

ON THE CIRCULAR NUMERICAL RANGE OF 5-BY-5 PARTIAL ISOMETRIES

MEHDI NAIMI¹, MOHAMMED BENHARRAT^{2*}

ABSTRACT. We prove, in some cases in term of kippenhahn curve, that if 5-by-5 partial isometry whose numerical range is a circular disc then its center is must be the origin. This gives a partial affirmative answer of the Conjecture 5.1. of [H. I. Gau et al., Linear and Multilinear Algebra, 64 (1) 2016, 14–35.], for the five dimensional case.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let A be an $n \times n$ complex matrix, its numerical range $W(A)$ is, by definition the set of complex numbers

$$W(A) = \{\langle Ax, x \rangle : x \in \mathbb{C}^n, \|x\| = 1\}.$$

It is well known that $W(A)$ is a nonempty compact convex subset of \mathbb{C} , which contains all the eigenvalues of A and therefore its convex hull. The matrix A is said to be a partial isometry if it is isometric on the orthogonal complement of the kernel of A , $\text{Ker}(A)$. Assume that A is a partial isometry whose numerical range $W(A)$ is a circular disc. The question is whether the centre of $W(A)$ must be the origin. Gau et al. [6], gave an affirmative response in case the dimension of the underlying space is at most 4, as follows

Theorem 1.1. [6, Theorem 2.1] *If A is an n -by- n ($n \leq 4$) partial isometry with $W(A) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z - a| \leq r\}$, ($r > 0$). then $a = 0$.*

Also have conjectured that theorem remains valid if A is is an n -by- n partial isometry, see [6, Conjecture 5.1.].

We follow the same procedure as in [6], we give an affirmative answer for this conjecture for 5-by-5 partial isometry in some cases in term of kippenhahn curve, to be more specific,

Theorem 1.2. *Let A be a 5×5 partial isometry matrix $W(A) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z - a| \leq r\}$, ($r > 0$). if the kippenhahn curve $C_R(A)$ have one of the following shape*

- (i) $C_R(A)$ consist of three point and an elliptic disc.
- (ii) $C_R(A)$ consist of two elliptic disc and a point.
- (iii) $C_R(A)$ consist of a curve of degree 4 with double tangent and an elliptic disc.

then $a = 0$.

Date: 21/12/2020.

* Corresponding author

This work was supported by the Algerian research project: PRFU, no. C00L03ES310120180002.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 15A60, 14H52.

Key words and phrases. Numerical range, Partial isometric, Kippenhahn curve.

Two successful approaches to establishing this result are a canonical decomposition of $n \times n$ partial isometry matrix and the Kippenhahn's result for the numerical range of $n \times n$ matrix.

It well known that the numerical range of an $n \times n$ matrix A is completely determined by its Kippenhahn polynomial $p_A(x, y, z) = \det(x\Re(A) + y\Im(A) + zI_n)$, where $\Re(A) = (A + A^*)/2$ and $\Im(A) = (A - A^*)/2i$ are the real and the imaginary part of A , respectively, and I_n denotes the $n \times n$ identity matrix. Let $C(A)$ be the dual of the algebraic curve defined to be the zero set of $p_A(x, y, z) = 0$, on the complex projective plane $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$, which consists of all equivalence classes of points in $\mathbb{C}^3 \setminus (0,0,0)$ under the equivalence relation \sim , this relation is defined by $(x, y, z) \sim (x', y', z')$ if and only if there is a nonzero $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $(x, y, z) = \lambda(x', y', z')$. Kippenhahn showed that $W(A)$ is the convex hull of the real points of $C(A)$, see [9] and its English translation [10] for a detailed discussion of the connections between the polynomial P_A , and the numerical range of A . This characterization is used by many authors to answer the question when the numerical range of a matrix is an elliptic disc. For 2×2 matrices a complete description of the numerical range is well known, that is $W(A)$ elliptic disk (with possibly degenerate interior), see [7]. In [9] Kippenhahn showed that there are four classes of shapes which the numerical range of a 3×3 matrices A can assume. This was improved in [8] by expressing the conditions in terms of the eigenvalues and entries of A , which are easier to apply. By the same procedure, these results are gernalized for 4×4 matrices. Let us mention here, that numerous results are known in this direction only for some special classes of matrices, as it happens, for partial isomertry, nilpotent, doubly stochastic matrices (etc...), see [12], [11], [1]. But no unifying and general theory is yet available.

In this paper, firstly, with a similar approach to that used in [3] and [2], we will give necessary and sufficient condition for 5×5 matrix A to have an elliptical disc in her real Kippenhahn curve $C_R(A)$. We also express those conditions in terms of eigenvalues and entries of A . All these conditions will be useful to construct a 5×5 matrix with an elliptic numerical range (Section 2.). Secondly, we establish the result of Theorem 1.2 in the special case of S_5 -matrices (A is S_n -matrix if A is a contraction, the eigenvalues of A are all in the open unit disc \mathbb{D} and $rank(I_n - A^*A) = 1$) (Section 3.). Finally, using the results of the two preceding section, we give the proof of Theorem 1.2 (Section 4.).

2. NECESSARY AND SUFFICIENT CONDITIONS FOR 5×5 MATRIX TO HAVE AN ELLIPTICAL DISC IN ITS REAL KIPPENHAHN CURVE

Let A be a 5×5 complex matrix. Here we give necessary and sufficient conditions for which the associated curve $C_R(A)$ contains an elliptic disc or discs. It well known that, by Schur's theorem, every square matrix is unitarily equivalent to an upper triangular matrix. So without loss of generality we can assume that

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} & a_{15} \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & a_{23} & a_{24} & a_{25} \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_3 & a_{34} & a_{35} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda_4 & a_{45} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda_5 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (2.1)$$

and $\lambda_i = \alpha_i + i\beta_i$, where α_j and β_j are real for $j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$.

Then, we have

$$P_A(x, y, z) = \det(x\Re(A) + y\Im(A) + zI_5) = \begin{vmatrix} \alpha_1 x + \beta_1 y + z & \frac{a_{12}}{2}(x - iy) & \frac{a_{13}}{2}(x - iy) & \frac{a_{14}}{2}(x - iy) & \frac{a_{15}}{2}(x - iy) \\ (x + iy)\frac{\overline{a_{12}}}{2} & \alpha_2 x + \beta_2 y + z & \frac{a_{23}}{2}(x - iy) & \frac{a_{24}}{2}(x - iy) & \frac{a_{25}}{2}(x - iy) \\ (x + iy)\frac{\overline{a_{13}}}{2} & (x + iy)\frac{\overline{a_{23}}}{2} & \alpha_3 x + \beta_3 y + z & \frac{a_{34}}{2}(x - iy) & \frac{a_{35}}{2}(x - iy) \\ (x + iy)\frac{\overline{a_{14}}}{2} & (x + iy)\frac{\overline{a_{24}}}{2} & (x + iy)\frac{\overline{a_{34}}}{2} & \alpha_4 x + \beta_4 y + z & \frac{a_{45}}{2}(x - iy) \\ (x + iy)\frac{\overline{a_{15}}}{2} & (x + iy)\frac{\overline{a_{25}}}{2} & (x + iy)\frac{\overline{a_{35}}}{2} & (x + iy)\frac{\overline{a_{45}}}{2} & \alpha_5 x + \beta_5 y + z \end{vmatrix}$$

By straightforward calculus, we obtain

$$P_A(x, y, z) = \prod_{i=1}^5 (\alpha_i x + \beta_i y + z) - \frac{x^2 + y^2}{4} Q(x, y, z), \quad (2.2)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Q(x, y, z) &= \sum_{S_{ijk} S_{lm}} |a_{lm}|^2 (\alpha_i x + \beta_i y + z) (\alpha_j x + \beta_j y + z) (\alpha_k x + \beta_k y + z) \\ &- \sum_{S_{ij} S_{klm}} [x\Re(a_{kl}\overline{a_{lm}}\overline{a_{km}}) + y\Im(a_{kl}a_{lm}\overline{a_{km}})] (\alpha_i x + \beta_i y + z) (\alpha_j x + \beta_j y + z) \\ &- \frac{x^2 + y^2}{4} \sum_{i=1}^5 (\alpha_i x + \beta_i y + z) P_i + \sum_{S_i S_{jklm}} (\alpha_i x + \beta_i y + z) \left[\frac{x^2 - y^2}{2} \Re(a_{jk}a_{kl}a_{lm}\overline{a_{jm}}) \right. \\ &+ xy\Im(a_{jk}a_{kl}a_{lm}\overline{a_{jm}})] + \frac{x^2 + y^2}{4} \sum_{S_{ij} S_{klm}} [x\Re(a_{kl}a_{lm}\overline{a_{km}}) + y\Im(a_{kl}a_{lm}\overline{a_{km}})] |a_{ij}|^2 \\ &- \frac{x^2 + y^2}{4} \sum_{S_{ijkl} S_{iml}} [x\Re(a_{ij}a_{jk}a_{kl}\overline{a_{im}}\overline{a_{ml}}) + y\Im(a_{ij}a_{jk}a_{kl}\overline{a_{im}}\overline{a_{ml}})] \\ &- \frac{x^2 + y^2}{4} \sum_{S_{ijk} S_{lm} S_{im} S_{lk}} [x\Re(a_{ij}a_{jk}a_{lm}\overline{a_{im}}\overline{a_{lk}}) + y\Im(a_{ij}a_{jk}a_{lm}\overline{a_{im}}\overline{a_{lk}})] \\ &- \frac{1}{4} [(x^3 - 3xy^2)\Re(a_{12}a_{23}a_{34}a_{45}\overline{a_{15}}) + (-y^3 + 3yx^2)\Im(a_{12}a_{23}a_{34}a_{45}\overline{a_{15}})]. \end{aligned}$$

With $S_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n}$, for some $n \leq 5$, denotes the collection of all n -tuples (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n) of natural numbers such that $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_n$ and

$$P_i = \sum_{S_{jkm} S_{lm}} |a_{jk}|^2 |a_{lm}|^2 - \sum_{S_{jkl} S_{jml}} \Re(a_{jk}a_{kl}\overline{a_{jm}}\overline{a_{ml}}) - \sum_{S_{jk} S_{lkm}} \Re(a_{jk}a_{lm}\overline{a_{jm}}\overline{a_{lk}})$$

for every $i = 1, \dots, 5$. The summation is taken with two by two different indexes witch means that $i \neq j \neq k \neq l \neq m$.

