

WEIGHTED CUNTZ-KRIEGER ALGEBRAS

LEONID HELMER AND BARUCH SOLEL

ABSTRACT. Let E be a finite directed graph with no sources or sinks and write X_E for the graph correspondence. We study the C^* -algebra $C^*(E, Z) := \mathcal{T}(X_E, Z)/\mathcal{K}$ where $\mathcal{T}(X_E, Z)$ is the C^* -algebra generated by weighted shifts on the Fock correspondence $\mathcal{F}(X_E)$ given by a weight sequence $\{Z_k\}$ of operators $Z_k \in \mathcal{L}(X_{E^k})$ and \mathcal{K} is the algebra of compact operators on the Fock correspondence. If $Z_k = I$ for every k , $C^*(E, Z)$ is the Cuntz-Krieger algebra associated with the graph E .

We show that $C^*(E, Z)$ can be realized as a Cuntz-Pimsner algebra and use a result of Schweizer to find conditions for the algebra $C^*(E, Z)$ to be simple. We also analyse the gauge-invariant ideals of $C^*(E, Z)$ using a result of Katsura and conditions that generalize the conditions of subsets of E^0 (the vertices of E) to be hereditary or saturated.

As an example, we discuss in some details the case where E is a cycle.

1. INTRODUCTION

In [10] the second author, with P. Muhly, introduced and studied algebras of weighted shifts on the Fock space associated with a correspondence. This is a far reaching generalization of the classical weighted shift (on ℓ_2). The emphasis there was on the nonself adjoint operator algebras generated by such shifts (these algebras are called weighted Hardy algebras). In the last section of that paper they studied the C^* -algebras generated by weighted shifts on the Fock correspondence associated with the correspondence ${}_\alpha M$ where M is a von Neumann algebra and α is an automorphism on M . Such an algebra was referred to as a weighted crossed product and, if the weights were trivial, one gets the usual, unweighted, crossed product (of M by α). It was shown there that the weighted crossed product could be presented as an unweighted crossed product (of another C^* -algebra by a certain automorphism).

This generalizes a result of O'Donovan ([3]) that shows that the C^* -algebra generated by a single weighted shift (on ℓ_2) modulo the compact operators on ℓ_2 , is isomorphic to the crossed product of a certain commutative C^* -algebra by an action of \mathbb{Z} .

In [6] we considered the C^* -algebra generated by d weighted shifts modulo the compact operators. More precisely, such algebra is generated by weighted shifts on the Fock space $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{C}^d)$ (with weights given by a sequence $\{Z_k\}$ of $d^k \times d^k$ matrices) modulo the compact operators on the Fock space. If $Z_k = I$ for every k , we end up with the Cuntz algebra O_d . In the general case, we referred to such a C^* -algebra as a weighted Cuntz algebra. We showed there that every weighted Cuntz algebra can be presented as a Cuntz-Pimsner algebra and we used this fact to study the simplicity of the algebra.

Here we explore the case where the Fock space $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{C}^d)$ is replaced by the Fock correspondence associated with a directed graph.

More precisely, we fix a finite directed graph E with no sources or sinks and consider the graph correspondence X_E . The algebra we study are subalgebras of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}(X_E))/K(\mathcal{F}(X_E))$ that are generated by a weighted shift on the Fock correspondence $\mathcal{F}(X_E)$ modulo $K(\mathcal{F}(X_E))$. The weights are given by a sequence $\{Z_k\}$ of positive, adjointable operators on $\{(X_E)^{\otimes k}\}$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46L05, 47L80, 46L08, 46L35, 46L89.

key words and phrases. Directed graph, Graph algebras, Cuntz-Krieger algebras, Weighted shift, Simplicity, Cuntz-Pimsner algebra, C^* -Correspondence, Fock space, C^* -algebra, Gauge-invariant ideals.

as in [10] (see Definition 2.2). If $Z_k = I$ for every k , we get the Cuntz-Krieger algebra $C^*(E)$ introduced by Cuntz and Krieger in [2]. For a general $Z := \{Z_k\}$, we write $C^*(E, Z)$ for the algebra and refer to it as the weighted Cuntz-Krieger algebra associated with E and Z .

In Theorem 2.9 we show that the weighted Cuntz-Krieger algebra $C^*(E, Z)$ is isomorphic to a Cuntz-Pimsner algebra $O(q(F), q(\mathcal{D}))$ associated with a C^* -correspondence $q(F)$ over a C^* -algebra $q(\mathcal{D})$. Then, at least in principle, one can apply the theory of Cuntz-Pimsner algebras to study the algebra $C^*(E, Z)$.

We then use this approach to study the simplicity of the algebra and the collection of all its gauge-invariant ideals.

The problem with this approach (as the one we had in [6]) is that dealing with a general sequence of weights can be quite complicated and we had to impose an additional condition. The condition we impose is that the sequence $\{Z_k\}$ is essentially periodic of period p for some natural number p . We refer to it as Condition A(p) (see Lemma 3.7 and the discussion preceding it). Note that the (unweighted) Cuntz-Krieger algebra $C^*(E)$ satisfies this condition with $p = 1$.

In the rest of the paper we assume that Condition A(p) holds for some natural number p .

In order to study the ideals in $C^*(E, Z)$ we first study the algebra $q(\mathcal{D})$ and its ideals. We define an increasing sequence of sub C^* -algebras, $\mathcal{C}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{C}_1 \subseteq \dots$ such that $q(\mathcal{D}) = \overline{\bigcup_n \mathcal{C}_n}$. For every $v \in E^0$, we define a certain ‘‘corner’’ of \mathcal{C}_0 , denoted \mathcal{C}_v , and we show that each \mathcal{C}_n is isomorphic to a C^* -algebra, \mathcal{A}_n , given, roughly, by a bundle over a subset of $\Gamma_n \times \Gamma_n$ (where Γ_n is the set of all paths of length $(n+1)p - 1$) and each fibre is one of the algebras $\{\mathcal{C}_v\}$ (see Proposition 3.13 for the precise statement). It follows that $q(\mathcal{D})$ is a direct limit of $\{\mathcal{A}_n\}$ (Corollary 3.14).

Given a (closed, two sided) ideal J in $q(\mathcal{D})$ it is equal to $\overline{\bigcup_n (J \cap \mathcal{C}_n)}$ and, as we prove in Proposition 4.1, to each $J \cap \mathcal{C}_n$ we can associate a family $\{(J \cap \mathcal{C}_n)_v\}_{v \in E^0}$ that fully describe it and each $(J \cap \mathcal{C}_n)_v$ is an ideal in \mathcal{C}_v .

Using this analysis, we are able, in Theorem 4.6, to find conditions for the algebra $C^*(E, Z)$ to be simple. For this we use the characterization of simplicity for Cuntz-Pimsner algebras proved by J. Schweizer in [13] (see Theorem 4.4).

To describe the set of all the gauge-invariant ideals we use a result of Katsura ([8]) that proves that there is a bijection between the set of all gauge-invariant ideals in a Cuntz-Pimsner algebra $O(X, A)$ and the set of all O -pairs (see Definition 4.7 for the definition of an O -pair and Theorem 4.8 for the statement of his result). It turns out that the O -pairs in our case are all pairs of the form $(J, q(\mathcal{D}))$ where $J \subseteq q(\mathcal{D})$ is a fully invariant ideal (in the sense of Definition 4.9). Thus, there is a bijection between the set of all gauge-invariant ideals in $C^*(E, Z)$ and the set of all fully invariant ideals in $q(\mathcal{D})$ (Corollary 4.14).

In the unweighted case (Cuntz-Krieger algebras) it is known ([1, Theorem 4.1 (a)]) that the gauge-invariant ideals of $C^*(E)$ correspond to subsets of E^0 that are hereditary and saturated (see Definition 5.1). Here, in the weighted case, we consider families $\{J_v\}_{v \in E^0}$ of ideals $J_v \subseteq \mathcal{C}_v$ and define, for such a family, two conditions, called (H) (for Hereditary) and (S) (for Saturated) and prove in Theorem 4.21 that there is a bijection (explicitly given) between the collection of all such families that satisfy (H) and (S) and the collection of the fully invariant ideals in $q(\mathcal{D})$ (and, therefore, also the collection of all the gauge-invariant ideals in $C^*(E, Z)$).

In Section 5 we discuss the unweighted case and show that, indeed, our general theorem (Theorem 4.21) can be used to give a new proof of the result of Bates, Pask, Raeburn and Szymanski mentioned above.

Another case that we study in some detail is when E is a directed cycle. In this case, the unweighted algebra $C^*(E)$ is not simple but it has no non trivial gauge-invariant ideals. We show in Section 6 that, for some choice of weighted sequence Z , the weighted algebra $C^*(E, Z)$ does have non trivial gauge-invariant ideals.

2. THE WEIGHTED CUNTZ-KRIEGER ALGEBRAS AS CUNTZ-PIMSNER ALGEBRAS

Let $E = (E^0, E^1, s, r)$ be a finite directed graph where E^0 and E^1 are finite sets of vertices and edges respectively, and $s : E^1 \rightarrow E^0$ and $r : E^1 \rightarrow E^0$ the source and range maps respectively. The vertex $v \in E^0$ is called a source if $r^{-1}(v) = \emptyset$ and v is a sink if $s^{-1}(v) = \emptyset$.

We assume throughout that E has no sinks. Starting in Section 4, we also assume that E has no sources.

In this section, we allow sources.

Given a finite graph $E = (E^0, E^1, s, r)$, then E defines a graph correspondence as follows. Let $A := c(E^0)$ be the C^* -algebra of all functions defined on E^0 with the sup-norm. Thus A is a finite dimensional C^* -algebra. Write δ_v for the function which is 1 at $v \in E^0$ and 0 elsewhere. Then every $a \in A$ can be written as $a = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \delta_{v_i}$, $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}$, and $a(v_i) = \alpha_i$.

Let $c(E^1)$ be a set of all functions defined on E^1 , then $c(E^1)$ is naturally a A -bimodule with the left and right actions defined by $(a \cdot \xi)(e) = a(r(e))\xi(e)$ and $(\xi \cdot a)(e) = \xi(e)a(s(e))$ respectively, where $\xi \in c(E^1)$, $a \in A$ and $e \in E^1$. We write $\varphi_E(a)\xi$ for the left action. When E has no sources the left action φ_E is faithful. For the A -valued inner product we set

$$\langle \xi, \eta \rangle(v) := \sum_{e \in s^{-1}(v)} \overline{\xi(e)}\eta(e),$$

where $\xi, \eta \in c(E^1)$, $e \in E^1$, $v \in E^0$. By separation and completion we obtain a C^* -correspondence over A . We denote this correspondence by X_E . When E has no sinks X_E is a full correspondence [12, Proposition 8.8].

Let $\mathcal{K}(X_E)$ be the algebra of generalized compact operators on X_E :

$$\mathcal{K}(X_E) = \overline{\text{span}}\{\theta_{\xi, \eta} : \xi, \eta \in X_E\},$$

where $\theta_{\xi, \eta}$ defined by

$$\theta_{\xi, \eta}(\zeta) = \xi \langle \eta, \zeta \rangle$$

If we write δ_e for the function on E^1 which is 1 at $e \in E^1$ and 0 elsewhere, then every $\xi \in c(E^1)$ has the form $\xi = \sum_{e \in E^1} \alpha_e \delta_e$ where $\xi(e) = \alpha_e$. Then

$$\mathcal{K}(X_E) = \overline{\text{span}}\{\theta_{\delta_\alpha, \delta_\beta} : \alpha, \beta \in E^1\}.$$

It follows from [12, Proposition 8.8] and the finiteness of E that $\varphi_E(\delta_v) \in \mathcal{K}(X_E)$, and that

$$\varphi_E(\delta_v) = \sum_{\{e: v=r(e)\}} \theta_{\delta_e, \delta_e}$$

and $\varphi_E(\delta_v) = 0$ if v is a source. It follows that $\mathcal{K}(X_E)$ is a unital algebra with the identity $I = \sum_{e \in E^1} \varphi_E(\delta_e)$, hence $\mathcal{K}(X_E) = \mathcal{L}(X_E)$.

For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, write E^k for the set of all paths in E of length k . For such a path $\alpha = e_1 e_2 \cdots e_k$ ($e_i \in E^1$ and $s(e_j) = r(e_{j+1})$ for $1 \leq j \leq k-1$) we write $s(\alpha) = s(e_k)$, $r(\alpha) = r(e_1)$ and $|\alpha| = k$. This allows us to view E^k as a graph and then the correspondence X_{E^k} (over A) is well defined. Moreover, it is not hard to check that, for k, m we have an isomorphism of correspondences

$$(2.1) \quad X_{E^k} \otimes_A X_{E^m} \cong X_{E^{k+m}}$$

where the isomorphism maps $\delta_\alpha \otimes_A \delta_\beta$ to $\delta_{\alpha\beta}$ (note that $\delta_\alpha \otimes_A \delta_\beta$ is non zero only if $r(\beta) = s(\alpha)$). (See [9, Lemma 5.1]). In particular, $X_{E^k} \cong X_E^{\otimes k}$. Note that X_{E^k} is spanned by $\{\delta_\alpha : |\alpha| = k\}$ and we shall often identify X_{E^k} and $X_E^{\otimes k}$. For $k = 0$ we write $X_E^{\otimes 0} = A$.

This allows us to define the full Fock correspondence $\mathcal{F}(X_E) = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} X_E^{\otimes k}$. This is a correspondence over A .

We write Q_k for the projection, in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}(X_E))$, onto the k th summand $X_E^{\otimes k}$.

Using our assumption that the graph is finite, we get the following.

Lemma 2.1. *Each Q_k , $k \geq 0$, is in $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}(X_E))$ and, for $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}(X_E))$, $T \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}(X_E))$ if and only if*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|Q_k T Q_k\| = 0.$$

Proof. It is easy to check that $Q_k = \sum_{|\alpha|=k} \theta_{\delta_\alpha, \delta_\alpha}$ which lies in $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}(X_E))$ because E is finite. Since $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}(X_E))$ is a closed ideal, it is left to show that, if $T \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}(X_E))$ then $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|Q_k T Q_k\| = 0$.

For this it suffices to let T be a generator $T = \theta_{\xi, \eta}$. Then, for every k , $Q_k T Q_k = \theta_{Q_k \xi, Q_k \eta}$ and, thus, for such T , $\|Q_k T Q_k\| \leq \|Q_k \xi\| \|Q_k \eta\| \rightarrow_k 0$ (as $\sum_k Q_k = I$). \square

For $\alpha \in E^1$ we define the shift (or creation) operator S_α on the Fock correspondence by

$$(2.2) \quad S_\alpha(\xi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \xi_k) = \delta_\alpha \otimes \xi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \xi_k.$$

Using the identification of X_{E^k} and $X_E^{\otimes k}$, we can write

$$(2.3) \quad S_\alpha \delta_\beta = \delta_{\alpha\beta}$$

for a path β of length k .

For $a \in A$, we define the operator $\varphi_\infty(a)$ on the Fock correspondence by

$$(2.4) \quad \varphi_\infty(a)(\xi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \xi_k) = (\varphi_E(a)\xi_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \xi_k$$

and, using the identification above,

$$(2.5) \quad \varphi_\infty(\delta_v)\delta_\beta = \delta_\beta$$

if $r(\beta) = v$ and 0 otherwise. Both S_α and $\varphi_\infty(\delta_v)$ (for $\alpha \in E^1$ and $v \in E^0$) are in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}(X_E))$.

Also note that $\varphi_\infty(\delta_v)$ is a projection and we write P_v for it. We also write P_s for the sum of the projections associated with vertices that are sources. That is

$$(2.6) \quad P_s = \sum_{|r^{-1}(v)|=0} P_v.$$

Note that, if v is a source, $P_v \delta_\alpha = 0$ whenever $|\alpha| > 0$. Thus

$$P_s \leq Q_0$$

and $P_s \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}(X_E))$.

It will also be convenient to write, for every path α of length k , $\alpha = \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_k$ (with $\alpha_i \in E^1$), $S_\alpha = S_{\alpha_1} \cdots S_{\alpha_k}$. Thus $S_\alpha \delta_\beta = \delta_{\alpha\beta}$.

For the adjoint, S_α^* , it is easy to check that $S_\alpha^* \delta_\beta$ is different from 0 only if we can write $\beta = \alpha\gamma$ (for some path γ) and, in this case, $S_\alpha^* \delta_\beta = \delta_\gamma$.

It follows that, for different paths α, β of the same length,

$$(2.7) \quad S_\alpha^* S_\beta = 0$$

and

$$(2.8) \quad S_\alpha^* S_\alpha = P_{s(\alpha)}$$

In particular, each S_α is a partial isometry.

It is also easy to check that, for $k \geq 1$ and $v \in E^0$,

$$\Sigma_{|\alpha|=k, r(\alpha)=v} S_\alpha S_\alpha^* \leq P_v.$$

Thus $\{S_\alpha : |\alpha| = k\}$ is a Toeplitz-Cuntz-Krieger family.

In fact, for a vertex v that is not a source,

$$(2.9) \quad \Sigma_{|\alpha|=k, r(\alpha)=v} S_\alpha S_\alpha^* = P_v(I - \Sigma_{i=0}^{k-1} Q_i)$$

where Q_i is the projection of the Fock correspondence onto the i 'th summand. If v is a source, the sum on the left is just 0. Thus

$$(2.10) \quad \Sigma_{|\alpha|=k} S_\alpha S_\alpha^* = (I - \Sigma_{i=0}^{k-1} Q_i) P_s^\perp.$$

Now, the Toeplitz algebra $\mathcal{T}(X_E)$ is defined to be $C^*(\{S_\alpha : \alpha \in E^1\})$.

The following definition appeared in [10] in the context of W^* -correspondences and has been used by us in [6].

Definition 2.2. *A sequence $Z = \{Z_k\}_{k \geq 0}$ of operators $Z_k \in \mathcal{L}((X_E)^{\otimes k}) \cap \varphi_{X_E^{\otimes k}}(A)'$ will be called a weight sequence in case:*

1. $Z_0 = I_A$,
2. $\sup \|Z_k\| < \infty$,
3. each Z_k is positive and invertible, and
4. There is an $\epsilon > 0$ such that $Z_k \geq \epsilon I$ for all $k \geq 1$.

Given a weight sequence it defines a weight operator $Z = \text{diag}(Z_1, Z_2, \dots) : \mathcal{F}(X_E) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X_E)$, where $Z_k : X_E^{\otimes k} \rightarrow X_E^{\otimes k}$. We write, for $\alpha \in E^1$, $W_\alpha = Z S_\alpha$ and refer to it as a weighted shift. The weighted Toeplitz algebra, $\mathcal{T}(X_E, Z)$, is the C^* -algebra generated by $\{W_\alpha : \alpha \in E^1\}$. It follows from our assumptions on the weight sequence that the hypotheses **A** and **B** from [10, Section 6] are satisfied and (using [10, Proposition 6.5]) the Toeplitz algebra $\mathcal{T}(X_E)$ is a subalgebra of the the weighted Toeplitz algebra $\mathcal{T}(X_E, Z) = C^*(W_\alpha : \alpha \in E^1)$. It also follows that $\mathcal{T}(X_E, Z)$ contains the algebra $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}(X_E))$ of the compact operators on the Fock correspondence.

The following lemma is a consequence of the inclusion $\mathcal{T}(X_E) \subseteq \mathcal{T}(X_E, Z)$.

Lemma 2.3. *The operators Q_k ($0 \leq k$), S_α ($\alpha \in E^1$) and Z are all contained in $\mathcal{T}(X_E, Z)$. So, $\mathcal{T}(X_E, Z)$ is the C^* -algebra generated by $\{I, S_\alpha, Z : \alpha \in E^1\}$.*

Proof. The inclusion $\mathcal{T}(X_E) \subseteq \mathcal{T}(X_E, Z)$ implies that $S_\alpha \in \mathcal{T}(X_E, Z)$. Hence, $Q_0 = I - \Sigma S_\alpha S_\alpha^*$ and $Q_k = \Sigma_{|\alpha|=k} S_\alpha P_0 S_\alpha^*$ are in $\mathcal{T}(X_E, Z)$. For Z , note that $Z Q_0 = Q_0 \in \mathcal{T}(X_E, Z)$ and $Z Q_0^\perp = \Sigma_{\alpha \in E^1} (Z S_\alpha) S_\alpha^* \in \mathcal{T}(X_E, Z)$. \square

Write $W_t := \Sigma_{n=0}^\infty e^{int} Q_n$ and $\gamma_t = \text{Ad}(W_t)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ to get the gauge automorphism group on $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}(X_E))$.

Next, we write

$$\mathcal{D} := \{X \in \mathcal{T}(X_E, Z) : \gamma_t(X) = X \ \forall t\}$$

and

$$F := \{X \in \mathcal{T}(X_E, Z) : \gamma_t(X) = e^{it} X \ \forall t\}.$$

For simplicity, we shall often write \mathcal{T} for $\mathcal{T}(X_E, Z)$.

Lemma 2.4. (1) *F is a C^* -correspondence over the C^* -algebra \mathcal{D} where the left and right actions are defined by multiplication and the \mathcal{D} -valued inner product is $\langle T, S \rangle = T^* S$.*

- (2) $\mathcal{D} = \{T \in \mathcal{T} : T Q_k = Q_k T, k \geq 0\} \subseteq \Sigma_{k \geq 0}^\oplus Q_k \mathcal{T} Q_k$ and $F = \{T \in \mathcal{T} : T Q_k = Q_{k+1} T, k \geq 0\} \subseteq \Sigma_{k \geq 0}^\oplus Q_{k+1} \mathcal{T} Q_k$.

- (3) Writing φ_F for the left action of \mathcal{D} on F , we get $\ker(\varphi_F) = \mathcal{D}Q_0 = Q_0\mathcal{T}Q_0$.
(4) Write $K(F)$ for the algebra of generalized compact operators on F then $K(F) = \varphi_F(\mathcal{D}) = \mathcal{L}(F)$

Proof. The proof of the parts (1)-(3) is straightforward and is omitted. For part (4), recall that $K(F) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(F)$ is the norm closed ideal generated by the operators θ_{ξ_1, ξ_2} (for $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in F$) where

$$\theta_{\xi_1, \xi_2} \xi_3 = \xi_1 \langle \xi_2, \xi_3 \rangle = \xi_1 \xi_2^* \xi_3.$$

But, then, $\theta_{\xi_1, \xi_2} = \varphi_F(\xi_1 \xi_2^*) \in \varphi_F(\mathcal{D})$. Thus, $K(F) \subseteq \varphi_F(\mathcal{D})$. Since, $\mathcal{L}(X_E)$ is a unital algebra and φ_F is unital we obtain

$$I = \varphi_F(I) = \varphi_F(Q_0^\perp) = \varphi_F(\sum_{|\alpha|=1} S_\alpha S_\alpha^*) = \sum_{|\alpha|=1} \theta_{S_\alpha, S_\alpha} \in K(F).$$

□

Write \mathcal{K} for the algebra of compact operators on the Fock space $\mathcal{F}(X_E)$ and let $q : \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}(X_E)) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}(X_E))/\mathcal{K}$ be the quotient map. It is straightforward to check that $q(F)$ is a C^* -correspondence over $q(\mathcal{D})$ (with the obvious operations and inner product).

