

ON THE ISOPERIMETRIC INEQUALITY FOR THE MAGNETIC ROBIN LAPLACIAN WITH NEGATIVE BOUNDARY PARAMETER

AYMAN KACHMAR AND VLADIMIR LOTOREICHIK

ABSTRACT. We consider the magnetic Robin Laplacian with a negative boundary parameter on a bounded, planar C^2 -smooth domain. The respective magnetic field is homogeneous. Among a certain class of domains, we prove that the disk maximizes the ground state energy under the fixed perimeter constraint provided that the magnetic field is of moderate strength. This class of domains includes, in particular, all domains that are contained upon translations in the disk of the same perimeter and all convex centrally symmetric domains.

1. Introduction

Spectral isoperimetric inequalities have a long history in the context of the Laplace operator, dating back to Rayleigh [43] and the celebrated Rayleigh-Faber-Krahn inequality stating that the ball minimizes the Dirichlet ground state energy under the volume constraint [14, 32]. Ever since, spectral isoperimetric inequalities are the subject of intensive research, leaving behind many open questions especially in the presence of magnetic fields.

Unlike the case of Neumann boundary condition, the lowest eigenvalue of the Laplace operator with a Robin boundary condition does not vanish, whereby the inspection of the counterpart of the Rayleigh-Faber-Krahn inequality makes sense (see [2, 7, 8, 10] and the references therein). Recent contributions show a strong role played by the sign of the parameter defining the Robin boundary condition. With a positive parameter in hand, the Robin Laplacian shares the same kind of isoperimetric inequality with its Dirichlet cousin, while a negative parameter leads to a radically different type of spectral inequality. Another central element in the Robin context is the dimension of the domain.

Date: May 3, 2022.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 35P15, 81Q10.

Key words and phrases. magnetic Robin Laplacian, homogeneous magnetic field, lowest eigenvalue, isoperimetric inequality, parallel coordinates, convex centrally symmetric domain.

The subject of this paper is on the challenging question of the magnetic isoperimetric inequalities. Our main contribution is a new isoperimetric inequality for the magnetic Laplacian with a Robin boundary condition.

Let us first explore some existing results in the case without a magnetic field. For a *positive Robin parameter*, the ball minimizes the ground state energy among domains of a fixed volume [7, 10], very much like the case of the Dirichlet Laplacian. However, for a *negative Robin parameter*, the disk maximizes the ground state energy among domains of a fixed perimeter [2] and in higher dimensions the ball is known to maximize the ground state energy in the class of convex domains with fixed surface area of the boundary [8].

Even in the absence of a magnetic field, the case of negative Robin parameter is mysterious with incomplete results and a number of unsettled conjectures. For instance, it is shown in [18] for a large negative Robin parameter, the disk is not the maximizer of the ground state energy under fixed area constraint (by providing an example of a non simply connected domain violating the sought property). It is conjectured in [2] that the disk is still a maximiser among domains of fixed area in the class of simply connected domains. It is also conjectured in [2] that in higher dimensions the ground state energy is maximized by the ball under fixed surface area of the boundary without the convexity assumption.

Apart from the foregoing conjectures, there are recent interesting results on the spectral optimization (without a magnetic field) for the lowest Robin eigenvalue in other geometric/topological settings, like on surfaces and in exterior domains [29, 33, 34], and on the higher Robin eigenvalues as well [19, 20, 23].

Isoperimetric inequalities are rare in the context of the magnetic Laplacian. A celebrated result by Erdős [12] establishes that in two dimensions the disk is a minimizer of the magnetic Dirichlet ground state energy under the fixed area constraint provided that the magnetic field is homogeneous. The corresponding question on the magnetic Neumann eigenvalue in two dimensions with homogeneous magnetic field is still open; Fournais and Helffer [15] conjecture that the disk is a maximizer of the ground state energy under fixed area constraint in the class of simply connected domains and support the validity of this conjecture by analysing asymptotic regimes of weak and strong magnetic fields. Note that, unlike the case without magnetic field, the magnetic ground state energy does not vanish when imposing a Neumann boundary condition, thereby turning the inspection of the counterpart of the Rayleigh-Faber-Krahn inequality into a challenging endeavour.

Interesting geometric upper bounds on the magnetic Neumann and Dirichlet eigenvalues are derived in [35]. The optimization of the ground state energy for the

magnetic Robin Laplacian (including the Neumann case) with the homogeneous magnetic field is still largely open in the literature. Intuitively, the case with a positive Robin parameter is expected to be effectively similar to the Dirichlet situation for large boundary parameter and similar to the Neumann situation for small boundary parameter.

In the present paper we obtain the two-dimensional isoperimetric inequality for the magnetic Robin Laplacian with the negative boundary parameter and the homogeneous magnetic field. We find that the disk is a *maximizer* within an admissible class of domains with the same perimeter as the disk under the assumption that the magnetic field is moderate. Unlike the Neumann setting [15], our result is non-asymptotic and holds within a large class of domains.

Our new spectral inequality is the consequence of a tricky construction of a test function valid in the presence of a homogeneous magnetic field with moderate intensity. This test function depends on the distance to the boundary only and in its construction we rely on the fact that for the weak magnetic field the ground-state eigenfunction of the magnetic Robin Laplacian on the disk with a negative boundary parameter is radial and the lowest eigenvalue on the disk is negative. The class of admissible domains is characterized by a purely geometric condition somehow related to the classical optimization of the moment of inertia of curves [26]. This class includes all domains that are contained upon translations in the disk of the same perimeter and all convex centrally symmetric domains. The class sounds rather generic but it remains an open question whether there are simply connected domains outside it.

The body of the paper consists of four sections and three appendices. Section 2 introduces the Robin Laplacian we are concerned with. The case of the disk is analysed in Section 3. Our main result on the isoperimetric inequality, Theorem 4.8, is contained in Section 4. In Section 5 we discuss the isoperimetric inequality in the context of large coupling asymptotics for general domains with smooth boundaries. In Appendices A and B, we collect standard arguments related to the definition of the Robin Laplacian and the continuity of its eigenvalues. Finally, a standard result on the magnetic Neumann Laplacian is recalled in Appendix C.

2. The Robin Laplacian with a homogeneous magnetic field

Consider a bounded simply connected planar domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ with a C^2 -smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$ having the length

$$(2.1) \quad |\partial\Omega| = L.$$

Given two parameters $b \geq 0$ (the intensity of the magnetic field) and $\beta \leq 0$ (the Robin parameter), consider the closed, densely defined symmetric and semi-bounded quadratic form

$$(2.2) \quad \mathfrak{q}_\Omega^{\beta,b}[u] := \|(\nabla - ib\mathbf{A})u\|_{L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{C}^2)}^2 + \beta\|u|_{\partial\Omega}\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}^2, \quad \text{dom } \mathfrak{q}_\Omega^{\beta,b} := H^1(\Omega),$$

where the vector potential \mathbf{A} is defined by

$$(2.3) \quad \mathbf{A}(x) := \frac{1}{2}(-x_2, x_1), \quad (x = (x_1, x_2)).$$

For the convenience of the reader we provide in Appendix A a proof of closedness and semi-boundedness of the form $\mathfrak{q}_\Omega^{\beta,b}$.

Definition 2.1. *The magnetic Robin Laplacian $H_\Omega^{\beta,b}$ in the Hilbert space $L^2(\Omega)$ is defined as the unique self-adjoint operator associated with the quadratic form $\mathfrak{q}_\Omega^{\beta,b}$ via the first representation theorem [28, Thm. VI.2.1].*

The operator $H_\Omega^{\beta,b}$ is characterised by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dom } H_\Omega^{\beta,b} &= \{u \in H^1(\Omega) : \exists w \in L^2(\Omega) : \mathfrak{q}_\Omega^{\beta,b}[u, v] = (w, v)_{L^2(\Omega)}, \forall v \in \text{dom } \mathfrak{q}_\Omega^{\beta,b}\}, \\ H_\Omega^{\beta,b}u &= w; \end{aligned}$$

here the function w in the characterisation of the operator domain is unique if it exists and hence the operator $H_\Omega^{\beta,b}$ is well defined. We get then integrating by parts that

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{dom } H_\Omega^{\beta,b} &= \{u \in H^1(\Omega) : (\nabla - ib\mathbf{A})^2u \in L^2(\Omega), \nu \cdot (\nabla - ib\mathbf{A})u = \beta u \text{ on } \partial\Omega\}, \\ H_\Omega^{\beta,b}u &= -(\nabla - ib\mathbf{A})^2u = -\Delta u + 2ib\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla u + b^2|\mathbf{A}|^2u, \end{aligned}$$

where ν is the unit inward normal vector of $\partial\Omega$. For all $\beta < 0$, taking into account the smoothness of the boundary and that $\nu \cdot (\nabla - ib\mathbf{A})u|_{\partial\Omega} \in H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)$ for all $u \in \text{dom } H_\Omega^{\beta,b}$, the elliptic regularity estimates (cf. [39, Thm. 4.18 (ii)]) yield that $\text{dom } H_\Omega^{\beta,b}$ consists of functions in the Sobolev space $H^2(\Omega)$ that satisfy the (magnetic) Robin condition $\nu \cdot (\nabla - ib\mathbf{A})u = \beta u$ on $\partial\Omega$.

