

One-sided Frobenius pairs in extriangulated categories ^{*†}

Lingling Tan^a, Yuqiong Gao^a, Qinghua Chen^{b‡}

a. School of Mathematical Sciences, Qufu Normal University, Qufu 273165, China,

b. School of Mathematics and Statistics, Fu Zhou University, Fu Zhou, Fujian 350108, China

Abstract

Let \mathcal{C} be an extriangulated category with a proper class ξ of \mathbb{E} -triangles. We introduce the notions of left Frobenius pairs, left (n -)cotorsion pairs and left (weak) Auslander-Buchweitz contexts with respect to ξ in \mathcal{C} . We show how to construct left cotorsion pairs from left n -cotorsion pairs, and establish a one-to-one correspondence between left Frobenius pairs and left (weak) Auslander-Buchweitz contexts. We also study the relation between a certain class of cotorsion pairs and that of n -cotorsion pairs. These work generalize Ma-Zhao-Huang's results in triangulated categories and partially generalize Becerril-Mendoza-Pérez-Santiago's results in abelian categories.

1 Introduction

Auslander-Buchweitz approximation theory was firstly developed by Auslander and Buchweitz [3]. Later on, Hashimoto [10] investigated the Auslander-Buchweitz context for abelian categories. Cotorsion pairs were introduced by Salce [22] in the category of abelian groups, and developed in the study of the algebraic and geometric structures of abelian categories (see [7–9]). This notion provides a good setting for investigating relative homological dimensions (see [2]). As a higher version of cotorsion pairs, Huerta et al. [15] introduced the notion of n -cotorsion pairs in abelian categories. They described several properties of n -cotorsion pairs and established a relation with (complete) cotorsion pairs. As a remarkable study, another higher version of cotorsion pair in abelian categories was previously introduced by Crivei and Torrecillas [6]. On the other hand, Becerril et al. [4] introduced Frobenius pairs in abelian categories. They presented one-to-one correspondences between left Frobenius pairs, Auslander-Buchweitz contexts and cotorsion pairs in abelian categories. Recently, Ma et al. [18] generalized partially this correspondence to triangulated categories with a proper class of triangles.

In [21], Nakaoka and Palu introduced the notion of extriangulated categories as a simultaneous generalization of exact categories and triangulated categories. Up to now, many results on exact categories and triangulated categories have gotten realization in the setting of extriangulated categories, e.g. [12, 16, 20, 21, 24, 25], etc. In particular, Ma et al. [19] investigated Auslander-Buchweitz approximation theory in extriangulated categories. In this paper, we will pay close attention to the notion of a proper class ξ of \mathbb{E} -triangles in an extriangulated category $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$, which is introduced by Hu et al. [12] for developing the Gorenstein homological algebra over extriangulated categories. Throughout this paper, we always assume that $\mathcal{C} = (\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ is an extriangulated category and ξ is a proper class of \mathbb{E} -triangles. We also assume that \mathcal{C} has

*2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 18G20, 18G25

†Keywords: Extriangulated categories, a proper class of \mathbb{E} -triangles, left Frobenius pairs, left n -cotorsion pairs, left (weak) Auslander-Buchweitz contexts

‡E-mail address: tanll@qfnu.edu.cn, gaoyqmath@163.com, chenqh@fzu.edu.cn

enough ξ -projective and ξ -injective objects. We are devoted to introducing the notions of left Frobenius pairs, left (n -)cotorsion pairs and left (weak) Auslander-Buchweitz contexts with respect to a proper class ξ of \mathbb{E} -triangles in an extriangulated category \mathcal{C} , and developing relative homological theory along with the Auslander-Buchweitz approximation theory. In particular, we will discuss the internal relations among these notions. Moreover, some applications are given in the context of Gorenstein homological algebra in extriangulated categories. When \mathcal{C} is a triangulated category with a proper class of triangles, it recovers the results of Ma et al. [18], and when \mathcal{C} is an abelian category, it recovers some of results of Becerril et al. [4] and Huerta et al. [15]. Recently, Adachi and Tsukamoto [1] investigated the relation between silting subcategories and left Frobenius pairs over extriangulated categories. This paper is organized as follows.

In Section 2, we give some terminology and some preliminary results.

In Section 3, we recall the notion of left (resp. right) n -cotorsion pairs with respect to ξ in \mathcal{C} , and then by virtue of an equivalent characterization of n -cotorsion pairs in [11], we establish a relation between n -cotorsion pairs and cotorsion pairs (Proposition 3.7).

In Section 4, we introduce the notions of left Frobenius pairs and left (weak) Auslander-Buchweitz contexts with respect to ξ in \mathcal{C} . For a subcategory \mathcal{X} of \mathcal{C} , \mathcal{X}^\wedge denotes the subcategory of \mathcal{C} consisting of objects with finite \mathcal{X} -resolution dimension. Let (\mathcal{X}, ω) be a left Frobenius pair in \mathcal{C} . We show that \mathcal{X}^\wedge is closed under ξ -extensions, cocones of ξ -deflations, cones of ξ -inflatons and direct summands (Theorem 4.10). Then we show how to obtain (left) cotorsion pairs from left Frobenius pairs (Theorem 4.11). Finally, we introduce the notion of left (weak) Auslander-Buchweitz contexts.

Let \mathfrak{A} (resp. \mathfrak{A}') be the class of all left Frobenius pairs (\mathcal{X}, ω) with respect to ξ (resp. with $\mathcal{X}^\wedge = \mathcal{C}$) in \mathcal{C} , and \mathfrak{B} (resp. \mathfrak{B}') be the class of all left weak (resp. left) Auslander-Buchweitz context $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ with respect to ξ in \mathcal{C} . Then we establish a one-to-one correspondence (Theorems 4.19 and 4.20) between \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{B} (resp. \mathfrak{A}' and \mathfrak{B}') given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi : \mathfrak{A} \text{ (resp. } \mathfrak{A}') &\longrightarrow \mathfrak{B} \text{ (resp. } \mathfrak{B}') \text{ via } (\mathcal{X}, \omega) \mapsto (\mathcal{X}, \omega^\wedge) \\ \Psi : \mathfrak{B} \text{ (resp. } \mathfrak{B}') &\longrightarrow \mathfrak{A} \text{ (resp. } \mathfrak{A}') \text{ via } (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \mapsto (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}). \end{aligned}$$

Let \mathfrak{C}' be the class of all cotorsion pairs $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ with respect to ξ in \mathcal{C} with \mathcal{U} resolving and $\mathcal{U}^\wedge = \mathcal{C}$, and \mathfrak{D}' be the class of all n -cotorsion pairs $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ with respect to ξ in \mathcal{C} with \mathcal{U} resolving and $\mathcal{U}^\wedge = \mathcal{C}$. We also show that $\mathfrak{B}' = \mathfrak{C}' = \mathfrak{D}'$ (Theorem 4.20).

2 Preliminaries

We first recall some notions and some needed properties of extriangulated categories from [21].

Let \mathcal{C} be an additive category and $\mathbb{E} : \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Ab}$ a biadditive functor, where \mathfrak{Ab} is the category of abelian groups. Let $A, C \in \mathcal{C}$. An element $\delta \in \mathbb{E}(C, A)$ is called an \mathbb{E} -extension. Two sequences of morphisms

$$A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C \quad \text{and} \quad A \xrightarrow{x'} B' \xrightarrow{y'} C$$

are said to be *equivalent* if there exists an isomorphism $b \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(B, B')$ such that $x' = bx$ and $y = y'b$. We denote by $[A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C]$ the equivalence class of $A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C$. In particular,

we write $0 := [A \xrightarrow{\begin{smallmatrix} \text{Id}_A \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}} A \oplus C \xrightarrow{\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & \text{Id}_C \end{smallmatrix}} C]$.

For an \mathbb{E} -extension $\delta \in \mathbb{E}(C, A)$, we briefly write

$$a_*\delta := \mathbb{E}(C, a)(\delta) \text{ and } c^*\delta := \mathbb{E}(c, A)(\delta).$$

For two \mathbb{E} -extensions $\delta \in \mathbb{E}(C, A)$ and $\delta' \in \mathbb{E}(C', A')$, a *morphism* from δ to δ' is a pair (a, c) of morphisms with $a \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, A')$ and $c \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(C, C')$ such that $a_*\delta = c^*\delta'$.

Definition 2.1. ([21, Definition 2.9]) Let \mathfrak{s} be a correspondence which associates an equivalence class $\mathfrak{s}(\delta) = [A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C]$ to each \mathbb{E} -extension $\delta \in \mathbb{E}(C, A)$. Such \mathfrak{s} is called a *realization* of \mathbb{E} provided that it satisfies the following condition.

(R) Let $\delta \in \mathbb{E}(C, A)$ and $\delta' \in \mathbb{E}(C', A')$ be any pair of \mathbb{E} -extensions with

$$\mathfrak{s}(\delta) = [A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C] \text{ and } \mathfrak{s}(\delta') = [A' \xrightarrow{x'} B' \xrightarrow{y'} C'].$$

Then for any morphism $(a, c) : \delta \rightarrow \delta'$, there exists $b \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(B, B')$ such that the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A & \xrightarrow{x} & B & \xrightarrow{y} & C \\ \downarrow a & & \downarrow b & & \downarrow c \\ A' & \xrightarrow{x'} & B' & \xrightarrow{y'} & C' \end{array}$$

commutes.

Let \mathfrak{s} be a realization of \mathbb{E} . If $\mathfrak{s}(\delta) = [A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C]$ for some \mathbb{E} -extension $\delta \in \mathbb{E}(C, A)$, then we say that the sequence $A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C$ *realizes* δ ; and in the condition (R), we say that the triple (a, b, c) *realizes* the morphism (a, c) .

For any two equivalence classes $[A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C]$ and $[A' \xrightarrow{x'} B' \xrightarrow{y'} C']$, we define

$$[A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C] \oplus [A' \xrightarrow{x'} B' \xrightarrow{y'} C'] := [A \oplus A' \xrightarrow{x \oplus x'} B \oplus B' \xrightarrow{y \oplus y'} C \oplus C'].$$

Definition 2.2. ([21, Definition 2.10]) A realization \mathfrak{s} of \mathbb{E} is called *additive* if it satisfies the following conditions.

- (1) For any $A, C \in \mathcal{C}$, the split \mathbb{E} -extension $0 \in \mathbb{E}(C, A)$ satisfies $\mathfrak{s}(0) = 0$.
- (2) For any pair of \mathbb{E} -extensions $\delta \in \mathbb{E}(C, A)$ and $\delta' \in \mathbb{E}(C', A')$, we have $\mathfrak{s}(\delta \oplus \delta') = \mathfrak{s}(\delta) \oplus \mathfrak{s}(\delta')$.

Definition 2.3. ([21, Definitions 2.15 and 2.19])

Let \mathcal{C} be an additive category, \mathfrak{s} be an additive realization.

- A sequence $A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C$ is called a *conflation* if it realizes some \mathbb{E} -extension $\delta \in \mathbb{E}(C, A)$. In this case, x is called an *inflation* and y is called a *deflation*.
- If a conflation $A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C$ realizes $\delta \in \mathbb{E}(C, A)$, we call the pair $(A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C, \delta)$ an *\mathbb{E} -triangle*, and write it in the following way.

$$A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C \xrightarrow{\delta} \triangleright$$

We usually do not write this “ δ ” if it is not used in the argument.

- Let $A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C \xrightarrow{\delta} \triangleright$ and $A' \xrightarrow{x'} B' \xrightarrow{y'} C' \xrightarrow{\delta'} \triangleright$ be any pair of \mathbb{E} -triangles. If a triplet (a, b, c) realizes $(a, c) : \delta \rightarrow \delta'$, then we write it as

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A & \xrightarrow{x} & B & \xrightarrow{y} & C \xrightarrow{\delta} \triangleright \\ a \downarrow & & b \downarrow & & c \downarrow \\ A' & \xrightarrow{x'} & B' & \xrightarrow{y'} & C' \xrightarrow{\delta'} \triangleright \end{array}$$

and call (a, b, c) a *morphism* of \mathbb{E} -triangles.

If a, b, c above are isomorphisms, then $A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C \xrightarrow{\delta} \triangleright$ and $A' \xrightarrow{x'} B' \xrightarrow{y'} C' \xrightarrow{\delta'} \triangleright$ are said to be *isomorphic*.

Definition 2.4. ([21, Definition 2.12]) The triple $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ is called an *externally triangulated* (or *extriangulated* for short) category if it satisfies the following conditions.

(ET1) $\mathbb{E} : \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Ab}$ is a biadditive functor.

(ET2) \mathfrak{s} is an additive realization of \mathbb{E} .

(ET3) Let $A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C \xrightarrow{\delta} \triangleright$ and $A' \xrightarrow{x'} B' \xrightarrow{y'} C' \xrightarrow{\delta'} \triangleright$ be any pair of \mathbb{E} -triangles. For any diagram with $bx = x'a$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A & \xrightarrow{x} & B & \xrightarrow{y} & C \xrightarrow{\delta} \triangleright \\ \downarrow a & & \downarrow b & & \downarrow c \\ A' & \xrightarrow{x'} & B' & \xrightarrow{y'} & C' \xrightarrow{\delta'} \triangleright \end{array}$$

in \mathcal{C} , there exists a morphism $(a, c) : \delta \rightarrow \delta'$ which is realized by the triple (a, b, c) , that is, (a, b, c) is a morphism of \mathbb{E} -triangles.

