

## 2-LOCAL AND LOCAL DERIVATIONS ON JORDAN MATRIX RINGS OVER COMMUTATIVE INVOLUTIVE RINGS

SH.A. AYUPOV<sup>1,2</sup>, F.N. ARZIKULOV<sup>3,4</sup>, N. M. UMRZAQOV<sup>5</sup>,  
AND O. O. NURIDDINOV<sup>6</sup>

ABSTRACT. In the present paper we prove that every 2-local inner derivation on the Jordan ring of self-adjoint matrices over a commutative involutive ring is a derivation. We also apply our technique to various Jordan algebras of infinite dimensional self-adjoint matrix-valued maps on a set and prove that every 2-local spatial derivation on such algebras is a spatial derivation. It is also proved that every local spatial derivation on the same Jordan algebras is a derivation.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The present paper is devoted to 2-local and local derivations on Jordan rings and Jordan algebras. The study of 2-local derivations began in the paper [9] of Šemrl. In [9] the notion of 2-local derivations is introduced and 2-local derivations on the algebra  $B(H)$  of all bounded linear operators on the infinite-dimensional separable Hilbert space  $H$  are described. Later a number of papers were devoted to 2-local maps on different types of rings, algebras, Banach algebras and Banach spaces.

In this paper 2-local derivations on the Jordan ring of self-adjoint matrices over a commutative involutive ring are described. If in an associative algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  we take the Jordan multiplication  $a \cdot b = \frac{1}{2}(ab + ba)$ , then we obtain the Jordan algebra  $(\mathcal{A}, \cdot)$ . In this case, every 2-local inner derivation of the algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is a derivation if and only if every 2-local inner derivation of the Jordan algebra  $(\mathcal{A}, \cdot)$  is a derivation. In general, for any special Jordan algebra  $(\mathcal{J}, \cdot)$ , the Jordan multiplication  $\cdot$  is generated by an associative multiplication. Therefore, in the present paper the investigation of 2-local derivations on Jordan algebras is based on associative multiplication.

In [2] 2-local inner derivations on the Jordan ring  $H_n(\mathfrak{R})$  of symmetric  $n \times n$  matrices over a commutative associative ring  $\mathfrak{R}$  are investigated. It is proved that every such 2-local inner derivation is a derivation. In the present paper we consider sufficiently general case of Jordan rings of self-adjoint matrices over a commutative involutive ring. Namely, we study inner derivations and 2-local inner derivations on these matrix Jordan rings. We prove that each 2-local inner derivation on the Jordan ring  $H_n(\mathfrak{R})$  of self-adjoint  $n \times n$  matrices over a commutative unital involutive ring  $\mathfrak{R}$  is a derivation. As a corollary we established that

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16W25, 46L57, 47B47, 17C65.

*Key words and phrases.* Derivation; inner Jordan derivation; 2-local Jordan derivation; local Jordan derivation; Jordan ring; Jordan ring of matrices over an involutive ring.

every 2-local inner derivation on the Jordan algebra  $H_n(\mathcal{A})$  of self-adjoint  $n \times n$  matrices over a commutative unital involutive algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is a derivation.

We also study 2-local spatial derivations on various Jordan algebras of infinite dimensional self-adjoint matrix-valued maps on a set. We prove that every 2-local spatial derivation on subalgebras of the algebra  $M(\Omega, B_{sa}(H))$  of all maps from  $\Omega$  to  $B_{sa}(H)$  for an arbitrary set  $\Omega$  and the algebra  $B(H)$  of all bounded linear operators on a separable Hilbert space  $H$ , under some conditions, is a derivation. The problems considered here are firstly mentioned in [3] (Problem 1).

The rest sections are devoted to the description of local inner derivations on the considered algebras. It is proved that every local spatial derivation on the such Jordan algebras of infinite dimensional self-adjoint matrix-valued maps on a set is a derivation. A number of results concerning local derivations on Jordan algebras are obtained in [4].

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

This section is devoted to derivations and 2-local derivations of Jordan rings.

Let  $\mathfrak{R}$  be a Jordan ring. Recall that a map  $D : \mathfrak{R} \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$  is called a derivation, if  $D(x + y) = D(x) + D(y)$  and  $D(xy) = D(x)y + xD(y)$  for any two elements  $x, y \in \mathfrak{R}$ .

Given subsets  $B$  and  $C$  of a Lie algebra with bracket  $[\cdot, \cdot]$ , let  $[B, C]$  denote the set of all finite sums of elements  $[b, c]$ , where  $b \in B$  and  $c \in C$ .

Consider a Jordan ring  $\mathfrak{R}$  and let  $m = \{xM : x \in \mathfrak{R}\}$ , where  $xM$  denotes the multiplication operator defined by  $(xM)y := x \cdot y$  for all  $x, y \in \mathfrak{R}$ . Let  $aut(\mathfrak{R})$  denotes the Lie ring of all derivations of  $\mathfrak{R}$ . The elements of the ideal  $int(\mathfrak{R}) := [m, m]$  of  $aut(\mathfrak{R})$  are called inner derivations of  $\mathfrak{R}$ . In other words, a derivation  $D$  on  $\mathfrak{R}$  is called an inner derivation if there exist elements  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m$  in  $\mathfrak{R}$  such that

$$D(x) = \sum_{k=1}^m [a_k(b_k x) - b_k(a_k x)], x \in \mathfrak{R}.$$

A map  $\Delta : \mathfrak{R} \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$  is called a 2-local derivation, if for any two elements  $x, y \in \mathfrak{R}$  there exists a derivation  $D_{x,y} : \mathfrak{R} \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$  such that  $\Delta(x) = D_{x,y}(x)$ ,  $\Delta(y) = D_{x,y}(y)$ .

Let  $\Delta$  be a 2-local derivation of the Jordan ring  $\mathfrak{R}$ .  $\Delta$  is called a 2-local inner derivation, if for each pair of elements  $x, y \in \mathfrak{R}$  there is an inner derivation  $D$  of  $\mathfrak{R}$  such that  $\Delta(x) = D(x)$ ,  $\Delta(y) = D(y)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an associative unital ring. Suppose  $2 = 1 + 1$  is invertible in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then the set  $\mathcal{A}$  with respect to the operations of addition and Jordan multiplication

$$a \cdot b = \frac{1}{2}(ab + ba), a, b \in \mathcal{A}$$

is a Jordan ring. This Jordan ring we will denote by  $(\mathcal{A}, \cdot)$ . For any elements  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m \in \mathcal{A}$  the map

$$D(x) = \sum_{k=1}^m D_{a_k, b_k}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^m (a_k \cdot (b_k \cdot x) - b_k \cdot (a_k \cdot x))$$

$$= D_{\frac{1}{4}(\sum_{k=1}^l [a_k, b_k])}(x), x \in \mathcal{A}$$

is a derivation on the associative unital ring  $\mathcal{A}$ . Therefore every inner derivation of the Jordan ring  $(\mathcal{A}, \cdot)$  is an inner derivation of the associative ring  $\mathcal{A}$ . And also every inner derivation of the form  $D_{ab-ba}(x) = (ab - ba)x - x(ab - ba)$ ,  $x \in \mathcal{A}$  is an inner derivation of the Jordan ring  $(\mathcal{A}, \cdot)$ . Also, every 2-local inner derivation of the Jordan ring  $(\mathcal{A}, \cdot)$  is a 2-local inner derivation of the associative ring  $\mathcal{A}$ .

