

INVERSE POLYNOMIALS OF NUMERICAL SEMIGROUP RINGS

KAZUFUMI ETO AND KEI-ICHI WATANABE

ABSTRACT. We study the defining ideal of a numerical semigroup ring $k[H]$ using the inverse polynomial attached to the Artinian ring $k[H]/(t^h)$. We give a criterion of H to be symmetric or almost symmetric using the annihilator of the inverse system. Also we give characterization of symmetric numerical semigroups with small multiplicity and give a new proof of Bresinsky's Theorem for symmetric semigroups generated by 4 elements.

INTRODUCTION

Numerical semigroups and semigroup rings are very important objects in the study of singularities of dimension 1 (See §1 for the definitions). Let $H = \langle n_1, \dots, n_e \rangle$ be a numerical semigroup minimally generated by $\{n_1, \dots, n_e\}$ and let $K[H] = K[t^{n_1}, \dots, t^{n_e}]$ be the semigroup ring of H , where t is a variable and K is any field. We can represent $K[H]$ as a quotient ring of a polynomial ring $S = K[x_1, \dots, x_e]$ as $K[H] = S/I_H$, where I_H is the kernel of the K -algebra homomorphism which maps $x_i \rightarrow t_i^{n_i}$. We call I_H the defining ideal of $K[H]$. The ideal I_H is a binomial ideal, whose binomials correspond to pairs of factorizations of elements of H .

The concept of inverse system introduced by Macaulay is very useful to study Artinian Gorenstein rings. If $A = S/I$ is an Artinian Gorenstein local ring which is a quotient of a polynomial ring $S = k[x_1, \dots, x_e]$ over a field k and $\sqrt{I} = \mathfrak{n} := (x_1, \dots, x_e)$, then putting $\mathbb{E} = E_S(S/\mathfrak{n}) \cong k[x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_e^{-1}]$ to be the injective envelope of S/\mathfrak{n} , then $[0 :_{\mathbb{E}} I]$ is generated by a single element $J \in \mathbb{E}$ since A is Gorenstein and we call J the inverse polynomial of I . See 1.4, 1.5.

The aim of this paper is to analyze the structure of $k[H]$ by the aid of the inverse polynomial corresponding to $h \in H$.

In particular, if H is symmetric, since $K[H]/(t^h)$ is Artinian Gorenstein ring, $K[H]/(t^h)$ corresponds to an inverse polynomial $J_{H,h} \in \mathbb{E}$. The Frobenius number $F(H)$ of H is a very important number which is equal to the a invariant of $k[H]$ and in particular, we can hope that determining the shape of $J_{F(H)+n_i}$ will be very useful to analyze the structure of $k[H]$.

Also, we can hope also to analyze almost symmetric semigroups, or, equivalently, the almost Gorenstein numerical semigroup rings ([BF]) from the inverse polynomial $J_{F(H)+n_i}$ (2.2).

In §1, we introduce basic concepts concerning numerical semigroups and the semigroup rings and the inverse polynomials.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 13H10; Secondary 20M14, 13D02, 14M10.
The second author was partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 20K03522.

In §2, we introduce the inverse polynomial $J_{H,h}$ attached to a numerical semigroup H and element $h \in H$. In Theorem 2.2 we give a characterization of symmetric and almost symmetric semigroups in terms of $\text{Ann}_S(J_{H,F(H)+n_i})$. We also give the characterization of symmetric numerical semigroups of small multiplicity using inverse polynomial. We will show that the characterization of pseudo-symmetric numerical semigroups generated by 3 elements is obtained directly using the inverse polynomial.

In §3 we discuss about the inverse polynomial of a semigroup H which is obtained by gluing of numerical semigroups H_1 and H_2 . Since a numerical semigroups which is a complete intersection is obtained by successive gluings, we determine the shape of inverse polynomial when H is a complete intersection.

In §4 we investigate the case when $F(H) + n_i$ has unique factorization (that is, $J_{H,F(H)+n_i}$ is a monomial) and conclude that H is "free" (4.1).

In §5, we deduce structure theorems for symmetric numerical semigroups of small multiplicity by classifying inverse polynomials J with small $\ell_S(S/\text{Ann}_S(J))$.

in §6, we give a proof of Bresinsky's Theorem on symmetric numerical semigroups generated by 4 elements which is not a complete intersection using the inverse polynomial $J_{H,F(H)+n_i}$.

In §7, we calculate the inverse polynomial $J_{H,F(H)+n_i}$ in the case H is symmetric and generated by 4 elements and not a complete intersection.

Acknowledgement. *This work began inspired by the talk of M.E. Rossi titled "A constructive approach to one-dimensional Gorenstein k -algebras" on Dec. 1, 2020 at Virtual Commutative Algebra Seminar at IIT Bombay. The 2nd author thank M.E. Rossi fro the talk and also the organizers of the seminar, especially to Jugal Verma for their efforts to continue the Seminar and for inviting the second author to this Seminar.*

1. BASIC CONCEPTS

In this section we fix notation and recall the basic definitions and concepts which will be used in this paper.

Definition 1.1. *Let $H = \langle n_1, \dots, n_e \rangle \subset \mathbb{N}$ be a numerical semigroup minimally generated by e elements. We will use the following notations.*

- (1) *Let k be any field and let $k[H] = k[t^h \mid h \in H] \subset k[t]$ be the semigroup ring of H over a field k . We put $H_+ = H \setminus \{0\}$ and we denote \mathfrak{m}_H the unique homogenous maximal ideal of $k[H]$.*
- (2) *Let $S = k[x_1, \dots, x_e]$ be a polynomial ring over k in the indeterminates x_1, \dots, x_e . We denote $\Phi = \Phi_H : S \rightarrow k[H]$ the surjective map defined by $\Phi_H(x_i) = t^{n_i}$. We always denote $I_H = \text{Ker}(\Phi_H)$ be the defining ideal of $k[H]$. We consider S to be a graded ring over k by putting $\deg x_i = n_i$ so that Φ_H is a homomorphism of graded rings. Note that I_H is generated by*

$$\left\{ \prod_{i=1}^e x_i^{\alpha_i} - \prod_{i=1}^e x_i^{\beta_i} \mid \sum_{i=1}^e \alpha_i n_i = \sum_{i=1}^e \beta_i n_i \right\}.$$

- (3) For an ideal I of a ring A , we denote by $\mu(I)$ the minimal number of generator systems of I .
- (4) For any i , $1 \leq i \leq e$, we denote $S_i = S/x_i S$. Then we have $k[H]/(t^{n_i}) \cong S/(I_H, x_i) \cong S_i/(I_H S_i)$. We note that $\mu(I_H) = \mu((I_H + (x_i))/(x_i))$ for every i .
- (5) For $h \in H_+$, we denote

$$\text{Ap}(H, h) := \{a \in H \mid a - h \notin H\}$$

and call it the Apéry set of H with respect to h . It is easy to see that $\sharp(\text{Ap}(H, h)) = h$ and $\{t^a \mid a \in \text{Ap}(H, h)\}$ forms a basis of $k[H]/(t^h)$ over k .

Definition 1.2. Let $H = \langle n_1, \dots, n_e \rangle \subset \mathbb{N}$ be a numerical semigroup minimally generated by e elements.

- (1) For $n, n' \in \mathbb{Z}$, we denote $n \leq_H n'$ if $n' - n \in H$.
- (2) For $h \in H$, an expression $h = \sum_{i=1}^e a_i n_i$ is called a factorization of h in H . If h has only one factorization, then we say h has unique factorization.
- (3) We denote $F(H) = \max\{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid n \notin H\}$ and call it the Frobenius number of H . Also we define $\text{PF}(H) = \{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid n \notin H \text{ and } \forall h \in H_+, n + h \in H\}$. We call $f \in \text{PF}(H)$ a pseudo Frobenius number. We define $\text{type}(H) = \sharp(\text{PF}(H))$. Note that if $x \in \mathbb{Z}, x \notin H$, then for some $f \in \text{PF}(H), x \leq_H f$.
- (4) We define α_i to be the minimal positive integer such that

$$(*) \quad \alpha_i n_i = \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^e \alpha_{ij} n_j.$$

Thus $f_i = x_i^{\alpha_i} - \prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^e x_j^{\alpha_{ij}}$ ($1 \leq i \leq e$) is a minimal generator of I_H .

- (5) We define H is symmetric if $\text{type}(H) = 1$, or $k[H]$ is Gorenstein ([Ku]).
- (6) We define $g(H) = |\mathbb{N} \setminus H|$. Then we see that H is symmetric if and only if $2g(H) = F(H) + 1$. In general, we have $2g(H) \geq F(H) + \text{type}(H)$ and we say that H is almost symmetric if $2g(H) = F(H) + \text{type}(H)$. Then H is almost symmetric if and only if $k[H]$ is almost Gorenstein ([BF]).
- (7) Let S be a polynomial ring as in 1.1 and if $I \subset S$ is a homogeneous ideal of S , then we denote

$$\text{Deg}(S/I) = \{n \mid (S/I)_n \neq 0\} \subset H.$$

Remark 1.3. ([GW]) We see that the local cohomology group $H_m^1(k[H])$ is isomorphic to $k[t, t^{-1}]/k[H]$. Hence the set $\{t^n \mid n \in \text{PF}(H)\}$ is a basis of $\text{Soc}(H_m^1(k[H]))$, which implies that $\text{type}(H)$ coincides with the Cohen-Macaulay type of $k[H]$ and $a(k[H]) := \max\{n \mid (H_m^1(k[H]))_n \neq 0\}$ coincides with $F(H)$.

The concept of inverse system is essential in this paper (cf. [GW], (1.2.11)).

Definition 1.4. Let $S = k[x_1, \dots, x_e]$ be a polynomial ring over a field k and $\mathfrak{m} = (x_1, \dots, x_e)$ be the unique homogeneous maximal ideal. It is well known that

the injective envelope $\mathbb{E} = E_S(S/\mathfrak{m})$ can be represented by the set of “inverse polynomials” $\mathbb{E} = k[X_1, \dots, X_e]$, where we put $X_i = x_i^{-1}$ and we define the action of S on \mathbb{E} by

$$(x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_e^{a_e}) \cdot (X_1^{b_1} \cdots X_e^{b_e}) = \begin{cases} X_1^{b_1 - a_1} \cdots X_e^{b_e - a_e} & (\forall i, b_i \geq a_i) \\ 0 & (\text{otherwise}) \end{cases}$$

The following fact is fundamental in our argument.

