

GLOBAL BASES FOR QUANTUM BORCHERDS-BOZEC ALGEBRAS

ZHAOBING FAN, SEOK-JIN KANG, YOUNG ROCK KIM*, AND BOLUN TONG

ABSTRACT. We provide a construction of global bases for quantum Borchers-Bozec algebras and their integrable highest weight representations.

CONTENTS

Introduction	1
1. Higher order quantum Serre relations	3
2. Quantum Borchers-Bozec algebras	9
3. Crystal bases and polarization	13
4. \mathbb{A} -form of $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$	19
5. Existence and uniqueness of global bases	22
References	31

INTRODUCTION

The *quantum Borchers-Bozec algebras* were introduced by Bozec [B15, B16, BSV16] in a natural way when he solved a question asked by Lusztig in [L93]. More precisely, if we consider a quiver with loops, the Grothendieck group arising from Lusztig sheaves on representation varieties is generated by the elementary simple perverse sheaves $F_i^{(n)}$ with all vertices i and $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Bozec proved an analogue of the Gabber-Kac theorem for the negative part $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ of a quantum Borchers-Bozec algebra under some restrictions of the inner product, and showed that the above Grothendieck group is isomorphic to $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$, which gives a construction of its *canonical basis*.

The canonical basis theory was first introduced by Lusztig in the simply-laced case in [L90], due to his geometric construction of the negative parts of quantum groups, and it has

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 17B37, 17B67, 16G20.

Key words and phrases. quantum Borchers-Bozec algebra, crystal basis, global basis.

* Corresponding author. All authors contribute equally.

been generalized to symmetric Kac-Moody type in [L91, L10]. On the other hand, Kashiwara constructed the crystal bases and global bases for quantum groups associated with symmetrizable Kac-Moody algebras in an algebraic way [Kas90, Kas91]. In [GL93], Grojnowski and Lusztig proved that Kashiwara's global bases coincide with Lusztig's canonical bases. The crystal basis theory has become one of the most central themes in combinatorial and geometric representation theory of quantum groups because it provides us with a very powerful combinatorial tool to investigate the structure of quantum groups and their integrable representations. In [JKK05], Jeong, Kang and Kashiwara developed the crystal basis theory for quantum Borcherds algebras, which were introduced in [K95]. In [KS06], Kang and Schiffmann gave a construction of canonical basis for quantum Borcherds algebras and proved that, when all the diagonal entries of the corresponding Borcherds-Cartan matrices are non-zero, the canonical bases coincide with global bases.

Bozec's crystal basis theory for quantum Borcherds-Bozec algebras is based on *primitive generators* $\mathbf{a}_{il}, \mathbf{b}_{il}$ ($i, l \in I^\infty$), not on the *Chevalley generators* e_{il}, f_{il} . The primitive generators have simpler commutation relations than Chevalley generators. Bozec defined the Kashiwara operators using primitive generators and proved several crucial theorems which are important steps for Kashiwara's grand-loop argument [B16, Lemma 3.33, Lemma 3.34]. Moreover, using Lusztig's and Nakajima's quiver varieties, he also gave a geometric construction of $\mathcal{B}(\infty)$, the crystal of the negative half $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$, and $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$, the crystal of the integrable highest weight representation $V(\lambda)$, respectively.

The main goal of this paper is to construct the *global bases* for quantum Borcherds-Bozec algebras and their integrable highest weight representations. As is the case with Bozec's crystal basis theory, we are primarily interested in primitive generators and we will give a new presentation of quantum Borcherds-Bozec algebras in terms of primitive generators.

As the first step, we give an explicit description of the radical \mathcal{R} of Lusztig's bilinear form. We could take a direct generalization of the approach in [L10, Chapter 7], but it is a very lengthy and messy calculation. Instead, we take a different approach using the co-multiplication (Lemma 1.2), and prove higher order quantum Serre relations (Theorem 1.4). Bozec already showed $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ is a Hopf algebra with Lusztig's bilinear form as Hopf pairing. Hence by [SV99, Lemma 3.2], we have only to impose Drinfeld relations on the generators of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$. Since the primitive generators can be expressed as homogeneous polynomials in Chevalley generators ([B15, B16]), we obtain a new presentation of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ in terms of primitive generators (Theorem 2.5).

Then we set up the frame work that can be found in [Kas91, JKK05]. However, we still need more preparations. In the case of quantum Borcherds-Bozec algebras, for each I^{im} ,

there are infinitely many generators with higher degrees. Thus, compared with quantum Borchers algebras, we need to take a much more complicated approach to the construction of global bases. To overcome these difficulties, we introduce a very natural and much expanded notion of balanced triples corresponding to the compositions or partitions of each higher degree of primitive generators (Proposition 5.5, Corollary 5.6). As can be expected, to prove our assertions, the imaginary indices with higher degrees should be treated with special care. In particular, the isotropic case (i.e., when $a_{ii} = 0$) requires very subtle and delicate treatment.

Now we can follow the steps given in [Kas91, JKK05] and prove the existence and uniqueness of global bases (Theorem 5.9). Proposition 5.5 and Corollary 5.6 play the crucial roles in the process.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 1, we give an explicit description of the radical \mathcal{R} of the inner product $(\ , \)_L$ via higher order quantum Serre relations in quantum Borchers-Bozec algebras. In Section 2, we give a new presentation of quantum Borchers-Bozec algebras in terms of primitive generators as an application of higher order quantum Serre relations. In Section 3, we review the crystal basis theory for quantum Borchers-Bozec algebras and give canonical characterizations of the crystal bases $(\mathcal{L}(\infty), \mathcal{B}(\infty))$ and $(\mathcal{L}(\lambda), \mathcal{B}(\lambda))$, respectively. We also define the quantum Boson algebra $\mathcal{B}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ for an arbitrary Borchers-Cartan datum. In Section 4, we define the \mathbb{A} -forms $U_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathfrak{g})$ of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ and $V(\lambda)^{\mathbb{A}}$ of $V(\lambda)$, respectively. We prove that $U_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathfrak{g})$ has the triangular decomposition and both $U_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathfrak{g})$ and $V(\lambda)^{\mathbb{A}}$ are stable under the Kashiwara operators. Section 5 is devoted to the proof of existence and uniqueness of global bases. We prove Proposition 5.5 and Corollary 5.6, which are key ingredients for the proof of our main goal.

Acknowledgements.

Z. Fan was partially supported by the NSF of China grant 11671108, the NSF of Heilongjiang Province grant JQ2020A001, and the Fundamental Research Funds for the central universities. S.-J. Kang was supported by the NSF of China grant 11671108. Young Rock Kim was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korea government (MSIT) (No. 2021R1A2C1011467).

1. HIGHER ORDER QUANTUM SERRE RELATIONS

Let I be an index set which can be countably infinite. An integer-valued matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j \in I}$ is called an *even symmetrizable Borchers-Cartan matrix* if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) $a_{ii} = 2, 0, -2, -4, \dots$,

- (ii) $a_{ij} \leq 0$ for $i \neq j$,
- (iii) there exists a diagonal matrix $D = \text{diag}(r_i \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0} \mid i \in I)$ such that DA is symmetric.

Let $I^{\text{re}} = \{i \in I \mid a_{ii} = 2\}$, $I^{\text{im}} = \{i \in I \mid a_{ii} \leq 0\}$ and $I^{\text{iso}} = \{i \in I \mid a_{ii} = 0\}$. The elements of I^{re} (resp. I^{im} , I^{iso}) are called the *real indices* (resp. *imaginary indices*, *isotropic indices*).

A *Borcherds-Cartan datum* consists of :

- (a) an even symmetrizable Borcherds-Cartan matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j \in I}$,
- (b) a free abelian group P , the *weight lattice*,
- (c) $\Pi = \{\alpha_i \in P \mid i \in I\}$, the set of *simple roots*,
- (d) $P^\vee := \text{Hom}(P, \mathbf{Z})$, the *dual weight lattice*,
- (e) $\Pi^\vee = \{h_i \in P^\vee \mid i \in I\}$, the set of *simple coroots*

satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) $\langle h_i, \alpha_j \rangle = a_{ij}$ for all $i, j \in I$,
- (ii) Π is linearly independent over \mathbf{Q} ,
- (iii) for each $i \in I$, there exists an element $\Lambda_i \in P$, called the *fundamental weights*, such that

$$\langle h_j, \Lambda_i \rangle = \delta_{ij} \quad \text{for all } i, j \in I.$$

We denote by

$$P^+ := \{\lambda \in P \mid \langle h_i, \lambda \rangle \geq 0 \text{ for all } i \in I\},$$

the set of *dominant integral weights*. The free abelian group $Q := \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbf{Z} \alpha_i$ is called the *root lattice*. Set $Q_+ := \sum_{i \in I} \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0} \alpha_i$ and $Q_- := -Q_+$. For $\beta = \sum k_i \alpha_i \in Q_+$, we define its *height* to be $|\beta| := \sum k_i$.

Let $\mathfrak{h} := \mathbf{Q} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} P^\vee$ be the *Cartan subalgebra*. There exists a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form $(\ , \)$ on \mathfrak{h}^* satisfying

$$(\alpha_i, \lambda) = r_i \langle h_i, \lambda \rangle \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*.$$

For each $i \in I^{\text{re}}$, we define the *simple reflection* $\omega_i \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ by

$$\omega_i(\lambda) = \lambda - \langle h_i, \lambda \rangle \alpha_i \quad \text{for } \lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*.$$

The subgroup W of $GL(\mathfrak{h}^*)$ generated by the simple reflections ω_i ($i \in I^{\text{re}}$) is called the *Weyl group* of the Borcherds-Cartan datum given above. It is easy to check that $(\ , \)$ is W -invariant.

Let $I^\infty := (I^{\text{re}} \times \{1\}) \cup (I^{\text{im}} \times \mathbf{Z}_{>0})$. If $i \in I^{\text{re}}$, we often write i for $(i, 1)$. Let q be an indeterminate and set

$$q_i = q^{r_i}, \quad q_{(i)} = q^{\frac{(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)}{2}}.$$

For each $i \in I^{\text{re}}$ and $n \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we define

$$[n]_i = \frac{q_i^n - q_i^{-n}}{q_i - q_i^{-1}}, \quad [n]_i! = \prod_{k=1}^n [k]_i, \quad \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_i = \frac{[n]_i!}{[k]_i! [n-k]_i!}.$$

Let $\mathcal{F} = \mathbf{Q}(q) \langle f_{il} \mid (i, l) \in I^\infty \rangle$ be the free associative algebra over $\mathbf{Q}(q)$ generated by the symbols f_{il} for $(i, l) \in I^\infty$. By setting $\deg f_{il} = -l\alpha_i$, \mathcal{F} becomes a Q_- -graded algebra. For a homogeneous element u in \mathcal{F} , we denote by $|u|$ the degree of u , and for any subset $A \subseteq Q_-$, set $\mathcal{F}_A = \{x \in \mathcal{F} \mid |x| \in A\}$.

We define a *twisted* multiplication on $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{F}$ by

$$(x_1 \otimes x_2)(y_1 \otimes y_2) = q^{-(|x_2|, |y_1|)} x_1 y_1 \otimes x_2 y_2$$

for all homogeneous elements $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in \mathcal{F}$, and equip \mathcal{F} with a co-multiplication ϱ defined by

$$\varrho(f_{il}) = \sum_{m+n=l} q_{(i)}^{-mn} f_{im} \otimes f_{in} \quad \text{for } (i, l) \in I^\infty.$$

Here, by convention, $f_{i0} = 1$ and $f_{il} = 0$ for $l < 0$.

Proposition 1.1. [B15, B16] For any family $\nu = (\nu_{il})_{(i,l) \in I^\infty}$ of non-zero elements in $\mathbf{Q}(q)$, there exists a symmetric bilinear form $(\ , \)_L : \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}(q)$ such that

- (a) $(x, y)_L = 0$ if $|x| \neq |y|$,
- (b) $(1, 1)_L = 1$,
- (c) $(f_{il}, f_{il})_L = \nu_{il}$ for all $(i, l) \in I^\infty$,
- (d) $(x, yz)_L = (\varrho(x), y \otimes z)_L$ for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{F}$.

Here, $(x_1 \otimes x_2, y_1 \otimes y_2)_L = (x_1, y_1)_L (x_2, y_2)_L$ for any $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in \mathcal{F}$.

We denote by \mathcal{R} the radical of $(\ , \)_L$.

Let \mathcal{C}_l be the set of compositions \mathbf{c} of l , and set $f_{i,\mathbf{c}} = f_{ic_1} \cdots f_{ic_m}$ for every $i \in I^{\text{im}}$ and every $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_m) \in \mathcal{C}_l$. Let $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_l = \{\mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{c}_2, \dots, \mathbf{c}_r\}$. Then $f_{i,\mathbf{c}_1}, \dots, f_{i,\mathbf{c}_r}$ form a basis of $\mathcal{F}_{-l\alpha_i}$. Hence, for any homogeneous element x in \mathcal{F} , $\varrho(x)$ can be written into the forms

$$\varrho(x) = x_{\mathbf{c}_1} \otimes f_{i,\mathbf{c}_1} + \cdots + x_{\mathbf{c}_r} \otimes f_{i,\mathbf{c}_r} + \text{terms of bidegree not in } Q_- \times -l\alpha_i,$$

$$\varrho(x) = f_{i,\mathbf{c}_1} \otimes x'_{\mathbf{c}_1} + \cdots + f_{i,\mathbf{c}_r} \otimes x'_{\mathbf{c}_r} + \text{terms of bidegree not in } -l\alpha_i \times Q_-.$$

We denote by $\varrho_{i,l}(x), \varrho^{i,l}(x) : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^r$ the $\mathbf{Q}(q)$ -linear maps:

$$\varrho_{i,l}(x) = (x_{\mathbf{c}_1}, \dots, x_{\mathbf{c}_r}), \quad \varrho^{i,l}(x) = (x'_{\mathbf{c}_1}, \dots, x'_{\mathbf{c}_r}).$$

If x, y are homogeneous elements such that $\varrho_{i,k}(y) = 0$ for any $k > 0$, then we have

$$\varrho_{i,l}(xy) = q^{l(\alpha_i, |y|)} \varrho_{i,l}(x)y \quad \text{and} \quad \varrho_{i,l}(yx) = y\varrho_{i,l}(x).$$

Here, $\varrho_{i,l}(x)y = (x_{\mathbf{c}_1}y, \dots, x_{\mathbf{c}_r}y)$ and $y\varrho_{i,l}(x) = (yx_{\mathbf{c}_1}, \dots, yx_{\mathbf{c}_r})$ if $\varrho_{i,l}(x) = (x_{\mathbf{c}_1}, \dots, x_{\mathbf{c}_r})$.

Similarly, if $\varrho^{i,k}(y) = 0$ for any $k > 0$, we have

$$\varrho^{i,l}(xy) = \varrho^{i,l}(x)y \quad \text{and} \quad \varrho^{i,l}(yx) = q^{l(\alpha_i, |y|)} y\varrho^{i,l}(x).$$

For $i \in I^{\text{re}}$, we define the $\mathbf{Q}(q)$ -linear maps $\varrho_i, \varrho^i : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ by

$$\varrho_i(1) = 0, \varrho_i(f_{j,k}) = \delta_{i,j}\delta_{k,1}, \quad \text{and} \quad \varrho_i(xy) = q^{(|y|, \alpha_i)} \varrho_i(x)y + x\varrho_i(y),$$

$$\varrho^i(1) = 0, \varrho^i(f_{j,k}) = \delta_{i,j}\delta_{k,1}, \quad \text{and} \quad \varrho^i(xy) = \varrho^i(x)y + q^{(|x|, \alpha_i)} x\varrho^i(y)$$

for all homogeneous elements x, y . Note that for any homogeneous element $x \in \mathcal{F}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho(x) &= \varrho_i(x) \otimes f_i + \text{terms of other bi-homogeneities,} \\ \varrho(x) &= f_i \otimes \varrho^i(x) + \text{terms of other bi-homogeneities.} \end{aligned}$$

The following lemma can be derived directly from the definitions of $\varrho_{i,l}$ and $\varrho^{i,l}$.