Now, let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an involutive unital ring and  $\mathcal{A}_{sa}$  be the set of all self-adjoint elements of the ring  $\mathcal{A}$ . Suppose 2 is invertible in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then, it is known that  $(\mathcal{A}_{sa}, \cdot)$  is a Jordan ring and every inner derivation of the Jordan ring  $(\mathcal{A}_{sa}, \cdot)$  is extended to an inner derivation of the  $*$ -ring  $\mathcal{A}$ . Such extension of derivations on a special Jordan algebra are considered in [10]. As to a 2-local inner derivation, in this case till now it was not possible to carry out such extension without additional conditions. This problem shows the importance of the main result in the following section.

### 3. 2-LOCAL DERIVATIONS ON THE JORDAN RING OF SELF-ADJOINT MATRICES OVER AN INVOLUTIVE COMMUTATIVE RING

Let, throughout the present section,  $\mathfrak{R}$  be a unital involutive ring,  $M_n(\mathfrak{R})$  be the  $n \times n$  matrices ring over  $\mathfrak{R}$ ,  $n > 1$ . Let  $\{e_{i,j}\}_{i,j=1}^n$  be the set of matrix units in  $M_n(\mathfrak{R})$ , i.e.  $e_{i,j}$  is a matrix with components  $a^{i,j} = \mathbf{1}$  and  $a^{k,l} = \mathbf{0}$  if  $(i,j) \neq (k,l)$ , where  $\mathbf{1}$  is the identity element,  $\mathbf{0}$  is the zero element of  $\mathfrak{R}$ , and a matrix  $a \in M_n(\mathfrak{R})$  is written as  $a = \sum_{k,l=1}^n a^{k,l} e_{k,l}$ , where  $a^{k,l} \in \mathfrak{R}$  for  $k, l = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

Suppose that the element 2 is invertible in  $\mathfrak{R}$ . In this case the set

$$H_n(\mathfrak{R}) = \{(a_{i,j})_{i,j=1}^n \in M_n(\mathfrak{R}) :$$

$$(a^{i,i})^* = a^{i,i}, (a^{i,j})^* = a^{j,i}, i \neq j, i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

is a Jordan ring with respect to the addition and the Jordan multiplication

$$a \cdot b = \frac{1}{2}(ab + ba), a, b \in H_n(\mathfrak{R}).$$

Throughout this section, let  $\bar{e}_{i,j} = e_{i,j} + e_{j,i}$  for every pair of different indices  $i, j$  in  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $\Delta$  be a 2-local derivation on  $H_2(\mathfrak{R})$  and let  $\sum_{k=1}^m D_{a_k, b_k}$ ,  $\sum_{k=1}^l D_{c_k, d_k}$  be the inner derivations on  $H_2(\mathfrak{R})$ , generated by some elements  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m, c_1, c_2, \dots, c_l, d_1, d_2, \dots, d_l \in H_2(\mathfrak{R})$  such that*

$$\Delta(\bar{e}_{1,2}) = \sum_{k=1}^m D_{a_k, b_k}(\bar{e}_{1,2}) = \sum_{k=1}^l D_{c_k, d_k}(\bar{e}_{1,2}).$$

Then

$$e_{1,1}(\sum_{k=1}^m [a_k, b_k])e_{2,2} = e_{1,1}(\sum_{k=1}^l [c_k, d_k])e_{2,2}, e_{2,2}(\sum_{k=1}^m [a_k, b_k])e_{1,1} = e_{2,2}(\sum_{k=1}^l [c_k, d_k])e_{1,1}$$

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^m [a_k, b_k]^{1,1} - \sum_{k=1}^m [a_k, b_k]^{2,2} = \sum_{k=1}^l [c_k, d_k]^{1,1} - \sum_{k=1}^l [c_k, d_k]^{2,2}$$

with respect to the associative multiplication.

*Proof.* By the definition of a derivation and by the properties of Peirce component

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^m D_{a_k, b_k}(\bar{e}_{1,2}) &= \sum_{k=1}^m D_{a_k, b_k}(2e_{11}(\bar{e}_{1,2})) = 2 \sum_{k=1}^m D_{a_k, b_k}(e_{11})(\bar{e}_{1,2}) + 2e_{11} \sum_{k=1}^m D_{a_k, b_k}(\bar{e}_{1,2}), \\ \sum_{k=1}^l D_{c_k, d_k}(\bar{e}_{1,2}) &= \sum_{k=1}^l D_{c_k, d_k}(2e_{11}(\bar{e}_{1,2})) = 2 \sum_{k=1}^l D_{c_k, d_k}(e_{11})(\bar{e}_{1,2}) + 2e_{11} \sum_{k=1}^l D_{c_k, d_k}(\bar{e}_{1,2}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, since  $\sum_{k=1}^m D_{a_k, b_k}(\bar{e}_{1,2}) = \sum_{k=1}^l D_{c_k, d_k}(\bar{e}_{1,2})$  we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^m D_{a_k, b_k}(e_{11}) = \sum_{k=1}^l D_{c_k, d_k}(e_{11}). \quad (1)$$

Let  $a = \frac{1}{4}(\sum_{k=1}^m [a_k, b_k])$ ,  $c = \frac{1}{4}(\sum_{k=1}^l [c_k, d_k])$ . Take the extensions  $D_a, D_c$  of the derivations  $\sum_{k=1}^m D_{a_k, b_k}, \sum_{k=1}^l D_{c_k, d_k}$  on the ring  $M_2(\mathfrak{R})$  respectively. Then

$$D_a(e_{12}) = D_a(e_{11}(\bar{e}_{1,2})) = D_a(e_{11})(\bar{e}_{1,2}) + e_{11}D_a(\bar{e}_{1,2}),$$

$$D_c(e_{12}) = D_c(e_{11}(\bar{e}_{1,2})) = D_c(e_{11})(\bar{e}_{1,2}) + e_{11}D_c(\bar{e}_{1,2}).$$

By (1)  $D_a(e_{11}) = D_c(e_{11})$ . Therefore

$$D_a(e_{12}) = D_c(e_{12}).$$

Hence

$$e_{22}ae_{12}e_{21} - e_{22}e_{12}ae_{21} = e_{22}ce_{12}e_{21} - e_{22}e_{12}ce_{21}$$

and  $e_{22}ae_{11} = e_{22}ce_{11}$ . Also,

$$e_{11}ae_{12}e_{22} - e_{11}e_{12}ae_{22} = e_{11}ce_{12}e_{22} - e_{11}e_{12}ce_{22}$$

and

$$a^{11}e_{12} - a^{22}e_{12} = c^{11}e_{12} - c^{22}e_{12},$$

i.e.  $a^{11} - a^{22} = c^{11} - c^{22}$ .

Similarly, we have

$$D_a(e_{21}) = D_c(e_{21}), e_{11}ae_{22} = e_{11}ce_{22}.$$

The proof is complete.  $\square$

Let  $\Delta$  be a 2-local derivation on  $H_2(\mathfrak{R})$  and let  $\sum_{k=1}^m D_{a_k, b_k}$  be an inner derivation on  $H_2(\mathfrak{R})$ , generated by  $a, b \in H_2(\mathfrak{R})$  such that

$$\Delta(\bar{e}_{1,2}) = \sum_{k=1}^m D_{a_k, b_k}(\bar{e}_{1,2}).$$

Let  $a_{i,j} = e_{i,i} \frac{1}{4} (\sum_{k=1}^m [a_k, b_k]) e_{j,j}$ ,  $a^{i,j} e_{i,j} = \frac{1}{4} (\sum_{k=1}^m [a_k, b_k]^{i,j}) e_{i,j}$ ,  $a^{i,j} \in \mathfrak{R}$ ,  $\sum_{k=1}^m [a_k, b_k]^{i,j} \in \mathfrak{R}$ ,  $i, j = 1, 2$ . Then by Lemma 3.1 for all elements  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_l, d_1, d_2, \dots, d_l \in H_2(\mathfrak{R})$  such that

$$\Delta(\bar{e}_{1,2}) = \sum_{k=1}^l D_{c_k, d_k}(\bar{e}_{1,2})$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_{12} &= e_{11} \frac{1}{4} \left( \sum_{k=1}^l [c_k, d_k] \right) e_{22}, \quad a_{21} = e_{22} \frac{1}{4} \left( \sum_{k=1}^l [c_k, d_k] \right) e_{11}, \\ a^{11} - a^{22} &= \frac{1}{4} \left( \sum_{k=1}^l [c_k, d_k] \right)^{11} - \frac{1}{4} \left( \sum_{k=1}^l [c_k, d_k] \right)^{22}. \end{aligned}$$

