)$ over $\underline{V}_l^{\otimes r}$, denoted by $\Psi|_l$.

Consider $I = \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l\} (i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_l, 1 \leq i_j \leq r, i_j \in \mathbb{Z}, 1 \leq j \leq l)$. We denote $\underline{V}_I^{\otimes r}$ as the subspace spanned by $\{\eta_{j_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \eta_{j_r} \mid 1 \leq j_k \leq n, k \in I; j_k = n+1, k \notin I\}$. In particular, $\underline{V}_{\underline{l}}^{\otimes r} = V^{\otimes l} \otimes \eta_{n+1}^{\otimes r-l}$, where $\underline{l} = \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let I be the set above. For any $\boldsymbol{\eta}_j \in \underline{V}_I^{\otimes r}$, there exist $\omega_I \in \mathbf{H}(\mathfrak{S}_r)$ and $\boldsymbol{\eta}_{j'} \in \underline{V}_{\underline{l}}^{\otimes r}$ such that $\Psi(\omega_I) \boldsymbol{\eta}_j = \boldsymbol{\eta}_{j'}$, where Ψ is the natural representation of $\mathbf{H}(\mathfrak{S}_r)$ on $\underline{V}^{\otimes r}$.*

Proof. Let $\boldsymbol{\eta}_j = \eta_{n+1} \otimes \dots \otimes \eta_{j_1} \otimes \eta_{n+1} \otimes \dots \otimes \eta_{j_l} \otimes \dots \otimes \eta_{n+1} \in \underline{V}_I^{\otimes r}$, where the i_m -th position of $\boldsymbol{\eta}_j$ is η_{j_m} with $1 \leq j_m \leq n$ and other positions of $\boldsymbol{\eta}_j$ is η_{n+1} . Let $\boldsymbol{\eta}_{j'} = \eta_{j_1} \otimes \eta_{j_2} \otimes \dots \otimes \eta_{j_l} \otimes \eta_{n+1} \otimes \dots \otimes \eta_{n+1} \in \underline{V}_{\underline{l}}^{\otimes r}$. Notice $T_{s_i} \in \mathbf{H}(\mathfrak{S}_r)$ is invertible, it is enough to prove there exists $\omega'_I \in \mathbf{H}(\mathfrak{S}_r)$ such that $\Psi(\omega'_I) \boldsymbol{\eta}_{j'} = \boldsymbol{\eta}_j$.

We move the l -th element η_{j_l} of $\boldsymbol{\eta}_{j'}$ to i_l -th position at first. Since $j_l < n+1$, we have

$$T_{s_{i_l}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\eta}_{j'} = \eta_{j_1} \otimes \eta_{j_2} \otimes \dots \otimes \eta_{j_{l-1}} \otimes \eta_{n+1} \otimes \eta_{j_l} \overbrace{\otimes \eta_{n+1} \otimes \dots \otimes \eta_{n+1}}^{r-l-1}.$$

Then we apply the actions of $T_{s_{i_{l+1}}}, \dots, T_{s_{i_{l-1}}}$ by turns and get an element

$$\eta_{j_1} \otimes \eta_{j_2} \otimes \dots \otimes \eta_{j_{l-1}} \otimes \eta_{n+1} \otimes \dots \otimes \eta_{j_l} \overbrace{\otimes \eta_{n+1} \otimes \dots \otimes \eta_{n+1}}^{r-i_l},$$

where η_{j_i} is at the i_l -th position. Similarly, we can move η_{l-1} to i_{l-1} -th position, since $j_{l-1} < n+1$ and $i_{l-1} < i_l$. Repeating the process above, we finally get an element $\omega'_I \in \mathbf{H}(\mathfrak{S}_r)$ which transforms $\boldsymbol{\eta}_j$ to $\boldsymbol{\eta}_{j'}$ as desired. \square

We now consider the representation of \mathfrak{H}_r on $\underline{V}^{\otimes r}$. There is a natural representation of $\mathbf{H}(\mathfrak{S}_l)$ on $V^{\otimes l}$, denoted by Ψ_l^V . It transforms $\eta_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \eta_l$ to $T_\sigma.(\eta_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \eta_l)$, where $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_l$. Recall the notation $\underline{V}_l^{\otimes r} = V^{\otimes l} \otimes \eta_{n+1}^{\otimes r-l}$. We extend Ψ_l^V and define linear operator as follow:

$$\psi_\sigma = \Psi_l^V(T_\sigma) \otimes \text{id}^{\otimes r-l} \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(\underline{V}_l^{\otimes r}).$$

We can extend ψ_σ to an element ψ_σ^I of $\text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(\underline{V}_I^{\otimes r})$ by annihilating other $\underline{V}_I^{\otimes r}$ with $I \neq l$. Note that $\mathbf{H}(\mathfrak{S}_r)$ is a subalgebra of \mathfrak{H}_r . Therefore we can define the action of $T_{s_i} \in \mathfrak{H}_r^l$ on $\text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(\underline{V}_I^{\otimes r})$, which is compatible with the action of $\Psi_l(T_{s_i})$.

