

THE INTEGER GROUP DETERMINANTS FOR THE HEISENBERG GROUP OF ORDER p^3

MICHAEL J. MOSSINGHOFF AND CHRISTOPHER PINNER

ABSTRACT. We establish a congruence satisfied by the integer group determinants for the non-abelian Heisenberg group of order p^3 . We characterize all determinant values coprime to p , give sharp divisibility conditions for multiples of p , and determine all values when $p = 3$. We also provide new sharp conditions on the power of p dividing the group determinants for \mathbb{Z}_p^2 .

For a finite group, the integer group determinants can be understood as corresponding to Lind's generalization of the Mahler measure. We speculate on the Lind-Mahler measure for the discrete Heisenberg group and for two other infinite non-abelian groups arising from symmetries of the plane and 3-space.

1. INTRODUCTION

Recall that for a group $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_k\}$ and variables a_{g_1}, \dots, a_{g_k} , the *group determinant* of G is the homogeneous polynomial of degree k in the a_{g_i} arising from the $k \times k$ determinant

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{D}(a_{g_1}, \dots, a_{g_k}) = \det \left(a_{g_i g_j^{-1}} \right),$$

where $i = 1, \dots, k$ indexes rows and $j = 1, \dots, k$ columns. The group determinant determines the group [6]. Taussky-Todd asked what values the group determinant takes when the a_g are all integers,

$$(2) \quad \mathcal{S}(G) = \{ \mathcal{D}(a_{g_1}, \dots, a_{g_k}) : a_{g_1}, \dots, a_{g_n} \in \mathbb{Z} \},$$

with particular interest in the cyclic groups, where these are circulant determinants. For a p -group it is often convenient to write

$$\mathcal{S}(G) = \mathcal{S}_0(G) \cup \mathcal{S}_1(G),$$

where $\mathcal{S}_1(G)$ are the values coprime to p and $\mathcal{S}_0(G)$ are the multiples of p . For example, Laquer [10] and Newman [16] studied this question for cyclic p -groups, showing that

$$\mathcal{S}_1(\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}) = \{ m \in \mathbb{Z} : p \nmid m \}$$

for $k \geq 1$, as well as

$$(3) \quad \mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{Z}_p) = p^2 \mathbb{Z},$$

and

$$p^{2k} \mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}) \subseteq p^{k+1} \mathbb{Z}$$

Date: August 12, 2021.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 11C20, 15B36; Secondary: 11B83, 11C08, 11G50, 11R06, 11R09, 11T22, 20H25, 20H30, 43A40.

Key words and phrases. Group determinant, Heisenberg group, Lind-Lehmer constant, Mahler measure.

for $k \geq 2$, with $\mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{Z}_9) = 3^3\mathbb{Z}$ but $\mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}) \neq p^3\mathbb{Z}$ for $p \geq 5$. From [19]

$$(4) \quad \mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{Z}_3^2) = 3^6\mathbb{Z}, \quad \mathcal{S}_1(\mathbb{Z}_3^2) = \{m : m \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{9}\}.$$

For a finite abelian group the group determinant factors into linear factors and coincides with Lind's generalization of the Mahler measure to an arbitrary compact abelian group [12]. This is defined in terms of the characters of the group, with the classical Mahler measure corresponding to the group \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} , see for example [8, 9]. The Lind-Lehmer problem for the group then corresponds to finding the smallest non-trivial element of $\mathcal{S}(G)$. Results of this type for $G = \mathbb{Z}_n$, \mathbb{Z}_p^k and $\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ can be found respectively in [18], [5] and [4].

For a finite group G we can use the group determinant to define a Mahler-type measure on the elements $F = \sum_{g \in G} a_g g$ of the group ring $\mathbb{Z}[G]$:

$$m_G(F) := \frac{1}{|G|} \log M_G(F), \quad M_G(F) := \mathcal{D}(a_{g_1}, \dots, a_{g_n}).$$

This extends the concept of Lind measure to non-abelian finite groups. The elements of $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ can be associated with elements from a polynomial ring, with non-commuting monomials in the case of a non-abelian group, and the usual multiplicative property $M_G(f_1, f_2) = M_G(f_1)M_G(f_2)$ of the Mahler measure if we multiply and reduce polynomials using the group relations on the monomials. The Lind-Lehmer problem for the group then becomes to determine

$$(5) \quad \lambda(G) := \frac{1}{|G|} \log \min \{|M_G(F)| \geq 2 : F \in \mathbb{Z}[G]\}.$$

Alternative approaches can be found in [3, 13, 14]. The case of the dihedral group was considered in [1], and a complete description of the integer group determinants for all groups of order at most 14 was obtained in [19].

Recall that for a commutative ring with unity R , the *Heisenberg group* over R , denoted $H(R)$, is the group of upper triangular 3×3 matrices under matrix multiplication:

$$H(R) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a & c \\ 0 & 1 & b \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : a, b, c \in R \right\}.$$

Here we are concerned with the discrete Heisenberg group $H = H(\mathbb{Z})$, an infinite non-abelian group, and with $H_p = H(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ one of the two non-abelian groups of order p^3 . Recall that there are five groups of order p^3 : the three abelian groups \mathbb{Z}_{p^3} (see [9, 15]), \mathbb{Z}_p^3 (see [5]) and $\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ (see [4]), and the two non-abelian groups H_p and (the yet to be explored) $\mathbb{Z}_p \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$. We assume throughout that $p \geq 3$; the group H_2 corresponds to the dihedral group D_8 , and a complete description of its group determinants was obtained in [1]:

$$\mathcal{S}_1(D_8) = \{4m + 1 : m \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \quad \mathcal{S}_0(D_8) = 2^8\mathbb{Z}.$$

This paper is organized in the following way. In Section 2 we characterize the values of $\mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{Z}_p^2)$, as this is needed in our study of group determinants for the Heisenberg groups H_p . Section 3 defines the H_p measure of a polynomial and states our main results concerning the sets $\mathcal{S}_0(H_p)$ and $\mathcal{S}_1(H_p)$. Proofs of these results appear in Section 4. Finally, Section 5 speculates on the Lind-Mahler measure for three infinite non-abelian groups: the discrete Heisenberg group $H(\mathbb{Z})$, the group

of rotations and reflections of the circle D_∞ , and $D_{\infty h}$ when reflections through the origin are added to D_∞ .