The following theorem takes place.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $\mathfrak{R}$  be a unital commutative involutive ring. Then every inner 2-local derivation on  $H_2(\mathfrak{R})$  is an inner derivation.*

*Proof.*  $\Delta$  be an arbitrary 2-local inner derivation on  $H_2(\mathfrak{R})$ . We prove that the 2-local inner derivation  $\Delta$  on  $H_2(\mathfrak{R})$  satisfies the following condition

$$\Delta(x) = D_{\frac{1}{4}(\sum_{k=1}^m [a_k, b_k])}(x), \quad x \in H_2(\mathfrak{R}).$$

Let  $x \in H_2(\mathfrak{R})$  and  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_l, d_1, d_2, \dots, d_l$  be elements in  $M_2(\mathfrak{R})$  such that

$$\Delta(\bar{e}_{1,2}) = \sum_{k=1}^l D_{c_k, d_k}(\bar{e}_{1,2}), \quad \Delta(x) = \sum_{k=1}^l D_{c_k, d_k}(x),$$

and let  $c = \frac{1}{4}(\sum_{k=1}^l [c_k, d_k])$ . Then  $\sum_{k=1}^l D_{c_k, d_k}$  is extended to the inner derivation  $D_c$  on  $M_2(\mathfrak{R})$ . By Lemma 3.1  $a_{12} = e_{11} c e_{22}$ ,  $a_{21} = e_{22} c e_{11}$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(\bar{e}_{1,2}) &= (a_{12} + a_{21})(\bar{e}_{1,2}) - (\bar{e}_{1,2})(a_{12} + a_{21}) + \\ &\quad c^{11}(\bar{e}_{1,2}) - c^{22}(\bar{e}_{1,2}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} e_{22} \Delta(x) e_{11} &= e_{22} \sum_{k=1}^l D_{c_k, d_k}(x) e_{11} = e_{22} D_c(x) e_{11} = e_{22}(cx - xc) e_{11} = \\ &= e_{22} c e_{22} x e_{11} + e_{22} c e_{11} x e_{11} - e_{22} x e_{22} c e_{11} - e_{22} x e_{11} c e_{11} = \\ &= a_{21} e_{11} x e_{11} - e_{22} x e_{22} a_{21} + e_{22} c e_{22} x e_{11} - e_{22} x e_{11} c e_{11} = \\ &= a_{21} e_{11} x e_{11} - e_{22} x e_{22} a_{21} + (a^{22} - a^{11}) e_{22} x e_{11} = \\ &= a_{21} e_{11} x e_{11} - e_{22} x e_{22} a_{21} + a_{22} e_{22} x e_{11} - e_{22} x e_{11} a_{11} = \\ &= e_{22}(a_{21} + a_{22} + a_{11} + a_{12}) x e_{11} - e_{22} x (a_{21} + a_{11} + a_{11} + a_{12}) e_{22} = \\ &= e_{22} \left( \left( \sum_{i,j=1}^2 a_{i,j} \right) x - x \left( \sum_{i,j=1}^2 a_{i,j} \right) \right) e_{11}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$e_{11} \Delta(x) e_{22} = e_{11} \left( \left( \sum_{i,j=1}^2 a_{i,j} \right) x - x \left( \sum_{i,j=1}^2 a_{i,j} \right) \right) e_{22}.$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned}
e_{11}\Delta(x)e_{11} &= e_{11}\sum_{k=1}^l D_{c_k, d_k}(x)e_{11} = e_{11}D_c(x)e_{11} = e_{11}(cx - xc)e_{11} = \\
&e_{11}ce_{22}xe_{11} + e_{11}ce_{11}xe_{11} - e_{11}xe_{22}ce_{11} - e_{11}xe_{11}ce_{11} = \\
&a_{12}e_{22}xe_{11} + a_{11}e_{11}xe_{11} - e_{11}xe_{22}a_{21} - e_{11}xe_{11}a_{11} = \\
&e_{11}(a_{12} + a_{11} + a_{22} + a_{21})xe_{11} + e_{11}x(a_{21} + a_{11} + a_{22} + a_{12})e_{11} = \\
&e_{11}\left(\left(\sum_{i,j=1}^2 a_{i,j}\right)x - x\left(\sum_{i,j=1}^2 a_{i,j}\right)\right)e_{11}.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly we have

$$e_{22}\Delta(x)e_{22} = e_{22}\left(\left(\sum_{i,j=1}^2 a_{i,j}\right)x - x\left(\sum_{i,j=1}^2 a_{i,j}\right)\right)e_{22}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta(x) &= \sum_{i,j=1}^2 e_{i,i}\Delta(x)e_{j,j} = \sum_{i,j=1}^2 e_{i,i}\left(\left(\sum_{i,j=1}^2 a_{i,j}\right)x - x\left(\sum_{i,j=1}^2 a_{i,j}\right)\right)e_{j,j} = \\
&\left(\sum_{i,j=1}^2 a_{i,j}\right)x - x\left(\sum_{i,j=1}^2 a_{i,j}\right) = D_{\frac{1}{4}(\sum_{k=1}^m [a_k, b_k])}(x),
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\frac{1}{4}(\sum_{k=1}^m [a_k, b_k]) = \sum_{i,j=1}^2 a_{i,j}$ . Since the element  $x$  in  $H_2(\mathfrak{R})$  was chosen arbitrarily we have  $\Delta$  is linear and

$$\Delta(xy) = \Delta(x)y + x\Delta(y)$$

for all  $x, y \in H_2(\mathfrak{R})$ . Hence  $\Delta$  is an inner derivation. The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $\Delta$  be a 2-local derivation on  $H_n(\mathfrak{R})$ ,  $i, j, p$  be arbitrary pairwise different indices*

1) *let  $\sum_{k=1}^m D_{a_k, b_k}$ ,  $\sum_{k=1}^l D_{c_k, d_k}$  be the derivations on  $H_n(\mathfrak{R})$ , generated by some elements  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m, c_1, c_2, \dots, c_l, d_1, d_2, \dots, d_l \in H_n(\mathfrak{R})$  such that*

$$\Delta(\bar{e}_{i,j}) = \sum_{k=1}^m D_{a_k, b_k}(\bar{e}_{i,j}) = \sum_{k=1}^l D_{c_k, d_k}(\bar{e}_{i,j}).$$

*Then the following equalities are valid relatively the associative multiplication*

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\sum_{k=1}^m [a_k, b_k]\right)^{i,j} - \left(\sum_{k=1}^m [a_k, b_k]\right)^{j,i} &= \left(\sum_{k=1}^l [c_k, d_k]\right)^{i,j} - \left(\sum_{k=1}^l [c_k, d_k]\right)^{j,i}, \\
\left(\sum_{k=1}^m [a_k, b_k]\right)^{i,i} - \left(\sum_{k=1}^m [a_k, b_k]\right)^{j,j} &= \left(\sum_{k=1}^l [c_k, d_k]\right)^{i,i} - \left(\sum_{k=1}^l [c_k, d_k]\right)^{j,j}.
\end{aligned}$$

2) let  $\sum_{k=1}^m D_{a_k, b_k}, \sum_{k=1}^l D_{c_k, d_k}$  be derivations on  $H_n(\mathfrak{R})$ , generated by elements  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m, c_1, c_2, \dots, c_l, d_1, d_2, \dots, d_l \in H_n(\mathfrak{R})$  such that

$$\Delta(\bar{e}_{i,j}) = \sum_{k=1}^m D_{a_k, b_k}(\bar{e}_{i,j}), \Delta(\bar{e}_{i,p}) = \sum_{k=1}^l D_{c_k, d_k}(\bar{e}_{i,p}).$$

Then the following equality is valid

$$\sum_{k=1}^m [a_k, b_k]^{i,j} - \sum_{k=1}^m [a_k, b_k]^{j,i} = \sum_{k=1}^l [c_k, d_k]^{i,j} - \sum_{k=1}^l [c_k, d_k]^{j,i}.$$

*Proof.* The assertion 1) of this lemma is proved similar to Lemma 3.1.

