

# The line graph of the crown graph is distance integral

S. Morteza Mirafzal

Department of Mathematics

Lorestan University, Khorramabad, Iran

E-mail: mirafzal.m@lu.ac.ir

E-mail: smortezamirafzal@yahoo.com

## Abstract

The distance eigenvalues of a connected graph  $G$  are the eigenvalues of its distance matrix  $D(G)$ . A graph is called distance integral if all of its distance eigenvalues are integers. Let  $n \geq 3$  be an integer. A crown graph  $Cr(n)$  is a graph obtained from the complete bipartite graph  $K_{n,n}$  by removing a perfect matching. Let  $L(Cr(n))$  denote the line graph of the crown graph  $Cr(n)$ . In this paper, by using the orbit partition method in algebraic graph theory, we determine the set of all distance eigenvalues of  $L(Cr(n))$  and show that this graph is distance integral.

## 1 Introduction and Preliminaries

In this paper, a graph  $G = (V, E)$  is considered as an undirected simple graph where  $V = V(G)$  is the vertex-set and  $E = E(G)$  is the edge-set. For all the terminology and notation not defined here, we follow [3,4,5,6,7].

Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a graph and  $A = A(G)$  be an adjacency matrix of  $G$ . The characteristic polynomial of  $G$  is defined as  $P(G; x) = P(x) = |xI - A|$ . A zero of  $p(x)$  is called an eigenvalue of the graph  $G$ . A graph is called integral, if all the eigenvalues are integers. The study of integral graphs was initiated by

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*:05C50

*Keywords*: crown graph, distance integral, vertex-transitive, orbit partition

*Date*:

Harary and Schwenk in 1974 (see [8]). A survey of papers up to 2002 has been appeared in [2], but more than a hundred new studies on integral graphs have been published in the last twenty years.

Let  $n$  be the number of vertices of the graph  $G$ . The distance matrix  $D = D(G)$  is an  $n \times n$  matrix indexed by  $V$ , such that  $D_{u,v} = d_G(u, v) = d(u, v)$ , where  $d_G(u, v)$  is the distance between the vertices  $u$  and  $v$  in the graph  $G$ . The characteristic polynomial  $P(D; x) = |xI - D| = D_G(x)$  is the distance characteristic polynomial of  $G$ . Since  $D$  is a real symmetric matrix, the distance characteristic polynomial  $D_G(x)$  has real zeros. Every zero of the polynomial  $D_G(x)$  is called a distance eigenvalue of the graph  $G$ . A survey on the distance spectra of graphs has been appeared in [1]. A graph  $G$  is distance integral (briefly,  $D$ -integral) if all the distance eigenvalues of  $G$  are integers. Although there are many papers that study distance spectrum of graphs and their applications, the  $D$ -integral graphs are studied only in a few number of papers (see [14]).

Let  $n \geq 3$  be an integer. A crown graph  $Cr(n)$  is a graph obtained from the complete bipartite graph  $K_{n,n}$  by removing a perfect matching. The bipartite Kneser graph  $H(n, k)$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$ , is a bipartite graph with the vertex-set consisting of all  $k$ -subsets and  $(n - k)$ -subsets of the set  $[n] = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$ , in which two vertices  $v$  and  $w$  are adjacent if and only if  $v \subset w$  or  $w \subset v$ . Recently, this class of graphs has been studied from several aspects [9,11,12,13]. It is easy to see that the crown graph  $Cr(n)$  is isomorphic with the bipartite graph  $H(n, 1)$ . The crown graph  $Cr(n)$  is a vertex and edge-transitive graph of order  $2n$  and regularity  $n - 1$  with diameter 3.

In [14] it has been shown that the graph  $Cr(n)$  is a distance integral graph. Let  $L(Cr(n))$  denote the line graph of the crown graph  $Cr(n)$ . It is not hard to see that the graph  $L(Cr(n))$  is a vertex-transitive graph of order  $n(n - 1)$  and regularity  $2(n - 1) - 2 = 2n - 4$  with diameter 3.

From the various interesting properties of the graph  $L(Cr(n))$ , we interested in its distance eigenvalues. In this paper, we wish to show that the graph  $L(Cr(n))$  is distance integral. We explicitly determine all the distinct distance eigenvalues of the graph  $L(Cr(n))$ . The method which we use in this paper is completely different from what has been used in [14]. The main tool which we use in our work is the orbit partition method in algebraic graph theory which we have already employed it in determining the adjacency eigenvalues of a particular family of graph [10]. In this paper, We show how we can find, by using this method, the set of all distinct distance eigenvalues of the graph  $L(Cr(n))$ .

The set of all permutations of a set  $V$  is denoted by  $Sym(V)$ . A permutation group on  $V$  is a subgroup of  $Sym(V)$ . If  $G = (V, E)$  is a graph, then we can view each automorphism as a permutation of  $V$ , and so  $Aut(G)$  is a permutation group. A permutation representation of a group  $\Gamma$  is a homomorphism from  $\Gamma$  into  $Sym(V)$  for some set  $V$ . A permutation representation is also referred to as an *action* of  $\Gamma$  on the set  $V$ , in which case we say that  $\Gamma$  acts on  $V$ . A permutation group  $\Gamma$  on  $V$  is *transitive* if given any two elements  $x$  and  $y$  from  $V$  there is an element  $g \in \Gamma$  such that  $x^g = y$ . For each  $v \in V$ , the set  $v^\Gamma = \{v^g \mid g \in \Gamma\}$  is called an *orbit* of  $\Gamma$ . It is easy to see that if  $\Gamma$  acts on  $V$ , then  $\Gamma$  is transitive on  $V$  (or  $\Gamma$  acts *transitively* on  $V$ ), when there is just one orbit. It is easy to see

that the set of orbits of  $\Gamma$  on  $V$  is a partition of the set  $V$ .