Lemma 1.2.

(a) If $i \in I^{\text{re}}$, then for any $x, y \in \mathcal{F}$, we have

$$(yf_i, x)_L = (f_i, f_i)_L(y, \varrho_i(x))_L, \quad (f_i y, x)_L = (f_i, f_i)_L(y, \varrho^i(x))_L.$$

(b) If $i \in I^{\text{im}}$, let $x \in \mathcal{F}$ with $\varrho_{i,l}(x) = (x_{\mathbf{c}_1}, \dots, x_{\mathbf{c}_r})$ and $\varrho^{i,l}(x) = (x'_{\mathbf{c}_1}, \dots, x'_{\mathbf{c}_r})$. Then for any $x \in \mathcal{F}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (yf_{il}, x)_L &= (f_{il}, f_{i, \mathbf{c}_1})_L(y, x_{\mathbf{c}_1})_L + \dots + (f_{il}, f_{i, \mathbf{c}_r})_L(y, x_{\mathbf{c}_r})_L, \\ (f_{il}y, x)_L &= (f_{il}, f_{i, \mathbf{c}_1})_L(y, x'_{\mathbf{c}_1})_L + \dots + (f_{il}, f_{i, \mathbf{c}_r})_L(y, x'_{\mathbf{c}_r})_L. \end{aligned}$$

(c) Let $x \in \mathcal{F}$ be a homogeneous element with $|x| \neq 0$, we have

- (i) if $\varrho_{i,l}(x) \in \mathcal{R}$ for any $(i, l) \in I^\infty$, then $x \in \mathcal{R}$,
- (ii) if $\varrho^{i,l}(x) \in \mathcal{R}$ for any $(i, l) \in I^\infty$, then $x \in \mathcal{R}$.

Here, if $i \in I^{\text{im}}$, $\varrho^{i,l}(x) \in \mathcal{R}$ means each component of $\varrho^{i,l}(x)$ belongs to \mathcal{R} .

For any $i \in I^{\text{re}}$ and $n \in \mathbf{N}$, set

$$f_i^{(n)} = \frac{f_i^n}{[n]_i!}.$$

By a similar argument in [L10, 1.4.2], we can prove:

Lemma 1.3. We have

$$(1.1) \quad \varrho(f_i^{(n)}) = \sum_{p+p'=n} q_i^{-pp'} f_i^{(p)} \otimes f_i^{(p')}$$

for any $i \in I^e$ and $n \in \mathbf{N}$.

Theorem 1.4. Assume that $i \in I^e$, $j \in I$ and $i \neq j$. Let $m \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}$, $n \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$ with $m > -a_{ij}n$. Then for any $\mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{C}_n$, the following element of \mathcal{F}

$$(1.2) \quad \mathbf{F}_{i,j,m,n,\mathbf{c},\pm 1} = \sum_{r+s=m} (-1)^r q_i^{\pm r(-a_{ij}n-m+1)} f_i^{(r)} f_{j,\mathbf{c}} f_i^{(s)}$$

belongs to \mathcal{B} . Here, we put $f_{j,\mathbf{c}} = f_j^n$ for $j \in I^e$.

Proof. If $n = 0$, then

$$\mathbf{F}_{i,j,m,0,\mathbf{c},\pm 1} = \sum_{r+s=m} (-1)^r q_i^{\pm r(1-m)} f_i^{(r)} f_i^{(s)}.$$

Since $\sum_{r+s=m} (-1)^r q_i^{\pm r(1-m)} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ r \end{bmatrix}_i = 0$, we have $\mathbf{F}_{i,j,m,0,\mathbf{c},\pm 1} = 0$.

We first assume that $j \in I^{\text{im}}$. For $0 < k \leq n$ and $\mathbf{c} = (n_1, \dots, n_t) \in \mathcal{C}_n$, we have

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \varrho^{j,k}(f_i^{(r)} f_{j,\mathbf{c}} f_i^{(s)}) &= \varrho^{j,k}(f_i^{(r)} f_{j,\mathbf{c}}) f_i^{(s)} = q^{-(r\alpha_i, k\alpha_j)} f_i^{(r)} \varrho^{j,k}(f_{j,\mathbf{c}}) f_i^{(s)} \\ &= q^{-(r\alpha_i, k\alpha_j)} f_i^{(r)} (\beta_{a_1, \dots, a_t} f_{j, (n_1-a_1, \dots, n_t-a_t)})_{a_1 \leq n_1, \dots, a_t \leq n_t, a_1 + \dots + a_t = k} f_i^{(s)}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\beta_{a_1, \dots, a_t} = q_{(j)}^{\sum_{h=1}^t a_h(a_h - n_h)} q_{(j)}^{2 \sum_{1 \leq p < q \leq t} (a_p - n_p) a_q}.$$

Note that $m > -a_{i,j}n \geq -a_{i,j}(n-k)$ and

$$q_i^{-r(-a_{ij}n-m+1)} q^{-(r\alpha_i, k\alpha_j)} = q_i^{-r[-a_{ij}(n-k)-m+1]}.$$

Therefore each component of $\varrho^{j,k}(\mathbf{F}_{i,j,m,n,\mathbf{c},-1})$ is a scalar multiple of $\mathbf{F}_{i,j,m,n-k,\mathbf{c}',-1}$ for some $\mathbf{c}' \in \mathcal{C}_{n-k}$.

Since $i \in I^e$, we have

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \varrho^i(f_i^{(r)} f_{j,\mathbf{c}} f_i^{(s)}) &= \varrho^i(f_i^{(r)} f_{j,\mathbf{c}}) f_i^{(s)} + q^{-(r\alpha_i + n\alpha_j, \alpha_i)} q_i^{1-s} f_i^{(r)} f_{j,\mathbf{c}} f_i^{(s-1)} \\ &= q_i^{1-r} f_i^{(r-1)} f_{j,\mathbf{c}} f_i^{(s)} + q^{-(r\alpha_i + n\alpha_j, \alpha_i)} q_i^{1-s} f_i^{(r)} f_{j,\mathbf{c}} f_i^{(s-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \varrho^i(\mathbf{F}_{i,j,m,n,\mathbf{c},-1}) &= \sum_{r+s=m} (-1)^r q_i^{-r(-a_{ij}n-m+1)} q_i^{1-r} f_i^{(r-1)} f_{j,\mathbf{c}} f_i^{(s)} \\ &+ \sum_{r+s=m} (-1)^r q_i^{-r(-a_{ij}n-m+1)} q_i^{-(r\alpha_i+n\alpha_j,\alpha_i)} q_i^{1-s} f_i^{(r)} f_{j,\mathbf{c}} f_i^{(s-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the coefficient of $f_i^{(r)} f_{j,\mathbf{c}} f_i^{(s-1)}$ is

$$(1.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & q_i^{-(r+1)(-a_{ij}n-m+1)} q_i^{-r} - q_i^{-r(-a_{ij}n-m+1)} q_i^{-(r\alpha_i+n\alpha_j,\alpha_i)} q_i^{1-m+r} \\ &= q_i^{-(r+1)(-a_{ij}n-m+1)} q_i^{-r} - q_i^{-r(-a_{ij}n-m+1)} q_i^{-2r-na_{ij}} q_i^{1-m+r} \\ &= q_i^{-r(-a_{ij}n-m+2)} q_i^{a_{ij}n+m-1} (1 - q_i^{-2m-2na_{ij}+2}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho^i(\mathbf{F}_{i,j,m,n,\mathbf{c},-1}) &= (1 - q_i^{-2m-2na_{ij}+2}) q_i^{a_{ij}n+m-1} \cdot \sum_{r+s=m-1} (-1)^r q_i^{-r(-a_{ij}n-m+2)} f_i^{(r)} f_{j,\mathbf{c}} f_i^{(s)} \\ &= \begin{cases} \beta \mathbf{F}_{i,j,m-1,n,\mathbf{c},-1} & \text{if } m > -a_{ij}n + 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } m = -a_{ij}n + 1. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Here $\beta = (1 - q_i^{-2m-2na_{ij}+2}) q_i^{a_{ij}n+m-1}$ is a constant.

By the induction and Lemma 1.2(c), we get $\mathbf{F}_{i,j,m,n,\mathbf{c},-1}$ belongs to \mathcal{R} for $j \in I^{\text{im}}$. The case of $j \in I^{\text{re}}$ follows from the same way. In the meanwhile, one can show that $\mathbf{F}_{i,j,m,n,\mathbf{c},+1}$ belongs to \mathcal{R} by using the operators $\varrho_{j,k}$ and ϱ_i in the above process. \square

In particular, when $m = 1 - la_{ij}$, $n = l$ and $\mathbf{c} = (l)$, by Theorem 1.4, we conclude

$$\mathbf{F}_{i,j,m,n,\mathbf{c},\pm 1} = \begin{cases} \sum_{r+s=1-la_{ij}} (-1)^r f_i^{(r)} f_j^{(l)} f_i^{(s)} & \text{if } j \in I^{\text{re}}, \\ \sum_{r+s=1-la_{ij}} (-1)^r f_i^{(r)} f_{jl} f_i^{(s)} & \text{if } j \in I^{\text{im}} \end{cases}$$

belongs to \mathcal{R} .

Lemma 1.5. Let $(i, k), (j, l) \in I^\infty$ such that $a_{ij} = 0$. Set $X = f_{ik} f_{jl} - f_{jl} f_{ik}$, Then $X \in \mathcal{R}$.

Proof. Note that if $i, j \in I^{\text{re}}$, then $X = f_i f_j - f_j f_i$. Since i and j cannot be equal, we have $X = -\mathbf{F}_{i,j,m=1,n=1}$.

If $i \in I^{\text{re}}$ and $j \in I^{\text{im}}$, we have $X = f_i f_{jl} - f_{jl} f_i = -\mathbf{F}_{i,j,m=1,n=l,\mathbf{c}=(l)}$.

We now assume that $i, j \in I^{\text{im}}$ and $i = j$; i.e., $i \in I^{\text{iso}}$. Note for any $0 < s \leq k + l$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho^{i,s}(X) &= \varrho^{i,s}(f_{ik}f_{il} - f_{il}f_{ik}) \\ &= (f_{i,k-a_1}f_{i,l-a_2} - f_{i,l-a_2}f_{i,k-a_1})_{\substack{a_1 \leq k, a_2 \leq l \\ a_1 + a_2 = s}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we can show $X \in \mathcal{R}$ by induction.

Finally, if $i, j \in I^{\text{im}}$ and $i \neq j$, then for any $0 < s \leq k$ and $0 < t \leq l$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho^{i,s}(X) &= \varrho^{i,s}(f_{ik}f_{jl} - f_{jl}f_{ik}) = q_{(i)}^{-s(k-s)}(f_{i,k-s}f_{jl} - f_{jl}f_{i,k-s}), \\ \varrho^{j,t}(X) &= \varrho^{j,t}(f_{ik}f_{jl} - f_{jl}f_{ik}) = q_{(j)}^{-t(l-t)}(f_{ik}f_{j,l-t} - f_{j,l-t}f_{ik}). \end{aligned}$$

We can also show $X \in \mathcal{R}$ by the induction. \square

2. QUANTUM BORCHERDS-BOZEC ALGEBRAS

From now on, we always assume that

$$(2.1) \quad \nu_{il} \in 1 + q\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}[[q]] \quad \text{for all } (i, l) \in I^\infty.$$

Under this assumption, the bilinear form $(\ , \)_L$ is non-degenerate on $\mathcal{F}(i) = \bigoplus_{l \geq 1} \mathcal{F}_{-l\alpha_i}$ for $i \in I^{\text{im}} \setminus I^{\text{iso}}$. Moreover, the two-side ideal \mathcal{R} is generated by

$$\sum_{r+s=1-l\alpha_{ij}} (-1)^r f_i^{(r)} f_{jl} f_i^{(s)} \quad \text{for } i \in I^{\text{re}}, (j, l) \in I^\infty \text{ and } i \neq (j, l),$$

and $f_{ik}f_{jl} - f_{jl}f_{ik}$ for all $(i, k), (j, l) \in I^\infty$ with $a_{ij} = 0$ (cf. [B15, Proposition 14]).

Given a Borchers-Cartan datum $(A, P, \Pi, P^\vee, \Pi^\vee)$, we denote by \widehat{U} the associative algebra over $\mathbf{Q}(q)$ with $\mathbf{1}$, generated by the elements q^h ($h \in P^\vee$) and e_{il}, f_{il} ($(i, l) \in I^\infty$) with defining relations

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} q^0 &= \mathbf{1}, \quad q^h q^{h'} = q^{h+h'} \quad \text{for } h, h' \in P^\vee \\ q^h e_{jl} q^{-h} &= q^{l \langle h, \alpha_j \rangle} e_{jl}, \quad q^h f_{jl} q^{-h} = q^{-l \langle h, \alpha_j \rangle} f_{jl} \quad \text{for } h \in P^\vee, (j, l) \in I^\infty, \\ \sum_{r+s=1-l\alpha_{ij}} (-1)^r e_i^{(r)} e_{jl} e_i^{(s)} &= 0 \quad \text{for } i \in I^{\text{re}}, (j, l) \in I^\infty \text{ and } i \neq (j, l), \\ \sum_{r+s=1-l\alpha_{ij}} (-1)^r f_i^{(r)} f_{jl} f_i^{(s)} &= 0 \quad \text{for } i \in I^{\text{re}}, (j, l) \in I^\infty \text{ and } i \neq (j, l), \\ e_{ik} e_{jl} - e_{jl} e_{ik} &= f_{ik} f_{jl} - f_{jl} f_{ik} = 0 \quad \text{for } a_{ij} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

We extend the grading by setting $|q^h| = 0$ and $|e_{il}| = l\alpha_i$.

The algebra \widehat{U} is endowed with a co-multiplication $\Delta : \widehat{U} \rightarrow \widehat{U} \otimes \widehat{U}$ given by

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta(q^h) &= q^h \otimes q^h, \\ \Delta(e_{il}) &= \sum_{m+n=l} q_{(i)}^{mn} e_{im} \otimes K_i^{-m} e_{in}, \\ \Delta(f_{il}) &= \sum_{m+n=l} q_{(i)}^{-mn} f_{im} K_i^n \otimes f_{in}, \end{aligned}$$

where $K_i = q_i^{h_i}$ ($i \in I$).

