# ON THE NUMBER OF ZEROS TO THE EQUATION  $f(x_1) + ... + f(x_n) = a$  OVER FINITE FIELDS

### CHAOXI ZHU, YULU FENG, SHAOFANG HONG∗, AND JUNYONG ZHAO

ABSTRACT. Let p be a prime, k a positive integer and let  $\mathbb{F}_q$  be the finite field of  $q = p^k$  elements. Let  $f(x)$  be a polynomial over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  and  $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$ . We denote by  $N_s(f, a)$  the number of zeros of  $f(x_1) + \cdots + f(x_s) = a$ . In this paper, we show that

$$
\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} N_s(f, 0)x^s = \frac{x}{1 - qx} - \frac{xM'_f(x)}{qM_f(x)},
$$

where

$$
M_f(x):=\prod_{\substack{m\in\mathbb{F}_q^*\\S_{f,m}\neq 0}}\left(x-\frac{1}{S_{f,m}}\right)
$$

with  $S_{f,m} := \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q} \zeta_p^{\text{Tr}(mf(x))}$ ,  $\zeta_p$  being the p-th primitive unit root and Tr being the trace map from  $\mathbb{F}_q$  to  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . This extends Richman's theorem which treats  $\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} N_s(f,a)x^s$  is a rational function in x and also present its explicit expression in the case of  $f(x)$  being a monomial. Moreover, we show that the generating series terms of the first  $2d + 1$  initial values  $N_1(f, a), ..., N_{2d+1}(f, a)$ , where d is a positive integer no more than  $q - 1$ . From this result, the theorems of Chowla-Cowles-Cowles and of Myerson can be derived.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let p be a prime number and let  $\mathbb{F}_q$  be the finite field of  $q = p^k$  elements with k being a positive integer. Let  $F(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  be a polynomial with n variables in  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . We set  $N(F = 0)$  to be the number of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -rational points of the affine hypersurface  $F(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Calculating the exact value of  $N(F = 0)$  is an important topic in number theory and finite fields. In general, it is difficult to give an explicit formula for  $N(F = 0)$ . The p-adic behavior of  $N(F = 0)$  has been deeply investigated by lots of authors (see, for example, [1], [2], [4], [6], [13], [15], [20] and [22]). Finding the explicit formula for  $N(F = 0)$  under certain conditions received attention from many authors for many years. See, for examples, [5], [7] to [12], [16] to [\[19\]](#page-12-0) and [23] to [25].

Let  $f(x)$  be a polynomial over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  and  $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$ . Denote by  $N_s(f, a)$  the number of s-tuples  $(x_1, ..., x_s) \in \mathbb{F}_q^s$  such that

<span id="page-0-0"></span>
$$
f(x_1) + \ldots + f(x_s) = a. \tag{1.1}
$$

If  $q = p$  and  $a = 0$ , then Chowla, Cowles and Cowles [7] proved that  $\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} N_s(f, 0)x^s$  is a rational function in x but its explicit expression is unknown. In the case  $f(x) = x^3$ ,

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 11T23, 11T24.

Key words and phrases. Exponential sum, generating series, minimal polynomial, rationality, zero.

<sup>∗</sup>S.F. Hong is the corresponding author and was supported partially by National Science Foundation of China Grant #11771304.

 $q = p$  and  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ , Chowla, Cowles and Cowles [7] showed that

$$
\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} N_s(x^3, 0)x^s = \frac{x}{1 - px} + \frac{(p-1)(2+bx)x^2}{1 - 3px^2 - pbx^3},
$$

where b is uniquely determined by  $4p = b^2 + 27c^2$  and  $b \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ . From this, one can read an expression of  $N_s(x^3,0)$  for each integer  $s\geq 1$ . Myerson [16] extended the Chowla-Cowles-Cowles theorem from  $\mathbb{F}_p$  to  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Let  $a \in \mathbb{F}_q^* := \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}$ . If  $q \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ , it is known that every element in  $\mathbb{F}_q$  is a cube, and so  $N_s(x^3, a) = q^{s-1}$ . If  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ with  $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ , then Wolfmann [25] gave a formula for  $N_s(x^3, a)$  but did not present the explicit expression for  $\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} N_s(x^3, a)x^s$ . By using Gauss sum, Jacobi sum and the Hasse-Davenport relation, Hong and Zhu [10] showed that if  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ , then the generating function  $\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} N_s(x^3, a)x^s$  is a rational function in x and also presented its explicit expression. In [26], Zhao, Feng, Hong and Zhu used the cyclotomic theory and exponential sums to show that the generating function  $\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} N_s(x^4, a)x^s$  is a rational function in x and also provided its explicit expression.

If  $f(x) = x^e$  is a monomial and  $q = p$  with  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{e}$  with  $e \ge 2$  being an integer, then Richman [18] extended the Chowla-Cowles-Cowles theorem by showing that

$$
\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} N_s(x^e, 0)x^s = \frac{x}{1 - px} - \frac{(p-1)y'(x)x}{pey(x)},
$$

where  $y(x)$  is the *reciprocal polynomial* of the minimal polynomial  $g(x)$  of the exponential sum  $\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \exp(2\pi i k^e/p)$ , i.e.,  $y(x) = x^{\deg(g(x))} g(1/x)$ , and  $y'(x)$  stands for the derivative of  $y(x)$ . Richman pointed also out that this result can be easily extended to  $\mathbb{F}_q$  by replacing  $\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \exp(2\pi i k^e/p)$  with  $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{F}_q} \exp(2\pi i \text{Tr}(k^e)/p)$ , where Tr denotes the trace map from  $\mathbb{F}_q$  to its prime subfield  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . This reveals the relationship between the numerator and denominator of the rational expression of  $\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} N_s(x^e, 0)x^s$ .

