FACTORIZATION LENGTH DISTRIBUTION FOR AFFINE SEMIGROUPS IV: A GEOMETRIC APPROACH TO WEIGHTED FACTORIZATION LENGTHS IN THREE-GENERATOR NUMERICAL SEMIGROUPS

STEPHAN RAMON GARCIA, CHRISTOPHER O'NEILL, AND GABE UDELL

Abstract. For numerical semigroups with three generators, we study the asymptotic behavior of weighted factorization lengths, that is, linear functionals of the coefficients in the factorizations of semigroup elements. This work generalizes many previous results, provides more natural and intuitive proofs, and yields a completely explicit error bound.

1. Introduction

In what follows, $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ denote the sets of nonnegative and positive integers, respectively. Let

$$
S = \langle n_1, n_2, n_3 \rangle = \{ x_1 n_1 + x_2 n_2 + x_3 n_3 : x_1, x_2, x_3 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \}
$$

denote a *numerical semigroup* (an additive subsemigroup of **Z**≥0) with three *generators* n_1 , n_2 , $n_3 \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ [\[29\]](#page-16-0). We do not assume that the generators are given in a particular order; on rare occasions, we even let them coincide. Although unconventional, these generous conventions eliminate the need for some special cases and permit a few interesting and unusual applications.

A *factorization* of $n \in S$ is an expression $n = x_1 n_1 + x_2 n_2 + x_3 n_3$ in which $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^3$. The set of all factorizations of *n* is denoted

$$
Z_S(n) = \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^3 : n = x_1 n_1 + x_2 n_2 + x_3 n_3 \}.
$$

A *factorization functional* is a linear functional of **x**. For example, the *length* x_1 + *x*₂ + *x*₃ of **x** is a factorization functional. Other examples are *x*₁ and *x*₁ − 2*x*₂ + 3*x*₃. Values of factorization functionals are *weighted* factorization lengths. Combinatorial descriptions of maximum and minimum weighted factorization lengths are obtained in [\[25\]](#page-16-1); the present paper pushes this work in new directions.

In this paper, which complements the previous papers in the series [\[15](#page-16-2)[–17\]](#page-16-3), we answer many questions about the asymptotic behavior of weighted factorization lengths for three-generator numerical semigroups. We recover and extend [\[17\]](#page-16-3), in which the asymptotic behavior of the mean, median, and mode of (unweighted) factorization lengths are described. Our Theorem [1](#page-1-0) is more general and more precise than the main results of [\[17\]](#page-16-3), and its proof is shorter and more transparent.

²⁰¹⁰ *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20M14, 05E05.

Key words and phrases. numerical semigroup; monoid; factorization; quasipolynomial; quasirational function; mean; median; mode.

Partially supported by NSF grants DMS-1800123 and DMS-2054002.

The paper [\[16\]](#page-16-4), which subsumes [\[17\]](#page-16-3), treats numerical semigroups with arbitrarily many generators. However, the approach uses tools outside the mainstream of numerical semigroup theory, such as algebraic combinatorics, harmonic analysis, measure theory, and functional analysis. In contrast, our results here are geometric and transparent, only invoking analysis (of an elementary sort) at the final stage. The results of this paper, although presented only for three-generator numerical semigroups (but for general weighted factorization lengths), may provide a clearer path to the results of [\[16\]](#page-16-4) and their generalizations via polyhedral geometry techniques.

As convenience dictates, we denote (column) vectors in boldface, or as ordered pairs or triples. A superscript \top denotes the transpose. We let $|X|$ denote the cardinality of a set or multiset *X*. Here is our main result.

Theorem 1. Let n_1, n_2, n_3 ∈ $\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ be distinct with $gcd(n_1, n_2, n_3) = 1$; let m_1, m_2, m_3 ∈ **Z** *be such that*

$$
\frac{m_3}{n_3} \leq \frac{m_2}{n_2} \leq \frac{m_1}{n_1},
$$

with at least one inequality strict; let $S = \langle n_1, n_2, n_3 \rangle$ *; and let*

 λ (**x**) = $m_1x_1 + m_2x_2 + m_3x_3$

for **x** ∈ **Z**³ *. Define the multiset (set with multiplicities)*

$$
\Lambda[\![n]\!]=\{\!\{\lambda(\mathbf{x}): \mathbf{x}\in\mathsf{Z}_S(n)\}\!\}.
$$

Then for $\alpha < \beta$ *and* $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ *,*

$$
\left|\frac{\left|\Lambda[\![n]\!]\cap[\alpha n,\beta n]\right|}{n^2/(2n_1n_2n_3)}-\int_{\alpha}^{\beta}F(x)\,dx\right|
$$

$$
\leq \frac{2n_1n_2n_3}{n}\left[\frac{5d}{n_2}+\frac{2d}{n}+\left(\beta-\alpha+\frac{2d}{n}\right)(1+d\max\{n_1,n_3\})\right],
$$

in which

$$
F(t) = \frac{2n_1n_2n_3}{m_1n_3 - m_3n_1} \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t < \frac{m_3}{n_3}, \\ \frac{tn_3 - m_3}{m_2n_3 - m_3n_2}, & \text{if } \frac{m_3}{n_3} \le t < \frac{m_2}{n_2}, \\ \frac{m_1 - n_1t}{m_1n_2 - m_2n_1} & \text{if } \frac{m_2}{n_2} \le t < \frac{m_1}{n_1}, \\ 0 & \text{if } t \ge \frac{m_1}{n_1}, \end{cases}
$$

is a (possibly degenerate) triangular probability density function, and

$$
d = \gcd(m_2n_3 - m_3n_2, m_1n_3 - m_3n_1, m_1n_2 - m_2n_1).
$$

The rate of convergence to the triangular density is explicit, a huge improvement over [\[17\]](#page-16-3). Moreover, the error estimate can be improved at the expense of introducing a more complicated, but still explicit, expression; see Remark [31.](#page-15-0) Modifications of our Lemma [23](#page-11-0) below should also permit us to recover the modular results of [\[15\]](#page-16-2) in the three-generator setting, with the added bonus of explicit bounds on the rate of convergence in [\[15,](#page-16-2) Thm. 3a].

The motivation for Theorem [1](#page-1-0) stems from its centrality to the study of numerical semigroups. Non-unique factorization has long been studied in commutative algebra, both for more general families of semigroups [\[1,](#page-15-1) [12,](#page-16-5) [13,](#page-16-6) [22,](#page-16-7) [23,](#page-16-8) [30\]](#page-16-9) and for numerical semigroups specifically [\[7,](#page-15-2) [8,](#page-15-3) [24,](#page-16-10) [28\]](#page-16-11). The study of length sets (as opposed to multisets) is well-established territory [\[2,](#page-15-4) [14,](#page-16-12) [19,](#page-16-13) [21,](#page-16-14) [26\]](#page-16-15) and similar questions have been studied in both number-theoretic [\[5,](#page-15-5) [6,](#page-15-6) [11\]](#page-16-16) and algebraic [\[3,](#page-15-7) [4,](#page-15-8) [20\]](#page-16-17) contexts. Our explicit asymptotic theorem on weighted factorization lengths and multisets breaks new ground in the three-generator setting.

This paper is structured as follows. We first consider examples and applications in Section [2,](#page-2-0) after which we move into the proof of Theorem [1](#page-1-0) in Section [3.](#page-7-0)

2. Examples and Applications

Throughout this section we consider pairs of vectors $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, m_2, m_3) \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ and $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, n_2, n_3) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^3$ which satisfy the conditions of Theorem [1.](#page-1-0) In each such context we define $S = \langle n_1, n_2, n_3 \rangle$,

$$
\lambda(\mathbf{x}) = m_1 x_1 + m_2 x_2 + m_3 x_3,
$$

and

$$
\Lambda[\![n]\!] = \{ \! \{\lambda(\mathbf{x}) : \mathbf{x} \in \mathsf{Z}_S(n) \} \! \}
$$

as in the statement of Theorem [1.](#page-1-0) We also define

$$
\mathcal{Z}(m,n) = \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathsf{Z}_{\mathsf{S}}(n) : \lambda(\mathbf{x}) = m \}. \tag{2}
$$

Our first application of Theorem [1](#page-1-0) is to swiftly obtain general weighted versions of the main results of [\[17\]](#page-16-3), in which the asymptotic mean, median, and mode (unweighted) factorization lengths are computed for three-generator numerical semigroups. In what follows, $f \sim g$ means that $\lim_{n \to \infty} f(n)/g(n) = 1$.

