

# FINITE GROUPS WITH $\mathbb{P}$ -SUBNORMAL AND STRONGLY PERMUTABLE SUBGROUPS

V. S. MONAKHOV<sup>1</sup>, I. L. SOKHOR<sup>2</sup>

**Abstract:** Let  $H$  be a subgroup of a group  $G$ . The permutizer  $P_G(H)$  is the subgroup generated by all cyclic subgroups of  $G$  which permute with  $H$ . A subgroup  $H$  of a group  $G$  is strongly permutable in  $G$  if  $P_U(H) = U$  for every subgroup  $U$  of  $G$  such that  $H \leq U \leq G$ . We investigate groups with  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal or strongly permutable Sylow and primary cyclic subgroups. In particular, we prove that groups with all strongly permutable primary cyclic subgroups are supersoluble.

**Keywords:** finite group, permutizer,  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormality, simple group, supersoluble group.

## 1 Introduction

All groups in this paper are finite. A group of prime power order is called a primary group.

Let  $H$  be a subgroup of a group  $G$ . The permutizer of  $H$  in  $G$  is the subgroup generated by all cyclic subgroups of  $G$  which permute with  $H$ , i. e.

$$P_G(H) = \langle x \in G \mid \langle x \rangle H = H \langle x \rangle \rangle.$$

The permutizer  $P_G(H)$  contains the normalizer  $N_G(H)$ , see [1, p. 26]. X. Liu and Y. Wang [2] proved that a group  $G$  has a Sylow tower of supersoluble type if  $P_G(X) = G$  for every Sylow subgroup  $X$  of  $G$ . A. F. Vasil'ev, V. A. Vasil'ev and T. I. Vasil'eva [3] described the structure of a group  $G$  in which  $P_Y(X) = Y$  for every Sylow subgroup  $X$  of  $G$  and every subgroup  $Y \geq X$ . They proposed the following notation.

**Definition 1.** A subgroup  $H$  of a group  $G$  is

- (1) *permutable* in  $G$  if  $P_G(H) = G$ ;
- (2) *strongly permutable* in  $G$  if  $P_U(H) = U$  for every subgroup  $U$  of  $G$  such that  $H \leq U \leq G$ .

We note that a quasinormal subgroup is strongly permutable. In the symmetric group  $S_n$ ,  $n \in \{3, 4, 6\}$ , a Sylow 2-subgroup is strongly permutable, but it is not quasinormal.

A. F. Vasil'ev, V. A. Vasil'ev and V. N. Tyutyanov [4] proposed the following notation.

**Definition 2.** Let  $\mathbb{P}$  be the set of all primes. A subgroup  $H$  of a group  $G$  is called  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal in  $G$  if there is a subgroup chain

$$H = H_0 \leq H_1 \leq \dots \leq H_n = G \tag{1}$$

such that  $|H_i : H_{i-1}| \in \mathbb{P} \cup \{1\}$  for every  $i$ .

The class of all groups with  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal Sylow subgroups is denoted by  $w\mathfrak{U}$  and the class of all groups with  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal primary cyclic subgroups is denoted by  $v\mathfrak{U}$ . These classes are quite well studied [4–6]. In particular, these classes are subgroup-closed saturated formations.

In a soluble group, a  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal Hall subgroup (in particular, a Sylow subgroup) is strongly permutable [3, 3.8]. We prove that in a soluble group, the converse is true, see Proposition 1. As a result we obtain new criteria for the supersolubility of a group and also [7, Theorem A]: *a group  $G \in w\mathfrak{U}$  if and only if every Sylow subgroup is  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal or strongly permutable in  $G$ .*

In the following theorem, we enumerate all simple non-abelian groups with a  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal or strongly permutable Sylow subgroup.

---

<sup>1</sup>Scorina Gomel State University, Gomel, Belarus; [Victor.Monakhov@gmail.com](mailto:Victor.Monakhov@gmail.com)

<sup>2</sup>Brest State A.S. Pushkin University, Brest, Belarus; [irina.sokhor@gmail.com](mailto:irina.sokhor@gmail.com)

**Theorem A.** *Let  $G$  be a simple non-abelian group and let  $R$  be a Sylow  $r$ -subgroup of  $G$ .*

(1) *If  $R$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal in  $G$ , then  $r = 2$  and  $G$  is isomorphic to  $L_2(7)$ ,  $L_2(11)$  or  $L_2(2^m)$  and  $2^m + 1$  is a prime.*

(2) *If  $R$  is strongly permutable and  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal in  $G$ , then  $r = 2$  and  $G \cong L_2(7)$ .*

For a primary cyclic subgroups we prove two following theorems.

**Theorem B.** *If all primary cyclic subgroups of a group  $G$  are strongly permutable, then  $G$  is supersoluble.*

**Theorem C.** *If every primary cyclic subgroup of a group  $G$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal or strongly permutable, then  $G \in \text{v}\mathfrak{A}$ .*

## 2 Preliminaries

Let  $G$  be a group. We use  $\pi(G)$  to denote the set of all prime divisors of  $|G|$ . If  $r$  is a maximal element of  $\pi(G)$ , then we write  $r = \max \pi(G)$ . By  $H \leq G$  ( $H < G$ ,  $H \triangleleft G$ ,  $H \triangleleft G$ ) we denote a (proper, maximal, normal) subgroup  $H$  of  $G$ .

We use the GAP system [8] to build examples. Note that GAP package Permut [9] is especially useful for testing subgroups permutability.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $H$  and  $L$  be subgroups of a group  $G$  and let  $N$  be a normal subgroup of  $G$ .*

(1) *If  $H$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal in  $G$ , then  $H \cap N$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal in  $N$  and  $HN/N$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal in  $G/N$  [5, Lemma 3].*

(2) *If  $H$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal in a soluble group  $G$  and  $U \leq G$ , then  $H \cap U$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal in  $U$  [5, Lemma 4(1)].*

(3) *If  $H \leq L$ ,  $H$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal in  $L$  and  $L$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal in  $G$ , then  $H$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal in  $G$  [5, Lemma 3].*

**Lemma 2** ([5, Lemma 2(2)]). *Every subgroup of a supersoluble group is  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal.*

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $H$  be a  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal subgroup of a group  $G$ . If  $r = \max \pi(G)$ , then  $O_r(H) \leq O_r(G)$ .*

