

PRODUCTS OF COMPOSITION AND DIFFERENTIATION OPERATORS

MAHBUBE MORADI AND MAHSA FATEHI*

ABSTRACT. We consider products of composition and differentiation operators on the Hardy space. We provide a complete characterization of boundedness and compactness of these operators. Furthermore, we obtain the explicit condition for these operators to be Hilbert-Schmidt operators.

1. PRELIMINARIES

Let \mathbb{D} be the open unit disk in the complex plane \mathbb{C} . The *Hardy space* H^2 is the Hilbert space of all analytic functions f on \mathbb{D} such that

$$\|f\|^2 = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^2 d\theta < \infty.$$

It is well known that the Hardy space H^2 is a reproducing kernel Hilbert space, with the inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(e^{i\theta}) \overline{g(e^{i\theta})} d\theta,$$

and with kernel functions $K_w^{(n)}(z) = \frac{n!z^n}{(1-\overline{w}z)^{n+1}}$, where n is a non-negative integer and $z, w \in \mathbb{D}$. These kernel functions satisfy $\langle f, K_w^{(n)} \rangle = f^{(n)}(w)$ for each $f \in H^2$. To simplify notation we write K_w in case $n = 0$. In particular note that $\|K_w\|^2 = K_w(w) = \frac{1}{1-|w|^2}$. Let $\hat{f}(n)$ be the n th coefficient of f in its Maclaurin series. Moreover, we have another representation for the norm of f on H^2 as follows

$$\|f\|^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\hat{f}(n)|^2 < \infty.$$

The space H^∞ is the Banach space of bounded analytic functions f on \mathbb{D} with $\|f\|_\infty = \sup\{|f(z)| : z \in \mathbb{D}\}$.

For φ an analytic self-map of \mathbb{D} , the *composition operator* C_φ is defined for analytic functions f on \mathbb{D} by $C_\varphi(f) = f \circ \varphi$. It is well known that every composition operator C_φ is bounded on H^2 (see [2, Corollary 3.7]). For each positive integer k , the operator $D^{(k)}$ for any $f \in H^2$ is defined by the rule $D^{(k)}(f) = f^{(k)}$. This operator is called the *differentiation operator of order k* . For convenience, we use the notation D when $k = 1$. The differentiation operators $D^{(k)}$ are unbounded on H^2 , whereas Ohno [6] found a characterization for $C_\varphi D$ and DC_φ to be bounded and compact on H^2 . The study of operators $C_\varphi D$ and DC_φ was initially addressed

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47B38 (Primary), 30H10, 47E99.

Key words and phrases. Composition operator, differentiation operators, boundedness, compactness.

*Corresponding author.

by Hirschweiler, Portnoy, and Ohno (see [5] and [6]) and has been noticed by many researchers ([3], [4], and [8]). In this paper, we will be considering a slightly broader class of these operators. For each positive integer n , we write $D_{\varphi,n}$ to denote the operator on H^2 given by the rule $D_{\varphi,n}(f) = C_{\varphi}D^{(n)}(f) = f^{(n)} \circ \varphi$. Our main results provide complete characterizations of the boundedness and compactness of operators $D_{\varphi,n}$ on H^2 (Theorems 2.1 and 2.2). In addition, we characterize the Hilbert-Schmidt operators $D_{\varphi,n}$ on H^2 (Theorem 3.3). In this paper, we use some ideas which are found in [6].