Lemma 2.5. (1) $\ker(\varphi_{q(F)}) = \{0\}$

(2) For $\xi, \eta \in F$

$$\theta_{q(\xi), q(\eta)} = \varphi_{q(F)}(q(\xi \eta^*)).$$

(3) $K(q(F)) = \mathcal{L}(q(F)) = \varphi_{q(F)}(q(\mathcal{D}))$.

Proof. Note first that, for $d \in \mathcal{D}$, we have (using (2.10)),

$$(2.11) \quad d = dQ_0P_s^\perp + \sum_{|\alpha|=1} dS_\alpha S_\alpha^* + dP_s$$

Assume now that $q(d) \in \ker(\varphi_{q(F)})$. Then, for $|\alpha| = 1$, $q(dS_\alpha) = 0$ (as $S_\alpha \in F$) and, thus, $dS_\alpha \in \mathcal{K}$ and also $dS_\alpha S_\alpha^* \in \mathcal{K}$. We also showed that Q_0 and P_s lie in \mathcal{K} . It follows from (2.11) that $q(d) = 0$. This proves (1). In fact, for every $d \in \mathcal{D}$ we get from (2.11),

$$q(d) = \sum_{|\alpha|=1} q(dS_\alpha S_\alpha^*).$$

For (2) we compute $\theta_{q(\xi), q(\eta)} q(\zeta) = q(\xi)q(\eta)^*q(\zeta) = q(\xi \eta^*)q(\zeta) = \varphi_{q(F)}(q(\xi)q(\eta)^*)q(\zeta)$. This shows that $K(q(F)) \subseteq \varphi_{q(F)}(q(\mathcal{D}))$. For the converse, we have, for $d \in \mathcal{D}$, $\varphi_{q(F)}(q(d)) = \sum_{|\alpha|=1} \varphi_{q(F)}(q((dS_\alpha)S_\alpha^*)) = \sum_{|\alpha|=1} \theta_{q(dS_\alpha), q(S_\alpha)} \in K(q(F))$. □

We now write, for $e \in E^1$ and $v \in E^0$, $u_e := q(S_e)$, $q(P_v) = p_v$ and also $z := q(Z)$. For a path $\alpha = \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_k$, we write $u_\alpha = u_{\alpha_1} u_{\alpha_2} \dots u_{\alpha_k}$. So that $u_\alpha = q(S_\alpha)$.

The following properties of $\{u_\alpha\}$ follow immediately from the properties of $\{S_\alpha\}$ and will be used repeatedly.

Lemma 2.6. (1) Let α, β are two paths of the same length. Then $u_\alpha^* u_\alpha = p_{s(\alpha)}$, and

$u_\alpha^* u_\beta = 0$ whenever $\alpha \neq \beta$.

(2) For every $n > 0$, $\sum_{|\alpha|=n} u_\alpha u_\alpha^* = I$.

(3) For every $n > 0$ and $v \in E^0$ that is not a source, $\sum_{|\alpha|=n, r(\alpha)=v} u_\alpha u_\alpha^* = p_v$.

(4) If $m \geq 1$, z^m commutes with p_v for every vertex v . (Since $Z \in \varphi_\infty(A)'$).

Lemma 2.7.

$$q(F) = \sum_{|\alpha|=1} u_\alpha q(\mathcal{D}).$$

More generally, if we write F^n for $\text{span}\{a_1 \dots a_n : a_i \in F\}$ then

$$q(F^n) = \sum_{|\alpha|=n} u_\alpha q(\mathcal{D}).$$

Proof. Fix $n \geq 1$. We have $\sum_{|\alpha|=n} u_\alpha u_\alpha^* = I$ and, therefore, $q(F^n) = \sum_{|\alpha|=n} u_\alpha u_\alpha^* q(F^n) = \sum_{|\alpha|=n} u_\alpha (u_\alpha^* q(F^n)) \subseteq \sum_{|\alpha|=n} u_\alpha q(\mathcal{D})$. The converse inclusion is obvious. □

Definition 2.8. *The algebra $\mathcal{T}(X_E, Z)/\mathcal{K}$ will be called the weighted Cuntz-Krieger algebra and will be written $C^*(E, Z)$.*

The main result of this section is the following theorem.

Theorem 2.9. *The C^* -algebra $C^*(E, Z) = \mathcal{T}(X_E, Z)/\mathcal{K}$ is $*$ -isomorphic to the Cuntz-Pimsner algebra $\mathcal{O}(q(F), q(\mathcal{D}))$.*

The arguments used in the proof of the theorem are similar to the ones used in the proof of [6, Theorem 2.10] but we present them here for completeness.

Recall that, given a C^* -correspondence X over a C^* -algebra A and a C^* -algebra B . A $*$ -representation $\pi : \mathcal{O}(X, A) \rightarrow B$ is given by a pair of maps: a contractive map $T : X \rightarrow B$ and a $*$ -homomorphism $\sigma : A \rightarrow B$ such that, for $x, y \in X$ and $a, b \in A$,

- (1) $T(\varphi_X(a)xb) = \sigma(a)T(x)\sigma(b)$
- (2) $T(x)^*T(y) = \sigma(\langle x, y \rangle)$
- (3) $\sigma^{(1)}(\varphi_X(a)) = \sigma(a)$ for every $a \in J_X := \varphi_X^{-1}(K(X)) \cap (\ker(\varphi_X))^\perp$ where $\sigma^{(1)} : K(X) \rightarrow B$ is a $*$ -homomorphism defined by $\sigma^{(1)}(\theta_{x,y}) = T(x)T(y)^*$.

Lemma 2.10. *With $q(F)$ and $q(\mathcal{D})$ as above, define*

$$\sigma : q(\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{K}$$

by $\sigma(q(d)) = q(d)$ and

$$T : q(F) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{K}$$

by $T(q(\xi)) = q(\xi)$.

Then σ and T satisfy conditions (1)-(3) above and, thus, define a $*$ -homomorphism π of $\mathcal{O}(q(F), q(\mathcal{D}))$ onto \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{K} .

Proof. It is clear that σ is a well defined $*$ -homomorphism and T is a contraction. It is also clear that T is a bimodule map (over σ). For (2), we write

$$T(q(\xi))^*T(q(\eta)) = q(\xi)^*q(\eta) = q(\xi^*\eta) = \sigma(\langle q(\xi), q(\eta) \rangle).$$

For (3), note first that it follows from Lemma 2.5 that $J_{q(F)} = q(\mathcal{D})$ and, for $d \in \mathcal{D}$,

$$\varphi_{q(F)}(q(d)) = \sum_{|\alpha|=1} \theta_{q(dS_\alpha), q(S_\alpha)}.$$

Thus $\sigma^{(1)}(\varphi_{q(F)}(q(d))) = \sum_{|\alpha|=1} T(q(dS_\alpha))T(q(S_\alpha))^* = \sum_{\alpha} q(dS_\alpha)q(S_\alpha)^* = q(d) = \sigma(q(d))$ proving (3). Since \mathcal{T} is generated by $\{I, S_\alpha, Z : \alpha \in E^1\}$ and $I, Z \in \mathcal{D}$ and $S_\alpha \in F$, \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{K} is generated by the images of σ and T . Thus, π is onto \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{K} . □

Proof. (of Theorem 2.9) In view of Lemma 2.10 all we need to prove is that the $*$ -homomorphism π is injective but this follows from Theorem 6.4 of [7], since σ is injective and π admits a gauge action. Indeed, the injectivity of σ is clear from its definition, and if $d \in \mathcal{D}$ and $S_\alpha \in F$ ($\alpha \in E^1$), then $\gamma_t(d) = d$ and $\gamma_t(S_\alpha) = e^{it}S_\alpha$. Hence, $\gamma_t(\sigma(q(d))) = \gamma_t(q(d)) = q(d) = \sigma(q(d))$ and $\gamma_t(T(q(S_\alpha))) = e^{it}T(q(S_\alpha))$. □

Remark 2.11. *The algebra \mathcal{T} carries a gauge group action given by $\{\gamma_t\}$ (see the discussion following Lemma 2.3). Since it leaves \mathcal{K} invariant, we have a gauge group action on $C^*(E, Z) = \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{K}$. Note that the $*$ -isomorphism constructed in the proof of the theorem intertwines the action of the gauge group on $C^*(E, Z)$ and the gauge group action on the Cuntz-Pimsner algebra $\mathcal{O}(q(F), q(\mathcal{D}))$ and, thus, when we later discuss gauge-invariant ideals of $C^*(E, Z)$, there is no ambiguity.*

3. THE ALGEBRA $q(\mathcal{D})$

In this section we shall study the algebra $q(\mathcal{D})$ and its ideals. We shall pay special attention to those ideals that are *invariant* in the following sense.

Definition 3.1. *A subspace $X \subseteq q(\mathcal{D})$ is said to be invariant if*

$$u_\alpha^* X u_\beta \subseteq X$$

for all $\alpha, \beta \in E^1$. Using Lemma 2.7, if $X = J$ is an ideal, this is equivalent to

$$q(F)^* J q(F) \subseteq J.$$

Note that the automorphism group $\gamma = \{\gamma_t\}$, on $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}(X_E))$, as defined in the discussion following Lemma 2.3, can be used to define bounded projections Φ_j on $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}(X_E))$ by

$$\Phi_j(X) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-ijt} \gamma_t(X) dt.$$

In particular, for $A \in \mathcal{T}$, $A \in \mathcal{D}$ if and only if $\Phi_0(A) = A$.

Lemma 3.2.

$$q(\mathcal{D}) = C^*(\{u_\alpha z^m u_\beta^*, u_\alpha^* z^m u_\beta : |\alpha| = |\beta|, m \geq 0\}).$$

Proof. Fix $a \in q(\mathcal{D})$ and write $a = q(A)$ for some $A \in \mathcal{D}$. Since $A \in \mathcal{T}$, it is a norm limit of (noncommutative) polynomials in Z, S_i, S_i^* . Since Φ_0 is a contractive projection, and $A = \Phi_0(A)$, we can assume, by applying Φ_0 , that each of these polynomials lie in \mathcal{D} . Each such polynomial is a finite sum of monomials and each monomial lies in the range of some Φ_j . By applying Φ_0 , we can assume that each monomial lies in \mathcal{D} . Thus, A is a norm limit of polynomials in $\{Z, S_i, S_i^*\}$ where each monomial lies in \mathcal{D} . It follows that a is a norm limit of polynomials in $\{z, u_i, u_i^*\}$ where each monomial lies in $q(\mathcal{D})$. It is straightforward to check (using Lemma 2.6) that each monomial in $q(\mathcal{D})$ is a product of elements in $\{u_\alpha z^m u_\beta^*, u_\alpha^* z^m u_\beta : |\alpha| = |\beta| \geq 0, m \geq 0\}$. □

Recall that a unital C^* -algebra is said to be *finite* if it has no proper isometries.

Lemma 3.3. *The algebra $q(\mathcal{D})$ is finite.*

Proof. Suppose $v = q(V)$ is an isometry in $q(\mathcal{D})$. Then $v^*v = I$ and, thus $V^*V = I + K$ for a compact operator K . Thus $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|Q_k(V^*V - I)Q_k\| = 0$. Fix N such that, for every $k \geq N$, $\|Q_k V^*V Q_k - Q_k\| < 1/2$. It follows that, for such k , $Q_k V^*V Q_k$ is invertible in $\mathcal{L}(X_E^{\otimes k})$. Write C_k for its inverse and note that

$$(3.1) \quad \|C_k\| \leq \frac{1}{1 - \|Q_k - Q_k V^*V Q_k\|} < 2.$$

Since $X_E^{\otimes k}$ is a finite dimensional space and $Q_k V^*V Q_k = Q_k V^* Q_k V Q_k$ is invertible in $\mathcal{L}(X_E^{\otimes k})$, it follows that $Q_k V Q_k$ is also invertible there. Write B_k for its inverse (so that $C_k = B_k^* B_k$) and $B := I \oplus I \oplus \cdots \oplus I \oplus B_N \oplus B_{N+1} \oplus \cdots \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}(X_E))$. It follows from (3.1) that B is bounded (in fact $\|B\| \leq \sqrt{2}$) and it is the inverse of $V' := I \oplus I \oplus \cdots \oplus I \oplus Q_N V Q_N \oplus Q_{N+1} V Q_{N+1} \oplus \cdots$. Thus V' is invertible in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}(X_E))$ and $q(V')$ is invertible in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}(X_E))/\mathcal{K}$. But $q(V') = q(V) = v$. Thus v is invertible in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}(X_E))/\mathcal{K}$. Since $v \in q(\mathcal{D})$, it is also invertible there. □

We shall say that the graph is a *cycle with an entry* and write $E = C_{k,l}$ for $1 \leq l \leq k$ if $E^0 = \{v_1, \dots, v_l, \dots, v_k\}$ and $E^1 = \{e_1, \dots, e_k\}$ with $e_i : v_i \rightarrow v_{i+1}$ if $1 \leq i \leq k-1$ and $e_k : v_k \rightarrow v_l$. (If $l = 1$ we get a cycle and we write C_k for $C_{k,1}$).

Lemma 3.4. *For an irreducible, finite graph with no sinks, the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1) E is a cycle with an entry.
- (2) Given $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $v \in E$, there is a unique path α with $|\alpha| = n$ and $s(\alpha) = v$.
- (3) For every $v \in E^0$, $|s^{-1}(v)| = 1$.
- (4) The algebra $q(\mathcal{D})$ is commutative.

Proof. It is clear that (1) implies (2). Also, (2) and (3) are equivalent (in fact, (3) is (2) with $n = 1$ and (2) follows from (3) by successive applications of (3)).

Suppose (2) holds. Then $|s^{-1}(v)| = 1$ for all v and thus $|E^0| = |E^1|$ and

$$(3.2) \quad |E^1| = \sum_v |s^{-1}(v)| = \sum_v |r^{-1}(v)|.$$

To prove (1), assume first that E has no sources. Therefore $|r^{-1}(v)| \geq 1$ for every v . But then, from (3.2), we get $|r^{-1}(v)| = 1$ for all v . Since E is finite and irreducible, it follows that it is a cycle. Assume now that E has sources. Suppose there are two sources, v_1, w . Since E is irreducible, there is either a path α with $s(\alpha) = v_1$ and $r(\alpha) = w$ or a path β with $s(\beta) = w$ and $r(\beta) = v_1$. But this is impossible since both v_1 and w are assumed to be sources. Thus, there is only one source, say v_1 . Since $|r^{-1}(v_1)| = 0$ and $|r^{-1}(v)| \geq 1$ for all other v , it follows from (3.2) that there is one vertex, say v_l with $|r^{-1}(v_l)| = 2$ and, for every v different from v_1 and v_l , $|r^{-1}(v)| = 1$. It is easy to check that, in this case, $E = C_{k,l}$.

Now assume that (2) holds and prove (4). Each summand of the Fock correspondence, viewed simply as a vector space, has a finite basis. For the zero'th term it is $\{\delta_v : v \in E^0\}$ and for the k 'th term it is $\{\delta_\alpha : |\alpha| = k\}$. Putting these together, we get a countable basis for the Fock correspondence (viewed as a vector space). Once we show that each generator of \mathcal{D} is diagonal with respect to this basis, we are done.

First note that Z is diagonal. For that, fix an element δ_γ of the basis and let $k = |\gamma|$. Then $Z\delta_\gamma = Z_k\delta_\gamma$. Since Z_k is a bimodule map, $Z\delta_\gamma$ will be a linear combination of $\{\delta_\alpha : |\alpha| = k, s(\alpha) = s(\gamma), r(\alpha) = r(\gamma)\}$. But, since E satisfies (2), the latter set is $\{\delta_\gamma\}$ showing that Z is diagonal.

Now consider $S_\alpha Z^m S_\beta^* \delta_\gamma$ (with $|\alpha| = |\beta|$). This is 0 unless $\gamma = \beta\gamma'$ (and then $s(\beta) = r(\gamma')$). In this case, we get $S_\alpha Z^m \delta_{\gamma'}$ and, since Z^m was shown to be diagonal, this lies in $\mathbb{C}S_\alpha \delta_{\gamma'} = \mathbb{C}\delta_{\alpha\gamma'}$. But this is 0 unless $s(\alpha) = r(\gamma') = s(\beta)$. Since E satisfies (2), it follows that $\alpha = \beta$ (as $s(\alpha) = s(\beta)$ and $|\alpha| = |\beta|$). This shows that $S_\alpha Z^m S_\beta^*$ is diagonal. The proof for $S_\alpha^* Z^m S_\beta$ is similar and is omitted. This proves (4).

Conversely, suppose (3) does not hold. Then there is a vertex v with $|s^{-1}(v)| > 1$. So we can fix $e_1, e_2 \in E^1$ such that $s(e_1) = s(e_2)$ but $e_1 \neq e_2$. Write u_i for u_{e_i} and consider $u_1 u_1^*, u_1 u_2^* \in q(\mathcal{D})$. We have $u_1 u_1^* u_1 u_2^* = u_1 u_2^* \neq 0$ but $u_1 u_2^* u_1 u_1^* = 0$ (as $u_2^* u_1 = 0$). Thus (4) does not hold and it shows that (4) implies (3). □

Lemma 3.5. *Let $E = C_{k,l}$. Then for $m \geq 0$ and two different paths of the same length α, β , we have $u_\alpha^* z^m u_\beta = u_\alpha z^m u_\beta^* = 0$.*

Also, if E is a cycle, for every path α we have $u_\alpha u_\alpha^ = p_{r(\alpha)}$.*

Proof. Note that $u_\beta u_\beta^*$ lies in $q(\mathcal{D})$ and, by Lemma 3.4(4), commutes with z^m . Thus $u_\alpha^* z^m u_\beta = u_\alpha^* z^m u_\beta u_\beta^* u_\beta = u_\alpha^* u_\beta u_\beta^* z^m u_\beta = 0$ (as $u_\alpha^* u_\beta = 0$). Also, if $u_\alpha u_\beta^* \neq 0$ then $s(\alpha) = s(\beta)$. But, since $|\alpha| = |\beta|$, they cannot be different paths (by Lemma 3.4(2)). Thus $u_\alpha u_\beta^* = 0$ and $u_\alpha z^m u_\beta^* = u_\alpha u_\alpha^* u_\alpha z^m u_\beta^* = u_\alpha z^m u_\alpha^* u_\alpha u_\beta^* = 0$.

The last statement follows from Lemma 2.6 (3) since there is only one path of a given length that ends in v . □

For the next proposition note that we can view $q(\mathcal{D})$ as a C^* -correspondence over itself with left and right actions given by multiplication and the inner product is $\langle d_1, d_2 \rangle := d_1^* d_2$.

Proposition 3.6. *Assume that the graph E has no sinks (so that $|s^{-1}(v)| > 0$ for every $v \in E^0$).*

- (1) *Suppose E is not a cycle with entry, then there is no map $w : q(\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow q(F^n)$ that is an isomorphism of correspondences (that is, a surjective bimodule map that preserves the inner product).*
- (2) *Suppose $E = C_{k,l}$. Then every u_α for $|\alpha| = n$ commutes with $q(\mathcal{D})$ if and only if there is a map $w : q(\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow q(F^n)$ that is an isomorphism of correspondences.*

Proof. Assume first that E is not a cycle with entry. That implies that there is a vertex, say v_0 , such that $|s^{-1}(v_0)| > 1$. Fix $n \geq 1$ and $w : q(\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow q(F^n)$ that is an isomorphism of correspondences. Since there are no sinks, we can find, for every $v \in E^0$, a path α_v whose length is n and $s(\alpha_v) = v$. Since $|s^{-1}(v_0)| > 1$, we can find two different paths α_{v_0} and α'_{v_0} with $|\alpha_{v_0}| = |\alpha'_{v_0}| = n$ and $s(\alpha_{v_0}) = s(\alpha'_{v_0}) = v_0$. For every pair α, β of different paths of length n , $u_\alpha, u_\beta \in q(F^n)$ and, thus, there are $d_\alpha, d_\beta \in q(\mathcal{D})$ such that $w(d_\alpha) = u_\alpha$ and $w(d_\beta) = u_\beta$. Since w preserves inner products, we have $d_\alpha^* d_\beta = \langle d_\alpha, d_\beta \rangle = \langle w(d_\alpha), w(d_\beta) \rangle = \langle u_\alpha, u_\beta \rangle = u_\alpha^* u_\beta = 0$. Similarly $d_\alpha^* d_\alpha = p_{s(\alpha)}$. Now write $d = \sum_{v \in E^0} d_{\alpha_v}$ and note that it is an isometry in $q(\mathcal{D})$ but its range is orthogonal to the range of $d_{\alpha'_{v_0}}$. By Lemma 3.3, this is impossible, proving (1).

Now, assume that E is $C_{k,l}$ and $w : q(\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow q(F^n)$ is an isomorphism of correspondences. For every $v \in E^0$, let α_v be the (unique) path of length n that starts at v and write $u_v = u_{\alpha_v}$ so that, by Lemma 2.7, $F^n = \sum_v u_v q(\mathcal{D})$. Fix $a \in q(\mathcal{D})$. For every $v \in E^0$ there is $c_v \in q(\mathcal{D})$ such that $w(c_v) = u_v$ and $\{b_v\}$ in $q(\mathcal{D})$ such that $w(a) = \sum_v u_v b_v$. Thus $w(a) = \sum_v w(c_v) b_v = w(\sum_v c_v b_v)$. It follows that $a = \sum_v c_v b_v$. Now, $u_v^* a u_v = \langle w(c_v), w(a c_v) \rangle = \langle c_v, a c_v \rangle = c_v^* a c_v = c_v^* c_v a$ (since $q(\mathcal{D})$ is commutative in this case). Similarly, for $a = I$, $u_v^* u_v = c_v^* c_v$ and we get $u_v^* a u_v = u_v^* u_v a$. Since u_v is a partial isometry and $u_v u_v^*$ commutes with a (as elements of $q(\mathcal{D})$), we get $a u_v = u_v a$.

For the other direction, assume that every $a \in q(\mathcal{D})$ commutes with each u_γ for a path γ of length n .

