

EXISTENCE AND DATA DEPENDENCE RESULTS FOR THE FIXED POINTS OF MULTIVALUED MAPPINGS

EMIRHAN HACIOĞLU AND FAİK GÜRSOY

ABSTRACT. We introduce multivalued Górnicki mapping and various new types of multivalued enriched contractive mappings, viz., multivalued enriched Kannan mapping, multivalued enriched Chatterjea mapping, and multivalued enriched Cirić-Reich-Rus mapping. Then we establish some existence results for the fixed points of these multivalued contractive type mappings using the fixed point property of the average operator of the mappings. Furthermore, we present some data dependence results for the fixed points of mentioned classes of mappings.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Let (X, d) be a metric space. A single valued mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ is said to be (i) a contraction (see [15]) if there exist a $\theta \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \theta d(x, y) \text{ for all } x, y \in X,$$

(ii) a Kannan mapping (see [21]) if there exist a $\theta \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$ such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \theta[d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty)] \text{ for all } x, y \in X,$$

(iii) a Chatterjea mapping mapping (see [22]) see if there exist a $\theta \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$ such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \theta[d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)] \text{ for all } x, y \in X,$$

(iv) a Cirić-Reich-Rus mapping (see [9]) if there exist $a, b \in [0, 1)$ with $a + 2b < 1$ such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq ad(x, y) + b[d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)] \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

A point $z \in X$ with $z = Tz$ is called a fixed point of T and set of fixed points of T is denoted by $F(T)$.

Fixed point theory studies mainly focus on examining the existence and approximation of fixed points of various contractive type mappings. The main purpose of such studies is to determine under which conditions a contractive type mapping will have fixed points. The renowned Banach contraction principle is the most basic known result in this context. As the improvement of the Banach contraction principle in some sense, numerous works have been devoted to defining various contractive type mappings and studying the existence of their fixed points as well as their related qualitative properties. Very recently, again for such a purpose, Berinde [1] has initiated the investigation of the existence of fixed points of non-contraction mappings by using the fixed point property of the average operator of the mappings in Banach spaces. The basic idea behind the work of Berinde is that even though

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 05C38, 15A15; Secondary 05A15, 15A18.

Key words and phrases. Keyword one, keyword two, keyword three.

a mapping is not a contraction, the mapping defined by the convex combination of the value of the mapping at a point and the point itself can be a contraction. Recent studies in this direction show that this idea is very effective in studying the existence of fixed points of mappings that do not belong to one of the known classes of contractive type mappings such as contraction mapping, Kannan mapping, Chatterjea mapping, and Cirić-Reich-Rus mapping (see [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14]).

The development in the fixed-point theory of multi-valued mappings is usually depending on the development in the theory of the single-valued mappings cases. In 1922, Banach [15] proved the existence of the fixed point of contraction mappings and suggested a successful approximation method to the fixed point of contraction mappings. In 1969, Nadler [16] generalized Banach's result to multivalued contraction mappings and the same applied to various contractive type mappings in different settings by numerous researchers.

A mapping $T : X \rightarrow P(X)$ is called multivalued mapping, that is, T maps every point of X to a subset of X . It is an indisputable fact that metric or metric-like structures are needed on the range sets of multivalued mappings to investigate the existence of fixed points of the multivalued mappings. The Hausdorff metric H on $CB(X)$, a nonempty, closed and convex subsets of X , is defined by

$$H(A, B) = \max\{\sup_{x \in A} d(x, B), \sup_{x \in B} d(x, A)\}$$

in which $d(x, B) = \inf\{d(x, y); y \in B\}$ and the distance δ on $CB(X)$ is defined by

$$\delta(A, B) = \sup\{d(a, b) : a \in A, b \in B\}.$$

A point z is called fixed point of multivalued mapping T if $z \in Tz$ and the set of all fixed points of T is denoted by F_T . A multivalued mapping $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ is said to be