Example 3. Let $S = \langle n_1, n_2, n_3 \rangle$, in which $gcd(n_1, n_2, n_3) = 1$ $gcd(n_1, n_2, n_3) = 1$. Apply Theorem 1 with $\alpha = \frac{m_3}{n_3}$ $\frac{m_3}{n_3}$ and $\beta = \frac{m_1}{n_1}$ $\frac{m_1}{n_1}$ and obtain [\[27,](#page-16-18) Thm. 3.9]:

$$
|\Lambda(n)| = |Z_S(n)| \sim \frac{n^2}{2n_1n_2n_3}.
$$
 (4)

For *α* $<$ *β*, Theorem [1](#page-1-0) and [\(4\)](#page-2-1) ensure that

$$
\frac{|\Lambda[\![n]\!]\cap[\alpha n,\beta n]|}{|\Lambda[\![n]\!]|}\,\sim\,\int_{\alpha}^{\beta}F(x)\,dx
$$

as *n* $\rightarrow \infty$. Since the support of *F* is $\left[\frac{m_3}{n_2}\right]$ $\frac{m_3}{n_3}$, $\frac{m_1}{n_1}$ $\left[\frac{m_1}{n_1}\right]$ and its peak is at $\frac{m_2}{n_2}$, we have

Min
$$
\Lambda[\![n]\!]
$$
 $\sim \frac{m_3}{n_3}n$, Mode $\Lambda[\![n]\!]$ $\sim \frac{m_2}{n_2}n$, and max $\Lambda[\![n]\!]$ $\sim \frac{m_1}{n_1}n$.

Symbolic integration and computer algebra reveals the unique $\gamma \in [\frac{m_3}{n_3}]$ $\frac{m_3}{m_3}$, $\frac{m_1}{n_1}$ $\frac{m_1}{n_1}$ such that $\int_{-\infty}^{\gamma} F(t) dt = \frac{1}{2}$. This yields the asymptotic median:

Median
$$
\Lambda[n]
$$
 $\sim n \cdot \begin{cases} \frac{m_3}{n_3} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{m_1}{n_1} - \frac{m_3}{n_3} \right) \left(\frac{m_2}{n_2} - \frac{m_3}{n_3} \right)} & \text{if } \frac{m_2}{n_2} \ge \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{m_1}{n_1} + \frac{m_3}{n_3} \right), \\ \frac{m_1}{n_1} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{m_1}{n_1} - \frac{m_3}{n_3} \right) \left(\frac{m_1}{n_1} - \frac{m_2}{n_2} \right)} & \text{if } \frac{m_2}{n_2} < \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{m_1}{n_1} + \frac{m_3}{n_3} \right). \end{cases}$

Consider the absolutely continuous probability measure *ν* defined by

$$
\nu([\alpha,\beta]) = \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} F(x) \, dx
$$

for *α* < *β*. Define the singular probability measures

$$
\nu_n = \frac{1}{|Z_S(n)|} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in Z_S(n)} \delta_{\frac{\mu(\mathbf{x})}{n}},
$$

in which δ_x is the unit point measure at $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Use [\(4\)](#page-2-1) to deduce that

$$
\lim_{n\to\infty} \nu_n([\alpha,\beta]) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{|\Lambda(n) \cap [\alpha n,\beta n]|}{|Z_S(n)|} = \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} F(x) \, dx = \nu([\alpha,\beta]).
$$

If $g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is bounded and continuous, then [\[9,](#page-16-19) Thm. 25.8] ensures that

$$
\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{|\Lambda(n)|}\sum_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathsf{Z}_{S}(n)}g\left(\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{x})}{n}\right)=\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}}g\,d\nu_{n}=\int_{\mathbb{R}}g(x)F(x)\,dx.
$$

The integral on the right-hand side can be evaluated explicitly for $g(x) = x$ and $g(x) = x^2$. From here one obtains the asymptotic mean and variance of Λ ^{[[*n*]}]:

Mean
$$
\Lambda[\![n]\!]
$$
 $\sim \frac{n}{3} \left(\frac{m_1}{n_1} + \frac{m_2}{n_2} + \frac{n_3}{n_3} \right),$

$$
\text{Var } \Lambda[\![n]\!] \sim \frac{n^2}{18} \left(\frac{m_1^2}{n_1^2} + \frac{m_2^2}{n_2^2} + \frac{m_3^2}{n_3^2} - \frac{m_1 m_2}{n_1 n_2} - \frac{m_2 m_3}{n_2 n_3} - \frac{m_3 m_1}{n_3 n_1} \right).
$$

Asymptotic formulas for the higher moments, skewness, harmonic and geometric means, follow in a similar manner; see [\[16,](#page-16-4) Sec. 2.1] for definitions. For $m_1 =$ $m_2 = m_3 = 1$, we obtain the asymptotic formulas for factorization-length statistics obtained in [\[17\]](#page-16-3). Thus, Theorem [1](#page-1-0) recaptures the results of [\[17\]](#page-16-3), generalizes them to the weighted setting, and provides explicit error bounds in some instances.

Example 5. In [\[16,](#page-16-4) Tab. 1, Fig. 2], a special case of Theorem [1](#page-1-0) was illustrated for factorization lengths in the McNugget semigroup $S = \langle 6, 9, 20 \rangle$. Here we explore a different weighted factorization length on *S*. Table [1](#page-3-0) gives the actual and predicted values of several statistics pertaining to $\Lambda \llbracket n \rrbracket$ for $\mathbf{m} = (4, 7, 2)$, $\mathbf{n} = (9, 20, 6)$, and $n = 10^5$. The components of **m** and **n** are ordered to comply with Theorem [1;](#page-1-0) in particular $4/9 > 7/20 > 2/6$. If one charges \$2 for a box of 6 McNuggets, \$4 for 9 McNuggets, and \$7 for 20 McNuggets, then $Λ[π]$ is the multiset of prices corresponding to all the ways to fill an order of *n* McNuggets.

Statistic		Actual Predicted Statistic	Actual Predicted
Mean Λ 10^5 $\vert\vert$		37591.84 37592.59 Mode Λ 10 ⁵ 35000	35000
Median Λ 10^5 \vert		37200 37200.89 \parallel StDev $\Lambda \llbracket 10^5 \rrbracket \mid 2446.32$ 2446.27	
Min Λ 10^5 \vert		33334 33333.33 \parallel max Λ 10^5 \parallel 44440 44444.44	

Table 1. Actual versus predicted statistics (rounded to two decimal places) for Λ ^{[[10⁵]] with **n** = (9, 20, 6) and **m** = (4, 7, 2)}

The next example illustrates another use of Theorem [1.](#page-1-0)

Example 6. Let $S = \langle 6, 9, 20 \rangle$ as in the previous example. We now let **n** = (1, 1, 1) and $\mathbf{m} = (20, 9, 6)$. Then $|\Lambda[\![n]\!] \cap [\alpha n, \beta n]$ is the number of possible orders of *n*
hoves of MeNuscots that sontain between *vn* and *by* MeNuscots. For example boxes of McNuggets that contain between *αn* and *βn* McNuggets. For example, when $n = 100$, $\alpha = 8$, and $\beta = 15$, we have $\left|\Lambda \left[\begin{bmatrix} 100 \end{bmatrix}\right] \cap \left[800, 1500\right] \right| = 3785$; that is, there are 3785 ways to order between 800 and 1500 McNuggets using 100 boxes. Table [2](#page-4-0) illustrates predictions and error bounds afforded by Theorem [1](#page-1-0) and [\(30\)](#page-15-9).

\boldsymbol{n}	α	ß	$ \Lambda[[n]] \cap [\alpha n, \beta n] $ n ² /2	$\int_{0}^{b} F(x) dx$	Error	Theorem 1 bound	Eq. 30 bound
100	8	15	0.757	0.742424	0.014576	0.3812	0.151286
1000	8	15	0.743884	0.742424	0.001460	0.038012	0.015056
10000	8	15	0.742570	0.742424	0.000146	0.003800	0.001505
100		7.1	0.0058	0.005	0.0008	0.1052	0.01
1000		7.1	0.00509	0.005	0.00009	0.010412	0.000927
10000		7.1	0.005009	0.005	0.000009	0.001040	0.000092

Table 2. Error analysis (rounded to 6 decimal places) for $\mathbf{m} = (20, 9, 6)$ and $\mathbf{n} =$ $(1, 1, 1).$

In the following examples, we plot $\frac{|Z(m,n)|}{dn/(2n_1n_2n_3)}$ versus $\frac{m}{n}$ (in blue) overlaid with $F(x)$ versus x (in red). These make sense to plot together because Lemma [18](#page-9-0) and equation [22](#page-11-1) below imply that $\frac{|Z(m,n)|}{dn/(2n_1n_2n_3)}$ is within $\frac{2n_1n_2n_3}{dn}$ of $F(\frac{m}{n})$. Since $|\mathcal{Z}(m,n)|$ gives the multiplicity of *m* in $\Lambda[n]|$, we refer to this sort of plot as the *scaled histogram* of $\Lambda[\![n]\!]$. These plots illustrate the convergence of the distribution of $\Lambda[n]$ to $F(x)$.