A graph  $G = (V, E)$  is called *vertex-transitive* if  $Aut(G)$  acts transitively on  $V$ . We say that  $G$  is *edge-transitive* if the group  $Aut(G)$  acts transitively on the edge set  $E$ , namely, for any  $\{x, y\}, \{v, w\} \in E(G)$ , there is some  $a$  in  $Aut(G)$ , such that  $a(\{x, y\}) = \{v, w\}$ . We say that  $G$  is *distance-transitive* if for all vertices  $u, v, x, y$  of  $G$  such that  $d(u, v) = d(x, y)$ , where  $d(u, v)$  denotes the distance between the vertices  $u$  and  $v$  in  $G$ , there is an automorphism  $a$  in  $Aut(G)$  such that  $a(u) = x$  and  $a(v) = y$ .

## 2 Main Results

Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a graph with the vertex-set  $V = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  and distance matrix  $D(G) = D = (d_{ij})_{n \times n}$ , where  $d_{ij} = d(v_i, v_j)$ . Let  $H \leq Aut(G)$  and  $\pi = \{w_1^H = C_1, \dots, w_m^H = C_m\}$  be the orbit partition of  $H$ , where  $\{w_1, \dots, w_m\} \subset V$ . Let  $Q = Q_\pi = (q_{ij})_{m \times m}$  be the matrix which its rows and columns is indexed by  $\pi$  such that,

$$q_{ij} = \sum_{w \in C_j} d(v, w),$$

where  $v$  is a fixed element in the cell  $C_i$ . It is easy to check that this sum is independent of  $v$ , that is, if  $u \in C_i$ , then  $q_{ij} = \sum_{w \in C_j} d(v, w) = \sum_{w \in C_j} d(u, w)$ . Hence, the matrix  $Q$  is well defined. We call the matrix  $Q$  the *quotient matrix* of  $D$  over  $\pi$ . We claim that every eigenvalue of  $Q$  is an eigenvalue of the distance matrix  $D$ . In fact we have the following fact.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a graph with the distance matrix  $D$ . Let  $\pi$  be an orbit partition of  $V$  with  $m$  cells and  $Q$  be a quotient matrix of  $D$  over  $\pi$ . Then, every eigenvalue of  $Q$  is an eigenvalue of the distance matrix  $D$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\lambda$  be an eigenvalue of the quotient matrix  $Q$  with a none zero eigenvector  $f$ . Let  $f(\pi_j) = x_j$ . Thus for every  $i, 1 \leq i \leq m$ , we have,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{j=m} q_{ij} f(\pi_j) = \sum_{j=1}^{j=m} q_{ij} x_j = \lambda x_i = \lambda f(\pi_i).$$

We define the function  $\hat{f} : V(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by the rule  $\hat{f}(v) = f(\pi_i)$  if and only if  $v \in \pi_i$ . The function  $\hat{f}$  is well defined since  $\pi$  is a partition of  $V(G)$ . Also,  $\hat{f}$  is a none zero function since the function  $f$  is non zero. If  $v \in V(G) = V$ , then there is a unique  $i$  such that  $v \in \pi_i$ . Now we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{w \in V} D_{vw} \hat{f}(w) &= \sum_{w \in \pi_1} D_{vw} \hat{f}(w) + \sum_{w \in \pi_2} D_{vw} \hat{f}(w) + \dots + \sum_{w \in \pi_m} D_{vw} \hat{f}(w) = \\ &= \sum_{w \in \pi_1} D_{vw} f(\pi_1) + \sum_{w \in \pi_2} D_{vw} f(\pi_2) + \dots + \sum_{w \in \pi_m} D_{vw} f(\pi_m) = \\ &= f(\pi_1) (\sum_{w \in \pi_1} D_{vw}) + f(\pi_2) (\sum_{w \in \pi_2} D_{vw}) + \dots + f(\pi_m) (\sum_{w \in \pi_m} D_{vw}) = \\ &= f(\pi_1) q_{i1} + f(\pi_2) q_{i2} + \dots + f(\pi_m) q_{im} = \end{aligned}$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^m q_{ij} f(\pi_j) = \lambda f(\pi_i) = \lambda \hat{f}(v), \text{ since } v \in \pi_i.$$

Thus  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of the matrix  $D$ . □

By Theorem 2.1, we can find some of the distance eigenvalues of the graph  $G$ , but we can not determine all the eigenvalues, since it is possible that  $G$  has a distance eigenvalue  $\theta$  such that  $\theta$  is not an eigenvalue of the matrix  $Q$ . By the next theorem, we give a condition, holding that guaranties that the eigenvalue  $\theta$  to be an eigenvalue of the matrix  $Q$ .

