

TENSOR PRODUCTS OF THE DEFINING REPRESENTATIONS OVER THE WITT ALGEBRA IN POSITIVE CHARACTERISTIC

HAO CHANG and YU-FENG YAO

ABSTRACT. Let $A(1) := k[X]/(X^p)$ be the natural representation of the Witt algebra $W(1)$ over an algebraically closed field of prime characteristic $p > 3$. In this note, we decompose the $W(1)$ -module $A(1) \otimes A(1)$ into two invariant subspaces, and precisely construct their Jordan-Hölder composition series. As a consequence, we obtain all decomposition factors of the tensor product of the simple restricted $W(1)$ -module with “highest” weight $p - 1$.

1. INTRODUCTION

The tensor product of representations is an important ingredient in the representation theory. Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [p])$ be a restricted Lie algebra over an algebraically closed field k of positive characteristic, and $\mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{g})$ its restricted enveloping algebra. Representations of $\mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{g})$ are, in general, no longer completely reducible, and the structures of tensor products of irreducible representations can be extremely difficult to work out in detail even if we have determined all the irreducible representations. In the case $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$, the decomposition of $L(\lambda) \otimes L(\mu)$, tensor products of two simple modules, is completely understood in [4] (see also [1]). Let W_1 be the infinite-dimensional simple Lie algebra of Cartan type over \mathbb{C} . In [7], Tanaka studied the 2-fold tensor product of the defining representation for W_1 , denoted as $P_{(2)}$. She decomposed $P_{(2)}$ into two invariant subspaces and constructed Jordan-Hölder composition series of them.

Block and Wilson [2] showed that all restricted simple Lie algebras over k are either classical or of Cartan type provided that the characteristic of k is larger than 7. Lie algebras of Cartan type fall into four infinite classes of algebras: W , S , H and K . In this article, we consider the Witt algebra $\mathfrak{g} = W(1)$, which was found by E. Witt as the first example of non-classical simple Lie algebra in 1930s. By definition, the Witt algebra is the full derivation algebra of the truncated polynomial ring $A(1) := k[X]/(X^p)$, where p is the characteristic of k . In this paper, we will give a complete structure of the 2-fold tensor product $\otimes^2 A(1)$. The purpose of this note is to obtain

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 17B10, 17B50, 17B70.

Key words and phrases. Witt algebra, tensor product module, \mathbb{Z} -graded module, Jordan-Hölder composition series.

This work is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 11801204, 11771279 and 12071136).

an analogue of the main result of [7] by employing techniques that work in positive characteristics.

Throughout this paper, k denotes an algebraically closed field of characteristic $\text{char}(k) =: p > 3$. All vector spaces are assumed to be finite-dimensional over k .

2. NOTATIONS AND PRELIMINARIES

2.1. The Witt algebra $W(1)$. Let $A(1) := k[X]/(X^p)$ be the truncated polynomial ring, whose canonical generator will be denoted by $x := X + (X^p)$. The Lie algebra $W(1) := \text{Der}(A(1))$ is called the *Witt algebra*, see [6, IV] for more details. We let $\partial \in W(1)$ denote the derivative with respect to the variable x . Then $\{\partial\}$ is a basis of the $A(1)$ -module $W(1)$, so that $\dim_k W(1) = p$.

Throughout this paper, we always denote by \mathfrak{g} the Witt algebra $W(1)$.

It is well-known that \mathfrak{g} is a restricted simple Lie algebra, and has a natural \mathbb{Z} -grading $\mathfrak{g} = \sum_{i=-1}^{p-2} \mathfrak{g}_i$, where $\mathfrak{g}_i = ke_i$, and $e_i := x^{i+1}\partial$ for $-1 \leq i \leq p-2$. Associated with this grading, one has the following natural filtration:

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{(-1)} \supset \mathfrak{g}_{(0)} \supset \cdots \supset \mathfrak{g}_{(p-2)} \supset 0,$$

where

$$\mathfrak{g}_{(i)} = \sum_{j \geq i} \mathfrak{g}_j, \quad -1 \leq i \leq p-2.$$

A \mathbb{Z} -graded \mathfrak{g} -module is a \mathfrak{g} -module $V = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} V_i$ such that $\mathfrak{g}_i \cdot V_j \subseteq V_{i+j}$, for any $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$. The space $A(1)$ has a natural \mathbb{Z} -grading as

$$(2.1) \quad A(1) = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{p-1} A_j, \quad \text{where } A_j = kx^j \text{ for } 0 \leq j \leq p-1.$$

The algebra \mathfrak{g} acts naturally on the truncated polynomial ring $A(1)$ with $\mathfrak{g}_i A_j \subseteq A_{i+j}$. It is a \mathbb{Z} -graded \mathfrak{g} -module, and is called the *defining representation* of \mathfrak{g} .