(ET3)^{op} Dual of (ET3).

(ET4) Let $A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C \xrightarrow{\delta} \triangleright$ and $B \xrightarrow{u} D \xrightarrow{v} F \xrightarrow{\rho} \triangleright$ be any pair of \mathbb{E} -triangles. Then there exist an object $E \in \mathcal{C}$, an \mathbb{E} -triangle $A \xrightarrow{z} D \xrightarrow{w} E \xrightarrow{\xi} \triangleright$, and a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A & \xrightarrow{x} & B & \xrightarrow{y} & C \xrightarrow{\delta} \triangleright \\ \parallel & & \downarrow u & & \downarrow s \\ A & \xrightarrow{z} & D & \xrightarrow{w} & E \xrightarrow{\xi} \triangleright \\ & & \downarrow v & & \downarrow t \\ & & F & \xlongequal{\quad} & F \\ & & \downarrow \rho & & \downarrow \end{array}$$

in \mathcal{C} , which satisfy the following compatibilities.

(i) $C \xrightarrow{s} E \xrightarrow{t} F \xrightarrow{y_* \rho} \triangleright$ is an \mathbb{E} -triangle.

(ii) $s^* \xi = \delta$.

(iii) $x_* \xi = t^* \rho$.

(ET4)^{op} Dual of (ET4).

Remark 2.5. Note that both exact categories and triangulated categories are extriangulated categories (see [21, Proposition 3.22]) and extension closed subcategories of extriangulated categories are again extriangulated (see [21, Remark 2.18]). Moreover, there exist extriangulated categories which are neither exact categories nor triangulated categories (see [21, Proposition 3.30] and [12, Remark 3.3]).

The following condition is analogous to the weak idempotent completeness in exact categories (see [21, Condition 5.8]).

Condition (WIC) Consider the following conditions.

- (a) Let $f \in \mathcal{C}(A, B), g \in \mathcal{C}(B, C)$ be any composable pair of morphisms. If gf is an inflation, then so is f .
- (b) Let $f \in \mathcal{C}(A, B), g \in \mathcal{C}(B, C)$ be any composable pair of morphisms. If gf is a deflation, then so is g .

Example 2.6. (1) If \mathcal{C} is an exact category, then Condition (WIC) is equivalent to that \mathcal{C} is weakly idempotent complete (see [5, Proposition 7.6]).

In detail, \mathcal{C} is weakly idempotent complete if and only if given two morphisms $g : B \rightarrow C$ and $f : A \rightarrow B$, if $gf : A \rightarrow C$ is a deflation, then g is a deflation.

(2) If \mathcal{C} is a triangulated category, then Condition (WIC) is automatically satisfied.

Lemma 2.7. ([21, Proposition 3.15]) *Assume that $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ is an extriangulated category.*

(1) *Let C be an object in \mathcal{C} , and let $A_1 \xrightarrow{x_1} B_1 \xrightarrow{y_1} C \xrightarrow{\delta_1}$ and $A_2 \xrightarrow{x_2} B_2 \xrightarrow{y_2} C \xrightarrow{\delta_2}$ be any pair of \mathbb{E} -triangles. Then there is a commutative diagram in \mathcal{C}*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & A_2 & \xlongequal{\quad} & A_2 \\
 & & \downarrow m_2 & & \downarrow x_2 \\
 A_1 & \xrightarrow{\quad m_1 \quad} & M & \xrightarrow{\quad e_1 \quad} & B_2 \xrightarrow{\quad} \\
 \parallel & & \downarrow e_2 & & \downarrow y_2 \\
 A_1 & \xrightarrow{\quad x_1 \quad} & B_1 & \xrightarrow{\quad y_1 \quad} & C \xrightarrow{\quad \delta_1 \quad} \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \delta_2 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow
 \end{array}$$

which satisfies $\mathfrak{s}(y_2^* \delta_1) = [A_1 \xrightarrow{m_1} M \xrightarrow{e_1} B_2]$ and $\mathfrak{s}(y_1^* \delta_2) = [A_2 \xrightarrow{m_2} M \xrightarrow{e_2} B_1]$.

(2) *Dual to (1).*

The following definitions are quoted verbatim from [12, Section 3].

Definition 2.8. A class of \mathbb{E} -triangles ξ is *closed under base change* if for any \mathbb{E} -triangle

$$A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C \xrightarrow{\delta} \in \xi$$

and any morphism $c : C' \rightarrow C$, then any \mathbb{E} -triangle $A \xrightarrow{x'} B' \xrightarrow{y'} C' \xrightarrow{c^* \delta}$ belongs to ξ .

Dually, a class of \mathbb{E} -triangles ξ is *closed under cobase change* if for any \mathbb{E} -triangle

$$A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C \xrightarrow{\delta} \in \xi$$

and any morphism $a : A \rightarrow A'$, then any \mathbb{E} -triangle $A' \xrightarrow{x'} B' \xrightarrow{y'} C \xrightarrow{a_* \delta}$ belongs to ξ .

A class of \mathbb{E} -triangles ξ is called *saturated* if in the situation of Lemma 2.7(1), whenever $A_2 \xrightarrow{x_2} B_2 \xrightarrow{y_2} C \xrightarrow{\delta_2}$ and $A_1 \xrightarrow{m_1} M \xrightarrow{e_1} B_2 \xrightarrow{y_2^* \delta_1}$ belong to ξ , then the \mathbb{E} -triangle

$$A_1 \xrightarrow{x_1} B_1 \xrightarrow{y_1} C \xrightarrow{\delta_1}$$

belongs to ξ .

An \mathbb{E} -triangle $A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C \xrightarrow{\delta}$ is called *split* if $\delta = 0$. It is easy to see that it is split if and only if x is section or y is retraction, that is, there exists $r \in \mathcal{C}(B, A), s \in \mathcal{C}(C, B)$, which satisfies $\begin{bmatrix} r \\ y \end{bmatrix} : B \xrightarrow{\cong} A \oplus C$.

The full subcategory consisting of the split \mathbb{E} -triangles will be denoted by Δ_0 .

Definition 2.9. (cf. [12, Definition 3.1]) Let ξ be a class of \mathbb{E} -triangles which is closed under isomorphisms. If the following conditions hold:

(a) ξ is closed under finite coproducts and $\Delta_0 \subseteq \xi$,

- (b) ξ is closed under base change and cobase change,
- (c) ξ is saturated,

then we call ξ a *proper class* of \mathbb{E} -triangles.

Definition 2.10. ([12, Definition 4.1]) An object $P \in \mathcal{C}$ is called ξ -*projective* if for any \mathbb{E} -triangle $A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C \xrightarrow{-\delta} \triangleright$ in ξ , the induced sequence of abelian groups

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(P, A) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(P, B) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(P, C) \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact. Dually, we have the definition of ξ -*injective* objects.

We denote by $\mathcal{P}(\xi)$ (resp. $\mathcal{I}(\xi)$) the full subcategory of \mathcal{C} consisting of ξ -projective (resp., ξ -injective) objects. It follows from the definition that $\mathcal{P}(\xi)$ and $\mathcal{I}(\xi)$ are full, additive, closed under isomorphisms and direct summands.

An extriangulated category $(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ is said to have *enough ξ -projectives* (resp., *enough ξ -injectives*) provided that for each object A there exists an \mathbb{E} -triangle $K \longrightarrow P \longrightarrow A \xrightarrow{-\triangleright}$ (resp., $A \longrightarrow I \longrightarrow K \xrightarrow{-\triangleright}$) in ξ with $P \in \mathcal{P}(\xi)$ (resp., $I \in \mathcal{I}(\xi)$).

The ξ -*projective dimension* $\xi\text{-pd}A$ of $A \in \mathcal{C}$ is defined inductively. If $A \in \mathcal{P}(\xi)$, then define $\xi\text{-pd}A = 0$. For a positive integer n , one writes $\xi\text{-pd}A = n$ provided:

- (a) there is an \mathbb{E} -triangle $K \longrightarrow P \longrightarrow A \xrightarrow{-\triangleright}$ with $P \in \mathcal{P}(\xi)$ and $\xi\text{-pd}K = n - 1$,
- (b) there does not exist an \mathbb{E} -triangle $L \longrightarrow P' \longrightarrow A \xrightarrow{-\triangleright}$ with $P' \in \mathcal{P}(\xi)$ and $\xi\text{-pd}L < n - 1$.

We set $\xi\text{-pd}A = \infty$, if $\xi\text{-pd}A \neq n$ for all $n \geq 0$.

Dually, we can define the ξ -*injective dimension* $\xi\text{-id}A$ of an object $A \in \mathcal{C}$.

Definition 2.11. ([12, Definition 4.4]) A ξ -*exact complex* \mathbf{X} is a diagram

$$\cdots \longrightarrow X_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} X_0 \xrightarrow{d_0} X_{-1} \longrightarrow \cdots$$

in \mathcal{C} such that for each integer n , we have $d_n = g_{n-1}f_n$ for some \mathbb{E} -triangle

$$K_{n+1} \xrightarrow{g_n} X_n \xrightarrow{f_n} K_n \xrightarrow{-\triangleright}$$

in ξ .

In particular, by saying that

$$X_n \xrightarrow{d_n} X_{n-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow X_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} X_0$$

is ξ -exact, it means that there are \mathbb{E} -triangles

$$X_n \xrightarrow{d_n} X_{n-1} \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} K_{n-1} \xrightarrow{-\triangleright} \quad \text{and} \quad K_2 \xrightarrow{g_1} X_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} X_0 \xrightarrow{-\triangleright}$$

in ξ , and for each integer $1 < i < n - 1$, we have $d_i = g_{i-1}f_i$ for some \mathbb{E} -triangle

$$K_{i+1} \xrightarrow{g_i} X_i \xrightarrow{f_i} K_i \xrightarrow{-\triangleright}$$

in ξ .

Definition 2.12. ([13, Definition 3.1]) Let M be an object in \mathcal{C} . By a ξ -*projective resolution* of M we mean a symbol of the form $\mathbf{P} \rightarrow M$ where \mathbf{P} is a ξ -exact complex, $P_n \in \mathcal{P}(\xi)$ for all $n \geq 0$, and $P_{-1} = M$ and $P_n = 0$ for all $n < -1$.

The notion of ξ -*injective coresolution* of M is given dually.

Definition 2.13. ([13, Definition 3.2]) Let M and N be objects in \mathcal{C} .

- (1) If we choose a ξ -projective resolution $\mathbf{P} \rightarrow M$ of M , by applying the functor $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, N)$ to \mathbf{P} we have a complex of abelian groups $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{P}, N)$. For any integer $n \geq 0$, the ξ -cohomology groups $\xi\text{xt}_{\mathcal{P}(\xi)}^n(M, N)$ are defined as

$$\xi\text{xt}_{\mathcal{P}(\xi)}^n(M, N) = H^n(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{P}, N)).$$

- (2) If we choose a ξ -injective coresolution $N \rightarrow \mathbf{I}$ of N , by applying the functor $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, -)$ to \mathbf{I} we have a complex of abelian groups $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, \mathbf{I})$. For any integer $n \geq 0$, the ξ -cohomology groups $\xi\text{xt}_{\mathcal{I}(\xi)}^n(M, N)$ are defined as

$$\xi\text{xt}_{\mathcal{I}(\xi)}^n(M, N) = H^n(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, \mathbf{I})).$$

Remark 2.14. (1) In fact, there is an isomorphism $\xi\text{xt}_{\mathcal{P}(\xi)}^n(M, N) \cong \xi\text{xt}_{\mathcal{I}(\xi)}^n(M, N)$, which is denoted by $\xi\text{xt}_{\xi}^n(M, N)$ (see [13, Definition 3.2]).

(2) Assume that \mathcal{C} has enough ξ -projective objects. Using a standard argument in homological algebra, there is a bijection

$$\xi\text{xt}_{\xi}^1(M, N) \rightarrow \{[N \xrightarrow{x} Z \xrightarrow{y} M] \mid N \xrightarrow{x} Z \xrightarrow{y} M \xrightarrow{\delta} \in \xi\}.$$

Remark 2.15. ([13, Lemma 3.4]) Let $X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z \dashrightarrow$ be an \mathbb{E} -triangle in ξ .

(1) If \mathcal{C} has enough ξ -projective objects and M is an object in \mathcal{C} , then there exists a long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \xi\text{xt}_{\xi}^0(Z, M) \rightarrow \xi\text{xt}_{\xi}^0(Y, M) \rightarrow \xi\text{xt}_{\xi}^0(X, M) \rightarrow \\ \xi\text{xt}_{\xi}^1(Z, M) \rightarrow \xi\text{xt}_{\xi}^1(Y, M) \rightarrow \xi\text{xt}_{\xi}^1(X, M) \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

of abelian groups. Moreover, since ξ is closed under cobase change, one has a long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Z, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, M) \rightarrow \\ \xi\text{xt}_{\xi}^1(Z, M) \rightarrow \xi\text{xt}_{\xi}^1(Y, M) \rightarrow \xi\text{xt}_{\xi}^1(X, M) \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

of abelian groups by [21, Corollary 3.12].