In general, for $\boldsymbol{\eta}_j \in \underline{V}_I^{\otimes r}$ with $\#I = l$, we have $\boldsymbol{\eta}_j = \Psi(\omega_I^{-1})\boldsymbol{\eta}_{j'}$ for some $\boldsymbol{\eta}_{j'} \in \underline{V}_I^{\otimes r}$. Then $\Psi(\omega_I^{-1}) \circ \psi_\sigma \circ \Psi(\omega_I)$ lies in $\text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(\underline{V}_I^{\otimes r})$ for any $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_l$, which extend to an element of $\text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(\underline{V}_I^{\otimes r})$ and denote it by ψ_σ^I .

Before defining a representation of \mathfrak{H}_r on $\underline{V}^{\otimes r}$, we give a remark. Let Φ' be the natural representation of $\mathbf{U}_q(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ on $V^{\otimes r}$ and Φ be the natural representation of $\mathbf{U}_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{n+1})$ on $\underline{V}^{\otimes r}$. There is a natural embedding $\Phi'(\mathbf{U}_q(\mathfrak{gl}_n)) \hookrightarrow \Phi(\mathbf{U}_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{n+1}))$, such that each element g in $\mathbf{U}_q(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ acts as identity on η_{n+1} . We still denote as $\Phi(g)$ for simplicity.

Lemma 3.3. *The following statements hold.*

- (1) For $1 \leq l \leq r$, there is an algebra homomorphism $\Xi_l : \mathfrak{H}_r^l \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(\underline{V}_l^{\otimes r})$ defined by sending $T_{s_i} \mapsto \Psi_l(T_{s_i})$ and $x_\sigma \mapsto \psi_\sigma^l$.
- (2) For $l = 0$, there is an algebra homomorphism $\Xi_0 : \mathfrak{H}_r^0 \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(\underline{V}_l^{\otimes r})$ defined by sending $T_{s_i} \mapsto \Psi_l(T_{s_i}) = q^{-1}\text{id}$.
- (3) For any $l \in \{0, 1, \dots, r\}$ and any $g \in \mathbf{U}_q(\mathfrak{gl}_n) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{U}_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{n+1})$, $\Phi(g)$ commutes with any elements from $\Xi_l(\mathfrak{H}_r^l)$ in $\text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(\underline{V}_l^{\otimes r})$.
- (4) On $\underline{V}^{\otimes r}$, there is a representation Ξ of \mathfrak{H}_r defined via:
 - (4.1) $\Xi|_{T_{s_i}} = \Psi$, where $\Xi|_{T_{s_i}}$ means all action of T_{s_i} over Ξ ;
 - (4.2) For any $x_\sigma \in \mathfrak{H}_r^l$, $l = 1, 2, \dots, r$, $\Xi(x_\sigma)|_{\underline{V}_l^{\otimes r}} = \Xi_l(x_\sigma)$ and $\Xi(x_\sigma)|_{\underline{V}_k^{\otimes r}} = 0$ for $k \neq l$.

Proof. (1) For $1 \leq l \leq r$, we need to show that Ξ_l keeps the relations (3.0.1) - (3.0.8). Recall the action of Hecke algebra on $\underline{V}^{\otimes r}$, it is easy to get the following relations:

$$(3.3.1) \quad \Xi_l(\mathbb{T}_{s_i})^2 = \Xi_l(1 + (q^{-1} - q)\mathbb{T}_{s_i}), \quad \Xi_l(\mathbb{T}_{s_i})\Xi_l(\mathbb{T}_{s_j}) = \Xi_l(\mathbb{T}_{s_j})\Xi_l(\mathbb{T}_{s_i}),$$

with $0 \leq i \neq j \leq r - 1, |j - i| > 1$, and

$$(3.3.2) \quad \Xi_l(\mathbb{T}_{s_i})\Xi_l(\mathbb{T}_{s_j})\Xi_l(\mathbb{T}_{s_i}) = \Xi_l(\mathbb{T}_{s_j})\Xi_l(\mathbb{T}_{s_i})\Xi_l(\mathbb{T}_{s_j}),$$

with $0 \leq i \neq j \leq r - 1, |j - i| = 1$.

