

# The streamlines of $\infty$ -harmonic functions obey the inverse mean curvature flow

Roger Moser\*

August 13, 2021

## Abstract

Given an  $\infty$ -harmonic function  $u_\infty$  on a domain  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ , consider the function  $w = -\log |\nabla u_\infty|$ . If  $u_\infty \in C^2(\Omega)$  with  $\nabla u_\infty \neq 0$  and  $\nabla |\nabla u_\infty| \neq 0$ , then it is easy to check that

- the streamlines of  $u_\infty$  are the level sets of  $w$  and
- $w$  solves the level set formulation of the inverse mean curvature flow.

For less regular solutions, neither statement is true in general, but even so,  $w$  is still a weak solution of the inverse mean curvature flow under far weaker assumptions. This is proved through an approximation of  $u_\infty$  by  $p$ -harmonic functions, the use of conjugate  $p'$ -harmonic functions, and the known connection of the latter with the inverse mean curvature flow. A statement about the regularity of  $|\nabla u_\infty|$  arises as a by-product.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$  be an open set. A function  $u_\infty \in C^0(\Omega)$  is called  $\infty$ -harmonic if it is a viscosity solution of the Aronsson equation

$$\left(\frac{\partial u_\infty}{\partial x_1}\right)^2 \frac{\partial^2 u_\infty}{\partial x_1^2} + 2 \frac{\partial u_\infty}{\partial x_1} \frac{\partial u_\infty}{\partial x_2} \frac{\partial^2 u_\infty}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} + \left(\frac{\partial u_\infty}{\partial x_2}\right)^2 \frac{\partial^2 u_\infty}{\partial x_2^2} = 0. \quad (1)$$

This equation was introduced by Aronsson [1, 2], motivated by optimal Lipschitz extensions of the boundary data, and has been studied extensively since then. Highlights of the theory include existence [5] and uniqueness [10] of solutions for boundary value problems associated to (1), regularity results [35, 8], and connections to stochastic tug-of-war games [33].

For  $u_\infty \in C^2(\Omega)$ , equation (1) may alternatively be represented as

$$\nabla u_\infty \cdot \nabla |\nabla u_\infty|^2 = 0.$$

It is then obvious that the function  $|\nabla u_\infty|$  is constant along the streamlines of  $u_\infty$ , i.e., along the curves in  $\Omega$  arising through the solutions of the ordinary differential equation  $\dot{\gamma}(t) = \nabla u_\infty(\gamma(t))$ . This is one of the reasons why the

---

\*Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Bath, Bath BA2 7AY, UK. E-mail: r.moser@bath.ac.uk

streamlines of an  $\infty$ -harmonic function are of particular interest and have received some attention in the literature [2, 25, 26]. In general, however, viscosity solutions of (1) are not  $C^2$ -regular. It was shown by Evans and Savin [8] that they are of class  $C^{1,\alpha}$  for some  $\alpha > 0$ . As the example  $u_\infty(x) = |x_1|^{4/3} - |x_2|^{4/3}$  of Aronsson [3] shows, no exponent better than  $\alpha = 1/3$  can be expected. Nevertheless, at least in an annular domain with boundary values 0 and 1, respectively, on the two boundary components, it was shown by Lindgren and Lindqvist [25, 26] that  $|\nabla u_\infty|$  is constant along streamlines that are generic in some sense. There is also a weaker statement that is true in general (see, e.g., the description by Crandall [6, Section 6]).

If  $u_\infty \in C^2(\Omega)$  is a solution of (1) with  $\nabla u_\infty \neq 0$  and  $\nabla|\nabla u_\infty| \neq 0$ , then we also conclude that

$$\frac{\nabla|\nabla u_\infty|}{|\nabla|\nabla u_\infty||} = \pm \frac{\nabla^\perp u_\infty}{|\nabla u_\infty|},$$

where we write  $\nabla^\perp = (-\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1})$ . Hence the function  $w = -\log|\nabla u_\infty|$  satisfies

$$\operatorname{div} \left( \frac{\nabla w}{|\nabla w|} \right) = \mp \operatorname{div} \left( \frac{\nabla^\perp u_\infty}{|\nabla u_\infty|} \right) = \pm \frac{\nabla^\perp u_\infty \cdot \nabla|\nabla u_\infty|}{|\nabla u_\infty|^2} = |\nabla w|.$$

The equation

$$\operatorname{div} \left( \frac{\nabla w}{|\nabla w|} \right) = |\nabla w| \tag{2}$$

has a geometric interpretation: it is the level set formulation of the inverse mean curvature flow.

The inverse mean curvature flow is an evolution equation for hypersurfaces. It is often studied on a Riemannian manifold, but we explain it here for an open set  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ . Consider an oriented  $(n-1)$ -dimensional manifold  $N$  and a smooth map  $\phi: [0, T) \times N \rightarrow \Omega$  such that  $N_t = \phi(\{t\} \times N)$  is an immersed hypersurface for every  $t \in [0, T)$ . Suppose that  $\nu: [0, T) \times N \rightarrow S^{n-1}$  is a smooth map such that  $\nu(t, \cdot)$  is a normal vector field on  $N_t \subseteq \Omega$  for every  $t$ , and let  $H: [0, T) \times N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be the function such that  $H(t, \cdot)$  is the corresponding (scalar) mean curvature of  $N_t$ . We say that  $\phi$  is a classical solution of the inverse mean curvature flow if

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} = \frac{\nu}{H} \tag{3}$$

in  $(0, t) \times N$ . This is a parabolic equation, so we may hope to solve it for a prescribed initial hypersurface  $N_0$  under suitable boundary conditions. But this is not always possible, either because  $H$  has zeroes at  $t = 0$  or because singularities develop in finite time. For this reason, a weak notion of solutions was proposed by Huisken and Ilmanen [9], based on a level set formulation. The underlying idea is to look for a function  $w: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $N_t = w^{-1}(\{t\})$ . As long as  $w$  is sufficiently smooth and  $\nabla w \neq 0$ , equation (3) is equivalent to (2).

Equation (2), however, allows a weak interpretation as well. Huisken and Ilmanen use a variational principle for this purpose. In this paper, we use a different formulation, which is more convenient for our main results and perhaps more intuitive, too. We will see in Section 5, however, that the following condition implies that  $w$  is a weak solution in the sense of Huisken and Ilmanen, as long as we impose enough regularity such that the latter makes sense.

**Definition 1.** A function  $w \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}(\Omega)$  is called a weak solution of (2) if there exists a measurable vector field  $F: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $|F| \leq 1$  and  $F \cdot \nabla w = |\nabla w|$  almost everywhere in  $\Omega$  and  $\text{div } F = |\nabla w|$  weakly in  $\Omega$ .

We now restrict our attention to  $n = 2$  again. For  $w = -\log |\nabla u_\infty|$ , equation (2) means that the level sets of  $|\nabla u_\infty|$  move by the inverse mean curvature flow. Furthermore, under the above regularity assumptions, the level sets of  $|\nabla u_\infty|$  are the streamlines of  $u_\infty$ .

We study the question to what extent these observations persist when we remove the regularity assumptions. It is not true in general that viscosity solutions of (1) give rise even to weak solutions of (2). The function  $u_\infty(x) = |x_1|^{4/3} - |x_2|^{4/3}$  provides a counterexample here, too. In this case, as  $\nabla |\nabla u_\infty| \neq 0$  almost everywhere, there is only one possible choice for the vector field  $F$  from Definition 1. We can then check that (2) does not hold on the coordinate axes. By contrast, the function  $u_\infty(x) = \xi \cdot x$ , for a constant  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ , may appear an unlikely candidate for the inverse mean curvature flow. Its streamlines are straight lines and the curvature vanishes identically. But in the formulation of Definition 1 (and also in the formulation of Huisken and Ilmanen [9]), the inverse mean curvature flow can deal with this situation. It is easily seen that any constant function is a weak solution of (2). If  $\nabla w = 0$ , the geometric interpretation is that rather than moving continuously, the hypersurfaces jump instantaneously. This is indeed the typical way in which the weak inverse mean curvature flow resolves singularities.

We can prove that  $w = -\log |\nabla u_\infty|$  does solve (2) weakly under an additional assumption, which is based on the idea that the function  $|\nabla u_\infty|$  is monotone along the level sets of  $u_\infty$ . This induces a sense of direction on the level sets and, by comparison with  $\nabla u_\infty$ , an orientation of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Writing  $B_r(x)$  for the open disk in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with centre  $x$  and radius  $r$ , we can formalise this notion as follows.

**Definition 2.** Let  $u_\infty \in C^1(\Omega)$  with  $\nabla u_\infty \neq 0$  everywhere. For  $G \subseteq \Omega$ , an orientation of  $u_\infty$  in  $G$  is a continuous function  $\omega: G \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$  such that for any  $x \in G$  there exists  $r > 0$  with the following property: for all  $y, z \in B_r(x) \cap G$ , if  $u_\infty(y) = u_\infty(z)$  and  $(z - y) \cdot \nabla^\perp u_\infty(x) \geq 0$ , then

$$\omega(x)|\nabla u_\infty(z)| \geq \omega(x)|\nabla u_\infty(y)|.$$

For example, the function  $u_\infty(x) = |x_1|^{4/3} - |x_2|^{4/3}$  has no orientation in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , but does have an orientation in  $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^2: x_1 x_2 \neq 0\}$ , which is  $\omega(x) = \frac{x_1 x_2}{|x_1| |x_2|}$ . More generally, if  $u_\infty \in C^2(\Omega)$  with  $\nabla u_\infty \neq 0$  and  $\nabla |\nabla u_\infty| \neq 0$ , then  $u_\infty$  has an orientation in  $\Omega$ , which coincides with the orientation of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  induced by the pair of vectors  $(\nabla u_\infty, \nabla |\nabla u_\infty|)$ . The function  $\nabla u_\infty(x) = \xi \cdot x$  also has an orientation (in fact more than one).

