

ON THE AUTOMORPHISM GROUP OF CERTAIN SHORT \mathbb{C}^2 'S

SAYANI BERA, RATNA PAL AND KAUSHAL VERMA

ABSTRACT. For a Hénon map of the form $H(x, y) = (y, p(y) - ax)$, where p is a polynomial of degree at least two and $a \neq 0$, it is known that the sub-level sets of the Green's function G_H^+ associated with H are Short \mathbb{C}^2 's. For a given $c > 0$, we study the holomorphic automorphism group of such a Short \mathbb{C}^2 , namely $\Omega_c = \{G_H^+ < c\}$. The unbounded domain $\Omega_c \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ is known to have smooth real analytic Levi-flat boundary. Despite the fact that Ω_c admits an exhaustion by biholomorphic images of the unit ball, it turns out that its automorphism group, $\text{Aut}(\Omega_c)$ cannot be too large. On the other hand, examples are provided to show that these automorphism groups are non-trivial in general. We also obtain necessary and sufficient conditions for such a pair of Short \mathbb{C}^2 's to be biholomorphic.

1. INTRODUCTION

Examples of domains $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ that can be written as an increasing sequence of biholomorphic images of the unit ball $\mathbb{B}^2 \subset \mathbb{C}^2$, and at the same time admit a non-constant bounded plurisubharmonic function and on which the infinitesimal Kobayashi metric vanishes identically were first constructed by Forneaess in [11] and christened *Short \mathbb{C}^2 's*.

There are two principal methods for constructing such domains which can be summarized as:

(i) Fix an integer $d \geq 2$ and a sequence $1 > a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \dots \geq \lim a_n = a_\infty \geq 0$. Let $\{F_n\}$, $n \geq 1$, be a sequence of automorphisms of \mathbb{C}^2 of the form

$$F_n(x, y) = (\eta_n y + x^d, \eta_n x)$$

where $\eta_n = a_n^{d^n}$. For $i = 1, 2$, let $\pi_i : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the standard projection on the i -th coordinate. Define

$$\phi_n(x, y) = \max\{|\pi_i \circ F_n \circ F_{n-1} \circ \dots \circ F_1(x, y)|, \eta_n : i = 1, 2\}$$

and set

$$\phi(x, y) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{d^n} \log \phi_n(x, y)$$

which can be shown to be plurisubharmonic on \mathbb{C}^2 . Then, for every $c > \log a_\infty$, the c sub-level set of ϕ given by $\{\phi < c\}$ is a *Short \mathbb{C}^2* . Note that the 0 sub-level set can be identified precisely with the basin of attraction of the given sequence $\{F_n\}$.

(ii) Recall that for a Hénon map of the form $H(x, y) = (y, p(y) - ax)$, where $a \neq 0$ and p is a polynomial of degree $d \geq 2$, the Green's function

$$G_H^+(x, y) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{d^n} \log^+ \|H^n(x, y)\|$$

is a continuous, non-negative plurisubharmonic function on \mathbb{C}^2 that describes the asymptotic growth of orbits. Then, for every $c > 0$, the c sub-level set $\{G_H^+ < c\}$ is a *Short \mathbb{C}^2* .

Much like Fatou–Bieberbach domains, *Short \mathbb{C}^2 's* come in a variety of shapes and sizes, and possess a number of intriguing properties as can be seen from the examples in [1], [4], [5], [6], [7] and [12]. The purpose of this paper is to study the holomorphic automorphism group of

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 32M18 ; Secondary: 32Q02, 32T05,

Key words and phrases. automorphism group, Short \mathbb{C}^2 .

Short \mathbb{C}^2 's that arise in (ii) above. Apart from its intrinsic interest, part of the motivation for doing so comes from a more general question namely, understanding the dependence of the automorphism group $\text{Aut}(D)$ on the domain $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$. Suitably interpreted, the assignment $D \mapsto \text{Aut}(D)$ is upper semi-continuous within the category of *bounded* domains. The circle of ideas contained in this meta-theorem of sorts are due to Greene–Kim–Krantz (see [16, 17] for example, and [18], [20] for recent work in this direction) and Fridman–Ma–Poletsky (see [13, 14, 15]). For *unbounded* domains, one can begin by considering a simple example. Take an exhaustion of \mathbb{C}^n , $n \geq 2$, by an increasing union of concentric balls $B(0, r_j) \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ with $r_j \rightarrow \infty$. Then $\text{Aut}(B(0, r_j)) \simeq SU(n, 1)$ for all j , but $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is infinite dimensional. Thus, there is a large upward jump in the automorphism group of the limiting domain as compared to the domains approximating it. The same phenomenon is seen in the case of a Fatou–Bieberbach domain $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$. Indeed, such a domain D is biholomorphic to \mathbb{C}^n and admits an exhaustion by domains that are biholomorphic to the unit ball $\mathbb{B}^n \subset \mathbb{C}^n$.

By their very construction, *Short* \mathbb{C}^2 's also admit an exhaustion by domains that are biholomorphic to \mathbb{B}^2 . It is therefore of interest to understand their automorphism group – an aspect of theirs that seems not to have been explored so far. It turns out that the automorphism group of a *Short* \mathbb{C}^2 that arises by considering a single Hénon map as in (ii) is surprisingly small. This will provide an example of the limitations of the upper-semicontinuity phenomenon for unbounded domains. However, it must be emphasized that the automorphism group need not be trivial.

Example 1.1. Let $H(x, y) = (y, y^2 - ax)$ where $a \neq 0$ and $L_\omega = (\omega x, \omega^2 y)$ where ω is the primitive cube root of identity, i.e., $\omega^3 = 1$. Note that

$$L_\omega \circ H(x, y) = (\omega y, \omega^2 y^2 - \omega^2 ax) = H \circ L_{\omega^2}(x, y)$$

for every $(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^2$. Since $L_\omega \circ L_{\omega^2} = L_{\omega^2} \circ L_\omega = \text{identity}$, it follows that $H = L_\omega \circ H \circ L_{\omega^2}$ and hence $H^n = L_{\omega^2} \circ H^n \circ L_\omega$ or $L_\omega \circ H^n \circ L_{\omega^2}$ if n is even or odd respectively. Thus, G_H^+ , the Green's function of H , satisfies $G_H^+ = G_H^+ \circ L_\omega$. Further, for every $c > 0$, L_ω is an automorphism of the c -sublevel set of G_H^+ , i.e., $\{G_H^+ < c\}$.

To make all this precise, let us begin by recalling some relevant facts about the dynamics of a Hénon map from [3], [19] and fixing notation.

Consider a Hénon map of the form $H(x, y) = (y, p(y) - ax)$ where $a \neq 0$ and p is a monic polynomial of degree $d \geq 2$. By an affine conjugacy, the coefficient of y^{d-1} in p can be made zero, and as a result, we will henceforth assume that

$$(1.1) \quad H(x, y) = (y, p(y) - ax)$$

where $p(y) = y^d + q(y)$ with $q(y) = a_{d-2}y^{d-2} + \dots + a_0$. It is useful to understand Hénon maps in terms of their behaviour at infinity, and to do this, consider the filtration of \mathbb{C}^2 given by

$$V_R = \{|x|, |y| \leq R\}, V_R^+ = \{|y| \geq \max\{|x|, R\}\}, V_R^- = \{|x| \geq \max\{|y|, R\}\}.$$

There exists a large $R > 1$ for which $H(V_R^+) \subset V_R^+$ and the forward orbit of each point in V_R^+ escapes to infinity, $H(V_R \cup V_R^+) \subset V_R \cup V_R^+$, and the forward orbit of points in V_R^- , with unbounded (forward) orbit, is eventually contained $V_R \cup V_R^+$. Bounded forward orbits are therefore trapped in $V_R \cup V_R^-$, and the unbounded ones approach infinity while remaining in V_R^+ . Let

$$K^\pm = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : \{H^{\pm n}(x, y), n \geq 0\} \text{ is bounded}\}$$

and

$$K = K^+ \cap K^-, \quad J^\pm = \partial K^\pm, \quad J = J^+ \cap J^-, \quad U^\pm = \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus K^\pm.$$

Let $(x_n, y_n) = H^n(x, y)$ where H^n denote the n -th iteration of H . Since $\deg q \leq d - 2$, (1.1) shows that the degree of x_n is strictly dominated by the degree of y_n for all $n \geq 1$ and that $y_{n+1} \sim y_n^d$. Hence, the limit (which defines the Green's function)

$$G_H^+(x, y) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{d^n} \log^+ \|H^n(x, y)\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{d^n} \log^+ |y_n|$$

converges uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{C}^2 and defines a continuous, non-negative plurisubharmonic function on \mathbb{C}^2 . Further, G_H^+ is pluriharmonic on U^+ , $\{G_H^+ = 0\} = K^+$, and the definition shows that $G_H^+ \circ H = d \cdot G_H^+$. Since $y_{n+1} = y_n^d (1 + (q(x_n, y_n)/y_n^d)) \sim y_n^d$ for $(x, y) \in V_R^+$, the Böttcher coordinate

$$\phi^+(x, y) = y \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \left(1 + \frac{q(x_j, y_j)}{y_j^d} \right)^{1/d^{j+1}}$$

is a well defined holomorphic function on V_R^+ (the limit is uniform on compact subsets of V_R^+) that satisfies $\phi^+ \circ H = (\phi^+)^d$. In addition, $G_H^+ = \log |\phi^+|$ on V_R^+ . Furthermore, $G_H^+ : U^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is a pluriharmonic submersion whose level sets are smooth 3-manifolds that admit a foliation by copies of \mathbb{C} . Each such leaf, which is a copy of \mathbb{C} , is dense in the level set which contains it. In particular, all this applies to the boundary of the *Short* \mathbb{C}^2

$$(1.2) \quad \Omega_c = \{G_H^+ < c\}.$$

It is useful to recall that ϕ^+ extends as a multi-valued map to U^+ . To see this, let $\gamma \subset U^+$ be a path which starts at a point of V_R^+ . Let $G_{H^*}^+$ be a pluriharmonic conjugate for G_H^+ in a neighbourhood of the initial point of γ such that $\phi^+ = \exp(G_H^+ + iG_{H^*}^+)$. By continuing $G_{H^*}^+$ along γ in a pluriharmonic manner, it follows that ϕ^+ admits analytic continuation along γ , and hence to all of U^+ . Thus, $\phi^+ : U^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ is a multi-valued holomorphic map; here $\mathbb{D} \subset \mathbb{C}$ is the unit disc. Let \tilde{U}^+ be the Riemann domain over U^+ on which ϕ^+ lifts as a single-valued holomorphic map $\tilde{\phi}^+ : \tilde{U}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}}$. The fibres of $\tilde{\phi}^+$ are precisely the leaves of the foliation of the level sets of G_H^+ , and are hence equivalent to \mathbb{C} . Furthermore, there is a biholomorphic map

$$\tau : \mathbb{C} \times (\mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}}) \rightarrow \tilde{U}^+$$

such that $\tilde{\phi}^+ \circ \tau(t, \zeta) = \zeta$. In other words, τ straightens out the fibres of $\tilde{\phi}^+$ globally, and in these coordinates, the fibres are described by $\zeta = \text{constant}$. The map $H : U^+ \rightarrow U^+$ lifts to $\tilde{H} : \mathbb{C} \times (\mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \times (\mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}})$. For the Hénon map $H(x, y) = (y, y^2 + a_0 - ax)$, an explicit description of the lift as

$$(1.3) \quad \tilde{H}(z, \zeta) = ((a/2)z + \zeta^3 - (a_0/2)\zeta, \zeta^2)$$

can be found in [19]. Taking this further, Bousch [9] showed that every automorphism of U^+ that induces the identity on $\pi_1(U^+) \simeq \mathbb{Z}[1/2]$ lifts to an automorphism of $\mathbb{C} \times (\mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}})$, and since these can be written down explicitly, a detailed description of $\text{Aut}(U^+)$ follows. Indeed, $\text{Aut}(U^+)$ is isomorphic to the semi-direct products $\mathbb{C} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ or $\mathbb{C} \rtimes (\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ according as $a_0 \neq 0$ or $a_0 = 0$ respectively. In this context, consider the *punctured Short* \mathbb{C}^2

$$\Omega'_c = \Omega_c \setminus K^+ = \{0 < G_H^+ < c\} \subset \Omega_c$$

which can be shown to be connected for every $c > 0$, and for every $f \in \text{Aut}(\Omega_c)$, its restriction $f : \Omega'_c \rightarrow \Omega_c$.