Example 7. Figure [1](#page-4-1) gives the scaled histograms of Λ [100] and Λ [1000] for **m** = $(20, 9, 6)$ and **n** = $(1, 1, 1)$.

Figure 1. Scaled histograms of $\Lambda[\![n]\!]$ with $\mathbf{n} = (1, 1, 1)$ and $\mathbf{m} = (20, 9, 6)$.

Example 8. Theorem [1](#page-1-0) does not require m_1 , m_2 , m_3 to be positive. Figure [2](#page-5-0) demonstrates the theorem when $m_1 < 0$.

Figure 2. Scaled histograms of Λ n with $\mathbf{m} = (-9, 20, 6)$ and $\mathbf{n} = (4, 5, 3)$.

Figure 3. Scaled histograms of $\Lambda[\![n]\!]$ with $\mathbf{n} = (5, 17, 8)$ and $\mathbf{m} = (3, 9, 4)$; here $d = 2$.

Example 9. The error bound in Theorem [1](#page-1-0) and the definition of the scaled histogram involve the quantity $d = \text{gcd}(m_2 n_3 - m_3 n_2, m_1 n_3 - m_3 n_1, m_1 n_2 - m_2 n_1)$. For $d = 1$, the scaled histogram of $\Lambda[n]$ approximately coincides with the plot of $F(x)$ at each point. For $d \neq 1$, Lemma [15](#page-8-0) says that there is a $c = c_n$ such that $\mathcal{Z}(m,n)$ is empty unless $m \equiv c \pmod{d}$. If $\mathcal{Z}(m,n)$ is nonempty, Lemma [18](#page-9-0) implies that its cardinality is *d* times larger than what we would expect for $d = 1$. This is accounted for in the definition of the scaled histogram so that *d* − 1 out of every *d* points of the scaled histogram of $Λ[*n*]_k$ are 0, but the remaining points approximately lie on the plot of $F(x)$; see Figure [3.](#page-5-1)

Example [1](#page-1-0)0. The proof of Theorem 1 defines $\rho_1 = m_2 n_3 - m_3 n_2$ and $\rho_3 = m_1 n_2 - m_3 n_3$ m_2n_1 . Although these are denominators in the formula for F , we permit one of them to be 0. Figure [4](#page-6-0) illustrates the case $\mathbf{n} = (6, 9, 20)$ and $\mathbf{m} = (1, 0, 0)$, for which $\rho_1 = 0$. Here $\lambda(x) = x_1$ is the number of 6s in the factorization $6x_1 + 9x_2 + 30x_3 = n$. Since $\rho_1 = 0$, the "left side" of the triangle is degenerate.

Theorem [1](#page-1-0) concerns large-*n* asymptotic behavior. On the other hand, Proposition [12](#page-7-1) below identifies a curious exact phenomenon even for small *n*. We first illustrate this with an example.

Example 11. Let $\mathbf{m}_1 = (2, 3, 1), \mathbf{n}_1 = (2, 6, 3), \mathbf{m}_2 = (3, 1, 2),$ and $\mathbf{n}_2 = (3, 2, 6)$; note that n_1 and n_2 generate the same semigroup. Figure [5](#page-6-1) shows the scaled

Figure 4. Scaled histograms of Λ n with $n = (6, 9, 20)$ and $m = (1, 0, 0)$.

Figure 5. Different values of **m** and **n** can produce scaled histograms that are translations of each other. In the context of Proposition [12,](#page-7-1) $(a, b, c) = (1, 2, 3)$.

histograms of the multisets $\Lambda_1[\![n]\!]$ and $\Lambda_2[\![n]\!]$ corresponding to m_1, n_1 and to **m**₂, **n**₂, respectively. The histograms are the same up to a horizontal translation. To be specific, there is an *r*, which depends depends only upon *n*, such that the multiplicity of *x* in $\Lambda_1[\![n]\!]$ equals the multiplicity of $x + r$ in $\Lambda_2[\![n]\!]$. In Figure [5,](#page-6-1) we have $n = 75$ and $r = 2$. Observe that the probability density *F* depends only upon m_1/n_1 , m_2/n_2 , and m_3/n_2 , so Theorem [1](#page-1-0) predicts the same asymptotic distribution for $\Lambda_1[\![n]\!]$ and $\Lambda_2[\![n]\!]$ because

$$
\frac{m_1}{n_1} = \frac{2}{2} = \frac{m'_1}{n'_1} = \frac{3}{3} = 1, \qquad \frac{m_2}{n_2} = \frac{3}{6} = \frac{m'_2}{n'_2} = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{m_3}{n_3} = \frac{1}{3} = \frac{m'_3}{n'_3} = \frac{2}{6},
$$

However, this only implies that $\Lambda_1[\![n]\!]$ and $\Lambda_2[\![n]\!]$ should appear similar for large *n*, not that they should be translations of each other.

Proposition [12](#page-7-1) says that two different weighted lengths on the same numerical semigroup yield nearly the same (translated) statistical behavior. This is consistent with Theorem [1](#page-1-0) since

$$
\left\{\frac{ac}{a},\frac{ab}{b},\frac{bc}{c}\right\} = \left\{a,b,c\right\} = \left\{\frac{ac}{c},\frac{ab}{a},\frac{bc}{b}\right\},\
$$

so the asymptotic distribution functions in the two cases are equal. The numerical semigroups in Proposition [12](#page-7-1) are called *supersymmetric* [\[10\]](#page-16-20).

Proposition 12. Let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ be distinct, and let $\mathbf{m}_1 = (b, a, c)$, $\mathbf{m}_2 = (a, c, b)$, *and* $\mathbf{n} = (ab, ac, bc)$ *. Define*

$$
A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{m}_1^{\mathsf{T}} \\ \mathbf{n}^{\mathsf{T}} \end{bmatrix} \in M_{2 \times 3}(\mathbb{Z}) \quad \text{and} \quad A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{m}_2^{\mathsf{T}} \\ \mathbf{n}^{\mathsf{T}} \end{bmatrix} \in M_{2 \times 3}(\mathbb{Z}),
$$

along with

$$
\mathcal{Z}_1(m,n) = \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^3 : A_1 \mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} m \\ n \end{bmatrix} \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{Z}_2(m,n) = \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^3 : A_2 \mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} m \\ n \end{bmatrix} \right\}.
$$

For all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ *, there exists an* $r_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ *such that for all* $m \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$
|\mathcal{Z}_1(m,n)|=|\mathcal{Z}_2(m+r_n,n)|.
$$

Moreover, $r_n = r_{n+abc}$ *for all* $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ *.*

Proof. Let *S* = $\langle ab, ac, bc \rangle$ and fix *n* ∈ *S*. We can write *n* = *qabc* + *r* with *r* ∈ *S* and *r* − *abc* ∉ *S*. By [\[18,](#page-16-21) Prop. 1, Thm. 12], we have $|Z_S(r)| = 1$,

$$
\mathsf{Z}_{\mathsf{S}}(\mathit{qabc}) = \{ (z_1c, z_2b, z_3a) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^3 : z_1 + z_2 + z_3 = q \},
$$

and

$$
Z_S(n) = Z_S(qabc) + Z_S(r).
$$

For any *z*₁, *z*₂, *z*₃ $\in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ with *z*₁ + *z*₂ + *z*₃ = *q*, we have

$$
(z_1c, z_2b, z_3a) \cdot \mathbf{m}_1 = z_1bc + z_2ab + z_3ac = (z_3c, z_1b, z_2a) \cdot \mathbf{m}_2,
$$

which implies $|\mathcal{Z}_1(m, qabc)| = |\mathcal{Z}_2(m, qabc)|$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Writing $Z_S(r) = \{x\}$, linearity then implies

$$
\mathcal{Z}_1(m + (\mathbf{m}_1 \cdot \mathbf{x}), n) = \mathcal{Z}_1(m, qabc) + \mathbf{x} \text{ and } \mathcal{Z}_2(m + (\mathbf{m}_2 \cdot \mathbf{x}), n) = \mathcal{Z}_2(m, qabc) + \mathbf{x}
$$

for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. This yields the desired claim upon letting $r_n = (\mathbf{m}_2 - \mathbf{m}_1) \cdot \mathbf{x}$. \square

3. Proof of Theorem [1](#page-1-0)

The proof of Theorem [1](#page-1-0) is geometric: the limiting distribution arises from the projection of a simplex with one vertex on each axis, with each vertical value in the distribution being the volume of a cross section. This yields a piecewisepolynomial function; the transition between each polynomial piece occurs when the cross section contains a vertex. Making this general and precise, with explicit error bounds, adds to the complexity of the argument.