Let φ be an analytic self-map of \mathbb{D} . The *Nevanlinna counting function* N_{φ} of φ is defined by

$$N_{\varphi}(w) = \sum_{\varphi(z)=w} \log(1/|z|) \quad w \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{\varphi(0)\}$$

and $N_{\varphi}(\varphi(0)) = \infty$. Note that $N_{\varphi}(w) = 0$ when w is not in $\varphi(\mathbb{D})$. For each $f \in H^2$, by using change of variables formula and Littlewood-Paley Identity, the norm of $C_{\varphi}f$ is determined as follows:

$$(1.1) \quad \|f \circ \varphi\|^2 = |f(\varphi(0))|^2 + 2 \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(w)|^2 N_{\varphi}(w) dA(w),$$

where dA is the normalized area measure on \mathbb{D} (see [2, Theorem 2.31]). Moreover, to obtain the lower bound estimate on $\|D_{\varphi,n}\|$ we need the following well known lemma as follows (see [2, p. 137]):

Suppose that φ is an analytic self-map of \mathbb{D} and f is analytic in \mathbb{D} . Assume that Δ is any disk not containing $\{f^{-1}(\varphi(0))\}$ and centered at a . Then

$$(1.2) \quad N_{\varphi}(f(a)) \leq \frac{1}{|\Delta|} \int_{\Delta} N_{\varphi}(f(w)) dA(w),$$

where $|\Delta|$ is the normalized area measure of Δ .

2. BOUNDEDNESS AND COMPACTNESS OF $D_{\varphi,n}$

The goal of this section is to determine which of these operators $D_{\varphi,n}$ are bounded and compact.

Theorem 2.1. *Let φ be an analytic self-map of \mathbb{D} and n be a positive integer. The operator $D_{\varphi,n}$ is bounded on H^2 if and only if*

$$N_{\varphi}(w) = O\left(\left[\log(1/|w|)\right]^{2n+1}\right) \quad (|w| \rightarrow 1).$$

Proof. Suppose that $D_{\varphi,n}$ is bounded on H^2 . Let $f(z) = \frac{K_{\lambda}(z)}{\|K_{\lambda}\|} = \frac{\sqrt{1-|\lambda|^2}}{1-\bar{\lambda}z}$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}$. By (1.1), we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|D_{\varphi,n}\|^2 &\geq \|D_{\varphi,n}f\|^2 \\
 &= \left\| C_{\varphi} \left(\frac{n!\bar{\lambda}^n \sqrt{1-|\lambda|^2}}{(1-\bar{\lambda}z)^{n+1}} \right) \right\|^2 \\
 &= \left| \frac{n!\bar{\lambda}^n \sqrt{1-|\lambda|^2}}{(1-\bar{\lambda}\varphi(0))^{n+1}} \right|^2 + 2 \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left| \frac{(n+1)!\bar{\lambda}^{n+1} \sqrt{1-|\lambda|^2}}{(1-\bar{\lambda}w)^{n+2}} \right|^2 N_{\varphi}(w) dA(w) \\
 (2.1) \quad &\geq \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{2((n+1)!)^2 |\lambda|^{2n+2} (1-|\lambda|^2)}{|1-\bar{\lambda}w|^{2n+4}} N_{\varphi}(w) dA(w).
 \end{aligned}$$

Substitute $w = \alpha_{\lambda}(u) = \frac{\lambda-u}{1-\bar{\lambda}u}$ back into (2.1) and using [7, Theorem 7.26] to obtain

$$(2.2) \quad \|D_{\varphi,n}\|^2 \geq \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{2((n+1)!)^2 |\lambda|^{2n+2} (1-|\lambda|^2)}{|1-\bar{\lambda}\alpha_{\lambda}(u)|^{2n+4}} N_{\varphi}(\alpha_{\lambda}(u)) |\alpha'_{\lambda}(u)|^2 dA(u).$$

Since $1-\bar{\lambda}\alpha_{\lambda}(u) = \frac{1-|\lambda|^2}{1-\bar{\lambda}u}$ and $\alpha'_{\lambda}(u) = \frac{|\lambda|^2-1}{(1-\bar{\lambda}u)^2}$, by substituting α'_{λ} and $1-\bar{\lambda}\alpha_{\lambda}$ back into (2.2), we see that

$$(2.3) \quad \|D_{\varphi,n}\|^2 \geq \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{2((n+1)!)^2 |\lambda|^{2n+2} |1-\bar{\lambda}u|^{2n}}{(1-|\lambda|^2)^{2n+1}} N_{\varphi}(\alpha_{\lambda}(u)) dA(u).$$