Proposition 1.5. *Let I be an \mathfrak{m} primary ideal of S . Then $\text{Ann}_{\mathbb{E}}(I)$ is generated by type(S/I) elements as an S module. In particular, if S/I is Gorenstein, then $\text{Ann}_{\mathbb{E}}(I)$ is generated by single element J_I . Thus we have a one-to-one correspondence between the set of \mathfrak{m} primary irreducible ideals and the set of inverse polynomials in \mathbb{E} (mod multiplication of an element of k).*

If I is a graded ideal of, then $\deg J_I = a(A)$, the a -invariant of A/I .

In particular, if H is a numerical semigroup and $h \in H_+$, then $a(k[H]/(t^h)) = F(H) + h$ ([GW], 3.1.6).

2. INVERSE POLYNOMIAL ATTACHED TO FACTORIZATIONS OF $h \in H$ AND DESCRIPTION OF I_H

Definition 2.1. *We use the notations as in §1. We denote for $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_e) \in \mathbb{N}^e$, $x^{\mathbf{a}} = \prod_{i=1}^e x_i^{a_i} \in S$ and $X^{\mathbf{a}} = \prod_{i=1}^e X_i^{a_i} \in \mathbb{E}$. For $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_e)$, we denote $\mathbf{b} \geq \mathbf{a}$ if $b_i \geq a_i$ for every i . Thus we have $x^{\mathbf{a}} X^{\mathbf{b}} = X^{\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{a}}$ if $\mathbf{b} \geq \mathbf{a}$ and 0 otherwise.*

Also we denote $\deg_H(\mathbf{a}) = \sum_{i=1}^e a_i n_i$ and $\text{ord}(\mathbf{a}) = \sum_{i=1}^e a_i$. For an inverse polynomial $J = \sum_{i=1}^s X^{\mathbf{a}_i}$, we define

$$\text{ord } J = \max\{\text{ord}(\mathbf{a}_i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq s\}.$$

We attach an inverse polynomial $J_{H,h} \in \mathbb{E} = E_S(S/\mathfrak{m})$ for $h \in H$.

Definition 2.2. *We define for $h \in H$,*

$$J_{H,h} = \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{N}^e, \deg_H \mathbf{a} = h} X^{\mathbf{a}}.$$

The defining ideal I_H of $k[H]$ is described by annihilators of $J_{H,h}$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $H = \langle n_1, \dots, n_e \rangle$, $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{N}^e$ and $h = \deg_H \mathbf{a}$. Then*

$$I_H + (x^{\mathbf{a}}) = \bigcap_{f \in \text{PF}(H)} \text{Ann}_S(J_{H,f+h}).$$

Hence for each n_i ,

$$I_H + (x_i) = \bigcap_{f \in \text{PF}(H)} \text{Ann}_S(J_{H,f+n_i}).$$

In particular, if H is symmetric, then $I_H + (x_i) = \text{Ann}_S(J_{H, F(H) + n_i})$.

Remark 2.3. *If $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{N}^e$ with $\deg_H \mathbf{a} = h = \deg_H \mathbf{b}$, then $x^{\mathbf{a}} - x^{\mathbf{b}} \in I_H$ and $I_H + (x^{\mathbf{a}}) = I_H + (x^{\mathbf{b}})$.*

To prove the theorem, we note a Lemma.

Lemma 2.4. *Let H be as in Definition 1.2, $m \in H$, $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{N}^e$ and put $h = \deg_H \mathbf{a}$. Then we have*

- (1) *If $h \leq_H m$, then $x^{\mathbf{a}} \cdot J_{H,m} = J_{H,m-h}$.*
- (2) *If $h \not\leq_H m$, then $x^{\mathbf{a}} \cdot J_{H,m} = 0$, thus $x^{\mathbf{a}} \in \text{Ann}_S(J_{H,m})$.*
- (3) *Hence $\text{Ann}_S(J_{H,m})$ is generated by I_H and $\{x^{\mathbf{a}} \mid \deg \mathbf{a} \not\leq_H m\}$ and we have*

$$\text{Deg}(S/\text{Ann}_S(J_{H,m})) = \{h \in H \mid h \leq_H m\}.$$

Since $h \in \text{Deg}(S/\text{Ann}_S(J_{H,m}))$ if and only if $m-h \in \text{Deg}(S/\text{Ann}_S(J_{H,m}))$, $\dim_k S/\text{Ann}_S(J_{H,m})$ is even except the case m is even and $m/2 \in H$.

Proof. Assume $h \leq_H m$. For $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{N}^e$ with $\deg_H \mathbf{b} = m - h$, the degree of the monomial $x^{\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}}$ is m , thus $X^{\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}}$ appears in $J_{H,m}$. Conversely, for $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{N}^e$ with $\deg_H \mathbf{b} = m$, if $x^{\mathbf{a}} \cdot X^{\mathbf{b}} \neq 0$, then $\mathbf{a} \leq \mathbf{b}$ and the degree of the monomial $x^{\mathbf{b}-\mathbf{a}}$ is $m - h$. Thus $X^{\mathbf{b}-\mathbf{a}}$ appears in $J_{H,m-h}$. This proves (1).

If $h \not\leq_H m$, then for any $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{N}^e$ with $\deg_H \mathbf{b} = m$ we have $\mathbf{a} \not\leq \mathbf{b}$ hence $x^{\mathbf{a}} J_{H,m} = 0$. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.1. First we show that $I_H + (x^{\mathbf{a}}) \subset \text{Ann}_S(J_{H,f+h})$ for every $f \in \text{PF}(H)$. Since $h \not\leq_H f + h$, $x^{\mathbf{a}} \in \text{Ann}_S(J_{H,f+h})$ by Lemma 2.4 (2). Take a generator $x^{\mathbf{b}} - x^{\mathbf{c}}$ of I_H , where $\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{N}^e$ with $\deg_H \mathbf{b} = \deg_H \mathbf{c} = d$. We may assume that $d \leq_H f + h$, since otherwise $x^{\mathbf{b}}, x^{\mathbf{c}} \in \text{Ann}_S(J_{H,f+n_i})$ by Lemma 2.4 (2). Then, by Lemma 2.4 (1),

$$(x^{\mathbf{b}} - x^{\mathbf{c}})J_{H,f+h} = J_{H,f+h-d} - J_{H,f+h-d} = 0.$$

Hence, in any case, $x^{\mathbf{b}} - x^{\mathbf{c}} \in \text{Ann}_S(J_{H,f+h})$, therefore $I_H + (x^{\mathbf{a}}) \subset \text{Ann}_S(J_{H,f+h})$.

Since we have shown $I_H + (x^{\mathbf{a}}) \subset \bigcap_{f \in \text{PF}(H)} \text{Ann}_S(J_{H,f+h})$, we have a surjection $S/(I_H + (x^{\mathbf{a}})) \rightarrow S/\bigcap_{f \in \text{PF}(H)} \text{Ann}_S(J_{H,f+h})$. Note that, for $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{N}^e$, the image of $x^{\mathbf{b}}$ in $S/(I_H + (x^{\mathbf{a}}))$ is not zero if and only if $\deg_H \mathbf{b} \notin h + H$, i.e. $\deg_H \mathbf{b} \in \text{Ap}(H, h)$. Then, there is $f \in \text{PF}(H)$ satisfying $\deg_H \mathbf{b} \leq_H f + h$ and hence $x^{\mathbf{b}} J_{H,f+h} = J_{H,f+h-\deg_H \mathbf{b}} \neq 0$ by Lemma 2.4 (1). This shows that $S/(I_H + (x^{\mathbf{a}})) \rightarrow S/\bigcap_{f \in \text{PF}(H)} J_{H,f+h}$ is also an injection. \square

From Theorem 2.1 we have the following.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $H = \langle n_1, \dots, n_e \rangle$. Then for any $h \in H_+$, we have*

$$\dim_k(S/\text{Ann}_S(J_{H,\text{F}(H)+h})) \leq h - (\text{type } H - 1)$$

and the equality holds for some $h \in H_+$ if and only if H is almost symmetric.

Proof. Since $I_H \subset \text{Ann}_S(J_{H,\text{F}(H)+h})$ by 2.1 and since the basis of $k[H]/(t^h)$ is given by $\text{Ap}(H, h)$, the basis of $S/\text{Ann}_S(J_{H,\text{F}(H)+h})$ is given by

$$\{h' \in \text{Ap}(H, h) \mid h' \leq_H \text{F}(H) + h\}.$$

Let $\text{PF}(H) = \{f_1, \dots, f_t\}$ with $t = \text{type}(H)$ and $f_t = \text{F}(H)$. We denote also $\text{PF}'(H) = \text{PF}(H) \setminus \{\text{F}(H)\}$. If $f \in \text{PF}'(H)$, then $f + h \in \text{Ap}(H, h)$ and since $f + h \not\leq_H \text{F}(H) + h$,

$$\{h' \in \text{Ap}(H, h) \mid h' \leq_H \text{F}(H) + h\} \subset \{h' \in \text{Ap}(H, h) \mid h' - h \notin \text{PF}'(H)\}$$

and since the latter set has cardinality $h - (\text{type}(H) - 1)$, we have the inequality $\dim_k(S/\text{Ann}_S(J_{H,\mathbb{F}(H)+h})) \leq h - (\text{type } H - 1)$.

If equality holds, then since $\mathbb{F}(H) - f \not\leq_H \mathbb{F}(H)$ for any $f \in \text{PF}'(H)$, we must have $\mathbb{F}(H) - f \in \text{PF}'(H)$ for any $f \in \text{PF}'(H)$.

This implies that H is almost symmetric by the result of H. Nari ([N]). \square

We give examples of $J_{H,\mathbb{F}(H)+n_i}$ for $e = 2, 3$.