In this paper, we are mainly concerned with the number  $N_s(f, a)$  of zeros of equation [\(1.1\)](#page-0-0) and the rationality of the generating series  $\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} N_s(f,a)x^s$ . As usual, let  $\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{Q}$ and C denote the ring of integers, the field of rational numbers and the field of complex numbers. Let N and N<sup>\*</sup> stand for the set of all nonnegative integers and the set of all positive integers. Let  ${a_s}_{s=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence with  $a_s \in \mathbb{Z}$ . If there exists a polynomial  $g(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{d} k_i x^i \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  with  $k_d \neq 0$  such that

$$
k_0 a_{j+1} + k_1 a_{j+2} + \dots + k_{d-1} a_{j+d} + k_d a_{j+d+1} = 0
$$

holds for all integers  $j \geq 0$ , then  $\{a_s\}_{s=1}^{\infty}$  is called a *linear recursion sequence* and  $g(x)$ is called a *generating polynomial* of  $\{a_s\}_{s=1}^{\infty}$ . We also say that the sequence  $\{a_s\}_{s=1}^{\infty}$  is generated by  $g(x)$ . It is easy to see that if  $\{a_s\}_{s=1}^{\infty}$  is a linear recursion sequence, and both of  $g_1(x)$  and  $g_2(x)$  are generating polynomials of  $\{a_s\}_{s=1}^{\infty}$ , then  $g_1(x) + g_2(x)$  is a generating polynomial of  $\{a_s\}_{s=1}^{\infty}$  and  $kx^e g_1(x)$  is a generating polynomial of  $\{a_s\}_{s=1}^{\infty}$  for any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $e \in \mathbb{N}$ . This infers that for any  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ ,  $f(x)g_1(x)$  is a generating polynomial of  $\{a_s\}_{s=1}^{\infty}$ . It then follows that the set  $\wp$  consisting of all the generating polynomials of the sequence  $\{a_s\}_{s=1}^{\infty}$  forms an ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ . Furthermore, by Euclidean algorithm in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ , one can easily deduce that if  $h(x) \in \wp$  satisfies that the degree of  $h(x)$ is minimal and the greatest common divisor of all the coefficients of  $h(x)$  is equal to 1, then  $h(x)|g(x)$  for any  $g(x) \in \wp$ . Therefore  $\wp$  is a principle ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  generated by h(x). Such h(x) is called the minimal polynomial of the sequence  ${a_s}_{s=1}^{\infty}$ . We define the degree of the sequence  $\{a_s\}_{s=1}^{\infty}$ , denoted by  $\deg\{a_s\}_{s=1}^{\infty}$ , to be the degree of the minimal polynomial of the sequence  $\{a_s\}_{s=1}^{\infty}$ .

We denote by  $\text{Tr}(b) := \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} b^{p^i}$  the trace map from  $\mathbb{F}_{p^k}$  to  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , where  $b \in \mathbb{F}_{p^k}$ . Take  $\zeta_p := \exp(\frac{2\pi i}{p})$  to be the p-th primitive root of unity for convenience. For any  $m \in \mathbb{F}_q$ , one defines the exponential sum  $S_{f,m}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  as follows:

$$
S_{f,m}:=\sum_{x\in \mathbb{F}_q}\zeta_p^{\text{Tr}(mf(x))}.
$$

Let

$$
\Omega_f := \{ S_{f,m} : m \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \text{ and } S_{f,m} \neq 0 \}
$$

be the set of all distinct nonzero exponential sums  $S_{f,m}$ . Associated to the polynomial  $f(x)$ , we introduce an auxiliary polynomial  $m<sub>f</sub>(x)$  as follows:

$$
m_f(x) := \prod_{\lambda \in \Omega_f} (x - \lambda).
$$

One can show that  $m_f(x)$  is of integer coefficients. For any given  $m \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$  and  $f(x)$ , the minimal polynomial of  $S_{f,m}$  divides  $m_f(x)$ . Myerson [17] and Wan [\[21\]](#page-12-1) investigated the degree of the minimal polynomial of  $S_{f,1}$ . In what follows, we let

$$
u_s(f, a) := N_s(f, a) - q^{s-1}
$$

for all positive integers s. The first main result of this paper can be stated as follows.

<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$ . Then each of the following is true:

(i). The sequence  ${u_s(f, a)}_{s=1}^{\infty}$  is a linear recursion sequence with  $m_f(x)$  being its generating polynomial. Furthermore,  $m_f(x)$  is the minimal polynomial of the sequence  ${u_s(f, 0)}_{s=1}^{\infty}$ .

(ii). We have

$$
\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} N_s(f,a)x^s = \frac{x}{1-qx} - \frac{x\tilde{M}_{f,a}(x)}{qM_f(x)},
$$

where

$$
M_f(x) := \prod_{\substack{m \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \\ S_{f,m} \neq 0}} \left( x - \frac{1}{S_{f,m}} \right)
$$

and

$$
\tilde{M}_{f,a}(x):=\sum_{\stackrel{n\in \mathbb{F}_q^*}{s_{f,n}\neq 0}}\prod_{\stackrel{m\in \mathbb{F}_q^*\backslash\{n\}}{s_{f,m}\neq 0}}\zeta_p^{\text{Tr}(-na)}\Big(x-\frac{1}{S_{f,m}}\Big).
$$

In particular, if  $a = 0$ , then  $\tilde{M}_{f,a}(x) = \tilde{M}_{f,0}(x)$  is equal to the derivative of  $M_f(x)$ .

By using Theorem [1.1,](#page-2-0) we can deduce an explicit expression of  $\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} N_s(f,a)x^s$  in terms of the initial values  $N_1(f, a), N_2(f, a), \cdots, N_{2 \deg\{u_s(f, a)\}_{s=1}^\infty+1}(f, a)$ . That is, we have the following second main result of this paper.

<span id="page-2-1"></span>**Theorem 1.2.** Let  $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$ . Then the generating series  $\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} N_s(f, a)x^s$  is a rational function in x. Furthermore, we have

$$
\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} N_s(f,a)x^s = \frac{x}{1-qx} + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^d \left(\sum_{\substack{j+k=i \ k\geq 0, j\geq 1}} c_k u_j(f,a)\right) x^i}{\sum_{i=0}^d c_i x^i},
$$

where  $d := \deg \{u_s(f, a)\}_{s=1}^{\infty}$  and  $X := (c_d, ..., c_1, c_0)^T$  is any given nonzero integer solution of  $AX = 0$  with  $A := (u_{i+j-1}(f,a))_{1 \leq i,j \leq d+1}$  being the Hankel matrix of order  $d+1$ associated with the sequence  ${u_s(f, a)}_{s=1}^{\infty}$ .

Remark 1.3. The positive integer d in Theorem [1.2](#page-2-1) can be taken as any integer greater than  $\deg\{u_s(f,a)\}_{s=1}^{\infty}$ , and the rational expression of  $\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} N_s(f,a)x^s$  is unchanged.

