

THE FACET IDEALS OF MATCHING COMPLEXES OF LINE GRAPHS

GUANGJUN ZHU,* HONG WANG, YIJUN CUI

ABSTRACT. Let L_n be a line graph with n edges and $\mathcal{F}(L_n)$ the facet ideal of its matching complex. In this paper, we provide the irreducible decomposition of $\mathcal{F}(L_n)$ and some exact formulas for the projective dimension and the regularity of $\mathcal{F}(L_n)$.

INTRODUCTION

Graph complexes have provided an important link between combinatorics and algebra, topology, and geometry (see e.g., [4, 17, 23]). Some well-studied examples are matching complexes and independence complexes of graphs. Let G be a finite simple undirected graph (i.e., loopless and without multiple edges) with the vertex set $V(G)$ and the edge set $E(G)$. A subset $M \subset E(G)$ is a *matching* of G if M contains no adjacent edges. A collection of all matchings of G forms a simplicial complex $\mathcal{M}(G)$, whose vertex set is the set of edges of G and whose k -dimensional faces are matchings of size $(k + 1)$, we call $\mathcal{M}(G)$ the *matching complex* of G . A subset $W \subset V(G)$ is called an *independent* set if no edge of G has both endpoints in W . A collection of all independent subsets of G also forms a simplicial complex $\text{Ind}(G)$, whose vertex set is $V(G)$ and whose face set are all independent sets of G , we call $\text{Ind}(G)$ to be the *independent complex* of G .

Topological and geometric properties of the matching complex and independence complexes of some graphs has been studied by many authors (See [3, 6, 7, 8, 10, 18, 19, 22, 24]). The matching complex of a complete bipartite graph $K_{m,n}$ was first introduced in the thesis of Garst [11] dealing with Tits coset complexes. He showed that $\mathcal{M}(K_{m,n})$ is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if $n \geq 2m - 1$. Ziegler [24] strengthened this result by showing that $\mathcal{M}(K_{m,n})$ is shellable if $n \geq 2m - 1$. Consequently, $\mathcal{M}(K_{m,n})$ has the homotopy type of a wedge of $(m - 1)$ -spheres when $n \geq 2m - 1$. Ehrenborg-Hetyei [8] and Engström [7] showed that the independence complex $\text{Ind}(F)$ of a forest F is either contractible or is homotopy equivalent to a $(\gamma(F) - 1)$ -sphere, and is also $\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2d} - 1 \rfloor$ -connected, where n is the number of vertices, d is the maximal degree of vertices, $\gamma(F)$ denotes the domination number of F , and $\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2d} - 1 \rfloor$ is the largest integer $\leq \frac{n-1}{2d} - 1$.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 13F55; Secondary 13D05, 13C15, 13D02.

Key words and phrases. matching complex, irreducible decomposition, facet ideal, projective dimension, regularity.

* Corresponding author.

Let Δ be a simplicial complex on the vertex set $V = \{x_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$, $\text{Facets}(\Delta)$ denote a collection of all facets (maximal faces under inclusion) of Δ . By identifying the vertex x_i with the variable x_i in the polynomial ring $S = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ over a field k , one can associate Δ with two squarefree monomial ideals of S

$$\mathcal{I}_\Delta = (x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_s} \mid \{x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_s}\} \text{ is not a face of } \Delta),$$

and

$$\mathcal{F}(\Delta) = (x_{\ell_1} \cdots x_{\ell_s} \mid \{x_{\ell_1}, \dots, x_{\ell_s}\} \in \text{Facets}(\Delta)).$$

They are called the *Stanley-Reisner* ideal and the *facet ideal* of Δ respectively. The Stanley-Reisner ring of Δ is $k[\Delta] = S/\mathcal{I}_\Delta$.

Some algebraic properties of the matching complexes $\mathcal{M}(K_{m,n})$ have been studied in [3, 10, 16]. Björner et al. in [3] showed that $\text{depth}(k[\mathcal{M}(K_{m,n})]) = \min\{m, n, \lfloor \frac{m+n+1}{3} \rfloor\}$ for any m, n . Freidman-Hanlon in [10] showed that $b_{r-1}(\mathcal{M}(K_{m,n})) = 0$ if and only if $(m-r)(n-r) > r$, and $b_{v-1}(\mathcal{M}(K_{m,n})) > 0$ if and only if $n \geq 2m - 4$ or $(m, n) = (6, 6), (7, 7), (8, 9)$, where $b_i(\mathcal{M}(K_{m,n}))$ is the i -th Betti number of $\mathcal{M}(K_{m,n})$, it equals the rank of the homology group $H_i(\mathcal{M}(K_{m,n}))$. Jiang et al. in [16] described the irreducible decomposition of the facet ideal $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M}(K_{m,n}))$ of the matching complex $\mathcal{M}(K_{m,n})$ and provided some lower bounds for depth and regularity of the facet ideal $\mathcal{F}(\Delta_{m,n})$, where $n \geq m$. They also showed that these lower bounds can be obtained if $m \leq 3$.

In this article, we are interested in algebraic properties of the facet ideal $\mathcal{F}(L_n)$ of the matching complex $\mathcal{M}(L_n)$ of a line graph L_n with n edges. By the definition of the matching complex and the independence complex of a line graph, we can easily obtain that $\mathcal{M}(L_n)$ is isomorphic to $\text{Ind}(L_{n-1})$, and the Stanley-Reisner ideal $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{M}(L_n)}$ of $\mathcal{M}(L_n)$ is actually the edge ideal of a line graph with n vertices, which was studied in [2, 13, 26]. This complex has an extremely simple structure, but it is still worth discussing, as they appear naturally in many situations. For some examples, see [17, 19]. The first author in [26, Theorem 3.3] provided some exact formulas for the projective dimension and the regularity of $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{M}(L_n)}$ for any $n \geq 2$, she showed that

$$\text{pd}(\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{M}(L_n)}) = \begin{cases} 2p - 1 & \text{if } n = 3p \text{ or } n = 3p + 1, \\ 2p & \text{if } n = 3p + 2, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\text{reg}(\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{M}(L_n)}) = \begin{cases} p + 1 & \text{if } n = 3p, \text{ or } n = 3p + 1, \\ p + 2 & \text{if } n = 3p + 2. \end{cases}$$

See also [2, Corollary 4.15] and [13, Theorem 4.1]. In this paper, we will provide the irreducible decomposition and some exact formulas for the projective dimension and the regularity of the facet ideal $\mathcal{F}(L_n)$ (see Theorem 2.6, Theorem 3.2 and Corollary 3.5).

Our paper is organized as follows. In the preliminary section, we collect necessary terminology and results from the literature. In Section 2, we give the irreducible decomposition of the facet ideal $\mathcal{F}(L_n)$ of a line graph L_n with n edges. In Section 3, we give some exact formulas for projective dimension and the regularity of $\mathcal{F}(L_n)$ by some suitable short exact sequences.

1. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we gather together the needed notations and basic facts, which will be used throughout this paper. However, for more details, we refer the reader to [5, 9, 14, 17].

Let n be a positive integer and $[n] = \{i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$. In this paper, we will assume that L_n is a line graph with edge set $\{x_i \mid i \in [n]\}$. For simplicity, we denote by $\mathcal{F}(L_n)$ the facet ideal of the matching complex $\mathcal{M}(L_n)$ of L_n .