(2) Dual to (1).

Following Remarks 2.14 and 2.15, we have the following results.

Remark 2.16. Let

$$K \rightarrow C_{n-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow C_1 \rightarrow C_0 \rightarrow A$$

be a ξ -exact complex in the extriangulated category \mathcal{C} such that $C_i \in {}^{\perp}Y$, $\forall 0 \leq i \leq n-1$. Then $\xi\text{xt}_{\xi}^k(K, Y) \cong \xi\text{xt}_{\xi}^{k+n}(A, Y)$.

Now, we set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{X}^{\perp} &= \{M \in \mathcal{C} \mid \xi\text{xt}_{\xi}^{n \geq 1}(X, M) = 0 \text{ for all } X \in \mathcal{X}\}, \\ {}^{\perp}\mathcal{X} &= \{M \in \mathcal{C} \mid \xi\text{xt}_{\xi}^{n \geq 1}(M, X) = 0 \text{ for all } X \in \mathcal{X}\}, \\ \mathcal{X}^{\perp k} &= \{M \in \mathcal{C} \mid \xi\text{xt}_{\xi}^k(X, M) = 0 \text{ for all } X \in \mathcal{X}\}, \\ {}^{\perp k}\mathcal{X} &= \{M \in \mathcal{C} \mid \xi\text{xt}_{\xi}^k(M, X) = 0 \text{ for all } X \in \mathcal{X}\}. \end{aligned}$$

For two subcategories \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{X} of \mathcal{C} , we sometimes write $\mathcal{H} \perp \mathcal{X}$ if $\mathcal{H} \subseteq {}^{\perp}\mathcal{X}$ (equivalently, $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathcal{H}^{\perp}$).

Definition 2.17. ([12, Definition 3.4]) Let $X \xrightarrow{u} Y \xrightarrow{v} Z \twoheadrightarrow$ be an \mathbb{E} -triangle in ξ . Then the morphism u (resp. v) is called a ξ -inflation (resp. a ξ -deflation).

Given any \mathbb{E} -triangle

$$X \longrightarrow Y \longrightarrow Z \twoheadrightarrow$$

in ξ . We say that \mathcal{X} is *closed under ξ -extensions* if, given any such \mathbb{E} -triangle in ξ as above, the condition $X, Z \in \mathcal{X}$ implies $Y \in \mathcal{X}$. We say that \mathcal{X} is *closed under cocones of ξ -deflations* (resp. *cones of ξ -inflations*) if, given any such \mathbb{E} -triangle in ξ as above, the condition $Y, Z \in \mathcal{X}$ (resp. $X, Y \in \mathcal{X}$) implies $X \in \mathcal{X}$ (resp. $Z \in \mathcal{X}$).

Now we generalize the concept of resolving subcategories from abelian and triangulated categories to extriangulated categories.

Definition 2.18. Let \mathcal{C} be an extriangulated category with enough ξ -projective objects and \mathcal{X} a subcategory of \mathcal{C} . Then \mathcal{X} is called a *resolving* subcategory of \mathcal{C} if the following conditions are satisfied.

- (1) $\mathcal{P}(\xi) \subseteq \mathcal{X}$.
- (2) \mathcal{X} is closed under ξ -extensions.
- (3) \mathcal{X} is closed under cocones of ξ -deflations.

The notion of *coresolving* subcategories is defined dually.

Remark 2.19. (a) We do not require that a resolving subcategory is closed under direct summands in the above definition.

(b) $\mathcal{P}(\xi)$ is a resolving subcategory.

(c) In [12], Hu et al. introduced the notion of ξ - \mathcal{G} projective (resp., ξ - \mathcal{G} injective) objects, see [12, Definition 4.8] for the definition. We denote by $\mathcal{GP}(\xi)$ (resp. $\mathcal{GI}(\xi)$) the class of ξ - \mathcal{G} projective (resp., ξ - \mathcal{G} injective) objects. Then $\mathcal{GP}(\xi)$ is a resolving subcategory by [12, Theorems 4.16 and 4.17].

Definition 2.20. ([13, Definition 4.1]) A subcategory \mathcal{X} of \mathcal{C} is called a *generating subcategory* of \mathcal{C} if for all $X \in \mathcal{X}$, the condition $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, C) = 0$ implies $C = 0$. Dually, a subcategory \mathcal{Y} is called a *cogenerating subcategory* of \mathcal{C} if for all $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$, the condition $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(C, Y) = 0$ implies $C = 0$.

Definition 2.21. (cf. [17, Definition 3.8]) Let \mathcal{X} be a subcategory of \mathcal{C} and M an object in \mathcal{C} . A ξ -deflation $X \longrightarrow M$ is said to be a *right \mathcal{X} -approximation* of M if $X \in \mathcal{X}$ and the induced complex

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{X}, X) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{X}, M) \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact for any $\tilde{X} \in \mathcal{X}$. In this case, there is an \mathbb{E} -triangle $K \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow M \twoheadrightarrow$ in ξ . Dually, a *left \mathcal{X} -approximation* of M is defined.

Now we generalize the concepts of contravariantly finite, covariantly finite and functorially finite subcategories from abelian categories to extriangulated categories.

We say that the subcategory \mathcal{X} is *contravariantly finite* if any object $M \in \mathcal{C}$ admits a right \mathcal{X} -approximation. Dually, we say that \mathcal{X} is *covariantly finite* if any object $M \in \mathcal{C}$ admits a left \mathcal{X} -approximation. The subcategory \mathcal{X} is called *functorially finite* if it is both contravariantly finite and covariantly finite.

Definition 2.22. (cf. [17, Definition 2.11]) Let (\mathcal{X}, ω) be a pair of subcategories in \mathcal{C} with $\omega \subseteq \mathcal{X}$.

(1) ω is called a ξ -cogenerator of \mathcal{X} if for any $X \in \mathcal{X}$, there exists an \mathbb{E} -triangle

$$X \longrightarrow W \longrightarrow X' \dashrightarrow$$

in ξ with $W \in \omega$ and $X' \in \mathcal{X}$.

(2) ω is called \mathcal{X} -injective if $\omega \subseteq \mathcal{X}^\perp$.

Definition 2.23. Let \mathcal{X} be a subcategory of \mathcal{C} and $M \in \mathcal{C}$. The \mathcal{X} -resolution dimension of M (with respect to ξ), written $\mathcal{X}\text{-res.dim } M$, is defined by

$$\mathcal{X}\text{-res.dim } M = \inf\{n \geq 0 \mid \text{there exists a } \xi\text{-exact complex } X_n \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow X_1 \longrightarrow X_0 \longrightarrow M \text{ in } \mathcal{C} \text{ with all } X_i \text{ objects in } \mathcal{X}\}.$$

The \mathcal{X} -resolution dimension of \mathcal{C} is defined by

$$\mathcal{X}\text{-res.dim } \mathcal{C} := \sup\{\mathcal{X}\text{-res.dim } M \mid M \in \mathcal{C}\}.$$

Dually, the \mathcal{X} -coresolution dimensions $\mathcal{X}\text{-cores.dim } M$ and $\mathcal{X}\text{-cores.dim } \mathcal{C}$ are defined.

For a ξ -exact complex

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{f_{n+1}} X_n \longrightarrow \cdots \xrightarrow{f_2} X_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} X_0 \xrightarrow{f_0} M$$

with all $X_i \in \mathcal{X}$, there are \mathbb{E} -triangles $K_1 \xrightarrow{g_0} X_0 \xrightarrow{f_0} M \dashrightarrow$ and $K_{i+1} \xrightarrow{g_i} X_i \xrightarrow{h_i} K_i \dashrightarrow$ with $f_i = g_{i-1}h_i$ for each $i > 0$. The object K_i is called an i th \mathcal{X} -syzygy of M , denoted by $\Omega_{\mathcal{X}}^i(M)$. In case $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{P}(\xi)$, we have $\xi\text{-pd } M = \mathcal{X}\text{-res.dim } M$ and write $\Omega^i(M) := \Omega_{\mathcal{P}(\xi)}^i(M)$. In case $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{GP}(\xi)$, $\mathcal{X}\text{-res.dim } M$ coincides with $\xi\text{-}\mathcal{G}\text{pd } M$ defined by Hu, Zhang and Zhou [12] as $\xi\text{-}\mathcal{G}$ projective dimension, the proof is straightforward. Dually, the $\xi\text{-id } M$ and $\xi\text{-}\mathcal{G}\text{id } M$ are defined.

In [13], Hu et al. introduced the following condition:

Condition(*) If $X \in \mathcal{C}$ and Y is an object in \mathcal{C} with finite $\xi\text{-}\mathcal{G}$ projective dimension such that $\xi\text{xt}_{\xi}^i(Y, X) = 0$ for any $i > 0$, then $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y, X) \cong \xi\text{xt}_{\xi}^0(Y, X)$. Dually, if X, Y are objects in \mathcal{C} with finite $\xi\text{-}\mathcal{G}$ injective dimension such that $\xi\text{xt}_{\xi}^i(X, Y) = 0$ for any $i > 0$, then $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y) \cong \xi\text{xt}_{\xi}^0(X, Y)$.

Remark 2.24. ([13, Proposition 4.6 and Corollary 4.8]) Let $M \in \mathcal{C}$ with finite $\xi\text{-}\mathcal{G}$ projective dimension and finite $\xi\text{-}\mathcal{G}$ injective dimension respectively. Then

- (1) $\xi\text{-}\mathcal{G}\text{pd } M = \xi\text{-pd } M$.
- (2) $\xi\text{-}\mathcal{G}\text{id } M = \xi\text{-id } M$.

In this case, if $\mathcal{P}(\xi)$ be a generating subcategory of \mathcal{C} and $\mathcal{I}(\xi)$ is a cogenerating subcategory of \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{C} satisfies condition(*). Then we have:

- (3) $\sup\{\xi\text{-}\mathcal{G}\text{pd } X \mid \text{for any } X \in \mathcal{C}\} = \sup\{\xi\text{-}\mathcal{G}\text{id } X \mid \text{for any } X \in \mathcal{C}\}$.

We use \mathcal{X}^\wedge (resp. \mathcal{X}^\vee) to denote the subcategory of \mathcal{C} consisting of objects having finite \mathcal{X} -resolution (resp. \mathcal{X} -coresolution) dimension, and use \mathcal{X}_n^\wedge (resp. \mathcal{X}_n^\vee) to denote the subcategory of \mathcal{C} consisting of objects having \mathcal{X} -resolution dimension (resp. \mathcal{X} -coresolution) at most n .

In the following sections, we always assume that $\mathcal{C} = (\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ is an extriangulated category and ξ is a proper class of \mathbb{E} -triangles in \mathcal{C} . We also assume that the extriangulated category \mathcal{C} has enough ξ -projectives and enough ξ -injectives satisfying Condition (WIC).

3 Left n -cotorsion pairs

We first recall the notion of left (resp. right) n -cotorsion pairs in extriangulated categories with respect to a proper class of triangles ξ . Then we investigate the properties of left n -cotorsion pairs and discuss the relation between n -cotorsion pairs and cotorsion pairs.

In what follows, we always assume that n is a positive integer.

Definition 3.1. ([11, Definition 3.1]) Let \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{V} be two subcategories of \mathcal{C} . We say that $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ is a *left n -cotorsion pair* in \mathcal{C} if the following conditions are satisfied.

- (LN1) \mathcal{U} is closed under direct summands.
- (LN2) $\xi x t_{\xi}^{1 \leq i \leq n}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}) = 0$.
- (LN3) Every object $M \in \mathcal{C}$ admits an \mathbb{E} -triangle

$$K \longrightarrow U \longrightarrow M \dashrightarrow$$

in ξ with $U \in \mathcal{U}$ and $K \in \mathcal{V}_{n-1}^{\wedge}$.

Dually, we say that $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ is a *right n -cotorsion pair* in \mathcal{C} if the following conditions are satisfied.

- (RN1) \mathcal{V} is closed under direct summands.
- (RN2) $\xi x t_{\xi}^{1 \leq i \leq n}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}) = 0$.
- (RN3) Every object $N \in \mathcal{C}$ admits an \mathbb{E} -triangle

$$N \longrightarrow V' \longrightarrow K' \dashrightarrow$$

in ξ with $V' \in \mathcal{V}$ and $K' \in \mathcal{U}_{n-1}^{\vee}$.


We say that $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ is an *n -cotorsion pair* in \mathcal{C} if $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ is both a left and right n -cotorsion pair in \mathcal{C} .

In particular, left (resp. right) 1-cotorsion pairs are called *left (resp. right) cotorsion pairs*, and 1-cotorsion pairs are called *cotorsion pairs*.


Remark 3.2. Let \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{V} be subcategories of \mathcal{C} .