**Theorem 3.** Let  $u_\infty \in C^1(\Omega)$  be an  $\infty$ -harmonic function with  $\nabla u_\infty \neq 0$  in  $\Omega$ . Suppose that  $\omega$  is an orientation of  $u_\infty$  in  $\Omega$ . Then  $|\nabla u_\infty|$  belongs to  $W_{\text{loc}}^{1,q}(\Omega)$  for all  $q < \infty$  and satisfies

$$|\nabla |\nabla u_\infty|| \frac{\nabla^\perp u_\infty}{|\nabla u_\infty|} = \omega \nabla |\nabla u_\infty| \quad (4)$$

almost everywhere and

$$\operatorname{div} \left( \frac{\nabla^\perp u_\infty}{|\nabla u_\infty|} \right) = -\omega \frac{|\nabla |\nabla u_\infty||}{|\nabla u_\infty|} \quad (5)$$

weakly in  $\Omega$ . Hence the function  $w = -\log |\nabla u_\infty|$  is a weak solution of (2).

Equation (4) may be regarded as another representation of the Aronsson equation (1). Equation (5), on the other hand, provides additional information about the behaviour of the solutions.

It is already known from work of Koch, Zhang, and Zhou [19] that  $|\nabla u_\infty| \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,2}(\Omega)$ . Theorem 3 improves this regularity to  $W_{\text{loc}}^{1,q}(\Omega)$  for any  $q < \infty$ , but only under the additional conditions that  $\nabla u_\infty \neq 0$  and there is an orientation. As discussed in the aforementioned paper, this is false in general. A counterexample is given by our usual suspect  $u_\infty(x) = |x_1|^{4/3} - |x_2|^{4/3}$ . Nevertheless, the regularity statement from Theorem 3 can be improved somewhat.

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $u_\infty \in C^1(\Omega)$  be an  $\infty$ -harmonic function with  $\nabla u_\infty \neq 0$  in  $\Omega$ . Let  $G \subseteq \Omega$  be an open set and  $\Gamma \subset \partial G \cap \Omega$ . Suppose that  $\omega$  is an orientation of  $u_\infty$  in  $G \cup \Gamma$ . Suppose further that for every  $x \in \Gamma$  there exist  $r > 0$  and a Lipschitz function  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that*

$$G \cap B_r(x) = \{y \in B_r(x) : \omega(x)y \cdot \nabla^\perp u_\infty(x) < f(y \cdot \nabla u_\infty(x))\}.$$

*Let  $U \subseteq G$  be an open set with  $\overline{U} \subseteq G \cup \Gamma$ . Then  $|\nabla u_\infty| \in W^{1,q}(U)$  for every  $q < \infty$ .*

In less technical terms, we require that  $|\nabla u_\infty|$  is non-decreasing if we travel along a level set of  $u_\infty$  inside  $G$  towards  $\Gamma$ . There is no such restriction outside of  $\Gamma$ . In some cases, when  $|\nabla u_\infty|$  has local maxima on  $\Gamma$ , it may be possible to apply the theorem on the other side of  $\Gamma$  as well with the opposite orientation. Even then, however, it does not follow that  $-\log |\nabla u_\infty|$  will satisfy equation (2) on  $\Gamma$ .

The assumption that  $f$  is Lipschitz continuous is stronger than necessary; we use it for the sake of a simpler statement. A weaker assumption is used in Proposition 5 below.

Clearly we need some prior information about the behaviour of  $u_\infty$  before we can apply a result such as this. Such information is available, for example, for the  $\infty$ -harmonic functions studied by Lindgren and Lindqvist [25, 26]. Combining their results with Theorem 4, we see that under the assumptions of the second paper [26], we have local  $W^{1,q}$ -regularity of  $|\nabla u_\infty|$  for all  $q < \infty$  away from what Lindgren and Lindqvist call the attracting streamlines.

The main purpose of this paper, however, is not to provide regularity results, but to explore the relationship between  $\infty$ -harmonic functions and the inverse mean curvature flow. It seems that this has not been discussed in the literature before even in the smooth case, although some related calculations are present in the work of Aronsson [2] and Evans [7]. The proof of Theorem 3 shows that the connection is in fact deeper than the simple calculations at the beginning of the introduction suggest. The arguments are based on the following ideas, explained here for  $u_\infty \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$  when  $\Omega$  is a simply connected domain with Lipschitz boundary.

According to the results of Jensen [10], an  $\infty$ -harmonic function can be approximated by  $p$ -harmonic functions. Let therefore  $u_p$  denote the unique minimisers of the functionals

$$E_p(u) = \left( \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx \right)^{1/p}$$

in the spaces  $u_{\infty} + W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ . Then they satisfy the  $p$ -Laplace equation

$$\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u_p|^{p-2} \nabla u_p) = 0.$$

We use the notation  $\Delta_p u = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u)$  for the  $p$ -Laplace operator; then we can write this equation in the form  $\Delta_p u_p = 0$ .

We eventually consider the limit  $p \rightarrow \infty$ , but for the moment we fix  $p < \infty$ . Let  $p'$  be its conjugate exponent with  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$ . Then we note that  $\operatorname{curl}(|\nabla u_p|^{p-2} \nabla^{\perp} u_p) = \Delta_p u_p = 0$ . Hence there exists  $v_p \in W^{1,p'}(\Omega)$  satisfying

$$\nabla v_p = \omega |\nabla u_p|^{p-2} \nabla^{\perp} u_p.$$

Then we compute  $|\nabla v_p|^{p'-2} \nabla v_p = \omega \nabla^{\perp} u_p$ . Therefore,

$$\Delta_{p'} v_p = 0.$$

Thus we have the same sort of equation, but we can now consider the limit  $p' \rightarrow 1$ . The duality between these two problems has been exploited for different purposes before [4, 27], but the consequences for the limit behaviour have never been studied in detail, perhaps because swapping  $p \rightarrow \infty$  for  $p' \rightarrow 1$  does not seem helpful superficially. Here, however, is where the inverse mean curvature flow and its  $p'$ -approximation come into play.

Set  $w_p = \frac{1}{1-p} \log v_p$ . Then we compute

$$\Delta_{p'} w_p = |\nabla w_p|^{p'}.$$

Equation (2) arises as the formal limit as  $p' \rightarrow 1$ . This connection between  $p'$ -harmonic functions and the inverse mean curvature flow has been used before to construct weak solutions of the latter [29, 30, 20, 31]. In the context of  $\infty$ -harmonic functions, the beauty in this transformation is that it removes some of the degenerate behaviour that arises for  $v_p$  in the limit.

Next we use some tools developed for the inverse mean curvature flow [29, 31] to show that we have at least a sequence  $p_k \rightarrow \infty$  such that  $w_{p_k}$  converges weakly in  $W_{\text{loc}}^{1,q}(\Omega)$ , for any  $q < \infty$ , to a weak solution  $w$  of (2). Then we can reverse the above transformations to see what this means for  $u_{\infty}$ . We compute

$$|\nabla w_p|^{p'-2} \nabla w_p = -(p' - 1)^{p'-1} \omega e^{w_p} \nabla^{\perp} u_p.$$

The left-hand side, at least if restricted to a certain subsequence, will converge weakly in  $L_{\text{loc}}^q(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^2)$  for every  $q < \infty$  to a vector field  $F$  satisfying the conditions from Definition 1. The right-hand side converges to  $-\omega e^w \nabla^{\perp} u_{\infty}$ . At almost every point  $x \in \Omega$  such that  $\nabla w(x) \neq 0$ , we conclude that

$$\frac{\nabla w(x)}{|\nabla w(x)|} = -\omega e^{w(x)} \nabla^{\perp} u_{\infty}(x),$$

and at such a point we therefore recover the relationship  $w(x) = -\log |\nabla u_\infty(x)|$  and also equations (4) and (5).

But it is possible that  $\nabla w$  vanishes, and this is indeed expected for situations such as when  $\nabla u_\infty$  is constant. In this case, we need much better information about the functions  $w_p$ , and this is the most intricate part of the proof. We do not go into the details here, but because of the technical difficulties arising when  $\nabla w = 0$ , we will first consider a small neighbourhood of a given point where  $\nabla u_\infty$  is nearly constant. As a consequence, we need to show at the end of the proof that a local weak solution of the inverse mean curvature flow gives rise to a global weak solution. This is the main reason why we favour Definition 1 over the definition of Huisken and Ilmanen [9]. At least in the presence of equations (4) and (5), this step turns out to be quite straightforward.

This strategy resembles some arguments that have been used for several higher order variational problems related to the Aronsson equation [32, 34, 17, 18, 28]. These papers study minimisers of certain functionals involving the  $L^\infty$ -norm. They rely on the idea of approximating the  $L^\infty$ -norm by the  $L^p$ -norm for  $p < \infty$ , studying minimisers of the resulting functionals, and reformulating the Euler-Lagrange equation in a way that removes the expected degeneracy in the limit  $p \rightarrow \infty$ , so that conclusions about the original problem can be drawn. It is typically quite easy to find bounds for the relevant quantities in the appropriate spaces in this context, but it is necessary and difficult to show that they stay away from 0.

