ON THE NUMBER OF INTEGER NON-NEGATIVE SOLUTIONS OF A LINEAR DIOPHANTINE EQUATION

ETERI SAMSONADZE

ABSTRACT. We deal with the problem to find the number P(b)of integer non-negative solutions of an equation $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i x_i = b$, where $a_1, a_2, ..., a_n$ are natural numbers and b is a non-negative integer. As different from the traditional methods of investigation of the function P(b), in our study we do not employ the techniques of number series theory, but use in the main the properties of the Kronecker function and the elements of combinatorics. The formula is derived to express P(b), for an integer non-negative b, via P(r), P(r+M), ..., P(r+(s-1)M) when $s \neq 0$, where $s = \left[n - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i + r}{M}\right]$ and takes quite small values in some particular cases; M is the least common multiple of the numbers a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n , and r is the remainder of b modulo M. Also, the recurrent formulas are derived to calculate P(b), for any non-negative integer b, which, in particular, are used in finding P(r), P(r +M), ..., P(r + (s - 1)M). For the case where s = 0 and M^{n-1} are coprime, the explicit formula $P(b) = \frac{M^{n-1}}{a_1 a_2 \dots a_n} C \begin{bmatrix} b \\ M \end{bmatrix} + n-1$ M), ..., P(r+(s-1)M). For the case where s=0 and $a_1, a_2, ..., a_n$ is

given. To illustrate the proposed method, examples of finding the function P(b) for linear Diophantine equations with 2, 3, 7 and n variables are given.

Key words and phrases: linear Diophantine equation; number of solutions; recurrent formula.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11D45, 11D04.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the present paper we study the problem of finding the number P(b) of integer non-negative solutions of a linear Diophantine equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i x_i = b,$$

ETERI SAMSONADZE

where $a_1, a_2, ..., a_n$ are natural numbers and b is a non-negative integer. To this end we first study the interrelation of the numbers of integer non-negative solutions of arbitrary linear Diophantine equations with natural coefficients and one and the same number of variables. Applying the found interrelation, for the case where the number s defined by

$$s = \left[n - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i + r}{M}\right]$$

is different from 0 we obtain the formula representing the number P(b) via the numbers

$$P(r), P(r+M), \dots, P(r+(s-1)M),$$
 (1.1)

where M is the least common multiple of the numbers a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n , and r is the remainder of b modulo M. It should be noted that applying a different method the formula representing P(b) via the numbers

$$P(r+M), P(r+2M), \ldots, P(r+nM)$$

is given in Riordan's book [5]. Note also that $s \leq n - 1$. Moreover, s takes quite small values in some particular cases.

In the paper we also give the recurrent formulas to calculate P(b), for any non-negative integer b, which, in particular, are applied to find values (1.1).

For the case where s = 0 (which holds if, for instance, $r > (n-1)M - \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i$) and the numbers $a_1, a_2, ..., a_n$ are coprime (which can always be assumed without loss of generality), we give the explicit formula for P(b):

$$P(b) = \frac{M^{n-1}}{a_1 a_2 \dots a_n} C_{\left[\frac{b}{M}\right]+n-1}^{n-1}.$$

As different from the traditional methods of investigation of the function P(b), in our study we do not employ the techniques of number series theory, but use in the main the properties of the Kronecker function and the elements of combinatorics. Moreover, the proposed method of finding the function P(b) can be used even in the case where the numbers $a_1, a_2, ..., a_n$ are not pairwise coprime.

To illustrate the proposed method some examples of finding the number P(b) in the case of linear Diophantine equations with 2, 3, 4, 7 and n variables are given.

 $\mathbf{2}$

2. Interrelationship of the numbers of integer non-negative solutions of some linear Diophantine Equations

In this section we consider the problem of determining the relationship between the numbers of integer non-negative solutions of linear Diophantine equations with one and the same number of variables.

We denote by the symbol $P(f(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) = m)$ the number of integer non-negative solutions of an equation $f(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) = m$.

First, we represent the number of integer non-negative solutions $P'(b) = P'(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i x_i = b)$ of the system

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i x_i = b,$$

$$0 \le x_i \le d_i - 1 \ (i = 1, 2, \cdots, n)$$

via the values of the function $P(b) = P(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i x_i = b)$, where $n \ge 2$, b is an integer non-negative number, $a_i \in \mathbb{N}$, $d_i \in \mathbb{N}$ $(i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$.

Lemma 2.1. We have

$$P'(b) = P(b) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} P(b - a_i d_i) + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}^{n} P(b - a_i d_i - a_j d_j) - \sum_{1 \leq i < j < m \leq n} P(b - a_i d_i - a_j d_j - a_m d_m) + \ldots + (-1)^n P(b - \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i d_i), \quad (2.1)$$

Proof. It is not difficult to observe that

$$P(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i x_i = b) = \sum_{x_1} \sum_{x_2} \dots \sum_{x_n} \delta(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i x_i; b)$$
(2.2)

and

$$P'(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i x_i = b) = \sum_{x_1=0}^{d_1-1} \sum_{x_2=0}^{d_2-1} \dots \sum_{x_n=0}^{d_n-1} \delta(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i x_i; b),$$
(2.3)

where $\delta(x; y)$ is the Kronecker symbol, while $\sum_{x_1} \sum_{x_2} \dots \sum_{x_n}$ denotes the summation over all integer non-negative x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n .

