

**QUANTUM APPROACH ON LINEAR COMBINATION OF  
HARMONIC UNIVALENT MAPPINGS**

OMENDRA MISHRA<sup>1</sup> AND SAURABH PORWAL<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics

Rajat P.G. College

Lucknow-226007, (U.P.), India.

e-mail: mishraomendra@gmail.com; <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9614-8656>.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics

Ram Sahai Government Degree College

Bairi-Shivrajpur, Kanpur-209205, (U.P.), India.

e-mail: saurabhjcb@rediffmail.com; <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0847-3550>.

ABSTRACT. In this paper using  $q$  calculus operator we obtain some sufficient conditions on  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  so that their linear combination  $f = tf_1 + (1-t)f_2$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$ , is univalent and convex in the direction of the real axis. Some examples are also illustrated to support our main results.

**Keywords:**  $q$ - derivative operator; harmonic univalent functions; Linear combination.

**AMS Subject Classification:** 30C45.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The theory of  $q$ -calculus has motivated the researchers due to its applications in the field of physical sciences, specially in quantum physics. Jackson [10, 11] was the first to give some applications of  $q$ -calculus by introducing the  $q$ -analogues of derivative and integral. Jackson's  $q$ -derivative operator  $\partial_q$  on a function  $h$  analytic in  $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$  is defined for  $0 < q < 1$ , by

$$\partial_q h(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{h(z) - h(qz)}{(1-q)z} & z \neq 0, \\ h'(0) & z = 0. \end{cases}$$

For a power function  $h(z) = z^k$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ ,

$$\partial_q h(z) = \partial_q(z^k) = [k]_q z^{k-1},$$

$$\int_0^z t^n d_q t = z(1-q) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q^k (zq^k)^n = \frac{z^{n+1}}{[n+1]_q}.$$

where  $[k]_q$  is the  $q$ -integer number  $k$  defined by

$$[k]_q = \frac{1 - q^k}{1 - q} = 1 + q + q^2 + \dots + q^{k-1},$$

For any non-negative integer  $k$  the  $q$ -number factorial is defined by

$$[k]_q! = [1]_q [2]_q [3]_q \dots [k]_q \quad ([0]_q! = 1).$$

For more detailed study see [1]. Clearly,  $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} [k]_q = k$  and  $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} \partial_q h(z) = h'(z)$ . Research work in connection with function theory and  $q$ -calculus was first introduced by Ismail *et al.* [9]. Recently,  $q$ -calculus is involved in the theory of analytic functions in the work [7, 8, 15]. But research on  $q$ -calculus in connection with harmonic functions is fairly new and not much published (one may find papers [12], [16], [17], [19], [20], [22], [25]).

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  denotes the class of complex-valued functions  $f = u + iv$  which are harmonic in the unit disk  $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ , where  $u$  and  $v$  are real-valued harmonic functions in  $\mathbb{D}$ . Functions  $f \in \mathcal{H}$  can also be expressed as  $f = h + \bar{g}$ , where  $h$  and  $g$  are analytic in  $\mathbb{D}$ , called the analytic and co-analytic parts of  $f$ , respectively. The Jacobian of  $f = h + \bar{g}$  is given by  $J_f(z) = |h'(z)|^2 - |g'(z)|^2$ .

According to the Lewy's Theorem, every harmonic function  $f = h + \bar{g} \in \mathcal{H}$  is locally univalent and sense preserving in  $\mathbb{D}$  if and only if  $J_f(z) > 0$  in  $\mathbb{D}$  which is equivalent to the existence of an analytic function  $\omega(z) = g'(z)/h'(z)$  in  $\mathbb{D}$  such that

$$|\omega(z)| < 1 \quad \text{for all } z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

The function  $\omega$  is called the dilatation of  $f$ . By requiring harmonic functions to be sense-preserving, we retain some basic properties exhibited by analytic functions, such as the open mapping property, the argument principal, and zeros being isolated (see for detail [4]). The class of all univalent, sense preserving harmonic functions  $f = h + \bar{g} \in \mathcal{H}$ , with the normalized conditions  $h(0) = 0 = g(0)$  and  $h'(0) = 1$  is denoted by  $S_{\mathcal{H}}$ . If the function  $f = h + \bar{g} \in S_{\mathcal{H}}$ , then  $h$  and  $g$  are of the form

$$h(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad \text{and} \quad g(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n \quad (|b_1| < 1; z \in \mathbb{D}).$$