We begin by the following Lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *Let A be a 5×5 matrix. Then the Kippenhahn curve $C_R(A)$ consists of two ellipses, one with foci λ_1, λ_2 and minor axis of length r , the other with foci λ_3, λ_4 and minor axis of length s , and λ_5 if and only if*

$P_A(x, y, z) = [(\alpha_1x + \beta_1y + z)(\alpha_2x + \beta_2y + z) - \frac{r^2}{4}(x^2 + y^2)][(\alpha_3x + \beta_3y + z)(\alpha_4x + \beta_4y + z) - \frac{s^2}{4}(x^2 + y^2)](\alpha_5x + \beta_5y + z)$,
 where $\lambda_j = \alpha_j + i\beta_j, j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ and the α'_j 's and β'_j 's are real.

Proof. Let $B = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & r \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{bmatrix} \oplus \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_3 & s \\ 0 & \lambda_4 \end{bmatrix} \oplus \lambda_5$. As $C_R(A) = C_R(B)$, by duality the polynomials P_A and P_B have to be the same, therefore

$$P_A(x, y, z) = [(\alpha_1x + \beta_1y + z)(\alpha_2x + \beta_2y + z) - \frac{r^2(x^2+y^2)}{4}][(\alpha_3x + \beta_3y + z)(\alpha_4x + \beta_4y + z) - \frac{s^2(x^2+y^2)}{4}](\alpha_5x + \beta_5y + z).$$

The converse is clear. \square

With the above lemma, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2.2. *Let's A be in upper-triangular form (2.1), Then the Kippenhahn curve $C_R(A)$ consists of two ellipses, one with foci λ_p, λ_q and minor axis of length r , the other with foci λ_t, λ_v and minor axis of length s , and a point λ_w if and only if*

$$\begin{aligned} (a) \quad & r^2 + s^2 = \sum_{S_{lm}} |a_{lm}|^2. \\ (b) \quad & r^2(\lambda_w + \lambda_t + \lambda_v) + s^2(\lambda_w + \lambda_q + \lambda_p) \\ & = \sum_{S_{ijk}S_{lm}} |a_{lm}|^2(\lambda_i + \lambda_j + \lambda_k) - \sum_{S_{klm}} a_{kl}a_{lm}\overline{a_{km}}. \\ (c) \quad & r^2(\lambda_w\lambda_v + \lambda_w\lambda_t + \lambda_t\lambda_v) + s^2(\lambda_w\lambda_p + \lambda_w\lambda_q + \lambda_p\lambda_q) \\ & = \sum_{S_{ijk}S_{lm}} |a_{lm}|^2(\lambda_i\lambda_j + \lambda_i\lambda_k + \lambda_j\lambda_k) - \sum_{S_{ij}S_{klm}} (\lambda_i + \lambda_j)a_{kl}a_{lm}\overline{a_{km}} + \sum_{S_{jklm}} a_{jk}a_{kl}a_{lm}\overline{a_{jm}}. \\ (d) \quad & r^2\lambda_w\lambda_t\lambda_v + s^2\lambda_w\lambda_p\lambda_q \\ & = \sum_{S_{ijk}S_{lm}} |a_{lm}|^2\lambda_i\lambda_j\lambda_k - \sum_{S_{ij}S_{klm}} \lambda_i\lambda_j a_{kl}a_{lm}\overline{a_{km}} + \sum_{S_iS_{jklm}} a_{jk}a_{kl}a_{lm}\overline{a_{jm}}\lambda_i \\ & \quad - a_{12}a_{23}a_{34}a_{45}\overline{a_{15}}. \\ (e) \quad & r^2\alpha_w\alpha_t\alpha_v + s^2\alpha_w\alpha_p\alpha_q - \frac{r^2s^2}{4}\alpha_w \\ & = \sum_{S_{ijk}S_{lm}} |a_{lm}|^2\alpha_i\alpha_j\alpha_k - \sum_{S_{ij}S_{klm}} \Re(a_{kl}a_{lm}\overline{a_{km}})\alpha_i\alpha_j - \frac{1}{4}\sum_{i=1}^5 P_i\alpha_i + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{S_iS_{jklm}} \Re(a_{jk}a_{kl}a_{lm}\overline{a_{jm}})\alpha_i \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{4}\sum_{S_{ij}S_{klm}} \Re(a_{kl}a_{lm}\overline{a_{km}})|a_{ij}|^2 - \frac{1}{4}\sum_{S_{ijkl}S_{iml}} \Re(a_{ij}a_{jk}a_{kl}\overline{a_{im}a_{ml}}) \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{4}\sum_{S_{ijk}S_{lm}S_{im}S_{lk}} \Re(a_{ij}a_{jk}a_{lm}\overline{a_{im}a_{lk}}) - \frac{1}{4}\Re(a_{12}a_{23}a_{34}a_{45}\overline{a_{15}}). \\ (f) \quad & r^2\beta_w\beta_t\beta_v + s^2\beta_w\beta_p\beta_q - \frac{r^2s^2}{4}\beta_w \\ & = \sum_{S_{ijk}S_{lm}} |a_{lm}|^2\beta_i\beta_j\beta_k - \sum_{S_{ij}S_{klm}} \Im(a_{kl}a_{lm}\overline{a_{km}})\beta_i\beta_j - \frac{1}{4}\sum_{i=1}^5 P_i\beta_i - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{S_iS_{jklm}} \Re(a_{jk}a_{kl}a_{lm}\overline{a_{jm}})\beta_i \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{4}\sum_{S_{ij}S_{klm}} \Im(a_{kl}a_{lm}\overline{a_{km}})|a_{ij}|^2 - \frac{1}{4}\sum_{S_{ijkl}S_{iml}} \Im(a_{ij}a_{jk}a_{kl}\overline{a_{im}a_{ml}}) \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{4}\sum_{S_{ijk}S_{lm}S_{im}S_{lk}} \Im(a_{ij}a_{jk}a_{lm}\overline{a_{im}a_{lk}}) + \frac{1}{4}\Im(a_{12}a_{23}a_{34}a_{45}\overline{a_{15}}). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(g) \quad & r^2(\alpha_w\alpha_t + \alpha_w\alpha_v + \alpha_v\alpha_t) + s^2(\alpha_w\alpha_p + \alpha_w\alpha_q + \alpha_p\alpha_q) - \frac{r^2s^2}{4} \\
& = \sum_{S_{ijk}S_{lm}} |a_{lm}|^2(\alpha_i\alpha_j + \alpha_i\alpha_k + \alpha_j\alpha_k) - \sum_{S_{ij}S_{klm}} \Re(a_{kl}a_{lm}\overline{a_{km}})(\alpha_i + \alpha_j) \\
& \quad - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^5 P_i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{S_{jklm}} \Re(a_{jk}a_{kl}a_{lm}\overline{a_{jm}}).
\end{aligned}$$

where $P_i = \sum_{S_{jkm}S_{lm}} |a_{jk}|^2|a_{lm}|^2 - \sum_{S_{jkl}S_{jml}} \Re(a_{jk}a_{kl}\overline{a_{jm}a_{ml}}) - \sum_{S_{jk}S_{lkm}} \Re(a_{jk}a_{lm}\overline{a_{jm}a_{lk}})$.
for every $i = 1, \dots, 5$.

Proof. By lemma 2.1 we get

$$\begin{aligned}
P_A(x, y, z) &= (\alpha_w x + \beta_w y + z)(\alpha_p x + \beta_p y + z)(\alpha_q x + \beta_q y + z)(\alpha_t x + \beta_t y + z)(\alpha_v x + \beta_v y + z) \\
&\quad - \frac{x^2 + y^2}{4} [r^2(\alpha_w x + \beta_w y + z)(\alpha_t x + \beta_t y + z)(\alpha_v x + \beta_v y + z) \\
&\quad + s^2(\alpha_w x + \beta_w y + z)(\alpha_p x + \beta_p y + z)(\alpha_q x + \beta_q y + z) \\
&\quad - \frac{x^2 + y^2}{4} r^2 s^2 (\alpha_w x + \beta_w y + z)].
\end{aligned}$$

Comparing the previous formula of $P_A(x, y, z)$ with (2.2). We find

$$\begin{aligned}
Q(x, y, z) &= r^2(\alpha_w x + \beta_w y + z)(\alpha_t x + \beta_t y + z)(\alpha_v x + \beta_v y + z) \\
&\quad + s^2(\alpha_w x + \beta_w y + z)(\alpha_p x + \beta_p y + z)(\alpha_q x + \beta_q y + z) \\
&\quad - \frac{x^2 + y^2}{4} r^2 s^2 (\alpha_w x + \beta_w y + z).
\end{aligned}$$