Suppose that $\boldsymbol{\eta}_j \in \underline{V}_l^{\otimes r}$, $\boldsymbol{\eta}_k \in \underline{V}_l^{\otimes r}$ with $\boldsymbol{\eta}_k \notin \underline{V}_l^{\otimes r}$. Note that $\psi_\sigma^l|_{\underline{V}_l^{\otimes r}} = \psi_\sigma$ and $\underline{V}_l^{\otimes r} \simeq V^{\otimes l}$. The action of x_σ comes from the action of $T_\sigma \in \mathbf{H}(\mathfrak{S}_l)$, which shows

$$\Xi_l(x_\sigma)\Xi_l(x_{s_i})(\boldsymbol{\eta}_j) = \begin{cases} \Xi_l(x_{\sigma s_i})(\boldsymbol{\eta}_j), & l(\sigma s_i) = l(\sigma) + 1, \\ \Xi_l(x_{\sigma s_i} + (q^{-1} - q)x_\sigma)(\boldsymbol{\eta}_j), & l(\sigma s_i) = l(\sigma) - 1. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, we have

$$\Xi_l(x_\sigma)\Xi_l(x_{s_i})(\boldsymbol{\eta}_k) = 0 = \begin{cases} \Xi_l(x_{\sigma s_i})(\boldsymbol{\eta}_k), & l(\sigma s_i) = l(\sigma) + 1, \\ \Xi_l(x_{\sigma s_i} + (q^{-1} - q)x_\sigma)(\boldsymbol{\eta}_k), & l(\sigma s_i) = l(\sigma) - 1. \end{cases}$$

Hence we get

$$(3.3.3) \quad \Xi_l(x_\sigma)\Xi_l(x_{s_i}) = \begin{cases} \Xi_l(x_{\sigma s_i}), & l(\sigma s_i) = l(\sigma) + 1, \\ \Xi_l(x_{\sigma s_i} + (q^{-1} - q)x_\sigma), & l(\sigma s_i) = l(\sigma) - 1. \end{cases}$$

In the same way, we can get

$$(3.3.4) \quad \Xi_l(x_{s_i})\Xi_l(x_\sigma) = \begin{cases} \Xi_l(x_{s_i\sigma}), & l(s_i\sigma) = l(\sigma) + 1, \\ \Xi_l(x_{s_i\sigma} + (q^{-1} - q)x_\sigma), & l(s_i\sigma) = l(\sigma) - 1. \end{cases}$$

When $i < l$, note that $\Psi|_l(\mathbb{T}_{s_i})$ is equal to $\psi_{s_i}^l$ by definition. Using this one checks that

$$\Xi_l(\mathbb{T}_{s_i})\Xi_l(x_\sigma)(\boldsymbol{\eta}_j) = \begin{cases} \Xi_l(x_{s_i\sigma})(\boldsymbol{\eta}_j), & l(s_i\sigma) = l(\sigma) + 1, i < l, \\ \Xi_l(x_{s_i\sigma} + (q^{-1} - q)x_\sigma)(\boldsymbol{\eta}_j), & l(s_i\sigma) = l(\sigma) - 1, i < l, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\Xi_l(x_\sigma)\Xi_l(\mathbb{T}_{s_i})(\boldsymbol{\eta}_j) = \begin{cases} \Xi_l(x_{\sigma s_i})(\boldsymbol{\eta}_j), & l(\sigma s_i) = l(\sigma) + 1, i < l, \\ \Xi_l(x_{\sigma s_i} + (q^{-1} - q)x_\sigma)(\boldsymbol{\eta}_j), & l(\sigma s_i) = l(\sigma) - 1, i < l. \end{cases}$$

Since the action of $\Xi_l(T_{s_i}) = \Psi|_l(T_{s_i})$ on $\eta_{\mathbf{k}}$ does not change the latter $r - l$ components of $\eta_{\mathbf{k}}$, we have $\Xi_l(T_{s_i})(\eta_{\mathbf{k}}) \notin \underline{V}_{\underline{l}}^{\otimes r}$. It is clear that

$$\Xi_l(T_{s_i})\Xi_l(x_{\sigma})(\eta_{\mathbf{k}}) = 0 = \begin{cases} \Xi_l(x_{s_i\sigma})(\eta_{\mathbf{k}}), & l(s_i\sigma) = l(\sigma) + 1, i < l, \\ \Xi_l(x_{s_i\sigma} + (q^{-1} - q)x_{\sigma})(\eta_{\mathbf{k}}), & l(s_i\sigma) = l(\sigma) - 1, i < l, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\Xi_l(x_{\sigma})\Xi_l(T_{s_i})(\eta_{\mathbf{k}}) = 0 = \begin{cases} \Xi_l(x_{\sigma s_i})(\eta_{\mathbf{k}}), & l(\sigma s_i) = l(\sigma) + 1, i < l, \\ \Xi_l(x_{\sigma s_i} + (q^{-1} - q)x_{\sigma})(\eta_{\mathbf{k}}), & l(\sigma s_i) = l(\sigma) - 1, i < l. \end{cases}$$