2): There exist  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_q, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_q \in H_n(\mathfrak{R})$

$$\Delta(\bar{e}_{i,j}) = \sum_{k=1}^q D_{x_k, y_k}(\bar{e}_{i,j}), \Delta(\bar{e}_{i,p}) = \sum_{k=1}^q D_{x_k, y_k}(\bar{e}_{i,p}).$$

Let  $a = \sum_{k=1}^m [a_k, b_k], c = \sum_{k=1}^l [c_k, d_k], x = \sum_{k=1}^m [a_k, b_k]$ . Then

$$a\bar{e}_{i,j} - \bar{e}_{i,j}a = x\bar{e}_{i,j} - \bar{e}_{i,j}x,$$

$$c\bar{e}_{i,p} - \bar{e}_{i,p}c = x\bar{e}_{i,p} - \bar{e}_{i,p}x.$$

By the assertion 1) of this lemma

$$\sum_{k=1}^m [a_k, b_k]^{i,j} - \sum_{k=1}^m [a_k, b_k]^{j,i} = \sum_{k=1}^q [x_k, y_k]^{i,j} - \sum_{k=1}^q [x_k, y_k]^{j,i}.$$

Also we have

$$e_{p,p}(c\bar{e}_{i,p} - \bar{e}_{i,p}c)e_{j,j} = e_{p,p}(x\bar{e}_{i,p} - \bar{e}_{i,p}x)e_{j,j},$$

$$e_{p,i}c e_{j,j} = e_{p,i}x e_{j,j}, c^{i,j} e_{p,j} = \left( \sum_{k=1}^q [x_k, y_k]^{i,j} \right) e_{p,j},$$

i.e.  $\sum_{k=1}^l [c_k, d_k]^{i,j} = \sum_{k=1}^q [x_k, y_k]^{i,j}$ . Similarly we have  $\sum_{k=1}^l [c_k, d_k]^{j,i} = \sum_{k=1}^q [x_k, y_k]^{j,i}$ . Hence

$$a^{i,j} - a^{j,i} = c^{i,j} - c^{j,i}.$$

□

**Lemma 3.4.** Let  $\Delta$  be a 2-local derivation on  $H_n(\mathfrak{R})$ ,  $i, j, p$  be arbitrary pairwise different indices and let  $\sum_{k=1}^m D_{a_k, b_k}, \sum_{k=1}^l D_{c_k, d_k}$  be the derivations on  $H_n(\mathfrak{R})$ , generated by some elements  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m, c_1, c_2, \dots, c_l, d_1, d_2, \dots, d_l \in H_n(\mathfrak{R})$  such that

$$\Delta(\bar{e}_{i,p}) = \sum_{k=1}^m D_{a_k, b_k}(\bar{e}_{i,p}), \Delta(\bar{e}_{p,j}) = \sum_{k=1}^l D_{c_k, d_k}(\bar{e}_{p,j}).$$

Then the following equalities hold relative to the associative multiplication

$$e_{i,i} \left( \sum_{k=1}^m [a_k, b_k] \right) e_{j,j} = e_{i,i} \left( \sum_{k=1}^l [c_k, d_k] \right) e_{j,j}, e_{j,j} \left( \sum_{k=1}^m [a_k, b_k] \right) e_{i,i} = e_{j,j} \left( \sum_{k=1}^l [c_k, d_k] \right) e_{i,i}.$$

*Proof.* There exist  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_q, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_q \in H_n(\mathfrak{R})$  such that

$$\Delta(\bar{e}_{i,p}) = \sum_{k=1}^q D_{x_k, y_k}(\bar{e}_{i,p}), \Delta(\bar{e}_{p,j}) = \sum_{k=1}^q D_{x_k, y_k}(\bar{e}_{p,j}).$$

Let  $a = \sum_{k=1}^m [a_k, b_k]$ ,  $c = \sum_{k=1}^l [c_k, d_k]$ ,  $x = \sum_{k=1}^m [a_k, b_k]$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} a\bar{e}_{i,p} - \bar{e}_{i,p}a &= x\bar{e}_{i,p} - \bar{e}_{i,p}x, \\ c\bar{e}_{p,j} - \bar{e}_{p,j}c &= x\bar{e}_{p,j} - \bar{e}_{p,j}x, \\ e_{j,j}ae_{i,p} &= e_{j,j}xe_{i,p}, e_{p,i}ae_{j,j} = e_{p,i}xe_{j,j}, \\ e_{i,i}ce_{j,p} &= e_{i,i}xe_{j,p}, e_{p,j}ce_{i,i} = e_{p,j}xe_{i,i}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} e_{j,j}ae_{i,i} &= e_{j,j}xe_{i,i}, e_{i,i}ae_{j,j} = e_{i,i}xe_{j,j}, \\ e_{i,i}ce_{j,j} &= e_{i,i}xe_{j,j}, e_{j,j}ce_{i,i} = e_{j,j}xe_{i,i}. \end{aligned}$$

and

$$e_{j,j}ae_{i,i} = e_{j,j}ce_{i,i}, e_{i,i}ae_{j,j} = e_{i,i}ce_{j,j}.$$

□

Let  $\Delta$  be a 2-local derivation on  $H_n(\mathfrak{R})$ ,  $i, j, p$  be arbitrary pairwise different indices, and let  $\sum_{k=1}^m D_{a_k, b_k}$  be the derivation on  $H_n(\mathfrak{R})$ , generated by some elements  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m \in H_n(\mathfrak{R})$  such that

$$\Delta(\bar{e}_{i,p}) = \sum_{k=1}^m D_{a_k, b_k}(\bar{e}_{i,p}), \Delta(\bar{e}_{p,j}) = \sum_{k=1}^m D_{a_k, b_k}(\bar{e}_{p,j}).$$

By Lemma 3.4 the following elements are well-defined

$$\begin{aligned} a_{i,j} &= e_{i,i} \frac{1}{4} \left( \sum_{k=1}^m [a_k, b_k] \right) e_{j,j}, a^{i,j} e_{i,j} = \frac{1}{4} \left( \sum_{k=1}^m [a_k, b_k] \right)^{i,j} e_{i,j}, a^{i,j} \in \mathfrak{R}, \left( \sum_{k=1}^m [a_k, b_k] \right)^{i,j} \in \mathfrak{R}, \\ a_{j,i} &= e_{j,j} \frac{1}{4} \left( \sum_{k=1}^m [a_k, b_k] \right) e_{i,i}, a^{j,i} e_{j,i} = \frac{1}{4} \left( \sum_{k=1}^m [a_k, b_k] \right)^{j,i} e_{j,i}, a^{j,i} \in \mathfrak{R}, \left( \sum_{k=1}^m [a_k, b_k] \right)^{j,i} \in \mathfrak{R}. \end{aligned}$$

In these notations the following lemma is valid.