We define $w : q(\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow q(F^n)$ by

$$w(a) = \sum_{|\gamma|=n} u_\gamma a = \sum_{|\gamma|=n} a u_\gamma.$$

Clearly w is a linear bimodule map. By Lemma 2.7 w is surjective. It is left to show that it preserves the inner products and, for that, we compute, for $a, b \in q(\mathcal{D})$,

$$\langle w(a), w(b) \rangle = w(a)^* w(b) = \sum_{|\gamma|=|\gamma'|=n} a^* u_\gamma^* u_{\gamma'} b = \sum_{|\gamma|=n} a^* p_{s(\gamma)} b = a^* b = \langle a, b \rangle.$$

□

For a fixed $p \in \mathbb{N}$ we shall consider the following condition on Z :

Condition A(p): $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|I_p \otimes Z_k - Z_{k+p}\| = 0$.

In particular, Condition A(p) holds if there is some $N > 0$ such that, for $k \geq N$, $Z_{k+p} = I_p \otimes Z_k$. Note that, if α is a path of length $p+k$ and $\alpha = \beta\gamma$ is the unique way of writing it with $|\beta| = p$ and $|\gamma| = k$, then

$$(I_p \otimes Z_k) \delta_\alpha = \delta_\beta \otimes Z_k \delta_\gamma.$$

Lemma 3.7. *Z satisfies Condition A(p) if and only if $u_\alpha z = z u_\alpha$ for every path α with $|\alpha| = p$. In this case, we also have $u_\alpha^* z = z u_\alpha^*$ for $|\alpha| = p$.*

Proof. $u_\alpha z = zu_\alpha$ if and only if $S_\alpha Z - ZS_\alpha \in \mathcal{K}$. This hold if and only if $S_\alpha ZS_\alpha^* - ZS_\alpha S_\alpha^* \in \mathcal{K}$ (since $S_\alpha^* S_\alpha = P_{s(\alpha)}$ and Z commutes with it). Thus, $u_\alpha z = zu_\alpha$ for every $|\alpha| = p$ if and only if $\sum_{|\alpha|=p} S_\alpha ZS_\alpha^* - \sum_{|\alpha|=p} ZS_\alpha S_\alpha^* \in \mathcal{K}$. Since $I - \sum_{|\alpha|=p} S_\alpha S_\alpha^* = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} Q_i P_s^\perp + P_s \in \mathcal{K}$, this is satisfied if and only if $\sum_{|\alpha|=p} S_\alpha ZS_\alpha^* - Z \in \mathcal{K}$. The last inclusion is equivalent to $\|Q_{k+p}(\sum_{|\alpha|=p} S_\alpha ZS_\alpha^*)Q_{k+p} - Q_{k+p}ZQ_{k+p}\| \rightarrow 0$ for $k \rightarrow \infty$. But $Q_{k+p}ZQ_{k+p} = Z_{k+p}$ and it is easy to check that $Q_{k+p}(\sum_{|\alpha|=p} S_\alpha ZS_\alpha^*)Q_{k+p} = I_p \otimes Z_k$ (simply apply it to $\delta_{\gamma\beta}$ for $|\gamma| = p$ and $|\beta| = k$). So this completes the proof. \square

From now on, we assume that the weight sequence Z satisfies Condition A(p) for some $p \geq 1$. We also write q for $p - 1$.

Lemma 3.8. *Assume Condition A(p) and let c be in $C^*(z)$. Then*

- (1) For paths α, β with $|\alpha| = |\beta|$, $cu_\alpha u_\beta^* = u_\alpha u_\beta^* c$
- (2) For paths α, β , we have $u_\alpha^* cu_\beta = u_\alpha^* cu_\alpha u_\beta^*$.
- (3) If $|\alpha| = |\beta|$ but $\alpha \neq \beta$ then $u_\alpha^* cu_\beta = 0$.
- (4) For paths μ and ξ with $s(\xi) = r(\mu)$, $u_\mu^* u_\xi^* cu_\xi = u_\mu^* u_\xi^* cu_\xi u_\mu u_\mu^*$.

Proof. Suppose $|\alpha| = |\beta| = m$ and fix n such that $m \leq np$. Since $\sum_{|\gamma|=np-m} u_\gamma u_\gamma^* = I$ and z is commutes with u_δ for $|\delta| = np$, we have

$$u_\alpha u_\beta^* z = \sum_{|\gamma|=np-m} u_\alpha u_\gamma u_\gamma^* u_\beta^* z = \sum_{|\gamma|=np-m} u_\alpha u_\gamma z u_\gamma^* u_\beta^* = \sum_{|\gamma|=np-m} z u_\alpha u_\gamma u_\gamma^* u_\beta^* = z u_\alpha u_\beta^*.$$

(Note that, if $s(\alpha) \neq r(\gamma)$, $u_\alpha u_\gamma = 0$ but the equalities still hold). This proves (1).

For (2) we use (1) and the fact that u_α is a partial isometry to compute $u_\alpha^* cu_\beta = u_\alpha^* u_\alpha u_\alpha^* cu_\beta = u_\alpha^* cu_\alpha u_\alpha^* u_\beta$.

Part (3) follows from (2) and Lemma 2.6 (1).

For (4), we use (2) with $\alpha = \xi\mu$ and $\beta = \xi$ (recall that $u_\xi^* u_\xi = p_{s(\xi)} = p_{r(\mu)}$). \square

Lemma 3.9. *If, for some $p > 0$, condition A(p) holds then*

$$\begin{aligned} q(\mathcal{D}) &= C^*(\{u_\alpha z^m u_\beta^* : |\alpha| = |\beta|, m \geq 0\}) \\ &= C^*(\{u_\alpha z^m u_\beta^* : |\alpha| = |\beta|, m \geq 0, s(\alpha) = s(\beta)\}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We need to show that, for $|\alpha| = |\beta|$ and $m \geq 0$, $u_\alpha^* z^m u_\beta \in C^*(\{u_\alpha z^m u_\beta^* : |\alpha| = |\beta|, m \geq 0\})$. First, write $\alpha = \alpha' \alpha''$ and $\beta = \beta' \beta''$ where $|\alpha'| = |\beta'|$ is a multiple of p and $0 \leq |\alpha''| = |\beta''| = k < p$. Then $u_\alpha^* z^m u_\beta = u_{\alpha''}^* u_{\alpha'}^* z^m u_{\beta'} u_{\beta''} = u_{\alpha''}^* z^m u_{\alpha'}^* u_{\beta'} u_{\beta''}$ which is 0 if α' is different from β' and $u_{\alpha''}^* z^m u_{\beta''}$ otherwise. Thus, we can assume that $|\alpha| = |\beta| = k < p$. Then, we write

$$u_\alpha^* z^m u_\beta = \sum_{|\gamma|=p-k} u_\gamma u_\gamma^* u_\alpha^* z^m u_\beta = \sum_{|\gamma|=p-k} u_\gamma (u_\alpha u_\gamma)^* z^m u_\beta = \sum_{|\gamma|=p-k} u_\gamma z^m (u_\alpha u_\gamma)^* u_\beta$$

which is 0 if α is different from β and $\sum u_\gamma z^m u_\gamma^*$ otherwise. In any case, we see that $u_\alpha^* z^m u_\beta$ lies in $C^*(\{u_\alpha z^m u_\beta^* : |\alpha| = |\beta|, m \geq 0\})$.

Finally note that, by Lemma 2.6(4), z^m commutes with $p_{s(\alpha)}$ and, thus, $u_\alpha z^m u_\beta^* = 0$ unless $s(\alpha) = s(\beta)$. \square

We now write for $n \geq 0$,

$$(3.3) \quad \mathcal{C}_n = C^*(\{u_\alpha z^l u_\beta^* : l \geq 0, np \leq |\alpha| = |\beta| < (n+1)p, s(\alpha) = s(\beta)\}).$$

In particular,

$$(3.4) \quad \mathcal{C}_0 = C^*(\{u_\alpha z^l u_\beta^* : l \geq 0, 0 \leq |\alpha| = |\beta| < p, s(\alpha) = s(\beta)\}).$$

Lemma 3.10. *Assuming Condition A(p) for some $p > 0$, for every $n \geq 0$, $\mathcal{C}_n \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{n+1}$. If ι_n is the inclusion map then*

$$\iota_n(u_\alpha z^l u_\beta^*) = \sum_{|\gamma|=p} u_\alpha u_\gamma z^l u_\gamma^* u_\beta^*.$$

Proof. We take $u_\alpha z^l u_\beta^* \in \mathcal{C}_n$ (with $np \leq |\alpha| = |\beta| < (n+1)p$) and compute

$$u_\alpha z^l u_\beta^* = \sum_{|\gamma|=p} u_\alpha u_\gamma u_\gamma^* z^l u_\beta^* = \sum_{|\gamma|=p} u_\alpha u_\gamma z^l u_\gamma^* u_\beta^* \in \mathcal{C}_{n+1}$$

since $(n+1)p \leq |\alpha\gamma| = |\beta\gamma| < (n+2)p$. \square

Thus

$$q(\mathcal{D}) = \overline{\cup_n \mathcal{C}_n}.$$

Lemma 3.11. (1) *For every $n \geq 0$ and every $e, f \in E^1$,*

$$u_e^* \mathcal{C}_n u_f \subseteq \mathcal{C}_n$$

so that \mathcal{C}_n is invariant (see Definition 3.1).

(2) *If $m < n$,*

$$\mathcal{C}_m = \bigvee_{|\gamma_i|=(n-m)p} u_{\gamma_1}^* \mathcal{C}_n u_{\gamma_2}.$$

(3) *If $n \geq 0$, Condition A(p) holds, $np \leq |\alpha_1| = |\alpha_2| = |\alpha_3| = |\alpha_4| < (n+1)p$ and $|\gamma_1| = |\gamma_2|$ then*

$$u_{\alpha_1} u_{\gamma_1}^* u_{\alpha_2}^* \mathcal{C}_n u_{\alpha_3} u_{\gamma_2} u_{\alpha_4}^* \subseteq \mathcal{C}_n.$$

Proof. Note that, if $c_1, c_2 \in \mathcal{C}_n$ and $e, f \in E^1$ then

$$u_e^* c_1 c_2 u_f = \sum_{g \in E^1} u_e^* c_1 u_g u_g^* c_2 u_f$$

and, thus, to prove (1), it suffices to show that, for every generator $u_\alpha z^l u_\beta^*$ of \mathcal{C}_n (where $l \geq 0$, $np \leq |\alpha| = |\beta| < (n+1)p$ and $s(\alpha) = s(\beta)$), we have

$$u_e^* u_\alpha z^l u_\beta^* u_f \in \mathcal{C}_n.$$

Assume first that $|\alpha| = |\beta| > np$. Then we can write $\alpha = h\alpha'$ and $\beta = g\beta'$ for some $h, g \in E^1$ and $np \leq |\alpha'| = |\beta'| < (n+1)p$ and we have $u_e^* u_\alpha z^l u_\beta^* u_f = \delta_{e,h} \delta_{f,g} u_{\alpha'} z^l u_{\beta'}^* \in \mathcal{C}_n$. Now assume that $|\alpha| = |\beta| = np$ and $n \neq 0$. Then, a similar argument shows that $u_e^* u_\alpha z^l u_\beta^* u_f = \delta_{e,h} \delta_{f,g} u_{\alpha'} z^l u_{\beta'}^*$ and $|\alpha'| = |\beta'| = np - 1 < np$. Thus, in this case, $u_e^* u_\alpha z^l u_\beta^* u_f \in \mathcal{C}_{n-1} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_n$ (where we used Lemma 3.10). To complete the proof we have to show that $u_e^* z^l u_f \in \mathcal{C}_0$. For that, we write $u_e^* z^l u_f = \sum_{|\gamma|=p-1} u_\gamma u_\gamma^* u_e^* z^l u_f = \sum_{|\gamma|=p-1} u_\gamma z^l u_\gamma^* u_e^* u_f = \delta_{e,f} \sum_{|\gamma|=p-1} u_\gamma z^l u_\gamma^* \in \mathcal{C}_0$. This proves part (1).

For part (2), fix γ_1, γ_2 with $|\gamma_i| = (n-m)p$ and let $u_{\alpha_1} z^l u_{\alpha_2}^*$ be an arbitrary generator of \mathcal{C}_n (so that $l \geq 0$, $np \leq |\alpha_i| < (n+1)p$ and $s(\alpha_1) = s(\alpha_2)$). Then consider $b := u_{\gamma_1}^* u_{\alpha_1} z^l u_{\alpha_2}^* u_{\gamma_2}$. If $b \neq 0$ then both $u_{\gamma_1}^* u_{\alpha_1}$ and $u_{\gamma_2}^* u_{\alpha_2}$ are non zero which implies that we can write $\alpha_i = \gamma_i \delta_i$ with $|\delta_i| = |\alpha_i| - (n-m)p$. In this case, $b = u_{\delta_1} z^l u_{\delta_2}^* \in \mathcal{C}_m$. Since this holds for every generator of \mathcal{C}_n , and \mathcal{C}_m is a C^* -algebra, we get

$$\bigvee_{|\gamma_i|=(n-m)p} u_{\gamma_1}^* \mathcal{C}_n u_{\gamma_2} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_m.$$

For the other direction, fix a generator $u_{\mu_1} z^l u_{\mu_2}^*$ of \mathcal{C}_m (so that $mp \leq |\mu_i| < (m+1)p \leq np$ and $s(\mu_1) = s(\mu_2)$). Then, for γ_i with $|\gamma_i| = (n-m)p$ and $s(\gamma_i) = r(\mu_i)$,

$$u_{\mu_1} z^l u_{\mu_2}^* = u_{\gamma_1}^* u_{\gamma_1} u_{\mu_1} z^l u_{\mu_2}^* u_{\gamma_2} \in \bigvee_{|\gamma_i|=(n-m)p} u_{\gamma_1}^* \mathcal{C}_n u_{\gamma_2}$$

since $u_{\gamma_1} u_{\mu_1} z^l u_{\mu_2}^* u_{\gamma_2}^* \in \mathcal{C}_n$. (Note that such γ_i exist since E has no sinks.) Since this holds for every generator of \mathcal{C}_m and the set on the right-hand side is a C^* -algebra, this proves (2).

For part (3), note that, since $|\alpha_2 \gamma_1| \geq |\alpha_1|$, we can write $\alpha_2 \gamma_1 = \mu_1 \mu_2$ where $|\mu_2| = |\alpha_2|$ and, similarly, $\alpha_3 \gamma_2 = \nu_1 \nu_2$ with $|\nu_2| = |\alpha_3|$. Thus $u_{\alpha_1} u_{\gamma_1}^* u_{\alpha_2}^* \mathcal{C}_n u_{\alpha_3} u_{\gamma_2}^* u_{\alpha_4}^* = u_{\alpha_1} u_{\mu_2}^* u_{\mu_1}^* \mathcal{C}_n u_{\nu_1} u_{\nu_2}^* u_{\alpha_4}^*$. But now the result follows because $u_{\alpha_1} u_{\mu_2}^*$ and $u_{\nu_2} u_{\alpha_4}^*$ are in \mathcal{C}_n by definition and $u_{\mu_1}^* \mathcal{C}_n u_{\nu_1}$ is contained in \mathcal{C}_n by successively applying part (1). \square

Lemma 3.12. (1) For $c \in \mathcal{C}_0$, $|\theta_1| = |\theta_2| = q$ and $|\mu_1| = |\mu_2|$ with $\mu_1 \neq \mu_2$,

$$(3.5) \quad u_{\mu_1}^* u_{\theta_1}^* c u_{\theta_2} u_{\mu_2} = 0.$$

(2) For $c \in \mathcal{C}_0$ and $|\theta_1| = |\theta_2| = q$,

$$u_{\theta_1}^* c u_{\theta_2} \neq 0$$

only if $s(\theta_1) = s(\theta_2)$.

(3) For $a \in \mathcal{C}_n$ and $|\gamma_1| = |\gamma_2| = np + q$,

$$u_{\gamma_1}^* a u_{\gamma_2} \neq 0$$

only if $s(\gamma_1) = s(\gamma_2)$.

Proof. From the definition of \mathcal{C}_0 , we can assume that

$$c = u_{\delta_1} z^{l_1} u_{\nu_1}^* u_{\delta_2} z^{l_2} u_{\nu_2}^* \cdots u_{\delta_n} z^{l_n} u_{\nu_n}^*$$

for $0 \leq |\delta_i| = |\nu_i| = k_i \leq q$ and $l_i \geq 0$ for every $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Recall that $\sum_{|\gamma|=q} u_{\gamma} u_{\gamma}^* = I$ and, thus, $u_{\mu_1}^* u_{\theta_1}^* c u_{\theta_2} u_{\mu_2}$ can be written as a sum of elements of the form

$$a = u_{\mu_1}^* u_{\theta_1}^* u_{\delta_1} z^{l_1} u_{\nu_1}^* u_{\gamma_1} u_{\gamma_1}^* u_{\delta_2} z^{l_2} u_{\nu_2}^* u_{\gamma_2} u_{\gamma_2}^* u_{\delta_3} \cdots u_{\delta_n} z^{l_n} u_{\nu_n}^* u_{\theta_2} u_{\mu_2}$$

with $|\gamma_i| = q$. So, to prove (1), it suffices to show that (assuming $\mu_1 \neq \mu_2$) each such term is 0. Thus, we now fix a as above.

Since $|\delta_1| \leq |\theta_1| = q$, we can write $\theta_1 = \theta'_1 \xi_1$ with $|\theta'_1| = |\delta_1|$ and $|\xi_1| = q - |\delta_1| = q - k_1$ so that $u_{\theta_1}^* u_{\delta_1} = u_{\xi_1}^* u_{\theta'_1}^* u_{\delta_1}$. But the last term is non zero only if $\theta'_1 = \delta_1$ and, in this case, $u_{\theta_1}^* u_{\delta_1} = u_{\xi_1}^*$. Thus we can assume that $u_{\theta_1}^* u_{\delta_1} = u_{\xi_1}^*$ with $|\xi_1| = q - k_1$. Similarly we assume that $u_{\nu_1}^* u_{\gamma_1} = u_{\eta_1}$ with $|\eta_1| = q - k_1$, $u_{\gamma_1}^* u_{\delta_2} = u_{\xi_2}^*$ ($|\xi_2| = q - k_2$) and so on and finally $u_{\nu_n}^* u_{\theta_2} = u_{\eta_n}$ ($|\eta_n| = q - k_n$). Thus, we can assume that

$$a = u_{\mu_1}^* u_{\xi_1}^* z^{l_1} u_{\eta_1} u_{\xi_2}^* z^{l_2} u_{\eta_2} \cdots u_{\xi_n}^* z^{l_n} u_{\eta_n} u_{\mu_2}$$

with $|\xi_i| = |\eta_i|$ for all i . But, using Lemma 3.8(3), we can assume that $\xi_i = \eta_i$ for all i . Thus

$$a = u_{\mu_1}^* u_{\xi_1}^* z^{l_1} u_{\xi_1} u_{\xi_2}^* z^{l_2} u_{\xi_2} \cdots u_{\xi_n}^* z^{l_n} u_{\xi_n} u_{\mu_2}.$$

Now applying Lemma 3.8(4) successively, we get

$$\begin{aligned} a &= u_{\mu_1}^* u_{\xi_1}^* z^{l_1} u_{\xi_1} u_{\mu_1} u_{\mu_1}^* u_{\xi_2}^* z^{l_2} u_{\xi_2} \cdots u_{\xi_n}^* z^{l_n} u_{\xi_n} u_{\mu_2} = \cdots = \\ &= u_{\mu_1}^* u_{\xi_1}^* z^{l_1} u_{\xi_1} u_{\mu_1} u_{\mu_1}^* u_{\xi_2}^* z^{l_2} u_{\xi_2} u_{\mu_1} u_{\mu_1}^* \cdots u_{\xi_n}^* z^{l_n} u_{\xi_n} u_{\mu_1} u_{\mu_1}^* u_{\mu_2} \end{aligned}$$

and this is 0 since $|\mu_1| = |\mu_2|$ but $\mu_1 \neq \mu_2$. This proves (1). Part (2) follows from (1) with $|\mu_1| = |\mu_2| = 0$.

To prove part (3) fix $a \in \mathcal{C}_n$ and paths γ_1, γ_2 of length $np + q$ and $s(\gamma_1) \neq s(\gamma_2)$. For every μ_1, μ_2 of length np , write $a(\mu_1, \mu_2) = u_{\mu_1} u_{\mu_1}^* a u_{\mu_2} u_{\mu_2}^*$ and consider $u_{\gamma_1}^* a(\mu_1, \mu_2) u_{\gamma_2} = (u_{\gamma_1}^* u_{\mu_1})(u_{\mu_1}^* a u_{\mu_2})(u_{\mu_2}^* u_{\gamma_2})$. This would be non zero only if there are paths ν_1, ν_2 of length

q such that $\gamma_i = \mu_i \nu_i$ ($i = 1, 2$) and, in this case, we can write $u_{\mu_1}^* a u_{\mu_2} = c \in \mathcal{C}_0$ and $u_{\nu_i} = u_{\mu_i}^* u_{\gamma_i}$. We then have

$$u_{\gamma_1}^* a(\mu_1, \mu_2) u_{\gamma_2} = u_{\nu_1}^* c u_{\nu_2} = 0$$

since $s(\nu_1) = s(\gamma_1) \neq s(\gamma_2) = s(\nu_2)$ (using part (2)). Summing up over all μ_1, μ_2 of length np , we get

$$u_{\gamma_1}^* a u_{\gamma_2} = 0.$$

□

To understand the structure of $q(\mathcal{D})$ we start by looking closely at \mathcal{C}_0 .

We first need some notation.

Recall that we write q for $p - 1$. Since E has no sinks, it allows us, given $v \in E^0$, to find a path that starts in v and has length q . Such a path is not unique but we fix one and denote it ξ_v . It will be fixed throughout the rest of the paper.