*Proof.* By the hypothesis, there is a subgroup chain

$$H = H_0 < H_1 < H_2 < \dots < H_n = G$$

such that for every  $i$ ,  $|H_i : H_{i-1}| \in \mathbb{P}$ . Assume that  $O_r(H) \leq O_r(H_{i-1})$ , we prove  $O_r(H) \leq O_r(H_i)$ . Let  $H_{i-1} = A$  and  $H_i = B$ . If  $A$  is normal in  $B$ , then  $O_r(A)$  is subnormal in  $B$ , and  $O_r(H) \leq O_r(A) \leq O_r(B)$ . If  $A$  is not normal in  $B$ , then  $|B : A| = q \in \mathbb{P}$  and  $A = N_B(A)$ . Consider the representation of  $B$  by permutations on the right cosets of  $A$  [10, I.6.2]. Note that  $B/A_B$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of the symmetric group  $S_q$  and  $|B/A_B : A/A_B| = q$ . Since  $|S_q| = q! = (q-1)!q$  and  $|B/A_B|$  divides  $|S_q|$ , we get  $|A/A_B|$  divides  $(q-1)!$ . As  $q \in \pi(B) \subseteq \pi(G)$  and  $r = \max \pi(G)$ , we have  $q \leq r$  and  $A/A_B$  is an  $r'$ -group. Therefore  $O_r(A) \leq A_B$ . Since  $O_r(A)$  is normal in  $A_B$ , we get  $O_r(A)$  is subnormal in  $B$  and  $O_r(H) \leq O_r(A) \leq O_r(B)$ . Hence  $O_r(H) \leq O_r(G)$  by induction.  $\square$

The following lemma contains permutable and strongly permutable subgroups properties we need.

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $H$  be a subgroup of a group  $G$  and let  $N$  be a normal subgroup of  $G$ .*

(1) *If  $H$  is (strongly) permutable in  $G$ , then  $HN/N$  is (strongly) permutable in  $G/N$  [3, lemma 3.2. (1),(4)].*

(2) *If  $N \leq H$ , then  $H$  is (strongly) permutable in  $G$  if and only if  $H/N$  is (strongly) permutable in  $G/N$ .*

(3) *If  $H$  is strongly permutable in  $G$  and  $H \leq U$ , then  $H$  is strongly permutable in  $U$ .*

*Proof.* (2) This statement is known for permutable subgroups [3, lemma 3.2(3)]. We prove it for strongly permutable subgroups. If  $H$  is a strongly permutable subgroup of  $G$ , then in view of Statement (1),  $H/N$  is strongly permutable in  $G/N$ . Conversely, let  $H/N$  be strongly permutable in  $G/N$  and let  $A$  be a subgroup of  $G$  containing  $H$ . Then  $P_{A/N}(H/N) = A/N$ . In view of [3, Lemma 3.6],  $P_{A/N}(H/N) = P_A(H)/N$  and  $P_A(H) = A$ , hence  $H$  is strongly permutable in  $G$ .

(3) This is evident in view of Definition 1(2). □

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $r = \max \pi(G)$  and let  $R$  be a Sylow  $r$ -subgroup of a group  $G$ . Then  $N_G(R) = P_G(R)$ . In particular, if  $R$  is permutable in  $G$ , then  $R$  is normal in  $G$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x \in G$  and  $R\langle x \rangle = \langle x \rangle R$ . It is clear  $\langle x \rangle = \langle x_1 \rangle \times \langle x_2 \rangle$ , where  $\langle x_1 \rangle$  is a Sylow  $r$ -subgroup of  $\langle x \rangle$  and  $\langle x_2 \rangle$  is a Hall  $r'$ -subgroup of  $\langle x \rangle$ . In view of [10, VI.4.7],  $R = R\langle x_1 \rangle$ , therefore  $R\langle x \rangle = R\langle x_2 \rangle$ . Now, all Sylow  $r'$ -subgroups of  $R\langle x \rangle$  is cyclic. As  $r = \max \pi(R\langle x \rangle)$ , it implies that  $R$  is normal in  $R\langle x \rangle$  by [10, IV.2.7], and  $\langle x \rangle \leq N_G(R)$ . Since  $P_G(R)$  is generated by elements  $x$  such that  $R\langle x \rangle = \langle x \rangle R$ , we conclude  $P_G(R) = N_G(R)$ . □

**Lemma 6.** *If every Sylow subgroup of a group  $G$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal or permutable, then  $G$  has a Sylow tower of supersoluble type.*

*Proof.* Let  $R$  be a Sylow  $r$ -subgroup of a group  $G$  for  $r = \max \pi(G)$ . If  $R$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal in  $G$ , then  $R$  is normal in  $G$  by Lemma 3. If  $R$  is permutable in  $G$ , then  $R$  is normal in  $G$  by Lemma 5. Hence  $R$  is normal in  $G$ .

Let  $\overline{Q}$  be a Sylow  $q$ -subgroup of  $\overline{G} = G/R$ . Then  $\overline{Q} = QR/R$  for a Sylow  $q$ -subgroup  $Q$  of  $G$ . If  $Q$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal in  $G$ , then  $\overline{Q}$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal in  $\overline{G}$  in view of Lemma 1(1). If  $Q$  is permutable in  $G$ , then  $\overline{Q}$  is permutable in  $\overline{G}$  by Lemma 4(1). Thus, the hypothesis holds for  $\overline{G}$ , and by induction,  $\overline{G}$  has a Sylow tower of supersoluble type. Therefore  $G$  also has a Sylow tower of supersoluble type. □

**Lemma 7** ([11, Lemma 2.1]). *Let  $M$  be a maximal subgroup of a soluble group  $G$ , and assume that  $G = MC$  for a cyclic subgroup  $C$ . Then  $|G : M|$  is a prime or 4. Also, if  $|G : M| = 4$ , then  $G/M_G = S_4$ .*

We will also repeatedly use the following statement.

**Lemma 8** ([5, Lemma 2.2]). *Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a saturated formation and let  $G$  be a group. Suppose that  $G \notin \mathfrak{F}$  but  $G/N \in \mathfrak{F}$  for any normal subgroup  $N$  of  $G$ ,  $N \neq 1$ . Then  $G$  is a primitive group.*