Example 1.1. *Let L_6 be a line graph with six edges x_1, \dots, x_6 . Then the facet ideal of its matching complex is*

$$\mathcal{F}(L_6) = (x_1x_3x_5, x_1x_3x_6, x_1x_4x_6, x_2x_4x_6, x_2x_5).$$

A monomial ideal is called *irreducible* if it cannot be written as proper intersection of two other monomial ideals. It is called *reducible* if it is not irreducible. It is well known that a monomial ideal is irreducible if and only if it is generated by pure powers of the variables, that is, it has the form $(x_{i_1}^{a_1}, \dots, x_{i_k}^{a_k})$. The following lemma is a fundamental fact.

Lemma 1.2. ([14, Theorem 1.3.1]) *Let $I \subset S$ be a monomial ideal. Then there exists a unique decomposition*

$$I = Q_1 \cap \dots \cap Q_r$$

such that none of the Q_i can be omitted in this intersection and each Q_i is an irreducible monomial ideal. In particular, if I is squarefree, then each Q_i is a minimal (under inclusion) prime ideal over I .

This decomposition is called *irredundant presentation* of I and each Q_i is called an *irreducible component* of I .

Definition 1.3. *Let Δ be a simplicial complex on the vertex set V . A vertex cover of Δ is a subset $C \subset V$ such that each facet of Δ has at least one vertex in C . Such a vertex cover C is called *minimal* if no subset $C' \subsetneq C$ is a vertex cover of Δ .*

We need the following lemma.

Lemma 1.4. ([9, Proposition 1.8]) *Let Δ be a simplicial complex, $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$ its facet ideal. Then $P = (x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_s})$ is a minimal prime ideal over $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$ if and only if $\{x_{i_j} \mid 1 \leq j \leq s\}$ is a minimal vertex cover for Δ .*

Let $I \subset S$ be a non-zero homogeneous ideal and

$$0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_j S(-j)^{\beta_{p,j}(I)} \rightarrow \bigoplus_j S(-j)^{\beta_{p-1,j}(I)} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \bigoplus_j S(-j)^{\beta_{0,j}(I)} \rightarrow I \rightarrow 0$$

is a *minimal graded free resolution* of I , where $p \leq n$ and $S(-j)$ is an S -module obtained by shifting the degrees of S by j . The number $\beta_{i,j}(I)$, the (i, j) -th graded Betti number of I , is an invariant of I that equals the minimal number of generators of degree j in the i th syzygy module of I . Of particular interest is the following

invariants which measure the $\beta_{i,j}$ of the minimal graded free resolution of I . The *projective dimension* of I , denoted by $\text{pd}(I)$, is defined to be

$$\text{pd}(I) := \max \{i \mid \beta_{i,j}(I) \neq 0\}.$$

The *regularity* of I , denoted by $\text{reg}(I)$, is defined by

$$\text{reg}(I) := \max \{j - i \mid \beta_{i,j}(I) \neq 0\}.$$

In order to compute the projective dimension and regularity of a non-zero homogeneous ideal, we shall use the following lemmas in this paper.

Lemma 1.5. ([15, Lemmas 2.2 and 3.2]) *Let $S_1 = k[x_1, \dots, x_m]$, $S_2 = k[x_{m+1}, \dots, x_n]$ be two polynomial rings and $S = S_1 \otimes_k S_2$, let $I \subset S_1$, $J \subset S_2$ be two non-zero homogeneous ideals. Then*

- (1) $\text{pd}(I + J) = \text{pd}(I) + \text{pd}(J) + 1$,
- (2) $\text{reg}(I + J) = \text{reg}(I) + \text{reg}(J) - 1$.

Lemma 1.6. [12, Lemma 1.1 and Lemma 1.2] *Let $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ be a short exact sequence of finitely generated graded S -modules. Then*

- (1) $\text{reg}(B) \leq \max \{\text{reg}(A), \text{reg}(C)\}$, the equality holds if $\text{reg}(A) - 1 \neq \text{reg}(C)$,
- (2) $\text{reg}(C) \leq \max \{\text{reg}(A) - 1, \text{reg}(B)\}$, the equality holds if $\text{reg}(A) \neq \text{reg}(B)$,
- (3) $\text{pd}(B) \leq \max \{\text{pd}(A), \text{pd}(C)\}$, the equality holds if $\text{pd}(A) + 1 \neq \text{pd}(C)$,
- (4) $\text{pd}(C) \leq \max \{\text{pd}(A) + 1, \text{pd}(B)\}$, the equality holds if $\text{pd}(A) \neq \text{pd}(B)$.

Let $\mathcal{G}(I)$ denote the minimal set of generators of a monomial ideal $I \subset S$ and let $u \in S$ be a monomial, we set $\text{supp}(u) = \{x_i : x_i | u\}$. If $\mathcal{G}(I) = \{u_1, \dots, u_m\}$, we set $\text{supp}(I) = \bigcup_{i=1}^m \text{supp}(u_i)$. The following lemma is well known.

Lemma 1.7. *Let $I, J = (u)$ be two monomial ideals such that $\text{supp}(u) \cap \text{supp}(I) = \emptyset$, where u is a monomial of degree m . Then*

- (1) $\text{reg}(J) = m$,
- (2) $\text{pd}(uI) = \text{pd}(I)$,
- (3) $\text{reg}(uI) = \text{reg}(I) + m$.

2. THE IRREDUCIBLE DECOMPOSITIONS OF THE FACET IDEALS OF THE MATCHING COMPLEXES OF LINE GRAPHS

In this section, we will give the irreducible decomposition of the facet ideal $\mathcal{F}(L_n)$ of the matching complex of a line graph L_n with n edges.

Let k, n be two positive integers with $n > k$, L'_{n-k} denote the line graph with edge set $\{x_i \mid i \in [n] \setminus [k]\}$.

Remark 2.1. *Let n be a positive integer, L_n a line graph with edge set $\{x_i \mid i \in [n]\}$. Let $\mathcal{M}(L_n)$ be the matching complex of L_n . Then $F \in \text{Facets}(\mathcal{M}(L_n))$ if and only if F satisfies the following conditions:*

- (1) If $n = 1$, then $F = \{x_1\}$;
- (2) If $n = 2$, then $F = \{x_1\}$ or $F = \{x_2\}$;

- (3) If $n = 3$, then $F = \{x_1, x_3\}$ or $F = \{x_2\}$;
(4) If $n \geq 4$, then $F = \{x_{i_j} \mid j \in [k]\}$, where $i_1 \in \{1, 2\}$, $i_k \in \{n-1, n\}$ and $i_j - i_{j-1} \in \{2, 3\}$ for any $2 \leq j \leq k$.

In particular, if $n \geq 4$, then $F \in \text{Facets}(\mathcal{M}(L_n))$ if and only if $F \setminus \{x_1\} \in \text{Facets}(\mathcal{M}(L'_{n-2}))$ when $x_1 \in F$, or $F \setminus \{x_2\} \in \text{Facets}(\mathcal{M}(L'_{n-3}))$ when $x_2 \in F$. Similarly, if $n \geq 4$, then $F \in \text{Facets}(\mathcal{M}(L_n))$ if and only if $F \setminus \{x_{n-1}\} \in \text{Facets}(\mathcal{M}(L_{n-3}))$ when $x_{n-1} \in F$, or $F \setminus \{x_n\} \in \text{Facets}(\mathcal{M}(L_{n-2}))$ when $x_n \in F$.