Example 2.5. Let $H = \langle n_1, n_2 \rangle$ where n_1 and n_2 are coprime. For $h \in H$, we write $h = c_1 n_1 + c_2 n_2$ where $c_1, c_2 \geq 0$ and $c_1 < n_2$. Then

$$J_{H,h} = X_1^{c_1} X_2^{c_2} + X_1^{c_1+n_2} X_2^{c_2-n_1} + \cdots + X_1^{c_1+dn_2} X_2^{c_2-dn_1},$$

where $c_2 - dn_1 < n_1$. Since $\mathbb{F}(H) = n_1 n_2 - n_1 - n_2$,

$$J_{H,\mathbb{F}(H)+n_1} = X_2^{n_1-1}, \quad J_{H,\mathbb{F}(H)+n_2} = X_1^{n_2-1}$$

are monomials.

Example 2.6. Assume $e = 3$.

- (1) If H is symmetric, then by [W73], we can write $n_1 = ad, n_2 = bd$ and $n_3 = c$, where $(a, b), (c, d)$ are coprime and $c \in \langle a, b \rangle \setminus \{a, b\}$. Then $\mathbb{F}(H) = (ab - a - b)d + c(d - 1)$ and we have $J_{H,\mathbb{F}(H)+n_1} = X_2^{a-1} X_3^{d-1}$, $J_{H,\mathbb{F}(H)+n_2} = X_1^{b-1} X_3^{d-1}$, $J_{H,\mathbb{F}(H)+n_3} = \sum_{ap+bq=c+ab-a-b} X_1^p X_2^q$. Thus $J_{H,\mathbb{F}(H)+n_i}$ ($i = 1, 2$) are monomials.
- (2) If H is not symmetric, then I_H is generated by the maximal minors of the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1^\alpha & x_2^\beta & x_3^\gamma \\ x_2^{\beta'} & x_3^{\gamma'} & x_1^{\alpha'} \end{pmatrix}$$

for some positive integers $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \alpha', \beta', \gamma'$ (cf. [He]). As is shown in [NNW], we have $n_1 = \beta\gamma + \beta'\gamma + \beta'\gamma'$, $n_2 = \gamma\alpha + \gamma'\alpha + \gamma'\alpha'$, $n_3 = \alpha\beta + \alpha'\beta + \alpha'\beta'$ and putting $N = n_1 + n_2 + n_3$, we have

$$\text{PF}(H) = \{f = \alpha n_1 + (\gamma + \gamma')n_3 - N, f' = \beta' n_2 + (\gamma + \gamma')n_3 - N\}.$$

If $f > f'$, then $f = \mathbb{F}(H)$,

$J_{H,\mathbb{F}(H)+n_2} = X_1^{\alpha-1} X_3^{\gamma+\gamma'-1}$ and $\dim_k(S/\text{Ann}_S(J_{H,\mathbb{F}(H)+n_2})) = \alpha(\gamma + \gamma') \leq n_2 - 1 = \alpha(\gamma + \gamma') + \alpha'\gamma' - 1$. Hence by Theorem 2.2, H is almost symmetric if and only if $\alpha' = \gamma' = 1$. Actually, in this case, from $\dim_k(S/\text{Ann}_S(J_{H,\mathbb{F}(H)+n_3})) = n_3 - 1$, we get also $\beta' = 1$. If H is almost symmetric and $f < f'$, then we have $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 1$ likewise. Hence we can reprove Corollary 3.3 of [NNW].

It is a very interesting question to determine whether there exists a symmetric numerical semigroup whose inverse polynomial is a given inverse polynomial in \mathbb{E} . For this question, We give an example and a proposition.

Example 2.7. Let $J = X_2^{13} + X_3^{11} + X_2 X_3 X_4^7 \in \mathbb{E}$. To make J be homogeneous, i.e. $\deg X_2^{13} = \deg X_3^{11} = \deg X_2 X_3 X_4^7$, we have

$$\deg X_2 = 11t, \quad \deg X_3 = 13t, \quad \deg X_4 = 17t,$$

where $t > 0$ and $t \in \mathbb{Z}$. Assume that $J = J_{H, F(H)+n_1}$ for some symmetric semigroup $H = \langle n_1, 11t, 13t, 17t \rangle$. Then we have $x_3^3 - x_2^2 x_4 \in I_H$ since $39t = 3 \cdot 13t = 2 \cdot 11t + 17t$. But we have $(x_3^3 - x_2^2 x_4)J = X_3^8 \neq 0$, which contradicts Theorem 2.1. This contradiction is caused by the fact J is not the sum of all inverse monomials of degree $143t$.

If we put $H' = \langle 11, 13, 17 \rangle$ and

$$J' = J_{H', 143} = X_2^{13} + X_3^{11} + X_2^2 X_3^8 X_3 + X_2^4 X_3^5 X_3^2 + X_2^6 X_3^2 X_3^3 + X_2 X_3 X_4^7,$$

we have $\dim_k S / \text{Ann}_S(J') = 84$ by 2.4 (3).

Now, we show that there is no symmetric smigroup $H = \langle n_1, 11t, 13t, 17t \rangle$ with $J_{H, F(H)+n_1} = J'$. If that is the case, we must have $n_1 = 84$ and since $\text{PF}(H') = \{49, 53\}$, $84 \in H'$ and by 3.1, we have $\text{PF}(H) = \{49t + 84(t-1), 53t + 84(t-1)\}$, which implies H is not symmetric.

The following Proposition shows that if the order of monomials appearing in J is 2 except one, then $J = J_{H, F(H)+n_i}$ for some symmetric semigroup H .

Proposition 2.8. *Let $e > 1$ and $c > 0$. Assume that either e or c is even. We define*

$$H_{e,c} = \begin{cases} \langle e+1, e+2, e+3, \dots, 2e \rangle & \text{if } c = 1, \\ \langle e+c, e+c+1, \frac{c^2+(e+2)c+4}{2}, \frac{c^2+(e+2)c+6}{2}, \dots, \frac{c^2+(e+2)c+2(e-1)}{2} \rangle & \text{if } c \text{ is even,} \\ \langle e+c, e+c+2, \frac{c^2+(e+3)c+8-e}{2}, \frac{c^2+(e+3)c+12-e}{2}, \dots, \frac{c^2+(e+3)c+4(e-1)-e}{2} \rangle & \text{if } c > 1 \text{ is odd and } e \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Then $H_{e,c}$ is symmetric with multiplicity $e+c$ and

$$F(H_{e,c}) = \begin{cases} 2e+1 & \text{if } c = 1, \\ c^2 + (e+1)c + 1 & \text{if } c \text{ is even,} \\ c^2 + (e+2)c + 2 & \text{if } c > 1 \text{ is odd and } e \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Further,

$$J_{H_{e,c}, (F(H_{e,c})+e+c)} = \begin{cases} X_2 X_e + X_3 X_{e-1} + \dots + X_{e'} X_{e'+2} + X_{e'+1}^2 & \text{if } c = 1 \text{ and } e \text{ is even,} \\ X_2 X_e + X_3 X_{e-1} + \dots + X_{e'+1} X_{e'+2} & \text{if } c = 1 \text{ and } e \text{ is odd,} \\ X_2^{c+1} + X_3 X_e + \dots + X_{e'+1} X_{e'+2} & \text{if } c > 1 \text{ and } e \text{ is even,} \\ X_2^{c+1} + X_3 X_e + \dots + X_{e'+1} X_{e'+3} + X_{e'+2}^2 & \text{if } c > 1 \text{ and } e \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

where $e = 2e' + 1$ if e is odd and $e = 2e'$ if e is even.

Proof. If $c = 1$, then the assertion follows from direct computation. From now, we assume $c > 1$. Put $n_2 = e+c+1$ (resp. $n_2 = e+c+2$) and $n_i = \frac{c^2+(e+2)c+2(i-1)}{2}$ (resp. $n_i = \frac{c^2+(e+3)c+4(i-1)-e}{2}$) for $i > 2$, if c is even (resp. $c > 1$ is odd and e is even). We have to check that $H_{e,c}$ is minimally generated by n_1, \dots, n_e . We have

$$n_3 - n_1 = \frac{c^2 + ec + 4 - 2e}{2} \geq \frac{2^2 + 2e + 4 - 2e}{2} = 4 > 0 \quad \text{if } c > 1,$$

thus $\text{emb dim } H_{e,c} = n_1$. And $n_3 \geq n_1 + 4$ implies $n_i > i$ (resp. $n_i \geq 2i$) if c is even (resp. c is odd and e is even). Note

$$(c+1)n_2 = n_3 + n_e = n_4 + n_{e-1} = \cdots$$

And, for $i > 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} n_i &\equiv c+i-1 \pmod{n_1} & \text{and } n_i > c+i-1 & \text{ if } c \text{ is even,} \\ n_i &\equiv 2(c+i-1) \pmod{n_1} & \text{and } n_i > 2(c+i-1) & \text{ if } c \text{ is odd and } e \text{ is even.} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, if $i > 1$, $i' > 2$ and $i+i' \leq e$, then

$$n_i + n_{i'} - n_{i+i'} = \begin{cases} n_i - i > 0 & \text{if } c \text{ is even,} \\ n_i - 2i > 0 & \text{if } c \text{ is odd and } e \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Further, if $i+i' > e$, then $n_i + n_{i'} > n_i + n_{e-i} > n_e > n_{i+i'-e}$, hence we have

$$n_i + n_{i'} > n_{i''} \quad \text{if } i > 1, i' > 2 \text{ and } i+i' \equiv i'' \pmod{n_1}.$$

If $n_i \notin \text{Ap}(H_{e,c}, n_1)$, then we may write $n_i = \sum_{j < i} a_j n_j$ where $a_j \geq 0$ and $c_1 \neq 0$, since $n_j > n_i$ if $j > i$. Note $i \neq 2$. Put $j' = \max\{j : a_j \neq 0\}$. Then $j' > 2$, otherwise $a_2 n_2 \equiv n_i \pmod{n_1}$ implies $a_2 > c+1$ thus $a_2 n_2 > (c+1)n_2 > n_i$. Then $n_i = \sum_{j < i} a_j n_j > n_i$, by the above argument, a contradiction. Hence $n_i \in \text{Ap}(H_{e,c}, n_1)$ for each i . Therefore

$$\text{Ap}(H_{e,c}, n_1) = \{1, n_2, 2n_2, \dots, (c+1)n_2, n_3, n_4, \dots, n_e\}$$

and $H_{e,c}$ is minimally generated by n_1, \dots, n_e . Then the assertion is clear. \square

Example 2.9. In the notation as in 2.8,

$$\begin{aligned} H_{4,1} &= \langle 5, 6, 7, 8 \rangle \\ H_{5,2} &= \langle 7, 8, 11, 12, 13 \rangle \\ H_{6,3} &= \langle 9, 11, 19, 21, 23 \rangle \end{aligned}$$

3. GLUING AND INVERSE POLYNOMIALS

Definition 3.1. Let H_1, H_2 be numerical semigroups, $d_1 \in H_2$, and $d_2 \in H_1$. Assume that d_1 and d_2 are coprime. We say that

$$H = \langle d_1 H_1, d_2 H_2 \rangle = \{d_1 h_1 + d_2 h_2 : h_1 \in H_1, h_2 \in H_2\}$$

is a gluing of H_1 and H_2 .

