

# Irreducibility of integer-valued polynomials in several variables

Devendra Prasad  
 devendraprasad@iisertirupati.ac.in  
 Department of Mathematics  
 IISER-Tirupati, Tirupati, Andhra Pradesh  
 India, 517507

Received: date / Accepted: date

## Abstract

Let  $\underline{S}$  be an arbitrary subset of  $R^n$  where  $R$  is a domain with the field of fractions  $\mathbb{K}$ . Denote the ring of polynomials in  $n$  variables over  $\mathbb{K}$  by  $\mathbb{K}[\underline{x}]$ . The ring of integer-valued polynomials over  $\underline{S}$ , denoted by  $\text{Int}(\underline{S}, R)$ , is defined as the set of the polynomials of  $\mathbb{K}[\underline{x}]$ , which maps  $\underline{S}$  to  $R$ . In this article, we study the irreducibility of the polynomials of  $\text{Int}(\underline{S}, R)$  for the first time in the case when  $R$  is a Unique Factorization Domain. We also show that our results remain valid when  $R$  is a Dedekind domain or sometimes any domain.

**keywords:** Integer-valued polynomials, irreducibility

## 1 Introduction

Let  $R$  be a domain with the field of fractions  $\mathbb{K}$ . For a given subset  $\underline{S} \subseteq R^n$ , where  $n \geq 1$ , consider the following subset of  $\mathbb{K}[\underline{x}]$  ( $= \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ )

$$\text{Int}(\underline{S}, R) = \{f \in \mathbb{K}[\underline{x}] : f(\underline{S}) \subseteq R\}.$$

It can be verified very easily that this set forms a ring and is known as the ring of integer-valued polynomials over  $\underline{S}$ . In the last few decades, this ring has been a center of attraction for commutative algebraists. This ring is widely used to construct examples/counterexamples in commutative algebra. We refer to Cahen and Chabert [1] for a general reference.

In the study of ring theory, one of the most exciting concepts is irreducibility. In factorization theory also, it is very crucial to check the factors of a given polynomial. Hence, we must be familiar with the irreducibility of a given polynomial. Recently, Prasad [5] gave a new approach to test the irreducibility of a given integer-valued polynomial in one variable. The cornerstone of this study

was the construction of  $\pi$ -sequences and  $d$ -sequences, which we recall here for the sake of completeness.

**Definition 1.1.** A sequence  $\{u_i\}_{i \geq 0}$  of elements of  $S \subset R$ , where  $R$  is a unique factorization domain, is said to be a  $\pi$ -sequence if for each  $k > 0$ ,  $u_k \in S$  satisfies

$$\frac{(x-u_0)\dots(x-u_{k-1})}{(u_k-u_0)\dots(u_k-u_{k-1})} \in \text{Int}(S, R_{(\pi)}).$$

The  $d$ -sequences are defined as follows.

**Definition 1.2.** For a given element  $d \in R$ , where  $R$  is a unique factorization domain, let  $\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_r$  be all the irreducibles of  $R$  dividing  $d$ . Let for  $1 \leq j \leq r$ ,  $\{u_{ij}\}_{i \geq 0}$  be a  $\pi_j$ -sequence of  $S$  and  $\pi_j^{e_{kj}}$  be  $(u_{kj} - u_{0j}) \dots (u_{kj} - u_{k-1j})$  viewed as a member of the ring  $R_{(\pi_j)}$ . Then a  $d$ -sequence  $\{x_i\}_{0 \leq i \leq k}$  of  $S$  of length  $k$  is a solution to the following congruences

$$x_i \equiv u_{ij} \pmod{\pi_j^{e_{kj}+1}} \quad \forall 1 \leq j \leq r, \quad (1)$$

where  $0 \leq i \leq k$ .