A subclass of functions  $f = h + \bar{g} \in S_{\mathcal{H}}$  with the condition  $g'(0) = 0$  (or  $\omega_f(0) = 0$ ) is denoted by  $S_{\mathcal{H}}^0$ . Further, the subclasses of functions  $f$  in  $S_{\mathcal{H}}$  ( $S_{\mathcal{H}}^0$ ), denoted by  $K_{\mathcal{H}}$  ( $K_{\mathcal{H}}^0$ ), consists of functions  $f$  that map the unit disk  $\mathbb{D}$  onto a convex region.

**Definition 1.** [5] *Harmonic right half-plane mappings*

A mapping  $f = h + \bar{g}$  is said to be a harmonic right half-plane mapping if it maps  $\mathbb{D}$  onto a right-half plane

$$H_0 = \{w : \Re(w) > -1/2\}.$$

For

$$h(z) + g(z) = \frac{z}{1-z} \quad \text{and} \quad \omega(z) = \frac{g'(z)}{h'(z)} = -z$$

$$h'(z) + g'(z) = \frac{1}{(1-z)^2} \quad g'(z) = -zh'(z)$$

we get

$$h'(z) = \frac{1}{(1-z)^3} \quad g'(z) = \frac{-z}{(1-z)^3}$$

which on integration and normalization gives

$$h(z) = \frac{z - \frac{z^2}{2}}{(1-z)^2} \quad g(z) = \frac{\frac{-z^2}{2}}{(1-z)^2}.$$

Thus the mapping  $f = h + \bar{g}$  given by

$$f = \frac{z - \frac{z^2}{2}}{(1-z)^2} - \overline{\frac{z^2}{2}}. \quad (1.1)$$

The class of right half-plane mappings  $f \in S^0(H_0)$  that map  $\mathbb{D}$  onto  $f(\mathbb{D}) = H_0 = \{w : \Re(w) > -1/2\}$ , and such a mapping clearly assumes the form

$$h(z) + g(z) = \frac{z}{1-z}.$$

We now recall fundamental result, called shearing theorem, due to Clunie and Sheil-Small as follows:

**Theorem 1.** [3] *A locally univalent harmonic function  $f = h + \bar{g}$  in  $\mathbb{D}$  is a univalent mapping of real axis  $\mathbb{D}$  onto a domain convex in the direction of real axis if and only if  $h - g$  is a analytic univalent mapping of  $\mathbb{D}$  onto a domain convex in the direction of real axis.*

In this paper using quantum approach we find the new harmonic functions. Such that, if we have an analytic function of the form

**Example 1.**  $h(z) - g(z) = z - \frac{1}{2}z^2$       and       $\omega_q(z) = \frac{\partial_q(g(z))}{\partial_q(h(z))} = \frac{[2]_q}{2}z$

$$\partial_q(h(z)) - \partial_q(g(z)) = 1 - \frac{[2]_q}{2}z \quad \partial_q(g(z)) = \frac{[2]_q}{2}z\partial_q(h(z))$$

we get

$$\partial_q(h(z)) = 1$$

which on  $q$ -integration and normalization gives

$$h(z) = z \quad g(z) = \frac{[2]_q}{4}z^2$$

Thus the mapping  $f = h + \bar{g}$  given by

$$f = z - \frac{[2]_q}{4}z^2$$

On  $q \rightarrow 1^-$ , we get the original function  $f = z - \frac{1}{2}z^2$

**Definition 2. Harmonic  $q$  right half-plane mappings:**

If we have an analytic function of the form

$$h(z) + g(z) = \frac{z}{1-z} \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_q(z) = \frac{z^2 - 2z + qz}{1 - qz}.$$

Then

$$\partial_q(h(z)) + \partial_q(g(z)) = \frac{1}{(1-z)(1-qz)}$$

we get

$$\partial_q(h(z)) = \frac{1}{(1-z)^3}$$

which on  $q$ -integration and normalization gives

$$h(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{2[n+1]_q} z^{n+1}$$

Using this we get

$$g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{2[n+1]_q - (n+1)(n+2)}{2[n+1]_q} z^{n+1}$$

Thus  $h$  and  $g$  of the form

$$h(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{2[n+1]_q} z^{n+1} \quad g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{2[n+1]_q - (n+1)(n+2)}{2[n+1]_q} z^{n+1}$$

On  $q \rightarrow 1^-$ , we get the mapping defined in (1.1).