This paper is organized as follows. First of all, in Section 2, we show several preliminary lemmas that are needed in the proofs of Theorems [1.1](#page-2-0) and [1.2.](#page-2-1) In Section 3, we present the proofs of Theorems [1.1](#page-2-0) and [1.2.](#page-2-1) Two examples are given in the last section to demonstrate the validity of Theorems [1.1](#page-2-0) and [1.2.](#page-2-1)

#### 2. Preliminary lemmas

In this section, we present several preliminary lemmas that are needed in proving Theorems [1.1](#page-2-0) and [1.2.](#page-2-1)

<span id="page-3-1"></span>**Lemma 2.1.** [16] Let p be a prime number and k be a positive integer. Let  $\mathbb{F}_q$  be the finite field of  $q = p^k$  elements and  $\mathbb{F}_q^*$  its multiplicative group. Then for any  $x_0 \in \mathbb{F}_q$ , we have

$$
\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q} \zeta_p^{\text{Tr}(xx_0)} = \begin{cases} q & \text{if } x_0 = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } x_0 \neq 0. \end{cases}
$$

**Lemma 2.2.** [3, 12, 14] The trace function Tr satisfies the following properties:

(i). Tr( $\alpha + \beta$ ) = Tr( $\alpha$ ) + Tr( $\beta$ ) for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_q$ . (ii). Tr(c $\alpha$ ) = cTr( $\alpha$ ) for all  $c \in \mathbb{F}_p$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q$ .

<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Lemma 2.3.** Let  $R$  be a singular integer square matrix. Then the matrix equation  $RX = 0$  has a nonzero integer solution.

*Proof.* It is a standard result from linear algebra over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . For the completeness, here we still provide a detailed proof.

Let the order of R be n. Since  $RX = 0$  is solvable over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , one may lets  $X_0 \in \mathbb{Q}^n$ be a nonzero rational solution of  $RX = 0$ . Then multiplying by the least common denominator m of all the components of  $X_0$  gives us that  $mX_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  is a nonzero integer solution of  $RX = 0$ . Thus Lemma [2.3](#page-3-0) is proved.

<span id="page-3-2"></span>**Lemma 2.4.** Let  $h(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (x - \lambda_i)^{k_i}$  with  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $k_i \in \mathbb{N}^*$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , and  $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$  for  $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$ . Let  $H(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n (x - \lambda_i)$  be the radical of  $h(x)$ . If  $h(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ , then  $H(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ .

*Proof.* Since  $h(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  and  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  is a unique factorization domain (U.F.D.), by the arithmetic fundamental theorem over the ring  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ , one may let

$$
h(x) = h_1^{e_1}(x) \cdots h_r^{e_r}(x)
$$

with  $r, e_1, ..., e_r \in \mathbb{N}^*$  and  $h_1(x), ..., h_r(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  being r distinct irreducible polynomials. Since each of  $h_1(x),..., h_r(x)$  has no repeated complex roots and any two of  $h_1(x),..., h_r(x)$ have no common complex root, the product  $h_1(x) \cdots h_r(x)$  has no repeated complex roots. Hence the set of complex roots of  $h_1(x) \cdots h_r(x)$  is equal to the set of complex roots of  $h(x)$ . But the set of complex roots of  $h(x)$  equals the set of complex roots of  $H(x)$ . Thus the set of complex roots of  $h_1(x) \cdots h_r(x)$  is equal to the set of complex roots of  $H(x)$ . Notice that  $H(x)$  has also no repeated complex roots. It then follows from the assumption that  $H(x)$  and  $h(x)$  are monic that

$$
H(x) = h_1(x) \cdots h_r(x).
$$

Thus  $H(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  as required.

The proof of Lemma 2.4 is complete.

For a polynomial  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  of degree d, we denote by  $\overline{f}(x)$  the reciprocal polynomial of  $f(x)$ , i.e.,  $\overline{f}(x) := x^d f(x^{-1})$ .

<span id="page-4-1"></span>**Lemma 2.5.** Let  $r(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  be a polynomial and let  $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a linear recursion sequence of integers. Then  $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is generated by  $r(x)$  if and only if  $\bar{r}(x) \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} a_s x^{s-1}$ is a polynomial of degree  $\langle \deg r(x) \rangle$ .

*Proof.* Let  $r(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} b_{m-i} x^i \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ , where  $m \ge 1$  is a integer. Then  $\overline{r}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} b_i x^i$ . It follows that

$$
\overline{r}(x) \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} a_s x^{s-1} = \left(\sum_{i=0}^{m} b_i x^i\right) \left(\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} a_s x^{s-1}\right)
$$

$$
= \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{j} b_i a_{j-i+1}\right) x^j + \sum_{j=m}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{m} b_i a_{j-i+1}\right) x^j.
$$
(2.1)

Notice that  ${a_n}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is generated by  $r(x)$  if and only if  $\sum_{i=0}^{m} b_i a_{j-i+1} = 0$  for all integers  $j \geq m$ . But by [\(2.1\)](#page-4-0), the latter one is true if and only if

<span id="page-4-0"></span>
$$
\overline{r}(x) \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} a_s x^{s-1} = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{j} b_i a_{j-i+1} \right) x^j.
$$

Thus  $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is generated by  $r(x)$  if and only if  $\bar{r}(x) \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} a_s x^{s-1}$  is a polynomial of degree  $\langle \text{ deg } r(x) \rangle$ . So Lemma [2.5](#page-4-1) is proved.

<span id="page-4-5"></span>**Lemma 2.6.** Let  $r(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  be a monic polynomial of degree d with  $r(0) \neq 0$  and having d different complex roots  $\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_d$ . Let  $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be the linear recursion sequence of integers generated by  $r(x)$ . Then there are d complex numbers  $\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_d$  which are uniquely determined by the sequence  $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  such that

<span id="page-4-2"></span>
$$
\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} a_s x^{s-1} = \frac{\lambda_1}{1 - \alpha_1 x} + \dots + \frac{\lambda_d}{1 - \alpha_d x}.
$$
 (2.2)

Furthermore, we have  $a_s = \sum_{i=1}^d \lambda_i \alpha_i^{s-1}$  for each integer  $s \ge 1$ , and  $r(x)$  is the minimal polynomial of  $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  if and only if all of  $\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_d$  are nonzero.