Since

$$\sum_{x} \delta(ax; b) = \sum_{x=0}^{d-1} \delta(ax; b) + \sum_{x=d}^{\infty} \delta(ax; b) =$$
$$\sum_{x=0}^{d-1} \delta(ax; b) + \sum_{x} \delta(a(x+d); b) =$$

$$\sum_{x=0}^{d-1} \delta(ax; b) + \sum_{x} \delta(ax; b - ad),$$

for any natural d, we conclude that

$$\sum_{x=0}^{d-1} \delta(ax; b) = \sum_{x} \delta(ax; b) - \sum_{x} \delta(ax; b - ad).$$

Applying this and the principle of mathematical induction, it is not difficult to show that

$$\begin{split} \sum_{x_1=0}^{d_1-1} \sum_{x_2=0}^{d_2-1} \dots \sum_{x_n=0}^{d_n-1} \delta(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i; b) &= \\ \sum_{x_1} \sum_{x_2} \dots \sum_{x_n} (\delta(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i; b) - \sum_{k=1}^n \delta(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i; b - a_k d_k) + \\ &= \sum_{1 \le k < j \le n} \delta(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i; b - a_k d_k - a_j d_j) - \\ &= \sum_{1 \le k < j \le m \le n} \delta(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i; b - a_k d_k - a_j d_j - a_m d_m) + \dots + \\ &= (-1)^n \delta(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i; b - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i d_i)), \end{split}$$

for any natural $d_1, d_2, ..., d_n$. Therefore (2.2) and (2.3) imply that

$$P'(b) = \sum_{\beta_1=0}^{1} \sum_{\beta_2=0}^{1} \dots \sum_{\beta_n=0}^{1} (-1)^{\beta_1+\beta_2+\dots\beta_n} P(b-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_i a_i d_i).$$

Theorem 2.2. The following equality holds:

$$P(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i x_i = b) = \sum_{k=0}^{\overline{s}} m_k P(\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i x_i = \left[\frac{b}{M}\right] - k), \qquad (2.4)$$

where $n \ge 2$; $a_i, c_i \in \mathbb{N}$ (i = 1, 2, ..., n); b is a non-negative integer, M is the least common multiple of $a_1, a_2, ..., a_n$; r is the remainder of b modulo M,

$$\overline{s} = \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i + r}{M}\right], \qquad (2.5)$$
$$m_0 = P(r), m_k = P(r+kM) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} P(r+kM - Mc_i) +$$

$$\sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} P(r + kM - M(c_i + c_j)) - \dots +$$

$$(-1)^k \sum_{1 \le i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \le n} P(r + kM - M(c_{i_1} + c_{i_2} + \dots + c_{i_k}))$$
(2.6)

 $(k = 1, 2, \ldots, \overline{s})$, and

$$P(l) = P(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i x_i = l).$$

Proof. It is not difficult to observe that

$$\sum_{x} \delta(ax; b) = \sum_{x} \sum_{t=0}^{d-1} \delta(a(dx+t); b),$$

for any natural d. Hence we have

$$\sum_{x_1} \sum_{x_2} \dots \sum_{x_n} \delta(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i; b) =$$
$$\sum_{x_1} \sum_{x_2} \dots \sum_{x_n} \sum_{t_1=0}^{d_1-1} \sum_{t_2=0}^{d_2-1} \dots \sum_{t_n=0}^{d_n-1} \delta(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i (d_i x_i + t_i); b), \qquad (2.7)$$

for any natural $d_1, d_2, ..., d_n$. Therefore (2.2) and (2.3) imply that

$$P(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}x_{i} = b) =$$

$$\sum_{t_{1}=0}^{d_{1}-1} \sum_{t_{2}=0}^{d_{2}-1} \dots \sum_{t_{n}=0}^{d_{n}-1} P(\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}a_{i}x_{i} = b - \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}t_{i}), \quad (2.8)$$

for any natural d_i (i = 1, 2, ..., n). Applying this equality for $d_i = \frac{M}{a_i}c_i$ (i = 1, 2, ..., n), we obtain

$$P(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}x_{i} = b) =$$

$$\sum_{t_{1}=0}^{\alpha_{1}} \sum_{t_{2}=0}^{\alpha_{2}} \dots \sum_{t_{n}=0}^{\alpha_{n}} P(\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i}x_{i} = \frac{b - \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}t_{i}}{M}).$$
(2.9)
where $\alpha_{i} = \frac{Mc_{i}}{a_{i}} - 1 \ (i = 1, 2, ..., n).$

Since $\frac{b - \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i t_i}{M}$ is an integer if and only if $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i t_i = r + Mk$, for some integer k, and using $0 \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i t_i \leq M \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i - \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i$, we have

$$0 \le k \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i + r}{M}.$$

Further, since $\frac{b - (r + Mk)}{M} = \left[\frac{b}{M}\right] - k$, (2.9) implies (2.4), where m_k $(k = 0, 1, 2, ..., \overline{s})$ is the number of integer non-negative solutions of the system:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i t_i = r + Mk, \ 0 \le t_i \le \frac{M}{a_i} c_i - 1 \ (i = 1, 2, \dots n).$$

Applying Lemma 2.1 for $d_i = \frac{M}{a_i}c_i$ (i = 1, 2, ...n), we obtain

$$m_k = \sum_{\beta_1=0}^{1} \sum_{\beta_2=0}^{1} \dots \sum_{\beta_n=0}^{1} (-1)^{\beta_1+\beta_2+\dots+\beta_n} P(r+Mk-M\sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_i c_i),$$

for any $k \ (k = 0, 1, ..., \overline{s})$.