**Lemma 1.** [3] *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$  be a domain convex in the direction of the real axis. Also let  $p$  be a real-valued continuous function in  $\Omega$ . Then the mapping  $\omega \mapsto \omega + p(\omega)$  is univalent in  $\Omega$  if and only if it is locally univalent. If it is univalent, then its range is convex in the direction of the real axis.*

**Lemma 2.** [18] *Let  $f$  be analytic function in  $\mathbb{D}$  with  $f(0) = 0$  and  $f'(0) \neq 0$ . Suppose also that*

$$\varphi(z) = \frac{z}{(1+ze^{i\theta})(1+ze^{-i\theta})} \quad (\theta \in \mathbb{R}; z \in \mathbb{D}).$$

If

$$\Re \left( \frac{zf'(z)}{\varphi(z)} \right) > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}),$$

then  $f$  is convex in the direction of real axis.

Dorff and Rolf [5] applied another way of constructing a univalent harmonic map by taking two suitable harmonic maps  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  with same dilatations, whose linear combination  $f_3 = tf_1 + (1-t)f_2$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$  is univalent and convex in the direction of the imaginary axis. Wang et al. [26] derived several sufficient conditions on harmonic univalent functions  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  so that their linear combination  $f = tf_1 + (1-t)f_2$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$ , is univalent and convex in the direction of the real axis. More results on the linear combination  $f$  of  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  may also be found in [6, 13, 21, 23, 24, 26] etc. (also see the references cited in these). In this paper using quantum approach we find sufficient conditions on  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  so that their linear combination  $f = tf_1 + (1-t)f_2$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$ , is univalent and convex in the direction of the real axis. Some examples are also illustrated to support our main results.

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

First, we give  $q$ -analogue of Lemma 2

**Lemma 3.** *Let the function  $f : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be an analytic function with  $f(0) = 0$  and  $\partial_q(f(0)) \neq 0$ . Suppose that*

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} \varphi_q(z) = \varphi(z) = \frac{z}{(1+ze^{i\theta})(1+ze^{-i\theta})} \quad (\theta \in \mathbb{R}; z \in \mathbb{D}). \quad (2.1)$$

If the function  $f$  satisfy

$$\Re \left( \frac{z\partial_q(f(z))}{\varphi_q(z)} \right) > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}), \quad (2.2)$$

then the function  $f$  is convex in the direction of real axis.

**Theorem 2.** *Let for  $j = 1, 2$ ,  $f_j = h_j + \overline{g_j} \in S_{\mathcal{H}}$  with*

$$F_j = h_j(z) - g_j(z). \quad (2.3)$$

*If  $\omega_{q_1}(z) = \omega_{q_2}(z)$  and satisfy the condition  $\Re\left(\frac{z\partial_q(F_j(z))}{\varphi_q(z)}\right) > 0$  for some function  $\varphi_q(z)$  given by (2.2), then  $f_3 = tf_1 + (1-t)f_2$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$  is univalent and convex in the direction of real axis.*

*Proof.* Since, for  $j = 1, 2$ ,  $\omega_{q_j}(z) = \frac{\partial_q(g_j(z))}{\partial_q(h_j(z))}$ , with  $|\omega_{q_j}(z)| < 1$ . If  $\omega_{q_1}(z) = \omega_{q_2}(z)$  then

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{q_3}(z) &= \frac{t\partial_q(g_1(z)) + (1-t)\partial_q(g_2(z))}{t\partial_q(h_1(z)) + (1-t)\partial_q(h_2(z))} \\ &= \frac{t\omega_{q_1}(z)\partial_q(h_1(z)) + (1-t)\omega_{q_1}(z)\partial_q(h_2(z))}{t\partial_q(h_1(z)) + (1-t)\partial_q(h_2(z))} \\ &= |\omega_{q_1}(z)| < 1. \end{aligned}$$