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $\Delta$  be a 2-local derivation on  $H_n(\mathfrak{R})$ ,  $i, j$  be arbitrary different indices and let  $\sum_{k=1}^l D_{c_k, d_k}$  be the derivation on  $H_n(\mathfrak{R})$ , generated by some elements  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_l, d_1, d_2, \dots, d_l \in H_n(\mathfrak{R})$  such that*

$$\Delta(\bar{e}_{i,j}) = \sum_{k=1}^l D_{c_k, d_k}(\bar{e}_{i,j}).$$

Then the following equality is valid

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(\bar{e}_{i,j}) &= \\ & \left( \sum_{k,l=1, k \neq l}^n a_{k,l} \right) \bar{e}_{i,j} - \bar{e}_{i,j} \left( \sum_{k,l=1, k \neq l}^n a_{k,l} \right) + \end{aligned}$$



$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^l [c_k, d_k]\right)^{i,i} - \left(\sum_{k=1}^l [c_k, d_k]\right)^{j,j} e_{i,j} + \left(\sum_{k=1}^l [c_k, d_k]\right)^{j,j} - \left(\sum_{k=1}^l [c_k, d_k]\right)^{i,i} e_{j,i}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $c = \sum_{k=1}^l [c_k, d_k]$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(\bar{e}_{i,j}) &= c\bar{e}_{i,j} - \bar{e}_{i,j}c = \sum_{k=1}^n e_{k,k}c\bar{e}_{i,j} - \sum_{k=1}^n \bar{e}_{i,j}ce_{k,k} = \\ & \sum_{k=1, k \neq i, k \neq j}^n e_{k,k}c\bar{e}_{i,j} - \sum_{k=1, k \neq i, k \neq j}^n \bar{e}_{i,j}ce_{k,k} + \\ & (e_{i,i} + e_{j,j})a(i,j)\bar{e}_{i,j} - \bar{e}_{i,j}a(i,j)(e_{i,i} + e_{j,j}) = \\ & \sum_{k=1, k \neq i, k \neq j}^n e_{k,k}ce_{i,j} - \sum_{k=1, k \neq i, k \neq j}^n e_{i,j}ce_{k,k} + \\ & \sum_{k=1, k \neq i, k \neq j}^n e_{k,k}ce_{j,i} - \sum_{k=1, k \neq i, k \neq j}^n e_{j,i}ce_{k,k} + \\ & (e_{i,i} + e_{j,j})c\bar{e}_{i,j} - \bar{e}_{i,j}c(e_{i,i} + e_{j,j}) = \\ & \sum_{k=1, k \neq i, k \neq j}^n a_{k,i}e_{i,j} - \sum_{k=1, k \neq i, k \neq j}^n e_{i,j}ae_{j,k} + \\ & \sum_{k=1, k \neq i, k \neq j}^n a_{k,j}e_{j,i} - \sum_{k=1, k \neq i, k \neq j}^n e_{j,i}a_{i,k} + \\ & (e_{i,i} + e_{j,j})c\bar{e}_{i,j} - \bar{e}_{i,j}c(e_{i,i} + e_{j,j}). \end{aligned}$$

At the same time

$$\begin{aligned} & (e_{i,i} + e_{j,j})c\bar{e}_{i,j} - \bar{e}_{i,j}c(e_{i,i} + e_{j,j}) = \\ & e_{i,i}ce_{i,j} + e_{i,i}ce_{j,i} + e_{j,j}ce_{i,j} + e_{j,j}ce_{j,i} - \\ & e_{i,j}ce_{i,i} - e_{j,i}ce_{i,i} - e_{i,j}ce_{j,j} - e_{j,i}ce_{j,j} = \\ & (c^{i,i} - c^{j,j})e_{i,j} + (c^{j,j} - c^{i,i})e_{i,i} + \\ & (c^{j,i} - c^{i,j})e_{j,j} + (c^{i,i} - c^{j,j})e_{j,i} = \\ & (c^{i,i} - c^{j,j})e_{i,j} + (a^{i,j} - a^{j,i})e_{i,i} + \\ & (a^{j,i} - a^{i,j})e_{j,j} + (c^{j,j} - c^{i,i})e_{j,i} = \\ & (c^{i,i} - c^{j,j})e_{i,j} + a_{i,j}e_{j,i} - e_{i,j}a_{j,i} + \\ & a_{j,i}e_{i,j} - e_{j,i}a_{i,j} + (c^{j,j} - c^{i,i})e_{j,i}. \end{aligned}$$

by 2) of Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.4. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(\bar{e}_{i,j}) &= \\ & \left( \sum_{k,l=1, k \neq l}^n a_{k,l} \right) e_{i,j} - e_{i,j} \left( \sum_{k,l=1, k \neq l}^n a_{k,l} \right) + \left( \sum_{k,l=1, k \neq l}^n a_{k,l} \right) e_{j,i} - e_{j,i} \left( \sum_{k,l=1, k \neq l}^n a_{k,l} \right) + \\ & (c^{i,i} - c^{j,j})e_{i,j} + (c^{j,j} - c^{i,i})e_{j,i} = \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \sum_{k,l=1, k \neq l}^n a_{k,l} \right) \bar{e}_{i,j} - \bar{e}_{i,j} \left( \sum_{k,l=1, k \neq l}^n a_{k,l} \right) + \\ & (c^{i,i} - c^{j,j}) e_{i,j} + (c^{j,j} - c^{i,i}) e_{j,i}. \end{aligned}$$

This ends the proof.  $\square$

Let  $\mathfrak{R}$  be a unital involutive ring, and let  $x_o = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \bar{e}_{k,k+1} \in H_n(\mathfrak{R})$ . Fix different indices  $i_o, j_o$ . Let  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_q, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_q \in H_n(\mathfrak{R})$  be elements such that

$$\Delta(\bar{e}_{i_o, j_o}) = \sum_{k=1}^q [x_k(y_k \bar{e}_{i_o, j_o}) - y_k(x_k \bar{e}_{i_o, j_o})]$$

and

$$\Delta(x_o) = \sum_{k=1}^q [x_k(y_k x_o) - y_k(x_k x_o)].$$

Let  $c = \frac{1}{4}(\sum_{k=1}^q [x_k, y_k])$ .

Put  $c = \sum_{i,j=1}^n c^{i,j} e_{i,j} \in H_n(\mathfrak{R})$  and  $\bar{a} = \sum_{i,j=1, i \neq j}^n a_{i,j} + \sum_{i=1}^n a_{i,i}$ , where  $a_{i,i} = c^{i,i} e_{i,i}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let  $\mathfrak{R}$  be a unital involutive ring, let  $k, l$  be arbitrary different indices, and let  $b = \{b^{i,j} e_{i,j}\} \in H_n(\mathfrak{R})$  be an element such that  $b = \frac{1}{4}(\sum_{k=1}^q [c_k, d_k])$  for some  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_q, d_1, d_2, \dots, d_q \in H_n(\mathfrak{R})$  such that*

$$\Delta(\bar{e}_{k,l}) = \sum_{p=1}^q [c_p(d_p(\bar{e}_{k,l})) - d_p(c_p(\bar{e}_{k,l}))]$$

and

$$\Delta(x_o) = \sum_{p=1}^q [c_p(d_p x_o) - d_p(c_p x_o)].$$

Then  $c^{k,k} - c^{l,l} = b^{k,k} - b^{l,l}$ .