Let $\widehat{U}^{\leq 0}$ be the subalgebra of \widehat{U} generated by f_{il} and q^h for all $(i, l) \in I^\infty$ and $h \in P^\vee$, and \widehat{U}^+ be the subalgebra generated by e_{il} for all $(i, l) \in I^\infty$. We extend $(,)_L$ to a symmetric bilinear form $(,)_L$ on $\widehat{U}^{\leq 0}$ and on \widehat{U}^+ by setting

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} (q^h, 1)_L &= 1, \quad (q^h, f_{il})_L = 0, \\ (q^h, K_j)_L &= q^{-\langle h, \alpha_j \rangle}, \\ (x, y)_L &= (\omega(x), \omega(y))_L \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \widehat{U}^+, \end{aligned}$$

where $\omega : \widehat{U} \rightarrow \widehat{U}$ is the involution defined by

$$\omega(q^h) = q^{-h}, \quad \omega(e_{il}) = f_{il}, \quad \omega(f_{il}) = e_{il} \quad \text{for } h \in P^\vee, (i, l) \in I^\infty.$$

For any $x \in \widehat{U}$, we shall use the Sweedler's notation, and write

$$\Delta(x) = \sum x_{(1)} \otimes x_{(2)}.$$

Definition 2.1. Following the Drinfeld double process, we define the *quantum Borchers-Bozec algebra* $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ associated with a given Borchers-Cartan datum $(A, P, P^\vee, \Pi, \Pi^\vee)$ as the quotient of \widehat{U} by the relations

$$(2.5) \quad \sum (a_{(1)}, b_{(2)})_L \omega(b_{(1)}) a_{(2)} = \sum (a_{(2)}, b_{(1)})_L a_{(1)} \omega(b_{(2)}) \quad \text{for all } a, b \in \widehat{U}^{\leq 0}.$$

Remark 2.2. The quantum Borchers-Bozec algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ is a Hopf algebra constructed by the Drinfeld double process. By [SV99, Lemma 3.2], we have only to impose the commutation relations (2.5) on the generators of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$.

Let $U_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$ (resp. $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$) be the subalgebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by e_{il} (resp. f_{il}) for $(i, l) \in I^\infty$, and $U_q^0(\mathfrak{g})$ the subalgebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by q^h for $h \in P^\vee$. We shall denote by U (resp. U^+ , U^0 and U^-) for $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ (resp. $U_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$, $U_q^0(\mathfrak{g})$ and $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$) for simplicity. Then U has the *triangular decomposition* [KK19]

$$U \cong U^- \otimes U^0 \otimes U^+.$$

Proposition 2.3. [B15, B16] For any $i \in I^{\text{im}}$ and $l \geq 1$, there exist unique elements $\mathbf{b}_{il} \in U_{-l\alpha_i}^-$ and $\mathbf{a}_{il} = \omega(\mathbf{b}_{il})$ such that

- (1) $\mathbf{Q}(q) \langle f_{il} \mid l \geq 1 \rangle = \mathbf{Q}(q) \langle \mathbf{b}_{il} \mid l \geq 1 \rangle$ and $\mathbf{Q}(q) \langle e_{il} \mid l \geq 1 \rangle = \mathbf{Q}(q) \langle \mathbf{a}_{il} \mid l \geq 1 \rangle$,
- (2) $(\mathbf{b}_{il}, z)_L = 0$ for all $z \in \mathbf{Q}(q) \langle f_{i1}, \dots, f_{i,l-1} \rangle$,
 $(\mathbf{a}_{il}, z)_L = 0$ for all $z \in \mathbf{Q}(q) \langle e_{i1}, \dots, e_{i,l-1} \rangle$,
- (3) $\mathbf{b}_{il} - f_{il} \in \mathbf{Q}(q) \langle f_{ik} \mid k < l \rangle$ and $\mathbf{a}_{il} - e_{il} \in \mathbf{Q}(q) \langle e_{ik} \mid k < l \rangle$,
- (4) $\bar{\mathbf{b}}_{il} = \mathbf{b}_{il}$, $\bar{\mathbf{a}}_{il} = \mathbf{a}_{il}$,
- (5) $\varrho(\mathbf{b}_{il}) = \mathbf{b}_{il} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \mathbf{b}_{il}$, $\varrho(\mathbf{a}_{il}) = \mathbf{a}_{il} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \mathbf{a}_{il}$,
- (6) $\Delta(\mathbf{b}_{il}) = \mathbf{b}_{il} \otimes 1 + K_i^l \otimes \mathbf{b}_{il}$, $\Delta(\mathbf{a}_{il}) = \mathbf{a}_{il} \otimes K_i^{-l} + 1 \otimes \mathbf{a}_{il}$,
- (7) $S(\mathbf{b}_{il}) = -K_i^{-l} \mathbf{b}_{il}$, $S(\mathbf{a}_{il}) = -\mathbf{a}_{il} K_i^l$.

Here, S is the antipode of U , and $\bar{\cdot} : U^\pm \rightarrow U^\pm$ is the \mathbf{Q} -algebra involution defined by $\bar{e}_{il} = e_{il}$, $\bar{f}_{il} = f_{il}$ and $\bar{q} = q^{-1}$.

The elements $\mathbf{a}_{il}, \mathbf{b}_{il}$ ($(i, l) \in I^\infty$) are called the *primitive generators* of the quantum Borchers-Bozec algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$.

By setting $\tau_{il} = (\mathbf{a}_{il}, \mathbf{a}_{il})_L = (\mathbf{b}_{il}, \mathbf{b}_{il})_L$, we get the following commutation relations in $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$

$$(2.6) \quad \mathbf{a}_{il} \mathbf{b}_{jk} - \mathbf{b}_{jk} \mathbf{a}_{il} = \delta_{ij} \delta_{lk} \tau_{il} (K_i^l - K_i^{-l}).$$

Let \mathcal{C}_l (resp. \mathcal{P}_l) be the set of compositions (resp. partitions) of l . For $i \in I^{\text{im}}$, we define

$$\mathcal{C}_{i,l} = \begin{cases} \mathcal{C}_l & \text{if } i \in I^{\text{im}} \setminus I^{\text{iso}}, \\ \mathcal{P}_l & \text{if } i \in I^{\text{iso}} \end{cases}$$

and $\mathcal{C}_i = \bigsqcup_{l \geq 0} \mathcal{C}_{i,l}$. For $i \in I^{\text{re}}$, we just put $\mathcal{C}_{i,l} = \{l\}$.

Assume that $i \in I^{\text{im}}$. Let $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_t) \in \mathcal{C}_{i,l}$ and set

$$\mathbf{b}_{i,\mathbf{c}} = \mathbf{b}_{ic_1} \cdots \mathbf{b}_{ic_t}, \quad \mathbf{a}_{i,\mathbf{c}} = \mathbf{a}_{ic_1} \cdots \mathbf{a}_{ic_t} \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_{i,\mathbf{c}} = \tau_{ic_1} \cdots \tau_{ic_t}.$$

Note that $\{\mathbf{b}_{i,\mathbf{c}} \mid \mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{C}_{i,l}\}$ forms a basis of $U_{-l\alpha_i}^-$. For each $i \in I^{\text{re}}$, we put $\mathbf{b}_{i1} = f_{i1}$, $\mathbf{a}_{i1} = e_{i1}$ and $\tau_i = \nu_i$. Sometimes, we simply write \mathbf{a}_i (resp. \mathbf{b}_i) in this case.

Remark 2.4.

(1) Each $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_l$ can be written as the form $\lambda = 1^{\lambda_1} 2^{\lambda_2} \cdots l^{\lambda_l}$, where λ_k are non-negative integers such that $\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2 + \cdots + l\lambda_l = l$. For $i \in I^{\text{iso}}$, we have

$$\mathbf{b}_{il} = f_{il} - \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_l \setminus \{l\}} \frac{1}{\prod_{k=1}^l \lambda_k!} \mathbf{b}_{i,\lambda}.$$

Note that assumption (2.1) implies $\nu_{il} \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$. Hence we have $\tau_{il} \equiv \frac{1}{l} \pmod{q}$ by the following equation

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_l} \frac{1}{\prod_{k=1}^l k^{\lambda_k} \lambda_k!} = 1.$$

(2) Under the assumption (2.1), if $i \in I^{\text{im}} \setminus I^{\text{iso}}$, it was shown in [B16, Lemma 3.32] that $\tau_{il} \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ for all $l \geq 1$.

We now give an alternative presentation of the quantum Borchers-Bozec algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$.

Theorem 2.5. The quantum Borchers-Bozec algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ is generated by the primitive generators $\mathbf{a}_{il}, \mathbf{b}_{il}$ ($(i, l) \in I^\infty$) and q^h ($h \in P^\vee$) subject to the defining relations

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} q^0 &= \mathbf{1}, \quad q^h q^{h'} = q^{h+h'} \quad \text{for } h, h' \in P^\vee \\ q^h \mathbf{a}_{jl} q^{-h} &= q^{l\langle h, \alpha_j \rangle} \mathbf{a}_{jl}, \quad q^h \mathbf{b}_{jl} q^{-h} = q^{-l\langle h, \alpha_j \rangle} \mathbf{b}_{jl} \quad \text{for } h \in P^\vee, (j, l) \in I^\infty, \\ \mathbf{a}_{il} \mathbf{b}_{jk} - \mathbf{b}_{jk} \mathbf{a}_{il} &= \delta_{ij} \delta_{lk} \tau_{il} (K_i^l - K_i^{-l}), \\ \sum_{r+s=1-l a_{ij}} (-1)^r \mathbf{a}_i^{(r)} \mathbf{a}_{jl} \mathbf{a}_i^{(s)} &= 0 \quad \text{for } i \in I^{\text{re}}, (j, l) \in I^\infty \text{ and } i \neq (j, l), \\ \sum_{r+s=1-l a_{ij}} (-1)^r \mathbf{b}_i^{(r)} \mathbf{b}_{jl} \mathbf{b}_i^{(s)} &= 0 \quad \text{for } i \in I^{\text{re}}, (j, l) \in I^\infty \text{ and } i \neq (j, l), \\ \mathbf{a}_{ik} \mathbf{a}_{jl} - \mathbf{a}_{jl} \mathbf{a}_{ik} &= \mathbf{b}_{ik} \mathbf{b}_{jl} - \mathbf{b}_{jl} \mathbf{b}_{ik} = 0 \quad \text{for } a_{ij} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. As is the case with \mathbf{a}_{il} and \mathbf{b}_{il} . For a composition or partition $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_t)$, we write $e_{i, \mathbf{c}} = e_{i c_1} \cdots e_{i c_t}$ and $f_{i, \mathbf{c}} = f_{i c_1} \cdots f_{i c_t}$.

Recall that each \mathbf{a}_{jl} (resp. \mathbf{b}_{jl}) can be written as a homogeneous polynomial in e_{jk} 's (resp. f_{jk} 's) for $1 \leq k \leq l$. Thus we may write

$$\mathbf{a}_{jl} = \sum_{\mathbf{c}} \alpha_{\mathbf{c}} e_{j, \mathbf{c}}, \quad \mathbf{b}_{jl} = \sum_{\mathbf{c}'} \beta_{\mathbf{c}'} f_{j, \mathbf{c}'},$$

where \mathbf{c} and \mathbf{c}' are compositions (or partitions) of l .

Then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
q^h \mathbf{a}_{jl} q^{-h} &= q^h \left(\sum_{\mathbf{c}} \alpha_{\mathbf{c}} e_{j,\mathbf{c}} \right) q^{-h} = \sum_{\mathbf{c}} \alpha_{\mathbf{c}} q^h e_{j,\mathbf{c}} q^{-h} \\
&= \sum_{\mathbf{c}} \alpha_{\mathbf{c}} q^h e_{jc_1} e_{jc_2} \cdots e_{jc_t} q^{-h} \\
&= \sum_{\mathbf{c}} \alpha_{\mathbf{c}} q^{c_1 \langle h, \alpha_j \rangle} e_{jc_1} q^{c_2 \langle h, \alpha_j \rangle} e_{jc_2} \cdots q^{c_t \langle h, \alpha_j \rangle} e_{jc_t} \\
&= q^{l \langle h, \alpha_j \rangle} \sum_{\mathbf{c}} \alpha_{\mathbf{c}} e_{j,\mathbf{c}} = q^{l \langle h, \alpha_j \rangle} \mathbf{a}_{jl}.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can show $q^h \mathbf{b}_{jl} q^{-h} = q^{-l \langle h, \alpha_j \rangle} \mathbf{b}_{jl}$.

Since $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ is a Hopf algebra, by [SV99, Lemma 3.2], we have only to impose the relations (2.5) on the generators, which gives the relations in the third line of (2.7).

For the quantum Serre relations, for $i \in I^{\text{re}}$, $i \neq (j, l)$, by Theorem 1.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{r+s=1-l\alpha_{ij}} (-1)^r \mathbf{a}_i^{(r)} \mathbf{a}_{jl} \mathbf{a}_i^{(s)} \\
&= \sum_{r+s=1-l\alpha_{ij}} (-1)^r e_i^{(r)} \left(\sum_{\mathbf{c}} \alpha_{\mathbf{c}} e_{j,\mathbf{c}} \right) e_i^{(s)} \\
&= \sum_{\mathbf{c}} \alpha_{\mathbf{c}} \left(\sum_{r+s=1-l\alpha_{ij}} (-1)^r e_i^{(r)} e_{j,\mathbf{c}} e_i^{(s)} \right) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

The other relations can be verified in a similar manner. \square

3. CRYSTAL BASES AND POLARIZATION

Definition 3.1. For $i \in I^{\text{im}}$, we define the linear maps $\delta_{i,\mathbf{c}}, \delta^{i,\mathbf{c}} : U^- \rightarrow U^-$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
\varrho(x) &= \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{C}_i} \delta_{i,\mathbf{c}} \otimes \mathbf{b}_{i,\mathbf{c}} + \text{terms of bidegree not in } Q_- \times -\mathbf{N}\alpha_i, \\
\varrho(x) &= \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{C}_i} \mathbf{b}_{i,\mathbf{c}} \otimes \delta^{i,\mathbf{c}} + \text{terms of bidegree not in } -\mathbf{N}\alpha_i \times Q_-.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $i \in I^{\text{im}}$, $l > 0$. For any homogeneous elements $x, y, z \in U^-$ and $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_t) \in \mathcal{C}_i$, we have the following equations

$$(3.1) \quad \delta^{i,l}(xy) = \delta^{i,l}(x)y + q^{l(\alpha_i, |x|)}x\delta^{i,l}(y),$$

$$(3.2) \quad \delta^{i,l}(\mathbf{b}_{i,\mathbf{c}}) = \sum_{k:c_k=l} q_{(i)}^{-2l \sum_{j < k} c_j} \mathbf{b}_{i,\mathbf{c} \setminus c_k},$$

$$(3.3) \quad [\mathbf{a}_{i,l}, z] = \tau_{il} \left(\delta_{i,l}(z)K_i^l - K_i^{-l}\delta^{i,l}(z) \right),$$

where $\mathbf{c} \setminus c_k = (c_1, \dots, \widehat{c}_k, \dots, c_r)$ means removing c_k from \mathbf{c} . From now on, we will denote the operator $\delta^{i,l}$ by $e'_{i,l}$.

In [B16], Bozec showed that every $u \in U^-$ can be written uniquely as

$$u = \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{C}_i} \mathbf{b}_{i,\mathbf{c}} u_{\mathbf{c}},$$

where $e'_{i,l} u_{\mathbf{c}} = 0$ for all $l \geq 1$ and $\mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{C}_i$. Moreover, if u is homogenous, then every $u_{\mathbf{c}}$ is homogenous. Then the Kashiwara operators are defined by

$$\tilde{e}_{i,l} u = \begin{cases} \sum_{\mathbf{c}:c_1=l} \mathbf{b}_{i,\mathbf{c} \setminus c_1} u_{\mathbf{c}} & \text{if } i \notin I^{\text{iso}}, \\ \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{C}_i} \sqrt{\frac{m_l(\mathbf{c})}{l}} \mathbf{b}_{i,\mathbf{c} \setminus l} u_{\mathbf{c}} & \text{if } i \in I^{\text{iso}}, \end{cases}$$

$$\tilde{f}_{i,l} u = \begin{cases} \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{C}_i} \mathbf{b}_{i,(l,\mathbf{c})} u_{\mathbf{c}} & \text{if } i \notin I^{\text{iso}}, \\ \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{C}_i} \sqrt{\frac{l}{m_l(\mathbf{c}) + 1}} \mathbf{b}_{i,\mathbf{c} \cup l} u_{\mathbf{c}} & \text{if } i \in I^{\text{iso}}, \end{cases}$$

where $m_l(\mathbf{c}) = \#\{k \mid c_k = l\}$.

