CORRECTION AND ADDENDUM TO: THE FOURIER EXPANSION OF HECKE OPERATORS FOR VECTOR-VALUED MODULAR FORMS

OLIVER STEIN

Abstract. We correct a mistake in [\[St\]](#page-7-0) leading to erroneous formulas in Theorems 5.2 and 5.4. As an immediate corollary of a formula in [\[BCJ\]](#page-7-1) we give a formula, which relates the Hecke operators $T(p^2) \circ T(p^{2l-2})$, $T(p^{2l})$ and $T(p^{2l-4})$ and comment on it.

1. INTRODUCTION

There is a slight error in the calculations which lead to the (unfortunately incorrect) formula (5.3) in Theorem 5.2 in [\[St\]](#page-7-0) and subsequently to slightly erroneous formulas in Theorem 5.4. I was made aware of this mistake by Bouchard et. al. in their paper [\[BCJ\]](#page-7-1). I am grateful to them for pointing this out. All other statements are unaffected. In this note we correct these mistakes and give a formula for algebraic relations the Hecke operators $T(p^{2l})$ satisfy. We also add a remark regarding this formula.

We use the same notation as in [\[St\]](#page-7-0). In particular, for integers a, b by (a, b) we mean the greatest common divisor of a and b . Additionally, we adopt the some notation from [\[BCJ\]](#page-7-1). In particular, for an integer n we use for the scaled quadratic form the symbol q_n , that is

$$
(1.1) \t\t q_n(\cdot) = nq(\cdot).
$$

Many calculations of Theorem 5.2 and subsequently of Theorem 5.4 in [\[St\]](#page-7-0) are based on the identity

(1.2)
$$
\sum_{v \in L/p^s L} e\left(\frac{1}{p^s} (q(v+\lambda))\right) = e(\frac{1}{p^s}q(\lambda)) \sum_{v \in L/p^s L} e\left(\frac{1}{p^s}q(v)\right),
$$

where $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ is positive integer and $\lambda \in \mathcal{L}^{p^s}$. Unfortunately, this equation is incorrect: The left-hand side of (1.2) is independent of the representative of λ . Thus, passing from λ to $\lambda + w$ for some $w \in L$ leaves the sum on the left unchanged. However, the expression $e(\frac{1}{p^{s}}q(\lambda))$ is not independent of the chosen representative. In fact, we have

(1.3)
$$
\frac{1}{p^{s}}q(\lambda+w) = \frac{1}{p^{s}}q(\lambda) + \frac{1}{p^{s}}(\lambda, w) + \frac{1}{p^{s}}q(v).
$$

The latter summand of (1.3) is not in $\mathbb Z$ and therefore

$$
e(\frac{1}{p^{s}}q(\lambda+w)) \neq e(\frac{1}{p^{s}}q(\lambda)).
$$

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 11F25 11F27.

2 \hfill OLIVER STEIN

Later in the proof of Theorem 5.2 it is claimed that the identity

$$
(1.4)\quad \sum_{v\in L/p^sL} e\left(\frac{t}{p^s}q(v+h\lambda-p^l\nu)\right)=e\left(\frac{t}{p^s}q(h\lambda-p^l\nu)\right)\sum_{v\in L/p^sL} e\left(\frac{t}{p^s}q(v)\right)
$$

holds. Here ν runs through \mathcal{L} and $h\lambda - p^l\nu$ is an element of \mathcal{L}^{p^m} for each ν $(m = (s, l))$, which implies that $\lambda \in \mathcal{L}^{p^m}$. The first sum is part of a bigger expression involving a sum over $\mathcal L$ parameterized by ν , see [\(2.2\)](#page-1-0). The term $e\left(\frac{t}{p^s}q(h\lambda - p^l\nu)\right)$ needs therefore to be independent of the choice of the representative of λ and ν . But, as pointed out above, this is not the case. It follows that the sum over v in [\(2.2\)](#page-1-0) cannot be replaced by the right-hand side of [\(1.4\)](#page-1-1).