The *restricted enveloping algebra* of \mathfrak{g} is denoted by $u(\mathfrak{g})$. By definition,

$$u(\mathfrak{g}) := U(\mathfrak{g}) / (\{x^p - x^{[p]}; x \in \mathfrak{g}\})$$

is a finite-dimensional quotient of the ordinary enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$ (cf. [6, (II.2.5)]). The degree of a monomial $u := e_{-1}^{j_{-1}} \cdot e_0^{j_0} \cdot e_1^{j_1} \cdots e_{p-2}^{j_{p-2}} \in u(\mathfrak{g})$, is defined as $d(u) := -1(j_{-1}) + 0j_0 + 1j_1 + \cdots + (p-2)j_{p-2}$. Let $u(\mathfrak{g})_l$ be the linear span of monomials of degree l , and we call an element $u \in u(\mathfrak{g})_l$ a *homogeneous element* of degree l in $u(\mathfrak{g})$. A homogeneous element $u \in u(\mathfrak{g})_l$ acts homogeneously, that is, u maps a homogeneous component A_k into A_{k+l} .

Remark. When $p = 2$, $W(1)$ is no longer simple, and when $p = 3$ it is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$.

2.2. Representations of $W(1)$. Note that the \mathbb{Z} -graded restricted Lie algebra has a standard triangular decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}^- \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}^+$ such that $\mathfrak{g}^- = \mathfrak{g}_{-1} = ke_{-1}$, $\mathfrak{g}_0 = ke_0$ and $\mathfrak{g}^+ = \mathfrak{g}_{(1)}$. Then \mathfrak{g}^+ is an ideal of the trigonalizable Lie algebra $\mathfrak{b}^+ := \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}^+$. In this paper, we are only interested in those irreducible representations which are restricted, i.e., those irreducible $\mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules.

Recall that the simple $\mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules are well-known and were first determined by Chang [3]. To describe them, we define a one-dimensional \mathfrak{b}^+ -module k_λ on which \mathfrak{g}^+ acts trivially and e_0 acts by multiplication via the scalar $\lambda \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$. Then one defines the corresponding Verma module $Z(\lambda) := \mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{b}^+)} k_\lambda$. It is easy to see that $Z(\lambda)$ is p -dimensional and has a basis $\{m_0, \dots, m_{p-1}\}$ where the action of \mathfrak{g} is given by

$$(2.2) \quad e_k \cdot m_j = (j + k + 1 + (k + 1)\lambda)m_{j+k}$$

(see for instance [5, (2.2.1)]). The $Z(\lambda)$ are all simple except for $Z(0)$ and $Z(p-1)$. The former has a trivial simple quotient and the latter has a trivial submodule and $(p-1)$ -dimensional simple quotient. We denote the corresponding simple quotient modules by $L(\lambda)$ ($\lambda \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$). Direct computation shows that $A(1) \cong Z(p-1)$.

On the other hand, we may recognize the simple restricted module as the following: Let $L = L(\lambda)$, there is, up to scalars, a unique vector, m_0 killed by $e_{-1} = \partial$. By formula (2.2) $e_0 \cdot m_0 = (\lambda + 1)m_0$ whenever $L(\lambda) = Z(\lambda)$. For the trivial module $L(0)$, of course e_0 has weight zero. One checks that for $L(p-1)$, e_0 has weight 1 on a vector killed by e_{-1} . Hence, the action of e_{-1} and e_0 on L determines L up to isomorphism. It should be notice that we may identify the adjoint module as $L(p-2)$: The element e_{-1} is killed by $\text{ad } e_{-1}$ and $[e_0, e_{-1}] = -e_{-1}$. Thus $\lambda + 1 = -1$ modulo p and so $\lambda = p-2$.

Let $\mathfrak{b}^- := \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}^-$. Similarly, we can define $L^-(\lambda)$ to be the simple head of $Z^-(\lambda) := \mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{b}^-)} k_\lambda$. By the above observation, we record the following fact:

Lemma 2.1. *The following statements hold:*

$$L(\lambda) = \begin{cases} L^-(\lambda), & \lambda = 0, \\ L^-(\lambda + 1), & 1 \leq \lambda \leq p-2, \\ L^-(1), & \lambda = p-1. \end{cases}$$

3. \mathbb{Z} -GRADED W_1 -MODULES

Let us consider the 2-fold tensor product $A_{(2)} := \otimes^2 A(1)$. We can identify $A_{(2)}$ with the truncated polynomial ring $k[X_1, X_2]/(X_1^p, X_2^p)$, whose canonical generators will be denoted by x_1, x_2 . The action of e_j on $A_{(2)}$ is

$$(3.1) \quad e_j \cdot f(x_1, x_2) := x_1^{j+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} f + x_2^{j+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} f.$$