*Proof.* Let  $t(x) := \overline{r}(x) \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} a_s x^{s-1}$ . Since  $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is generated by  $r(x)$ , by Lemma [2.5](#page-4-1) one knows that  $t(x)$  is a polynomial of integer coefficients and  $\deg(t(x)) < \deg(r(x))$ . Noticing that

$$
\overline{r}(x) = x^d(x^{-1} - \alpha_1)...(x^{-1} - \alpha_d) = (1 - \alpha_1 x) \cdots (1 - \alpha_d x),
$$

one derives that

$$
\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} a_s x^{s-1} = \frac{t(x)}{\overline{r}(x)} = \frac{t(x)}{(1 - \alpha_1 x) \cdots (1 - \alpha_d x)}.
$$
 (2.3)

Since  $\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_d$  are pairwise distinct and  $r(0) \neq 0$  implying that none of  $\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_d$  is zero, we have  $\prod_{\substack{j=1 \ j \neq i}}^{d} (1 - \alpha_j \alpha_i^{-1}) \neq 0$ . So for any integer k with  $1 \leq k \leq d$ , one may let

<span id="page-4-4"></span><span id="page-4-3"></span>
$$
\lambda_k := \frac{t(\alpha_k^{-1})}{\prod_{\substack{j=1 \ j \neq k}}^{d} (1 - \alpha_j \alpha_k^{-1})}.
$$
\n(2.4)

Then

$$
t(\alpha_k^{-1}) = \lambda_k \prod_{\substack{j=1 \ j \neq k}}^d (1 - \alpha_j \alpha_k^{-1}) = \sum_{i=1}^d \lambda_i \prod_{\substack{j=1 \ j \neq i}}^d (1 - \alpha_j \alpha_k^{-1}).
$$

Hence  $\alpha_1^{-1}, \ldots, \alpha_d^{-1}$  are d distinct zeros of the polynomial

<span id="page-5-1"></span><span id="page-5-0"></span>
$$
t(x) - \sum_{i=1}^{d} \lambda_i \prod_{\substack{j=1 \ j \neq i}}^{d} (1 - \alpha_j x). \tag{2.5}
$$

But the degree of the polynomial in  $(2.5)$  is clearly no more than  $d-1$ . Hence the polynomial in [\(2.5\)](#page-5-0) is equal to zero. One then derives that

$$
t(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \lambda_i \prod_{\substack{j=1 \ j \neq i}}^{d} (1 - \alpha_j x) = \left( \frac{\lambda_1}{1 - \alpha_1 x} + \dots + \frac{\lambda_d}{1 - \alpha_d x} \right) \prod_{i=1}^{d} (1 - \alpha_i x). \tag{2.6}
$$

Thus  $(2.2)$  follows immediately from  $(2.3)$  and  $(2.6)$ . So  $(2.2)$  is proved.

Now by [\(2.2\)](#page-4-2), we can deduce that

$$
\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} a_s x^{s-1} = \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \lambda_1 (\alpha_1 x)^{s-1} + \dots + \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \lambda_d (\alpha_d x)^{s-1} = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{i=1}^d \lambda_i \alpha_i^s \right) x^s.
$$

Comparing the coefficients of  $x^{s-1}$  on both sides gives us  $a_s = \sum_{i=1}^d \lambda_i \alpha_i^{s-1}$  as desired.

In what follows, we show that  $r(x)$  is the minimal polynomial of  $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  if and only if all the  $\lambda_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq d$ ) are nonzero. To do so, we first show that  $r(x)$  is the minimal polynomial of  $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  if and only if  $gcd(\overline{r}(x), t(x)) = 1$ .

Suppose that  $r(x)$  is the minimal polynomial of  $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ . Let  $gcd(\overline{r}(x), t(x)) = \overline{d}(x)$ . If  $\overline{d}(x) \neq 1$ , then  $\deg(\overline{d}(x)) \geq 1$  since the greatest common divisor of all the coefficients of  $r(x)$  is equal to 1. Moreover, we write  $t(x) = t_0(x)\overline{d}(x)$  and  $\overline{r}(x) = \overline{r}_0(x)\overline{d}(x)$  with  $t_0(x), \overline{r}_0(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ . Since  $t(x) = \overline{r}(x) \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} a_s x^{s-1} \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  and  $\deg(t(x)) < \deg(r(x)),$ one has  $t_0(x) = \overline{r}_0(x) \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} a_s x^{s-1}$ . But  $r(0) \neq 0$  tells us that  $\deg(\overline{r}_0(x)) = \deg r(x)$  and  $\overline{r}_0(0) \neq 0$ . It then follows that

$$
deg(t_0(x)) = deg(t(x)) - deg(\overline{d}(x)) < deg(r(x)) - deg(\overline{d}(x))
$$
  
= 
$$
deg(\overline{r}(x)) - deg(\overline{d}(x)) = deg(\overline{r}_0(x)) = deg(r_0(x)),
$$

where  $r_0(x)$  is the reciprocal polynomial of  $\overline{r}_0(x)$ . So by Lemma 2.5, one knows that  $r_0(x)$  is a generating polynomial of  $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ . This contradicts with the assumption that  $r(x)$  is the minimal polynomial of  ${a_n}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  since  $\deg(r_0(x)) < \deg(r(x))$ . Hence we must have  $gcd(\overline{r}(x), t(x)) = 1$ .

Conversely, let  $gcd(\overline{r}(x), t(x)) = 1$ . Assume that  $r(x)$  is not the minimal polynomial of  ${a_n}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ . Since  $r(x)$  is monic, there exists a polynomial  $r_1(x)$  of degree  $\langle \deg(r(x)) \rangle$  which is the minimal polynomial of  $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ . By the fact that all the generating polynomials of  ${a_n}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  forms an ideal generated by  $r_1(x)$ , one may let  $r(x) = r_1(x)g(x)$  with  $g(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ and  $\deg(g(x)) \geq 1$ . Evidently, we have  $\overline{r}(x) = \overline{r}_1(x)\overline{g}(x)$ . By Lemma [2.5,](#page-4-1) one may let

$$
\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} a_s x^{s-1} = \frac{t_1(x)}{\overline{r}_1(x)}
$$
 for some  $t_1(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ .

Then

$$
0 = \frac{t(x)}{\overline{r}(x)} - \frac{t_1(x)}{\overline{r}_1(x)} = \frac{t(x)}{\overline{r}(x)} - \frac{t_1(x)\overline{g}(x)}{\overline{r}_1(x)\overline{g}(x)} = \frac{t(x) - t_1(x)\overline{g}(x)}{\overline{r}(x)}
$$

This implies that  $t(x) = t_1(x)\overline{g}(x)$ . So  $\overline{g}(x)|t(x)$  which contradicts with the fact that

$$
\gcd(\overline{r}_1(x)\overline{g}(x), t(x)) = \gcd(\overline{r}(x), t(x)) = 1.
$$

Thus  $r(x)$  is the minimal polynomial of  $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ . This ends the proof of the statement that  $r(x)$  is the minimal polynomial of  $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  if and only if  $gcd(\overline{r}(x), t(x)) = 1$ .