Since $c_i \ge 1$ (i = 1, 2, ..., n) and P(b) = 0 for b < 0, we obtain (2.6).

3. On the function
$$P(b) = P(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i x_i = b)$$

Theorem 2.1 generalizes our earlier result [6], where the number P(b) of integer non-negative solutions of an equation $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i x_i = b$ $(a_1, a_2, ..., a_n \in \mathbb{N}, b$ is a non-negative integer) was represented via the numbers of integer non-negative solutions of certain linear Diophantine equations where all coefficients of variables are 1.

Applying (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6) with $c_i = 1$ (i = 1, 2, ..., n) we obtain

$$P(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i x_i = b) = \sum_{k=0}^{s} l_k P(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i = \left[\frac{b}{M}\right] - k), \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$s = \left[n - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i + r}{M}\right],\tag{3.2}$$

$$l_0 = P(r), \ l_k = P(r+kM) - C_n^1 P(r+(k-1)M) + C_n^2 P(r+(k-2)M) + \dots + (-1)^k C_n^k P(r), \ (k = 1, 2, \dots, s).$$
(3.3)

Since, for any integer d, we have

$$P(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i = d) = \begin{cases} C_{d+n-1}^{n-1} & if \\ 0 & otherwise, \end{cases} \quad d \ge 0,$$
(3.4)

we obtain the following statement.

Theorem 3.1. We have the equality

$$P(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i x_i = b) = \sum_{k=0}^{s} l_k \overline{C} \begin{bmatrix} b \\ \frac{b}{M} \end{bmatrix}_{+n-1-k},$$
(3.5)

where $n \ge 2$, $a_i \in \mathbb{N}$ (i = 1, 2, ..., n), b is a non-negative integer, M is the least common multiple of the numbers $a_1, a_2, ..., a_n$, r is a remainder of b modulo M, s and l_k (k = 0, 1, ..., s) are respectively given by (3.2) and (3.3), while

$$\overline{C}_{k}^{m} = \begin{cases} C_{k}^{m} & if \qquad k \ge m, \\ 0 & otherwise, \end{cases}$$
(3.6)

Formulas (3.5), (3.2) and (3.3) imply:

$$P(b) = c_0 \left[\frac{b}{M}\right]^{n-1} + c_1 \left[\frac{b}{M}\right]^{n-2} + \ldots + c_{n-2} \left[\frac{b}{M}\right] + c_{n-1}, \quad (3.7)$$

where $c_0, c_1, ..., c_{n-1}$ are numbers which do not depend on $\left\lfloor \frac{b}{M} \right\rfloor$. In this way we obtain the known result from [1] by which the function $P(b) = P(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i x_i = b)$ is a polynomial of degree (n-1) with respect to $\left\lfloor \frac{b}{M} \right\rfloor$.

Formula (3.7) implies that

$$c_{n-1} = P(r).$$
 (3.8)

Without loss of generality one can assume that the coefficients a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n in the equation $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i = b$ are coprime. In that case, according to [4], we have

$$\lim_{b \to \infty} \frac{P(b)}{b^{n-1}} = \frac{1}{a_1 a_2 \dots a_n (n-1)!}.$$
(3.9)

Since
$$\left[\frac{b}{M}\right] = \frac{b-r}{M}$$
, equality (3.7) implies that
$$\lim_{b \to \infty} \frac{P(b)}{b^{n-1}} = \frac{c_0}{M^{n-1}}.$$

Hence from (3.9) we obtain the value of the leading coefficient of polynomial (3.7)

$$c_0 = \frac{M^{n-1}}{a_1 a_2 \dots a_n (n-1)!},\tag{3.10}$$

for the case of coprime a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n .

Formulas (3.7), (3.8), (3.10) imply

Proposition 3.2. If $b \equiv 0 \pmod{M}$ and a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n are coprime, then the function $P(b) = P(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i = b)$ is a polynomial of degree (n-1) with respect to b with the leading coefficient $\frac{1}{a_1 a_2 \ldots a_n (n-1)!}$ and the free coefficient 1.

Since (3.5) implies that $c_0 = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^n l_i}{(n-1)!}$, from (3.10) we obtain that if a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n are coprime, we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{s} l_i = \frac{M^{n-1}}{a_1 a_2 \dots a_n}.$$
(3.11)

This implies that for calculating P(b) by formula (3.5), it suffices to know the values of $l_0, l_1, \ldots, l_{s-1}$.

From (3.3) we obtain

$$\sum_{i=0}^{s} l_i = P(r+sM) + P(r+(s-1)M)(1-C_n^1) + P(r+(s-2)M)(1-C_n^1+C_n^2) + \dots + P(r+(s-2)M)(1-C_n^2+C_n^2) + \dots + P(r+(s-2)M)(1$$

$$P(r)(1 - C_n^1 + C_n^2 + \dots + (-1)^s C_n^s).$$
(3.12)

As is well known (see, e.g. [3]),

$$C_n^0 - C_n^1 + C_n^2 + \ldots + (-1)^m C_n^m = \begin{cases} (-1)^m C_{n-1}^m & if \\ 0 & otherwise. \end{cases} \quad m \le n-1,$$

Therefore (3.11) and (3.12) imply that if $a_1, a_2, \ldots a_n$ are coprime, then we have

$$P(r+sM) - C_{n-1}^{1}P(r+(s-1)M) + C_{n-1}^{2}P(r+(s-2)M) + \dots + (-1)^{s}C_{n-1}^{s}P(r) = \frac{M^{n-1}}{a_{1}a_{2}\dots a_{n}}.$$
(3.13)

Since the function P(b) is a polynomial of degree (n-1) with respect to $\left[\frac{b}{M}\right]$, it can be found applying the interpolation formula provided that its values at n different points are known. In this manner the formula which represents the value of P(b) via the values of

$$P(r+M), P(r+2M), \dots P(r+nM).$$

was obtained in [5].