2. THE GROUP $G = \mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p$

For $G = \mathbb{Z}_p^n$ the group determinant $\mathcal{D}_G(a_{g_1}, \dots, a_{g_p^n})$ takes the form

$$M_G(F) = \prod_{j_1=0}^{p-1} \cdots \prod_{j_n=0}^{p-1} F(w^{j_1}, \dots, w^{j_n}), \quad w := e^{2\pi i/p},$$

where

$$F(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{g=(m_1, \dots, m_n) \in G} a_g x_1^{m_1} \cdots x_n^{m_n} \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n].$$

In [5] it was shown that $\mathcal{S}_1(\mathbb{Z}_p^n)$ is exactly the set

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_n &:= \{m \in \mathbb{Z} : m \equiv a^{p^{n-1}} \pmod{p^n}, \text{ for some } 1 \leq a < p\} \\ &= \{x \in \mathbb{Z} : x^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^n}\}. \end{aligned}$$

For example, we get

$$\mathcal{S}_1(\mathbb{Z}_3^n) = \{m : m \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{3^n}\}.$$

Writing $u := 1 - w$ we have $|u|_p = p^{-1/(p-1)}$ and $F(w^{j_1}, \dots, w^{j_n}) \equiv F(1, \dots, 1) \pmod{u}$, and $p \mid M_G(F)$ if and only if $p \mid F(1, \dots, 1)$, with u dividing all the other terms. We immediately obtain the rough bound

$$(7) \quad p \mid M_G(F) \Rightarrow p^{1+(p^n-1)/(p-1)} \mid M_G(F).$$

This was enough in [5], where the primary concern was the smallest non-trivial measure (the values divisible by p are clearly larger than the trivial bound $|G| - 1$), and from (3) is plainly sharp when $n = 1$. It can be improved though when $n \geq 2$. To obtain the optimal p divisibility result for $G = H_p$ we need the optimal result for $G = \mathbb{Z}_p^2$, which we establish in the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1. *If $G = \mathbb{Z}_p^2$ and $p \mid M_G(F)$ then $p^{p+3} \mid M_G(F)$. Moreover, for any $k \geq 0$ there exists a polynomial $F \in \mathbb{Z}[x, y]$ with $p^{p+3+k} \parallel M_G(F)$.*

Proof. We expand F and write

$$F(x, y) = A + B(1-x) + C(1-y) + \sum_{i+j \geq 2} A_{ij}(1-x)^i(1-y)^j.$$

Plainly $F(\omega^i, \omega^j) \equiv A \pmod{u}$ and as above $p \mid M_G(F)$ forces $p \mid A = F(1, 1)$, $F(\omega^i, \omega^j) \equiv 0 \pmod{u}$ and $p^{1+(p^2-1)/(p-1)} \mid M_G(F)$. As $M_G(F)$ is an integer, to improve the exponent from $p+2$ to $p+3$ it will be enough to show that $F(\omega^i, \omega^j) \equiv 0 \pmod{u^2}$ for at least one of the $(i, j) \neq (0, 0)$. From $\omega^i = (1-u)^i \equiv 1 - iu \pmod{u^2}$,

$$F(\omega^i, \omega^j) \equiv A + B(1 - \omega^i) + C(1 - \omega^j) \equiv (Bi + Cj)(1 - \omega) \pmod{u^2}.$$

Hence if $p \mid B$ we can take $j = 0$ and any $i = 1, \dots, p-1$, and if $p \nmid B$ we can take $i \equiv -CB^{-1}j \pmod{p}$ for any $j = 1, \dots, p-1$ and $F(\omega^i, \omega^j) \equiv 0 \pmod{u^2}$.

For $F(x, y) = A_1 p^{1+k} + A_2(1-x) + A_3(1-y)^2$, $p \nmid A_1 A_2 A_3$, we have $p^{1+k} \parallel F(1, 1)$, $u \parallel F(\omega^i, \omega^j)$, $1 \leq i < p$, $0 \leq j < p$, and if $p \geq 5$, $u^2 \parallel F(1, \omega^j)$, $1 \leq j < p$, giving $p^{1+k+p+2} \parallel M_G(F)$. For $p = 3$ we know that we can achieve all 3^{6+k} by (4). \square

3. THE HEISENBERG GROUPS

Writing

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

we have

$$X^a Y^b Z^c = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b & c \\ 0 & 1 & a \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad XZ = ZX, \quad YZ = ZY, \quad YX = XYZ.$$

Hence we can write

$$H_p = \{x^a y^b z^c : 0 \leq a, b, c < p, \quad x^p = y^p = z^p = 1, \quad xz = zx, \quad yz = zy, \quad yx = xyz\},$$

and

$$H = \{x^a y^b z^c : a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad xz = zx, \quad yz = zy, \quad yx = xyz\}.$$

3.1. The H_p measure of a polynomial. For $G = H_p$ we can think of the elements in $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ as polynomials

$$(8) \quad F(x, y, z) = \sum_{0 \leq i, j, k < p} a_{ijk} x^i y^j z^k, \quad a_{ijk} \in \mathbb{Z},$$

in a ring with non-commutative variables satisfying the group relations $x^p = y^p = z^p = 1$, $xz = zx$, $yz = zy$, $yx = xyz$. It will be convenient to write (8) in the form

$$F(x, y, z) = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} x^i f_i(y, z), \quad f_i(y, z) = \sum_{0 \leq j, k < p} a_{ijk} y^j z^k.$$

From Frobenius [7] (see [2, 6]), we have a factorization of the group determinant

$$\mathcal{D}(a_{g_1}, \dots, a_{g_k}) = \prod_{\rho \in \hat{G}} \det \rho \left(\sum_{g \in G} a_g g \right)^{\deg(\rho)},$$

where \hat{G} is the set of irreducible representations for G .