Hence we get

$$(3.3.5) \quad \Xi_l(T_{s_i})\Xi_l(x_{\sigma}) = \begin{cases} \Xi_l(x_{s_i\sigma}), & l(s_i\sigma) = l(\sigma) + 1, i < l, \\ \Xi_l(x_{s_i\sigma} + (q^{-1} - q)x_{\sigma}), & l(s_i\sigma) = l(\sigma) - 1, i < l, \end{cases}$$

and

$$(3.3.6) \quad \Xi_l(x_{\sigma})\Xi_l(T_{s_i}) = \begin{cases} \Xi_l(x_{\sigma s_i}), & l(\sigma s_i) = l(\sigma) + 1, i < l, \\ \Xi_l(x_{\sigma s_i} + (q^{-1} - q)x_{\sigma}), & l(\sigma s_i) = l(\sigma) - 1, i < l. \end{cases}$$

When $i > l$. The following formula follows from the definition:

$$(3.3.7) \quad \Xi_l(x_{\sigma})\Xi_l(T_{s_i}) = q^{-1}\Xi_l(x_{\sigma}) = \Xi_l(T_{s_i})\Xi_l(x_{\sigma}), i > l.$$

It follows from (3.3.1) - (3.3.7) that Ξ_l is an algebra homomorphism from \mathfrak{H}_r^l to $\text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(\underline{V}_l^{\otimes r})$.

(2) In this situation, Ξ_0 obviously becomes an algebra homomorphism from \mathfrak{H}_r^0 to $\text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(\underline{V}_0^{\otimes r})$, since $\mathfrak{H}_r^0 = \mathbf{H}(\mathfrak{S}_r)$.

(3) When $l = 0$, it is just quantum q -Schur duality, since $\mathfrak{H}_r^0 = \mathbf{H}(\mathfrak{S}_r)$. Now we suppose $1 \leq l \leq r$. Note that the action of T_{s_i} is given by that of T_{s_i} in $\mathbf{H}(\mathfrak{S}_r)$. It is easy to check that $\Phi(g)\Xi_l(T_{s_i}) = \Xi_l(T_{s_i})\Phi(g)$ for any $g \in \mathbf{U}_q(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ because of the quantum q -Schur duality.

Recall that the generators of $\mathbf{U}_q(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ are E_i, F_i, H_j, H_j^{-1} ($1 \leq i \leq n - 1, 1 \leq j \leq n$). It is clear from the definition that $\Delta^r(H_i)\underline{V}_J^{\otimes r} \subseteq \underline{V}_J^{\otimes r}$. Let $\mathbf{l} = (l_1, \dots, l_r) \in \mathcal{N}_l^r$ and $I \subset \underline{r}$ with $\#I = l$. If $l_j \neq i + 1$, then

$$\overbrace{1 \otimes \dots \otimes 1}^{j-1} \otimes E_i \otimes \overbrace{K_i^{-1} \otimes \dots \otimes K_i^{-1}}^{r-j}$$

acts on $\boldsymbol{\eta}_l$ as zero. Otherwise,

$$\begin{aligned} & \overbrace{1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1}^{j-1} \otimes E_i \otimes \overbrace{K_i^{-1} \otimes \cdots \otimes K_i^{-1}}^{r-j} (\boldsymbol{\eta}_l) \\ &= \eta_{l_1} \otimes \eta_{l_2} \cdots \otimes \eta_{l_{j-1}} \otimes \eta_i \otimes K_i^{-1} \eta_{l_{j+1}} \cdots \otimes K_i^{-1} \eta_{l_r}. \end{aligned}$$

It shows

$$\overbrace{1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1}^{j-1} \otimes E_i \otimes \overbrace{K_i^{-1} \otimes \cdots \otimes K_i^{-1}}^{r-j} \underline{V}_I^{\otimes r} \subseteq \underline{V}_I^{\otimes r}.$$

Hence we have $\Delta^r(E_i)\underline{V}_I^{\otimes r} \subseteq \underline{V}_I^{\otimes r}$. In the same way, we can get $\Delta^r(F_i)\underline{V}_I^{\otimes r} \subseteq \underline{V}_I^{\otimes r}$. We now deduce that $\Phi(g)(\underline{V}_I^{\otimes r}) \subseteq \underline{V}_I^{\otimes r}$ for any $g \in \mathbf{U}_q(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$. Hence we have

$$\Phi(g)\Xi_l(x_\sigma)(\boldsymbol{\eta}_k) = 0 = \Xi_l(x_\sigma)\Phi(g)(\boldsymbol{\eta}_k)$$

for any $\boldsymbol{\eta}_k \notin \underline{V}_I^{\otimes r}$.