3.1. **Setup.** Let **m** = (m_1, m_2, m_3) , **n** = (n_1, n_2, n_3) , and

$$
A = \begin{bmatrix} m_1 & m_2 & m_3 \\ n_1 & n_2 & n_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{m}^{\mathsf{T}} \\ \mathbf{n}^{\mathsf{T}} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathsf{M}_{2 \times 3}(\mathbb{Z}).
$$

The hypotheses on the ratios m_i/n_i imply that

$$
\underbrace{m_2n_3 - m_3n_2}_{\rho_1} \ge 0, \qquad \underbrace{m_1n_3 - m_3n_1}_{\rho_2} > 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \underbrace{m_1n_2 - m_2n_1}_{\rho_3} \ge 0. \tag{13}
$$

Observe that

$$
\rho_1 = 0 \iff \frac{m_3}{n_3} = \frac{m_2}{n_2}
$$
 and $\rho_3 = 0 \iff \frac{m_2}{n_2} = \frac{m_1}{n_1}$

so at most one of $ρ_1$, $ρ_3$ can be zero, since otherwise $ρ_2 = 0$ and $m_3/n_3 = m_2/n_2$ m_1/n_1 . Treat the corresponding interval $\left[\frac{m_3}{n_3}\right]$ $\frac{m_3}{n_3}, \frac{m_2}{n_2}$ $\frac{m_2}{n_2}$ or $\left[\frac{m_2}{n_2}\right]$ $\frac{m_2}{n_2}$, $\frac{m_1}{n_1}$ $\left[\frac{m_1}{n_1}\right]$ as degenerate in these cases. This also means that at least two of the three inequalities in [\(13\)](#page-7-2) are strict.

The one-dimensional subspace $\{m\}^{\perp} \cap \{n\}^{\perp}$ of \mathbb{R}^3 is spanned by

$$
\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{m} \times \mathbf{n} = \begin{bmatrix} m_2 n_3 - m_3 n_2 \\ m_3 n_1 - m_1 n_3 \\ m_1 n_2 - m_2 n_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \rho_1 \\ -\rho_2 \\ \rho_3 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{Z}^3 \setminus \{ \mathbf{0} \}.
$$

By construction, A **r** = 0. Define λ (**x**) and Λ [*n*] as in the statement of Theorem [1](#page-1-0) and note that $\lambda(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{x}$.

3.2. **The sets** $\mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{b})$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}(\mathbf{b})$. We adjust the notation [\(2\)](#page-2-2) to permit vector arguments: for **b** = $(m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, let

$$
\mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{b}) = \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^3 : A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b} \} = \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathsf{Z}_S(n) : \lambda(\mathbf{x}) = m \}. \tag{14}
$$

Similarly, define

$$
\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}(\mathbf{b}) = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^3 : A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}\}.
$$

We may denote these as $\mathcal{Z}(m,n)$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}(m,n)$, respectively, as convenient. Both $\mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{b})$ and $\mathcal{\tilde{Z}}(\mathbf{b})$ may be empty; the following lemma gives some crucial insight on when $\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}(\mathbf{b})$ is empty. Although the lemma is a special case of [\[25,](#page-16-1) Thm. 3.2b], we provide another proof since the three-dimensional setting permits the use of the cross product and geometric reasoning to simplify the argument.

Lemma 15. *Let d* = $gcd(\rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3)$ *. For each n* ∈ \mathbb{Z} *, there is some c* ∈ {0*,* 1*,* 2*, . . . , d* − 1} *such that* $\mathcal{Z}(m, n) \neq \emptyset$ *if and only if* $m \equiv c \pmod{d}$ *.*

Proof. The definition of *d* ensures that, $\rho_i \equiv 0 \pmod{d}$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Thus,

 $m_i n_j \equiv m_j n_i \pmod{d}$

for $i, j = 1, 2, 3$. For any $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ and $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, it follows that

$$
m_i(n_1x_1 + n_2x_2 + n_3x_3) \equiv n_i(m_1x_1 + m_2x_2 + m_3x_3) \pmod{d};
$$

that is,

$$
m_i(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{x}) \equiv n_i(\mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{x}) \, (\text{mod } d).
$$

(⇒) Suppose there is an $\mathbf{x} \in \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}(m, n)$. Since $gcd(n_1, n_2, n_3) = 1$, Bézout's identity provides $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $a_1n_1 + a_2n_2 + a_3n_3 = 1$. Let *c* denote the least nonnegative residue of $(a_1m_1 + a_2m_2 + a_3m_3)n$ modulo *d*. Then

$$
m = \mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{x}
$$

= $(a_1n_1 + a_2n_2 + a_3n_3)(\mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{x})$
\equiv $(a_1m_1 + a_2m_2 + a_3m_3)(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{x}) \pmod{d}$
\equiv $(a_1m_1 + a_2m_2 + a_3m_3)n \pmod{d}$
\equiv $c \pmod{d}$.

(⇐) Since *d* = gcd(*ρ*1, *ρ*2, *ρ*3), Bézout's identity provides a **v** ∈ **Z**³ such that

$$
\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{v} = (\rho_1, -\rho_2, \rho_3) \cdot \mathbf{v} = d.
$$

Let $w = n \times v$ and observe that

$$
\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{n} \cdot (\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{v}) = (\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{n}) \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0
$$

and

$$
\mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{m} \cdot (\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{v}) = (\mathbf{m} \times \mathbf{n}) \cdot \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{v} = d.
$$

Fix $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Since $\gcd(n_1, n_2, n_3) = 1$, there is a $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, z_2, z_3) \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ such that $\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{z} = n$. Let $s = \mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{z}$, so that $\mathbf{z} \in \widetilde{Z}(s, n)$. The first half of the proof ensures that *s* \equiv *c* (mod *d*). If *m* \equiv *c* (mod *d*), then *d* | (*m* − *s*) and hence

$$
\mathbf{m} \cdot \left(\mathbf{z} + \frac{m-s}{d} \mathbf{w} \right) = \mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{z} + \frac{m-s}{d} \mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{w} = s + \frac{m-s}{d} d = m.
$$

Therefore,

$$
\mathbf{z} + \frac{m-s}{d} \mathbf{w} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}\left(s + \frac{m-s}{d}d, n\right) = \widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}(m, n).
$$

Lemma 16. *Let* $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. *If* $\mathbf{z} \in \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}(\mathbf{b})$, then $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}(\mathbf{b}) = {\mathbf{z} + s\mathbf{r}}/d : s \in \mathbb{Z}$ where $d = \gcd(\rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3)$.