For $G = H_p$ we have p^2 degree 1 characters, namely,

$$\chi_{ij}(x) = w^i, \quad \chi_{ij}(y) = w^j, \quad \chi_{ij}(z) = 1, \quad \chi_{ij}(F) = F(w^i, w^j, 1),$$

for $0 \leq i, j < p$, and $(p-1)$ degree p representations ϕ_λ , $\lambda = w^j$, $1 \leq j < p$, with

$$\phi_\lambda(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \phi_\lambda(y) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \lambda^{p-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \phi_\lambda(z) = \lambda I_p.$$

It is readily checked that these do satisfy the group relations and give the desired representations. That is, $\phi_\lambda(x)$ shifts rows down by one cyclically, $\phi_\lambda(y)$ multiplies

the successive rows by $1, \lambda, \dots, \lambda^{p-1}$, and $\phi_\lambda(z)$ multiplies everything by λ , giving

$$\phi_\lambda(F) = \begin{pmatrix} f_0(1, \lambda) & f_{p-1}(1, \lambda) & f_{p-2}(1, \lambda) & \cdots & f_1(1, \lambda) \\ f_1(\lambda, \lambda) & f_0(\lambda, \lambda) & f_{p-1}(\lambda, \lambda) & \cdots & f_2(\lambda, \lambda) \\ f_2(\lambda^2, \lambda) & f_1(\lambda^2, \lambda) & f_0(\lambda^2, \lambda) & \cdots & f_3(\lambda^2, \lambda) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ f_{p-1}(\lambda^{p-1}, \lambda) & f_{p-2}(\lambda^{p-1}, \lambda) & f_{p-3}(\lambda^{p-1}, \lambda) & \cdots & f_0(\lambda^{p-1}, \lambda) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus, the j th row will consist of $f_{p-1}(y, \lambda), f_{p-2}(y, \lambda), \dots, f_0(y, \lambda)$ shifted cyclically j places to the right and evaluated at $y = w^{j-1}$, $j = 1, \dots, p$. Hence we have group determinant

$$M_{H_p}(F) = M_1 M_2^p$$

where

$$M_1 = \prod_{0 \leq i, j < p} \chi_{ij}(F) = \prod_{0 \leq i, j < p} F(w^i, w^j, 1) = M_{\mathbb{Z}_p^2}(F(x, y, 1))$$

and

$$M_2 = \prod_{j=1}^{p-1} D(w^j), \quad D(\lambda) := \det(\phi_\lambda(F)).$$

Note that M_1 and M_2 are integers.

In the case $F(x, y, z) = f_0(y, z)$, we have

$$D(\lambda) = \prod_{j=0}^{p-1} f_0(w^j, \lambda), \quad M_1 = \prod_{j=0}^{p-1} f_0(w^j, 1)^p, \quad M_{H_p}(F) = M_{\mathbb{Z}_p^2}(f_0)^p.$$

Similarly, if $F(x, y, z) = G(x, z) = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} x^i f_i(z)$ then the $D(\lambda)$ are circulant determinants and

$$D(\lambda) = \prod_{i=0}^{p-1} G(w^i, \lambda), \quad M_1 = \prod_{i=0}^{p-1} G(w^i, 1)^p, \quad M_{H_p}(F) = M_{\mathbb{Z}_p^2}(G)^p.$$

This is as we should expect since $\langle Y, Z \rangle$ and $\langle X, Z \rangle$ are abelian subgroups of index p in H_p , both of the form \mathbb{Z}_p^2 .

For a polynomial that is binomial in x ,

$$F(x, y, z) = f_0(y, z) + x^k f_k(y, z), \quad 1 \leq k < p,$$

it is readily seen that

$$(9) \quad D(\lambda) = \prod_{j=0}^{p-1} f_0(w^j, \lambda) + \prod_{j=0}^{p-1} f_k(w^j, \lambda)$$

and

$$(10) \quad M_1 = \prod_{j=0}^{p-1} (f_0(w^j, 1)^p + f_k(w^j, 1)^p).$$

We show that the determinant values for H_p satisfy the following congruence.

Theorem 3.1. *For $G = H_p$ the Heisenberg measure of a polynomial $F(x, y, z)$ of the form (8) satisfies*

$$(11) \quad M_G(F) \equiv F(1, 1, 1)^{p^3} \pmod{p^3}.$$

Notice that reducing a general polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[x, y, z]$ to the standard form (8) using the Heisenberg group relations does not change the value of $F(1, 1, 1)$. Theorem 3.1 is the same congruence satisfied when $G = \mathbb{Z}_p^3$, see [5]. Indeed, from this we deduce that the values coprime to p are the same in both cases.

Corollary 3.2. *In the notation of (6),*

$$\mathcal{S}_1(H_p) = \mathcal{T}_3 = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} : x^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^3}\}.$$

Theorem 3.1 tells us that $\mathcal{S}_1(H_p) \subseteq \mathcal{T}_3$, so Corollary 3.2 follows at once from showing that we can achieve every value in \mathcal{T}_3 :

Theorem 3.3. *For any a in \mathbb{N} with $p \nmid a$ and m in \mathbb{Z} there is an $F(x, y, z)$ of the form (8) with $M_{H_p}(F) = a^{p^2} + mp^3$.*

Of course $a^{p^3} \equiv a^{p^2} \pmod{p^3}$. For the multiples of p we have:

Theorem 3.4. *If $p \mid M_{H_p}(F)$ then $p^{p^2+3} \mid M_{H_p}(F)$. In addition, there exists a polynomial F with $p^{p^2+3} \parallel M_{H_p}(F)$.*

Hence while $\mathcal{S}_1(G)$, the values coprime to p , are the same for $G = \mathbb{Z}_p^3$ and $G = H_p$, we know from (7) and Theorem 3.4 that $\mathcal{S}_0(G)$, the multiples of p , are different.