Because $|1-\bar{\lambda}u| \geq \frac{1}{2}$ for any $u \in \mathbb{D}/2$, we get from (2.3) that

$$(2.4) \quad \|D_{\varphi,n}\|^2 \geq \int_{\mathbb{D}/2} \frac{2((n+1)!)^2 |\lambda|^{2n+2}}{2^{2n} (1-|\lambda|^2)^{2n+1}} N_{\varphi}(\alpha_{\lambda}(u)) dA(u).$$

There exists $r < 1$ such that for each λ with $r < |\lambda| < 1$, $\alpha_{\lambda}^{-1}(\varphi(0)) \notin \mathbb{D}/2$ because $|\alpha_{\lambda}^{-1}(\varphi(0))| = |\alpha_{\varphi(0)}(\lambda)|$ and $\alpha_{\varphi(0)}$ is an automorphism of \mathbb{D} . By (1.2) and (2.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|D_{\varphi,n}\|^2 &\geq \frac{2((n+1)!)^2 |\lambda|^{2n+2}}{2^{2n} (1-|\lambda|^2)^{2n+1}} \int_{\mathbb{D}/2} N_{\varphi}(\alpha_{\lambda}(u)) dA(u) \\
 &\geq \frac{2((n+1)!)^2 |\lambda|^{2n+2}}{2^{2n} (1-|\lambda|^2)^{2n+1}} \cdot \frac{N_{\varphi}(\alpha_{\lambda}(0))}{4} \\
 (2.5) \quad &= \frac{((n+1)!)^2 |\lambda|^{2n+2}}{2^{2n+1} (1-|\lambda|^2)^{2n+1}} N_{\varphi}(\lambda)
 \end{aligned}$$

for each λ with $r < |\lambda| < 1$. Since $D_{\varphi,n}$ is bounded, there exists a constant number M so that

$$(2.6) \quad \lim_{|\lambda| \rightarrow 1} \frac{((n+1)!)^2 |\lambda|^{2n+2}}{2^{2n+1} (1-|\lambda|^2)^{2n+1}} N_{\varphi}(\lambda) \leq M.$$

We know that $\log(1/|\lambda|)$ is comparable to $1 - |\lambda|$ as $|\lambda| \rightarrow 1^-$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{|\lambda| \rightarrow 1} \frac{((n+1)!)^2 |\lambda|^{2n+2}}{2^{2n+1} (1 - |\lambda|^2)^{2n+1}} N_\varphi(\lambda) \\
&= \lim_{|\lambda| \rightarrow 1} \frac{((n+1)!)^2 |\lambda|^{2n+2}}{2^{2n+1} (1 + |\lambda|)^{2n+1}} \left(\frac{\log(1/|\lambda|)}{1 - |\lambda|} \right)^{2n+1} \frac{N_\varphi(\lambda)}{(\log(1/|\lambda|))^{2n+1}} \\
(2.7) \quad &\geq \frac{((n+1)!)^2}{2^{6n+4}} \lim_{|\lambda| \rightarrow 1} \frac{N_\varphi(\lambda)}{(\log(1/|\lambda|))^{2n+1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

By (2.6) and (2.7), we can see that

$$N_\varphi(\lambda) = O\left(\left[\log(1/|\lambda|)\right]^{2n+1}\right) \quad (|\lambda| \rightarrow 1).$$