Proof. Since A **r** = **0**, we have A (**z** + *s***r**/*d*) = **b**. Additionally, **r**/*d* $\in \mathbb{Z}^3$ because $d = \gcd(\rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3)$. Therefore, $\{z + s\mathbf{r}/d : s \in \mathbb{Z}\}\subseteq \widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}(\mathbf{b})$. Suppose that $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}$ $\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}(\mathbf{b})$. Then $A(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{z}) = A\mathbf{x} - A\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{0}$, so $\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{z} = s\mathbf{r}/d$ for some $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $\int \text{S}\mathbf{r}/d = \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{Z}^3$, and hence $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ because $\gcd(\rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3) = d$. Thus, $\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}(\mathbf{b}) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^3$ $\{z + s\mathbf{r}/d : s \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$

3.3. **Some geometry.** For $y = (y_1, y_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, let $\ell(y)$ denote the length of the line segment

$$
\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{y}) = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3_{\geq 0} : A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}\}
$$

if it is nonempty; let $\ell(\mathbf{y}) = 0$ otherwise. On occasion, we may write $\mathcal{L}(y_1, y_2)$ and $\ell(y_1, y_2)$ instead. The line $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{y})$ is contained in the plane $\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3 : \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{x} = y_2\}$ which, owing to the positivity of the components of **n**, has compact intersection with $\mathbb{R}^3_{\geq 0}$. Thus, $\ell(\mathbf{y})$ is finite. Observe that for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{n>0}$,

$$
n\ell(\frac{x}{n},1)=\ell(x,n). \tag{17}
$$

Lemma 18. *Let d* = $gcd(\rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3)$ *and* $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ *. If* $\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}(\mathbf{b}) \neq \emptyset$ *, then*

$$
\frac{d\ell(\mathbf{b})}{\|\mathbf{r}\|} - 1 \leq |\mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{b})| \leq \frac{d\ell(\mathbf{b})}{\|\mathbf{r}\|} + 1.
$$

Proof. Suppose that $\mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{b}) \neq \emptyset$. Then Lemma [16](#page-9-1) provides a $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ such that

$$
\mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{b}) = \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^3 \cap \{\mathbf{z} + s\mathbf{r}/d : s \in \mathbb{Z}\}.
$$

Define

 $a = \inf \{ s \in \mathbb{R} : z + s\mathbf{r}/d \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^3 \}$ and $b = \sup \{ s \in \mathbb{R} : z + s\mathbf{r}/d \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^3 \}.$ Then $\mathbf{z} + s\mathbf{r}/d \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^3$ if and only if $s \in [a, b]$. Consequently,

$$
|\mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{b})| = |[a,b] \cap \mathbb{Z}| = \lfloor b \rfloor - \lceil a \rceil + 1.
$$

Since

$$
b-1 < \lfloor b \rfloor \le b \qquad \text{and} \qquad -a-1 \le -\lceil a \rceil \le -a,
$$

it follows that

$$
b - a - 1 \le |\mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{b})| \le b - a + 1. \tag{19}
$$

The length of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{b})$ is

$$
\ell(\mathbf{b}) = \|(\mathbf{z} + b\mathbf{r}/d) - (\mathbf{z} + a\mathbf{r}/d)\| = \frac{b-a}{d}\|\mathbf{r}\|.
$$

Substitute *b* − *a* = $d\ell(\mathbf{b})/||\mathbf{r}||$ in [\(19\)](#page-10-0) and obtain the desired inequalities. \Box

3.4. **The triangle emerges.** Recall that $f: I \to \mathbb{R}$ is *Lipschitz* on a (possibly infinite) interval *I* with *Lipschitz constant C* if $|f(x) - f(y)| \le C|x - y|$ for all $x, y \in I$.

Lemma 20. *Suppose that* ρ_1 , $\rho_3 \neq 0$ *. For* $t \in \mathbb{R}$ *,*

$$
\ell(t,1) = \frac{\|\mathbf{r}\|}{\rho_2} \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t < \frac{m_3}{n_3}, \\ \frac{n_3t - m_3}{\rho_1} & \text{if } \frac{m_3}{n_3} \le t \le \frac{m_2}{n_2}, \\ \frac{m_1 - n_1t}{\rho_3} & \text{if } \frac{m_2}{n_2} \le t \le \frac{m_1}{n_1}, \\ 0 & \text{if } t > \frac{m_1}{n_1}. \end{cases}
$$

is a "triangular" function of t with base $\left[\frac{m_3}{n_2}\right]$ $\frac{m_3}{n_3}$, $\frac{m_1}{n_1}$ $\binom{m_1}{n_1}$, peak at $t = \frac{m_2}{n_2}$ $\frac{m_2}{n_2}$, and height

$$
\ell\left(\frac{m_2}{n_2},1\right)=\frac{\|\mathbf{r}\|}{n_2\rho_2}.
$$

Furthermore, $\ell(t, 1)$ *is Lipschitz with Lipschitz constant*

$$
\frac{\|\mathbf{r}\|}{\rho_2} \max \left\{ \frac{n_3}{\rho_1}, \frac{n_1}{\rho_3} \right\}
$$

.

Proof. If it is nonempty, the line segment $\mathcal{L}(t,1)$ lies in $\mathbb{R}^3_{\geq 0}$; its endpoints each lie on one of the coordinate planes. Solve the corresponding equations and obtain the points of intersection with the three coordinate planes:

- $\mathbf{p}_1(t) = \rho_1^{-1}(0, n_3t m_3, m_2 n_2t)$, hence $\mathbf{p}_1(t) \in \mathbb{R}^3_{\geq 0} \iff t \in [\frac{m_3}{n_3}]$ $\frac{m_3}{n_3}$, $\frac{m_2}{n_2}$ $\frac{m_2}{n_2}$],
- $\mathbf{p}_2(t) = \rho_2^{-1}(n_3t m_3, 0, m_1 n_1t)$, hence $\mathbf{p}_2(t) \in \mathbb{R}^3_{\geq 0} \iff t \in [\frac{m_3}{n_3}]$ $\frac{m_3}{n_3}$, $\frac{m_1}{n_1}$ $\frac{m_1}{n_1}$,

•
$$
\mathbf{p}_3(t) = \rho_3^{-1}(n_2 t - m_2, m_1 - n_1 t, 0)
$$
, hence $\mathbf{p}_3(t) \in \mathbb{R}^3_{\geq 0} \iff t \in [\frac{m_2}{n_2}, \frac{m_1}{n_1}],$

since ρ_1 , ρ_2 , $\rho_3 \ge 0$. In particular, if $\rho_1 = 0$ or $\rho_3 = 0$, then $\mathcal{L}(t,1)$ does not meet the corresponding coordinate plane in $\mathbb{R}^3_{\geq 0}$ (recall that $\rho_2 > 0$).

For $t < \frac{m_3}{n_2}$ $\frac{m_3}{n_3}$ or $t > \frac{m_1}{n_1}$ $\frac{m_1}{n_1}$, we have $\ell(t, 1) = 0$. For $t \in [\frac{m_3}{n_3}]$ $\frac{m_3}{m_3}$, $\frac{m_2}{n_2}$ $\left[\frac{m_2}{n_2}\right]$, we see that $\mathcal{L}(t,1)$ is the line segment from $\mathbf{p}_1(t)$ to $\mathbf{p}_2(t)$. A computation confirms that

$$
\ell(t,1) = \|\mathbf{p}_1(t) - \mathbf{p}_2(t)\| = \frac{n_3 t - m_3}{\rho_1 \rho_2} \|\mathbf{r}\|.
$$

For $t \in \left[\frac{m_2}{n_2}\right]$ $\frac{m_2}{n_2}$, $\frac{m_1}{n_1}$ $\left[\frac{m_1}{n_1}\right]$, we see that $\mathcal{L}(t,1)$ is the line segment from $\mathbf{p}_2(t)$ to $\mathbf{p}_3(t)$, so

$$
\ell(t,1) = \|\mathbf{p}_2(t) - \mathbf{p}_3(t)\| = \frac{m_1 - n_1 t}{\rho_2 \rho_3} \|\mathbf{r}\|
$$

via another computation. This yields the desired piecewise-linear formula for $\ell(t, 1)$. An admissible Lipschitz constant is the maximum of the slopes of $\ell(t, 1)$

on $\left[\frac{m_3}{n_2}\right]$ $\frac{m_3}{n_3}$, $\frac{m_2}{n_2}$ $\frac{m_2}{n_2}$] and $\left[\frac{m_2}{n_2}\right]$ $\frac{m_2}{n_2}$, $\frac{m_1}{n_1}$ $\frac{m_1}{n_1}$, so long as the corresponding interval is nondegenerate. Elementary computations confirm the remainder of the lemma. \square

Remark 21. If $\rho_1 = 0$ or $\rho_3 = 0$ (the conditions are mutually exclusive), then the corresponding interval in the definition of $\ell(t, 1)$ and term in the maximum above are omitted. Moreover, $\ell(t,1)$ is Lipschitz on $\left[\frac{m_3}{n_2}\right]$ $\frac{m_3}{n_3}$, ∞) or $(-\infty, \frac{m_1}{n_1})$ $\frac{m_1}{n_1}$, respectively.