Proposition 1.2. *Let $c > 0$ and $f \in \text{Aut}(\Omega_c)$. Then $f(K^+) = K^+$ and $f(\Omega_b) = \Omega_b$ for every $0 < b < c$. In particular, the restriction of f to Ω'_c is in fact an element of $\text{Aut}(\Omega'_c)$*

This shows that the restriction map $r : \text{Aut}(\Omega_c) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\Omega'_c)$ defined by $r(f) = f|_{\Omega'_c}$ for $f \in \text{Aut}(\Omega_c)$ is well defined. It is clearly a homomorphism and injective as well by the uniqueness theorem. Therefore, to understand how large $\text{Aut}(\Omega_c)$ can be, it suffices to get a hold on $\text{Aut}(\Omega'_c)$.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $H(x, y) = (y, p(y) - ax)$ be a Hénon map of degree d as in (1.1). For $c > 0$, consider the punctured Short \mathbb{C}^2 defined by $\Omega'_c = \{0 < G_H^+ < c\}$. Then the fundamental group of Ω'_c , $\pi_1(\Omega'_c)$ is $\mathbb{Z}[1/d]$. Furthermore, $\mathbb{C} \subseteq \text{Aut}(\Omega'_c) \subseteq (\mathbb{Z}_{d-1} \times \mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{Z}_{d+1}$. Consequently, $\text{Aut}(\Omega_c) \subseteq (\mathbb{Z}_{d-1} \times \mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{Z}_{d+1}$.*

The computation of $\pi_1(\Omega'_c)$ is along similar lines as $\pi_1(U^+)$, but there is one essential difference – U^+ is invariant under H , but Ω'_c is not. However, the redeeming feature is that $H : \Omega'_c \rightarrow \Omega'_{cd}$ is biholomorphism (since $G_H^+ \circ H = d \cdot G_H^+$) and a systematic use of this allows the computations to go through. For the automorphism group of Ω'_c , the idea is to construct a cover of Ω'_c to which ϕ^+ extends as a single-valued holomorphic map, and to identify it up to biholomorphism as the product of \mathbb{C} and an annulus, namely $\mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{A}_c$, where $\mathcal{A}_c = \{1 < |\zeta| < e^c\}$. It turns out that any automorphism a of Ω'_c induces an automorphism A of $\mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{A}_c$. A careful analysis of the calculations in [19] leading up to (1.3) can be found in Bonnot–Radu–Tanase [8] which allows them to identify the lift \tilde{H} (as an automorphism of $\mathbb{C} \times (\mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}})$) corresponding to H in (1.1) as follows:

$$(1.4) \quad \tilde{H}(z, \zeta) = \left((a/d)z + Q(\zeta), \zeta^d \right)$$

where

$$Q(\zeta) = \zeta^{d+1} - (a_{d-2}/d)\zeta^{d-1} - (a_{d-3}/d)\zeta^{d-2} - \left((a_{d-4}/d) - (a_{d-2}^2/d^2) \right) \zeta^{d-3} + \dots$$

Using a similar technique, for each $m \geq 0$, corresponding to the biholomorphism $H : \Omega'_{d^m c} \rightarrow \Omega'_{d^{m+1}c}$, we construct $\tilde{H}_{d^m c} : \mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{A}_{d^m c} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{A}_{d^{m+1}c}$ which turns out to be of the same form as in (1.4). These lifts are used to identify the automorphism

$$\gamma_{k/d^n}^{(m)}(z, \zeta) = \left(z + \frac{d}{a} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{d}{a} \right)^l \left(Q(\zeta^d) - Q\left((e^{2\pi i k/d^n} \cdot \zeta)^d \right) \right), e^{2\pi i k/d^n} \cdot \zeta \right)$$

of $\mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{A}_{d^m c}$ corresponding to any element $[k/d^n] \in \pi_1(\Omega'_{d^m c}) = \mathbb{Z}[1/d]$. Thus, we obtain a precise description of fibers of any point which plays the crucial role to deduce the form of A and eventually the structure of $\text{Aut}(\Omega'_c)$. Though this provides some understanding of $\text{Aut}(\Omega_c)$, we do not know its precise structure. On the other hand, there cannot be too many affine maps of \mathbb{C}^2 contained in $\text{Aut}(\Omega_c)$.

Theorem 1.4. *The space of affine maps of \mathbb{C}^2 that preserve Ω_c is a finite cyclic group. Furthermore,*

- (i) *if $H(x, y) = (y, p(y) - ax)$ where $p(0) = a_0 \neq 0$, the only such map is the identity.*
- (ii) *if $H(x, y) = (y, y^d - ax)$, $d \geq 2$, the affine maps that preserve Ω_c are of the form $(\eta x, \eta^d y)$ where $\eta^{d^2-1} = 1$, i.e., this group is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_{d^2-1} .*

Next we classify the possible biholomorphisms that can exist between a pair of punctured Short \mathbb{C}^2 's that arise from the same Hénon map.

Theorem 1.5. *Let $H(x, y) = (y, p(y) - ax)$ be a Hénon map as in (1.1). For $c_1, c_2 > 0$, let $\Omega_{c_1}, \Omega_{c_2}$ be a pair of Short \mathbb{C}^2 's arising from H . Then Ω_{c_1} is biholomorphic to Ω_{c_2} if and only if $c_1 = c_2 d^{\pm n}$, for some integer $n \geq 1$.*

Corollary 1.6. *There exists a continuum of pairwise non-biholomorphic Short \mathbb{C}^2 's with the same automorphism group.*

Acknowledgement: The authors would like to thank the referees for carefully reading the paper and making helpful comments.

2. PROPOSITION 1.2 AND SOME CONSEQUENCES

The first thing to do is to show that punctured Short \mathbb{C}^2 's are connected.

Claim: For every $c > 0$, $\Omega'_c = \{0 < G_H^+ < c\}$ is connected.

The essential idea is to note that a given pair of points in U^+ can be pushed to V_R^+ by a large iterate of H . But some care must be exercised since working with Ω_c means that we do not have the full freedom to move within V^+ . To make this precise, pick points $A, B \in \Omega'_c$ and choose $c_1 > 0$ so that $\max\{G_H^+(A), G_H^+(B)\} < c_1 < c$. For small $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $R_\epsilon > 1$ such that

$$(2.1) \quad \log^+|y| - \epsilon < G_H^+(x, y) < \log^+|y| + \epsilon$$

for all $(x, y) \in V_{R_\epsilon}^+$. Choose n_0 large enough such that $2\epsilon \ll d^{n_0}(c - c_1)$ and both $H^{n_0}(A)$, $H^{n_0}(B)$ are in $V_{R_\epsilon}^+$. Without loss of generality, assume that

$$|(H^{n_0}(A))_2| \leq |(H^{n_0}(B))_2|$$

where $H^{n_0}(z) = ((H^{n_0}(z))_1, (H^{n_0}(z))_2)$. Note that there exists a path $\sigma \subseteq V_{R_\epsilon}^+$ joining $H^{n_0}(A)$ and $H^{n_0}(B)$ such that $|y| \leq |(H^{n_0}(b))_2|$ for any point $(x, y) \in \sigma$. This means that the highest vertical displacement of σ is no more than $|(H^{n_0}(b))_2|$ at all times. Now

$$G_H^+(x, y) < \log^+|(H^{n_0}(B))_2| + \epsilon < G_H^+(H^{n_0}(B)) + 2\epsilon < d^{n_0}c$$

for all $(x, y) \in \sigma$. It follows that $H^{-n_0}(\sigma) \subseteq \Omega'_c$ is a path which joins a and b . Hence Ω'_c is connected and $\partial\Omega'_c = \partial\Omega_c \cup \partial K^+$.

The proof of Proposition 1.2 consists of the following steps:

(i) For a given $b \in (0, c)$, there exists an $a \in (0, c)$ such that $f(\partial\Omega_b) = \partial\Omega_a$. To see this, by Theorem 7.2 of [19], $\partial\Omega_b$ is foliated by Riemann surfaces, each leaf of which is a copy of \mathbb{C} and is dense in $\partial\Omega_b$. Let \mathcal{L} be a leaf of this foliation of $\partial\Omega_b$ and let $\psi : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ be a parametrization. Then, $G_H^+ \circ f \circ \psi$ is a bounded subharmonic function on \mathbb{C} and hence is constant. Thus, $(G_H^+ \circ f)|_{\mathcal{L}} = a$ for some $a \geq 0$. Since \mathcal{L} is dense in $\partial\Omega_b$, it follows that $G_H^+ \circ f(\partial\Omega_b) = a$, i.e., $f(\partial\Omega_b) \subset \Omega_a$. A similar argument for f^{-1} gives $G_H^+ \circ f^{-1}(\partial\Omega_a) = b$, i.e., $f^{-1}(\partial\Omega_a) \subset \Omega_b$.

(ii) Suppose $a = 0$. Then $f(\partial\Omega_b) \subset K^+$. The claim now is that either $f(\partial\Omega_b) \subset J^+$ or $f(\partial\Omega_b) \subset \text{int}(K^+)$. Indeed, suppose that $f(\partial\Omega_b) \cap C \neq \emptyset$ for some component $C \subset \text{int}(K^+)$. Then there exists a $z_0 \in \partial\Omega_b$ and a neighbourhood N of it that is mapped by f into C . Since $G_H^+ : U^+ \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is a submersion, N can be written as the union of the smooth, real analytic hypersurfaces $\{G_H^+ = \alpha\} \cap N$ where α varies in an open interval (b', b'') with $b' < b < b''$. By working with a dense leaf in each of the hypersurfaces $\{G_H^+ = \alpha\}$, $b' < \alpha < b''$, the same reasoning as in (i) shows that $f(\partial\Omega_\alpha) \in K^+$ for all $\alpha \in (b', b'')$. Therefore, f maps the entire tubular neighbourhood $\Omega_{b', b''} = \Omega_{b''} \setminus \overline{\Omega_{b'}}$ into K^+ . Since $f \in \text{Aut}(\Omega_c)$, it follows that $f(\Omega_{b', b''}) \subset C$. In particular, $f(\partial\Omega_b) \subset C$ and this completes the proof of the claim.

(iii) To proceed, we now claim that $f(J^+) = J^+$ and $f(K^+) = K^+$. To see this, suppose that $f(w) \in J^+$ for some $w \in \Omega'_c = \Omega_c \setminus K^+$. Consider the level set $\{G_H^+ = \beta\}$, $\beta > 0$, that contains w . By (i) and (ii), $f(\partial\Omega_\beta) \subset J^+$. By [3] it is possible to choose a periodic saddle point p for H such that the corresponding stable manifold $W^s(p) \simeq \mathbb{C}$ and whose closure is J^+ . By the reasoning in (i), $G_H^+ \circ f^{-1}$ is constant on $W^s(p)$ and hence $f^{-1}(J^+) \subset \{G_H^+ = \delta\}$ for some $\delta \geq 0$. As $f(\partial\Omega_\beta) \subset J^+$, δ must equal β . Thus, $f(\partial\Omega_\beta) = J^+$ and this shows that J^+ is a smooth manifold. But J^+ can never be smooth by [2]. Hence $f^{-1}(J^+) \subset K^+$.