For the Lind-Lehmer problem (5) we immediately obtain:

Corollary 3.5.

$$\lambda(H_p) = \lambda(\mathbb{Z}_p^3) = \frac{1}{p^3} \log \min\{x \geq 2 : x^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^3}\}.$$

3.2. The group H_3 . From above we know $\mathcal{S}_1(H_3)$ and that $\mathcal{S}_0(H_3) \subseteq 3^{12}\mathbb{Z}$. We show that all multiples of 3^{12} can be achieved. Here and throughout $\Phi_p(x)$ denotes the p th cyclotomic polynomial

$$(12) \quad \Phi_p(x) := 1 + x + \cdots + x^{p-1} = (x^p - 1)/(x - 1).$$

Theorem 3.6. *Let $G = H_3$, then*

$$\mathcal{S}_1(H_3) = \{m : m \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{27}\}, \quad \mathcal{S}_0(H_3) = 3^{12}\mathbb{Z}.$$

Proof. From above we have $\mathcal{S}_1(H_3)$ as stated and $\mathcal{S}_0(H_3) \subseteq 3^{12}\mathbb{Z}$. To see that we get all multiples of 3^{12} , observe that $M_G(-F) = -M_G(F)$ with

$$\begin{aligned} M_G(z + y - y^2 + (y + 1)x + m\Phi_3(x)\Phi_3(y)\Phi_3(z)) &= 3^{12}(1 + 9m), \\ M_G(1 + 2x + x^2\Phi_3(y) + m\Phi_3(x)\Phi_3(y)\Phi_3(z)) &= 3^{12}(2 + 9m), \\ M_G(1 + 2x + (z + x^2)\Phi_3(y) + m\Phi_3(x)\Phi_3(y)\Phi_3(z)) &= 3^{13}(1 + 3m), \\ M_G(1 + 2x - x\Phi_3(y) + m\Phi_3(x)\Phi_3(y)\Phi_3(z)) &= 3^{14}m, \end{aligned}$$

and $3^{12}(4 + 9m)$ from the polynomials

$$1 + y - y^2 + (y + 1)x + \Phi_3(x)\Phi_3(y) + (z - 1)\Phi_3(y) + (z - 1)^2x\Phi_3(y) + m\Phi_3(x)\Phi_3(y)\Phi_3(z).$$

□

4. PROOF OF THEOREMS 3.1, 3.3 AND 3.4

For the proof of Theorem 3.1 we require two preliminary results.

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose $f(x)$ is in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ then*

$$\frac{1}{p} \sum_{y^p=1} f(y)^p \equiv \prod_{y^p=1} f(y) \pmod{p^2}.$$

Proof. We write A_1, \dots, A_p for $f(1), f(w), \dots, f(w^{p-1})$. We need to show that

$$A_1^p + \dots + A_p^p \equiv pA_1 \cdots A_p \pmod{p^3}.$$

First, observe that

$$p \mid e_i(A_1, \dots, A_p), \quad i = 1, \dots, p-1, \quad e_p(A_1, \dots, A_p) = A_1 \cdots A_p,$$

where the e_i are the usual elementary symmetric functions

$$1 + \sum_{i=1}^p e_i(A_1, \dots, A_p)x^i = \prod_{i=1}^p (1 + A_i x).$$

To see this observe that the $A_i \equiv A_1 \pmod{u}$ where $u = 1-w$ and hence $e_i(A_1, \dots, A_p) \equiv e_i(A_1, \dots, A_1) = \binom{p}{i} A_1^i \equiv 0 \pmod{u}$. Since these will be integers this holds mod p and will be 0 for $i = 1, \dots, p-1$. Likewise for all l in \mathbb{N} the sums

$$s_l(A_1, \dots, A_p) = A_1^l + \dots + A_p^l \equiv pA_1^l \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

From the Newton-Girard formulae we have for all $1 \leq k \leq p$

$$\begin{aligned} s_k(A_1, \dots, A_p) &= (-1)^{k-1} k e_k(A_1, \dots, A_p) + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (-1)^{k-1+i} s_i(A_1, \dots, A_p) e_{k-i}(A_1, \dots, A_p) \\ &\equiv (-1)^{k-1} k e_k(A_1, \dots, A_p) \pmod{p^2}. \end{aligned}$$

From this, and the fact that $p \mid e_{p-i}(A_1, \dots, A_p)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} s_p(A_1, \dots, A_p) &= p e_p(A_1, \dots, A_p) + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} (-1)^i s_i(A_1, \dots, A_p) e_{p-i}(A_1, \dots, A_p) \\ &\equiv p e_p(A_1, \dots, A_p) - \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} i e_i(A_1, \dots, A_p) e_{p-i}(A_1, \dots, A_p) \pmod{p^3} \\ &= p e_p(A_1, \dots, A_p) - \sum_{i=1}^{(p-1)/2} p e_i(A_1, \dots, A_p) e_{p-i}(A_1, \dots, A_p) \pmod{p^3} \\ &\equiv p e_p(A_1, \dots, A_p) \pmod{p^3}, \end{aligned}$$

as claimed. □

Lemma 4.2. *Suppose that $n < p$ and that*

$$\prod_{i=1}^n (1 + \alpha_i x) = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^n e_i(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) x^i \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$$

with $p \mid e_i(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, then

$$\prod_{i=1}^n (1 + \alpha_i^p x) = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^n e_i(\alpha_1^p, \dots, \alpha_n^p) x^i \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$$

has $p^3 \mid e_i(\alpha_1^p, \dots, \alpha_n^p)$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Proof. We write $s_j(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^j$. Observe that

$$e_k(\alpha_1^p, \dots, \alpha_n^p) \equiv e_k(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)^p \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

for $k = 1, \dots, n$. From the Newton-Girard formulae we can write

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} k e_k(\alpha_1^p, \dots, \alpha_n^p) &= \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (-1)^{i-1} e_{k-i}(\alpha_1^p, \dots, \alpha_n^p) s_i(\alpha_1^p, \dots, \alpha_n^p) + (-1)^{k-1} s_k(\alpha_1^p, \dots, \alpha_n^p) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (-1)^{i-1} e_{k-i}(\alpha_1^p, \dots, \alpha_n^p) s_{ip}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) + (-1)^{k-1} s_{kp}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n), \end{aligned}$$

for $1 \leq k \leq n$. For $1 \leq k \leq n$ we successively have

$$\begin{aligned} s_k(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) &= (-1)^{k-1} k e_k(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (-1)^i e_i(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) s_{k-i}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \\ &\equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \end{aligned}$$

while for $k > n$ we have

$$(14) \quad s_k(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} e_i(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) s_{k-i}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2},$$

and for $k > 2n$,

$$s_k(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^3}.$$

Hence for $k \geq 2$ we have $p^3 \mid s_{kp}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$, and for $1 \leq i < k$ we have $p \mid e_{k-i}(\alpha_1^p, \dots, \alpha_n^p)$ and $p^2 \mid s_{ip}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ in (13), so

$$k e_k(\alpha_1^p, \dots, \alpha_n^p) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^3}.$$

