

ON A QUESTION OF MENDÈS FRANCE ON NORMAL NUMBERS

VERÓNICA BECHER AND MANFRED G. MADRITSCH

ABSTRACT. In 2008 or earlier, Michel Mendès France asked for an instance of a real number x such that both x and $1/x$ are simply normal to a given integer base b . We give a positive answer to this question by constructing a number x such that both x and its reciprocal $1/x$ are continued fraction normal as well as normal to all integer bases greater than or equal to 2. Moreover, x and $1/x$ are both computable.

MSC2020: 11K16, 11J70

1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF RESULTS

In this note we solve a problem posed by Michel Mendès France asking for an instance of a real number x such that both x and $1/x$ are simply normal to a given integer base b . The problem appeared in the literature in 2008 in [19] and it was presented to us by Gerhard Larcher.

The continued fraction representation of a positive number and its reciprocal are identical except for a shift one place left or right depending on whether the number is less than 1 or greater than 1, respectively. That is, the numbers represented by $[a_0; a_1, a_2, \dots]$ and $[0; a_0, a_1, \dots]$ are reciprocals. This fact allows us to prove the following extension of the problem of Mendès France.

Theorem 1. *We give a construction of a number x such that both x and its reciprocal $1/x$ are continued fraction normal and absolutely normal. Moreover, they are both computable.*

To construct x and $1/x$ we define incrementally their continued fraction expansions. To ensure that both x and $1/x$ are continued fraction normal and absolutely normal we follow the work by Becher and Yuhjtman in [3], where they construct a number x which is continued fraction normal and absolutely normal. The challenge in the present paper is to handle simultaneously *two* constructions, one for x and one for $1/x$. These constructions work by defining successive refinements of appropriate subintervals to achieve, in the limit, in both cases, continued fraction normality and simple normality to all integer bases. At each step the choice of digits for the two constructions is done without revisiting the digits chosen at previous steps. The difficulty is to choose the same digits for the continued fraction expansions for x and for $1/x$.

Date: August 21, 2021.

2. TWO TYPES OF NORMALITY

In this section we follow the standard notation in this area. For a detailed account on normal numbers see [2, 6, 8, 12], for symbolic dynamics see [7, 13] and for a combination of both see [14].

As usual we write $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ to denote the set of positive integers and \mathbb{N}^k to denote the set of k tuples of positive integers. For a finite set S , we denote by $\#S$ its cardinality. Similarly for an infinite set S of real numbers, $|S|$ denotes its Lebesgue measure; hence, if S is an interval in the real line, then $|S|$ is its length. We use Landau's notation for the asymptotic behaviour of functions. Thus a function $g(x) = O(f(x))$ if there exist constants x_0 and c such that for every $x \geq x_0$, $|g(x)| < c \cdot |f(x)|$. We write \log to denote the logarithm to base e .

2.1. On continued fraction normality. For a real number x in the unit interval, the continued fraction expansion of x is the integer part $a_0 = \lfloor x \rfloor$ together with a sequence of positive integers a_1, a_2, \dots , such that

$$x = a_0 + \frac{1}{a_1 + \frac{1}{a_2 + \frac{1}{\ddots + \frac{1}{a_n + \frac{1}{\ddots}}}}}$$

and we write $x = [a_0; a_1, a_2, \dots]$ for short. This expansion of a number can be seen as an infinite word over the alphabet \mathbb{N} . Since normality is an asymptotic property of the digits we drop the integer part of the continued fraction representation in the sequel and write $[a_1, a_2, \dots]$ instead of $[a_0; a_1, a_2, \dots]$.

A way of obtaining the continued fraction expansion is applying the Gauss map $T: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ defined by

$$T(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{x} - \left\lfloor \frac{1}{x} \right\rfloor & \text{if } x \neq 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If $x = [a_1, a_2, \dots]$ then $T^n(x) = [a_{n+1}, a_{n+2}, \dots]$ and for every $n \geq 1$, $a_n = \lfloor 1/T^{n-1}(x) \rfloor$. Otherwise said, the Gauss map corresponds to the left shift in the associated symbolic dynamical system over the alphabet \mathbb{N} .

The map T possesses an invariant ergodic measure, the Gauss measure μ , which is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure (*cf.* Dajani and Kraaikamp [7]). In particular, for every Lebesgue measurable set A , we have

$$\mu(A) = \frac{1}{\log 2} \int_A \frac{1}{1+x} dx.$$

An interval I in the unit interval is a cylinder set of order n with respect to the continued fraction expansion, or $c\mathcal{f}$ -ary of order n , if there is a finite continued fraction $[a_1, \dots, a_n]$ such that the interval I is equal to the set of all the numbers whose first n digits of their continued

fraction expansion are a_1, \dots, a_n . Thus,

$$I_{[a_1, \dots, a_n]} = ([a_1, \dots, a_n], [a_1, \dots, a_n + 1]), \text{ or}$$

$$I_{[a_1, \dots, a_n]} = ([a_1, \dots, a_n + 1], [a_1, \dots, a_n])$$

depending on whether n is even or odd, respectively. The set of cf -ary intervals of order n form a partition of the unit interval in infinitely many parts of different lengths.

A real number $x = [a_1, a_2, \dots]$ is continued fraction normal (or cf -normal for short) if every word of positive integers occurs in its continued fraction expansion with the asymptotic frequency determined by the Gauss measure. Otherwise said, x is generic for μ , *i.e.* for every positive integer k and for every word $v_1 \dots v_k$ in \mathbb{N}^k , we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \#\{j : 1 \leq j \leq n, a_j = v_1, \dots, a_{j+k-1} = v_k\} = \mu(I_{[v_1, \dots, v_k]}).$$

In order to get a feeling for cf -normality we provide some remarks. All quadratic irrationals are not cf -normal, because their expansions are periodic. However, nothing particular is known for algebraic numbers of higher degree. The number $e = [2; 1, 2, 1, 1, 4, 1, 1, 6, 1, 1, 8, \dots]$ is not cf -normal because it is the concatenation of the pattern $(1m1)$, for all even m in increasing order, and no other odd digit except 1 occurs in the expansion. Nothing else is known about cf -normality of other transcendental constants. By Birkhoff's Ergodic Theorem [4], almost every real in the unit interval is cf -normal and there are several constructions of cf -normal numbers.