(v) a multivalued contraction mapping (see [16]) if there exists a $\theta \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$H(Tx, Ty) \leq \theta d(x, y) \text{ for all } x, y \in X,$$

(vi) a multivalued Kannan mapping (see [18]) if there exists a $\theta \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$ such that

$$H(Tx, Ty) \leq \theta[d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty)] \text{ for all } x, y \in X,$$

(vii) a multivalued Chatterjea mapping (see [23]) if there exists a $\theta \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$ such that

$$H(Tx, Ty) \leq \theta[d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)] \text{ for all } x, y \in X,$$

(viii) a multivalued Cirić-Reich-Rus mapping (see [24]) if there exist $a, b \in [0, 1)$ with $a + 2b < 1$ such that

$$H(Tx, Ty) \leq ad(x, y) + b[d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)] \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

We recall definitions of enriched version of some mappings as follows.

Definition 1. Let $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ be a normed linear space in which $d(x, y) = \|x - y\|$ for every $x, y \in X$. A mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ is called

(1) an enriched contraction (see [1]) if there exist constants $b \in [0, \infty)$ and $\theta \in [0, b + 1)$ such that

$$d(bx + Tx, by + Ty) \leq \theta d(x, y), \text{ for every } x, y \in X,$$

- (2) an enriched Kannan mapping (see [2]) if there exist $b \in [0, \infty)$ and $\theta \in [0, 1/2)$ such that

$$d(bx + Tx, by + Ty) \leq \theta[d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty)], \text{ for every } x, y \in X,$$

- (3) an enriched Chatterjea mapping (see [11]) if there exist $b \in [0, \infty)$ and $\theta \in [0, 1/2)$ such that

$$d(bx + Tx, by + Ty) \leq \theta[d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)], \text{ for every } x, y \in X,$$

- (4) an enriched Ćirić-Reich-Rus mapping (see [9]) if there exist $a, b \in [0, \infty)$ satisfying $a + 2b < 1$ such that

$$d(bx + Tx, by + Ty) \leq ad(x, y) + b[d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)], \text{ for every } x, y \in X.$$

Popescu [17] defined a new contractive type mapping called Górnicki mapping and provide additional information about enriched contractions, enriched Kannan mappings and enriched Chatterjea mappings. He studied the existence of fixed point of Górnicki mapping and showed that a Górnicki mapping properly includes enriched contractions, enriched Kannan mappings and enriched Chatterjea mappings.

Definition 2. Let (X, d) be a metric space. A mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ is called a Górnicki mapping if there exists $M \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq M[d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty) + d(x, y)], \text{ for every } x, y \in X,$$

and there exist non negative real constants a, b with $a < 1$, $b \in [0, \infty)$ such that for arbitrary $x \in X$ there exists $u \in X$ with $d(u, Tu) \leq ad(x, Tx)$ and $d(u, x) \leq bd(x, Tx)$.

Abbas et al. [10] extended the definition of enriched contraction mapping to the case of multivalued mapping as follows.

Definition 3. Let $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ be a normed linear space with $d(x, y) = \|x - y\|$ for every $x, y \in X$. A mapping $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ is called a multivalued enriched contraction if there exist constants $b \in [0, \infty)$ and $\theta \in [0, b + 1)$ such that

$$H(bx + Tx, by + Ty) \leq \theta d(x, y), \text{ for every } x, y \in X.$$

Lemma 1. (See [10]) Let $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ be a linear normed space, $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be a multivalued mapping and $T_\lambda x = \{(1 - \lambda)x + \lambda u : u \in Tx\}$. Then for any $\lambda \in [0, 1)$ $Fix(T) = Fix(T_\lambda)$.

Lemma 2. (See [20]) Let (X, d) be a metric space, $A \in CB(X)$. Then for any $x \in X$, and $\mu > 1$, there exist an element $a \in A$ satisfying

$$d(x, a) \leq \mu d(x, A).$$

We define the multivalued Górnicki mapping as follows.