If K^+ has no interior, this shows that $f^{-1}(J^+) \subset J^+$ and similarly, $f(J^+) \subset J^+$. Thus, $f(J^+) = J^+$. If $\text{int}(K^+) \neq \emptyset$, $G^+ \circ f^{-1}$ is plurisubharmonic on each component of $\text{int}(K^+)$ and vanishes on $\partial K^+ = J^+$ since $f^{-1}(J^+) \subset K^+$. By the maximum principle, $G_H^+ \circ f^{-1} < 0$ on $\text{int}(K^+)$. This means that $f^{-1}(\text{int}(K^+)) \subset \text{int}(K^+)$. Similar arguments show that $f(\text{int}(K^+)) \subset$

$\text{int}(K^+)$. Hence $f(\text{int}(K^+)) = \text{int}(K^+)$ and $f(J^+) = J^+$. Thus in both cases $f(K^+) = K^+$ and $f(J^+) = J^+$.

(iv) By (iii), it follows that $a > 0$ and hence $f(\partial\Omega_b) \subset \partial\Omega_a$ and similar arguments applied to f^{-1} show that $f(\partial\Omega_b) = \partial\Omega_a$. Further, since f preserves K^+ , we also conclude that $f : \Omega_b \rightarrow \Omega_a$ is a biholomorphism.

(v) To show that $a = b$, note that both $\pm(b^{-1}aG_H^+ - G_H^+ \circ f)$ are pluriharmonic on $\Omega'_b = \Omega_b \setminus K^+$ since f preserves K^+ . Both vanish on $\partial\Omega'_b$ and hence the maximum principle shows that

$$(2.2) \quad b^{-1}aG_H^+ = G_H^+ \circ f$$

on Ω'_b . If $b^{-1}a > 1$, choose $\tilde{c} > 0$ such that $\tilde{c}b^{-1}a > c > \tilde{c}$. Now (2.2) and observation (i), shows that $f(\partial\Omega_{\tilde{c}}) = \partial\Omega_{\tilde{c}b^{-1}a} \not\subset \Omega_c$ and this is a contradiction. Thus, $b^{-1}a \leq 1$. A similar argument for f^{-1} shows that $b^{-1}a \geq 1$ and hence $a = b$. This completes the proof of Proposition 1.2.

Corollary 2.1. *Suppose $f \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^2) \cap \text{Aut}(\Omega_c)$. Then $f \in \text{Aut}(\Omega_d)$ for every $d \geq 0$.*

Proof. For $0 \leq d < c$, this follows from Proposition 1.2. For $d \geq c$, note that

$$h = G_H^+ - G_H^+ \circ f$$

is pluriharmonic on $\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus K^+$ and vanishes on the open set $\Omega'_c = \Omega_c \setminus K^+$. Thus, $h \equiv 0$ on $\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus K^+$ which completes the proof. \square

Corollary 2.2. *Let $c, d > 0$ and $c \neq d$ be such that Ω_c is biholomorphic to Ω_d . Then any biholomorphism $\phi : \Omega_c \rightarrow \Omega_d$ preserves K^+ , i.e., $\phi(K^+) = K^+$.*

Proof. By using similar arguments as in the proof of Proposition 1.2, it follows that $G_H^+ \circ \phi$ is constant on J^+ . Thus $\phi(J^+) \subset \partial\Omega_a$ where $0 < a < d$ or $\phi(J^+) \subset K^+$. If $\phi(J^+) \subset \partial\Omega_a$ for some $0 < a < d$, then as before, by using the fact that $\partial\Omega_a$ is foliated by Riemann surfaces with each leaf biholomorphic to \mathbb{C} and dense in $\partial\Omega_a$, it must be the case that $\phi(J^+) = \partial\Omega_a$, i.e., J^+ is a smooth manifold and this is a contradiction as [2] shows. Further, by the maximum principle it follows that $\phi(K^+) \subset K^+$. Now, applying the same reasoning to ϕ^{-1} gives $\phi^{-1}(K^+) \subset K^+$ and hence $\phi(K^+) = K^+$. \square

Proposition 1.2 says that an automorphism of Ω_c is an automorphism of every smaller Ω_b , $b < c$. The same property holds for automorphisms of Ω'_c . As mentioned, we do not know if every automorphism of Ω'_c extends to an automorphism of Ω_c .

Corollary 2.3. *Let $\Omega'_c = \Omega_c \setminus K^+$ be a punctured Short \mathbb{C}^2 and let $f \in \text{Aut}(\Omega'_c)$. Then $f \in \text{Aut}(\Omega'_b)$ for every $0 < b < c$.*

Proof. Define the function \tilde{G}_H on $\Omega'_c \cup \text{int}(K^+)$ as follows:

- $\tilde{G}_H(z) = G_H^+ \circ f(z)$ for $z \in \Omega'_c$.
- $\tilde{G}_H(z) = G_H^+ \equiv 0$ for $z \in \text{int}(K^+)$.

Note that \tilde{G}_H is pluriharmonic on $\Omega_c \setminus J^+$. Let \tilde{G}_H^* be the upper semicontinuous regularization of \tilde{G}_H on Ω_c . Thus, \tilde{G}_H^* is non-constant plurisubharmonic on Ω_c and $\tilde{G}_H^* < c$ on Ω_c . Since \tilde{G}_H is continuous on $\Omega'_c \cup \text{int}(K^+)$, which is an open subset of \mathbb{C}^2 , it follows that $\tilde{G}_H = \tilde{G}_H^*$ on $\Omega'_c \cup \text{int}(K^+)$.

Claim: If $\tilde{G}_H^*(z) \neq 0$ for some $z \in J^+$, then $\tilde{G}_H^*(z) = c$.

If not, there exists a $z_0 \in J^+$ such that $\tilde{G}_H^*(z_0) = a$ for some $0 < a < c$. There exists a sequence $\{z_n\} \in \Omega'_c$ with $z_n \rightarrow z_0$ and $\tilde{G}_H(z_n) \rightarrow a$. Let $\tilde{G}_H(z_n) = a_n$ and $G_H^+(z_n) = b_n$. Note that $a_n \rightarrow a$ and $b_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By (i) in the proof of Proposition 1.2,

$$(2.3) \quad f(\partial\Omega_{b_n}) = \partial\Omega_{a_n} \text{ and } \tilde{G}_H(\partial\Omega_{b_n}) = a_n.$$

Choose $w_0 \in \partial\Omega_a \subset \Omega'_c$ and a sequence $\{w_n\} \subset \Omega'_c$ such that $w_n \rightarrow w_0$ and $w_n \in \{G_H^+ = a_n\}$. By (2.2), $f^{-1}(w_n) \in \partial\Omega_{b_n}$. Since $b_n \rightarrow 0$, either $|f^{-1}(w_n)| \rightarrow +\infty$ or $f^{-1}(w_n)$ clusters at a point on J^+ . But none of these possibilities can hold since f is an automorphism of Ω'_c .

Finally, as \tilde{G}_H^* is a non-constant bounded plurisubharmonic function on Ω_c , with upperbound c , the maximum principle shows that $\tilde{G}_H^*(z) = 0$ for every $z \in J^+$, i.e., \tilde{G}_H extends as a continuous plurisubharmonic function on Ω_c such that $\tilde{G}_H \equiv 0$ on K^+ . Since both G_H^+ and \tilde{G}_H is pluriharmonic on Ω'_c , the function $G_H^+(z) - \tilde{G}_H(z)$ is pluriharmonic on Ω'_c and identically vanishes on the boundary of Ω'_c . Hence by the maximum principle of harmonic functions $G_H^+ = \tilde{G}_H$ on Ω'_c . Also, as both $\tilde{G}_H = G_H^+ \equiv 0$ on K^+ , the proof follows. \square

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.4

Note that by Proposition 1.2, every automorphism of Ω_c preserves K^+ . Thus, we begin by characterizing those affine maps that preserve K^+ .

Proposition 3.1. *Suppose L is an affine map of \mathbb{C}^2 such that $L(K^+) = K^+$. Then $L(K^-) = K^-$ and there exist constants e, f with $|e| = |f| = 1$ such that $L(x, y) = (ex, fy)$. Further*

$$H \circ L \circ H^{-1} = H^{-1} \circ L \circ H, \text{ i.e., } L \circ H^2 = H^2 \circ L.$$

Proof. Let $L(x, y) = (a_1x + a_2y + a_3, b_1x + b_2y + b_3)$. Consider a sequence of points $[x_n : y_n : 1] \in \mathbb{P}^2$ such that $(x_n, y_n) \in K^+$ is an unbounded sequence and $[x_n : y_n : 1] \rightarrow [1 : 0 : 0]$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence,

$$\frac{y_n}{x_n} \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since $L(K^+) = K^+$ and $L(x_n, y_n) \in K^+$ is also an unbounded sequence,

$$[a_1x_n + a_2y_n + a_3 : b_1x_n + b_2y_n + b_3 : 1] \rightarrow [1 : 0 : 0]$$

in \mathbb{P}^2 . Therefore $b_1 = 0$ which means that $L(x, y) = (a_1x + a_2y + a_3, b_2y + b_3)$.

Let $R = H \circ L$. Since $H(x, y) = (y, p(y) - ax)$,

$$R(x, y) = (b_2y + b_3, p(b_2y + b_3) - a(a_1x + a_2y + a_3))$$

is a regular map with $R(K^+) = K^+$ and the indeterminacy sets of R are $I_R^+ = [1 : 0 : 0]$ and $I_R^- = [0 : 1 : 0]$. Note that the degree of R is equal to the degree of H . Hence from Theorem 5.4 of [21], there exists an integer $n \geq 1$ such that $R^n = H^n$. This shows that $R(K^\pm) = K^\pm$ and $G_R^\pm = G_H^\pm$. This also proves that $L(K^-) = K^-$ and by Theorem 1.1 of [6], we have $a_2 = 0$.

Further, by Theorem 1.1 from [6], there exist linear maps $C_{\eta_1}(x, y) = (\eta_1x, \eta_1^{-1}y)$ and $C_{\eta_2}(x, y) = (\eta_2x, \eta_2^{-1}y)$ where $|\eta_i| = 1$ for $i = 1, 2$, such that

$$H \circ L \circ H(x, y) = C_{\eta_1} \circ L \circ H^2(x, y) \text{ and } H^{-1} \circ L \circ H^{-1}(x, y) = C_{\eta_2} \circ L \circ H^{-2}(x, y),$$

i.e.,

$$(3.1) \quad H \circ L \circ H^{-1}(x, y) = C_{\eta_1} \circ L(x, y) \text{ and } H^{-1} \circ L \circ H(x, y) = C_{\eta_2} \circ L(x, y)$$

for every $(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^2$. Recall that $H^{-1}(x, y) = (a^{-1}(p(x) - y), x)$. Thus by equating the components on both sides of (3.1), the following relations hold:

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_1 a_1 x &= b_2 x + b_3, \\ \eta_2^{-1} b_2 y &= a_1 y + a_3, \\ \eta_1^{-1} b_2 y &= p(b_2 x + b_3) - a_1(p(x)) + a_1 y - a a_3. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, by comparing the coefficients of the monomials on both sides of the above equation, we conclude that $L(x, y) = (a_1x, b_2y)$ where $|a_1| = |b_2| = 1$ and $\eta_1 = \eta_2$. \square

Next, we use the above results appropriately to complete the proof of Theorem 1.4

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Let L be an affine map preserving Ω_c . Then by Proposition 1.2, L is an affine map that preserves K^+ . Further, by Proposition 3.1, $H^2 \circ L = L \circ H^2$ where $L(x, y) = (ex, fy)$. Now, by comparing components, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} p(fy) - aex &= ep(y) - aex, \\ p((p(fy) - aex)) - afy &= fp((p(y) - ax)) - afy. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$(3.2) \quad p(fz) = ep(z) \text{ and } p(ez) = fp(z)$$

for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$, and by comparing the highest degree terms in (3.2), we get $f = e^d$ and $e = f^d$. In other words, $e^{d^2-1} = f^{d^2-1} = 1$ where d is the degree of the Hénon map H . Hence, all possible choices for e form a subset of the $d^2 - 1$ roots of unity which shows that the affine maps of \mathbb{C}^2 preserving Ω_c , and consequently preserving K^+ , is a subgroup of the finite cyclic group \mathbb{Z}_{d^2-1} .