2.2. On normality to integer bases. For an integer $b \geq 2$ called the base we denote by $\mathcal{N}_b = \{0, \dots, b-1\}$ the corresponding set of digits. Then, every positive integer n has a unique representation of the form

$$n = a_\ell b^\ell + \dots + a_1 b + a_0$$

with $a_i \in \mathcal{N}_b$ for $0 \leq i \leq \ell$. This representation can be extended to real numbers x in $[0, 1]$ by

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i b^{-i}$$

with $a_i \in \mathcal{N}_b$ for $i \geq 1$ and $a_i \neq b-1$ infinitely often. The latter ensures that every rational number has a unique representation (the greedy one).

As in the case of continued fraction expansions there exists a map in the unit interval that describes the dynamic aspect of the b -ary expansion. For a positive integer $b \geq 2$ we consider the map $S_b : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ defined by

$$S_b(x) = bx - \lfloor bx \rfloor.$$

An interval I in the unit interval is a cylinder set of order n with respect to the b -ary expansion (or b -ary of order n for short) if there is a finite word $d_1 \dots d_n$ over \mathcal{N}_b such that the interval I is equal to the set of real numbers whose first n digits of their b -ary expansion are equal to d_1, \dots, d_n . The set of b -ary intervals of order n form a partition of the unit interval in finitely many parts of equal length (in contrast to the infinitely many parts of different lengths in the case of the continued fraction expansion).

A real $x = a_1 b^{-1} + a_2 b^{-2} + \dots$ is simply normal with respect to base b if every digit occurs in the b -ary expansion of x with the same asymptotic frequency $1/b$. That is, for each $v \in \mathcal{N}_b$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{1 \leq j \leq n : a_j = v\}}{n} = \frac{1}{b}.$$

Normality to base b is simple normality to bases b, b^2, b^3, \dots , all the powers of b (this definition of normality is equivalent to Borel's original definition [5], the proof is due to Pillai in 1940 [6, Theorem 4.2].) Absolute normality is normality to every integer base $b \geq 2$; hence, simple normality to every integer base $b \geq 2$. Borel showed that almost all real numbers (with respect to Lebesgue measure) are absolutely normal. In the same way as above this also follows from Birkhoff's Ergodic Theorem [4], since the Lebesgue measure is ergodic with respect to the map S_b (cf. Dajani and Kraaikamp [7]).

3. DEFINITIONS AND LEMMAS

To prove Theorem 1 we give two simultaneous constructions, one for x and one for $1/x$. For each, we follow the construction of a continued fraction normal and absolutely normal number of Becher and Yuhjtman in [3], which in turn is based on the work on absolutely normal numbers [1]. For a similar construction for a normal number with respect to all Pisot bases see Madritsch, Scheerer and Tichy [15].

3.1. Definitions. Each of the two constructions work by defining a sequence of nested intervals. For this we introduce the definition of a t -brick and a refinement of a t -brick. To control continued fraction normality we use cf -ary intervals and to control normality in each integer base b we use b -ary intervals.

Definition (t -brick). *For an integer $t \geq 2$, a t -brick is a tuple $(\sigma_{cf}, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_t)$ as follows*

- the interval σ_{cf} is cf -ary,
- for each $b = 2, \dots, t$, σ_b is either a b -ary interval or the union of two consecutive b -ary intervals of the same order;
- for each $b = 2, \dots, t$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{cf} &\subset \sigma_b \\ |\sigma_{cf}| &\geq \frac{|\sigma_b|}{4 \cdot 16e^{4C} b}. \end{aligned}$$

We use the classical notion of discrepancy, but not on arbitrary intervals. For discrepancy with respect to continued fraction expansions we consider the classical discrepancy restricted to cf -ary intervals. For discrepancy with respect to b -ary expansion we consider discrepancy restricted to b -ary intervals.

Definition (Discrepancy for continued fraction). *For a finite word $\mathbf{v} = v_1 v_2 \dots v_k$ over the alphabet \mathbb{N} we denote the discrepancy of $x = [a_1, a_2, \dots]$ with respect to \mathbf{v} in the first n positions of its continued fraction expansion by*

$$D_{\mathbf{v}, n}^{cf\text{-ary}}(x) = \left| \frac{1}{n} \#\{j : 1 \leq j \leq n, a_j = v_1, \dots, a_{j+k-1} = v_k\} - \mu(I_{[v_1, \dots, v_k]}) \right| = \mu(I_{[v_1, \dots, v_k]}).$$

Clearly, a real number x is continued fraction normal if and only if for every positive integer k , and for every word $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{N}^k$ of k positive integers,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D_{\mathbf{v}, n}^{cf\text{-ary}}(x) = 0.$$

With some notation abuse we write $D_{\mathbf{v}, n}^{cf\text{-ary}}(\mathbf{w})$ for the discrepancy of a cf -word \mathbf{w} of positive integers.

In a similar way we define the b -ary variant of discrepancy as the distance of a finite word from uniform distribution of the digits.

Definition (Discrepancy for integer base representation). For a real $x = \sum_{j \geq 1} a_j b^{-j}$ we define the discrepancy of the digit $v \in \mathcal{N}_b$ among the first n digits of its b -ary expansion by

$$D_{v,n}^{b\text{-ary}}(x) = \left| \frac{1}{n} \#\{1 \leq j \leq n : a_j = v\} - \frac{1}{b} \right|.$$

and

$$D_n^{b\text{-ary}}(x) = \max_{v \in \mathcal{N}_b} D_{v,n}^{b\text{-ary}}(x).$$

Clearly, a real number x is simply normal to base b if and only if its expansion in base b is such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D_n^{b\text{-ary}}(x) = 0.$$

With some notation abuse we write $D_n^{b\text{-ary}}(\mathbf{w})$ for the discrepancy of a word \mathbf{w} over the alphabet \mathcal{N}_b .

Definition (Refinement of a t -brick). A t -brick $\vec{\sigma} = (\sigma_{cf}, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_t)$ is refined by a t' -brick $\vec{\tau} = (\tau_{cf}, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_{t'})$ if

- $t' = t$ or $t' = t + 1$,
- $\tau_{cf} \subseteq \sigma_{cf}$,
- for $b = 2, \dots, t$, $\tau_b \subset \sigma_b$.

The refinement is said to have discrepancy less than ϵ if

- the new cf -word \mathbf{w} corresponding to the inclusion $\tau_{cf} \subset \sigma_{cf}$ satisfies that for every word \mathbf{v} of t digits all less than or equal to t , $D_{\mathbf{v},|\mathbf{w}|}^{cf\text{-ary}}(\mathbf{w})$ is less than $\epsilon - (t - 1)/|\mathbf{w}|$.
- for each $b = 2, \dots, t$ the new word \mathbf{w} in base b corresponding to the inclusion $\tau_b \subset \sigma_b$ has simple discrepancy $D_{|\mathbf{w}|}^{b\text{-ary}}(\mathbf{w})$ less than ϵ .