Definition 4. Let (X, d) be a metric space. A mapping $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ is called a multivalued Górnicki mapping if there exists $M \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$H(Tx, Ty) \leq M[d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty) + d(x, y)], \text{ for every } x, y \in X,$$

and there exist non negative real constants a, b with $a < 1$, $b \in [0, \infty)$ such that for arbitrary $x \in X$ there exists $u \in X$ with $d(u, Tu) \leq ad(x, Tx)$ and $d(u, x) \leq bd(x, Tx)$.

We are now able to generalize, in turn, single-valued enriched Kannan mappings, enriched Chatterjea mappings, and enriched Cirić-Reich-Rus mappings to the corresponding multivalued mappings as under.

Definition 5. Let $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ be a normed linear space with $d(x, y) = \|x - y\|$ for every $x, y \in X$. A mapping $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ is called

- (1) a multivalued enriched Kannan mapping if there exist $b \in [0, \infty)$ and $\theta \in [0, 1/2)$ such that

$$H(bx + Tx, by + Ty) \leq \theta[d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty)], \text{ for every } x, y \in X,$$

- (2) a multivalued enriched Chatterjea mapping if there exist $b \in [0, \infty)$ and $\theta \in [0, 1/2)$ such that

$$H(bx + Tx, by + Ty) \leq \theta[d((b+1)x, by + Ty) + d((b+1)y, bx + Tx)], \text{ for every } x, y \in X,$$

- (3) a multivalued enriched Cirić-Reich-Rus mapping if there exist $a, b, c \in [0, \infty)$ satisfying $a + 2b < 1$ such that

$$H(cx + Tx, cy + Ty) \leq ad(x, y) + b[d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty)], \text{ for every } x, y \in X.$$

2. EXISTENCE RESULTS FOR MULTIVALUED GÓRNICKI MAPPINGS

In this section we prove existence theorems for Multivalued Górnicki Mappings.

Theorem 1. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and let $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be a multivalued Górnicki mapping. Then, T has a fixed point.

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$ and $d(x_{n+1}Tx_{n+1}) \leq ad(x_n, Tx_n)$ and $d(x_{n+1}x_n) \leq bd(x_n, Tx_n)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{n+1}x_n) &\leq bd(x_n, Tx_n) \\ &\leq bad(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}) \\ &\leq ba^2d(x_{n-2}, Tx_{n-2}) \\ &\dots \\ &\leq ba^nd(x_0, Tx_0), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, Tx_n) = 0$. Therefore, there exists a $z \in X$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = z$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, Tz) &\leq d(x_n, Tx_n) + H(Tx_n, Tz) \\ &\leq d(x_n, Tx_n) + M[d(x_n, Tx_n) + d(z, Tz) + d(x_n, z)], \\ d(z, Tz) &\leq Md(z, Tz) \end{aligned}$$

which means that $z \in Tz$. □

Remark 1. If we change definition of multivalued Górnicki mapping by one of the following

- (i) if there exists $M \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$\delta(Tx, Ty) \leq M[d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty) + d(x, y)], \text{ for every } x, y \in X,$$

and there exist $b \in [0, \infty)$ and $a \in [0, 1)$ such that there exists $u \in X$ with $d(u, Tu) \leq ad(x, Tx)$ and $d(u, x) \leq bd(x, Tx)$ for any $x \in X$,

or

(ii) if there exists $M \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$\delta(Tx, Ty) \leq M[\delta(x, Tx) + \delta(y, Ty) + d(x, y)], \text{ for every } x, y \in X,$$

and there exist $b \in [0, \infty)$ and $a \in [0, 1)$ such that there exists $u \in X$ with $\delta(u, Tu) \leq a\delta(x, Tx)$ and $d(u, x) \leq b\delta(x, Tx)$ for any $x \in X$,

then Theorem 1 still holds. Moreover, T has unique end point, that is, there exist a point z such that $Tz = \{z\}$.