Since x_σ has the same action as T_σ in $\mathbf{H}(\mathfrak{S}_l)$ on $\underline{V}_I^{\otimes r}$. According to quantum q -Schur duality, we have $\Xi_l(x_\sigma)\Phi(g)(\boldsymbol{\eta}_j) = \Phi(g)\Xi_l(x_\sigma)(\boldsymbol{\eta}_j)$ for any $\boldsymbol{\eta}_j \in \underline{V}_I^{\otimes r}$. Thus $\Xi_l(\mathfrak{H}_r^l)$ commutes with $\Phi(g)$ for any $g \in \mathbf{U}_q(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ in $\text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(\underline{V}_I^{\otimes r})$.

(4) Since Ψ is the representation of $\mathbf{H}(\mathfrak{S}_r)$, Ξ keeps the relations (3.0.1) - (3.0.3). Moreover, since $\Xi|_{\underline{V}_I^{\otimes r}} = \Xi_l$ for $1 \leq l \leq r$, we have:

$$\Xi(x_\sigma^{(l)}) \circ \Xi(x_\mu^{(k)}) = \Xi_l(x_\sigma^{(l)})\Xi_k(x_\mu^{(k)}) = 0 \text{ for } \mu \in \mathfrak{S}_k, \sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_l, k, l \in \underline{r}, k \neq l;$$

$$\Xi(T_{s_i}) \circ \Xi(x_\sigma^{(l)}) = \Psi(T_{s_i})\Xi_l(x_\sigma^{(l)}) = \begin{cases} \Xi_l(x_{s_i\sigma}^{(l)}), & l(s_i\sigma) = l(\sigma) + 1, i < l, \\ \Xi_l(x_{s_i\sigma}^{(l)} + (q^{-1} - q)x_\sigma^{(l)}), & l(s_i\sigma) = l(\sigma) - 1, i < l; \end{cases}$$

$$\Xi(x_\sigma^{(l)}) \circ \Xi(T_{s_i}) = \Xi_l(x_\sigma^{(l)})\Psi(T_{s_i}) = \begin{cases} \Xi_l(x_{\sigma s_i}^{(l)}), & l(\sigma s_i) = l(\sigma) + 1, i < l, \\ \Xi_l(x_{\sigma s_i}^{(l)} + (q^{-1} - q)x_\sigma^{(l)}), & l(\sigma s_i) = l(\sigma) - 1, i < l; \end{cases}$$

$$\Xi(T_{s_i}) \circ \Xi(x_\sigma^{(l)}) = \Psi(T_{s_i})\Xi_l(x_\sigma^{(l)}) = q^{-1}\Xi_l(x_\sigma^{(l)}) = \Xi(T_{s_i}x_\sigma^{(l)}) \text{ for } \sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_l, i > l;$$

$$\Xi(x_\sigma^{(l)}) \circ \Xi(T_{s_i}) = \Xi_l(x_\sigma^{(l)})\Psi(T_{s_i}) = q^{-1}\Xi_l(x_\sigma^{(l)}) = \Xi(x_\sigma^{(l)}T_{s_i}) \text{ for } \sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_l, i > l.$$

So Ξ is an algebra homomorphism and therefore it is a representation of \mathfrak{H}_r . \square

From the lemma above, we set $\mathcal{D}(n, r) := \Xi(\mathfrak{H}_r) \subset \text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(\underline{V}^{\otimes r})$.

4. DUALITY RELATED TO DUPLEX HECKE ALGEBRA

Firstly, we recall some properties of q -Schur algebra.

Consider an element $x_\lambda = \sum_{\omega \in \mathfrak{S}_\lambda} T_\omega$ in $\mathbf{H}(\mathfrak{S}_r)$. Then the right ideal $x_\lambda \mathbf{H}(\mathfrak{S}_r)$ of $\mathbf{H}(\mathfrak{S}_r)$ is a $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ -module, which has a free basis $\{x_\lambda T_d \mid d \in {}^\lambda \mathfrak{S}\}$.

Lemma 4.1. *The right $\mathbf{H}(\mathfrak{S}_r)$ -module structure on $x_\lambda \mathbf{H}(\mathfrak{S}_r)$ is given by the formulas:*

$$(x_\lambda T_d) T_{s_i} = \begin{cases} x_\lambda T_{ds_i}, & l(ds_i) = l(d) + 1, ds_i \in {}^\lambda S, \\ q^{-1} x_\lambda T_d, & l(ds_i) = l(d) + 1, ds_i \notin {}^\lambda S, \\ (q^{-1} - q)x_\lambda T_d + x_\lambda T_{ds_i}, & l(ds_i) = l(d) - 1, \end{cases}$$

for any s_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, r-1$) and $d \in {}^\lambda \mathfrak{S}$. In the third case, when $l(ds_i) = l(d) - 1$, we have $ds_i \in {}^\lambda \mathfrak{S}$.