For $k = 1$ and $p > 2n$, we have

$$e_1(\alpha_1^p, \dots, \alpha_n^p) = s_p(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^3}.$$

Finally, for $k = 1$ and $p \leq 2n$, using (14) for any terms $i < p - n$,

$$\begin{aligned} s_1(\alpha_1^p, \dots, \alpha_n^p) &= s_p(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} e_i(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) s_{p-i}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \\ &\equiv \sum_{i=p-n}^n (-1)^{i-1} e_i(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) s_{p-i}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \pmod{p^3} \\ &\equiv \sum_{i=p-n}^n (-1)^{i-1} e_i(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) (-1)^{p-i-1} (p-i) e_{p-i}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \pmod{p^3} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=p-n}^n (p-i+i) e_i(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) e_{p-i}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^3}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Theorem 3.1. For $z = w^j$, $j = 1, \dots, p-1$, we expand the determinant $D(z)$, observing that if we have a term $\varepsilon f_{i_1}(1, z) f_{i_2}(z, z) \cdots f_{i_{p-1}}(z^{p-1}, z)$, $\varepsilon = \pm 1$, with the i_j not all the same, then we also have all the shifts (with the same sign)

$$\varepsilon f_{i_1}(z^j, z) f_{i_2}(z^j z, z) \cdots f_{i_{p-1}}(z^j z^{p-1}, z), \quad j = 1, \dots, p-1.$$

To see this, observe that the matrices cyclically shifting the rows up one and the columns one to the left have determinant 1. Hence, gathering those sets of p terms in $B(z)$ and the single terms with the i_j all the same in $A(z)$, we have

$$D(z) = A(z) + B(z)$$

with, applying Lemma 4.1 at the last step,

$$\begin{aligned}
(15) \quad A(z) &= \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} f_i(1, z) f_i(w, z) f_i(w^2, z) \cdots f_i(w^{p-1}, z) = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} f_i(1, z)^p + pt(z) \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} f_i(1, 1)^p + pt(1) + p(z-1)t_1(z) + (z-1)^p t_2(z) \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} f_i(1, 1) f_i(w, 1) f_i(w^2, 1) \cdots f_i(w^{p-1}, 1) + p(z-1)t_1(z) + (z-1)^p t_2(z) \\
&\equiv \frac{1}{p} \sum_{y^p=1} \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} f_i(y, 1)^p + p(z-1)t_1(z) + (z-1)^p t_2(z) \pmod{p^2},
\end{aligned}$$

and, with the $\varepsilon(\vec{n}) = \pm 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(16) \quad B(z) &= \sum_{\vec{n}=(n_1, \dots, n_p) \in \mathcal{B}} \sum_{y^p=1} \varepsilon(\vec{n}) f_{n_1}(y, z) f_{n_2}(yz, z) \cdots f_{n_p}(yz^{p-1}, z) \\
&= pk(z) = pk(1) + p(z-1)k_1(z) \\
&= \sum_{\vec{n} \in \mathcal{B}} \sum_{y^p=1} \varepsilon(\vec{n}) f_{n_1}(y, 1) f_{n_2}(y, 1) \cdots f_{n_p}(y, 1) + p(z-1)k_1(z).
\end{aligned}$$

From the \mathbb{Z}_p circulant determinants we have

$$\prod_{x^p=1} F(x, y, 1) = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} f_i(y, 1)^p + p \sum_{\vec{n} \in \mathcal{B}} \varepsilon(\vec{n}) f_{n_1}(y, 1) \cdots f_{n_p}(y, 1),$$

the determinant of the matrix of the same form as $D(z)$, but with the $f_i(z^j, z)$ replaced by $f_i(y, 1)$. Hence

$$D(z) = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{y^p=1} \prod_{x^p=1} F(x, y, 1) + p(z-1)k_2(z) + (z-1)^p t_2(z) \pmod{p^2},$$

giving

$$M_2 = \prod_{j=1}^{p-1} D(w^j) = \left(\frac{1}{p} \sum_{y^p=1} \prod_{x^p=1} F(x, y, 1) \right)^{p-1} \pmod{p^2},$$

and

$$M_2^p \equiv \left(\frac{1}{p} \sum_{y^p=1} \prod_{x^p=1} F(x, y, 1) \right)^{p(p-1)} \pmod{p^3}.$$

Writing

$$\prod_{x^p=1} F(x, y, 1) = c_0 + c_1 y + \cdots + c_{p-1} y^{p-1} \pmod{y^p - 1},$$

we have

$$(17) \quad \frac{1}{p} \sum_{y^p=1} \prod_{x^p=1} F(x, y, 1) = c_0, \quad M_2^p \equiv c_0^{p(p-1)} \pmod{p^3}.$$

We note that

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{x^p=1} F(x, y, 1) &= F(1, y, 1)^p + ph(y) = F(1, y^p, 1)^p + ph_2(y) \\ &= F(1, 1, 1)^p + ph_2(y) \pmod{y^p - 1}, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$(18) \quad c_0 \equiv F(1, 1, 1)^p \pmod{p} \quad \text{and} \quad p \mid c_1, \dots, c_{p-1}.$$