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a graph with the distance matrix  $D$ . Let  $\pi$  be an orbit partition of  $V$  with  $m$  cells and  $Q$  be the quotient matrix of  $D$  over  $\pi$ . Let  $\theta$  be an eigenvalue of the distance matrix  $D$ , with the non zero eigenvector  $f$  such that  $f$  is constant on every cell of  $\pi$ . Then  $\theta$  is an eigenvalue of the matrix  $Q$ .*

*Proof.* We define the function  $\tilde{f} : \pi \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by the rule  $\tilde{f}(\pi_j) = f(v)$ , where  $v$  is an element in the cell  $\pi_j$ . Note that since  $f$  is constant on the set  $\pi_j$ , then  $\tilde{f}(\pi_j)$  is independent of  $v \in \pi_j$ , and hence  $\tilde{f}$  is a well defined function. Also, since  $f$  is non zero, then  $\tilde{f}$  is non zero. If  $u \in V$ , since  $f$  is an eigenvector with the eigenvalue  $\theta$ , then we have,

$$\sum_{w \in V} D_{uw} f(w) = \sum_{w \in V} d(u, w) f(w) = \theta f(u).$$

There is a unique  $i$  such that  $u \in \pi_i$ . Now we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{w \in V} d(u, w) f(w) &= \sum_{w \in \pi_1} d(u, w) f(w) + \sum_{w \in \pi_2} d(u, w) f(w) + \cdots + \sum_{w \in \pi_m} d(u, w) f(w) \\ &= \sum_{w \in \pi_1} d(u, w) \tilde{f}(\pi_1) + \sum_{w \in \pi_2} d(u, w) \tilde{f}(\pi_2) + \cdots + \sum_{w \in \pi_m} d(u, w) \tilde{f}(\pi_m) \\ &= \tilde{f}(\pi_1) \left( \sum_{w \in \pi_1} d(u, w) \right) + \tilde{f}(\pi_2) \left( \sum_{w \in \pi_2} d(u, w) \right) + \cdots + \tilde{f}(\pi_m) \left( \sum_{w \in \pi_m} d(u, w) \right) \\ &= \tilde{f}(\pi_1) q_{i1} + \tilde{f}(\pi_2) q_{i2} + \cdots + \tilde{f}(\pi_m) q_{im} = \theta f(u) = \theta \tilde{f}(\pi_i). \quad (*) \end{aligned}$$

From (\*) it follows that  $\theta$  is an eigenvalue of the matrix  $Q$  with the eigenvector  $\tilde{f}$ . □

Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a graph with an adjacency matrix  $A = (a_{vw})$  and automorphism group  $\Gamma = \text{Aut}(G)$ . We recall that every eigenvector  $f$  of  $G$  with the eigenvalue  $\theta$  is a real function on  $V$  such that  $\sum_{w \in V} a_{vw} f(w) = \theta f(v)$ , for every

$v \in V$ . If  $g \in \Gamma$ , then the function  $f^g$  defined by the rule  $f^g(v) = f(v^g)$ ,  $v \in V$ , is an eigenvector of  $G$  with eigenvalue  $\theta$  [7, chapt 9].

Let  $D = (d_{vw})_{n \times n}$ ,  $d_{vw} = d(v, w)$ , be a distance matrix for  $G$ . Let  $h$  be an eigenvector of  $D$  with the eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . Thus for every  $v \in V$ , we have,

$$\sum_{w \in V} d_{vw} h(w) = \sum_{w \in V} d(v, w) h(w) = \lambda h(v).$$

We claim that if  $g \in \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ , then  $h^g$  is an eigenvector of  $D$  with the eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . Note that if  $x, y \in V$ , then  $d(x, y) = d(x^g, y^g)$ . We now have,

$$\sum_{w \in V} d_{vw} h^g(w) = \sum_{w \in V} d(v, w) h(w^g) = \sum_{w \in V} d(v^g, w^g) h(w^g) = \lambda h(v^g) = \lambda h^g(v).$$

The argument shows that  $h^g$  is really an eigenvector of  $D$  with the eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . We now formally state the obtained result.

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a graph and  $D$  be a distance matrix for  $G$ . Let  $f$  be an eigenvector with the eigenvalue  $\lambda$  for  $D$ . If  $g$  is an automorphism of the graph  $G$ , then the function  $f^g$  defined by the rule  $f^g(v) = f(v^g)$ ,  $v \in V$ , is an eigenvector for  $D$  with the eigenvalue  $\lambda$ .*

Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a graph and  $D$  be a distance matrix for  $G$ . Let  $f$  be an eigenvector with the eigenvalue  $\lambda$  for  $D$ . Let  $H$  be a subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(G)$ . We can construct from  $f$  an eigenvector  $p$  with the eigenvalue  $\lambda$  for  $D$  such that  $p$  is constant on every orbit of  $H$  on  $V$ . In fact if we define the function  $p$ , by the rule,

$$p = \sum_{h \in H} f^h, \quad (**)$$

then from Proposition 2.3, it follows that if  $p \neq 0$ , then  $p$  is an eigenvector of  $D$  with the eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . If  $O = v^H$ ,  $v \in V$ , is an orbit of  $H$  on  $V$ , then  $p$  is constant on  $O$ . Note that if  $w \in O$ , then  $w = v^{h_1}$  for some  $h_1 \in H$ . Hence we have,

$$p(w) = \sum_{h \in H} f^h(w) = \sum_{h \in H} f(w^h) = \sum_{h \in H} f(v^{h_1 h}) = \sum_{h \in H} f(v^h) = \sum_{h \in H} f^h(v) = p(v).$$

In other words,  $p$  is an eigenvector of  $D$  with the eigenvalue  $\lambda$  such that it is constant on every cell of  $\pi$ . Hence if  $p \neq 0$ , as we saw in proposition 2.2, we can construct the function  $\tilde{p}$  from  $p$  such that  $\tilde{p}$  is an eigenvector for the matrix  $Q$  with the eigenvalue  $\lambda$ , where  $Q$  is a quotient matrix of  $D$  over  $\pi$ .