Computing the coefficients of $x^3, y^3, z^3, x^2y, xy^2, x^2z, xz^2, y^2z, yz^2, xyz$ by identification, we find, respectively

$$\begin{aligned}
(1) \quad & r^2\alpha_w\alpha_t\alpha_v + s^2\alpha_w\alpha_p\alpha_q - \frac{r^2s^2}{4}\alpha_w \\
& = \sum_{S_{ijk}S_{lm}} |a_{lm}|^2\alpha_i\alpha_j\alpha_k - \sum_{S_{ij}S_{klm}} \Re(a_{kl}a_{lm}\overline{a_{km}})\alpha_i\alpha_j - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^5 P_i\alpha_i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{S_iS_{jklm}} \Re(a_{jk}a_{kl}a_{lm}\overline{a_{jm}})\alpha_i \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{S_{ij}S_{klm}} \Re(a_{kl}a_{lm}\overline{a_{km}})|a_{ij}|^2 - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{S_{ijkl}S_{iml}} \Re(a_{ij}a_{jk}a_{kl}\overline{a_{im}a_{ml}}) \\
& \quad - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{S_{ijk}S_{lm}S_{im}S_{lk}} \Re(a_{ij}a_{jk}a_{lm}\overline{a_{im}a_{lk}}) - \frac{1}{4} \Re(a_{12}a_{23}a_{34}a_{45}\overline{a_{15}}). \\
(2) \quad & r^2\beta_w\beta_t\beta_v + s^2\beta_w\beta_p\beta_q - \frac{r^2s^2}{4}\beta_w \\
& = \sum_{S_{ijk}S_{lm}} |a_{lm}|^2\beta_i\beta_j\beta_k - \sum_{S_{ij}S_{klm}} \Im(a_{kl}a_{lm}\overline{a_{km}})\beta_i\beta_j - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^5 P_i\beta_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{S_iS_{jklm}} \Re(a_{jk}a_{kl}a_{lm}\overline{a_{jm}})\beta_i \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{S_{ij}S_{klm}} \Im(a_{kl}a_{lm}\overline{a_{km}})|a_{ij}|^2 - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{S_{ijkl}S_{iml}} \Im(a_{ij}a_{jk}a_{kl}\overline{a_{im}a_{ml}}) \\
& \quad - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{S_{ijk}S_{lm}S_{im}S_{lk}} \Im(a_{ij}a_{jk}a_{lm}\overline{a_{im}a_{lk}}) + \frac{1}{4} \Im(a_{12}a_{23}a_{34}a_{45}\overline{a_{15}}). \\
(3) \quad & r^2 + s^2 = \sum_{S_{lm}} |a_{lm}|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(4) \quad & r^2(\beta_w\alpha_t\alpha_v + \beta_t\alpha_w\alpha_v + \beta_v\alpha_w\alpha_t) + s^2(\beta_w\alpha_p\alpha_q + \beta_p\alpha_w\alpha_q + \beta_q\alpha_w\alpha_p) - \frac{r^2s^2}{4}\beta_w \\
& = \sum_{S_{ijk}S_{lm}} |a_{lm}|^2(\alpha_i\alpha_j\beta_k + \alpha_i\alpha_k\beta_j + \alpha_j\alpha_k\beta_i) - \sum_{S_{ij}S_{klm}} \Im(a_{kl}a_{lm}\overline{a_{km}})\alpha_i\alpha_j \\
& - \sum_{S_{ij}S_{klm}} \Re(a_{kl}a_{lm}\overline{a_{km}})(\alpha_i\beta_j + \alpha_j\beta_i) - \frac{1}{4}\sum_{i=1}^5 P_i\beta_i + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{S_iS_{jklm}} \Re(a_{jk}a_{kl}a_{lm}\overline{a_{jm}})\beta_i \\
& + \sum_{S_iS_{jklm}} \Im(a_{jk}a_{kl}a_{lm}\overline{a_{jm}})\alpha_i + \frac{1}{4}\sum_{S_{ij}S_{klm}} \Im(a_{kl}a_{lm}\overline{a_{km}})|a_{ij}|^2 \\
& - \frac{1}{4}\sum_{S_{ijkl}S_{iml}} \Im(a_{ij}a_{jk}a_{kl}\overline{a_{im}a_{ml}}) - \frac{1}{4}\sum_{S_{ijk}S_{lm}S_{im}S_{lkl}} \Im(a_{ij}a_{jk}a_{lm}\overline{a_{im}a_{lk}}) \\
& - \frac{3}{4}\Im(a_{12}a_{23}a_{34}a_{45}\overline{a_{15}}). \\
(5) \quad & r^2(\alpha_w\beta_t\beta_v + \alpha_t\beta_w\beta_v + \alpha_v\beta_w\beta_t) + s^2(\alpha_w\beta_p\beta_q + \alpha_p\beta_w\beta_q + \alpha_q\beta_w\beta_p) - \frac{r^2s^2}{4}\alpha_w \\
& = \sum_{S_{ijk}S_{lm}} |a_{lm}|^2(\alpha_i\beta_j\beta_k + \alpha_j\beta_i\beta_k + \alpha_k\beta_i\beta_j) - \sum_{S_{ij}S_{klm}} \Re(a_{kl}a_{lm}\overline{a_{km}})\beta_i\beta_j \\
& - \sum_{S_{ij}S_{klm}} \Im(a_{kl}a_{lm}\overline{a_{km}})(\alpha_i\beta_j + \alpha_j\beta_i) - \frac{1}{4}\sum_{i=1}^5 P_i\alpha_i - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{S_iS_{jklm}} \Re(a_{jk}a_{kl}a_{lm}\overline{a_{jm}})\alpha_i \\
& + \sum_{S_iS_{jklm}} \Im(a_{jk}a_{kl}a_{lm}\overline{a_{jm}})\beta_i + \frac{1}{4}\sum_{S_{ij}S_{klm}} \Re(a_{kl}a_{lm}\overline{a_{km}})|a_{ij}|^2 \\
& - \frac{1}{4}\sum_{S_{ijkl}S_{iml}} \Re(a_{ij}a_{jk}a_{kl}\overline{a_{im}a_{ml}}) - \frac{1}{4}\sum_{S_{ijk}S_{lm}S_{im}S_{lkl}} \Re(a_{ij}a_{jk}a_{lm}\overline{a_{im}a_{lk}}) \\
& + \frac{3}{4}\Re(a_{12}a_{23}a_{34}a_{45}\overline{a_{15}}). \\
(6) \quad & r^2(\alpha_w\alpha_t + \alpha_w\alpha_v + \alpha_v\alpha_t) + s^2(\alpha_w\alpha_p + \alpha_w\alpha_q + \alpha_p\alpha_q) - \frac{r^2s^2}{4} \\
& = \sum_{S_{ijk}S_{lm}} |a_{lm}|^2(\alpha_i\alpha_j + \alpha_i\alpha_k + \alpha_j\alpha_k) - \sum_{S_{ij}S_{klm}} \Re(a_{kl}a_{lm}\overline{a_{km}})(\alpha_i + \alpha_j) \\
& - \frac{1}{4}\sum_{i=1}^5 P_i + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{S_{jklm}} \Re(a_{jk}a_{kl}a_{lm}\overline{a_{jm}}). \\
(7) \quad & r^2(\alpha_w + \alpha_t + \alpha_v) + s^2(\alpha_w + \alpha_p + \alpha_q) \\
& = \sum_{S_{ijk}S_{lm}} |a_{lm}|^2(\alpha_i + \alpha_j + \alpha_k) - \sum_{S_{klm}} \Re(a_{kl}a_{lm}\overline{a_{km}}). \\
(8) \quad & r^2(\beta_w\beta_t + \beta_w\beta_v + \beta_v\beta_t) + s^2(\beta_w\beta_p + \beta_w\beta_q + \beta_p\beta_q) - \frac{r^2s^2}{4} \\
& = \sum_{S_{ijk}S_{lm}} |a_{lm}|^2(\beta_i\beta_j + \beta_i\beta_k + \beta_j\beta_k) - \sum_{S_{ij}S_{klm}} \Im(a_{kl}a_{lm}\overline{a_{km}})(\beta_i + \beta_j) \\
& - \frac{1}{4}\sum_{i=1}^5 P_i - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{S_{jklm}} \Re(a_{jk}a_{kl}a_{lm}\overline{a_{jm}}). \\
(9) \quad & r^2(\beta_w + \beta_t + \beta_v) + s^2(\beta_w + \beta_p + \beta_q) = \sum_{S_{ijk}S_{lm}} |a_{lm}|^2(\beta_i + \beta_j + \beta_k) - \sum_{S_{klm}} \Im(a_{kl}a_{lm}\overline{a_{km}}). \\
(10) \quad & r^2(\alpha_w\beta_t + \alpha_w\beta_v + \alpha_t\beta_w + \alpha_t\beta_v + \alpha_v\beta_w + \alpha_v\beta_t) + s^2(\alpha_w\beta_p + \alpha_w\beta_q + \alpha_p\beta_w + \alpha_p\beta_q + \\
& \alpha_q\beta_w + \alpha_q\beta_p) \\
& = \sum_{S_{ijk}S_{lm}} |a_{lm}|^2(\alpha_i\beta_j + \alpha_j\beta_i + \alpha_i\beta_k + \alpha_k\beta_i + \alpha_j\beta_k + \alpha_k\beta_j) - \sum_{S_{ij}S_{klm}} \Re(a_{kl}a_{lm}\overline{a_{km}})(\beta_i + \beta_j)
\end{aligned}$$

$$- \sum_{S_{ij} S_{klm}} \Im(a_{kl} a_{lm} \overline{a_{km}}) (\alpha_i + \alpha_j) + \sum_{S_{jklm}} \Im(a_{jk} a_{kl} a_{lm} \overline{a_{jm}}).$$

Note that the combination of (1), (2), (4) and (5) is equivalent to (d), (e) and (f), since (1) – (5) – $i(2) + i(4)$ yields (d).