### 3 Groups with permutable and $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal Sylow subgroups

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $G$  be a soluble group and let  $H$  be a Hall subgroup. Then  $H$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal in  $G$  if and only if  $H$  is strongly permutable in  $G$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $H$  be  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal in  $G$ . According to [3, 3.8],  $H$  is strongly permutable in  $G$ . For completeness, we give the proof of this statement. We use induction on the order of  $G$ . Since  $H$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal in  $G$ , there is a maximal subgroup  $M$  of  $G$  such that  $H \leq M$ ,  $|G : M| \in \mathbb{P}$  and  $H$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal in  $M$ . By induction,  $H$  is strongly permutable in  $M$  and  $M = P_M(H) \leq P_G(H)$ . Since  $M$  is a maximal subgroup of  $G$ , we assume  $P_G(H) = M$ . Suppose that  $M_G \neq 1$  and  $L$  is a minimal normal subgroup of  $G$  that is contained in  $M_G$ . According to Lemma 1 (1),  $HL/L$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal in  $G/L$ , and by induction,  $HL/L$  is permutable in  $G/L$ . Hence  $HL$  is permutable in  $G$  in view of Lemma 4 (3). Since  $G$  is soluble, we conclude  $L$  is an elementary abelian  $q$ -group for some  $q \in \pi(G)$ . If  $q \in \pi(H)$ , then  $HL = H$  and  $H$  is permutable in  $G$ , a contradiction. Therefore we can assume that  $q \notin \pi(H)$ . Since  $HL$  is permutable in  $G$ , then  $P_G(HL) = G$  and there is  $x \in G \setminus M$  such that  $\langle x \rangle HL = HL \langle x \rangle = A$ . Suppose that  $A$  is a proper subgroup of  $G$ . As  $H$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal in  $G$ , by Lemma 1 (2), it follows that  $H$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal in  $A$ , and by induction,  $H$  is permutable in  $A$ . Therefore  $A = P_A(H) \leq P_G(H) = M$  and  $x \in M$ , a contradiction. Hence  $G = \langle x \rangle HL$ . If  $L \leq \Phi(G)$ , then  $G = \langle x \rangle H$  and  $x \in P_G(H) = M$ , a contradiction. Consequently,  $L$  is not contained in  $\Phi(G)$  and there is a maximal subgroup  $K$  of  $G$  that does not contain  $L$ . In that case,  $G = LK$  and we can assume  $H \leq K$ . By induction,  $H$  is permutable in  $K$  and  $K = P_K(H) \leq P_G(H) = M$ . Hence we get  $M = K$  and  $L \leq K$ , a contradiction. Thus,  $M_G = 1$  and  $G$  is a primitive group. Consequently,  $G = N \rtimes M$ , where  $N = F(G)$  is a unique minimal normal subgroup of  $G$ . Since  $|G : M| \in \mathbb{P}$ , we deduce  $N$  is a cyclic subgroup and  $N \leq P_G(H) = M$ , a contradiction. Thus  $H$  is permutable in  $G$ , and in view of Lemma 1 (2),  $H$  is strongly permutable in  $G$ .

Conversely, let  $H$  be a Hall strongly permutable subgroup of a soluble group  $G$ . Using induction on the order of  $G$  we prove that  $H$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal in  $G$ . Let  $H \leq M < G$ . By Lemma 4 (3),  $H$  is strongly permutable in  $M$ , and by induction,  $H$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal in  $M$ . If  $|G : M| \in \mathbb{P}$ , then  $H$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal in  $G$  by Lemma 1 (3). Hence we can assume that  $|G : M| \notin \mathbb{P}$ , in particular,  $M$  is not normal in  $G$ . According to Lemma 4 (1),  $G/M_G$  contains a strongly permutable Hall subgroup  $HM_G/M_G$ . As  $HM_G \leq M$ , we obtain that  $H$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal in  $HM_G$  by induction. If  $M_G \neq 1$ , then  $HM_G/M_G$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal in  $G/M_G$  by induction. By Lemma 1 (1),  $HM_G$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal in  $G$ , and  $H$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal in  $G$  in view of Lemma 1 (3). Therefore we can assume that  $M_G = 1$ . Since  $G$  is soluble, we get  $G = N \rtimes M$ ,  $N = F(G) = C_G(N) = O_p(G)$  is a unique minimal normal subgroup in  $G$ . Let  $HN < G$ . By induction,  $HN/N$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal in  $G/N$ , and  $H$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal in  $G$ . Finally, we consider the case, when  $H = M$  is a Hall subgroup. By the hypothesis, there is  $x \in G \setminus H$  such that  $\langle x \rangle H = H \langle x \rangle = G$ . Let  $\langle x \rangle = \langle x_1 \rangle \times \langle x_2 \rangle$ , where  $\langle x_1 \rangle$  is a  $p$ -subgroup and  $\langle x_2 \rangle$  is a  $p'$ -subgroup. Since  $N$  is a normal Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ , we conclude  $\langle x_1 \rangle \leq N$  and  $|\langle x_1 \rangle| = p$ . According to [10, VI.4.6],  $H = \langle x_2 \rangle H$ . Now,  $G = \langle x \rangle H = \langle x_1 \rangle H$  and  $|G : H| = p$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 1.1.** [7, Theorem A] *If every Sylow subgroup of a group  $G$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal or strongly permutable, then  $G \in \mathbf{w}\mathfrak{A}$ . Conversely, if  $G \in \mathbf{w}\mathfrak{A}$ , then every Sylow subgroup is  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal and strongly permutable in  $G$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that every Sylow subgroup of  $G$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal or strongly permutable. By Lemma 6,  $G$  has a Sylow tower of supersoluble type, which means that  $G$  is soluble. Hence by Proposition 1, every strongly permutable Sylow subgroup of  $G$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal and  $G \in \mathbf{w}\mathfrak{A}$ .

Conversely, let  $G \in \mathbf{w}\mathfrak{A}$ . By the definition of  $\mathbf{w}\mathfrak{A}$ , every Sylow subgroup is  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal in  $G$ . Since  $G$  is soluble, according to Proposition 1, every Sylow subgroup is strongly permutable in  $G$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 1.2.** *Let  $G$  be a group. The following statements are equivalent.*

- (1)  $G$  is supersoluble.
- (2) Every Hall subgroup of  $G$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal or strongly permutable.
- (3) Every Hall subgroup of  $G$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal or permutable.

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): If  $G$  is supersolvable, then by Lemma 2, every subgroup in  $G$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal, and every Hall subgroup is  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal. Since  $G$  is soluble, we conclude that every Hall subgroup is strongly permutable in  $G$  in view of Proposition 1.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3): It is evident since every strongly permutable subgroup is permutable.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): Assume that every Hall subgroup of a group  $G$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal or permutable. By Lemma 6,  $G$  has a Sylow tower of supersoluble type, and for  $r = \max \pi(G)$ , a Sylow  $r$ -subgroup  $R$  is normal in  $G$ .