From the remark above, we have

Remark 2.2. Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer, L_n a line graph as in Remark 2.1. Let $\mathcal{F}(L_n)$ be the facet ideal of the simplicial complex $\mathcal{M}(L_n)$. Then

$$\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{F}(L_n)) = \mathcal{G}(x_1\mathcal{F}(L'_{n-2})) \cup \mathcal{G}(x_2\mathcal{F}(L'_{n-3})) = \mathcal{G}(x_n\mathcal{F}(L_{n-2})) \cup \mathcal{G}(x_{n-1}\mathcal{F}(L_{n-3})),$$

where $\{x_1\} \cap \text{supp}(\mathcal{F}(L'_{n-3})) = \{x_2\} \cap \text{supp}(\mathcal{F}(L'_{n-2})) = \{x_n\} \cap \text{supp}(\mathcal{F}(L_{n-3})) = \{x_{n-1}\} \cap \text{supp}(\mathcal{F}(L_{n-2})) = \emptyset$. For the convenience of marking, we stipulate $\mathcal{F}(L'_{-1}) = \mathcal{F}(L'_0) = \mathcal{F}(L_{-1}) = \mathcal{F}(L_0) = S$.

Lemma 2.3. Let k, n be two positive integers with $n > k \geq 3$ and $C \subseteq \{x_i \mid i \in [k-1]\}$. Then C is a minimal vertex cover of $\mathcal{M}(L_k)$ if and only if C is a minimal vertex cover of $\mathcal{M}(L_n)$.

Proof. It is enough to show that C is a vertex cover of $\mathcal{M}(L_k)$ if and only if C is a vertex cover of $\mathcal{M}(L_n)$.

(\Rightarrow) Let $F = \{x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_j}, x_{i_{j+1}}, \dots, x_{i_\ell}\} \in \text{Facets}(\mathcal{M}(L_n))$ with $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_j \leq k < i_{j+1} < \dots < i_\ell \leq n$. then $i_{j+1} - i_j \in \{2, 3\}$ by Remark 2.1. It follows that $i_j \in \{k-2, k-1, k\}$. Take $F' = \{x_{i_t} \mid 1 \leq t \leq j\}$.

Claim: $F' \cap C \neq \emptyset$. Thus $F \cap C \neq \emptyset$, as desired.

Indeed, if $i_j \in \{k-1, k\}$, then $F' \in \text{Facets}(\mathcal{M}(L_k))$. This implies that $F' \cap C \neq \emptyset$ because C is a vertex cover of $\mathcal{M}(L_k)$. If $i_j = k-2$, then $F' \cup \{x_k\} \in \text{Facets}(\mathcal{M}(L_k))$. Thus $F' \cap C \neq \emptyset$ since $x_k \notin C$ and C is a vertex cover of $\mathcal{M}(L_k)$.

(\Leftarrow) Let $F \in \text{Facets}(\mathcal{M}(L_k))$, the F can always be extended to a facet $F' \in \text{Facets}(\mathcal{M}(L_n))$. Thus $F' \cap C \neq \emptyset$ since C is a vertex cover of $\mathcal{M}(L_n)$. By hypothesis that $C \subseteq \{x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}\}$, we obtain that if $x_j \in C \cap F'$ then $x_j \in F \cap C$, as desired. \square

By direct calculation, we obtain

Lemma 2.4. Let L_n be a line graph with edge set $\{x_i \mid i \in [n]\}$ where $1 \leq n \leq 5$. Then P is a minimal prime over $\mathcal{F}(L_n)$ if and only if P satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) If $n = 1$, then $P = (x_1)$;
- (2) If $n = 2$, then $P = (x_1, x_2)$;
- (3) If $n = 3$, then $P = (x_1, x_2)$ or $P = (x_2, x_3)$;
- (4) If $n = 4$, then $P = (x_1, x_2)$ or $P = (x_3, x_4)$ or $P = (x_1, x_4)$;
- (5) If $n = 5$, then $P = (x_1, x_2)$ or $P = (x_4, x_5)$ or $P = (x_2, x_3, x_4)$.

Let Ω_n be a collection of all minimal vertex covers of $\mathcal{M}(L_n)$ with $n \in [5]$. Now, we assume that $n \geq 6$ is an integer. We write n as $n = 3p + d$, where $p \geq 2$ and $0 \leq d \leq 2$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= \{x_1, x_2\}, & A'_1 &= \{x_{n-1}, x_n\}; \\ A_i &= \{x_i, x_{i+1}, x_{i+2}\}, & \text{for } 2 \leq i \leq n-3; \\ B_j &= \{x_{3\ell-2} \mid 1 \leq \ell \leq j+1\} \cup \{x_{3j+2}\}, & \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq p-1; \\ B'_j &= \{x_{n-3(\ell-1)} \mid 1 \leq \ell \leq j+1\} \cup \{x_{n-3j-1}\}, & \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq p-1; \\ D &= \{x_{3j+1} \mid 0 \leq j \leq p\}, & \text{if } n = 3p+1. \end{aligned}$$

$$\Omega_n = \begin{cases} \{A_1, A'_1, A_2, A_3, B_1, B'_1\} & \text{if } n = 6, \\ \{A_1, A'_1, A_2, A_3, A_4, B_1, B'_1, D\} & \text{if } n = 7, \end{cases}$$

When $n \geq 8$. If $n = 3p$ or $3p+1$, we set $C_{k\ell} = \{x_k, x_{k+3\ell+2}\} \cup \{x_{k+3j-2} \mid 1 \leq j \leq \ell+1\}$ for $k \in [n-3(\ell+1)] \setminus [1]$, $\ell \in [p-2]$. If $n = 3p+2$, we set $C_{k\ell} = \{x_k, x_{k+3\ell+2}\} \cup \{x_{k+3j-2} \mid 1 \leq j \leq \ell+1\}$, for $k \in [n-3(\ell+1)] \setminus [1]$, $\ell \in [p-1]$. Put

$$\Omega_n = \begin{cases} \left\{ \left\{ A_i, A'_1, B_j, B'_j, C_{k\ell} \mid \begin{array}{l} \text{for } i \in [n-3], j \in [p-1], \\ k \in [n-3(\ell+1)] \setminus [1] \text{ and } \ell \in [p-2] \end{array} \right\} \right\} & \text{if } n = 3p, \\ \left\{ \left\{ A_i, A'_1, B_j, B'_j, C_{k\ell}, D \mid \begin{array}{l} \text{for } i \in [n-3], j \in [p-1], \\ k \in [n-3(\ell+1)] \setminus [1] \text{ and } \ell \in [p-2] \end{array} \right\} \right\} & \text{if } n = 3p+1, \\ \left\{ \left\{ A_i, A'_1, B_j, B'_j, C_{k\ell} \mid \begin{array}{l} \text{for } i \in [n-3], j \in [p-1], \\ k \in [n-3(\ell+1)] \setminus [1] \text{ and } \ell \in [p-1] \end{array} \right\} \right\} & \text{if } n = 3p+2. \end{cases}$$