It follows from the compact embedding of $H^1(\Omega)$ into $L^2(\Omega)$ that the spectrum of $H_\Omega^{\beta,b}$ is purely discrete. The lowest eigenvalue of the self-adjoint operator $H_\Omega^{\beta,b}$ is characterised by the min-max principle

$$(2.5) \quad \lambda_1^{\beta,b}(\Omega) := \inf_{u \in H^1(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\mathfrak{q}_\Omega^{\beta,b}[u]}{\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2}.$$

Since Ω is simply connected, the eigenvalue $\lambda_1^{\beta,b}(\Omega)$ is independent of the choice of the vector potential \mathbf{A} of the magnetic field. This is a consequence of invariance

under gauge transformations; if $\mathbf{A}' \in H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^2)$ and $\text{curl} \mathbf{A}' = 1$, then $\mathbf{A}' = \mathbf{A} + \nabla \phi$ for a function $\phi \in H^2(\Omega)$ (cf. [16, Props D.1.1 and D.2.1]), and in turn

$$\frac{\|(\nabla - ib\mathbf{A}')u\|_{L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{C}^2)}^2 + \beta \|u|_{\partial\Omega}\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}^2}{\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2} = \frac{\mathfrak{q}_\Omega^{\beta,b}[e^{-ib\phi}u]}{\|e^{-ib\phi}u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2}.$$

Since the quadratic form $\mathfrak{q}_\Omega^{\beta,b}[u]$ is continuous with respect to (β, b) uniformly in u , a classical theorem yields that the eigenvalue $\lambda_1^{\beta,b}(\Omega)$ depends continuously on $(\beta, b) \in \overline{\mathbb{R}_-} \times \overline{\mathbb{R}_+}$, where $\mathbb{R}_- = (-\infty, 0)$ and $\mathbb{R}_+ = (0, \infty)$. For convenience, we give a short reminder of this standard material in Appendix B. We introduce the following constant

$$(2.6) \quad \beta_c(b, \Omega) := \sup\{\beta \in \mathbb{R}_- : \lambda_1^{\beta,b}(\Omega) < 0\} < 0.$$

It should be mentioned that in view of (2.5) applied to the constant test function one can easily check that $\lambda_1^{\beta,b}(\Omega)$ is indeed negative for $\beta < 0$ large by absolute value. Notice that

$$(2.7) \quad \beta_c(0, \Omega) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_c(b, \Omega) < 0 \text{ for } b > 0,$$

since $\lim_{\beta \rightarrow 0^-} \lambda_1^{\beta,b}(\Omega) = \lambda_1^{0,b}(\Omega)$. In fact, $\lambda_1^{0,b}(\Omega)$ is the magnetic Neumann eigenvalue; it is non-negative and vanishes if, and only if, $b = 0$ (see Appendix C).

Since $\lambda_1^{\beta,b}(\Omega)$ is a monotone function of β , we observe that

$$(2.8) \quad \lambda_1^{\beta,b}(\Omega) < 0 \quad \text{if, and only if,} \quad \beta < \beta_c(b, \Omega).$$

3. The case of the disk

In this section we analyse the magnetic Robin Laplacian with a negative boundary parameter on the disk. Related analysis of the magnetic Laplacian on the disk appears in the literature for the Dirichlet (see *e.g.* [46]) and the Neumann (see *e.g.* [17]) boundary conditions. Consider a fixed constant $R > 0$ and the disk

$$(3.1) \quad \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_R := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |x| < R\}.$$

We can express the L^2 -norm in $L^2(\mathcal{B})$ and the quadratic form $\mathfrak{q}_\mathcal{B}^{\beta,b}$ in polar coordinates,

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 &= \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^R |u|^2 r dr d\theta, \\ \mathfrak{q}_\mathcal{B}^{\beta,b}[u] &= \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^R \left(|\partial_r u|^2 + \frac{1}{r^2} \left| \partial_\theta u - \frac{ibr^2}{2} u \right|^2 \right) r dr d\theta + \beta R \int_0^{2\pi} |u(R, \theta)|^2 d\theta, \end{aligned}$$

where in order to represent $\mathfrak{q}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\beta,b}$ we used the expression for the magnetic gradient

$$\nabla - ib\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{e}_r \partial_r + \mathbf{e}_\theta \left(\frac{\partial_\theta}{r} - \frac{ibr}{2} \right),$$

in which the moving frame $(\mathbf{e}_r, \mathbf{e}_\theta)$ associated with the polar coordinates is defined by

$$\mathbf{e}_r := \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta \\ \sin \theta \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{e}_\theta := \begin{pmatrix} -\sin \theta \\ \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}.$$

Fiber operators. We can separate variables by working in polar coordinates and doing the Fourier transform with respect to the angular variable. To this aim we consider the complete family of mutually orthogonal projections in the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathcal{B})$

$$(\Pi_m u)(r, \theta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} e^{im\theta} \int_0^{2\pi} u(r, \theta') e^{-im\theta'} d\theta', \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Upon natural identification of $\text{ran } \Pi_m$ and $L^2((0, R); r dr)$, this family of projections induces the orthogonal decomposition

$$(3.3) \quad L^2(\mathcal{B}) \simeq \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} L^2((0, R); r dr).$$

Using the representation (3.2) of the quadratic form $\mathfrak{q}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\beta,b}$ in polar coordinates we arrive at the family of closed, densely defined, symmetric and semi-bounded quadratic forms ($m \in \mathbb{Z}$) in the Hilbert space $L^2((0, R); r dr)$

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathfrak{q}_{m,R}^{\beta,b}[f] &:= \mathfrak{q}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\beta,b} \left[\frac{f(r) e^{im\theta}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right] \\ &= \int_0^R \left(|f'(r)|^2 + \frac{1}{r^2} \left(m - \frac{br^2}{2} \right)^2 |f|^2 \right) r dr + \beta R |f(R)|^2, \\ \text{dom } \mathfrak{q}_{m,R}^{\beta,b} &:= \left\{ f \in L^2((0, R); r dr) : f(r) e^{im\theta} \in H^1(\mathcal{B}) \right\} \\ &= \left\{ f : f, f', mr^{-1}f \in L^2((0, R); r dr) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Employing the characterisation of the operator $H_{\mathcal{B}}^{\beta,b}$ in (2.4) one can easily check that

$$\Pi_m(\text{dom } H_{\mathcal{B}}^{\beta,b}) \subset \text{dom } H_{\mathcal{B}}^{\beta,b} \quad \text{and} \quad H_{\mathcal{B}}^{\beta,b}(\text{ran } \Pi_m \cap \text{dom } H_{\mathcal{B}}^{\beta,b}) \subset \Pi_m(L^2(\mathcal{B})).$$

Let $H_{m,R}^{\beta,b}$ be the self-adjoint fiber operator in the Hilbert space $L^2((0, R); r dr)$ associated with the form $\mathfrak{q}_{m,R}^{\beta,b}$ via the first representation theorem.