It may seem that the above observations are specific to two-dimensional domains, but they conceivably have a higher-dimensional generalisation—not for the Aronsson equation (1), but for an analogous problem involving differential forms. Indeed, the relationship between  $p'$ -harmonic functions and the  $p'$ -approximation of equation (2) exists for any dimension. If  $d$  denotes the exterior derivative and  $d^*$  its formal  $L^2$ -adjoint, then we may write the equation  $\Delta_{p'} v_p = 0$  in the form  $d^*(|dv_p|^{p'-2} dv_p) = 0$ . Assuming that this is satisfied in a star-shaped domain  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ , it implies that  $|dv_p|^{p'-2} dv_p = d^* u_p$  for some 2-form  $u_p$  on  $\Omega$ . Moreover,  $u_p$  will satisfy  $d(|d^* u_p|^{p-2} d^* u_p) = 0$ , which is the Euler-Lagrange equation for the functional

$$E_p(u) = \left( \frac{1}{p} \int_\Omega |d^* u|^p dx \right)^{1/p}.$$

This suggests that we study the problem of minimising  $\|d^* u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}$  if we wish to find a connection to the inverse mean curvature flow. (If  $n = 3$ , we may alternatively minimise  $\|\operatorname{curl} u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}$  for vector fields  $u: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ .) Indeed, formal calculations analogous to Aronsson's [1] lead to the equation

$$d|d^* u_\infty|^2 \wedge d^* u_\infty = 0. \tag{6}$$

(For  $n = 3$ , we alternatively have the equation  $\nabla |\operatorname{curl} u_\infty|^2 \times \operatorname{curl} u_\infty = 0$ .) But almost nothing is known about this equation; indeed, even the vector-valued optimal Lipschitz extension problem and the Aronsson equation for vector-valued functions  $u: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  with  $N \geq 2$  are poorly understood despite some existing work on the former by Sheffield and Smart [36] and a series of papers on the latter by Katzourakis [11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16]. In particular, several of the tools for the proof of Theorem 3 are missing in higher dimensions, and we have no

results here apart from the following calculations for  $C^2$ -solutions, which are completely analogous to the above calculations for  $n = 2$ .

Suppose that  $u_\infty$  is a 2-form with coefficients in  $C^2(\Omega)$ . If  $u_\infty$  solves (6) and satisfies  $d^*u_\infty \neq 0$  and  $d|d^*u_\infty| \neq 0$  in  $\Omega$ , then we conclude that

$$\frac{d|d^*u_\infty|}{|d|d^*u_\infty||} = \pm \frac{d^*u_\infty}{|d^*u_\infty|}.$$

Define  $w = -\log|d^*u_\infty|$ . Then

$$-d^*\left(\frac{dw}{|dw|}\right) = \pm d^*\left(\frac{d^*u_\infty}{|d^*u_\infty|}\right) = \pm \frac{d|d^*u_\infty| \cdot d^*u_\infty}{|d^*u_\infty|^2} = \frac{|d|d^*u_\infty||}{|d^*u_\infty|} = |dw|.$$

As the operator  $-d^*$  for 1-forms can be identified with the divergence for vector fields, this means that  $w$  solves equation (2). Of course it is no longer appropriate to speak of streamlines here. Their higher-dimensional counterparts are the hypersurfaces characterised by the condition that their tangent vectors  $X$  satisfy  $d^*u_\infty(X) = 0$ , and using (6) we can check that they coincide with the level sets of  $|\nabla u_\infty|$ .

The next few sections are devoted to the proofs of Theorem 3 and Theorem 4. Then, in Section 5, we prove that weak solutions of (2) in the sense of Definition 1 are also weak solutions in the sense of Huisken and Ilmanen [9]. This final section is not essential for the understanding of the main theorems, but it provides a connection with a larger body of literature on the inverse mean curvature flow.

## 2 Reduction to a local result

As discussed in the introduction, we first consider small neighbourhoods of a given point  $x_0 \in \Omega$  where  $\nabla u_\infty$  is nearly constant. We may then rescale these neighbourhoods and thereby renormalise  $\nabla u_\infty(x_0)$  to unit size, using the following observation: if  $u_\infty$  is a given  $\infty$ -harmonic function, then for any  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $r > 0$ , and  $R \in O(2)$ , the rescaled function  $\tilde{u}_\infty(x) = au_\infty(rRx + x_0)$  is also  $\infty$ -harmonic. If  $a \det R > 0$ , then the transformation preserves the orientation, and if  $a \det R < 0$ , it reverses the orientation of  $u_\infty$ . (We do not consider the case  $a = 0$ .)

The following result should be thought of as a statement about  $u_\infty$  after such a rescaling, chosen such that  $\nabla u_\infty(x_0)$  becomes the second standard basis vector and the orientation becomes negative. Here and throughout the rest of the paper, we use the notation  $(e_1, e_2)$  for the standard basis of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , and we also write  $Q_r = (-r, r)^2$  for  $r > 0$ .

**Proposition 5.** *There exists  $\delta > 0$  with the following property. Suppose that  $u_\infty \in C^1(\overline{Q_1})$  is  $\infty$ -harmonic with  $|\nabla u_\infty - e_2| \leq \delta$  in  $\overline{Q_1}$ . For  $t \in [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$ , let  $L_t = \{x \in Q_1 : u_\infty(x) = u_\infty(0, t)\}$ , and suppose that the numbers  $m_t \in [-1, 1]$  satisfy the following condition: for all  $x, y \in L_t$ , if  $x_1 \leq y_1 \leq m_t$ , then  $|\nabla u_\infty(x)| \leq |\nabla u_\infty(y)|$ . Let*

$$M = \bigcup_{t \in [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]} \{x \in L_t : x_1 \leq m_t\}.$$

*Then there exists  $w \in \bigcap_{q < \infty} W^{1,q}(Q_{1/4})$  such that*

(a)  $w \leq -\log |\nabla u_\infty|$  and  $e^w \nabla^\perp u_\infty \cdot \nabla w = |\nabla w|$  almost everywhere in  $Q_{1/4}$ ,

(b)  $w = -\log |\nabla u_\infty|$  in  $Q_{1/4} \cap M$ , and

(c) the equation

$$\operatorname{div}(e^w \nabla^\perp u_\infty) = |\nabla w|$$

holds weakly in  $Q_{1/4}$ .

We give the proof of this result in Section 4 after some auxiliary results in Section 3. But first, we show how Theorem 3 and Theorem 4 follow from Proposition 5.

*Proof of Theorem 3.* For any  $x_0 \in \Omega$ , we can choose  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $r > 0$ , and  $R \in \operatorname{SO}(2)$  such that Proposition 5 applies to  $\tilde{u}(x) = au_\infty(rRx + x_0)$ . Since  $|\nabla \tilde{u}_\infty|$  is monotone along the level sets of  $u_\infty$ , we may choose  $m_t = 1$  for every  $t \in [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$ . Hence we obtain a function  $\tilde{w} \in \bigcap_{q < \infty} W^{1,q}(Q_{1/4})$  satisfying (a)–(c) in  $Q_{1/4}$ . In particular  $\tilde{w} = -\log |\nabla \tilde{u}_\infty|$ , and it follows that  $|\nabla \tilde{u}_\infty| \in W^{1,q}(Q_{1/4})$  for every  $q < \infty$ .

From the pointwise equations (a) and (b), we obtain

$$\frac{\nabla^\perp \tilde{u}_\infty}{|\nabla \tilde{u}_\infty|} = -\frac{\nabla |\nabla \tilde{u}_\infty|}{|\nabla |\nabla \tilde{u}_\infty||}$$

at almost every point where  $\nabla |\nabla \tilde{u}_\infty| \neq 0$ . This amounts to equation (4) for  $\tilde{u}_\infty$ , which is trivially satisfied where the gradient vanishes. The combination of (b) and (c) gives (5) for  $\tilde{u}_\infty$ . In terms of  $u_\infty$ , this means that there exists a neighbourhood  $U$  of  $x_0$  such that  $|\nabla u_\infty| \in W^{1,q}(U)$  for every  $q < \infty$  and (4) holds almost everywhere in  $U$ , while (5) holds weakly in  $U$ .

It follows that  $|\nabla u_\infty| \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,q}(\Omega)$  and the two equations hold in  $\Omega$ . Let

$$F = -\omega \frac{\nabla^\perp u_\infty}{|\nabla u_\infty|}.$$

For the function  $w = -\log |\nabla u_\infty|$ , we then compute

$$\nabla w \cdot F = |\nabla w|$$

because of (4), and

$$\operatorname{div} F = |\nabla w|$$

because of (5). Thus  $w$  is a weak solution of (2).  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 4.* For points in  $G$ , the arguments in the proof of Theorem 3 apply. For  $x_0 \in \Gamma$ , we can still argue similarly. Here we can still choose  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $r > 0$ , and  $R \in \operatorname{SO}(2)$  such that the function  $\tilde{u}(x) = au_\infty(rRx + x_0)$  satisfies  $|\nabla \tilde{u}_\infty - e_2| < \delta$  in  $\overline{Q_1}$  and such that

$$\frac{1}{r} R^{-1}(G - x_0) \cap Q_1 = \{x \in Q_1 : x_1 < f(x_2)\}$$

for some Lipschitz function  $f: [-1, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with  $f(0) = 0$ , the Lipschitz constant of which is independent of the rescaling. We define  $L_t$  as in Proposition 5 for  $\tilde{u}_\infty$ . If  $\delta$  is sufficiently small, then each  $L_t$  will intersect the graph of  $f$  exactly



once for every  $t \in [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$ . We choose  $m_t$  such that the unique point  $x \in L_t$  with  $x_1 = m_t$  is this intersection point.