Note that $\mathcal{A} := A_{(2)}$ is \mathbb{Z} -graded by $\mathcal{A}_i := \langle x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2}; \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = i \rangle$. In view of (3.1), the module \mathcal{A} obtains the structure of a \mathbb{Z} -graded \mathfrak{g} -module.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_0 \oplus \mathcal{A}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{A}_{2(p-1)}$ be the \mathbb{Z} -graded decomposition and let $s \in \{1, 2\}$ be given. Then the operator $e_s : \mathcal{A}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{n+s}$ is injective whenever $n > 0$ and $n + s < p$.*

Proof. Take an element $v \in \mathcal{A}_n$ written as $v = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x_1^i x_2^{n-i}$ with coefficients $a_i \in k$, we have

$$e_s.v = \sum_{i=0}^{n+s} ((i-s)a_{i-s} + (n-i)a_i) x_1^i x_2^{n+s-i},$$

where we make the convention that $a_i = 0$ for $i < 0$ or $i > n$. Suppose that $v \in \ker(e_s)$, then

$$(i-s)a_{i-s} + (n-i)a_i = 0 \text{ for } 0 \leq i \leq n+s.$$

For $i = 0$ we obtain $-sa_{-s} + na_0 = 0$, so that $a_0 = 0$. We solve these equations successively, we obtain $a_i = 0$ for $0 \leq i \leq n$. \square

Recall that $\mathfrak{b}^+ = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}^+$. Let $u(\mathfrak{b}^+)$ be the restricted enveloping algebra of \mathfrak{b}^+ . This is a \mathbb{Z} -graded subalgebra of $u(\mathfrak{g})$, i.e.,

$$u(\mathfrak{b}^+)_l = u(\mathfrak{b}^+) \cap u(\mathfrak{g})_l.$$

Moreover, $u(\mathfrak{b}^+)$ has a natural PBW-filtration:

$$(3.2) \quad k = u(\mathfrak{b}^+)^{(0)} \subseteq u(\mathfrak{b}^+)^{(1)} \subseteq u(\mathfrak{b}^+)^{(2)} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq u(\mathfrak{b}^+),$$

where $u(\mathfrak{b}^+)^{(r)} := \text{Span}_k\{u = e_0^{r_0} e_1^{r_1} \cdots e_{p-2}^{r_{p-2}}; r_0 + r_1 + \cdots + r_{p-2} \leq r\}$.

Given an integer $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, we denote by \bar{i} the corresponding element in $\{0, \dots, p-1\}$ such that $\bar{i} \equiv i \pmod{p}$.

Let $V \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ be a \mathbb{Z} -graded \mathfrak{g} -submodule generated by an element $v_i \in \mathcal{A}_i \cap \ker e_{-1}$. It follows that $V = u(\mathfrak{b}^+).v_i$. Clearly, the module V is a *lowest weight module*. Using (3.1) one can show by direct computation that v_i is a weight vector with weight \bar{i} , the so-called *lowest weight* of V .

Lemma 3.2. *Let $V \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ be a \mathbb{Z} -graded \mathfrak{g} -submodule generated by an element $v_i \in \mathcal{A}_i \cap \ker e_{-1}$ and $V = V_l \oplus \cdots \oplus V_s$ be the \mathbb{Z} -graded decomposition. If the lowest weight is not 0, then the operator $e_{-1} : V_{l+i+1} \rightarrow V_{l+i}$ is surjective whenever $0 \leq l < p-2$.*

Proof. We show the assertion by induction on l . When $l = 0$, since $V_i = kv_i$ and $v_i = e_{-1}(\frac{1}{2}e_1 v_i)$, the assertion is true. When $l = 1$, $V_{1+i} = ke_1 v_i$, and $e_1 v_i = e_{-1}(\frac{1}{3}e_2 v_i)$, the assertion is also true. In the following we assume that $l \geq 2$, and suppose that the assertion is true for $l-1$. We aim to show that the assertion is true for l . For that, note that $V_{l+i} = u(\mathfrak{b}^+)_l.v_i$. We need to show that for any $u \in u(\mathfrak{b}^+)_l \cap u(\mathfrak{b}^+)^{(r)}$, there exists some $u' \in u(\mathfrak{b}^+)_{l+1} \cap u(\mathfrak{b}^+)^{(r)}$ such that

$$(3.3) \quad u.v_i = e_{-1}(u'.v_i) \in e_{-1}(V_{l+i+1})$$

by induction on l and r . Note that (3.3) is true for $l = 1$ and any r . Suppose (3.3) holds for any integer less than l and any r . Next we show that it also

holds for l and any r . When $r = 0$, since $l \geq 2$, we have $u = 0$, (3.3) is obvious true. When $r = 1$, it follows from direct computation that

$$(l+2)e_l.v_i = [e_{-1}, e_{l+1}].v_i = e_{-1}e_{l+1}.v_i.$$

The assumption $l < p - 2$ now yields $l + 2 \neq 0$. Hence the assertion is true for $r = 1$.