Lemma [20](#page-10-1) states that $\ell(x, 1)$ is a triangular function with base $\left[\frac{m_3}{n_2}\right]$ $\frac{m_3}{n_3}, \frac{m_1}{n_1}$ $\frac{m_1}{n_1}$ and height $\frac{\|\mathbf{r}\|}{n_2\rho_2}$. Since the base width is

$$
\frac{m_1}{n_1} - \frac{m_3}{n_3} = \frac{m_1 n_3 - n_1 m_3}{n_1 n_3} = \frac{\rho_2}{n_1 n_3},
$$

the area of the triangle is

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \ell(x, 1) dx = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{\rho_2}{n_1 n_3} \cdot \frac{\|\mathbf{r}\|}{n_2 \rho_2} = \frac{\|\mathbf{r}\|}{2 n_1 n_2 n_3}.
$$

In particular,

$$
F(t) = 2n_1 n_2 n_3 \frac{\ell(t, 1)}{\|\mathbf{r}\|} \tag{22}
$$

is the probability density from Theorem [1.](#page-1-0)

3.5. **A technical lemma.** The next lemma permits us to approximate a discrete sum by an integral with a completely explicit error estimate.

Lemma 23. *Suppose that*

(a) g : **R** → **R** *satisfies* $|g(x)|$ ≤ *C*₁ *for* x ∈ **R***;*

- *(b)* g is Lipschitz on some closed interval I with Lipschitz constant C_2 *;*
- *(c)* $n, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $c < d$;
- *(d)* $f : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$ *satisfies*

$$
\left| f(c+kd)/d - ng\left(\frac{c+kd}{n}\right) \right| \le 1
$$

for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ *; and*

 (e) $f(x) = 0$ *for* $x \not\equiv c \pmod{d}$.

Then for real $\alpha < \beta$ *such that* $[\alpha, \beta] \subseteq I$,

$$
\left|\frac{1}{n^2}\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}\cap[\alpha n,\beta n]}f(k)-\int_{\alpha}^{\beta}g(x)\,dx\right|\leq\frac{(\beta-\alpha+\frac{2d}{n})(1+dC_2)+d(5C_1+\frac{1}{n})}{n}.
$$

Proof. Since the proof is somewhat long, we break it up into several pieces. An auxiliary function. Let $G(x) = \frac{n}{d} f(c + \lfloor nx/d \rfloor d)$. Then

$$
\int_{\frac{d}{n}}^{\frac{d}{n}\lfloor \frac{\beta n-c}{d}+1\rfloor} G(x) dx = \int_{\lceil \frac{\alpha n-c}{d}+1\rfloor}^{\lfloor \frac{\beta n-c}{d}+1\rfloor} \frac{d}{n} G\left(\frac{d}{n}u\right) du
$$

$$
= \int_{\lceil \frac{\alpha n-c}{d}+1\rfloor}^{\lfloor \frac{\beta n-c}{d}+1\rfloor} f(c+\lfloor u\rfloor d) du
$$

$$
= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \cap [\frac{\alpha n - c}{d}, \frac{\beta n - c}{d}]} f(c + kd)
$$

$$
= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \cap [\alpha n, \beta n]} f(k).
$$
 (24)

For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, condition (d) ensures that

$$
\left| \frac{G(kd/n)}{n^2} - g\left(\frac{c+kd}{n}\right) \right| = \left| \frac{\frac{n}{d}f(c + \lfloor n\frac{kd}{nd} \rfloor d)}{n^2} - g\left(\frac{c+kd}{n}\right) \right|
$$

$$
= \left| \frac{f(c+kd)/d - ng(\frac{c+kd}{n})}{n} \right|
$$

$$
\leq \frac{1}{n}.
$$
 (25)

We also need a bound afforded by (a) and (d):

$$
\left|\frac{G(x)}{n^2}\right| = \frac{|f(c + \lfloor nx/d \rfloor d)|}{dn} \le \frac{1}{n} + \left|g\left(\frac{c + \lfloor nx/d \rfloor d}{n}\right)\right| \le C_1 + \frac{1}{n}.\tag{26}
$$

A Lipschitz estimate. Observe that $G(x) = G(\frac{lnx}{d}d/n)$ and

$$
\frac{-d}{n} \le \frac{c+nx-d}{n} - x \le \frac{c+\lfloor nx/d\rfloor d}{n} - x \le \frac{c+nx}{n} - x \le \frac{d}{n}.
$$

If *x* and $(c + \lfloor nx/d \rfloor d)/n$ are both in *I*, condition (b) and [\(25\)](#page-12-0) imply that

$$
\left| \frac{G(x)}{n^2} - g(x) \right| \le \left| \frac{G(\lfloor nx/d \rfloor d/n)}{n^2} - g\left(\frac{c + \lfloor nx/d \rfloor d}{n}\right) \right|
$$

$$
+ \left| g\left(\frac{c + \lfloor nx/d \rfloor d}{n}\right) - g(x) \right|
$$

$$
\le \frac{1 + dC_2}{n}.
$$
(27)

A containment. We claim *x* and $(c + \lfloor nx/d \rfloor d)/n$ belong to $[\alpha, \beta] \subset I$ whenever

$$
\frac{d}{n} \left[\frac{\alpha n - c}{d} + 1 \right] \leq x \leq \frac{d}{n} \left[\frac{\beta n - c}{d} \right].
$$

Suppose that the inequality above holds. Then

$$
x \ge \frac{d}{n} \left(\frac{\alpha n - c}{d} + 1 \right) = \alpha + \frac{d - c}{n} \ge \alpha
$$

and

$$
x \leq \frac{d}{n} \left\lfloor \frac{\beta n - c}{d} \right\rfloor \leq \frac{d}{n} \cdot \frac{\beta n - c}{d} = \beta - \frac{c}{n} \leq \beta.
$$

Next observe that

$$
\frac{c+\lfloor \frac{n}{d}x \rfloor d}{n} \ge \frac{c+\lfloor \frac{n}{d}(\frac{d}{n}\lceil \frac{\alpha n-c}{d}+1\rceil)\rfloor d}{n} = \frac{c+\lceil \frac{\alpha n-c}{d}+1\rceil d}{n} \ge \frac{c+\alpha n-c+d}{n} \ge \alpha
$$

and

$$
\frac{c+\lfloor \frac{n}{d}x\rfloor d}{n}\leq \frac{c+\lfloor \frac{n}{d}\left(\frac{d}{n}\lfloor \frac{\beta n-c}{d}\rfloor\right)\rfloor d}{n}=\frac{c+\lfloor \frac{\beta n-c}{d}\rfloor d}{n}\leq \frac{c+\beta n-c}{n}=\beta.
$$

This completes the proof of the claim.

An observation. Since

$$
\frac{d}{n}\left\lfloor \frac{\beta n-c}{d} \right\rfloor - \frac{d}{n}\left\lceil \frac{\alpha n-c}{d} + 1 \right\rceil \le \beta - \frac{c}{n} - \left(\alpha + \frac{d-c}{n} \right) = \beta - \alpha - \frac{d}{n}
$$

and

$$
\frac{d}{n}\left\lceil \frac{\alpha n-c}{d}+1\right\rceil - \frac{d}{n}\left\lfloor \frac{\beta n-c}{d}\right\rfloor < \alpha + \frac{2d-c}{n} - \left(\beta-\frac{c}{n}\right) = \alpha-\beta+\frac{2d}{n},
$$

we conclude that

$$
\left|\frac{d}{n}\left\lfloor\frac{\beta n-c}{d}\right\rfloor - \frac{d}{n}\left\lceil\frac{\alpha n-c}{d} + 1\right\rceil\right| \le \max\left\{\beta - \alpha - \frac{d}{n}, \alpha - \beta + \frac{2d}{n}\right\}.
$$
 (28)

Small intervals. Consider the intervals $\left[\alpha, \frac{d}{n} \left[\frac{\alpha n - c}{d} + 1\right]\right]$ and $\left[\frac{d}{n}\left[\frac{\beta n - c}{d}\right]\right]$ ^{*u*-−*c*}</sup> ⊥, *β*]. Since

$$
0 < \frac{d-c}{n} = \frac{d}{n} \left(\frac{\alpha n - c}{d} + 1 \right) - \alpha
$$
\n
$$
\leq \frac{d}{n} \left[\frac{\alpha n - c}{d} + 1 \right] - \alpha < \frac{d}{n} \left(\frac{\alpha n - c}{d} + 2 \right) - \alpha
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{2d - c}{n},
$$

the first interval is nonempty with length at most $(2d - c)/n$. Similarly,

$$
0 \leq \frac{c}{n} = \beta - \frac{d}{n} \left(\frac{\beta n - c}{d} \right) \leq \beta - \frac{d}{n} \left[\frac{\beta n - c}{d} \right]
$$

$$
< \beta - \frac{d}{n} \left(\frac{\beta n - c}{d} - 1 \right) = \frac{c + d}{n},
$$

so the second interval has length at most $(c + d)/n$. In summary,

$$
0 < \frac{d}{n} \left[\frac{\alpha n - c}{d} + 1 \right] - \alpha < \frac{2d - c}{n} \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq \beta - \frac{d}{n} \left[\frac{\beta n - c}{d} \right] < \frac{c + d}{n} \tag{29}
$$