Let  $N$  be a normal subgroup of  $G$ ,  $N \neq 1$ , and let  $\overline{H}$  be a Hall  $\pi$ -subgroup of  $\overline{G} = G/N$  for  $\pi \subseteq \pi(G)$ . Then  $\overline{H} = HN/N$  for a Hall  $\pi$ -subgroup  $H$  of  $G$ . If  $H$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal in  $G$ , then  $\overline{H}$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal in  $\overline{G}$  by Lemma 1 (1). If  $H$  is permutable in  $G$ , then  $\overline{H}$  is permutable in  $\overline{G}$  by Lemma 4 (1). Thus the hypothesis holds for  $\overline{G}$ , and by induction,  $\overline{G} \in \mathfrak{U}$ . As  $\mathfrak{U}$  is a subgroup-closed saturated formation, we deduce  $G$  is a primitive group by Lemma 8. According to properties of primitive groups,  $\Phi(G) = 1$ ,  $G = R \rtimes M$ ,  $R = F(G)$  is a minimal normal subgroup in  $G$ ,  $|R| > r$ ,  $M$  is a maximal subgroup in  $G$ ,  $M \in \mathfrak{U}$ . Note that  $M$  is a Hall subgroup. If  $M$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal in  $G$ , then  $|G : M| = r = |R|$ , a contradiction. Suppose that  $M$  is permutable in  $G$ , i. e.  $P_G(M) = G$ . In that case, there is  $x \in G \setminus M$  such that  $G = M\langle x \rangle$ . In view of Lemma 7,  $|G : M| = 4$ , but  $r = \max \pi(G)$ . Hence  $G$  is a 2-group.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.3.** *If every Sylow subgroup of a biprimary group  $G$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal or permutable, then  $G$  is supersoluble. Conversely, in a supersoluble biprimary group every Sylow subgroup is  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal and strongly permutable.*

According to Proposition 1, for a Hall subgroup of a soluble group,  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormality and strongly permutability are equivalent. In simple groups, this is not true.

**Example 1.** In  $L_2(8)$ , a Hall  $\{2, 7\}$ -subgroup is strongly permutable, but it is not  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal.

**Example 2.** In  $L_2(9)$ , a Sylow 2-subgroup is strongly permutable, but it is not  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal.

**Example 3.** In  $L_2(5)$ , a Sylow 2-subgroup is  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal, but it is not permutable.

**Theorem A.** *Let  $G$  be a simple non-abelian group and let  $R$  be a Sylow  $r$ -subgroup of  $G$ .*

(1) *If  $R$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal in  $G$ , then  $r = 2$  and  $G$  is isomorphic to  $L_2(7)$ ,  $L_2(11)$  or  $L_2(2^m)$  and  $2^m + 1$  is a prime.*

(2) *If  $R$  is strongly permutable and  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal in  $G$ , then  $r = 2$  and  $G \cong L_2(7)$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $R$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal in  $G$ , there is a subgroup chain

$$R = H_0 \leq H_1 \leq H_2 \leq \dots \leq H_{n-1} = H \leq H_n = G$$

such that  $|H_{i+1} : H_i| \in \mathbb{P}$ . It is clear that  $R$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal in  $H$ . Let  $|G : H| = p$ . Since  $H_G = 1$ , the representation of  $G$  on the set of left cosets by  $H$  is exactly of degree  $p$  [10, I.6.2] and  $G$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of the symmetric group  $S_p$  of order  $p$  for  $p = \max \pi(G)$ ,  $H$  is a Hall  $p'$ -subgroup of  $G$ . From Lemma 3 it follows that a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$  is not  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal in  $G$ , therefore  $r < p$ . Since the unit subgroup is  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal in  $R$ , the unit subgroup is  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal in  $H$  and in  $G$ . According to [12], [13, p. 342],  $G$  is isomorphic to one of the following groups

$$L_2(7), L_2(11), L_3(3), L_3(5), L_2(2^m), 2^m + 1 \text{ is prime.}$$

Let  $G \cong L_2(7)$ . Then  $|G| = 2^3 \cdot 3 \cdot 7$ ,  $p = 7$ ,  $H \cong S_4$ . In  $S_4$ , a Sylow 2-subgroup is  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal, a Sylow 3-subgroup is not  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal, therefore  $r = 2$ . In  $L_2(7)$ , there are two conjugate classes that are isomorphic to  $S_4$ . Since all Sylow 2-subgroups are conjugate, we get  $R$  is contained in two non-conjugate subgroups  $A \leq G$  and  $B \leq G$  that are isomorphic to  $S_4$ .

Since  $A = RC_3$ ,  $B = RC_3^x$ ,  $x \in G$ , we obtain  $G = \langle A, B \rangle \leq P_G(R)$ . So  $R$  is permutable in  $G$ . If  $R < U < G$ , then  $U \cong S_4$ , therefore  $R$  is strongly permutable in  $G$ .

Let  $G \cong L_2(11)$ . Then  $|G| = 2^2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 11$ ,  $p = 11$ ,  $H \cong L_2(5)$ . In  $L_2(5)$ , only a Sylow 2-subgroup is  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal, therefore  $r = 2$ . But  $R$  is not permutable in  $H \cong L_2(5)$ , hence  $R$  is not strongly permutable in  $G \cong L_2(11)$ .

Let  $G \cong L_3(3)$ . Then  $|G| = 2^4 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 13$ ,  $p = 13$  and  $H \cong M_9 : S_3 \cong C_3^2 : GL_2(3)$ . Since  $|H| = 2^4 \cdot 3^3$  and  $H$  is not 3-closed, we have  $r \neq 3$  by Lemma 3 and  $r = 2$ . But a Sylow 2-subgroup  $R$  is not  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal in  $H$  according to [14]. Therefore in  $G \cong L_3(3)$ , there are no  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal Sylow subgroups.

Let  $G \cong L_3(5)$ . Then  $|G| = 2^5 \cdot 3 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 31$ ,  $p = 31$  and  $H \cong C_5^2 : GL_2(5)$ . Since  $|H| = 2^5 \cdot 3 \cdot 5^3$  and  $H$  is not 5-closed, we get  $r \neq 5$  by Lemma 3 and  $r \in \{2, 3\}$ . But a Sylow 2-subgroup and 3-subgroup are not  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal in  $H$  according to [14]. Therefore in  $G \cong L_3(5)$ , there are no  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal Sylow subgroups.