However if $s \neq 0$, then (3.5), (3.2), and (3.11) enables us to represent P(b) via

$$P(r), P(r+M), P(r+2M), \dots P(r+(s-1)M),$$

where $s \leq n-1$; at that the value of s is quite small in some particular cases (for instance, if $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i >> M$).

For instance, for the equation

 $2x_1 + 3x_2 + 3x_3 + 3x_4 + 6x_5 + 6x_6 + 6x_7 + 6x_8 + 6x_9 + 6x_{10} = 6005$ we have $s = \left[10 - \frac{47 + 5}{6}\right] = 1.$ But if a = 0 and the numbers a = a are coprime, then from

But if s = 0 and the numbers $a_1, a_2, ..., a_n$ are coprime, then from (3.5) and (3.11) we obtain

$$P(b) = l_0 C_{\left[\frac{b}{M}\right]+n-1}^{n-1}$$

where $l_0 = \frac{M^{n-1}}{a_1 a_2 \dots a_n}$, and since according to (3.3), $l_0 = P(r)$, we obtain the following statement.

Theorem 3.3. If $\left[n - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i + r}{M}\right] = 0$ and the numbers $a_1, a_2, ..., a_n$ are coprime, then

$$P(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i x_i = r) = \frac{M^{n-1}}{a_1 a_2 \dots a_n}.$$
(3.14)

and

$$P(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i x_i = b) = \frac{M^{n-1}}{a_1 a_2 \dots a_n} C_{\left[\frac{b}{M}\right]+n-1}^{n-1}$$
(3.15)

Since $r > (n-1)M - \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i$ implies that $s = \left[n - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i + r}{M}\right] = 0$, from Theorem 3.3 we obtain the following assertion.

ETERI SAMSONADZE

Corollary 3.4. If $r > (n-1)M - \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i$ and the numbers $a_1, a_2, ..., a_n$ are coprime, then the values of $P(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i x_i = b)$ and $P(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i x_i = r)$ can be found by formulas (3.15) and (3.14).

Consider now the case where n = 2. Formulas (3.7), (3.8) and (3.10) imply the Ehrhart's result [2] by which we have that

$$P(a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 = b) = \left[\frac{b}{M}\right] + P(r)$$
 (3.16)

if $(a_1, a_2) = 1$ and r is the remainder of b modulo M, and, moreover, that P(r) is equal to either 0 or 1.

At that [2]

$$P(r) = \begin{cases} 0 & if \quad r < a_1 + a_2, \quad a_1 \nmid r, \quad and \quad a_2 \nmid r; \\ 1 & if \quad r = a_1 + a_2, \quad or \quad a_1 \mid r \quad or \quad a_2 \mid r. \end{cases} (3.17)$$

Moreover, as follows from Corollary 3.4,

$$P(r) = 1 \tag{3.18}$$

if $r > a_1 a_2 - (a_1 + a_2)$.

The equality

$$(a_1a_2 - (a_1 + a_2)) - (a_1 + a_2) = a_1(a_2 - 2) - 2a_2$$

implies that if either $a_1 \ge 4$ and $a_2 \ge 4$, or $a_1 = 3$ and $a_2 > 6$, then $a_1 + a_2 \le a_1 a_2 - (a_1 + a_2)$. As is not difficult to verify, in all other cases $a_1 a_2 - (a_1 + a_2) < a_1 + a_2$, and if $r \in (a_1 a_2 - (a_1 + a_2), a_1 + a_2]$, then P(r) = 1. Therefore (3.16), (3.17) and (3.18) imply

Proposition 3.5. Let $(a_1, a_2) = 1$ and $1 < a_1 < a_2$. If either $a_1 = 2$, or $a_1 = 3$ and $a_2 < 6$, then we have

$$P(a_{1}x_{1}+a_{2}x_{2}=b) = \begin{cases} \left[\frac{b}{a_{1}a_{2}}\right]+1, & if & r > a_{1}a_{2}-(a_{1}+a_{2}); \\ or & r = a_{1}+a_{2}; \\ or & a_{1} \mid r; \\ or & a_{2} \mid r; \\ \left[\frac{b}{a_{1}a_{2}}\right], & otherwise. \end{cases}$$

$$(3.19)$$

where r is the remainder of b modulo a_1a_2 .

4. Recurrent formulas for the number of integer non-negative solutions of a linear Diophantine Equation

Applying the formulas given in Sections 2-3, we obtain

Theorem 4.1. We have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{a_1+a_2+\ldots+a_n-n} l'_k P(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i = b-k) = C_{b+n-1}^{n-1}$$
(4.1)

where

$$l'_{0} = 1, \ l'_{k} = C_{n-1+k}^{n-1} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \overline{C}_{n-1+k-a_{i}}^{n-1} + \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} \overline{C}_{n-1+k-a_{i}-a_{j}}^{n-1} - \sum_{1 \le i < j < m \le n} \overline{C}_{n-1+k-a_{i}-a_{j}-a_{m}}^{n-1} + \dots - (-1)^{n} \overline{C}_{n-1+k-\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}}^{n-1},$$

$$(4.2)$$

 $(k = 1, 2, ..., \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i - n)$ and \overline{C}_k^m is given by (3.6).