Assume that (3.3) is true for some $r \geq 1$. Next we prove that

$$(3.4) \quad e_j \tilde{u}.v_i \in e_{-1}(\mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{b}^+)_{l+1} \cap \mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{b}^+)^{(r+1)}).v_i$$

for $\tilde{u} \in \mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{b}^+)_{l-j} \cap \mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{b}^+)^{(r)}$ by induction on $j \geq 0$. When $j = 0$, $e_0 \tilde{u}.v_i = (l+i)\tilde{u}.v_i$. The induction hypothesis implies that (3.4) is true for $j = 0$. Now assume $j \geq 1$, we assume that $e_{j-1} \tilde{u}'.v_i = e_{-1}(\hat{u}'.v_i)$ for some $\hat{u}' \in \mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{b}^+)_{l+1} \cap \mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{b}^+)^{(r+1)}$, where $\tilde{u}' \in \mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{b}^+)_{l-j+1} \cap \mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{b}^+)^{(r)}$ with $\tilde{u}.v_i = e_{-1} \tilde{u}'.v_i$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} e_j \tilde{u}.v_i &= e_j e_{-1} \tilde{u}'.v_i \\ &= (e_{-1} e_j - (j+1)e_{j-1}) \tilde{u}'.v_i \\ &= e_{-1}(e_j \tilde{u}' - (j+1)\hat{u}').v_i \in e_{-1}(\mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{b}^+)_{l+1} \cap \mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{b}^+)^{(r+1)}).v_i. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the assertion (3.4) holds for any j . It follows that $u.v_i \in e_{-1}(V_{l+i+1})$ for all $u \in \mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{b}^+)_l$, as desired. \square

Lemma 3.3. *Let $V \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ be a \mathbb{Z} -graded \mathfrak{g} -submodule generated by an element $v_i \in \mathcal{A}_i \cap \ker e_{-1}$. Then V has $L^-(\bar{i})$ as its quotient module.*

Proof. This follows directly from the universal property of $Z^-(\bar{i})$. \square

4. THE MODULE $A_{(2)}$

The module $A_{(1)} = A(1)$ is nothing but $Z(p-1)$ as a restricted \mathfrak{g} -module (see Section 2.2). The module $A(1)$ has an invariant subspace k , where k denotes the space of constant functions in $A(1)$. The quotient module $A(1)/k$ is simple and $A(1)/k \cong L(p-1)$ as \mathfrak{g} -modules.

In this section, we will consider the structure of the 2-fold tensor product $\mathcal{A} = A_{(2)} := A(1) \otimes A(1)$.

4.1. Direct sum decomposition. Let S_2 be the symmetric group of degree 2. The group algebra kS_2 acts naturally on $\mathcal{A} = A_{(2)}$ from the right. Let \mathcal{A}_s and \mathcal{A}_a be the subspaces consisting of symmetric elements and anti-symmetric ones, respectively. Our general assumption $p > 3$ implies that kS_2 is semisimple, and it commutes with $W(1)$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\mathfrak{g} := W(1)$ and $\mathcal{A} = A_{(2)}$. Then the \mathfrak{g} -module \mathcal{A} is decomposed as $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_s \oplus \mathcal{A}_a$ into two \mathfrak{g} -modules.*

Proof. Note that kS_2 is a semisimple algebra. By general theory, we can decompose \mathcal{A} as a kS_2 -module:

$$\mathcal{A} = \sum_{D \in \mathfrak{Y}_2} \text{Hom}_{S_2}(\sigma_D, \mathcal{A}) \otimes \sigma_D,$$

where \mathcal{Y}_2 is the set of Young diagram of size 2 and σ_D is the corresponding irreducible representation of S_2 . Hence, the following isomorphism is obvious.

$$\mathcal{A}_s \cong \text{Hom}_{S_2}(\sigma_1, \mathcal{A}) \otimes \sigma_1, \quad \mathcal{A}_a \cong \text{Hom}_{S_2}(\sigma_2, \mathcal{A}) \otimes \sigma_2$$

as $\mathfrak{g} \times S_2$ -modules, where σ_1 is the trivial representation and σ_2 is the sign representation. \square

The space $A_{(2)}^+ := \otimes^2(A(1)/k) = \otimes^2(L(p-1))$ is called the *top level* of $A_{(2)}$. By the same token, the top level $\mathcal{A}^+ = A_{(2)}^+$ is also decomposed as $\mathcal{A}^+ = \mathcal{A}_s^+ \oplus \mathcal{A}_a^+$.