The orientation in Theorem 4 is such that  $|\nabla u_\infty|$  is non-decreasing if we approach  $\Gamma$  from inside  $G$ . In terms of  $\tilde{u}_\infty$ , this means that  $|\nabla \tilde{u}_\infty|$  is non-decreasing when we travel along  $L_t$  from left to right up to  $m_t$ . Then the hypothesis of Proposition 5 is satisfied, so we infer  $|\nabla \tilde{u}_\infty| \in W^{1,q}(M \cap Q_{1/4})$  for every  $q < \infty$ . Since  $M \cap Q_{1/4}$  corresponds to a neighbourhood of  $x_0$  in  $G \cup \Gamma$ , a standard covering argument now implies the desired statement.  $\square$

### 3 Some estimates for $p$ -harmonic functions

In this section we consider solutions of the equation  $\Delta_p u = 0$ . We first prove an  $L^\infty$ -estimate for the gradient away from the boundary. Such estimates are well known for fixed values of  $p$ , but we need to know what happens when  $p \rightarrow \infty$ . We use the well-known fact that  $|\nabla u|^p$  is a subsolution to an elliptic equation, and we derive an inequality with the Moser iteration method. Here it is convenient to consider higher-dimensional domains  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  for  $n \geq 3$ , as the proof uses the Sobolev embedding  $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \subset L^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}(\Omega)$ , which does not exist in this form for  $n = 2$ . Of course we still obtain an estimate for  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$  by extending a  $p$ -harmonic function  $u: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  to  $\Omega \times (0, 1)$  by  $\tilde{u}(x_1, x_2, x_3) = u(x_1, x_2)$ .

**Lemma 6.** *For any  $n \geq 3$  there exists a constant  $C > 0$  with the following property. Suppose that  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is an open set. For  $\varrho > 0$ , let  $\Omega_\varrho = \{x \in \Omega: \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) > \varrho\}$ . Let  $p \geq 2$ . Then for any  $p$ -harmonic function  $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ ,*

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_\varrho)} \leq \left( \frac{Cp^{\frac{n}{2}+1}}{\varrho^n} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}.$$

*Proof.* First we remark that it suffices to consider solutions of the equation

$$\text{div} \left( (|\nabla u|^2 + \epsilon^2)^{\frac{p}{2}-1} \nabla u \right) = 0 \quad (7)$$

in  $\Omega$  for  $\epsilon > 0$  and to prove the inequality

$$\left\| \sqrt{|\nabla u|^2 + \epsilon^2} \right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_\varrho)} \leq \left( \frac{Cp^{\frac{n}{2}+1}}{\varrho^n} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\| \sqrt{|\nabla u|^2 + \epsilon^2} \right\|_{L^p(\Omega)}. \quad (8)$$

The statement of the lemma then follows by approximation arguments as discussed, e.g., by Lewis [23]. Thus we assume that  $u$  satisfies (7). Well-known regularity theory [21, Chapter 4] then shows that  $u$  is smooth.

Let  $g = \sqrt{|\nabla u|^2 + \epsilon^2}$ . We differentiate with respect to  $x_i$  in (7), which gives

$$\text{div} \left( g^{p-2} \nabla \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} + (p-2)g^{p-4} \left( \nabla u \cdot \nabla \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right) \nabla u \right) = 0.$$

Multiply with  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}$ . This gives

$$\begin{aligned} \text{div} \left( g^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \nabla \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} + (p-2)g^{p-4} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \left( \nabla u \cdot \nabla \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right) \nabla u \right) \\ = g^{p-2} \left| \nabla \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|^2 + (p-2)g^{p-4} \left( \nabla u \cdot \nabla \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

For any  $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ , we then obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} \eta^2 \left( g^{p-2} \left| \nabla \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|^2 + (p-2)g^{p-4} \left( \nabla u \cdot \nabla \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right)^2 \right) dx \\
&= -2 \int_{\Omega} \eta \nabla \eta \cdot \left( g^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \nabla \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} + (p-2)g^{p-4} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \left( \nabla u \cdot \nabla \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right) \nabla u \right) dx \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \eta^2 \left( g^{p-2} \left| \nabla \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|^2 + (p-2)g^{p-4} \left( \nabla u \cdot \nabla \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right)^2 \right) dx \\
&\quad + 2(p-1) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \eta|^2 g^{p-2} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right)^2 dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} \eta^2 \left( g^{p-2} \left| \nabla \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|^2 + (p-2)g^{p-4} \left( \nabla u \cdot \nabla \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right)^2 \right) dx \\
&\leq 4(p-1) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \eta|^2 g^{p-2} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right)^2 dx.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\int_{\Omega} \eta^2 \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} g^{p/2} \right)^2 dx \leq p^2 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \eta|^2 g^{p-2} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right)^2 dx. \quad (10)$$

Another consequence of (9) is the following: define

$$f = \frac{g^p}{p}.$$

Let  $I$  denote the identity  $(n \times n)$ -matrix and consider

$$A = I + (p-2)g^{-2} \nabla u \otimes \nabla u.$$

Then we compute

$$\operatorname{div}(A \nabla f) \geq \frac{p-1}{p} \frac{|\nabla f|^2}{f}.$$

For  $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  and  $q > -1$ , we therefore obtain the inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} \eta^2 f^q \nabla f \cdot A \nabla f dx \\
&\leq -\frac{2}{q+1} \int_{\Omega} \eta f^{q+1} \nabla \eta \cdot A \nabla f dx - \frac{p-1}{p(q+1)} \int_{\Omega} \eta^2 f^q |\nabla f|^2 dx \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \eta^2 f^q \nabla f \cdot A \nabla f dx + \frac{2}{(q+1)^2} \int_{\Omega} f^{q+2} \nabla \eta \cdot A \nabla \eta dx \\
&\quad - \frac{p-1}{p(q+1)} \int_{\Omega} \eta^2 f^q |\nabla f|^2 dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} \eta^2 f^q \nabla f \cdot A \nabla f dx + \frac{2(p-1)}{p(q+1)} \int_{\Omega} \eta^2 f^q |\nabla f|^2 dx \\
&\leq \frac{4}{(q+1)^2} \int_{\Omega} f^{q+2} \nabla \eta \cdot A \nabla \eta dx.
\end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$\left(1 + \frac{2(p-1)}{p(q+1)}\right) \int_{\Omega} \eta^2 f^q |\nabla f|^2 dx \leq \frac{4(p-1)}{(q+1)^2} \int_{\Omega} f^{q+2} |\nabla \eta|^2 dx. \quad (11)$$

Now choose  $s > \frac{1}{2}$ . By the Sobolev inequality, we have the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_{\Omega} \eta^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} f^{\frac{2ns}{n-2}} dx\right)^{\frac{n-2}{2n}} &\leq C_1 \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla(\eta f^s)|^2 dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C_1 \left(\int_{\Omega} f^{2s} |\nabla \eta|^2 dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + C_1 s \left(\int_{\Omega} \eta^2 f^{2s-2} |\nabla f|^2 dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

for some constant  $C_1 = C_1(n)$ . Using (11) for  $q = 2s - 2$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \eta^2 f^{2s-2} |\nabla f|^2 dx &\leq \frac{4(p-1)}{(2s-1) \left(2s-1 + \frac{2(p-1)}{p}\right)} \int_{\Omega} f^{2s} |\nabla \eta|^2 dx \\ &\leq \frac{2(p-1)}{s(2s-1)} \int_{\Omega} f^{2s} |\nabla \eta|^2 dx, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the assumption that  $p \geq 2$ . Hence

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} \eta^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} f^{\frac{2ns}{n-2}} dx\right)^{\frac{n-2}{2n}} \leq C_1 \left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{2s(p-1)}{2s-1}}\right) \left(\int_{\Omega} f^{2s} |\nabla \eta|^2 dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

An appropriate choice of  $\eta$  in this inequality gives

$$\left(\int_{\Omega_R} f^{\frac{2ns}{n-2}} dx\right)^{\frac{n-2}{2n}} \leq \frac{C_1}{R-r} \left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{2s(p-1)}{2s-1}}\right) \left(\int_{\Omega_r} f^{2s} dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

whenever  $0 < r < R$ . In particular, for any  $q_0 > 1$ , there exists a constant  $C_2 = C_2(n, q_0)$  such that

$$\left(\int_{\Omega_R} f^{\frac{2ns}{n-2}} dx\right)^{\frac{n-2}{2n}} \leq \frac{C_2 \sqrt{p}}{R-r} \left(\int_{\Omega_r} f^{2s} dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

for all  $s \geq q_0/2$ .

Define

$$J(q, r) = \left(\int_{\Omega_r} f^q dx\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

for  $q \geq q_0$  and  $r > 0$ . Set  $\theta = \frac{n}{n-2}$ . Then the preceding inequality can be written as

$$J(\theta q, R) \leq \left(\frac{C_2 \sqrt{p}}{R-r}\right)^{\frac{2}{q}} J(q, r)$$

for  $q \geq q_0$ . Set  $r_k = \varrho_0 + (1 - 2^{-k})(\varrho - \varrho_0)$  for some  $\varrho_0, \varrho > 0$  with  $\varrho > \varrho_0$  and set  $q_k = \theta^k q_0$ . Then

$$J(q_{k+1}, r_{k+1}) \leq \left(\frac{2^{k+1} C_2 \sqrt{p}}{\varrho - \varrho_0}\right)^{\frac{2}{q_k}} J(q_k, r_k).$$

Iterating this, we obtain

$$\|f\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_\varrho)} \leq C_3 \|f\|_{L^{q_0}(\Omega_{\varrho_0})},$$

where

$$C_3 = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{2^{k+1} C_2 \sqrt{p}}{\varrho - \varrho_0} \right)^{\frac{2}{q_k}}.$$

We can rewrite  $C_3$  as follows: let

$$a = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q_k} = \frac{1}{q_0} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \theta^{-k} = \frac{\theta}{q_0(\theta - 1)} = \frac{n}{2q_0}$$

and

$$b = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{k+1}{q_k} = \frac{1}{q_0} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+1)\theta^{-k} = \frac{\theta^2}{q_0(\theta - 1)^2} = \frac{n^2}{4q_0}.$$