2. CORRECTION

Theorem 1 (corrected Theorem 5.2). Let p be an odd prime, s, l positive integers with $s < 2l$, $h \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^s\mathbb{Z})^*$ and $D = \dim(L)$. Then

$$
(2.1) \quad \mathfrak{e}_{\lambda} \mid \beta_{h,s} = \begin{cases} p^{-sD/2} \sum_{v \in L/p^s L} e\left(-\frac{h}{p^s}q(v+\lambda)\right) \mathfrak{e}_{p^{l-s}\lambda}, & l \geq s, \\ p^{-sD/2} \sum_{p^{s-l}\mu = \lambda} \sum_{v \in L/p^l L} e\left(-\frac{hp^{s-l}}{p^l}q(v+\mu)\right) \mathfrak{e}_{\mu}, & l < s. \end{cases}
$$

Proof. We start with formula (5.9) in the proof of Theorem 5.2 and use subsequently equation (5.12) to obtain

$$
\frac{e(hrq(\lambda))}{\sqrt{|\mathcal{L}|\sqrt{|\mathcal{L}(p^s)|}}}\sum_{\rho\in\mathcal{L}}\sum_{\nu\in\mathcal{L}}e(-p^{2l-s}tq(\nu)+b(\nu,-\rho))
$$
\n
$$
\times \sum_{\substack{\delta\in\mathcal{L}(p^s)\\p^s\delta=h\lambda-p^l\nu \bmod L}}e(p^stq(\delta)+p^sb(\delta,-r\lambda))\mathfrak{e}_{\rho}
$$
\n(2.2)\n
$$
=\frac{e(hrq(\lambda))}{\sqrt{|\mathcal{L}|\sqrt{|\mathcal{L}(p^s)|}}}\sum_{\rho\in\mathcal{L}}\sum_{\nu\in\mathcal{L}}e(-p^{2l-s}tq(\nu)+b(\nu,-\rho))
$$
\n
$$
\times e(b(h\lambda-p^l\nu,-r\lambda))\sum_{\nu\in\mathcal{L}/p^s\mathcal{L}}e\left(\frac{t}{p^s}q(\nu+h\lambda-p^l\nu)\right)
$$

Note that p^s and h are related by the equation $rp^s - ht = 1$. Therefore, $(|L/p^sL), h) = 1$ and the map $v \mapsto hv$ is an isomorphism on L/p^sL . Thus

.

(2.3)
\n
$$
\sum_{v \in L/p^s L} e\left(\frac{t}{p^s} q(v + h\lambda - p^l \nu)\right)
$$
\n
$$
= \sum_{v \in L/p^s L} e\left(\frac{t}{p^s} q(hv + h\lambda - p^l \nu)\right)
$$
\n
$$
= e(p^{2l - s} t q(\nu)) \sum_{v \in L/p^s L} e\left(\frac{th^2}{p^s} q(v + \lambda) - \frac{th}{p^s} b(v + \lambda, p^l \nu)\right).
$$

Note that the last sum over v is independent of the choice of the representative of ν and λ since

(2.4)

$$
\sum_{v \in L/p^s L} e\left(\frac{th^2}{p^s}q(v+\lambda) - \frac{th}{p^s}b(v+\lambda, p^l\nu)\right)
$$

$$
= e(-p^{2l-s}tq(\nu)) \sum_{v \in L/p^s L} e\left(\frac{t}{p^s}q(v+h\lambda - p^l\nu)\right)
$$

and both, $e(-p^{2l-s}tq(\nu))$ and $\sum_{v\in L/p^sL}e\left(\frac{t}{p^s}q(v+h\lambda-p^l\nu)\right)$ have this property. Using the relation $rp^s - ht = 1$, the right-hand side of [\(2.4\)](#page-2-0) becomes

(2.5)
\n
$$
e(p^{2l-s}tq(\nu))e(hrq(\lambda))e(-rb(\lambda, p^l\nu))\sum_{v\in L/p^sL}e\left(-\frac{h}{p^s}q(v+\lambda)+\frac{1}{p^s}b(v+\lambda, p^l\nu)\right).
$$