Conversely, suppose that for some R with $0 < R < 1$, there exists a constant M satisfying

$$\sup_{R < |w| < 1} N_\varphi(w) / \left[\log(1/|w|)\right]^{2n+1} \leq M.$$

Let f be an arbitrary function in H^2 . It follows from (1.1) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|D_{\varphi,n} f\|^2 &= |f^{(n)}(\varphi(0))|^2 + 2 \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f^{(n+1)}(w)|^2 N_\varphi(w) dA(w) \\
&= |f^{(n)}(\varphi(0))|^2 \\
(2.8) \quad &+ 2 \left(\int_{R\mathbb{D}} |f^{(n+1)}(w)|^2 N_\varphi(w) dA(w) + \int_{\mathbb{D} \setminus R\mathbb{D}} |f^{(n+1)}(w)|^2 N_\varphi(w) dA(w) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

First we estimate the first and the second terms in the right-hand of (2.8). Observe that

$$f^{(n)}(z) = \langle f, K_z^{(n)} \rangle = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{n! e^{-in\theta} f(e^{i\theta})}{(1 - e^{-i\theta}z)^{n+1}} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi}$$

and hence

$$(2.9) \quad |f^{(n)}(z)| \leq \frac{n!}{(1 - |z|)^{n+1}} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(e^{i\theta})| \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \leq \frac{n!}{(1 - |z|)^{n+1}} \|f\|$$

for any $z \in \mathbb{D}$. It follows from (2.9) that

$$(2.10) \quad |f^{(n)}(\varphi(0))| \leq \frac{n! \|f\|}{(1 - |\varphi(0)|)^{n+1}}.$$

Moreover, we can see that

$$(2.11) \quad |f^{(n+1)}(z)| = |\langle f, K_z^{(n+1)} \rangle| = \frac{(n+1)!}{(1 - |z|)^{n+2}} \|f\|$$

for any $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Therefore by (2.11), we see that

$$\int_{R\mathbb{D}} |f^{(n+1)}(w)|^2 N_\varphi(w) dA(w) \leq \left(\frac{(n+1)!}{(1-R)^{n+2}} \right)^2 \|f\|^2 \int_{R\mathbb{D}} N_\varphi(w) dA(w).$$

Since $\|\varphi\|^2 = |\varphi(0)|^2 + 2 \int_{\mathbb{D}} N_{\varphi}(w) dA(w)$ by (1.1), we obtain

$$(2.12) \quad \int_{\mathbb{D}} N_{\varphi}(w) dA(w) = \frac{1}{2} (\|\varphi\|^2 - |\varphi(0)|^2) < 1.$$

From (2.11) and (2.12), we see that

$$(2.13) \quad \int_{R\mathbb{D}} |f^{(n+1)}(w)|^2 N_{\varphi}(w) dA(w) \leq \left(\frac{(n+1)!}{(1-R)^{n+2}} \right)^2 \|f\|^2.$$

Now we estimate the third term in the right-hand of (2.8). We have

$$(2.14) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{D} \setminus R\mathbb{D}} |f^{(n+1)}(w)|^2 N_{\varphi}(w) dA(w) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{D} \setminus R\mathbb{D}} |f^{(n+1)}(w)|^2 (\log(1/|w|))^{2n+1} \frac{N_{\varphi}(w)}{(\log(1/|w|))^{2n+1}} dA(w) \\ &\leq \sup_{R < |w| < 1} \frac{N_{\varphi}(w)}{(\log(1/|w|))^{2n+1}} \int_{\mathbb{D} \setminus R\mathbb{D}} |f^{(n+1)}(w)|^2 (\log(1/|w|))^{2n+1} dA(w) \\ &\leq M \int_{\mathbb{D} \setminus R\mathbb{D}} |f^{(n+1)}(w)|^2 (\log(1/|w|))^{2n+1} dA(w). \end{aligned}$$