Now, if $p(0) = a_0 \neq 0$, (3.2) is satisfied if and only if $e = f = 1$, i.e., $L = \text{Identity}$. Finally, for $p(y) = y^d$, (3.2) gives $e^d = f$ and $f^d = e$, i.e., $e^{d^2-1} = 1$ and $L(x, y) = (ex, e^d y)$. By writing $L_\eta = (\eta x, \eta^d y)$ where η is a $(d^2 - 1)$ -th root of unity, we note that $H \circ L_\eta = L_{\eta^d} \circ H$. This implies that $G_H^+(x, y) = G_H^+ \circ L_\eta(x, y)$ for $(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^2$, whenever $\eta^{d^2-1} = 1$. Thus, the group of affine maps that preserve Ω_c is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_{d^2-1} . This completes the proof of Theorem 1.4. \square

4. THE FUNDAMENTAL GROUP OF Ω'_c

Recall that $\mathbb{Z}[1/d] = \{m/d^n : m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ consists of all rational numbers whose denominator is an integral power of d .

Proposition 4.1. *For any $c > 0$, the fundamental group of Ω'_c is $\mathbb{Z}[1/d]$.*

Proof. Let ϕ^+ be the Böttcher coordinate of H in V_R^+ and $\omega = d\phi^+/\phi^+$. By Proposition 7.3.2 in [22], ω is a closed 1-form on I^+ and $H^*(\omega) = d\omega$. For any closed curve C in Ω'_c , set

$$\alpha(C) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \omega.$$

Since there exists $n_0 \geq 0$ such that $H^{n_0}(C) \subseteq V_R^+$,

$$(4.1) \quad \alpha(H^{n_0}(C)) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{H^{n_0}(C)} \omega = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C H^{n_0*} \omega = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C d^{n_0} \omega = d^{n_0} \alpha(C).$$

Now $\alpha(H^{n_0}(C))$ is the winding number of the curve $H^{n_0}(C) \subset V_R^+$ around the x -axis and hence $\alpha(C) \in \mathbb{Z}[1/d]$.

Recall that for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $R_\epsilon > R > 0$ such that (2.1) holds, i.e.,

$$\log|y| - \epsilon < G_H^+(x, y) < \log|y| + \epsilon,$$

for $|y| > R_\epsilon$. Let $\epsilon \ll 1$ and choose $c > R_\epsilon + 2$. Then

$$B_{\epsilon, c} = V_{(R_\epsilon + \frac{1}{2})}^+ \cap \{|x|, |y| \leq R_\epsilon + 1\} \subseteq \Omega'_c.$$

Let

$$C_0 : t \mapsto (0, R' e^{2\pi i t})$$

be a closed curve in $B_{\epsilon, c} \subseteq \Omega'_c$, where $R_\epsilon + 1/2 < R' < R_\epsilon + 1$. Clearly, $\alpha(C_0) = 1$, and consequently $\alpha(mC_0) = m$ for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. This implies that for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, there exists a closed curve $C_m \subseteq \Omega'_c$ such that $\alpha(C_m) = m$. Since (4.1) holds and $H^{-n}(\Omega'_c) \subseteq \Omega'_c$ for any $n \geq 1$, it

follows that $H^{-n}(C_m) \subseteq \Omega'_c$ with $\alpha(H^{-n}(C_m)) = m/d^n$. Thus, α is a surjection from $\pi_1(\Omega'_c)$ onto $\mathbb{Z}[1/d]$.

To show that α is injective, let C be any closed curve in Ω'_c such that $\alpha(C) = 0$. Then there exist c_1, c_2 with $0 < c_1 < c_2 < c$ such that

$$C \subseteq \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : c_1 < G_H^+(x, y) < c_2\}.$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$. Take n_0 large enough such that $d^{n_0}(c - c_2) \gg \epsilon$ and such that

$$H^{n_0}(C) \subseteq V_{R_\epsilon}^+ \cap \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : d^{n_0}c_1 < G_H^+(x, y) < d^{n_0}c_2\}$$

with $R_\epsilon > R$. Also since $H^{n_0}(C)$ is compact, there exists $R' > 0$ such that

$$H^{n_0}(C) \subseteq V_{R_\epsilon}^+ \cap \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : |x|, |y| \leq R'\}.$$

Let

$$R_s = \sup\{|y_0| : \text{the complex line } y = y_0 \text{ intersects } H^{n_0}(C)\}$$

and

$$R_i = \inf\{|y_0| : \text{the complex line } y = y_0 \text{ intersects } H^{n_0}(C)\}.$$

Claim: $V_{R_\epsilon}^+ \cap \{(x, y) : R_i \leq |y| \leq R_s\} \subseteq \Omega'_{d^{n_0}c}$.

Let $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) \in V_{R_\epsilon}^+ \cap \{(x, y) : R_i \leq |y| \leq R_s\}$ be such that $G_H^+(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) \geq d^{n_0}c$. Then there exists a point $(x_c, y_c) \in H^{n_0}(C)$ such that $|y_c| = |\tilde{y}|$. Note that $G_H^+(x_c, y_c) < d^{n_0}c_2$. Since $d^{n_0}(c - c_2) \gg \epsilon$, this is a contradiction.

Now since $\alpha(C) = 0$, it follows that $\alpha(H^{n_0}(C)) = 0$. This implies that $H^{n_0}(C)$ is null-homotopic in $V_{R_\epsilon}^+$. In fact, $H^{n_0}(C)$ is null-homotopic in

$$V_{R_\epsilon}^+ \cap \{(x, y) : R_i - \delta < |y| < R_s + \delta\} \subseteq \Omega'_{d^{n_0}c}$$

for $\delta > 0$ small enough, which in turn shows that C is null-homotopic in Ω'_c . Therefore, for $c > 0$ sufficiently large, there is a bijective correspondence from the set of all closed paths in Ω'_c and $\mathbb{Z}[1/d]$.

Let $p \in \Omega'_c$. We will prove that $\pi_1(\Omega'_c, p) = \mathbb{Z}[1/d]$. For a given $g_p \in \mathbb{Z}[1/d]$, there exists a closed curve $C \in \Omega'_c$ such that $\alpha(C) = g_p$. Let C be parametrized by β_q with $\beta_q(0) = q$. Join p and q by a curve $\gamma_q \subseteq \Omega'_c$ (which is connected). The closed curve $C' = \gamma_q \cup \beta_q \cup (-\gamma_q)$ has base point at p and $\alpha(C') = g_p$. Thus, for all $c > 0$ sufficiently large, $\pi_1(\Omega'_c) = \mathbb{Z}[1/d]$. Now since for any $c > 0$, there exists a positive integer $n_c \geq 1$ sufficiently large such that $H^{n_c}(\Omega'_c) = \Omega'_{d^{n_c}c}$, we get that $\pi_1(\Omega'_c) = \mathbb{Z}[1/d]$ for all $c > 0$. Since $\mathbb{Z}[1/d]$ is commutative, the fundamental group of Ω'_c is independent of the base point. \square

5. CONSTRUCTION OF A COVERING OF Ω'_c

Let $c > 0$ be large enough such that $\Omega'_c \cap V_R^+ \neq \emptyset$. Fix a base point $a \in \Omega'_c \cap V_R^+$ and consider the set of all pairs (z, C) where C is a path in Ω'_c joining a and z . Introduce the equivalence relation

$$(z, C) \sim (z', C')$$

if and only if $z = z'$ and $[CC'^{-1}] \in \mathbb{Z} \subseteq \pi_1(\Omega'_c)$. Let $\widehat{\Omega}'_c$ denote the set of all equivalence classes and let $\widehat{\pi}_c : (z, C) \mapsto z$ be the natural projection map from $\widehat{\Omega}'_c$ to Ω'_c . Equip $\widehat{\Omega}'_c$ with the pull-back complex structure so that the projection map $\widehat{\pi}_c$ becomes holomorphic. The assignment $\widehat{\phi}_c^+ : \widehat{\Omega}'_c \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by

$$(5.1) \quad \widehat{\phi}_c^+([z, C]) = \phi^+(a) \exp \int_C \omega,$$

defines a holomorphic function, where ϕ^+ is the Böttcher function on V_R^+ and ω is as in Proposition 4.1. Now since $[z, C] = [z, C']$ implies $[CC'^{-1}] \in \mathbb{Z}$, it follows that $\widehat{\phi}_c^+$ is well-defined. Also note that if C lies completely in V_R^+ , then

$$(5.2) \quad \widehat{\phi}_c^+([z, C]) = \phi^+(a) \exp \int_C \omega = \phi^+(a) \exp \int_C \frac{d\phi^+}{\phi^+} = \phi^+(\pi_c[z, C]) = \phi^+(z).$$

The goal of this section is to show that $\widehat{\Omega}'_c$ is biholomorphic to $\mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{A}_c$ where

$$\mathcal{A}_c = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : 1 < |z| < e^c\}.$$

As will be apparent shortly, this will be done by repeatedly using $H^n(\Omega'_c) = \Omega'_{d^n c}$.

A chain of covering maps: As above, it is possible to construct a covering $\widehat{\Omega}'_{d^n c}$ of $\Omega'_{d^n c}$ with base point $H^n(a) \in \Omega'_{d^n c} \cap V_R^+$ for all $n \geq 1$. Define $\widehat{H}_c : \widehat{\Omega}'_c \rightarrow \widehat{\Omega}'_{dc}$ by

$$[z, C] \mapsto [H(z), H(C)]$$

and similarly $\widehat{H}_{d^n c} : \widehat{\Omega}'_{d^n c} \rightarrow \widehat{\Omega}'_{d^{n+1}c}$, for all $n \geq 1$. Clearly, the $\widehat{H}_{d^n c}$'s are well-defined and this leads to the chain

$$\widehat{\Omega}'_c \xrightarrow{\widehat{H}_c} \widehat{\Omega}'_{dc} \xrightarrow{\widehat{H}_{dc}} \widehat{\Omega}'_{d^2c} \xrightarrow{\widehat{H}_{d^2c}} \widehat{\Omega}'_{d^3c} \xrightarrow{\widehat{H}_{d^3c}} \dots$$

Define $\widehat{H}_c^n : \widehat{\Omega}'_c \rightarrow \widehat{\Omega}'_{d^n c}$ by

$$[z, C] \in \widehat{\Omega}'_c \mapsto [H^n(z), H^n(C)].$$

Clearly,

$$\widehat{H}_c^n = \widehat{H}_{d^{n-1}c} \circ \dots \circ \widehat{H}_c.$$

Functorial property of $\widehat{\phi}_{d^n c}^+$'s: As in (5.1), one can construct the Böttcher-like maps $\widehat{\phi}_{d^n c}^+$ for all $n \geq 1$. Further, since

$$\widehat{\phi}_{dc}^+[H(z), H(C)] = \phi^+(H(a)) \exp \int_{H(C)} \omega,$$

it follows from $H^*(\omega) = d\omega$ that

$$(5.3) \quad \widehat{\phi}_{dc}^+ \circ \widehat{H}_c = \left(\widehat{\phi}_c^+\right)^d$$

and in general,

$$(5.4) \quad \widehat{\phi}_{d^n c}^+ \circ \widehat{H}_{d^{n-1}c} \circ \dots \circ \widehat{H}_c = \left(\widehat{\phi}_c^+\right)^{d^n},$$

for all n .

For all $n \geq 1$, define

$$\widehat{\Omega'_{d^n c} \cap V_R^+} = \left\{ [z, C] \in \widehat{\Omega}'_{d^n c} : C \text{ is a path in } V_R^+ \right\}.$$

The following proposition is immediate.

Proposition 5.1. *For $c > 0$ sufficiently large, $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} (\widehat{H}_c^n)^{-1}(\widehat{\Omega'_{d^n c} \cap V_R^+}) = \widehat{\Omega}'_c$.*

Now we paraphrase [22, Lemma 7.3.7] (also see [10, Prop. 2.2]).