Replacing the sum over v in (2.2) with (2.5) yields

(2.6)
\n
$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{|\mathcal{L}|}\sqrt{|\mathcal{L}(p^s)|}} \sum_{\rho \in \mathcal{L}} \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{L}} e(b(\nu, -\rho)) \sum_{v \in L/p^s L} e\left(-\frac{h}{p^s}q(v+\lambda) + \frac{1}{p^s}b(v+\lambda, p^l\nu)\right) \mathfrak{e}_{\rho}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\mathcal{L}|}\sqrt{|\mathcal{L}(p^s)|}} \sum_{\rho \in \mathcal{L}} \sum_{v \in L/p^s L} e\left(-\frac{h}{p^s}q(v+\lambda)\right) \times
$$
\n
$$
\sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{L}} e\left(b(\nu, \frac{1}{p^s}(v+\lambda) - \rho)\right) \mathfrak{e}_{\rho}.
$$

Now, since $\nu \mapsto e\left(b(\nu, \frac{1}{p^s}(v+\lambda) - \rho)\right)$ is character of \mathcal{L} , we have

(2.7)
$$
\sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{L}} e\left(b(\nu, \frac{1}{p^s}(\nu + \lambda) - \rho)\right) = \begin{cases} |\mathcal{L}|, & \text{if } \rho = p^l \left(\frac{1}{p^s}(\nu + \lambda)\right), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

At this point we need to distinguish the cases $s \leq l$ and $s > l$.

 $s \leq l$: In this case $p^{l}(\overrightarrow{p^{s}}(v+\lambda))$ is equal to $p^{l-s}(v+\lambda) \in \mathcal{L}$ and we obtain for the last expression in [\(2.6\)](#page-2-2)

(2.8)
$$
p^{-sD/2} \sum_{v \in L/p^sL} e\left(-\frac{h}{p^s}q(v+\lambda)\right) \mathfrak{e}_{p^{l-s}\lambda}.
$$

 $s > l$: As in [\[St\]](#page-7-0), (5.9), we write the sum over v on the right-hand side of [\(2.6\)](#page-2-2) in the form

(2.9)
$$
\sum_{\substack{\delta \in \mathcal{L}(p^s) \\ p^s \delta = \lambda}} e(-hp^s q(\delta)).
$$

Since ρ is an element of \mathcal{L} , the sum in [\(2.7\)](#page-2-3) is non-zero if and only if the multiplication of $\delta = \frac{1}{p^s}(v + \lambda)$ with p^l yields an element of \mathcal{L} . This is the case if and only if $\delta \in \mathcal{L}(p^l)$. Thus, we may replace $\mathcal{L}(p^s)$ in [\(2.9\)](#page-2-4) with $\mathcal{L}(p^l)$. Taking (2.9) and the thoughts before into account, we can replace the right-hand side of [\(2.6\)](#page-2-2) with (2.10)

$$
p^{-sD/2} \sum_{\substack{\delta \in \mathcal{L}(p^l) \\ p^s \delta = \lambda}} e(-hp^s q(\delta)) \mathfrak{e}_{p^l \delta} = p^{-sD/2} \sum_{\substack{\mu \in \mathcal{L} \\ p^{s-l} \mu = \lambda}} \sum_{\substack{\delta \in \mathcal{L}(p^l) \\ p^l \delta = \mu}} e(-hp^s q(\delta)) \mathfrak{e}_{\mu}
$$

$$
= p^{-sD/2} \sum_{\substack{\mu \in \mathcal{L} \\ p^{s-l} \mu = \lambda}} \sum_{v \in L/p^l L} e\left(-\frac{hp^{s-l}}{p^l} q(v+\mu)\right) \mathfrak{e}_{\mu}.
$$

Remark 2. Note that both sums in [\(2.1\)](#page-1-2) are zero unless $\lambda \in \mathcal{L}^{p^s}$ as remarked in [\[St\]](#page-7-0), p. 245, for the same type of sum. Compared to the original, but false formula, we don't have to add the separate symbol $\delta(\lambda, \cdot)$ to highlight this fact.