(6), (8) and (10) is equivalent to (c) and (g) as (6) – (8) + $i(10)$ yields (c).

(7) and (9) is equivalent to (b) as it's follows from (7) + $i(9)$.

This completes the proof. \square

Remark 2.3. • The last theorem was obtained in [2, Theorem 2.2], but, there exists a gap in this Theorem, we shall point out that the equations (4') and (5') of the proof of [2, Theorem 2.2, p 726] are missed. We give here corrected formulas. Also, the authors claimed in the end of the proof that the combination of (1'), (2') (4') and (5') is equivalent to (d) (see page 727). But, we should be add the condition (e), (f), and (g) to ensure the converse implication.

• If we take $s = 0$ in the previous theorem then $C_R(A)$ is an ellipse and three points.

In the following, another case comes materialized, it's this when $C_R(A)$ contain an ellipse and a curve of degree 4 with a double tangent. Using the same conditions derived in [14], to determine whether the numerical range boundary of a given 3×3 irreducible matrix A has a flatness, these conditions are given in term of geometrical property of flatness.

Let L be the supporting line of the convex set $W(A)$ containing the flatness and perpendicular to the line which pass through the origin and forms angle θ from the positive x -axis, and let μ be the (signed) distance from the origin to L . It is seen that μ is the largest eigenvalue of $\Re(e^{-i\theta} A)$ (cf.[14],[9]).

Lemma 2.4. *Let A be a 5×5 matrix. Then the Kippenhahn curve $C_R(A)$ consists of one ellipse with foci λ_1, λ_2 and minor axis of length r , and a curve of degree 4 with a double tangent and foci at λ_3, λ_4 and λ_5 if and only if the following condition hold*

(i) *there exist $\theta \in [0, 2\pi[$ and a real μ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} P_A(x, y, z) = & \left[(\alpha_1 x + \beta_1 y + z)(\alpha_2 x + \beta_2 y + z) - \frac{r^2}{4}(x^2 + y^2) \right] \\ & [(\alpha_3 x + \beta_3 y + z)(\alpha_4 x + \beta_4 y + z)(\alpha_5 x + \beta_5 y + z) \\ & - (\alpha_3 x + \beta_3 y + z)(x^2 + y^2)(\Re(e^{-i\theta} \lambda_4) + \mu)(\Re(e^{-i\theta} \lambda_5) + \mu) \\ & - (\alpha_4 x + \beta_4 y + z)(x^2 + y^2)(\Re(e^{-i\theta} \lambda_3) + \mu)(\Re(e^{-i\theta} \lambda_5) + \mu) \\ & - (\alpha_5 x + \beta_5 y + z)(x^2 + y^2)(\Re(e^{-i\theta} \lambda_3) + \mu)(\Re(e^{-i\theta} \lambda_4) + \mu) \\ & + (x - iy)(x^2 + y^2)(\Re(e^{-i\theta} \lambda_3) + \mu)(\Re(e^{-i\theta} \lambda_4) + \mu)(\Re(e^{-i\theta} \lambda_5) + \mu)e^{i\theta} \\ & + (x + iy)(x^2 + y^2)(\Re(e^{-i\theta} \lambda_3) + \mu)(\Re(e^{-i\theta} \lambda_4) + \mu)(\Re(e^{-i\theta} \lambda_5) + \mu)e^{-i\theta}. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) $(\Re(e^{-i\theta} \lambda_3) + \mu)(\Re(e^{-i\theta} \lambda_4) + \mu)(\Re(e^{-i\theta} \lambda_5) + \mu) \neq 0$.

(iii) $\lambda_j(\Re(e^{-i\theta} \lambda_k) + \mu) + \lambda_k(\Re(e^{-i\theta} \lambda_j) + \mu) - 2(\Re(e^{-i\theta} \lambda_j) + \mu)(\Re(e^{-i\theta} \lambda_k) + \mu)e^{i\theta} \neq \lambda_i((\Re(e^{-i\theta} \lambda_k) + \mu) + (\Re(e^{-i\theta} \lambda_j) + \mu))$, for every $3 \leq i \neq j \neq k \leq 5$.

Proof. Let $B = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & r \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{bmatrix} \oplus C$ where C is 3-by-3 irreducible matrix whose Kippenhahn curve is of degree 4 with a double tangent, and eigenvalues at λ_3, λ_4 and λ_5 .

Since $C_R(A) = C_R(B)$, the polynomials P_A and P_B have to be the same.

$$p_B(x, y, z) = \left[(\alpha_1 x + \beta_1 y + z)(\alpha_2 x + \beta_2 y + z) - \frac{r^2}{4}(x^2 + y^2) \right] p_C(x, y, z)$$

Put C in an upper triangular form $\begin{bmatrix} \lambda_3 & a & b \\ 0 & \lambda_4 & c \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_5 \end{bmatrix}$.

As we assume that $\partial W(C)$ has a flat of portion (containing in the supporting line L), let's $\theta \in [0, 2\pi[$ be the angular between x-axis and the line witch pass through the origin and perpendicular to L , so $e^{-i\theta}C$ has a vertical flatness. According to Kippenhahn's classification, $\Re(e^{-i\theta}C)$ must have a multiple eigenvalue, so there exist a real μ such that

$$\Re(e^{-i\theta}C) + I\mu = \begin{bmatrix} \Re(e^{-i\theta}\lambda_3) + \mu & e^{-i\theta}a/2 & e^{-i\theta}b/2 \\ e^{i\theta}\bar{a}/2 & \Re(e^{-i\theta}\lambda_4) + \mu & e^{-i\theta}c/2 \\ e^{i\theta}\bar{b}/2 & e^{i\theta}\bar{c}/2 & \Re(e^{-i\theta}\lambda_5) + \mu \end{bmatrix}$$

has rank one, because if otherwise $\Re(e^{-i\theta}C) + I\mu$ has zero rank, then $\Re(e^{-i\theta}C)$ and $\Im(e^{-i\theta}C)$ commute, and C is therefore reducible, while due to the latter all 2×2 minors of $\Re(e^{-i\theta}C) + I\mu$ are equal to zero, witch gives

$$\begin{aligned} |a|^2 &= 4(\Re(e^{-i\theta}\lambda_3) + \mu)(\Re(e^{-i\theta}\lambda_4) + \mu). \\ |b|^2 &= 4(\Re(e^{-i\theta}\lambda_3) + \mu)(\Re(e^{-i\theta}\lambda_5) + \mu). \\ |c|^2 &= 4(\Re(e^{-i\theta}\lambda_4) + \mu)(\Re(e^{-i\theta}\lambda_5) + \mu). \\ a\bar{b} &= 2(\Re(e^{-i\theta}\lambda_3) + \mu)\bar{c}e^{i\theta}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

It's easy to see from equations above that if one of off-diagonal a, b or c is zero then at least two of them are equal to zero, contradiction with irreducibility of C , so $abc \neq 0$.

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} p_C(x, y, z) &= \det \begin{bmatrix} (\alpha_3 x + \beta_3 y + z) & a/2(x - iy) & b/2(x - iy) \\ \bar{a}/2(x + iy) & (\alpha_4 x + \beta_4 y + z) & c/2(x - iy) \\ \bar{b}/2(x + iy) & \bar{c}/2(x + iy) & (\alpha_5 x + \beta_5 y + z) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= (\alpha_3 x + \beta_3 y + z)(\alpha_4 x + \beta_4 y + z)(\alpha_5 x + \beta_5 y + z) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{4}(x^2 + y^2)[(\alpha_3 x + \beta_3 y + z)|c|^2 + (\alpha_4 x + \beta_4 y + z)|b|^2 + (\alpha_5 x + \beta_5 y + z)|a|^2] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{8}(x^2 + y^2)(x - iy)a\bar{b}c + \frac{1}{8}(x^2 + y^2)(x + iy)\bar{a}cb. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this relation with (2.3) and $a\bar{b}c \neq 0$ we get successively (i) and (ii), moreover the polynomial P_C (and therefore the matrix C) is irreducible, consequently P_C can not be factored into threes linear factors, or into a quadratic factors and a linear factor, we note that linear factors in the left-hand side of the equation $P_C(x, y, z) = 0$ are corresponding always to eigenvalues of the matrix C (c.f [10],[9] and [14]).

Let's assume that $P_C(x, y, z)$ have linear factor $(\alpha_i x + \beta_i y + z)$ witch correspond to λ_i :

$i = 3, 4, 5$. and with

$$\begin{aligned} P_C(x, y, z) &= (\alpha_3x + \beta_3y + z)(\alpha_4x + \beta_4y + z)(\alpha_5x + \beta_5y + z) \\ &\quad - (\alpha_3x + \beta_3y + z)(x^2 + y^2)\mu_4\mu_5 \\ &\quad - (\alpha_4x + \beta_4y + z)(x^2 + y^2)\mu_3\mu_5 \\ &\quad - (\alpha_5x + \beta_5y + z)(x^2 + y^2)\mu_3\mu_4 \\ &\quad + (x - iy)(x^2 + y^2)\mu_3\mu_4\mu_5e^{i\theta} \\ &\quad + (x + iy)(x^2 + y^2)\mu_3\mu_4\mu_5e^{-i\theta}. \end{aligned}$$

where $\mu_i = \Re(e^{-i\theta}\lambda_i) + \mu$, we can see that for two by two in-equal $i, j, k \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, $(\alpha_ix + \beta_iy + z)$ devises

$$\begin{aligned} &(\alpha_jx + \beta_jy + z)(x^2 + y^2)\mu_i\mu_k \\ &+ (\alpha_kx + \beta_ky + z)(x^2 + y^2)\mu_i\mu_j \\ &- (x - iy)(x^2 + y^2)\mu_i\mu_j\mu_k e^{i\theta} \\ &- (x + iy)(x^2 + y^2)\mu_i\mu_j\mu_k e^{-i\theta}. \end{aligned}$$

in other words, the coefficients of z, α_ix, β_iy in the last polynomial are equals, witch gives

$$\beta_i[\alpha_j\mu_i\mu_k + \alpha_k\mu_i\mu_j - 2\mu_i\mu_j\mu_k \cos(\theta)] = \alpha_i[\beta_j\mu_i\mu_k + \beta_k\mu_i\mu_j - 2\mu_i\mu_j\mu_k \sin(\theta)] \quad (2.4)$$

$$\alpha_j\mu_i\mu_k + \alpha_k\mu_i\mu_j - 2\mu_i\mu_j\mu_k \cos(\theta) = \alpha_i[\mu_i\mu_k + \mu_i\mu_j] \quad (2.5)$$

and

$$\beta_j\mu_i\mu_k + \beta_k\mu_i\mu_j - 2\mu_i\mu_j\mu_k \sin(\theta) = \beta_i[\mu_i\mu_k + \mu_i\mu_j] \quad (2.6)$$