Remark 3.1. *The aim of this remark is to characterise the fiber operators $H_{m,R}^{\beta,b}$. This characterisation essentially follows from the analysis of the Bessel-type operators on an interval; see e.g. [1, 9, 21, 30]. To this aim we associate with the differential expression*

$$\ell_m := -\frac{d^2}{dr^2} - \frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{dr} + \frac{1}{r^2} \left(m - \frac{br^2}{2} \right)^2, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z},$$

the self-adjoint Sturm-Liouville operator

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{m,R}^{\beta,b} f &:= \ell_m f, \\ \text{dom } \mathcal{H}_{m,R}^{\beta,b} &:= \left\{ f : f, \ell_m f \in L^2((0, R); r dr) \right. \\ &\quad \left. f'(R) = -\beta f(R) \text{ and } \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{f(r)}{\ln r} = 0 \text{ for } m = 0 \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

acting in the Hilbert space $L^2((0, R); r dr)$. Using the expansions of the type [30, Thm. 2.2] one can check the inclusion $\text{dom } \mathcal{H}_{m,R}^{\beta,b} \subset \text{dom } \mathfrak{q}_{m,R}^{\beta,b}$. Integrating by parts for any $f \in \text{dom } \mathcal{H}_{m,R}^{\beta,b} \subset \text{dom } \mathfrak{q}_{m,R}^{\beta,b}$ and $\phi \in \text{dom } \mathfrak{q}_{m,R}^{\beta,b}$ we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{q}_{m,R}^{\beta,b}[f, \phi] &= \int_0^R \left(-\frac{1}{r} (r f'(r))' + \frac{1}{r^2} \left(m - \frac{br^2}{2} \right)^2 f(r) \right) \overline{\phi(r)} r dr \\ &\quad - \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} r f'(r) \overline{\phi(r)} + R f'(R) \overline{\phi(R)} + \beta R f(R) \overline{\phi(R)} \\ &= \int_0^R (\mathcal{H}_{m,R}^{\beta,b} f)(r) \overline{\phi(r)} r dr, \end{aligned}$$

where $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} r f'(r) \overline{\phi(r)} = 0$ thanks to combination of the expansions [30, Thm. 2.2] and of [21, Eq. (4.14)] adapted to our setting, see also [1, Prop. 3.2 (i)]. Hence, the first representation theorem yields that $\mathcal{H}_{m,R}^{\beta,b} \subset H_{m,R}^{\beta,b}$ and since both operators are self-adjoint, they coincide.

In view of the identification between the spaces $\text{ran } \Pi_m$ and $L^2((0, R); r dr)$ it follows from the above construction that $H_{m,R}^{\beta,b}$ can be identified with $H_{\mathbb{B}}^{\beta,b}|_{\Pi_m(\text{dom } H_{\mathbb{B}}^{\beta,b})}$ on $\Pi_m(L^2(\mathbb{B}))$. Hence, according to [45, §1.4] we end up with the orthogonal decomposition

$$(3.5) \quad H_{\mathbb{B}}^{\beta,b} \simeq \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} H_{m,R}^{\beta,b}$$

with respect to (3.3). From the above decomposition and the fact that the spectrum of $H_{\mathbb{B}}^{\beta,b}$ is purely discrete it follows that the spectra of the fiber operators are also purely discrete. The lowest eigenvalues of the fiber operators are characterised by

$$(3.6) \quad \mu_{1,m}^{\beta,b}(R) = \inf_{f \in \text{dom } \mathfrak{q}_{m,R}^{\beta,b} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\mathfrak{q}_{m,R}^{\beta,b}[f]}{\int_0^R |f|^2 r dr}.$$

Moreover, if a function $f \in \text{dom } \mathfrak{q}_{m,R}^{\beta,b}$ minimizes the Rayleigh quotient in (3.6), then it is an eigenfunction associated with eigenvalue $\mu_{1,m}^{\beta,b}(R)$ (see [6, §. 10.2, Thm. 1]). Relying on the orthogonal decomposition (3.5) the lowest eigenvalue of the magnetic Robin Laplacian $H_{\mathcal{B}}^{\beta,b}$ is given by

$$(3.7) \quad \lambda_1^{\beta,b}(\mathcal{B}) = \inf_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \mu_{1,m}^{\beta,b}(R).$$

In the next proposition we use Sturm-Liouville theory to show that the eigenvalues $(\mu_{1,m}^{\beta,b}(R))_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}$ are all simple. This claim is analogous to [4, Lem. 2.2], where only the Neumann boundary condition is covered.

Proposition 3.2. *For all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, the lowest eigenvalue $\mu_{1,m}^{\beta,b}(R)$ of $H_{m,R}^{\beta,b}$ is simple and the respective normalized eigenfunction f_m can be chosen positive on $(0, R)$.*

Proof. Pick a normalized ground state u_m of $H_{m,R}^{\beta,b}$. It is easy to see that this ground-state can be chosen to be real-valued. Let $f_m = |u_m|$, then f_m is a normalized ground state too, since

$$\int_0^R |f_m|^2 r dr = \int_0^R |u_m|^2 r dr = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{q}_{m,R}^{\beta,b}[f_m] = \mathfrak{q}_{m,R}^{\beta,b}[u_m] = \mu_{1,m}^{\beta,b}(R).$$

In particular, we have $f_m \in \text{dom } H_{m,R}^{\beta,b}$ and hence f_m is continuously differentiable on $(0, R)$. If f_m vanishes at some point $r_0 \in (0, R)$, then $f'_m(r_0) = 0$ because $f_m \geq 0$, hence

$$\begin{cases} H_{m,R}^{\beta,b} f_m = -f_m'' - \frac{1}{r} f_m' + \frac{1}{r^2} \left(m - \frac{br^2}{2}\right)^2 f_m = \mu_{1,m}^{\beta,b}(R) f_m \text{ on } (0, R) \\ f_m(r_0) = f'_m(r_0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad f'_m(R) = -\beta f_m(R) \end{cases},$$

which yields $f_m = 0$ on $[r_0, R]$, by Cauchy's uniqueness theorem for ODE. The same argument yields $f_m = 0$ on $(0, r_0]$, hence $f_m \equiv 0$ which is impossible. Therefore, we must have $f_m > 0$ everywhere on $(0, R)$ and hence u_m is strictly sign definite on $(0, R)$. Consequently, it is impossible to find two orthogonal eigenfunctions corresponding to $\mu_{1,m}^{\beta,b}(R)$. \square

Structure of the ground state. It follows from the orthogonal decomposition (3.5) that if $m_* \in \mathbb{Z}$ is such that

$$\lambda_1^{\beta,b}(\mathcal{B}) = \mu_{1,m_*}^{\beta,b}(R),$$

then an eigenfunction represented by

$$(3.8) \quad u_1^{\beta,b}(r, \theta) = f_*(r) e^{im_* \theta},$$

with $f_* := f_{m_*}$ being the positive normalized ground state of $H_{m_*,R}^{\beta,b}$, is associated to the lowest eigenvalue $\lambda_1^{\beta,b}(\mathcal{B})$ of the operator $H_{\mathcal{B}}^{\beta,b}$.

Proposition 3.3. *Let the self-adjoint operator $H_{\mathbb{B}}^{\beta,b}$ be associated with the quadratic form $q_{\mathbb{B}}^{\beta,b}$ in (2.2) as in Definition 2.1. Then the following hold.*

(i) *There exist $m_{\star} = m_{\star}(\beta, b, R) \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $|m_{\star}(\beta, b, R)| \leq bR^2$ and*

$$\lambda_1^{\beta,b}(\mathbb{B}) = \mu_{1,m_{\star}}^{\beta,b}(R).$$

(ii) *If $bR^2 < 1$, then to the lowest eigenvalue $\lambda_1^{\beta,b}(\mathbb{B})$ of $H_{\mathbb{B}}^{\beta,b}$ corresponds a radial eigenfunction.*

Proof. (i) Suppose that $|m| > bR^2$. Let us introduce the potential $V_m^{b,R}(r) = \frac{1}{r^2} \left(m - \frac{br^2}{2} \right)^2$, $r \in (0, R)$. Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} V_m^{b,R}(r) &= \frac{b^2 r^2}{4} + \frac{m^2}{r^2} - mb \\ (3.9) \quad &> \frac{b^2 r^2}{4} + \frac{|m|bR^2}{r^2} - mb \\ &\geq \frac{b^2 r^2}{4} + |m|b - mb \geq V_0^{b,R}(r), \end{aligned}$$

where we used that $|m| > bR^2$ in the second step. It follows from (3.4) that $\text{dom } q_{0,R}^{\beta,b} \supseteq \text{dom } q_{m,R}^{\beta,b}$ and thanks to (3.9) we have $q_{0,R}^{\beta,b}[f] < q_{m,R}^{\beta,b}[f]$ for all $f \in \text{dom } q_{m,R}^{\beta,b}$. Hence, the characterisation (3.6) implies

$$\mu_{1,m}^{\beta,b}(R) > \mu_{1,0}^{\beta,b}(R).$$

The claim follows from the above inequality combined with (3.7).