By Lemmas 1.4, 2.3 and the definition of Ω_n , we have

Remark 2.5. Let s, n be two positive integers with $n > s \geq 3$. Then

$$\{C \in \Omega_n \mid C \subseteq \{x_i \mid i \in [s-1]\}\} \subseteq \Omega_s.$$

Theorem 2.6. Let n be a positive integer, L_n a line graph with edge set $\{x_i \mid i \in [n]\}$. Then P is a minimal prime over $\mathcal{F}(L_n)$ if and only if P is generated by C where $C \in \Omega_n$.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{F}(L_n)$ is a squarefree monomial ideal, we obtain by [14, Corollary 1.3.6] that

$$\mathcal{F}(L_n) = \bigcap_{P \in \text{Min}(\mathcal{F}(L_n))} P$$

where $\text{Min}(\mathcal{F}(L_n))$ is the set of minimal prime ideals over $\mathcal{F}(L_n)$. Hence it is enough to show that Ω_n is a collection of all minimal vertex covers of $\mathcal{M}(L_n)$ by Lemma 1.4. We apply induction on n . Cases $1 \leq n \leq 5$ follow from Lemma 2.4. Cases $n = 6, 7$ are obtained by direct calculation. Suppose that $n \geq 8$ and that the statement holds for any integer $6 \leq t \leq n-1$. Now we prove that the statement holds for n .

First, we will show that C is a minimal vertex cover of $\mathcal{M}(L_n)$ for any $C \in \Omega_n$. Let T_n be the set of minimal vertex covers of $\mathcal{M}(L_n)$.

We distinguish into the following four cases:

(1) If $C = A_1$ or $C = A'_1$, then it is obvious $C \in T_n$ from a fact

$$\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{F}(L_n)) = \mathcal{G}(x_1 \mathcal{F}(L'_{n-2})) \cup \mathcal{G}(x_2 \mathcal{F}(L'_{n-3})) = \mathcal{G}(x_n \mathcal{F}(L_{n-2})) \cup \mathcal{G}(x_{n-1} \mathcal{F}(L_{n-3})).$$

(2) If $C \cap A'_1 = \emptyset$. Then one can easily see that

$$C \notin \begin{cases} \left\{ \left\{ A'_1, A_{n-3}, B_{p-1}, B'_j, C_{n-3(\ell+1),\ell} \mid \begin{smallmatrix} \text{for } j \in [p-1], \\ \ell \in [p-2] \end{smallmatrix} \right\} \right\} & \text{if } n = 3p, \\ \left\{ \left\{ A'_1, A_{n-3}, D, B'_j, C_{n-3(\ell+1),\ell} \mid \begin{smallmatrix} \text{for } j \in [p-1], \\ \ell \in [p-2] \end{smallmatrix} \right\} \right\} & \text{if } n = 3p + 1, \\ \left\{ \left\{ A'_1, A_{n-3}, B'_j, C_{n-3(\ell+1),\ell} \mid \text{for } j, \ell \in [p-1] \right\} \right\} & \text{if } n = 3p + 2. \end{cases}$$

By the definition of Ω_{n-1} , it is easy to see that $C \in \Omega_{n-1}$. Thus $C \in T_{n-1}$ by inductive hypothesis. Since $C \subseteq \{x_i \mid i \in [n-2]\}$, we get $C \in T_n$ by Lemma 2.3.

(3) If $C \cap A_1 = \emptyset$. Let $y_i = x_{n+1-i}$ for any $i \in [n]$, then L_n is not only a line graph with edges y_1, \dots, y_n , but also satisfies $C \cap \{y_{n-1}, y_n\} = \emptyset$. It follows that $C \in T_n$ from the proof of (2) above.

(4) If $C \cap A_1 \neq \emptyset$ and $C \cap A'_1 \neq \emptyset$. One can obtain that

$$C \in \begin{cases} \{B_{p-1}, B'_{p-1}\} & \text{if } n = 3p, \\ \{D\} & \text{if } n = 3p + 1, \\ \{C_{2,p-1}\} & \text{if } n = 3p + 2. \end{cases}$$

We divide into the following three cases:

(i) When $n = 3p$. If $C = B_{p-1}$, then

$$C = \{x_{3\ell-2} \mid \ell \in [p]\} \cup \{x_{3p-1}\} = \{x_{3\ell-2} \mid \ell \in [p]\} \cup \{x_{n-1}\}.$$

Let $C' = \{x_{3\ell-2} \mid \ell \in [p]\}$, then $C = C' \sqcup \{x_{n-1}\}$ and $C' \in \Omega_{n-2}$ by the definition of Ω_{n-2} . Hence $C' \in T_{n-2}$ by inductive hypothesis. For any $F \in \text{Facets}(\mathcal{M}(L_n))$, it can be written as $F_1 \cup \{x_n\}$ or $F_2 \cup \{x_{n-1}\}$, where $F_1 \in \text{Facets}(\mathcal{M}(L_{n-2}))$ and $F_2 \in \text{Facets}(\mathcal{M}(L_{n-3}))$. It follows that $C \in T_n$.

If $C = B'_{p-1}$. Let $y_i = x_{n+1-i}$ for each i , then L_n is also a line graph with edges y_1, \dots, y_n . In this case, one has

$$C = \{y_{3\ell-2} \mid \ell \in [p]\} \cup \{y_{3p-1}\} = \{y_{3\ell-2} \mid \ell \in [p]\} \cup \{y_{n-1}\}.$$

It follows that $C \in T_n$ from the above proof.

(ii) If $n = 3p + 1$, then $C = D = \{x_{3j-2} \mid j \in [p+1]\}$. Let $C'' = \{x_{3j-2} \mid j \in [p]\}$, then $C = C'' \sqcup \{x_n\}$ and $C'' \in \Omega_{n-3}$ by the definition of Ω_{n-3} . By inductive hypothesis, we obtain $C'' \in T_{n-3}$. It follows that $C \in T_n$ from the proof of (i).

(iii) If $n = 3p + 2$, then $C = C_{2,p-1} = \{x_2, x_{3p+1}\} \cup \{x_{3j} \mid j \in [p]\} = \{x_2, x_{n-1}\} \cup \{x_{3j} \mid j \in [p]\}$. Let $C''' = \{x_{3j} \mid j \in [p]\}$. According to (ii), we obtain that C''' is a minimal vertex cover of $\mathcal{M}(L''_{n-4})$, where L''_{n-4} is a line graph with edge set $\{x_i \mid 3 \leq i \leq n-2\}$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{F}(L_n)) &= \mathcal{G}(x_1 \mathcal{F}(L'_{n-2})) \cup \mathcal{G}(x_2 \mathcal{F}(L'_{n-3})) \\ &= \mathcal{G}(x_1 x_n \mathcal{F}(L''_{n-4})) \cup \mathcal{G}(x_1 x_{n-1} \mathcal{F}(L''_{n-5})) \cup \mathcal{G}(x_2 \mathcal{F}(L'_{n-3})), \end{aligned}$$

thus for any $F \in \text{Facets}(\mathcal{M}(L_n))$, it can be written in one of the following three different forms:

$$(a) F_1 \cup \{x_1, x_n\}, (b) F_2 \cup \{x_1, x_{n-1}\}, (c) F_3 \cup \{x_2\},$$

where $F_1 \in \text{Facets}(\mathcal{M}(L''_{n-4}))$, $F_2 \in \text{Facets}(\mathcal{M}(L''_{n-5}))$, $F_3 \in \text{Facets}(\mathcal{M}(L'_{n-3}))$, L''_{n-4} , L''_{n-5} and L'_{n-3} are line graphs with $\{x_i \mid 3 \leq i \leq n-2\}$, $\{x_i \mid 3 \leq i \leq n-3\}$, and $\{x_i \mid 4 \leq i \leq n\}$ as edge sets respectively. Hence $C \in T_n$.