Theorem 2. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and let $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be a multivalued mapping satisfying (i). If T has an a.f.p.s then, T has a unique end point.

Proof. Let $\{x_n\} \in X$ be an a.f.p.s of T . Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(Tx_n, Tx_{n+m}) &\leq M[d(x_n, Tx_n) + d(x_{n+m}, Tx_{n+m}) + d(x_n, x_{n+m})] \\ &\leq M[d(x_n, Tx_n) + d(x_{n+m}, Tx_{n+m}) + d(x_n, Tx_n) \\ &\quad + \delta(Tx_n, Tx_{n+m}) + d(x_{n+m}, Tx_{n+m})], \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta(Tx_n, Tx_{n+m}) = 0.$$

Since

$$|d(x_n, x_{n+m}) - \delta(Tx_n, Tx_{n+m})| \leq d(x_n, Tx_n) + d(x_{n+m}, Tx_{n+m}),$$

we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_{n+m}) = 0$ which means that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Therefore, there exists a $z \in X$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = z$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(z, Tz) &\leq d(z, Tx_n) + \delta(Tx_n, Tz) \\ &\leq d(z, Tx_n) + M[d(x_n, Tx_n) + d(z, Tz) + d(x_n, z)] \end{aligned}$$

implies that

$$\delta(z, Tz) \leq Md(z, Tz).$$

Hence, $Tz = \{z\}$. Assume that T has two fixed points z_1, z_2 . Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(z_1, z_2) &\leq \delta(Tz_1, Tz_2) \\ &\leq M[d(z_1, Tz_1) + d(z_2, Tz_2) + d(z_1, z_2)] \\ &= Md(z_1, z_2) \end{aligned}$$

which means that $z_1 = z_2$. □

Theorem 3. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and let $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be a multivalued mapping satisfying (ii). If there exist a sequence $\{x_n\} \in X$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta(x_n, Tx_n) = 0$, then T has a unique end point.

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2. □

3. EXISTENCE RESULTS FOR MULTIVALUED ENRICHED MAPPINGS

In this section, we shall prove the existence theorems for the fixed points of multivalued enriched mappings.

Theorem 4. Let $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ be a normed linear space and let $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be a multivalued enriched Kannan mapping. Then, T has a fixed point.

Proof. The mapping T_λ in Lemma 1 is a multivalued Kannan mapping. Indeed, if we let $\lambda = \frac{1}{b+1}$, then we have

$$d(x, T_\lambda x) = \inf\{d(x, (1-\lambda)x + \lambda u) : u \in Tx\} = (1-\lambda) \inf\{d(x, u) : u \in Tx\} = \lambda d(x, Tx),$$

$$\begin{aligned} H(T_\lambda x, T_\lambda y) &= \lambda H(Tx + bx, Ty + by) \\ &\leq \lambda \theta [d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty)] \\ &= \frac{\lambda \theta}{\lambda} [d(x, T_\lambda x) + d(y, T_\lambda y)] \\ &= \theta [d(x, T_\lambda x) + d(y, T_\lambda y)]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Theorem 2.1 in [18], we can find a sequence $x_{n+1} \in T_\lambda x_n = \{(1-\lambda)x_n + u_n : u_n \in Tx_n\}$ which is convergent to a fixed point of T_λ . \square

Theorem 5. *Let $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ be a normed linear space and let $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be a multivalued enriched Chatterjea mapping. Then, T has a fixed point.*