Notice that if $t' > t$ the definition of a refinement of a t -brick gives no condition on $\tau_{t'}$.

3.2. Lemmas. The construction consists in choosing a sequence of nested intervals of each type. In each case the subinterval to be chosen is independent of the subintervals chosen in previous steps. We need to control the discrepancy of the word representing the subinterval and the size of the interval, which should be larger than the measure of the bad zones. By bad zones we mean the cf -ary and b -ary intervals corresponding to words with large discrepancy.

3.2.1. On the length of continued fraction intervals. We start by considering the length of the different continued fraction intervals. For $x = [a_1, a_2, \dots]$ we recursively define the functions $p_n(x)$ and $q_n(x)$, called the convergents of x , as follows. We set $p_{-1}(x) = q_0(x) = 1$ and $p_0(x) = q_{-1}(x) = 0$ and recursively for $n \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} p_n(x) &= a_n p_{n-1}(x) + p_{n-2}(x), \\ q_n(x) &= a_n q_{n-1}(x) + q_{n-2}(x). \end{aligned}$$

For irrational $x = [a_1, a_2, \dots]$, $p_n(x)/q_n(x)$ is the n th approximant to x and converges to x as n tends to infinity. For rational $x = [a_1, \dots, a_n]$, we have that $x = p_n(x)/q_n(x)$ and we write $q(x)$ to denote $q_n(x)$. Observe that for every x , $(p_n(x))_{n \geq 1}$ and $(q_n(x))_{n \geq 1}$ are increasing. Furthermore, the length of a cf -ary interval is

$$|I_{[a_1, \dots, a_n]}| = \frac{1}{q_n(q_n + q_{n-1})}.$$

Lemma 1. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a_i \in \mathbb{N}$ for $2 \leq i \leq n$ we have

$$|I_{[0;a_2,\dots,a_n]}|/4 \leq |I_{[0;1,a_2,\dots,a_n]}| \leq |I_{[0;a_2,\dots,a_n]}|.$$

Proof. This is a special case of [3, Lemma 3]. \square

The distribution of $\log q_n$ obeys in the limit a Gaussian law. It was first proved by Ibragimov [10]. Then Philipp [18, Satz 3] obtained an error term of $O(n^{-1/5})$, which was later improved by Mischyavichyus [16] to $O(n^{-1/2} \log n)$. Morita [17, Theorem 8.1] obtained the optimal error term of order $O(n^{-1/2})$; a different proof of the same bound was given by Vallée [20, Théoreme 9]. This allows for the following lemma that ensures that there are many disjoint large cf -ary subintervals of relative order n inside any given interval I . This lemma is crucial for our construction.

We write L for Lévy's constant $\pi^2/(12 \log 2)$.

Lemma 2 ([3, Lemma 5]). *There are positive constants K, C and a positive integer N_1 such that for any cf -ary interval I and any integer $n \geq N_1$, the Lebesgue measure of the union of the cf -ary subintervals J of I of relative order n is such that*

$$\frac{|I|}{4} e^{-2nL-2C} \leq |J| \leq 2|I| e^{-2nL+2C}$$

is greater than

$$K|I|/\sqrt{n}.$$

3.2.2. *On the size of continued fraction intervals with large discrepancy.* The following result on large deviations is essentially Kifer, Peres and Weiss' Corollary 3.2 in [11] but conditioning the first r terms.

Lemma 3 ([3, Lemma 6]). *Let $I_{[a_1,\dots,a_r]}$ be a cf -ary interval, and let $\mathbf{v} = v_1 \dots v_k$ be a word of length k over the alphabet \mathbb{N} . Then for every positive real δ and for every positive integer n ,*

$$\left| \left\{ x \in I_{[a_1,\dots,a_r]} : D_{\mathbf{v},n}^{cf\text{-ary}}(T^r x) > \delta \right\} \right| \leq 6M e^{-\frac{\delta^2 n}{2M}} |I_{[a_1,\dots,a_r]}|,$$

where

$$M = M(\delta, k) = \left\lceil k - \log(\delta^2/(2 \log 2)) \right\rceil.$$

Recall that T is the Gauss map.

3.2.3. *On the Discrepancy associated to continued fraction expansions.* If \mathbf{v} and \mathbf{u} are words, we write \mathbf{vu} for their concatenation. Then the following lemma describes the change of the discrepancy if we concatenate two words.

Lemma 4 ([3, Lemma 7]). *Let $\mathbf{w} = a_1 \dots a_n$, $\mathbf{u} = b_1 \dots b_s$ and $\mathbf{v} = v_1 \dots v_k$ be finite words over the alphabet \mathbb{N} . Furthermore, let $0 < \epsilon < 1$. Then,*

$$(1) \text{ if } D_{\mathbf{v},n}^{cf\text{-ary}}(\mathbf{w}) < \epsilon \text{ and } D_{\mathbf{v},s}^{cf\text{-ary}}(\mathbf{u}) < \epsilon - (k-1)/s \text{ then } D_{\mathbf{v},n+s}^{cf\text{-ary}}(\mathbf{wu}) < \epsilon;$$

$$(2) \text{ if } D_{\mathbf{v},n}^{cf\text{-ary}}(\mathbf{w}) < \epsilon \text{ and } s/n < \epsilon \text{ then}$$

$$(a) \text{ for every } 1 \leq \ell \leq s, D_{\mathbf{v},n+\ell}^{cf\text{-ary}}(\mathbf{wu}) < 2\epsilon \text{ and}$$

$$(b) D_{\mathbf{v},n+s}^{cf\text{-ary}}(\mathbf{uw}) < 2\epsilon.$$

3.2.4. *On the length of b -ary subintervals.* For any integer b greater than or equal to 2, we say that an interval I is b -ary of order k , if it is of the form

$$I = \left(\frac{a}{b^k}, \frac{a+1}{b^k} \right)$$

for some positive integer k and an integer a with $0 \leq a < b^k$. We write $\text{order}_b(I) = k$. If I is a union of two consecutive b -ary intervals of the same order, $I = \left(\frac{a}{b^k}, \frac{a+2}{b^k} \right)$, we also write $\text{order}_b(I) = k$. We drop the index b if the base is clear. The following is a trivial fact about lengths of b -ary subintervals.