If $p \mid c_0$ then $p \mid F(1, 1, 1)$ and $p \mid M_2$, so certainly $p^3 \mid M$ and the congruence is trivial (a more precise result for this case is established in Theorem 3.4). So suppose that $p \nmid c_0$ and write

$$c_0 + c_1y + \dots + c_{p-1}y^{p-1} = c_0(1 + d_1y + \dots + d_{p-1}y^{p-1}) \pmod{p^3}, \quad p \mid d_1, \dots, d_{p-1},$$

and

$$1 + d_1x + \dots + d_{p-1}x^{p-1} = \prod_{i=1}^r (1 + \alpha_i x), \quad p \mid d_1, \dots, d_{p-1},$$

so that by Lemma 4.2

$$(19) \quad M_1 = \prod_{y^p=1} \prod_{x^p=1} F(x, y, 1) = c_0^p \prod_{y^p=1} \prod_{i=1}^r (1 + y\alpha_i) = c_0^p \prod_{i=1}^r (1 + \alpha_i^p) \equiv c_0^p \pmod{p^3}.$$

Combining (17), (18) and (19) we conclude

$$M = M_1 M_2^p \equiv c_0^{p^2} \equiv F(1, 1, 1)^{p^3} \pmod{p^3}. \quad \square$$

Proof of Theorem 3.3. Suppose that a in \mathbb{N} has $p \nmid a$. We define $g(x)$ and $h(x)$ in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ by

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + y + \dots + y^{a-1})^p &= a + pg(y) \pmod{y^p - 1}, \\ (a + pg(y))^p &= a^p + p^2h(y) \pmod{y^p - 1}. \end{aligned}$$

With $\Phi_p(x)$ as in (12), we take

$$F(x, y, z) = (1 + z + \dots + z^{a-1}) + g(y)\Phi_p(z) + h(x)\Phi_p(y)\Phi_p(z) + m\Phi_p(x)\Phi_p(y)\Phi_p(z).$$

Then

$$F(x, y, 1) = a + pg(y) + ph(x)\Phi_p(y) + mp\Phi_p(x)\Phi_p(y)$$

and for $j = 1, \dots, p-1$,

$$F(x, w^j, 1) = a + pg(w^j) = \left(1 + w^j + \dots + w^{j(a-1)}\right)^p$$

is a unit, and

$$\begin{aligned} F(x, 1, 1) &= a + pg(1) + p^2h(x) + mp^2\Phi_p(x) \\ &= a^p + p^2h(x) + mp^2\Phi_p(x) \\ &= (1 + x + \dots + x^{a-1})^{p^2} + mp^2\Phi_p(x). \end{aligned}$$

For $x = w^j$, $j = 1, \dots, p-1$ this equals the unit $(1 + w^j + \dots + w^{j(a-1)})^{p^2}$ and for $x = 1$ it equals $a^{p^2} + mp^3$. Hence $M_1 = a^{p^2} + mp^3$. For $\lambda = w^j$, $j = 1, \dots, p-1$, we have

$$\phi_\lambda(F) = (1 + \lambda + \dots + \lambda^{a-1})I_p, \quad D(\lambda) = (1 + \lambda + \dots + \lambda^{a-1})^p,$$

a unit, and $M_2(F) = 1$ and $M_{H_p}(F) = a^{p^2} + mp^3$. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.4. With $u = 1 - w$ we have that the $f_i(w^j, w^k) \equiv f_i(1, 1) \pmod{u}$ and hence $D(z)$ is congruent mod u to a circulant determinant, the \mathbb{Z}_p measure of $F(x, 1, 1)$, congruent to $F(1, 1, 1)^p \pmod{u}$, while M_1 is $F(1, 1, 1)^{p^2} \pmod{p^2}$. Hence if $p \mid M_G(F)$ we must have $p \mid F(1, 1, 1)$. Being a \mathbb{Z}_p^2 measure, this says that $p^{p+3} \mid M_1$ by Theorem 2.1.

From (15) and (16) we have

$$D(z) \equiv \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} f_i(1, 1)^p \equiv F(1, 1, 1)^p \equiv 0 \pmod{u^{p-1}}$$

in $\mathbb{Z}[w]$ and $u^{(p-1)^2} \mid M_2$. Since this is an integer, we conclude that $p^{p-1} \mid M_2$ and $p^{p^2+3} \mid M = M_1 M_2^p$.

Suppose that $p \geq 5$ (the case $p = 3$ is dealt with in Theorem 3.6). Consider

$$F(x, y, z) = p + (A - 1)^2(1 - x) - (1 - y)^2 = f_0(y) + x f_1(y),$$

with $f_0(y) = p + (A - 1)^2 - (1 - y)^2$, $f_1(y) = -(A - 1)^2$, where A is the smallest integer $2 \leq A \leq p - 2$ such that $A^p \not\equiv A \pmod{p^2}$. Note that unless p is a Wieferich prime we can take $A = 2$ and in the case of a Wieferich prime we have $(p - 2)^p \equiv -2^p \equiv -2 \not\equiv p - 2 \pmod{p^2}$, so there will be such an A .

As in the proof of Theorem 2.1 we get that $p^{p+3} \parallel M_1$. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{j=0}^{p-1} f_0(w^j) &= \prod_{j=0}^{p-1} (p + (A - 1)^2 - (1 - w^j)^2) \\ &\equiv \prod_{j=0}^{p-1} ((A - 1)^2 - (1 - w^j)^2) + p \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \prod_{\substack{j=0 \\ j \neq i}}^{p-1} ((A - 1)^2 - (1 - w^j)^2) \pmod{p^2} \\ &\equiv \prod_{j=0}^{p-1} (A - 2 + w^j)(A - w^j) + p \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} (A - 1)^{2(p-1)} \pmod{pu^2} \\ &\equiv ((A - 2)^p + 1)(A^p - 1) \pmod{pu^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since this is an integer we actually have a mod p^2 congruence. Since F is linear in x we can use the formula (9) and from the minimality of A we find

$$\begin{aligned} D(z) &\equiv ((A - 2)^p + 1)(A^p - 1) - (A - 1)^{2p} \\ &\equiv (A - 1)(A^p - 1) - (A - 1)^2 = (A - 1)(A^p - A) \pmod{p^2} \end{aligned}$$

and $p \parallel D(z)$. Hence $p^{p-1} \parallel M_2$ and $p^{(p+3)+p(p-1)} \parallel M_G(F)$. \square

5. SOME INFINITE NON-ABELIAN GROUPS

We conclude with some remarks on analogues of the Lind-Mahler measure for three infinite non-abelian groups, and their relationship to a well-known problem of Lehmer.