(ii) It follows from (i) that $bR^2 < 1$ implies $\lambda_1^{\beta,b}(\mathbb{B}) = \mu_{1,0}^{\beta,b}(R)$. Hence, (3.8) yields that a radial eigenfunction corresponds to the lowest eigenvalue $\lambda_1^{\beta,b}(\mathbb{B})$ of the operator $H_{\mathbb{B}}^{\beta,b}$. \square

Remark 3.4. *Let us introduce the following set*

$$(3.10) \quad \mathcal{A} = \{(\beta, b) \in \mathbb{R}_- \times \mathbb{R}_+ : \lambda_1^{\beta,b}(\mathbb{B}) < 0 \text{ and } H_{\mathbb{B}}^{\beta,b} \text{ has a radial ground state}\}.$$

By (2.8) and Proposition 3.3, $\mathcal{A} \neq \emptyset$; in fact, if $bR^2 < 1$ and $\beta < \beta_c(b, \mathbb{B})$, then $(\beta, b) \in \mathcal{A}$.

In the case where a radial ground state exists, we recall further regularity properties that will be used in our proof of the isoperimetric inequality.

Proposition 3.5. *Assume that $(\beta, b) \in \mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{R}_- \times \mathbb{R}_+$ where the set \mathcal{A} is as in (3.10). Let $u_1^{\beta,b}(x) = f_{\star}(|x|)$ be the radial ground-state of the operator $H_{\mathbb{B}}^{\beta,b}$ corresponding to its lowest eigenvalue $\lambda_1^{\beta,b}(\mathbb{B}) < 0$ represented as in (3.8). Then $f_{\star} \in C^{\infty}([0, R])$, $f'_{\star}(0) = 0$ and $f_{\star} > 0$ on $(0, R)$.*

Proof. By the elliptic estimates [39, Thm. 4.18(ii)], $u_1^{\beta,b} \in C^\infty(\overline{\mathcal{B}})$. Clearly, $f_\star \in C^\infty([0, R])$ since

$$f_\star(r) = u_1^{\beta,b}(r, 0), \quad r \in [0, R].$$

Furthermore, $f'_\star(0) = 0$ because $f_\star(r) = u_1^{\beta,b}(r, 0) = u_1^{\beta,b}(-r, 0)$, for all $r \in [0, R]$. Finally, it follows from Proposition 3.2 and the representation (3.8) with $m_\star = 0$ that $f_\star(r) > 0$ for all $r \in (0, R)$. \square

Remark 3.6. *With additional efforts one can show that $f_\star(0), f_\star(R) > 0$ in the above proposition, but this is not needed for our analysis.*

Estimate of $\beta_c(b, \mathcal{B})$. In the next proposition we use the constant test function in order to estimate the critical boundary parameter $\beta_c(b, \mathcal{B})$.

Proposition 3.7. *Let $b > 0$ be arbitrary. Then the critical boundary parameter $\beta_c(b, \mathcal{B})$ defined as in (2.6) satisfies*

$$\beta_c(b, \mathcal{B}) \geq -\frac{R^3 b^2}{16}.$$

Proof. Substituting the characteristic function $\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{B}}$ of the disk \mathcal{B} into the min-max principle (2.5) we find that

$$\lambda_1^{\beta,b}(\mathcal{B}) \leq \frac{\mathfrak{q}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\beta,b}[\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{B}}]}{\|\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{B}}\|_{L^2(\mathcal{B})}^2} = \frac{\frac{\pi b^2}{2} \int_0^R r^3 dr + \beta |\partial \mathcal{B}|}{|\mathcal{B}|} = \frac{\frac{R^3}{8} b^2 + 2\beta}{R}.$$

Hence, for all $\beta < -\frac{R^3}{16} b^2$ we have $\lambda_1^{\beta,b}(\mathcal{B}) < 0$ and the claim follows. \square

4. An isoperimetric inequality

In this section we formulate and prove an isoperimetric inequality for the lowest eigenvalue of the magnetic Robin Laplacian with a negative boundary parameter. The argument is inspired by the proof of a similar inequality for the non-magnetic Robin Laplacian [2, Thm. 2] and relies on the method of parallel coordinates. In order to include the magnetic term into consideration an additional geometric assumption will be imposed.

Let $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a disk of the same perimeter $L > 0$ as a C^2 -smooth simply connected domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. We denote by $R = \frac{L}{2\pi} > 0$ the radius of \mathcal{B} and without loss of generality we assume that \mathcal{B} is centred at the origin. Let $\rho_{\partial\Omega}: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be the distance function to the boundary of Ω and let $\rho_{\partial\mathcal{B}}: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be the distance function to the boundary of the disk \mathcal{B} . According to, e.g., [11, Sec. 3] the distance-function

$\rho_{\partial\Omega}$ is Lipschitz continuous with the Lipschitz constant = 1, differentiable almost everywhere and

$$(4.1) \quad |\nabla\rho_{\partial\Omega}(x)| = 1 \quad \text{for almost all } x \in \Omega.$$

The in-radius of Ω is defined by

$$r_i := \max_{x \in \Omega} \rho_{\partial\Omega}(x).$$

It is easy to check by an argument based on the geometric isoperimetric inequality that $r_i \leq R$ and if Ω is not congruent to the disk \mathcal{B} then this inequality is even strict.

For each $t > 0$, we define the sub-domains of Ω and \mathcal{B} as

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \Omega_t &:= \{x \in \Omega : \rho_{\partial\Omega}(x) > t\}, \\ \mathcal{B}_t &:= \{x \in \mathcal{B} : \rho_{\partial\mathcal{B}}(x) > t\}. \end{aligned}$$

The lengths of the boundaries of these auxiliary domains satisfy the inequality stated in the next lemma.

Lemma 4.1 ([44, Prop. A.1], [24]). *For all $t \in (0, r_i)$, $|\partial\Omega_t| \leq L - 2\pi t = |\partial\mathcal{B}_t|$.*

Our admissible domains are those *sub-ordinate* to balls in the sense that the moments of inertia with respect to a fixed center of the level curves of the distance to the boundary are controlled by that for the disk.

Definition 4.2. *We say that Ω is sub-ordinate to \mathcal{B} if there exists $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$ such that for almost all $t \in (0, r_i)$ the following inequality holds*

$$\int_{\partial\mathcal{B}_t} |x|^2 d\mathcal{H}^1(x) = 2\pi(R-t)^3 \geq \int_{\partial\Omega_t} |x+x_0|^2 d\mathcal{H}^1(x),$$

where \mathcal{H}^1 is the one-dimensional Hausdorff measure on the respective curve.

The next two propositions give us examples of domains that are sub-ordinate to \mathcal{B} .

Proposition 4.3. *If for some $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$ one has $x_0 + \Omega \subset \mathcal{B}$ then Ω is sub-ordinate to \mathcal{B} in the sense of Definition 4.2.*

Proof. First, by Lemma 4.1 we have the inequality $|\partial\Omega_t| \leq |\partial\mathcal{B}_t| = 2\pi(R-t)$. Let $y \in x_0 + \Omega_t$ with $t \in (0, r_i)$ be arbitrary. Hence, we get by a simple geometric argument that $y \in \mathcal{B}$ and that $\rho_{\partial\mathcal{B}}(y) > t$. Thus, we have the inclusion $x_0 + \Omega_t \subset \mathcal{B}_t$ for all $t \in (0, r_i)$. Hence, for all $x \in \partial\Omega_t$ we have $|x+x_0| \leq R-t$ and thus the inequality in Definition 4.2 is satisfied. \square

Recall that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is said to be *centrally symmetric* if it is invariant under the isometric involution $J: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ acting as $Jx := -x$.