Lemma 5. *Let $b \geq 2$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Every interval I whose Lebesgue measure is less than b^{-m} is contained in a b -ary interval of order m or in the union of two such intervals.*

3.2.5. *On the number of b -ary words with large discrepancy.* In the construction we use the following classical bound for the number of blocks of a given length having larger discrepancy than a given value, see [9, Theorem 148] or [1, Lemma 2.5].

Lemma 6 (Bernstein inequality). *Let I_{a_1, \dots, a_r} be a b -ary interval. For every positive integer* n and for every real* δ such that $6/n \leq \delta \leq 1/b$ we have*

$$\left| \left\{ x \in I_{a_1, \dots, a_r} : D_n^{b\text{-ary}}(S_b^r x) > \delta \right\} \right| \leq 2b^{n+1} e^{-b\delta^2 n/6} |I_{a_1, \dots, a_r}|.$$

Recall $S_b x = bx - \lfloor bx \rfloor$.

3.2.6. *On the Discrepancy associated to b -ary expansions.* Since there are only finitely many digits in the b -ary expansion the bounds for the discrepancy are easier in that case.

Lemma 7 ([1, Lemma 3.1]). *Let \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} be blocks in base b and let $\epsilon > 0$.*

- (1) *If $D_{|\mathbf{u}|}^{b\text{-ary}}(\mathbf{u}) < \epsilon$ and $D_{|\mathbf{v}|}^{b\text{-ary}}(\mathbf{v}) < \epsilon$, then $D_{|\mathbf{uv}|}^{b\text{-ary}}(\mathbf{uv}) < \epsilon$.*
- (2) *If $D_{|\mathbf{v}|}^{b\text{-ary}}(\mathbf{v}) < \epsilon$ and $|\mathbf{u}|/|\mathbf{v}| < \epsilon$, then*
 - (a) *for every ℓ less than or equal to $|\mathbf{u}|$, $D_{|\mathbf{v}|+\ell}^{b\text{-ary}}(\mathbf{v}\mathbf{u}) < 2\epsilon$.*
 - (b) $D_{|\mathbf{v}|+|\mathbf{u}|}^{b\text{-ary}}(\mathbf{uv}) < 2\epsilon$.

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

We split the proof into three parts. First we construct x and $y := 1/x - \lfloor 1/x \rfloor$. Secondly we prove that x and $1/x$ are both continued fraction normal and absolutely normal. Finally we show that both numbers are computable.

4.1. **The construction.** Iteratively we define two sequences of refinements of t -bricks $\vec{\sigma}_1, \vec{\sigma}_2, \vec{\sigma}_3, \dots$ and $\vec{\Sigma}_1, \vec{\Sigma}_2, \vec{\Sigma}_3, \dots$ for non-decreasing values of t . The intersection of all the intervals in the first sequence defines the number x , whereas the intersection of all the intervals in the second sequence defines the number y .

Before starting with the actual construction we provide a lemma ensuring that the sequence of refinements of t -bricks exists.

4.1.1. *The refinement lemma.*

Lemma 8. *Let t be a positive integer greater than or equal to 1, let ϵ be a positive real less than $1/t$ and let t' be an integer equal to t or to $t + 1$. Then, there is an integer function $n_0 = n_0(t, \epsilon)$ such that for every $n \geq n_0$ and there are positive integers ℓ_1, \dots, ℓ_n such that for any pair t -bricks $(\sigma_{cf}, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_t)$ and $(\Sigma_{cf}, \Sigma_2, \dots, \Sigma_t)$ there are refinements $(\tau_{cf}, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_{t'})$ and $(\mathcal{T}_{cf}, \mathcal{T}_2, \dots, \mathcal{T}_{t'})$, both with discrepancy less than $\epsilon(s)$ satisfying the following:*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{If } \sigma_{cf} &= [1, a_2, \dots, a_N] \text{ and } \Sigma_{cf} = [a_2, \dots, a_N] \text{ then} \\ \tau_{cf} &= [1, a_2, \dots, a_N, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_n] \text{ and } \mathcal{T}_{cf} = [a_2, \dots, a_N, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_n]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. First, we assume that $t' = t$.

Towards the length of τ_{cf} and \mathcal{T}_{cf} . For a cf -interval α and a positive integer n consider $\mathcal{I}_n(\alpha)$ the finite set of icf -ary subintervals A of α of relative order n such that

$$(1) \quad \frac{1}{4} e^{-2nL-2C} \leq \frac{|A|}{|\alpha|} \leq 2 e^{-2nL+2C}.$$

Let K, C, N_1 be the constants provided by Lemma 2. Then, if $n \geq N_1$,

$$\frac{\left| \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{I}_n(\alpha)} A \right|}{|\alpha|} \geq \frac{K}{\sqrt{n}}.$$

For each n , consider the sets $\mathcal{I}_n(\sigma_{cf})$ and $\mathcal{I}_n(\Sigma_{cf})$. Note that by our choice of σ_{cf} and Σ_{cf} these sets have the same cardinality and there is a one-to-one correspondence between the elements by adding the digit 1 to those in the set $\mathcal{I}_n(\Sigma_{cf})$. At the end of the proof we will determine a value n_0 for n and we will choose τ_{cf} in $\mathcal{I}_n(\sigma_{cf})$ and \mathcal{T}_{cf} in $\mathcal{I}_n(\Sigma_{cf})$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{4} e^{-2n_0L-2C} |\sigma_{cf}| &\leq |\tau_{cf}| \leq 2 e^{-2n_0L+2C} |\sigma_{cf}| \\ \frac{1}{4} e^{-2n_0L-2C} |\sigma_{cf}| &\leq |\mathcal{T}_{cf}| \leq 2 e^{-2n_0L+2C} |\Sigma_{cf}|. \end{aligned}$$

And by Lemma 1 we have

$$|\mathcal{T}_{cf}|/4 \leq |\tau_{cf}| \leq |\mathcal{T}_{cf}|.$$

Towards the length of τ_b and \mathcal{T}_b . For each $b = 2, \dots, t$ we call

$$m_b = \text{order}_b(\mathcal{T}_b) = \text{order}_b(\tau_b).$$

By the definition of a t -brick we have

$$(2) \quad |\mathcal{T}_{cf}| \leq b^{-m_b}.$$

We choose m_b as the largest integer such that

$$2e^{-2nL+2C} |\Sigma_{cf}| \leq b^{-m_b}.$$

Thus

$$b^{-m_b-1} < 2e^{-2nL+2C} |\Sigma_{cf}|.$$

Using the leftmost inequality in (1) we obtain,

$$(3) \quad b^{-m_b-1} < 2e^{-2nL+2C} |\Sigma_{cf}| = 8e^{4C} \frac{1}{4} e^{-2nL-2C} |\Sigma_{cf}| \leq 8e^{4C} |I|.$$