Definition 4.2. *For positive integers n, r , the Schur algebra over $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ is the endomorphism algebra*

$$S(n, r) = \text{End}_{\mathbf{H}(\mathfrak{S}_r)} \left(\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda(n, r)} x_\lambda \mathbf{H}(\mathfrak{S}_r) \right).$$

Proposition 4.3. *Following the notation above, we have*

- (1) $V^{\otimes r} \simeq \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda(n, r)} x_\lambda \mathbf{H}(\mathfrak{S}_r)$;
- (2) $S(n, r)$ is a free $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ -module with a basis $\{\zeta_{\lambda, \mu}^w \mid \lambda, \mu \in \Lambda(n, r), w \in {}^\lambda \mathfrak{S}^\mu\}$, where $\zeta_{\lambda, \mu}^w$ only does not annihilate $x_\mu \mathbf{H}(\mathfrak{S}_r)$;
- (3) If $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)$, then $\zeta_{\lambda, \mu}^w$ only has nonzero image on $V_k^{\otimes r}$ where $k = r - \mu_n$.

Proof. Result (1)(2) are classical properties of q -Schur algebra, see [2] for details. Then (3) follows immediately. \square

Definition 4.4. *The subalgebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{n+1})$ generated by E_i, F_i, H_j, H_j^{-1} ($1 \leq i \leq n-1, 1 \leq j \leq n+1$) is called Levi quantum group and denoted by $L_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{n+1})$. It's easy to see $L_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{n+1}) \simeq U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_n) \oplus \langle H_{n+1}, H_{n+1}^{-1} \rangle$ as a space.*

It's easy to see $L_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{n+1})$ is a Hopf algebra and have a natural representation on $\underline{V}^{\otimes r}$, which we still denote as Φ .

Theorem 4.5. *Recall that q is transcendental over \mathbb{Q} . We have the following double centralizer property:*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{D}(n,r)}(\underline{V}^{\otimes r}) &= \Phi(L_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{n+1})), \\ \mathrm{End}_{L_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{n+1})}(\underline{V}^{\otimes r}) &= \mathcal{D}(n,r).\end{aligned}$$

We prove theorem 4.5 separately.

Theorem 4.6. *Keep the notations as above (in particular, q is transcendental over \mathbb{Q}). Then we have*

$$\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{D}(n,r)}(\underline{V}^{\otimes r}) = \Phi(L_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{n+1})).$$

Proof. From lemma 3.3(3), it is easy to know $\Phi(L_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{n+1})) \subseteq \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{D}(n,r)}(\underline{V}^{\otimes r})$. We need to show the opposite inclusion.

Note that $\mathcal{D}(n,r) = \Xi(\mathfrak{H}_r)$. So $\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{D}(n,r)}(\underline{V}^{\otimes r}) \subseteq \mathrm{End}_{\mathbf{H}(\mathfrak{S}_r)}(\underline{V}^{\otimes r}) = \Phi(\mathbf{U}_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{n+1}))$. For any $\phi \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{D}(n,r)}(\underline{V}^{\otimes r})$, we have $\phi = \sum_{\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda(n+1,r)} \alpha_{\lambda\mu} \zeta_{\lambda, \mu}^w$. More precisely, $\phi = \sum_{l=0}^r \phi_l$ with $\phi_l = \sum_{\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda(n+1,r), \mu_{n+1}=r-l} \alpha_{\lambda\mu} \zeta_{\lambda, \mu}^w$. We have $\phi|_{\underline{V}_l^{\otimes r}} = \phi_l$ by Prop 4.3(3).

We claim that ϕ_l stabilizes $\underline{V}_l^{\otimes r}$.

Suppose $\xi_j \in \underline{V}_l^{\otimes r}$, $\phi_l(\xi_j) = \xi_l + \xi_k$, with $\xi_l \in \underline{V}_l^{\otimes r}$, $\xi_k \notin \underline{V}_l^{\otimes r}$. Then we have

$$\psi_{\mathrm{id}}^l(\xi_l + \xi_k) = \psi_{\mathrm{id}}^l \phi(\xi_j) = \phi \psi_{\mathrm{id}}^l(\xi_j) = \xi_l + \xi_k.$$

Note that $\psi_{\mathrm{id}}^l(\xi_l) = \xi_l$ and $\psi_{\mathrm{id}}^l(\xi_k) = 0$, which deduce that $\xi_k = 0$. Hence ϕ_l stabilizes $\underline{V}_l^{\otimes r}$.