For $v \in E^0$, we write

$$(3.6) \quad \mathcal{C}_v = u_{\xi_v} u_{\xi_v}^* \mathcal{C}_0 u_{\xi_v} u_{\xi_v}^* \subseteq \mathcal{C}_0.$$

Proposition 3.13. *We have*

$$\mathcal{C}_n \cong \sum_{|\gamma_1|=|\gamma_2|=np+p-1, s(\gamma_1)=s(\gamma_2)} e_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2} \otimes \mathcal{C}_{s(\gamma_1)} \subseteq M_{\Gamma_n}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathcal{C}_0$$

where Γ_n is $E^{(n+1)p-1}$, the set of all paths of length $(n+1)p-1$, M_{Γ_n} is the matrix algebra indexed by Γ_n and $e_{\cdot, \cdot}$ is a matrix unit there. Writing

$$\mathcal{A}_n = \sum_{|\gamma_1|=|\gamma_2|=np+p-1, s(\gamma_1)=s(\gamma_2)} e_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2} \otimes \mathcal{C}_{s(\gamma_1)},$$

the isomorphism from \mathcal{C}_n to \mathcal{A}_n is given by

$$(3.7) \quad \tau_n(c) = \sum_{|\gamma_1|=|\gamma_2|=np+p-1, s(\gamma_1)=s(\gamma_2)} e_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2} \otimes u_{\xi_{s(\gamma_1)}} u_{\gamma_1}^* c u_{\gamma_2} u_{\xi_{s(\gamma_1)}}^*$$

for $c \in \mathcal{C}_n$. It's inverse is given by

$$(3.8) \quad \tau_n^{-1}(e_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2} \otimes b) = u_{\gamma_1} u_{\xi_{s(\gamma_1)}}^* b u_{\xi_{s(\gamma_1)}} u_{\gamma_2}^*$$

for $b \in \mathcal{C}_{s(\gamma_1)}$, $|\gamma_1| = |\gamma_2| = np + p - 1$ and $s(\gamma_1) = s(\gamma_2)$.

Proof. Note first that, for $|\gamma_1| = |\gamma_2| = np + p - 1$ (with $s(\gamma_1) = s(\gamma_2)$), we can write $\gamma_i = \mu_i \alpha_i$ where $|\mu_i| = np$, $|\alpha_i| = p - 1$ and $s(\alpha_1) = s(\alpha_2)$. Then, for $c \in \mathcal{C}_n$ have that $u_{\xi_{s(\gamma_1)}} u_{\gamma_1}^* c u_{\gamma_2} u_{\xi_{s(\gamma_1)}}^* = u_{\xi_{s(\gamma_1)}} u_{\alpha_1}^* u_{\mu_1}^* c u_{\mu_2} u_{\alpha_2} u_{\xi_{s(\gamma_1)}}^* = (u_{\xi_{s(\gamma_1)}} u_{\alpha_1}^*) (u_{\mu_1}^* c u_{\mu_2}) (u_{\alpha_2} u_{\xi_{s(\gamma_1)}}^*)$. This lies in $\mathcal{C}_{s(\gamma_1)}$ since each of the three factors lies in \mathcal{C}_0 (for the middle one, apply Lemma 3.11(1) successively). So that τ_n maps \mathcal{C}_n into \mathcal{A}_n . We now show that τ_n is a multiplicative map on \mathcal{C}_n . For this, we fix $c, b \in \mathcal{C}_n$ and compute

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_n(c) \tau_n(b) &= \sum_{s(\gamma_1)=s(\gamma_2), |\gamma_i|=np+p-1} \sum_{s(\gamma'_1)=s(\gamma'_2), |\gamma'_i|=np+p-1} e_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2} e_{\gamma'_1, \gamma'_2} \otimes u_{\xi_{s(\gamma_1)}} u_{\gamma_1}^* c u_{\gamma_2} u_{\xi_{s(\gamma_1)}}^* u_{\xi_{s(\gamma'_1)}} u_{\gamma'_1}^* b u_{\gamma'_2} u_{\xi_{s(\gamma'_1)}}^* \\ &= \sum_{\gamma_1, \gamma'_2} e_{\gamma_1, \gamma'_2} \otimes u_{\xi_{s(\gamma_1)}} u_{\gamma_1}^* c (\sum_{\gamma_2} u_{\gamma_2} u_{\gamma_2}^*) b u_{\gamma'_2} u_{\xi_{s(\gamma'_1)}}^* = \tau_n(cb). \end{aligned}$$

We used the fact that, in the sum above, $\gamma_2 = \gamma'_2$, $s(\gamma_1) = s(\gamma_2)$ and $\sum_{\gamma_2} u_{\gamma_2} u_{\gamma_2}^* u_{\xi_{s(\gamma_2)}} u_{\xi_{s(\gamma_2)}}^* u_{\gamma_2}^* = \sum_{|\gamma_2|=np+p-1} u_{\gamma_2} u_{\gamma_2}^* = I$.

Now compute, for $c \in \mathcal{C}_n$,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_n^{-1}(\tau_n(c)) &= \sum_{|\gamma_1|=|\gamma_2|=np+p-1, s(\gamma_1)=s(\gamma_2)} \tau^{-1}(e_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2} \otimes u_{\xi_{s(\gamma_1)}} u_{\gamma_1}^* c u_{\gamma_2} u_{\xi_{s(\gamma_2)}}^*) \\ &= \sum_{|\gamma_1|=|\gamma_2|=np+p-1, s(\gamma_1)=s(\gamma_2)} u_{\gamma_1} u_{\xi_{s(\gamma_1)}}^* u_{\xi_{s(\gamma_1)}} u_{\gamma_1}^* c u_{\gamma_2} u_{\xi_{s(\gamma_2)}}^* u_{\xi_{s(\gamma_2)}} u_{\gamma_2}^* \\ &= \sum_{|\gamma_i|=np+p-1, s(\gamma_1)=s(\gamma_2)} u_{\gamma_1} u_{\gamma_1}^* c u_{\gamma_2} u_{\gamma_2}^* = \sum_{|\gamma_i|=np+p-1} u_{\gamma_1} u_{\gamma_1}^* c u_{\gamma_2} u_{\gamma_2}^* = c. \end{aligned}$$

Note that in the equality before the last one, we used Lemma 3.12(3) to drop the “ $s(\gamma_1) = s(\gamma_2)$ ”.

And, for the other direction, fix $|\gamma_i| = np + p - 1$ with $s(\gamma_1) = s(\gamma_2)$ and $b \in \mathcal{C}_{s(\gamma_1)}$, and compute

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_n(\tau_n^{-1}(e_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2} \otimes b)) &= \tau_n(u_{\gamma_1} u_{\xi_{s(\gamma_1)}}^* b u_{\xi_{s(\gamma_1)}} u_{\gamma_2}^*) \\ &= \sum_{|\gamma'_i|=np+p-1} e_{\gamma'_1, \gamma'_2} \otimes u_{\xi_{s(\gamma')}} u_{\gamma'_1}^* u_{\gamma_1} u_{\xi_{s(\gamma_1)}}^* b u_{\xi_{s(\gamma_1)}} u_{\gamma_2}^* u_{\xi_{s(\gamma'_1)}}^*. \end{aligned}$$

Since $u_{\gamma'_1}^* u_{\gamma_1} \neq 0$ only if $\gamma_1 = \gamma'_1$ (and then $u_{\gamma'_1}^* u_{\gamma_1} = p_{s(\gamma_1)}$) we have $\gamma'_1 = \gamma_1$ and, similarly, $\gamma'_2 = \gamma_2$ and we end up with

$$e_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2} \otimes u_{\xi_{s(\gamma_1)}} u_{\xi_{s(\gamma_1)}}^* b u_{\xi_{s(\gamma_2)}} u_{\xi_{s(\gamma_2)}} = e_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2} \otimes b.$$

□

Now we let $\psi_n : \mathcal{A}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{n+1}$ be

$$\psi_n = \tau_{n+1} \circ \iota_n \circ \tau_n^{-1}$$

and get

Corollary 3.14.

$$q(\mathcal{D}) \cong \lim(\mathcal{A}_n, \psi_n).$$

Proposition 3.15. *We have*

$$\psi_n(e_{\alpha, \beta} \otimes b) = \sum_{|\mu|=p, r(\mu)=s(\alpha)=s(\beta)} e_{\alpha\mu, \beta\mu} \otimes u_{\xi_{s(\mu)}} u_{\mu}^* u_{\xi_{s(\alpha)}}^* b u_{\xi_{s(\beta)}} u_{\mu} u_{\xi_{s(\mu)}}^*$$

for $b \in u_{\xi_{s(\alpha)}} u_{\xi_{s(\alpha)}}^* \mathcal{C}_0 u_{\xi_{s(\beta)}} u_{\xi_{s(\beta)}}^*$, $s(\alpha) = s(\beta)$ and $|\alpha| = |\beta| = (n+1)p - 1$.

Proof. For $b \in u_{\xi_{s(\alpha)}} u_{\xi_{s(\alpha)}}^* \mathcal{C}_0 u_{\xi_{s(\beta)}} u_{\xi_{s(\beta)}}^*$ (with $|\alpha| = |\beta| = (n+1)p - 1$ and $s(\alpha) = s(\beta)$) we have

$$\psi_n(e_{\alpha, \beta} \otimes b) = \tau_{n+1}(u_{\alpha} u_{\xi_{s(\alpha)}}^* b u_{\xi_{s(\alpha)}} u_{\beta}^*) = \sum_{|\gamma_i|=(n+2)p-1} e_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2} \otimes u_{\xi_{s(\gamma_1)}} u_{\gamma_1}^* u_{\alpha} u_{\xi_{s(\alpha)}}^* b u_{\xi_{s(\alpha)}} u_{\beta}^* u_{\gamma_2} u_{\xi_{s(\gamma_2)}}^*.$$

Now, $u_{\alpha}^* u_{\gamma_1} = u_{\mu_1}$ if $\gamma_1 = \alpha\mu_1$ (for some μ_1 with $|\mu_1| = p$ and $r(\mu_1) = s(\alpha)$) and 0 otherwise. Similarly $u_{\beta}^* u_{\gamma_2} = u_{\mu_2}$ if $\gamma_2 = \beta\mu_2$ and 0 otherwise. Thus

$$\psi_n(e_{\alpha, \beta} \otimes b) = \sum_{|\mu_i|=p} e_{\alpha\mu_1, \beta\mu_2} \otimes u_{\xi_{s(\mu_1)}} u_{\mu_1}^* u_{\xi_{s(\alpha)}}^* b u_{\xi_{s(\alpha)}} u_{\mu_2} u_{\xi_{s(\mu_2)}}^*.$$

By Lemma 3.12, the terms with $\mu_1 \neq \mu_2$ are zero and the result follows. □

4. IDEALS IN $q(\mathcal{D})$

From now on, we assume that E has no sources (and no sinks).

Proposition 4.1. *Fix $n \geq 0$. A subspace $J \subseteq \mathcal{C}_n$ is an ideal there if and only if there is a family of subspaces $\{J_v \subseteq \mathcal{C}_v\}$ satisfying*

- (1) $\tau_n(J) = \sum_{|\mu_i|=np+q, s(\mu_1)=s(\mu_2)} e_{\mu_1, \mu_2} \otimes J_{s(\mu_1)}$ and
- (2) for every $v \in E^0$, J_v is an ideal in \mathcal{C}_v .
- (3) For μ_1, μ_2 with $|\mu_i| = np + q$ and $s(\mu_i) = v$ ($i = 1, 2$), $J_v = u_{\xi_v} u_{\mu_1}^* J u_{\mu_2} u_{\xi_v}^*$.

In this case, we have

$$(4.1) \quad J = \sum_{|\mu_i|=np+q, s(\mu_1)=s(\mu_2)} u_{\mu_1} u_{\xi_{s(\mu_1)}}^* J_{s(\mu_1)} u_{\xi_{s(\mu_1)}} u_{\mu_2}^*.$$

Proof. Assume that $J \subseteq \mathcal{C}_n$ is an ideal. Take $c \in J$. Then

$$(4.2) \quad \tau_n(c) = \sum_{|\mu_1|=|\mu_2|=np+q, s(\mu_1)=s(\mu_2)} e_{\mu_1, \mu_2} \otimes u_{\xi_s(\mu_1)} u_{\mu_1}^* c u_{\mu_2} u_{\xi_s(\mu_2)}^*.$$

If $|\mu_i| = np + q$ then $u_{\mu_i} u_{\mu_i}^* \in \mathcal{C}_n$ and, thus, $u_{\mu_1} u_{\mu_1}^* c u_{\mu_2} u_{\mu_2}^* \in J$ and $\tau_n(u_{\mu_1} u_{\mu_1}^* c u_{\mu_2} u_{\mu_2}^*) \in \tau_n(J)$. But

$$\tau_n(u_{\mu_1} u_{\mu_1}^* c u_{\mu_2} u_{\mu_2}^*) = \sum_{|\gamma_i|=np+q, s(\gamma_1)=s(\gamma_2)} e_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2} \otimes u_{\xi_s(\gamma_1)} u_{\gamma_1}^* u_{\mu_1} u_{\mu_1}^* c u_{\mu_2} u_{\mu_2}^* u_{\gamma_2} u_{\xi_s(\gamma_2)}.$$

In this expression the non zero summands are only those for which $\mu_i = \gamma_i$. Thus, for every $|\mu_i| = np + q$ with $s(\mu_1) = s(\mu_2)$,

$$\tau_n(u_{\mu_1} u_{\mu_1}^* c u_{\mu_2} u_{\mu_2}^*) = e_{\mu_1, \mu_2} \otimes u_{\xi_s(\mu_1)} u_{\mu_1}^* c u_{\mu_2} u_{\xi_s(\mu_2)}^* \in \tau_n(J).$$

Write

$$J_{\mu_1, \mu_2} := u_{\xi_s(\mu_1)} u_{\mu_1}^* J u_{\mu_2} u_{\xi_s(\mu_2)}^* \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{s(\mu_1)}.$$

Then $e_{\mu_1, \mu_2} \otimes J_{\mu_1, \mu_2} \subseteq \tau_n(J)$. Since we also have $\tau_n(J) \subseteq \sum_{|\mu_i|=np+q, s(\mu_1)=s(\mu_2)} e_{\mu_1, \mu_2} \otimes J_{\mu_1, \mu_2}$ (by (4.2)), we get

$$(4.3) \quad \tau_n(J) = \sum_{|\mu_i|=np+q, s(\mu_1)=s(\mu_2)} e_{\mu_1, \mu_2} \otimes J_{\mu_1, \mu_2}.$$

Now, for every ν_1, ν_2 with $|\nu_i| = np + q$ and $s(\nu_1) = s(\nu_2) = s(\mu_1) = s(\mu_2) = v$, we have $e_{\nu_1, \nu_2} \otimes \mathcal{C}_v J_{\mu_1, \mu_2} \mathcal{C}_v = (e_{\nu_1, \mu_1} \otimes \mathcal{C}_v)(e_{\mu_1, \mu_2} \otimes J_{\mu_1, \mu_2})(e_{\nu_2, \mu_2} \otimes \mathcal{C}_v) \subseteq \tau_n(\mathcal{C}_n) \tau_n(J) \tau_n(\mathcal{C}_n) \subseteq \tau_n(J)$. Thus,

$$(4.4) \quad \mathcal{C}_v J_{\mu_1, \mu_2} \mathcal{C}_v \subseteq J_{\nu_1, \nu_2}.$$

Since $u_{\xi_s(\mu_i)} u_{\xi_s(\mu_i)}^* \in \mathcal{C}_v$, we find that $J_{\mu_1, \mu_2} = u_{\xi_s(\mu_1)} u_{\xi_s(\mu_1)}^* J_{\mu_1, \mu_2} u_{\xi_s(\mu_2)} u_{\xi_s(\mu_2)}^* \subseteq J_{\nu_1, \nu_2}$. By symmetry, $J_{\mu_1, \mu_2} = J_{\nu_1, \nu_2}$ whenever $s(\mu_1) = s(\mu_2) = s(\nu_1) = s(\nu_2)$. Thus we can now write $J_{s(\mu_1)}$ instead of J_{μ_1, μ_2} . But then, using (4.4) we get part (2) and (4.3) implies part (1).

The converse direction is straightforward and is omitted.

Equation (4.1) follows from part (1) and the definition of τ_n^{-1} . \square

Corollary 4.2. *Suppose J is an ideal in $q(\mathcal{D})$ and $J_n = J \cap \mathcal{C}_n$. Assume that for every $v \in E^0$ and $n \geq 0$, $J_{n, v} = J_{0, v}$. Then*

$$(4.5) \quad J_n = \sum_{|\delta_i|=np} u_{\delta_1} J_0 u_{\delta_2}^*.$$

Proof. Fix $n \geq 0$. Every path μ of length $np + q$ can be written, in a unique way, as $\mu = \delta\alpha$ for paths α and δ of lengths q and np respectively. Also, given a path δ of length np , there is a path α of length q such that $\delta\alpha$ is well defined ($s(\delta) = r(\alpha)$) and has length $np + q$ (since E has no sources).

It follows from Equation (4.1) that $J_n = \sum_{|\mu_i|=np+q, s(\mu_1)=s(\mu_2)} u_{\mu_1} u_{\xi_s(\mu_1)}^* J_{n, s(\mu_1)} u_{\xi_s(\mu_1)} u_{\mu_2}^*$ and, using the discussion above,

$$J_n = \sum_{|\delta_i|=np, |\alpha_i|=q, s(\alpha_1)=s(\alpha_2)} u_{\delta_1} u_{\alpha_1} u_{\xi_s(\alpha_1)}^* J_{n, s(\alpha_1)} u_{\xi_s(\alpha_1)} u_{\alpha_2}^* u_{\delta_2}^*.$$

Note that if $r(\alpha_i) \neq s(\delta_i)$, the corresponding summand will vanish so that the sum is really over α_i, δ_i such that $r(\alpha_i) = s(\delta_i)$. Thus, using the assumption that $J_{n, v} = J_{0, v}$ for every v ,

$$J_n = \sum_{|\delta_i|=np} u_{\delta_1} \left(\sum_{|\alpha_i|=q, s(\alpha_1)=s(\alpha_2)} u_{\alpha_1} u_{\xi_s(\alpha_1)}^* J_{0, s(\alpha_1)} u_{\xi_s(\alpha_1)} u_{\alpha_2}^* \right) u_{\delta_2}^* = \sum_{|\delta_i|=np} u_{\delta_1} J_0 u_{\delta_2}^*.$$

□

In order to discuss the simplicity of the algebra $C^*(E, Z) = \mathcal{T}(X_E, Z)/\mathcal{K} \cong \mathcal{O}(q(\mathcal{D}), q(F))$ we need first the following definition.

Definition 4.3. *Let X be a C^* -correspondence over a unital C^* -algebra A . We say that it is minimal if there are no non trivial ideals $J \subseteq A$ such that $\langle X, JX \rangle \subseteq J$. It is said to be nonperiodic if $X^{\otimes n}$ and A are isometric (that is, there is a unitary map of correspondences from $X^{\otimes n}$ onto A) only if $n = 0$.*

Note that, here, minimality means that $q(\mathcal{D})$ has no non trivial invariant ideal in the sense of Definition 3.1 and non periodicity was discussed in Proposition 3.6.

The following theorem was proved by J. Schweizer [13, Theorem 3.9].

Theorem 4.4. *Let X be a full C^* -correspondence over a unital C^* -algebra A . Then the Cuntz-Pimsner algebra $\mathcal{O}(X, A)$ is simple if and only if X is minimal and nonperiodic.*

In order to understand what are the invariant ideals of $q(\mathcal{D})$, we first need the following. Given paths α, β of the same length, $s(\alpha) = s(\beta)$ and $r(\alpha) = r(\beta)$, they define a map

$$\pi(\alpha, \beta) : \mathcal{C}_{r(\alpha)} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{s(\alpha)}$$

defined by

$$(4.6) \quad \pi(\alpha, \beta)(c) = u_{\xi_{s(\alpha)}} u_{\alpha}^* u_{\xi_{r(\alpha)}}^* c u_{\xi_{r(\beta)}} u_{\beta} u_{\xi_{s(\beta)}}^*.$$

The range of $\pi(\alpha, \beta)$ is contained in \mathcal{C}_0 because of Lemma 3.11 (3) (with $n = 0$).

Note that, if $r(\alpha_2) = s(\alpha_1)$ and $r(\beta_2) = s(\beta_1)$ then, for $c \in \mathcal{C}_{r(\alpha_1\alpha_2)} = \mathcal{C}_{r(\alpha_1)}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(\alpha_1\alpha_2, \beta_1\beta_2)(c) &= u_{\xi_{s(\alpha_2)}} u_{\alpha_2}^* u_{\alpha_1}^* u_{\xi_{r(\alpha_1)}}^* c u_{\xi_{r(\beta_1)}} u_{\beta_1} u_{\beta_2} u_{\xi_{s(\beta_2)}}^* \\ &= u_{\xi_{s(\alpha_2)}} u_{\alpha_2}^* u_{\xi_{r(\alpha_2)}}^* u_{\xi_{r(\alpha_2)}} u_{\alpha_1}^* u_{\xi_{r(\alpha_1)}}^* c u_{\xi_{r(\beta_1)}} u_{\beta_1} u_{\xi_{r(\beta_2)}}^* u_{\xi_{r(\beta_2)}} u_{\beta_2} u_{\xi_{s(\beta_2)}}^* = \pi(\alpha_2, \beta_2)\pi(\alpha_1, \beta_1)(c). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, in this case,

$$(4.7) \quad \pi(\alpha_1\alpha_2, \beta_1\beta_2) = \pi(\alpha_2, \beta_2)\pi(\alpha_1, \beta_1).$$

Proposition 4.5. *Let J be an ideal in \mathcal{C}_n . Then J is invariant (in the sense of Definition 3.1) if and only if, for every $e, f \in E^1$ with $r(e) = r(f)$ and $s(e) = s(f)$,*

$$\pi(e, f)(J_{r(e)}) \subseteq J_{s(e)}.$$

Proof. Assume the condition holds. Fix $c \in J$. Then

$$(4.8) \quad \tau_n(c) = \sum_{|\mu_1|=|\mu_2|=np+q, s(\mu_1)=s(\mu_2)} e_{\mu_1, \mu_2} \otimes u_{\xi_{s(\mu_1)}} u_{\mu_1}^* c u_{\mu_2} u_{\xi_{s(\mu_2)}}^*.$$

Thus, for every $|\mu_1| = |\mu_2| = np + q$ with $s(\mu_1) = s(\mu_2)$, $u_{\xi_{s(\mu_1)}} u_{\mu_1}^* c u_{\mu_2} u_{\xi_{s(\mu_2)}}^* \in J_{s(\mu_1)}$ and, using the condition of the proposition, for every $g_1, g_2 \in E^1$ with $r(g_i) = s(\mu_i)$, $s(g_1) = s(g_2)$ and $r(g_1) = r(g_2)$, we have,

$$(4.9) \quad \pi(g_1, g_2)(u_{\xi_{s(\mu_1)}} u_{\mu_1}^* c u_{\mu_2} u_{\xi_{s(\mu_2)}}^*) \in J_{s(g_1)}.$$

Now $c = \sum_{|\mu_i|=np+q, s(\mu_1)=s(\mu_2)} u_{\mu_1} u_{\xi_{s(\mu_1)}}^* u_{\xi_{s(\mu_1)}} u_{\mu_1}^* c u_{\mu_2} u_{\xi_{s(\mu_2)}}^* u_{\xi_{s(\mu_2)}} u_{\mu_2}^*$ and

$u_e^* c u_f = \sum_{|\mu_i|=np+q, s(\mu_1)=s(\mu_2)} u_e^* u_{\mu_1} u_{\xi_{s(\mu_1)}}^* u_{\xi_{s(\mu_1)}} u_{\mu_1}^* c u_{\mu_2} u_{\xi_{s(\mu_2)}}^* u_{\xi_{s(\mu_2)}} u_{\mu_2}^* u_f$. Thus, for every δ_1, δ_2 with $|\delta_i| = np + q$ and $s(\delta_1) = s(\delta_2)$, we get

$$u_{\xi_{s(\delta_1)}} u_{\delta_1}^* u_e^* c u_f u_{\delta_2} u_{\xi_{s(\delta_2)}}^* = \sum_{|\mu_i|=np+q, s(\mu_1)=s(\mu_2)} u_{\xi_{s(\delta_1)}} u_{\delta_1}^* u_e^* u_{\mu_1} u_{\xi_{s(\mu_1)}}^* u_{\xi_{s(\mu_1)}} u_{\mu_1}^* c u_{\mu_2} u_{\xi_{s(\mu_2)}}^* u_{\xi_{s(\mu_2)}} u_{\mu_2}^* u_f u_{\delta_2} u_{\xi_{s(\delta_2)}}^*$$

But $u_{\delta_1}^* u_e^* u_{\mu_1} \neq 0$ only if there is some $g_1 \in E^1$ such that $e\delta_1 = \mu_1 g_1$ and, similarly, there is some $g_2 \in E^1$ such that $f\delta_2 = \mu_2 g_2$ and, when this is the case, $s(g_1) = s(g_2)$, $r(g_1) = r(g_2)$ and

$$u_{\xi_{s(\delta_1)}} u_{\delta_1}^* u_e^* c u_f u_{\delta_2} u_{\xi_{s(\delta_2)}}^* = \sum_{|\mu_i|=np+q, s(\mu_1)=s(\mu_2)} u_{\xi_{s(\delta_1)}} u_{g_1}^* u_{\xi_{s(\mu_1)}}^* u_{\xi_{s(\mu_1)}} u_{\mu_1}^* c u_{\mu_2} u_{\xi_{s(\mu_2)}}^* u_{\xi_{s(\mu_2)}} u_{g_2} u_{\xi_{s(\delta_2)}}^*.$$

But, since $s(\delta_i) = s(g_i)$ and $s(\mu_i) = r(g_i)$ ($i = 1, 2$), and $u_{\xi_{s(\mu_1)}} u_{\mu_1}^* c u_{\mu_2} u_{\xi_{s(\mu_2)}}^* \in J_{s(\mu_1)} = J_{r(g_1)}$, each summand in this sum lies in $\pi(g_1, g_2)(J_{r(g_1)}) \subseteq J_{s(g_1)} = J_{s(\delta_1)}$. It follows that

$$\tau_n(u_e^* c u_f) \in \sum_{|\delta_i|=np+q, s(\delta_1)=s(\delta_2)} e_{\delta_1, \delta_2} \otimes J_{s(\delta_1)} = \tau_n(J)$$

and, thus, $u_e^* c u_f \in J$.