Also note that the formulas [\(2.1\)](#page-1-2) coincide with the corresponding formulas in [\[BCJ\]](#page-7-1).

The slightly different formulas for $\rho_L(\beta_{h,s})$ lead to slightly different formulas of the Fourier expansions of $T(p^{2l})$ compared to [\[St\]](#page-7-0). To state the corrected theorem, we introduce the following notation. According to the decomposition (5.1) in [\[St\]](#page-7-0) we may write

$$
(2.11) \t f|_{k,L} T(p^{2l})(\tau) = g_{\alpha}(\tau) + \sum_{s=1}^{2l-1} \sum_{h \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^s\mathbb{Z})^*} g_{\beta_{h,s}}(\tau) + \sum_{b \in \mathbb{Z}/p^{2l}\mathbb{Z}} g_b(\tau).
$$

To lighten the formulas of the following theorem, we introduce some notation. For positive integers s, l with $s < 2l$ let $\lambda \in \mathcal{L}^{p^{l-s}}$, $\lambda' \in \mathcal{L}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z} + q(\lambda)$ and put

$$
\mu(\lambda, \lambda') = \lambda/p^{l-s} + \lambda' \in \mathcal{L},
$$

$$
n(\lambda, \lambda') = \frac{n - p^{2(l-s)}q(\mu(\lambda, \lambda'))}{p^{2(l-s)}} + q(\mu(\lambda, \lambda')) \in \mathbb{Z} + q(\mu(\lambda, \lambda')).
$$

Furthermore, attached to $\nu, \rho \in \mathcal{L}$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z} + q(\nu), r \in \mathbb{Z} + p^{2(s-l)}q(\rho)$ (assuming $s > l$) we define the representation numbers modulo a

(2.12)
$$
N_{\nu,m}(a) = |\{v \in L/aL \mid q(v+\nu) - m \equiv 0 \text{ mod } a\}|,
$$

$$
\widetilde{N}_{\rho,r}(a) = |\{v \in L/(a,p^l)L \mid q_{p^{2(s-l)}}(v+\rho) - r \equiv 0 \text{ mod } a\}|
$$

and associated to them the sums

(2.13)
\n
$$
G_{\nu,m}(s) = \sum_{a|p^s} \mu\left(\frac{p^s}{a}\right) a^{1-D} N_{\nu,m}(a),
$$
\n
$$
\widetilde{G}_{\rho,r}(s) = \sum_{a|p^s} \mu\left(\frac{p^s}{a}\right) a(a,p^l)^{-D} \widetilde{N}_{\rho,r}(a).
$$

Note that the numbers in [\(2.12\)](#page-3-0) are well known. For example, they appear as a part of the Fourier expansion of vector valued Eisenstein series, cf. [\[BK\]](#page-7-2). The number $N_{\rho,n}(a)$ can be interpreted as a variant of the representation number $N_{\lambda,n}(a)$ with respect to the scaled quadratic form $q_{p^{2(s-l)}}$.

In terms of these quantities we have

Theorem 3 (corrected Theorem 5.4). Let $D = \dim(L)$, p an odd prime, s, l positive integers with $s < 2l$ and

$$
K_p = p^{2l(k-1) + s(D/2 - k)}, \quad \tilde{K}_p = p^{2l(k-1) - sk + (2l - s)D/2}.
$$

Let $f \in M_{k,L}$ with Fourier expansion

$$
f(\tau) = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{L}} \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} + q(\lambda) \\ n \ge 0}} c(\lambda, n) e(n\tau) \mathfrak{e}_{\lambda}
$$

and

$$
\sum_{h \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^s\mathbb{Z})^*} g_{\beta_{h,s}}(\tau) = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{L}} \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} + q(\lambda) \\ n \ge 0}} b_s(\lambda, n) e(n\tau) \mathfrak{e}_{\lambda}.
$$