We now can deduce that if  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of the distance matrix  $D$  with the eigenvector  $f \neq 0$  such that  $\lambda$  is not an eigenvalue of the matrix  $Q$ , then the function  $p$  is the zero function. Thus we have the following result.

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a vertex-transitive graph and  $D$  be a distance matrix for  $G$ . Let  $f \neq 0$  be an eigenvector with the eigenvalue  $\lambda$  for  $D$ . Let  $H$  be a subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(G)$  and  $\pi$  be its orbit partition on  $V$  and  $Q$  is a quotient matrix of  $D$  over  $\pi$ . If  $\lambda$  is not an eigenvalue of  $Q$ , then the sum of the values of  $f$  on each cell of  $\pi$  is zero.*

Now consider the function  $p$  defined in (\*\*). As we saw, it is favorite that  $p \neq 0$ . In the next theorem we state some conditions, holding those guarantee that  $p \neq 0$ .

**Proposition 2.5.** *Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a vertex-transitive graph and  $D$  be a distance matrix for  $G$ . Let  $H$  be a subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(G)$  with the orbit partition  $\pi$  on  $V$  such that  $\pi$  has a singleton cell  $\{x\}$ . Let  $\lambda$  be an eigenvalue of  $D$ . Then  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue for the matrix  $Q$ , where  $Q$  is a quotient matrix of  $D$  over  $\pi$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $0 \neq f$  be an eigenvector with the eigenvalue  $\lambda$  for  $D$ . Since  $0 \neq f$ , hence there is an element  $w \in V$  such that  $f(w) \neq 0$ . Since  $G$  is a vertex-transitive graph, then  $x^g = w$ , for some  $g \in \text{Aut}(G)$ . Let  $t = f^g$ . Thus we have,

$$t(x) = f^g(x) = f(x^g) = f(w) \neq 0.$$

Let  $p = \sum_{h \in H} t^h$ . Then by Proposition 2.3,  $p$  is an eigenvector with the eigenvalue  $\lambda$  for  $D$  such that it is constant on each cell of the partition  $\pi$ . On the other hand we have,

$$p(x) = \sum_{h \in H} t^h(x) = \sum_{h \in H} t(x^h) = |H|t(x) \neq 0.$$

Hence by proposition 2.2,  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue for the matrix  $Q$ . □

From Theorem 2.1, Proposition 2.2, and Proposition 2.5, we obtain the following important result.

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a vertex-transitive graph with the distance matrix  $D$ . Let  $H$  be a subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(G)$  with the orbit partition  $\pi$  on  $V$  such that  $\pi$  has a singleton cell  $\{x\}$ . Let  $Q = Q_\pi$  be a quotient matrix of  $D$  over  $\pi$ . Then the set of distinct eigenvalues of  $D$  is equal to the set of distinct eigenvalues of  $Q$ .*

In the sequel, we will see how Theorem 2.6, help us in finding the set of distance eigenvalues of the line graph of the crown graph.

Let  $n \geq 3$  be an integer and  $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Let  $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$  be an  $n$ -set disjoint from  $[n]$ . The crown graph  $Cr(n)$  is a graph with the vertex-set  $[n] \cup X$  and the edge-set  $E_0 = \{e_{ij} = \{i, x_j\} \mid i, j \in [n], i \neq j\}$ . Thus,  $L(Cr(n))$ , the line graph of  $Cr(n)$ , is a graph with the vertex-set  $E_0$  in which two vertices  $e_{ij}$  and  $e_{rs}$  are adjacent if and only if  $i = r$  or  $j = s$ .

Let  $V = \{(i, j) \mid i, j \in [n], i \neq j\}$ . Let  $G$  be a graph with the vertex-set  $V$  in which two vertices  $(i, j)$  and  $(r, s)$  are adjacent if and only if  $i = r$  or  $j = s$ . It is easy to check that the graph  $G$  is isomorphic with the graph  $L(Cr(n))$ . Hence in the sequel we work on the graph  $G$  and call it the line graph of the crown graph and denote it by  $L(Cr(n))$ .

It is easy to see that  $L(Cr(3))$  is the cycle graph  $C_6$ , which its structure is known and its line graph is again  $C_6$ . Hence in the rest of the paper we assume that  $n \geq 4$ . It is easy to check that two non adjacent vertices  $(i, j)$  and  $(r, s)$  are at distance 2 from each other whenever  $i = s$  or  $j = r$  or  $\{i, j\} \cap \{r, s\} = \emptyset$ . Moreover, vertices  $(i, j)$  and  $(j, i)$  are at distance 3 from each other ( $P : (i, j), (x, j), (x, i), (j, i)$  is a shortest path between the vertices  $(i, j)$  and  $(j, i)$ ). Thus the diameter of the graph  $L(Cr(n))$  is 3.

Note that since the crown graph  $Cr(n)$  is a regular graph and its adjacency spectrum is known [4], hence the adjacency spectrum of its line graph, that is,  $L(Cr(n))$  is known [3,5,7].