Finally, by [\(2.4\)](#page-4-4) we derive that  $\lambda_i \neq 0$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq d$  if and only if none of the roots  $\alpha_i^{-1}$ ,  $1 \le i \le d$ , of  $\overline{r}(x)$  is a zero of  $t(x)$  which is equivalent to  $gcd(\overline{r}(x), t(x)) = 1$ . Therefore  $r(x)$  is the minimal polynomial of  $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  if and only if all the  $\lambda_i$   $(1 \leq i \leq d)$ are nonzero.

This completes the proof of Lemma [2.6.](#page-4-5)

### 3. Proofs of Theorems [1.1](#page-2-0) and [1.2](#page-2-1)

In this section, we present the proofs of Theorems [1.1](#page-2-0) and [1.2.](#page-2-1) We begin with the proof of Theorem [1.1.](#page-2-0)

*Proof of Theorem [1.1.](#page-2-0)* (i). Let  $m_f(x) := \prod_{\lambda \in \Omega_f} (x - \lambda)$ . First of all, we prove that  $m_f(x)$  is of integer coefficients. By Lemma [2.1,](#page-3-1) we have

$$
N_s(f, a) = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{F}_q} \sum_{(x_1, \dots, x_s) \in \mathbb{F}_q^s} \zeta_p^{\text{Tr}(m(f(x_1) + \dots + f(x_s) - a))}
$$
  
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{q} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{F}_q} \left( \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q} \zeta_p^{\text{Tr}(m f(x))} \right)^s \zeta_p^{\text{Tr}(-ma)}
$$
  
\n
$$
= q^{s-1} + \frac{1}{q} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \left( \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q} \zeta_p^{\text{Tr}(m f(x))} \right)^s \zeta_p^{\text{Tr}(-ma)}
$$
  
\n
$$
= q^{s-1} + \frac{1}{q} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} S_{f,m}^s \zeta_p^{\text{Tr}(-ma)}.
$$
\n(3.1)

Then it follows from [\(3.1\)](#page-6-0) that

$$
qu_s(f, a) = qN_s(f, a) - q^s = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} S_{f, m}^s \zeta_p^{\text{Tr}(-ma)} = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \atop S_{f, m} \neq 0} S_{f, m}^s \zeta_p^{\text{Tr}(-ma)}.
$$
 (3.2)

Let

<span id="page-6-1"></span>
$$
g(x) := \prod_{m \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} (x - S_{f,m}) := \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} b_i x^i.
$$
 (3.3)

Then  $b_i \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$  for all integers i with  $0 \leq i \leq q-1$ .

Now pick a  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)/\mathbb{Q})$ , where  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)/\mathbb{Q})$  is the Galois group of the cyclotomic field  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . One may let  $\sigma(\zeta_p) = \zeta_p^h$  for some integer h with  $1 \leq h \leq p-1$ . Then one can deduce that

$$
\sigma(S_{f,m}) = \sigma\left(\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q} \zeta_p^{\text{Tr}(mf(x))}\right) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q} \sigma(\zeta_p)^{\text{Tr}(mf(x))} = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q} \zeta_p^{h\text{Tr}(mf(x))} = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q} \zeta_p^{\text{Tr}(hmf(x))} = S_{f,hm}.
$$

.

<span id="page-6-0"></span>

Since  $1 \leq h \leq p-1$ , one has  $\{hm|m \in \mathbb{F}_q^*\} = \mathbb{F}_q^*$ . It then follows that for any  $\sigma \in$  $Gal(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)/\mathbb{Q})$ , we have

$$
\sigma(g(x)) = \prod_{m \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} (x - \sigma(S_{f,m})) = \prod_{m \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} (x - S_{f,hm}) = \prod_{m \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} (x - S_{f,m}) = g(x).
$$

Hence we must have  $b_i \in \mathbb{Q}$  for all integers i with  $0 \leq i \leq q-1$ .

On the other hand, it is well known that all the algebraic integers in  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$  form a ring which is  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$ . By (3.3), we know that each coefficient  $b_i$  ( $0 \leq i \leq q-1$ ) is a linear Z-combination of powers of  $\zeta_p$ . In other words,  $b_i \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$  for each integer i with  $0 \leq i \leq q-1$ . Hence

$$
b_i \in \mathbb{Q} \cap \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p] = \mathbb{Z}
$$

for all  $0 \leq i \leq q-1$  and so  $g(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ . Write  $g(x) = x^e h(x)$  with e being a nonnegative integer,  $h(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  and  $h(0) \neq 0$ . Evidently, by (3.3) we have

$$
h(x) = \prod_{\lambda \in \Omega_f} (x - \lambda)^{k_{\lambda}}
$$
\n(3.4)

with all  $k_{\lambda}$  being positive integers. Then Lemma [2.4](#page-3-2) applied to  $h(x)$  gives us that  $m_f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x].$ 

Consequently, we show that the integral coefficients polynomial  $m<sub>f</sub>(x)$  is a generating polynomial of  ${u_s(f, a)}_{s=1}^{\infty}$ . Let  $d = \deg(m_f(x))$ . Since  $m_f(x)$  is monic, one may let

$$
m_f(x) = x^d + \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} a_i x^i, \ a_i \in \mathbb{Z}.
$$

If  $S_{f,m} \neq 0$  for  $m \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ , then  $m_f(S_{f,m}) = 0$  that infers that

$$
S_{f,m}^d + a_{d-1}S_{f,m}^{d-1} + \dots + a_1S_{f,m} + a_0 = 0.
$$

Multiplying by  $S_{f,m}^{s-d} \zeta_p^{\text{Tr}(-ma)}$  on both sides gives us that

$$
S_{f,m}^{s} \zeta_{p}^{\text{Tr}(-ma)} + a_{d-1} S_{f,m}^{s-1} \zeta_{p}^{\text{Tr}(-ma)} + \dots + a_{0} S_{f,m}^{s-d} \zeta_{p}^{\text{Tr}(-ma)} = 0
$$

for any integer  $s \geq d$ . Then taking sum tells that

<span id="page-7-0"></span>
$$
\sum_{m \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \atop S_{f,m} \neq 0} S_{f,m}^s \zeta_p^{\text{Tr}(-ma)} + a_{d-1} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \atop S_{f,m} \neq 0} S_{f,m}^{s-1} \zeta_p^{\text{Tr}(-ma)} + \dots + a_0 \sum_{m \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \atop S_{f,m} \neq 0} S_{f,m}^{s-d} \zeta_p^{\text{Tr}(-ma)} = 0 \tag{3.5}
$$

for all integers  $s \geq d$ . Putting [\(3.2\)](#page-6-1) into [\(3.5\)](#page-7-0) gives that for any integer  $s \geq d+1$ , one derives that

$$
u_s(f,a) + a_{d-1}u_{s-1}(f,a) + \dots + a_0u_{s-d}(f,a) = 0.
$$
\n(3.6)

Thus  ${u_s(f, a)}_{s=1}^{\infty}$  is a linear recursion sequence, and  $m_f(x)$  is a generating polynomial of  ${u_s(f, a)}_{s=1}^{\infty}$ . This implies that the minimal polynomial of  ${u_s(f, a)}_{s=1}^{\infty}$  divides  $m_f(x)$ as desired.