For the converse, assume now that J is an invariant ideal in \mathcal{C}_n . Fix μ_1, μ_2 with $|\mu_i| = np + q$ and $s(\mu_1) = s(\mu_2)$. Then $e_{\mu_1, \mu_2} \otimes J_{s(\mu_1)}$ is contained in $\tau_n(J)$ and, applying τ_n^{-1} , we get

$$u_{\mu_1} u_{\xi_{s(\mu_1)}}^* J_{s(\mu_1)} u_{\xi_{s(\mu_2)}} u_{\mu_2}^* \subseteq J.$$

Then, for $e, f \in E^1$ (with $s(e) = s(f)$ and $r(e) = r(f)$) we have (using the invariance of J),

$$u_e^* u_{\mu_1} u_{\xi_{s(\mu_1)}}^* J_{s(\mu_1)} u_{\xi_{s(\mu_2)}} u_{\mu_2}^* u_f \subseteq J.$$

But the left-hand side is 0 unless there are γ_1, γ_2 such that $\mu_1 = e\gamma_1$ and $\mu_2 = f\gamma_2$. If this holds then we get (using $s(\gamma_i) = s(\mu_i)$),

$$u_{\gamma_1} u_{\xi_{s(\gamma_1)}}^* J_{s(\gamma_1)} u_{\xi_{s(\gamma_2)}} u_{\gamma_2}^* \subseteq J.$$

Applying τ_n we get

$$\sum_{|\delta_i|=np+q, s(\delta_1)=s(\delta_2)} e_{\delta_1, \delta_2} \otimes u_{\xi_{s(\delta_1)}} u_{\delta_1}^* u_{\gamma_1} u_{\xi_{s(\gamma_1)}}^* J_{s(\gamma_1)} u_{\xi_{s(\gamma_2)}} u_{\gamma_2}^* u_{\delta_2} u_{\xi_{s(\delta_2)}}^* \subseteq \tau_n(J)$$

and, therefore,

$$u_{\xi_{s(\delta_1)}} u_{\delta_1}^* u_{\gamma_1} u_{\xi_{s(\gamma_1)}}^* J_{s(\gamma_1)} u_{\xi_{s(\gamma_2)}} u_{\gamma_2}^* u_{\delta_2} u_{\xi_{s(\delta_2)}}^* \subseteq J_{s(\delta_1)}$$

for every δ_i with $|\delta_i| = np + q$ and $s(\delta_1) = s(\delta_2)$. But the left-hand side is 0 unless $\delta_i = \gamma_i g_i$ for some $g_1, g_2 \in E^1$ (as otherwise either $u_{\delta_1}^* u_{\gamma_1} = 0$ or $u_{\gamma_2}^* u_{\delta_2} = 0$). Assuming $\delta_i = \gamma_i g_i$ for some $g_1, g_2 \in E^1$ and noting that, in that case, $s(\delta_i) = s(g_i)$ and $s(\gamma_i) = r(g_i)$, we get

$$(4.10) \quad u_{\xi_{s(g_1)}} u_{g_1}^* u_{\xi_{r(g_1)}}^* J_{r(g_1)} u_{\xi_{r(g_2)}} u_{g_2} u_{\xi_{s(g_2)}}^* \subseteq J_{s(g_1)}.$$

Since g_i are arbitrary in E^1 with $s(g_1) = s(g_2)$ and $r(g_1) = r(g_2)$ (as μ_i and δ_i run over all paths of length $np + q$ with $s(\mu_1) = s(\mu_2)$ and $s(\delta_1) = s(\delta_2)$) and the left-hand side of (4.10) is $\pi(g_1, g_2)(J_{r(g_1)})$, this completes the proof of this direction. \square

Theorem 4.6. *Suppose E is finite, irreducible, and has no sources and no sinks. Assume also that condition $A(p)$ holds (and let p be the minimal positive integer for which this holds). Then $C^*(E, Z)$ is simple if and only if the following two conditions hold.*

- (a) E is not a cycle.
- (b) There is no non trivial closed ideal J in \mathcal{C}_0 that is invariant in the sense of Proposition 4.5.

Part (b) is equivalent to

- (b') There is no family $\{J_v \subseteq \mathcal{C}_v\}_{v \in E^0}$ such that
 - (i) For every $v \in E^0$, J_v is an ideal in \mathcal{C}_v and
 - (ii) for every $e, f \in E^1$ with $s(e) = s(f)$ and $r(e) = r(f)$, we have $\pi(e, f)(J_{r(e)}) \subseteq J_{s(e)}$.

Proof. We first show that there is a non trivial invariant ideal in $q(\mathcal{D})$ if and only if there is a non trivial invariant ideal in \mathcal{C}_0 .

Assume first that $J \subseteq q(\mathcal{D})$ is a non trivial invariant ideal in $q(\mathcal{D})$. For every $n \geq 0$, write $J_n := J \cap \mathcal{C}_n$. Then, using Lemma 3.11, J_n is an invariant ideal in \mathcal{C}_n . Since $J = \overline{\bigcup_n J_n}$ and J is non trivial, there is some $n \geq 0$ such that J_n is non trivial. Fix such n . If it is 0, we are done. In general, we can write

$$\tau_n(J_n) = \sum_{|\mu_i|=np+q} e_{\mu_1, \mu_2} \otimes J_{n, s(\mu_1)}$$

and, for every $e, f \in E^1$ with $s(e) = s(f)$ and $r(e) = r(f)$,

$$(4.11) \quad \pi(e, f)(J_{n, r(e)}) \subseteq J_{n, s(e)}.$$

Now set

$$J_0 := \tau_0^{-1} \left(\sum_{|\alpha_1|=|\alpha_2|=q, s(\alpha_1)=s(\alpha_2)} e_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} \otimes J_{n, s(\alpha_1)} \right).$$

It follows from Proposition 4.1 that J_0 is an ideal in \mathcal{C}_0 and Proposition 4.5 (together with (4.11)) shows that this ideal is invariant. Since J_n is non trivial, there are v_1, v_2 in $s(E^1)$ such that $J_{n, v_1} \neq 0$ and $J_{n, v_2} \neq \mathcal{C}_{v_2}$ and it follows that J_0 is non trivial. Thus, there is an invariant, non trivial ideal in \mathcal{C}_0 .

For the other direction, assume J_0 is a non trivial invariant ideal J_0 in \mathcal{C}_0 and write (using Proposition 4.1)

$$\tau_0(J_0) = \sum_{|\alpha_1|=|\alpha_2|=q, s(\alpha_1)=s(\alpha_2)} e_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} \otimes J_{0, s(\alpha_1)}.$$

Now, for every $n > 0$, set

$$J_n := \tau_n^{-1} \left(\sum_{|\mu_1|=|\mu_2|=np+q, s(\mu_1)=s(\mu_2)} e_{\mu_1, \mu_2} \otimes J_{0, s(\mu_1)} \right).$$

By Proposition 4.1, J_n is a non trivial ideal in \mathcal{C}_n and Proposition 4.5 implies that this ideal is invariant.

We claim that $J_n \subseteq J_{n+1}$. For this, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} J_n &= \sum_{|\mu_1|=|\mu_2|=np+q, s(\mu_1)=s(\mu_2)} \tau_n^{-1}(e_{\mu_1, \mu_2} \otimes J_{0, s(\mu_1)}) = \sum_{|\mu_1|=|\mu_2|=np+q, s(\mu_1)=s(\mu_2)} u_{\mu_1} u_{\xi_{s(\mu_1)}}^* J_{0, s(\mu_1)} u_{\xi_{s(\mu_2)}} u_{\mu_2}^* \\ &= \sum_{|\mu_1|=|\mu_2|=np+q, |\gamma_1|=|\gamma_2|=p, s(\mu_1)=s(\mu_2)} u_{\mu_1} u_{\gamma_1} u_{\xi_{s(\gamma_1)}}^* u_{\xi_{s(\gamma_1)}} u_{\gamma_1}^* u_{\xi_{s(\mu_1)}}^* J_{0, s(\mu_1)} u_{\xi_{s(\mu_2)}} u_{\gamma_2} u_{\xi_{s(\gamma_2)}}^* u_{\xi_{s(\gamma_2)}} u_{\mu_2}^* u_{\mu_2}^* \end{aligned}$$

The only non zero terms in this sum are those for which $r(\gamma_i) = s(\mu_i)$, $i = 1, 2$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} J_n &= \sum_{|\mu_i|=np+q, |\gamma_i|=p, r(\gamma_i)=s(\mu_i), s(\mu_1)=s(\mu_2), s(\gamma_1)=s(\gamma_2)} u_{\mu_1 \gamma_1} u_{\xi_{s(\gamma_1)}}^* \pi(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)(J_{0, r(\gamma_1)}) u_{\xi_{s(\gamma_2)}} u_{\mu_2 \gamma_2}^* \\ &\subseteq \sum_{|\mu_i|=np+q, |\gamma_i|=p, r(\gamma_i)=s(\mu_i), s(\gamma_1)=s(\gamma_2)} u_{\mu_1 \gamma_1} u_{\xi_{s(\gamma_1)}}^* J_{0, s(\gamma_1)} u_{\xi_{s(\gamma_2)}} u_{\mu_2 \gamma_2}^* \\ &= \tau_{n+1}^{-1} \left(\sum_{\mu_i, \gamma_i} e_{\mu_1 \gamma_1, \mu_2 \gamma_2} \otimes J_{0, s(\mu_1 \gamma_1)} \right) = J_{n+1} \end{aligned}$$

proving the claim. Then $J := \overline{\bigcup_n J_n}$ is a non trivial invariant ideal in $q(\mathcal{D})$ because if $J = q(\mathcal{D})$, then $I \in J = \overline{\bigcup_n J_n}$ and we can find n and $a \in J_n$ such that $\|I - a\| < 1$. But then $a \in J_n$ is invertible contradicting the fact that $J_n \neq \mathcal{C}_n$.

Now, suppose that E is not a cycle. Since we assume that there are no sources, E is not a cycle with an entry (see the definition preceding Lemma 3.4). Thus, by Proposition 3.6 (1), $q(F)$ is nonperiodic. The discussion above shows that it is minimal if and only if there

is no non trivial invariant closed ideal in \mathcal{C}_0 . It follows from Theorem 4.4 that, if E is not a cycle, $C^*(E, Z)$ is simple if and only if there is no non trivial closed invariant ideal in \mathcal{C}_0 .

Now assume E is a cycle. We show that, in this case, $q(F)$ cannot be nonperiodic. Assume that it is nonperiodic. Then, by Proposition 3.6, for every $n > 0$, there is some path α such that $|\alpha| = n$ and u_α is not in $q(\mathcal{D})'$. Suppose that the length of the cycle is m and let $n = mp$. We show that for every α with $|\alpha| = n = mp$, $u_\alpha \in q(\mathcal{D})'$. This will complete the proof. Since n is a multiple of p (and we assume condition A(p)), $u_\alpha \in (C^*(z))'$. To prove that it commutes with $q(\mathcal{D})$, it suffices to show that it commutes with the generators. So, using Lemma 3.9, we fix a generator $u_\mu z^l u_\nu$ with $|\mu| = |\nu| = t$ and $s(\mu) = s(\nu)$. But, using lemma 3.5, we can assume that $\mu = \nu$. Also, since $\{\mathcal{C}_n\}$ is an increasing sequence, we can assume that $t > n$ and that allows us to write $\mu = \mu_1 \mu_2$ with $|\mu_1| = t - n$ and $|\mu_2| = n$. Since $|\alpha\mu| = n + t$ we can write $\alpha\mu = \mu'\alpha'$ with $|\mu'| = t$ and $|\alpha'| = n$. But then, since $|\alpha|$ is divisible by m , the length of the cycle, $r(\alpha) = s(\alpha)$ and $r(\mu) = s(\alpha) = r(\alpha) = r(\mu')$. Thus μ and μ' have the same length and same endpoint, implying that $\mu = \mu'$ (and, thus, $\alpha\mu = \mu\alpha'$). Then, using Lemma 3.7, we have

$$u_\alpha u_\mu z^l u_\mu^* = u_\mu u_{\alpha'} z^l u_\mu^* = u_\mu z^l u_{\alpha'} u_\mu^* = u_\mu z^l u_{\alpha'} u_{\mu_2}^* u_{\mu_1}^*.$$

But $\alpha\mu = \mu\alpha'$ and $\mu = \mu_1 \mu_2$. Thus $s(\alpha') = s(\mu) = s(\mu_2)$ and, since $|\alpha'| = |\mu_2|$, $\alpha' = \mu_2$ and it follows that $u_\mu z^l u_{\alpha'} u_{\mu_2}^* u_{\mu_1}^* = u_\mu z^l u_{\mu_1}^*$. Since $u_\alpha u_{\mu_1} u_{\alpha'} = u_\alpha u_\mu = u_\mu u_{\alpha'}$, we get $u_\alpha u_{\mu_1} = u_\mu$ and $u_{\mu_1} = u_\alpha^* u_\mu$. Then $u_\alpha u_\mu z^l u_\mu^* = u_\mu z^l u_{\mu_1}^* = u_\mu z^l u_\mu^* u_\alpha$. Thus, $u_\alpha \in q(\mathcal{D})'$. \square

In Theorem 5.4 we show that, restricting to the unweighted case ($Z_k = I$ for all k and $C^*(E, Z) = C^*(E)$ the Cuntz-Krieger algebra), we get a new proof of a well known criterion for simplicity of the Cuntz-Krieger algebras.

We now want to describe the gauge-invariant ideals of $C^*(E, Z)$. (See Remark 2.11). For this, we first use the analysis of Katsura in [8]. We shall start by introducing some of Katsura's notation and terminology. Here X is a C^* -correspondence over the C^* -algebra A .

For an ideal I of A we write

$$X(I) = \overline{\text{span}}\{\langle \eta, \varphi_X(a)\xi \rangle \in A : a \in I, \xi, \eta \in X\},$$

$$X^{-1}(I) = \{a \in A : \langle \eta, \varphi_X(a)\xi \rangle \in I \text{ for all } \xi, \eta \in X\}.$$

Both are ideals in A . He also defines an ideal $J(I)$, associated to I . But, for the case where $\varphi_X(A) = K(X)$ (which is the case where $A = q(\mathcal{D})$ and $X = q(F)$, by Lemma 2.5 (3)), we have

$$J(I) = A.$$

Definition 4.7. ([8, Definition 5.6 and Definition 5.12]) *A pair (I, I') of ideals in A is said to be an O-pair if*

- (1) $X(I) \subseteq I$,
- (2) $J_X \cap X^{-1}(I) \subseteq I$ and
- (3) $I + J_X \subseteq I' \subseteq J(I)$,

where $J_X := \varphi_X^{-1}(K(X)) \cap (\ker \varphi_X)^\perp$

The importance of this concept is shown in the following theorem of Katsura.

Theorem 4.8. ([8, Theorem 8.6]) *The set of all gauge-invariant ideals of $\mathcal{O}(X, A)$ corresponds bijectively to the set of all O-pairs of X .*

In our case here, $J_{q(F)} = q(\mathcal{D})$ and, thus, the O-pairs are pairs of the form $(J, q(\mathcal{D}))$ where J is an ideal in $q(\mathcal{D})$ such that $q(F)(J) \subseteq J$ and $(q(F))^{-1}(J) \subseteq J$.

Definition 4.9. A fully invariant ideal in $q(\mathcal{D})$ is an ideal J such that $q(F)(J) \subseteq J$ and $(q(F))^{-1}(J) \subseteq J$.

The following now follows from Theorem 4.8.

Corollary 4.10. The set of all gauge-invariant ideals of $C^*(E, Z)$ corresponds bijectively to the set of all fully invariant ideals of $q(\mathcal{D})$.

Remark 4.11. Using [8, Theorem 8.6] and tracing through Katsura's definitions, we see that, in our special case (where every O -pair has the form $(J, q(\mathcal{D}))$ for a fully invariant ideal J in $q(\mathcal{D})$), the bijection in Corollary 4.10 is defined as follows. Given a gauge-invariant ideal in $C^*(E, Z)$, the associated fully invariant ideal in $q(\mathcal{D})$ is its intersection with $q(\mathcal{D})$. In the converse direction, given a fully invariant ideal J in $q(\mathcal{D})$, there is a $*$ -representation of $C^*(E, Z) \cong O(q(F), q(\mathcal{D}))$ into the Cuntz-Pimsner algebra $O(q(F)/q(F)J, q(\mathcal{D})/J)$ given by the pair of quotient maps $(q_{q(F)J}, q_J)$. The gauge-invariant ideal in $C^*(E, Z)$ associated to J is the kernel of that representation.

Lemma 4.12. An ideal $J \subseteq q(\mathcal{D})$ is fully invariant if and only if, for every $e, f \in E^1$, we have

- (i) $u_e^* J u_f \subseteq J$ (and, by applying it successively, $u_{\gamma_1}^* J u_{\gamma_2} \subseteq J$ if $|\gamma_1| = |\gamma_2|$) and
- (ii) $u_e J u_f^* \subseteq J$ (and $u_{\gamma_1} J u_{\gamma_2}^* \subseteq J$ if $|\gamma_1| = |\gamma_2|$).

Equivalently, $\bigvee_{e, f \in E^1} u_e^* J u_f = J$.

Proof. Suppose J is fully invariant. Then $q(F)^* J q(F) = q(F)(J) \subseteq J$ and (i) follows from Lemma 2.7. To prove (ii) note that

$$q(F)^*(q(F)Jq(F)^*)q(F) = q(\mathcal{D})Jq(\mathcal{D}) \subseteq J.$$

Thus, every $a \in q(F)Jq(F)^*$ satisfy $q(F)^* a q(F) \subseteq J$. But this means that $a \in q(F)^{-1}(J)$ and, as J is fully invariant, $a \in J$. It follows that $q(F)Jq(F)^* \subseteq J$. In particular, it proves (ii).

For the converse, assume (i) and (ii). Then (i) (using Lemma 2.7) implies that $q(F)(J) = q(F)^* J q(F) \subseteq J$. To prove that $q(F)^{-1}(J) \subseteq J$, we need to show that every $a \in q(\mathcal{D})$ that satisfies $q(F)^* a q(F) \subseteq J$ lies in J . So fix such a . Then, for every $e, f \in E^1$, $u_e^* a u_f \in J$. But then, using (ii), we get $u_e u_e^* a u_f u_f^* \in J$. Summing over all $e, f \in E^1$ and using Lemma 2.6(2) we get $a \in J$.

To prove the last statement, assume first that $\bigvee_{e, f \in E^1} u_e^* J u_f = J$. Then (i) clearly holds. To prove (ii), fix $e, f \in E^1$ and note that it suffices to show that, for every $g, h \in E^1$, $u_e(u_g^* J u_h)u_f^* \subseteq J$ but this is clear since $u_e u_g^*, u_h u_f^* \in q(\mathcal{D})$ and J is an ideal. For the converse, assume that (i) and (ii) hold. We need to show that $J \subseteq \bigvee_{e, f \in E^1} u_e^* J u_f$. For this, take $a \in J$. Then $a = \sum_{v, w \in E^0} p_v a p_w$ and, for $e, f \in E^1$ such that $s(e) = v$ and $s(f) = w$ (recall that such e, f exist since E has no sinks), we have $p_v a p_w = u_e^* u_e a u_f^* u_f = u_e^*(u_e a u_f^*)u_f \in u_e^* J u_f$ (using (ii)). Thus $a \in \bigvee_{e, f \in E^1} u_e^* J u_f$. \square

Lemma 4.13. If J_1, J_2 are distinct fully invariant ideals in $q(\mathcal{D})$ then $J_1 \cap \mathcal{C}_0$ and $J_2 \cap \mathcal{C}_0$ are distinct ideals in \mathcal{C}_0 .