Proof. The mapping T_λ in Lemma 1 is a multivalued Chatterjea mapping. Indeed, if we let $\lambda = \frac{1}{b+1}$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} H(T_\lambda x, T_\lambda y) &= \lambda H(Tx + bx, Ty + by) \\ &\leq \lambda \theta [d((b+1)x, by + Ty) + d((b+1)y, bx + Tx)] \\ &= \lambda \theta (b+1) [d(x, \frac{b}{b+1}y + \frac{1}{b+1}Ty) + d(y, \frac{b}{b+1}x + \frac{1}{b+1}Tx)] \\ &= \theta [d(x, T_\lambda y) + d(y, T_\lambda x)]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Theorem 15 in [23], we can find a sequence $x_{n+1} \in T_\lambda x_n = \{(1-\lambda)x_n + u_n : u_n \in Tx_n\}$ which is convergent to a fixed point of T_λ . \square

Theorem 6. *Let $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ be a linear normed space and let $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be a multivalued enriched Ćirić-Reich-Rus mapping. Then, T has a fixed point.*

Proof. The mapping T_λ in Lemma 1 is a multivalued Ćirić-Reich-Rus mapping. Indeed, if we let $\lambda = \frac{1}{c+1}$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} H(T_\lambda x, T_\lambda y) &= \lambda H(Tx + cx, Ty + cy) \\ &\leq \lambda [ad(x, y) + b[d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty)]] \\ &\leq \lambda ad(x, y) + \frac{\lambda b}{\lambda} [d(x, T_\lambda x) + d(y, T_\lambda y)] \\ &\leq ad(x, y) + b[d(x, T_\lambda x) + d(y, T_\lambda y)]. \end{aligned}$$

Set $\delta = \frac{a+b}{1-b}$. Then, we get

$$\begin{aligned} H(T_\lambda x, T_\lambda y) &\leq ad(x, y) + b[d(x, T_\lambda x) + d(y, T_\lambda y)]. \\ &\leq ad(x, y) + b[d(x, y) + d(y, T_\lambda x) + d(y, T_\lambda x) + H(T_\lambda x, T_\lambda y)]. \\ &\leq (a+b)d(x, y) + 2bd(y, T_\lambda x) + bH(T_\lambda x, T_\lambda y), \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$H(T_\lambda x, T_\lambda y) \leq \delta d(x, y) + 2\delta d(y, T_\lambda x).$$

Similary, we obtain

$$H(T_\lambda x, T_\lambda y) \leq \delta d(x, y) + 2\delta d(x, T_\lambda y),$$

and

$$H(T_\lambda x, T_\lambda y) \leq \delta d(x, y) + 2\delta d(x, T_\lambda x).$$

By Theorem 9 in [19], we can find a sequence $x_{n+1} \in T_\lambda x_n = \{(1 - \lambda)x_n + u_n : u_n \in T x_n\}$ which is convergent to a fixed point of T_λ . \square

Remark 2. *The single-valued cases of Theorems 4, 5, 6 can be proved easily similar to the proof of Theorems 4, 5, 6. In fact, for the single-valued cases, it is enough to show that $T_\lambda = (1 - \lambda)I + \lambda T$ is satisfied the conditions of the non-enriched version of T . Then the proof will be a repetition of the proof of the non-enriched version of T .*

4. DATA DEPENDENCE RESULTS FOR MULTIVALUED MAPPINGS

Theorem 7. *Let $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ be a normed linear space with $d(x, y) = \|x - y\|$ for every $x, y \in X$ and $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be a multivalued enriched Kannan mapping. If $S : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ with $F(S) \neq \emptyset$ and*

$$H(Tx, Sx) \leq \gamma, \forall x \in X$$

is satisfied. Then, we can find a $z \in F(T)$ such that

$$d(z, z^*) \leq \frac{1}{1-k} \lambda \gamma, \text{ that is, } \sup_{z^* \in F(S)} d(z^*, F(T)) \leq \frac{1}{1-k} \lambda \gamma,$$

where $k = \frac{\theta}{1-\theta}$ and $z^ \in F(S)$ is an arbitrary fixed point. If S is a multivalued enriched Kannan mapping, then we have*