The following criterion was obtained for testing the irreducibility of an integer-valued polynomial.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $S$  be an arbitrary subset of a unique factorization domain  $R$ . Assume  $f = \frac{g}{d} \in \text{Int}(S, R)$  is a polynomial of degree  $k$  and  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_k$  be a  $d$ -sequence. Then  $f$  is irreducible in  $\text{Int}(S, R)$  iff the following holds:*

*for any factorization  $g = g_1 g_2$  in  $R[x]$  and a divisor  $\pi$  of  $d$  such that  $e_k$  is the maximum integer satisfying  $\pi^{e_k} \mid g_1(a_i) \forall 0 \leq i \leq \deg(g_1)$ , there exists an integer  $j$  satisfying  $0 \leq j \leq \deg(g_2)$  and  $w_\pi(\frac{d}{\pi^{e_k}}) \nmid g_2(a_j)$ .*

In this way, a criterion was obtained for the first time to check the irreducibility of a given integer-valued polynomial in a very general setting. Observe that  $d$ -sequences can be obtained for any subset of a Dedekind domain (or sometimes any domain). As a consequence, Theorem 1.1 remains viable for all domains where  $d$ -sequences can be constructed. It is natural to think about a generalization of Theorem 1.1 to the multivariate case.

The irreducibility of a multivariate polynomial has a venerable history of two centuries. Several mathematicians have studied the irreducibility of a multivariate polynomial. However, as per our knowledge, this topic is never explored for the ring  $\text{Int}(\underline{S}, R)$  even in the simplest case when  $\underline{S} = \mathbb{Z}^n$ , where  $n > 1$  and  $R = \mathbb{Z}$ . In this article, we study the irreducibility of a multivariate integer-valued polynomial for the first time.

The summary of the article is as follows. In Section 2, we fix some notations for the whole article and recall some known/unknown concepts. We introduce the notion of  $d_{\mathbf{m}}$ -sequences with some examples in Section 3. In Section 4, we make  $d_{\mathbf{m}}$ -sequences our main tool of study to prove our main theorem for testing the irreducibility of a given polynomial. Section 5 presents a generalization of our results in some special cases.

## 2 Preliminaries, notations and assumptions

In this section, we recall some known/unknown concepts and fix some notations for the whole article. Throughout the article  $\mathbb{W}$  denotes the set  $\{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ . For a given  $n \in \mathbb{W}$ ,  $\underline{S}$  denotes an arbitrary subset of  $R^n$  where  $R$  is a unique factorization domain with the field of fractions  $\mathbb{K}$ . For a given polynomial  $f \in \mathbb{K}[\underline{x}] (= \mathbb{K}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n])$ ,  $\text{tdeg}(f)$  denotes the total degree of  $f$ . We call  $\text{deg}(f)$  is equal to  $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_n) \in \mathbb{W}^n$ , if the degree of  $f$  in the  $i$ th variable is  $m_i \forall 1 \leq i \leq n$ . A polynomial  $f \in \mathbb{K}[\underline{x}]$  is said to be of type  $(\mathbf{m}, k)$  if  $\text{deg}(f) = \mathbf{m}$  and  $\text{tdeg}(f) = k$ . For given  $\mathbf{m}$  and  $\mathbf{n}$  in  $\mathbb{W}^n$ , we say  $\mathbf{m} \leq \mathbf{n}$  if each component of  $\mathbf{m}$  is less than or equal to the corresponding component of  $\mathbf{n}$ .

Take a unitary monomial basis of  $\mathbb{K}[\underline{x}]$  and place a total order on it, which is compatible with the total degree. We fix this total order once and for all as it is very important throughout the study. In this way, the monomials are arranged in a sequence  $(p_j)_{j \geq 0}$  with  $p_0 = 1$  and  $\text{tdeg}(p_i) \leq \text{tdeg}(p_j)$  if  $i < j$ .

Let  $\mathbb{K}_{(\mathbf{m}, k)}[\underline{x}]$  denote the vector space of all type  $(\mathbf{m}, k)$  polynomials of  $\mathbb{K}[\underline{x}]$ . We denote by  $l_{\mathbf{m}, k}$  the cardinality of a basis of  $\mathbb{K}_{(\mathbf{m}, k)}[\underline{x}]$ . Hence, the first  $l_{\mathbf{m}, k}$  terms of the monomial ordering fixed above are sufficient to express any polynomial  $f \in \mathbb{K}_{(\mathbf{m}, k)}[\underline{x}]$ . If each component of  $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{W}^n$  tends to infinity, then  $\mathbf{m}$  does not ‘remove’ any of the polynomials in the basis of  $\mathbb{K}[\underline{x}]$ . In such a case, we say  $\mathbf{m}$  is *sufficiently large*. Also, for a given polynomial  $f \in \mathbb{K}[\underline{x}]$  of type  $(\mathbf{m}, k)$ ,  $l(f)$  denotes the number  $l_{\mathbf{m}, k}$ .