Conclusion. We conclude that

$$
\begin{split}\n&\left|\frac{1}{n^{2}}\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}\cap[\alpha n,\beta n]}f(k)-\int_{\alpha}^{\beta}g(x)\,dx\right| \\
&=\left|\int_{\frac{d}{n}\left[\frac{\alpha n-c}{d}-1\right]}^{\frac{d}{n}\left[\frac{\beta n-c}{d}+1\right]} \frac{G(x)}{n^{2}}\,dx-\int_{\alpha}^{\beta}g(x)\,dx\right| \qquad \text{by (24)} \\
&=\left|\int_{\frac{d}{n}\left[\frac{\alpha n-c}{d}-1\right]}^{\frac{d}{n}\left[\frac{\alpha n-c}{d}+1\right]} \frac{G(x)}{n^{2}}\,dx+\int_{\frac{d}{n}\left[\frac{\alpha n-c}{d}+1\right]}^{\frac{d}{n}\left[\frac{\alpha n-c}{d}+1\right]} \frac{G(x)}{n^{2}}\,dx +\int_{\frac{d}{n}\left[\frac{\beta n-c}{d}\right]}^{\frac{d}{n}\left[\frac{\beta n-c}{d}+1\right]} \frac{G(x)}{n^{2}}\,dx-\int_{\alpha}^{\beta}g(x)\,dx\right| \\
&\leq \int_{\frac{d}{n}\left[\frac{\alpha n-c}{d}+1\right]}^{\frac{d}{n}\left[\frac{\alpha n-c}{d}+1\right]} \left|\frac{G(x)}{n^{2}}\right| \,dx+\int_{\frac{d}{n}\left[\frac{\beta n-c}{d}\right]}^{\frac{d}{n}\left[\frac{\beta n-c}{d}+1\right]} \left|\frac{G(x)}{n^{2}}\right| \,dx\n\end{split}
$$

FACTORIZATION LENGTH DISTRIBUTION FOR AFFINE SEMIGROUPS IV 15

$$
+\left|\int_{\frac{d}{n}}^{\frac{d}{n}\left\lfloor\frac{\beta n-c}{d}\right\rfloor} \frac{G(x)}{n^{2}} dx - \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} g(x) dx\right|
$$

\n
$$
\leq \left|\int_{\frac{d}{n}\left\lceil\frac{\alpha n-c}{d}+1\right\rceil}^{\frac{d}{n}\left\lfloor\frac{\beta n-c}{d}\right\rfloor} \left(\frac{G(x)}{n^{2}}-g(x)\right) dx - \int_{\alpha}^{\frac{d}{n}\left\lceil\frac{\alpha n-c}{d}\right\rceil+1} g(x) dx
$$

\n
$$
-\int_{\frac{d}{n}\left\lfloor\frac{\beta n-c}{d}\right\rfloor}^{\beta} g(x) dx \right| + \frac{2d}{n} \left(C_{1} + \frac{1}{n}\right) \qquad \text{by (26)}
$$

\n
$$
\leq \left|\int_{\frac{d}{n}\left\lceil\frac{\alpha n-c}{d}\right\rceil+1}^{\frac{d}{n}\left\lfloor\frac{\beta n-c}{d}\right\rfloor} \frac{G(x)}{n^{2}}-g(x) dx\right| + \int_{\alpha}^{\frac{d}{n}\left\lceil\frac{\alpha n-c}{d}\right\rceil+1} |g(x)| dx
$$

\n
$$
+ \int_{\frac{d}{n}\left\lfloor\frac{\beta n-c}{d}\right\rfloor}^{\beta} |g(x)| dx + \frac{2d}{n} \left(C_{1} + \frac{1}{n}\right)
$$

\n
$$
\leq \left|\frac{d}{n}\left\lfloor\frac{\beta n-c}{d}\right\rfloor - \frac{d}{n}\left\lceil\frac{\alpha n-c}{d}+1\right\rceil \left\lfloor\frac{1+dC_{2}}{n}\right\rfloor \qquad \text{by (27)}
$$

$$
+\frac{(2d-c)C_1}{n} + \frac{(c+d)C_1}{n} + \frac{2d}{n}\left(C_1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)
$$
 by (a), (29)

$$
\leq \max \left\{ \beta - \alpha - \frac{d}{n}, \alpha - \beta + \frac{2d}{n} \right\} \left(\frac{1 + dC_2}{n} \right)
$$
 by (28)
+
$$
\frac{3dC_1}{n} + \frac{2d}{n} \left(C_1 + \frac{1}{n} \right)
$$

$$
\leq \frac{(\beta - \alpha + \frac{2d}{n})(1 + dC_2) + d(5C_1 + \frac{2}{n})}{n}.
$$

3.6. **A simplification.** If $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$ and $\lambda(\mathbf{x}) \in \Lambda[[n]]$, then

$$
\lambda(\mathbf{x}) \ge m_1 x_1 + m_2 x_2 + m_3 x_3 = \frac{m_1}{n_1} n_1 x_1 + \frac{m_2}{n_2} n_2 x_2 + \frac{m_3}{n_3} n_3 x_3
$$

>
$$
\frac{m_3}{n_3} (n_1 x_1 + n_2 x_2 + n_3 x_3) = \frac{m_3}{n_3} n.
$$

Thus, $\Lambda[n] \cap [-\infty, \frac{m_3}{n_3}]$ $\frac{m_3}{m_3}n$] = ∅ and, in a similar manner, Λ [*n*] \cap [$\frac{m_1}{m_1}$] $\frac{m_1}{n_1}n_{\cdot}, \infty] = \varnothing$. Since *F* is supported on $\left[\frac{m_3}{n_2}\right]$ $\frac{m_3}{n_3}$, $\frac{m_1}{n_1}$ $\left[\frac{m_1}{n_1}\right]$, we may assume that $\left[\alpha, \beta\right] \in \left[\frac{m_3}{n_3}\right]$ $\frac{m_3}{n_3}$, $\frac{\dot{m}_1}{n_1}$ $\frac{m_1}{n_1}$. In particular, we can assume that the function $\ell(x, 1)$ of Lemma [20](#page-10-1) is Lipschitz on $[\alpha, \beta]$.

3.7. **Conclusion.** We now conclude the proof of Theorem [1.](#page-1-0) Fix $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and let

$$
f(m) = |\mathcal{Z}(m,n)| = \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathsf{Z}_{\mathcal{S}}(n) : \lambda(\mathbf{x}) = m \} \quad \text{and} \quad g(x) = \frac{\ell(x,1)}{\|\mathbf{r}\|}.
$$

Let $d = \gcd(\rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3)$ and deduce from [\(17\)](#page-9-2) and Lemmas [15](#page-8-0) and [18](#page-9-0) that there is an *c* ∈ {0, 1, . . . , *d* − 1} such that

$$
\left| \frac{f(c+kd)}{d} - ng\left(\frac{c+kd}{n}\right) \right| = \left| \frac{|\mathcal{Z}(c+kd,n)|}{d} - \frac{n\ell(\frac{c+kd}{n},1)}{\|\mathbf{r}\|} \right|
$$

$$
= \left| \frac{|\mathcal{Z}(c+kd,n)|}{d} - \frac{\ell(c+kd,n)}{\|\mathbf{r}\|} \right|
$$

$$
\leq 1
$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$; moreover, $f(x) = 0$ if $x \not\equiv c \pmod{d}$.