**Remark 2.7.** Although the crown graph  $Cr(n)$  is a distance-transitive graph (and consequently it is distance-regular [4,9]), it is easy to check that the graph  $L(Cr(n))$  is not distance-regular. Hence we can not use the theory of distance-regular graphs for determining the set of distance eigenvalues of this graph.

Figure 1, shows the graph  $L(Cr(4))$ . Note that in this figure the vertex  $(i, j)$  is denoted by  $ij$ .




Figure 1. The graph  $L(Cr(4))$

Since the graph  $Cr(n)$  is distance-transitive, hence it is edge-transitive. Thus the graph  $L(Cr(n))$  is a vertex-transitive graph. For each  $\alpha \in Sym([n])$ , let  $f_\alpha$  be the function on the vertex-set of the graph  $L(Cr(n))$  defined by the rule,  $f_\alpha(i, j) = (\alpha(i), \alpha(j))$ . Let  $\beta$  be the function on the vertex-set of the graph  $L(Cr(n))$  defined by the rule,  $\beta(i, j) = (j, i)$ . Now we can check that  $Aut(L(Cr(n))) \cong Sym([n]) \times \langle \beta \rangle$  [9,11,13], where  $\langle \beta \rangle$  is the subgroup generated by the automorphism  $\beta$  in the automorphism group of the graph  $L(Cr(n))$ .

**Theorem 2.8.** *Let  $n > 3$  be an integer. Then the line graph of the crown graph, that is, the graph  $L(Cr(n))$  is a distance integral graph with distinct distance eigenvalues,  $-n - 1, -n + 3, -1, 1, 2n^2 - 4n + 3$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $D$  be a distance matrix of the graph  $L(Cr(n))$ . In the first step, we proceed to construct an orbit partition  $\pi$  for the vertex-set of  $L(Cr(n))$ , such that this partition has a singleton cell. If we construct such a partition, then since the graph  $L(Cr(n))$  is a vertex-transitive graph, then by Theorem 2.6, every distance eigenvalue of the graph  $L(Cr(n))$  is an eigenvalue of the matrix  $Q$  and vice versa, where  $Q$  is the quotient matrix of  $D$  over  $\pi$ . Let  $H = \{f_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \text{Sym}([n]), \alpha(1) = 1, \alpha(2) = 2\}$ . Then  $H$  is a subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(L(Cr(n)))$ , the automorphism group of  $L(Cr(n))$ . Let  $H_1 = \{\alpha \mid f_\alpha \in H\}$ . Note that  $H_1$  is a subgroup of  $\text{Sym}([n])$  isomorphic with  $\text{Sym}([n - 2])$ . In the sequel, we want to determine the orbit partition of the subgroup  $H$ . In fact,  $H$  generates the following orbits;

$$O_1 = H((1, 2)) = \{h((1, 2)) \mid h \in H\} = \{(\alpha(1), \alpha(2)) \mid \alpha \in H_1\} = \{(1, 2)\}.$$

$$O_2 = H((1, 3)) = \{h((1, 3)) \mid h \in H\} = \{(\alpha(1), \alpha(3)) \mid \alpha \in H_1\} = \{(1, i) \mid 3 \leq i \leq n\}.$$

$$O_3 = H((3, 1)) = \{h((3, 1)) \mid h \in H\} = \{(\alpha(3), \alpha(1)) \mid \alpha \in H_1\} = \{(i, 1) \mid 3 \leq i \leq n\}.$$

$$O_4 = H((2, 1)) = \{h((2, 1)) \mid h \in H\} = \{(\alpha(2), \alpha(1)) \mid \alpha \in H_1\} = \{(2, 1)\}.$$

$$O_5 = H((2, 3)) = \{h((2, 3)) \mid h \in H\} = \{(\alpha(2), \alpha(3)) \mid \alpha \in H_1\} = \{(2, i) \mid 3 \leq i \leq n\}.$$

$$O_6 = H((3, 2)) = \{h((3, 2)) \mid h \in H\} = \{(\alpha(3), \alpha(2)) \mid \alpha \in H_1\} = \{(i, 2) \mid 3 \leq i \leq n\}.$$

$$O_7 = H((3, 4)) = \{h((3, 4)) \mid h \in H\} = \{(\alpha(3), \alpha(4)) \mid \alpha \in H_1\} = \{(i, j) \mid 3 \leq i, j \leq n, i \neq j\}.$$

If we let  $\pi = \{O_1, O_2, O_3, \dots, O_7\}$ , then  $O_1 \cup O_2 \cup \dots \cup O_7 = V = V(L(Cr(n)))$ . Let  $Q = (q_{ij})_{7 \times 7}$ , be the quotient matrix of  $D$  over  $\pi$ , that is,  $q_{ij}$  is the sum of the distances of a vertex in the cell  $O_i$  from all the vertices in the cell  $O_j$ . Then the following hold.

$$q_{11} = 0.$$

$q_{12} = n - 2$ . Because the vertex  $(1, 2) \in O_1$  is adjacent to all the  $n - 2$  vertices in  $O_2$ .

$q_{13} = 2(n - 2) = 2n - 4$ . Because the distance of the vertex  $(1, 2) \in O_1$  is 2 from every vertex in  $O_3$ . Note that  $|O_3| = n - 2$ .



$q_{14} = 3$ . Because the distance of the vertex  $(1, 2) \in O_1$  is 3 from the vertex  $(2, 1) \in O_4$ .

$q_{15} = 2(n - 2) = 2n - 4$ . Because the distance of the vertex  $(1, 2) \in O_1$  is 2 from every vertex in  $O_5$ . Note that  $|O_5| = n - 2$ .