- (1) If $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ is a left cotorsion pair in \mathcal{C} , then $\mathcal{U} = {}^{\perp 1}\mathcal{V}$. Moreover, we have that $\mathcal{P}(\xi) \subseteq \mathcal{U}$, \mathcal{U} is closed under ξ -extensions, and \mathcal{U} is a contravariantly finite subcategory of \mathcal{C} .
- (2) If $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ is a right cotorsion pair in \mathcal{C} , then $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{U}^{\perp 1}$. Moreover, we have that $\mathcal{I}(\xi) \subseteq \mathcal{V}$, \mathcal{V} is closed under ξ -extensions, and \mathcal{V} is a covariantly finite subcategory of \mathcal{C} .

Now we see an example from [11]. We denote by “ \circ ” in the Auslander-Reiten quiver the indecomposable objects which belong to the subcategory \mathcal{X} . Let Λ be the algebra given by the following quiver with relations:



There exists a 3-cluster tilting subcategory \mathcal{X} of $\mathcal{C} = \text{mod}\Lambda$ ([23, Example 4.21]) as follows:



By [11, Example 3.7], $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X})$ is a 2-cotorsion pair in \mathcal{C} . See [11] for more examples.

Proposition 3.3. *Let \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{V} be subcategories of \mathcal{C} with $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^n \mathcal{U}^{\perp i}$. If $Y \in \mathcal{V}_k^\wedge$ for some k with $0 \leq k \leq n-1$, then $Y \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{n-k} \mathcal{U}^{\perp i}$. In particular, $\mathcal{V}_{n-1}^\wedge \subseteq \mathcal{U}^{\perp 1}$.*

Proof. The case $n = 1$ is trivial. Now suppose $n \geq 2$. The $k = 0$ is trivial, so we suppose $1 \leq k \leq n-1$. Let $U \in \mathcal{U}$ and $Y \in \mathcal{V}_k^\wedge$. We will proceed by induction on k . For $k = 1$, there is an \mathbb{E} -triangle $V_1 \rightarrow V_0 \rightarrow Y \rightarrow$ in ξ with $V_1, V_0 \in \mathcal{V}$. Applying the functor $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(U, -)$ to the above \mathbb{E} -triangle yields the following exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow \xi \text{xt}_\xi^i(U, V_0) \rightarrow \xi \text{xt}_\xi^i(U, Y) \rightarrow \xi \text{xt}_\xi^{i+1}(U, V_1) \rightarrow \cdots$$

For any $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, since $\xi \text{xt}_\xi^i(U, V_0) = 0 = \xi \text{xt}_\xi^{i+1}(U, V_1)$, we have $\xi \text{xt}_\xi^i(U, Y) = 0$.

Now suppose $2 \leq k \leq n-1$. there is an \mathbb{E} -triangle $Y' \rightarrow V'_0 \rightarrow Y \rightarrow$ in ξ with $Y' \in \mathcal{V}_{k-1}^\wedge$ and $V'_0 \in \mathcal{V}$. Applying the functor $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(U, -)$ to the above \mathbb{E} -triangle yields the following exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow \xi \text{xt}_\xi^i(U, V'_0) \rightarrow \xi \text{xt}_\xi^i(U, Y) \rightarrow \xi \text{xt}_\xi^{i+1}(U, Y') \rightarrow \cdots$$

Since $\xi \text{xt}_\xi^{1 \leq i \leq n-k}(U, V'_0) = 0$ by assumption, and $\xi \text{xt}_\xi^{1 \leq i \leq n-k+1}(U, Y') = 0$ by the induction hypothesis, we have $\xi \text{xt}_\xi^{1 \leq i \leq n-k}(U, Y) = 0$. \square

The following result gives an equivalent characterization of left n -cotorsion pairs.

Lemma 3.4. (cf. [11, Lemma 3.4]) *Let \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{V} be subcategories of \mathcal{C} . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ is a left n -cotorsion pair in \mathcal{C} .
- (2) $\mathcal{U} = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \mathcal{U}^{\perp i}$ and for any object $T \in \mathcal{C}$, there is an \mathbb{E} -triangle

$$K \rightarrow U \rightarrow T \rightarrow$$

in ξ with $U \in \mathcal{U}$ and $K \in \mathcal{V}_{n-1}^\wedge$.

Moreover, if one of the above conditions holds true, then $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}_{n-1}^\wedge)$ is a left cotorsion pair in \mathcal{C} .

By the dual of Lemma 3.4, if $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ is a right n -cotorsion pair in \mathcal{C} , then $(\mathcal{U}_{n-1}^\vee, \mathcal{V})$ is a right cotorsion pair in \mathcal{C} .

In the rest of this section, we give some properties related to (left) n -cotorsion pairs.

Proposition 3.5. *Assume that $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ is an n -cotorsion pair in \mathcal{C} . Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (1) $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$.
- (2) $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{V}_n^\wedge$.
- (3) $\mathcal{U}_{n-1}^\vee \subseteq {}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{U}$.

Proof. (1) \implies (2) Clearly.

(2) \implies (1) Let $U \in \mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$. By assumption, there is an \mathbb{E} -triangle $K \longrightarrow V_0 \longrightarrow U \dashrightarrow$ in ξ with $K \in \mathcal{V}_{n-1}^\wedge$ and $V_0 \in \mathcal{V}$. By Lemma 3.4, $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}_{n-1}^\wedge)$ is a left cotorsion pair in \mathcal{C} . In particular, $\xi \text{xt}_\xi^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}_{n-1}^\wedge) = 0$, which shows that the above \mathbb{E} -triangle is split. Thus $U \in \mathcal{V}$ as a direct summand of V_0 . Therefore, $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$.

(1) \iff (3) It follows from the dual of Lemma 3.4. \square

It is clear that $\mathcal{V}^\wedge = \mathcal{V}$ if \mathcal{V} is a coresolving subcategory, and $\mathcal{U}^\vee = \mathcal{U}$ if \mathcal{U} is a resolving subcategory. By Proposition 3.5 and Lemma 3.4, we have the following result.

Proposition 3.6. *Let $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ be an n -cotorsion pair in \mathcal{C} with $\mathcal{U} \subseteq {}^{\perp_{n+1}}\mathcal{V}$. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (1) $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$.
- (2) $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{V}$.
- (3) $\mathcal{U} \subseteq {}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{U}$.

Proof. By Proposition 3.5, it suffices to show that \mathcal{U} is resolving. By Lemma 3.4, $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}_{n-1}^\wedge)$ is a left cotorsion pair in \mathcal{C} . By Remark 3.2, \mathcal{U} is closed under ξ -extensions and $\mathcal{P}(\xi) \subseteq \mathcal{U}$. Now, given an \mathbb{E} -triangle $U \longrightarrow U' \longrightarrow U'' \dashrightarrow$ in ξ with $U', U'' \in \mathcal{U}$. For any $V \in \mathcal{V}$, applying the functor $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, V)$ to the above \mathbb{E} -triangle yields the following exact sequence

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \xi \text{xt}_\xi^i(U', V) \longrightarrow \xi \text{xt}_\xi^i(U, V) \longrightarrow \xi \text{xt}_\xi^{i+1}(U'', V) \longrightarrow \cdots .$$

By assumption $\xi \text{xt}_\xi^{1 \leq i \leq n+1}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}) = 0$, this implies $\xi \text{xt}_\xi^{1 \leq i \leq n}(U, V) = 0$. Thus $U \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n {}^{\perp_i}\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{U}$ by Lemma 3.4, and hence \mathcal{U} is closed under cocones of ξ -deflations. Therefore, \mathcal{U} is resolving. \square

The following result establishes a relation between n -cotorsion pairs and cotorsion pairs.

Proposition 3.7. *Let \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{V} be subcategories in \mathcal{C} . Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (1) $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ is an n -cotorsion pair in \mathcal{C} with $\mathcal{U} \subseteq {}^{\perp_{n+1}}\mathcal{V}$.
- (2) $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ is an n -cotorsion pair in \mathcal{C} with \mathcal{U} a resolving subcategory.
- (3) $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ is an n -cotorsion pair in \mathcal{C} with \mathcal{V} a coresolving subcategory.
- (4) $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ is a cotorsion pair in \mathcal{C} with \mathcal{U} a resolving subcategory.
- (5) $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ is a cotorsion pair in \mathcal{C} with \mathcal{V} a coresolving subcategory.

Moreover, if one of the above conditions holds true, then $\mathcal{U} \subseteq {}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{V}$.

Proof. (1) \implies (2) It follows from Proposition 3.6.

(2) \implies (1) Let $U \in \mathcal{U}$ and $V \in \mathcal{V}$. Consider an \mathbb{E} -triangle $U' \longrightarrow P \longrightarrow U \dashrightarrow$ in ξ with $P \in \mathcal{P}(\xi)$. Since \mathcal{U} is resolving, then $U' \in \mathcal{U}$. By the dimension shifting, we have $\xi \text{xt}_\xi^{n+1}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}) = 0$.

(1) \iff (3) It is a dual of (1) \iff (2).

(2) \implies (4) or (3) \implies (4) By Lemma 3.4, $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}_{n-1}^\wedge)$ is a left cotorsion pair in \mathcal{C} . Since \mathcal{V} is coresolving, $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_{n-1}^\wedge$, then $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ is a left cotorsion pair in \mathcal{C} . Dually, $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ is a right cotorsion pair in \mathcal{C} . Thus $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ is a cotorsion pair in \mathcal{C} , and \mathcal{U} is resolving.

(4) \implies (2) By using an argument similar to that of the implication (2) \implies (1), we get $\xi xt_{\xi}^{1 \leq i \leq n}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}) = 0$.

(3) \implies (5) By the dual of Lemma 3.6.

(5) \implies (3) By the dual of (4) \implies (2). \square

By Proposition 3.7, we immediately have the following result.

Corollary 3.8. *Let $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ be a cotorsion pair in \mathcal{C} . Then the following statements are equivalent.*

(1) $\mathcal{U} \subseteq {}^{\perp_2}\mathcal{V}$.

(2) \mathcal{U} is a resolving subcategory.

(3) \mathcal{V} is a coresolving subcategory.

Moreover, if one of the above conditions holds true, then $\mathcal{U} \subseteq {}^{\perp}\mathcal{V}$.

Lemma 3.9. *Let \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{V} be subcategories of \mathcal{C} such that $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^n {}^{\perp_i}\mathcal{V}$. Then $\mathcal{U}_k^{\wedge} \subseteq {}^{\perp_{k+1}}\mathcal{V}$ for any $0 \leq k \leq n-1$.*

Proof. The case $k=0$ is trivial. Let $X \in \mathcal{U}_k^{\wedge}$ and $V \in \mathcal{V}$. We will proceed by induction on k . For $k=1$, there is an \mathbb{E} -triangle $U_1 \rightarrow U_0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow$ in ξ with $U_1, U_0 \in \mathcal{U}$. By the dimension shifting, we have $\xi xt_{\xi}^2(X, V) = 0$, and hence $X \in {}^{\perp_2}\mathcal{V}$.

Now suppose $2 \leq k \leq n-1$. There is an \mathbb{E} -triangle $K \rightarrow U'_0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow$ in ξ with $U'_0 \in \mathcal{U}$ and $K \in \mathcal{U}_{k-1}^{\wedge}$. Applying the functor $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, V)$ to the above \mathbb{E} -triangle yields the following exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow \xi xt_{\xi}^k(K, V) \rightarrow \xi xt_{\xi}^{k+1}(X, V) \rightarrow \xi xt_{\xi}^{k+1}(U'_0, V) \rightarrow \cdots$$

Since $\xi xt_{\xi}^k(K, V) = 0$ by the induction hypothesis, and since $\xi xt_{\xi}^{k+1}(U'_0, V) = 0$ by assumption, we have $\xi xt_{\xi}^{k+1}(X, V) = 0$ and $X \in {}^{\perp_{k+1}}\mathcal{V}$. Thus $\mathcal{U}_k^{\wedge} \subseteq {}^{\perp_{k+1}}\mathcal{V}$ for any $0 \leq k \leq n-1$. \square

As a consequence, we get the following proposition.

Proposition 3.10. *Let $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ be a left n -cotorsion pair in \mathcal{C} . Then the following statements are equivalent.*

(1) $\mathcal{U} = {}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{V}$.

(2) $\mathcal{U}_k^{\wedge} = {}^{\perp_{k+1}}\mathcal{V}$ for any $0 \leq k \leq n-1$.

Proof. (2) \implies (1) It is trivial by setting $k=0$ in (2).