To show  $f_3$  is convex in the direction of real axis, we have to show by Lemma (3).

$$\Re\left(\frac{z(\partial_q(h_j(z)) - \partial_q(g_j(z)))}{\varphi_q(z)}\right) > 0$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} &\Re\left(\frac{z(\partial_q(h_3(z)) - \partial_q(g_3(z)))}{\varphi_q(z)}\right) \\ &= \Re\left(\frac{z}{\varphi_q(z)} [t(\partial_q(h_1(z)) - \partial_q(g_1(z))) + (1-t)(\partial_q(h_2(z)) - \partial_q(g_2(z)))]\right) \\ &= t\Re\left(\frac{z}{\varphi_q(z)} (\partial_q(h_1(z)) - \partial_q(g_1(z)))\right) + (1-t)\Re\left(\frac{z}{\varphi_q(z)} (\partial_q(h_2(z)) - \partial_q(g_2(z)))\right) \\ &> 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

A generalization of Theorem 2 may be given as follows:

**Corollary 1.** *Let for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ,  $f_j = h_j + \overline{g_j} \in S_{\mathcal{H}}$  with the condition (2.3). If  $\omega_{q_1}(z) = \omega_{q_2}(z) = \dots = \omega_{q_n}(z)$  and satisfy the condition  $\Re\left(\frac{z\partial_q(F_j(z))}{\varphi_q(z)}\right) > 0$  for some function  $\varphi_q(z)$  given by (2.2). Then  $F = \sum_{j=1}^n t_j f_j$ ,  $t_j \in [0, 1]$  such that  $\sum_{j=1}^n t_j = 1$ . is univalent and convex in the direction of real axis.*

**Theorem 3.** *Let for  $j = 1, 2$ ,  $f_j = h_j + \overline{g_j} \in S_{\mathcal{H}}$  be convex in the direction of the real axis. If  $\Re\left\{(1 - \omega_{q_1}\overline{\omega_{q_2}}) \partial_q(h_1(z))\overline{\partial_q(h_2(z))}\right\} \geq 0$ , then  $f_3 = tf_1 + (1-t)f_2$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$  is convex in the direction of real axis. .*

*Proof.* Since, for  $j = 1, 2$ ,  $\omega_{q_j}(z) = \frac{\partial_q(g_j(z))}{\partial_q(h_j(z))}$ , with  $|\omega_{q_j}(z)| < 1$ . We get

$$\begin{aligned} |\omega_{q_3}(z)| &= \left| \frac{t\partial_q(g_1(z)) + (1-t)\partial_q(g_2(z))}{t\partial_q(h_1(z)) + (1-t)\partial_q(h_2(z))} \right| \\ &= \frac{|t\omega_{q_1}(z)\partial_q(h_1(z)) + (1-t)\omega_{q_2}(z)\partial_q(h_2(z))|}{|t\partial_q(h_1(z)) + (1-t)\partial_q(h_2(z))|} \end{aligned}$$

To show  $|\omega_{q_3}| < 1$ . We have to prove

$$\begin{aligned}
& |t\partial_q(h_1(z)) + (1-t)\partial_q(h_2(z))|^2 - |t\omega_{q_1}\partial_q(h_1(z)) + (1-t)\omega_{q_2}\partial_q(h_2(z))|^2 \\
&= (t\partial_q(h_1(z)) + (1-t)\partial_q(h_2(z))) \left( \overline{t\partial_q(h_1(z)) + (1-t)\partial_q(h_2(z))} \right) \\
&\quad - (t\omega_{q_1}\partial_q(h_1(z)) + (1-t)\omega_{q_2}\partial_q(h_2(z))) \left( \overline{t\omega_{q_1}\partial_q(h_1(z)) + (1-t)\omega_{q_2}\partial_q(h_2(z))} \right) \\
&= t^2 \left( 1 - |\omega_{q_1}|^2 \right) |\partial_q(h_1(z))|^2 + (1-t)^2 \left( 1 - |\omega_{q_2}|^2 \right) |\partial_q(h_2(z))|^2 \\
&\quad + 2t(1-t)\Re \left\{ (1 - \omega_{q_1}\overline{\omega_{q_2}}) \partial_q(h_1(z))\overline{\partial_q(h_2(z))} \right\} \\
&> 0
\end{aligned}$$