Let us now show that  $m_f(x)$  is the minimal polynomial of  $u_s(f, 0)$ . By [\(3.2\)](#page-6-1), we have

<span id="page-8-0"></span>
$$
\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} u_s(f, a)x^{s-1} = \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{m \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \\ S_{f,m} \neq 0}} \frac{S_{f,m}^s \zeta_p^{\text{Tr}(-ma)}}{q} x^{s-1}
$$

$$
= \sum_{\substack{m \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \\ S_{f,m} \neq 0}} \frac{\zeta_p^{\text{Tr}(-ma)}}{q} \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} S_{f,m}^s x^{s-1}
$$

$$
= \sum_{\substack{m \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \\ S_{f,m} \neq 0}} \frac{\zeta_p^{\text{Tr}(-ma)}}{q} \frac{S_{f,m}}{1 - S_{f,m}x} \qquad (3.7)
$$

$$
= \sum_{\lambda \in \Omega_f} \frac{\frac{\lambda}{q} \sum_{m \in \{\mathbb{F}_q^* : S_{f,x} = \lambda\}} \zeta_p^{\text{Tr}(-ma)}}{1 - \lambda x}.
$$

Let  $a = 0$ . Then  $\zeta_p^{\text{Tr}(-ma)} = 1$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{F}_q$ . Notice that  $\lambda \neq 0$  for all  $\lambda \in \Omega_f$ . Hence

$$
\frac{\lambda}{q} \sum_{m \in \{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^*, S_{f,x} = \lambda\}} \zeta_p^{\text{Tr}(-ma)} = \frac{\lambda}{q} \sharp \{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^* : S_{f,x} = \lambda\} \neq 0.
$$

Thus by Lemma [2.6,](#page-4-5) one knows that  $m_f(x)$  equals the minimal polynomial of  $\{u_s(f, 0)\}_{s=1}^{\infty}$ . Part (i) is proved.

(ii). By [\(3.7\)](#page-8-0), we deduce that

$$
\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} u_s(f, a)x^s = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{\substack{m \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \\ s_{f,m} \neq 0}} \zeta_p^{\text{Tr}(-ma)} \frac{S_{f,m}x}{1 - S_{f,m}x}
$$
  

$$
= -\frac{x}{q} \sum_{\substack{m \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \\ s_{f,m} \neq 0}} \frac{\zeta_p^{\text{Tr}(-ma)}}{x - \frac{1}{S_{f,m}}}
$$
  

$$
= -\frac{x}{q} \frac{\sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \\ s_{f,n} \neq 0}} \zeta_p^{\text{Tr}(-na)} \prod_{\substack{m \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \\ s_{f,m} \neq 0}} (x - \frac{1}{S_{f,m}})}
$$
  

$$
:= -\frac{x \tilde{M}_{f,a}(x)}{qM_f(x)}.
$$

It then follows that

$$
\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} N_{f,s}(a)x^s = \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} (u_{f,s}(a) + q^{s-1})x^s = \frac{x}{1-qx} - \frac{x\tilde{M}_{f,a}(x)}{qM_f(x)}.
$$

as required.

In particular, if  $a = 0$ , then

$$
\tilde{M}_{f,a}(x)=\tilde{M}_{f,0}(x)=\sum_{\stackrel{n\in \mathbb{F}_q^*}{s_{f,n}\neq 0}}\prod_{\stackrel{m\in \mathbb{F}_q^*\backslash\{n\}}{s_{f,m}\neq 0}}\Big(x-\frac{1}{S_{f,m}}\Big)=M_f'(x).
$$

In other words,  $\tilde{M}_{f,0}(x)$  equals the derivative of  $M_f(x)$ . So part (ii) is proved.

This finishes the proof of Theorem [1.1.](#page-2-0)  $\Box$ 

Finally, we show Theorem [1.2](#page-2-1) as the conclusion of this section.

*Proof of Theorem [1.2.](#page-2-1)* For brevity, we write  $u_s(f, a)$  as  $u_s$  for all positive integer s in what follows. Since  $d = \deg\{u_s\}_{s=1}^{\infty}$ , by Theorem [1.1](#page-2-0) one knows that the sequence  ${u<sub>s</sub>(f, a)}<sub>s=1</sub><sup>∞</sup>$  is a linear recursion sequence. So one may let

$$
g(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} a_i x^i + x^d
$$

be any given generating polynomial of  $\{u_s\}_{s=1}^{\infty}$ . Then

<span id="page-9-1"></span>
$$
a_0 u_s + \dots + a_{d-1} u_{s+d-1} + u_{s+d} = 0 \tag{3.8}
$$

holds for all positive integer s. It follows that

$$
a_0A_1 + \dots + a_{d-1}A_d + A_{d+1} = 0,
$$

where for any integer i with  $1 \leq i \leq d+1$ ,  $A_i := (u_i, u_{i+1}, ..., u_{i+d})^T$  stands for the i-th column of the  $(d+1) \times (d+1)$  matrix  $A = (u_{ij})_{1 \le i,j \le d+1}$ . Since  $X = (a_0, ..., a_{d-1}, 1)^T$  $\mathbb{Z}^{d+1}$  is a nonzero solution of the matrix equation  $AX = 0$ , A is singular. Then Lemma [2.3](#page-3-0) tells us that  $AX = 0$  has nonzero integer solutions. Now we pick  $X_0 = (c_d, ..., c_1, c_0)$ to be such an arbitrary solution. Then

<span id="page-9-0"></span>
$$
c_d u_i + \dots + c_1 u_{i+d-1} + c_0 u_{i+d} = 0 \tag{3.9}
$$

for all integers i with  $1 \leq i \leq d+1$ .