Proof. Assume J_1, J_2 are distinct fully invariant ideals in $q(\mathcal{D})$. Clearly, $J_1 \cap \mathcal{C}_0$ and $J_2 \cap \mathcal{C}_0$ are ideals in \mathcal{C}_0 . We need to show that they are distinct. Since $J_i = \overline{\bigcup_n (\mathcal{C}_n \cap J_i)}$ and $J_1 \neq J_2$ there is some n such that $J_1 \cap \mathcal{C}_n \neq J_2 \cap \mathcal{C}_n$. Fix this n . Thus, without loss of generality, there is some $a \in J_1 \cap \mathcal{C}_n$ but $a \notin J_2$. For every γ_1, γ_2 with $|\gamma_i| = np$, we have $u_{\gamma_1}^* a u_{\gamma_2} \in J_1 \cap \mathcal{C}_0$ (by applying part (i) of Lemma 4.12). We will show that, for some γ_i of length np , $u_{\gamma_1}^* a u_{\gamma_2} \notin J_2$ to complete the proof. But, if this is not the case, $u_{\gamma_1}^* a u_{\gamma_2} \in J_2$

for every such γ_i and then, by applying part (ii) of Lemma 4.12, $u_{\gamma_1} u_{\gamma_1}^* a u_{\gamma_2} u_{\gamma_2}^* \in J_2$ for all such γ_i . Summing over all these γ_i s, we get $a \in J_2$ which is a contradiction. \square

Theorem 4.14. *Let J be an ideal in $q(\mathcal{D})$ and, for $n \geq 0$, write $J_n = J \cap \mathcal{C}_n$. Then J is fully invariant if and only if the following conditions hold.*

(1) For every $v \in E^0$, and $n \geq 0$,

$$J_{0,v} = J_{n,v},$$

(2) for every α_1, α_2 with $|\alpha_1| = |\alpha_2| = q$,

$$u_{\alpha_1}^* J_0 u_{\alpha_2} \subseteq J_0$$

and

(3) for every α, β with $|\alpha| = |\beta|$, $u_\alpha J_0 u_\beta^* \subseteq J$.

Proof. Assume first that J is a fully invariant ideal.

Fix $n \geq m$ and $v \in E^0$ and write $q_v := u_{\xi_v} u_{\xi_v}^*$. Also fix paths δ and γ with $|\gamma| = (n-m)p$, $|\delta| = mp$, $s(\delta) = r(\xi_v)$ and $s(\gamma) = r(\delta)$. Thus $\gamma\delta\xi_v$ is well defined, $|\gamma\delta\xi_v| = np + q$ and $|\delta\xi_v| = mp + q$.

Using the invariance of J (as in Lemma 4.12(i)) and Lemma 3.11 (2), we have

$$u_\gamma^* J_n u_\gamma = u_\gamma^* (J \cap \mathcal{C}_n) u_\gamma \subseteq u_\gamma^* J u_\gamma \cap u_\gamma^* \mathcal{C}_n u_\gamma \subseteq J \cap \mathcal{C}_m = J_m.$$

Thus

$$(4.12) \quad J_{n,v} = u_{\xi_v} u_{\delta\xi_v}^* J_n u_{\gamma\delta\xi_v} u_{\xi_v}^* = q_v u_\delta^* u_\gamma^* J_n u_\gamma u_\delta q_v \subseteq q_v u_\delta^* J_m u_\delta q_v = J_{m,v}.$$

In particular, $J_{n,v} \subseteq J_{0,v}$.

To complete the proof of (1), fix paths α, δ with $|\alpha| = q$, $|\delta| = np$, $s(\alpha) = v$ and $s(\delta) = r(\alpha)$. Then

$$J_{0,v} = u_{\xi_v} u_\alpha^* J_0 u_\alpha u_{\xi_v}^* = u_{\xi_v} u_\alpha^* u_\delta^* u_\delta J_0 u_\delta^* u_\alpha u_{\xi_v}^* = u_{\xi_v} (u_\alpha^* u_\delta^*) (u_\delta J_0 u_\delta^*) (u_\delta u_\alpha) u_{\xi_v}^*.$$

By Lemma 4.12 (ii), $u_\delta J_0 u_\delta^* \subseteq J_n$ and, thus,

$$J_{0,v} \subseteq u_{\xi_v} u_\alpha^* J_n u_\delta u_\alpha u_{\xi_v}^* = J_{n,v}$$

completing the proof of (1). Parts (2) and (3) follow from the invariance of J (see Lemma 4.12) and the fact that $u_{\alpha_1}^* \mathcal{C}_0 u_{\alpha_2} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_0$.

For the converse, we now assume that (1), (2) and (3) hold.

Fix $a \in J$ and $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in E^1$. We will show that $u_{\alpha_1}^* a u_{\alpha_2} \in J$.

Since $J = \overline{\cup_n J_n}$, it suffices to assume that $a \in J_n$ for some $n \geq 1$. For every paths γ, δ with $|\gamma| = |\delta| = np + q - 1$, $r(\delta) = s(\alpha_2)$ and $r(\gamma) = s(\alpha_1)$, we consider $u_\gamma u_\gamma^* u_{\alpha_1}^* a u_{\alpha_2} u_\delta u_\delta^*$. We claim that it lies in J . If $s(\delta) \neq s(\gamma)$, it follows from Lemma 3.12 (3) that this is 0. So we now assume that $s(\gamma) = s(\delta) = v$. Then $u_{\xi_v} u_{\alpha_1\gamma}^* a u_{\alpha_2\delta} u_{\xi_v}^* \in J_{n,v} \subseteq J_{0,v}$ and, thus, there is some path μ (that depends on γ and δ) such that $|\mu| = q$, $s(\mu) = v$ and

$$u_{\xi_v} u_\gamma^* u_{\alpha_1}^* a u_{\alpha_2} u_\delta u_{\xi_v}^* \in u_{\xi_v} u_\mu^* J_0 u_\mu u_{\xi_v}^*.$$

Multiplying by $u_{\xi_v}^*$ on the left and by u_{ξ_v} on the right yields

$$u_\gamma^* u_{\alpha_1}^* a u_{\alpha_2} u_\delta \in u_\mu^* J_0 u_\mu$$

and

$$u_\gamma u_\gamma^* u_{\alpha_1}^* a u_{\alpha_2} u_\delta u_\delta^* \in u_\gamma u_\mu^* J_0 u_\mu u_\delta^*.$$

Write $\gamma = \gamma_1 \gamma_2$ and $\delta = \delta_1 \delta_2$ where $|\gamma_1| = |\delta_1| = np - 1$ and $|\gamma_2| = |\delta_2| = q$ to get

$$u_\gamma u_\mu^* J_0 u_\mu u_\delta = u_{\gamma_1} (u_{\gamma_2} u_\mu^*) J_0 (u_\mu u_{\delta_2}) u_{\delta_1}^* \subseteq u_{\gamma_1} \mathcal{C}_0 J_0 \mathcal{C}_0 u_{\delta_1}^* \subseteq u_{\gamma_1} J_0 u_{\delta_1}^*.$$

Using (3), we get

$$u_\gamma u_\gamma^* u_{\alpha_1}^* a u_{\alpha_2} u_\delta u_\delta^* \in J,$$

proving the claim. Summing over all γ and δ as above ($|\gamma| = |\delta| = np + q - 1$, $r(\delta) = s(\alpha_2)$ and $r(\gamma) = s(\alpha_1)$), we get

$$u_{\alpha_1}^* a u_{\alpha_2} \in J.$$

To complete the proof that J is fully invariant we have to show that $u_{\alpha_1} J u_{\alpha_2}^* \subseteq J$ whenever $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in E^1$. So we fix $\alpha_i \in E^1$ and $a \in J_n$. For paths γ_1, γ_2 of lengths $np + q + 1$, consider $u_{\gamma_1}^* u_{\alpha_1} a u_{\alpha_2}^* u_{\gamma_2}$. If this is non zero, there are paths ν_1, ν_2 such that $\gamma_i = \alpha_i \nu_i$ (so that $|\nu_i| = np + q$ and $s(\nu_i) = s(\gamma_i)$) and then $u_{\alpha_i}^* u_{\gamma_i} = u_{\nu_i}$ and $u_{\gamma_1}^* u_{\alpha_1} a u_{\alpha_2}^* u_{\gamma_2} = u_{\nu_1}^* a u_{\nu_2}$. But this is non zero only if $s(\nu_1) = s(\nu_2)$ (by Lemma 3.12(3)) so we assume that $s(\nu_1) = s(\nu_2) = v$ (and then also $s(\gamma_1) = s(\gamma_2) = v$). Thus,

$$u_{\xi_v} u_{\gamma_1}^* u_{\alpha_1} a u_{\alpha_2}^* u_{\gamma_2} u_{\xi_v}^* = u_{\xi_v} u_{\nu_1}^* a u_{\nu_2} u_{\xi_v} \in J_{n,v} \subseteq J_{0,v}$$

(using (1)). Thus there is a path μ of length q such that

$$u_{\xi_v} u_{\gamma_1}^* u_{\alpha_1} a u_{\alpha_2}^* u_{\gamma_2} u_{\xi_v}^* \in u_{\xi_v} u_\mu^* J_0 u_\mu u_{\xi_v}^*.$$

It follows that

$$u_{\gamma_1}^* u_{\alpha_1} a u_{\alpha_2}^* u_{\gamma_2} \in u_\mu^* J_0 u_\mu \subseteq J_0$$

(using (2)) and

$$u_{\alpha_1}^* u_{\gamma_1} u_{\gamma_1}^* u_{\alpha_1} a u_{\alpha_2}^* u_{\gamma_2} u_{\gamma_2}^* u_{\alpha_2} \in u_{\alpha_1}^* u_{\gamma_1} u_\mu^* J_0 u_\mu u_{\gamma_2}^* u_{\alpha_2} \subseteq u_{\alpha_1}^* J u_{\alpha_2}$$

(using (3)).

Summing up over all γ_1, γ_2 of lengths $np + q + 1$, we get $u_{\alpha_1}^* u_{\alpha_1} a u_{\alpha_2}^* u_{\alpha_2} \in u_{\alpha_1}^* J u_{\alpha_2}$ and, as $u_{\alpha_i} u_{\alpha_i}^* \in q(\mathcal{D})$,

$$u_{\alpha_1} a u_{\alpha_2}^* = u_{\alpha_1} u_{\alpha_1}^* u_{\alpha_1} a u_{\alpha_2}^* u_{\alpha_2} u_{\alpha_2}^* \in u_{\alpha_1} u_{\alpha_1}^* J u_{\alpha_2} u_{\alpha_2}^* \subseteq J.$$

□

Remark 4.15. Condition (2) in the theorem can be replaced by

(2') For every α_1, α_2 with $|\alpha_1| = |\alpha_2|$,

$$u_{\alpha_1}^* J_0 u_{\alpha_2} \subseteq J_0$$

Clearly, (2') implies (2) and, thus, (2'), (1) and (3) imply that J is fully invariant. For the converse, if J is fully invariant, then we get (2') by successive application of (i) in Lemma 4.12.

We also have the following.

Theorem 4.16. Let J be an ideal in $q(\mathcal{D})$ and, for $n \geq 0$, write $J_n = J \cap \mathcal{C}_n$. Then J is fully invariant if and only if the following conditions hold.

(1) For every $v \in E^0$, and $n \geq 0$,

$$J_{0,v} = J_{n,v}$$

(2) J_0 is an invariant ideal (that is, for every $\alpha, \beta \in E^1$, $u_\alpha^* J_0 u_\beta \subseteq J_0$)

Proof. Suppose first that J is fully invariant. Then part (1) follows from Theorem 4.14. Part (2) follows from Lemma 4.12 (i) and Lemma 3.11 (1).

Now assume that (1) and (2) are satisfied. By applying (2) successively we obtain part (2) of Theorem 4.14 and it is left to prove part (3) of that theorem. So we fix paths α_1, α_2 with $|\alpha_1| = |\alpha_2| = m$. If $m = np$ for some n , it follows from Corollary 4.2 that $u_{\alpha_1} J_0 u_{\alpha_2}^* \subseteq J_n \subseteq J$ and we are done. In general, we fix n such that $m \leq np$ and paths δ_i and γ_i such that $|\delta_i| = np$ and $\delta_i = \gamma_i \alpha_i$. This is possible because E has no sinks.

Then $u_{\delta_i} = u_{\gamma_i} u_{\alpha_i}$ and $u_{\alpha_i} = u_{\gamma_i}^* u_{\delta_i}$. Since $|\delta_i| = np$, it follows from Corollary 4.2 that $u_{\delta_1} J_0 u_{\delta_2}^* \subseteq J_n$ and, thus,

$$u_{\alpha_1} J_0 u_{\alpha_2}^* = u_{\gamma_1}^* u_{\delta_1} J_0 u_{\delta_2}^* u_{\gamma_2} \subseteq u_{\gamma_1}^* J_n u_{\gamma_2}$$

and it is left to show that $u_{\gamma_1}^* J_n u_{\gamma_2} \subseteq J_n$, that is, to show that J_n is invariant. We do assume that J_0 is invariant and, thus, Proposition 4.5 holds for J_0 . But the condition in that proposition (written for J_n) depends only on $\{J_{n,v}\}$ and here we assume that $J_{n,v} = J_{0,v}$ for every v so that the invariance of J_0 implies the invariance of J_n and this completes the proof. \square

In the following proposition, we describe a procedure to get, from a family $\{J_v : v \in E^0\}$ (where J_v is an ideal in \mathcal{C}_v), a fully invariant ideal in $q(\mathcal{D})$.

Proposition 4.17. *Fix a family $\{J_v : v \in E^0\}$ where each J_v is an ideal in \mathcal{C}_v and for every $e, f \in E^1$ with $r(e) = r(f)$ and $s(e) = s(f)$,*

$$\pi(e, f)(J_{r(e)}) \subseteq J_{s(e)}.$$

Then

(1) *The space*

$$\mathcal{J}_0 := \sum_{|\alpha|=|\beta|=q, s(\alpha)=s(\beta)=v} u_\alpha u_{\xi_v}^* J_v u_{\xi_v} u_\beta^*$$

is an ideal in \mathcal{C}_0 .

(2) *For every $e, f \in E^1$, $u_e^* \mathcal{J}_0 u_f \subseteq \mathcal{J}_0$*

(3) *For every $n \geq 0$,*

$$\mathcal{J}_n := \sum_{|\delta|=|\gamma|=np} u_\delta \mathcal{J}_0 u_\gamma^*$$

is an ideal in \mathcal{C}_n .

(4) *For every $n \geq 0$, $\mathcal{J}_n \subseteq \mathcal{J}_{n+1}$ and*

$$\mathcal{J} := \overline{\bigcup_n \mathcal{J}_n}$$

is an ideal in $q(\mathcal{D})$.

(5) *\mathcal{J} is a fully invariant ideal.*

Proof. To prove (1) we need to show that for every $a \in J_v$, $v \in E^0$, $f(z) \in C^*(z)$, paths α, β, γ and δ that start in v and satisfy $0 \leq |\gamma| = |\delta| \leq q$ and $|\alpha| = |\beta| = q$, we have $x := u_\gamma f(z) u_\delta^* u_\alpha u_{\xi_v}^* a u_{\xi_v} u_\beta^* \in \mathcal{J}_0$.

Write $|\gamma| = |\delta| = m$. For x to be non zero, α can be written as $\alpha = \delta \alpha'$ with $|\alpha'| = q - m$. Also write $\xi_v = \rho_2 \rho_1$ with $|\rho_1| = q - m$, $|\rho_2| = m$ and $s(\rho_1) = v$. Then $u_{\xi_v} = u_{\rho_2} u_{\rho_1}$ and $x = u_\gamma f(z) u_{\alpha'} u_{\rho_1}^* u_{\rho_2}^* a u_{\xi_v} u_\beta^*$. Using Lemma 3.8 (1), we get

$$x = u_{\gamma \alpha'} u_{\rho_1}^* f(z) u_{\rho_2}^* a u_{\xi_v} u_\beta^*.$$

Since $u_{\rho_1}^* = u_{\xi_v}^* u_{\rho_2}$,

$$x = u_{\gamma \alpha'} u_{\xi_v}^* [u_{\rho_2} f(z) u_{\rho_2}^* a] u_{\xi_v} u_\beta^* = u_{\gamma \alpha'} u_{\xi_v}^* [(u_{\xi_v} u_{\xi_v}^* u_{\rho_2} f(z) u_{\rho_2}^* u_{\xi_v} u_{\xi_v}^*) a] u_{\xi_v} u_\beta^*.$$

As $u_{\rho_2} f(z) u_{\rho_2}^* \in \mathcal{C}_0$, we have $u_{\xi_v} u_{\xi_v}^* u_{\rho_2} f(z) u_{\rho_2}^* u_{\xi_v} u_{\xi_v}^* \in \mathcal{C}_v$ and, since $a \in J_v$ (and J_v is an ideal in \mathcal{C}_v), $(u_{\xi_v} u_{\xi_v}^* u_{\rho_2} f(z) u_{\rho_2}^* u_{\xi_v} u_{\xi_v}^*) a \in J_v$ and, thus, $x \in \mathcal{J}_0$ proving (1).

For (2), take $c \in \mathcal{J}_0$ and $e, f \in E^1$. It suffices to assume that

$$c = u_\alpha u_{\xi_v}^* a u_{\xi_v} u_\beta^*$$

for $a \in J_v$, $s(\alpha) = s(\beta) = v$ and $|\alpha| = |\beta| = q$. Now, fix paths δ_1, δ_2 with $|\delta_1| = |\delta_2| = q$ and consider

$$x := u_{\xi_{s(\delta_1)}} u_{\delta_1}^* u_e^* c u_f u_{\delta_2} u_{\xi_{s(\delta_2)}}^* = u_{\xi_{s(\delta_1)}} u_{\delta_1}^* u_e^* u_\alpha u_{\xi_v}^* u_\beta u_f u_{\delta_2} u_{\xi_{s(\delta_2)}}^*.$$

Assume that $x \neq 0$. Then $u_{e\delta_1}^* u_\alpha$ and $u_\beta^* u_f u_{\delta_2}$ are both non zero. Hence there are $g_1, g_2 \in E^1$ such that $e\delta_1 = \alpha g_1$ and $f\delta_2 = \beta g_2$ (in particular, $s(g_i) = s(\delta_i)$ and $r(g_i) = s(\alpha) = s(\beta) = v$). In this case, $u_{\delta_1}^* u_e^* u_\alpha = u_{g_1}^*$ and $u_\beta^* u_f u_{\delta_2} = u_{g_2}$ and, consequently,

$$x = u_{\xi_{s(g_1)}} u_{g_1}^* u_{\xi_v}^* a u_{\xi_v} u_{g_2} u_{\xi_{s(g_2)}}^* = \pi(g_1, g_2)(a).$$

(See (4.6)). Note also that, as we assume that $x \neq 0$, it follows from Lemma 3.12 (3) (with $n = 0$) that $s(\delta_1) = s(\delta_2)$ and, thus, also $s(g_1) = s(g_2)$. Since $a \in J_{r(g_i)}$, we use our assumption to get

$$x \in J_{s(g_i)} = J_{s(\delta_i)}.$$

Finally, we use the fact that $\sum_{|\delta_1|=q} u_{\delta_1} u_{\xi_{s(\delta_1)}}^* u_{\xi_{s(\delta_1)}} u_{\delta_1}^* = I$ (and a similar statement for δ_2) to get

$$u_e^* c u_f = \sum_{|\delta_i|=q} u_{\delta_i} u_{\xi_{s(\delta_i)}}^* (u_{\xi_{s(\delta_i)}} u_{\delta_i}^* c u_f u_{\delta_i} u_{\xi_{s(\delta_i)}}^*) u_{\xi_{s(\delta_i)}} u_{\delta_i}^* \in \mathcal{J}_0.$$

For (3), we fix $n \geq 0$, $x := u_\delta a u_\gamma^* \in \mathcal{J}_n$ (where $a \in \mathcal{J}_0$ and $|\delta| = |\gamma| = np$) and $y := u_\alpha f(z) u_\beta \in \mathcal{C}_n$ (where $f(z) \in C^*(z)$, $np \leq |\alpha| = |\beta| \leq np + q$ and $s(\alpha) = s(\beta)$). We need to show that $xy \in \mathcal{J}_n$. We write $|\alpha| = |\beta| = np + m$ where $0 \leq m \leq q$.

We have $xy = u_\delta a u_\gamma^* u_\alpha f(z) u_\beta^*$. If $u_\gamma^* u_\alpha = 0$, we are done. Otherwise, $\alpha = \gamma \alpha_2$ (with $|\alpha_2| = m$). We also write $\beta = \beta_1 \beta_2$ with $|\beta_1| = np$ and $|\beta_2| = m$. We get $xy = u_\delta a u_{\alpha_2} f(z) u_{\beta_2}^* u_{\beta_1}^*$. Since $u_{\alpha_2} f(z) u_{\beta_2}^* \in \mathcal{C}_0$ (note that $s(\alpha_2) = s(\alpha) = s(\beta) = s(\beta_2)$) and $a \in \mathcal{J}_0$, it follows from (1) that $a u_{\alpha_2} f(z) u_{\beta_2}^* \in \mathcal{J}_0$ and $xy \in \mathcal{J}_n$.

To prove (4) we need to show that, for every paths δ, γ with $|\delta| = |\gamma| = np$, $u_\delta \mathcal{J}_0 u_\gamma^* \subseteq \mathcal{J}_{n+1}$. But $u_\delta \mathcal{J}_0 u_\gamma^* = \sum_{|\mu|=|\nu|=p} u_\delta u_\mu u_\mu^* \mathcal{J}_0 u_\nu u_\nu^* u_\gamma^*$ and it follows from part (2) (applied successively) that $u_\mu^* \mathcal{J}_0 u_\nu \subseteq \mathcal{J}_0$. Thus $u_\delta \mathcal{J}_0 u_\gamma^* \subseteq \sum u_{\delta\mu} \mathcal{J}_0 u_{\nu\gamma}^* \subseteq \mathcal{J}_{n+1}$. It follows that $\mathcal{J}_n \subseteq \mathcal{J}_{n+1}$ and, consequently, \mathcal{J} is an ideal in $q(\mathcal{D})$.