More precisely, we put

$$\mathcal{A}'_s = \text{Span}_k\{x_1^i + x_2^i; i = 0, 1, \dots, p-1\},$$

$$\mathcal{A}'_a = \text{Span}_k\{x_1^i - x_2^i; i = 1, 2, \dots, p-1\},$$

then

$$\mathcal{A}_s^+ = \mathcal{A}_s / \mathcal{A}'_s, \quad \mathcal{A}_a^+ = \mathcal{A}_a / \mathcal{A}'_a$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}'_s \cong A(1) \cong Z(p-1), \quad \mathcal{A}'_a \cong A(1)/k \cong L(p-1).$$

We record the following basic observation:

Lemma 4.2. *Dimensions of weight subspaces of these modules are as follows:*

weight	0	1	2	\dots	$p-1$
\mathcal{A}	p	p	p	\dots	p
\mathcal{A}_s	$\frac{p+1}{2}$	$\frac{p+1}{2}$	$\frac{p+1}{2}$	\dots	$\frac{p+1}{2}$
\mathcal{A}_a	$\frac{p-1}{2}$	$\frac{p-1}{2}$	$\frac{p-1}{2}$	\dots	$\frac{p-1}{2}$
\mathcal{A}^+	$p-1$	$p-2$	$p-2$	\dots	$p-2$
\mathcal{A}_s^+	$\frac{p-1}{2}$	$\frac{p-1}{2}$	$\frac{p-1}{2}$	\dots	$\frac{p-1}{2}$
\mathcal{A}_a^+	$\frac{p-1}{2}$	$\frac{p-3}{2}$	$\frac{p-3}{2}$	\dots	$\frac{p-3}{2}$

Proof. Let M be one of them with weight space decomposition

$$M = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_p} M_\lambda.$$

In view of Section 2.2, all non-zero weight spaces of a restricted \mathfrak{g} -module have the same dimension. Consequently,

$$\dim_k M = \dim_k M_0 + (p-1) \dim_k M_\lambda$$

for any $0 \neq \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_p$. Then our assertions follow from a direct computation. \square

4.2. Some key lemmas.

Lemma 4.3. *Keep notations as before. Then we have*

$$(1) \dim_k((\mathcal{A}_s^+)_i \cap \ker e_{-1}) = \begin{cases} 1, & i = 2, 4, \dots, p-1, \\ 0, & i = 3, 5, \dots, p. \end{cases}$$

$$(2) \dim_k((\mathcal{A}_a^+)_i \cap \ker e_{-1}) = \begin{cases} 1, & i = 3, 5, \dots, p, \\ 0, & i = 4, \dots, p-1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We only prove (1), as (2) can be treated similarly. Let $v_i \in (\mathcal{A}_s^+)_i$ be a homogeneous element.

Assume first that $i = 2m$ is an even number. If $m = 1$, then $(\mathcal{A}_s^+)_2 = \text{Span}_k\{x_1x_2\}$. The assertion is true because $e_{-1}(x_1x_2) = x_1 + x_2 = 0$. Hence we assume that $m > 1$. We write

$$v_i = v_{2m} = \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} a_j(x_1^j x_2^{2m-j} + x_1^{2m-j} x_2^j) + a_m x_1^m x_2^m.$$

According to (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} e_{-1}.v_i &= \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} j a_j (x_1^{j-1} x_2^{2m-j} + x_1^{2m-j} x_2^{j-1}) + m a_m (x_1^{m-1} x_2^m + x_1^m x_2^{m-1}) \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} (2m-j) a_j (x_1^j x_2^{2m-j-1} + x_1^{2m-j-1} x_2^j) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} ((2m-j)a_j + (j+1)a_{j+1}) (x_1^j x_2^{2m-j-1} + x_1^{2m-j-1} x_2^j). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $v_i \in \ker(e_{-1})$. Comparing coefficients yields

$$(2m-j)a_j + (j+1)a_{j+1} = 0$$

for every $j \in \{1, \dots, m-1\}$. Consequently, $\dim_k((\mathcal{A}_s^+)_{2m} \cap \ker e_{-1}) = 1$.

When $i = 2m+1$ is odd, we let

$$v_i = v_{2m+1} = \sum_{j=1}^m a_j (x_1^j x_2^{2m+1-j} + x_1^{2m+1-j} x_2^j).$$

If $v_i \in \ker(e_{-1})$, then one can show by direct computation that

$$a_j(j-2m-1) = (j+1)a_{j+1} \text{ for every } 1 \leq j \leq m-1, \quad 2a_m(m+1) = 0.$$

Our assumption $i \leq p$ implies that $a_j = 0$ for all j . Therefore one has in this case $\dim_k((\mathcal{A}_s^+)_i \cap \ker e_{-1}) = 0$. \square

Now we denote by $v_{i,s}$ ($i = 2, 4, \dots, p-1$) and $v_{j,a}$ ($j = 3, 5, \dots, p$) the nonzero elements of $(\mathcal{A}_s^+)_i \cap \ker e_{-1}$ and $(\mathcal{A}_a^+)_j \cap \ker e_{-1}$, respectively. We let $\mathcal{A}_s^+[i] := \mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{g}).v_{i,s}$ and $\mathcal{A}_a^+[j] := \mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{g}).v_{j,a}$ the \mathfrak{g} -modules generated by $v_{i,s}$ and $v_{j,a}$, respectively.