Proof. Using formula (2.8) for $a_i = 1$ (i = 1, 2, ..., n), we obtain

$$P(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i = b) = \sum_{t_1=0}^{d_1-1} \sum_{t_2=0}^{d_2-1} \dots \sum_{t_n=0}^{d_n-1} P(\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i x_i = b - \sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i),$$

for any natural $d_1, d_2, ..., d_n$. This combined with formula (3.4) implies

$$\sum_{t_1=0}^{a_1-1} \sum_{t_2=0}^{a_2-1} \dots \sum_{t_n=0}^{a_n-1} P(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i = b - \sum_{i=1}^n t_i) = C_{b+n-1}^{n-1}, \quad (4.3)$$

whence we obtain (4.1), where l'_k $(k = 0, 1, ..., \sum_{i=1}^n a_i - n)$ is the number of integer non-negative solutions of the system

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i = k,$$

 $0 \le t_i \le a_i - 1, (i = 1, 2..., n).$

Equality (2.1) implies that

$$l'_{k} = \sum_{\beta_{1}=0}^{1} \sum_{\beta_{2}=0}^{1} \dots \sum_{\beta_{n}=0}^{1} (-1)^{\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}+\dots+\beta_{n}} P(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} = k - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_{i}a_{i}),$$

for all k = 0, 1, ..., s. This together with formula (3.4) gives:

$$l'_{k} = \sum_{\beta_{1}=0}^{1} \sum_{\beta_{2}=0}^{1} \dots \sum_{\beta_{n}=0}^{1} (-1)^{\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}+\dots+\beta_{n}} \overline{C}_{k-\sum_{i=1}^{n}\beta_{i}a_{i}+n-1}^{n-1}.$$

Formula (4.1) implies the recurrent formula for $P(b) = P(\sum_{i=}^{n} a_i x_i = b)$, for any non-negative integer b. Namely, we have

Corollary 4.2.

$$P(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i x_i = b) = C_{b+n-1}^{n-1} - \sum_{k=1}^{a_1+a_2+\ldots+a_n-n} l'_k P(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i x_i = b-k), \quad (4.4)$$

where l'_k $(k = 1, 2, \ldots, \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i - n)$ can be found by formula (4.2).

In a similar manner one can prove the following recurrent formula for P(b).

Theorem 4.3. We have

$$P(b) = \sum_{k=0}^{s^*} l_k^* P(\left[\frac{b}{m}\right] - k),$$
(4.5)

where m is an arbitrary natural number,

$$s^* = \left[\frac{(m-1)\sum_{i=1}^n a_i - r)}{m}\right],$$

r is the remainder of b modulo m, and l_k^* $(k = 0, 1, 2, ..., s^*)$ is the number of integer non-negative solutions of the system

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i t_i = r + km,$$

$$0 \leq t_i \leq m - 1, (i = 1, 2, \dots, n).$$

Proof. Applying (2.8) for $d_i = m$ (i = 1, 2, ..., n) we obtain:

n

$$P(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i x_i = b) = \sum_{t_1=0}^{m-1} \sum_{t_2=0}^{m-1} \dots \sum_{t_n=0}^{m-1} P(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i x_i = \frac{b - \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i t_i}{m}). \quad (4.6)$$

Since $\frac{b - \sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i}{m}$ is an integer if and only if $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i t_i = r + km$ for some integer k, we obtain that

$$0 \leqslant r + km \le \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i(m-1)$$

and

$$0 \le k \le \frac{(m-1)\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i - r}{m}.$$

And since

$$\frac{b - (r + mk)}{m} = \left[\frac{b}{m}\right] - k,$$

equality (4.6) implies (4.5).

In particular, from (4.5) for m = 2 we obtain the following statement.

Corollary 4.4. We have

$$P(b) = \begin{cases} \sum_{k=0}^{s_1} P^*(2k) P(\frac{b}{2} - k) & \text{if } b \text{ is even,} \\ \\ \sum_{k=0}^{s_2} P^*(2k+1) P(\left[\frac{b}{2}\right] - k) & \text{if } b \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$
(4.7)

where

$$s_1 = \left[\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i}{2}\right], \ s_2 = \left[\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i - 1}{2}\right],$$

and $P^*(d)$ denotes the number of integer non-negative solutions of the system

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i t_i = d,$$
$$0 \leq t_i \leq 1, (i = 1, 2, \dots, n).$$

To calculate $P^*(d)$ $(d \in \mathbb{N})$ one can apply the following recurrent formula

$$P_n^*(d) = P_{n-1}^*(d) + P_{n-1}^*(d-a_n),$$
(4.8)

where $P_n^*(d) = P^*(d)$ and $P_{n-1}^*(c)$ $(c \in \mathbb{Z})$ denotes the number of integer non-negative solutions of the system

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i t_i = c,$$

 $0 \le t_i \le 1, \ (i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1),$

and also take into account the fact that if $c > \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i$ or c < 0, then $P_{n-1}^*(c) = 0$.

5. Examples

In this section, employing the method described above we give examples of finding the number of integer non-negative solutions of a linear Diophantine equation.

Note that for a Diophantine equation $\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i x_i = b$ with pairwise coprime coefficients a_1, a_2, a_3 , the formula is given in [2] which represents the number P(b) via P(r), where r is the remainder of b modulo $a_1a_2a_3$. In this paper we deal with Diophantine equations where coefficients are not necessarily pairwise coprime. **Example 5.1.** Find the number P(b) of integer non-negative solutions of the equation

$$2x_1 + 4x_2 + 5x_3 = b,$$

where b is an integer non-negative number.