For a fixed  $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{W}^n$  and a given sequence of elements  $\underline{a}_0, \underline{a}_1, \dots, \underline{a}_r$  in  $R^n$  recall that

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{m}}(\underline{a}_0, \underline{a}_1, \underline{a}_2, \dots, \underline{a}_r) = \det(p_j(\underline{a}_i))_{0 \leq i, j \leq r}.$$

These determinants were also studied in Rajkumar, Reddy and Semwal [7] (see also [6] and [2]) in the case of a Discrete Valuation Ring. For a given polynomial  $f = \frac{g}{d} \in \mathbb{K}[\underline{x}]$ , we assume that  $g$  is the unique polynomial in  $R[\underline{x}]$  and  $d$  is also unique in  $R$ .

A polynomial  $f \in \mathbb{K}[\underline{x}]$  is said to be irreducible over  $\mathbb{K}[\underline{x}]$  if it cannot be factored as  $g_1(\underline{x})g_2(\underline{x})$  where both of the polynomials have the degree greater than  $\mathbf{0}$ , where  $\mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{W}^n$  is the vector with each component equal to zero. A polynomial  $f \in \text{Int}(\underline{S}, R)$  is said to be an ‘*image primitive*’ polynomial if we cannot find an irreducible element  $\pi \in R$  such that  $\pi \mid f(a) \forall a \in \underline{S}$ . If a polynomial is not image primitive then there exists a  $d \in R$  such that  $d \mid f(a) \forall a \in \underline{S}$ . Now have the following factorization

$$f = d \times \frac{f}{d}$$

in the ring  $\text{Int}(\underline{S}, R)$ . Consequently, the given polynomial  $f$  is reducible in the ring  $\text{Int}(\underline{S}, R)$ . Hence, we always assume that a given polynomial is image primitive. For brevity, by an integer-valued polynomial, we mean a polynomial in  $\text{Int}(\underline{S}, R)$ , where  $\underline{S}$  and  $R$  automatically come from the context. For an

irreducible element  $\pi$  and a given element  $d \in R$ ,  $w_\pi(d)$  denotes the highest power of  $\pi$  dividing  $d$ . For instance,  $w_3(18) = 3^2$ .

### 3 $d_{\mathbf{m}}$ -sequences

In Prasad [5], the concept of  $d$ -sequences was introduced for the first time to check the irreducibility of a given integer-valued polynomial. In this section, we generalize the definition of  $d$ -sequences to the case of several variables. We construct special kinds of sequences called  $d_{\mathbf{m}}$ -sequences. Before introducing these kinds of sequences, we need a generalization of  $\pi$ -sequences called  $\pi_{\mathbf{m}}$ -sequences, to the case of  $n$  variables. We know that a subset  $\underline{S} \subseteq R^n$  is also a subset of  $R_{(\pi)}^n$  for every prime ideal  $(\pi) \subseteq R$ , where  $R_{(\pi)}$  denotes the localization of  $R$  at the prime ideal  $(\pi)$ . With this assumption, we give the following generalization of  $\pi$ -sequences.