Lemma 4.4. *Given $i \in \{2, \dots, p-3\}$ and $j \in \{3, \dots, p-2\}$, we have $v_{i+2,s} \in \mathcal{A}_s^+[i]$ and $v_{j+2,a} \in \mathcal{A}_a^+[j]$, respectively.*

Proof. For $(a, b) \in k^2$, we consider the homogeneous element

$$x_{a,b} := (ae_1^2 + be_2).v_{i,s} \in (\mathcal{A}_s^+[i])_{i+2}.$$

As i is an even number, we let $i = 2m$ with $1 \leq m \leq (p-3)/2$ and write

$$v_{i,s} = \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} a_j (x_1^j x_2^{2m-j} + x_1^{2m-j} x_2^j) + a_m x_1^m x_2^m.$$

Direct computation shows that the coefficient of $x_1^{m+1} x_2^{m+1}$ in $x_{a,b}$ is

$$2am((m-1)a_{m-1} + ma_m) + 2b(m-1)a_{m-1}.$$

Since $v_{i,s} \in \ker e_{-1}$, the proof of Lemma 4.3 implies that $ma_m = -(m+1)a_{m-1}$. It follows that

$$2am((m-1)a_{m-1} + ma_m) + 2b(m-1)a_{m-1} = (2bm - 4am - 2b)a_{m-1}.$$

A similar argument yields that $e_{-1}.x_{a,b} = (8am + 2a + 3b)e_1.v_{i,s}$. We denote by

$$U := \{(a, b) \in k^2; bm - 2am - b \neq 0\}$$

and

$$V := \{(a, b) \in k^2; 8am + 2a + 3b = 0\},$$

respectively. It is easy to check that $U \cap V \neq \emptyset$. Thanks to Lemma 4.3, there exists an element $(a_0, b_0) \in U \cap V$ such that $v_{i+2,s} = x_{a_0, b_0} \in \mathcal{A}_s^+[i]$, as asserted.

One can argue similarly for another case. \square

Lemma 4.5. *Let v be one of $v_{i,s}$ ($i = 2, 4, \dots, p-1$), $v_{i,a}$ ($i = 3, 5, \dots, p$). Then $\dim_k \mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{b}^+)_{l,v} = \lfloor \frac{l}{2} \rfloor + 1$ whenever $l + i \leq p$.*

Proof. As before, we only consider the case that $v = v_{i,s}$ for some $i \in \{2, 4, \dots, p-1\}$. We denote by $V := \mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{b}^+).v$ the submodule of \mathcal{A}_s^+ generated by v . Note that V is \mathbb{Z} -graded,

$$V = V_i \oplus \dots \oplus V_{2p-2}.$$

According to Lemma 3.2, there is a short exact sequence:

$$(*) \quad (0) \rightarrow V_{l+i+1} \cap \ker e_{-1} \rightarrow V_{l+i+1} \xrightarrow{e_{-1}} V_{l+i} \rightarrow (0),$$

where $0 \leq l < p-2$.

We prove $\dim_k V_{l+i} = \dim_k \mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{b}^+)_{l,v} = \lfloor \frac{l}{2} \rfloor + 1$ by induction on l . Of course it is true for $l = 0$. We assume that the assertion is true for numbers less than l . In the case where l is an even number, Lemma 4.3 yields $\dim_k (V_{l+i} \cap \ker e_{-1}) = 1$. We conclude from $(*)$ and the induction hypothesis

$$\dim_k V_{l+i} = \dim_k V_{l-1+i} + 1 = \left\lfloor \frac{l-1}{2} \right\rfloor + 1 + 1 = \left\lfloor \frac{l}{2} \right\rfloor + 1.$$

In the case where l is an odd number, we apply Lemma 4.3 to see that $\dim_k(V_{l+i} \cap \ker e_{-1}) = 0$. By the same token, we have

$$\dim_k V_{l+i} = \dim_k V_{l-1+i} = \left\lfloor \frac{l-1}{2} \right\rfloor + 1 = \left\lfloor \frac{l}{2} \right\rfloor + 1.$$

□

Recall that the top level $\mathcal{A}^+ = \mathcal{A}_s^+ \oplus \mathcal{A}_a^+$. Let

$$\mathcal{A}_s^+ = (\mathcal{A}_s^+)_2 \oplus (\mathcal{A}_s^+)_3 \oplus \cdots \oplus (\mathcal{A}_s^+)_2p-2$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}_a^+ = (\mathcal{A}_a^+)_3 \oplus (\mathcal{A}_a^+)_4 \oplus \cdots \oplus (\mathcal{A}_a^+)_2p-3$$

be the \mathbb{Z} -graded decomposition of \mathcal{A}_s^+ and \mathcal{A}_a^+ , respectively.