To this end we apply formulas (3.5), (3.2) and (3.3). Here n = 3, $\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i = 11$, M = 20,

$$s = \left[3 - \frac{11+r}{20}\right] = \begin{cases} 2 & if \quad r \le 9, \\ 1 & if \quad r \ge 10. \end{cases}$$

where r is the remainder of b modulo 20.

Since the coefficients of the equation are coprime, according to formula (3.11), $\sum_{i=0}^{2} l_i = 10$ (at that, if $r \ge 10$, then $l_2 = 0$). Therefore,

$$P(b) = l_0 C_{b'+2}^2 + l_1 \overline{C}_{b'+1}^2 + l_2 \overline{C}_{b'}^2, \qquad (5.1)$$

where $b' = \left[\frac{b}{20}\right]$,

$$l_0 = P(r), \ l_1 = P(r+20) - 3P(r), \ l_2 = 10 - l_0 - l_1.$$
 (5.2)

To find P(r) and P(r+20) we apply formulas (4.4) and (4.2). We have $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i - n = 8$. Moreover, $\overline{C}_l^3 = 0$ for l < 3. Therefore, for any non-negative integer d we obtain:

$$P(d) = C_{d+2}^2 - \sum_{k=1}^8 l'_k P(d-k),$$

where

$$l'_{k} = C_{k+2}^{2} - (\overline{C}_{k}^{2} + \overline{C}_{k-2}^{2} + \overline{C}_{k-3}^{2}) + (\overline{C}_{k-4}^{2} + \overline{C}_{k-5}^{2}), \ (k = 1, 2, ..., s).$$
We get

$$\begin{split} l_1' &= 3, l_2' = 5, l_3' = 7, l_4' = 8, l_5' = 7, l_6' = 5, l_7' = 3, l_8' = 1, \\ P(1) &= 0, P(2) = 1, P(3) = 0, P(4) = 2, P(5) = 1, P(6) = 2, P(7) = 1, \\ P(8) &= 3, P(9) = 2, P(10) = 4, P(11) = 2, P(12) = 5, P(13) = 3, \\ P(14) &= 6, P(15) = 4, P(16) = 7, P(17) = 5, P(18) = 8, P(19) = 6, \\ P(20) &= 10, P(21) = 7, P(22) = 11, P(23) = 8, P(24) = 13, P(25) = 10, \\ P(26) &= 14, P(27) = 11, P(28) = 16, P(29) = 13. \end{split}$$

Substituting these values in formula (5.2), one can find, for each r = 0, 1, ..., 19, the corresponding values of l_0, l_1 and l_2 . The found values are given by the table below.

Substituting these values in formula (5.1), one can find the value of the function P(b), for any integer non-negative b.

r	0;5	1	2;7	3	4;9	6;11	8;13	10;15	12;17	14;19	16	18
l_0	1	0	1	0	2	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
l_1	7	7	8	8	$\overline{7}$	8	7	6	5	4	3	2
l_2	2	3	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

For instance, P(214) = 616, since $\left[\frac{214}{20}\right] = 10$, r = 14, $l_0 = 6$, $l_1 = 4$, $l_2 = 0$, and $P(214) = 6C_{12}^2 + 4C_{11}^2$.

Example 5.2. Find the number P(826) of integer non-negative solutions of the equation

$$2x_1 + 3x_2 + 4x_3 + 6x_4 = 826.$$

We apply formulas (3.5), (3.2), (3.3), and (3.11). Here n = 4, $\sum_{i=1}^{4} a_i = 15$, M = 12, b = 826, $\left[\frac{b}{M}\right] = 68$, r = 10, $s = \left[4 - \frac{15 + 10}{12}\right] = 1$, $l_0 + l_1 = 12$. Hence $P(826) = l_0 C_{71}^3 + l_1 C_{70}^3$, (5.3)

where

$$l_0 = P(10), \ l_1 = 12 - P(10).$$

As it is not difficult to observe, P(10) = 7. Therefore $l_0 = 7$, $l_1 = 5$. From (5.3) we obtain

$$P(826) = 7C_{71}^3 + 5C_{70}^3 = 673785.$$

Example 5.3. Find the number P(b) of integer non-negative solutions of the equation

$$2x_1 + 2x_2 + 3x_3 + 3x_4 + 3x_5 + 6x_6 + 6x_7 = b,$$

where b is an integer non-negative number.

To this end we apply formulas (3.5), (3.3), (3.2), and (3.11). Here n = 7, $\sum_{i=1}^{7} a_i = 25$, M = 6, $s = \left[7 - \frac{25 + r}{6}\right] = 2$, r is the remainder of b modulo 6, $\sum_{i=0}^{2} l_i = 12$. Hence

$$P(b) = l_0 C_{b'+6}^6 + l_1 \overline{C}_{b'+5}^6 + l_2 \overline{C}_{b'+4}^6, \tag{5.4}$$

where
$$b' = \left[\frac{b}{6}\right]$$
 and
 $l_0 = P(r), \ l_1 = P(r+6) - 7P(r), \ l_2 = 12 - l_0 - l_1.$ (5.5)

Since $r + 6 \leq 11$, for finding l_0 , l_1 and l_2 it suffices to find P(c) for all $c \leq 11$.