(1) \implies (2) The case $k=0$ is clear. Now assume $k \geq 1$. By Lemma 3.9, $\mathcal{U}_k^{\wedge} \subseteq {}^{\perp_{k+1}}\mathcal{V}$. Conversely, let $Y \in {}^{\perp_{k+1}}\mathcal{V}$. Consider the following \mathbb{E} -triangle $K_1 \rightarrow U_0 \rightarrow Y \rightarrow$ in ξ with $U_0 \in \mathcal{U}$ and $K_1 \in \mathcal{V}_{n-1}^{\wedge}$. Repeating this process, we get the following ξ -exact complex

$$K_k \rightarrow U_{k-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow U_1 \rightarrow U_0 \rightarrow Y$$

with $U_i \in \mathcal{U}$ for $0 \leq i \leq k-1$. Applying the functor $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, V)$ to it, $\xi xt_{\xi}^{i \geq 1}(\mathcal{U}, V) = 0$ since $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ is a left n -cotorsion pair. By Remark 2.16, $\xi xt_{\xi}^1(K_k, V) \cong \xi xt_{\xi}^{k+1}(Y, V) = 0$, which implies $K_k \in {}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{U}$ by assumption. Hence $Y \in \mathcal{U}_k^{\wedge}$ and ${}^{\perp_{k+1}}\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{U}_k^{\wedge}$. Thus $\mathcal{U}_k^{\wedge} = {}^{\perp_{k+1}}\mathcal{V}$. \square

Corollary 3.11. *Let $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ be a left n -cotorsion pair in \mathcal{C} . If $\mathcal{U} = {}^{\perp_1}\mathcal{V}$, then for any $0 \leq k \leq n-1$, the following statements are equivalent.*

- (1) \mathcal{U} -res. $\dim \mathcal{C} \leq k$.
- (2) $\mathcal{C} = {}^{\perp_{k+1}}\mathcal{V}$.

By Propositions 3.7 and 3.10, we have the following result.

Corollary 3.12. *Let $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ be a cotorsion pair with \mathcal{U} a resolving subcategory. Then for any nonnegative integers m and n , we have $\mathcal{U}^{\perp_{m+1}} = \mathcal{V}_m^{\vee}$ and ${}^{\perp_{n+1}}\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{U}_n^{\wedge}$.*

4 Left Frobenius pairs and weak Auslander-Buchweitz contexts

In this section, we will introduce the notions of left Frobenius pairs and left (weak) Auslander-Buchweitz contexts and give a one-one correspondence between left Frobenius pairs and left (weak) Auslander-Buchweitz contexts.

We begin with some relations on special subcategories.

Proposition 4.1. *Let \mathcal{X} and ω be subcategories of \mathcal{C} . Assume that ω is \mathcal{X} -injective.*

- (1) $\omega^{\wedge} \subseteq \mathcal{X}^{\perp}$.
- (2) *Assume that ω is a ξ -cogenerator for \mathcal{X} and ω is closed under direct summands in \mathcal{C} . Then*

$$\omega = \mathcal{X} \cap \omega^{\wedge} = \mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{X}^{\perp}.$$

Proof. (1) Easily.

(2) By (1), $\omega \subseteq \mathcal{X} \cap \omega^{\wedge} \subseteq \mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{X}^{\perp}$. Next we prove that $\mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{X}^{\perp} \subseteq \omega$. To do it, let $X \in \mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{X}^{\perp}$. By assumption, ω is a ξ -cogenerator in \mathcal{X} . Then there is an \mathbb{E} -triangle $X \rightarrow W \rightarrow X' \rightarrow$ in ξ with $W \in \omega$ and $X' \in \mathcal{X}$. Since $X \in \mathcal{X}^{\perp}$, we have $\xi \text{xt}_{\xi}^1(X', X) = 0$, hence the above \mathbb{E} -triangle is split. This shows that X is a direct summand of W , and hence $X \in \omega$. Thus $\mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{X}^{\perp} \subseteq \omega$. \square

The following result is the so-called Auslander-Buchweitz approximation.

Proposition 4.2. (c.f. [3, Theorem 1.1], [4, Theorem 2.8], [19, Theorem 3.7]) *Let \mathcal{X} and ω be subcategories of \mathcal{C} . Assume that*

- (1) \mathcal{X} is closed under ξ -extensions,
- (2) ω is a ξ -cogenerator in \mathcal{X} .

Then for any $C \in \mathcal{X}_n^{\wedge}$, there exist the following \mathbb{E} -triangles

$$\begin{aligned} Y_C \rightarrow X_C \rightarrow C \rightarrow, \\ C \rightarrow Y^C \rightarrow X^C \rightarrow \end{aligned}$$

in ξ with $Y_C \in \omega_{n-1}^{\wedge}$, $Y^C \in \omega_n^{\wedge}$ and $X_C, X^C \in \mathcal{X}$. In particular, if ω is \mathcal{X} -injective, then $X_C \rightarrow C$ is a right \mathcal{X} -approximation of C .

Proof. The proof is similar to that of [19, Theorem 3.7]. \square

Corollary 4.3. *Let \mathcal{X} and ω be subcategories of \mathcal{C} . Assume that*

- (1) \mathcal{X} is closed under ξ -extensions,
- (2) ω is closed under direct summands in \mathcal{C} ,
- (3) ω is \mathcal{X} -injective and a ξ -cogenerator for \mathcal{X} .

Then

$$\omega^{\wedge} = \mathcal{X}^{\perp} \cap \mathcal{X}^{\wedge}.$$

Proof. By Proposition 4.1(1), $\omega^\wedge \subseteq \mathcal{X}^\perp$. Moreover, $\omega^\wedge \subseteq \mathcal{X}^\wedge$. Thus $\omega^\wedge \subseteq \mathcal{X}^\perp \cap \mathcal{X}^\wedge$.

Now let $C \in \mathcal{X}^\perp \cap \mathcal{X}^\wedge$. By Proposition 4.2, there is an \mathbb{E} -triangle $Y \rightarrow X \rightarrow C \rightarrow \gg$ in ξ with $X \in \mathcal{X}$ and $Y \in \omega^\wedge \subseteq \mathcal{X}^\perp$. Since $C \in \mathcal{X}^\perp$, one has $X \in \mathcal{X}^\perp$, and hence $X \in \mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{X}^\perp$. By Proposition 4.1(2), $X \in \omega$. So $C \in \omega^\wedge$, and thus $\mathcal{X}^\perp \cap \mathcal{X}^\wedge \subseteq \omega^\wedge$. Therefore, $\omega^\wedge = \mathcal{X}^\perp \cap \mathcal{X}^\wedge$. \square

Lemma 4.4. *Let ω be a subcategory of \mathcal{C} . Assume that ω is closed under direct summands. Then*

- (1) ${}^\perp\omega = {}^\perp(\omega^\wedge)$.
- (2) $\omega^\wedge \cap {}^\perp\omega \subseteq \omega$.

Proof. (1) Clearly, ${}^\perp(\omega^\wedge) \subseteq {}^\perp\omega$.

Conversely, for any $X \in {}^\perp\omega$, $\xi\text{xt}^{i \geq 1}(X, \omega) = 0$. For any $Y \in \omega^\wedge$, assume $\omega\text{-res. dim } Y = m < \infty$. Then there is a ξ -exact complex

$$W_m \rightarrow W_{m-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow W_1 \rightarrow W_0 \rightarrow Y$$

with each $W_i \in \omega$, $0 \leq i \leq m$. Applying the functor $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, -)$ to the \mathbb{E} -triangle

$$W_m \rightarrow W_{m-1} \rightarrow K_{m-1} \rightarrow \gg,$$

we can get the following exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow \xi\text{xt}_\xi^i(X, W_m) \rightarrow \xi\text{xt}_\xi^i(X, W_{m-1}) \rightarrow \xi\text{xt}_\xi^i(X, K_{m-1}) \rightarrow \xi\text{xt}_\xi^{i+1}(X, W_m) \rightarrow \cdots.$$

Since $\xi\text{xt}_\xi^i(X, W_{m-1}) = \xi\text{xt}_\xi^{i+1}(X, W_m) = 0$ for any $i \geq 1$, then $\xi\text{xt}_\xi^i(X, K_{m-1}) = 0$ for any $i \geq 1$. Let's take just Y to be K_0 . Repeat this process, we have $\xi\text{xt}_\xi^{i \geq 1}(X, K_j) = 0$, $0 \leq j \leq m-1$, where \mathbb{E} -triangles $K_{k+1} \rightarrow W_k \rightarrow K_k \rightarrow \gg$ are in ξ , $1 \leq k \leq m-2$. Thus $\xi\text{xt}_\xi^{i \geq 1}(X, Y) = 0$, and so ${}^\perp(\omega^\wedge) \supseteq {}^\perp\omega$.

- (2) Let $Z \in \omega^\wedge \cap {}^\perp\omega$. Then there is a ξ -exact complex

$$W_n \rightarrow W_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow W_1 \rightarrow W_0 \rightarrow Z$$

with $W_i \in \omega$, $0 \leq i \leq n$. Applying the functor $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Z, -)$ to the \mathbb{E} -triangle

$$W_n \rightarrow W_{n-1} \rightarrow K_{n-1} \rightarrow \gg$$

we can get the following exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow \xi\text{xt}_\xi^1(Z, W_{n-1}) \rightarrow \xi\text{xt}_\xi^1(Z, K_{n-1}) \rightarrow \xi\text{xt}_\xi^2(Z, W_n).$$

Then $\xi\text{xt}_\xi^1(Z, K_{n-1}) = 0$ since $\xi\text{xt}_\xi^1(Z, W_{n-1}) = \xi\text{xt}_\xi^2(Z, W_n) = 0$. For the same reason, we can obtain that $\xi\text{xt}_\xi^1(Z, K_i) = 0$, $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Then $\xi\text{xt}_\xi^1(Z, K_1) = 0$, that is, the \mathbb{E} -triangle $K_1 \rightarrow W_0 \rightarrow Z \rightarrow \gg$ is split. Thus $Z \oplus K_1 \cong W_0 \in \omega$. It follows that $Z \in \omega$ from the fact that ω is closed under direct summands. \square

Proposition 4.5. *Let \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} be subcategories of \mathcal{C} . Assume that*

- (a) \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} are closed under direct summands and $\mathcal{Y} \subseteq \mathcal{X}^\wedge$,
- (b) \mathcal{X} is closed under ξ -extensions and cocones of ξ -deflations, and
- (c) \mathcal{Y} is closed under ξ -extensions and cones of ξ -inflations.

If $\omega := \mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{Y}$ is \mathcal{X} -injective and a ξ -cogenerator for \mathcal{X} , then

- (1) $\mathcal{Y} = \omega^\wedge = \mathcal{X}^\wedge \cap \mathcal{X}^\perp = \mathcal{X}^\wedge \cap \mathcal{X}^{\perp 1}$,
- (2) $\mathcal{X} = {}^\perp\omega \cap \mathcal{X}^\wedge = {}^\perp(\omega^\wedge) \cap \mathcal{X}^\wedge$.

Proof. (1) Since \mathcal{Y} is closed under cones of ξ -inflations, we have $\mathcal{Y}^\wedge = \mathcal{Y}$. It follows that $\omega^\wedge \subseteq \mathcal{Y}$ since $\omega \subseteq \mathcal{Y}$. Now let $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$. Since $\mathcal{Y} \subseteq \mathcal{X}^\wedge$ by assumption, there is an \mathbb{E} -triangle $K \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow Y \dashrightarrow$ in ξ with $X \in \mathcal{X}$ and $K \in \omega^\wedge \subseteq \mathcal{Y}$ by Proposition 4.2. Since \mathcal{Y} is closed under ξ -extensions, we have $X \in \mathcal{Y}$. So $X \in \mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{Y} = \omega$, and hence $Y \in \omega^\wedge$ and $\mathcal{Y} \subseteq \omega^\wedge$. Thus $\mathcal{Y} = \omega^\wedge$.

By Corollary 4.3, we have $\omega^\wedge = \mathcal{X}^\perp \cap \mathcal{X}^\wedge$.

Clearly, $\mathcal{X}^\wedge \cap \mathcal{X}^\perp \subseteq \mathcal{X}^\wedge \cap \mathcal{X}^{\perp 1}$. Now let $Z \in \mathcal{X}^\wedge \cap \mathcal{X}^{\perp 1}$. By Proposition 4.2, there is an \mathbb{E} -triangle $Z \longrightarrow W \longrightarrow X \dashrightarrow$ in ξ with $X \in \mathcal{X}$ and $W \in \omega^\wedge$. Since $Z \in \mathcal{X}^{\perp 1}$, the above \mathbb{E} -triangle is split. So Z is a direct summand of W . Notice that $\omega^\wedge (= \mathcal{Y})$ is closed under direct summands, we have $Z \in \omega^\wedge = \mathcal{X}^\wedge \cap \mathcal{X}^\perp$. Thus $\mathcal{X}^\wedge \cap \mathcal{X}^\perp = \mathcal{X}^\wedge \cap \mathcal{X}^{\perp 1}$.

(2) Clearly, $\mathcal{X} \subseteq {}^\perp\omega \cap \mathcal{X}^\wedge$. By Lemma 4.4(1), ${}^\perp\omega \cap \mathcal{X}^\wedge = {}^\perp(\omega^\wedge) \cap \mathcal{X}^\wedge$. It suffices to show that ${}^\perp\omega \cap \mathcal{X}^\wedge \subseteq \mathcal{X}$.