Proposition 4.4. *If $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is convex and centrally symmetric then it is sub-ordinate to \mathcal{B} in the sense of Definition 4.2.*

Proof. Let $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2): [0, \ell] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ be the natural parametrization ($|\dot{\sigma}(s)| = 1$) of a piecewise C^2 -smooth closed curve $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ of length $\ell > 0$. Assume that the origin is the centroid of the curve Σ ; i.e. $\int_{\Sigma} \sigma(s) ds = 0$. Recall that the moment of inertia of Σ with respect to the origin is defined by

$$I_{\Sigma} := \int_{\Sigma} |\sigma(s)|^2 ds.$$

Let $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be the circle of length $\ell > 0$ centred at the origin.

Consider the ordinary differential operator $h\psi := -\psi''$ with $\text{dom } h := H^2(\Sigma)$ in the Hilbert space $L^2(\Sigma)$, which represents the quadratic form $H^1(\Sigma) \ni \psi \mapsto \|\psi'\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}^2$. The lowest eigenvalue of h is simple, equal to zero and the respective eigenfunction is a constant function. The second eigenvalue of h is equal to $\frac{4\pi^2}{\ell^2}$. Clearly, $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in H^1(\Sigma)$ and applying the min-max principle to the operator h and using that σ_1 and σ_2 are both orthogonal to the constant function, we find

$$(4.3) \quad I_{\Sigma} = \int_{\Sigma} (\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2) ds \leq \frac{\ell^2}{4\pi^2} \int_{\Sigma} ((\sigma_1')^2 + (\sigma_2')^2) ds = \frac{\ell^3}{4\pi^2} = I_{\mathcal{C}}.$$

Since Ω is centrally symmetric, we conclude that Ω_t is centrally symmetric for all $t \in (0, r_i)$ as well (because $\rho_{\partial\Omega}(x) = \rho_{\partial\Omega}(-x)$ for all $x \in \Omega$). Moreover, convexity of Ω combined with [11, Thm. 5.4 (i)] yields that the distance function $\rho_{\partial\Omega}$ is concave in Ω . Hence, Ω_t is convex and therefore $\partial\Omega_t$ is connected. It follows by a simple geometric reason that the origin is the centroid of $\partial\Omega$ and of the curves $\partial\Omega_t$ for all $t \in (0, r_i)$. Recall also that by [24, Prop. 6.1] (see also [44, Prop. A.1]) the (connected) curve $\partial\Omega_t$ is piecewise C^2 -smooth for almost all $t \in (0, r_i)$. Hence, combining Lemma 4.1 with the inequality (4.3) we finally obtain that the condition in Definition 4.2 is satisfied with $x_0 = 0$. \square

Remark 4.5. *It remains an open question whether there are simply connected C^2 -smooth domains that are not sub-ordinate to the disk of the same perimeter in the sense of Definition 4.2.*

Remark 4.6. *We remark that the inequality (4.3) between moments of inertia was first established by Hurwitz [26, pp. 396-397].*

Remark 4.7. *In fact, Proposition 4.4 shows slightly more. A bounded simply connected C^2 -smooth domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is sub-ordinate to the disk \mathcal{B} of the same perimeter, in the sense of Definition 4.2, if the level curves $\partial\Omega_t$ are connected and have the same centroid for almost all $t \in (0, r_i)$.*

Now we can formulate and prove the main result of this section and of the paper on the isoperimetric inequality for the magnetic Robin Laplacian.

Theorem 4.8. *Let Ω be a C^2 -smooth bounded simply connected domain sub-ordinate in the sense of Definition 4.2 to the disk \mathcal{B} with the same perimeter as Ω . Let the set $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{R}_- \times \mathbb{R}_+$ be as in (3.10). Let $\lambda_1^{\beta,b}(\Omega)$ and $\lambda_1^{\beta,b}(\mathcal{B})$ be the lowest eigenvalues, respectively, of $H_\Omega^{\beta,b}$ and of $H_{\mathcal{B}}^{\beta,b}$. Then for all $(\beta, b) \in \mathcal{A}$ the following isoperimetric inequality holds*

$$\lambda_1^{\beta,b}(\Omega) \leq \lambda_1^{\beta,b}(\mathcal{B}),$$

where the equality occurs if, and only if, Ω is congruent to \mathcal{B} .

Before giving the proof of the theorem we will formulate its direct corollary, which follows from Theorem 4.8 combined with Remark 3.4 and Proposition 3.7.

Corollary 4.9. *Let the assumptions be as in Theorem 4.8. Let $\beta < 0$ be arbitrary and assume that $0 < b < \min\{R^{-2}, 4\sqrt{-\beta}R^{-3/2}\}$, where $R > 0$ is the radius of the disk \mathcal{B} . Then the isoperimetric inequality holds*

$$\lambda_1^{\beta,b}(\Omega) \leq \lambda_1^{\beta,b}(\mathcal{B}),$$

where the equality occurs if, and only if, Ω is congruent to \mathcal{B} .

Proof of Theorem 4.8. Without loss of generality we can assume that Ω is not congruent to the disk \mathcal{B} and that Ω is sub-ordinate to the disk \mathcal{B} in the sense of Definition 4.2 with $x_0 = 0$. In this case we have $r_i < R$ where as before r_i is the in-radius of Ω and R is the radius of the disk \mathcal{B} .

Let $u_\circ \in H^1(\mathcal{B})$ be an eigenfunction associated with the ground state for the magnetic Robin Laplacian with the homogeneous magnetic field $b \in \mathbb{R}_+$ on the disk \mathcal{B} and the Robin parameter β . The assumption $(\beta, b) \in \mathcal{A}$ combined with Proposition 3.5 yields that the eigenfunction u_\circ can be chosen to be a radial function in the space $C^\infty(\overline{\mathcal{B}}; \mathbb{R})$, which is positive in \mathcal{B} , and the respective principal eigenvalue, $\lambda_1^{\beta,b}(\mathcal{B})$, is negative. We have the representation $u_\circ(x) = \psi_\circ(\rho_{\partial\mathcal{B}}(x))$ with some $\psi_\circ \in C^\infty([0, R])$, which is positive on $(0, R)$. Consider the following test function

$$u_\star(x) := \psi_\circ(\rho_{\partial\Omega}(x)), \quad x \in \Omega.$$

Using Lipschitz continuity of $\rho_{\partial\Omega}$ one gets that $u_\star \in H^1(\Omega)$.

Recall that the co-area formula applied in two dimensions, see [3, Thm. 4.20] and [38], to an open set $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, a Lipschitz continuous real-valued function $f: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and an integrable function $g: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ gives

$$(4.4) \quad \int_{\mathcal{A}} g(x) |\nabla f(x)| \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{f^{-1}(t)} g(x) \, d\mathcal{H}^1(x) \, dt,$$

where \mathcal{H}^1 in the inner integral on the right-hand side is the one-dimensional Hausdorff measure on the level curve $\{x \in \mathcal{A}: f(x) = t\}$.

In view of (4.1), we conclude that $|\nabla u_\star| = |\psi'_\circ \circ \rho_{\partial\Omega}|$ almost everywhere in Ω . Hence, taking that u_\star is real-valued into account, applying the formula (4.4) twice to $f = \rho_{\partial\Omega}$, $\mathcal{A} = \Omega$, $g = |\nabla u_\star|^2$ in the first term and to $f = \rho_{\partial\Omega}$, $\mathcal{A} = \Omega$, $g = |x|^2 |u_\star|^2$ in the second term below and using again (4.1), we get

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \|(\nabla - ib\mathbf{A})u_\star\|_{L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{C}^2)}^2 &= \\ &= \|\nabla u_\star\|_{L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{C}^2)}^2 + \frac{b^2}{4} \int_{\Omega} |x|^2 |u_\star(x)|^2 \, dx \\ &= \int_0^{r_i} |\psi'_\circ(t)|^2 \int_{\rho_{\partial\Omega}^{-1}(t)} \, d\mathcal{H}^1(x) \, dt \\ &\quad + \frac{b^2}{4} \int_0^{r_i} |\psi_\circ(t)|^2 \int_{\rho_{\partial\Omega}^{-1}(t)} |x|^2 \, d\mathcal{H}^1(x) \, dt \\ &= \int_0^{r_i} |\psi'_\circ(t)|^2 |\partial\Omega_t| \, dt + \frac{b^2}{4} \int_0^{r_i} |\psi_\circ(t)|^2 \int_{\partial\Omega_t} |x|^2 \, d\mathcal{H}^1(x) \, dt \\ &< \int_0^R |\psi'_\circ(t)|^2 |\partial\mathcal{B}_t| \, dt + \frac{b^2}{4} \int_0^R |\psi_\circ(t)|^2 \int_{\partial\mathcal{B}_t} |x|^2 \, d\mathcal{H}^1(x) \, dt \\ &= \|(\nabla - ib\mathbf{A})u_\circ\|_{L^2(\mathcal{B}; \mathbb{C}^2)}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where in the penultimate step we combined that $R > r_i$ with the inequality in Lemma 4.1 and the inequality in Definition 4.2 with $x_0 = 0$.