- For sufficiently large $M > 0$, let

$$U_R^+ = \{(x, y) \in V_R^+ : |\phi^+(x, y)| > M \max\{R, |x|\}\},$$

where ϕ^+ is the Böttcher coordinate of H in V_R^+ . One can check that $H(U_R^+) \subseteq U_R^+$. There exists a holomorphic function ψ on U_R^+ such that

$$\psi(H(z)) = \frac{a}{d}\psi(z) + Q(\phi^+(z))$$

for all $z \in U_R^+$, where Q is a polynomial of degree $d + 1$ of a single variable.

- Define a map $\Phi : U_R^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ as follows: $(x, y) \mapsto (\psi(x, y), \phi^+(x, y))$. One can prove that Φ is injective and for $\rho > 1$ sufficiently large,

$$(5.5) \quad \{(s, t) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : |t| > M, |s| < \rho|t|^d\} \subseteq \Phi(U_R^+).$$

For $n \geq 1$ and $R > 0$ sufficiently large, define

$$\widehat{\Omega'_{d^n c} \cap U_R^+} = \left\{ [z, C] \in \widehat{\Omega'_{d^n c} \cap V_R^+} : \widehat{\pi}_{d^n c}[z, C] \in U_R^+ \right\}.$$

Proposition 5.2. *Let $R > 0$ be sufficiently large. Then there exists a $c_0 > 0$ such that*

$$(5.6) \quad \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\widehat{H}_c^n \right)^{-1} \left(\widehat{\Omega'_{d^n c} \cap U_R^+} \right) = \widehat{\Omega}'_c$$

for all $c \geq c_0$.

Proof. Since $H(U_R^+) \subseteq U_R^+$, it follows that $\widehat{H}_c(\widehat{\Omega'_{d^n c} \cap U_R^+}) \subseteq \widehat{\Omega'_{d^n c} \cap U_R^+}$. Let $[z, C] \in \widehat{\Omega}'_c$, then clearly, $\widehat{H}_c^n[z, C] \in \widehat{\Omega'_{d^n c} \cap U_R^+}$, for some n . The other inclusion is evident. \square

Lemma 5.3. *There exist holomorphic functions $\widehat{\psi}_c$ and $\widehat{\psi}_{dc}$ on $\widehat{\Omega}'_c$ and $\widehat{\Omega}'_{dc}$, respectively such that*

$$(5.7) \quad \widehat{\psi}_{dc} \circ \widehat{H}_c([z, C]) = \frac{a}{d} \left(\widehat{\psi}_c([z, C]) \right) + Q \left(\widehat{\phi}_c^+([z, C]) \right),$$

for all $[z, C] \in \widehat{\Omega}'_c$. More generally, for all $n \geq 1$, there exists a holomorphic function $\widehat{\psi}_{d^n c}$ on $\widehat{\Omega}'_{d^n c}$ such that

$$(5.8) \quad \widehat{\psi}_{d^n c} \circ \widehat{H}_{d^{n-1}c}([z, C]) = \frac{a}{d} \left(\widehat{\psi}_{d^{n-1}c}([z, C]) \right) + Q \left(\widehat{\phi}_{d^{n-1}c}^+([z, C]) \right),$$

for all $[z, C] \in \widehat{\Omega}'_{d^{n-1}c}$.

Proof. For $[z, C] \in \widehat{\Omega}'_c \cap U_R^+$, let

$$\widehat{\psi}_c[z, C] = \psi(z).$$

Inductively, we can define $\widehat{\psi}_c$ on $\widehat{\Omega}'_c$ as follows. Let $[z, C] \in \widehat{\Omega}'_c$ and let $\widehat{H}_c^n[z, C] \in \widehat{\Omega'_{d^n c} \cap U_R^+}$, for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, set

$$\widehat{\psi}_c[z, C] = \frac{d^n}{a^n} \left(\widehat{\psi}_{d^n c} \circ \widehat{H}_c^n[z, C] \right) - \frac{d^n}{a^n} \left(Q \left(\widehat{\phi}_{d^{n-1}c}^+ \circ \widehat{H}_c^{n-1}[z, C] \right) \right) - \dots - \frac{d}{a} Q \left(\widehat{\phi}_c^+[z, C] \right).$$

Similarly, we define $\widehat{\psi}_{d^n c}$ on $\widehat{\Omega}'_{d^n c}$, for each $n \geq 1$. Clearly, (5.7) and (5.8) follow. \square

Construction of a biholomorphism between $\widehat{\Omega}'_c$ and $\mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{A}_c$: Let $[z, C] \in \widehat{\Omega}'_c$. By Proposition 5.2, it follows that $\widehat{H}_c^n[z, C] \in \widehat{\Omega'_{d^n c} \cap U_R^+}$, for some $n \geq 1$. This implies that

$$[H^n(z), H^n C] \in \widehat{\Omega'_{d^n c} \cap U_R^+}.$$

Further, by (5.4), we have

$$\widehat{\phi}_{d^n c}^+[H^n z, H^n C] = \left(\widehat{\phi}_c^+[z, C] \right)^{d^n} = \phi^+ \circ H^n(z).$$

Now since

$$(5.9) \quad G^+ = \log|\phi^+|$$

on V_R^+ and $H^n(z) \in V_R^+$, it follows that

$$0 < \log \left(|\phi^+ \circ H^n(z)| \right) < d^n c.$$

Therefore,

$$1 < \left| \widehat{\phi}_c^+[z, C] \right|^{d^n} < \exp(d^n c),$$

for all n sufficiently large, which in turn gives

$$1 < \left| \widehat{\phi}_c^+[z, C] \right| < \exp(c),$$

for all $[z, C] \in \widehat{\Omega}'_c$. Therefore, the image of $\widehat{\Omega}'_c$ under the map $\widehat{\phi}_c^+$ is the annulus \mathcal{A}_c as defined earlier.

Define $\widehat{\Phi}_c : \widehat{\Omega}'_c \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{A}_c$ by

$$\widehat{\Phi}_c([z, C]) = \left(\widehat{\psi}_c([z, C]), \widehat{\phi}_c^+([z, C]) \right).$$

and $G_c : \mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{A}_c \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{A}_{dc}$ by

$$(5.10) \quad G_c(s, t) := \left(\frac{a}{d}s + Q(t), t^d \right).$$

Similarly, one can define $\widehat{\Phi}_{d^n c} : \widehat{\Omega}'_{d^n c} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{A}_{d^n c}$ and $G_{d^n c} : \mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{A}_{d^n c} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{A}_{d^{n+1}c}$, for all $n \geq 1$. Also, note that by Lemma 5.3 we have

$$(5.11) \quad \widehat{\Phi}_{d^n c} \circ \widehat{H}_{d^{n-1}c} = G_{d^{n-1}c} \circ \widehat{\Phi}_{d^{n-1}c},$$

for all $n \geq 1$ and thus

$$(5.12) \quad \widehat{\Phi}_{d^n c} \circ \widehat{H}_{d^{n-1}c} \circ \cdots \circ \widehat{H}_c = G_{d^{n-1}c} \circ \cdots \circ G_c \circ \widehat{\Phi}_c$$

on $\widehat{\Omega}'_c$.

Proposition 5.4. *For all $c > 0$ sufficiently large, $\widehat{\Omega}'_c$ is biholomorphic to $\mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{A}_c$.*

Proof. First we prove that

$$(5.13) \quad \mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{A}_c = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} (G_{d^{n-1}c} \circ \cdots \circ G_c)^{-1} \left(\widehat{\Phi}_{d^n c} \left(\widehat{\Omega}'_{d^n c} \cap U_R^+ \right) \right)$$

(for $n = 0$, we assume $G_{d^{n-1}c} \circ \cdots \circ G_c = \text{Id}$). Let $G_{d^{n-1}c} \circ \cdots \circ G_c(s, t) \in \widehat{\Phi}_{d^n c} \left(\widehat{\Omega}'_{d^n c} \cap U_R^+ \right)$. Then using Proposition 5.2 and (5.4), we get that $t \in \mathcal{A}_c$. Thus

$$\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} (G_{d^{n-1}c} \circ \cdots \circ G_c)^{-1} \left(\widehat{\Phi}_{d^n c} \left(\widehat{\Omega}'_{d^n c} \cap U_R^+ \right) \right) \subseteq \mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{A}_c.$$

Now let $(s, t) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{A}_c$. Note that

$$G_{d^{n-1}c} \circ \cdots \circ G_c(s, t) = \left((a^n/d^n)s + (a^{n-1}/d^{n-1})Q(t) + \cdots + (a/d)Q(t^{d^{n-2}}) + Q(t^{d^{n-1}}), t^{d^n} \right)$$

for $n \geq 1$ and for $(s, t) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{A}_c$. Since Q is a polynomial of degree $(d+1)$ by (5.5), for large n , we have

$$G_{d^{n-1}c} \circ \cdots \circ G_c(s, t) \in \Phi(U_R^+) = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \Phi(\Omega'_{d^n c} \cap U_R^+) = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \widehat{\Phi}_{d^n c} \left(\widehat{\Omega}'_{d^n c} \cap U_R^+ \right).$$

Therefore,

$$G_{d^{n-1}c} \circ \cdots \circ G_c(s, t) \in \Phi(\Omega'_{d^m c} \cap U_R^+),$$

for some m . Let $G_{d^{n-1}c} \circ \cdots \circ G_c(s, t) = \Phi(z) = (\psi(z), \phi^+(z))$. Now if $m > n$, then $G^+(z) > d^n c$ which implies $|\phi^+(z)| > \exp(d^n c)$. This is a contradiction, which in turn gives (5.13).

Note that both (5.13) and (5.6) are increasing unions. Thus it is sufficient to prove that $\widehat{\Phi}_c$ is a bijection from $(\widehat{H}_c^n)^{-1}(\widehat{\Omega'_{d^n c} \cap U_R^+})$ to $(G_{d^{n-1}c} \circ \cdots \circ G_c)^{-1}(\widehat{\Phi_{d^n c}}(\widehat{\Omega'_{d^n c} \cap U_R^+}))$ for each $n \geq 0$. Using (5.11) inductively, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\Phi}_{d^n c}(\widehat{\Omega'_{d^n c} \cap U_R^+}) &= G_{d^{n-1}c} \circ \cdots \circ G_c \circ \widehat{\Phi}_c \circ (\widehat{H}_c^n)^{-1}(\widehat{\Omega'_{d^n c} \cap U_R^+}) \\ &\Rightarrow (G_{d^{n-1}c} \circ \cdots \circ G_c)^{-1}(\widehat{\Phi}_{d^n c}(\widehat{\Omega'_{d^n c} \cap U_R^+})) = \widehat{\Phi}_c \circ (\widehat{H}_c^n)^{-1}(\widehat{\Omega'_{d^n c} \cap U_R^+}). \end{aligned}$$

This proves that $\widehat{\Phi}_c$ is surjective. To prove that $\widehat{\Phi}_c$ is injective, note that it follows from (5.12) that

$$\widehat{\Phi}_{d^n c}[H^n(z), H^n(C)] = \widehat{\Phi}_{d^n c}[H^n(z'), H^n(C')],$$

for all $n \geq 0$ if $\widehat{\Phi}_c[z, C] = \widehat{\Phi}_c[z', C']$, for some $[z, C], [z', C'] \in \widehat{\Omega}'_c$. Since Φ is injective on U_R^+ , it must be the case that $H^n(z) = H^n(z')$ for large n , and thus $z = z'$. Now since $\widehat{\Phi}_c[z, C] = \widehat{\Phi}_c[z', C']$, it follows from (5.1) that $[CC'^{-1}] \in \mathcal{H}_c$ which shows that $[z, C] = [z', C']$. This finishes the proof. \square

6. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

Step 1: Recall from Proposition 1.2 that if a is an automorphism of Ω_c , then a also acts as an automorphism of Ω'_c . Further, by Proposition 5.4, the covering of Ω'_c corresponding to the subgroup $\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[1/d] = \pi_1(\Omega'_c)$ is $\mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{A}_c$ up to a biholomorphism.