Remark 3.2. Note that the square roots appear in the above definition. So we need to consider an extension \mathbf{F} of \mathbf{Q} that contains all the necessary square roots (see [B16, Remark 3.12]).

Let $\mathbb{A}_0 = \{f \in \mathbf{F}(q) \mid f \text{ is regular at } q = 0\}$, and let $\mathcal{L}(\infty)$ be the \mathbb{A}_0 -submodule of U^- spanned by the elements $\tilde{f}_{i_1, l_1} \cdots \tilde{f}_{i_r, l_r} \mathbf{1}$ for $r \geq 0$ and $(i_k, l_k) \in I^\infty$, where the Kashiwara operators \tilde{f}_i for $i \in I^{\text{re}}$ have been defined in [Kas91]. Set

$$\mathcal{B}(\infty) = \{\tilde{f}_{i_1, l_1} \cdots \tilde{f}_{i_r, l_r} \mathbf{1} \bmod q\mathcal{L}(\infty) \mid r \geq 0, (i_k, l_k) \in I^\infty\} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\infty)/q\mathcal{L}(\infty).$$

Then $(\mathcal{L}(\infty), \mathcal{B}(\infty))$ is a crystal basis of U^- .

By [B16, Lemma 3.33], [Kas91, Proposition 5.1.2], [JKK05, Lemma 7.39] and Remark 2.4, we obtain:

Proposition 3.3.

(i) $(\mathcal{L}(\infty), \mathcal{L}(\infty))_L \subseteq \mathbb{A}_0$.

(ii) $\mathcal{L}(\infty) = \{u \in U^- \mid (u, \mathcal{L}(\infty))_L \subseteq \mathbb{A}_0\} = \{u \in U^- \mid (u, u)_L \in \mathbb{A}_0\}$.

Let $(\ , \)_L^0$ denote the \mathbf{F} -valued inner product on $\mathcal{L}(\infty)/q\mathcal{L}(\infty)$ obtained from $(\ , \)_L$ on $\mathcal{L}(\infty)$ by setting $q = 0$.

(iii) $(\tilde{e}_{il}u, v)_L^0 = (u, \tilde{f}_{il}v)_L^0$ for $u, v \in \mathcal{L}(\infty)/q\mathcal{L}(\infty)$ and $(i, l) \in I^\infty$.

(iv) $\mathcal{B}(\infty)$ is an orthonormal basis with respect to $(\ , \)_L^0$. In particular, $(\ , \)_L^0$ is positive definite.

Let $\lambda \in P^+$ and let $V(\lambda)$ be the irreducible highest weight $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -module with highest weight λ and highest weight vector v_λ . Then we have a $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ -module isomorphism (cf. [BSV16], [KK19])

$$(3.4) \quad V(\lambda) \simeq U_q^-(\mathfrak{g}) \left/ \left(\sum_{i \in I^{\text{re}}} U_q^-(\mathfrak{g}) f_i^{\lambda(h_i)+1} + \sum_{\substack{i \in I^{\text{im}}, \lambda(h_i)=0 \\ (i, l) \in I^\infty}} U_q^-(\mathfrak{g}) f_{il} \right) \right.$$

Let $i \in I^{\text{im}}$ and $\lambda \in P^+$. In [B16], Bozec showed that every $v \in V(\lambda)_\mu$ has a decomposition of the following form

$$v = \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{C}_i} \mathbf{b}_{i, \mathbf{c}} v_{\mathbf{c}},$$

where $v_{\mathbf{c}} \in V(\lambda)_{\mu+|\mathbf{c}|\alpha_i}$ and $e_{il}v_{\mathbf{c}} = 0$ for all $l \geq 1$ and $\mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{C}_i$. Moreover, if we omit the terms $\mathbf{b}_{i, \mathbf{c}} v_{\mathbf{c}}$ with $|\mathbf{c}| \neq 0$ and $(\mu + |\mathbf{c}|\alpha_i, \alpha_i) = 0$, which are equal to zero trivially, then the decomposition of v is unique.

Define the Kashiwara operators on $V(\lambda)$ by

$$\tilde{e}_{il}v = \begin{cases} \sum_{\mathbf{c}: c_1=l} \mathbf{b}_{i, \mathbf{c} \setminus c_1} v_{\mathbf{c}} & \text{if } i \notin I^{\text{iso}}, \\ \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{C}_i} \sqrt{\frac{m_l(\mathbf{c})}{l}} \mathbf{b}_{i, \mathbf{c} \setminus l} v_{\mathbf{c}} & \text{if } i \in I^{\text{iso}}, \end{cases}$$

$$\tilde{f}_{il}v = \begin{cases} \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{C}_i} \mathbf{b}_{i, (l, \mathbf{c})} v_{\mathbf{c}} & \text{if } i \notin I^{\text{iso}}, \\ \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{C}_i} \sqrt{\frac{l}{m_l(\mathbf{c}) + 1}} \mathbf{b}_{i, \mathbf{c} \cup l} v_{\mathbf{c}} & \text{if } i \in I^{\text{iso}}. \end{cases}$$

Let $\mathcal{L}(\lambda) = \sum_{\iota_1, \dots, \iota_s \in I^\infty} \mathbb{A}_0 \tilde{f}_{\iota_1} \cdots \tilde{f}_{\iota_s} v_\lambda$ be an \mathbb{A}_0 -submodule of $V(\lambda)$ and let

$$\mathcal{B}(\lambda) = \{\tilde{f}_{\iota_1} \cdots \tilde{f}_{\iota_s} v_\lambda \mid \iota_k \in I^\infty\} \setminus \{0\} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\lambda)/q\mathcal{L}(\lambda).$$

Then $(\mathcal{L}(\lambda), \mathcal{B}(\lambda))$ is a crystal basis of $V(\lambda)$.

There exists a unique symmetric bilinear form $\{-, -\}$ on $V(\lambda)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \{v_\lambda, v_\lambda\} &= 1, \\ \{q^h v, v'\} &= \{v, q^h v'\}, \\ \{\mathbf{b}_{il} v, v'\} &= -\{v, K_i^l \mathbf{a}_{il} v'\} \quad \text{if } i \in I^{\text{im}}, \\ \{\mathbf{b}_i v, v'\} &= \frac{1}{q_i^2 - 1} \{v, K_i \mathbf{a}_i v'\} \quad \text{if } i \in I^{\text{re}} \end{aligned}$$

for every $v, v' \in V(\lambda)$ and $(i, l) \in I^\infty$.

By [B16, Lemma 3.34], [Kas91, Proposition 5.1.1], [JKK05, Corollary 7.37] and Remark 2.4, we obtain:

Proposition 3.4.

- (i) $\{\mathcal{L}(\lambda), \mathcal{L}(\lambda)\} \subseteq \mathbb{A}_0$.
 - (iv) $\mathcal{L}(\lambda) = \{v \in V(\lambda) \mid \{v, \mathcal{L}(\lambda)\} \subseteq \mathbb{A}_0\} = \{v \in V(\lambda) \mid \{v, v\} \in \mathbb{A}_0\}$.
- Let $\{, \}_0$ denote the \mathbf{F} -valued inner product on $\mathcal{L}(\lambda)/q\mathcal{L}(\lambda)$ induced by $\{, \}$ on $\mathcal{L}(\lambda)$.
- (iii) $\{\tilde{e}_{il} u, v\}_0 = \{u, \tilde{f}_{il} v\}_0$ for $u, v \in \mathcal{L}(\lambda)/q\mathcal{L}(\lambda)$ and $(i, l) \in I^\infty$.
 - (iv) $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ is an orthonormal basis with respect to $\{, \}_0$. In particular, $\{, \}_0$ is positive definite.

The following proposition follows from Kashiwara's grand-loop argument, which describes the relations between $\mathcal{B}(\infty)$ and $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$.

Proposition 3.5. Let $\pi_\lambda : U_q^-(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow V(\lambda)$ be the $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ -module homomorphism given by $P \mapsto P v_\lambda$. Then we have:

- (i) $\pi_\lambda(\mathcal{L}(\infty)) = \mathcal{L}(\lambda)$; hence π_λ induces the surjective homomorphism
$$\bar{\pi}_\lambda : \mathcal{L}(\infty)/q\mathcal{L}(\infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\lambda)/q\mathcal{L}(\lambda).$$
- (ii) $\{b \in \mathcal{B}(\infty) \mid \bar{\pi}_\lambda(b) \neq 0\}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ under the map $\bar{\pi}_\lambda$.
- (iii) If $b \in \mathcal{B}(\infty)$ satisfies $\bar{\pi}_\lambda(b) \neq 0$, then $\tilde{e}_{il} \bar{\pi}_\lambda(b) = \bar{\pi}_\lambda(\tilde{e}_{il} b)$.
- (iv) $\tilde{f}_{il} \circ \bar{\pi}_\lambda = \bar{\pi}_\lambda \circ \tilde{f}_{il}$.

Let $(i, l) \in I^\infty$ and let $P \in U^-$. Then there exist unique $Q, R \in U^-$ such that

$$[\mathbf{a}_{il}, P] = \tau_{il}(K_i^l Q - K_i^{-l} R).$$

Note that $e'_{i,l}(P) = R$ by (3.3). If we set $e''_{i,l}(P) = Q$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} e'_{i,l} \mathbf{b}_{jk} &= \delta_{ij} \delta_{kl} + q_i^{-kla_{ij}} \mathbf{b}_{jk} e'_{i,l}, \\ e''_{i,l} \mathbf{b}_{jk} &= \delta_{ij} \delta_{kl} + q_i^{kla_{ij}} \mathbf{b}_{jk} e''_{i,l}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$e'_{i,l} e''_{j,k} = q_i^{kla_{ij}} e''_{j,k} e'_{i,l}.$$

Definition 3.6. Let $\mathcal{B}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ be the algebra over $\mathbf{F}(q)$ generated by $e'_{i,l}, \mathbf{b}_{il}$ ($i, l \in I^\infty$) with defining relations

$$\begin{aligned} e'_{i,l} \mathbf{b}_{jk} &= \delta_{ij} \delta_{kl} + q_i^{-kla_{ij}} \mathbf{b}_{jk} e'_{i,l}, \\ \sum_{r=0}^{1-la_{ij}} (-1)^r \begin{bmatrix} 1-la_{ij} \\ r \end{bmatrix}_i e_i^{1-la_{ij}-r} e'_{j,l} e_i^r &= 0 \text{ for } i \in I^{\text{re}} \text{ and } i \neq (j, l), \\ \sum_{r=0}^{1-la_{ij}} (-1)^r \begin{bmatrix} 1-la_{ij} \\ r \end{bmatrix}_i \mathbf{b}_i^{1-la_{ij}-r} \mathbf{b}_{j,l} \mathbf{b}_i^r &= 0 \text{ for } i \in I^{\text{re}} \text{ and } i \neq (j, l), \\ e'_{i,k} e'_{j,l} - e'_{j,l} e'_{i,k} &= \mathbf{b}_{ik} \mathbf{b}_{jl} - \mathbf{b}_{jl} \mathbf{b}_{ik} = 0 \text{ for } a_{ij} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

We call $\mathcal{B}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ the *quantum boson algebra* associated with \mathfrak{g} . One can show that $\mathcal{B}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ is a left $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ -module by the standard argument in [Kas91]. Furthermore, we have

$$U_q^-(\mathfrak{g}) \cong \mathcal{B}_q(\mathfrak{g}) \Big/ \sum_{(i,l) \in I^\infty} \mathcal{B}_q(\mathfrak{g}) e'_{i,l}.$$

Lemma 3.7. For all $P, Q \in U^-$ and $(i, l) \in I^\infty$, we have

$$(P \mathbf{b}_{il}, Q)_L = \tau_{il}(P, K_i^l e''_{i,l} Q K_i^{-l})_L.$$

Proof. By (3.3), we have $K_i^l e''_{i,l} Q = \delta_{i,l}(Q) K_i^l$ and hence $K_i^l e''_{i,l} Q K_i^{-l} = \delta_{i,l}(Q)$. Thus we obtain

$$(P \mathbf{b}_{il}, Q)_L = \tau_{il}(P, \delta_{i,l}(Q))_L = \tau_{il}(P, K_i^l e''_{i,l} Q K_i^{-l})_L$$

as desired. \square

Let $*$: $U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ be the $\mathbf{F}(q)$ -linear anti-involution given by

$$e_{il}^* = e_{il}, \quad f_{il}^* = f_{il}, \quad (q^h)^* = q^{-h}.$$

Note that $** = id$ and $*- = -*$ on U^\pm , and $\mathbf{a}_{il}, \mathbf{b}_{il}$ are stable under $*$ for any $(i, l) \in I^\infty$.

Lemma 3.8. For any $P, Q \in U^-$, we have

$$(P^*, Q^*)_L = (P, Q)_L.$$

Proof. Note that $e''_{i,l}(Q^*) = K_i^{-l}(e'_{i,l}Q)^*K_i^l$ and $e'_{i,l}(Q^*) = K_i^l(e''_{i,l}Q)^*K_i^{-l}$. We shall prove this lemma by induction on $|P|$. If $P = 1$, our assertion is clear. By Lemma 3.7 and the inductive hypothesis, we have

$$\begin{aligned} ((P\mathbf{b}_{il})^*, Q^*)_L &= (\mathbf{b}_{il}P^*, Q^*)_L = \tau_{il}(P^*, e'_{i,l}(Q^*))_L \\ &= \tau_{il}(P^*, K_i^l(e''_{i,l}Q)^*K_i^{-l})_L \\ &= \tau_{il}(P, K_i^l e''_{i,l} Q K_i^{-l})_L \\ &= (P\mathbf{b}_{il}, Q)_L, \end{aligned}$$

which proves our claim. \square

The following corollary is an immediate consequence of Lemma 3.8 and Proposition 3.3.

Corollary 3.9. $\mathcal{L}(\infty)^* = \mathcal{L}(\infty)$.

Proposition 3.10. Let $P, Q \in U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})_{-\beta}$ for $\beta \in Q_+$. If $\lambda \gg 0$, we have

$$\{Pv_\lambda, Qv_\lambda\} \equiv c(P, Q)_L \pmod{q\mathbb{A}_0}$$

for some $c \in \mathbb{A}_0 \setminus q\mathbb{A}_0$.