To calculate P(r) and P(r+6) we apply recurrent formulas (4.7) and (4.8). As a result we obtain:

 $P^*(0) = 1, P^*(1) = 0, P^*(2) = 2, P^*(3) = 3, P^*(4) = 1, P^*(5) = 6, P^*(6) = 5, P^*(7) = 3, P^*(8) = 10, P^*(9) = 7, P^*(10) = 5, P^*(11) = 14.$

Therefore, taking the fact that P(c) = 0 for c < 0 into account, we obtain that for even c we have

$$P(c) = P(c') + 2P(c'-1) + P(c'-2) + 5P(c'-3) + 10P(c'-4) + 5P(c'-1)$$

while for odd c we have

$$P(c) = 3P(c'-1) + 6P(c'-2) + 3P(c'-3) + 7P(c'-4) + 14P(c'-5),$$

where $c' = \left[\frac{c}{2}\right].$
Since $P(0) = 1$, we obtain:

P(1) = 0, P(2) = 2, P(3) = 3, P(4) = 3, P(5) = 6, P(6) = 12, P(7) = 9, P(8) = 21, P(9) = 28, P(10) = 30, P(11) = 47.

Substituting these values in equality (5.4) we can find l_0 , l_1 , and l_2 for r = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5.

Next, substituting, for each r = 0, 1, ..., 5, the corresponding values of l_0 , l_1 and l_2 in formula (5.4), we obtain:

$$P(b) = \begin{cases} C_{b'+6}^6 + 5\overline{C}_{b'+5}^6 + 6\overline{C}_{b'+4}^6 & if \quad r = 0, \\ 9\overline{C}_{b'+5}^6 + 3\overline{C}_{b'+4}^6 & if \quad r = 1, \\ 2C_{b'+6}^6 + 7\overline{C}_{b'+5}^6 + 3\overline{C}_{b'+4}^6 & if \quad r = 2, \\ 3C_{b'+6}^6 + 7\overline{C}_{b'+5}^6 + 2\overline{C}_{b'+4}^6 & if \quad r = 3, \\ 3C_{b'+6}^6 + 9\overline{C}_{b'+5}^6 & if \quad r = 4, \\ 6C_{b'+6}^6 + 5\overline{C}_{b'+5}^6 + \overline{C}_{b'+4}^6 & if \quad r = 5. \end{cases}$$

For instance,

$$P(49) = 9C_{13}^6 + 3C_{12}^6 = 18216$$

Example 5.4. Find the number P(b) of integer non-negative solutions of the equation

$$x_1 + 2x_2 + 3x_3 + 4x_4 + 5x_5 + 6x_6 + 7x_7 = 101.$$

Apply the recurrent formulas (4.7) and (4.8). Since $\sum_{i=1}^{7} a_i = 28$, we need to find $P^*(c)$ for all $c \leq 28$. Applying formula (4.8), we obtain:

 $\begin{array}{l} P^*(0)=1,\ P^*(1)=1,\ P^*(2)=1,\ P^*(3)=2,\ P^*(4)=2,\ P^*(5)=3,\\ P^*(6)=4,\ P^*(7)=5,\ P^*(8)=5,\ P^*(9)=6,\ P^*(10)=7,\ P^*(11)=7,\\ P^*(12)=8,\ P^*(13)=8,\ P^*(14)=8,\ P^*(15)=8,\ P^*(16)=8,\\ P^*(17)=7,\ P^*(18)=7,\ P^*(19)=6,\ P^*(20)=5,\ P^*(21)=5,\\ P^*(22)=4,\ P^*(23)=3,\ P^*(24)=2,\ P^*(25)=2,\ P^*(26)=1,\\ P^*(27)=1,\ P^*(28)=1. \end{array}$

Therefore from (4.7) we can conclude that if c is even, then

$$P(c) = P(c') + P(c'-1) + 2P(c'-2) + 4P(c'-3) + 5P(c'-4) + 7P(c'-5) + 8P(c'-6) + 8P(c'-7) + 8P(c'-8) + 7P(c'-9) + 5P(c'-10) + 4P(c'-11) + 2P(c'-12) + P(c'-13) + P(c'-14).$$

But if c is odd, then
$$P(c) = P(c') + 2P(c'-1) + 2P(c'-2) + 5P(c'-2) + 5P(c'-2)$$

$$P(c) = P(c') + 2P(c'-1) + 3P(c'-2) + 5P(c'-3) + 6P(c'-4) + 7P(c'-5) + 8P(c'-6) + 8P(c'-7) + 7P(c'-8) + 6P(c'-9) + 5P(c'-10) + 3P(c'-11) + 2P(c'-12) + P(c'-13),$$
(5.6)
where $c' = \left[\frac{c}{2}\right].$
Hence

$$P(101) = P(50) + 2P(49) + 3P(48) + 5P(47) + 6P(46) + 7P(45) + 8P(44) + 8P(43) + 7P(42) + 6P(41) + 5P(40) + 3P(39) + 2P(38) + P(37).$$

In a similar manner, representing P(50), P(49),..., P(37) via P(25), P(24), ..., P(0) with the aid of (5.6), we obtain that

$$P(101) = P(25) + 6P(24) + 20P(23) + 47P(22) + 91P(21) +$$

$$\begin{split} 154P(20) + 230P(19) + 312P(18) + 389P(17) + 445P(16) + 471P(15) + \\ 463P(14) + 420P(13) + 352P(12) + 272P(11) + 190P(10) + 120P(9) + \\ 67P(8) + 31P(7) + 12P(6) + 3P(5). \end{split}$$