$q_{16} = n - 2$ . Because the distance of the vertex  $(1, 2) \in O_1$  is 1 from every vertex in  $O_6$ .

$q_{17} = 2(n - 2)(n - 3)$ . Because the distance of the vertex  $(1, 2) \in O_1$  is 2 from every vertex in  $O_7$ . Note that  $|O_7| = (n - 2)(n - 3)$ .

$q_{21} = 1$ . Because the distance of the vertex  $(1, 3) \in O_2$  is 1 from the vertex  $(1, 2)$  in  $O_1$ .

$q_{22} = n - 3$ . Because the distance of the vertex  $(1, 3) \in O_2$  is 1 from each of the other vertices in  $O_2$ . Note that  $|O_2| = n - 2$ .

$q_{23} = 2n - 3$ . Because the distance of the vertex  $(1, 3) \in O_2$  is 3 from the vertex  $(3, 1)$ , and is 2 from each of the other vertices in  $O_3$ . Hence we have  $q_{23} = 3 + 2(n - 3) = 2n - 3$ . Note that  $|O_3| = n - 2$ .

$q_{24} = 2$ . Because the distance of the vertex  $(1, 3) \in O_2$  is 2 from the vertex  $(2, 1)$  in  $O_4$ .

$q_{25} = 2n - 5$ . Because the distance of the vertex  $(1, 3) \in O_2$  is 1 from the vertex  $(2, 3)$ , and is 2 from each of the other vertices in  $O_5$ . Hence we have  $q_{25} = 1 + 2(n - 3) = 2n - 5$ . Note that  $|O_5| = n - 2$ .

$q_{26} = 2n - 4$ . Because the distance of the vertex  $(1, 3) \in O_2$  is 2 from every vertex in  $O_6$ . Note that  $|O_6| = n - 2$ .

$q_{27} = (n - 3)(2n - 5)$ . Because the distance of the vertex  $(1, 3) \in O_2$  from every vertex of the form  $(j, 3)$ ,  $4 \leq j \leq n$ , in  $O_7$  is 1 and from each of the other vertices in  $O_7$  is 2. Hence we have  $q_{27} = (n - 3) + 2((n - 3) + (n - 4)(n - 3)) = (n - 3) + 2(n - 3)(n - 3) = (n - 3)(2n - 5)$ .

$q_{31} = 2$ . Because the distance of the vertex  $(3, 1) \in O_3$  is 2 from the vertex  $(1, 2)$  in  $O_1$ .

$q_{32} = 2n - 3$ . Because the distance of the vertex  $(3, 1) \in O_3$  is 3 from the vertex  $(1, 3)$  in  $O_2$  and from each of the other vertices is 2. Hence we have  $q_{32} = 3 + 2(n - 3)$ .

$q_{33} = n - 3$ . Because  $|O_3| = n - 2$  and the vertex  $(3, 1) \in O_3$  is adjacent to each of the other vertices in  $O_3$ .

$$q_{34} = 1.$$

$q_{35} = 2n - 4$ . Because the distance of the vertex  $(3, 1) \in O_3$  is 2 from every vertex in  $O_5$ .

$q_{36} = 2n - 5$ . Because the distance of the vertex  $(3, 1) \in O_3$  is 1 from the vertex  $(3, 2)$  in  $O_6$  and from each of the other vertices is 2. Hence we have  $q_{36} = 1 + 2(n - 3) = 2n - 5$ .

$q_{37} = (n - 3)(2n - 5)$ . Because the distance of the vertex  $(3, 1) \in O_3$  from every vertex of the form  $(3, j)$ ,  $4 \leq j \leq n$ , in  $O_7$  is 1 and from each of the other vertices in  $O_7$  is 2. Hence we have  $q_{37} = (n - 3) + 2((n - 3)(n - 3)) = (n - 3)(2n - 5)$ .

$$q_{41} = 3.$$

$q_{42} = 2n - 4$ . Because the distance of the vertex  $(2, 1) \in O_4$  is 2 from every vertex in  $O_2$ , hence we have  $q_{42} = 2(n - 2)$ .

$q_{43} = n - 2$ . Because the vertex  $(2, 1) \in O_4$  is adjacent to every vertex in  $O_3$ .

$$q_{44} = 0.$$

$q_{45} = n - 2$ . Because the vertex  $(2, 1) \in O_4$  is adjacent to every vertex in  $O_5$ .

$q_{46} = 2n - 4$ . Because the distance of the vertex  $(1, 2) \in O_4$  is 2 from every vertex in  $O_6$ . Hence we have  $q_{46} = 2(n - 2)$ .

$q_{47} = 2(n - 2)(n - 3)$ . Because the distance of the vertex  $(2, 1) \in O_4$  is 2 from every vertex in  $O_7$ . Since  $|O_7| = (n - 2)(n - 3)$ , thus we have  $q_{47} = 2(n - 2)(n - 3)$ .

$q_{51} = 2$ . Because the distance of the vertex  $(2, 3) \in O_5$  is 2 from the vertex  $(1, 2)$  in  $O_1$ .

$q_{52} = 2n - 5$ . Because the distance of the vertex  $(2, 3) \in O_5$  is 1 from the vertex  $(1, 3)$  in  $O_2$ , and is 2 from each of the other vertices in  $O_2$ . Hence we have  $q_{52} = 1 + 2(n - 3) = 2n - 5$ .