Then

$$C_3 = 4^b \left( \frac{C_2^2 p}{(\varrho - \varrho_0)^2} \right)^a = \left( \frac{2^{n^2/2} C_2^n p^{n/2}}{(\varrho - \varrho_0)^n} \right)^{\frac{1}{q_0}}.$$

We can finally do one more step using (10). Using the Sobolev inequality the same way as before, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \int_{\Omega} (\eta g^{p/2})^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dx \right)^{\frac{n-2}{2n}} &\leq C_1 \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \eta|^2 g^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + C_1 \left( \int_{\Omega} \eta^2 |\nabla g^{p/2}|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C_1(p+1) \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \eta|^2 g^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence if we use suitable functions  $\eta$  again, then in terms of  $f$ , we derive the inequality

$$\left( \int_{\Omega_{\varrho_0}} f^{\frac{n}{n-2}} dx \right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}} \leq \frac{C_1^2}{\varrho_0^2} (p+1)^2 \int_{\Omega} f dx.$$

Choose  $\varrho_0 = \varrho/2$  and  $q_0 = \frac{n}{n-2}$ . Then it follows that

$$\|f\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_\varrho)} \leq \frac{C_4 p^{(n+2)/2}}{\varrho^n} \|f\|_{L^1(\Omega)},$$

for some constant  $C_4 = C_4(n)$ . In terms of  $u$ , this is inequality (8).  $\square$

We go back to domains in the plane. The following result gives an estimate from below for the partial derivative  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_2}$  of a  $p$ -harmonic function in a suitable domain with suitable boundary data.

**Lemma 7.** *Let  $f, g: [-1, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be two Lipschitz functions with  $f < g$  and  $U = \{x \in (-1, 1) \times \mathbb{R}: f(x_1) < x_2 < g(x_1)\}$ . Let  $\phi: \bar{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a Lipschitz function such that  $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_2} \geq 1$  for almost all  $x \in U$  and such that there are two numbers  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $\phi(x) = a + x_2$  when  $x_2 = f(x_1)$  and  $\phi(x) = b + x_2$  when  $x_2 = g(x_1)$ . Then the solution  $u: \bar{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of the boundary value problem*

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_p u &= 0 && \text{in } U, \\ u &= \phi && \text{on } \partial U, \end{aligned}$$

*satisfies  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_2} \geq 1$  in  $U$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $c = \max\{\|f\|_{L^\infty(-1,1)}, \|g\|_{L^\infty(-1,1)}\} + 2$  and write  $U_0 = (-1, 1) \times (-c, c)$ . Extend  $\phi$  to  $U_0$  by  $\phi(x) = a + x_2$  when  $x_2 < f(x_1)$  and  $\phi(x) = b + x_2$  when  $x_2 > g(x_1)$ . Choose a sequence of functions  $\phi_k \in C^\infty(\overline{U_0})$ , for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that  $\phi_k \rightarrow \phi$  in  $W^{1,p}(U_0)$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  and such that

- $\phi_k(x) = a + x_2$  when  $x_2 < f(x_1) - \frac{1}{k}$ ,
- $\phi_k(x) = b + x_2$  when  $x_2 > g(x_1) + \frac{1}{k}$ , and
- $\frac{\partial \phi_k}{\partial x_2} \geq 1$ .

Now choose a sequence of domains  $U_k \subseteq U_0$  with smooth boundaries, such that each  $U_k$  is of the form  $U_k = \{x \in (-1, 1) \times \mathbb{R} : f_k(x_1) < x_2 < g_k(x_1)\}$  for some smooth functions  $f_k, g_k : (-1, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with

$$f(x_1) - \frac{2}{k} < f_k(x_1) < f(x_1) - \frac{1}{k} < g(x_1) + \frac{1}{k} < g_k(x_1) < g(x_1) + \frac{2}{k}$$

for  $-1 < x_1 < 1$ .

Let  $u_k : U_k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be the solution of

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div} \left( (|\nabla u_k|^2 + k^{-2})^{p/2-1} \nabla u_k \right) &= 0 & \text{in } U_k, \\ u_k &= \phi_k & \text{on } \partial U_k. \end{aligned}$$

Extend  $u_k$  to  $U_0$  by  $u_k(x) = a + x_2$  when  $x_2 < f_k(x_1)$  and  $u_k(x) = b + x_2$  when  $x_2 > g_k(x_1)$ . Then the sequence  $(u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is clearly bounded in  $W^{1,p}(U_0)$ , and we may assume that it converges weakly in this space to a limit  $\tilde{u} \in W^{1,p}(U_0)$ . We claim that  $\tilde{u} = u$  in  $U$ .

In order to prove this, note that

$$\int_{U_k} (|\nabla u_k|^2 + k^{-2})^{p/2} dx \leq \int_{U_k} (|\nabla(\phi_k + w)|^2 + k^{-2})^{p/2} dx$$

for any  $w \in W_0^{1,p}(U)$ , because  $u_k$  minimises this quantity for its boundary data. Letting  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_U |\nabla \tilde{u}|^p dx &\leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{U_k} (|\nabla u_k|^2 + k^{-2})^{p/2} dx \\ &\leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{U_k} (|\nabla(\phi_k + w)|^2 + k^{-2})^{p/2} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{U_k} (|\nabla(\phi_k + w)|^2 + k^{-2})^{p/2} dx \\ &= \int_{U_0} (|\nabla(\phi_k + w)|^2 + k^{-2})^{p/2} dx - \int_{U_0 \setminus U_k} (|\nabla \phi|^2 + k^{-2})^{p/2} dx \\ &\rightarrow \int_{U_0} |\nabla(\phi + w)|^p dx - \int_{U_0 \setminus U} |\nabla \phi|^p dx \\ &= \int_U |\nabla(\phi + w)|^p dx \end{aligned}$$

as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore,

$$\int_U |\nabla \tilde{u}|^p dx \leq \int_U |\nabla(\phi + w)|^p dx$$

for any  $w \in W_0^{1,p}(U)$ . Furthermore, it is clear that  $\tilde{u} = \phi$  on  $\partial U$ . Since the functional

$$v \mapsto \int_U |\nabla v|^p dx$$

has a unique minimiser in  $\phi + W_0^{1,p}(U)$ , which is  $u$ , we conclude that  $\tilde{u} = u$ .

The regularity theory of Lieberman [24] shows that  $u_k \in C^\infty(\overline{U}_k)$ . Moreover, the function  $\frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x_2}$  satisfies the equation

$$\operatorname{div} \left( A_k \nabla \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x_2} \right) = 0,$$

where

$$A_k = (|\nabla u_k|^2 + k^{-2})^{p/2-1} \left( I + (p-2) \frac{\nabla u_k \otimes \nabla u_k}{|\nabla u_k|^2 + k^{-2}} \right)$$

and  $I$  is the identity matrix. This is a uniformly elliptic equation.

The comparison principle applies to  $u_k$  and implies that  $a + x_2 \leq u_k(x) \leq b + x_2$  for  $x \in U_k$ . Hence  $\frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x_2} \geq 1$  on

$$\{x \in (-1, 1) \times \mathbb{R} : x_2 = f_k(x_1) \text{ or } x_2 = g_k(x_1)\}.$$

On the rest of  $\partial U_k$ , the inequality is inherited directly from  $\phi_k$ . Applying the maximum principle to  $\frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x_2}$ , we prove that  $\frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x_2} \geq 1$  in  $U_k$ . Then the desired inequality follows for  $u$  as well.  $\square$

## 4 Proof of Proposition 5

This section contains the key arguments of this paper.

We first fix  $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{16})$  and also fix a constant  $\sigma \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1 - 8\delta)$ . The values of both will be determined later. (We will require that  $\delta$  and  $1 - \sigma$  are sufficiently small.) We consider an  $\infty$ -harmonic function  $u_\infty \in C^1(\overline{Q}_1)$  that satisfies the hypotheses of Proposition 5. We wish to find a function  $w \in \bigcap_{q < \infty} W^{1,q}(Q_{1/4})$  with the properties (a)–(c). In particular, we wish to show that  $w$  is a weak solution of the inverse mean curvature flow.

The function  $\tilde{u}(x) = u_\infty(x) - \sigma x_2$  satisfies

$$|\nabla \tilde{u} - (1 - \sigma)e_2| \leq \delta.$$

Hence the level sets of  $\tilde{u}$  are Lipschitz graphs with Lipschitz constants bounded by

$$\frac{\delta}{\sqrt{(1 - \sigma)^2 - \delta^2}}.$$

Let  $a = \tilde{u}(0, -\frac{3}{4})$  and  $b = \tilde{u}(0, \frac{3}{4})$ . Set

$$U = \{x \in Q_1 : a < \tilde{u}(x) < b\}.$$

Since  $\delta \leq \frac{1}{8}(1 - \sigma)$  by the choice of  $\sigma$ , it follows that  $[-1, 1] \times [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}] \subseteq \bar{U} \subseteq [-1, 1] \times (-1, 1)$ .

For  $p \in [2, \infty)$ , let  $u_p \in W^{1,p}(U)$  be the unique solutions of

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_p u_p &= 0 & \text{in } U, \\ u &= u_\infty & \text{on } \partial U. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 7 implies that

$$\frac{\partial u_p}{\partial x_2} \geq \sigma \tag{12}$$

in  $U$ . Moreover,

$$\int_U |\nabla u_p|^p dx \leq \int_U |\nabla u_\infty|^p dx \leq 4(1 + \delta)^p,$$

as  $u_p$  minimises this quantity among all functions with the same boundary data. Define  $U_p = \{x \in U : \text{dist}(x, \partial U) > 2^{-\sqrt{p}}\}$ . Then Lemma 6 implies that

$$\|\nabla u_p\|_{L^\infty(U_p)} \leq \left(4Cp^{\frac{5}{2}}8\sqrt{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}(1 + \delta),$$

where  $C$  is the constant from Lemma 6 for  $n = 3$ . In particular, if  $p$  is sufficiently large, then

$$\|\nabla u_p\|_{L^\infty(U_p)} \leq 1 + 2\delta. \tag{13}$$

Inequalities (12) and (13) then imply that

$$\frac{\partial u_p}{\partial x_2} \geq \frac{\sigma |\nabla u_p|}{1 + 2\delta}. \tag{14}$$

in  $U_p$ .