Proof. We use the induction on $|\beta|$. If $i \in I^{\text{im}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \{\mathbf{b}_{il}Pv_\lambda, Qv_\lambda\} &= -\{Pv_\lambda, K_i^l \mathbf{a}_{il} Qv_\lambda\} \\ &= -\{Pv_\lambda, K_i^l(Q\mathbf{a}_{il} + \tau_{il}(K_i^l e''_{i,l}Q - K_i^{-l} e'_{i,l}Q))v_\lambda\} \\ &= -\tau_{il}\{Pv_\lambda, K_i^{2l} e''_{i,l} Qv_\lambda - e'_{i,l} Qv_\lambda\} \\ &= -\tau_{il}\{Pv_\lambda, q_i^{2l(\lambda-\beta)(h_i)} e''_{i,l} Qv_\lambda\} + \tau_{il}\{Pv_\lambda, e'_{i,l} Qv_\lambda\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $P \in U_{-\beta}^-$ and $Q \in U_{-\beta-l\alpha_i}^-$. Hence

$$\{\mathbf{b}_{il}Pv_\lambda, Qv_\lambda\} \equiv \tau_{il}\{Pv_\lambda, e'_{i,l} Qv_\lambda\} \equiv c\tau_{il}(P, e'_{i,l}Q)_L = c(\mathbf{b}_{il}P, Q)_L \pmod{q\mathbb{A}_0}.$$

if $i \in I^{\text{re}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \{\mathbf{b}_iPv_\lambda, Qv_\lambda\} &= \frac{1}{q_i^2 - 1}\{Pv_\lambda, K_i \mathbf{a}_i Qv_\lambda\} \\ &= \frac{1}{q_i^2 - 1}\{Pv_\lambda, K_i \tau_i(K_i e''_i Q - K_i^{-1} e'_i Q)v_\lambda\} \\ &= \frac{1}{q_i^2 - 1}\tau_i\{Pv_\lambda, q_i^{2(\lambda-\beta)(h_i)} e''_i Qv_\lambda\} + \frac{1}{q_i^2 - 1}\tau_i\{Pv_\lambda, e'_i Qv_\lambda\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $P \in U_{-\beta}^-$ and $Q \in U_{-\beta-\alpha_i}^-$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \{\mathbf{b}_i P v_\lambda, Q v_\lambda\} &\equiv \frac{1}{q_i^2 - 1} \tau_i \{P v_\lambda, e'_i Q v_\lambda\} \equiv \frac{1}{q_i^2 - 1} c \tau_i (P, e'_i Q)_L \\ &= \frac{1}{q_i^2 - 1} c(\mathbf{b}_i P, Q)_L \pmod{q \mathbb{A}_0}, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. \square

Corollary 3.11. If $\lambda \gg 0$ and $P v_\lambda \in \mathcal{L}(\lambda)$, then $P^* v_\lambda \in \mathcal{L}(\lambda)$.

Proof. If $P v_\lambda \in \mathcal{L}(\lambda)$, then $\{P v_\lambda, P v_\lambda\} \in \mathbb{A}_0$ by Proposition 3.4. Since $\{P v_\lambda, P v_\lambda\} \equiv c(P, P)_L \pmod{q \mathbb{A}_0}$ for some $c \in \mathbb{A}_0 \setminus q \mathbb{A}_0$, we have $(P, P)_L \in \mathbb{A}_0$. Hence $P \in \mathcal{L}(\infty)$ and $P^* \in \mathcal{L}(\infty)$ by Proposition 3.3 and Corollary 3.9. Now Proposition 3.5 yields $\pi_\lambda(\mathcal{L}(\infty)) = \mathcal{L}(\lambda)$. Thus we get $P^* v_\lambda \in \mathcal{L}(\lambda)$ by applying π_λ . \square

4. \mathbb{A} -FORM OF $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$

Let $\mathbb{A} = \mathbf{F}[q, q^{-1}]$ and $\mathbb{A}_\infty = \{f \in \mathbf{F}(q) \mid f \text{ is regular at } q = \infty\}$. We denote by $U_{\mathbb{A}}^-(\mathfrak{g})$ the \mathbb{A} -subalgebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by $\mathbf{b}_i^{(n)}$ ($i \in I^{\text{re}}, n \geq 0$) and \mathbf{b}_{il} ($i \in I^{\text{im}}, l \geq 1$).

For each $i \in I^{\text{re}}$, set

$$(4.1) \quad A_i = \mathbf{a}_i / \tau_i (q_i - q_i^{-1}),$$

which yields the following commutation relation

$$(4.2) \quad A_i \mathbf{b}_i - \mathbf{b}_i A_i = \frac{K_i - K_i^{-1}}{q_i - q_i^{-1}}.$$

For $i \in I^{\text{im}}$ and $l \geq 1$, set $A_{il} = \mathbf{a}_{il} / \tau_{il}$. Then we have

$$(4.3) \quad A_{il} \mathbf{b}_{il} - \mathbf{b}_{il} A_{il} = K_i^l - K_i^{-l}.$$

Let $U_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathfrak{g})$ be the \mathbb{A} -subalgebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by $A_i^{(n)}, \mathbf{b}_i^{(n)}$ ($i \in I^{\text{re}}, n \geq 0$), A_{il}, \mathbf{b}_{il} ($i \in I^{\text{im}}, l \geq 1$) and q^h ($h \in P^\vee$), $\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} K_i q_i^n \\ m \end{smallmatrix} \right\}_i$ ($i \in I^{\text{re}}, m \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}, n \in \mathbf{Z}$), where

$$(4.4) \quad \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} K_i q_i^n \\ m \end{smallmatrix} \right\}_i = \frac{1}{[m]_i!} \prod_{s=1}^m \frac{K_i q_i^{n+1-s} - K_i^{-1} q_i^{-n-1+s}}{q_i - q_i^{-1}}.$$

Let $U_{\mathbb{A}}^+(\mathfrak{g})$ (resp. $U_{\mathbb{A}}^0(\mathfrak{g})$) be the \mathbb{A} -subalgebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by $A_i^{(n)}$ ($i \in I^{\text{re}}$) and A_{il} ($i \in I^{\text{im}}, l \geq 1$) (resp. $q^h, \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} K_i q_i^n \\ m \end{smallmatrix} \right\}_i$ for $h \in P^\vee, m \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}, n \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $i \in I^{\text{re}}$). Then using the commutations relations (4.2), (4.3) and the definition (4.4), one can prove that $U_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathfrak{g})$ has the triangular decomposition (see also [Kas91, Section 1], [HK02, Exercise 3.6])

$$U_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathfrak{g}) \cong U_{\mathbb{A}}^-(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes U_{\mathbb{A}}^0(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes U_{\mathbb{A}}^+(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Let $\lambda \in P^+$ and consider an \mathbf{F} -linear automorphism $- : V(\lambda) \rightarrow V(\lambda)$ given by $Pv_\lambda \mapsto \overline{P}v_\lambda$ for $P \in U_q(\mathfrak{g})$. Set $\mathcal{L}(\lambda)^- = \overline{\mathcal{L}(\lambda)}$. Then $\mathcal{L}(\lambda)$ (resp. $\mathcal{L}(\lambda)^-$) is a free \mathbb{A}_0 -lattice (resp. free \mathbb{A}_∞ -lattice) of $V(\lambda)$.

Since

$$\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} K_i q_i^n \\ m \end{smallmatrix} \right\}_i v_\lambda = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} \lambda(h_i) + n \\ m \end{smallmatrix} \right]_i v_\lambda \in \mathbf{Z}[q, q^{-1}]v_\lambda,$$

we get $U_{\mathbb{A}}^0(\mathfrak{g})v_\lambda = \mathbb{A}v_\lambda$. This leads us to give the following definition

$$V(\lambda)^\mathbb{A} := U_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathfrak{g})v_\lambda = U_{\mathbb{A}}^-(\mathfrak{g})v_\lambda.$$

Note that $\overline{\mathbf{b}}_{il} = \mathbf{b}_{il}$ for all $(i, l) \in I^\infty$. Hence $U_{\mathbb{A}}^-(\mathfrak{g})$ and $V(\lambda)^\mathbb{A}$ are stable under $-$. Also, since $U_{\mathbb{A}}^-(\mathfrak{g})$ is graded by Q_- , we have $V(\lambda)^\mathbb{A} = \bigoplus_{\mu \leq \lambda} V(\lambda)_\mu^\mathbb{A}$, where $V(\lambda)_\mu^\mathbb{A} = V(\lambda)^\mathbb{A} \cap V(\lambda)_\mu$.

Fix $i \in I$. In [B16], Bozec proved that every $u \in U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ has the following decomposition.

$$(4.5) \quad u = \begin{cases} \sum_{n \geq 0} \mathbf{b}_i^{(n)} u_n & \text{with } i \in I^{\text{re}} \text{ and } e'_i u_n = 0 \text{ for all } n \geq 0, \\ \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{C}_i} \mathbf{b}_{i, \mathbf{c}} u_{\mathbf{c}} & \text{with } i \in I^{\text{im}} \text{ and } e'_{il} u_{\mathbf{c}} = 0 \text{ for all } l > 0, \mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{C}_i. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 4.1. For each $i \in I$ and $u \in U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$, consider the decomposition (4.5). If $u \in U_{\mathbb{A}}^-(\mathfrak{g})$, then all $u_n, u_{\mathbf{c}} \in U_{\mathbb{A}}^-(\mathfrak{g})$.

Proof. We first prove that $e'_{i,l} U_{\mathbb{A}}^-(\mathfrak{g}) \subseteq U_{\mathbb{A}}^-(\mathfrak{g})$ for all $(i, l) \in I^\infty$.

Since $e'_{i,l} \mathbf{b}_{jk} = \delta_{ij} \delta_{kl} + q_i^{-kla_{ij}} \mathbf{b}_{jk} e'_{i,l}$, we have

$$e'_i \mathbf{b}_i = 1 + q_i^{-2} \mathbf{b}_i e'_i \text{ for } i \in I^{\text{re}}.$$

It follows that

$$e'_i \mathbf{b}_i^{(n)} = q_i^{1-n} \mathbf{b}_i^{(n-1)} + q_i^{-2n} \mathbf{b}_i^{(n)} e'_i.$$

Furthermore, by a direct calculation, we have

$$e_i^m \mathbf{b}_i^{(m)} = \sum_{k=0}^n q_i^{-2nm+(m+n)k-k(k-1)/2} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_i \mathbf{b}_i^{(m-k)} e_i^{m-k},$$

where $\mathbf{b}_i^{(r)} = 0$ if $r < 0$. These imply our assertion.

For $i \in I^{\text{re}}$, let

$$P = \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n q_i^{-n(n-1)/2} \mathbf{b}_i^{(n)} e_i^n.$$

Then we obtain (cf. [Kas91, Section 3.2]):

- (i) $P\mathbf{b}_i = 0, e_i'P = 0,$
- (ii) $\sum_{n \geq 0} q_i^{n(n-1)/2} \mathbf{b}_i^{(n)} P e_i^n = 1,$
- (iii) $P e_i^n u = q_i^{-n(n-1)/2} u_n$ for $u \in U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$.

Hence, if $u \in U_{\mathbb{A}}^-(\mathfrak{g})$, then $u_n \in U_{\mathbb{A}}^-(\mathfrak{g})$ for all $n \geq 0$.

For $i \in I^{\text{im}}$, we use a similar argument in [B15, Proposition 3.11]. Assume that $u \in U_{\mathbb{A}}^-(\mathfrak{g})$ has the form $u = m\mathbf{b}_{i,\mathbf{c}}m'$ for some $\mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{C}_i$ and homogeneous elements $m, m' \in \mathcal{K}_i \cap U_{\mathbb{A}}^-(\mathfrak{g})$, where $\mathcal{K}_i = \bigcap_{l > 0} \ker e_{i,l}'$. We shall show that u can be written into the form

$$u = \sum_{\mathbf{c}' \in \mathcal{C}_i} \mathbf{b}_{i,\mathbf{c}'} u_{\mathbf{c}'} \quad \text{with } u_{\mathbf{c}'} \in \mathcal{K}_i \cap U_{\mathbb{A}}^-(\mathfrak{g}).$$

If $|\mathbf{c}| = 0$, then $u = mm' \in \mathcal{K}_i \cap U_{\mathbb{A}}^-(\mathfrak{g})$. Otherwise, we have

$$u = (m\mathbf{b}_{i\mathbf{c}_1} - q^{c_1(|m|, \alpha_i)} \mathbf{b}_{i\mathbf{c}_1} m) \mathbf{b}_{i,\mathbf{c} \setminus \mathbf{c}_1} m' + q^{c_1(|m|, \alpha_i)} \mathbf{b}_{i\mathbf{c}_1} m \mathbf{b}_{i,\mathbf{c} \setminus \mathbf{c}_1} m',$$

where $m\mathbf{b}_{i\mathbf{c}_1} - q^{c_1(|m|, \alpha_i)} \mathbf{b}_{i\mathbf{c}_1} m \in \mathcal{K}_i \cap U_{\mathbb{A}}^-(\mathfrak{g})$. Now our claim follows by using the induction on $|\mathbf{c}|$.

We next show that if $u \in U_{\mathbb{A}}^-(\mathfrak{g})$, then u can be written into the form

$$u = \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{C}_i} \mathbf{b}_{i,\mathbf{c}} u_{\mathbf{c}} \quad \text{with } u_{\mathbf{c}} \in \mathcal{K}_i \cap U_{\mathbb{A}}^-(\mathfrak{g}).$$

We will use the induction on $-|u|$.

Assume that u is a monomial in $U_{\mathbb{A}}^-(\mathfrak{g})$. Then there exists some monomial $u' \in U_{\mathbb{A}}^-(\mathfrak{g})$ such that $u = \mathbf{b}_j^{(n)} u'$ for some $j \in I^{\text{re}}$ or $u = \mathbf{b}_{j,l} u'$ for some $j \in I^{\text{im}}$. By induction hypothesis, $u' = \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{C}_i} \mathbf{b}_{i,\mathbf{c}} u_{\mathbf{c}}$ with $u_{\mathbf{c}} \in \mathcal{K}_i \cap U_{\mathbb{A}}^-(\mathfrak{g})$. If $j \neq i$, then $u = \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{C}_i} \mathbf{b}_j^{(n)} \mathbf{b}_{i,\mathbf{c}} u_{\mathbf{c}}$

or $u = \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{C}_i} \mathbf{b}_{j\mathbf{l}} \mathbf{b}_{i,\mathbf{c}} u_{\mathbf{c}}$ is of the form $m \mathbf{b}_{i,\mathbf{c}} m'$ with $m, m' \in \mathcal{K}_i \cap U_{\mathbb{A}}^-(\mathfrak{g})$. If $i = j$, then $u = \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{C}_i} \mathbf{b}_{i,(l,\mathbf{c})} u_{\mathbf{c}}$ is already in the form we wanted.

Thus, our assertion follows from the uniqueness of the decomposition. \square

Define

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{b}_i^n U_q^-(\mathfrak{g}))^{\mathbb{A}} &:= \mathbf{b}_i^n U_q^-(\mathfrak{g}) \cap U_{\mathbb{A}}^-(\mathfrak{g}) \quad \text{for } i \in I^{\text{re}} \text{ and } n \geq 1, \\ (\mathbf{b}_{i,\mathbf{c}} U_q^-(\mathfrak{g}))^{\mathbb{A}} &:= \mathbf{b}_{i,\mathbf{c}} U_q^-(\mathfrak{g}) \cap U_{\mathbb{A}}^-(\mathfrak{g}) \quad \text{for } i \in I^{\text{im}} \text{ and } \mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{C}_i \setminus \{0\}. \end{aligned}$$

By the above lemma, $U_{\mathbb{A}}^-(\mathfrak{g})$ is stable under the Kashiwara operators \tilde{e}_{il} and \tilde{f}_{il} . Moreover, we can prove the following corollary easily.

Corollary 4.2.