$q_{53} = 2n - 4$ . Because the distance of the vertex  $(2, 3) \in O_5$  is 2 from every vertex in  $O_3$ .

$q_{54} = 1$ . Because the vertex  $(2, 3) \in O_5$  is adjacent to vertex  $(2, 1)$  in  $O_4$ .

$q_{55} = n - 3$ . Because the vertex  $(2, 3) \in O_5$  is adjacent to every other vertex in  $O_5$ .

$q_{56} = 2n - 3$ . Because the distance of the vertex  $(2, 3) \in O_5$  is 3 from the vertex  $(3, 2)$  in  $O_6$  and is 2 from every other vertex in  $O_6$ . Thus we have  $q_{56} = 3 + 2(n - 3)$ .

$q_{57} = (n - 3)(2n - 5)$ . Because the distance of the vertex  $(2, 3) \in O_5$  from every vertex of the form  $(j, 3)$ ,  $4 \leq j \leq n$ , in  $O_7$  is 1 and from each of the other vertices in  $O_7$  is 2. Hence we have  $q_{57} = (n - 3) + 2((n - 3) + (n - 4)(n - 3)) = (n - 3) + 2(n - 3)(n - 3) = (n - 3)(2n - 5)$ .

$q_{61} = 1$ . Because the vertex  $(3, 2) \in O_6$  is adjacent to the vertex  $(1, 2)$  in  $O_1$ .

$q_{62} = 2n - 4$ . Because the distance of the vertex  $(3, 2) \in O_6$  is 2 from every vertex in  $O_2$ . Hence we have  $q_{62} = 2(n - 2)$ .

$q_{63} = 2n - 5$ . Because the distance of the vertex  $(3, 2) \in O_6$  is 1 from the vertex  $(3, 1)$  in  $O_3$  and is 2 from every other vertex in  $O_3$ . Thus we have  $q_{63} = 1 + 2(n - 3)$ .

$q_{64} = 2$ . Because the distance of the vertex  $(3, 2) \in O_6$  is 2 from the vertex  $(2, 1)$  in  $O_4$ .

$q_{65} = 2n - 3$ . Because the distance of the vertex  $(3, 2) \in O_6$  is 3 from the vertex  $(2, 3)$  in  $O_5$ , and is 2 from every other vertex in  $O_5$ . Thus we have,  $q_{65} = 3 + 2(n - 3)$ .

$q_{66} = n - 3$ . Because the vertex  $(3, 2) \in O_6$  is adjacent to every other vertex in  $O_6$ . Note that  $|O_6| = n - 2$ .

$q_{67} = (n - 3)(2n - 5)$ . Because the distance of the vertex  $(3, 2) \in O_6$  from every vertex of the form  $(3, j)$ ,  $4 \leq j \leq n$ , in  $O_7$  is 1 and from each of the other vertices in  $O_7$  is 2. Hence we have  $q_{67} = (n - 3) + 2((n - 3) + (n - 4)(n - 3)) = (n - 3) + 2(n - 3)(n - 3) = (n - 3)(2n - 5)$ .

$q_{71} = 2$ . Because the distance of the vertex  $(3, 4) \in O_7$  is 2 from the vertex  $(1, 2)$  in  $O_1$ .

$q_{72} = 2n - 5$ . Because the distance of the vertex  $(3, 4) \in O_7$  is 1 from the vertex  $(2, 4)$  and is 2 from every other vertex in  $O_2$ . Thus we have  $q_{72} = 1 + 2(n - 3)$ .

$q_{73} = 2n - 5$ . Because the distance of the vertex  $(3, 4) \in O_7$  is 1 from the vertex  $(3, 1)$ , and is 2 from every other vertex in  $O_3$ . Thus we have  $q_{73} = 1 + 2(n - 3)$ .

$q_{74} = 2$ . Because the distance of the vertex  $(3, 4) \in O_7$  is 2 from the vertex  $(2, 1)$  in  $O_4$ .

$q_{75} = 2n - 5$ . Because the distance of the vertex  $(3, 4) \in O_7$  is 1 from the vertex  $(2, 4)$  in  $O_5$  and is 2 from every other vertex in  $O_5$ . Thus we have  $q_{75} = 1 + 2(n - 3)$ .

$q_{76} = 2n - 5$ . Because the distance of the vertex  $(3, 4) \in O_7$  is 1 from the

vertex  $(3, 2)$  in  $O_6$ , and is 2 from every other vertex in  $O_6$ . Thus we have  $q_{76} = 1 + 2(n - 3)$ .

$q_{77} = 2(n - 4)(n - 2) + 3$ . Because the distance of the vertex  $(3, 4) \in O_7$  from every vertex in  $O_7$  of the form  $(3, j)$ ,  $5 \leq j \leq n$ , is 1, and from every vertex in  $O_7$  of the form  $(i, 4)$ ,  $5 \leq i \leq n$ , is 1, and from the vertex  $(4, 3)$  is 3, and from every vertex in  $O_7$  of the form  $(i, j)$ ,  $4 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $j \neq 4$ , is 2. Hence we have  $q_{77} = (n - 4) + (n - 4) + 3 + 2(n - 4)(n - 3) = 2(n - 4)(1 + n - 3) + 3 = 2(n - 4)(n - 2) + 3$ .

Therefore, we obtain the following quotient matrix  $Q$  of  $D$  over  $\pi$ .