In what follows, we use induction on  $i$  to show that  $(3.9)$  is true for all positive integers i. First of all, since [\(3.9\)](#page-9-0) holds for all positive integers  $i \leq d+1$ , one may let r be an integer with  $r \geq d+1$ , and we assume that [\(3.9\)](#page-9-0) is true for all positive integers  $i \leq r$ . In the following, we prove that  $(3.9)$  remains true for the  $r + 1$  case.

Letting  $s = r + 1 - d, r + 2 - d, ..., r, r + 1$  in [\(3.8\)](#page-9-1) and then applying the inductive hypothesis, one arrives at

$$
c_d u_{r+1} + c_{d-1} u_{r+2} + \dots + c_1 u_{r+d} + c_0 u_{r+d+1}
$$
  
= 
$$
- c_d \sum_{l=0}^{d-1} a_l u_{r+1-d+l} - c_{d-1} \sum_{l=0}^{d-1} a_l u_{r+2-d+l} - \dots - c_0 \sum_{l=0}^{d-1} a_l u_{r+1+l}
$$
  
= 
$$
- a_0 \sum_{t=0}^{d} c_t u_{r+1-t} - a_1 \sum_{t=0}^{d} c_t u_{r+2-t} - \dots - a_{d-2} \sum_{t=0}^{d} c_t u_{r+d-t-1} - a_{d-1} \sum_{t=0}^{d} c_t u_{r+d-t}
$$
  
= 0.

Hence [\(3.9\)](#page-9-0) is valid for the  $r + 1$  case. Hence (3.9) holds for all positive integers i.

Finally, applying [\(3.9\)](#page-9-0) we can deduce that

$$
(c_0 + c_1 x + \dots + c_d x^d) \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} u_s x^s
$$
  
= 
$$
\sum_{i=1}^d \Big( \sum_{\substack{j+k=i \ k \ge 0, j \ge 1}} c_k u_j \Big) x^i + \sum_{i=d+1}^{\infty} \Big( \sum_{\substack{j+k=i \ k \le d, j \ge 1}} c_k u_j \Big) x^i
$$
  
= 
$$
\sum_{i=1}^d \Big( \sum_{\substack{j+k=i \ k \ge 0, j \ge 1}} c_k u_j \Big) x^i.
$$

However,  $u_s = N_s(f, a) - q^{s-1}$  for any integer  $s \ge 1$ . It then follows that

$$
\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} N_s(f, a)x^s = \frac{x}{1 - qx} + \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} u_s x^s
$$

$$
= \frac{x}{1 - qx} + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^d (\sum_{\substack{j+k=i \ k \ge 0, j \ge 1}}^{j+k=i} c_k u_j) x^i}{c_0 + c_1 x + \dots + c_d x^d}
$$

as expected.

This concludes the proof of Theorem [1.2.](#page-2-1)  $\Box$ 

## 4. Examples

In this section, we supply two examples to illustrate the validity of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. We write  $N_s(a)$  as  $N_s(f, a)$  and  $u_s$  as  $u_s(f, a)$  for convenience.

**Example 4.1.** Let  $q = p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$  and  $f(x) = x^3$ . By [7], we have  $N_1(0) =$  $1, N_2(0) = 3p - 2, N_3(0) = p^2 + b(p - 1), N_4(0) = p^3 + 6p(p - 1), N_5(0) = p^4 +$  $5pb(p-1)$ ,  $N_6(0) = p^5 + (18p^2 + pb^2)(p-1)$  and  $N_7(0) = p^6 + 21p^2b(p-1)$ , where  $4p = b^2 + 27c^2$  and  $b \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ . Then  $u_1 = 0$ ,  $u_2 = 2(p-1)$ ,  $u_3 = b(p-1)$ ,  $u_4 =$  $6p(p-1)$ ,  $u_5 = 5pb(p-1)$ ,  $u_6 = (18p^2 + pb^2)(p-1)$  and  $u_7 = 21p^2b(p-1)$ . Then the Hankel matrix  $A$  is given by

$$
A = (p-1) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & b & 6p \\ 2 & b & 6p & 5pb & 5pb \\ b & 6p & 5pb & 18p^2 + pb^2 \\ 6p & 5pb & 18p^2 + pb^2 & 21p^2b \end{pmatrix}.
$$

It follows that  $(-pb, -3p, 0, 1)^T := (c_3, c_2, c_1, c_0)^T$  is a solution of the matrix equation  $AX = 0$ . By Theorem [1.2,](#page-2-1) we obtain that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{4} \Big( \sum_{\substack{j+k=i \ k \ge 0, j \ge 1}} c_k u_j \Big) x^i
$$
  
=  $c_0 u_1 x + (c_0 u_2 + c_1 u_1) x^2 + (c_0 u_3 + c_1 u_2 + c_2 u_1) x^3$   
=  $2(p-1)x^2 + b(p-1)x^3$ .

Hence

$$
\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} N_s(0)x^s = \frac{x}{1-7x} + \frac{2(p-1)x^2 + b(p-1)x^3}{1-3px^2 - pbx^3}.
$$

This is exactly Chowla, Cowles and Cowles' formula presented in [7].

**Example 4.2.** Let  $q = 5$  and  $f(x) = x^2 + x^3$ . By calculations, one finds that  $N_1(1) = 1, N_2(1) = 4, N_3(1) = 20, N_4(1) = 120, N_5(1) = 650, N_6(1) = 3225, N_7(1) =$  $15750, N_8(1) = 78000$  and  $N_9(1) = 390000$ . Then we have  $u_1 = 0$ ,  $u_2 = -1$ ,  $u_3 =$  $-5$ ,  $u_4 = -5$ ,  $u_5 = 25$ ,  $u_6 = 100$ ,  $u_7 = 125$ ,  $u_8 = -125$  and  $u_9 = -625$ . So the Hankel matrix  $A$  is given by

$$
A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & -5 & -5 & 25 \\ -1 & -5 & -5 & 25 & 100 \\ -5 & -5 & 25 & 100 & 125 \\ -5 & 25 & 100 & 125 & -125 \\ 25 & 100 & 125 & -125 & -625 \end{pmatrix}
$$

.

.