*Proof.* We may assume that  $k < l$ . We have

$$\Delta(x_o) = c x_o - x_o c = b x_o - x_o b.$$

Hence

$$e_{k,k}(c x_o - x_o c) e_{k+1, k+1} = e_{k,k}(b x_o - x_o b) e_{k+1, k+1}$$

and

$$c^{k,k} - c^{k+1, k+1} = b^{k,k} - b^{k+1, k+1}.$$

Then for the sequence

$$(k, k+1), (k+1, k+2) \dots (l-1, l)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} c^{k,k} - c^{k+1, k+1} &= b^{k,k} - b^{k+1, k+1}, c^{k+1, k+1} - c^{k+2, k+2} = b^{k+1, k+1} - b^{k+2, k+2}, \dots \\ c^{l-1, l-1} - c^{l,l} &= b^{l-1, l-1} - b^{l,l}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$c^{k,k} - b^{k,k} = c^{k+1, k+1} - b^{k+1, k+1}, c^{k+1, k+1} - b^{k+1, k+1} = c^{k+2, k+2} - b^{k+2, k+2}, \dots$$

$$c^{l-1,l-1} - b^{l-1,l-1} = c^{l,l} - b^{l,l}.$$

Therefore  $c^{k,k} - b^{k,k} = c^{l,l} - b^{l,l}$ , i.e.  $c^{k,k} - c^{l,l} = b^{k,k} - b^{l,l}$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.7.** *Let  $\mathfrak{R}$  be a unital commutative involutive ring. Then every 2-local inner derivation on  $H_n(\mathfrak{R})$  is a derivation.*

*Proof.* We prove that each inner 2-local derivation  $\Delta$  on  $H_n(\mathfrak{R})$  satisfies the condition

$$\Delta(x) = D_{\bar{a}}(x) = \bar{a}x - x\bar{a}, x \in H_n(\mathfrak{R}).$$

Let  $x$  be an arbitrary element in  $H_n(\mathfrak{R})$  and let  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_l, d_1, d_2, \dots, d_l \in H_n(\mathfrak{R})$  be elements such that

$$\Delta(\bar{e}_{i,j}) = \sum_{k=1}^l D_{c_k, d_k}(\bar{e}_{i,j}), \Delta(x) = \sum_{k=1}^l D_{c_k, d_k}(x)$$

and  $i \neq j$ . Then

$$\Delta(\bar{e}_{i,j}) = D_{\frac{1}{4}(\sum_{k=1}^l [c_k, d_k])}(\bar{e}_{i,j}), \Delta(x) = D_{\frac{1}{4}(\sum_{k=1}^l [c_k, d_k])}(x).$$

Let  $d(ij) = \frac{1}{4}(\sum_{k=1}^l [c_k, d_k])$ . Then

$$\Delta(\bar{e}_{i,j}) = d(ij)(\bar{e}_{i,j}) - (\bar{e}_{i,j})d(ij) \text{ and } \Delta(x) = d(ij)x - xd(ij).$$

By Lemma 3.5 we have the following equalities

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(\bar{e}_{i,j}) &= d(ij)(\bar{e}_{i,j}) - (\bar{e}_{i,j})d(ij) = \\ &= (e_{i,i} + e_{j,j})d(ij)(\bar{e}_{i,j}) - (\bar{e}_{i,j})d(ij)(e_{i,i} + e_{j,j}) + \\ &= (1 - (e_{i,i} + e_{j,j}))d(ij)(\bar{e}_{i,j}) - (\bar{e}_{i,j})d(ij)(1 - (e_{i,i} + e_{j,j})) = \\ &= \left( \sum_{k,q=1, k \neq q}^n a_{k,q} \bar{e}_{i,j} - \bar{e}_{i,j} \left( \sum_{k,q=1, k \neq q}^n a_{k,q} \right) \right) + \\ &= \left( \left( \sum_{k=1}^m [a_k, b_k] \right)^{i,i} - \left( \sum_{k=1}^m [a_k, b_k] \right)^{j,j} \right) e_{i,j} + \left( \left( \sum_{k=1}^m [a_k, b_k] \right)^{j,j} - \left( \sum_{k=1}^m [a_k, b_k] \right)^{i,i} \right) e_{j,i}. \end{aligned}$$

for all different indices  $i, j$ .

Since

$$\begin{aligned} &(e_{i,i} + e_{j,j})d(ij)(\bar{e}_{i,j}) - (\bar{e}_{i,j})d(ij)(e_{i,i} + e_{j,j}) = \\ &= (a_{i,j} + a_{j,i})\bar{e}_{i,j} - \bar{e}_{i,j}(a_{i,j} + a_{j,i}) \\ &+ \left( \left( \sum_{k=1}^m [a_k, b_k] \right)^{i,i} - \left( \sum_{k=1}^m [a_k, b_k] \right)^{j,j} \right) e_{i,j} + \left( \left( \sum_{k=1}^m [a_k, b_k] \right)^{j,j} - \left( \sum_{k=1}^m [a_k, b_k] \right)^{i,i} \right) e_{j,i}. \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - (e_{i,i} + e_{j,j}))d(ij)e_{i,i} &= (1 - (e_{i,i} + e_{j,j})) \left( \sum_{k,q=1, k \neq q}^n a_{k,q} \right) e_{i,i}, \\ e_{j,j}d(ij)(1 - (e_{i,i} + e_{j,j})) &= e_{j,j} \left( \sum_{k,q=1, k \neq q}^n a_{k,q} \right) (1 - (e_{i,i} + e_{j,j})) \end{aligned}$$

for all different  $i$  and  $j$ . At the same time

$$\begin{aligned} e_{j,j}d(ij)e_{i,j} - e_{j,i}d(ij)e_{j,j} &= (d(ij)^{j,i} - d(ij)^{i,j})e_{j,j} = (a^{j,i} - a^{i,j})e_{j,j} = a_{j,i}e_{i,j} - e_{j,i}a_{i,j}, \\ e_{i,i}d(ij)e_{j,i} - e_{i,j}d(ij)e_{i,i} &= (d(ij)^{i,j} - d(ij)^{j,i})e_{i,i} = (a^{i,j} - a^{j,i})e_{i,i} = a_{i,j}e_{j,i} - e_{i,j}a_{j,i} \end{aligned}$$

by 2) of Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.4, and

$$\begin{aligned} e_{j,j}d(ij)e_{i,j} - e_{j,i}d(ij)e_{j,j} &= e_{j,j} \left( \sum_{k,q=1, k \neq q}^n a_{k,q} \right) e_{i,j} - e_{j,i} \left( \sum_{k,q=1, k \neq q}^n a_{k,q} \right) e_{j,j}, \\ e_{i,i}d(ij)e_{j,i} - e_{i,j}d(ij)e_{i,i} &= e_{i,i} \left( \sum_{k,q=1, k \neq q}^n a_{k,q} \right) e_{j,i} - e_{i,j} \left( \sum_{k,q=1, k \neq q}^n a_{k,q} \right) e_{i,i}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$(1 - e_{i,i})d(ij)e_{i,i} = (1 - e_{i,i}) \left( \sum_{k,q=1, k \neq q}^n a_{k,q} \right) e_{i,i}, \quad e_{j,j}d(ij)(1 - e_{j,j}) = e_{j,j} \left( \sum_{k,q=1, k \neq q}^n a_{k,q} \right) (1 - e_{j,j}).$$

Similarly we have

$$e_{i,i}d(ij)(1 - e_{i,i}) = e_{i,i} \left( \sum_{k,q=1, k \neq q}^n a_{k,q} \right) (1 - e_{i,i}), \quad (1 - e_{j,j})d(ij)e_{j,j} = (1 - e_{j,j}) \left( \sum_{k,q=1, k \neq q}^n a_{k,q} \right) e_{j,j}.$$

Let  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_p, w_1, w_2, \dots, w_p \in H_n(\mathfrak{R})$  be elements such that

$$\Delta(e_{i,j}) = \frac{1}{4} \left( \sum_{k=1}^p [v_k, w_k] \right) (\bar{e}_{i,j}) - (\bar{e}_{i,j}) \frac{1}{4} \left( \sum_{k=1}^p [v_k, w_k] \right)$$

and

$$\Delta(x_o) = \frac{1}{4} \left( \sum_{k=1}^p [v_k, w_k] \right) x_o - x_o \frac{1}{4} \left( \sum_{k=1}^p [v_k, w_k] \right).$$