Next, we will show that any vertex cover C of $\mathcal{M}(L_n)$ must contain some element in Ω_n . Thus Ω_n is exactly a collection of all minimal vertex covers of $\mathcal{M}(L_n)$, as desired.

We divide into the following two cases:

(1) If $A'_1 \cap C = \emptyset$, then $C \subseteq \{x_i \mid i \in [n-2]\}$. It follows that C is a vertex cover of $\mathcal{M}(L_{n-1})$ by Lemma 2.3. By induction hypothesis, we obtain $C' \subseteq C$ for some $C' \in \Omega_{n-1}$. According to Remark 2.5, one has $C' \in \Omega_n$ because of $C' \subseteq \{x_i \mid i \in [n-2]\}$, as desired.

(2) If $A'_1 \cap C \neq \emptyset$, then C satisfies one of the following three conditions:

$$(i) A'_1 \cap C = A'_1, \quad (ii) A'_1 \cap C = \{x_n\}, \quad (iii) A'_1 \cap C = \{x_{n-1}\}.$$

(i) If $A'_1 \cap C = A'_1$, obviously $C \supseteq A'_1$.

(ii) If $A'_1 \cap C = \{x_n\}$. Set

$$q = \max\{\ell \mid x_{n-3s} \in C \text{ for any } 0 \leq s \leq \ell\}.$$

It follows that $\{x_{n-3s} \mid 0 \leq s \leq q\} \subseteq C$.

We consider the following three cases:

(a) If $n - 3q = 1$, then $q = p$ from the notation of n . In this case, $C \supseteq D$.

(b) If $n - 3q \geq 2$ and there exists some $x_{n-3s-1} \in C$ with $s \in [q]$, then

$$C \supseteq \{x_{n-3j} \mid 0 \leq j \leq s\} \cup \{x_{n-3s-1}\}.$$

Hence

$$C \supseteq \begin{cases} A_1 & \text{if } n = 3q + 2, \\ B'_s & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(c) If $n - 3q \geq 2$ and $x_{n-3s-1} \notin C$ for any $s \in [q]$, then $n - 3q \geq 6$. Indeed, we choose $F = \{x_{n+2-3j} \mid j \in [q+1]\}$, then $F \cap C = \emptyset$. If $n - 3q = 2$ or 3 , then $F \in \text{Facets}(\mathcal{M}(L_n))$, contradicting with the assumption that C is a vertex cover of $\mathcal{M}(L_n)$. If $n - 3q = 4$ or 5 , then $F \cup \{x_{n-3q-3}\} \in \text{Facets}(\mathcal{M}(L_n))$, and $x_{n-3q-3} \notin C$ by the choice of q , a contradiction again.

Let $C' = C \setminus \{x_i \mid n - 3q - 2 \leq i \leq n\}$. Claim: C' is a vertex cover of $\mathcal{M}(L_{n-3q-3})$. It follows that $C' \supseteq \Gamma$ for some $\Gamma \in \Omega_{n-3q-3}$ by induction hypothesis. Note a fact that $x_{n-3q-3} \notin C$, which implies $\Gamma \subseteq \{x_i \mid i \in [n - 3q - 4]\}$. Hence $\Gamma \in \Omega_n$ by Lemma 2.3.

The proof of Claim: If the assertion does not hold, then there exists some $F' \in \text{Facets}(\mathcal{M}(L_{n-3q-3}))$ such that $F' \cap C' = \emptyset$. According to the definition of matching complex, we get $\max\{i \mid x_i \in F'\} = n - 3q - 3$, or $n - 3q - 4$. Choose $\Lambda = F' \cup F = F' \cup \{x_{n+2-3j} \mid j \in [q+1]\}$. Then $\Lambda \in \text{Facets}(\mathcal{M}(L_n))$, and $\Lambda \cap C = \emptyset$ by the supposition that $x_{n-3s-1} \notin C$ for any $s \in [q]$ and $F' \cap C' = \emptyset$. It contradicts with the assumption that C is a vertex cover of $\mathcal{M}(L_n)$.

(iii) If $A'_1 \cap C = \{x_{n-1}\}$, then C' is a vertex cover of $\mathcal{M}(L_{n-2})$ by Remark 2.1, where $C' = C \setminus \{x_{n-1}\}$. Hence $C' \supseteq \Gamma$ for some $\Gamma \in \Omega_{n-2}$ by induction hypothesis. We divide into the following two cases:

(a) If $x_{n-2} \notin \Gamma$, then, by Lemma 2.3, we have $\Gamma \in \Omega_n$ because of $\Gamma \subseteq \{x_i \mid i \in [n-3]\}$, as desired.

(b) If $x_{n-2} \in \Gamma$, then, from the definition of Ω_{n-2} and a fact $\Gamma \in \Omega_{n-2}$, it can be obtained that

$$\Gamma \in \begin{cases} \{A_1'', B_j'', D' \mid j \in [p' - 1]\} & \text{if } n = 3p \\ \{A_1'', B_j'' \mid j \in [p' - 1]\} & \text{if } n = 3p + 1 \text{ or } 3p + 2, \end{cases}$$

where $A_1'' = \{x_{n-3}, x_{n-2}\}$, $B_j'' = \{x_{n+1-3\ell} \mid \ell \in [j+1]\} \cup \{x_{n-3(j+1)}\}$ for any $j \in [p' - 1]$ with $p' = \begin{cases} p - 1 & \text{if } n = 3p \text{ or } 3p + 1 \\ p & \text{if } n = 3p + 2 \end{cases}$, and $D' = \{x_{3\ell-2} \mid \ell \in [p-1]\}$ when $n = 3p$.

If $\Gamma = A_1''$, then $\Gamma \cup \{x_{n-1}\} = A_{n-3} \in \Omega_n$; If $\Gamma = B_j''$ for some $j \in [p' - 1]$, then $\Gamma \cup \{x_{n-1}\} = C_{n-3j-3,j} \in \Omega_n$; If $n = 3p$ and $\Gamma = D'$, thus $\Gamma \cup \{x_{n-1}\} = B_{p-1} \in \Omega_n$.

In all cases it follows that $\Gamma \cup \{x_{n-1}\} \in \Omega_n$, as desired. This finishes the proof.