We always assume that $d_1 n$ (resp. $d_2 n$) is a generator of H if n is a generator of H_1 (resp. H_2). In particular, d_1 (resp. d_2) is not a multiple of a generator of H_2 (resp. H_1).

Note that if $k[H_1] \subset k[t_1]$ and $k[H_2] \subset k[t_2]$, then

$$k[H] = (k[H_1] \otimes_k k[H_2]) / (t_1^{d_2} - t_2^{d_1})$$

by putting $\deg(t_1) = d_1$ and $\deg(t_2) = d_2$ in $k[H]$. Hence we have

$$\text{type}(H) = \text{type}(H_1) \text{type}(H_2) \quad \text{and}$$

$$\text{PF}(H) = \{d_1 f_1 + d_2 f_2 + d_1 d_2 \mid f_1 \in \text{PF}(H_1), f_2 \in \text{PF}(H_2)\}$$

In particular, we have

$$F(H) = d_1 F(H_1) + d_2 F(H_2) + d_1 d_2.$$

Note that $k[H]$ is Gorenstein (resp. a complete intersection) if and only if so are H_1, H_2 .

Also note that if $H_2 = \mathbb{N}$, then $F(\mathbb{N}) = -1$. Hence we have

$$\text{PF}(\langle d_1 H, d_2 \rangle) = \{d_1 f + d_2(d_1 - 1) \mid f \in \text{PF}(H_1)\}.$$

Proposition 3.2. *Let H_1, H_2 be numerical semigroups, $d_1 \in H_2$ and $d_2 \in H_1$. Assume that d_1 and d_2 are coprime. Put $H = \langle d_1 H_1, d_2 H_2 \rangle$. Then, for $m = d_1 m_1 + d_2 m_2$ where $m_1 \in H_1$ and $m_2 \in H_2$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} J_{H,m} &= \sum_{d \in \mathbb{Z}} J_{H,d_1(m_1+dd_2)} J_{H,d_2(m_2-dd_1)} \\ &= \sum_{d \in \mathbb{Z}} J_{H_1,m_1+dd_2} J_{H_2,m_2-dd_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that we set $J_{H,n} = 0$ if $n \notin H$ and the right hand of the above is finite sum.

Proof. Put $e_1 = \text{emb dim } H_1$ and $e_2 = \text{emb dim } H_2$. Then $\text{emb dim } H = e_1 + e_2$. Let $V = \mathbb{N}^{e_1+e_2}$, $V_1 = \mathbb{N}^{e_1}$ and $V_2 = \mathbb{N}^{e_2}$. We consider $V = V_1 + V_2$ and if $a \in V_1 \subset V$ (resp. $a \in V_2 \subset V$), then $\deg_H a = d_1 \deg_{H_1} a$ (resp. $\deg_H a = d_2 \deg_{H_2} a$). If $m \in H$, then there uniquely exist $m'_1 \in \text{Ap}(H_1, d_2)$ and $m'_2 \in \text{Ap}(H_2, d_1)$ satisfying

$$m = d_1 m'_1 + d_2 m'_2 + c d_1 d_2 (= d_1 m_1 + d_2 m_2).$$

Choose $a \in V$ with $\deg_H a = m$. Then we uniquely write $a = a_1 + a_2$ where $a_1 \in V_1$ and $a_2 \in V_2$ and there are $b_1 \in \text{Ap}(H_1, d_2)$ and $c_1 \geq 0$ (resp. $b_2 \in \text{Ap}(H_2, d_1)$ and $c_2 \geq 0$) with $\deg_{H_1} a_1 = b_1 + c_1 d_2$ (resp. $\deg_{H_2} a_2 = b_2 + c_2 d_1$). Since $m = \deg_H a = d_1 \deg_{H_1} a_1 + d_2 \deg_{H_2} a_2$, we have $b_1 = m'_1$, $b_2 = m'_2$ and $c_1 + c_2 = c$. \square

Corollary 3.3. *Let $H = \langle d_1 H_1, d_2 H_2 \rangle$ be as in Proposition. And let $f = d_1 f_1 + d_2 f_2 + d_1 d_2 \in \text{PF}(H)$ where $f_1 \in \text{PF}(H_1)$ and $f_2 \in \text{PF}(H_2)$. For $h \in \text{Ap}(H_1, d_2)$ (resp. $h \in \text{Ap}(H_2, d_1)$),*

$$J_{H,f+d_1 h} = J_{H_1,f_1+h} J_{H_2,f_2+d_1} \quad (\text{resp. } J_{H,f+d_2 h} = J_{H_1,f_1+d_2} J_{H_2,f_2+h}).$$

Further if $H_2 = \langle 1 \rangle$ and $h \in \text{Ap}(H_1, d_2)$, then

$$J_{H,f+d_1 h} = J_{H_1,f_1+h} X_{e+1}^{d_1-1}, \quad J_{H,f+d_2} = J_{H_1,f_1+d_2}$$

where $e = \text{emb dim } H_1$ and $I_H \subset k[x_1, \dots, x_e] \otimes k[x_{e+1}]$. In this case, $J_{H,f+d_1 h}$ is a monomial if and only if J_{H_1,f_1+h} is a monomial.

Example 3.4. *Let $H_1 = \langle 2, 3 \rangle$, $H_2 = \langle 1 \rangle$. Put $H = \langle 2H_1, 5H_2 \rangle = \langle 4, 6, 5 \rangle$. Then*

$$J_{H,7+2 \cdot 2} = J_{H_1,1+2} X_3^{2-1} = X_2 X_3.$$

Example 3.5. (1) Let $H_1 = \langle 3, 4 \rangle$, $H_2 = \langle 2, 3 \rangle$ and $H = \langle 10, 15, 14, 21 \rangle = \langle 5H_1, 7H_2 \rangle$. Then by 3.1, H is a complete intersection and since $F(H_1) = 5$ and $F(H_2) = 1$, $F(H) = 5 \cdot 5 + 7 \cdot 1 + 35 = 67$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} J_{H,82} &= J_{H,67+5 \cdot 3} = J_{H_1,5+3} J_{H_2,1+5} = X_2^2 (X_3^3 + X_4^2) \\ J_{H,88} &= J_{H,67+7 \cdot 3} = J_{H_1,5+7} J_{H_2,1+3} = (X_1^4 + X_2^3) X_3^2. \end{aligned}$$

(2) Let $H_1 = \langle 5, 6, 9 \rangle$ and $H = \langle 5H_1, 31 \rangle = \langle 25, 30, 45, 31 \rangle$. We have $F(H_1) = 13$. For simplicity, we write $k[H_1] = k[x, y, z]/I_{H_1}$, $k[H] = k[x, y, z, w]/I_H$ and X, Y, Z, W be inverse of x, y, z, w . Then we have $F(H) = 5 \cdot 13 + (5 - 1) \cdot 31 = 189$ and

$$\begin{aligned} J_{H,214} &= J_{H_1,13+5} W^4 = (Y^3 + Z^2) W^4, & J_{219} &= J_{H_1,13+6} W^4 = X^2 Z W^4, \\ J_{H,234} &= J_{H_1,13+9} W^4 = X^2 Y^2 W^4 & \text{and} & & J_{H,220} &= J_{H_1,13+31} = J_{H_1,44} \end{aligned}$$

$J_{H_1,44}$ is a sum of 5 monomials, since

$$\begin{aligned} 44 &= 1 \cdot 5 + 2 \cdot 6 + 3 \cdot 9 = 1 \cdot 5 + 5 \cdot 6 + 1 \cdot 9 \\ &= 4 \cdot 5 + 1 \cdot 6 + 2 \cdot 9 = 4 \cdot 5 + 4 \cdot 6 + 0 \cdot 9 \\ &= 7 \cdot 5 + 0 \cdot 6 + 1 \cdot 9 \end{aligned}$$

Assume that $H = \langle dH_1, m \rangle$ with $(d, m) = 1$ and $m \notin H_1$. Then H is not a gluing but we have the following criterion for H to be symmetric.

Proposition 3.6. Let $H_1 = \langle n_1, \dots, n_{e-1} \rangle$ is a numerical semigroup with $\text{emb}(H_1) = e - 1$ and let $H = \langle dH_1, m \rangle$ with $(d, m) = 1$ and $m \notin H_1$. Then H is symmetric if and only if $H' = \langle H_1, m \rangle = \langle n_1, \dots, n_{e-1}, m \rangle$ is symmetric.

Proof. Assume H' is symmetric. We will show that $\text{PF}(H) = \{dF(H') + (d-1)m\}$. We denote $\phi = dF(H') + (d-1)m$. Actually, it is easy to show that $\phi \notin H$ and for any $h \in H_+$, $h + \phi \in H$. Conversely, take any $x \in \mathbb{Z}$, $x \notin H$. If $x = dx'$, then $x' \notin H'$ and since H' is symmetric, $F(H') - x' \in H_1$ and hence $\phi - x \in H$. Otherwise, take $s, 0 < s < d$ such that $x \equiv sm \pmod{d}$. Then we can write $x = sm + y$ with $y \in d\mathbb{Z}$ and since $x \notin H$, $y/d \notin H'$. This implies that $\phi - x = (d-1-s)m + d(F(H') - y/d) \in H$.