Taking the fact that P(0) = 1 into account, from formula (5.6) we obtain:

 $\begin{array}{l} P(1) = 1, \ P(2) = 2, \ P(3) = 3, \ P(4) = 5, \ P(5) = 7, \ P(6) = 11, \\ P(7) = 15, \ P(8) = 21, \ P(9) = 28, \ P(10) = 38, \ P(11) = 49, \ P(12) = 65, \ P(13) = 82, \ P(14) = 105, \ P(15) = 131, \ P(16) = 164, \ P(17) = 201, \\ P(18) = 248, \ P(19) = 300, \ P(20) = 364, \ P(21) = 436, \ P(22) = 522, \\ P(23) = 618, \ P(24) = 733, \ P(25) = 860. \end{array}$

Substituting these values in formula (5.7), we obtain

$$P(101) = 628998$$

Example 5.5. Find the number P(9005) of integer non-negative solutions of the equation

$$x_1 + 5x_2 + 10x_3 + 10x_4 + \dots + 10x_n = 9005,$$

where $n \ge 3$. Here $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i = 6 + 10(n-2)$, M = 10, $M(n-1) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i = 10(n-1) - 6 - 10(n-2) = 4$, r = 5 is the remainder of 9005 modulo 10. Since $r > M(n-1) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i$, Corollary 3.4 implies

$$P(9005) = \frac{M^{n-1}}{a_1 a_2 \dots a_n} C_{\left[\frac{9005}{10}\right] + (n-1)}^{n-1}$$

Since $\frac{M^{n-1}}{a_1 a_2 \dots a_n} = 2$, we obtain

$$P(9005) = 2C_{900+n-1}^{n-1}.$$

For instance, for n = 4 we obtain $P(9005) = 2C_{903}^3 = 244623302$.

Example 5.6. Find the number P(b) of integer non-negative solutions of the equation

$$x_1 + kx_2 + kx_3 + \ldots + kx_n = b_2$$

where $k, n \in \mathbb{N}, n \ge 2, b$ is a non-negative integer. Here we have $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i = 1 + k(n-1), M = k, s = 0.$ Therefore (3.14) implies

$$P(x_1 + kx_2 + kx_3 + \ldots + kx_n = b) = C_{\left[\frac{b}{k}\right] + (n-1)}^{n-1}.$$
 (5.8)

Example 5.7. Find the number P(b) of integer non-negative solutions of the equation

$$ax_1 + 2x_2 + 2ax_3 + 2ax_4 + \ldots + 2ax_n = b, (5.9)$$

where $n \geq 2$, a is a natural odd number which is different from 1, and b is a non-negative integer.

To investigate the function P(b) we apply equalities (3.5), (3.2), (3.3), and (3.11). We have

$$s = \left[n - \frac{a+2+2a(n-2)+r}{2a}\right] = \left[2 - \frac{a+2+r}{2a}\right],$$

where r is the remainder of b modulo 2a.

This implies that if r > a - 2, we have s = 0, and according to Theorem 3.3, we obtain that

$$P(b) = C \begin{bmatrix} b \\ \frac{b}{2a} \end{bmatrix}_{+n-1}^{+n-1}.$$
 (5.10)

in that case.

If $r \leq a-2$, then s = 1. Moreover, formula (3.11) implies that $l_0 + l_1 = 1$. Therefore we can conclude that either $l_0 = 1$ and $l_1 = 0$, or $l_0 = 0$ and $l_1 = 1$. Since $l_0 = P(r)$, from (3.5) we obtain that if $r \leq a-2$, then

$$P(b) = \begin{cases} C_{\left[\frac{b}{2a}\right]^{+n-1}}^{n-1} & if \quad P(r) = 1, \\ \\ \overline{C}_{\left[\frac{b}{2a}\right]^{+n-2}}^{n-1} & if \quad P(r) = 0. \end{cases}$$
(5.11)

Since $P(r) = P(ax_1 + 2x_2 = r)$, formulas (3.19), (3.16), (3.17), (3.18) and (5.11) imply that

$$P(b) = \begin{cases} C_{\left[\frac{b}{2a}\right]^{+n-1}}^{n-1} & if \qquad r > a-2, \\ & \left[\frac{b}{2a}\right]^{+n-1} & or \qquad r \qquad is even, \\ \hline \overline{C}_{\left[\frac{b}{2a}\right]^{+n-2}}^{n-1} & otherwise. \end{cases}$$

References

- E. T. Bell, Interpolated denumerants and Lambert series, Amer. J. Math. 65(1943), 382-386.
- [2] E. Ehrhart, Sur un probléme de géometrie diophantienne linéare II. Journal fur reine und angewanandte Mathematik. 227(1967), 25-49.
- [3] I. I. Ezhov, A. V. Skorokhod, I. I. Yadrenko, Elements of combinatorics, "Nauka", 1977.
- [4] G. Polya, G. Szego, Aufgaben und Lehrsätze aus der Analysis. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1964.

ETERI SAMSONADZE

- [5] J. Riordan, An introduction to combinatorial analysis. John Willey Sons, Inc., New York, 1958.
- [6] E. T. Samsonadze, The formulas for the number of integer non-negative solutions of linear equations and inequalities. Proc. Tbilisi State University, 239 (1983), 36-42.

Author's address:

Eteri Samsonadze, Retd., I. Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University, 1 Tchavchavadze Av., Tbilisi, 0179, Georgia, e-mail: eteri.samsonadze@outlook.com