$$H(F(S), F(T)) \leq \frac{1}{1-k} \lambda \gamma.$$

Proof. Since T_λ is multivalued Kannan mapping,

$$d(u, T_\lambda u) \leq kd(x, T_\lambda x) \leq kd(x, u) \text{ if } u \in T_\lambda x,$$

where $k = \frac{\theta}{1-\theta} < 1$. Let $\mu > 1$ such that $k\mu < 1$. Let $x_0 = z^* \in F(S)$ and choose $x_{n+1} \in T_\lambda x_n$ with $d(x_n, T_\lambda x_n)\mu \geq d(x_n, x_{n+1})$ for all $n \geq 0$ by Lemma 2. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) &\leq \mu d(x_{n+1}, T_\lambda x_{n+1}) \\ &\leq k\mu d(x_n, T_\lambda x_n) \\ &\leq k\mu d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \\ &\leq (k\mu)^2 d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \\ &\dots \\ &\leq (k\mu)^{n+1} d(x_0, x_1). \end{aligned}$$

which yields that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, T_\lambda x_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = 0$. Therefore, there exists a $z \in X$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = z$. Since

$$d(x_{n+1}, T_\lambda z) \leq H(T_\lambda x_n, T_\lambda z) \leq \theta[d(x_n, T_\lambda x_n) + d(z, T_\lambda z)],$$

$z \in F(T)$. Now, since $x_1 = (1 - \lambda)x_0 + \lambda u_0 \in T_\lambda x_0$ in which $u_0 \in T x_0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
d(x_0, x_1) &\leq \mu d(x_0, T_\lambda x_0) \\
&= \mu \inf_{u_0 \in T x_0} d(x_0, (1 - \lambda)x_0 + \lambda u_0) \\
&= \mu \inf_{u_0 \in T x_0} \lambda d(x_0, u_0) \\
&= \mu \lambda d(x_0, T x_0) \\
&\leq \mu \lambda H(S x_0, T x_0) \\
&\leq \mu \lambda \gamma,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
d(x_n, x_0) &\leq \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} d(x_m, x_{m+1}) \\
&\leq \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} (k\mu)^m d(x_1, x_0) \\
&\leq \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} (k\mu)^m \mu \lambda \gamma \\
&= \frac{1}{1 - k\mu} \mu \lambda \gamma.
\end{aligned}$$

If we take limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ on both sides of the above inequality, then we obtain

$$d(z, x_0) \leq \frac{1}{1 - k\mu} \mu \lambda \gamma,$$

which implies that

$$\sup_{z^* \in F(S)} d(F(T), z^*) \leq \frac{1}{1 - k} \lambda \gamma$$

as $\mu \rightarrow 1^+$.

If S is a multivalued enriched Kannan mapping, then, for an arbitrary $z \in F(T)$, we can find a $z^* \in F(S)$ satisfying

$$\sup_{z \in F(T)} d(F(S), z) \leq \frac{1}{1 - k} \lambda \gamma.$$

Hence,

$$H(F(S), F(T)) \leq \frac{1}{1 - k} \lambda \gamma.$$

□

Theorem 8. Let $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ be a normed linear space with $d(x, y) = \|x - y\|$ for every $x, y \in X$ and $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be a multivalued enriched Chatterjea mapping. If $S : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ with $F(S) \neq \emptyset$ and

$$H(Tx, Sx) \leq \gamma, \forall x \in X$$

is satisfied. Then, we can find a $z \in F(T)$ such that

$$d(z, z^*) \leq \frac{1}{1 - k} \lambda \gamma, \text{ that is, } \sup_{z^* \in F(S)} d(z^*, F(T)) \leq \frac{1}{1 - k} \lambda \gamma,$$

where $k = \frac{\theta}{1-\theta}$ and $z^* \in F(S)$ is an arbitrary fixed point. If S is a multivalued enriched Chatterjea mapping, then we have

$$H(F(S), F(T)) \leq \frac{1}{1-k} \lambda \gamma.$$

Proof. Since T_λ is multivalued Chatterjea mapping,

$$d(u, T_\lambda u) \leq \frac{\theta}{1-\theta} d(x, T_\lambda x) \leq \frac{\theta}{1-\theta} d(x, u) \text{ if } u \in T_\lambda x.$$