For any $\underline{V}_I^{\otimes r}$ where $\#I = l$. We have $\omega_I \underline{V}_I^{\otimes r} \simeq \underline{V}_I^{\otimes r}$. Hence

$$\phi(\underline{V}_I^{\otimes r}) = \phi(\omega_I^{-1} \underline{V}_I^{\otimes r}) = \omega_I^{-1} \phi(\underline{V}_I^{\otimes r}) \subset \omega_I^{-1} \underline{V}_I = \underline{V}_I^{\otimes r}.$$

Then ϕ stabilizes all $\underline{V}_I^{\otimes r}$ such that $\#I = l$, also for $\underline{V}_l^{\otimes r}$ as desired.

Notice $\underline{V}_l^{\otimes r} = V^{\otimes l} \otimes \eta_{n+1}^{\otimes r-l}$ and $\psi_{\sigma}^l = \Psi_l^V(\sigma) \otimes \mathrm{id}^{\otimes r-l}$. We have $\phi_l|_{\underline{V}_l^{\otimes r}} \in \Phi(U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_n)) \subset \Phi(L_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{n+1}))$ due to classical quantum q -Schur duality. So we can suppose $\phi_l|_{\underline{V}_l^{\otimes r}} = \Phi(g_l)|_{\underline{V}_l^{\otimes r}}$, where $g_l \in L_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{n+1})$.

We have $\omega_I \phi_l(\underline{V}_I^{\otimes r}) = \phi_l \omega_I(\underline{V}_I^{\otimes r}) = \Phi(g_l) \omega_I(\underline{V}_I^{\otimes r})$. Since $\Phi(L_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{n+1})) \subset \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{D}(n,r)}(\underline{V}^{\otimes r})$, $\Phi(g_l)$ commutes with ω_I . Hence

$$\phi_l(\underline{V}_I^{\otimes r}) = \omega_I^{-1} \phi_l \omega_I(\underline{V}_I^{\otimes r}) = \omega_I^{-1} \Phi(g_l) \omega_I(\underline{V}_I^{\otimes r}) = \Phi(g_l)(\underline{V}_I^{\otimes r}).$$

In other words, $\phi_l|_{\underline{V}_l^{\otimes r}} \in \Phi(L_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{n+1}))|_{\underline{V}_l^{\otimes r}}$.

Next we prove that $\phi \in \Phi(L_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{n+1}))$ for any $\phi \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{D}(n,r)}(\underline{V}^{\otimes r})$.

Consider the element $G_l = \frac{(H_{n+1}-1)\cdots(\widehat{H_{n+1}-q^{r-l}})\cdots(H_{n+1}-q^r)}{(q^{r-l}-1)\cdots(\widehat{q^{r-l}-q^{r-l}})\cdots(q^{r-l}-q^r)}$, where $\widehat{}$ means ignoring this term.

Then the action of G_l is:

$$G_l.x = \frac{(q^{r-l}-1)\cdots(\widehat{q^{r-l}-q^{r-l}})\cdots(q^{r-l}-q^r)}{(q^{r-l}-1)\cdots(\widehat{q^{r-l}-q^{r-l}})\cdots(q^{r-l}-q^r)}x = x, \forall x \in \underline{V}_l^{\otimes r};$$

$$G_l.\underline{V}_k^{\otimes r} = 0 \quad (k \neq l), \text{ since } (H_{n+1}-q^{r-k}).\underline{V}_k^{\otimes r} = 0.$$

Then we have

$$\phi(g_l)\Phi(G_l).\underline{V}_l^{\otimes r} = \phi(g_l).\underline{V}_l^{\otimes r};$$

$$\phi(g_l)\Phi(G_l).\underline{V}_k^{\otimes r} = 0 \quad (k \neq l).$$

Thus $\phi_l = \Phi(g_l \circ G_l)$ and $\phi = \sum_l \Phi(g_l \circ G_l) \in \Phi(L_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{n+1}))$. \square

We now prepare for the remaining part of Theorem 4.5. Notice that we have $\underline{V}_l^{\otimes r} \simeq V^{\otimes l}$, which can be regarded as a $(\mathbf{U}_q(\mathfrak{gl}_n), \mathbf{H}(\mathfrak{S}_l))$ -module. Due to quantum q -Schur duality, we have $\underline{V}_l^{\otimes r} \simeq V^{\otimes l} \simeq \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P(n,l)} L_q(\lambda) \otimes S_q^\lambda$ follows from Theorem 2.5(2). Hence we have

$$\underline{V}_l^{\otimes r} \simeq V^{\otimes l} \simeq \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P(n,l)} \overbrace{(S_q^\lambda \oplus S_q^\lambda \cdots \oplus S_q^\lambda)}^{\dim(L_q(\lambda))}.$$

We thereby obtain an irreducible decomposition of the $\mathbf{H}(\mathfrak{S}_l)$ -modules $\underline{V}_l^{\otimes r}$. The image of S_q^λ in $\underline{V}_l^{\otimes r}$ is

$$S_q^{\prime\lambda} := S_q^\lambda \otimes \overbrace{\eta_{n+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \eta_{n+1}}^{r-l}.$$

We consider the space $D_l^\lambda := \sum_I \omega_I^{-1} (S_q^{\prime\lambda})^\lambda$ with $\#I = l$ (recall that $\omega_I \in \mathfrak{S}_r$ satisfies $\Psi(\omega_I)\underline{V}_l^{\otimes r} \subset \underline{V}_l^{\otimes r}$).