The results of Jensen [10] imply that  $u_p \rightharpoonup u_\infty$  weakly in  $W^{1,q}(U)$  for any  $q < \infty$  and also uniformly in  $U$ . Moreover, we have the following variant of a result by Lindgren and Lindqvist [26].

**Lemma 8.** *For any precompact set  $K \Subset U$ , the convergence  $|\nabla u_p| \rightarrow |\nabla u_\infty|$  holds uniformly in  $K$ .*

*Proof.* The arguments of Lindgren and Lindqvist [26, Section 3] (which depend to some degree on the ideas of Koch, Zhang, and Zhou [19] and also use an inequality of Lebesgue [22]) can be used here. Although their paper deals with an annular domain and with specific boundary conditions, their reasoning applies more generally to  $p$ -harmonic functions in a domain  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$  satisfying  $|\nabla u_p| > 0$  in  $U$  and

$$\limsup_{p \rightarrow \infty} \|\nabla u_p\|_{L^\infty(K)} < \infty$$

for any  $K \Subset U$ . In our case, the first property follows from (12) and the second from (13).  $\square$

*Remark.* Lemma 8 does not imply that  $\nabla u_p \rightarrow \nabla u_\infty$ . Nevertheless, the ideas of Koch, Zhang, and Zhou [19], as adapted by Lindgren and Lindqvist [26], do give convergence almost everywhere. But we do not need this information here.

For  $p \in [2, \infty)$ , let  $p' \in (1, 2]$  denote the conjugate exponent with  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$ . Since  $U$  is simply connected and since  $\text{curl}(|\nabla u_p|^{p-2} \nabla^\perp u_p) = \Delta_p u_p = 0$ , there exists  $v_p \in W^{1,p'}(U)$  satisfying

$$\nabla v_p = -|\nabla u_p|^{p-2} \nabla^\perp u_p.$$

Then we compute  $|\nabla v_p|^{p'-2} \nabla v_p = -\nabla^\perp u_p$ . Therefore,

$$\Delta_{p'} v_p = 0$$

in  $U$ . Note that the level sets of  $v_p$  are the streamlines of  $u_p$ . Moreover, (14) implies that

$$\frac{\partial v_p}{\partial x_1} \geq \frac{\sigma |\nabla v_p|}{1 + 2\delta} \quad (15)$$

in  $U_p$ . That is, the angle between  $\nabla v_p$  and  $e_1$  is at most

$$\arccos\left(\frac{\sigma}{1 + 2\delta}\right).$$

If  $\delta$  and  $1 - \sigma$  are sufficiently small, then this means that for every  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$  there exists  $\theta \in [-1, 1]$  such that

$$(-1, \theta) \times [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}] \subseteq \{x \in (-1, 1) \times [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]: v_p(x) < \beta\} \subseteq (-1, \theta + \frac{1}{16}) \times [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$$

for  $p$  large enough.

We can further prove the following inequalities for  $v_p$ . Here we use the notation  $a_+ = \max\{a, 0\}$  for  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . For  $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , we write  $\xi^\perp = (-\xi_2, \xi_1)$ .

**Lemma 9.** *Let  $\lambda: [0, \ell] \rightarrow U$  be a  $C^1$ -curve with  $|\lambda'| \equiv 1$  and  $|\lambda' - e_1| \leq \delta$ . Let  $x = \lambda(0)$  and  $y = \lambda(\ell)$ . Then for any  $\rho > 0$ ,*

$$\limsup_{p \rightarrow \infty} \left( \inf_{B_\rho(y) \cap U} v_p - \sup_{B_\rho(x) \cap U} v_p \right)_+^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \leq \sup_{0 \leq s \leq \ell} |\nabla u_\infty(\lambda(s))|$$

and

$$\liminf_{p \rightarrow \infty} \left( \sup_{B_\rho(y) \cap U} v_p - \inf_{B_\rho(x) \cap U} v_p \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \geq \int_0^\ell (\lambda'(s))^\perp \cdot \nabla u_\infty(\lambda(s)) ds.$$

*Proof.* We may approximate  $\lambda$  in the  $C^1$ -topology with smooth curves. Therefore, we may assume without loss of generality that  $\lambda \in C^\infty([0, \ell]; U)$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Define  $\Phi: [0, \ell] \times [-T, T] \rightarrow U$  by  $\Phi(s, t) = \lambda(s) + t(\lambda'(s))^\perp$ , where  $T > 0$  is chosen so small that

$$|\nabla u_\infty(\Phi(s, t)) - \nabla u_\infty(\lambda(s))| \leq \epsilon \quad (16)$$

for all  $s \in [0, \ell]$  and  $t \in [-T, T]$ . Since  $\det D\Phi(s, t) = 1 + t\lambda''(s) \cdot (\lambda'(s))^\perp$ , we may further choose  $T$  so small that  $|\det D\Phi - 1| < \epsilon$  and  $|(\det D\Phi)^{-1} - 1| < \epsilon$  in  $[0, \ell] \times [-T, T]$ . We write  $\Sigma = \Phi([0, \ell] \times [-T, T])$  and  $\Sigma_t = \Phi([0, \ell] \times \{t\})$  for  $-T \leq t \leq T$ , and we set  $X = \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} \circ \Phi^{-1}$ . (I.e.,  $X(\Phi(s, t)) = (\lambda'(s))^\perp$ .)



Now note that  $\Sigma \subseteq U_p$  for  $p$  sufficiently large and  $T$  sufficiently small. Hence  $X \cdot \nabla u_p \geq 0$  by (14) and  $X^\perp \cdot \nabla v_p \leq 0$  by (15).

We write  $\mathcal{H}^1$  for the 1-dimensional Hausdorff measure. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{-T}^T (v_p(\Phi(\ell, t)) - v_p(\Phi(0, t))) dt &= - \int_{-T}^T \int_{\Sigma_t} X^\perp \cdot \nabla v_p d\mathcal{H}^1 dt \\
&= -\frac{1}{2T} \int_{\Sigma} X^\perp \cdot \nabla v_p dx \\
&= \frac{1}{2T} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla u_p|^{p-2} X \cdot \nabla u_p dx \\
&\leq \frac{|\Sigma|}{2T} \sup_{\Sigma} |\nabla u_p|^{p-1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

By (16) and Lemma 8, if  $p$  is sufficiently large, then

$$\sup_{\Sigma} |\nabla u_p| \leq \sup_{0 \leq s \leq \ell} |\nabla u_\infty(\lambda(s))| + 2\epsilon.$$

If  $T < \varrho$ , it follows that

$$\left( \inf_{B_\varrho(y) \cap U} v_p - \sup_{B_\varrho(x) \cap U} v_p \right)_+^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \leq \left( \frac{|\Sigma|}{2T} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \left( \sup_{0 \leq s \leq \ell} |\nabla u_\infty(\lambda(s))| + 2\epsilon \right).$$

Letting  $p \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ , we obtain the first inequality.

We can also estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^\ell (u_p(\Phi(s, T)) - u_p(\Phi(s, -T))) ds \\
&= \int_0^\ell \int_{-T}^T \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t}(s, t) \cdot \nabla u_p(\Phi(s, t)) dt ds \\
&= \int_{\Sigma} X \cdot \nabla u_p |\det D\Phi^{-1}| dx \\
&\leq (1 + \epsilon) \int_{\Sigma} X \cdot \nabla u_p dx \\
&\leq (1 + \epsilon) |\Sigma|^{\frac{p-2}{p-1}} \left( \int_{\Sigma} (X \cdot \nabla u_p)^{p-1} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \\
&\leq (1 + \epsilon) |\Sigma|^{\frac{p-2}{p-1}} \left( \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla u_p|^{p-2} X \cdot \nabla u_p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \\
&= (1 + \epsilon) |\Sigma|^{\frac{p-2}{p-1}} \left( - \int_{\Sigma} X^\perp \cdot \nabla v_p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Since  $u_p \rightarrow u_\infty$  uniformly, for  $p$  sufficiently large we have the inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^\ell (u_p(\Phi(s, T)) - u_p(\Phi(s, -T))) ds \\
&\geq (1 - \epsilon) \int_0^\ell (u_\infty(\Phi(s, T)) - u_\infty(\Phi(s, -T))) ds \\
&= \int_0^\ell \int_{-T}^T \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t}(s, t) \cdot \nabla u_\infty(\Phi(s, t)) dt ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by (16) and because  $(\lambda'(s))^\perp \cdot \nabla u_\infty(\lambda(s)) \geq \frac{1}{2}$  by the assumptions on  $\lambda$ , we know that  $\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t}(s, t) \cdot \nabla u_\infty(\Phi(s, t)) \geq (1 - 2\epsilon)(\lambda'(s))^\perp \cdot \nabla u_\infty(\lambda(s))$ . Thus

$$\int_0^\ell (u_p(\Phi(s, T)) - u_p(\Phi(s, -T))) ds \geq 2\ell T(1 - 2\epsilon) \int_0^\ell (\lambda'(s))^\perp \cdot \nabla u_\infty(\lambda(s)) ds. \quad (19)$$