Let $f(z) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_m z^m$. We get

$$(2.15) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{D} \setminus R\mathbb{D}} |f^{(n+1)}(w)|^2 (\log(1/|w|))^{2n+1} dA(w) \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{D} \setminus R\mathbb{D}} \left| \sum_{m=n+1}^{\infty} m(m-1)\dots(m-n) a_m(w)^{m-(n+1)} \right|^2 (\log(1/|w|))^{2n+1} dA(w) \\ &\leq \sum_{m=n+1}^{\infty} m^2(m-1)^2\dots(m-n)^2 |a_m|^2 \int_{\mathbb{D} \setminus R\mathbb{D}} \left| (w)^{m-(n+1)} \right|^2 (\log(1/|w|))^{2n+1} dA(w) \\ &\leq \sum_{m=n+1}^{\infty} m^2(m-1)^2\dots(m-n)^2 |a_m|^2 \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left| (w)^{m-(n+1)} \right|^2 (\log(1/|w|))^{2n+1} dA(w) \\ &= \sum_{m=n+1}^{\infty} m^2(m-1)^2\dots(m-n)^2 |a_m|^2 \int_0^1 \int_0^{2\pi} |r e^{i\theta}|^{2(m-(n+1))} (\log(1/r))^{2n+1} r dr \frac{d\theta}{\pi} \\ &\leq \sum_{m=n+1}^{\infty} m^2(m-1)^2\dots(m-n)^2 |a_m|^2 \int_0^1 (r)^{2(m-(n+1))} (\log(1/r))^{2n+1} 2r dr. \end{aligned}$$

Now substitute $t = r^2$ and $u = \log(1/t)$ to obtain

$$(2.16) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_0^1 (r)^{2(m-(n+1))} (\log(1/r))^{2n+1} 2r dr &= \int_0^1 t^{(m-(n+1))} \left(\frac{1}{2} \log(1/t) \right)^{2n+1} dt \\ &= (1/2)^{2n+1} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-u(m-n)} u^{2n+1} du. \end{aligned}$$

By substituting $x = (m - n)u$ back into (2.16), we have

$$(1/2)^{2n+1} \int_0^\infty e^{-u(m-n)} u^{2n+1} du = \frac{1}{2^{2n+1}(m-n)^{2n+2}} \int_0^\infty e^{-x} x^{2n+1} dx$$

$$(2.17) \quad = \frac{\Gamma(2n+2)}{2^{2n+1}(m-n)^{2n+2}}.$$

By (2.14), (2.15), (2.16) and (2.17), we can see that

$$\int_{\mathbb{D} \setminus \mathbb{R}\mathbb{D}} |f^{(n+1)}(w)|^2 N_\varphi(w) dA(w) \leq M \sum_{m=n+1}^\infty m^2(m-1)^2 \dots (m-n)^2 |a_m|^2 \frac{\Gamma(2n+2)}{2^{2n+1}(m-n)^{2n+2}}$$

$$(2.18) \quad = M \frac{(2n+1)!}{2^{2n+1}} \sum_{m=n+1}^\infty \frac{m^2(m-1)^2 \dots (m-n+1)^2}{(m-n)^{2n}} |a_m|^2$$

$$\leq M \lambda \frac{(2n+1)!}{2^{2n+1}} \sum_{m=n+1}^\infty |a_m|^2$$

$$\leq M \lambda \frac{(2n+1)!}{2^{2n+1}} \|f\|^2,$$

where λ is a constant so that $\frac{m^2(m-1)^2 \dots (m-n+1)^2}{(m-n)^{2n}} \leq \lambda$ for each $m \geq n+1$ (note that the function $f(x) = \frac{x^2(x-1)^2 \dots (x-n+1)^2}{(x-n)^{2n}}$ is bounded on $[n+1, +\infty)$). Then (2.8), (2.10), (2.13) and (2.18) show that $D_{\varphi,n}$ is bounded. \square

Theorem 2.2. *Let φ be an analytic self-map of \mathbb{D} and n be a positive integer. The operator $D_{\varphi,n}$ is compact on H^2 if and only if*

$$(2.19) \quad N_\varphi(w) = o\left(\left[\log(1/|w|)\right]^{2n+1}\right) \quad (|w| \rightarrow 1).$$