**Definition 3.1.** For a fixed  $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{W}^n$ , a sequence  $\{\underline{u}_i\}_{i \geq 0}$  of elements of  $\underline{S} \subset R^n$  is said to be a  $\pi_{\mathbf{m}}$ -sequence if for each  $k > 0$ ,  $\underline{u}_k \in \underline{S}$  satisfies

$$\frac{\Delta_{\mathbf{m}}(\underline{u}_0, \underline{u}_1, \dots, \underline{u}_{k-1}, \underline{x})}{\Delta_{\mathbf{m}}(\underline{u}_0, \underline{u}_1, \dots, \underline{u}_k)} \in \text{Int}(\underline{S}, R_{(\pi)}).$$

We take an assumption that in a  $\pi_{\mathbf{m}}$ -sequence  $\{\underline{u}_i\}_{i \geq 0}$ , the first element  $\underline{u}_0$  is selected arbitrarily. Sequences similar to this were also studied by Rajkumar, Reddy and Semwal [7] in the case of a Dedekind domain to study generalized factorials. Now we define  $d_{\mathbf{m}}$ -sequences as follows.

**Definition 3.2.** For a given element  $d \in R$  and fixed  $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{W}^n$ , let  $\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_r$  be all the irreducibles of  $R$  dividing  $d$ . Let for  $1 \leq j \leq r$ ,  $\{\underline{u}_{i,j}\}_{i \geq 0}$  be a  $\pi_{\mathbf{m},j}$ -sequence of  $\underline{S}$  and  $\pi_j^{e_{kj}}$  be  $\Delta_{\mathbf{m}}(\underline{u}_0, \underline{u}_1, \dots, \underline{u}_k)$  viewed as a member of the ring  $R_{(\pi_j)}$ . Then a  $d_{\mathbf{m}}$ -sequence  $\{\underline{x}_i\}_{0 \leq i \leq k}$  of  $\underline{S}$  of length  $k$  is a solution to the following congruences

$$\underline{x}_i \equiv \underline{u}_{i,j} \pmod{\pi_j^{e_{kj}+1}} \quad \forall 1 \leq j \leq r, \quad (2)$$

where  $0 \leq i \leq k$ .

Throughout the article, we fix a solution of Eq. (2) for each  $i$  and get a sequence  $\underline{a}_0, \underline{a}_1, \dots, \underline{a}_k$  of  $k+1$  elements. Such a sequence need not to be inside  $\underline{S}$ . Clearly, a  $d_{\mathbf{m}}$ -sequence also depends on the set chosen. If the subset  $\underline{S}$  is clear from the context, we call only a ' $d_{\mathbf{m}}$ -sequence' without mentioning the set. This sequence is a cornerstone of our study. Before proceeding, we give a few examples of  $d_{\mathbf{m}}$ -sequences.

In all the examples of  $d_{\mathbf{m}}$ -sequences below, we take only two variables. We fix the following total ordering on the set of unitary monomial basis of  $R[x, y]$

$$1, x, y, x^2, xy, y^2, x^3, x^2y, xy^2, y^3, \dots$$

We assume that  $x$  is always the first variable. *i.e* if we say a polynomial is of type  $((3, 4), 5)$ , then it means that the partial degree of  $f$  in  $x$  is three.

**Example 3.1.** In the case when  $R = \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\mathbf{m}$  is sufficiently large and  $\underline{S} = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ , the sequence

$$(0, 0), (1, 0), (0, 1), (2, 0), (1, 1), (0, 2), (3, 0), (2, 1), (1, 2), \text{ and } (0, 3)$$

is a  $d_{\mathbf{m}}$ -sequence of length 9 for every  $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

We give an example of a  $d_{\mathbf{m}}$ -sequence when the given subset is finite.

**Example 3.2.** Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\mathbf{m}$  be sufficiently large and  $\underline{S}$  is the set

$$\underline{S} = \{(0, 0), (1, 0), (1, 4), (4, 0), (1, 1), (4, 1), (9, 0), (0, 1), (0, 4), (0, 9)\}$$

Then

$$(0, 0), (1, 0), (0, 1), (4, 0), (1, 1), (0, 4), (9, 0), (4, 1), (1, 4), \text{ and } (0, 9)$$

form a  $d_{\mathbf{m}}$ -sequence of length 9 for every  $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

We give a more general example of  $d_{\mathbf{m}}$ -sequences. Recall that, for a given subset  $\underline{S} \subset R^n$ , the *fixed divisor* of a polynomial  $f \in R[\underline{x}]$  over  $\underline{S}$  is the greatest common divisor of the values taken by  $f$  over  $\underline{S}$ . This quantity is denoted by  $d(\underline{S}, f)$ . Thus,

$$d(\underline{S}, f) = \gcd\{f(\underline{a}) : \underline{a} \in \underline{S}\}.$$

For some interesting results on the topic, we refer to Rajkumar, Reddy and Semwal [7], The whole article is devoted to the study of fixed divisors of multivariate polynomials. A nice application of fixed divisors in one and several variables can be found in Prasad [4].