For every $i \in \mathcal{I}_n(\sigma_{cf})$ and for the corresponding $I \in \mathcal{I}_n(\Sigma_{cf})$ we have

$$|I|/4 \leq |i| \leq |I|$$

and from (3) we obtain

$$(4) \quad b^{-m_b-1} < 4 \cdot 8e^{4C} |i|.$$

Then, for each $i \in \mathcal{I}_n(\sigma_{cf})$ and for the corresponding $I \in \mathcal{I}_n(\Sigma_{cf})$ we respectively determine τ_b^i and \mathcal{T}_b^I as the b -ary intervals of order m_b or the union of two consecutive b -ary intervals of order m_b that respectively contain i and I (Lemma 5) with the same choice for τ_b^i and \mathcal{T}_b^I . Thus, either $|\tau_b^i| = |\mathcal{T}_b^I| = b^{-m_b}$ or $|\tau_b^i| = |\mathcal{T}_b^I| = 2b^{-m_b}$. Putting together (2), (3) and (4) we obtain

$$(5) \quad \frac{1}{2 \cdot 8e^{4C} b} \leq \frac{|I|}{|\mathcal{T}_b^I|} \leq \frac{4|i|}{|\tau_b^i|}.$$

We give bounds on the number of digits we add in the b -ary expansion. For this we write,

$$n_b = \text{order}(\mathcal{T}_b) - \text{order}(\Sigma_b).$$

Since

$$|\Sigma_{cf}| \leq |\Sigma_b| \leq |\Sigma_{cf}| 2 \cdot 8e^{4C} b$$

and by Lemma 5, Σ_b consists of one or two b -ary intervals,

$$\text{order}(\Sigma_b) = -\log_b(|\Sigma_b|) \quad \text{or} \quad \text{order}(\Sigma_b) = -\log_b(|\Sigma_b|/2),$$

we have

$$\log_b(|\Sigma_{cf}|/2) \leq -\text{order}(\Sigma_b) \leq \log_b(|\Sigma_{cf}| 8e^{4C} b).$$

And since

$$2e^{-2nL+2C} |\Sigma_{cf}| \leq b^{-m_b} \leq b 2e^{-2nL+2C} |\Sigma_{cf}|$$

we have

$$\log_b(2e^{-2nL+2C} |\Sigma_{cf}|) \leq -\text{order}(\mathcal{T}_b) = -m_b \leq \log_b(b 2e^{-2nL+2C} |\Sigma_{cf}|).$$

We obtain, for the number of digits n_b we add to the b -ary expansion, that

$$2nL \log_b e - \log_b(4be^{2C}) \leq \text{order}(\mathcal{T}_b) - \text{order}(\Sigma_b) = n_b \leq 2nL \log_b e + \log_b(4e^{2C} b).$$

Thus,

$$(6) \quad 2n \frac{L}{\log b} - \frac{2C}{\log b} - 3 \leq n_b \leq 2n \frac{L}{\log b} + \frac{2C}{\log b} + 3.$$

Bad zones. We must pick one interval i in $\mathcal{I}_n(\sigma_{cf})$ and one interval I in $\mathcal{I}_n(\Sigma_{cf})$ in a zone of low discrepancy. This is possible because the measure of the zones of large discrepancy decrease at an exponential rate in n while the measure of $\mathcal{I}_n(\sigma_{cf})$ and $\mathcal{I}_n(\Sigma_{cf})$ decreases only as K/\sqrt{n} . For each n let

$$B_{b,\sigma_b,m_b,\epsilon}^0 \quad \text{and} \quad B_{b,\Sigma_b,m_b,\epsilon}^0$$

be the set of reals in the b -ary subintervals of σ_b and Σ_b of order m_b with b -discrepancy greater than ϵ , respectively. And let

$$B_{b,\sigma_b,m_b,\epsilon} \quad \text{and} \quad B_{b,\Sigma_b,m_b,\epsilon}$$

be, respectively, the union of $B_{b,\sigma_b,m_b,\epsilon}^0$ and $B_{b,\Sigma_b,m_b,\epsilon}^0$ with those numbers lying in a b -ary interval of the same order that is a neighbour to one in $B_{b,\sigma_b,m_b,\epsilon}^0$ and $B_{b,\Sigma_b,m_b,\epsilon}^0$.

Recall that m_b is the order of τ_b and \mathcal{T}_b , which we reach by adding n_b digits to the intervals σ_b and Σ_b , respectively. To define τ_b we need to add n_b many digits avoiding b -discrepancy greater than ϵ . Thus, using the conditions $6/n_b \leq \epsilon \leq 1/b$, Lemma 6 provides the estimate

$$\frac{|B_{b,\sigma_b,m_b,\epsilon}|}{|\sigma_b|} = \frac{|B_{b,\Sigma_b,m_b,\epsilon}|}{|\Sigma_b|} \leq 6be^{-b\epsilon^2 n_b/6}.$$

Notice that the factor 6 on the rightmost expression comes from considering the b -ary intervals in B which are those in B^0 together with their neighbour b -ary intervals to the left and to the right.

By (5),

$$\begin{aligned} |\sigma_b| &\leq 4|\sigma_{cf}| \cdot 2 \cdot 8e^{4C}b, \\ |\Sigma_b| &\leq |\Sigma_{cf}| \cdot 2 \cdot 8e^{4C}b \end{aligned}$$

and from (6) we know

$$n_b \geq 2n \frac{L}{\log b} - \frac{2C}{\log b} - 3.$$

We obtain

$$\frac{|B_{b,\sigma_b,m_b,\epsilon}|}{|\sigma_{cf}|} \leq \frac{4|B_{b,\Sigma_b,m_b,\epsilon}|}{|\Sigma_{cf}|} \leq A(b)e^{-b\epsilon^2 Ln/(3 \log b)},$$

where

$$A(b) = 384e^{4c}b^2 e^{b\epsilon^2 \left(\frac{C}{3 \log b} + \frac{1}{2}\right)}.$$