5.1. The discrete Heisenberg group. It is tempting to think of the discrete Heisenberg group H as the limit of H_p as $p \rightarrow \infty$. That is, suppose $F(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{Z}[x, x^{-1}, y, y^{-1}, z, z^{-1}]$ is a finite sum of the form

$$F(x, y, z) = \sum_{i, j, k = -\infty}^{\infty} a_{ijk} x^i y^j z^k,$$

thought of as an element in a polynomial ring with non-commuting variables satisfying $xz = zx$, $yz = zy$, but $yx = xyz$. This suggests defining

$$m_H(F) = \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} m_{H_p}(F)$$

when this makes sense. For the special case $F(x, y, z) = f_0(y, z) + x^k f_k(y, z)$ we have from (9)

$$m_{H_p}(F) = \frac{1}{p} \log \prod_{y^p=1} |f_0(y, 1)^p + f_k(y, 1)^p|^{1/p^2} + \log \prod_{\substack{z^p=1 \\ z \neq 1}} \left| \prod_{y^p=1} f_0(y, z) + \prod_{y^p=1} f_k(y, z) \right|^{1/p^2}.$$

Assuming non-vanishing, the first term should tend to 0 as $p \rightarrow \infty$. It seems reasonable then to define the H measure of F by

$$m_H(F) = \int_0^1 \max \left\{ \int_0^1 \log |f_0(e^{2\pi i\theta}, e^{2\pi i\xi})| d\theta, \int_0^1 \log |f_k(e^{2\pi i\theta}, e^{2\pi i\xi})| d\theta \right\} d\xi.$$

This seems consistent with the Heisenberg measure of Lind and Schmidt [13, Theorem 8.9], who define the measure as an entropy.

5.2. The group of rotations and reflections of the plane. Recall the group of symmetries of the regular n -gon, the dihedral group of order $2n$:

$$D_{2n} := \langle X, Y : X^n = 1, Y^2 = 1, XY = YX^{-1} \rangle.$$

In [1] the D_{2n} measure of a polynomial $F(x, y) = f(x) + yg(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x, y]$, viewed as a non-abelian polynomial ring with x, y satisfying the group relations, was defined by

$$m_{D_{2n}}(F) = \frac{1}{2n} \log |M_{D_{2n}}(F)|, \quad M_{D_{2n}}(F) = \prod_{x^n=1} (f(x)f(x^{-1}) - g(x)g(x^{-1})),$$

with $M_{D_{2n}}(F)$ corresponding to the appropriate group determinant. Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ (but ignoring cases of vanishing at $x = 1$ and other n th roots of unity), this suggests a measure for the group of rotations and reflections of the circle [20, §5.5],

$$D_\infty = \langle r_\alpha, s : \alpha \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, s^2 = 1, r_\alpha r_\beta = r_{\alpha+\beta \bmod 1}, sr_\alpha = r_{-\alpha}s \rangle,$$

where the r_α are rotations of angle $2\pi\alpha$ about the origin and s is a reflection. Namely, we define

$$\begin{aligned} m_{D_\infty}(F) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \log |f(e^{2\pi i\alpha})f(e^{-2\pi i\alpha}) - g(e^{2\pi i\alpha})g(e^{-2\pi i\alpha})| d\alpha \\ &= m \left(\sqrt{|f(x)|^2 - |g(x)|^2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

for $F(x, y) = f(x) + yg(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x, x^{-1}, y]$, viewed as an element in the group ring $\mathbb{Z}[\text{Dih}_\infty]$ for the infinite dihedral group

$$\text{Dih}_\infty = \langle x, y \mid xy = yx^{-1}, y^2 = 1 \rangle.$$

Here, $m(F)$ denotes the traditional logarithmic Mahler measure for polynomials with complex coefficients,

$$m(F) := \int_0^1 \log |F(e^{2\pi i\alpha})| d\alpha.$$

Lind [12] viewed $m(F)$ as the \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} measure for F in $\mathbb{Z}[x, x^{-1}]$; this could be thought as arising from the \mathbb{Z}_n measures as $n \rightarrow \infty$, with F in the group ring for $\mathbb{Z} = \langle x \rangle$.

Notice that if Lehmer's problem [11] has a positive answer, that is, there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that for F in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ either $m(F) = 0$ or $m(F) \geq c$, then for $G = D_\infty$ we would have for $F \in \mathbb{Z}[x, y]$

$$m_G(F) = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad m_G(F) \geq c/2.$$

A strong form of Lehmer's problem asks whether the optimal c is

$$m(x^{10} + x^9 - x^7 - x^6 - x^5 - x^4 - x^3 + x + 1) = \log 1.17628 \dots$$

Since choosing $f(x) = x^2 - 1$, $g(x) = x^5 + x^4 - 1$ yields

$$f(x)f(x^{-1}) - g(x)g(x^{-1}) = x^5 + x^4 - x^2 - x - 1 - x^{-1} - x^{-2} + x^{-4} + x^{-5},$$

the truth of this strong form would imply that $\lambda(D_\infty) = \log \sqrt{1.17628 \dots}$.