- (i) For $i \in I^{\text{re}}$, $(\mathbf{b}_i^n U_q^-(\mathfrak{g}))^{\mathbb{A}} = \sum_{k \geq n} \mathbf{b}_i^{(k)} U_{\mathbb{A}}^-(\mathfrak{g}) = \bigoplus_{k \geq n} \mathbf{b}_i^{(k)} (U_{\mathbb{A}}^-(\mathfrak{g}) \cap \ker e'_i)$.
For $i \in I^{\text{im}}$, $(\mathbf{b}_{i,\mathbf{c}} U_q^-(\mathfrak{g}))^{\mathbb{A}} = \mathbf{b}_{i,\mathbf{c}} U_{\mathbb{A}}^-(\mathfrak{g}) = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{c}' \in \mathcal{C}_i} \mathbf{b}_{i,(\mathbf{c},\mathbf{c}')} (U_{\mathbb{A}}^-(\mathfrak{g}) \cap \mathcal{K}_i)$.
- (ii) For $i \in I^{\text{re}}$, $(\mathbf{b}_i^n V(\lambda))^{\mathbb{A}} := (\mathbf{b}_i^n U_q^-(\mathfrak{g}))^{\mathbb{A}} v_{\lambda} = \sum_{k \geq n} \mathbf{b}_i^{(k)} V(\lambda)^{\mathbb{A}}$.
For $i \in I^{\text{im}}$, $(\mathbf{b}_{i,\mathbf{c}} V(\lambda))^{\mathbb{A}} := (\mathbf{b}_{i,\mathbf{c}} U_q^-(\mathfrak{g}))^{\mathbb{A}} v_{\lambda} = \mathbf{b}_{i,\mathbf{c}} V(\lambda)^{\mathbb{A}}$.

Proposition 4.3. [Kas91, Proposition 6.1.3], [HK02, Lemma 6.3.7] Let $i \in I^{\text{re}}$ and $\mu \in P$.

- (i) For $u \in V(\lambda)_{\mu}$ with $n = -\mu(h_i) \geq 1$, we have

$$u = \sum_{k \geq n} (-1)^{k-n} \begin{bmatrix} k-1 \\ k-n \end{bmatrix}_i \mathbf{b}_i^{(k)} A_i^{(k)} u.$$

- (ii) If $n = -\mu(h_i) \geq 0$, then we have

$$V(\lambda)_{\mu}^{\mathbb{A}} = \sum_{k \geq n} \mathbf{b}_i^{(k)} V(\lambda)_{\mu+k\alpha_i}^{\mathbb{A}} = (\mathbf{b}_i^n V(\lambda))_{\mu}^{\mathbb{A}}.$$

5. EXISTENCE AND UNIQUENESS OF GLOBAL BASES

Let V be a finite-dimensional vector space over $\mathbf{F}(q)$, M be an \mathbb{A} -submodule of V , and L_0 (resp. L_{∞}) be a free \mathbb{A}_0 -submodule (resp. free \mathbb{A}_{∞} -submodule) of V such that $V \cong \mathbf{F}(q) \otimes_{\mathbb{A}_0} L_0 \cong \mathbf{F}(q) \otimes_{\mathbb{A}_{\infty}} L_{\infty}$.

Lemma 5.1. [Kas91, Lemma 7.1.1] Let V, M, L_0, L_{∞} be as above.

- (i) Assume that the canonical map $M \cap L_0 \cap L_{\infty} \rightarrow M \cap L_0 / M \cap q L_0$ is an isomorphism. Then

$$M \cap L_0 \cong \mathbf{F}[q] \otimes_{\mathbf{F}} (M \cap L_0 \cap L_{\infty}),$$

$$M \cap L_\infty \cong \mathbf{F}[q^{-1}] \otimes_{\mathbf{F}} (M \cap L_0 \cap L_\infty),$$

$$M \cong \mathbb{A} \otimes_{\mathbf{F}} (M \cap L_0 \cap L_\infty),$$

$$M \cap L_0 \cap L_\infty \cong (M \cap L_\infty)/(M \cap q^{-1}L_\infty),$$

$$M \cap L_0 \cap L_\infty \simeq (\mathbf{F}(q) \otimes_{\mathbb{A}} M) \cap L_0 / (\mathbf{F}(q) \otimes_{\mathbb{A}} M) \cap qL_0.$$

- (ii) Let E be an \mathbf{F} -vector space and $\varphi : E \rightarrow M \cap L_0 \cap L_\infty$ a homomorphism. Assume that $M = \mathbb{A}\varphi(E)$ and $E \rightarrow L_0/qL_0, E \rightarrow L_\infty/q^{-1}L_\infty$ are injective. Then $E \rightarrow M \cap L_0 \cap L_\infty \rightarrow M \cap L_0/M \cap qL_0$ is an isomorphism.

Lemma 5.2. [Kas91, Lemma 7.1.2] Let V, M, L_0, L_∞ be as above and let N be an \mathbb{A} -submodule of M . Assume that

- (1) $N \cap L_0 \cap L_\infty \cong N \cap L_0/N \cap qL_0$.
- (2) There exist an \mathbf{F} -vector space F and a homomorphism $\varphi : F \rightarrow M \cap (L_0 + N) \cap (L_\infty + N)$ such that
 - (a) $M = \mathbb{A}\varphi(F) + N$,
 - (b) the induced homomorphisms $\varphi_0 : F \rightarrow (L_0 + N)/(qL_0 + N)$ and $\varphi_\infty : F \rightarrow (L_\infty + N)/(q^{-1}L_\infty + N)$ are injective.

Then the following statements hold.

- (i) $M \cap L_0 \cap L_\infty \rightarrow M \cap L_0/M \cap qL_0$ is an isomorphism.
- ii) $M \cap L_0/M \cap qL_0 \cong F \oplus (N \cap L_0/N \cap qL_0)$.

For $r \geq 0$, set

$$Q_+(r) = \{\alpha \in Q_+ \mid |\alpha| \leq r\}.$$

We will prove the following inductive statements on $r \geq 0$.

A(r) : For any $\alpha \in Q_+(r)$, we have the following canonical isomorphism

$$U_{\mathbb{A}}^-(\mathfrak{g})_{-\alpha} \cap \mathcal{L}(\infty) \cap \mathcal{L}(\infty)^- \xrightarrow{\sim} \frac{U_{\mathbb{A}}^-(\mathfrak{g})_{-\alpha} \cap \mathcal{L}(\infty)}{U_{\mathbb{A}}^-(\mathfrak{g})_{-\alpha} \cap q\mathcal{L}(\infty)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{L}(\infty)_{-\alpha}/q\mathcal{L}(\infty)_{-\alpha}.$$

We denote by G_∞ the inverse of this isomorphism.

B(r) : For any $\alpha \in Q_+(r)$ and $\lambda \in P^+$, we have the following canonical isomorphism

$$V(\lambda)_{\lambda-\alpha}^{\mathbb{A}} \cap \mathcal{L}(\lambda) \cap \mathcal{L}(\lambda)^- \xrightarrow{\sim} \frac{V(\lambda)_{\lambda-\alpha}^{\mathbb{A}} \cap \mathcal{L}(\lambda)}{V(\lambda)_{\lambda-\alpha}^{\mathbb{A}} \cap q\mathcal{L}(\lambda)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{L}(\lambda)_{\lambda-\alpha}/q\mathcal{L}(\lambda)_{\lambda-\alpha}.$$

We denote by G_λ the inverse of this isomorphism.

C(r) : For $\alpha \in Q_+(r)$, $(i, l) \in I^\infty$, and $n \geq 0$, assume that $b \in \tilde{f}_{il}^n \mathcal{B}(\infty)_{-\alpha+ln\alpha_i}$. Then we have

$$G_\infty(b) \in \mathfrak{b}_{il}^n U_q^-(\mathfrak{g}).$$

If $r = 0$, our assertions are obvious. Now we assume that A(r-1), B(r-1) and C(r-1) are true. Then Lemma 5.1 and Proposition 3.5 imply the following result.

Lemma 5.3. For $\alpha \in Q_+(r-1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} U_{\mathbb{A}}^-(\mathfrak{g})_{-\alpha} \cap \mathcal{L}(\infty) &= \bigoplus_{b \in \mathcal{B}(\infty)_{-\alpha}} \mathbf{F}[q]G_\infty(b), & U_{\mathbb{A}}^-(\mathfrak{g})_{-\alpha} &= \bigoplus_{b \in \mathcal{B}(\infty)_{-\alpha}} \mathbb{A}G_\infty(b), \\ V(\lambda)_{\lambda-\alpha}^{\mathbb{A}} \cap \mathcal{L}(\lambda) &= \bigoplus_{b \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda)_{\lambda-\alpha}} \mathbf{F}[q]G_\lambda(b), & V(\lambda)_{\lambda-\alpha}^{\mathbb{A}} &= \bigoplus_{b \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda)_{\lambda-\alpha}} \mathbb{A}G_\lambda(b), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$G_\infty(b)v_\lambda = G_\lambda(\overline{\pi}_\lambda(b)).$$

Lemma 5.4. For $\alpha \in Q_+(r-1)$, $b \in \mathcal{B}(\infty)_{-\alpha}$ (resp. $b \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda)_{\lambda-\alpha}$), we have $\overline{G_\infty(b)} = G_\infty(b)$ (resp. $\overline{G_\lambda(b)} = G_\lambda(b)$).

Proof. Let $Q = (G_\infty(b) - \overline{G_\infty(b)})/(q - q^{-1})$. Then we have $Q \in U_{\mathbb{A}}^-(\mathfrak{g})_{-\alpha} \cap q\mathcal{L}(\infty) \cap \mathcal{L}(\infty)^-$ since $1/(q - q^{-1}) \in q\mathbb{A}_0$. \square

Let $i \in I^{\text{iso}}$, $\lambda \in P^+$ and $\alpha \in Q_+$. For each partition $\mathbf{c} = (1^{l_1} 2^{l_2} \dots k^{l_k} \dots)$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathfrak{b}_{i,\mathbf{c}} * U_q^-(\mathfrak{g}))_{-\alpha}^{\mathbb{A}} &:= \sum_{k \geq 1} (\mathfrak{b}_{i,k}^{l_k} U_q^-(\mathfrak{g}))_{-\alpha}^{\mathbb{A}} = \sum_{k \geq 1} \mathfrak{b}_{i,k}^{l_k} (U_{\mathbb{A}}^-(\mathfrak{g})_{-\alpha+kl_k\alpha_i}), \\ (\mathfrak{b}_{i,\mathbf{c}} * V(\lambda))_{\lambda-\alpha}^{\mathbb{A}} &:= \sum_{k \geq 1} (\mathfrak{b}_{i,k}^{l_k} V(\lambda))_{\lambda-\alpha}^{\mathbb{A}} = \sum_{k \geq 1} \mathfrak{b}_{i,k}^{l_k} (V(\lambda)_{\lambda-\alpha+kl_k\alpha_i}^{\mathbb{A}}). \end{aligned}$$

Here $(\mathfrak{b}_{i,k}^{l_k} U_q^-(\mathfrak{g}))_{-\alpha}^{\mathbb{A}} = (\mathfrak{b}_{i,k}^{l_k} V(\lambda))_{\lambda-\alpha}^{\mathbb{A}} = 0$ if $l_k = 0$.

Proposition 5.5. Let $\alpha \in Q_+(r)$ and $\lambda \in P^+$.

(i) For $i \in I^{\text{re}}$ and $n \geq 1$, we have

$$(\mathfrak{b}_i^n V(\lambda))_{\lambda-\alpha}^{\mathbb{A}} \cap \mathcal{L}(\lambda) \cap \mathcal{L}(\lambda)^- \xrightarrow{\sim} \frac{(\mathfrak{b}_i^n V(\lambda))_{\lambda-\alpha}^{\mathbb{A}} \cap \mathcal{L}(\lambda)}{(\mathfrak{b}_i^n V(\lambda))_{\lambda-\alpha}^{\mathbb{A}} \cap q\mathcal{L}(\lambda)} \cong \bigoplus_{b \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda)_{\lambda-\alpha} \cap \tilde{f}_i^n \mathcal{B}(\lambda)} \mathbf{F}b.$$

(ii) For $i \in I^{\text{im}} \setminus I^{\text{iso}}$ and any composition \mathbf{c} with $|\mathbf{c}| \neq 0$, we have

$$(\mathbf{b}_{i,\mathbf{c}}V(\lambda))_{\lambda-\alpha}^{\mathbb{A}} \cap \mathcal{L}(\lambda) \cap \mathcal{L}(\lambda)^- \xrightarrow{\sim} \frac{(\mathbf{b}_{i,\mathbf{c}}V(\lambda))_{\lambda-\alpha}^{\mathbb{A}} \cap \mathcal{L}(\lambda)}{(\mathbf{b}_{i,\mathbf{c}}V(\lambda))_{\lambda-\alpha}^{\mathbb{A}} \cap q\mathcal{L}(\lambda)} \cong \bigoplus_{b \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda)_{\lambda-\alpha} \cap \tilde{f}_{i,\mathbf{c}}\mathcal{B}(\lambda)} \mathbf{F}b.$$

(iii) For $i \in I^{\text{iso}}$ and any partition $\mathbf{c} = 1^{l_1}2^{l_2} \dots k^{l_k} \dots$, we have

$$(\mathbf{b}_{i,\mathbf{c}} * V(\lambda))_{\lambda-\alpha}^{\mathbb{A}} \cap \mathcal{L}(\lambda) \cap \mathcal{L}(\lambda)^- \xrightarrow{\sim} \frac{(\mathbf{b}_{i,\mathbf{c}} * V(\lambda))_{\lambda-\alpha}^{\mathbb{A}} \cap \mathcal{L}(\lambda)}{(\mathbf{b}_{i,\mathbf{c}} * V(\lambda))_{\lambda-\alpha}^{\mathbb{A}} \cap q\mathcal{L}(\lambda)} \cong \bigoplus_{b \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda)_{\lambda-\alpha} \cap (\tilde{f}_{i,\mathbf{c}} * \mathcal{B}(\lambda))} \mathbf{F}b,$$

$$\text{where } \tilde{f}_{i,\mathbf{c}} * \mathcal{B}(\lambda) := \bigcup_{k \geq 1} \tilde{f}_{i,k}^{l_k} \mathcal{B}(\lambda).$$

Proof. Our assertion (i) has been proved in [Kas91, Proposition 7.4.1].

Assume that $i \in I^{\text{im}} \setminus I^{\text{iso}}$ and let $\mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{C}_i$ with $|\mathbf{c}| = n > 0$. Recall that $(\mathbf{b}_{i,\mathbf{c}}V(\lambda))_{\lambda-\alpha}^{\mathbb{A}} = \mathbf{b}_{i,\mathbf{c}}(V(\lambda))_{\lambda-\alpha+n\alpha_i}^{\mathbb{A}}$. If $\langle h_i, \lambda - \alpha + n\alpha_i \rangle = 0$, then $(\mathbf{b}_{i,\mathbf{c}}V(\lambda))_{\lambda-\alpha}^{\mathbb{A}} = 0$, and hence our assertion is trivial. Thus we may assume that $\langle h_i, \lambda - \alpha + n\alpha_i \rangle > 0$. In this case, for any $b \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda)_{\lambda-\alpha+n\alpha_i}$, we have $\tilde{f}_{i,\mathbf{c}}b \neq 0$.