We use Lemma 1 and Theorem 1 which shows that,  $F_j := h_j - g_j$  is univalent in  $\mathbb{D}$  and  $\Omega_j = F_j(\mathbb{D})$  is convex in the direction of the real axis for each  $j = 1, 2$ . We may write  $f_j = F_j + 2\Re(g_j)$  and

$$f_j(F_j^{-1}(w)) = w + 2\Re(g_j(F_j^{-1}(w))) = w + q_j(w)$$

is univalent in  $\Omega_j$  for each  $j = 1, 2$ , where  $q_j(w)$  is real valued continuous function. Let  $F := h - g$  and  $\Omega = F(\mathbb{D})$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
f(F^{-1}(w)) &= tf_1(F_1^{-1}(w)) + (1-t)f_2(F_2^{-1}(w)) \\
&= t(w + q_1(w)) + (1-t)(w + q_2(w)) \\
&= w + (tq_1(w) + (1-t)q_2(w)) \\
&= w + q(w)
\end{aligned}$$

is univalent in  $\Omega$  which by Lemma 1 is convex in the direction of the real axis.  $\square$

### 3. EXAMPLES

In this section, we give some examples based on Theorem 2 and Theorem 3 to verify our main results.

**Example 2.** Let for  $j = 1, 2$ ,  $f_j = h_j + \overline{g_j} \in S_{\mathcal{H}}$  with

$$F_j = h_j(z) - g_j(z) = \frac{z}{1-z}. \quad (3.1)$$

If  $\omega_{q_1}(z) = \omega_{q_2}(z)$  and  $f_3 = tf_1 + (1-t)f_2$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$  is univalent and convex in the direction of real axis. By Theorem 2 we have

$$\Re \left( \frac{z(\partial_q(h_3(z)) - \partial_q(g_3(z)))}{\varphi_q(z)} \right) > 0$$

Since,  $\partial_q(h_1(z)) - \partial_q(g_1(z)) = \frac{1}{(1-z)(1-qz)}$ ,  $\partial_q(h_2(z)) - \partial_q(g_2(z)) = \frac{1}{(1-z)(1-qz)}$  and  $\varphi_q(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)(1-qz)}$ .