Claim: Any automorphism of Ω'_c lifts as an automorphism of $\mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{A}_c$.

Let a be an automorphism of Ω'_c . Then a lifts as an automorphism A of $\mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{A}_c$ if it induces $\pm \text{Id}$ on $\pi_1(\Omega'_c) = \mathbb{Z}[1/d]$. It can be checked that any group isomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}[1/d]$ is of the form $i_a(x) = mx$, where $m = \pm d^s$ with $s \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus there always exists an $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $H^s \circ a$ (which is clearly a biholomorphism from Ω'_c to $\Omega'_{d^s c}$) induces identity as an isomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}[1/d]$. Therefore, $H^s \circ a$ lifts to a biholomorphism from $\mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{A}_c$ to $\mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{A}_{d^s c}$. Let

$$A(u, v) = (A_1(u, v), A_2(u, v)) : \mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{A}_c \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{A}_{d^s c}$$

be this biholomorphic lift. For each $v \in \mathcal{A}_c$, the map

$$u \mapsto A_2(u, v)$$

is a bounded entire function, which implies that $A_2(u, v) \equiv h(v)$ and thus

$$A(u, v) = (A_1(u, v), h(v))$$

for all $(u, v) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{A}_c$. Similarly,

$$A^{-1}(u, v) = (A_1^*(u, v), h^*(v)),$$

for all $(u, v) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{A}_{d^s c}$. Now since

$$\begin{aligned} (6.1) \quad A \circ A^{-1}(u, v) &= (A_1(A_1^*(u, v), h^*(v)), hh^*(v)) = (u, v) \\ &= (A_1^*(A_1(u, v), h(v)), h^*h(v)) = A^{-1} \circ A(u, v) \end{aligned}$$

holds, it follows that

$$h \circ h^* \equiv h^* \circ h \equiv \text{Id}$$

in \mathbb{C} . Therefore, h is a biholomorphism between \mathcal{A}_c and $\mathcal{A}_{d^s c}$, which is not possible unless $s = 0$. The claim follows.

Step 2: Let $A(u, v) = (A_1(u, v), A_2(u, v))$ be an automorphism of $\mathcal{A}_c \times \mathbb{C}$. Exactly as in Step 1, one can show that

$$A(u, v) = (A_1(u, v), h(v)),$$

for all $(u, v) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{A}_c$, where $h(v) = \alpha v$ or $h(v) = \alpha e^c/v$ with $|\alpha| = 1$.

Now for a fixed $v \in \mathbb{C}$, consider the following pair of entire functions on \mathbb{C} :

$$P_1 : u \mapsto A_1(u, h^*(v)) \text{ and } P_2 : u \mapsto A_1^*(u, v).$$

From (6.1), it follows that $P_1 \circ P_2(u) = u$, for all $u \in \mathbb{C}$. Thus P_1 is onto. Now we prove that P_1 is also injective. Let $u_1 \neq u_2$. Then since A is an automorphism, for a fixed v , we have

$$A(u_1, h^*v) = (A_1(u_1, h^*v), v) \neq (A_1(u_2, h^*v), v) = A(u_2, h^*v),$$

which implies $A_1(u_1, h^*v) \neq A_1(u_2, h^*v)$, i.e., $P_1(u_1) \neq P_1(u_2)$. Thus P_1 is injective. Therefore for a fixed v , $A_1(u, v)$ is an automorphism of \mathbb{C} and thus

$$(6.2) \quad A_1(u, v) = \beta(v)u + \gamma(v),$$

where β and γ are holomorphic on \mathbb{C} .

Step 3: It follows from (5.11) that

$$\widehat{\Phi}_{d^n c}^{-1} \circ G_{d^{n-1}c} = \widehat{H}_{d^{n-1}c} \circ \widehat{\Phi}_{d^{n-1}c}^{-1},$$

for each $n \geq 1$ and this gives

$$\left(\widehat{\pi}_{d^n c} \circ \widehat{\Phi}_{d^n c}^{-1} \right) \circ G_{d^{n-1}c} = H \circ \left(\widehat{\pi}_{d^{n-1}c} \circ \widehat{\Phi}_{d^{n-1}c}^{-1} \right).$$

Therefore, it follows from (5.10) that for each $n \geq 1$, $H : \Omega'_{d^{n-1}c} \rightarrow \Omega'_{d^n c}$ lifts to

$$\tilde{H}_{d^{n-1}c} : \mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{A}_{d^{n-1}c} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{A}_{d^n c}$$

which has the form

$$(z, \zeta) \mapsto \left(\frac{a}{d}z + Q(\zeta), \zeta^d \right).$$

The following chain of commutative diagrams illustrates the various spaces and the maps between them.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{A}_c & \xrightarrow{G_c} & \mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{A}_{dc} & \xrightarrow{G_{dc}} & \mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{A}_{d^2c} & \xrightarrow{G_{d^2c}} & \dots \\ \widehat{\Phi}_c^{-1} \downarrow & & \downarrow \widehat{\Phi}_{dc}^{-1} & & \downarrow \widehat{\Phi}_{d^2c}^{-1} & & \\ \widehat{\Omega}'_c & \xrightarrow{\widehat{H}_c} & \widehat{\Omega}'_{dc} & \xrightarrow{\widehat{H}_{dc}} & \widehat{\Omega}'_{d^2c} & \xrightarrow{\widehat{H}_{d^2c}} & \dots \\ \widehat{\pi}_c \downarrow & & \downarrow \widehat{\pi}_{dc} & & \downarrow \widehat{\pi}_{d^2c} & & \\ \Omega'_c & \xrightarrow{H} & \Omega'_{dc} & \xrightarrow{H} & \Omega'_{d^2c} & \xrightarrow{H} & \dots \end{array}$$

Note that for each $m \geq 0$ and for each element $[k/d^m] \in \mathbb{Z}[1/d]/\mathbb{Z}$, there exists a deck transformation $\gamma_{\frac{k}{d^m}}^{(m)}$ of $\mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{A}_{d^m c}$ for the covering map $\widehat{\pi}_c \circ \widehat{\Phi}_c^{-1}$. Our goal is to identify these deck transformations. Further note that for each $m \geq 0$, $\gamma_1^{(m)} \equiv \text{Id}$ on $\mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{A}_{d^m c}$.

Claim: For each $m, n \geq 0$,

$$(6.3) \quad \gamma_{\frac{1}{d^n}}^{(m+1)} \circ \tilde{H}_{d^m c}(z, \zeta) = \tilde{H}_{d^m c} \circ \gamma_{\frac{1}{d^{n+1}}}^{(m)}(z, \zeta),$$

for all $(z, \zeta) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{A}_{d^m c}$. In other words, the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{A}_{d^m c} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{H}_{d^m c}} & \mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{A}_{d^{m+1}c} \\ \gamma_{\frac{1}{d^{n+1}}}^{(m)} \downarrow & & \downarrow \gamma_{\frac{1}{d^n}}^{(m+1)} \\ \mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{A}_{d^m c} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{H}_{d^m c}} & \mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{A}_{d^{m+1}c} \end{array}$$

Let $(z, \zeta) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{A}_{d^m c}$ and let $\gamma_{1/d^{n+1}}^{(m)}$ send (z, ζ) to $(\tilde{z}, \tilde{\zeta})$. Then $\tilde{H}_{d^m c} \circ \gamma_{1/d^{n+1}}^{(m)}$ sends (z, ζ) to $\tilde{H}_{d^m c}(\tilde{z}, \tilde{\zeta})$. Further, since $\gamma_{1/d^{n+1}}^{(m)}$ is completely determined by its action on any arbitrary element, the same holds for the map $\tilde{H}_{d^m c} \circ \gamma_{1/d^{n+1}}^{(m)}$. Also note that $\gamma_{1/d^n}^{(m+1)}$ sends $\tilde{H}_{d^m c}(z, \zeta)$ to $\tilde{H}_{d^m c}(\tilde{z}, \tilde{\zeta})$. Thus (6.3) holds.

Now we prove that

$$(6.4) \quad \gamma_{\frac{1}{d^n}}^{(m)} \begin{bmatrix} z \\ \zeta \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} z + \frac{d}{a} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{d}{a}\right)^l \left(Q(\zeta^{d^l}) - Q\left(\left(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{d^n}} \zeta\right)^{d^l}\right) \right) \\ e^{\frac{2\pi i}{d^n}} \zeta \end{bmatrix},$$

for each $m, n \geq 0$ and the proof follows inductively. Recall that for each $m \geq 0$, $\gamma_1^{(m)} \equiv \text{Id}$ on $\mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{A}_{d^m c}$. It follows from (6.3) that

$$(6.5) \quad \gamma_1^{(m+1)} \circ \tilde{H}_{d^m c}(z, \zeta) = \tilde{H}_{d^m c} \circ \gamma_{1/d}^{(m)}(z, \zeta)$$

for all $(z, \zeta) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{A}_{d^m c}$ and for all $m \geq 0$. Let $\gamma_{1/d}^{(m)}(z, \zeta) = \left(z_1^{(m)}, \zeta_1^{(m)}\right)$, then from (6.5), we have

$$\left(\frac{a}{d}z + Q(\zeta), \zeta^d\right) = \left(\frac{a}{d}z_1^{(m)} + Q(\zeta_1^{(m)}), \left(\zeta_1^{(m)}\right)^d\right).$$

Comparing both sides of the above equation, we have

$$\zeta_1^{(m)} = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{d}} \zeta$$

and

$$z_1^{(m)} = z + \frac{d}{a}Q(\zeta) - \frac{d}{a}Q\left(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{d}} \zeta\right).$$

Thus (6.4) holds for $n = 1$. Now let (6.4) holds for some $n \geq 1$, we prove that the same holds for $n + 1$. Let

$$\gamma_{\frac{1}{d^n}}^{(m)} \begin{bmatrix} z \\ \zeta \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} z + \frac{d}{a} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{d}{a}\right)^l \left(Q(\zeta^{d^l}) - Q\left(\left(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{d^n}} \zeta\right)^{d^l}\right) \right) \\ e^{\frac{2\pi i}{d^n}} \zeta \end{bmatrix}$$

for all $m \geq 0$. Then (6.3) gives

$$\gamma_{\frac{1}{d^n}}^{(m+1)} \circ \tilde{H}_{d^m c}(z, \zeta) = \tilde{H}_{d^m c} \circ \gamma_{\frac{1}{d^{n+1}}}^{(m)}(z, \zeta),$$

for all $(z, \zeta) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{A}_{d^m c}$. Once we use the explicit expressions of $\tilde{H}_{d^m c}$ and $\gamma_{\frac{1}{d^n}}^{(m+1)}$, as before we can extract the expression of $\gamma_{\frac{1}{d^{n+1}}}^{(m)}$ which turns out to be

$$\gamma_{\frac{1}{d^{n+1}}}^{(m)} \begin{bmatrix} z \\ \zeta \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} z + \frac{d}{a} \sum_{l=0}^n \left(\frac{d}{a}\right)^l \left(Q(\zeta^{d^l}) - Q\left(\left(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{d^{n+1}}} \zeta\right)^{d^l}\right) \right) \\ e^{\frac{2\pi i}{d^{n+1}}} \zeta \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus we prove (6.4).