Consider the bad zones with respect to the continued fraction expansion. For each n , let

$$\tilde{B}_{t,\Sigma_{cf},n,\epsilon} \text{ and } \tilde{B}_{t,\sigma_{cf},n,\epsilon}$$

be the set of reals x in the respective cf -ary subintervals of Σ_{cf} and σ_{cf} of relative order n such that for some word of length t of digits less than or equal to t the cf -discrepancy of x is greater than $\epsilon - (t-1)/n$. With the condition $2(t-1)/\epsilon \leq n$, it suffices to consider cf -discrepancies greater than $\epsilon/2$. Then Lemma 3 gives the estimate,

$$\frac{|\tilde{B}_{t,\Sigma_{cf},n,\epsilon}|}{|\Sigma_{cf}|} = \frac{|\tilde{B}_{t,\sigma_{cf},n,\epsilon}|}{|\sigma_{cf}|} \leq t^t 6M e^{-\frac{(\epsilon/2)^2 n}{2M}},$$

where

$$M = \left\lceil t - \log \left(\frac{(\epsilon/2)^2}{2 \log 2} \right) \right\rceil.$$

Find n_0 large enough. We choose n_0 such that the measure of the union of the bad zones of σ_{cf} and Σ_{cf} as well as the bad zones of σ_b and Σ_b for $b = 2, \dots, t$ are small enough so that we can find an interval in $\mathcal{I}_n(\sigma_{cf})$ and interval in $\mathcal{I}_n(\Sigma_{cf})$ outside the bad zones and defined by appending the same n_0 cf -digits to the cf -expansion of Σ_{cf} and σ_{cf} .

We find n_0 to be the least integer n such that for each $b = 2, \dots, t$,

$$\begin{aligned} A(b)e^{-b\epsilon^2 Ln/(3 \log b)} &< \frac{1}{8} \frac{K}{t\sqrt{n}} \quad \text{and} \\ 6Mt^t e^{-\frac{(\epsilon/2)^2 n}{2M}} &< \frac{1}{8} \frac{K}{t\sqrt{n}}, \end{aligned}$$

where the factor $1/8$ ensures that

1. less than $1/8$ of the measure of $\mathcal{I}_n(\sigma_{cf})$ is covered with bad zones with respect to the continued fraction expansion of σ_{cf} ;
2. less than $1/8$ of the measure of $\mathcal{I}_n(\sigma_{cf})$ is covered with the projection of the bad zones with respect to the corresponding continued fraction expansion of Σ_{cf} ;
3. less than $1/8$ of the measure of $\mathcal{I}_n(\sigma_{cf})$ is covered with bad zones with respect to the b -ary expansion inside σ_{cf} and inside Σ_{cf} ;
4. at least $5/8$ of the measure of $\mathcal{I}_n(\sigma_{cf})$ is free of bad zones,
5. the above 4 points also hold on interchanging σ_{cf} with Σ_{cf} .

In turn, this ensures the existence of n digits ℓ_1, \dots, ℓ_n such that

- if $\sigma_{cf} = [1, a_2, \dots, a_N]$ and $\Sigma_{cf} = [a_2, \dots, a_N]$ then $\tau_{cf} = [1, a_2, \dots, a_N, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_n]$ and $\mathcal{T}_{cf} = [a_2, \dots, a_N, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_n]$,
- $\tau_{cf} \in \mathcal{I}_n(\sigma_{cf})$, $\mathcal{T}_{cf} \in \mathcal{I}_n(\Sigma_{cf})$,
- τ_{cf} and \mathcal{T}_{cf} are not in bad zones.

So, we need to find solutions to

$$\sqrt{n}e^{-rn} \leq \gamma$$

for certain values of r and γ . Since for every positive x , it holds that $x < e^{x/2}$, we have

$$\sqrt{n}e^{-rn/2} \leq \frac{1}{r} n e^{-rn/2} < \frac{1}{r} e^{rn/2-rn/2} = \frac{1}{r}.$$

Thus, we need n such that

$$e^{-rn/2} \leq \gamma r$$

for each of the needed values r and γ . Hence, n has to be as large as

$$-2/r \log(\gamma r)$$

for each of the needed values r and γ . Letting

$$r^{(1)} = \epsilon^2/(8M) \text{ and } \gamma^{(1)} = K/(6Mt^{t+1})$$

and for $b = 2, \dots, t$,

$$r^{(b)} = b\epsilon^2 L/(3 \log b) \text{ and } \gamma^{(b)} = K/(t A(b)),$$

Taking

$$n = \max \left\{ -2/r^{(b)} \log \left(\gamma^{(b)} r^{(b)} \right) : 1 \leq b \leq t \right\} \cup \left\{ \frac{6}{\epsilon}, \frac{2(t-1)}{\epsilon}, N_1 \right\}$$

(recall that N_1 is the constant already fixed at the beginning of this proof, provided by Lemma 2) completes the proof in case $t' = t$.

The case $t' = t + 1$ follows easily by taking first t -bricks $\vec{\tau} = (\tau_{cf}, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_t)$ and $\vec{\mathcal{T}} = (\mathcal{T}_{cf}, \mathcal{T}_2, \dots, \mathcal{T}_t)$ respectively refining $\vec{\sigma}$ and $\vec{\Sigma}$ with discrepancy less than ϵ . Since the refinement asks no discrepancy condition on τ_{t+1} nor on \mathcal{T}_{t+1} , we only need to take $(t+1)$ -ary intervals τ_{t+1} and \mathcal{T}_{t+1} of order m_{t+1} , or a union of two consecutive such intervals so that $|\tau_{t+1}| = |\mathcal{T}_{t+1}|$, $\tau_{cf} \subset \tau_{t+1}$, $\mathcal{T}_{cf} \subset \mathcal{T}_{t+1}$ where m_{t+1} is the maximum such that $|\mathcal{T}_{cf}| \leq (t+1)^{-m_{t+1}}$. Applying Lemma 5 and using that $|\tau_{cf}| \leq |\mathcal{T}_{cf}|$ we obtain

$$|\tau_{cf}| \geq \frac{|\tau_{t+1}|}{2(t+1)} \text{ and } |\mathcal{T}_{cf}| \geq \frac{|\mathcal{T}_{t+1}|}{2(t+1)}.$$

This ensures that $\vec{\mathcal{T}} = (\mathcal{T}_{cf}, \mathcal{T}_2, \dots, \mathcal{T}_{t+1})$ and $\vec{\tau} = (\tau_{cf}, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_{t+1})$ are $(t+1)$ -bricks. \square

4.1.2. *The iterative construction.* For simplicity, we fix the first digit of the continued fraction expansion such that x is in the interval $(1/2, 1)$ and therefore $1/x$ is in interval $(1, 2)$, hence $\lfloor 1/x \rfloor = 1$ and y is in interval $(0, 1)$.