To prove (5) we shall verify (i) and (ii) of Lemma 4.12. For (i), we fix $e, f \in E^1$ and $x := u_\delta a u_\gamma^* \in \mathcal{J}_n \subseteq \mathcal{J}$ (where $a \in \mathcal{J}_0$ and $|\delta| = |\gamma| = np$). Then $u_e^* x u_f = u_e^* u_\delta a u_\gamma^* u_f$ is either 0 or there are δ' and γ' , of length $np - 1$ such that $\delta = e\delta'$ and $\gamma = f\gamma'$ and, then,

$$u_e^* x u_f = u_{\delta'} a u_{\gamma'}^* = \sum_{g,h \in E^1} u_{\delta'} u_g u_g^* a u_h u_h^* u_{\gamma'}^* = \sum_{g,h \in E^1} u_{\delta'g} u_g^* a u_h u_{\gamma'h}^*.$$

Since $u_g^* a u_h \in \mathcal{J}_0$ (by part (2)), $u_e^* x u_f \in \mathcal{J}_n \subseteq \mathcal{J}$.

For (ii) we fix e, f, a and x as above. Since E has no sinks, we can find paths μ and ν of length q with $s(\mu) = r(e)$ and $s(\nu) = r(f)$ and, then, $u_e = u_\mu^* u_{\mu e}$ and $u_f = u_\nu^* u_{\nu f}$. Thus

$$u_e x u_f^* = u_\mu^* u_{\mu e} u_\delta a u_\gamma^* u_{\nu f} u_\nu = u_\mu^* (u_{\mu e \delta} a u_{\nu f \gamma}) u_\nu.$$

But $|\mu e \delta| = |\nu f \gamma| = (n+1)p$ and, thus, $u_{\mu e \delta} a u_{\nu f \gamma} \in \mathcal{J}_{n+1} \subset \mathcal{J}$. Using (i) successively, we see that $u_e x u_f^* \in \mathcal{J}$. □

Proposition 4.18. *Let $\{J_v : v \in E^0\}$ be a family where each J_v is an ideal in \mathcal{C}_v and the following two conditions hold.*

(H) *For every $e, f \in E^1$ with $r(e) = r(f)$ and $s(e) = s(f)$,*

$$\pi(e, f)(J_{r(e)}) \subseteq J_{s(e)}.$$

(S) For $v \in E^0$, write

$$\mathcal{A}(v) := \cup \left\{ \sum_{|\alpha|=np-q, |\tau|=|\sigma|=q, s(\tau)=s(\sigma)} u_{\xi_v} u_{\alpha} u_{\tau} u_{\xi_{s(\tau)}}^* J_{s(\tau)} u_{\xi_{s(\tau)}} u_{\sigma}^* u_{\alpha}^* u_{\xi_v}^* : n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

Then

$$\overline{\mathcal{A}(v)} \cap \mathcal{C}_v \subseteq J_v$$

for every $v \in E^0$.

Let \mathcal{J} be the ideal constructed in Proposition 4.17. Then, for every $v \in E^0$,

$$\mathcal{J}_v = J_v.$$

Proof. Recall first that

$$\mathcal{J}_v = u_{\xi_v} u_{\mu_1}^* (\mathcal{J} \cap \mathcal{C}_0) u_{\mu_2} u_{\xi_v}^*$$

for any choice of paths μ_1, μ_2 of length q .

To prove that $J_v \subseteq \mathcal{J}_v$, we fix $a \in J_v$. Then for every paths α, β of length q with $s(\alpha) = s(\beta) = v$, we have $u_{\alpha} u_{\xi_v}^* a u_{\xi_v} u_{\beta}^* \in \mathcal{J}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{J} \cap \mathcal{C}_0$. For μ_1, μ_2 of length q , $u_{\xi_v} u_{\mu_1}^* (u_{\alpha} u_{\xi_v}^* a u_{\xi_v} u_{\beta}^*) u_{\mu_2} u_{\xi_v}^*$ is either 0 (if $\alpha \neq \mu_1$ or $\beta \neq \mu_2$) or is equal to $u_{\xi_v} u_{\xi_v}^* a u_{\xi_v} u_{\xi_v}^* = a$. Thus $a \in \mathcal{J}_v$.

Now we turn to prove the other direction. So we fix $v \in E^0$ and $g \in \mathcal{J}_v$. Then there is some $b \in \mathcal{J} \cap \mathcal{C}_0$ such that $g = u_{\xi_v} u_{\xi_v}^* b u_{\xi_v} u_{\xi_v}^*$. Note that $g \in \mathcal{C}_v$. To prove that g lies in J_v , we shall show that $g \in \overline{\mathcal{A}(v)}$ and then condition (S) will complete the proof.

Now, fix $\epsilon > 0$. Since $b \in \mathcal{J} = \cup \mathcal{J}_n$, there are $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a \in \mathcal{J}_n$ (that depend on ϵ) such that $\|b - a\| < \epsilon$. But then

$$\|g - u_{\xi_v} u_{\xi_v}^* a u_{\xi_v} u_{\xi_v}^*\| < \epsilon.$$

Take paths α, β of length $np - q$. For every such α, β ,

$$(4.13) \quad \|u_{\alpha}^* u_{\xi_v}^* g u_{\xi_v} u_{\beta} - u_{\alpha}^* u_{\xi_v}^* a u_{\xi_v} u_{\beta}\| < \epsilon.$$

(Note that, if $r(\alpha)$ or $r(\beta)$ is different from v , this will be just 0.)

Since $a \in \mathcal{J}_n$, we can write

$$a = \sum_{|\delta|=|\gamma|=np} u_{\delta} c_{\delta, \gamma} u_{\gamma}^*$$

for some $\{c_{\delta, \gamma}\} \subseteq \mathcal{J}_0$ and then

$$u_{\alpha}^* u_{\xi_v}^* a u_{\xi_v} u_{\beta} = \sum_{|\delta|=|\gamma|=np} u_{\alpha}^* u_{\xi_v}^* u_{\delta} c_{\delta, \gamma} u_{\gamma}^* u_{\xi_v} u_{\beta}.$$

But the sum on the right hand side really runs over these δ, γ (of length np) that can be written as $\delta = \xi_v \delta'$ and $\gamma = \xi_v \gamma'$ for some δ', γ' (otherwise, either $u_{\xi_v}^* u_{\delta} = 0$ or $u_{\gamma}^* u_{\xi_v} = 0$). Thus, we can write (renaming $c_{\delta, \gamma}$ as $c_{\delta', \gamma'}$ for simplicity)

$$\begin{aligned} u_{\alpha}^* u_{\xi_v}^* a u_{\xi_v} u_{\beta} &= \sum_{|\delta'|=|\gamma'|=np-q} u_{\alpha}^* u_{\xi_v}^* u_{\xi_v} u_{\delta'} c_{\delta', \gamma'} u_{\gamma'}^* u_{\xi_v}^* u_{\xi_v} u_{\beta} \\ &= \sum_{|\delta'|=|\gamma'|=np-q, r(\delta')=r(\gamma')=v} u_{\alpha}^* u_{\delta'} c_{\delta', \gamma'} u_{\gamma'}^* u_{\beta} = u_{\alpha}^* u_{\alpha} c_{\alpha, \beta} u_{\beta}^* u_{\beta} \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from the fact that $u_{\alpha}^* u_{\delta'} = 0$ unless $\delta' = \alpha$ and, similarly, $u_{\gamma'}^* u_{\beta} = 0$ unless $\gamma' = \beta$.

It now follows from Equation (4.13) that, for such α, β ,

$$(4.14) \quad \|u_{\alpha}^* u_{\xi_v}^* g u_{\xi_v} u_{\beta} - u_{\alpha}^* u_{\alpha} c_{\alpha, \beta} u_{\beta}^* u_{\beta}\| < \epsilon.$$

Now write, for every path α of length $np - q$, r_α to be the projection

$$r_\alpha := u_{\xi_v} u_\alpha u_\alpha^* u_{\xi_v}^*.$$

Then $\sum_\alpha r_\alpha = u_{\xi_v} u_{\xi_v}^*$. Since the sum is a projection, the family $\{r_\alpha\}$ is orthogonal and, thus, for every family $\{x_\alpha\}$ with $x_\alpha \in r_\alpha q(\mathcal{D})r_\alpha$,

$$\left\| \sum_\alpha x_\alpha \right\| = \max_\alpha \|x_\alpha\|.$$

Since $g = u_{\xi_v} u_{\xi_v}^* g u_{\xi_v} u_{\xi_v}^*$, $g = \sum_{|\alpha|=|\beta|=np-q} r_\alpha g r_\beta$. But $r_\alpha g r_\beta = u_{\xi_v} u_\alpha u_\alpha^* u_{\xi_v}^* g u_{\xi_v} u_\beta u_\beta^* u_{\xi_v}^*$ and, since $g \in \mathcal{C}_0$, it follows from Lemma 3.12 (1) that $r_\alpha g r_\beta$ is nonzero only if $\alpha = \beta$. Thus

$$g = \sum_{|\alpha|=np-q} r_\alpha g r_\alpha = \sum_{|\alpha|=np-q} u_{\xi_v} u_\alpha u_\alpha^* u_{\xi_v}^* g u_{\xi_v} u_\alpha u_\alpha^* u_{\xi_v}^*.$$

For α of length $np - q$ as above, write $x_\alpha := u_{\xi_v} u_\alpha (u_\alpha^* u_{\xi_v}^* g u_{\xi_v} u_\beta - u_\alpha^* u_\alpha c_{\alpha,\alpha} u_\alpha^* u_\alpha) u_\alpha^* u_{\xi_v}^*$. Then $\|x_\alpha\| < \epsilon$ (by Equation (4.14)) and $x_\alpha = r_\alpha x_\alpha r_\alpha$. Thus

$$\left\| \sum_{|\alpha|=np-q} u_{\xi_v} u_\alpha (u_\alpha^* u_{\xi_v}^* g u_{\xi_v} u_\alpha - u_\alpha^* u_\alpha c_{\alpha,\alpha} u_\alpha^* u_\alpha) u_\alpha^* u_{\xi_v}^* \right\| < \epsilon.$$

Write $f := \sum_{|\alpha|=np-q} u_{\xi_v} u_\alpha u_\alpha^* u_\alpha c_{\alpha,\alpha} u_\alpha^* u_\alpha u_\alpha^* u_{\xi_v}^*$. Hence, we get $\|g - f\| < \epsilon$.

We can write $f := \sum_{|\alpha|=np-q} u_{\xi_v} u_\alpha c_{\alpha,\alpha} u_\alpha^* u_{\xi_v}^*$ and, noting that

$$c_{\alpha,\alpha} \in \mathcal{J}_0 = \sum_{|\tau|=|\sigma|=q, s(\tau)=s(\sigma)} u_\tau u_{\xi_{s(\tau)}}^* J_{s(\tau)} u_{\xi_{s(\tau)}} u_\sigma^*,$$

we can write

$$f = \sum_{|\alpha|=np-q, |\tau|=|\sigma|=q, s(\tau)=s(\sigma)} u_{\xi_v} u_\alpha u_\tau u_{\xi_{s(\tau)}}^* d_{\alpha,\tau,\sigma} u_{\xi_{s(\tau)}} u_\sigma^* u_\alpha^* u_{\xi_v}^*$$

for some $d_{\alpha,\tau,\sigma}$ in $J_{s(\tau)}$.

It follows that $f \in \mathcal{A}(v)$. Therefore, for every $\epsilon > 0$, we found $f \in \mathcal{A}(v)$ such that $\|g - f\| < \epsilon$. This shows that $g \in \overline{\mathcal{A}(v)} \cap \mathcal{C}_v$ and, using (S), $g \in J_v$, completing the proof. \square

Remark 4.19. *In the next section we show that, in the unweighted case, condition (H) says that the set $W := \{v \in E^0 : J_v \neq \{0\}\}$ is hereditary while condition (S) says that W is saturated.*

We also need the following.

Lemma 4.20. *Suppose J is a fully invariant ideal in $q(\mathcal{D})$. Recall (Theorem 4.16) that for every $v \in E^0$, $J_{n,v}$ is independent of n so we will refer to it simply as J_v . Then the family $\{J_v\}$ satisfies conditions (H) and (S) of Proposition 4.18.*

Proof. Condition (H) follows from Proposition 4.5.

To prove condition (S), fix $v \in E^0$. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, paths α (of length $np - q$), and τ, σ (of length q with $s(\tau) = s(\sigma)$), and elements $d_{\alpha,\tau,\sigma}$ in $J_{s(\tau)}$, we have $d_{\alpha,\tau,\sigma} \in J_0$, $u_\tau u_{\xi_{s(\tau)}}^* \in \mathcal{C}_0$ and $u_{\xi_{s(\tau)}} u_\sigma^* \in \mathcal{C}_0$. Thus $u_\tau u_{\xi_{s(\tau)}}^* d_{\alpha,\tau,\sigma} u_{\xi_{s(\tau)}} u_\sigma^* \in J_0$. It follows from Theorem 4.14(3) that $u_{\xi_v} u_\alpha u_\tau u_{\xi_{s(\tau)}}^* d_{\alpha,\tau,\sigma} u_{\xi_{s(\tau)}} u_\sigma^* u_\alpha^* u_{\xi_v}^* \in J$. In fact, it is contained in $u_{\xi_v} u_\alpha^* J u_{\xi_v} u_{\xi_v}^*$ and, thus, $\mathcal{A}(v) \subseteq u_{\xi_v} u_{\xi_v}^* J u_{\xi_v} u_{\xi_v}^*$ and also $\overline{\mathcal{A}(v)} \subseteq u_{\xi_v} u_{\xi_v}^* J u_{\xi_v} u_{\xi_v}^*$. But then

$$\overline{\mathcal{A}(v)} \cap \mathcal{C}_0 \subseteq u_{\xi_v} u_{\xi_v}^* J u_{\xi_v} u_{\xi_v}^* \cap \mathcal{C}_0 \subseteq J \cap \mathcal{C}_v = J_v$$

proving condition (S). \square

Theorem 4.21. (1) *The construction of Proposition 4.17 gives a bijection between the set of all families $\{J_v\}_{v \in E^0}$ (with J_v an ideal in \mathcal{C}_v) that satisfy conditions (S) and (H) and the set of all fully invariant ideals in $q(\mathcal{D})$. Given a fully invariant ideal \mathcal{J} in $q(\mathcal{D})$, the associated family is $\{\mathcal{J}_v\}$ where $\mathcal{J}_v = u_{\xi_v} u_{\xi_v}^* (\mathcal{J} \cap \mathcal{C}_0) u_{\xi_v} u_{\xi_v}^*$.*

(2) *There is a bijection between the set of all gauge invariant ideals in $C^*(E, Z)$ and the set of all families $\{J_v\}_{v \in E^0}$ that satisfy (H) and (S).*

Proof. Given a family $\{J_v\}$ satisfying (H) and (S), the construction of Proposition 4.17 yields a fully invariant ideal \mathcal{J} .

Thus the map $\{J_v\} \mapsto \mathcal{J}$ is well defined into the set of all fully invariant ideals in $q(\mathcal{D})$. It follows from Proposition 4.18 that the map is injective. Surjectivity follows from Lemma 4.20. This proves part (1).

Part (2) follows from Corollary 4.14. The bijection can be written by composing the bijection described in part (1) with the one described in Remark 4.11 . \square

5. THE UNWEIGHTED CASE: GRAPH C^* -ALGEBRAS

In this section we restrict to the unweighted case (that is, $Z = I$). We shall show that our results, restricted to this case, agree with known results for the algebra $C^*(E)$ (which is the algebra $C^*(E, Z) = \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{K}$ when $Z = I$).

We will still keep our assumptions that E is finite and has no sources and no sinks.

Note that, as $Z = I$, Condition A(1) holds so we set $p = 1$ (and $q = p - 1 = 0$).

Our main reference for the structure of graph C^* -algebras is [12].

Using Lemma 2.6, we see that $\{u, p\}$ is a Cuntz-Krieger E -family (as in [12, page 6]) and, since $p_v \neq 0$ for every $v \in E^0$, it follows from the Cuntz-Krieger uniqueness theorem ([12, Theorem 2.4]) that \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{K} (that is generated by this family) is isomorphic to the graph C^* -algebra $C^*(E)$.

It follows from our definition of $q(\mathcal{D})$ that it is the fixed point algebra of the gauge group action defined on $C^*(E)$. Thus, in [12], it is the algebra denoted $C^*(E)^\gamma$.

This algebra is shown in [12, Chapter 3] (when E has no sources) to be equal to $\overline{\cup_n \mathcal{F}_n}$ where

$$\mathcal{F}_n = \overline{\text{span}}\{u_\alpha u_\beta^* : |\alpha| = |\beta| = n, s(\alpha) = s(\beta)\}.$$

Note that \mathcal{F}_n is in fact an algebra and, therefore,

$$\mathcal{F}_n = C^*(\{u_\alpha u_\beta^* : |\alpha| = |\beta| = n, s(\alpha) = s(\beta)\}) = \mathcal{C}_n.$$

In particular, in this case,

$$(5.1) \quad \mathcal{C}_0 = C^*(\{u_\alpha u_\beta^* : |\alpha| = |\beta| = 0, s(\alpha) = s(\beta)\}) = C^*(\{p_v : v \in E^0\}) = A.$$

Since $q = 0$ now, ξ_v is simply v and u_{ξ_v} can be written p_v .

For $v \in E^0$, \mathcal{C}_v (see (3.6)) is now

$$\mathcal{C}_v := p_v A p_v = \mathbb{C} p_v.$$

Recall that by E^k we denote the set of all paths of the length k . By $M_{E^k}(\mathbb{C})$ we denote the matrix algebra indexed by the set E^k .

Using Proposition 3.13, we get an isomorphism $\tau_n : \mathcal{C}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_n$ where, in our setting now,

$$\mathcal{A}_n = \bigoplus_{v \in E^0} \left(\sum_{|\gamma_1| = |\gamma_2| = n, s(\gamma_i) = v} e_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2} \otimes \mathbb{C} p_v \right) = \sum_{v \in E^0} \bigoplus M_{E^n \cap s^{-1}(v)} \otimes \mathbb{C} p_v$$

where $M_{E^n \cap s^{-1}(v)}$ is the algebra of $|E^n \cap s^{-1}(v)| \times |E^n \cap s^{-1}(v)|$ matrices indexed by the paths of length n that start at v . In fact

$$(5.2) \quad \tau_n(c) = \sum_{v \in E^0, |\gamma_i|=n, s(\gamma_1)=v} e_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2} \otimes u_{\gamma_1}^* c u_{\gamma_2}$$

for $c \in \mathcal{C}_n$. It's inverse is given by

$$(5.3) \quad \tau_n^{-1}(e_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2} \otimes b) = u_{\gamma_1} b u_{\gamma_2}^*$$

for $b \in \mathcal{C}_v = \mathbb{C}p_v$, $v \in E^0$ and $|\gamma_1| = |\gamma_2| = n$.

Recall that, in [12], $\mathcal{F}_n(v)$ (for $v \in E^0$) is defined to be $\overline{\text{span}}\{u_\alpha u_\beta^* : |\alpha| = |\beta| = n, s(\alpha) = s(\beta) = v\}$. Then τ_n maps $\mathcal{F}_n(v)$ onto $M_{E^n \cap s^{-1}(v)} \otimes \mathbb{C}p_v$.

All this agrees with [12, Equation (3.8)].

Now we let $\psi_n : \mathcal{A}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{n+1}$ be the embedding described in Proposition 3.15. Using that proposition, we have, for $e_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} \otimes p_v$ with $|\alpha_i| = n$ and $s(\alpha_i) = v$,

$$\Psi_n(e_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} \otimes p_v) = \sum_{|\mu|=1, r(\mu)=v} e_{\alpha_1 \mu, \alpha_2 \mu} \otimes p_{s(\mu)}.$$

This is consistent with the fact that the inclusion map of \mathcal{F}_n into \mathcal{F}_{n+1} does not map $\mathcal{F}_n(v)$ into $\mathcal{F}_{n+1}(v)$ but into $\sum_{w \in s(r^{-1}(v))}^{\oplus} \mathcal{F}_{n+1}(w)$.

For the study of the gauge-invariant ideals we now introduce the following notation. For a subset $W \subseteq E^0$ we write

$$\mathcal{F}_n(W) = \sum_{v \in W}^{\oplus} \mathcal{F}_n(v)$$

where $0 \leq n < \infty$ and

$$\mathcal{F}(W) = \bigvee_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_n(W).$$

We also need the following definition. (See [12, page 34]).

- Definition 5.1.** (1) A subset $W \subseteq E^0$ is said to be hereditary if whenever $e \in E^1$ with $r(e) \in W$, we also have $s(e) \in W$.
(2) A subset $W \subseteq E^0$ is said to be saturated if whenever $v \in E^0$ and $\{s(e) : e \in E^1, r(e) = v\} \subseteq W$, then $v \in W$.

In fact, we have the following.

Lemma 5.2. Suppose $W \subseteq E^0$ is saturated and $n > 0$. Then whenever $v \in E^0$ and $\{s(\gamma) : \gamma \in E^n, r(\gamma) = v\} \subseteq W$, then $v \in W$.

Proof. We proceed by induction on n . For $n = 1$ it follows from the definition of being saturated. Assume it holds for $k = n - 1$. To prove it for n , we assume that $v \in E^0$ and

$$(5.4) \quad \{s(\gamma) : \gamma \in E^n, r(\gamma) = v\} \subseteq W.$$

We need to show that $v \in W$.

Now, fix a path δ of length k and $r(\delta) = v$. Write $A(\delta) = \{\alpha \in E^1 : r(\alpha) = s(\delta)\}$. For every $\alpha \in A(\delta)$ we can let γ , of length n , be $\gamma := \delta\alpha$. But then $r(\gamma) = r(\delta) = v$ and it follows by (5.4) that $s(\gamma) \in W$. But $s(\gamma) = s(\alpha)$ and, thus, for every $\alpha \in A(\delta)$, $s(\alpha) \in W$. Since W is saturated, $s(\delta) \in W$. This holds for every δ of length k with $r(\delta) = v$. By the induction assumption, $v \in W$. □

The following lemma explains Remark 4.19.

Lemma 5.3. *Given a subset $W \subseteq E^0$ and a family $\{J_v\}_{v \in E^0}$ with $J_v = \mathbb{C}p_v$ when $v \in W$ and $J_v = \{0\}$ otherwise, then*

- (1) *W is hereditary if and only if the family $\{J_v\}$ satisfies condition (H) of Proposition 4.18 and*
- (2) *W is saturated if and only if the family $\{J_v\}$ satisfies condition (S) of that proposition.*

Proof. To prove (1), we first assume that $\{J_v\}$ satisfies condition (H). We fix $e \in E^1$ with $r(e) \in W$ (so that $J_{r(e)} = \mathbb{C}p_{r(e)}$). Condition (H) implies that

$$(5.5) \quad \pi(e, e)(p_{r(e)}) \in J_{s(e)}.$$

But, since now $q = 0$, $u_{\xi_{s(e)}} = p_{s(e)}$ and $u_{\xi_{r(e)}} = p_{r(e)}$. Thus, we get (using Equation (4.6))

$$\pi(e, e)(p_{r(e)}) = p_{s(e)}u_e^*p_{r(e)}p_{r(e)}p_{r(e)}u_e p_{s(e)} = u_e^*u_e = p_{s(e)}$$

and it follows from Equation (5.5) that $p_{s(e)} \in J_{s(e)}$, so that $J_{s(e)} \neq \{0\}$ and $s(e) \in W$. This shows that W is hereditary.