Next we prove

$$(6.6) \quad \gamma_{\frac{k}{d^n}}^{(m)} \begin{bmatrix} z \\ \zeta \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} z + \frac{d}{a} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{d}{a}\right)^l \left(Q(\zeta^{d^l}) - Q\left(\left(e^{\frac{2k\pi i}{d^n}} \zeta\right)^{d^l}\right) \right) \\ e^{\frac{2k\pi i}{d^n}} \zeta \end{bmatrix},$$

for each $m, n \geq 0$ and $k \geq 1$. Note that we just proved that (6.6) is true for $k = 1$. Let us assume that (6.6) holds for some $k \geq 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{\frac{k+1}{d^n}}^{(m)} \begin{bmatrix} z \\ \zeta \end{bmatrix} &= \left(\gamma_{\frac{1}{d^n}}^{(m)} \circ \gamma_{\frac{k}{d^n}}^{(m)} \right) \begin{bmatrix} z \\ \zeta \end{bmatrix} = \gamma_{\frac{1}{d^n}}^{(m)} \left[z + \frac{d}{a} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{d}{a} \right)^l \left(Q(\zeta^{d^l}) - Q \left(\left(e^{\frac{2k\pi i}{d^n}} \zeta \right)^{d^l} \right) \right) \right] \\ &= \left[z + \frac{d}{a} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{d}{a} \right)^l \left(Q(\zeta^{d^l}) - Q \left(\left(e^{\frac{2(k+1)\pi i}{d^n}} \zeta \right)^{d^l} \right) \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we prove that (6.6) is true for all $k \geq 1$.

Therefore, if $\tilde{p} = (z, \zeta) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{A}_{d^m c}$ is in the fiber of any point $p \in \Omega'_{d^m c}$, then the other points in the fiber of p are precisely of the form $\gamma_{k/d^n}^{(m)}(z, \zeta)$ for some $n \geq 0$ and $k \geq 1$.

Step 4: Let (u, v) and (u', v') be in the same fiber. Then clearly,

$$(v'/v)^{d^n} = 1,$$

for some $n \geq 1$.

Case 1: Suppose that

$$A(z, \zeta) = (\beta(\zeta)z + \gamma(\zeta), \alpha\zeta)$$

for $(z, \zeta) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{A}_c$. Using (6.6), it follows that

$$u' = u + \frac{d}{a} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{d}{a} \right)^l \left(Q(v^{d^l}) - Q \left(\left(e^{\frac{2k\pi i}{d^n}} v \right)^{d^l} \right) \right)$$

and

$$v' = e^{\frac{2k\pi i}{d^n}} v.$$

Thus

$$A_2(u', v') = \alpha v' = e^{\frac{2k\pi i}{d^n}} (\alpha v) = e^{\frac{2k\pi i}{d^n}} A_2(u, v)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} A_1(u', v') - A_1(u, v) &= (\beta(v') - \beta(v)) u + \beta(v') \frac{d}{a} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{d}{a} \right)^l \left(Q(v^{d^l}) - Q \left(\left(e^{\frac{2k\pi i}{d^n}} v \right)^{d^l} \right) \right) \\ &\quad + \gamma(v') - \gamma(v). \end{aligned} \tag{6.7}$$

Now since $A(u, v)$ and $A(u', v')$ are in the same fiber, we have

$$A_1(u, v) - A_1(u', v') = \Delta(\alpha v, \alpha v').$$

Consequently, it follows from (6.7) that $\beta(v) = \beta(v')$, or in other words,

$$\beta(v) = \beta \left(v e^{\frac{2\pi i k}{d^n}} \right),$$

for all $k \geq 1$ and for all $n \geq 0$. Therefore, $\beta(v) \equiv \beta$ in \mathbb{C} . Thus, from (6.7), it follows that

$$\Delta(\alpha v, \alpha v') = \beta \frac{d}{a} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{d}{a} \right)^l \left(Q(v^{d^l}) - Q \left(\left(e^{\frac{2k\pi i}{d^n}} v \right)^{d^l} \right) \right) + \gamma(v') - \gamma(v). \tag{6.8}$$

Now

$$A_1(u', v') - A_1(u, v) = \frac{d}{a} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{d}{a} \right)^l \left(Q(\alpha^{d^l} v^{d^l}) - Q \left(\left(e^{\frac{2k\pi i}{d^n}} \alpha v \right)^{d^l} \right) \right). \tag{6.9}$$

Combining (6.8) and (6.9), we get that the modulus of

$$\begin{aligned} & \beta \frac{d}{a} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{d}{a}\right)^l \left(Q(v^{d^l}) - Q\left(\left(e^{\frac{2k\pi i}{d^n}} v\right)^{d^l}\right) \right) - \frac{d}{a} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{d}{a}\right)^l \left(Q(\alpha^{d^l} v^{d^l}) - Q\left(\left(e^{\frac{2k\pi i}{d^n}} \alpha v\right)^{d^l}\right) \right) \\ &= \frac{d}{a} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{d}{a}\right)^l \left[\beta Q(v^{d^l}) - Q(\alpha^{d^l} v^{d^l}) \right] - \frac{d}{a} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{d}{a}\right)^l \left[\beta Q\left(\left(e^{\frac{2k\pi i}{d^n}} v\right)^{d^l}\right) - Q\left(\left(e^{\frac{2k\pi i}{d^n}} \alpha v\right)^{d^l}\right) \right] \\ &= \left(\frac{d}{a}\right)^{n-1} \left[\beta v^{d^{n-1}(d+1)} - (\alpha v)^{d^{n-1}(d+1)} - \beta \left(e^{\frac{2k\pi i}{d^n}} v\right)^{d^{n-1}(d+1)} + \left(\alpha v e^{\frac{2k\pi i}{d^n}}\right)^{d^{n-1}(d+1)} \right] + l.o.t., \end{aligned}$$

is uniformly bounded by some fixed constant, for all $n \geq 0$ and for any fixed v with $1 < |v| < e^c$. Therefore,

$$\gamma(v) - \gamma(v') = \left(\frac{d}{a}\right)^{n-1} \left(\beta - \alpha^{d^{n-1}(d+1)}\right) v^{d^{n-1}(d+1)} \left(1 - e^{\frac{2k\pi i}{d}}\right) [1 + L_{n-1}(v)]$$

is bounded, where L_{n-1} is a Laurent polynomial of degree at most d^n . Now, we claim that

$$(6.10) \quad a_n = \alpha^{d^n(d+1)} \rightarrow \beta,$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. If not, there exists a sequence $n_k \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$\left| \alpha^{d^{n_k}(d+1)} - \beta \right| > \delta > 0,$$

for all $k \geq 1$. Thus,

$$|L_{n_k}(v)| \leq \frac{(n_k + 1)K_1}{|v|^{d^{n_k}}},$$

for some $K_1 > 1$, if $|d/a| \geq 1$ and

$$|L_{n_k}(v)| \leq \frac{(n_k + 1)K_2}{|v|^{d^{n_k}}} \left(\frac{a}{d}\right)^{n_k},$$

for some $K_2 > 1$, if $|d/a| < 1$. This leads to a contradiction since $\gamma(v) - \gamma(v')$ does not remain bounded in either case. Thus, (6.10) holds. Also, $a_{n+1}/a_n \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This shows that

$$\alpha^{(d+1)(d-1)d^n} \rightarrow 1,$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, from (6.10), it follows that $\beta^{d-1} = 1$. Now since $(\alpha^{d+1})^{d^n} \rightarrow \beta$ and β is a repelling fixed point for the map $z \mapsto z^d$, we get

$$\alpha^{d+1} = \beta.$$

Therefore (6) shows that $\gamma(v) = \gamma(v')$, which in turn gives $\gamma \equiv k$, for some $k \in \mathbb{C}$.

Case 2: Now let

$$(6.11) \quad A(z, \zeta) = (\beta(\zeta)z + \gamma(\zeta), \alpha e^c / \zeta),$$

for $(z, \zeta) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{A}_c$. As in Case 1, we can show that $\beta(u) \equiv \beta$, for some constant $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$. Further, we can show that if (u, v) and (u', v') are in the same fiber and $e^c < |v|^2 < e^{2c}$, then the modulus of

$$\begin{aligned} & \beta \frac{d}{a} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{d}{a}\right)^l \left(Q(v^{d^l}) - Q\left(\left(e^{\frac{2k\pi i}{d^n}} v\right)^{d^l}\right) \right) - \frac{d}{a} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{d}{a}\right)^l \left(Q\left(\left(\frac{\alpha e^c}{v}\right)^{d^l}\right) - Q\left(\left(e^{\frac{2k\pi i}{d^n}} \frac{\alpha e^c}{v}\right)^{d^l}\right) \right) \\ &= \beta \left(\frac{d}{a}\right)^{n-1} v^{d^{n-1}(d+1)} \left(1 - e^{\frac{2k\pi i}{d}}\right) [1 + L_v(n-1) + T_c(n-1)], \end{aligned}$$

is uniformly bounded by some fixed constant for all $n \geq 0$. Here, $L_v(n-1)$ and $T_c(n-1)$ come from the first and second parts of the equation, and

$$L_v(n-1) = O\left(\frac{n}{|v|^{d^{n-1}}}\right) \text{ and } T_c(n-1) = \left(\frac{\alpha e^c}{v^2}\right)^{d^{n-1}(d+1)} + O\left(\frac{n}{|v|^{d^{n-1}}}\right),$$

if $|d/a| > 1$ and

$$L_v(n-1) = O\left(\frac{n}{|v|^{d^{n-1}}}\left(\frac{a}{d}\right)^{n-1}\right) \text{ and } T_c(n-1) = \left(\frac{\alpha e^c}{v^2}\right)^{d^{n-1}(d+1)} + O\left(\frac{n}{|v|^{d^{n-1}}}\left(\frac{a}{d}\right)^{n-1}\right),$$

if $|d/a| < 1$. This implies $\beta = 0$ and this is clearly not possible. Thus A cannot be of the form (6.11).

That A cannot be of the form (6.11) can be shown in a rather direct way using the definition of the projection map $\tilde{\pi}_c = \hat{\pi}_c \circ \hat{\Phi}_c^{-1}$ from $\mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{A}_c$ to Ω'_c . The proof we present below is inspired by a comment made by one of the referees. Let $(z, \zeta) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{A}_c$ and let $\tilde{\pi}_c(z, \zeta) \in \{G_H^+ = r\}$ for some $0 < r < c$. It follows from the definitions of $\hat{\pi}_c$ and $\hat{\Phi}_c$ that if $\hat{\Phi}_c^{-1}(z, \zeta) = [z_\zeta, C_{z,\zeta}]$, then $z_\zeta \in \{G_H^+ = r\}$. Now by definition

$$(z, \zeta) = \hat{\Phi}_c([z_\zeta, C_{z,\zeta}]) = \left(\hat{\psi}_c([z_\zeta, C_{z,\zeta}]), \hat{\phi}_c^+([z_\zeta, C_{z,\zeta}])\right)$$

and since there exists some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $H^n(z_\zeta) \in V_R^+$, we have

$$\hat{\phi}_{d^n c}^+[H^n(z_\zeta), H^n(C_{z,\zeta})] = \left(\hat{\phi}_c^+[z_\zeta, C_{z,\zeta}]\right)^{d^n} = \phi^+ \circ H^n(z_\zeta).$$

Thus since $G_H^+ \equiv \log|\phi^+|$ in V_R^+ , we have

$$\left|\hat{\phi}_c^+[z_\zeta, C_{z,\zeta}]\right| = e^r.$$

Note that since $\tilde{\pi}_c \circ A = a \circ \tilde{\pi}_c$ and we have $a(\{G_H^+ = r\}) = \{G_H^+ = r\}$ by Proposition 1.2, it follows that $a \circ \tilde{\pi}_c(z, \zeta) = \tilde{\pi}_c \circ A(z, \zeta) = \hat{\pi}_c \circ \hat{\Phi}_c^{-1} \circ A(z, \zeta) \in \{G_H^+ = r\}$. Let $\hat{\Phi}_c^{-1} \circ A(z, \zeta) = [z'_\zeta, C'_{z,\zeta}]$. Then as above we can show

$$A(z, \zeta) = \hat{\Phi}_c([z'_\zeta, C'_{z,\zeta}]) = \left(\hat{\psi}_c([z'_\zeta, C'_{z,\zeta}]), \hat{\phi}_c^+([z'_\zeta, C'_{z,\zeta}])\right)$$

with

$$\left|\hat{\phi}_c^+[z'_\zeta, C'_{z,\zeta}]\right| = e^r.$$

Thus since A is of the form (6.11), we have $e^r = e^c/e^r$. Thus $r = c/2$. So if we start with any $r \neq c/2$, we get a contradiction.