Let  $G \cong L_2(2^m)$ , where  $2^m + 1$  is prime. Then  $|G| = 2^m(2^m - 1)(2^m + 1)$ ,  $p = 2^m + 1$  and  $H = N_G(Q) \cong C_2^m : C_{2^m-1}$ ,  $Q \cong C_2^m$  is a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $G$ . Since  $Q$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal in  $H$ , we deduce  $Q$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal in  $G$ . Suppose that  $\langle g \rangle Q = Q \langle g \rangle$  for some  $g \in G$ . Then  $\langle g \rangle Q \leq N_G(Q)$  according to [10, II.8.27]. Hence  $P_G(Q) = N_G(Q)$  and a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $G \cong L_2(2^m)$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal in  $G$ , but it is not permutable in  $G$ . Suppose that  $r \neq 2$ . Then  $R \leq N_G(Q) = H$  and  $R$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal in  $RQ$  by Lemma 1 (2), since  $R$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal in  $H$  and  $H$  is soluble. By Lemma 3,  $R$  is normal in  $RQ$  and  $R \leq C_G(Q)$ , which is impossible in  $G \cong L_2(2^m)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary A.1** ([15, Theorem 2.1]). *If a Sylow  $r$ -subgroup of a group  $G$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal and  $r > 2$ , then  $G$  is  $r$ -soluble.*

*Proof.* By Theorem A (1),  $G$  is not simple. Let  $N$  be a normal subgroup of  $G$ ,  $1 \neq N \neq G$ . Then  $R \cap N$  is a Sylow  $r$ -subgroup of  $N$  and  $R \cap N$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal in  $N$  in view of Lemma 1 (1). By induction,  $N$  is  $r$ -soluble. Note that  $RN/N$  is a Sylow  $r$ -subgroup of  $G/N$  and  $RN/N$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal in  $G/N$  in view of Lemma 1 (1). By induction,  $G/N$  is  $r$ -soluble. Therefore  $G$  is  $r$ -soluble.  $\square$

**Corollary A.2** ([15, Corollary 2.1.1]). *If a Sylow 3-subgroup and Sylow 5-subgroup of a group  $G$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal, then  $G$  is soluble.*

*Proof.* By Corollary A.1,  $G$  is 3-soluble and 5-soluble. Hence  $G$  has a normal series, in which factors are 3-groups, 5-groups or  $\{3, 5\}'$ -groups. Since  $\{3, 5\}'$ -groups are soluble [16, Theorem, p.18], we conclude  $G$  is soluble.  $\square$

## 4 Groups with permutable and $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal primary cyclic subgroups

Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a class of groups. A group  $G$  is called a minimal non- $\mathfrak{F}$ -group if  $G \notin \mathfrak{F}$  but every proper subgroup of  $G$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{F}$ . Minimal non- $\mathfrak{N}$ -groups are also called Schmidt groups. We remind the properties of Schmidt groups and minimal non-supersoluble groups we need.

**Lemma 9** ([17, Theorem 1.1, 1.2, 1.5], [18, Theorem 3]). *Let  $S$  be a Schmidt group. Then the following statements hold.*

(1)  $S = P \rtimes Q$ , where  $P$  is a normal Sylow  $p$ -subgroup and  $Q$  is a non-normal Sylow  $q$ -subgroup,  $p$  and  $q$  are different primes and

(1.1) if  $P$  is abelian, then  $P$  is elementary abelian of order  $p^m$ , where  $m$  is the order of  $p$  modulo  $q$ ;

- (1.2) if  $P$  is not abelian, then  $Z(P) = P' = \Phi(P)$  and  $|P/Z(P)| = p^m$ ;
- (1.3) if  $p > 2$ , then  $P$  has the exponent  $p$ ; for  $p = 2$ , the exponent of  $P$  is not more than 4.
- (1.4)  $Q = \langle y \rangle$  is a cyclic subgroup and  $y^q \in Z(S)$ .
- (2)  $G$  has exactly two classes of conjugate maximal subgroups

$$\{P \times \langle y^q \rangle\}, \quad \{\Phi(P) \times \langle x^{-1}yx \rangle \mid x \in P \setminus \Phi(P)\}.$$

**Lemma 10** ([19, 20]). *Let  $G$  be a minimal non-supersoluble group. Then the following statements hold.*

- (1)  $G$  is soluble and  $|\pi(G)| \leq 3$ ;
- (2) If  $G$  is not a Schmidt group, then  $G$  has a Sylow tower of supersolvable type;
- (3)  $G$  has a unique normal Sylow subgroup  $P$  and  $P = G^{\mathfrak{U}}$ ;
- (4)  $|P/\Phi(P)| > p$  and  $P/\Phi(P)$  is a minimal normal subgroup of  $G/\Phi(P)$ ;
- (5) If  $\Phi(G) = 1$ , then  $O_{p'}(G) = 1$  and  $Q$  is either nonabelian of order  $q^3$  and exponent  $q$ , or  $Q$  is a cyclic  $q$ -group, or  $Q$  is a  $q$ -group with a cyclic subgroup of index  $q$ , or  $Q$  is a supersoluble Schmidt group.

**Lemma 11** ([21, Lemma 1]). *Let  $S = P \rtimes Q$  be a supersoluble Schmidt group. Then  $P = \langle x \rangle$  is a normal subgroup of order  $p$ ,  $Q = \langle y \rangle$  is a cyclic subgroup of order  $q^b$ , where  $q$  divides  $p - 1$ .*

**Lemma 12.** *Let  $G = P \rtimes Q$  be a Schmidt group,  $Q = \langle y \rangle$ . If  $x \in G$  and  $|y|$  does not divide  $|x|$ , then  $x \in P \times \langle y^q \rangle$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\langle x \rangle = \langle x_1 \rangle \times \langle x_2 \rangle$ , where  $\langle x_1 \rangle$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup and  $\langle x_2 \rangle$  is a Sylow  $q$ -subgroup of  $\langle x \rangle$ . Since  $P$  is normal in  $G$ ,  $\langle x_1 \rangle \leq P$ . Let  $\langle x_2 \rangle \leq Q^g = \langle y^g \rangle$ ,  $g \in G$ . As  $|y|$  does not divide  $|x_2|$ , we conclude  $\langle x_2 \rangle < \langle y^g \rangle$  and  $x_2 \in \langle (y^g)^q \rangle$ . But  $y^q \in Z(G)$ , therefore

$$(y^g)^q = \underbrace{y^g \cdot y^g \cdot \dots \cdot y^g}_q = g^{-1}y^qg = y^q$$

and  $x_2 \in \langle y^q \rangle$ . Consequently,  $\langle x \rangle \leq P \times \langle y^q \rangle$ . □

**Lemma 13.** (1) *In a supersoluble Schmidt group, every subgroup is strongly permutable.*

(2) *Let  $G = P \rtimes Q$  be a non-supersoluble Schmidt group. Then the following statements hold.*

- (2.1)  $Q$  is not permutable and  $N_G(Q) = P_G(Q) = \Phi(P) \times Q \triangleleft G$ .
- (2.2) If  $H \leq P$  and  $P_G(H) = G$ , then either  $H = P$  or  $H \leq \Phi(G)$ .
- (2.3) Every primary permutable subgroup is normal in  $G$ , and so it is strongly permutable in  $G$ .