One can see that (2.4),(2.5) and (2.6) are equivalents to $\lambda_j\mu_k + \lambda_k\mu_j - 2\mu_j\mu_k e^{i\theta} = \lambda_i(\mu_k + \mu_j)$ and hence (iii)

the converse is clear. \square

In what follows, by μ_j we note $\Re(e^{-i\theta}\lambda_j) + \mu$ for every $j = 1, \dots, 5$.

Theorem 2.5. *Let A be in upper-triangular form (2.1). Then $C_R(A)$ consists of one ellipse with foci λ_q, λ_p and minor axis of length r , and a curve of degree 4 with a double tangent and foci at λ_w, λ_t and λ_v if and only if there exist $\theta \in [0, 2\pi[$ and a real μ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} (a) \quad &r^2 + 4(\mu_t\mu_v + \mu_w\mu_v + \mu_w\mu_t) = \sum_{S_{lm}} |a_{lm}|^2. \\ (b) \quad &r^2(\lambda_w + \lambda_t + \lambda_v) + 4[(\lambda_w\mu_t\mu_v + \lambda_t\mu_w\mu_v + \lambda_v\mu_t\mu_w) - 2\mu_w\mu_t\mu_v e^{i\theta} \\ &\quad + (\lambda_p + \lambda_q)(\mu_t\mu_v + \mu_w\mu_v + \mu_w\mu_t)] \\ &= \sum_{S_{ijk}S_{lm}} |a_{lm}|^2(\lambda_i + \lambda_j + \lambda_k) - \sum_{S_{klm}} a_{kl}a_{lm}\overline{a_{km}}. \\ (c) \quad &r^2(\lambda_w\lambda_t + \lambda_t\lambda_v + \lambda_w\lambda_v) + 4[\lambda_p\lambda_q(\mu_t\mu_v + \mu_w\mu_v + \mu_t\mu_w) \\ &\quad + (\lambda_p + \lambda_q)(\lambda_w\mu_t\mu_v + \lambda_t\mu_w\mu_v + \lambda_v\mu_t\mu_w) - (\lambda_p + \lambda_q)(2\mu_w\mu_t\mu_v e^{i\theta})] \\ &= \sum_{S_{ijk}S_{lm}} |a_{lm}|^2(\lambda_i\lambda_j + \lambda_i\lambda_k + \lambda_j\lambda_k) - \sum_{S_{ij}S_{klm}} (\lambda_i + \lambda_j)a_{kl}a_{lm}\overline{a_{km}} + \sum_{S_{jklm}} a_{jk}a_{kl}a_{lm}\overline{a_{jm}}. \\ (d) \quad &r^2(\lambda_w\lambda_t\lambda_v) + 4[\lambda_p\lambda_q((\lambda_w\mu_t\mu_v + \lambda_t\mu_w\mu_v + \lambda_v\mu_t\mu_w) - 2\mu_w\mu_t\mu_v e^{i\theta})] \\ &= \sum_{S_{ijk}S_{lm}} |a_{lm}|^2\lambda_i\lambda_j\lambda_k - \sum_{S_{ij}S_{klm}} \lambda_i\lambda_j a_{kl}a_{lm}\overline{a_{km}} + \sum_{S_iS_{jklm}} a_{jk}a_{kl}a_{lm}\overline{a_{jm}}\lambda_i \\ &\quad - a_{12}a_{23}a_{34}a_{45}\overline{a_{15}}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(e) \quad & r^2[\alpha_w\alpha_t\alpha_v - (\alpha_w\mu_t\mu_v + \alpha_t\mu_w\mu_v + \alpha_v\mu_w\mu_t) + 2\mu_w\mu_t\mu_v \cos(\theta)] \\
& + 4\alpha_p\alpha_q[(\alpha_w\mu_t\mu_v + \alpha_t\mu_w\mu_v + \alpha_v\mu_w\mu_t) - 2\mu_w\mu_t\mu_v \cos(\theta)] \\
& = \sum_{S_{ijk}S_{lm}} |a_{lm}|^2\alpha_i\alpha_j\alpha_k - \sum_{S_{ij}S_{klm}} \Re(a_{kl}a_{lm}\overline{a_{km}})\alpha_i\alpha_j - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^5 P_i\alpha_i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{S_iS_{jklm}} \Re(a_{jk}a_{kl}a_{lm}\overline{a_{jm}})\alpha_i \\
& + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{S_{ij}S_{klm}} \Re(a_{kl}a_{lm}\overline{a_{km}})|a_{ij}|^2 - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{S_{ijkl}S_{iml}} \Re(a_{ij}a_{jk}a_{kl}\overline{a_{im}a_{ml}}) \\
& - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{S_{ijk}S_{lm}S_{im}S_{lk}} \Re(a_{ij}a_{jk}a_{lm}\overline{a_{im}a_{lk}}) - \frac{1}{4} \Re(a_{12}a_{23}a_{34}a_{45}\overline{a_{15}}). \\
(f) \quad & r^2[\beta_w\beta_t\beta_v - (\beta_w\mu_t\mu_v + \beta_t\mu_w\mu_v + \beta_v\mu_w\mu_t) + 2\mu_w\mu_t\mu_v \sin(\theta)] \\
& + 4\beta_p\beta_q[(\beta_w\mu_t\mu_v + \beta_t\mu_w\mu_v + \beta_v\mu_w\mu_t) - 2\mu_w\mu_t\mu_v \sin(\theta)] \\
& = \sum_{S_{ijk}S_{lm}} |a_{lm}|^2\beta_i\beta_j\beta_k - \sum_{S_{ij}S_{klm}} \Im(a_{kl}a_{lm}\overline{a_{km}})\beta_i\beta_j - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^5 P_i\beta_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{S_iS_{jklm}} \Re(a_{jk}a_{kl}a_{lm}\overline{a_{jm}})\beta_i \\
& + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{S_{ij}S_{klm}} \Im(a_{kl}a_{lm}\overline{a_{km}})|a_{ij}|^2 - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{S_{ijkl}S_{iml}} \Im(a_{ij}a_{jk}a_{kl}\overline{a_{im}a_{ml}}) \\
& - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{S_{ijk}S_{lm}S_{im}S_{lk}} \Im(a_{ij}a_{jk}a_{lm}\overline{a_{im}a_{lk}}) + \frac{1}{4} \Im(a_{12}a_{23}a_{34}a_{45}\overline{a_{15}}). \\
(g) \quad & r^2[(\alpha_t\alpha_v + \alpha_w\alpha_v + \alpha_w\alpha_t) - (\mu_t\mu_v + \mu_w\mu_v + \mu_w\mu_t)] + 4\alpha_p\alpha_q(\mu_t\mu_v + \mu_w\mu_v + \mu_w\mu_t) \\
& + 4(\alpha_p + \alpha_q)[(\alpha_w\mu_t\mu_v + \alpha_t\mu_w\mu_v + \alpha_v\mu_w\mu_t) - 2\mu_w\mu_t\mu_v \cos(\theta)] \\
& = \sum_{S_{ijk}S_{lm}} |a_{lm}|^2(\alpha_i\alpha_j + \alpha_i\alpha_k + \alpha_j\alpha_k) - \sum_{S_{ij}S_{klm}} \Re(a_{kl}a_{lm}\overline{a_{km}})(\alpha_i + \alpha_j) \\
& - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^5 P_i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{S_{jklm}} \Re(a_{jk}a_{kl}a_{lm}\overline{a_{jm}}). \\
(h) \quad & \mu_w\mu_t\mu_v \neq 0 \\
(i) \quad & \lambda_j\mu_k + \lambda_k\mu_j - 2\mu_j\mu_k e^{i\theta} \neq \lambda_i(\mu_k + \mu_j) \text{ for every } i, j, k \in \{w, t, v\} : i \neq j \neq k.
\end{aligned}$$

where $P_i = \sum_{S_{jkm}S_{lm}} |a_{jk}|^2|a_{lm}|^2 - \sum_{S_{jkl}S_{jml}} \Re(a_{jk}a_{kl}\overline{a_{jm}a_{ml}}) - \sum_{S_{jk}S_{lkm}} \Re(a_{jk}a_{lm}\overline{a_{jm}a_{lk}})$.
for every $i = 1, \dots, 5$.