Lemma 4.7. D_l^λ is an irreducible \mathfrak{H}_r^l -module. In particular, it is an irreducible \mathfrak{H}_r -module.

Proof. Firstly, we show D_l^λ is a \mathfrak{H}_r^l -module. Note that the generator of \mathfrak{H}_r^l are T_{s_i} and x_σ , where $1 \leq s \leq r$ and $x_\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_l$. From the natural representation, x_σ only doesn't annihilate $\underline{V}_l^{\otimes r}$ and obviously stabilizes D_l^λ . Note that ω_I is generated by T_{s_i} and thus D_l^λ stabilized by all T_{s_i} . We conclude that D_l^λ is a \mathfrak{H}_r^l -module. The irreducibility is immediate from the definition. \square

Proposition 4.8. *Suppose q is transcendental over \mathbb{Q} . We have*

$$\underline{V}_l^{\otimes r} \simeq \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P(n,l)} D_l^\lambda \otimes L_q(\lambda)$$

as a $(\mathfrak{H}_r, L_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{n+1}))$ -module.

Proof. We can easily get $\underline{V}_l^{\otimes r} \simeq \sum_I \omega_I^{-1} \underline{V}_I^{\otimes r}$ from the action of \mathfrak{H}_r^l . Note that $L_q(\lambda)$ is an irreducible $L_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{n+1})$ -module. Thus we have desired decomposition of $\underline{V}_l^{\otimes r}$ as a $(\mathfrak{H}_r, L_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{n+1}))$ -module. \square

Corollary 4.9. *Suppose q is transcendental over \mathbb{Q} . Then we have*

$$\text{End}_{L_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{n+1})}(\underline{V}^{\otimes r}) = \mathcal{D}(n, r).$$

Proof. From 4.7, D_l^λ is a irreducible \mathfrak{H}_r^l -module and also a irreducible \mathfrak{H}_r -module. So

$$\underline{V}^{\otimes r} \simeq \bigoplus_{l=0}^r \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P(n,l)} (D_l^\lambda)^{\oplus \dim(L_q(\lambda))}.$$

is the decomposition of $\underline{V}^{\otimes r}$ into direct sum of irreducible \mathfrak{H}_r -modules. From classical duality theory (see [4] for details), we have

$$\text{End}_{L_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{n+1})}(\underline{V}^{\otimes r}) = \mathcal{D}(n, r).$$

\square

Acknowledgements. We are grateful to professor Tanisaki for his enlightenment of quantum group and professor Bin Shu for his suggestions and helps about this article.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. A. Beilinson, G. Lusztig and R. MacPherson, *A geometric setting for the quantum deformation of GL_n* , Duke Mathematical Journal, 61(2) (1990), 655-677, .
- [2] B. Deng, J. Du, B. Parshall and J. Wang, *Finite dimensional algebras and quantum groups*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs 150, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008.
- [3] R. Dipper, G. James, *The q -Schur algebra*, Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society (3) 59(1) (1989), 23-50, .
- [4] R. Goodman, N. R. Wallach, *Symmetry, representations, and invariants*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 255, Dordrecht: Springer, 2009.
- [5] J. C. Jantzen, *Lectures on quantum groups*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics 6, American Mathematical Soc., 1996.

- [6] M. Jimbo, *A q -analogue of $U(\mathfrak{gl}(N+1))$, Hecke algebra, and the Yang-Baxter equation*, Letters in Mathematical Physics 11(3), (1986), 247-252.
- [7] L. Luo, W. Wang, *Lectures on dualities ABC in representation theory*, arXiv:2012.07203[math.RT].
- [8] K. Ou, B. Shu and Y. Yao, *Chevalley restriction theorem for semi-reductive algebraic groups and its applications*, arXiv:2101.06578[math.RT].
- [9] B. Shu, Y. Xue and Y. Yao, *On enhanced reductive groups (I): Enhanced Schur algebras and the dualities related to degenerate duplex Hecke algebras*, arXiv:2005.13152[math.RT].

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, EAST CHINA NORMAL UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI 200241, CHINA

Email address: 52215500003@stu.ecnu.edu.cn

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, EAST CHINA NORMAL UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI, 200241, CHINA.

Email address: 845684219@qq.com