Then for $s = 1, \ldots, l$

$$
(2.14) \qquad K_p \qquad \sum_{\substack{\lambda' \in \mathcal{L}_{p^{l-s}} \\ n-p^{2(l-s)}q(\mu(\lambda,\lambda')) \in p^{2(l-s)}\mathbb{Z}}} c(\mu(\lambda,\lambda'),n(\lambda,\lambda')) G_{\mu(\lambda,\lambda'),n(\lambda,\lambda')}(s).
$$

if $\lambda \in \mathcal{L}^{p^{l-s}}$ and zero otherwise. For $s = l + 1, \ldots, 2l - 1$

(2.15)
$$
b_s(\lambda, n) = \widetilde{K}_p c(p^{s-l} \lambda, p^{2(s-l)} n) \widetilde{G}_{\lambda, p^{2(s-l)} n}(s).
$$

Proof. In view of section [1,](#page-0-2) we only need to adjust those parts of the Fourier expansion of $f|_{k,L} T(p^{2l})$ which involve the terms $\rho_L(\beta_{h,s})$, that is, the Fourier expansions of $\sum_{s=1}^{2l-1} \sum_{h \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^s\mathbb{Z})^*} g_{\beta_{h,s}}(\tau)$. According to the formulas of $\rho_L(\beta_{h,s})$, we distinguish the cases $s \leq l$ and $s > l$.

For $s \leq l$, by replacing the f with its Fourier expansion and $\rho_L(\beta_{h,s})$ with [\(2.8\)](#page-2-5), we have

$$
\sum_{h \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^s \mathbb{Z})^\times} \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{L}} (f_\lambda \mid_k \beta_{h,s}) \rho_L^{-1}(\beta_{h,s}) \mathfrak{e}_\lambda
$$
\n
$$
= p^{k(1-s)-sD/2} \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{L}} \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} + q(\lambda) \\ n \ge 0}} c(\lambda, n) e\left(\frac{np^{2l-s}\tau}{p^s}\right) \times
$$
\n
$$
\sum_{v \in L/p^s L} \sum_{h \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^s \mathbb{Z})^\times} e\left(\frac{h(q(v+\lambda) - n)}{p^s}\right) \mathfrak{e}_{p^{l-s}\lambda}.
$$

The sum over $(\mathbb{Z}/p^s\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$ is a Ramanujan sum, which can be evaluated in terms of the Moebius function μ . Using the same steps as in the proof of [\[BK\]](#page-7-2), Proposition 3, we obtain

$$
(2.17) \quad \sum_{v \in L/p^s} \sum_{h \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^s \mathbb{Z})^\times} e\left(\frac{h(q(v+\lambda)-n)}{p^s}\right) = p^{sD} \sum_{a|p^s} a^{1-D} \mu\left(\frac{p^s}{a}\right) N_{\lambda,n}(a),
$$

Inserting the right-hand side of [\(2.17\)](#page-4-0) into the Fourier expansion [\(2.16\)](#page-4-1), yields

$$
p^{k(1-s)+sD/2} \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{L}} \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z}+q(\lambda) \\ n \geq 0}} c(\lambda,n) G_{\lambda,n}(s) e\left(n p^{2(l-s)} \tau \right) \mathfrak{e}_{p^{l-s}\lambda}.
$$

6 OLIVER STEIN

At this point the proof in [\[St\]](#page-7-0) remains unchanged. We merely have to replace the Gauss sum $g(p^s, \chi_p^R, n-q(\lambda))$ with the sum $G_{\lambda,n}(s)$ and follow the subsequent steps to obtain the claimed result.