Proof. Let $h_m(z) = \frac{\sqrt{1-|\lambda_m|^2}}{1-\lambda_m z}$ for a sequence $\{\lambda_m\}$ in \mathbb{D} so that $|\lambda_m| \rightarrow 1$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Then $h_m \rightarrow 0$ weakly as $m \rightarrow \infty$ by [2, Theorem 2.17]. First suppose that $D_{\varphi,n}$ is compact. Hence $\|D_{\varphi,n} h_m\| \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore (2.5) shows that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{((n+1)!)^2 |\lambda_m|^{2n+2}}{2^{2n+1} (1-|\lambda_m|^2)^{2n+1}} N_\varphi(\lambda_m) = 0.$$

Since $\log(1/|\lambda_m|)$ is comparable to $1-|\lambda_m|$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$, the result follows.

Conversely, suppose that (2.19) holds. Let $\epsilon > 0$. Then there exists $R, 0 < R < 1$, such that

$$(2.20) \quad \sup_{R < |w| < 1} N_\varphi(w) / [\log(1/|w|)]^{2n+1} < \epsilon.$$

Let $\{f_m\}$ be any bounded sequence in H^2 . By using the idea which was stated in the proof of [2, Proposition 3.11], we can see that $\{f_m\}$ is a normal family and there exists a subsequence $\{f_{m_k}\}$ which converges to some function $f \in H^2$ uniformly on all compact subsets of \mathbb{D} . Let $g_{m_k} = f_{m_k} - f$ for each positive integer k . Note that $\{g_{m_k}\}$ is a bounded sequence in H^2 which converges to 0 uniformly on all compact

subsets of \mathbb{D} . By (2.8), we obtain

$$(2.21) \quad \begin{aligned} \|D_{\varphi,n}g_{m_k}\|^2 &= |g_{m_k}^{(n)}(\varphi(0))|^2 + 2 \int_{R\mathbb{D}} |g_{m_k}^{(n+1)}(w)|^2 N_{\varphi}(w) dA(w) \\ &+ 2 \int_{\mathbb{D}\setminus R\mathbb{D}} |g_{m_k}^{(n+1)}(w)|^2 N_{\varphi}(w) dA(w). \end{aligned}$$

By [1, Theorem 2.1, p. 151], we can choose k_{ϵ} so that

$$(2.22) \quad |g_{m_k}^{(n)}(\varphi(0))| < \sqrt{\epsilon}$$

and $|g_{m_k}^{(n+1)}| < \sqrt{\epsilon}$ on $R\mathbb{D}$ whenever $k > k_{\epsilon}$. Substituting $f(z) = z$ into (1.1), we see that

$$(2.23) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{R\mathbb{D}} |g_{m_k}^{(n+1)}(w)|^2 N_{\varphi}(w) dA(w) &\leq \epsilon \int_{R\mathbb{D}} N_{\varphi}(w) dA(w) \\ &\leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} (\|\varphi\|^2 - |\varphi(0)|^2) \end{aligned}$$

for $k > k_{\epsilon}$. On the other hand by (2.20) and the same idea as stated in the proof of (2.14) and (2.18), we see that

$$(2.24) \quad \begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{D}\setminus R\mathbb{D}} |g_{m_k}^{(n+1)}(w)|^2 N_{\varphi}(w) dA(w) \\ &\leq \sup_{R < |w| < 1} \frac{N_{\varphi}(w)}{[\log(1/|w|)]^{2n+1}} \int_{\mathbb{D}\setminus R\mathbb{D}} |g_{m_k}^{(n+1)}(w)|^2 [\log(1/|w|)]^{2n+1} dA(w) \\ &\leq C\epsilon \|g_{m_k}\|, \end{aligned}$$

where C is a constant. Hence we conclude that $\|D_{\varphi,n}g_{m_k}\|$ converges to zero as $k \rightarrow \infty$ by (2.21), (2.22), (2.23) and (2.24) and so $D_{\varphi,n}$ is compact. \square

The preceding theorems lead to characterizations of all bounded and compact operators $D_{\varphi,n}$ when φ is a univalent self-map.