Conversely, assume that H' is not symmetric. Take $f \neq f' \in \text{PF}(H')$ and put $\phi = (d-1)m + df$, $\phi' = (d-1)m + df'$. Then it is easy to see that $\phi, \phi' \in \text{PF}(H)$, showing that H is not symmetric. \square

Remark 3.7. The analogous statement for "almost symmetric" does not hold ([N], 6.7).

4. DEFINING EQUATIONS OF $k[H]$ WHEN H IS A COMPLETE INTERSECTION.

In this section, we investigate the case when $J_{H, F(H)+n_i}$ is a monomial. First note $J_{H, F(H)+n_i}$ is a monomial if and only if $F(H) + n_i$ has UF, i.e. a unique factorization in H .

We give definition of free numerical semigroup, which is found in [BC] or [RS].

Definition 4.1 (cf [RS, §9.4]). A numerical semigroup $H = \langle n_1, \dots, n_e \rangle$ is free, if, by reordering n_1, \dots, n_e , the following condition is satisfied:

$$n_i/d_{i+1} \in \langle n_1/d_i, \dots, n_{i-1}/d_i \rangle \text{ where } d_i = \gcd(n_1, \dots, n_{i-1}) \text{ for } i = 2, \dots, e.$$

If a numerical semigroup H is free, i.e. satisfies the above condition, then it is completely glued, i.e. I_H is a complete intersection, thus symmetric and we can compute its Frobenius number using

$$F(H) = (d_2/d_3 - 1)n_2 + (d_3/d_4 - 1)n_3 + \dots + (d_e/d_{e+1} - 1)n_e - n_1.$$

Maybe, this formula is the reason to study free numerical semigroups in long time.

Theorem 4.1. Assume that $H = \langle n_1, \dots, n_e \rangle$ is symmetric. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) H is free.
- (2) $J_{F(H)+m}$ is a monomial for some $m \in H \setminus \{0\}$.

Before proving this, we recall Lemma 2 from [W73].

Lemma 4.2. Let $H = \langle n_1, \dots, n_e \rangle$ be a semigroup which is a complete intersection, and let (g_1, \dots, g_{e-1}) be a set of minimal generators of I_H . If \mathfrak{a}_p is an ideal generated by a set of p variables, then there exists at most $p - 1$ g_i 's which belong to \mathfrak{a}_p .

Proof of Theorem 4.1. If H is free, we may assume that H satisfies the condition

$$n_i \in \langle n_1/d_i, \dots, n_{i-1}/d_i \rangle \text{ where } d_i = \gcd(n_1, \dots, n_{i-1}) \text{ for } i = 2, \dots, e.$$

Then H is successively glued and J_{H, n_1} is a monomial by Corollary 3.3.

Conversely, assume that $J_{F(H)+m}$ is a monomial where $m \in H \setminus \{0\}$. We may assume $1 \in \text{supp } m$, i.e. $m - n_1 \in H$. Then $J_{F(H)+n_1}$ is a monomial by Lemma 2.4. Put $J_{F(H)+n_1} = \prod_{i=2}^e X_i^{a_i}$. Then

$$I_H + (x_1) = \text{Ann}_S\left(\prod_{i=2}^e X_i^{a_i}\right) = (x_1) + (x_i^{a_i+1})_{i=2}^e.$$

In particular, I_H is a complete intersection and $I_H = (f_2, \dots, f_e)$, where we put

$$f_i = x_i^{a_i+1} - m_i,$$

where m_i is a monomial of $\{x_j \mid j \neq i\}$ with $x_1 \mid m_i$.

Put $\mathfrak{a}_p = (x_i)_{i > e-p}$ for $p = 1, \dots, e - 1$. By Lemma 4.2, there is l with $f_l \notin \mathfrak{a}_{e-1}$. After reordering the variables, we may assume $l = 2$. Then $m_2 = x_1^{b_{11}}$ for $b_{11} > 0$. Note $a_2 + 1 = n_1/\gcd(n_1, n_2)$. Similarly, we may assume $f_l \notin \mathfrak{a}_{e-l+1}$ for $l = 3, \dots, e-1$. Then $m_l = x_1^{b_{l1}} \dots x_{l-1}^{b_{l(l-1)}}$ where $b_{lj} \geq 0$ for each j and $l = 3, \dots, e-1$. Put $d_l = \gcd(n_1, \dots, n_{l-1})$ for $l = 3, \dots, e+1$. Since $(a_l + 1)n_l = \sum_{j < l} b_{lj}n_j$, d_l/d_{l+1} divides $a_l + 1$ for $l = 3, \dots, e$. Put $a_l + 1 = c_l d_l/d_{l+1}$ for $l = 3, \dots, e$. We have

$$n_1 = (a_2 + 1) \dots (a_e + 1) = (n_1/d_3) \cdot (c_3 d_3/d_4) \dots (c_e d_e/d_{e+1}) = c_3 \dots c_e n_1$$

and $c_3 = \dots = c_e = 1$. Hence $n_l/d_{l+1} \in \langle n_1/d_l, \dots, n_{l-1}/d_l \rangle$ for $l = 2, \dots, e$ and H is free. \square

Remark 4.3. *The implication from (2) to (1) in above is essentially proved in [Et, Proposition 3.5].*

Example 4.4. *Let $H = \langle 4, 6, 5 \rangle$. Then H is free with $F(H) = 7$ and $J_{H,11} = X_2X_3$, $J_{H,12} = X_1^3 + X_3^2$. Hence, even if H is free, $J_{H,F(H)+n_i}$ is not always a monomial.*

Example 4.5. *Let $H = \langle 3, 4, 5 \rangle$. Then $F(H) = 2$ and $J_{H,5} = X_3$, $J_{H,6} = X_1^2$ and $J_{H,7} = X_1X_2$. Hence, every $J_{F(H)+n_i}$ is a monomial. However, H is not symmetric and H is not free. Hence the assumption of the above theorem is necessary.*

Proposition 4.6. *Let $H = \langle n_1, \dots, n_e \rangle$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) I_H is a complete intersection generated by the same degree d .
- (2) $J_{H,F(H)+n_i}$ is a monomial for every i .

In this case, there are pairwise coprime positive numbers $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_e > 1$ with $d = \prod_{i=1}^e \alpha_i$ and $n_j = \prod_{i \neq j} \alpha_i$ for each j .

Proof. Assume that I_H is generated by binomials of the same degree d . Then $\alpha_i n_i = d$ for $i = 1, \dots, e$. And there are exactly e factorizations of d in H . For, if $d = \sum_i a_i n_i$ and if there are $j \neq j'$ with $a_j a_{j'} \neq 0$, then we have $(\alpha_j - a_j) n_j = \sum_{i \neq j} a_i n_i$ and $\alpha_j - a_j < \alpha_j$, a contradiction to the definition of α_j . Hence I_H is a complete intersection. Also,

$$F(H) + n_j = \sum_{i=1}^e (\alpha_i - 1) n_i - (\alpha_j - 1) n_j$$

and $n_j = \prod_{i=1}^e \alpha_i / \alpha_j$. Note that $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_e$ are pairwise coprime. Conversely, if $J_{F(H)+n_i}$ is a monomial for every i , we have

$$I_H + (x_i) = (x_i) + (x_j^{\alpha_j})_{j \neq i}$$

and $F(H) + n_i = \sum_{j \neq i} (\alpha_j - 1) n_j$ for each i , thus $F(H) + \alpha_i n_i = \sum_{j=1}^e (\alpha_j - 1) n_j$ and $\alpha_i n_i = \alpha_j n_j$ for each i, j . This implies

$$I_H = (x_2^{\alpha_2} - x_1^{\alpha_1}, \dots, x_e^{\alpha_e} - x_1^{\alpha_1}).$$

In this case, $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_e$ are pairwise coprime positive numbers greater than one. and $n_j = \prod_{i=1}^e \alpha_i / \alpha_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, e$. \square

Remark 4.7. *If $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_e$ are pairwise coprime positive numbers greater than one, and if we put $n_j = \prod_{i=1}^e \alpha_i / \alpha_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, e$, then $H = \langle n_1, \dots, n_e \rangle$ satisfies the conditions of above theorem.*

5. SYMMETRIC SEMIGROUPS WITH SMALL MULTIPLICITY

Let $H = \langle n_1, \dots, n_e \rangle$ be a *symmetric* semigroup with $\text{emb}(H) = e$ and $m(H) = n_1$. Note that $m(H) \geq e + 1$ if H is symmetric. Then since $\ell_S(S / \text{Ann}_S(J_{H,F(H)+n_1})) = n_1$, if n_1 is small in regards to e , the possibility of $J_{H,F(H)+n_1}$ is very limited and this fact characterizes H . We classify all the possibilities of $J_{H,F(H)+n_1}$ for $n_1 \leq e + 3$. Note that for every $n_i, i \geq 2$, $n_i \leq_H F(H) + n_1$ and hence $J_{H,F(H)+n_1}$ must contains X_i .

Definition 5.1. If $M = \prod_{i=1}^e X_i^{a_i}$ is a monomial in $\mathbb{E} = k[X_1, \dots, X_e]$, we say

$$\text{ord } M = \sum_{i=1}^e a_i$$

and if $J = \sum M_j \in \mathbb{E}$, then

$$\text{ord } J = \max \text{ord } M_j,$$

Example 5.2. Let H be as above with $n_1 = m(H) < n_2 < \dots < n_e$ and we put $J = J_{H, F(H)+n_1}$. If $n_1 \leq e+3$, the possibilities of J is as follows.