Now, let $M \in {}^\perp\omega \cap \mathcal{X}^\wedge$. By Proposition 4.2, there is a \mathbb{E} -triangle $K \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow M \dashrightarrow$ in ξ with $X \in \mathcal{X} \subseteq {}^\perp\omega$ and $K \in \omega^\wedge$. Then $K \in {}^\perp\omega$, and so $K \in \omega^\wedge \cap {}^\perp\omega \subseteq \omega$ by Lemma 4.4. Notice that $\xi \text{xt}_\xi^1(M, K) = 0$, that is, the above \mathbb{E} -triangle is split, hence $X \cong K \oplus M$. It follows that $M \in \mathcal{X}$ from the fact that \mathcal{X} is closed under direct summands. Thus $\mathcal{X}^\wedge \cap {}^\perp\omega \subseteq \mathcal{X}$. \square

Now we generalize the notion of left Frobenius pairs in abelian categories [4] and in triangulated categories [18] to that in extriangulated categories.

Definition 4.6. A pair of subcategories (\mathcal{X}, ω) in \mathcal{C} is called a *left Frobenius pair* if

- (LF1) \mathcal{X} and ω are closed under direct summands.
- (LF2) \mathcal{X} is closed under ξ -extensions and cocones of ξ -deflations.
- (LF3) ω is \mathcal{X} -injective and a ξ -cogenerator of \mathcal{X} .

We have the following example.

Example 4.7.

- (1) $(\mathcal{GP}(\xi), \mathcal{P}(\xi))$ is a left Frobenius pair in \mathcal{C} (see [12, Lemma 4.16 and Theorem 4.17]).
- (2) Given a left Frobenius pair (\mathcal{X}, ω) in \mathcal{C} . If \mathcal{X} -res. dim $\mathcal{C} = n < \infty$, then (\mathcal{X}, ω) is a left n -cotorsion pair in \mathcal{C} by Proposition 4.2. In particular, if $\sup\{\xi\text{-}\mathcal{G}\text{ pd } T \mid T \in \mathcal{C}\} = n < \infty$, then $(\mathcal{GP}(\xi), \mathcal{P}(\xi))$ is a left n -cotorsion pair in \mathcal{C} .

In the following, we will study the closure properties of \mathcal{X}^\wedge for a left Frobenius pair (\mathcal{X}, ω) in \mathcal{C} .

Lemma 4.8. *Let (\mathcal{X}, ω) be a left Frobenius pair in \mathcal{C} , and let*

$$X \longrightarrow Y \longrightarrow Z \dashrightarrow^{\delta_1} \quad (4.1)$$

be an \mathbb{E} -triangle in ξ .

- (1) *Assume that $Z \in \mathcal{X}$. Then $X \in \mathcal{X}^\wedge$ if and only if $Y \in \mathcal{X}^\wedge$.*
- (2) *Assume that $Y \in \mathcal{X}$. Then $X \in \mathcal{X}^\wedge$ if and only if $Z \in \mathcal{X}^\wedge$.*

Proof. (1) The “If” part. Assume that $Y \in \mathcal{X}^\wedge$. We may set \mathcal{X} -res. dim $Y = m < \infty$. The case $m = 0$ is clear. Now assume that $m \geq 1$. Then there is an \mathbb{E} -triangle $K \rightarrow X_0 \rightarrow Y \xrightarrow{\delta_2}$ in ξ with $X_0 \in \mathcal{X}$ and $K \in \mathcal{X}_{m-1}^\wedge$. By (ET4)^{op}, we have a commutative diagram as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
K & \xrightarrow{k} & X'_0 & \xrightarrow{f} & X \rightarrow \\
\parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\
K & \rightarrow & X_0 & \rightarrow & Y \xrightarrow{\delta_2} \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow h \\
& & Z & = & Z \\
& & \downarrow \delta_3 & & \downarrow \delta_1 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow
\end{array} \tag{4.2}$$

where $h^*\delta_3 = k_*\delta_2$, $f_*\delta_3 = \delta_1$.

Applying Lemma 2.7 yields the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
& & X = X & & \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow h^*\delta_3 \\
X'_0 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & M & \xrightarrow{\quad} & Y \xrightarrow{\delta_3} \\
\parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow h \\
X'_0 & \rightarrow & X_0 & \rightarrow & Z \xrightarrow{\delta_3} \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow \delta_1 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow
\end{array}$$

where the \mathbb{E} -triangle $X'_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow Y \xrightarrow{\delta_3}$ belongs to ξ by the equality $h^*\delta_3 = k_*\delta_2$. Then $X'_0 \rightarrow X_0 \rightarrow Z \xrightarrow{\delta_3}$ belongs to ξ since ξ is saturated. It follows that $X'_0 \in \mathcal{X}$ since $X_0, Z \in \mathcal{X}$. Thus $X \in \mathcal{X}^\wedge$ by the top \mathbb{E} -triangle in the diagram (4.2).

The “only if” part. Assume that $X \in \mathcal{X}^\wedge$. We may set \mathcal{X} -res. dim $X = m < \infty$. The case $m = 0$ is clear. Assume that $m \geq 1$, By Proposition 4.2, there is an \mathbb{E} -triangles

$$K \rightarrow X_0 \xrightarrow{p} X \rightarrow \tag{4.3}$$

in ξ with $X_0 \in \mathcal{X}$ and $K \in \omega_{m-1}^\wedge$. Since $Z \in {}^\perp(\omega^\wedge)$ by Corollary 4.3, applying the functor $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Z, -)$ to the \mathbb{E} -triangle(4.3) yields an isomorphism $p_* : \xi xt_\xi^1(Z, X_0) \rightarrow \xi xt_\xi^1(Z, X)$. Then we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
X_0 & \longrightarrow & X'' & \longrightarrow & Z \xrightarrow{\delta'} \rightarrow \\
\downarrow p & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\
X & \longrightarrow & Y & \longrightarrow & Z \xrightarrow{\delta = p_*\delta'} \rightarrow
\end{array}$$

where all \mathbb{E} -triangles are in ξ . Since \mathcal{X} is closed under ξ -extensions, $X'' \in \mathcal{X}$. By (ET4), we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
K & \rightarrow & X_0 & \xrightarrow{p} & X \rightarrow \\
\parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
K & \xrightarrow{\quad} & X'' & \xrightarrow{\quad} & B \rightarrow \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
& & Z & = & Z \\
& & \downarrow \delta' & & \downarrow p_*\delta' \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow
\end{array}$$

By the second horizontal \mathbb{E} -triangle, we know $B \in \mathcal{X}^\wedge$. Then $Y \cong B \in \mathcal{X}^\wedge$.

(2) The “only of” part is clear.

The “if” part. Assume that $Z \in \mathcal{X}^\wedge$. We may set \mathcal{X} -res.dim $Z = m < \infty$. We proceed by induction on m . The case $m = 0$ is clear. Now assume that $m \geq 1$. Then there is an \mathbb{E} -triangle $K \rightarrow X_0 \rightarrow Z \rightarrow$ in ξ with $X_0 \in \mathcal{X}$ and $K \in \mathcal{X}_{m-1}^\wedge$. By Lemma 2.7, we get the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & K & \xlongequal{\quad} & K & & \\
 & & \vdots & & \downarrow & & \\
 X & \dashrightarrow & U & \dashrightarrow & X_0 & \dashrightarrow & \\
 \parallel & & \vdots & & \downarrow & & \\
 X & \rightarrow & Y & \rightarrow & Z & \dashrightarrow & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & &
 \end{array} \tag{4.4}$$

Since ξ is closed under base change, the middle row and the middle column are in ξ . Note that $Y, X_0 \in \mathcal{X}$. By (1) and the middle column in the above diagram, we have $U \in \mathcal{X}^\wedge$. Finally, by (1) and the middle row in the above diagram, $X \in \mathcal{X}^\wedge$. \square

Proposition 4.9. *Let (\mathcal{X}, ω) be a left Frobenius pair in \mathcal{C} . For any $T \in \mathcal{C}$, the following statements are equivalent.*

- (1) \mathcal{X} -res.dim $T \leq n$.
- (2) If

$$K_n \rightarrow X_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow X_0 \rightarrow T \tag{4.5}$$

is a ξ -exact complex in \mathcal{C} with $X_i \in \mathcal{X}$ for any $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, then $K_n \in \mathcal{X}$.

Proof. (2) \implies (1) It is obvious.

(1) \implies (2) By Lemma 4.8, we have $K_n \in \mathcal{X}^\wedge$. Since ω is \mathcal{X} -injective, that is, $\mathcal{X} \subseteq {}^\perp\omega$, then we have $\xi x t_\xi^i(K_n, W) \cong \xi x t_\xi^{n+i}(T, W) = 0$ for any $W \in \omega$ and all $i \geq 1$. This shows that $K_n \in {}^\perp\omega$, and hence $K_n \in \mathcal{X}^\wedge \cap {}^\perp\omega = \mathcal{X}$ by Proposition 4.5. \square

The following result shows that \mathcal{X}^\wedge satisfies the two-out-of-three property.

Theorem 4.10. (c.f. [19, Propositions 3.10 and 3.14]) *Let (\mathcal{X}, ω) be a left Frobenius pair in \mathcal{C} . Then \mathcal{X}^\wedge is closed under ξ -extensions, cocones of ξ -deflations, cones of ξ -inflations and direct summands.*

Proof. Let

$$X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z \dashrightarrow \tag{4.6}$$

be an \mathbb{E} -triangle in ξ .

(1) \mathcal{X}^\wedge is closed under ξ -extensions. The proof is similar to that of [19, Proposition 3.10].

(2) \mathcal{X}^\wedge is closed under cocones of ξ -deflations. Indeed, let $Y, Z \in \mathcal{X}^\wedge$ with \mathcal{X} -res.dim $Z = n < \infty$. We proceed by induction on n . The case for $n = 0$ follows from Lemma 4.8(1). Now assume that $n \geq 1$. Then we have an \mathbb{E} -triangle $K \rightarrow X_0 \rightarrow Z \dashrightarrow$ in ξ with $X_0 \in \mathcal{X}$ and

$K \in \mathcal{X}_{n-1}^\wedge$. By Lemma 2.7, we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
& & K & \xlongequal{\quad} & K \\
& & \vdots & & \downarrow \\
X & \dashrightarrow & U & \dashrightarrow & X_0 \dashrightarrow \\
\parallel & & \vdots & & \downarrow \\
X & \longrightarrow & Y & \longrightarrow & Z \dashrightarrow \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow
\end{array}$$

where the second vertical and the second horizontal \mathbb{E} -triangles are in ξ . By (1), we have $U \in \mathcal{X}^\wedge$. So $X \in \mathcal{X}^\wedge$ by Lemma 4.8(1).

Similarly, we can prove that \mathcal{X}^\wedge is closed under cones of ξ -inflations.

(3) \mathcal{X}^\wedge is closed under direct summands. The proof is similar to that of [19, Proposition 3.14]. \square

Assume that $\mathcal{I}(\xi)$ is a cogenerating subcategory of \mathcal{C} and $\mathcal{P}(\xi)$ is a generating subcategory of \mathcal{C} . The following result provides a method to construct (left) cotorsion pairs from left Frobenius pairs in \mathcal{C} under the condition $\mathcal{X}^\wedge = \mathcal{C}$.

Theorem 4.11. *Assume that $\mathcal{I}(\xi)$ is a cogenerating subcategory of \mathcal{C} and $\mathcal{P}(\xi)$ is a generating subcategory of \mathcal{C} . Let (\mathcal{X}, ω) be a left Frobenius pair in \mathcal{C} . Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (1) $\mathcal{X}^\wedge = \mathcal{C}$.
- (2) $(\mathcal{X}, \omega^\wedge)$ is a cotorsion pair in \mathcal{C} with $\xi\text{-id } \omega < \infty$.
- (3) $(\mathcal{X}, \omega^\wedge)$ is a left cotorsion pair in \mathcal{C} with $\xi\text{-id } \omega < \infty$.
- (4) $\mathcal{X} = {}^\perp \omega$ and $\xi\text{-id } \omega < \infty$.

Moreover, if one of the above equivalent conditions holds, then $\mathcal{X}\text{-res. dim } \mathcal{C} = \xi\text{-id } \omega$.

Proof. (1) \implies (2) Firstly, $\omega^\wedge = \mathcal{X}^\perp \cap \mathcal{X}^\wedge$ by Corollary 4.3. Secondly, \mathcal{X}^\wedge is closed under direct summands by Proposition 4.10, thus ω^\wedge is closed under direct summands. Moreover, $\xi\text{xt}_\xi^1(\mathcal{X}, \omega^\wedge) = 0$ by Proposition 4.1. Finally, we can get that $(\mathcal{X}, \omega^\wedge)$ is a cotorsion pair in \mathcal{C} by Proposition 4.2.

Next let $W \in \omega$ and $T \in \mathcal{C}$ with $\mathcal{X}\text{-res. dim } T = n < \infty$. Then there is a ξ -exact complex

$$X_n \longrightarrow X_{n-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow X_1 \longrightarrow X_0 \longrightarrow T$$

in \mathcal{C} with $X_i \in \mathcal{X} \subseteq {}^\perp \omega$ for any $0 \leq i \leq n$. Applying the functor $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, W)$, we can get

$$\xi\text{xt}_\xi^{n+1}(T, W) \cong \xi\text{xt}_\xi^1(X_n, W) = 0.$$

Hence $\xi\text{-id } W \leq n$ by [14, Lemma 3.9], and thus $\xi\text{-id } \omega < \infty$.

(2) \implies (3) Obviously.