By $B(r-1)$, we have $V(\lambda)_{\lambda-\alpha+n\alpha_i}^{\mathbb{A}} = \bigoplus_{b \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda)_{\lambda-\alpha+n\alpha_i}} \mathbb{A}G_\lambda(b)$. Hence

$$(\mathbf{b}_{i,\mathbf{c}}V(\lambda))_{\lambda-\alpha}^{\mathbb{A}} = \sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda)_{\lambda-\alpha+n\alpha_i}} \mathbb{A}\mathbf{b}_{i,\mathbf{c}}G_\lambda(b).$$

Let $F = \sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda)_{\lambda-\alpha+n\alpha_i}} \mathbf{F}\mathbf{b}_{i,\mathbf{c}}G_\lambda(b)$. We first show that F is a direct sum. Assume that

$$\sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda)_{\lambda-\alpha+n\alpha_i}} \beta_b \mathbf{b}_{i,\mathbf{c}}G_\lambda(b) = 0 \quad \text{for some } \beta_b \in \mathbf{F}.$$

Since $\tilde{f}_{i,\mathbf{c}}G_\lambda(b) = \mathbf{b}_{i,\mathbf{c}}G_\lambda(b)$ and $G_\lambda(b) \equiv b \pmod{q\mathcal{L}(\lambda)}$ for any $b \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda)_{\lambda-\alpha+n\alpha_i}$, we obtain

$$\sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda)_{\lambda-\alpha+n\alpha_i}} \beta_b \tilde{f}_{i,\mathbf{c}}b = 0.$$

By applying $\tilde{e}_{i,\tilde{\mathbf{c}}}$, we get $\sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda)_{\lambda-\alpha+n\alpha_i}} \beta_b b = 0$, which implies $\beta_b = 0$ for all $b \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda)_{\lambda-\alpha+n\alpha_i}$.

Let $N = 0$, $M = (\mathbf{b}_{i,\mathbf{c}}V(\lambda))_{\lambda-\alpha}^{\mathbb{A}}$, $L_0 = \mathcal{L}(\lambda)_{\lambda-\alpha}$ and $L_\infty = \mathcal{L}(\lambda)_{\lambda-\alpha}^-$. Set $\varphi : F \rightarrow M \cap L_0 \cap L_\infty$ be the \mathbf{F} -linear map given by

$$\mathbf{b}_{i,\mathbf{c}}G_\lambda(b) \mapsto \mathbf{b}_{i,\mathbf{c}}G_\lambda(b) = \tilde{f}_{i,\mathbf{c}}G_\lambda(b).$$

Then, it is easy to check F, N, M, L_0, L_∞ and φ satisfy the conditions in Lemma 5.2, and hence we get

$$M \cap L_0 \cap L_\infty \xrightarrow{\sim} M \cap L_0 / M \cap qL_0 \cong \bigoplus_{b \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda)_{\lambda-\alpha+n\alpha_i}} \mathbf{F} \tilde{f}_{i,c} b = \bigoplus_{b \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda)_{\lambda-\alpha} \cap \tilde{f}_{i,c} \mathcal{B}(\lambda)} \mathbf{F} b$$

as desired.

Now, we shall prove (iii). Let $i \in I^{\text{iso}}$. If l_k is sufficient large, then $(\mathfrak{b}_{i,k}^{l_k} U_q^-(\mathfrak{g}))_{\lambda-\alpha}^{\mathbb{A}} = 0$. Hence we can use descending induction on $N = \sum_{k \geq 1} l_k$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $l_1 \neq 0$ and $\langle h_i, \lambda - \alpha \rangle > 0$. Then, by A($r-1$) and B($r-1$), we have

$$(\mathfrak{b}_{i,c} * V(\lambda))_{\lambda-\alpha}^{\mathbb{A}} = \mathfrak{b}_{i,1}^{l_1} V(\lambda)_{\lambda-\alpha+l_1\alpha_i}^{\mathbb{A}} + \sum_{k \geq 2} (\mathfrak{b}_{i,k}^{l_k} V(\lambda))_{\lambda-\alpha}^{\mathbb{A}}$$

and

$$V(\lambda)_{\lambda-\alpha+l_1\alpha_i}^{\mathbb{A}} = \bigoplus_{b \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda)_{\lambda-\alpha+l_1\alpha_i}} \mathbb{A} G_\lambda(b) = \bigoplus_{\substack{b \in \mathcal{B}(\infty)_{-\alpha+l_1\alpha_i} \\ \bar{\pi}_\lambda(b) \neq 0}} \mathbb{A} G_\infty(b) v_\lambda.$$

Let $b \in \mathcal{B}(\infty)_{-\alpha+l_1\alpha_i}$ with $\tilde{e}_{i,1} b \neq 0$. Then $b \in \tilde{f}_{i,1} \mathcal{B}(\infty) \cap \mathcal{B}(\infty)_{-\alpha+l_1\alpha_i}$, which implies $G_\infty(b) \in \mathfrak{b}_{i,1} U_q^-(\mathfrak{g}) \cap U_{\mathbb{A}}^-(\mathfrak{g})$ by C($r-1$). Hence $\mathfrak{b}_{i,1}^{l_1} G_\infty(b) v_\lambda \in (\mathfrak{b}_{i,1}^{l_1+1} V(\lambda))_{\lambda-\alpha}^{\mathbb{A}}$. For $k \neq 1$ with $l_k \neq 0$, if $b \in \tilde{f}_{i,k} \mathcal{B}(\infty)$, then $G_\infty(b) \in \mathfrak{b}_{i,k}^{l_k} U_q^-(\mathfrak{g}) \cap U_{\mathbb{A}}^-(\mathfrak{g})$ by C($r-1$). Therefore $\mathfrak{b}_{i,1}^{l_1} G_\infty(b) v_\lambda \in (\mathfrak{b}_{i,k}^{l_k} V(\lambda))_{\lambda-\alpha}^{\mathbb{A}}$. Hence we have

$$(\mathfrak{b}_{i,c} * V(\lambda))_{\lambda-\alpha}^{\mathbb{A}} = \sum_{b \in S} \mathbb{A} \mathfrak{b}_{i,1}^{l_1} G_\infty(b) v_\lambda + (\mathfrak{b}_{i,c \cup \{1\}} * V(\lambda))_{\lambda-\alpha}^{\mathbb{A}},$$

where

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{aligned} S &= \{b \in \mathcal{B}(\infty)_{-\alpha+l_1\alpha_i} \mid \bar{\pi}_\lambda(b) \neq 0, \tilde{e}_{i,1} b = 0, b \notin \bigcup_{k \geq 2} \tilde{f}_{i,k} \mathcal{B}(\infty)\} \\ &\xrightarrow{\bar{\pi}_\lambda} \{b \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda)_{\lambda-\alpha+l_1\alpha_i} \mid \tilde{e}_{i,1} b = 0, b \notin \bigcup_{k \geq 2} \tilde{f}_{i,k} \mathcal{B}(\lambda)\} \\ &\xrightarrow{\tilde{f}_{i,1}^{l_1}} \mathcal{B}(\lambda)_{\lambda-\alpha} \cap \left(\tilde{f}_{i,1}^{l_1} \mathcal{B}(\lambda) \setminus (\tilde{f}_{i,c \cup \{1\}} * \mathcal{B}(\lambda)) \right). \end{aligned}$$

The last isomorphism follows from the fact that $\tilde{f}_{il} \tilde{e}_{i'l'} = \tilde{e}_{i'l'} \tilde{f}_{il}$ and $\tilde{f}_{il} \tilde{f}_{i'l'} = \tilde{f}_{i'l'} \tilde{f}_{il}$ for any $l, l' \geq 1$ with $l \neq l'$.

Let $V = V(\lambda)_{\lambda-\alpha}$, $M = (\mathfrak{b}_{i,c} * V(\lambda))_{\lambda-\alpha}^{\mathbb{A}}$, $N = (\mathfrak{b}_{i,c \cup \{1\}} * V(\lambda))_{\lambda-\alpha}^{\mathbb{A}}$, $L_0 = \mathcal{L}(\lambda)_{\lambda-\alpha}$, $L_\infty = \mathcal{L}(\lambda)_{\lambda-\alpha}^-$ and

$$F = \sum_{b \in S} \mathbf{F} \mathfrak{b}_{i,1}^{l_1} G_\infty(b) v_\lambda.$$

For $b \in S$, we have $b = G_\infty(b) + q\mathcal{L}(\infty)$. Assume that $G_\infty(b)$ has the decomposition

$$G_\infty(b) = \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{C}_i} \mathbf{b}_{i,\mathbf{c}} u_{\mathbf{c}} \in U_{\mathbb{A}}^-(\mathfrak{g})_{-\alpha+l_1\alpha_i} \cap \mathcal{L}(\infty) \cap \mathcal{L}(\infty)^-.$$

Then we have

$$\tilde{f}_{i1} \tilde{e}_{i1} G_\infty(b) = G_\infty(b) - \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{C}_i; 1 \notin \mathbf{c}} \mathbf{b}_{i,\mathbf{c}} u_{\mathbf{c}} = G_\infty(b) - u_b \in q\mathcal{L}(\infty).$$

Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad & \mathbf{b}_{i1}^{l_1} G_\infty(b) \equiv \mathbf{b}_{i1}^{l_1} u_b \pmod{(\mathbf{b}_{i1}^{l_1+1} U_q^-(\mathfrak{g}))^{\mathbb{A}}}, \text{ which implies} \\ \text{(5.2)} \quad & \mathbf{b}_{i1}^{l_1} G_\infty(b) v_\lambda \in M \cap (N + L_0) \cap (N + L_\infty). \\ \text{(ii)} \quad & \tilde{f}_{i1}^{l_1} b = \beta_b \mathbf{b}_{i1}^{l_1} u_b + q\mathcal{L}(\infty) \text{ for some } \beta_b \in \mathbf{F}^*, \text{ which implies} \\ \text{(5.3)} \quad & \bar{\pi}_\lambda(\tilde{f}_{i1}^{l_1} b) = \beta_b \mathbf{b}_{i1}^{l_1} u_b v_\lambda + q\mathcal{L}(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Set

$$H := (N + L_0)/(N + qL_0) \cong \frac{L_0/qL_0}{N \cap L_0/N \cap qL_0}.$$

By induction hypothesis, we have $H \cong \bigoplus_{b \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda)_{\lambda-\alpha} \setminus (\tilde{f}_{i,\mathbf{c} \cup \{1\}} * \mathcal{B}(\lambda))} \mathbf{F}b$. Hence (5.1), (5.2) and (5.3) imply that the following canonical maps are injective:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(5.4)} \quad & \varphi_0 : F \xrightarrow{\varphi} M \cap (L_0 + N) \cap (L_\infty + N) \rightarrow \frac{N + L_0}{N + qL_0} \xrightarrow{\sim} H \\ & \mathbf{b}_{i1}^{l_1} G_\infty(b) v_\lambda \mapsto \mathbf{b}_{i1}^{l_1} G_\infty(b) v_\lambda \mapsto \mathbf{b}_{i1}^{l_1} u_b v_\lambda + (N + qL_0) \mapsto \frac{1}{\beta_b} \bar{\pi}_\lambda(\tilde{f}_{i1}^{l_1} b) \end{aligned}$$

By taking $-$, the following canonical map is injective

$$\varphi_\infty : F \xrightarrow{\varphi} M \cap (L_0 + N) \cap (L_\infty + N) \rightarrow \frac{N + L_\infty}{N + q^{-1}L_\infty}.$$

Note that $M = \mathbb{A}\varphi(F) + N$. Hence Lemma 5.2 yields

$$M \cap L_0 \cap L_\infty \xrightarrow{\sim} (M \cap L_0)/(M \cap qL_0) \cong F \oplus (N \cap L_0/N \cap qL_0),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} F \oplus (N \cap L_0/N \cap qL_0) & \cong \bigoplus_{S \sqcup (\mathcal{B}(\lambda)_{\lambda-\alpha} \cap \tilde{f}_{i,\mathbf{c} \cup \{1\}} * \mathcal{B}(\lambda))} \mathbf{F}b \\ & = \bigoplus_{\mathcal{B}(\lambda)_{\lambda-\alpha} \cap (\tilde{f}_{i,\mathbf{c}} * \mathcal{B}(\lambda))} \mathbf{F}b. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 5.6. Let $\alpha \in Q_+(r)$.

(i) For $i \in I^{\text{re}}$ and $n \geq 1$, we have

$$(\mathfrak{b}_i^n U_q^-(\mathfrak{g}))_{-\alpha}^{\mathbb{A}} \cap \mathcal{L}(\infty) \cap \mathcal{L}(\infty)^- \xrightarrow{\sim} \frac{(\mathfrak{b}_i^n U_q^-(\mathfrak{g}))_{-\alpha}^{\mathbb{A}} \cap \mathcal{L}(\infty)}{(\mathfrak{b}_i^n U_q^-(\mathfrak{g}))_{-\alpha}^{\mathbb{A}} \cap q\mathcal{L}(\infty)} \cong \bigoplus_{b \in \mathcal{B}(\infty)_{-\alpha} \cap \tilde{f}_i^n \mathcal{B}(\infty)} \mathbf{F}b.$$

(ii) For $i \in I^{\text{im}} \setminus I^{\text{iso}}$ and $|\mathbf{c}| \neq 0$, we have

$$(\mathfrak{b}_{i,\mathbf{c}} U_q^-(\mathfrak{g}))_{-\alpha}^{\mathbb{A}} \cap \mathcal{L}(\infty) \cap \mathcal{L}(\infty)^- \xrightarrow{\sim} \frac{(\mathfrak{b}_{i,\mathbf{c}} U_q^-(\mathfrak{g}))_{-\alpha}^{\mathbb{A}} \cap \mathcal{L}(\infty)}{(\mathfrak{b}_{i,\mathbf{c}} U_q^-(\mathfrak{g}))_{-\alpha}^{\mathbb{A}} \cap q\mathcal{L}(\infty)} \cong \bigoplus_{b \in \mathcal{B}(\infty)_{-\alpha} \cap \tilde{f}_{i,\mathbf{c}} \mathcal{B}(\infty)} \mathbf{F}b.$$

(iii) For $i \in I^{\text{iso}}$ and any partition $\mathbf{c} = (1^{l_1} 2^{l_2} \dots k^{l_k} \dots)$, we have

$$(\mathfrak{b}_{i,\mathbf{c}} * U_q^-(\mathfrak{g}))_{-\alpha}^{\mathbb{A}} \cap \mathcal{L}(\infty) \cap \mathcal{L}(\infty)^- \xrightarrow{\sim} \frac{(\mathfrak{b}_{i,\mathbf{c}} * U_q^-(\mathfrak{g}))_{-\alpha}^{\mathbb{A}} \cap \mathcal{L}(\infty)}{(\mathfrak{b}_{i,\mathbf{c}} * U_q^-(\mathfrak{g}))_{-\alpha}^{\mathbb{A}} \cap q\mathcal{L}(\infty)} \cong \bigoplus_{b \in \mathcal{B}(\infty)_{-\alpha} \cap (\tilde{f}_{i,\mathbf{c}} * \mathcal{B}(\infty))} \mathbf{F}b,$$

$$\text{where } \tilde{f}_{i,\mathbf{c}} * \mathcal{B}(\infty) = \bigcup_{k \geq 1} \tilde{f}_{ik}^{l_k} \mathcal{B}(\infty).$$

Proof. We shall prove (iii) only. The proof of (i) and (ii) are similar. For $\lambda \gg 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})_{-\alpha} &\xrightarrow{\sim} V(\lambda)_{\lambda-\alpha}, & (\mathfrak{b}_{i,\mathbf{c}} * U_q^-(\mathfrak{g}))_{-\alpha}^{\mathbb{A}} &\xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathfrak{b}_{i,\mathbf{c}} * V(\lambda))_{\lambda-\alpha}^{\mathbb{A}}, \\ \mathcal{L}(\infty)_{-\alpha} &\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{L}(\lambda)_{\lambda-\alpha}, & \mathcal{L}(\infty)_{-\alpha}^- &\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{L}(\lambda)_{\lambda-\alpha}^-, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\bigoplus_{b \in \mathcal{B}(\infty)_{-\alpha} \cap \tilde{f}_{i,\mathbf{c}} * \mathcal{B}(\infty)} \mathbf{F}b \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_{b \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda)_{\lambda-\alpha} \cap (\tilde{f}_{i,\mathbf{c}} * \mathcal{B}(\lambda))} \mathbf{F}b.$$

Hence our assertion follows immediately. \square

For $\alpha \in Q_+(r)$ and $(i, l) \in I^\infty$, let us denote by G_{il} the inverse of the isomorphism

$$(\mathfrak{b}_{il} U_q^-(\mathfrak{g}))_{-\alpha}^{\mathbb{A}} \cap \mathcal{L}(\infty) \cap \mathcal{L}(\infty)^- \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_{b \in \mathcal{B}(\infty)_{-\alpha} \cap \tilde{f}_{il} \mathcal{B}(\infty)} \mathbf{F}b.$$

Then Corollary 5.6 implies $(\mathfrak{b}_{il}^n U_q^-(\mathfrak{g}))_{-\alpha}^{\mathbb{A}} = \bigoplus_{b \in \mathcal{B}(\infty)_{-\alpha} \cap \tilde{f}_{il}^n \mathcal{B}(\infty)} \mathbb{A}G_{il}(b)$ for any $n \geq 1$.