□

Given an ideal $I \subset S$, we set

$$\text{bight}(I) = \sup\{\text{height}(P) \mid P \text{ is a minimal prime ideal of } S \text{ over } I\}.$$

As a consequence of the above theorem, we have

Corollary 2.7. *Let n be a positive integer, L_n a line graph with edge set $\{x_i \mid i \in [n]\}$. Then*

- (a) $\text{height}(\mathcal{F}(L_2)) = 1$ and $\text{height}(\mathcal{F}(L_n)) = 2$ for any $n \geq 3$;
- (b) $\text{bight}(\mathcal{F}(L_n)) = \begin{cases} p + 1 & \text{if } n = 3p \text{ or } n = 3p + 1, \\ p + 2 & \text{if } n = 3p + 2. \end{cases}$

3. PROJECTIVE DIMENSION AND REGULARITY OF FACET IDEALS OF THE MATCHING COMPLEXES OF LINE GRAPHS

In this section, we will provide some formulas for the projective dimension and regularity of the facet ideal $\mathcal{F}(L_n)$ of the matching complex of a line graph L_n with n edges.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $n \geq 5$ be an integer, L_n a line graph with edge set $\{x_i \mid i \in [n]\}$. Let $\mathcal{F}(L_n)$ be the facet ideal of the simplicial complex $\mathcal{M}(L_n)$. Then*

$$\mathcal{F}(L_n) = J_n + K_n \text{ and } J_n \cap K_n = x_1 x_2 P_n,$$

where $J_n = x_1 \mathcal{F}(L'_{n-2})$, $K_n = x_2 \mathcal{F}(L'_{n-3})$, $P_n = x_4 \mathcal{F}(L'_{n-5}) + x_3 x_5 \mathcal{F}(L'_{n-6})$ and we stipulate $\mathcal{F}(L'_{-1}) = \mathcal{F}(L'_0) = S$.

Proof. It's obvious that $\mathcal{F}(L_n) = J_n + K_n$ by Remark 2.2. By direct calculation, we obtain $J_5 \cap K_5 = x_1 x_2 (x_3 x_5, x_4) = x_1 x_2 P_5$ and $J_6 \cap K_6 = x_1 x_2 (x_3 x_5, x_4 x_6) = x_1 x_2 P_6$, as desired.

Assume that $n \geq 7$. By repeated using Remark 2.2, for all $1 \leq i \leq 4$, we have

$$\mathcal{F}(L'_{n-i}) = x_{i+1} \mathcal{F}(L'_{n-i-2}) + x_{i+2} \mathcal{F}(L'_{n-i-3}),$$

where $\{x_{i+1}\} \cap \text{supp}(\mathcal{F}(L'_{n-i-3})) = \{x_{i+2}\} \cap \text{supp}(\mathcal{F}(L'_{n-i-2})) = \emptyset$. One has

$$J_n \cap K_n = x_1 x_2 (\mathcal{F}(L'_{n-2}) \cap \mathcal{F}(L'_{n-3})).$$

By comparing the expressions of $J_n \cap K_n$, we get $P_n = \mathcal{F}(L'_{n-2}) \cap \mathcal{F}(L'_{n-3})$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
P_n &= (x_3\mathcal{F}(L'_{n-4}) + x_4\mathcal{F}(L'_{n-5})) \cap (x_4\mathcal{F}(L'_{n-5}) + x_5\mathcal{F}(L'_{n-6})) \\
&= x_4\mathcal{F}(L'_{n-5}) + (x_3\mathcal{F}(L'_{n-4}) \cap (x_5\mathcal{F}(L'_{n-6}) + (x_4\mathcal{F}(L'_{n-5}) \cap (x_5\mathcal{F}(L'_{n-6})))) \\
&= x_4\mathcal{F}(L'_{n-5}) + (x_3\mathcal{F}(L'_{n-4}) \cap (x_5\mathcal{F}(L'_{n-6}))) \\
&= x_4\mathcal{F}(L'_{n-5}) + [x_3(x_5\mathcal{F}(L'_{n-6}) + x_6\mathcal{F}(L'_{n-7})) \cap (x_5\mathcal{F}(L'_{n-6}))] \\
&= x_4\mathcal{F}(L'_{n-5}) + x_3x_5\mathcal{F}(L'_{n-6}) + (x_3x_6\mathcal{F}(L'_{n-7}) \cap (x_5\mathcal{F}(L'_{n-6}))) \\
&= x_4\mathcal{F}(L'_{n-5}) + x_3x_5\mathcal{F}(L'_{n-6}) + x_3x_5x_6(\mathcal{F}(L'_{n-7}) \cap \mathcal{F}(L'_{n-6})) \\
&= x_4\mathcal{F}(L'_{n-5}) + x_3x_5\mathcal{F}(L'_{n-6}).
\end{aligned}$$

where the penultimate equality holds because of $\{x_3, x_6\} \cap \text{supp}(\mathcal{F}(L'_{n-6})) = \{x_5\} \cap \text{supp}(\mathcal{F}(L'_{n-7})) = \emptyset$. \square

Theorem 3.2. *Let n be a positive integer, L_n a line graph with edge set $\{x_i \mid i \in [n]\}$. Let $\mathcal{F}(L_n)$ be the facet ideal of the simplicial complex $\mathcal{M}(L_n)$. Then*

$$\text{pd}(\mathcal{F}(L_n)) \leq \begin{cases} p & \text{if } n = 3p \text{ or } n = 3p + 1, \\ p + 1 & \text{if } n = 3p + 2, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\text{reg}(\mathcal{F}(L_n)) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 1, \\ 2p & \text{if } n = 3p, \text{ or } n = 3p + 1 \text{ with } p > 1, \\ 2p + 1 & \text{if } n = 3p + 2. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, if $n \geq 5$, then

$$\text{pd}(P_n) \leq \begin{cases} p - 1 & \text{if } n = 3p \text{ or } n = 3p + 1, \\ p & \text{if } n = 3p + 2, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\text{reg}(P_n) = \begin{cases} 2p - 1 & \text{if } n = 3p \text{ or } n = 3p + 1, \\ 2p & \text{if } n = 3p + 2, \end{cases}$$

where P_n as defined in Lemma 3.1.

Proof. Cases $1 \leq n \leq 3$ are clear by Lemma 1.5 and case $n = 4$ follows from [26, Theorem 3.7]. Assume that $n \geq 5$. Consider the following short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow J_n \cap K_n \longrightarrow J_n \oplus K_n \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(L_n) \longrightarrow 0, \quad (\dagger)$$

where J_n and K_n , as defined in Lemma 3.1, satisfy $J_n \cap K_n = x_1x_2P_n$. Applying Lemma 1.6 (2), (4) and Lemma 1.7 (2), (3) to the short exact sequence above, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{pd}(\mathcal{F}(L_n)) &\leq \max\{\text{pd}(J_n \cap K_n) + 1, \text{pd}(J_n), \text{pd}(K_n)\} \\
&= \max\{\text{pd}(P_n) + 1, \text{pd}(\mathcal{F}(L'_{n-2})), \text{pd}(\mathcal{F}(L'_{n-3}))\},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{reg}(\mathcal{F}(L_n)) &\leq \max \{ \operatorname{reg}(J_n \cap K_n) - 1, \operatorname{reg}(J_n), \operatorname{reg}(K_n) \} \\ &= \max \{ 2 + \operatorname{reg}(P_n) - 1, 1 + \operatorname{reg}(\mathcal{F}(L'_{n-2})), 1 + \operatorname{reg}(\mathcal{F}(L'_{n-3})) \}, \\ &= 1 + \max \{ \operatorname{reg}(P_n), \operatorname{reg}(\mathcal{F}(L'_{n-2})), \operatorname{reg}(\mathcal{F}(L'_{n-3})) \}, \end{aligned}$$

where equality holds if $\operatorname{reg}(P_n) \neq \max \{ \operatorname{reg}(\mathcal{F}(L'_{n-2})), \operatorname{reg}(\mathcal{F}(L'_{n-3})) \} - 1$.