Let  $z = \frac{1}{4} \left( \sum_{k=1}^p [v_k, w_k] \right)$ ,  $z = \sum_{k,q=1}^n z^{k,q} e_{k,q}$ . Then  $z^{i,i} - z^{j,j} = c^{i,i} - c^{j,j}$  by Lemma 3.6. We have  $z^{i,i} - z^{j,j} = d(ij)^{i,i} - d(ij)^{j,j}$  since

$$z(\bar{e}_{i,j}) - (\bar{e}_{i,j})z = d(ij)(\bar{e}_{i,j}) - (\bar{e}_{i,j})d(ij).$$

Hence

$$c^{i,i} - c^{j,j} = d(ij)^{i,i} - d(ij)^{j,j}, \quad c^{j,j} - c^{i,i} = d(ij)^{j,j} - d(ij)^{i,i}.$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} e_{j,j}\Delta(x)e_{i,i} &= e_{j,j}(d(ij)x - xd(ij))e_{i,i} = \\ e_{j,j}d(ij)(1 - e_{j,j})xe_{i,i} + e_{j,j}d(ij)e_{j,j}xe_{i,i} - e_{j,j}x(1 - e_{i,i})d(ij)e_{i,i} - e_{j,j}xe_{i,i}d(ij)e_{i,i} &= \\ e_{j,j} \sum_{\xi,\eta=1, \xi \neq \eta}^n a^{\xi,\eta} e_{\xi,\eta} x e_{i,i} - e_{j,j} x \sum_{\xi,\eta=1, \xi \neq \eta}^n a^{\xi,\eta} e_{\xi,\eta} e_{i,i} + e_{j,j}d(ij)e_{j,j}xe_{i,i} - e_{j,j}xe_{i,i}d(ij)e_{i,i} &= \\ e_{j,j} \sum_{\xi,\eta=1, \xi \neq \eta}^n a^{\xi,\eta} e_{\xi,\eta} x e_{i,i} - e_{j,j} x \sum_{\xi,\eta=1, \xi \neq \eta}^n a^{\xi,\eta} e_{\xi,\eta} e_{i,i} + c^{j,j} e_{j,j} x e_{i,i} - e_{j,j} x e_{i,i} c^{i,i} e_{i,i} &= \\ e_{j,j} \sum_{\xi,\eta=1, \xi \neq \eta}^n a^{\xi,\eta} e_{\xi,\eta} x e_{i,i} - e_{j,j} x \sum_{\xi,\eta=1, \xi \neq \eta}^n a^{\xi,\eta} e_{\xi,\eta} e_{i,i} + & \end{aligned}$$

$$e_{j,j} \left( \sum_{\xi=1}^n a^{\xi,\xi} e_{\xi,\xi} \right) x e_{i,i} - e_{j,j} x \left( \sum_{\xi=1}^n a^{\xi,\xi} e_{\xi,\xi} \right) e_{i,i} =$$

$$e_{j,j} \sum_{\xi,\eta=1}^n a^{\xi,\eta} e_{\xi,\eta} x e_{i,i} - e_{j,j} x \sum_{\xi,\eta=1}^n a^{\xi,\eta} e_{\xi,\eta} e_{i,i} = e_{j,j} (\bar{a}x - x\bar{a}) e_{i,i}.$$

Let  $d(ii)$ ,  $v$ ,  $w \in H_n(\mathfrak{R})$  be elements such that

$$\Delta(e_{i,i}) = d(ii)e_{i,i} - e_{i,i}d(ii) \text{ and } \Delta(x) = d(ii)x - xd(ii),$$

$$\Delta(e_{i,i}) = ve_{i,i} - e_{i,i}v, \Delta(\bar{e}_{i,j}) = v\bar{e}_{i,j} - \bar{e}_{i,j}v,$$

and

$$\Delta(e_{i,i}) = we_{i,i} - e_{i,i}w, \Delta(\bar{e}_{i,j}) = w(\bar{e}_{i,j}) - (\bar{e}_{i,j})w.$$

Then

$$(1 - e_{i,i}) \frac{1}{4} \left( \sum_{k=1}^m [a_k, b_k] \right) e_{i,i} = (1 - e_{i,i})ve_{i,i} = (1 - e_{i,i})d(ii)e_{i,i},$$

and

$$e_{i,i} \frac{1}{4} \left( \sum_{k=1}^m [a_k, b_k] \right) (1 - e_{i,i}) = e_{i,i}w(1 - e_{i,i}) = e_{i,i}d(ii)(1 - e_{i,i}).$$

By the definition of the 2-local derivation  $\Delta$  we have

$$(1 - e_{i,i}) \frac{1}{4} \left( \sum_{k=1}^m [a_k, b_k] \right) e_{i,i} = \sum_{\xi,\eta=1, \xi \neq \eta}^n a_{\xi,\eta} e_{i,i},$$

$$e_{i,i} \frac{1}{4} \left( \sum_{k=1}^m [a_k, b_k] \right) (1 - e_{i,i}) = e_{i,i} \sum_{\xi,\eta=1, \xi \neq \eta}^n a_{\xi,\eta}.$$

Hence

$$e_{i,i} \Delta(x) e_{i,i} = e_{i,i} (d(ii)x - xd(ii)) e_{i,i} =$$

$$e_{i,i} d(ii) (1 - e_{i,i}) x e_{i,i} + e_{i,i} d(ii) e_{i,i} x e_{i,i} - e_{i,i} x (1 - e_{i,i}) d(ii) e_{i,i} - e_{i,i} x e_{i,i} d(ii) e_{i,i} =$$

$$e_{i,i} a(ji) (1 - e_{i,i}) x e_{i,i} + e_{i,i} d(ii) e_{i,i} x e_{i,i} - e_{i,i} x (1 - e_{i,i}) a(ij) e_{i,i} - e_{i,i} x e_{i,i} d(ii) e_{i,i} =$$

$$e_{i,i} \sum_{\xi,\eta=1, \xi \neq \eta}^n a^{\xi,\eta} e_{\xi,\eta} x e_{i,i} - e_{i,i} x \sum_{\xi,\eta=1, \xi \neq \eta}^n a^{\xi,\eta} e_{\xi,\eta} e_{i,i} + e_{i,i} d(ii) e_{i,i} x e_{i,i} - e_{i,i} x e_{i,i} d(ii) e_{i,i} =$$

$$e_{i,i} \sum_{\xi,\eta=1, \xi \neq \eta}^n a^{\xi,\eta} e_{\xi,\eta} x e_{i,i} - e_{i,i} x \sum_{\xi,\eta=1, \xi \neq \eta}^n a^{\xi,\eta} e_{\xi,\eta} e_{i,i} + c^{i,i} e_{i,i} x e_{i,i} - e_{i,i} x c^{i,i} e_{i,i} =$$

$$e_{i,i} \sum_{\xi,\eta=1, \xi \neq \eta}^n a^{\xi,\eta} e_{\xi,\eta} x e_{i,i} - e_{i,i} x \sum_{\xi,\eta=1, \xi \neq \eta}^n a^{\xi,\eta} e_{\xi,\eta} e_{i,i} +$$

$$e_{i,i} \left( \sum_{\xi=1}^n a^{\xi,\xi} e_{\xi,\xi} \right) x e_{i,i} - e_{i,i} x \left( \sum_{\xi=1}^n a^{\xi,\xi} e_{\xi,\xi} \right) e_{i,i} =$$

$$e_{i,i} \sum_{\xi,\eta=1}^n a^{\xi,\eta} e_{\xi,\eta} x e_{i,i} - e_{i,i} x \sum_{\xi,\eta=1}^n a^{\xi,\eta} e_{\xi,\eta} e_{i,i} = e_{i,i} (\bar{a}x - x\bar{a}) e_{i,i}.$$

Hence

$$\Delta(x) = \bar{a}x - x\bar{a}$$

for each  $x \in H_n(\mathfrak{R})$ . Therefore  $\Delta$  is a derivation on  $H_n(\mathfrak{R})$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

The proof of Theorem 3.7 is also valid for Jordan algebras of self-adjoint matrices over a commutative involutive algebra. Therefore, if we define a derivation, an inner derivation, a 2-local derivation, a 2-local inner derivation on Jordan algebras similarly to the case of Jordan Rings, then the following theorem takes place.