 $s > l$: We proceed in the same as way as before using the formula [\(2.10\)](#page-3-1):

$$
(2.18)
$$
\n
$$
\sum_{h \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^s \mathbb{Z})^\times} \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{L}} (f_{\lambda} \mid_k \beta_{h,s}) \rho_L^{-1}(\beta_{h,s}) \mathfrak{e}_{\lambda}
$$
\n
$$
= \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{L}^{p^{s-1}}} f_{\lambda} \left(\frac{p^{2l-s}\tau + h}{p^s} \right) \times
$$
\n
$$
p^{k(1-s)-sD/2} \sum_{\lambda' \in \mathcal{L}_{p^{s-1}}} \sum_{v \in L/p^l L} \sum_{h \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^s \mathbb{Z})^\times} e \left(-h \frac{p^{s-l}}{p^l} q(v + \lambda/p^{s-l} + \lambda') \right) \mathfrak{e}_{\lambda/p^{s-l} + \lambda'}
$$
\n
$$
= p^{k(1-s)-sD/2} \sum_{\rho \in \mathcal{L}} \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} + q(p^{s-l} \rho) \\ n \ge 0}} c(p^{s-l} \rho, n) \times
$$
\n
$$
\sum_{v \in L/p^l L} \sum_{h \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^s \mathbb{Z})^\times} e \left(\frac{h(q(p^{s-l}v + p^{s-l} \rho) - n)}{p^s} \right) e \left(\frac{n\tau}{p^{2(s-l)}} \right) \mathfrak{e}_{\rho}.
$$

To evaluate the latter two sums, we perform the same steps as in the proof of Proposition 3, [\[BK\]](#page-7-2), with a slight modification including the fact that the first sum runs over $L/p^l L$ and not over $L/p^s L$. We obtain

(2.19)
$$
\sum_{v \in L/p^l L} \sum_{h \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^s \mathbb{Z})^\times} e\left(\frac{h(q(p^{s-l}v + p^{s-l}\rho) - n)}{p^s}\right)
$$

$$
= p^{l} \sum_{a|p^s} \mu\left(\frac{p^s}{a}\right) a(a, p^l)^{-D} \widetilde{N}_{\rho, n}(a).
$$

It is well known (see e. g. [\[McC\]](#page-7-3)) that the sum over $(\mathbb{Z}/p^s\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$ on the left-hand side of [\(2.19\)](#page-5-0) is zero unless

$$
\frac{p^s}{(p^s, q(p^{s-l}v + p^{s-l}\rho) - n)}
$$

is square-free. Equivalently, this sum is non-zero if and only if p^{s-1} or p^s divides $p^{2(s-l)}q(v+\rho)-n$. Since $s-1\geq 2(s-l)$, we may conclude that in the Fourier expansion of $\sum_{h \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^s\mathbb{Z})^*} g_{\beta_{h,s}}$ only those n with $n - q_{p^{2(s-1)}}(\rho) \in p^{2(s-l)}\mathbb{Z}$ appear. This fact allows us to replace n with $p^{2(s-l)}m$, where $m \in \mathbb{Z} + q(\rho)$. We finally obtain for the Fourier expansion in this case

$$
p^{k(1-s)+(2l-s)D/2} \sum_{\rho \in \mathcal{L}} \sum_{\substack{m \in \mathbb{Z} + q(\rho) \\ m \ge 0}} c(p^{s-l} \rho, p^{2(s-l)} m) \widetilde{G}_{\rho, p^{2(s-l)} m}(s) e(m\tau) \mathfrak{e}_{\rho}.
$$

2.1. Algebraic relations of the Hecke operators $T(p^{2l})$. Bouchard, Creutzig and Joshi (see [\[BCJ\]](#page-7-1)) introduced a Hecke operator \mathcal{H}_n on the space $M_{k,L}$ of vector valued modular forms transforming with the Weil representation, however on a completely different way than in [\[BS\]](#page-7-4). Their approach is more general and allows them to deduce algebraic relations for the operators \mathcal{H}_n for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, in particular for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $(n, |\mathcal{L}|) > 1$. Moreover, Bouchard et. al. were able to prove that their Hecke operators coincide with the ones we defined in [\[BS\]](#page-7-4) and considered in [\[St\]](#page-7-0) and the present paper, that is, they proved $\mathcal{H}_{p^{2l}} = T(p^{2l})$ for all primes p. Based on the equivalence of these two constructions we can carry over the algebraic relations of the operators $\mathcal{H}_{p^{2l}}$ to the operators $T(p^{2l})$.