Corollary 2.3. *Let φ be a univalent self-map of \mathbb{D} and n be a positive integer. Then the following hold.*

(i) $D_{\varphi,n}$ is bounded on H^2 if and only if

$$\sup_{w \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{1 - |w|}{(1 - |\varphi(w)|)^{2n+1}} < \infty$$

(ii) $D_{\varphi,n}$ is compact on H^2 if and only if

$$\lim_{|w| \rightarrow 1} \frac{1 - |w|}{(1 - |\varphi(w)|)^{2n+1}} = 0.$$

Proof. Since φ is univalent, we can see that $N_{\varphi}(w) = \log(1/|z|)$, where $\varphi(z) = w$. We observe that

$$\frac{N_{\varphi}(w)}{[\log(1/|w|)]^{2n+1}} = \frac{-\log(|z|)}{(-\log(|\varphi(z)|))^{2n+1}}.$$

Moreover, we know that $\log(1/|z|)$ is comparable to $1 - |z|$ as $|z| \rightarrow 1^-$. Furthermore $|z| \rightarrow 1$ as $|\varphi(z)| \rightarrow 1$. Therefore the results follow immediately from Theorems 2.1 and 2.2. \square

3. HILBERT-SCHMIDT OPERATOR $D_{\varphi,n}$

We begin with a few easy observations that help us in the proof of Theorem 3.3. In the proof of the following lemma, we assume that $0^0 = 1$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let n be a positive integer and $\alpha_k > 0$ for each $0 \leq k \leq n$. Then for $0 \leq x < 1$, the following statements hold.*

$$(a) \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\alpha_k x^k}{(1-x)^{n+k+1}} \leq \frac{\sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_k}{(1-x)^{2n+1}}.$$

$$(b) \text{ There exists a positive number } \beta \text{ such that } \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\alpha_k x^k}{(1-x)^{n+k+1}} \geq \frac{\beta}{(1-x)^{2n+1}}.$$

Proof. (a) We can see that

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\alpha_k x^k}{(1-x)^{n+k+1}} = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_k x^k (1-x)^{n-k}}{(1-x)^{2n+1}}.$$

Since $0 \leq x < 1$ and $\alpha_k > 0$, we conclude that $\sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_k x^k (1-x)^{n-k} \leq \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_k$. Hence the conclusion follows.

(b) We have

$$(1-x)^{2n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\alpha_k x^k}{(1-x)^{n+k+1}} = \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_k x^k (1-x)^{n-k} > 0.$$

Since $\sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_k x^k (1-x)^{n-k}$ is a continuous function on $[0, 1]$, there exists a positive number β such that $\sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_k x^k (1-x)^{n-k} \geq \beta$. Hence the result follows. \square

Lemma 3.2. *Let n be a positive integer. Then*

$$\sum_{m=n}^{\infty} [m(m-1)\dots(m-n+1)]^2 x^{m-n} = (n!)^2 \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(n+k)!}{(k!)^2 (n-k)!} \frac{x^k}{(1-x)^{n+k+1}}$$

for $0 \leq x < 1$.

Proof. See [8, Lemma 1] and the general Leibniz rule. \square

A *Hilbert-Schmidt operator* on a separable Hilbert space H is a bounded operator A with finite *Hilbert-Schmidt norm* $\|A\|_{HS} = (\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|Ae_n\|^2)^{1/2}$, where $\{e_n\}$ is an orthonormal basis of H . These definitions are independent of the choice of the basis (see [2, Theorem 3.23]).