Since L'_{n-k} is also a line graph with edge set $\{x_i \mid i \in [n] \setminus [k]\}$ for any positive integers $n > k$, we have $\operatorname{pd}(\mathcal{F}(L'_{n-k})) = \operatorname{pd}(\mathcal{F}(L_{n-k}))$ and $\operatorname{reg}(\mathcal{F}(L'_{n-k})) = \operatorname{reg}(\mathcal{F}(L_{n-k}))$. Thus, from the formulas for the projection dimension and regularity above, we obtain

$$\operatorname{pd}(\mathcal{F}(L_n)) \leq \max \{ \operatorname{pd}(P_n) + 1, \operatorname{pd}(\mathcal{F}(L_{n-2})), \operatorname{pd}(\mathcal{F}(L_{n-3})) \}, \quad (1)$$

and

$$\operatorname{reg}(\mathcal{F}(L_n)) \leq 1 + \max \{ \operatorname{reg}(P_n), \operatorname{reg}(\mathcal{F}(L_{n-2})), \operatorname{reg}(\mathcal{F}(L_{n-3})) \}, \quad (2)$$

where equality holds if $\operatorname{reg}(P_n) \neq \max \{ \operatorname{reg}(\mathcal{F}(L_{n-2})), \operatorname{reg}(\mathcal{F}(L_{n-3})) \} - 1$.

We will prove these assertions by induction on n .

By direct calculation, one has $P_5 = (x_4, x_3x_5)$, $P_6 = (x_4x_6, x_3x_5)$, $\mathcal{F}(L_2) = (x_1, x_2)$, $\mathcal{F}(L_3) = (x_1x_3, x_2)$ and $\mathcal{F}(L_4) = (x_1x_3, x_1x_4, x_2x_4)$. It follows that

$$\operatorname{pd}(P_5) = \operatorname{pd}(P_6) = \operatorname{pd}(\mathcal{F}(L_2)) = \operatorname{pd}(\mathcal{F}(L_3)) = \operatorname{pd}(\mathcal{F}(L_4)) = 1,$$

$$\operatorname{reg}(P_5) = 2, \operatorname{reg}(P_6) = 3, \operatorname{reg}(\mathcal{F}(L_2)) = 1, \operatorname{reg}(\mathcal{F}(L_3)) = \operatorname{reg}(\mathcal{F}(L_4)) = 2.$$

Hence, according to the formulas (1) and (2), we have

$$\operatorname{pd}(\mathcal{F}(L_5)) \leq 2, \operatorname{pd}(\mathcal{F}(L_6)) \leq 2, \operatorname{reg}(\mathcal{F}(L_5)) = 3, \operatorname{reg}(\mathcal{F}(L_6)) = 4.$$

This proves the assertion for $n = 5$ and $n = 6$.

If $n = 7$, then $P_7 = (x_3x_5x_7, x_4x_6, x_4x_7)$. It follows that $(P_7 : x_4) = (x_6, x_7)$ and $(P_7, x_4) = (x_3x_5x_7, x_4)$. One has $\operatorname{pd}((P_7 : x_4)) = \operatorname{pd}((P_7, x_4)) = 1$, $\operatorname{reg}((P_7 : x_4)) = 1$ and $\operatorname{reg}((P_7, x_4)) = 3$ by Lemma 1.5 (1) and (3). Applying Lemma 1.6 to the following short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow (P_7 : x_4)(-1) \xrightarrow{\cdot x_4} P_7 \longrightarrow (P_7, x_4) \longrightarrow 0,$$

we obtain $\operatorname{pd}(P_7) = 1$ and $\operatorname{reg}(P_7) = 3$. By inductive hypothesis, we have

$$\operatorname{pd}(\mathcal{F}(L_4)) \leq 1, \operatorname{pd}(\mathcal{F}(L_5)) \leq 2, \operatorname{reg}(\mathcal{F}(L_4)) = 2, \operatorname{reg}(\mathcal{F}(L_5)) = 3.$$

It follows that $\operatorname{pd}(\mathcal{F}(L_7)) \leq 2$ and $\operatorname{reg}(\mathcal{F}(L_7)) = 4$ by formulas (1) and (2), as desired.

Assume that $n \geq 8$. Set $M_n = x_4\mathcal{F}(L'_{n-5})$ and $N_n = x_3x_5\mathcal{F}(L'_{n-6})$. One has

$$\operatorname{pd}(M_n) = \operatorname{pd}(\mathcal{F}(L_{n-5})) \leq p - 1, \operatorname{pd}(N_n) = \operatorname{pd}(\mathcal{F}(L_{n-6})) \leq p - 2, \quad (3)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{reg}(M_n) &= 1 + \text{reg}(\mathcal{F}(L_{n-5})) = 1 + \begin{cases} 2p-3 & \text{if } n = 3p \text{ or } n = 3p+1 \\ 2(p-1) & \text{if } n = 3p+2 \end{cases} \\
&= \begin{cases} 2p-3 & \text{if } n = 3p \\ 2p-2 & \text{if } n = 3p+1 \\ 2p-1 & \text{if } n = 3p+2, \end{cases} \tag{4}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{reg}(N_n) &= 2 + \text{reg}(\mathcal{F}(L'_{n-6})) = 2 + \begin{cases} 2(p-2) & \text{if } n = 3p \text{ or } n = 3p+1 \\ 2(p-2)+1 & \text{if } n = 3p+2 \end{cases} \\
&= \begin{cases} 2p-2 & \text{if } n = 3p \text{ or } n = 3p+1 \\ 2p-1 & \text{if } n = 3p+2. \end{cases} \tag{5}
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\{x_4\} \cap \text{supp}(\mathcal{F}(L'_{n-6})) = \{x_3, x_5\} \cap \text{supp}(\mathcal{F}(L'_{n-5})) = \emptyset$, one has

$$P_n = M_n + N_n \quad \text{and} \quad M_n \cap N_n = x_3 x_4 x_5 (\mathcal{F}(L'_{n-5}) \cap \mathcal{F}(L'_{n-6})).$$