The construction works by steps, starting at step $s = 1$. We set the largest integer base t , the discrepancy value ϵ and the relative order n of the new cf -ary interval as functions of the step s . In particular, we define for every positive integer s ,

$$\begin{aligned} t(s) &= \max(2, \lfloor \sqrt[5]{\log s} \rfloor), \\ \epsilon(s) &= 1/t(s). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly $t(s)$ is non-decreasing unbounded and $\epsilon(s)$ is non-increasing and goes to zero. Consider the function $n_0(\epsilon(s), t(s))$ given by Lemma 8 below and notice that

$$n_0(\epsilon(s), t(s)) = O(t(s)^4 \log(t(s))).$$

Let n_{start} be the minimum positive integer such that for every positive s ,

$$\lfloor \log s \rfloor + n_{start} \geq n_0(\epsilon(s), t(s))$$

and define

$$n_0(s) = \lfloor \log s \rfloor + n_{start}.$$

The following is invariant in all steps s of the construction for $\vec{\sigma}_s = (\sigma_{cf}, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_{t(s)})$ and $\vec{\Sigma}_s = (\Sigma_{cf}, \Sigma_2, \dots, \Sigma_{t(s)})$:

$$|\Sigma_{cf}|/4 \leq |\sigma_{cf}| \leq |\Sigma_{cf}|,$$

and for each $b = 2, \dots, t(s)$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\sigma_b| &= |\Sigma_b| \\ \sigma_{cf} &\subset \sigma_b, \\ \Sigma_{cf} &\subset \Sigma_b, \\ |\sigma_{cf}| &\geq |\sigma_b|/(4 \cdot 16e^{4C}b), \\ |\Sigma_{cf}| &\geq |\Sigma_b|/(16e^{4C}b). \end{aligned}$$

Initial step, $s = 1$:

$$\vec{\sigma}_1 = (\sigma_{cf}, \sigma_2), \text{ for } \sigma_2 = \sigma_{cf} = (1/2, 1) = I_{[0;1]}$$

$$\vec{\Sigma}_1 = (\Sigma_{cf}, \Sigma_2), \text{ for } \Sigma_2 = \Sigma_{cf} = (0, 1) = I_{[0;]}.$$

Recursive step, $s > 1$: Assume that we already have two bricks

$$\vec{\sigma}_{s-1} = (\sigma_{cf}, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_{t(s-1)}) \quad \text{and} \quad \vec{\Sigma}_{s-1} = (\Sigma_{cf}, \Sigma_2, \dots, \Sigma_{t(s-1)}).$$

We choose

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{\sigma}_s &= (\tau_{cf}, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_{t(s)}) \\ \vec{\Sigma}_s &= (\mathcal{T}_{cf}, \mathcal{T}_2, \dots, \mathcal{T}_{t(s)}) \end{aligned}$$

such that if $\sigma_{cf} = [a_1, \dots, a_N]$ and $\Sigma_{cf} = [a_2, \dots, a_N]$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{cf} &= [a_2, \dots, a_N, a_{N+1}, \dots, a_{N+n_0(s)}], \\ \mathcal{T}_{cf} &= [a_1, \dots, a_N, a_{N+1}, \dots, a_{N+n_0(s)}] \end{aligned}$$

are the leftmost cf -subintervals of σ_{cf} and Σ_{cf} of relative order $n_0(s)$ ensuring that $\vec{\sigma}_s$ refines $\vec{\sigma}_{s-1}$ and $\vec{\Sigma}_s$ refines $\vec{\Sigma}_{s-1}$, both with discrepancy less than $\epsilon(s)$.

4.2. Correctness of the construction. The existence of the sequences $\vec{\sigma}_1, \vec{\sigma}_2, \dots$ and $\vec{\Sigma}_1, \vec{\Sigma}_2, \dots$ is guaranteed by Lemma 8. Let x and y be respectively defined by the intersection of all the intervals in the respective sequences.

4.2.1. The numbers x and $1/x$ are continued fraction normal. The construction ensures that, removing the first digit in the continued fraction expansion of x , the continued fractions of x and y are identical. Since $y = 1/x - \lfloor 1/x \rfloor = 1/x - 1$, to show that x and $1/x$ are continued fraction normal it suffices to show that x and y are continued fraction normal.

Let v be a word of m integers v_1, \dots, v_m and let $\tilde{\epsilon} > 0$. Choose s_0 so that $m \leq t(s_0)$, $\max\{v_1, \dots, v_m\} \leq t(s_0)$ and $\epsilon(s_0) \leq \tilde{\epsilon}/4$. At each step s after s_0 , the continued fraction expansions of x and y are constructed by appending a word u_s such that $|u_s| = n_0(s)$ and

$$D_{v, |u_s|}^{cf-ary}(u_s) < \epsilon(s) - \frac{t(s-1) - 1}{|u_s|} < \epsilon(s) - \frac{m-1}{|u_s|}.$$

By Lemma 4 (Item 1) applied several times, we obtain for every $s \geq s_0$:

$$D_{v, |u_{s_0} \dots u_s|}^{cf-ary}(u_{s_0} u_{s_0+1} \dots u_s) < \epsilon(s_0).$$

Next, by Lemma 4 (Item 2b) there is s_1 sufficiently large such that for every $s \geq s_1$,

$$D_{v, |u_1 \dots u_s|}^{cf-ary}(u_1 \dots u_s) < 2\epsilon(s_0).$$

Since $n_0(s)$ grows logarithmically, the inequality

$$n_0(s) \leq 2\epsilon(s_0) \sum_{j=1}^{s-1} n_0(j)$$

holds from certain point on. Hence, by Lemma 4 (Item 2a), we have for every s sufficiently large and for every ℓ such that $|u_1 \dots u_{s-1}| < \ell \leq |u_1 \dots u_s|$,

$$D_{v, \ell}^{cf-ary}(u_1 \dots u_s) < 4\epsilon(s_0) < \tilde{\epsilon}.$$

It follows that x and y are continued fraction normal.

4.2.2. The numbers x and $1/x$ are absolutely normal. Absolute normality follows by showing simple normality to all integer bases greater than or equal to 2. We prove that x is simply normal to all integer bases $b \geq 2$, the case of y is alike. Since $1/x = \lfloor 1/x \rfloor + y = 1 + y$, we conclude that $1/x$ is also simply normal to all integer bases $b \geq 2$.