Clearly, one can show by direct computation that the elements $v_{2,s} := x_1 x_2 \in (\mathcal{A}_s^+)_2 \cap \ker e_{-1}$ and $v_{3,a} := x_1^2 x_2 - x_1 x_2^2 \in (\mathcal{A}_a^+)_3 \cap \ker e_{-1}$.

Lemma 4.6. *Keep notations as above. Then $\mathcal{A}_s^+ = \mathcal{A}_s^+[2]$ and $\mathcal{A}_a^+ = \mathcal{A}_a^+[3]$.*

Proof. We only prove the first equality and the other one follows similarly. Setting $V := \mathcal{A}_s^+[2] = \mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{g}) \cdot v_{2,s}$, we consider the \mathbb{Z} -graded decomposition:

$$(*) \quad V = V_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{2p-2}.$$

Thanks to Lemma 4.5, we have

$$\dim_k V_p = \dim_k \mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{b}^+)_{p-2} \cdot v_{2,s} = \left\lfloor \frac{p-2}{2} \right\rfloor + 1 = \frac{p-1}{2}$$

and

$$\dim_k V_{p-1} = \dim_k \mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{b}^+)_{p-3} \cdot v_{2,s} = \left\lfloor \frac{p-3}{2} \right\rfloor + 1 = \frac{p-1}{2}.$$

We consider the weight space decomposition $V = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_p} V_\lambda$. By (*) we have $\dim_k V_{\bar{0}} = \dim_k V_p$ and $\dim_k V_{\overline{p-1}} = \dim_k V_{p-1}$. Since all non-zero weight spaces of V have the same dimension (Section 2.2), it follows that

$$\dim_k V = \dim_k V_p + (p-1) \dim_k V_{p-1} = \frac{p(p-1)}{2} = \dim_k \mathcal{A}_s^+.$$

We thus obtain $\mathcal{A}_s^+ = \mathcal{A}_s^+[2]$. □

Remark. Suppose that $p = 5$. By a direct computation we have $(\mathcal{A}_s^+)_6 = \text{Span}_k \{x_1^3 x_2^3, x_1^4 x_2^2 + x_1^2 x_2^4\}$ and $\dim_k (\mathcal{A}_s^+)_6 = 2$, so that Lemma 4.5 fails for $l+i > p$.

4.3. Main result.

Theorem 4.7. *Let $\mathfrak{g} = W(1)$ and $\mathcal{A} = A_{(2)}$. Then the following statements hold:*

(1) *The top level $\mathcal{A}_s^+ := \mathcal{A}_s/Z(p-1)$ is an indecomposable \mathfrak{g} -module with the following composition series*

$$(4.1) \quad \mathcal{A}_s^+ = \mathcal{A}_s^+[2] \supseteq \mathcal{A}_s^+[4] \supseteq \cdots \supseteq \mathcal{A}_s^+[p-1] \supseteq (0).$$

The composition factors are given by

$$(4.2) \quad \mathcal{A}_s^+[i]/\mathcal{A}_s^+[i+2] \cong L^-(\bar{i}) \text{ for } i \in \{2, 4, \dots, p-1\}.$$

(2) *The top level $\mathcal{A}_a^+ := \mathcal{A}_s/L(p-1)$ is an indecomposable \mathfrak{g} -module with the following composition series*

$$(4.3) \quad \mathcal{A}_a^+ = \mathcal{A}_a^+[3] \supseteq \mathcal{A}_a^+[5] \supseteq \cdots \supseteq \mathcal{A}_a^+[p] \supseteq (0).$$

The composition factors are given by

$$(4.4) \quad \mathcal{A}_a^+[j]/\mathcal{A}_a^+[j+2] \cong L^-(\bar{j}) \text{ for } j \in \{3, 5, \dots, p\}.$$

Proof. We have seen in Lemma 4.6 that $\mathcal{A}_s^+ = \mathcal{A}_s^+[2]$. Now we denote by $V := \mathcal{A}_s^+[4]$ the submodule generated by $v_{4,s}$ and consider the \mathbb{Z} -grading:

$$V = V_4 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{2p-2}.$$

By virtue of Lemma 4.5, we have

$$\dim_k V_p = \dim_k \mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{b}^+)_{p-4} \cdot v_{4,s} = \left\lfloor \frac{p-4}{2} \right\rfloor + 1 = \frac{p-3}{2}$$

and

$$\dim_k V_{p-1} = \dim_k \mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{b}^+)_{p-5} \cdot v_{4,s} = \left\lfloor \frac{p-5}{2} \right\rfloor + 1 = \frac{p-3}{2}.$$

It follows that

$$\dim_k V = \dim_k V_p + (p-1) \dim_k V_{p-1} = \frac{p(p-3)}{2}.$$

Consequently, V has codimension p in \mathcal{A}_s^+ .