**Example 3.**  $f_1 = z - \frac{[2]_q}{2}z^2$  and  $f_1 = z + \frac{[3]_q}{3}z^2$  and  $f_3 = tf_1 + (1-t)f_2$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$  with  $\omega_{q_1}(z) = -\frac{[2]_q}{2}z$  and  $\omega_{q_2}(z) = \frac{[3]_q}{3}z^2$   
 $|\omega_{q_3}(z)| \leq t\frac{[2]_q}{2}|z| + (1-t)\frac{[3]_q}{3}|z^2| < t\frac{[2]_q}{2}|z| + (1-t)\frac{[3]_q}{3}|z| < t\frac{[2]_q}{2} + (1-t)\frac{[3]_q}{3} < 1$   
and  $\Re \left\{ (1 - \omega_{q_1}\overline{\omega_{q_2}}) \partial_q(h_1(z))\overline{\partial_q(h_2(z))} \right\} = \Re \left( 1 + \frac{[2]_q[3]_q}{6}|z^2|\overline{z} \right) > 0$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. Aral, V. Gupta and R. P. Agarwal, Applications of  $q$ -calculus in operator theory, Springer, New York, (2013).
- [2] S. Beig and V. Ravichandran, Directional convexity of combination of harmonic half-plane and strip mappings, Commun. Korean Math. Soc., (2021).
- [3] J. Clunie and T. Shiel-Small, Harmonic univalent functions, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A. I Math., 9(1984), 3–25.
- [4] P. Duren, W. Hengartner and R. S. Laugesen, The argument principle for harmonic functions, Amer. Math. Monthly 103, 411-415, (1996).
- [5] M. Dorff and J. Rolf, Anamorphosis, mapping problems, and harmonic univalent functions, Explorations in Complex Analysis, Math. Assoc. of America, Inc., Washington, DC (2012), 197–269.
- [6] A. Ferrada-Salas, R. Hernández and M. J. Martín, On convex combinations of convex harmonic mappings, Bull. Australian Mathematical Society, 2(96), (2017), 256–262.
- [7] M. Govindaraj and S. Sivasubramanian, On a class of analytic functions related to conic domains involving  $q$ -calculus, Anal. Math 43, 475-487, (2017).
- [8] S. Hussain, S. Khan, M. A. Zaighum and M. Darus, Certain subclass of analytic functions related with conic domains and associated with Salagean  $q$ -differential operator, AIMS Mathematics 2, 622-634, (2017).
- [9] M. E. H. Ismail, E. Merkes and D. Styer, A generalizations of starlike functions, Complex Variables Theory Appl. 14, 77-84, (1990).
- [10] F. H. Jackson, On  $q$ -functions and a certain difference operator, Trans. Royal Soc. Edinburgh 46, 253-281, (1909).
- [11] F. H. Jackson, On  $q$ -definite integrals, Quart. J. Pure Appl. Math. 41, 193-203, (1910).
- [12] J. M. Jahangiri, Harmonic univalent functions defined by  $q$ -calculus operator, International J. Math. Anal. Appl 5, 39-43, (2018).
- [13] R. Kumar, S. Gupta and S. Singh, Linear combination of univalent harmonic mappings convex in the direction of the imaginary axis, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc., DOI 10.1007/s40840-015-0190-5.
- [14] H. Lewy, On the non vanishing of the Jacobian in certain one-to-one mappings, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc 42, 689-692, (1936).
- [15] S. Mahmood and J. Sokol, New subclass of analytic functions in conical domain associated with Ruscheweyh  $q$ -differential operator, Results Math 71, 1345-1357, (2017).
- [16] G. Murugusundaramoorthy and J. M. Jahangiri, New subclass of analytic functions in conical domain associated with Ruscheweyh  $q$ -differential operator, Khayyam J. Math 5, 79-88, (2019).
- [17] Saurabh Porwal and Ankita Gupta, An application of  $q$ -calculus to harmonic univalent functions, J. Qual. Measurement Anal 14, 81-90, (2018).
- [18] C. Pommerenke, On starlike and close-to-convex functions, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc., 13(3), (1963), 290–304.
- [19] B. Ravindar and R. B. Sharma and N. Magesh, On a subclass of harmonic univalent functions defined by Ruscheweyh  $q$ -differential operator, AIP Conference Proceedings 2112, (2019).
- [20] B. Ravindar and R. B. Sharma and N. Magesh, On a certain subclass of harmonic univalent functions defined  $q$ -differential operator, J. Mech. Cont. Math. Sci 14, 45-53, (2019).
- [21] P. Sharma and O. Mishra, On the rotation of a linear combination of harmonic univalent functions GANITA, Vol. 68(2), 2018, 53-61
- [22] P. Sharma and O. Mishra, A class of harmonic functionc associated with  $q$  Salagean operator, U.P.B. Sci. Bull., Series A 82, (2020).
- [23] L. Shi, Z.-G. Wang, A. Rasila and Y. Sun, Convex combinations of harmonic shears of slit mappings, Bull. Iranian Math. Soc., 43(5), (2017), 1495–1510.
- [24] Y. Sun, A. Rasila and Y.-P. Jiang, Linear combinations of harmonic quasiconformal mappings convex in one direction, Kodai Math. J., 39, (2016), 366–377.
- [25] H. M. Srivastava, Muhammad Tahir, Bilal Khan, Qazi Zahoor Ahmad and Nazar Khan, Some general families of  $q$ -starlike functions associated with the Janowski functions, Filomat **33** (2019), no. 9, 2613–2626.
- [26] Z.-G. Wang, Z.-H. Liu and Y.-C. Li, On the linear combinations of harmonic univalent mappings, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 400, (2013), 452–459.