Lemma 5.7. Let $(i, l), (j, s) \in I^\infty$, $\alpha \in Q_+(r)$ and $b \in \tilde{f}_{il} \mathcal{B}(\infty) \cap \tilde{f}_{js} \mathcal{B}(\infty) \cap \mathcal{B}(\infty)_{-\alpha}$. Then we have

$$G_{il}(b) = G_{js}(b).$$

Proof. Let us write $b = \tilde{f}_{i_1} \cdots \tilde{f}_{k_h} \cdot 1$, where $(k, h) \in I^\infty$. If $k \in I^{\text{re}}$, then our claim was proved in [Kas91]. So we will assume that $k \in I^{\text{im}}$. Take $\lambda \in P^+$ with $\langle h_k, \lambda \rangle = 0$ and $\langle h_j, \lambda \rangle \gg 0$ for all $j \in I \setminus \{k\}$. Then (3.4) yields

$$V(\lambda)_{\lambda-\alpha} \simeq U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})_{-\alpha} \Big/ \sum_{n \geq 1} U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})_{-\alpha+n\alpha_k} \mathfrak{b}_{kn}.$$

The same argument in [Kas91, Lemma 7.5.1] shows that

$$Q = G_{il}(b) - G_{js}(b) \in \left(\sum_{n \geq 1} U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})_{-\alpha+n\alpha_k} \mathfrak{b}_{kn} \right) \cap U_{\mathbb{A}}^-(\mathfrak{g})_{-\alpha} \cap q\mathcal{L}(\infty) \cap \mathcal{L}(\infty)^-.$$

Then Corollary 3.9 implies

$$Q^* \in \left(\sum_{n \geq 1} \mathfrak{b}_{kn} U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})_{-\alpha+n\alpha_k} \right) \cap U_{\mathbb{A}}^-(\mathfrak{g})_{-\alpha} \cap q\mathcal{L}(\infty) \cap \mathcal{L}(\infty)^-.$$

If $k \in I^{\text{im}} \setminus I^{\text{iso}}$, we assume that $Q^* = \mathfrak{b}_{k_1} u_1 + \cdots + \mathfrak{b}_{k_s} u_s$. Since $\overline{Q^*} = \overline{Q}^* = Q^*$, we have $Q^* = \mathfrak{b}_{k_1} \bar{u}_1 + \cdots + \mathfrak{b}_{k_s} \bar{u}_s$. Note that for each $1 \leq j \leq s$, $\mathfrak{b}_{k_j} u_j = \tilde{f}_{k_j} \tilde{e}_{k_j} Q^* \in \mathfrak{b}_{k_j} U_q^-(\mathfrak{g}) \cap U_{\mathbb{A}}^-(\mathfrak{g})_{-\alpha} \cap q\mathcal{L}(\infty)$ and $u_j = \bar{u}_j = \tilde{e}_{k_j} Q^*$. Hence $\mathfrak{b}_{k_j} u_j \in (\mathfrak{b}_{k_j} U_q^-(\mathfrak{g}))_{-\alpha}^{\mathbb{A}} \cap q\mathcal{L}(\infty) \cap \mathcal{L}(\infty)^-$ and Corollary 5.6 (ii) implies $Q^* = 0$.

If $k \in I^{\text{iso}}$, since $Q^* \in \left(\sum_{n \geq 1} \mathfrak{b}_{kn} U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})_{-\alpha+n\alpha_k} \right) \cap U_{\mathbb{A}}^-(\mathfrak{g})_{-\alpha}$, the decomposition of Q^* can be expressed as the form $Q^* = \mathfrak{b}_{k_1} u_1 + \cdots + \mathfrak{b}_{k_s} u_s$ with

$$u_j = \sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{c} \in \mathcal{C}_k \text{ and} \\ \mathfrak{c} \text{ contains no } j+1, \dots, s}} \mathfrak{b}_{k, \mathfrak{c}} u_{\mathfrak{c}}.$$

For every $1 \leq j \leq s$, we have

$$\mathfrak{b}_{k_j} u_j = \tilde{f}_{k_j} \tilde{e}_{k_j} (Q^* - \sum_{j < p \leq s} b_{kp} u_p) \in (\mathfrak{b}_{k_j} U_q^-(\mathfrak{g}))_{-\alpha}^{\mathbb{A}}.$$

Hence $Q^* \in (\mathfrak{b}_{k, (1, \dots, s)} * U_q^-(\mathfrak{g}))_{-\alpha}^{\mathbb{A}}$, and Corollary 5.6 (iii) implies $Q^* = 0$. \square

Thus we can define

$$G : \mathcal{L}(\infty)_{-\alpha} / q\mathcal{L}(\infty)_{-\alpha} \rightarrow U_{\mathbb{A}}^-(\mathfrak{g})_{-\alpha} \cap \mathcal{L}(\infty) \cap \mathcal{L}(\infty)^-$$

by

$$G(b) \mapsto G_{il}(b) \text{ for } b \in \tilde{f}_{il} \mathcal{B}(\infty) \cap \mathcal{B}(\infty)_{-\alpha}, (i, l) \in I^\infty.$$

Then we have $b = G(b) + q\mathcal{L}(\infty)$ and

$$(5.5) \quad (\mathfrak{b}_{il}^n U_q^-(\mathfrak{g}))_{-\alpha}^{\mathbb{A}} = \bigoplus_{b \in \mathcal{B}(\infty)_{-\alpha} \cap \tilde{f}_{il}^n \mathcal{B}(\infty)} \mathbb{A}G(b)$$

for any $n \geq 1$. Since $U_{\mathbb{A}}^-(\mathfrak{g})_{-\alpha} = \sum_{(i,l) \in I^\infty} (\mathfrak{b}_{il} U_q^-(\mathfrak{g}))_{-\alpha}^{\mathbb{A}}$, we obtain

$$U_{\mathbb{A}}^-(\mathfrak{g})_{-\alpha} = \sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}(\infty)_{-\alpha}} \mathbb{A}G(b).$$

Let $E = \mathcal{L}(\infty)_{-\alpha}/q\mathcal{L}(\infty)_{-\alpha}$ and $M = U_{\mathbb{A}}^-(\mathfrak{g})_{-\alpha}$. Then by Lemma 5.1 (ii), we deduce that

$$\mathcal{L}(\infty)_{-\alpha}/q\mathcal{L}(\infty)_{-\alpha} \xrightarrow{G} U_{\mathbb{A}}^-(\mathfrak{g})_{-\alpha} \cap \mathcal{L}(\infty) \cap \mathcal{L}(\infty)^- \rightarrow \frac{U_{\mathbb{A}}^-(\mathfrak{g})_{-\alpha} \cap \mathcal{L}(\infty)}{U_{\mathbb{A}}^-(\mathfrak{g})_{-\alpha} \cap q\mathcal{L}(\infty)}$$

is an isomorphism, which proves A(r). Now C(r) follows from (5.5). Finally, we shall prove B(r).

Lemma 5.8. Let $\alpha \in Q_+(r)$, $b \in \mathcal{B}(\infty)_{-\alpha}$ and $\lambda \in P^+$. If $\bar{\pi}_\lambda(b) = 0$, then $G(b)v_\lambda = 0$.

Proof. Take $(i, l) \in I^\infty$ with $\tilde{e}_{il}b \neq 0$. Then $G(b)v_\lambda \in (\mathfrak{b}_{il}V(\lambda))_{\lambda-\alpha}^{\mathbb{A}} \cap q\mathcal{L}(\lambda) \cap \mathcal{L}(\lambda)^-$ by Proposition 5.5. \square

By this lemma, we have $V(\lambda)_{\lambda-\alpha}^{\mathbb{A}} = \sum_{\substack{b \in \mathcal{B}(\infty)_{-\alpha} \\ \bar{\pi}_\lambda(b) \neq 0}} \mathbb{A}G(b)v_\lambda$. Let

$$E = \sum_{\substack{b \in \mathcal{B}(\infty)_{-\alpha} \\ \bar{\pi}_\lambda(b) \neq 0}} \mathbf{F}G(b)v_\lambda \subseteq V(\lambda)_{\lambda-\alpha}^{\mathbb{A}} \cap \mathcal{L}(\lambda) \cap \mathcal{L}(\lambda)^-.$$

Since $\{b \in \mathcal{B}(\infty)_{-\alpha} \mid \bar{\pi}_\lambda(b) \neq 0\} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{B}(\lambda)_{\lambda-\alpha}$, we have

$$E \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{L}(\lambda)_{\lambda-\alpha}/q\mathcal{L}(\lambda)_{\lambda-\alpha}$$

given by

$$G(b)v_\lambda \mapsto G(b)v_\lambda + q\mathcal{L}(\lambda) = \bar{\pi}_\lambda(b).$$

By Lemma 5.1 (ii), we get

$$E \xrightarrow{\sim} V(\lambda)_{\lambda-\alpha}^{\mathbb{A}} \cap \mathcal{L}(\lambda) \cap \mathcal{L}(\lambda)^- \xrightarrow{\sim} \frac{V(\lambda)_{\lambda-\alpha}^{\mathbb{A}} \cap \mathcal{L}(\lambda)}{V(\lambda)_{\lambda-\alpha}^{\mathbb{A}} \cap q\mathcal{L}(\lambda)} \cong \mathcal{L}(\lambda)_{\lambda-\alpha}/q\mathcal{L}(\lambda)_{\lambda-\alpha},$$

which proves B(r).

To summarize, we obtain the main goal of this paper.

Theorem 5.9. There exist canonical isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} U_{\mathbb{A}}^-(\mathfrak{g}) \cap \mathcal{L}(\infty) \cap \mathcal{L}(\infty)^- &\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{L}(\infty)/q\mathcal{L}(\infty), \\ V(\lambda)_{\lambda-\alpha}^{\mathbb{A}} \cap \mathcal{L}(\lambda) \cap \mathcal{L}(\lambda)^- &\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{L}(\lambda)/q\mathcal{L}(\lambda) \quad (\lambda \in P^+). \end{aligned}$$

Definition 5.10.

- (a) $\mathbf{B} := \{G_\infty(b) \mid b \in B(\infty)\}$ is called the *global basis* of $U_{\mathbb{A}}^-(\mathfrak{g})$ corresponding to $B(\infty)$.
 (b) $\mathbf{B}^\lambda := \{G_\lambda(b) \mid b \in B(\lambda)\}$ is called the *global basis* of $V(\lambda)^{\mathbb{A}}$ corresponding to $B(\lambda)$.

Remark 5.11. The global bases \mathbf{B} and \mathbf{B}^λ are unique because they are stable under the bar involution.

REFERENCES

- [B15] T. Bozec, *Quivers with loops and perverse sheaves*, Math. Ann. **362** (2015), 773-797.
 [B16] T. Bozec, *Quivers with loops and generalized crystals*, Compositio Math. **152** (2016), 1999-2040.
 [BSV16] T. Bozec, O. Schiffmann and E. Vasserot, *On the number of points of nilpotent quiver varieties over finite fields*, Ann. Sci. Ec. Norm. Super. **53(6)** (2020), 1501-1544.
 [FKKT20] Z. Fan, S.-J. Kang, Y. R. Kim and B. Tong, *Classical limit of quantum Borchers-Bozec algebras*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **225** (2021), 106502.
 [GL93] I. Grojnowski and G. Lusztig, *A comparison of bases of quantized enveloping algebras*, Contemporary Math. **153** (1993), 11-19.
 [HK02] J. Hong and S.-J. Kang, *Introduction to Quantum Groups and Crystal Bases*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics **42**, Amer. Math. Soc., 2002.
 [JKK05] K. Jeong, S.-J. Kang and M. Kashiwara, *Crystal Bases for quantum generalized Kac-Moody algebras*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) **90** (2005), 395-438.
 [K95] S.-J. Kang, *Quantum deformations of generalized Kac-Moody algebras and their modules*, J. Algebra **(3) 175** (1995), 1041-1066.
 [KK19] S.-J. Kang and Y. R. Kim, *Quantum Borchers-Bozec algebras and their integrable representations*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **224** (2020), 106388.
 [KS06] S.-J. Kang and O. Schiffmann, *Canonical bases for quantum generalized Kac-Moody algebras*, Adv. Math. **200** (2006), 455-478.
 [Kas90] M. Kashiwara, *Crystallizing the q -analogue of universal enveloping algebras*, Commun. Math. Phys. **133** (1990), 249-260.
 [Kas91] M. Kashiwara, *On crystal bases of the q -analogue of universal enveloping algebras*, Duke Math. J. **63** (1991), 465-516.
 [L90] G. Lusztig, *Canonical bases arising from quantized enveloping algebras*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **3** (1990), 447-498.
 [L91] G. Lusztig, *Quivers, perverse sheaves, and quantized enveloping algebras*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **4** (1991), 365-421.
 [L93] G. Lusztig, *Tight monomials in quantized enveloping algebras*, Quantum deformations of algebras and their representations, ed. A. Joseph et al., Isr. Math. Conf. Proc. **7**, Amer. Math. Soc. 1993, 117-132.
 [L10] G. Lusztig, *Introduction to quantum groups*, Modern Birkhäuser Classics, Birkhäuser/Springer, New York, 2010
 [SV99] B. Sevenhant and M. Van den Bergh, *On the double of the Hall algebra of a quiver*, J. Algebra. **221** (1999).

HARBIN ENGINEERING UNIVERSITY, HARBIN, CHINA

Email address: `fanzaobing@hrbeu.edu.cn`

KOREA RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF ARTS AND MATHEMATICS, ASAN-SI, CHUNGCHEONGNAM-DO, 31551,
KOREA

Email address: `soccerkang@hotmail.com`

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF EDUCATION, HANKUK UNIVERSITY OF FOREIGN STUDIES, SEOUL, 02450, KO-
REA

Email address: `rocky777@hufs.ac.kr`

HARBIN ENGINEERING UNIVERSITY, HARBIN, CHINA

Email address: `tbl_2019@hrbeu.edu.cn`