Proof. Taking conditions from lemma 2.4

$$\begin{aligned}
P_A(x, y, z) = & \left[(\alpha_p x + \beta_p y + z)(\alpha_q x + \beta_q y + z) - \frac{r^2}{4}(x^2 + y^2) \right] \\
& [(\alpha_w x + \beta_w y + z)(\alpha_t x + \beta_t y + z)(\alpha_v x + \beta_v y + z) \\
& - (\alpha_w x + \beta_w y + z)(x^2 + y^2)\mu_t\mu_v \\
& - (\alpha_t x + \beta_t y + z)(x^2 + y^2)\mu_w\mu_v \\
& - (\alpha_v x + \beta_v y + z)(x^2 + y^2)\mu_w\mu_t \\
& + (x - iy)(x^2 + y^2)\mu_w\mu_t\mu_v e^{i\theta} \\
& + (x + iy)(x^2 + y^2)\mu_w\mu_t\mu_v e^{-i\theta}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\mu_w\mu_t\mu_v \neq 0$$

$\lambda_j \mu_k + \lambda_k \mu_j - 2\mu_j \mu_k e^{i\theta} \neq \lambda_i (\mu_k + \mu_j)$ for every $i, j, k \in \{w, t, v\} : i \neq j \neq k$. Comparing the previous formula of $P_A(x, y, z)$ with polynomial (*). We find

$$\begin{aligned} Q(x, y, z) &= r^2 [(\alpha_w x + \beta_w y + z)(\alpha_t x + \beta_t y + z)(\alpha_v x + \beta_v y + z) \\ &\quad - (x^2 + y^2)((\alpha_w x + \beta_w y + z)\mu_t \mu_v + (\alpha_t x + \beta_t y + z)\mu_w \mu_v + (\alpha_v x + \beta_v y + z)\mu_w \mu_t) \\ &\quad + 2(x^2 + y^2)(x\mu_w \mu_t \mu_v \cos(\theta) + y\mu_w \mu_t \mu_v \sin(\theta))] \\ &\quad + 4(\alpha_p x + \beta_p y + z)(\alpha_q x + \beta_q y + z) \\ &\quad [((\alpha_w x + \beta_w y + z)\mu_t \mu_v + (\alpha_t x + \beta_t y + z)\mu_w \mu_v + (\alpha_v x + \beta_v y + z)\mu_w \mu_t) \\ &\quad - 2(x\mu_w \mu_t \mu_v \cos(\theta) + y\mu_w \mu_t \mu_v \sin(\theta))]. \end{aligned}$$

Computing the coefficients of $x^3, y^3, z^3, x^2 y, x y^2, x^2 z, x z^2, y^2 z, y z^2, x y z$ by identification, we find respectively

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad & r^2 [\alpha_w \alpha_t \alpha_v - (\alpha_w \mu_t \mu_v + \alpha_t \mu_w \mu_v + \alpha_v \mu_w \mu_t) + 2\mu_w \mu_t \mu_v \cos(\theta)] \\ & + 4\alpha_p \alpha_q [(\alpha_w \mu_t \mu_v + \alpha_t \mu_w \mu_v + \alpha_v \mu_w \mu_t) - 2\mu_w \mu_t \mu_v \cos(\theta)] \\ & = \sum_{S_{ijk} S_{lm}} |a_{lm}|^2 \alpha_i \alpha_j \alpha_k - \sum_{S_{ij} S_{klm}} \Re(a_{kl} a_{lm} \overline{a_{km}}) \alpha_i \alpha_j - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^5 P_i \alpha_i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{S_i S_{jklm}} \Re(a_{jk} a_{kl} a_{lm} \overline{a_{jm}}) \alpha_i \\ & + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{S_{ij} S_{klm}} \Re(a_{kl} a_{lm} \overline{a_{km}}) |a_{ij}|^2 - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{S_{ijkl} S_{iml}} \Re(a_{ij} a_{jk} a_{kl} \overline{a_{im} a_{ml}}) \\ & - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{S_{ijk} S_{lm} S_{im} S_{lk}} \Re(a_{ij} a_{jk} a_{lm} \overline{a_{im} a_{lk}}) - \frac{1}{4} \Re(a_{12} a_{23} a_{34} a_{45} \overline{a_{15}}). \\ (2) \quad & r^2 [\beta_w \beta_t \beta_v - (\beta_w \mu_t \mu_v + \beta_t \mu_w \mu_v + \beta_v \mu_w \mu_t) + 2\mu_w \mu_t \mu_v \sin(\theta)] \\ & + 4\beta_p \beta_q [(\beta_w \mu_t \mu_v + \beta_t \mu_w \mu_v + \beta_v \mu_w \mu_t) - 2\mu_w \mu_t \mu_v \sin(\theta)] \\ & = \sum_{S_{ijk} S_{lm}} |a_{lm}|^2 \beta_i \beta_j \beta_k - \sum_{S_{ij} S_{klm}} \Im(a_{kl} a_{lm} \overline{a_{km}}) \beta_i \beta_j - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^5 P_i \beta_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{S_i S_{jklm}} \Re(a_{jk} a_{kl} a_{lm} \overline{a_{jm}}) \beta_i \\ & + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{S_{ij} S_{klm}} \Im(a_{kl} a_{lm} \overline{a_{km}}) |a_{ij}|^2 - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{S_{ijkl} S_{iml}} \Im(a_{ij} a_{jk} a_{kl} \overline{a_{im} a_{ml}}) \\ & - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{S_{ijk} S_{lm} S_{im} S_{lk}} \Im(a_{ij} a_{jk} a_{lm} \overline{a_{im} a_{lk}}) + \frac{1}{4} \Im(a_{12} a_{23} a_{34} a_{45} \overline{a_{15}}). \\ (3) \quad & r^2 + 4(\mu_t \mu_v + \mu_w \mu_v + \mu_w \mu_t) = \sum_{S_{lm}} |a_{lm}|^2. \\ (4) \quad & r^2 [(\beta_w \alpha_t \alpha_v + \beta_t \alpha_w \alpha_v + \beta_v \alpha_w \alpha_t) + 2\mu_w \mu_t \mu_v \sin(\theta) - (\beta_w \mu_t \mu_v + \beta_t \mu_w \mu_v + \beta_v \mu_w \mu_t)] \\ & + 4\alpha_p \alpha_q [(\beta_w \mu_t \mu_v + \beta_t \mu_w \mu_v + \beta_v \mu_w \mu_t) - 2\mu_w \mu_t \mu_v \sin(\theta)] \\ & + 4(\alpha_p \beta_q + \alpha_q \beta_p) [(\alpha_w \mu_t \mu_v + \alpha_t \mu_w \mu_v + \alpha_v \mu_w \mu_t) - 2\mu_w \mu_t \mu_v \cos(\theta)] \\ & = \sum_{S_{ijk} S_{lm}} |a_{lm}|^2 (\alpha_i \alpha_j \beta_k + \alpha_i \alpha_k \beta_j + \alpha_j \alpha_k \beta_i) - \sum_{S_{ij} S_{klm}} \Im(a_{kl} a_{lm} \overline{a_{km}}) \alpha_i \alpha_j \\ & - \sum_{S_{ij} S_{klm}} \Re(a_{kl} a_{lm} \overline{a_{km}}) (\alpha_i \beta_j + \alpha_j \beta_i) - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^5 P_i \beta_i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{S_i S_{jklm}} \Re(a_{jk} a_{kl} a_{lm} \overline{a_{jm}}) \beta_i \\ & + \sum_{S_i S_{jklm}} \Im(a_{jk} a_{kl} a_{lm} \overline{a_{jm}}) \alpha_i + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{S_{ij} S_{klm}} \Im(a_{kl} a_{lm} \overline{a_{km}}) |a_{ij}|^2 \\ & - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{S_{ijkl} S_{iml}} \Im(a_{ij} a_{jk} a_{kl} \overline{a_{im} a_{ml}}) - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{S_{ijk} S_{lm} S_{im} S_{lk}} \Im(a_{ij} a_{jk} a_{lm} \overline{a_{im} a_{lk}}) \\ & - \frac{3}{4} \Im(a_{12} a_{23} a_{34} a_{45} \overline{a_{15}}). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(5) \quad & r^2[(\alpha_w\beta_t\beta_v + \alpha_t\beta_w\beta_v + \alpha_v\beta_w\beta_t) + 2\mu_w\mu_t\mu_v \cos(\theta) - (\alpha_w\mu_t\mu_v + \alpha_t\mu_w\mu_v + \alpha_v\mu_w\mu_t)] \\
& + 4\beta_p\beta_q[(\alpha_w\mu_t\mu_v + \alpha_t\mu_w\mu_v + \alpha_v\mu_w\mu_t) - 2\mu_w\mu_t\mu_v \cos(\theta)] \\
& + 4(\alpha_p\beta_q + \alpha_q\beta_p)[(\beta_w\mu_t\mu_v + \beta_t\mu_w\mu_v + \beta_v\mu_w\mu_t) - 2\mu_w\mu_t\mu_v \sin(\theta)] \\
& = \sum_{S_{ijk}S_{lm}} |a_{lm}|^2(\alpha_i\beta_j\beta_k + \alpha_j\beta_i\beta_k + \alpha_k\beta_i\beta_j) - \sum_{S_{ij}S_{klm}} \Re(a_{kl}a_{lm}\overline{a_{km}})\beta_i\beta_j \\
& - \sum_{S_{ij}S_{klm}} \Im(a_{kl}a_{lm}\overline{a_{km}})(\alpha_i\beta_j + \alpha_j\beta_i) - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^5 P_i\alpha_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{S_{ij}S_{klm}} \Re(a_{jk}a_{kl}a_{lm}\overline{a_{jm}})\alpha_i \\
& + \sum_{S_{ij}S_{klm}} \Im(a_{jk}a_{kl}a_{lm}\overline{a_{jm}})\beta_i + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{S_{ij}S_{klm}} \Re(a_{kl}a_{lm}\overline{a_{km}})|a_{ij}|^2 \\
& - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{S_{ijkl}S_{iml}} \Re(a_{ij}a_{jk}a_{kl}\overline{a_{im}a_{ml}}) - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{S_{ijk}S_{lm}S_{im}S_{lkl}} \Re(a_{ij}a_{jk}a_{lm}\overline{a_{im}a_{lk}}) \\
& + \frac{3}{4} \Re(a_{12}a_{23}a_{34}a_{45}\overline{a_{15}}). \\
(6) \quad & r^2[(\alpha_t\alpha_v + \alpha_w\alpha_v + \alpha_w\alpha_t) - (\mu_t\mu_v + \mu_w\mu_v + \mu_w\mu_t)] + 4\alpha_p\alpha_q(\mu_t\mu_v + \mu_w\mu_v + \mu_w\mu_t) \\
& + 4(\alpha_p + \alpha_q)[(\alpha_w\mu_t\mu_v + \alpha_t\mu_w\mu_v + \alpha_v\mu_w\mu_t) - 2\mu_w\mu_t\mu_v \cos(\theta)] \\
& = \sum_{S_{ijk}S_{lm}} |a_{lm}|^2(\alpha_i\alpha_j + \alpha_i\alpha_k + \alpha_j\alpha_k) - \sum_{S_{ij}S_{klm}} \Re(a_{kl}a_{lm}\overline{a_{km}})(\alpha_i + \alpha_j) \\
& - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^5 P_i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{S_{jklm}} \Re(a_{jk}a_{kl}a_{lm}\overline{a_{jm}}). \\
(7) \quad & r^2(\alpha_w + \alpha_t + \alpha_v) + 4(\alpha_w\mu_t\mu_v + \alpha_t\mu_w\mu_v + \alpha_v\mu_w\mu_t) - 8\mu_w\mu_t\mu_v \cos(\theta) \\
& + 4(\alpha_p + \alpha_q)(\mu_t\mu_v + \mu_w\mu_v + \mu_w\mu_t) \\
& = \sum_{S_{ijk}S_{lm}} |a_{lm}|^2(\alpha_i + \alpha_j + \alpha_k) - \sum_{S_{klm}} \Re(a_{kl}a_{lm}\overline{a_{km}}). \\
(8) \quad & r^2[(\beta_t\beta_v + \beta_w\beta_v + \beta_w\beta_t) - (\mu_t\mu_v + \mu_w\mu_v + \mu_w\mu_t)] + 4\beta_p\beta_q(\mu_t\mu_v + \mu_w\mu_v + \mu_w\mu_t) \\
& + 4(\beta_p + \beta_q)[(\beta_w\mu_t\mu_v + \beta_t\mu_w\mu_v + \beta_v\mu_w\mu_t) - 2\mu_w\mu_t\mu_v \sin(\theta)] \\
& = \sum_{S_{ijk}S_{lm}} |a_{lm}|^2(\beta_i\beta_j + \beta_i\beta_k + \beta_j\beta_k) - \sum_{S_{ij}S_{klm}} \Im(a_{kl}a_{lm}\overline{a_{km}})(\beta_i + \beta_j) \\
& - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^5 P_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{S_{jklm}} \Re(a_{jk}a_{kl}a_{lm}\overline{a_{jm}}). \\
(9) \quad & r^2(\beta_w + \beta_t + \beta_v) + 4(\beta_w\mu_t\mu_v + \beta_t\mu_w\mu_v + \beta_v\mu_w\mu_t) - 8\mu_w\mu_t\mu_v \sin(\theta) \\
& + 4(\beta_p + \beta_q)(\mu_t\mu_v + \mu_w\mu_v + \mu_w\mu_t) \\
& = \sum_{S_{ijk}S_{lm}} |a_{lm}|^2(\beta_i + \beta_j + \beta_k) - \sum_{S_{klm}} \Im(a_{kl}a_{lm}\overline{a_{km}}). \\
(10) \quad & r^2(\alpha_w\beta_t + \alpha_w\beta_v + \alpha_t\beta_w + \alpha_t\beta_v + \alpha_v\beta_w + \alpha_v\beta_t) + 4(\alpha_p\beta_q + \alpha_q\beta_p)(\mu_t\mu_v + \mu_w\mu_v + \mu_w\mu_t) \\
& + 4(\alpha_p + \alpha_q)[(\beta_w\mu_t\mu_v + \beta_t\mu_w\mu_v + \beta_v\mu_w\mu_t) - 2\mu_w\mu_t\mu_v \sin(\theta)] \\
& + 4(\beta_p + \beta_q)[(\alpha_w\mu_t\mu_v + \alpha_t\mu_w\mu_v + \alpha_v\mu_w\mu_t) - 2\mu_w\mu_t\mu_v \cos(\theta)] \\
& = \sum_{S_{ijk}S_{lm}} |a_{lm}|^2(\alpha_i\beta_j + \alpha_j\beta_i + \alpha_i\beta_k + \alpha_k\beta_i + \alpha_j\beta_k + \alpha_k\beta_j) - \sum_{S_{ij}S_{klm}} \Re(a_{kl}a_{lm}\overline{a_{km}})(\beta_i + \beta_j) \\
& - \sum_{S_{ij}S_{klm}} \Im(a_{kl}a_{lm}\overline{a_{km}})(\alpha_i + \alpha_j) + \sum_{S_{jklm}} \Im(a_{jk}a_{kl}a_{lm}\overline{a_{jm}}).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that the combination of (1), (2), (4) and (5) is equivalent to (d), (e) and (f), since (1) - (5) - i(2) + i(4) yields (d).