A sequence of distinct elements  $\{\underline{a}_i\}_{i \geq 0}$  of  $\underline{S}$  is said to be a *fixed divisor sequence* (see [6] or [3] ) if for every  $k > 0$ ,  $\exists l_k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , such that for every polynomial  $f$  of total degree  $k$

$$d(\underline{S}, f) = (f(\underline{a}_0), f(\underline{a}_1), \dots, f(\underline{a}_{l_k})),$$

and no proper subset of  $\{\underline{a}_0, \underline{a}_1, \dots, \underline{a}_{l_k}\}$  determines the fixed divisor of all the total degree  $k$  polynomials.

**Example 3.3.** Let  $\mathbf{m}$  be sufficiently large and  $\underline{S}$  be a subset of  $R^n$  with a fixed divisor sequence  $\{\underline{a}_i\}_{i \geq 0}$ . Assume

$$d(\underline{S}, F_r) = (F_r(\underline{a}_r)) \quad \forall r \geq 0,$$

where  $F_r(\underline{x}) = \Delta_{\mathbf{m}}(\underline{a}_0, \underline{a}_1, \dots, \underline{a}_{r-1}, \underline{x})$ . Then  $\underline{a}_0, \underline{a}_1, \dots, \underline{a}_k$  is a  $d_{\mathbf{m}}$ -sequence of length  $k$  for every  $d \in R$ .

In all the examples given so far, we assumed that each component of  $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{W}^2$  is sufficiently large. Now we give an example when each component of  $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{W}^2$  is small and plays a role in the calculation.

**Example 3.4.** Let  $\mathbf{m} = (2, 2)$ ,  $R = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\underline{S} = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ . Then

$$(0, 0), (1, 0), (0, 1), (2, 0), (1, 1), (0, 2), (2, 1), (1, 2) \text{ and } (2, 2).$$

is a  $d_{(2,2)}$ -sequence of length eight. The ninth term of the  $d_{(2,2)}$ -sequence does not exist.

The readers can easily see the difference between the first example and the above example in which  $\mathbf{m}$  appears.

## 4 Irreducibility of integer-valued polynomials

We start this section with the following lemma, which is useful in proving the main result.

**Lemma 4.1.** Let  $\underline{a}_0, \underline{a}_1, \dots, \underline{a}_l$  be a  $d$ -sequence of length  $l$  for some  $d \in R$  and  $l \in \mathbb{W}$ . Then, for any polynomial  $f' = \frac{g'}{d'}$  where  $d' \mid d$  and  $l(f') \leq l$ , the following holds

$$f' \in \text{Int}(\underline{S}, R) \Leftrightarrow f'(\underline{a}_i) \in R \quad \forall 0 \leq i \leq l(f').$$

*Proof.* For a given  $\pi \mid d$ , let  $\underline{b}_0, \underline{b}_1, \underline{b}_2, \dots$  be a  $\pi$ -sequence in  $\underline{S}$ . Consider the following representation of a given polynomial  $f' \in \text{Int}(\underline{S}, R)$

$$f' = \frac{g'}{d'} = \sum_{i=0}^{l(f')} c_i \frac{\Delta_{\mathbf{m}}(\underline{b}_0, \underline{b}_1, \underline{b}_2, \dots, \underline{b}_{i-1}, \underline{x})}{\Delta_{\mathbf{m}}(\underline{b}_0, \underline{b}_1, \underline{b}_2, \dots, \underline{b}_i)}$$

in the ring  $\text{Int}(\underline{S}, R_{(\pi)})$ . Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} f'(\underline{a}_r) \in R \quad \forall 0 \leq r \leq l(f') &\Rightarrow \sum_{i=0}^{l(f')} c_i \frac{\Delta_{\mathbf{m}}(\underline{b}_0, \underline{b}_1, \underline{b}_2, \dots, \underline{b}_{i-1}, \underline{a}_r)}{\Delta_{\mathbf{m}}(\underline{b}_0, \underline{b}_1, \underline{b}_2, \dots, \underline{b}_i)} \in R_{(\pi)} \quad \forall 0 \leq r \leq l(f') \\ &\Rightarrow c_r \in R_{(\pi)} \quad \forall 0 \leq r \leq l(f') \end{aligned}$$