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & n - 2 & 2n - 4 & 3 & 2n - 4 & n - 2 & 2(n - 2)(n - 3) \\ 1 & n - 3 & 2n - 3 & 2 & 2n - 5 & 2n - 4 & (n - 3)(2n - 5) \\ 2 & 2n - 3 & n - 3 & 1 & 2n - 4 & 2n - 5 & (n - 3)(2n - 5) \\ 3 & 2n - 4 & n - 2 & 0 & n - 2 & 2n - 4 & 2(n - 2)(n - 3) \\ 2 & 2n - 5 & 2n - 4 & 1 & n - 3 & 2n - 3 & (n - 3)(2n - 5) \\ 1 & 2n - 4 & 2n - 5 & 2 & 2n - 3 & n - 3 & (n - 3)(2n - 5) \\ 2 & 2n - 5 & 2n - 5 & 2 & 2n - 5 & 2n - 5 & 2(n - 4)(n - 2) + 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

We can use the Wolfram Mathematica [15] for finding the eigenvalues of the matrix  $Q$ . Using the Wolfram Mathematica we have,

$$Q = \left\{ \left\{ 0, n - 2, 2n - 4, 3, 2n - 4, n - 2, 2(n - 2)(n - 3) \right\}, \right. \\ \left. \left\{ 1, n - 3, 2n - 3, 2, 2n - 5, 2n - 4, (n - 3)(2n - 5) \right\}, \right. \\ \left. \left\{ 2, 2n - 3, n - 3, 1, 2n - 4, 2n - 5, (n - 3)(2n - 5) \right\}, \right. \\ \left. \left\{ 3, 2n - 4, n - 2, 0, n - 2, 2n - 4, 2(n - 2)(n - 3) \right\}, \right. \\ \left. \left\{ 2, 2n - 5, 2n - 4, 1, n - 3, 2n - 3, (n - 3)(2n - 5) \right\}, \right. \\ \left. \left\{ 1, 2n - 4, 2n - 5, 2, 2n - 3, n - 3, (n - 3)(2n - 5) \right\}, \right. \\ \left. \left\{ 2, 2n - 5, 2n - 5, 2, 2n - 5, 2n - 5, 2(n - 4)(n - 2) + 3 \right\} \right\}$$

$$\text{Eigenvalues}[Q] = \{-1, 1, -1 - n, -1 - n, 3 - n, 3 - n, 3 - 4n + 2n^2\}.$$

We now conclude that the set  $\{-n - 1, -n + 3, -1, 1, 2n^2 - 4n + 3\}$ , is the set of all distinct eigenvalues of the graph  $L(Cr(n))$ .  $\square$

**Remark 2.9.** It is not true that if  $G = (V, E)$  is an integral distance-transitive graph, then its line graph  $L(G)$  is distance integral. Using Wolfram Mathematica [15], one can see that the Johnson graph  $J(6, 2)$  which is an integral distance-transitive graph, is distance integral. But its line graph, that is,  $L(J(6, 2))$  is not distance integral.

## References

- [1] Aouchiche M, Hansen P, Distance spectra of graphs: a survey, Linear Algebra Appl. 458 (2014), 301-386.

- [2] Balińska K, Cvetković D, Radosavljević Z, Simić S, Stevanović D, A survey on integral graphs. *Publ. Elektroteh. Fak., Univ. Beogr., Ser. Mat.* 13 (2002), 42–65.
- [3] Biggs N.L, *Algebraic Graph Theory 1993* (Second edition), Cambridge Mathematical Library (Cambridge University Press; Cambridge).
- [4] Brouwer A.E, Cohen A.M, Neumaier A, *Distance-Regular Graphs.* (1989), Springer-Verlag, New York.
- [5] Brouwer A.E, Haemers WH, *Spectra of Graphs,* Springer, (2012).
- [6] Cvetkovic D, Rowlinson P, Simic S, *An introduction to the theory of graph spectra,* Cambridge University Press, (2010).
- [7] Godsil C, Royle G, *Algebraic Graph Theory,* Springer, (2001).
- [8] Harary F and Schwenk A.J, Which graphs have integral spectra?, In *Graphs and Combinatorics,* (eds. R. Bari and F. Harary), (Proc. Capital Conf., George Washington Univ., Washington, D.C., 1973), *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* 406, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1974), 45-51.
- [9] Mirafzal S.M, A. Zafari, Some algebraic properties of bipartite Kneser graphs. arXiv:1804.04570 [math.GR] 12 Apr 2018, (to appear in *Ars Combinatoria*).
- [10] Mirafzal S.M, A new class of integral graphs constructed from the hypercube. *Linear Algebra Appl.* 558 (2018) 186-194.
- [11] Mirafzal S.M, The automorphism group of the bipartite Kneser graph, *Proceedings-Mathematical Sciences,* (2019), doi.org/10.1007/s12044-019-0477-9.
- [12] Mirafzal S.M, Cayley properties of the line graphs induced by consecutive layers of the hypercube, *Bulletin of the Malaysian Mathematical Sciences Society,* DOI: 10.1007/s40840-020-01009-3, (2020).
- [13] Mirafzal S.M, On the automorphism groups of connected bipartite irreducible graphs. *Proc. Math. Sci.* (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12044-020-0589-1>
- [14] Pokorný M, P. Híc P, Stevanović D, Milošević M, On distance integral graphs, *Discrete Math,* 338 (2015), 1784–1792.
- [15] Stephen Wolfram, *Wolfram Mathematica* 8.