(6), (8) and (10) is equivalent to (c) and (g) as (6) - (8) + i(10) yields (c).

(7) and (9) is equivalent to (b) as it's follows from (7) + i(9).

This completes the proof. \square

3. ON THE CIRCULAR NUMERICAL RANGE OF S_5 MATRICES

Recall that an n -by- n matrix A is said to be of class S_n if A is a contraction, the eigenvalues of A are all in the open unit disc \mathbb{D} and $\text{rank}(I_n - A^*A) = 1$. Two unitary equivalent S_n -matrices have the following useful characterization.

Lemma 3.1. [5, Theorem 4.1] A_1 and A_2 be n -dimensional operators with A_2 in S_n . Then A_1 is unitary equivalent to A_2 if and only if A_1 is a contraction and $W(A_1) = W(A_2)$.

Now, we establish the result of Theorem 1.2 in the special case of S_5 -matrices.

Theorem 3.2. Let A be a S_5 matrix with $W(A) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z - a| \leq r\}$, ($r > 0$). if Kippenhahn curve $C_R(A)$ have one of the following shape

- (i) $C_R(A)$ consist of three point and an elliptic disc.
- (ii) $C_R(A)$ consist of two elliptic disc and a point.

then $a = 0$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume that A in an upper triangular matrix. The assumption on the numerical range of A imply that the origin a is an eigenvalue with algebraic multiplicity at least 2. So, by [4, Corollary 1.3] A takes the following form

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a & 1 - a^2 & -a\sqrt{1 - a^2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a & \sqrt{1 - a^2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{1 - |b|^2} & -\bar{b}\sqrt{1 - |c|^2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & b & \sqrt{1 - |b|^2}\sqrt{1 - |c|^2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & c \end{bmatrix}.$$

Moreover, we can take a positive by a suitable rotation, thus $W(A)$ is symmetric with respect to the real axis, witch means that $W(A) = W(A^*)$, (A^* is the adjoint matrix of A), as we mentioned below A is S_5 and therefore by Lemma 3.1 A and A^* are unitary equivalent, moreover one can see that the eigenvalues b and c of A must be real or complex conjugates . Let

$$B = A - aI_5 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 - a^2 & -a\sqrt{1 - a^2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{1 - a^2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -a & \sqrt{1 - |b|^2} & -\bar{b}\sqrt{1 - |c|^2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & b - a & \sqrt{1 - |b|^2}\sqrt{1 - |c|^2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & c - a \end{bmatrix}.$$

Consider the homogeneous Kippenhahn polynomial $p_B(x, y, z) = \det(x\Re B + y\Im B + zI_5)$ of degree 5 on the complex projective plane $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$. Since by hypotheses $W(B)$ is a circular disc with center 0 and radius r , By assumption $C_R(B)$ have one of two possibles shapes.

- (i) A circle with center 0 and radius r together with three points $-a, b - a, c - a$ inside it.

- (ii) A circle with center 0 and radius r together with a point $(-a, b - a$ or $c - a)$ and an ellipse with (minor axis length $s \leq 2r$) and the two remaining points as the foci, all inside the circle.

Applying condition (d) of Theorem 2.2 to the upper-triangular matrix B yields to

$$\begin{aligned} & 4r^2(-a(b-a)(c-a)) \\ &= (1-a^2)^2(-a)(b-a)(c-a) - (1-a^2)(-a)\sqrt{1-a^2}\sqrt{1-a^2}(b-a)(c-a) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then either $a = 0$, $a = b$ or $a = c$. If it is first so it will done otherwise if it is one of the two latter, the condition (c) of Theorem 2.2 gives,

$$\begin{aligned} & 4r^2(-a(b-a) + (b-a)(c-a) - a(c-a)) \\ &= (1-a^2)^2(-a(b-a) + (b-a)(c-a) - a(c-a)) \\ &+ a^2(1-a^2)(b-a)(c-a) + (1-a^2)(b-a)(c-a) \\ &- (1-a^2)^2(-a(b-a) - a(c-a)) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $a = b$ if $a = c$ and vice versa. By the condition (b) of Theorem 2.2

$$\begin{aligned} & 4r^2(-a + (b-a) + (c-a)) + s^2(0 + 0 + \lambda) = (1-a^2)^2(-a + b - a + c - a) \\ &+ a^2(1-a^2)(b-a + c-a) + (1-a^2)(b-a + c-a) + (1-b^2)(c-a) + b^2(1-c^2)(b-a) \\ &- a(1-b^2)(1-c^2) + a(1-a^2)^2 + b(1-b^2)(1-c^2). \end{aligned}$$

where λ take one of the eigenvalue $-a, b - a$ or $c - a$.