Recall that

$$\int_{-T}^T (v_p(\Phi(\ell, t)) - v_p(\Phi(0, t))) dt = -\frac{1}{2T} \int_\Sigma X^\perp \cdot \nabla v_p dx$$

according to (17). We also know that  $|\Sigma| \leq 2(1 + \epsilon)\ell T$ . Therefore, combining the above inequalities (18) and (19), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-T}^T (v_p(\Phi(\ell, t)) - v_p(\Phi(0, t))) dt \\ \geq \frac{(1 - 2\epsilon)^{p-1}}{(1 + \epsilon)^{2p-3}} \ell \left( \int_0^\ell (\lambda'(s))^\perp \cdot \nabla u_\infty(\lambda(s)) ds \right)^{p-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus if  $T < \varrho$ , then

$$\left( \sup_{B_\epsilon(y) \cap U} v_p - \inf_{B_\epsilon(x) \cap U} v_p \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \geq \frac{1 - 2\epsilon}{(1 + \epsilon)^2} \ell^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \int_0^\ell (\lambda'(s))^\perp \cdot \nabla u_\infty(\lambda(s)) ds.$$

Since  $\epsilon > 0$  was chosen arbitrarily, the second inequality follows.  $\square$

Now fix a constant  $\gamma \in (0, 1 - \delta)$ . Since we may add arbitrary constants to  $v_p$  without changing the properties used, we may assume that  $v_p(-\frac{3}{4}, 0) = \gamma^{p-1}$  for every  $p \in [2, \infty)$ . In view of inequality (15) and the considerations immediately following it, if  $\delta$  and  $1 - \sigma$  are sufficiently small and  $p$  is sufficiently large, then  $v_p \leq \gamma^{p-1}$  in  $(-1, -\frac{7}{8}) \times [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$  and  $v_p \geq \gamma^{p-1}$  in  $[-\frac{5}{8}, 1) \times [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$ . In particular, the functions

$$w_p = \frac{\log v_p}{1 - p}$$

are well-defined and satisfy  $w_p \leq -\log \gamma$  at least in  $[-\frac{5}{8}, 1) \times [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$ . We observe that

$$\Delta_{p'} w_p = |\nabla w_p|^{p'} \quad (20)$$

wherever  $w_p$  is defined. Thus for any  $\eta \in C_0^\infty(Q_{1/2})$  with  $\eta \geq 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_U \eta^{p'} |\nabla w_p|^{p'} dx &= -p' \int_U \eta^{p'-1} |\nabla w_p|^{p'-2} \nabla \eta \cdot \nabla w_p dx \\ &\leq p' \left( \int_U |\nabla \eta|^{p'} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \left( \int_U \eta^{p'} |\nabla w_p|^{p'} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\int_U \eta^{p'} |\nabla w_p|^{p'} dx \leq (p')^{p'} \int_U |\nabla \eta|^{p'} dx.$$

This means that  $\|\nabla w_p\|_{L^1(K)}$  is uniformly bounded for any precompact set  $K \Subset Q_{1/2}$ .

We apply Lemma 9 to  $\lambda(s) = (s - \frac{15}{16}, 0)$  for  $0 \leq s \leq \frac{27}{16}$ . We already know that

$$\sup_{B_{1/16}(-15/16, 0)} v_p \leq \gamma^{p-1}.$$

The first inequality in Lemma 9 then gives the estimate

$$\inf_{B_{1/16}(3/4, 0)} v_p \leq (1 + 2\delta)^{p-1} + \gamma^{p-1}$$

for  $p$  sufficiently large. Using (15) again, we conclude that

$$v_p \leq (1 + 2\delta)^{p-1} + \gamma^{p-1}$$

in  $Q_{1/2}$ . It follows that  $w_p$  is uniformly bounded in  $L^\infty(Q_{1/2})$ . From [31, Proposition 2.1], we then obtain a uniform bound for  $\|w_p\|_{W^{1,q}(K)}$  for any precompact  $K \Subset Q_{1/2}$  and any  $q < \infty$ . Therefore, there exists a sequence  $p_k \rightarrow \infty$  such that  $w_{p_k} \rightharpoonup w$  weakly in  $\bigcap_{q < \infty} W_{\text{loc}}^{1,q}(Q_{1/2})$  for some function  $w: Q_{1/2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . By the Sobolev embedding theorem and the Arzelà-Ascoli theorem, the convergence is also locally uniform and  $w$  is continuous.

**Lemma 10.** *Let  $\lambda: [0, \ell] \rightarrow U$  be a solution of the equation*

$$\lambda'(s) = -\frac{\nabla^\perp u_\infty(\lambda(s))}{|\nabla^\perp u_\infty(\lambda(s))|}$$

for  $s \in [0, \ell]$ . Let  $x = \lambda(0)$  and  $y = \lambda(\ell)$ . If  $x \in (-1, -\frac{15}{16}] \times [-\frac{3}{8}, \frac{3}{8}]$  and  $y \in Q_{1/4}$ , then

$$\inf_{0 \leq s \leq \ell} (-\log |\nabla u_\infty(\lambda(s))|) \leq w(y) \leq -\log |\nabla u_\infty(y)|.$$

*Proof.* Set  $A = \sup_{0 \leq s \leq \ell} |\nabla u_\infty(\lambda(s))|$ . We apply Lemma 9 with  $\varrho \leq \frac{1}{16}$ . Then

$$\sup_{B_\varrho(x) \cap U} v_p \leq \gamma^{p-1}.$$

Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . It follows that for  $p$  sufficiently large,

$$\inf_{B_\varrho(y)} v_p \leq (A + \epsilon)^{p-1} + \gamma^{p-1},$$

and therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{B_\varrho(y)} w_p &\geq \frac{1}{1-p} \log((A + \epsilon)^{p-1} + \gamma^{p-1}) \\ &= -\log(A + \epsilon) + \frac{1}{1-p} \log\left(1 + \left(\frac{\gamma}{A + \epsilon}\right)^{p-1}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\gamma < 1 - \delta \leq A$ , it follows that

$$\sup_{B_\varrho(y)} w \geq -\log(A + \epsilon).$$

Since  $w$  is continuous, we obtain the first estimate by letting  $\varrho \rightarrow 0$  and  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

For the proof of the second inequality, we apply Lemma 9 to the restriction of  $\lambda$  to  $[k, \ell]$ , where  $k \in [0, \ell]$  is chosen such that  $\lambda(k) \in Q_{1/2}$  and

$$\int_k^\ell |\nabla u_\infty(\lambda(s))| ds \geq |\nabla u_\infty(y)| - \epsilon.$$

Then

$$\liminf_{p \rightarrow \infty} \left( \sup_{B_\epsilon(y)} v_p - \inf_{B_\epsilon(\lambda(k))} v_p \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \geq |\nabla u_\infty(y)| - \epsilon.$$

Note that  $\inf_{B_\epsilon(\lambda(k))} v_p \geq \gamma^{p-1} > 0$  by the above observations. Hence for  $p$  large enough,

$$\sup_{B_\epsilon(y)} v_p \geq (|\nabla u_\infty(y)| - 2\epsilon)^{p-1}$$

and

$$\inf_{B_\epsilon(y)} w_p \leq -\log(|\nabla u_\infty(y)| - 2\epsilon).$$

The same inequality follows for  $w$ . Again we conclude the proof by letting  $\varrho \rightarrow 0$  and  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ .  $\square$

Under the assumptions of Proposition 5, the level sets  $L_t$  of  $u_\infty$  can be parametrised by curves  $\lambda$  as in Lemma 10. Considering the monotonicity of  $|\nabla u_\infty|$  along these level sets, it follows that

$$\sup_{0 \leq s \leq \ell} |\nabla u_\infty(\lambda(s))| = |\nabla u_\infty(\lambda(\ell))|$$

if  $\lambda(\ell) \in M$ . Furthermore, if  $\delta$  is sufficiently small, then any  $L_t$  that intersects  $Q_{1/4}$  will also intersect  $(-1, -\frac{15}{16}] \times [-\frac{3}{8}, \frac{3}{8}]$ . Thus Lemma 10 implies that  $w = -\log |\nabla u_\infty|$  in  $Q_{1/4} \cap M$ , which is statement (b).

Next we have a closer look at equation (20) and study what it means for the limit. We define  $F_p = |\nabla w_p|^{p'-2} \nabla w_p$ , so we can write

$$\operatorname{div} F_p = |\nabla w_p|^{p'}. \quad (21)$$

For any  $q < \infty$  and any precompact set  $K \Subset Q_{1/2}$ , we have the inequality

$$\left( \int_K |F_p|^q dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq |K|^{\frac{p-q}{pq}} \left( \int_K |F_p|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = |K|^{\frac{p-q}{pq}} \left( \int_K |\nabla w_p|^{p'} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Hence

$$\limsup_{p \rightarrow \infty} \left( \int_K |F_p|^q dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq |K|^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Therefore, we may assume that  $F_{p_k} \rightharpoonup F$  weakly in  $L^q_{\text{loc}}(Q_{1/2}; \mathbb{R}^2)$  for every  $q < \infty$ . Moreover,

$$\|F\|_{L^\infty(K)} = \lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} \|F\|_{L^q(K)} \leq 1.$$

That is, we have the inequality  $|F| \leq 1$  almost everywhere in  $Q_{1/2}$ .

We also observe that by the definition of  $w_p$ ,

$$F_p = (p-1)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} e^{w_p} \nabla^\perp u_p.$$

As  $\nabla u_{p_k} \rightharpoonup \nabla u_\infty$  weakly in  $L^q_{\text{loc}}(U)$  and  $w_{p_k} \rightarrow w$  locally uniformly in  $Q_{1/2}$ , this implies that

$$F = e^w \nabla^\perp u_\infty.$$

It follows that  $w \leq -\log |\nabla u_\infty|$ .