(3) *In Schmidt group  $G$ , every subgroup of prime order and every cyclic subgroup of order 4 is strongly permutable if and only if  $G$  is supersoluble.*

*Proof.* In view of Lemma 9 (2), maximal subgroups of  $G$  are reduced to  $N_G(Q^g) = \Phi(P) \times Q^g$ ,  $g \in G$  and  $P \times \langle y^q \rangle \triangleleft G$ ,  $\langle y \rangle = Q$ .

(1) Let  $G = P \rtimes Q$  be a supersoluble Schmidt group. Then  $|P| = p$  and  $q$  divides  $p - 1$ , where  $|Q| = q^b$  by Lemma 11. It is clear that  $P$  and  $Q$  are strongly permutable in  $G$ . Let  $Q_1 \triangleleft Q$ . Note that  $P \times Q_1$  is cyclic and normal in  $G$ . Hence all subgroups of  $P \times Q_1$  is normal in  $G$  and strongly permutable.

(2) Let  $G = P \rtimes Q$  be a non-supersoluble Schmidt group.

(2.1) Suppose that there is  $x \in G \setminus N_G(Q)$  such that  $\langle x \rangle Q = Q \langle x \rangle$ . Let  $\langle x \rangle = \langle a \rangle \langle b \rangle$ , where  $\langle a \rangle$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup and  $\langle b \rangle$  is a Sylow  $q$ -subgroup of  $\langle x \rangle$ . Since  $\langle b \rangle Q = Q$  according to [10, VI.4.7],

$$\langle x \rangle Q = \langle a \rangle Q = \langle a \rangle \rtimes Q, \quad a \in N_G(Q) = \Phi(P) \times Q,$$

So,  $x \in N_G(Q)$  and  $N_G(Q) = P_G(Q) \triangleleft G$ .

(2.2) Assume that  $H \leq P$  and  $P_G(H) = G$ . Since  $P$  is normal in  $G$ , then  $P_G(P) = G$ . Let

$$H < P, x_i \in P_G(H), \langle x_i \rangle H = H \langle x_i \rangle, i = 1, \dots, n, P_G(H) = \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle.$$

If  $|Q|$  does not divide  $|x_i|$  for every  $i$ , then  $P_G(H) \leq P \times \langle y^q \rangle < G$  by Lemma 12, a contradiction with the hypothesis. Therefore there is  $x_j$  such that  $\langle x_j \rangle H = H \langle x_j \rangle$  and  $|Q|$  divides  $|x_j|$ . Hence  $\langle x_j \rangle = \langle u \rangle \times Q^g$  for some  $u \in P$  and  $g \in G$ . Since  $\langle x_j \rangle < G$ ,

$$\langle x_j \rangle = \langle u \rangle \times Q^g \leq \Phi(P) \times Q^g.$$

Hence  $u \in \Phi(P)$ . If  $\langle x_j \rangle H = G$ , then  $\langle u \rangle H = P$  and  $H = P$ , a contradiction. So,  $\langle x_j \rangle H < G$  and  $\langle x_j \rangle H \leq \Phi(P) \times Q^g$ , hence  $H \leq \Phi(P)$ . Since  $P$  is normal in  $G$ , we conclude  $H \leq \Phi(P) \leq \Phi(G)$ .

(3) Suppose that in a Schmidt group  $G = P \rtimes Q$ , every cyclic subgroup of prime order and cyclic subgroup of order 4 is strongly permutable. Statement (2.2) implies  $|P| = p$  and  $G$  is supersoluble. Conversely, if  $G$  is a supersoluble Schmidt group, then by Statement (1), every subgroup of prime order and every cyclic subgroup of order 4 is strongly permutable.  $\square$

**Lemma 14.** *Let  $H$  be a  $p$ -group of exponent  $p$  and  $x \notin Z(H)$ . Then  $N_H(\langle x \rangle) = P_H(\langle x \rangle)$  and  $\langle x \rangle$  is not permutable in  $H$ .*

*Proof.* It is clear that  $N_H(\langle x \rangle) \leq P_H(\langle x \rangle)$ . Choose  $y \in H \setminus \langle x \rangle$  such that  $\langle x \rangle \langle y \rangle = \langle y \rangle \langle x \rangle$ . Since  $H$  is a  $p$ -group of exponent  $p$ , we get  $|\langle x \rangle \langle y \rangle| = p^2$ . Consequently,  $H$  is abelian and  $\langle x \rangle \langle y \rangle = \langle x \rangle \times \langle y \rangle$ , and so  $y \in N_H(\langle x \rangle)$  and  $N_H(\langle x \rangle) = P_H(\langle x \rangle)$ . As  $x \notin Z(H)$ , we have  $H \neq N_H(\langle x \rangle) = P_H(\langle x \rangle)$  and  $\langle x \rangle$  is not permutable in  $H$ .  $\square$

**Theorem B.** *If all primary cyclic subgroups of a group  $G$  are strongly permutable, then  $G$  is supersoluble.*

*Proof.* We use induction on the group order. In view of Lemma 4(3) and by induction, all proper subgroups of  $G$  are supersoluble. Hence  $G = P \rtimes S$  is a minimal non-supersoluble group,  $P = G^u$ . By Lemma 13(3),  $G$  is not a Schmidt group, therefore  $G$  has a Sylow tower of supersoluble type and  $P$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$  for  $p = \max \pi(G)$ . In particular,  $p > 2$  and all nontrivial elements in  $P$  are of order  $p$  by Lemma 10.

From Lemma 14 it follows that  $P$  is an elementary abelian  $p$ -subgroup, and by Lemma 10,  $P$  is a minimal normal subgroup in  $G$ .

Assume that  $N$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ ,  $N \neq 1$ , and  $V/N$  is a cyclic  $t$ -subgroup,  $t \in \pi(G)$ . Let  $U$  be a subgroup of least order such that  $U \leq V$ ,  $UN = V$ . Then  $U \cap N \leq \Phi(U)$ ,  $V/N = UN/N \cong U/U \cap N$ , therefore  $U$  is a cyclic  $t$ -subgroup. By the hypothesis,  $U$  is strongly permutable in  $G$ , and by Lemma 4(1),  $V/N$  is strongly permutable in  $G/N$ . By induction,  $G/N$  is supersoluble, hence  $\Phi(G) = 1$ . From Lemma 10(5) it follows that  $S$  is either a cyclic primary group or supersoluble Schmidt group, and  $O_{p'}(G) = 1$ .