Below we will briefly recall the definition of the Hecke operators \mathcal{H}_{n^2} and the algebraic relations they satisfy.

The definition of \mathcal{H}_{n^2} involves the two different operators \mathcal{T}_{n^2} and \mathcal{P}_{n^2} (see Def. 3.8 and 4.2 in [\[BCJ\]](#page-7-1)). The statement of the algebraic relations depends on a third operator \mathcal{U}_{n^2} (Def. 3.13 in [\[BCJ\]](#page-7-1)). The operators \mathcal{U}_{n^2} and \mathcal{P}_{n^2} can be interpreted as special instances of the operators $g \uparrow_H^A$ and $g \downarrow_H^A$ as for example studied in [\[Br\]](#page-7-5), Chapter 3.

For $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $M_{k,L}$ and $M_{k,L(n^2)}$ be the space of vector valued modular forms transforming with the Weil representation associated to L and the scaled lattice $L(n^2)$, respectively. Also, for any $\mu \in \mathcal{L}(r)$ and $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ with $kl = r$ we define

$$
\Delta_r(\mu, k) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \mu \in \mathcal{L}(l) \subset \mathcal{L}(r), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}\ni)\\
(2.20)\\
\mathcal{T}_{n^2}: M_{k,L} \to M_{k,L(n^2)}, \quad F &= \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{L}} f_{\lambda} \mathfrak{e}_{\lambda} \mapsto \mathcal{T}_{n^2}(F) \text{ with} \\
\mathcal{T}_{n^2}(F)(\tau) &= n^{2(k-1)} \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{L}(n^2)} \left(\sum_{\substack{r,s>0\\rs=n^2}} \frac{1}{s^k} \sum_{t=0}^{s-1} \Delta_{n^2}(\mu, r) e\left(-\frac{t}{r} q_{n^2}(\mu)\right) f_{s\mu}\left(\frac{r\tau + t}{s}\right)\right) \mathfrak{e}_{\mu},\\
ii)\n\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\mathcal{U}_{n^2}: M_{k,L} \to M_{k,L(n^2)}, \quad F = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{L}} f_{\lambda} \mathfrak{e}_{\lambda} \mapsto \mathcal{U}_{n^2}(F) \text{ with}
$$

1)

$$
\mathcal{U}_{n^2}(F)(\tau) = \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{L}(n^2)} \Delta(n,\mu) f_{n\mu}(\tau) \mathfrak{e}_{\mu},
$$

iii)

 (2.2)

$$
\mathcal{P}_{n^2}: M_{k,L(n^2)} \to M_{k,L}, \quad F = \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{L}(n^2)} f_{\mu} \mathfrak{e}_{\mu} \mapsto \mathcal{P}_{n^2}(F) \text{ with}
$$
\n
$$
(2.22)
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{P}_{n^2}(F)(\tau) = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{L}} \left(\sum_{\substack{\mu \in \mathcal{L}(n) \\ n\mu = \lambda}} f_{\mu}(\tau) \right) \mathfrak{e}_{\lambda} \text{ and}
$$

iv)

$$
(2.23) \t\t \mathcal{H}_{n^2}: M_{k,L} \to M_{k,L}, \quad \mathcal{H}_{n^2} = \mathcal{P}_{n^2} \circ \mathcal{T}_{n^2}.
$$

8 OLIVER STEIN

It is proved in [\[BCJ\]](#page-7-1) that \mathcal{P}_{n^2} is the left inverse operator to \mathcal{U}_{n^2} , that is

$$
(2.24) \t\t\t\t\t \mathcal{P}_{n^2} \circ \mathcal{U}_{n^2} = id.
$$

However, the relation $(\mathcal{U}_{n^2} \circ \mathcal{P}_{n^2})(F) = F$ is only valid if F supported on $\mathcal{L}(n)$ (i. e. $f_{\lambda} = 0$ if $\lambda \notin \mathcal{L}(n)$. As already mentioned, Bouchard et. al compared their Hecke operator with the Hecke operator $T(p^{2l})$ constructed in [\[BS\]](#page-7-4) and obtained

$$
(2.25) \t\t T(p^{2l}) = \mathcal{H}_{p^{2l}}
$$

for all primes p and all $l \in \mathbb{N}$. The following theorem summarizes the algebraic relations the Hecke operators \mathcal{H}_{n^2} satisfy.