Using again the co-area formula (4.4) and performing the computation analogous to the above we find

$$(4.6) \quad \|u_\star\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 = \int_0^{r_i} |\psi_\circ(t)|^2 |\partial\Omega_t| \, dt < \int_0^R |\psi_\circ(t)|^2 |\partial\mathcal{B}_t| \, dt = \|u_\circ\|_{L^2(\mathcal{B})}^2.$$

Moreover, we obtain that

$$(4.7) \quad \|u_\star|_{\partial\Omega}\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}^2 = \|u_\circ|_{\partial\mathcal{B}}\|_{L^2(\partial\mathcal{B})}^2 = L|\psi_\circ(0)|^2.$$

Combining the min-max principle with (4.5), (4.6) and (4.7) and employing the fact that $\lambda_1^{\beta,b}(\mathcal{B}) < 0$ we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1^{\beta,b}(\Omega) &\leq \frac{\|(\nabla - ib\mathbf{A})u_\star\|_{L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{C}^2)}^2 + \beta\|u_\star|_{\partial\Omega}\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}^2}{\|u_\star\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2} \\ &< \frac{\|(\nabla - ib\mathbf{A})u_o\|_{L^2(\mathcal{B};\mathbb{C}^2)}^2 + \beta\|u_o|_{\partial\mathcal{B}}\|_{L^2(\partial\mathcal{B})}^2}{\|u_o\|_{L^2(\mathcal{B})}^2} = \lambda_1^{\beta,b}(\mathcal{B}), \end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we used that u_o is an eigenfunction of $H_{\mathcal{B}}^{\beta,b}$ corresponding to its lowest eigenvalue $\lambda_1^{\beta,b}(\mathcal{B})$. \square

Remark 4.10. *Even if there are simply connected C^2 -smooth planar domains that are not sub-ordinate to the disk of the same perimeter it presents an open question whether the statements of Theorem 4.8 and of Corollary 4.9 hold without the subordinacy condition.*

5. Large coupling asymptotics of $\lambda_1^{\beta,b}(\Omega)$ and its connection to the isoperimetric inequality

We discuss in this section the asymptotics of $\lambda_1^{\beta,b}(\Omega)$ in the limit of large negative Robin parameter ($\beta \rightarrow -\infty$) and its connection with the isoperimetric inequality in Theorem 4.8. Large coupling asymptotics of the lowest Robin eigenvalue in the absence of a magnetic field has been studied by many authors recently [13, 25, 31, 40, 42, 36]. We assume throughout this section that the bounded simply connected domain Ω is C^∞ -smooth and not congruent to the disk. The area of Ω is denoted by A and the perimeter by L .

It follows from [40, Thm. 1] that

$$(5.1) \quad \lambda_1^{\beta,0}(\Omega) = -\beta^2 + \beta\kappa_{\max}(\partial\Omega) + \mathcal{O}(|\beta|^{2/3}), \quad \beta \rightarrow -\infty,$$

where $\kappa_{\max}(\partial\Omega)$ is the maximum of the curvature of $\partial\Omega$ and the convention for the sign of the curvature is that the curvature is non-negative for a convex domain. In the presence of a magnetic field, the ground state energy in the disk \mathcal{B} of radius $R > 0$ satisfies¹ (see [27, Thm. 1.1])

$$(5.2) \quad \lambda_1^{\beta,b}(\mathcal{B}) = -\beta^2 + R^{-1}\beta + R^{-2}e(b, R) + o(1), \quad \beta \rightarrow -\infty,$$

where $e(b, R) = -\frac{1}{2} + \inf_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(m - \frac{bR^2}{2}\right)^2 = \mathcal{O}(1)$.

In the general case, the eigenvalue asymptotics $\lambda_1^{\beta,b}(\Omega)$ agrees with (5.1) and the contribution of the magnetic field is hidden in the remainder term.

¹The case $R \neq 1$ can be deduced from the case $R = 1$ by a dilation, which yields $\lambda_1^{\beta,b}(\mathcal{B}) = R^{-2}\lambda_1^{\beta R, bR^2}(\mathcal{B}_1)$, where \mathcal{B}_1 denotes the unit disk.

Proposition 5.1. *For any fixed value of $b \geq 0$, we have,*

$$(5.3) \quad \lambda_1^{\beta,b}(\Omega) = -\beta^2 + \beta\kappa_{\max}(\partial\Omega) + \mathcal{O}(|\beta|^{2/3}), \quad \beta \rightarrow -\infty.$$

Proof. By the diamagnetic inequality [37, Thm. 7.21] and (5.1)

$$\lambda_1^{\beta,b}(\Omega) \geq \lambda_1^{\beta,0}(\Omega) = -\beta^2 + \beta\kappa_{\max}(\partial\Omega) + \mathcal{O}(|\beta|^{2/3}).$$

Consider a normalized and real-valued ground state $u_1^{\beta,0}$ corresponding to the lowest eigenvalue $\lambda_1^{\beta,0}(\Omega)$ of $H_{\Omega}^{\beta,0}$. By the min-max principle and (5.1),

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1^{\beta,b}(\Omega) &\leq \mathfrak{q}_{\Omega}^{\beta,b}[u_1^{\beta,0}] = \mathfrak{q}_{\Omega}^{\beta,0}[u_1^{\beta,0}] + b^2 \int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{A}|^2 |u_1^{\beta,0}|^2 dx \\ &\leq \lambda_1^{\beta,0}(\Omega) + \|\mathbf{A}\|_{\infty}^2 b^2 \\ &\leq -\beta^2 + \beta\kappa_{\max}(\partial\Omega) + \mathcal{O}(|\beta|^{2/3}). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

According to [41] we have

$$(5.4) \quad \kappa_{\max}(\partial\Omega) > \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{A}} = \kappa_{\max}(\partial\mathcal{B}_{\sqrt{\frac{A}{\pi}}}),$$

where $\mathcal{B}_{\sqrt{\frac{A}{\pi}}}$ is the ball of radius $\sqrt{\frac{A}{\pi}}$ and thus having the same area as Ω . Hence, it follows from the asymptotic expansions (5.2) and (5.3) that for a given domain Ω and $b \geq 0$ there exists a constant $\beta_0(b, \Omega) < 0$ such that, for all $\beta \leq \beta_0(b, \Omega)$,

$$\lambda_1^{\beta,b}(\Omega) < \lambda_1^{\beta,b}(\mathcal{B}_{\sqrt{\frac{A}{\pi}}}).$$

Using the geometric isoperimetric inequality $L^2 \geq 4\pi A$ we obtain from (5.4)

$$\kappa_{\max}(\partial\Omega) > \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{A}} \geq \frac{2\pi}{L} = \kappa_{\max}(\partial\mathcal{B}_{\frac{L}{2\pi}}),$$

where $\mathcal{B}_{\frac{L}{2\pi}}$ is the disk of the radius $\frac{L}{2\pi}$ and thus having the same perimeter as Ω . Now we can combine the spectral expansions in (5.2) and (5.3) to deduce that, for b, Ω fixed, there exists a constant $\beta_1(b, \Omega) < 0$ such that, for all $\beta \leq \beta_1(b, \Omega)$,

$$\lambda_1^{\beta,b}(\Omega) < \lambda_1^{\beta,b}(\mathcal{B}_{\frac{L}{2\pi}}),$$

which is consistent with the isoperimetric inequality in Theorem 4.8.