Note that $\mathcal{A}_s^+ = \mathcal{A}_s^+[2] = \mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{g}) \cdot v_{2,s}$ is a cyclic module. Hence the quotient module \mathcal{A}_s^+/V is also generated by a lowest weight vector $\overline{v_{2,s}} = v_{2,s} + V$. Using Lemma 3.3 and comparing dimension one obtains that the submodule V is maximal and the quotient \mathcal{A}_s^+/V is isomorphic to $L^-(2)$.

Moreover, Lemma 4.4 implies that

$$\dim_k (V_i \cap \ker e_{-1}) = \begin{cases} 1, & i = 4, \dots, p-1, \\ 0, & i = 5, \dots, p. \end{cases}$$

We get (4.1) and (4.2) for all i inductively. This is (1). One argues similarly to obtain (4.3) and (4.4). \square

Now the \mathfrak{g} -module structure of $\mathcal{A} = A_{(2)}$ is completely clear. \mathcal{A} is decomposed into two invariant indecomposable subspaces as $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_s \oplus \mathcal{A}_a$. They contain submodules \mathcal{A}'_a and \mathcal{A}'_a respectively:

$$L(0) \cong k \subseteq Z(p-1) \cong \mathcal{A}'_s \subseteq \mathcal{A}_s, \quad L(p-1) \cong \mathcal{A}'_a \subseteq \mathcal{A}_a.$$

The structure of quotient modules $\mathcal{A}_s^+ = \mathcal{A}_s/\mathcal{A}'_s$ and $\mathcal{A}_a^+ = \mathcal{A}_a/\mathcal{A}'_a$ can be obtained from Theorem 4.7.

If M is a \mathfrak{g} -module, then we denote by $[M]$ the formal sum of all composition factors of M in the Grothendieck group of the \mathfrak{g} -module category. As a direct consequence of Theorem 4.7, we have

Corollary 4.8. *Let $\mathfrak{g} = W(1)$ and $\mathcal{A} = A_{(2)}$. Then the following statements hold:*

- (1) $[A] = 2[L(0)] + 2[L(p-1)] + \sum_{i=1}^{p-2} [L(i)]$.
- (2) $[L(p-1) \otimes L(p-1)] = \sum_{j=0}^{p-2} [L(j)]$.

Remark. When $p = 3$, $W(1) \cong \mathfrak{sl}(2)$. Let $V(\lambda)$ denote the simple restricted $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -module of highest weight λ where $0 \leq \lambda \leq 2$. Note that $V(1)$ is the 2-dimensional natural representation of $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$. In this case, the top level \mathcal{A}^+ is isomorphic to $V(1) \otimes_k V(1)$. Thanks to [4, Lemma 2.3], we have $V(1) \otimes_k V(1) \cong V(2) \oplus k$, where $V(2)$ is isomorphic to the symmetric part of the top level and k is isomorphic to the anti-symmetric one. Hence Theorem 4.7 also holds for $p = 3$.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Benkart, J. Osborn, *Representations of rank one Lie algebras of characteristic p* . Lect. Notes Math. **933** (1982), 1–37.
- [2] R. Block and R. Wilson, *Classification of the restricted simple Lie algebras*. J. Algebra **114** (1988), 115–259.
- [3] H.-J. Chang, *Über Wittsche Lie-Ringe*. Abh. Math. Sem. Hansischen Univ. **14** (1941). 151–184.
- [4] A. Premet, *The Green ring of a simple three-dimensional Lie p -algebra*. (Russian) Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Mat. 1991, no. 10, 56–67; translation in Soviet Math. (Iz. VUZ) **35** (1991), no. 10, 51–60.
- [5] D. K. Nakano, *Projective modules over Lie algebras of Cartan type*. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **98** (470), 1992.
- [6] H. Strade and R. Farnsteiner, *Modular Lie Algebras and their Representations*. Pure and Applied Mathematics **116**. Marcel Dekker, 1988.
- [7] J. Tanaka, *Structure of tensor products of the defining representations of the Lie algebra W_1 of Cartan type*. J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **41** (2001), no. 4, 795–807.

(Hao Chang) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, CENTRAL CHINA NORMAL UNIVERSITY, 430079 WUHAN, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

Email address: chang@ccnu.edu.cn

(Yu-Feng Yao) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHANGHAI MARITIME UNIVERSITY, 201306 SHANGHAI, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

Email address: yfyao@shmtu.edu.cn