(3) \implies (4) By Remark 3.2, $\mathcal{X} = {}^\perp_1(\omega^\wedge)$. Clearly, ${}^\perp(\omega^\wedge) \subseteq {}^\perp_1(\omega^\wedge) = \mathcal{X}$. On the other hand, $\mathcal{X} \subseteq {}^\perp(\omega^\wedge)$ by Proposition 4.1. Thus ${}^\perp_1(\omega^\wedge) = {}^\perp(\omega^\wedge)$. Clearly, ${}^\perp(\omega^\wedge) = {}^\perp \omega$. Thus $\mathcal{X} = {}^\perp_1(\omega^\wedge) = {}^\perp \omega$.

(4) \implies (1) Assume that $\xi\text{-id } \omega = n < \infty$. For any $T \in \mathcal{C}$, consider the following ξ -exact complex

$$K \longrightarrow P_{n-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow P_1 \longrightarrow P_0 \longrightarrow T$$

in \mathcal{C} with $P_i \in \mathcal{P}(\xi)$ for any $0 \leq i \leq n-1$. For any $W \in \omega$, by [14, Lemma 3.9] and Remark 2.16, we have

$$\xi \text{xt}_{\xi}^i(K, W) \cong \xi \text{xt}_{\xi}^{n+i}(T, W) = 0$$

for any $i \geq 1$ since $\xi\text{-id } W \leq n$. So $K \in {}^{\perp}\omega$. Moreover, since $\mathcal{X} = {}^{\perp}\omega$, we have that $K \in \mathcal{X}$. It follows that $\mathcal{X}\text{-res.dim } T \leq n$ and then $T \in \mathcal{X}^{\wedge}$. Therefore $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{X}^{\wedge}$. \square

Putting $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{GP}(\xi)$ and $\omega = \mathcal{P}(\xi)$ in Theorem 4.11, we can get an application in Gorenstein homological algebra as follows, in which (1) \implies (2) was given in [13, Proposition 4.7].

Corollary 4.12. *Assume that $\mathcal{I}(\xi)$ is a cogenerating subcategory of \mathcal{C} and $\mathcal{P}(\xi)$ is a generating subcategory of \mathcal{C} . Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (1) $\sup\{\xi\text{-}\mathcal{G}\text{pd } T \mid T \in \mathcal{C}\} < \infty$.
- (2) $(\mathcal{GP}(\xi), \mathcal{P}(\xi)^{\wedge})$ is a cotorsion pair in \mathcal{C} and $\xi\text{-id } \mathcal{P}(\xi) < \infty$.
- (3) $(\mathcal{GP}(\xi), \mathcal{P}(\xi)^{\wedge})$ is a left cotorsion pair in \mathcal{C} and $\xi\text{-id } \mathcal{P}(\xi) < \infty$.
- (4) $\mathcal{GP}(\xi) = {}^{\perp}\mathcal{P}(\xi)$ and $\xi\text{-id } \mathcal{P}(\xi) < \infty$.

Moreover, if one of the above conditions holds, then $\sup\{\xi\text{-}\mathcal{G}\text{pd } T \mid T \in \mathcal{C}\} = \xi\text{-id } \mathcal{P}(\xi)$.

Furthermore, we have the following result.

Proposition 4.13. *Assume that $\mathcal{P}(\xi)$ is a generating subcategory of \mathcal{C} and $\mathcal{I}(\xi)$ is a cogenerating subcategory of \mathcal{C} .*

- (1) *If $(\mathcal{GP}(\xi), \mathcal{P}(\xi))$ is a left n -cotorsion pair in \mathcal{C} , then*

$$\sup\{\xi\text{-}\mathcal{G}\text{pd } T \mid T \in \mathcal{C}\} = \xi\text{-id } \mathcal{P}(\xi) \leq n.$$

Dually, if $(\mathcal{I}(\xi), \mathcal{GI}(\xi))$ is a right m -cotorsion pair in \mathcal{C} , then

$$\sup\{\xi\text{-}\mathcal{G}\text{id } T \mid T \in \mathcal{C}\} = \xi\text{-pd } \mathcal{I}(\xi) \leq m.$$

- (2) *If there are positive integers n, m such that $(\mathcal{GP}(\xi), \mathcal{P}(\xi))$ is a left n -cotorsion pair, $(\mathcal{I}(\xi), \mathcal{GI}(\xi))$ is a right m -cotorsion pair in \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{C} satisfies Condition(*), then we can choose $n = m = \xi\text{-id } \mathcal{P}(\xi) = \xi\text{-pd } \mathcal{I}(\xi)$.*

Proof. (1) Assume that $(\mathcal{GP}(\xi), \mathcal{P}(\xi))$ is a left n -cotorsion pair in \mathcal{C} . Then $\sup\{\xi\text{-}\mathcal{G}\text{pd } T \mid T \in \mathcal{C}\} \leq n$. By Corollary 4.12, we have

$$\sup\{\xi\text{-}\mathcal{G}\text{pd } T \mid T \in \mathcal{C}\} = \xi\text{-id } \mathcal{P}(\xi) \leq n.$$

Dually, we get the second assertion.

(2) By (1), we have $\sup\{\xi\text{-}\mathcal{G}\text{pd } T \mid T \in \mathcal{C}\} = \xi\text{-id } \mathcal{P}(\xi) \leq n$ and $\sup\{\xi\text{-}\mathcal{G}\text{id } T \mid T \in \mathcal{C}\} = \xi\text{-pd } \mathcal{I}(\xi) \leq m$, where m, n are positive integers. It follows from Remark 2.24 that $\sup\{\xi\text{-}\mathcal{G}\text{pd } T \mid T \in \mathcal{C}\} = \sup\{\xi\text{-}\mathcal{G}\text{id } T \mid T \in \mathcal{C}\} = \xi\text{-pd } \mathcal{I}(\xi) = \xi\text{-id } \mathcal{P}(\xi)$. \square

Corollary 4.14. *Assume that $\mathcal{P}(\xi)$ is a generating subcategory of \mathcal{C} and $\mathcal{I}(\xi)$ is a cogenerating subcategory of \mathcal{C} , and assume that $(\mathcal{GP}(\xi), \mathcal{P}(\xi))$ is a left n -cotorsion pair in \mathcal{C} , and $(\mathcal{I}(\xi), \mathcal{GI}(\xi))$ is a right m -cotorsion pair in \mathcal{C} . Then we have*

- (1) $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{GP}(\xi)_n^{\wedge} = {}^{\perp n}(\mathcal{P}(\xi)_{n-1}^{\wedge})$.
- (2) $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{GI}(\xi)_m^{\vee} = (\mathcal{I}(\xi)_{m-1}^{\vee})^{\perp m}$.

Proof. We only prove (1), and (2) is dual. By Proposition 4.13(1) $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{GP}(\xi)_n^\wedge$. By Theorem 3.4, $\mathcal{GP}(\xi) = {}^{\perp 1}(\mathcal{P}(\xi)_{n-1}^\wedge)$. Moreover, $\mathcal{P}(\xi)_{n-1}^\wedge \subseteq (\mathcal{P}(\xi)_{n-1}^\wedge)_n^\wedge$, thus $(\mathcal{GP}(\xi), (\mathcal{P}(\xi)_{n-1}^\wedge)_n^\wedge)$ is a left $(n+1)$ -cotorsion pair in \mathcal{C} . Then $\mathcal{C} = {}^{\perp n}(\mathcal{P}(\xi)_{n-1}^\wedge)$ by Corollary 3.11. \square

Hashimoto [10] introduced and studied relative Auslander-Buchweitz contexts in abelian categories. Ma et al. [18] introduced and studied relative Auslander-Buchweitz contexts in triangulated categories with a proper class of triangles. Motivated by it, we will introduce and study the left (weak) Auslander-Buchweitz contexts with respect to ξ in an extriangulated category.

Definition 4.15. Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be subcategories of \mathcal{C} . Set $\omega := \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}$. We say that $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ is a *left weak Auslander-Buchweitz context* (*left weak AB context* for short) in \mathcal{C} if the following conditions are satisfied.

(LAB1) (\mathcal{A}, ω) is a left Frobenius pair in \mathcal{C} .

(LAB2) \mathcal{B} is closed under direct summands, ξ -extensions and cones of ξ -inflations.

(LAB3) $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}^\wedge$.

A left weak AB context $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ is called a *left AB context* if the following condition is satisfied.

(LAB4) $\mathcal{A}^\wedge = \mathcal{C}$.

The following proposition provides a method to obtain left (weak) AB contexts from left Frobenius pairs in \mathcal{C} .

Proposition 4.16. *Let (\mathcal{X}, ω) be a left Frobenius pair in \mathcal{C} . Then $(\mathcal{X}, \omega^\wedge)$ is a left weak AB context in \mathcal{C} . Moreover, if $\mathcal{X}^\wedge = \mathcal{C}$, then $(\mathcal{X}, \omega^\wedge)$ is a left AB context in \mathcal{C} .*

Proof. By Proposition 4.1, $\mathcal{X} \cap \omega^\wedge = \omega$. By Corollary 4.3, $\omega^\wedge = \mathcal{X}^\perp \cap \mathcal{X}^\wedge$. Moreover, by Theorem 4.10, ω^\wedge is closed under direct summands, ξ -extensions and cones of ξ -inflations. Clearly, $\omega^\wedge \subseteq \mathcal{X}^\wedge$. It follows that $(\mathcal{X}, \omega^\wedge)$ is a left weak AB context in \mathcal{C} . \square

The following proposition provides a method to obtain cotorsion pairs from left AB contexts in \mathcal{C} .

Proposition 4.17. *Let $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ be a left weak AB context in \mathcal{C} . Set $\omega := \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}$. Then*

$$\omega = \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{A}^\perp \text{ and } \omega^\wedge = \mathcal{B}.$$

Moreover, the following statements are equivalent.

(1) $\mathcal{A}^\wedge = \mathcal{C}$.

(2) $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ is a cotorsion pair in \mathcal{C} .

If one of the above conditions holds, then \mathcal{A} is resolving.

Proof. By assumption, (\mathcal{A}, ω) is a left Frobenius pair in \mathcal{C} . Then $\omega = \mathcal{A} \cap \omega^\wedge$ and $\omega^\wedge = \mathcal{A}^\perp \cap \mathcal{A}^\wedge$ by Proposition 4.1 and Corollary 4.3. Thus $\omega = \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{A}^\perp \cap \mathcal{A}^\wedge = \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{A}^\perp$.

For the second equality. Firstly, $\omega^\wedge \subseteq \mathcal{B}$. Conversely, let $X \in \mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}^\wedge$. By Proposition 4.2, there is a \mathbb{E} -triangle $K \rightarrow A \rightarrow X \rightarrow$ in ξ with $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $K \in \omega^\wedge \subseteq \mathcal{B}$. Then $A \in \mathcal{B}$. Thus $A \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B} = \omega$, and hence $X \in \omega^\wedge$, which implies $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \omega^\wedge$. Therefore, $\mathcal{B} = \omega^\wedge$.

Next we prove (1) \iff (2).

(1) \implies (2) By Proposition 4.1, we have $\mathcal{A} \subseteq {}^{\perp}(\omega^\wedge)$ and $\xi \text{xt}_\xi^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) = 0$. Assume that $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{A}^\wedge$. Then for any $T \in \mathcal{C}$, there are \mathbb{E} -triangles $B \rightarrow A \rightarrow T \rightarrow$ and $T \rightarrow B' \rightarrow A' \rightarrow$

in ξ with $A, A' \in \mathcal{A}$ and $B, B' \in \omega^\wedge = \mathcal{B}$ by Proposition 4.2. Thus $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} = \omega^\wedge)$ is a cotorsion pair in \mathcal{C} .

(2) \implies (1) Clearly. \square

The following proposition provides a method to obtain left Frobenius pairs and left (weak) AB contexts from cotorsion pairs in \mathcal{C} .

Proposition 4.18. *Let $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ be a cotorsion pair in \mathcal{C} with \mathcal{U} a resolving subcategory. Set $\omega := \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{V}$. Then (\mathcal{U}, ω) is a left Frobenius pair in \mathcal{C} .*

Moreover, we have the following assertions.

- (1) *If $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{U}^\wedge$, then $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ is a left weak AB context in \mathcal{C} .*
- (2) *If $\mathcal{U}^\wedge = \mathcal{C}$, then $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ is a left AB context in \mathcal{C} .*

Proof. First of all, \mathcal{U} is closed under ξ -extensions and cocones of ξ -deflations, and $\omega := \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{V}$ is closed under direct summands. Moreover, by Corollary 3.8, $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{U}^\perp$ and $\omega \subseteq \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{U}^\perp$, that is, ω is \mathcal{U} -injective. Now, let $U \in \mathcal{U}$. Then there is an \mathbb{E} -triangle $U \rightarrow V' \rightarrow U' \rightarrow$ in ξ with $U' \in \mathcal{U}$ and $V' \in \mathcal{V}$. Since \mathcal{U} is closed under ξ -extensions, then $V' \in \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{V} = \omega$, which shows that ω is a ξ -cogenerator in \mathcal{U} . Therefore, (\mathcal{U}, ω) is a left Frobenius pair in \mathcal{C} .