It then follows that  $(25, -25, 15, -5, 1)^T := (c_4, c_3, c_2, c_1, c_0)^T$  is a solution of  $AX = 0$ . Applying Theorem [1.2,](#page-2-1) one gets that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{4} \Big( \sum_{j+k=i} c_k u_j \Big) x^i
$$
  
=c<sub>0</sub>u<sub>1</sub>x + (c<sub>0</sub>u<sub>2</sub> + c<sub>1</sub>u<sub>1</sub>)x<sup>2</sup> + (c<sub>0</sub>u<sub>3</sub> + c<sub>1</sub>u<sub>2</sub> + c<sub>2</sub>u<sub>1</sub>)x<sup>3</sup> + (c<sub>0</sub>u<sub>4</sub> + c<sub>1</sub>u<sub>3</sub> + c<sub>2</sub>u<sub>2</sub> + c<sub>3</sub>u<sub>1</sub>)x<sup>4</sup>  
= - x<sup>2</sup> + 5x<sup>4</sup>.

Therefore

$$
\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} N_s(1)x^s = \frac{x}{1-5x} + \frac{-x^2 + 5x^4}{1-5x + 15x^2 - 25x^3 + 25x^4}
$$

On the other hand, let  $g(x) := 1 - 5x + 15x^2 - 25x^3 + 25x^4$ . Then

$$
\gcd(-x^2 + 5x^4, g(x)) = 1.
$$

It follows from the proof of Lemma 2.5 that  $\overline{g}(x) = x^4 g(\frac{1}{x})$  equals the minimal polynomial of  $\{N_s(1)\}_{s=1}^{\infty}$ . By Theorem [1.1,](#page-2-0) one then deduces that  $g(x)$  divides  $M_f(x)$  and  $deg(M_f(x)) \leq |\mathbb{F}_5^*| = 4$ . Since  $deg(g(x)) = 4$ , one has  $M_f(x) = \frac{1}{25}g(x)$ . Therefore

$$
\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} N_s(0)x^s = \frac{x}{1-5x} - \frac{x}{5} \frac{(1-5x+15x^2-25x^3+25x^4)}{1-5x+15x^2-25x^3+25x^4}
$$

$$
= \frac{x}{1-5x} + \frac{x-6x^2+15x^3-20x^4}{1-5x+15x^2-25x^3+25x^4}.
$$

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The authors would like to thank the anonymous referee for careful reading of the manuscript and helpful suggestions and comments that improve the presentation of the paper.

#### **REFERENCES**

- 1. A. Adolphson and S. Sperber, p-Adic estimates for exponential sums and the theorem of Chevalley-Warning, Ann. Sci. 'Ecole Norm. Sup. 20 (1987), 545-556.
- 2. J. Ax, Zeros of polynomials over finite fields, Amer. J. Math. 86 (1964), 255-261.
- 3. B. Berndt, R. Evans and K. Williams, Gauss and Jacobi sums, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1998.
- 4. W. Cao, A partial improvement of the Ax-Katz theorem, J. Number Theory 132 (2012), 485-494.
- 5. L. Carlitz, The numbers of solutions of a particular equation in a finite field, Publ. Math. Debrecen 4 (1956), 379-384.
- 6. C. Chevalley, Démonstration dúne hypothése de M. Artin (French), Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg 11 (1935), 73-75.
- 7. S. Chowla, J. Cowles and M. Cowles, On the number of zeros of diagonal cubic forms, J. Number Theory 9 (1977), 502-506.
- 8. S. Chowla, J. Cowles and M. Cowles, The number of zeros of  $x^3 + y^3 + cz^3$  in certain finite fields, J. Reine Angew. Math. 299 (300) (1978), 406-410.
- 9. C.F. Gauss, Disquisitiones Arithmeticae, Yale Univ. Press, New Haven, Conn., 1966.
- 10. S.F. Hong and C.X. Zhu, On the number of zeros of diagonal cubic forms over finite fields, Forum Math. 33 (2021), 697-708.
- 11. S.N. Hu, S.F. Hong and W. Zhao, The number of rational points of a family of hypersurfaces over finite fields, J. Number Theory 156 (2015), 135-153.
- 12. K. Ireland and M. Rosen, A classical introduction to modern number theory, Second Edition, Springer-Verlag New York, Inc. 1990.
- 13. N.M. Katz, On a theorem of Ax, Amer. J. Math. 93 (1971), 485-499.
- 14. R. Lidl and H. Niederreiter, Finite fields, Second Ed., Encyclopedia Math. Appl., vol. 20, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- 15. O. Moreno and C.J. Moreno, Improvements of Chevalley-Warning and the Ax-Katz theorem, Amer. J. Math. 117 (1995), 241-244.
- 16. G. Myerson, On the number of zeros of diagonal cubic forms, J. Number Theory 11 (1979), 95-99.
- 17. G. Myerson, Period polynomials and Gauss sums for finite fields, Acta Arith. 39 (1981), 251-264.
- 18. D.R. Richman, Some remarks on the number of solutions to the equation  $f(x_1) + ... + f(x_n) = 0$ , Stud. Appl. Math. **71** (1984), 263-266.
- <span id="page-12-0"></span>19. D.Q. Wan, Zeros of diagonal equations over finite fields, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 103 (1988), 1049- 1052.
- <span id="page-12-1"></span>20. D.Q. Wan, An elementary proof of a theorem of Katz, Amer. J. Math. 111 (1989), 1-8.
- 21. D.Q. Wan, Algebraic theory of exponential sums over finite fields, Lecture Notes at 2019 HIT Undergraduate Number Theory Summer School, available at https://www.math.uci.edu/˜dwan/Wan HIT 2019.pdf
- 22. E. Warning, Bermerkung zur Vorstehenden Arbeit von Herr Chevalley, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg 11 (1936), 76-83.
- 23. A. Weil, On some exponential sums, Proc. Natu. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 34 (1948), 204-207.
- 24. J. Wolfmann, The number of solutions of certain diagonal equations over finite fields, J. Number Theory 42 (1992), 247-257.
- 25. J. Wolfmann, New results on diagonal equations over finite fields from cyclic codes, Contemp. Math. 168 (1994), 387-395.
- 26. J.Y. Zhao, Y.L. Feng, S.F. Hong and C.X. Zhu, On the number of zeros of diagonal quartic forms over finite fields, [arXiv:2108.00396.](http://arxiv.org/abs/2108.00396)

SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY ON COMMUNICATION SECURITY LABORATORY, CHENGDU 610041, P.R. **CHINA** 

Mathematical College, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610064, P.R. China Email address: zhuxi0824@126.com

Mathematical College, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610064, P.R. China Email address: yulufeng17@126.com

Mathematical College, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610064, P.R. China Email address: sfhong@scu.edu.cn; s-f.hong@tom.com; hongsf02@yahoo.com

Mathematical College, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610064, P.R. China

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Nanyang Institute of Technology, Nanyang 473004, P.R. China

Email address: zhjy626@163.com