Let  $S$  be either a cyclic primary group or  $|\pi(S)| = 2$ . In that case, all Sylow subgroups of  $S$  are cyclic. Assume that  $A \leq P$ ,  $|A| = p$  and  $g \in G \setminus N_G(A)$  such that  $\langle g \rangle A \leq G$ . Since  $G = PS$ , we conclude  $g = bx$ ,  $b \in P$ ,  $x \in S$  and  $\langle g \rangle = \langle b \rangle \times \langle x \rangle$ . If  $x = 1$ , then  $g = b \in P \leq N_G(A)$ , a contradiction. If  $b = 1$ , then  $g = x$  and  $\langle g \rangle A = A \rtimes \langle g \rangle$ , since  $\langle g \rangle A$  is  $p$ -closed. So,  $g \in N_G(A)$ , a contradiction. Thus,  $b \neq 1$ ,  $x \neq 1$ ,  $S^b \neq S$ ,  $x^b = x \in S \cap S^b = D \neq 1$ .

If  $S$  is abelian, then  $D \triangleleft \langle S, S^b \rangle = G$ ,  $D \leq O_{p'}(G) = 1$ , a contradiction. Therefore  $S$  is not abelian and  $S = Q \rtimes R$  is supersoluble Schmidt group by Lemma 10 in view of  $\Phi(G) = 1$ . Now,  $|Q| = q$ ,  $R = \langle y \rangle$  is an  $r$ -subgroup,  $r$  divides  $q - 1$  and  $y^r \in Z(S)$ .

If  $q$  divides  $|D|$ , then  $Q \leq D$  and  $Q \triangleleft \langle S, S^b \rangle = G$ , a contradiction. So,  $D$  is an  $r$ -subgroup. If  $y^r \neq 1$ , then  $D_1 = D \cap \langle y^r \rangle \neq 1$  and  $D_1 \triangleleft \langle S, S^b \rangle = G$ , a contradiction. Consequently,  $y^r = 1$ ,  $D = \langle y \rangle = R$  and  $|R| = r$ . Since  $D = \langle x \rangle$ , we get  $\langle x \rangle = R \leq N_G(\langle b \rangle)$ .



If  $\langle b \rangle \triangleleft PQ$ , then  $N_G(\langle b \rangle) \geq \langle PQ, R \rangle = G$  and  $\langle b \rangle \triangleleft G$ , a contradiction. Hence  $\langle b \rangle$  is not normal in  $PQ$  and there is  $u \in PQ \setminus N_{PQ}(\langle b \rangle)$  such that  $\langle b \rangle \langle u \rangle = \langle u \rangle \langle b \rangle \leq PQ$ . Let  $u = cf$ ,  $c \in P$ ,  $f \in Q$ . If  $c = 1$ , then  $\langle b \rangle \langle u \rangle = \langle b \rangle \times \langle f \rangle$  and  $u = f \in N_{PQ}(\langle b \rangle)$ , a contradiction. If  $f = 1$ , then  $u = c \in P \leq N_{PQ}(\langle b \rangle)$ , a contradiction. Consequently,  $c \neq 1$ ,  $f \neq 1$  and  $\langle u \rangle = \langle c \rangle \times \langle f \rangle$ ,  $\langle f \rangle = Q$ ,  $Q = Q^c \leq S \cap S^c$  and  $S^c \neq S$ , since  $c \in P$ ,  $c \notin N_G(S) = S$ . But now  $Q \triangleleft \langle S, S^c \rangle = G$ , a contradiction.

Finally, we consider the case when  $S = R$  is noncyclic Sylow  $r$ -subgroup of  $G$ . Assume that in  $S$ , there is a cyclic subgroup  $Z$  of index  $r$ . Let  $A \leq P$  such that  $|A| = p$  and let  $g \in G \setminus N_G(A)$  such that  $\langle g \rangle A \leq G$ . Since  $G = PR$ , we deduce  $g = bx$ ,  $b \in P$ ,  $x \in R$  and  $\langle g \rangle = \langle b \rangle \times \langle x \rangle$ . If  $b = 1$ , then  $g = \langle x \rangle$  and  $\langle g \rangle A = A \times \langle g \rangle$ , since  $\langle g \rangle A$  is  $p$ -closed and  $A$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $\langle g \rangle A$ . Hence  $g \in N_G(A)$ , a contradiction. If  $x = 1$ , then  $g = b \in P \leq N_G(A)$ . This contradicts with the choice of  $g$ . So,  $b \neq 1$ ,  $x \neq 1$ ,  $R^b \neq R$ ,  $x^b = x \in R \cap R^b$ .

If  $\langle x_1 \rangle = \langle x \rangle \cap Z \neq 1$ , then  $\langle x_1 \rangle \triangleleft R$ . Since  $x_1^b = x_1 \in Z^b \triangleleft R^b$ , we get  $\langle x_1 \rangle \triangleleft R^b$ . Therefore  $\langle x_1 \rangle \triangleleft \langle R, R^b \rangle = G$ . This contradicts with  $R_G = 1$ . So,  $\langle x \rangle \cap Z = 1$  and  $R = Z \times \langle x \rangle$ ,  $|x| = r$ ,  $x \in C_G(\langle b \rangle) \leq N_G(\langle b \rangle)$ .

If  $\langle b \rangle \triangleleft PZ$ , then  $\langle b \rangle \triangleleft G$ , a contradiction. Thus,  $N_{PZ}(\langle b \rangle) < PZ$  and there is  $u \in PZ \setminus N_{PZ}(\langle b \rangle)$  such that  $\langle u \rangle \langle b \rangle \leq G$ . Since  $u \in PZ$ , we conclude  $\langle u \rangle = \langle c \rangle \times \langle y \rangle$ ,  $\langle c \rangle \leq P$ ,  $\langle y \rangle \leq Z$ . Verification shows that  $c \neq 1$ ,  $y \neq 1$ . From  $N_G(Z) = R$  it follows that  $Z^c \neq Z$  and  $y^c = y \in Z \cap Z^c$ . Now,  $\langle y \rangle \triangleleft \langle R, R^c \rangle = G$ , a contradiction.

If  $S$  is not an abelian group of order  $r^3$  and exponent  $r$ , then by Lemma 14,  $S$  contains a nonpermutable cyclic primary subgroup, which contradicts with the choice of  $G$ .  $\square$

**Theorem C.** *If every primary cyclic subgroup of a group  $G$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal or strongly permutable, then  $G \in \mathbf{v}\mathfrak{A}$ .*

*Proof.* We use induction on the group order. Let  $N$  be a normal subgroup of a group  $G$ ,  $N \neq 1$ , and let  $\langle a \rangle$  be a cyclic primary subgroup of  $N$ . By the choice of  $G$ ,  $\langle a \rangle$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal or strongly permutable in  $G$ . If  $\langle a \rangle$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal in  $G$ , then by Lemma 1 (1),  $\langle a \rangle$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal in  $N$ . If  $\langle a \rangle$  is strongly permutable in  $G$ , then by Lemma 4 (3),  $\langle a \rangle$  is strongly permutable in  $N$ . Now assume that  $A/N$  is a cyclic  $t$ -subgroup,  $t \in \pi(G)$ . Let  $B$  be a subgroup of least order such that  $B \leq A$ ,  $BN = A$ . Then  $B \cap N \leq \Phi(B)$ ,  $A/N = BN/N \cong B/B \cap N$ , hence  $B$  is a cyclic  $t$ -subgroup. By the choice of  $G$ ,  $B$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal or strongly permutable in  $G$ . As  $A/N = BN/N$ , according to Lemma 1 (1) and Lemma 4 (1),  $A/N$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal or strongly permutable in  $G$ . Thus the hypothesis holds for all normal subgroups of  $G$  and all quotient subgroups.