Fix a base $b \geq 2$ and let $\tilde{\epsilon} > 0$. We choose s_0 such that $t(s_0) \geq b$ and $\epsilon(s_0) \leq \tilde{\epsilon}/4$. At each step s after s_0 the expansion of x in base b was constructed by appending blocks u_s such that $D_{|u_s|}^{b-ary}(u_s) < \epsilon(s_0)$. Thus, by Lemma 7 (Item 1) for any $s > s_0$,

$$D_{|u_{s_0} \dots u_s|}^{b-ary}(u_{s_0} \dots u_s) < \epsilon(s_0).$$

Applying Lemma 7 (Item 2a), we obtain s_1 such that for any $s > s_1$

$$D_{|u_1 \dots u_s|}^{b-ary}(u_1 \dots u_s) < 2\epsilon(s_0).$$

Call $n_b(j)$ the relative order of the b -interval of $\vec{\sigma}_j$ with respect to the b -interval of $\vec{\sigma}_{j-1}$. The inequalities

$$2n_0(j) \frac{L}{\log b} - \frac{2C}{\log b} - 3 \leq n_b(j) \leq 2n_0(j) \frac{L}{\log b} + \frac{2C}{\log b} + 3$$

provided by (6) in the proof of Lemma 8, tell us that $n_b(j)$ grows logarithmically. Then, for s sufficiently large we have

$$n_b(s) \leq 2\epsilon(s_0) \sum_{j=1}^{s-1} n_b(j).$$

By Lemma 7 (Item 2b) we conclude that for s sufficiently large and $|u_1 \dots u_{s-1}| \leq \ell \leq |u_1 \dots u_s|$,

$$D_\ell^{b\text{-ary}}(u_1 \dots u_s) < 4\epsilon(s_0) < \tilde{\epsilon}.$$

Thus x is simply normal to base b , for every $b \geq 2$.

4.3. The numbers x and $1/x$ are computable. A real number is computable if, for some integer $b \geq 2$, there is an algorithm that produces, one after the other, the digits in its b -ary expansion. In addition to Lévy's constant $L = \pi^2/(12 \log 2)$, our construction of x and y depends on three constants, K, C and N_1 indicated in Lemma 2. Since these three constants can be taken to be integer values (and they do not need to be minimal), there is an algorithm that, for any given integer $b \geq 2$, produces the b -ary expansion of x and $1/x$. Therefore, x and $1/x$ are computable. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

Acknowledgements. The first author is supported by grant STIC-Amsud 20-STIC-06 and PICT-2018-02315. The second author is supported by project ANR-18-CE40-0018 funded by the French National Research Agency. The problem was brought to the authors' attention at the workshop on "Discrepancy Theory and Applications – Part 2", CIRM 2641, February 2021, organized by Manfred Madritsch, Joel Rivat and Robert Tichy.

REFERENCES

- [1] V. Becher, P. A. Heiber, and T. Slaman, *A polynomial-time algorithm for computing absolutely normal numbers*, Information and Computation **232** (2013), 1–9.
- [2] V. Becher and O. Carton, *Normal numbers and computer science*, Sequences, groups, and number theory, 2018, pp. 233–269.
- [3] V. Becher and S. Yuhjtman, *On absolutely normal and continued fraction normal numbers*, International Mathematics Research Notices **19** (2019).
- [4] G. D. Birkhoff, *Proof of the Ergodic Theorem*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. **17** (1931), 656–660.
- [5] É. Borel, *Les probabilités d'énombrables et leurs applications arithmétiques*, Supplemento di Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo **27** (1909), 247–271.
- [6] Y. Bugeaud, *Distribution modulo one and Diophantine approximation*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2012.
- [7] K. Dajani and C. Kraaikamp, *Ergodic theory of numbers*, Carus Mathematical Monographs, vol. 29, Mathematical Association of America, Washington, DC, 2002. MR1917322 (2003f:37014)
- [8] M. Drmota and R. Tichy, *Sequences, discrepancies and applications*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 1651, Springer-Verlag, 1997.
- [9] G. H. Hardy and E. M. Wright, *An introduction to the theory of numbers*, Sixth, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2008.
- [10] I. Ibragimov, *A theorem from the metric theory of continued fractions*, Vestnik Leningrad. Univ. **16** (1961), no. 1, 13–24.
- [11] Y. Kifer, Y. Peres, and B. Weiss, *A dimension gap for continued fractions with independent digits*, Israel Journal of Mathematics **124** (2001), no. 1, 61–76.
- [12] L. Kuipers and H. Niederreiter, *Uniform distribution of sequences*, Dover Publications, Inc., New York, 2006.

- [13] D. Lind and B. Marcus, *An introduction to symbolic dynamics and coding*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995. MR1369092 (97a:58050)
- [14] M. Madritsch, *Normal numbers and symbolic dynamics*, Sequences, groups, and number theory, 2018, pp. 271–329.
- [15] M. G. Madritsch, A.-M. Scheerer, and R. F. Tichy, *Computable absolutely Pisot normal numbers*, Acta Arithmetica **184** (2018), no. 1, 7–29. MR3826637
- [16] G. A. Mischyavichyus, *Estimate of the remainder in the limit theorem for the denominators of continued fractions*, Litovskii Matematicheskii Sbornik **21** (1987), no. 3, 63–74.
- [17] T. Morita, *Local limit theorem and distribution of periodic orbits of Lasota-Yorke transformations with infinite Markov partitions*, J. Math. Sot. Japan **46** (1994), no. 2, 309–343. Corrections in Vol. 47 (I) (1997) 191–192.
- [18] W. Philipp, *Ein zentraler Grenzwertsatz mit Anwendungen auf die Zahlentheorie*, Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Geb. **8** (1967), 185–203.
- [19] T. Rivoal, *On the bits counting function of real numbers*, Journal Australian Mathematical Society **85** (2008), 95–111.
- [20] B. Vallée, *Operateurs de Ruelle-Mayer généralisés et analyse des algorithmes d'Euclide et de Gauss*, Acta Arithmetica **LXXXI.2** (1997), 101–144.

(V. Becher) DEPARTAMENTO DE COMPUTACIÓN, FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS EXACTAS Y NATURALES
UNIVERSIDAD DE BUENOS AIRES & ICC CONICET
PABELLÓN I, CIUDAD UNIVERSITARIA, 1428 BUENOS AIRES, ARGENTINA
Email address: vbecher@dc.uba.ar

(M. G. Madritsch) 1. UNIVERSITÉ DE LORRAINE, INSTITUT ELIE CARTAN DE LORRAINE, UMR 7502,
VANDOEUVRE-LÉS-NANCY, F-54506, FRANCE;
2. CNRS, INSTITUT ELIE CARTAN DE LORRAINE, UMR 7502, VANDOEUVRE-LÉS-NANCY, F-54506, FRANCE
Email address: manfred.madritsch@univ-lorraine.fr