(1) By Corollary 3.8, \mathcal{V} is closed under ξ -extensions and cones of ξ -inflations. Since $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{U}^\wedge$ by assumption, $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ is a left weak AB context in \mathcal{C} .

(2) It is clear by (1). \square

Now we give the main result of this paper.

Theorem 4.19. *For an integer $n \geq 1$, consider the following classes:*

$\mathfrak{A} := \{A \text{ pair } (\mathcal{X}, \omega) \text{ in } \mathcal{C} \mid (\mathcal{X}, \omega) \text{ is a left Frobenius pair in } \mathcal{C}\},$

$\mathfrak{B} := \{A \text{ pair } (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \text{ in } \mathcal{C} \mid (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \text{ is a left weak } AB \text{ context}\},$

$\mathfrak{C} := \{A \text{ pair } (\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}) \text{ in } \mathcal{C} \mid (\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}) \text{ is a cotorsion pair in } \mathcal{C} \text{ with } \mathcal{U} \text{ resolving and } \mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{U}^\wedge\},$

$\mathfrak{D} := \{A \text{ pair } (\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}) \text{ in } \mathcal{C} \mid (\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}) \text{ is an } n\text{-cotorsion pair in } \mathcal{C} \text{ with } \mathcal{U} \text{ resolving and } \mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{U}^\wedge\}.$

Then we have

- (1) *There is a one-to-one correspondence between \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{B} given by*

$$\Phi : \mathfrak{A} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{B}, \quad (\mathcal{X}, \omega) \mapsto (\mathcal{X}, \omega^\wedge),$$

$$\Psi : \mathfrak{B} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{A}, \quad (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \mapsto (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}).$$

- (2) $\mathfrak{C} \subseteq \mathfrak{B}$.

- (3) $\mathfrak{C} = \mathfrak{D}$.

Proof. (1) First of all, Φ is well-defined by Proposition 4.16. Next we prove $\Phi\Psi = \text{Id}_{\mathfrak{B}}$ and $\Psi\Phi = \text{Id}_{\mathfrak{A}}$. Let $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \in \mathfrak{B}$. Then $\Phi\Psi(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) = \Phi(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}) = (\mathcal{A}, (\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B})^\wedge)$. By Proposition 4.17, $\mathcal{B} = (\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B})^\wedge$. It follows that $\Phi\Psi(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) = (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ and $\Phi\Psi = \text{Id}_{\mathfrak{B}}$. Conversely, let $(\mathcal{X}, \omega) \in \mathfrak{A}$. Then $\Psi\Phi(\mathcal{X}, \omega) = \Psi(\mathcal{X}, \omega^\wedge) = (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X} \cap \omega^\wedge)$. Since $\mathcal{X} \cap \omega^\wedge = \omega$ by Proposition 4.1, we have $\Psi\Phi(\mathcal{X}, \omega) = (\mathcal{X}, \omega)$ and $\Psi\Phi = \text{Id}_{\mathfrak{A}}$.

- (2) It follows from Proposition 4.18.

- (3) It follows from Proposition 3.7. \square

Furthermore, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 4.20. *For an integer $n \geq 1$, consider the following classes:*

$$\mathfrak{A}' := \{A \text{ pair } (\mathcal{X}, \omega) \text{ in } \mathcal{C} : (\mathcal{X}, \omega) \text{ is a left Frobenius pair with } \mathcal{X}^\wedge = \mathcal{C}\},$$

$$\mathfrak{B}' := \{A \text{ pair } (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \text{ in } \mathcal{C} : (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \text{ is a left AB context}\},$$

$$\mathfrak{C}' := \{A \text{ pair } (\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}) \text{ in } \mathcal{C} : (\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}) \text{ is a cotorsion pair in } \mathcal{C} \text{ with } \mathcal{U} \text{ resolving and } \mathcal{U}^\wedge = \mathcal{C}\},$$

$$\mathfrak{D}' := \{A \text{ pair } (\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}) \text{ in } \mathcal{C} : (\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}) \text{ is an } n\text{-cotorsion pair in } \mathcal{C} \text{ with } \mathcal{U} \text{ resolving and } \mathcal{U}^\wedge = \mathcal{C}\}.$$

Then $\mathfrak{B}' = \mathfrak{C}' = \mathfrak{D}'$ and there is a one-to-one correspondence between \mathfrak{A}' and \mathfrak{B}' .

Proof. By Theorem 4.19, it suffices to show $\mathfrak{B} \subseteq \mathfrak{C}$. Now the assertion follows from Proposition 4.17. \square

Following Example 4.7(1) and Theorem 4.19, we have that $(\mathcal{GP}(\xi), \mathcal{P}(\xi)^\wedge)$ is a left weak AB context in \mathcal{C} . In addition, if $\sup\{\xi\text{-}\mathcal{G} \text{ pd } T \mid T \in \mathcal{C}\} < \infty$, then $(\mathcal{GP}(\xi), \mathcal{P}(\xi)^\wedge)$ is a left AB context by Theorem 4.20.

Now let $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{T}$ be a triangulated category, [1] the shift functor, and $\mathbb{E} = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(-, -[1])$. Then we recover Ma-Zhao-Huang's result as follows.

Corollary 4.21. ([18, Theorem 4.23]) *Let \mathcal{C} be a triangulated category with a proper class of triangles. For an integer $n \geq 1$, consider the following classes:*

$$\mathfrak{A}' := \{A \text{ pair } (\mathcal{X}, \omega) \text{ in } \mathcal{T} : (\mathcal{X}, \omega) \text{ is a left Frobenius pair with } \mathcal{X}^\wedge = \mathcal{T}\},$$

$$\mathfrak{B}' := \{A \text{ pair } (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \text{ in } \mathcal{T} : (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \text{ is a left AB context}\},$$

$$\mathfrak{C}' := \{A \text{ pair } (\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}) \text{ in } \mathcal{T} : (\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}) \text{ is a cotorsion pair in } \mathcal{T} \text{ with } \mathcal{U} \text{ resolving and } \mathcal{U}^\wedge = \mathcal{T}\},$$

$$\mathfrak{D}' := \{A \text{ pair } (\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}) \text{ in } \mathcal{T} : (\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}) \text{ is an } n\text{-cotorsion pair in } \mathcal{C} \text{ with } \mathcal{U} \text{ resolving and } \mathcal{U}^\wedge = \mathcal{T}\}.$$

Then $\mathfrak{B}' = \mathfrak{C}' = \mathfrak{D}'$ and there is a one-to-one correspondence between \mathfrak{A}' and \mathfrak{B}' .

Finally, let $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{A}$ be an abelian category, and $\mathbb{E} = \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^1(-, -)$. Then we recover partially Becerril-Mendoza-Pérez-Santiago's result as follows.

Corollary 4.22. (cf. [4, Theorem 5.4]) *Let \mathcal{A} be an abelian category. For an integer $n \geq 1$, consider the following classes:*

$$\mathfrak{A}' := \{A \text{ pair } (\mathcal{X}, \omega) \text{ in } \mathcal{A} : (\mathcal{X}, \omega) \text{ is a left Frobenius pair with } \mathcal{X}^\wedge = \mathcal{A}\},$$

$$\mathfrak{B}' := \{A \text{ pair } (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \text{ in } \mathcal{A} : (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \text{ is a left AB context}\},$$

$$\mathfrak{C}' := \{A \text{ pair } (\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}) \text{ in } \mathcal{A} : (\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}) \text{ is a cotorsion pair in } \mathcal{A} \text{ with } \mathcal{U} \text{ resolving and } \mathcal{U}^\wedge = \mathcal{A}\},$$

$$\mathfrak{D}' := \{A \text{ pair } (\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}) \text{ in } \mathcal{A} : (\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}) \text{ is an } n\text{-cotorsion pair in } \mathcal{C} \text{ with } \mathcal{U} \text{ resolving and } \mathcal{U}^\wedge = \mathcal{A}\}.$$

Then $\mathfrak{B}' = \mathfrak{C}' = \mathfrak{D}'$ and there is a one-to-one correspondence between \mathfrak{A}' and \mathfrak{B}' .

Acknowledgment

This work was funded by the project ZR2021QA001 supported by Shandong Provincial Natural Science Foundation.

References

- [1] Adachi, T., Tsukamoto, M. (2022). Hereditary cotorsion pairs and silting subcategories in extriangulated categories. *J. Algebra* 594: 109–137. DOI: 10.1016/j.jalgebra.2021.11.029
- [2] Angeleri-Hügel, L., Mendoza, O. (2009). Homological dimensions in cotorsion pairs. *Illinois J. Math.* 53: 251–263. DOI: 10.1215/ijm/1264170849
- [3] Auslander, M., Buchweitz, R. O. (1989). The homological theory of maximal Cohen-Macaulay approximations. *Mem. Soc. Math. France.* 38: 5–37. DOI: 10.24033/msmf.339
- [4] Becerril, V., Mendoza, O., Pérez, M. A., Santiago, V. (2019). Frobenius pairs in abelian categories. *J. Homotopy Relat. Struct.* 14: 1–50. DOI: 10.1007/s40062-018-0208-4
- [5] Bühler, T. (2010). Exact categories. *Expo. Math.* 28: 1–69. DOI: 10.1016/j.exmath.2009.04.004
- [6] Crivei, S., Torrecillas, B. (2008). On some monic covers and epic envelopes. *Arab. J. Sci. Eng.* 33: 123–135.
- [7] Eklof, P., Trlifaj, J. (2001). How to make Ext vanish. *Bull. London Math. Soc.* 33: 41–51. DOI: 10.1112/blms/33.1.41
- [8] Enochs, E., Jenda, O. M. G. (2000). *Relative Homological Algebra*. Expositions in Math. 30. Berlin, Germany: De Gruyter.
- [9] Göbel, R., Trlifaj, J. (2006). *Approximations and Endomorphism Algebras of Modules*. Expositions in Math. 41, Berlin, Germany: De Gruyter.
- [10] Hashimoto, M. (2000). *Auslander-Buchweitz Approximations of Equivariant Modules*. London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. 282. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- [11] He, J., Zhou, P. (2022). On the relation between n -cotorsion pairs and $(n+1)$ -cluster tilting subcategories. *J. Algebra Appl.* 21(1): 2250011 (12 pp). DOI: 10.1142/S0219498822500116
- [12] Hu, J., Zhang, D., Zhou, P. (2020). Proper classes and Gorensteinness in extriangulated categories. *J. Algebra* 551: 23–60. DOI: 10.1016/j.jalgebra.2019.12.028
- [13] Hu, J., Zhang, D., Zhou, P. (2021). Gorenstein homological dimensions for extriangulated categories. *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.* 44: 2235–2252. DOI: 10.1007/s40840-020-01057-9
- [14] Hu, J., Zhang, D., Zhao, T., Zhou, P. (2021). Complete cohomology for extriangulated categories. *Algebra Colloq.* 28(4): 701–720. DOI: 10.1142/S1005386721000547
- [15] Huerta, M., Mendoza, O., Pérez, M. A. (2021). n -cotorsion pairs. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra.* 225(5): 106556 (34 pp). DOI: 10.1016/j.jpaa.2020.106556
- [16] Liu, Y., Nakaoka, H. (2019). Hearts of twin cotorsion pairs on extriangulated categories. *J. Algebra.* 528: 96–149. DOI: 10.1016/j.jalgebra.2019.03.005
- [17] Ma, X., Zhao, T. (2021). Resolving resolution dimensions in triangulated categories. *Open Math.* 19(1): 121–143. DOI: 10.1515/math-2021-0013
- [18] Ma, X., Zhao, T., Huang, Z. (2021). Left Frobenius pairs, cotorsion pairs and weak Auslander-Buchweitz contexts in triangulated categories. *Algebra Colloq.* In press.
- [19] Ma, Y., Ding, N., Zhang, Y. (2020). Auslander-Buchweitz approximation theory for extriangulated categories. arXiv: 2006.05112v2. Available at: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2006.05112>
- [20] Nakaoka, H., Ogawa, Y., Sakai, A. (2021). Localization of extriangulated categories. arXiv: 2103.16907v2. Available at: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2103.16907>
- [21] Nakaoka, H., Palu, Y. (2019). Extriangulated categories, Hovey twin cotorsion pairs and model structures. *Cah. Topol. Géom. Différ. Catég.* 60(2): 117–193.
- [22] Salce, L. (1979). *Cotorsion Theories for Abelian Groups*. Symposia Mathematica, Vol. XXIII. London, UK: Academic Press, pp. 11–32.
- [23] Vaso, L. (2021). Gluing of n -cluster tilting subcategories for representation-directed alge-

- bras. *Algebr. Represent. Theor.* 24: 715–781. DOI: 10.1007/s10468-020-09967-9
- [24] Zhou, P., Zhu, B. (2018). Triangulated quotient categories revisited. *J. Algebra.* 502: 196–232. DOI: 10.1016/j.jalgebra.2018.01.031
- [25] Zhu, B., Zhuang, X. (2021). Grothendieck groups in extriangulated categories. *J. Algebra.* 574: 206–232. DOI: 10.1016/j.jalgebra.2021.01.029