Remark 5.2. *It is worth to point out that for the above isoperimetric inequalities, which hold for $\beta < 0$ sufficiently large by absolute value, we have not assumed that Ω is sub-ordinate to the unit disk \mathcal{B} and that b is moderate.*

Acknowledgement. AK is partially supported by the Center for Advanced Mathematical Sciences (CAMS, American University of Beirut). VL acknowledges the support by the grant No. 21-07129S of the Czech Science Foundation (GAČR) and thanks Magda Khalile for useful discussions.

Appendix A. Closedness and semi-boundedness of the quadratic form $q_\Omega^{\beta,b}$

In this appendix we show that the quadratic form $q_\Omega^{\beta,b}$ in (2.2) satisfies all the assumptions of the first representation theorem.

Lemma A.1. *The symmetric densely defined quadratic form $q_\Omega^{\beta,b}$ in (2.2) is closed and semi-bounded.*

Proof. Using that $\mathbf{A} \in L^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^2)$ we find that for all $u \in H^1(\Omega)$ one has

$$(A.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \|(\nabla - ib\mathbf{A})u\|_{L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{C}^2)}^2 &\leq 2\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{C}^2)}^2 + 2b^2\|\mathbf{A}\|_\infty^2\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2, \\ \|(\nabla - ib\mathbf{A})u\|_{L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{C}^2)}^2 &\geq \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{C}^2)}^2 - b^2\|\mathbf{A}\|_\infty^2\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

From the inequalities in (A.1) we conclude that the non-negative symmetric densely defined quadratic form $q_\Omega^{0,b}$ corresponding to the magnetic Neumann Laplacian on Ω with the homogeneous magnetic field is closed, because the norm induced by the quadratic form $q_\Omega^{0,b}$ is equivalent to the standard norm in the Sobolev space $H^1(\Omega)$.

Recall that according to the diamagnetic inequality [37, Thm. 7.21]

$$(A.2) \quad \|\nabla|u|\|_{L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{C}^2)}^2 \leq \|(\nabla - ib\mathbf{A})u\|_{L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{C}^2)}^2$$

for all $u \in H^1(\Omega)$. Combining (A.2) with the inequality in [5, Lem. 2.6] we obtain that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a constant $C(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that

$$(A.3) \quad \|u|_{\partial\Omega}\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}^2 \leq \varepsilon\|(\nabla - ib\mathbf{A})u\|_{L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{C}^2)}^2 + C(\varepsilon)\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2, \quad \text{for all } u \in H^1(\Omega).$$

From the above inequality we deduce that the quadratic form $H^1(\Omega) \ni u \mapsto \beta\|u|_{\partial\Omega}\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}^2$, $\beta \in \mathbb{R}_-$, is form bounded with respect to the quadratic form $q_\Omega^{0,b}$ with the form bound < 1 . Hence, by [32, Thm. VI.1.33] the quadratic form $q_\Omega^{\beta,b}$ is closed and semi-bounded. \square

Appendix B. Continuity of the ground state energy

In this appendix we present a standard proof that the ground state energy of the magnetic Robin Laplacian $H_\Omega^{\beta,b}$ depends continuously on the intensity of the magnetic field b and the Robin parameter β .

Recall that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is a bounded simply connected C^2 -smooth domain. For definiteness we use the convention $\|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 := \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{C}^2)}^2 + \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2$ for the standard norm in the Sobolev space $H^1(\Omega)$. Recall also that by the trace theorem [39, Thm. 3.38] there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that $\|u|_{\partial\Omega}\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}^2 \leq c\|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2$ for any $u \in H^1(\Omega)$.

Let $\beta_1 \leq 0$ and $b_1 \geq 0$ be fixed and $\beta_2 \leq 0$ and $b_2 \geq 0$ be such that $|\beta_1 - \beta_2|, |b_1 - b_2| \leq 1$. It follows from the second inequality in (A.1) combined with [5, Lem. 2.6] that there exists $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\mathsf{H}_\Omega^{\beta_2, b_2} \geq \gamma$ for any $\beta_2 \leq 0$ and $b_2 \geq 0$ satisfying $|\beta_1 - \beta_2|, |b_1 - b_2| \leq 1$

For any $u \in H^1(\Omega)$, we get the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \mathfrak{q}_\Omega^{\beta_1, b_1}[u] - \mathfrak{q}_\Omega^{\beta_2, b_2}[u] \right| \\ &= \left| 2(b_1 - b_2)\text{Im}(\nabla u, \mathbf{A}u)_{L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{C}^2)} + (b_1^2 - b_2^2)(|\mathbf{A}|^2 u, u)_{L^2(\Omega)} + (\beta_1 - \beta_2)\|u|_{\partial\Omega}\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}^2 \right| \\ &\leq 2|b_1 - b_2|\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{C}^2)}\|\mathbf{A}u\|_{L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{C}^2)} + |b_1^2 - b_2^2|\|\mathbf{A}\|_\infty^2\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + |\beta_1 - \beta_2|\|u|_{\partial\Omega}\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}^2 \\ &\leq |b_1 - b_2|[\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{C}^2)}^2 + \|\mathbf{A}\|_\infty^2\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2] + |b_1^2 - b_2^2|\|\mathbf{A}\|_\infty^2\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + c|\beta_1 - \beta_2|\|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 \\ &\leq \max\{|b_1 - b_2|, |b_1 - b_2|\|\mathbf{A}\|_\infty^2, |b_1^2 - b_2^2|\|\mathbf{A}\|_\infty^2, c|\beta_1 - \beta_2|\}\|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the trace theorem in the penultimate step. Since the standard H^1 -norm is equivalent to the norm $u \mapsto \mathfrak{q}_\Omega^{\beta_1, b_1}[u] + (1 - \gamma)\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2$ induced by the quadratic form $\mathfrak{q}_\Omega^{\beta_1, b_1}$ we conclude from the above estimate with the aid of [28, Thm. VI.3.6] that the operator $\mathsf{H}_\Omega^{\beta_2, b_2}$ converges in the norm resolvent sense to the operator $\mathsf{H}_\Omega^{\beta_1, b_1}$ as $(\beta_2, b_2) \rightarrow (\beta_1, b_1)$. Note also that the family of operators $\mathsf{H}_\Omega^{\beta_2, b_2}$ is uniformly lower semibounded. Hence, it follows from the spectral convergence result [47, Satz 9.24 (ii)] that $\lambda_1^{\beta_2, b_2}(\Omega) \rightarrow \lambda_1^{\beta_1, b_1}(\Omega)$ as $(\beta_2, b_2) \rightarrow (\beta_1, b_1)$ and thus the lowest eigenvalue $\lambda_1^{\beta, b}(\Omega)$ of $\mathsf{H}_\Omega^{\beta, b}$ is a continuous function of the parameters $\beta, b \in (-\infty, 0] \times [0, \infty)$.

Appendix C. The Neumann magnetic ground state energy

Consider the Neumann eigenvalue $\lambda_1^{0, b}(\Omega)$ introduced in (2.5) with an associated normalized eigenfunction $u_b: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Let us assume that $\lambda_1^{0, b}(\Omega) = 0$. The diamagnetic inequality,

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla |u_b||^2 dx \leq \int_\Omega |(\nabla - ib\mathbf{A})u_b|^2 dx = 0,$$

yields that $|u_b| = |\Omega|^{-1/2}$, since the domain Ω is connected. Furthermore, u_b satisfies

$$(C.1) \quad (\nabla - ib\mathbf{A})u_b = 0.$$

Taking the inner product with \bar{u}_b , we infer from (C.1),

$$\bar{u}_b \nabla u_b = \frac{ib}{|\Omega|} \mathbf{A}.$$

Taking the curl in (C.1), we get,

$$(C.2) \quad ib \operatorname{curl}(\mathbf{A}u_b) = \operatorname{curl}(\nabla u_b) = 0.$$

Finally, we notice that (see (2.3))

$$\bar{u}_b \operatorname{curl}(\mathbf{A}u_b) = |u_b|^2 \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A}^\perp \cdot (\bar{u}_b \nabla u_b) = \frac{1}{|\Omega|} + \frac{ib}{|\Omega|} \mathbf{A}^\perp \cdot \mathbf{A} = \frac{1}{|\Omega|}$$

where $\mathbf{A}^\perp = \frac{1}{2}(x_1, x_2)$. Consequently, we get from (C.2) that $b = 0$.