 $m \in$

Suppose that ρ_1 , $\rho_3 \neq 0$. Apply Lemma [23](#page-11-0) to the functions *f* and *g* and the parameters *c*, *d*, *n* defined above, and to the constants

$$
C_1 = \frac{1}{n_2 \rho_2}
$$
 and $C_2 = \frac{1}{\rho_2} \max \left\{ \frac{n_3}{\rho_1}, \frac{n_1}{\rho_3} \right\}$

provided by Lemma [20:](#page-10-1)

$$
\left|\frac{1}{n^2}\sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}\cap[\alpha n,\beta n]}f(m)-\int_{\alpha}^{\beta}g(x)\,dx\right|\leq\frac{(\beta-\alpha+\frac{2d}{n})(1+dC_2)+d(5C_1+\frac{2}{n})}{n}.
$$

Since

$$
\sum_{\mathbb{Z}\cap[\alpha n,\beta n]}f(m)=\big|\Lambda[\![n]\!]\cap[\alpha n,\beta n]\big|
$$

and ρ_1 , ρ_2 , $\rho_3 \geq 1$, it follows that

$$
\left| \frac{|\Lambda[\![n]\!]}{n^2} - \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \frac{\ell(x,1)}{||\mathbf{r}||} dx \right|
$$

\n
$$
\leq \frac{(\beta - \alpha + \frac{2d}{n})(1 + dC_2) + d(5C_1 + \frac{2}{n})}{n}
$$

\n
$$
\leq \frac{(\beta - \alpha + \frac{2d}{n})(1 + \frac{d}{\rho_2} \max\{\frac{n_3}{\rho_1}, \frac{n_1}{\rho_3}\}) + d(\frac{5}{n_2 \rho_2} + \frac{2}{n})}{n}
$$

\n
$$
\leq \frac{(\beta - \alpha + \frac{2d}{n})(1 + d \max\{n_1, n_3\}) + d(\frac{5}{n_2} + \frac{2}{n})}{n}.
$$
\n(30)

To complete the proof of Theorem [1](#page-1-0) in this case, multiply by $2n_1n_2n_3$ and use [\(22\)](#page-11-1). If $\rho_1 = 0$ or $\rho_3 = 0$, the corresponding term in the maximum in [\(30\)](#page-15-9) is omitted by virtue of Remark [21](#page-11-2) and the restriction of $[\alpha, \beta]$ in Subsection [3.6.](#page-14-0) \Box

Remark 31. The bound implied by [\(30\)](#page-15-9) is better, but more complicated, than the bound in Theorem [1.](#page-1-0) The two bounds are compared in Table [2.](#page-4-0)

REFERENCES

- [1] S. S. Abhyankar, *Local rings of high embedding dimension*, Amer. J. Math. **89** (1967), no. 4, 1073–1077.
- [2] Jeff Amos, S. T. Chapman, Natalie Hine, and João Paixão, *Sets of lengths do not characterize numerical monoids*, Integers **7** (2007), A50, 8. MR 2373112
- [3] Nicholas R. Baeth and Daniel Smertnig, *Factorization theory: from commutative to noncommutative settings*, J. Algebra **441** (2015), 475–551. MR 3391936
- [4] Nicholas R. Baeth and Roger Wiegand, *Factorization theory and decompositions of modules*, Amer. Math. Monthly **120** (2013), no. 1, 3–34. MR 3007364
- [5] Paul Baginski and Scott T. Chapman, *Factorizations of algebraic integers, block monoids, and additive number theory*, Amer. Math. Monthly **118** (2011), no. 10, 901–920. MR 2869518
- [6] M. Banister, J. Chaika, S. T. Chapman, and W. Meyerson, *On the arithmetic of arithmetical congruence monoids*, Colloq. Math. **108** (2007), no. 1, 105–118. MR 2291620
- [7] Thomas Barron, Christopher O'Neill, and Roberto Pelayo, *On the set of elasticities in numerical monoids*, Semigroup Forum **94** (2017), no. 1, 37–50. MR 3602830
- [8] V. Barucci, D. E Dobbs, and M. Fontana, *Maximality properties in numerical semigroups and applications to one-dimensional analytically irreducible local domains*, vol. 598, American Mathematical Soc., 1997.

- [9] Patrick Billingsley, *Probability and measure*, Wiley Series in Probability and Statistics, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, NJ, 2012, Anniversary edition [of MR1324786], With a foreword by Steve Lalley and a brief biography of Billingsley by Steve Koppes. MR 2893652
- [10] Lance Bryant and James Hamblin, *The maximal denumerant of a numerical semigroup*, Semigroup Forum, vol. 86, Springer, 2013, pp. 571–582.
- [11] Paul-Jean Cahen and Jean-Luc Chabert, *Integer-valued polynomials*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 48, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997. MR 1421321
- [12] Scott T Chapman and Jim Coykendall, *Half-factorial domains, a survey*, Non-Noetherian commutative ring theory, Springer, 2000, pp. 97–115.
- [13] Scott T Chapman, Felix Gotti, and Roberto Pelayo, *On delta sets and their realizable subsets in krull monoids with cyclic class groups*, Colloquium Mathematicum, vol. 137, Instytut Matematyczny Polskiej Akademii Nauk, 2014, pp. 137–146.
- [14] Weidong Gao and Alfred Geroldinger, *Systems of sets of lengths ii*, Abhandlungen aus dem Mathematischen Seminar der Universität Hamburg, vol. 70, Springer, 2000, pp. 31–49.
- [15] Stephan Ramon Garcia, Mohamed Omar, Christopher O'Neill, and Samuel Wesley, *Factorization length distribution for affine semigroups III: modular equidistribution for numerical semigroups with arbitrarily many generators*, J. Austral. Math. Soc., in press. [https://doi.org/10.1017/](https://doi.org/10.1017/S1446788720000476) [S1446788720000476](https://doi.org/10.1017/S1446788720000476).
- [16] Stephan Ramon Garcia, Mohamed Omar, Christopher O'Neill, and Samuel Yih, *Factorization length distribution for affine semigroups II: asymptotic behavior for numerical semigroups with arbitrarily many generators*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **178** (2021), 105358, 34. MR 4175889
- [17] Stephan Ramon Garcia, Christopher O'Neill, and Samuel Yih, *Factorization length distribution for affine semigroups I: Numerical semigroups with three generators*, European J. Combin. **78** (2019), 190– 204. MR 3921068
- [18] Pedro A García Sánchez, Ignacio Ojeda, and José Carlos Rosales, *Affine semigroups having a unique betti element*, Journal of Algebra and its Applications **12** (2013), no. 03, 1250177.
- [19] Alfred Geroldinger, *A structure theorem for sets of lengths*, Colloq. Math. **78** (1998), no. 2, 225–259. MR 1659136
- [20] , *Sets of lengths*, Amer. Math. Monthly **123** (2016), no. 10, 960–988. MR 3593640
- [21] Alfred Geroldinger and Franz Halter-Koch, *On the asymptotic behaviour of lengths of factorizations*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **77** (1992), no. 3, 239–252. MR 1154703
- [22] , *Non-unique factorizations*, Pure and Applied Mathematics (Boca Raton), vol. 278, Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2006, Algebraic, combinatorial and analytic theory. MR 2194494
- [23] , *Non-unique factorizations: a survey*, Multiplicative ideal theory in commutative algebra, Springer, 2006, pp. 207–226.
- [24] Alfred Geroldinger and Wolfgang Alexander Schmid, *A realization theorem for sets of lengths in numerical monoids*, Forum Math. **30** (2018), no. 5, 1111–1118. MR 3849636
- [25] Franklin Kerstetter and Christopher O'Neill, *On parametrized families of numerical semigroups*, Comm. Algebra **48** (2020), no. 11, 4698–4717. MR 4142067
- [26] W. Narkiewicz, *Finite abelian groups and factorization problems*, Colloq. Math. **42** (1979), 319–330. MR 567570
- [27] Christopher O'Neill, *On factorization invariants and Hilbert functions*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **221** (2017), no. 12, 3069–3088. MR 3666737
- [28] Christopher O'Neill and Roberto Pelayo, *Factorization invariants in numerical monoids*, Algebraic and geometric methods in discrete mathematics, Contemp. Math., vol. 685, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2017, pp. 231–249. MR 3625578
- [29] J. C. Rosales and P. A. García-Sánchez, *Numerical semigroups*, Developments in Mathematics, vol. 20, Springer, New York, 2009. MR 2549780
- [30] Wolfgang A Schmid, *Characterization of class groups of krull monoids via their systems of sets of lengths: a status report*, Number Theory and Applications, Springer, 2009, pp. 189–212.

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Pomona College, 610 N. College Ave., Claremont, CA 91711

Email address: stephan.garcia@pomona.edu *URL*: <http://pages.pomona.edu/~sg064747>

Mathematics Department, San Diego State University, 5500 Campanile Dr., San Diego, CA 92182

Email address: cdoneill@sdsu.edu *URL*: <https://cdoneill.sdsu.edu/>

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CORNELL UNIVERSITY, 301 TOWER RD, ITHACA, NY 14853 *Email address*: gru5@cornell.edu