With this observation, for any  $\underline{a} \in \underline{S}$

$$f'(\underline{a}) = \sum_{i=0}^{k'} c_i \frac{\Delta_{\mathbf{m}}(\underline{b}_0, \underline{b}_1, \underline{b}_2, \dots, \underline{b}_{i-1}, \underline{a})}{\Delta_{\mathbf{m}}(\underline{b}_0, \underline{b}_1, \underline{b}_2, \dots, \underline{b}_i)}$$

is a member of  $R_{(\pi)}$ . Hence  $f' \in \text{Int}(\underline{S}, R_{(\pi)})$ . This can be shown for all the divisors of  $d$ , completing the one part.

Conversely, let  $f' \in \text{Int}(\underline{S}, R)$  then using the congruence  $\underline{a}_r \equiv \underline{b}_r \pmod{\pi^{e_k}}$ , we have

$$g'(\underline{a}_r) \equiv g'(\underline{b}_r) \pmod{\pi^{e_k}}.$$

It follows that  $f'(\underline{a}) \in R \quad \forall 0 \leq i \leq l'_{\mathbf{m},k}$ .

□

Now we prove the main theorem of this section.

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $f = \frac{g}{d} \in \text{Int}(\underline{S}, R)$  be a polynomial of type  $(\mathbf{m}, k)$  and  $\underline{a}_0, \underline{a}_1, \dots, \underline{a}_{\mathbf{m}, k-1}$  be a  $d_{\mathbf{m}}$ -sequence. Then  $f$  is irreducible in  $\text{Int}(\underline{S}, R)$  iff the following holds:*

*for any factorization  $g = g_1 g_2$  in  $R[x]$  and a divisor  $\pi$  of  $d$  such that  $e_k$  is the maximum integer satisfying  $\pi^{e_k} \mid g_1(\underline{a}_i) \forall 0 \leq i \leq l(g_1)$ , there exists an integer  $j$  satisfying  $0 \leq j \leq l(g_2)$  and  $w_\pi(\frac{d}{\pi^{e_k}}) \nmid g_2(\underline{a}_j)$ .*

*Proof.* For a given polynomial  $f = \frac{g}{d} \in \text{Int}(\underline{S}, R)$ , suppose for every factorization  $g = g_1 g_2$  in  $R[x]$  there exist a divisor  $\pi$  of  $d$  satisfying  $\pi^{e_k} \mid g_1(\underline{a}_i) \forall 0 \leq i \leq l(g_1)$  and  $w_\pi(\frac{d}{\pi^{e_k}}) \nmid g_2(\underline{a}_j)$  for some non-negative integer  $j \leq \deg(g_2)$ . Let us assume contrary that  $f$  is reducible. Hence, there exists a factorization

$$f = \frac{h_1}{d_1} \frac{h_2}{d_2},$$

in  $\text{Int}(\underline{S}, R)$  such that  $\frac{h_1}{d_1}$  and  $\frac{h_2}{d_2}$  are members of  $\text{Int}(\underline{S}, R)$ . If for a divisor  $\pi$  of  $d$ ,  $w_\pi(d_1) = \pi^{e_k} = w_\pi(d)$ , then this is clearly a contradiction since  $\pi^0 \mid h_2(a) \forall a \in R$ . By a similar argument,  $w_\pi(d_1)$  cannot be  $\pi^0$ . Hence we conclude that  $w_\pi(d_1)$  cannot be equal to  $w_\pi(d)$ . It follows that there exists a positive integer  $j \leq \deg(h_2)$  such that  $w_\pi(\frac{d}{\pi^{e_k}}) \nmid h_2(\underline{a}_j)$ . By Lemma 4.1, the polynomial  $\frac{h_2}{d_2}$  cannot be a member of  $\text{Int}(\underline{S}, R)$ , which is a contradiction. Hence, the polynomial  $f$  must be irreducible in  $\text{Int}(\underline{S}, R)$ .