References

- [1] A. Ananieva and V. Budyika, To the spectral theory of the Bessel operator on a finite interval and the half-line, *J. Math. Sci.* **211** (2015), 624–645.
- [2] P. Antunes, P. Freitas, D. Krejčířík, Bounds and extremal domains for Robin eigenvalues with negative boundary parameter, *Adv. Calc. Var.* **10** (2017), 357–379.
- [3] I. A. Baernstein, *Symmetrization in analysis*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2019.
- [4] P. Bauman, D. Phillips, and Q. Tang, Stable nucleation for the Ginzburg-Landau system with an applied magnetic field, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **142** (1998), 1–43.
- [5] J. Behrndt, P. Exner, and V. Lotoreichik, Schrödinger operators with δ - and δ' -interactions on Lipschitz surfaces and chromatic numbers of associated partitions, *Rev. Math. Phys.* **26** (2014), 1450015, 43 pp.
- [6] M. S. Birman and M. Z. Solomjak, *Spectral theory of self-adjoint operators in Hilbert space*, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1987.
- [7] M.-H. Bossel. Membranes élastiquement liées: Extension du théorème de Rayleigh-Faber-Krahn et de l'inégalité de Cheeger, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* **302** (1986), 47–50.
- [8] D. Bucur, V. Ferone, C. Nitsch, and C. Trombetti, A sharp estimate for the first Robin-Laplacian eigenvalue with negative boundary parameter, *Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei, Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Nat., IX. Ser., Rend. Lincei, Mat. Appl.* **30** (2019), 665–676.
- [9] W. Bulla and F. Gesztesy, Deficiency indices and singular boundary conditions in quantum mechanics, *J. Math. Phys.* **26** (1985), 2520–2528.
- [10] D. Daners, A Faber-Krahn inequality for Robin problems in any space dimension, *Math. Ann.* **335** (2006), 767–785.
- [11] M. Delfour and J.-P. Zolésio, Shape analysis via oriented distance functions, *J. Funct. Anal.* **123** (1994), 129–201.
- [12] L. Erdős, Rayleigh-type isoperimetric inequality with a homogeneous magnetic field. *Calc. Var. PDE* **4** (1996), 283–292.

- [13] P. Exner, A. Minakov, and L. Parnovski, Asymptotic eigenvalue estimates for a Robin problem with a large parameter, *Port. Math.* **71** (2014), 141–156.
- [14] G. Faber, Beweis dass unter allen homogenen Membranen von gleicher Fläche und gleicher Spannung die kreisförmige den tiefsten Grundton gibt, *Sitz. bayer. Akad. Wiss.* (1923), 169–172.
- [15] S. Fournais and B. Helffer, Inequalities for the lowest magnetic Neumann eigenvalue, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **109** (2019), 1683–1700.
- [16] S. Fournais and B. Helffer, *Spectral methods in surface superconductivity*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2010.
- [17] S. Fournais and M.P. Sundqvist, Lack of diamagnetism and the Little-Parks effect, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **337** (2015), 191–224.
- [18] P. Freitas and D. Krejčířík, The first Robin eigenvalue with negative boundary parameter, *Adv. Math.* **280** (2015), 322–339.
- [19] P. Freitas and R. Laugesen, From Neumann to Steklov and beyond, via Robin: the Weinberger way, *Am. J. Math.* **143**, no. 3 (2021), 969–994.
- [20] P. Freitas and R. S. Laugesen, From Steklov to Neumann and beyond, via Robin: the Szegő way, *Canad. J. Math.* **72** (2020), 1024–1043.
- [21] F. Gesztesy, M. Pang, and J. Stanfill, On domain properties of Bessel-type operators, [arXiv:2107.09271](https://arxiv.org/abs/2107.09271).
- [22] D. Gilbarg, N.S. Trudinger. *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of the Second Order*, 2nd ed., Springer, 1983.
- [23] A. Girouard and R. S. Laugesen, Robin spectrum: two disks maximize the third eigenvalue, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **70**, no. 6 (2021), 2711–2742.
- [24] P. Hartman, Geodesic parallel coordinates in the large, *Amer. J. Math.* **86** (1964) 705–727.
- [25] B. Helffer and A. Kachmar, Eigenvalues for the Robin Laplacian in domains with variable curvature, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **369** (2017), 3253–3287.
- [26] A. Hurwitz, Sur quelques applications géométriques des séries de Fourier, *Ann. de l'Éc. Norm.* (3) **19** (1902), 357–408.
- [27] A. Kachmar and M. P. Sundqvist, Counterexample to strong diamagnetism for the magnetic Robin Laplacian, *Math. Phys. Anal. Geom.* **23** (2020), Paper No. 27, 15 p.
- [28] T. Kato, *Perturbation theory for linear operators*, Classics in Mathematics, Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1995.
- [29] M. Khalile and V. Lotoreichik, Spectral isoperimetric inequalities for Robin Laplacians on 2-manifolds and unbounded cones, *to appear in J. Spectral Theory*, [arXiv:1909.10842](https://arxiv.org/abs/1909.10842).
- [30] K. Kirsten and P. Loya, Spectral functions for the Schrödinger operator on \mathbb{R}^+ with a singular potential, *J. Math. Phys.* **51** (2010), 053512.
- [31] H. Kovářík and K. Pankrashkin, On the p-Laplacian with Robin boundary conditions and boundary trace theorems, *Calc. Var. PDE* **56** (2017), 49.
- [32] E. Krahn, Über eine von Rayleigh formulierte Minimaleigenschaft des Kreises, *Math. Ann.* **94** (1924), 97–100.
- [33] D. Krejčířík and V. Lotoreichik, Optimisation of the lowest Robin eigenvalue in the exterior of a compact set, *J. Convex Anal.* **25** (2018), 319–337.

- [34] D. Krejčířík and V. Lotoreichik, Optimisation of the lowest Robin eigenvalue in the exterior of a compact set, II: non-convex domains and higher dimensions, *Potential Anal.* **52** (2020), 601–614.
- [35] R. S. Laugesen and B.A. Siudeja, Magnetic spectral bounds on starlike plane domains, *ESAIM, Control Optim. Calc. Var.* **21** (2015), 670–689.
- [36] M. Levitin and L. Parnowski, On the principal eigenvalue of a Robin problem with a large parameter, *Math. Nachr.* **281** (2008), 272–281.
- [37] E. Lieb and M. Loss, *Analysis*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001.
- [38] J. Malý, D. Swanson, and W. Ziemer, The co-area formula for Sobolev mappings, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **355** (2002), 477–492.
- [39] W. McLean, *Strongly elliptic systems and boundary integral equations*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000.
- [40] K. Pankrashkin, On the asymptotics of the principal eigenvalue for a Robin problem with a large parameter in planar domains, *Nanosyst., Phys. Chem. Math.* **4** (2013), 474–483.
- [41] K. Pankrashkin, An inequality for the maximum curvature through a geometric flow, *Arch. Math.* **105** (2015), 297–300.
- [42] K. Pankrashkin and N. Popoff, An effective Hamiltonian for the eigenvalue asymptotics of the Robin Laplacian with a large parameter, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* **106** (2016), 615–650.
- [43] J. W. S. Rayleigh, *The theory of sound*, Macmillan, London, 1877, 1st edition (reprinted: Dover, New York (1945)).
- [44] A. Savo, Lower bounds for the nodal length of eigenfunctions of the Laplacian, *Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom.* **16** (2001), 133–151.
- [45] K. Schmüdgen, *Unbounded self-adjoint operators on Hilbert space*, Dordrecht, Springer, 2012.
- [46] S. Son, *Spectral problems on triangles and disks: Extremizers and ground states*, PhD Thesis, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, 2014.
- [47] J. Weidmann, *Lineare Operatoren in Hilberträumen. Teil I: Grundlagen*, Teubner, Stuttgart, 2000.

(A. Kachmar) LEBANESE UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NABATIYE, LEBANON

CENTER FOR ADVANCED MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES (CAMS, AMERICAN UNIVERSITY OF BEIRUT)

Email address: akachmar@ul.edu.lb

(V. Lotoreichik) DEPARTMENT OF THEORETICAL PHYSICS, NUCLEAR PHYSICS INSTITUTE, CZECH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, 25068 ŘEŽ, CZECHIA

Email address: lotoreichik@ujf.cas.cz