- (1) If $n_1 = e+1$ and if $e = 2e' + 1$ is odd, then $J = X_2X_e + X_3X_{e-1} + \dots + X_{e'+1}X_{e'+2}$.
- (2) If $n_1 = e+1$ and if $e = 2e'$ is even, then $J = X_2X_e + \dots + X_{e'}X_{e'+2} + X_{e'+1}^2$.
- (3) If $n_1 = e+2$ and $e = 2e' + 1$ is odd, then $J = X_2^3 + X_3X_e + \dots + X_{e'+1}X_{e'+3} + X_{e'+2}^2$.
- (4) If $n_1 = e+2$ and $e = 2e'$ is even, then $J = X_2^3 + X_3X_e + \dots + X_{e'+1}X_{e'+2}$.
- (5) If $n_1 = e+3$ and $e = 2e' + 1$ is odd, then $J = X_i^2X_j + \sum X_kX_l$ satisfying the conditions;
 - (a) Every X_p appears exactly once; and
 - (b) J is homogeneous of degree $F(H) + e + 3$.
- (6) If $n_1 = e+3$ and $e = 2e'$ is even, then J is either as in (5) or

$$(6b) \quad J = X_2^4 + X_3X_e + \dots + X_{e'+1}X_{e'+2}.$$

Remark 5.3. (1) By Proposition 2.8, there exists a numerical semigroup H satisfying the condition (1), (2), (3), (4) or (6b) in the example.

- (2) If e is odd, then J as (6b) cannot appear, since X_2^4 and X_j^2 cannot appear simultaneously.
- (3) $H = \langle 8, 9, 10, 14, 15 \rangle$ is an example of (5). For, $F(H) = 21$ and $9 + 10 \cdot 2 = 14 + 15$ implies $J_{H, 28} = X_2X_3^2 + X_4X_5$.

Proof. We put $S_1 = S/(x_1)$ and $\mathfrak{m}_1 = (x_2, \dots, x_e)$. We put $Q_J = \text{Ann}_{S_1}(J)$. If $\text{ord } J = s$, then $Q_J \supset \mathfrak{m}_1^{s+1}$ and $\ell_{S_1}((Q_J \cap \mathfrak{m}_1^s)/\mathfrak{m}_1^{s+1}) = 1$ since S_1/Q_J is Gorenstein. Thus we have $n_1 \geq e + (s-1)$.

We denote by $\bar{\mathfrak{m}}_1$ the maximal ideal of S_1/Q_J . Then $\bar{\mathfrak{m}}_1^s \neq 0$ and $\bar{\mathfrak{m}}_1^{s+1} = 0$. If $n_1 = e+2$, then $s = 3$ and since $\ell_{Q_J}(\bar{\mathfrak{m}}_1^2/\bar{\mathfrak{m}}_1^3) = 1$, $J = X_2^3 + \sum M_j$, where $\text{ord } M_j = 2$ for every j . Hence we have (3), (4).

If $n_1 = e+3$, either we have $s = 3$ or $s = 4$. If $s = 3$, then $\dim_k(\bar{\mathfrak{m}}_1^2/\bar{\mathfrak{m}}_1^3) = 2$ and since only 2 monomials of order 2 survives, $M = X_i^2X_j$ is the only possibility of monomial in J with order 3.

If $n_1 = e+3$ and $s = 4$, then since $\dim_k(\bar{\mathfrak{m}}_1^2/\bar{\mathfrak{m}}_1^3) = \dim_k(\bar{\mathfrak{m}}_1^3/\bar{\mathfrak{m}}_1^4) = 1$ generated by the image of J must contain X_2^4 and other monomials are order 2. \square

Corollary 5.4. Let $H = \langle n_1, n_2, \dots, n_e \rangle$ be a symmetric numerical semigroup with $n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_e$.

- (1) ([RS] Proposition 4.10) If $n_1 = e+1$, then $n_2 + n_e = n_3 + n_{e-1} = \dots = F(H) + e + 1$.

(2) If $n_1 = e + 2$, then $3n_2 = n_3 + n_e = n_4 + n_{e-1} = \dots = F(H) + e + 2$

Example 5.5. Consider the case $e = 4$ and $H = \langle n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4 \rangle$. Let $S_1 = S/(x_1) = k[x_2, x_3, x_4]$ be a polynomial ring of 3 variables and $J = J_{H, n_1} \in \mathbf{E}$ which is a sum of monic monomials of X_2, X_3, X_4 and we assume $x_i J$ ($i = 2, 3, 4$) are not 0. Then after a suitable permutation of variables, we have;

- (1) If $\ell_S(S/\text{Ann}_S(J)) = 5$ (i.e. $n_1 = 5$), then $2n_3 = n_2 + n_4$ and $J = X_2 X_4 + X_3^2$.
- (2) If $\ell_S(S/\text{Ann}_S(J)) = 6$, then $J = X_2^3 + X_3 X_4$.
- (3) If $\ell_S(S/\text{Ann}_S(J)) = 7$, then $J = X_2^4 + X_3 X_4$ or $X_2^2 X_3 + X_4^2$ (in this case the numbers n_2, n_3, n_4 need not be an increasing sequence).

6. A PROOF OF BRESINSKY'S THEOREM FOR SYMMETRIC H WITH $e = 4$ USING INVERSE POLYNOMIAL

By direct computation, we have

Lemma 6.1. Let $J = X^{\mathbf{a}} + X^{\mathbf{b}} \in \mathbb{E}$ where $\mathbf{a} = (a_i), \mathbf{b} = (b_i) \in \mathbb{N}^e$ and $e > 0$. Put $p_i = \max\{a_i, b_i\} + 1$ and $q_i = \min\{a_i, b_i\} + 1$ for each i . Then

$$\text{Ann}_S J = (x_i^{p_i})_{i=1, \dots, e} + (x_i^{q_i} x_j^{q_j})_{\substack{a_i < b_i \\ a_j > b_j}} + (x^{\mathbf{a}} - x^{\mathbf{b}}).$$

Hence

- (1) if $e = 2e'$ is even, then $\mu(\text{Ann}_S J) \leq e + e'^2 + 1$ and
- (2) if $e = 2e' + 1$ is odd, then $\mu(\text{Ann}_S J) \leq e + e'(e' + 1)$.

Example 6.2. Let $J = X_1 \cdots X_s + X_{s+1} \cdots X_e$. Then

$$\text{Ann}_S J = (x_1^2, \dots, x_e^2) + (x_i x_j)_{\substack{i=s+1, \dots, e \\ j=1, \dots, s}} + (x_1 \cdots x_s - x_{s+1} \cdots x_e).$$

If $e = 2e' \geq 4$ is even and $s = e'$, then we see $\mu(\text{Ann}_S J) \leq e + e'^2 + 1$ and if $e = 2e' + 1$ and $s = e'$ or $e' + 1$, then we have $\mu(\text{Ann}_S J) \leq e + e'(e' + 1)$

Theorem 6.1. Let H be a numerical semigroup of embedding dimension e . Assume $J_{H, F(H)+n_1} = X^{\mathbf{a}} + X^{\mathbf{b}}$ where $\mathbf{a} = (a_i), \mathbf{b} = (b_i) \in \mathbb{N}^e$ and there are numbers $1 < s < s' < e$ satisfying $a_i = b_i$ if $i = 1, \dots, s$, $a_i > b_i$ if $i = s + 1, \dots, s'$, and $a_i < b_i$ if $i = s' + 1, \dots, e$ (note $a_1 = b_1 = 0$). Then

$$\mu(\text{Ann}_S(J_{H, F(H)+n_1}/(x_1))) \leq e + \frac{(e - s)^2}{4}.$$

If $s' = s + 1$ and $s' < e - 1$, then

$$\mu(\text{Ann}_S(J_{H, F(H)+n_1}/(x_1))) = 2e - s - 2.$$

If $s = e - 2$, then $s' = e - 1$ and

$$\mu(\text{Ann}_S(J_{H, F(H)+n_1}/(x_1))) = 2e - s - 3 = e - 1.$$

In particular, $e = 3$, then ($s = 1$ and) $\text{Ann}_S(J_{H, F(H)+n_1}/(x_1))$ is a complete intersection.

Proof. Note that $\text{Ann}_S J_{H, F(H)+n_1}$ contains x_1 . And

$$\mu(\text{Ann}_S J_{H, F(H)+n_1}/(x_1)) \leq (e-1) + \frac{(e-1)^2}{4} + 1 = e + \frac{(e-1)^2}{4}.$$

From Lemma 6.1, if $s' = s+1$ and $s' < e-1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Ann}_S J_{H, F(H)+n_1}/(x_1) \\ &= (x_2^{a_2+1}, \dots, x_s^{a_s+1}, x_{s+2}^{a_{s+2}+1}, \dots, x_e^{a_e+1}, x_{s+1}^{b_{s+1}} x_{s+2}^{a_{s+2}}, \dots, x_{s+1}^{b_{s+1}} x_e^{a_e}, x^a - x^b) \end{aligned}$$

and, if $s = e-2$ and $s' = e-1$,

$$\text{Ann}_S J_{H, F(H)+n_1}/(x_1) = (x_2^{a_2+1}, \dots, x_{e-2}^{a_{e-2}+1}, x_{e-1}^{b_{e-1}} x_e^{a_e}, x^a - x^b)$$

□

In case of $e = 4$, the following corollary follows from Theorem 6.1.

Corollary 6.3. *If $e = 4$ and if $J_{H, F(H)+n_i}$ is a sum of 2 monomials, then $\text{Ann}_S(J_{H, F(H)+n_i}/(x_1))$ is generated by 3 or 5 elements.*

The following Theorem, combined with Theorem 6.1 gives a proof of Bresinsky's Theorem [Br] that $\mu(I_H) = 3$ or 5 if H is symmetric and $e = 4$.