The rest of the proof can be done as in the proof of Theorem 7. □

Theorem 9. Let $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ be a normed linear space with $d(x, y) = \|x - y\|$ for every $x, y \in X$ and $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be a multivalued enriched Ćirić-Reich-Rus mapping. If $S : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ with $F(S) \neq \emptyset$ and

$$H(Tx, Sx) \leq \gamma, \forall x \in X$$

is satisfied. Then, we can find a $z \in F(T)$ such that

$$d(z, z^*) \leq \frac{1}{1-k} \lambda \gamma, \text{ that is, } \sup_{z^* \in F(S)} d(z^*, F(T)) \leq \frac{1}{1-k} \lambda \gamma,$$

where $k = \frac{\theta}{1-\theta}$ and $z^* \in F(S)$ is an arbitrary fixed point. If S is a multivalued enriched Ćirić-Reich-Rus mapping, then we have

$$H(F(S), F(T)) \leq \frac{1}{1-k} \lambda \gamma.$$

Proof. Since T_λ is multivalued Ćirić-Reich-Rus mapping,

$$H(T_\lambda x, T_\lambda y) \leq \delta d(x, y) + 2\delta d(y, T_\lambda x)$$

for $\delta = \frac{a+b}{1-b} < 1$. If $u \in T_\lambda x$, then we have

$$d(u, T_\lambda u) \leq H(T_\lambda x, T_\lambda u) \leq \delta d(x, u) + 2\delta d(u, T_\lambda x) = \delta d(x, u).$$

The rest of the proof can be done as in the proof of Theorem 7. □

Theorem 10. Let $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ be a normed linear space with $d(x, y) = \|x - y\|$ for every $x, y \in X$ and $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be a multivalued Górnicki mapping with $M < \frac{1}{3}$. If $S : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ with $F(S) \neq \emptyset$ and

$$H(Tx, Sx) \leq \gamma, \forall x \in X$$

is satisfied. Then, we can find a $z \in F(T)$ such that

$$d(z, z^*) \leq \frac{1}{1-k} \lambda \gamma, \text{ that is, } \sup_{z^* \in F(S)} d(z^*, F(T)) \leq \frac{1}{1-k} \lambda \gamma,$$

where $k = \frac{\theta}{1-\theta}$ and $z^* \in F(S)$ is an arbitrary fixed point. If S is a multivalued Górnicki mapping, then we obtain

$$H(F(S), F(T)) \leq \frac{1}{1-k} \lambda \gamma.$$

Proof. Since T is multivalued Górnicki mapping,

$$\begin{aligned} d(u, Tu) &\leq H(Tx, Tu) \\ &\leq M[d(x, Tx) + d(u, Tu) + d(x, u)] \\ &\leq M[d(x, u) + d(u, Tu) + d(x, u)] \text{ if } u \in Tx, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$d(u, Tu) \leq \frac{2M}{1-M}d(x, u).$$