Therefore, each $a \in \text{Aut}(\Omega'_c)$ lifts to some $A \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{A}_c)$ of the form

$$(6.12) \quad (z, \zeta) \mapsto (\beta z + \gamma, \alpha \zeta),$$

for $(z, \zeta) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{A}_c$, where $\beta^{d-1} = 1$ and $\alpha^{d+1} = \beta$.

Step 5: Let a be an automorphism of Ω'_c . Then a lifts as an automorphism A of $\mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{A}_c$, which is of the form (6.12). We claim that a has a unique lift. If not, then let

$$A'(z, \zeta) = (\beta' z + \gamma', \alpha' \zeta),$$

for all $(z, \zeta) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{A}_c$, with $\beta'^{d-1} = 1$ and $\alpha'^{d+1} = \beta'$, be another lift of a . Thus, $(\beta z + \gamma, \alpha \zeta)$ and $(\beta' z + \gamma', \alpha' \zeta)$ are in the same fiber, for all $(z, \zeta) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{A}_c$. Therefore, $\alpha' \zeta = e^{\frac{2\pi ki}{d^n}} \alpha \zeta$, for all

$\zeta \in \mathcal{A}_c$, which implies $(\alpha'/\alpha)^{d^n} = 1$. Also we have $(\alpha'/\alpha)^{d^2-1} = 1$. Thus, $\alpha' = \alpha$. On the other hand, (6.6) shows that

$$(6.13) \quad (\beta' - \beta)z + (\gamma' - \gamma) = \frac{d}{a} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{d}{a}\right)^l \left(Q\left((\alpha\zeta)^{d^l}\right) - Q\left(\left(e^{\frac{2k\pi i}{d^n}}\alpha\zeta\right)^{d^l}\right) \right),$$

for all $(z, \zeta) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{A}_c$. Since the right side of (6.13) is a polynomial in ζ without constant term, we must have $\beta' = \beta$ and $\gamma' = \gamma$. This shows that a has a unique lift.

Now let $A(z, \zeta) = (z + \gamma, \zeta)$ be any automorphism of $\mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{A}_c$. Note that $\Omega'_c = \tilde{\pi}_c(\mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{A}_c)$, where $\tilde{\pi}_c = \hat{\pi}_c \circ \hat{\Phi}_c^{-1}$. We define $a : \Omega'_c \rightarrow \Omega'_c$ as follows:

$$a(\tilde{\pi}_c(z, \zeta)) = \tilde{\pi}_c(z + \gamma, \zeta),$$

for all $(z, \zeta) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{A}_c$. First we check that a is well-defined. Let $\tilde{\pi}_c(z, \zeta) = \tilde{\pi}_c(z', \zeta')$. Then

$$\begin{bmatrix} z' \\ \zeta' \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} z + \frac{d}{a} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{d}{a}\right)^l \left(Q(\zeta^{d^l}) - Q\left(\left(e^{\frac{2k\pi i}{d^n}}\zeta\right)^{d^l}\right) \right) \\ e^{\frac{2k\pi i}{d^n}}\zeta \end{bmatrix},$$

which implies

$$\begin{bmatrix} z' + \gamma \\ \zeta' \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} z + \gamma + \frac{d}{a} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{d}{a}\right)^l \left(Q(\zeta^{d^l}) - Q\left(\left(e^{\frac{2k\pi i}{d^n}}\zeta\right)^{d^l}\right) \right) \\ e^{\frac{2k\pi i}{d^n}}\zeta \end{bmatrix}.$$

By (6.6), $(z + \gamma, \zeta)$ and $(z + \gamma', \zeta)$ are in the same fiber, which proves that a is well-defined. Also, a is clearly a bijection. Therefore, $\mathbb{C} \subseteq \text{Aut}(\Omega'_c) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{d^2-1} \times \mathbb{C}$.

7. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.5 AND COROLLARY 1.6

Let $\phi : \Omega_{c_1} \rightarrow \Omega_{c_2}$ be a biholomorphism. By Corollary (2.2), $\phi(K^+) = K^+$. Then, ϕ acts as a biholomorphism between the corresponding punctured Short \mathbb{C}^2 's Ω'_{c_1} and Ω'_{c_2} . By Step 1, Section 6, we obtain $c_1 = c_2 d^{\pm n}$, for some integer $n \geq 1$. Conversely, if $c_1 = c_2 d^{\pm n}$, then $H^{\pm n}$ is a biholomorphism between Ω_{c_1} and Ω_{c_2} . This completes the proof of Theorem 1.5.

For any $c > 0$, the family $\{\Omega_\ell\}_{c/d < \ell < c}$ is a continuum of pairwise non-biholomorphic Short \mathbb{C}^2 's. Now by Proposition 1.2,

$$\text{Aut}(\Omega_c) \subseteq \text{Aut}(\Omega_\ell) \subseteq \text{Aut}(\Omega_{c/d}) = \text{Aut}(\Omega_c),$$

for all $c/d < \ell < c$. This shows that each member of this family has the same automorphism group.

The following remark is motivated by a question asked by one of the referees.

Remark 7.1. Since H is an automorphism of \mathbb{C}^2 , H^{-1} exists and it is easy to see that $H^{-1}(x, y) = ((p(x) - y)/a, x)$. As G_H^+ , one can construct

$$G_H^- := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{d^n} \log^+ \|H^{-n}\|$$

which is continuous, non-negative plurisubharmonic function on \mathbb{C}^2 . For any $c > 0$ the sub-level set $\{G_H^- < c\}$ is also a *Short* \mathbb{C}^2 .

Further, note that similar arguments as in the proof of Proposition 1.2, gives that if Ω_c is biholomorphic to $\Omega_{c'}^- = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^2 : G_H^-(z) < c'\}$ for some $c, c' > 0$, then the biholomorphism preserves the non-escaping sets, i.e., if $\phi : \Omega_c \rightarrow \Omega_{c'}^-$ is a biholomorphism then $\phi(K^+) = K^-$. Thus, if H is a hyperbolic Hénon map that is not volume preserving, this situation will never arise (see [3, Lemma 5.5] and [3, Theorem 5.6]). Also using a similar set of arguments as in Step 1 of Section 6, one can prove that if $\Omega_{c'}^-$ is biholomorphic to Ω_c^- , then $c' = d^{\pm n}c$, for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Below we give an explicit example of a volume preserving Hénon map such that Ω_c and Ω_c^- is biholomorphic, for every $c > 0$.

Example 7.2. Let $H(x, y) = (y, y^2 - x)$ and $\tilde{I}(x, y) = (y, x) = \tilde{I}^{-1}(x, y)$. Then

$$H^{-1}(x, y) = \tilde{I}^{-1} \circ H \circ \tilde{I}(x, y) = (x^2 - y, x).$$

Since $\|H^n(x, y)\| = \|H^{-n} \circ \tilde{I}(x, y)\|$ for every $n \geq 1$, $G_H^+(x, y) = G_H^- \circ \tilde{I}(x, y)$. Thus, $\tilde{I}(\Omega_c) = \Omega_c^-$ for every $c \geq 0$ and $\Omega_c \cong \Omega_c^-$.

REFERENCES

1. Arosio, Leandro; Boc Thaler, Luka; Peters, Han: *A transcendental Hénon map with an oscillating wandering Short \mathbb{C}^2* , Math. Z. (2021), <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00209-020-02677-4>.
2. Bedford, Eric; Kim, Kyounghee: *No smooth Julia sets for polynomial diffeomorphisms of \mathbb{C}^2 with positive entropy*. J. Geom. Anal. **27** (2017), no. 4, 3085–3098.
3. Bedford, Eric; Smillie, John: *Polynomial diffeomorphisms of \mathbb{C}^2 : currents, equilibrium measure and hyperbolicity*. Invent. Math. **103** (1991), no. 1, 69–99.
4. Bera, Sayani: *Examples of non-autonomous basins of attraction—II*. J. Ramanujan Math. Soc. **34** (2019), no. 3, 343–363.
5. Bera, Sayani; Pal, Ratna; Verma, Kaushal: *Examples of non-autonomous basins of attraction*. Illinois J. Math. **61** (2017), no. 3-4, 531–567.
6. Bera, Sayani; Pal, Ratna; Verma, Kaushal: *A rigidity theorem for Hénon maps*. Eur. J. Math. **6** (2020), no. 2, 508–532.
7. Boc Thaler, Luka: *Automorphisms of \mathbb{C}^m with bounded wandering domains*. Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) **200** (2021), no. 4, 1735–1755.
8. Bonnot, Sylvain; Radu, Remus; Tanase, Raluca: *Hénon maps with biholomorphic escaping sets*, Complex Anal. Synerg. **3** (2017), no. 1, Paper No. 3, 18 pp.
9. Bousch, Thierry: *Automorphismes des applications de Hénon*. Unpublished manuscript (1994).
10. Favre, Charles: *Classification of 2-dimensional contracting rigid germs and Kato surfaces. I.*, J. Math. Pures Appl. **9** (2000), 475–514.
11. Fornæss, John E.: *Short \mathbb{C}^k* . Complex analysis in several variables—Memorial Conference of Kiyoshi Oka’s Centennial Birthday, 95–108, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., 42, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2004.
12. Fornæss, John E.; Pal, Ratna: *Notes on Short \mathbb{C}^k ’s*, Available at: <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2104.12413.pdf>
13. Fridman, Buma L.; Ma, Daowei: *Perturbation of domains and automorphism groups*. J. Korean Math. Soc. **40** (2003), no. 3, 487–501.
14. Fridman, Buma L.; Ma, Daowei; Poletsky, Evgeny A.: *Upper semicontinuity of the dimensions of automorphism groups of domains in \mathbb{C}^N* . Amer. J. Math. **125** (2003), no. 2, 289–299.
15. Fridman, Buma L.; Poletsky, Evgeny A.: *Upper semicontinuity of automorphism groups*. Math. Ann. **299** (1994), no. 4, 615–628.
16. Greene, Robert E.; Krantz, Steven G.: *Normal families and the semicontinuity of isometry and automorphism groups*. Math. Z. **190** (1985), no. 4, 455–467.
17. Greene, Robert E.; Kim, Kang-Tae: *Stably-interior points and the semicontinuity of the automorphism group*. Math. Z. **277** (2014), no. 3-4, 909–916.
18. Greene, Robert E.; Kim, Kang-Tae; Krantz, Steven G.; Seo, Aeryeong: *Semicontinuity of automorphism groups of strongly pseudoconvex domains: the low differentiability case*. Pacific J. Math. **262** (2013), no. 2, 365–395.
19. Hubbard, John H.; Oberste-Vorth, Ralph W.: *Hénon mappings in the complex domain. I. The global topology of dynamical space*. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. No. **79** (1994), 5–46.
20. Krantz, Steven G.: *Semicontinuity of automorphism groups: the converse direction*. Complex Var. Elliptic Equ. **63** (2018), no. 10, 1371–1377.
21. Lamy, Stéphane: *L’alternative de Tits pour $\text{Aut}[\mathbb{C}^2]$* , J. Algebra **239** (2001), no. 2, 413–437.
22. Morosawa, S; Nishimura, Y; Taniguchi, M; Ueda, T: *Holomorphic dynamics*, Translated from the 1995 Japanese original and revised by the authors. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, **66**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (2000).

SB: INDIAN ASSOCIATION FOR THE CULTIVATION OF SCIENCE, 2A-2B RAJA S. C. MULLICK ROAD, KOLKATA 700 032, INDIA

Email address: sayanibera2016@gmail.com, mcssb2@iacs.res.in

RP: INDIAN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE EDUCATION AND RESEARCH MOHALI, KNOWLEDGE CITY, SECTOR -81,
MOHALI, PUNJAB.-140306, INDIA

Email address: `ratna.math@gmail.com`, `ratnapal@iisermohali.ac.in`

KV: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE, BANGALORE 560 012, INDIA

Email address: `kverma@iisc.ac.in`