Conversely, let  $f = \frac{g}{d} \in \text{Int}(\underline{S}, R)$  be irreducible in  $\text{Int}(\underline{S}, R)$  then for any factorization  $g = g_1 g_2$  in  $R[x]$  we can find suitable  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  such that

$$f = \frac{h_1}{d_1} \frac{h_2}{d_2},$$

where  $\frac{h_1}{d_1} \in \text{Int}(S, R)$  and  $\frac{h_2}{d_2} \notin \text{Int}(S, R)$ . Now by Lemma 4.1 there exists a divisor  $\pi$  of  $d_2$ , such that  $w_\pi(d_2) \nmid h_2(\underline{a}_i)$  for some  $0 \leq i \leq \deg(h_2)$ . However,  $w_\pi(\frac{d}{d_2})$  divides  $h_1(\underline{a}_j) \forall 0 \leq j \leq \deg(h_1)$  which completes the proof.  $\square$

We give an example to illustrate our theorem. We assume that  $x$  is the first variable.

**Example 4.3.** *Suppose we want to check the irreducibility of the bivariate polynomial*

$$f = \frac{1}{4}(4x^2y^2 + 4x^2y + 4xy^3 - 4xy^2 + 10xy + 2x + y^4 - 3y^3 + 5y^2 - 3y + 4)$$

in  $\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z}^2, \mathbb{Z})$ . The only way to factorise  $f$  is the following

$$f = \frac{1}{4}(y^2 - 3y + 2x + 2xy + 4)(y^2 + 2xy + 1).$$

Here both polynomials are of type  $((1, 2), 2)$  and we know

$$S = \{(0, 0), (1, 0), (0, 1), (1, 1), (0, 2)\}$$

is a  $4_{(2,1)}$ -sequence of length four. We need to check the values at these points only. Let  $f_1 = y^2 - 3y + 2x + 2xy + 4$ , then one is the largest positive integer such that  $2^1 \mid f_1(i) \forall i \in S$ . However, for the polynomial  $f_2 = y^2 + 2xy + 1$ ,  $2^{2-1}$  does not divide  $f_2(0,0) = 1$ . Hence, the given polynomial  $f$  is irreducible in  $\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z}^2, \mathbb{Z})$  by Theorem 4.2.

## 5 Further generalizations

In this section, we suggest a generalization of Theorem 4.2 for some more general domains. If the ideal generated by a given element  $d \in D$  in the domain  $D$  factors uniquely as a product of prime ideals, then by a similar way we can get a  $d_{\mathbf{m}}$ -sequence in this setting as well. For the sake of completeness, we state an analogue of our result in the case of a Dedekind domain, where all the ideals factor uniquely as a product of prime ideals. Here, for a given prime ideal  $P \subset D$  and a given element  $d \in D$ ,  $w_P(d)$  denotes the highest power of the prime ideal  $P$  dividing  $d$ .

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let  $\underline{S}$  be an arbitrary subset of a Dedekind domain  $D$  and  $f = \frac{g}{d} \in \text{Int}(\underline{S}, D)$  be a polynomial of type  $(\mathbf{m}, k)$ . If  $\underline{a}_0, \underline{a}_1, \dots, \underline{a}_{l_{\mathbf{m},k}-1}$  is a  $d_{\mathbf{m}}$ -sequence, then  $f$  is irreducible in  $\text{Int}(\underline{S}, D)$  iff the following holds:*

*for any factorization  $g = g_1 g_2$  in  $D[x]$  and a prime ideal  $P$  dividing  $d$  such that  $e_k$  is the maximum integer satisfying  $P^{e_k} \mid g_1(\underline{a}_i) \forall 0 \leq i \leq l(g_1)$ , there exists an integer  $j$  satisfying  $0 \leq j \leq l(g_2)$  and  $w_P(\frac{d}{P^{e_k}}) \nmid g_2(\underline{a}_j)$ .*