The rest of the proof can be done as in the proof of Theorem 7. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] V. Berinde, M. Păcurar, Approximating fixed points of enriched contractions in Banach spaces, *J. Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 22 (2) (2020) 10, 38
- [2] V. Berinde, M. Păcurar, Kannan's fixed point approximation for solving split feasibility and variational inequality problems, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* 386 (2021) 113217
- [3] V. Berinde, Approximating fixed points of enriched nonexpansive mappings by Krasnoselskij iteration in Hilbert spaces, *Carpathian J. Math.* 35 (3) (2019) 293–304.
- [4] V. Berinde, Approximating fixed points of enriched nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces by using a retraction-displacement condition, *Carpathian J. Math.* 36 (1) (2020) 27–34.
- [5] V. Berinde, Weak and strong convergence theorems for the Krasnoselskij iterative algorithm in the class of enriched strictly pseudocontractive operators, *An. Univ. Vest Timiș. Ser. Mat. Inform.* 2018, 56, 13–27.
- [6] V. Berinde, Approximating fixed points of enriched nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces by using a retraction-displacement condition, *Carpathian J. Math.* 2020, 36, 27–34.
- [7] V. Berinde, M. Păcurar, Existence and approximation of fixed points of enriched contractions and enriched ϕ -contractions, *Symmetry* 2021, 13, 498.
- [8] V. Berinde, M. Păcurar, Fixed points theorems for unsaturated and saturated classes of contractive mappings in Banach spaces, *Symmetry* 2021, 13, 713.
- [9] V. Berinde, M. Păcurar, Fixed point theorems for enriched Ćirić-Reich-Rus contractions in Banach spaces and convex metric spaces, *Carpathian J. Math.* 2021, 37, 173–184.
- [10] M. Abbas, R. Anjum, V. Berinde, Enriched multivalued contractions with applications to differential inclusions and dynamic programming, *Symmetry*, 13(8), 1350.
- [11] V. Berinde, M. Păcurar, Approximating fixed points of enriched Chatterjea contractions by Krasnoselskij iterative method in Banach spaces. arXiv preprint, arXiv:1909.03494..
- [12] J. Górnicki, R. K. Bisht, Around averaged mappings, *J. Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, 2021, 23:48. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11784-021-00884-y>
- [13] S. Suantai, D. Chumpungam, P. Sarnmeta, Existence of fixed points of weak enriched non-expansive mappings in Banach spaces, *Carpathian J. Math.* 2021, 37 (2), 287–294.
- [14] P. Mondal, H. Garai, L. K. Dey, On some enriched contractions in Banach spaces, arXiv:2006.11500 [math.FA].
- [15] S. Banach, Sur les opérations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux équations intégrales, *Fund. Math.* 1922, 3 (1), 133–181.
- [16] S. B. Nadler Jr, Multi-valued contraction mappings, *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* 1969, 30 (2), 475–488.
- [17] O. Popescu, A new class of contractive mappings, *Acta Math. Hungar.* 2021, 164 (2), 570–579.
- [18] B. Damjanović, D. Đorić, Multivalued generalizations of the Kannan fixed point theorem, *Filomat* 2011, 25 (1), 125–131.
- [19] M. A. Alghamdi, V. Berinde, N. Shahzad, Fixed Points of Multivalued Nonself Almost Contractions, *Journal of Applied Mathematics* 2013, vol. 2013, Article ID 621614, 6 pages., <https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/621614>
- [20] T. Kamran, Mizoguchi-Takahashi's type fixed point theorem, *Computers & Mathematics with Applications* 2009, 57, 507–511
- [21] R. Kannan, Some results on fixed points II. *Am. Math. Mon.* 1969, 76, 405–408
- [22] S. K. Chatterjea, Fixed-point theorems, *C. R. Acad. Bulgare Sci.* 1972, (25), 727–730.
- [23] K. Neammanee, A. Kaewkhao, Fixed point theorems of multi-valued Zamfirescu mapping. *Journal of Mathematics Research* 2010, 2(2), 150.
- [24] L. B. Ćirić, Fixed points for generalized multi-valued contractions. *Math. Vesnik* 1972, 9(24), 265–272.

EXISTENCE AND DATA DEPENDENCE RESULTS FOR THE FIXED POINTS OF MULTIVALUED MAPPINGS

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TRAKYA UNIVERSITY, EDIRNE 22030, TURKEY

Email address: emirhanhacioglu@hotmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ADIYAMAN UNIVERSITY, ADIYAMAN 02040, TURKEY

Email address: faikgursoy02@hotmail.com