Theorem 3.3. *Let $D_{\varphi,n}$ be a bounded operator on H^2 . Then $D_{\varphi,n}$ is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator on H^2 if and only if*

$$(3.1) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1}{(1 - |\varphi(re^{i\theta})|^2)^{2n+1}} < \infty.$$

Proof. Suppose that (3.1) holds. Lemmas 3.1, 3.2 and [7, Theorem 1.27] imply that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \|D_{\varphi,n} z^m\| &= \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} \|m(m-1)\dots(m-n+1)\varphi^{m-n}\| \\
 &= \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |m(m-1)\dots(m-n+1)\varphi^{m-n}(re^{i\theta})|^2 d\theta \\
 &= \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |m(m-1)\dots(m-n+1)\varphi^{m-n}(re^{i\theta})|^2 d\theta \\
 &= \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} |m(m-1)\dots(m-n+1)\varphi^{m-n}(re^{i\theta})|^2 d\theta \\
 &= \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(n!)^2 (n+k)!}{(k!)^2 (n-k)!} \frac{|\varphi(re^{i\theta})|^{2k}}{(1-|\varphi(re^{i\theta})|^2)^{n+k+1}} \\
 (3.2) \quad &\leq \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\alpha}{(1-|\varphi(re^{i\theta})|^2)^{2n+1}},
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(n!)^2 (n+k)!}{(k!)^2 (n-k)!}$ (note that the interchange of limit and summation is justified by [2, Corollary 2.23] and using Lebesgue's Monotone Convergence Theorem with counting measure). It follows that $\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \|D_{\varphi,n} z^m\| < \infty$ and so $D_{\varphi,n}$ is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator on H^2 by [2, Theorem 3.23].

Conversely, suppose that $D_{\varphi,n}$ is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator on H^2 . We infer from [2, Theorem 3.23] that

$$(3.3) \quad \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \|D_{\varphi,n} z^m\|^2 < \infty.$$

On the other hand, by the proof of (3.2) and Lemma 3.1, there exists a positive number β such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \|D_{\varphi,n} z^m\|^2 &= \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(n!)^2 (n+k)!}{(k!)^2 (n-k)!} \frac{|\varphi(re^{i\theta})|^{2k}}{(1-|\varphi(re^{i\theta})|^2)^{n+k+1}} \\
 (3.4) \quad &\geq \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\beta}{(1-|\varphi(re^{i\theta})|^2)^{2n+1}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence the result follows from (3.3) and (3.4). □

REFERENCES

- [1] J. B. Conway, *Functions of One Complex Variable*, Second Edition, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1978.
- [2] C. C. Cowen and B. D. MacCluer, *Composition Operators on Spaces of Analytic Functions*. Studies in Advanced Mathematics. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1995.
- [3] M. Fatehi and C. N. B. Hammond, Composition–differentiation operators on the Hardy space, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **148** (2020), 2893–2900.
- [4] M. Fatehi and C. N. B. Hammond, Normality and self-adjointness of weighted composition–differentiation operators, *Complex Anal. Oper. Theory*, **15** (2021), 1–13.
- [5] R. A. Hirschweiler and N. Portnoy, Composition followed by differentiation between Bergman and Hardy spaces, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.*, **35** (2005), 843–855.

- [6] S. Ohno, Products of composition and differentiation between Hardy spaces, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **73** (2006), 235–243.
- [7] W. Rudin, *Real and Complex Analysis*, Third Edition, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1987.
- [8] S. Stević, Products of composition and differentiation operators on the weighted Bergman space, *Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin.* **16** (2009), 623–635.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHIRAZ BRANCH, ISLAMIC AZAD UNIVERSITY, SHIRAZ, IRAN.
Email address: `mathcall2021@yahoo.com`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHIRAZ BRANCH, ISLAMIC AZAD UNIVERSITY, SHIRAZ, IRAN.
Email address: `fatehimahsa@yahoo.com`