5.3. The group $D_{\infty h}$. In [19] (see also [17]) the measure was defined for the dicyclic group of order $4n$,

$$Q_{4n} := \langle X, Y : X^{2n} = 1, Y^2 = X^n, XY = YX^{-1} \rangle.$$

These are what Serre [20, §5.4] called D_{nh} , realised as $D_{2n} \times I$ where $I = \{1, \iota\}$, with D_{2n} viewed as certain reflections and rotations of 3-space and ι as the reflection through the origin. For a polynomial $F(x, y) = f(x) + yg(x)$, f, g in $\mathbb{Z}[x]/\langle x^{2n} - 1 \rangle$, we have

$$m_{Q_{4n}}(F) = \frac{1}{4n} \log |M_{Q_{4n}}(F)|,$$

where

$$M_{Q_{4n}}(F) = \prod_{x^n=1} (f(x)f(x^{-1}) - g(x)g(x^{-1})) \prod_{x^n=-1} (f(x)f(x^{-1}) + g(x)g(x^{-1})).$$

This suggests that for Serre's [20, §5.6] group $D_{\infty h}$, the group generated by D_∞ and the reflection ι through the origin, we should define, for any $f(x), g(x)$ in $\mathbb{Z}[x, x^{-1}]$,

$$\begin{aligned} m_{D_{\infty h}}(f(x) + yg(x)) &= \frac{1}{4} \int_0^1 \log |f(e^{2\pi i \alpha})f(e^{-2\pi i \alpha}) - g(e^{2\pi i \alpha})g(e^{-2\pi i \alpha})| d\alpha \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4} \int_0^1 \log |f(e^{2\pi i \alpha})f(e^{-2\pi i \alpha}) + g(e^{2\pi i \alpha})g(e^{-2\pi i \alpha})| d\alpha \\ &= m \left(\sqrt[4]{|f(x)|^4 - |g(x)|^4} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Starting with a different standard form for our polynomials in the group ring $\mathbb{Z}[Q_{4n}]$, we could instead define our $D_{\infty h}$ measure on

$$F(x, y) = f_0(x) + yf_1(x) + y^2f_2(x) + y^3f_3(x), \quad f_i(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x, x^{-1}], \quad y^4 = 1, \quad xy = yx^{-1},$$

by taking

$$\begin{aligned} m_{D_{\infty h}}(F) &= \frac{1}{4} m (|f_0(x) + f_2(x)|^2 - |f_1(x) + f_3(x)|^2) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4} m (|f_0(x) - f_2(x)|^2 + |f_1(x) - f_3(x)|^2). \end{aligned}$$

In either case, a positive answer to Lehmer's problem would imply that $m_{D_{\infty h}}(F) = 0$ or $m_{D_{\infty h}}(F) \geq c/4$.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Boerkoel and C. Pinner, *Minimal group determinants and the Lind-Lehmer problem for dihedral groups*, Acta Arith. **186** (2018), no. 4, 377–395. MR3879399
- [2] K. Conrad, *The origin of representation theory*, Enseign. Math. (2) **44** (1998), no. 3–4, 361–392. MR1659232
- [3] O. T. Dasbach and M. N. Lalín, *Mahler measure under variations of the base group*, Forum Math. **21** (2009), no. 4, 621–637. MR2541476
- [4] D. De Silva, M. J. Mossinghoff, V. Pigno and C. Pinner, *The Lind-Lehmer constant for certain p -groups*, Math. Comp. **88** (2019), no. 316, 949–972. MR3882290
- [5] D. De Silva and C. Pinner, *The Lind-Lehmer constant for \mathbb{Z}_p^n* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **142** (2014), no. 6, 1935–1941. MR3182012
- [6] E. Formanek and D. Sibley, *The group determinant determines the group*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **112** (1991), no. 3, 649–656. MR1062831
- [7] F. G. Frobenius, *Über die Primefactoren der Gruppendeterminante*, Gesammelte Ahhandlungen, Band III, Springer, New York, 1968, pp. 38–77. MR0235974
- [8] N. Kaiblinger, *On the Lehmer constant of finite cyclic groups*, Acta Arith. **142** (2010), no. 1, 79–84. MR2601051
- [9] N. Kaiblinger, *Progress on Olga Taussky-Todd’s circulant problem*, Ramanujan J. **28** (2012), no. 1, 45–60. MR2914452
- [10] H. T. Laquer, *Values of circulants with integer entries*, in A Collection of Manuscripts Related to the Fibonacci Sequence, Fibonacci Assoc., Santa Clara, 1980, pp. 212–217. MR0624127
- [11] D. H. Lehmer, *Factorization of certain cyclotomic functions*, Ann. of Math. (2) **34** (1933), no. 3, 461–479. MR1503118
- [12] D. Lind, *Lehmer’s problem for compact abelian groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **133** (2005), no. 5, 1411–1416. MR2111966
- [13] D. Lind and K. Schmidt, *A survey of algebraic actions of the discrete Heisenberg group*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **70** (2015), no. 4(424), 77–142; translation in Russian Math. Surveys **70** (2015), no. 4, 657–714. MR3400570
- [14] W. Lück, *Lehmer’s problem for arbitrary groups*, J. Topol. Anal., to appear, 32 pp. doi.org/10.1142/S1793525321500035
- [15] M. Newman, *Determinants of circulants of prime power order*, Linear and Multilinear Algebra **9** (1980), no. 3, 187–191. MR0601702
- [16] M. Newman, *On a problem suggested by Olga Taussky-Todd*, Illinois J. Math. **24** (1980), no. 1, 156–158. MR0550657
- [17] B. Paudel and C. Pinner, *Minimal group determinants for dicyclic groups*, Mosc. J. Comb. Number Theory, to appear. arXiv:2102.04536 [math.NT].
- [18] V. Pigno and C. Pinner, *The Lind-Lehmer constant for cyclic groups of order less than 892*, 371, 480, Ramanujan J. **33** (2014), no. 2, 295–300. MR3165542
- [19] C. Pinner and C. Smyth, *Integer group determinants for small groups*, Ramanujan J. **51** (2020), no. 2, 421–453. MR4056860
- [20] J.-P. Serre, *Linear Representations of Finite Groups*, Grad. Texts in Math., vol. 42, Springer, New York, 1977. MR0450380

CENTER FOR COMMUNICATIONS RESEARCH, PRINCETON, NJ, USA

Email address: m.mossinghoff@idaccr.org

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY, MANHATTAN, KS 66506, USA

Email address: pinner@math.ksu.edu