*Proof.* The steps of the proof are similar to that of Theorem 4.2. Suppose that for every factorization  $g = g_1 g_2$  in  $D[x]$ , there exists a prime ideal  $P \mid d$  such that  $P^{e_k} \mid g_1(\underline{a}_i) \forall 0 \leq i \leq l(g_1)$  and  $w_P(\frac{d}{P^{e_k}}) \nmid g_2(\underline{a}_j)$  for some  $0 \leq j \leq l(g_2)$ . Let us assume that the polynomial  $f$  is reducible in  $\text{Int}(\underline{S}, D)$ . Then there exist  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  such that

$$f = \frac{g_1}{d_1} \frac{g_2}{d_2},$$

where both of the polynomials,  $\frac{g_1}{d_1}$  and  $\frac{g_2}{d_2}$  are integer-valued.

Since  $\frac{g_1}{d_1}$  and  $\frac{g_2}{d_2}$  are integer-valued, hence for every  $\pi \mid d$  there exists an integer  $c_k$  such that  $w_\pi(d_1) = \pi^{c_k} \mid g_1(\underline{a}_i) \forall 0 \leq i \leq l(g_1)$  and  $w_\pi(\frac{d}{\pi^{c_k}}) \mid g_2(\underline{a}_j) \forall 0 \leq j \leq l(g_2)$ . This means there exist a prime ideal  $P \mid d$  such that  $P^{e_k} \mid g_1(\underline{a}_i) \forall 0 \leq i \leq l(g_1)$  and  $w_P(\frac{d}{P^{e_k}}) \mid g_2(\underline{a}_j) \forall 0 \leq j \leq l(g_2)$ , which is a contradiction to the assumption. The remaining part also follows by a similar way. □

Throughout the proof of Theorem 4.2 (or Theorem 5.1) we used only unique factorization of the element  $d$ . Hence, Theorem 4.2 remains valid for all the domains where  $d$  has a unique factorization into irreducibles (or into prime ideals) and  $R_{(\pi)}$  is local ring for all  $\pi$  dividing  $d$ . Therefore, sometimes our approach

can be helpful in testing the irreducibility of an integer-valued polynomial over any subset of a domain.

To conclude, this article is an initial step to test the irreducibility of multivariate integer-valued polynomials over any subset of a domain. This is still ongoing work. We believe that the irreducibility of multivariate integer-valued polynomials is more interesting than the irreducibility of the integer-valued polynomials in one variable. This is a promising area of research that has not been explored so far. This article is the first step in this broad area of research and may provide some impetus to the readers to work in this exciting area.

## Acknowledgment

We thank the anonymous referee for his valuable suggestions. The author also wishes to thank Professor Sándor Kovács (Editor, *Periodica Mathematica Hungarica*) for his help and guidance.

## References

- [1] Paul-Jean Cahen and Jean-Luc Chabert. *Integer-valued polynomials*, volume 48 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997.
- [2] Sabine Evrard. Bhargava’s factorials in several variables. *J. Algebra*, 372:134–148, 2012.
- [3] Devendra Prasad. *Fixed Divisors and Generalized Factorials*. PhD thesis, Shiv Nadar University, Greater Noida, 2019.
- [4] Devendra Prasad. A generalization of Selfridge’s question. *Integers*, 21:A66, 2021.
- [5] Devendra Prasad. Irreducibility of integer-valued polynomials I. *Comm. Algebra*, 49(3):948–955, 2021.
- [6] Devendra Prasad, Krishnan Rajkumar, and A. Satyanarayana Reddy. A survey on fixed divisors. *Confluentes Math.*, 11(1):29–52, 2019.
- [7] Krishnan Rajkumar, A Satyanarayana Reddy, and Devendra Prasad Semwal. Fixed divisor of a multivariate polynomial and generalized factorials in several variables. *J. Korean Math. Soc.*, 55(6):1305–1320, 2018.