Suppose that  $G$  is a simple group. If every primary cyclic subgroup of  $G$  is strongly permutable, then  $G$  is supersoluble by Theorem B. Consequently,  $G$  contains a cyclic primary subgroup  $A$  such that  $A$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal in  $G$ . Since the unit subgroup is  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal in  $A$ , then it is  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal in  $G$ . According to [12], [13, p. 342],  $G$  is isomorphic to one of the following groups.

$$L_2(7), L_2(11), L_3(3), L_3(5), L_2(2^m), 2^m + 1 \text{ is prime.}$$

In every of these groups, a Sylow  $r$ -subgroup  $R$  is cyclic for  $r = \max \pi(G)$ . By the choice of  $G$ ,  $R$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal or strongly permutable in  $G$ . If  $R$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal in  $G$ , then by Lemma 3,  $R$  is normal in  $G$ . If  $R$  is strongly permutable in  $G$ , then in view of Lemma 5,  $R$  is normal in  $G$ . Consequently,  $R$  is normal in  $G$  and  $G$  is not a simple group, a contradiction.

Thus in  $G$ , there is a normal subgroup  $N$ ,  $N \neq 1$ , and by induction,  $G/N \in \mathbf{v}\mathfrak{A}$  and  $N \in \mathbf{v}\mathfrak{A}$ . Hence  $G$  is soluble. In view of Lemma 1 (2) and by induction, every proper subgroup of  $G$  belongs to  $\mathbf{v}\mathfrak{A}$  and  $G$  is a minimal non- $\mathbf{v}\mathfrak{A}$ -group. According to [5, Theorem B (4)],  $G$  is a biprimary minimal non-supersoluble group in which non-normal Sylow subgroups are cyclic. Hence  $G = R \times Q$  is a group such that a Sylow  $r$ -subgroup  $R$  is normal in  $G$  and a Sylow

$q$ -subgroup  $Q$  is cyclic and  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal or strongly permutable in  $G$  by the choice of  $G$ . By Corollary 1.3,  $G \in \mathfrak{U} \subseteq \mathfrak{v}\mathfrak{U}$ .  $\square$

**Example 4.** In  $A_4$ , every subgroup of order 2 is  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal, but it is not permutable.

**Example 5.** In  $L_2(7)$ , every subgroup of order 3 is permutable, but it is not  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal.

## References

- [1] Weinstein M. Between Nilpotent and Solvable. Polygonal. Passaic, N.J. 1982.
- [2] Liu X., Wang Y. Implications of permutizers of some subgroups in finite groups. Comm. Algebra. 2005. Vol. 33. 559–565.
- [3] Vasil’ev A. F., Vasil’ev V. A., Vasil’eva T. I. On permuteral subgroups in finite groups. Siberian Math. J. 2014. Vol. 55(2). 230–238.
- [4] Vasil’ev A. F., Vasil’eva T. I., Tyutyaynov V. N. On the finite groups of supersoluble type. Siberian Math. J. 2010. Vol. 51(6). 1004–1012.
- [5] Monakhov V. S., Kniahina V. N. Finite group with  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal subgroups. Ricerche Mat. 2013. Vol. 62. 307–323.
- [6] Monakhov V. S., Finite groups with abnormal and  $\mathfrak{U}$ -subnormal subgroups. Siberian Math. J. 2016. Vol. 57(2). 352–363.
- [7] Chen R., Zhao X., Li X.  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal subgroups and the structure of finite groups. Ricerche Mat. 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11587-021-00582-4>.
- [8] The GAP Group: GAP — Groups, Algorithms, and Programming. Ver. 4.11.0 released on 29-02-2020. <http://www.gap-system.org>.
- [9] Ballester-Bolinches A., Cosme-Llópez E., Esteban-Romero R. GAP Package Permut. Ver. 2.0.3 released on 19-08-2018. <https://gap-packages.github.io/permut>.
- [10] Huppert B. Endliche Gruppen I. Berlin, Springer, 1967.
- [11] Qiao S., Qian G., Wang Y. Finite groups with the maximal permutizer condition. J. Algebra Appl. 2013. Vol. 12(5). 1250217 (5 pages).
- [12] Kazarin L. S. On groups with factorization. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR. 1981. Vol. 256(1). 26–29.
- [13] Cameron P. J., Solomon R. Chains of Subgroups in Symmetric Groups. J. Algebra. 1989. Vol. 127. 340–352.
- [14] Conway J. H., Curtis R. T., Norton S. P., Parker R. A., Wilson R. A. Atlas of Finite Groups: Maximal Subgroups and Ordinary Characters for Simple Groups. Oxford, Clarendon Press, 1985.
- [15] Kniahina V. N., Monakhov V. S. Finite groups with  $\mathbb{P}$ -subnormal Sylow subgroup. Ukrain’skyi Matematychnyi Zhurnal. 2020. Vol. 72(10). 1365–1371.
- [16] Gorenstein D. Finite simple groups. An introduction to their classification. New York, Plenum Publ. Corp., 1982.

- [17] Monakhov V.S. The Schmidt subgroups, its existence, and some of their applications. Proceedings of the Ukrainian Mathematical Congress-2001. Inst. Mat. NAN Ukrainy, Kyiv, 2002. 81–90.
- [18] Ballester-Bolinches A., Esteban-Romero R., Robinson D.J.S. On finite minimal non-nilpotent groups. Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society. 2005. Vol. 133(12). 3455–3462.
- [19] Doerk K. Minimal nicht überauflösbare, endliche gruppen. Math. Zeit. 1966. Z. 91. 198–205.
- [20] Ballester-Bolinches A., Esteban-Romero R. On minimal non-supersoluble groups. Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana. 2007. Vol. 23(1). 127–142.
- [21] Monakhov V.S. Finite groups with a given set of Schmidt subgroups. Math. Notes. 1995. Vol. 58(5). 1183–1186.