For the converse, we now assume that W is hereditary and we fix $e, f \in E^1$ with $r(e) = r(f)$ and $s(e) = s(f)$. We want to show that

$$(5.6) \quad \pi(e, f)(J_{r(e)}) \subseteq J_{s(e)}.$$

If $r(e) \notin W$, the left hand side is $\{0\}$ and we are done. So we assume that $r(e) = r(f) \in W$. Since W is hereditary, $s(e) = s(f) \in W$ and we need to show that $\pi(e, f)(p_{r(e)}) \in \mathbb{C}p_{s(e)}$. But the left hand side is $p_{s(e)}u_e^*p_{r(e)}u_f p_{s(f)} = u_e^*u_f$ and this is either 0 (if $e \neq f$) or $p_{s(e)}$ (if $e = f$). In either case, it lies in $\mathbb{C}p_{s(e)}$ and this proves (1).

We now turn to prove part (2) and we note that, for $v \in E^0$,

$$\mathcal{A}(v) = \cup_n \left\{ \sum_{|\alpha|=n \geq 1} p_v u_\alpha p_{s(\alpha)} J_{s(\alpha)} p_{s(\alpha)} u_\alpha^* p_v \right\} = \cup_n \left\{ \sum_{|\alpha|=n, r(\alpha)=v, s(\alpha) \in W} \lambda_\alpha u_\alpha u_\alpha^* \right\}.$$

The equality follows from the definition of J_v and λ_α are complex numbers that are 0 if $s(\alpha) \notin W$.

Suppose first that W is saturated and fix $v \in E^0$. We want to show that $\mathcal{C}_v \cap \overline{\mathcal{A}(v)} \subseteq J_v$. So we fix $a \in \mathcal{C}_v \cap \overline{\mathcal{A}(v)}$. If $a = 0$, we are done. Otherwise, since $\mathcal{C}_v = \mathbb{C}p_v$, we can, and will, assume that $a = p_v$. So that $p_v \in \overline{\mathcal{A}(v)}$. Thus, there is some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and numbers $\{\lambda_\alpha\}_{|\alpha|=n, r(\alpha)=v}$ where $\lambda_\alpha = 0$ whenever $s(\alpha) \notin W$ such that

$$\|p_v - \sum_{|\alpha|=n, r(\alpha)=v} \lambda_\alpha u_\alpha u_\alpha^*\| < 1/2.$$

But $p_v = \sum_{|\alpha|=n, r(\alpha)=v} u_\alpha u_\alpha^*$ and $\{u_\alpha u_\alpha^*\}$ is an orthogonal family of projections. It follows that, for every α of length n with $r(\alpha) = v$, we have $|1 - \lambda_\alpha| < 1/2$. Thus, for every such α , $\lambda_\alpha \neq 0$ and, therefore, for every α of length n with $r(\alpha) = v$, $s(\alpha) \in W$. Since W is saturated, it follows from Lemma 5.2 that $v \in W$, so that $p_v \in J_v$. This proves one direction.

For the other direction, assume that condition (S) holds. Thus, for every $v \in E^0$, $\mathcal{C}_v \cap \overline{\mathcal{A}(v)} \subseteq J_v$. We want to show that W is saturated. So we fix $v \in E^0$ such that, for every $e \in E^1$ with $r(e) = v$ we have $s(e) \in W$. Then $p_v = \sum_{e \in E^1, r(e)=v} u_e u_e^*$ but p_v clearly lies in \mathcal{C}_v and the equality shows that it lies in $\mathcal{A}(v)$. By the assumption (condition (S)), $p_v \in J_v$ so that $v \in W$. This shows that W is saturated, completing the proof. \square

The following theorem is known (see Theorem 4.14 and Remark 4.16 in [12] and also [2]). Here we show that it follows from our Theorem 4.6.

Theorem 5.4. *For a finite graph E with no sinks and no sources we have the following. $C^*(E)$ is simple if and only if E is transitive (i.e., for every two vertices w, v , there is a path that starts in v and ends in w) and E is not a single cycle.*

Proof. Note that condition (b') of Theorem 4.6 is equivalent, in the unweighted case (using Lemma 5.3(1)), to the statement that E^0 has no non trivial hereditary subsets. But this is equivalent to E being transitive. To see this, assume first that E is transitive and $W \subseteq E^0$ is a non trivial hereditary set. We can find $w \in W$ and $v \notin W$. By transitivity, there is a path α with $r(\alpha) = w$ and $s(\alpha) = v$ but this will contradict the assumption that W is hereditary.

In the other direction, assume that E^0 has no non trivial hereditary subsets and fix $w \in E^0$. Write $H(w) := \{v \in E^0 : \text{there is a path } \gamma \text{ with } s(\gamma) = v, r(\gamma) = w\}$. Then $H(w)$ is hereditary and contains w . Thus it is equal E^0 , showing that E is transitive. \square

Applying Theorem 4.21 and Lemma 5.3 we get the following theorem. It presents another proof of [1, Theorem 4.1 (a)]. However, note that we are assuming that E is a finite graph with no sinks or sources.

Theorem 5.5. *For a finite graph E with no sinks and no sources we have the following.*

- (1) *There is a bijection between the collection of all saturated and hereditary subsets W of E^0 and the collection of all gauge-invariant ideals of $C^*(E)$.*
- (2) *There is a bijection between the collection of all saturated and hereditary subsets W of E^0 and the collection of all fully invariant ideals in $C^*(E)^\gamma$.*
- (3) *The bijection in part (2) is given by the maps*

$$W \mapsto \mathcal{F}(W), \quad J \mapsto \{v \in E^0 : p_v \in J\}.$$

Proof. Parts (1) and (2) follow from Theorem 4.21 and Lemma 5.3. For (3), take W that is saturated and hereditary. Lemma 5.3 associates with it the family $\{J_v\}$ where $J_v = \mathbb{C}p_v$ if $v \in W$ and $J_v = \{0\}$ otherwise. Applying the construction of Proposition 4.17 to this family, we first get

$$\mathcal{J}_0 = \sum_{v \in E^0} p_v J_v p_v = \text{span}\{p_v : v \in W\}.$$

Then, for $n \geq 0$,

$$\mathcal{J}_n = \sum_{|\delta|=|\gamma|=n} u_\delta \mathcal{J}_0 u_\gamma^* = \sum_{v \in W} \mathcal{F}_n(v) = \mathcal{F}(W)$$

and, finally,

$$\mathcal{J} = \overline{\cup_n \mathcal{F}_n(W)} = \mathcal{F}(W).$$

\square

6. EXAMPLE: A CYCLE

In this section we study the case where E is a directed cycle. To simplify the notation we assume that it is a cycle of length 3 whose vertices are v_1, v_2, v_3 and the edges are e_1, e_2, e_3 satisfying $s(e_i) = v_i$ and $r(e_i) = v_{i+1}$. (Here and throughout this section, when we add indices of vertices, it is addition modulo 3).

In the unweighted case (when the algebra is $C^*(E)$), $C^*(E)$ is not simple ([12, Theorem 4.14]) but it follows from [1, Theorem 4.1 (a)] (see Theorem 5.5) that it has no non trivial gauge-invariant ideals since E^0 has no non trivial subsets that are hereditary and saturated. We shall show here that, in the weighted case, $C^*(E, Z)$ can have non trivial gauge-invariant ideals.

As was noted in the proof of Lemma 3.4, the set $\{\delta_\gamma : |\gamma| = k\}$ is a basis for X_{E^k} (viewed as a vector space) and $\{\delta_\gamma : 0 \leq |\gamma| < \infty\}$ is a basis for the Fock correspondence $\mathcal{F}(X_E)$. Recall also that we show there that each δ_γ is an eigenvector for each of the operators $Z, S_\alpha Z^l S_\alpha^*$.

It will be convenient, in this section, to use a different notation for the δ_γ s. Since every path now is uniquely given by its starting point and its length, we write $\alpha_{n,i}$ ($i \in \{1, 2, 3\}, n \geq 0$) for the path that starts at v_i and has length n . We also write $\delta_{n,i}$ for $\delta_{\alpha_{n,i}}$. Thus, $\{\delta_{k,i} : i = 1, 2, 3\}$ is a basis for X_{E^k} .

Note also that $\langle \delta_{k,i}, \delta_{k,j} \rangle(v_l) = 0$ unless $l = i = j$ and, if $l = i = j$, we get 1. Thus, if $i \neq j$, $\langle \delta_{k,i}, \delta_{k,j} \rangle = 0$ and $\langle \delta_{k,i}, \delta_{k,i} \rangle = \delta_{v_i} \in C(E^0)$. It follows that $\|\delta_{k,i}\|^2 = \|\langle \delta_{k,i}, \delta_{k,i} \rangle\| = \|\delta_{v_i}\| = 1$.

Since $\{\delta_{n,i}\}$ are eigenvectors of Z and, for a fixed k , $\{\delta_{k,i}\}$ is a basis of eigenvectors for Z_k , to fix Z_k , it suffices to fix the eigenvalues.

So, now we fix 3 positive numbers $\{t_1, t_2, t_3\}$ that are not all equal to each other. Thus there is a pair of different numbers, say $t_1 \neq t_3$, and one of them is necessarily different from 1, say $t_3 \neq 1$. Using these numbers, we set Z_k to be such that $Z_k \delta_{k,i} = \delta_{k,i}$ if k is even and $Z_k \delta_{k,i} = t_i \delta_{k,i}$ if k is odd.

It follows that, for $k \geq 0$, $Z_{k+2} = I_2 \otimes Z_k$ (where I_2 is the identity on X_{E^2}) so that the weight sequence Z satisfies condition A(2).

To study ideals in $C^*(E, Z)$ for this choice of E and Z , we start by defining the following characters on $q(\mathcal{D})$. To do this we first write \mathcal{Q}_0 for the *-algebra generated by $\{u_\alpha z^l u_\beta^* : |\alpha| = |\beta|, l \geq 0, s(\alpha) = s(\beta)\} = \{u_\alpha z^l u_\alpha^* : 0 \leq |\alpha| < \infty, l \geq 0\}$ (where the equality follows from the fact that there is only one path with a given starting point and a given length). It follows from Lemma 3.9 that \mathcal{Q}_0 is a dense sub *-algebra of $q(\mathcal{D})$.

Definition 6.1. For $n \geq 0$, $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $a \in \mathcal{Q}_0$, we define $\phi_{n,i}(a)$ as follows. Let $A \in q^{-1}(a) \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ and define $\phi_{n,i}(a)$ by

$$\phi_{n,i}(a)\delta_{v_i} = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \langle A \delta_{n+6m,i}, \delta_{n+6m,i} \rangle.$$

Proposition 6.2. For $n \geq 0$ and $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, $\phi_{n,i}$ is a well defined linear and multiplicative functional on \mathcal{Q}_0 of norm 1.

Proof. To prove that $\phi_{n,i}(a)$ is well defined (for $a \in \mathcal{Q}_0$), we need to show that the limit in Definition 6.1 exists and is independent of the choice of A .

Write \mathcal{D}_0 for the *-subalgebra of \mathcal{D} which is generated by $\{S_\alpha Z^l S_\alpha^* : 0 \leq |\alpha| < \infty, l \geq 0\}$. Clearly every $a \in \mathcal{Q}_0$ has some $A \in \mathcal{D}_0$ with $q(A) = a$. We shall first show that, for this choice of A , the limit $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \langle A \delta_{n+6m,i}, \delta_{n+6m,i} \rangle$ exists. In fact, we show that, for such A , $\langle A \delta_{n+6m,i}, \delta_{n+6m,i} \rangle$ is independent of m for m large enough.

In fact, it will be enough to show it for every generator of \mathcal{D}_0 . So we fix a generator $A = S_{\alpha_{k,j}} Z^l S_{\alpha_{k,j}}^*$ and claim that

$$(6.1) \quad S_{\alpha_{k,j}} Z^l S_{\alpha_{k,j}}^* \delta_{n+6m,i} = \begin{cases} 0 & i + n + 6m \not\equiv k + j \pmod{3} \text{ or } n + 6m < k \\ \delta_{n+6m,i} & n + 6m - k \text{ is even and non negative} \\ t_i^l \delta_{n+6m,i} & n + 6m - k \text{ is odd and non negative.} \end{cases}$$

Indeed, for this to be non zero, we need that $\alpha_{n+6m,i} = \alpha_{k,j} \gamma$ for some γ and this holds if and only if $n + 6m \geq k$ and $n + 6m + i = r(\alpha_{n+6m,i}) = r(\alpha_{k,j}) = k + j$ (modulo 3). If this holds, then $\gamma = \alpha_{n+6m-k,i}$ and $S_{\alpha_{k,j}} Z^l S_{\alpha_{k,j}}^* \delta_{n+6m,i} = S_{\alpha_{k,j}} Z^l \delta_{n+6m-k,i}$. Now recall that $Z \delta_{s,i} = \delta_{s,i}$ if s is even and $Z \delta_{s,i} = t_i \delta_{s,i}$ if s is odd to complete the proof of Equation (6.1).

It is now clear that, for every generator $A = S_{\alpha_{k,j}} Z^l S_{\alpha_{k,j}}^*$ of \mathcal{D}_0 , $A \delta_{n+6m,i} = \lambda_{n,i} \delta_{n+6m,i}$ for some number $\lambda_{n,i}$ which is independent of m for m large enough ($6m > k - n$). Thus,

for m large enough,

$$\langle A\delta_{n+6m,i}, \delta_{n+6m,i} \rangle = \lambda_{n,i}\delta_{v_i}$$

and the limit in Definition 6.1 exists for every $A \in \mathcal{D}_0$.

Now fix some $a \in \mathcal{Q}_0$. Then there is some $A_0 \in \mathcal{D}_0$ such that $q(A_0) = a$. Given any $A \in q^{-1}(a)$, we have $A - A_0 \in K(\mathcal{F}(X_E))$ and, thus, $\|Q_n(A - A_0)Q_n\| \rightarrow 0$ (Lemma 2.1). Since $\delta_{n+6m,i} = Q_{n+6m}\delta_{n+6m,i}$, $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \langle (A - A_0)\delta_{n+6m,i}, \delta_{n+6m,i} \rangle = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \langle A\delta_{n+6m,i}, \delta_{n+6m,i} \rangle &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \langle A_0\delta_{n+6m,i}, \delta_{n+6m,i} \rangle + \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \langle (A - A_0)\delta_{n+6m,i}, \delta_{n+6m,i} \rangle \\ (6.2) \qquad \qquad \qquad &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \langle A_0\delta_{n+6m,i}, \delta_{n+6m,i} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\phi_{n,i}(a)$ is well defined for every $a \in \mathcal{Q}_0$.

Since linearity is clear, $\phi_{n,i}$ defines a linear functional on \mathcal{Q}_0 . It is also multiplicative since $\delta_{n+6m,i}$ are eigenvectors for every $A \in \mathcal{D}_0$.

Since, for every $A_0 \in \mathcal{D}_0$, $\langle A_0\delta_{n+6m,i}, \delta_{n+6m,i} \rangle = \lambda_{n,i}\delta_{v_i}$ where $A_0\delta_{n+6m,i} = \lambda_{n,i}\delta_{n+6m,i}$ (so that $|\lambda_{n,i}| \leq \|A_0\|$), we have $\|\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \langle A_0\delta_{n+6m,i}, \delta_{n+6m,i} \rangle\| \leq \|A_0\|$ (note that $\|\delta_{v_i}\| = 1$). It follows from Equation (6.2) that this holds for every A with $q(A) = q(A_0)$. Since, for $a \in \mathcal{Q}_0$, $\|a\| = \inf\{\|A\| : A \in \mathcal{D}, q(A) = a\}$, we have $|\phi_{n,i}(a)| \leq \|a\|$.

As \mathcal{Q}_0 is dense in $q(\mathcal{D})$, we can extend $\phi_{n,i}$ (uniquely) to a character of $q(\mathcal{D})$. □

Example 6.3. Recall that $Z\delta_{s,i} = \delta_{s,i}$ if s is even and $Z\delta_{s,i} = t_i\delta_{s,i}$ if s is odd. Thus $Z\delta_{n+6m,i} = \delta_{n+6m,i}$ if n is even and $Z\delta_{n+6m,i} = t_i\delta_{n+6m,i}$ if n is odd. It follows that $\phi_{n,i}(z) = 1$ if n is even and $\phi_{n,i}(z) = t_i$ if n is odd.

Now we write $K_{n,i}$ for the kernel of $\phi_{n,i}$ and observe the following.

Lemma 6.4. Fix $n \geq 0$ and $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$.

- (1) $K_{n,i} \cap \mathcal{Q}_0$ is dense in $K_{n,i}$.
- (2) For every $e, f \in E^1$, $u_e K_{n,i} u_f^* \subseteq K_{n+1,i}$.
- (3) For every $e, f \in E^1$, $u_e^* K_{n,i} u_f \subseteq K_{n-1,i}$.

Proof. To prove (1) let a be in $K_{n,i}$ and find a sequence $a_j \rightarrow a$ with $a_j \in \mathcal{Q}_0$. Then $\phi_{n,i}(a_j) \rightarrow \phi_{n,i}(a) = 0$ and, thus, $a_j - \phi_{n,i}(a_j)I \rightarrow a$. Since $a_j - \phi_{n,i}(a_j)I \in K_{n,i} \cap \mathcal{Q}_0$, we are done.

For (2), we fix $e, f \in E^1$. Using (1) it is enough to show that $u_e(K_{n,i} \cap \mathcal{Q}_0)u_f^* \subseteq K_{n+1,i}$. So we fix $a \in K_{n,i} \cap \mathcal{Q}_0$. There is some $A \in \mathcal{D}_0$ such that $a = q(A)$ and

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \langle A\delta_{n+6m,i}, \delta_{n+6m,i} \rangle = 0.$$

We have $u_e a u_f^* = q(S_e A S_f^*)$ and we need to show that

$$0 = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \langle S_e A S_f^* \delta_{n+1+6m,i}, \delta_{n+1+6m,i} \rangle = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \langle A S_f^* \delta_{n+1+6m,i}, S_e^* \delta_{n+1+6m,i} \rangle.$$

But for this limit to be non zero we should have $S_f^* \delta_{n+1+6m,i} \neq 0$ and $S_e^* \delta_{n+1+6m,i} \neq 0$ and, in such a case, $S_f^* \delta_{n+1+6m,i} = \delta_{n+6m,i} = S_e^* \delta_{n+1+6m,i}$ and we get $\phi_{n+1,i}(u_e a u_f^*) = \phi_{n,i}(a) = 0$, proving (2). The proof of (3) is similar and is omitted. □

Corollary 6.5. The ideal $K_1 := \bigcap_n K_{n,1}$ is a non trivial fully covariant ideal in $q(\mathcal{D})$ and gives rise to a non trivial gauge-invariant ideal in $C^*(E, Z)$.

Proof. It follows from Lemma 6.4 that, for every $e, f \in E^1$, both $u_e K_1 u_f^*$ and $u_e^* K_1 u_f$ are contained in K_1 . By Lemma 4.12, K_1 is fully invariant.

To show that it is non trivial, we use the fact that $\phi_{n,1}(z)$ is either 1 or t_1 (see Example 6.3), so that $\phi_{n,1}((z - I)(z - t_1 I)) = 0$ for every n , and it follows that $(z - I)(z - t_1 I) \in$

K_1 . Since $\phi_{n,3}(z) = t_3$ when n is odd and t_3 is different from both t_1 and 1, we see that $\phi_{n,3}((z - I)(z - t_1I)) \neq 0$ for odd n so that $(z - I)(z - t_1I) \neq 0$. Thus $K_1 \neq \{0\}$.

A similar argument shows that $z - t_3I$ is not in K_1 so that K_1 is a proper ideal. \square

Remark 6.6. *Here E is a cycle of length $k = 3$ and the weight sequence Z has period $p = 2$. But one can apply similar arguments for $k > 3$ to find weight sequences Z (with period $p > 1$) such that $C^*(E, Z)$ has a non trivial gauge-invariant ideal. In the computation above one should replace 6, that appears in the formulas, with kp .*

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Bates, D. Pask, I. Raeburn and W. Szymanski, The C^* -algebras of row-finite graphs, New York J. Math. 6, pp. 307-324, 2000.
- [2] J. Cuntz and W. Krieger, A class of C^* -algebras and topological Markov chains, Inventiones Math. 56, pp. 251-268, 1980.
- [3] D. O'Donovan, Weighted shifts and covariance algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 208, pp. 1-25, 1975.
- [4] P. Ghatage, C^* -algebras generated by weighted shifts, Indiana U. Math. J. 28, pp 1007-1012 , 1979.
- [5] P. Ghatage and W.J. Phillips, C^* -algebras generated by weighted shifts II, Indiana U. Math. J. 30, pp. 539-546, 1981.
- [6] L. Helmer, B. Solel, Weighted Cuntz Algebras, arXiv:2006.10372v1 [math.OA], to appear in J. Oper. Thy.
- [7] T. Katsura, On C^* -algebras associated with C^* -correspondences, J. Func. Anal. 217, pp. 366-401, 2004.
- [8] T. Katsura, Ideal structure of C^* -algebras associated with C^* -correspondences, Pacific J. Math. 210, pp. 107-145, 2007.
- [9] P. Muhly, B. Solel, Tensor algebras, induced representations and the Wold decomposition, Canad. J. Math. 51, pp. 850-880, 1999.
- [10] P. Muhly, B. Solel, Matricial function theory and weighted shifts, Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory 84, pp. 501-553, 2016.
- [11] G. Popescu, Operator theory on noncommutative domains, Mem. Amer. Math. soc. 205 (964), vi+124, 2010.
- [12] I. Raeburn, Graph Algebras, CBSM Reg. Conf. Ser. Math. 103 (2005), vi+113pp.
- [13] J. Schweizer, Dilations of C^* -correspondences and the simplicity of Cuntz-Pimsner algebras, J. Func. Anal. 180 , pp. 404-425, 2001.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BEN GURION UNIVERSITY, BEER SHEVA, ISRAEL
Email address: leonihe@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TECHNION - ISRAEL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, HAIFA 32000, ISRAEL
Email address: mabaruch@technion.ac.il