Theorem 6.2. *If $H = \langle n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4 \rangle$ is symmetric which is not a complete intersection, then for some i , $F(H) + n_i$ has exactly 2 different factorization and hence $J_{H, F(H)+n_i}$ is a sum of 2 monomials.*

Proof. Since H is not a complete intersection, $F(H) + n_i$ does not have UF for each i . By [Br], we may assume $\alpha_i n_i \neq \alpha_{i'} n_{i'}$ if $i \neq i'$. By [Et, Example 4.3], we may assume

$$\alpha_4 n_4 \in \text{Ap}(S, n_1), \quad \alpha_{i-1} n_{i-1} \in \text{Ap}(S, n_i) \text{ for } i = 2, 3, 4,$$

and

$$F(H) + n_1 = (\alpha_2 - 1)n_2 + (\alpha_3 - 1)n_3 + a_{14}n_4$$

$$F(H) + n_2 = a_{21}n_1 + (\alpha_3 - 1)n_3 + (\alpha_4 - 1)n_4$$

$$F(H) + n_3 = (\alpha_1 - 1)n_1 + a_{32}n_2 + (\alpha_4 - 1)n_4$$

$$F(H) + n_4 = (\alpha_1 - 1)n_1 + (\alpha_2 - 1)n_2 + a_{43}n_3,$$

where $0 < a_{14} < \alpha_4$, $0 < a_{(i-1)i} < \alpha_i$ for $i > 1$ (Note that the above equalities follow from an RF-matrix $\text{RF}(S)$ for $F(H)$ in [Et, Example 4.3]). Without loss of generality, we may assume $\alpha_i n_i < \alpha_4 n_4$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Then

$$(\alpha_2 - 1)n_2 + (\alpha_3 - 1)n_3 < 2\alpha_4 n_4.$$

Note $\alpha_i n_i \notin \text{Ap}(H, n_i)$ if $l \neq i+1$ for $i = 2, 3$, since $\alpha_i n_i \neq \alpha_{i'} n_{i'}$ if $i \neq i'$. Thus $\alpha_i n_i \not\leq_H F(H) + n_1$ for $i = 2, 3$. From $F(H) + n_1 - a_{14}n_4 < 2\alpha_4 n_4$, it follows that $F(H) + n_1$ has exactly two factorizations:

$$\begin{aligned} F(H) + n_1 &= (\alpha_2 - 1)n_2 + (\alpha_3 - 1)n_3 + a_{14}n_4 \\ &= a_{32}n_2 + (\alpha_3 - a_{43})n_3 + (\alpha_4 + a_{14})n_4. \end{aligned}$$

□

We give another proof of Brsinsky's lemma, which says $\alpha_i n_i \neq \alpha_{i'} n_{i'}$ if $i \neq i'$. To prove it, we give lemma in [Et].

Lemma 6.4 ([Et, Lemma 4.1]). *Let $H = \langle n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4 \rangle$. Assume that $F(H) + n_i$ does not have UF for any i . Then*

- (1) *for each i , there is j with $\alpha_j n_j \in \text{Ap}(H, n_i)$,*
- (2) *if $\alpha_j n_j, \alpha_{j'} n_{j'} \in \text{Ap}(H, n_i)$, then $\alpha_j n_j = \alpha_{j'} n_{j'}$,*
- (3) *for each i , the number of j satisfying $\alpha_j n_j \in \text{Ap}(H, n_i)$ is at most two,*
- (4) *Assume $\alpha_j n_j \in \text{Ap}(H, n_i)$. Then there exists a unique factorization of $F(H) + n_i = \sum_{l \neq i} \mu_l n_l$ under the conditions $\mu_l \geq 0$ for each l and $\mu_j < \alpha_j$.*
- (5) *by suitable change of the order of n_1, \dots, n_4 , we have two cases:*
 - (nUF1) $\alpha_4 n_4 \in \text{Ap}(H, n_1)$, $\alpha_i n_i \in \text{Ap}(H, n_{i+1})$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$,
 - (nUF2) $\alpha_j n_j \in \text{Ap}(H, n_i)$ for $\{i, j\} = \{1, 4\}$ or $\{2, 3\}$.

Lemma 6.5 ([Br, Lemma 2]). *If $H = \langle n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4 \rangle$ is symmetric and not a complete intersection, then $\alpha_i n_i \neq \alpha_{i'} n_{i'}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 6.4 (5), we may assume $\alpha_4 n_4 \in \text{Ap}(H, n_1)$. Assume $\alpha_1 n_1 = \alpha_2 n_2$ and $\alpha_3 n_3 = \alpha_4 n_4$. Since H is symmetric and since $(\alpha_2 - 1)n_2 \in \text{Ap}(H, n_1)$, we have an factorization

$$F(H) + n_1 = (\alpha_2 - 1)n_2 + \mu_3 n_3 + \mu_4 n_4,$$

where $\mu_3 \geq 0$ and $0 \leq \mu_4 < \alpha_4$ by Lemma 6.4 (4). From $\alpha_3 n_3 = \alpha_4 n_4$, we $\mu_3 \geq \alpha_3$.

Put $d_{12} = \gcd(n_1, n_2)$ and $d_{34} = \gcd(n_3, n_4)$. Suppose $d_{12} d_{34} \notin \mathbb{N}n_1 + \mathbb{N}n_2$. Since $d_{12} d_{34} \in \mathbb{Z}n_1 + \mathbb{Z}n_2$, there are $\nu_1, \nu_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying $d_{12} d_{34} = \nu_1 n_1 - \nu_2 n_2$, and $0 < \nu_i < \alpha_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. If $d_{12} d_{34} \in \mathbb{N}n_3 + \mathbb{N}n_4$, then $\nu_1 n_1 \in \mathbb{N}n_2 + \mathbb{N}n_3 + \mathbb{N}n_4$, this contradicts to $\nu_1 < \alpha_1$. If $d_{12} d_{34} \notin \mathbb{N}n_3 + \mathbb{N}n_4$, then we also have an expression $d_{12} d_{34} = \nu_3 n_3 - \nu_4 n_4$ with $0 < \nu_i < \alpha_i$ for $i = 3, 4$. Then $\nu_2 n_2 + \nu_3 n_3 \notin \text{Ap}(H, n_1)$ and this contradicts to $(\alpha_2 - 1)n_2 + \alpha_3 n_3 \in \text{Ap}(H, n_1)$. Therefore we obtain $d_{12} d_{34} \in \mathbb{N}n_1 + \mathbb{N}n_2$. Similarly, $d_{12} d_{34} \in \mathbb{N}n_3 + \mathbb{N}n_4$. Hence H is glued by $\langle n_1/d_{12}, n_2/d_{12} \rangle$ and $\langle n_3/d_{34}, n_4/d_{34} \rangle$, hence $I(H)$ is a complete intersection.

Assume $\alpha_1 n_1 \neq \alpha_2 n_2$ and $\alpha_3 n_3 = \alpha_4 n_4$. Then we may assume $\alpha_2 n_2 \in \text{Ap}(H, n_3)$ and $\alpha_1 n_1 \in \text{Ap}(H, n_4)$. Thus $\alpha_1 n_1 \notin \text{Ap}(H, n_3)$ and $\alpha_2 n_2 \notin \text{Ap}(H, n_4)$. By Lemma 6.4 (4),

$$\begin{aligned} F(H) + n_3 &= (\alpha_1 - 1)n_1 + \mu_2 n_2 + (\alpha_4 - 1)n_4 \\ F(H) + n_4 &= \mu_1 n_1 + (\alpha_2 - 1)n_2 + (\alpha_3 - 1)n_3, \end{aligned}$$

where $0 \leq \mu_i < \alpha_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then

$$(\alpha_1 - 1 - \mu_1)n_1 = (\alpha_2 - 1 - \mu_2)n_2$$

and $\mu_i = \alpha_i - 1$ for $i = 1, 2$. We also have factorizations

$$\alpha_1 n_1 = \nu_2 n_2 + \nu_3 n_3, \quad \alpha_2 n_2 = \nu_1 n_1 + \nu_4 n_4,$$

where $0 < \nu_i < \alpha_i$ for each i and

$$(\alpha_1 - \nu_1)n_1 + (\alpha_2 - \nu_2)n_2 = \nu_3 n_3 + \nu_4 n_4 \notin \text{Ap}(H, n_i)$$

for $i = 3, 4$. Hence

$$(\alpha_1 - 1)n_1 + (\alpha_2 - 1)n_2 \notin \text{Ap}(H, n_3)$$

and this contradicts to $(\alpha_1 - 1)n_1 + (\alpha_2 - 1)n_2 \leq_H F(H) + n_3 \in \text{Ap}(H, n_3)$. Therefore, this case is impossible. By Lemma 6.4 (3), we conclude $\alpha_i n_i \neq \alpha_{i'} n_{i'}$ if $i \neq i'$. \square

7. INVERSE POLYNOMIAL OF SYMMETRIC SEMIGROUPS GENERATED BY 4 ELEMENTS.

In this section, let $H = \langle n_1, \dots, n_4 \rangle$ be a **symmetric** numerical semigroup generated by 4 elements, **which is not a complete intersection**. We will determine the shape of $J_{H, F(H)+n_i}$ for such H . For that purpose, we recall the structure of such H and $k[H]$.

Theorem 7.1. ([Br], [BFS], [W20]) *If $H = \langle n_1, \dots, n_4 \rangle$ is symmetric and not a complete intersection, then $I_H = (f_1, \dots, f_5)$ and we can choose a minimal free resolution of $k[H]$ over S*

$$F_\bullet = [0 \rightarrow F_3 \rightarrow F_2 \xrightarrow{d_2} F_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} F_0 = S \rightarrow k[H] \rightarrow 0]$$

so that we have the following properties;

- (1) The map d_2 is given by the following skew-symmetric matrix;

$$\mathbb{M} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -x_3^{\alpha_{43}} & 0 & -x_2^{\alpha_{32}} & -x_4^{\alpha_{24}} \\ x_3^{\alpha_{43}} & 0 & x_4^{\alpha_{14}} & 0 & -x_1^{\alpha_{31}} \\ 0 & -x_4^{\alpha_{14}} & 0 & -x_1^{\alpha_{21}} & -x_2^{\alpha_{42}} \\ x_2^{\alpha_{32}} & 0 & x_1^{\alpha_{21}} & 0 & -x_3^{\alpha_{13}} \\ x_4^{\alpha_{24}} & x_1^{\alpha_{31}} & x_2^{\alpha_{42}} & x_3^{\alpha_{13}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- (2) If α_i ($1 \leq i \leq 4$) is as is defined in 1.2 (4), then we have

$$\alpha_1 = \alpha_{21} + \alpha_{31}, \alpha_2 = \alpha_{32} + \alpha_{42}, \alpha_3 = \alpha_{13} + \alpha_{43}, \alpha_4 = \alpha_{24} + \alpha_{14},$$

where every α_{ij} is a positive integer.