Theorem 4 ([\[BCJ\]](#page-7-1), Theorem 4.12). i) For $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $(m, n) = 1$ we have

$$
\mathcal{H}_{m^2}\circ\mathcal{H}_{n^2}=\mathcal{H}_{m^2n^2}.
$$

ii) For any prime p and any $l \in \mathbb{N}$, $l > 2$ the relation

$$
(2.26) \qquad \mathcal{H}_{p^{2l}} = \mathcal{P}_{p^{2l-l}} \circ \mathcal{H}_{p^{2}} \circ \mathcal{H}_{p^{2l-2}} \circ \mathcal{U}_{p^{2l-2}} - p^{k-1} \mathcal{H}_{p^{2l-2}} - p^{2(k-1)} \mathcal{H}_{p^{2l-4}}
$$

holds.

The identities [\(2.25\)](#page-7-6) and [\(2.26\)](#page-7-7) immediately yield to the corresponding relation for the operators $T(p^{2l})$ as a corollary.

Corollary 5. For any prime p and any $l \in \mathbb{N}$ the relation (2.27) $T(p^{2l}) = \mathcal{P}_{p^{2l-2}} \circ T(p^2) \circ T(p^{2l-2}) \circ \mathcal{U}_{p^{2l-2}} - p^{k-1}T(p^{2l-2}) - p^{2(k-1)}T(p^{2l-4})$ holds.

Remark 6. The formula [\(2.27\)](#page-7-8) is not as definitive as the corresponding formula for the classical scalar valued Hecke operators due to the appearance of the operators $\mathcal{P}_{p^{2l-2}}$ and $\mathcal{U}_{p^{2l-2}}$. For example, it is unclear to me how to derive a recursion formula for the eigenvalues of a common Hecke eigenform from [\(2.27\)](#page-7-8) (if it is even possible). Also, it does not provide the basis for the proof that the Hecke operators are commutative. It would be desirable to have a formula expressing $T(p^2) \circ T(p^{2l-2})$ (without the operators $\mathcal{U}_{p^{2l-2}}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{p^{2l-2}}$) in terms of the Hecke operators $T(p^{2l})$ and $T(p^{2l-4})$ for all primes p. However, such a formula does seem not to exist. Even under quite strong restrictions on the Jordan block decomposition of the discriminant form $\mathcal L$ (implying in particular that $\mathcal L$ is anisotropic) a formula of this type cannot be deduced. It would be interesting to know under what conditions this is possible.

REFERENCES

- [BCJ] V. Bouchard, T. Creutzig, A. Joshi, Hecke Operators on Vector-Valued Modular Forms, SIGMA 15, (2019).
- [BK] J. Bruinier, M. Kuss, Eisenstein series attached to lattices and modular forms on orthogonal groups, Manuscripta math 106, 443–459, (2001).
- [BS] J. Bruinier, O. Stein, The Weil representation and Hecke operators for vector valued modular forms 264, 249–270, (2010).
- [Br] J. Bruinier, On the converse theorem for Borcherds products, J. Algebra 397, 315–342, (2014).
- [McC] P. McCarthy, Introduction to Arithmetical Functions Universitext, Springer-Verlag, (1986).
- [St] O. Stein, The Fourier expansion of Hecke operators for vactor-valued modular forms, Funct. Approx. Comment. Math. 52, 229–252, (2015).

FAKULTÄT FÜR INFORMATIK UND MATHEMATIK, OSTBAYERISCHE TECHNISCHE HOCHSCHULE REgensburg, Galgenbergstrasse 32, 93053 Regensburg, Germany Email address: oliver.stein@oth-regensburg.de