Assuming that $a = b = c$, we find $(4r^2 + s^2)(-a) = 0$ or $ar^2 = 0$. Hence $a = 0$.

This complete the proof. \square

Remark 3.3. As it well known that for every S_n matrix T , $\Re(T)$ have only simple eigenvalues see [5, Corollary 2.6], then $C_R(A)$ may not contain a curve of degree 4 with double tangent.

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

It well known that a n-by-n partial isometry A can be represented $Ker(A) \oplus Ker(A)^\perp$, by

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & C \end{bmatrix}$$

with B and C satisfying $B^*B + C^*C = I$, see [6, Proposition 2.1]. Another result which we need for the proof of Theorem 1.2 concerns the irreducibility of a partial isometry.

Lemma 4.1. [6, lemma 2.8] *Let*

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0_m & B \\ 0 & C \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{on} \quad \mathbb{C}^n = \mathbb{C}^m \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n-m}, \quad (1 \leq m \leq n).$$

(a) *If $k = \text{rank} B < m$, then A is unitarily similar to $0_{m-k} \oplus A_1$ for some matrix*

$$A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0_k & B_1 \\ 0 & C_1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{on} \quad \mathbb{C}^{n-m+k} = \mathbb{C}^k \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n-m}, \quad \text{with} \quad \text{rank} B_1 = k.$$

(b) If $m > [n/2]$, the largest integer less than or equal to $n/2$, then A is unitarily similar to $0_{2m-n} \oplus A_2$ for some matrix

$$A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0_{n-m} & B_2 \\ 0 & C_2 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{on} \quad \mathbb{C}^{2(n-m)} = \mathbb{C}^{n-m} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n-m}.$$

The next proposition relates partial isometries with S_n -matrices.

Proposition 4.2. [6, Proposition 2.3] *Let A be an n -by- n matrix. Then A is an irreducible partial isometry with $\dim \ker A = 1$ if and only if A is of class S_n with 0 in $\sigma(A)$.*

Now, we are ready to establish our main theorem.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let A be an 5×5 partial isometry with $W(A) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z - a| \leq r\}$, ($r > 0$). First let us remark that if A is reducible, then A is unitarily similar to $A_1 \oplus A_2$, where A_1 and A_2 are two partial isometry with order at most 4. Since one of $W(A_1)$ or $W(A_2)$ must be equal to that of A , so by Theorem 1.1 it follows that $a = 0$.

Now, we assume that A is irreducible. According to the dimension of the kernel of A , we distinguish three cases

Case 1. $\dim \ker A = 1$. By the Proposition 4.2, A is S_5 -matrix, so according to Theorem 3.2 and Remark 3.3, $a = 0$.

Case 2. $\dim \ker A = 2$. As $W(A)$ is a circular disc centered at a , we may assume that

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & C \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & k & l & t \\ 0 & 0 & g & h & j \\ 0 & 0 & b & e & f \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a & d \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{on } \mathbb{C}^2 \oplus \mathbb{C}^3,$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 &= B^*B + C^*C \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} |k|^2 + |g|^2 + |b|^2 & \bar{k}l + \bar{g}h + \bar{b}e & \bar{k}t + \bar{g}j + \bar{b}f \\ \bar{l}k + \bar{h}g + \bar{e}b & |l|^2 + |h|^2 + |e|^2 + |a|^2 & \bar{l}t + \bar{h}j + \bar{e}f + \bar{a}d \\ \bar{t}k + \bar{j}g + \bar{f}b & \bar{t}l + \bar{j}h + \bar{f}e + \bar{d}a & |t|^2 + |j|^2 + |f|^2 + |d|^2 + |a|^2 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

As in the proof of Theorem 3.2, a is positive and $C_R(A)$ have one of the three possible shapes.

- (i) $C_R(A)$ contains a disc (with centre a , radius r) and three point $0, 0, b$.
- (ii) $C_R(A)$ is a disc (with centre a , radius r), together with an ellipse and a point.
- (iii) $C_R(A)$ contains a disc (with centre a , radius r) and a 4 degree curve with a double tangent.

Applying condition (d) of Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.5 to $A - aI_5$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
4r^2(a.a.(b-a)) &= |d|^2 a^2 (b-a) - a^2 e d \bar{f} + a(b-a) h d \bar{j} + a(b-a) l d \bar{t} \\
&\quad - a g e d \bar{j} - a k e d \bar{t} \\
&= |d|^2 a^2 (b-a) - a^2 e d \bar{f} + a d (b-a) (h \bar{j} + l \bar{t}) - a e d (g \bar{j} + k \bar{t}) \\
&= |d|^2 a^2 (b-a) - a^2 e d \bar{f} - a d (b-a) (e \bar{f} + a \bar{d}) + a e d b \bar{f} \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

thus $a = 0$ or $b = a$ if it's the later, by condition (c) of Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.5

$$\begin{aligned}
4r^2(a^2) &= a^2(|e|^2 + |f|^2 + |d|^2) + 2a e d \bar{f} + a e g \bar{h} + a e k \bar{l} + a f g \bar{j} + a f k \bar{t} \\
&\quad + a d h \bar{j} + a d l \bar{t} + e d g \bar{j} + e d k \bar{t}. \\
&= a^2(|e|^2 + |f|^2 + |d|^2) + 2a e d \bar{f} + a e (g \bar{h} + k \bar{l}) + a f (g \bar{j} + k \bar{t}) \\
&\quad + a d (h \bar{j} + l \bar{t}) + e d (g \bar{j} + k \bar{t}) \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

and therefore $a = 0$.

Case 3. $\dim \ker A > 2$, then it follow from Lemma 4.1 that A is reducible, then $a = 0$. This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

Remark 4.3. To give a complete answer to the conjecture of Gau et al, in dimension 5, it remains to study the case when $C_R(A)$ is an elliptic disc and a curve of order 6, consisting of an oval and a curve of three cups.

Based on the factoribility of p_A , Kippenhahn in [9] gives a fully classification of the numerical range of 3×3 matrices, and a pertinent tests were offered in [14], however there is no much provided about the connection between concrete description of the curve $C_R(A)$ and p_A when $W(A)$ is oval, as like given in Lemma 2.4 when the boundary of $W(A)$ have a flat portion. Thus, the remaining case is a difficult question to treated.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Naimi, M. Benharrat, *Anderson's theorem for some class of operators*; Khayyam Journal of Mathematics. Volume 6 Issue 2 (2020) 236-242.
- [2] S. G. Datidar, G. H. Bera, *Elliptic Numerical Range of 5×5 Matrices*, International Journal of Mathematical Analysis, Vol. 11, no. 15, (2017) 719-732.
- [3] H. L. Gau, *Elliptic numerical ranges of 4×4 matrices*. Taiwanese J. Math.10 (2006), 117-128.
- [4] H.L. Gau, P.Y. Wu, *Luca's theorem refined*. Linear Multilinear Algebra, 45 (1999), 359-373.
- [5] H. L. Gau, P.Y. Wu, *Numerical range of $S(\phi)$* . Linear Multilinear Algebra, 45(1998), 49-73.
- [6] H. L. Gau, K. Z. Wang and P. Y. Wu *Circular numerical ranges of partial isometries*. Linear and Multilinear Algebra, 64 (1) (2016), 14-35.
- [7] K. E. Gustafson and D. K. M. Rao, *Numerical range: the field of values of linear operators and matrices*, Springer, 1997.
- [8] D. Keeler, L. Rodman, I. Spitkovsky, *The numerical range of 3×3 matrices*, Linear Algebra Appl. 252 (1997) 115-139.
- [9] R. Kippenhahn, *Über den Wertevorrat einer matrix*, Math. Nachr. 6 (1951) 193-228.
- [10] R. Kippenhahn, *On the numerical range of a matrix*, Linear Multilinear Algebra, 56 (1-2) (2008) 185-225 (translated from the German by Paul F. Zachlin and Michiel E. Hochstenbach).
- [11] E. Militzer, L.J. Patton, I.M. Spitkovsky, M. C. Tsai, *Numerical Ranges of 4-by-4 Nilpotent Matrices: Flat Portions on the Boundary*. In: D. Bini et al. (eds.) Large Truncated Toeplitz Matrices, Toeplitz

Operators, and Related Topics. Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, Birkhauser, Cham, vol 259. (2017), 561–591.

- [12] P. Nylén, T.Y. Tam, *Numerical range of a doubly stochastic matrix*, Linear Algebra Appl. 153 (1991) 161–176.
- [13] P. Rault, T. Sendova, I.M. Spitkovsky, *3 – by – 3 Matrices with elliptical numerical range revisited*, Electron. Linear Algebra 26 (2013) 158–167.
- [14] L. Rodman, I. Spitkovsky, *3 × 3 matrices with flat portion on the boundary of the numerical range*, Linear Algebra Appl. 397 (2005) 193–207.

^{1,2} LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES FONDAMENTALES ET APPLIQUÉES D'ORAN. DÉPARTEMENT DE FORMATION PRÉPARATOIRE EN SCIENCES ET TECHNOLOGY, ECOLE NATIONALE POLYTECHNIQUE D'ORAN-MAURICE AUDIN (EX. ENSET D'ORAN), BP 1523 ORAN-EL M'NAOUAR, 31000 ORAN, ALGÉRIE.

Email address: mohammed.benharrat@enp-oran.dz, mohammed.benharrat@gmail.com

Email address: naimimehdi.nm@gmail.com