- (3) f_i is the Paffian of the skew-symmetric 4×4 matrix $\mathbb{M}(i)$ obtained by deleting i -th row and i -th column of \mathbb{M} . Hence we have;

$$f_1 = x_1^{\alpha_1} - x_3^{\alpha_{13}} x_4^{\alpha_{14}}, f_2 = x_2^{\alpha_2} - x_4^{\alpha_{24}} x_1^{\alpha_{21}}, f_3 = x_3^{\alpha_3} - x_1^{\alpha_{31}} x_2^{\alpha_{32}},$$

$$f_4 = x_4^{\alpha_4} - x_2^{\alpha_{42}} x_3^{\alpha_{43}}, f_5 = x_1^{\alpha_{21}} x_3^{\alpha_{43}} - x_2^{\alpha_{32}} x_4^{\alpha_{14}}.$$

- (4) Since $\dim_k S/(I_H + (x_i)) = n_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq 4$, we have

- (5) Putting $N = \sum_{i=1}^4 n_i$, since $F_3 \cong S(-F(H) - N)$, if (i, j) entry $\mathbb{M}_{i,j}$ is not 0, then we have

$$F(H) + N = \deg \mathbb{M}_{i,j} + \deg f_i + \deg f_j.$$

- (6) If we choose expressions of $F(H) + N$ which does not contain, say, n_1 from (5), putting $(i, j) = (1, 2)$ (resp. $(1, 4)$), we have

$$\begin{aligned} F(H) + n_1 &= (\alpha_2 - 1)n_2 + (\alpha_3 - 1)n_3 + (\alpha_{14} - 1)n_4 \\ &= (\alpha_{32} - 1)n_2 + (\alpha_{13} - 1)n_3 + (\alpha_4 + \alpha_{14} - 1)n_4. \end{aligned}$$

(7) If the monomial $X_2^{\alpha_{42}} X_3^{\alpha_{43}}$ does not divide $X_2^{\alpha_{32}-1} X_3^{\alpha_{13}-1}$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_{F(H)+n_1} &= X_2^{\alpha_2-1} X_3^{\alpha_3-1} X_4^{\alpha_4-1} + X_2^{\alpha_{32}-1} X_3^{\alpha_{13}-1} X_4^{\alpha_4+\alpha_{14}-1} \\ &= X_2^{\alpha_{32}-1} X_3^{\alpha_{13}-1} X_4^{\alpha_4-1} (X_4^{\alpha_4} + X_2^{\alpha_{42}} X_3^{\alpha_{43}}). \end{aligned}$$

Otherwise we have

$$J_{F(H)+n_1} = \sum_{k \geq 0, \alpha_{32}-1-k\alpha_{42} \geq 0, \alpha_{13}-1-k\alpha_{43} \geq 0} X_2^{\alpha_{32}-1-k\alpha_{42}} X_3^{\alpha_{13}-1-k\alpha_{43}} X_4^{(k+1)\alpha_4}.$$

In fact, if $F(H) + n_1 = \sum_{i=2}^4 \beta_i n_i$ is another factorization of $F(H) + n_1$ other than the ones shown in (6), we must have $x_2^{\alpha_2-1} x_3^{\alpha_3-1} x_4^{\alpha_4-1} - x_2^{\beta_2} x_3^{\beta_3} x_4^{\beta_4} \in I_H$ and hence $x_2^{\alpha_2-1} x_3^{\alpha_3-1} x_4^{\alpha_4-1} - x_2^{\beta_2} x_3^{\beta_3} x_4^{\beta_4}$ must be divisible by $x_4^{\alpha_4} - x_2^{\alpha_{42}} x_3^{\alpha_{43}}$.

Example 7.1. (1) If $H = \langle n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4 \rangle = \langle 41, 99, 70, 53 \rangle$, then $F(H) = 1019$ and $f_1 = x_1^3 - x_3 x_4$, $f_2 = x_2^{11} - x_1^2 x_4^{19}$, $f_3 = x_3^2 - x_1 x_2$, $f_4 = x_4^{20} - x_2^{10} x_3$, $f_5 = x_1^2 x_3 - x_2 x_4$ and we have

$$\alpha_1 = 3, \alpha_{21} = 2, \alpha_{31} = 1; \alpha_2 = 11, \alpha_{32} = 1, \alpha_{42} = 10,$$

$$\alpha_3 = 2, \alpha_{13} = 1, \alpha_{43} = 1; \alpha_4 = 20, \alpha_{24} = 19, \alpha_{14} = 1.$$

Thus we have from 7.1 (5),

$$F(H) + n_1 = 10n_2 + n_3 = 20n_4.$$

and since these expressions are only representations of $F(H) + n_1$, we have $J_{H, F(H)+n_1} = X_4^{20} + X_2^{10} X_3$.

(2) If $H = \langle n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4 \rangle = \langle 43, 20, 27, 37 \rangle$, then $F(H) = 179$ and $f_1 = x_1^4 - x_5 x_4$, $f_2 = x_2^4 - x_1 x_4$, $f_3 = x_3^7 - x_1^3 x_2^3$, $f_4 = x_4^2 - x_2 x_3^2$, $f_5 = x_1 x_3^2 - x_2^3 x_4$ and we have

$$\alpha_1 = 4, \alpha_{21} = 1, \alpha_{31} = 3; \alpha_2 = 4, \alpha_{32} = 3, \alpha_{42} = 1,$$

$$\alpha_3 = 7, \alpha_{13} = 5, \alpha_{43} = 2; \alpha_4 = 2, \alpha_{24} = 1, \alpha_{14} = 1.$$

Thus we have from 7.1 (5),

$$F(H) + n_1 = 3n_2 + 6n_3 = 2n_2 + 4n_3 + 2n_4.$$

These factorizations give inverse polynomial

$$X_2^2 X_3^4 (X_4^2 + X_2 X_3^2).$$

Since $X_2 X_3^2$ divides $X_2^2 X_3^4$, we have

$$J_{H, F(H)+n_1} = \sum_{k=0}^3 (X_2 X_3^2)^k X_4^{2(3-k)}.$$

If we put $J = X_2^2 X_3^4 (X_4^2 + X_2 X_3^2)$, $\text{Ann}_S(J)$ is $I_H + (x_4^3)$ and $\dim_k(S/\text{Ann}_S(J)) = 31$.

REFERENCES

- [BF] V. Barucci, R. Fröberg, *One-dimensional almost Gorenstein rings*, J. Algebra, **188** (1997), 418-442.
- [BFS] V. Barucci, R. Fröberg, M. Şahin, On free resolutions of some semigroup rings, J. Pure Appl. Alg., **218** (2014), 1107-1116.
- [BC] J. Bertin and P. Carbonne, *Semi-groupes d'entiers et application aux branches*, J. Algebra **49** (1977), 81-95.
- [Br] H. Bresinsky, Symmetric semigroups of integers generated by 4 elements, Manuscripta Math. **17** (1975), 205-219.
- [Del] C. Delorme, Sous-monoïdes d'intersection complète de \mathbb{N} , Annales scientifiques de l'École Normale Supérieure, Série 4, Tome 9 (1976) no. 1, p. 145-154.
- [DGM] M. Delgado, P.A. García-Sánchez, J. Morais, NumericalSgps - a GAP package, 0.95, 2006, <http://www.gap-system.org/Packages/numericalsgps>.
- [Et] K. Eto, Almost Gorenstein monomial curves in affine four space, J. Algebra **488** (2017), 362-387.
- [GW] S. Goto, K. Watanabe, *On graded rings, I*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **30** (1978), 172-213.
- [H] J. Herzog, *Generators and relations of abelian semigroups and semigroup rings*, Manuscripta Math. **3** (1970), 175-193.
- [He] J. Herzog, Generators and relations of abelian semigroups and semigroup rings, Manuscripta Math. **3** (1970), 175-193.
- [Ko] J. Komeda, *On the existence of weierstrass points with a certain semigroup generated by 4 elements*, Tsukuba J. Math Vol.6 No.2 (1982), 237-270.
- [M] F. S. Macaulay, *The Algebraic Theory of Modular Systems*, Cambridge University (1916).
- [Ku] E. Kunz, *The value-semigroup of a one-dimensional Gorenstein ring*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **25** (1970) 748 - 751.
- [Mo] A. Moscariello, On the type of an almost Gorenstein monomial curve,
- [N] H. Nari, *Symmetries on almost symmetric numerical semigroups*, Semigroup Forum, **86** (2013), 140 - 154.
- [NNW] H. Nari, T. Numata, K.-i. Watanabe, *Genus of numerical semigroups generated by three elements*, J. Algebra, **358** (2012), 67-73.
- [RS] J. C. Rosales and P. A. García-Sánchez, *Numerical semigroups*, Springer, New York, 2009.
- [W73] K. Watanabe, *Some examples of one dimensional Gorenstein domains*, Nagoya Math. J. **49** (1973), 101-109.
- [W20] K. Watanabe, *A short proof of Bresinsky's Theorem on Gorenstein semigroup rings generated by 4 elements*, in Numerical Semigroups: IMNS 2018, Springer INdAM Series book series (SINDAMS, **40**), 2020, 369-373; DOI: 10.1007/978-3-030-40822-0_21; arXiv 1804.10330.

(Kazufumi Eto) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NIPPON INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, MIYASHIRO, SAITAMA, JAPAN 345-8501

Email address: `etou@nit.ac.jp`

(Kei-ichi Watanabe) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF HUMANITIES AND SCIENCES, NIHON UNIVERSITY, SETAGAYA-KU, TOKYO, 156-8550, JAPAN AND ORGANIZATION FOR THE STRATEGIC COORDINATION OF RESEARCH AND INTELLECTUAL PROPERTIES, MEIJI UNIVERSITY

Email address: `watanabe@math.chs.nihon-u.ac.jp`