Combining Lemma 1.7 (2) and (3), the expressions of $M_n \cap N_n$ and the inductive hypothesis, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{pd}(M_n \cap N_n) &= \text{pd}(\mathcal{F}(L'_{n-5}) \cap \mathcal{F}(L'_{n-6})) = \text{pd}(\mathcal{F}(L'_{(n-3)-2}) \cap \mathcal{F}(L'_{(n-3)-3})) \\
&= \text{pd}(P_{n-3}) \leq \begin{cases} p-2 & \text{if } n = 3p \text{ or } n = 3p+1 \\ p-1 & \text{if } n = 3p+2 \end{cases} \tag{6}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{reg}(M_n \cap N_n) &= 3 + \text{reg}(\mathcal{F}(L'_{n-5}) \cap \mathcal{F}(L'_{n-6})) \\
&= 3 + \text{reg}(\mathcal{F}(L'_{(n-3)-2}) \cap \mathcal{F}(L'_{(n-3)-3})) \\
&= 3 + \text{reg}(P_{n-3}) = 3 + \begin{cases} 2(p-1)-1 & \text{if } n = 3p \text{ or } n = 3p+1 \\ 2(p-1) & \text{if } n = 3p+2 \end{cases} \\
&= \begin{cases} 2p & \text{if } n = 3p \text{ or } n = 3p+1 \\ 2p+1 & \text{if } n = 3p+2 \end{cases} \tag{7}
\end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 1.6 (2), (4) and formulas (3) \sim (7) to the following short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow M_n \cap N_n \longrightarrow M_n \oplus N_n \longrightarrow P_n \longrightarrow 0, \tag{\dagger\dagger}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{pd}(P_n) &\leq \max\{\text{pd}(M_n \cap N_n) + 1, \text{pd}(M_n), \text{pd}(N_n)\} \\
&\leq \begin{cases} p-1 & \text{if } n = 3p \text{ or } n = 3p+1 \\ p & \text{if } n = 3p+2 \end{cases} \tag{8}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{reg}(P_n) &= \max\{\text{reg}(M_n \cap N_n) - 1, \text{reg}(M_n), \text{reg}(N_n)\} \\
&= \begin{cases} 2p-1 & \text{if } n = 3p \text{ or } n = 3p+1 \\ 2p & \text{if } n = 3p+2 \end{cases} \tag{9}
\end{aligned}$$

Combining formulas (1), (2), (7), (8) and inductive hypothesis, one has

$$\text{pd}(\mathcal{F}(L_n)) \leq \begin{cases} p & \text{if } n = 3p \text{ or } n = 3p+1 \\ p+1 & \text{if } n = 3p+2, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\operatorname{reg}(\mathcal{F}(L_n)) = \begin{cases} 2p & \text{if } n = 3p, \text{ or } n = 3p + 1 \\ 2p + 1 & \text{if } n = 3p + 2. \end{cases}$$

This finishes the proof. \square

Lemma 3.3. ([21, Corollary 3.33]) *Let $I \subset S$ be a squarefree monomial ideal, then*

$$\operatorname{bight}(I) \leq \operatorname{pd}(I) + 1.$$

By Corollary 2.7, Theorem 3.2 and the lemma above, we have

Corollary 3.4. *Let n be a positive integer, L_n defined as in Lemma 3.1. Then*

$$\operatorname{pd}(\mathcal{F}(L_n)) = \begin{cases} p & \text{if } n = 3p \text{ or } n = 3p + 1 \\ p + 1 & \text{if } n = 3p + 2. \end{cases}$$

An immediate consequence of the above corollary is the following corollary

Corollary 3.5. *Let n be a positive integer, L_n defined as in Lemma 3.1. Then*

$$\operatorname{depth}(\mathcal{F}(L_n)) = \begin{cases} 2p & \text{if } n = 3p \\ 2p + 1 & \text{if } n = 3p + 1 \text{ or } n = 3p + 2. \end{cases}$$

Proof. It follows from Auslander-Buchsbaum formula (see [5, Theorem 1.3.3]). \square

Acknowledgments

This research is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.11271275) and by foundation of the Priority Academic Program Development of Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. A. Athanasiadis, Decompositions and connectivity of matching and chessboard complexes, *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 31 (3) (2004), 395-403.
- [2] A. Alilooee, S. Faridi, Graded Betti numbers of path ideals of cycles and lines, *J. Algebra Appl.*, 17 (01) (2017), 1850011-1-17.
- [3] A. Björner, L. Lovász, S. T. Vrećica, and R.T. Živaljević, Chessboard complexes and matching complexes, *J. London Math. Soc.*, 49 (1994), 25-39.
- [4] S. Bouc, Homologie de certains ensembles de 2-sous-groupes des groupes symétriques, *J. Algebra*, 150 (1992), 158-186.
- [5] W. Bruns and J. Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay rings*, Revised Edition, Cambridge University Press, 1998.
- [6] A. Engström, Independence complexes of claw-free graphs, *Eur. J. Combin.*, 29 (2008), 234-241.
- [7] A. Engström, Complexes of directed trees and independence complexes, *Discrete Math.*, 309 (2009), 3299-3309.
- [8] R. Ehrenborga and G. Hetyei, The topology of the independence complex, *Eur. J. Combin.*, 27 (2006), 906-923.
- [9] S. Faridi, The facet ideal of a simplicial complex, *Manuscripta math.*, 109 (2002), 159-174.
- [10] J. Freidman and P. Hanlon, On the Betti Numbers of Chessboard Complexes, *J. Algebraic Combin.*, 8 (1998), 193-203.
- [11] P.F. Garst, *Cohen-Macaulay complexes and group actions*, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI, 1979.

- [12] H. T. Hà, N. V. Trung, and T. N. Trung, Depth and regularity of powers of sums of ideals, *Math. Z.*, 282 (3-4) (2016), 819-838.
- [13] J. He and A. Van Tuyl, Algebraic properties of the path ideal of a tree, *Comm. Algebra*, 38(5) (2010), 1725-1742.
- [14] J. Herzog and T. Hibi, *Monomial ideals*, Springer-Verlag, New York (2011).
- [15] L. T. Hoa and N. D. Tam, On some invariants of a mixed product of ideals, *Arch. Math.*, 94 (4) (2010), 327-337.
- [16] C. Jiang, Y. Zhao, H. Wang and G. Zhu, The facet ideals of chessboard complexes, submitted.
- [17] J. Jonsson, *Simplicial Complexes of Graphs*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1928, Springer, 2008.
- [18] Kazuhiro Kawamura, Independence complexes of chordal graphs, *Discrete Math.*, 310 (2010), 2204-2211.
- [19] K. Kawamura, Homotopy types of independence complexes of forests, *Contrib. Discrete Math.*, 5 (2) (2010), 67-75.
- [20] M. Marietti, D. Testa, Cores of simplicial complexes, *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 40 (2008), 444-468.
- [21] S. Morey, R.H. Villarreal, *Edge ideals: algebraic and combinatorial properties*, Progress in commutative algebra, 1, 85''C126, de Gruyter, Berlin, 2012.
- [22] V. Reiner and J. Roberts, Minimal resolutions and the homology of matching and chessboard complexes, *J. Algebraic Combin.*, 11 (2) (2000), 135-154.
- [23] M. L. Wachs, Topology of matching, chessboard, and general bounded degree graph complexes, *Algebra Universalis*, 49 (4) (2003), 345-385.
- [24] G. M. Ziegler, Shellability of chessboard complexes, *Israel J. Math.*, 87 (1994), 97-110.
- [25] X. Zheng, Resolutions of facet ideals, *Comm. Algebra*, 32 (2004), 2301-2324.
- [26] G. Zhu, Projective dimension and regularity of the path ideal of the line graph, *J. Algebra Appl.*, 17 (4) (2018), 1850068-1-15.

AUTHORS ADDRESS: SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, SOOCHOW UNIVERSITY, SUZHOU 215006, P.R. CHINA

Email address: zhuguangjun@suda.edu.cn (Corresponding author: Guangjun Zhu), 651634806@qq.com (Hong Wang), 237546805@qq.com (Yijun Cui).