From arguments developed for the inverse mean curvature flow [29, p. 82], it follows that

$$\int_U \eta |\nabla w_p|^{p'} dx \rightarrow \int_U \eta |\nabla w| dx$$

for any  $\eta \in C_0^\infty(Q_{1/2})$ . Hence (21) gives rise to

$$\operatorname{div} F = |\nabla w|$$

in  $Q_{1/2}$ , which amounts to statement (c). Testing this equation with  $\eta e^{-w}$ , we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_U \eta e^{-w} |\nabla w| dx &= - \int_U e^{-w} (\nabla \eta - \eta \nabla w) \cdot F dx \\ &= - \int_U (\nabla \eta - \eta \nabla w) \cdot \nabla^\perp u_\infty dx \\ &= \int_U \eta \nabla w \cdot \nabla u_\infty^\perp dx \end{aligned}$$

for any  $\eta \in C_0^\infty(Q_{1/2})$ . Therefore,

$$e^{-w} |\nabla w| = \nabla w \cdot \nabla^\perp u_\infty$$

almost everywhere in  $Q_{1/2}$ . Thus we have proved statement (a) as well.

## 5 Weak solutions of the inverse mean curvature flow

The study of weak solutions of the inverse mean curvature flow goes back to a seminal paper of Huisken and Ilmanen [9], where they are defined in terms of a variational condition. Equation (2) is not actually variational, but Huisken and Ilmanen get around that problem by asking that a function  $w$  minimise a functional depending on  $w$  itself. They work with local Lipschitz functions, but the same ideas make sense for functions  $w \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}(\Omega) \cap L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(\Omega)$ . According to their definition,  $w$  is a weak solution of (2) if

$$\int_K (1+w) |\nabla w| dx \leq \int_K (|\nabla \tilde{w}| + \tilde{w} |\nabla w|) dx \quad (22)$$

for every precompact set  $K \Subset \Omega$  and every  $\tilde{w} \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}(\Omega) \cap L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(\Omega)$  with  $\tilde{w} = w$  in  $\Omega \setminus K$ .

The same paper also proves a number of properties implied by this condition, including a comparison principle, a resulting uniqueness theorem, and a minimising hull property for the sublevel sets. Despite some technical differences, many of the underlying ideas will apply to the situation discussed in the introduction, too. It is therefore useful to know that the condition from Definition 1 implies inequality (22), provided that  $w \in L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(\Omega)$ .

**Proposition 11.** *Suppose that  $w \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}(\Omega) \cap L_{\text{loc}}^{\infty}(\Omega)$  is a weak solution of (2) in the sense of Definition 1. Then for every  $K \Subset \Omega$  and every  $\tilde{w} \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$  with  $\tilde{w} = w$  in  $\Omega \setminus K$ , inequality (22) holds true.*

*Proof.* Let  $F \in L^{\infty}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$  be a vector field satisfying  $|F| \leq 1$  and  $F \cdot \nabla w = |\nabla w|$  almost everywhere in  $\Omega$  and

$$\operatorname{div} F = |\nabla w|$$

weakly. Then for almost every  $x \in \Omega$ , either  $\nabla w(x) = 0$  or

$$F(x) = \frac{\nabla w(x)}{|\nabla w(x)|}.$$

Let  $K \Subset \Omega$  be a precompact set and let  $\tilde{w} \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}(\Omega) \cap L_{\text{loc}}^{\infty}(\Omega)$  with  $\tilde{w} = w$  in  $\Omega \setminus K$ . Then

$$|\nabla \tilde{w}| \geq F \cdot \nabla \tilde{w} = |\nabla w| + F \cdot (\nabla \tilde{w} - \nabla w)$$

almost everywhere. It follows that

$$\int_K |\nabla \tilde{w}| dx \geq \int_K |\nabla w| dx - \int_K (\tilde{w} - w) |\nabla w| dx.$$

Rearranging the terms, we obtain inequality (22).  $\square$

## References

- [1] G. Aronsson, *Extension of functions satisfying Lipschitz conditions*, Ark. Mat. **6** (1967), 551–561.
- [2] ———, *On the partial differential equation  $u_x^2 u_{xx} + 2u_x u_y u_{xy} + u_y^2 u_{yy} = 0$* , Ark. Mat. **7** (1968), 395–425 (1968).
- [3] ———, *On certain singular solutions of the partial differential equation  $u_x^2 u_{xx} + 2u_x u_y u_{xy} + u_y^2 u_{yy} = 0$* , Manuscripta Math. **47** (1984), 133–151.
- [4] G. Aronsson and P. Lindqvist, *On  $p$ -harmonic functions in the plane and their stream functions*, J. Differential Equations **74** (1988), 157–178.
- [5] T. Bhattacharya, E. DiBenedetto, and J. Manfredi, *Limits as  $p \rightarrow \infty$  of  $\Delta_p u_p = f$  and related extremal problems*, 1989, Some topics in nonlinear PDEs (Turin, 1989), pp. 15–68 (1991).
- [6] M. G. Crandall, *A visit with the  $\infty$ -Laplace equation*, Calculus of variations and nonlinear partial differential equations, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1927, Springer, Berlin, 2008, pp. 75–122.
- [7] L. C. Evans, *Estimates for smooth absolutely minimizing Lipschitz extensions*, Electron. J. Differential Equations (1993), No. 03, 9 pp.
- [8] L. C. Evans and O. Savin,  *$C^{1,\alpha}$  regularity for infinity harmonic functions in two dimensions*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **32** (2008), 325–347.

- [9] G. Huisken and T. Ilmanen, *The inverse mean curvature flow and the Riemannian Penrose inequality*, J. Differential Geom. **59** (2001), 353–437.
- [10] R. Jensen, *Uniqueness of Lipschitz extensions: minimizing the sup norm of the gradient*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **123** (1993), 51–74.
- [11] N. Katzourakis,  *$L^\infty$  variational problems for maps and the Aronsson PDE system*, J. Differential Equations **253** (2012), 2123–2139.
- [12] ———, *Explicit 2D  $\infty$ -harmonic maps whose interfaces have junctions and corners*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **351** (2013), 677–680.
- [13] ———,  *$\infty$ -minimal submanifolds*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **142** (2014), 2797–2811.
- [14] ———, *On the structure of  $\infty$ -harmonic maps*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **39** (2014), 2091–2124.
- [15] ———, *Nonuniqueness in vector-valued calculus of variations in  $L^\infty$  and some linear elliptic systems*, Commun. Pure Appl. Anal. **14** (2015), 313–327.
- [16] ———, *A characterisation of  $\infty$ -harmonic and  $p$ -harmonic maps via affine variations in  $L^\infty$* , Electron. J. Differential Equations (2017), No. 29, 19 pp.
- [17] N. Katzourakis and R. Moser, *Existence, uniqueness and structure of second order absolute minimisers*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **231** (2019), 1615–1634.
- [18] N. Katzourakis and E. Parini, *The eigenvalue problem for the  $\infty$ -Bilaplacian*, NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl. **24** (2017), Art. 68, 25 pp.
- [19] H. Koch, Y. R.-Y. Zhang, and Y. Zhou, *An asymptotic sharp Sobolev regularity for planar infinity harmonic functions*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) **132** (2019), 457–482.
- [20] B. Kotschwar and L. Ni, *Local gradient estimates of  $p$ -harmonic functions,  $1/H$ -flow, and an entropy formula*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4) **42** (2009), 1–36.
- [21] O. A. Ladyzhenskaya and N. N. Ural'tseva, *Linear and quasilinear elliptic equations*, Academic Press, New York–London, 1968.
- [22] H. Lebesgue, *Sur le problème de Dirichlet*, Rend. Circ. Matem. Palermo **24** (1907), 371–402.
- [23] J. L. Lewis, *Regularity of the derivatives of solutions to certain degenerate elliptic equations*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **32** (1983), 849–858.
- [24] G. M. Lieberman, *Boundary regularity for solutions of degenerate elliptic equations*, Nonlinear Anal. **12** (1988), 1203–1219.
- [25] E. Lindgren and P. Lindqvist, *Infinity-harmonic potentials and their streamlines*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. **39** (2019), 4731–4746.

- [26] ———, *The gradient flow of infinity-harmonic potentials*, Adv. Math. **378** (2021), 107526, 24 pp.
- [27] P. Lindqvist, *On  $p$ -harmonic functions in the complex plane and curvature*, Israel J. Math. **63** (1988), 257–269.
- [28] R. Moser, *Structure and classification results for the  $\infty$ -elastica problem*, Amer. J. Math., to appear. arXiv:1908.01569 [math.DG].
- [29] ———, *The inverse mean curvature flow and  $p$ -harmonic functions*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **9** (2007), 77–83.
- [30] ———, *The inverse mean curvature flow as an obstacle problem*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **57** (2008), 2235–2256.
- [31] ———, *Geroch monotonicity and the construction of weak solutions of the inverse mean curvature flow*, Asian J. Math. **19** (2015), 357–376.
- [32] R. Moser and H. Schwetlick, *Minimizers of a weighted maximum of the Gauss curvature*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **41** (2012), 199–207.
- [33] Y. Peres, O. Schramm, S. Sheffield, and D. B. Wilson, *Tug-of-war and the infinity Laplacian*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **22** (2009), 167–210.
- [34] Z. N. Sakellaris, *Minimization of scalar curvature in conformal geometry*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **51** (2017), 73–89.
- [35] O. Savin,  *$C^1$  regularity for infinity harmonic functions in two dimensions*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **176** (2005), 351–361.
- [36] S. Sheffield and C. K. Smart, *Vector-valued optimal Lipschitz extensions*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **65** (2012), 128–154.