**Theorem 3.8.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a unital commutative involutive algebra, and let  $H_n(\mathcal{A})$  be the Jordan algebra of all self-adjoint  $n \times n$  matrices over  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then any 2-local inner derivation on the matrix Jordan algebra  $H_n(\mathcal{A})$  is a derivation.*

#### 4. 2-LOCAL DERIVATIONS ON JORDAN ALGEBRAS OF SELF-ADJOINT MATRIX-VALUED MAPS

Throughout the present section, let  $\aleph_o$  be the countable cardinal number,  $H$  be a separable Hilbert space of dimension  $\aleph_o$  over  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ , and, let  $B(H)$  be the algebra of all bounded linear operators on  $H$ . Let  $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  be a maximal family of orthogonal minimal projections in  $B(H)$  and, let  $\{e_{i,j}\}_{i,j=1}^\infty$  be the family of matrix units defined by  $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ , i.e.  $e_{i,i} = e_i$ ,  $e_{i,i} = e_{i,j}e_{j,i}$  and  $e_{j,j} = e_{j,i}e_{i,j}$  for each pair of natural numbers  $i, j$ .

Let  $B_{sa}(H)$  be the vector space of all self-adjoint operators in  $B(H)$ , i.e.

$$B_{sa}(H) = \{a \in B(H) : a^* = a\}.$$

Then with respect to Jordan multiplication

$$a \cdot b = \frac{1}{2}(ab + ba), a, b \in B_{sa}(H)$$

$B_{sa}(H)$  is a Jordan algebra.

Let  $\Omega$  be an arbitrary set,  $M(\Omega, B_{sa}(H))$  be the Jordan algebra of all maps from  $\Omega$  to  $B_{sa}(H)$ . Put

$$\hat{e}_{i,j} = \mathbf{1}e_{i,j},$$

where  $\mathbf{1}$  is the identity element of the algebra  $M(\Omega)$  of all  $\mathbb{F}$ -valued maps on  $\Omega$ . Throughout the rest sections, put  $s_{i,j} = \hat{e}_{i,j} + \hat{e}_{j,i}$  for all distinct natural numbers  $i, j$ .

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $A$  be a Jordan algebra and, let  $B$  be a Jordan subalgebra of  $A$ . A derivation  $D$  on  $B$  is said to be spatial, if  $D$  is implemented by elements from  $A$ , i.e.,

$$D(x) = \sum_{k=1}^m D_{a_k, b_k}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^m (a_k \cdot (b_k \cdot x) - b_k \cdot (a_k \cdot x)), x \in B,$$

for some  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m \in A$ . A 2-local derivation  $\Delta$  on  $B$  is called 2-local spatial derivation implemented by elements from  $A$ , if for every two

elements  $x, y \in B$  there exists a spatial derivation  $D$  on  $B$  such that  $\Delta(x) = D(x)$ ,  $\Delta(y) = D(y)$ .

Let, throughout the present section,  $\Omega$  be an arbitrary set,  $M(\Omega, B_{sa}(H))$  be the Jordan algebra of all maps from  $\Omega$  to  $B_{sa}(H)$ . Let  $(\lambda_n)$  be a sequence of nonzero numbers from  $\mathbb{F}$  such that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \lambda_n^* < \infty$  and  $x_o = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n s_{n,n+1} \in M(\Omega, B_{sa}(H))$ . Let  $\mathcal{J}$  be a Jordan subalgebra of  $M(\Omega, B_{sa}(H))$  containing the element  $x_o$  and the family  $\{\hat{e}_{i,i}\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \cup \{s_{i,j}\}_{i,j=1, i \neq j}^{\infty}$ , and  $\Delta$  be a 2-local spatial derivation on  $\mathcal{J}$  implemented by elements from  $M(\Omega, B_{sa}(H))$ . Then the following lemmas hold.

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n$  be arbitrary pairwise distinct natural numbers,  $e = e_{i_1, i_1} + e_{i_2, i_2} + \dots + e_{i_n, i_n}$ . Then the mapping*

$$\Delta_e(x) = e\Delta(x)e, x \in eM(\Omega, B_{sa}(H))e$$

*is a derivation on  $eM(\Omega, B_{sa}(H))e$  and there exists an element  $d \in eM(\Omega, B(H))e$  such that*

$$\Delta_e(x) = dx - xd, x \in eM(\Omega, B_{sa}(H))e.$$

*If  $f = e_{j_1, j_1} + e_{j_2, j_2} + \dots + e_{j_p, j_p}$  for indices  $j_1, j_2, \dots, j_p$  from  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n$ , then*

$$e_{i,i}de_{j,j} = e_{i,i}ce_{j,j}, d^{i,i} - d^{j,j} = c^{i,i} - c^{j,j}$$

*for arbitrary distinct indices  $i, j$  from  $\{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_p\}$ , where  $c$  is an element in  $fM(\Omega, B(H))f$  such that*

$$\Delta_f(x) = f\Delta(x)f, x \in fM(\Omega, B_{sa}(H))f.$$

*Proof.* Similar to proof of [8, Proposition 2.7] it can be proved that  $\Delta_e$  is a 2-local derivation on  $M(\Omega, B_{sa}(H))$ . We have  $eM(\Omega, B(H))e \cong M_n(\mathbb{F}) \otimes M(\Omega)$ . Therefore, by Theorem 3.7  $\Delta_e$  is a derivation and there exists an element  $d$  in  $eM(\Omega, B(H))e$  such that

$$\Delta_e(x) = dx - xd, x \in eM(\Omega, B_{sa}(H))e.$$

Similarly, there exists an element  $c$  in  $fM(\Omega, B(H))f$  such that

$$\Delta_f(x) = dx - xd, x \in fM(\Omega, B_{sa}(H))f.$$

For arbitrary distinct  $i$  and  $j$  from  $\{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_p\}$  we have

$$\Delta_f(\hat{e}_{i,i}) = f\Delta_e(\hat{e}_{i,i})f,$$

i.e.,

$$c\hat{e}_{i,i} - \hat{e}_{i,i}c = fd\hat{e}_{i,i} - \hat{e}_{i,i}df.$$

Hence,

$$\hat{e}_{i,i}(c\hat{e}_{i,i} - \hat{e}_{i,i}c)\hat{e}_{j,j} = \hat{e}_{i,i}(fd\hat{e}_{i,i} - \hat{e}_{i,i}df)\hat{e}_{j,j}$$

and

$$\hat{e}_{i,i}c\hat{e}_{j,j} = \hat{e}_{i,i}d\hat{e}_{j,j}.$$

We also have

$$\Delta_f(s_{i,j}) = f\Delta_e(s_{i,j})f,$$

i.e.,

$$cs_{i,j} - s_{i,j}c = fds_{i,j} - s_{i,j}df.$$

Hence,

$$\hat{e}_{i,i}(cs_{i,j} - s_{i,j}c)\hat{e}_{j,j} = \hat{e}_{i,i}(f ds_{i,j} - s_{i,j}df)\hat{e}_{j,j}$$

and

$$\hat{e}_{i,i}c\hat{e}_{i,j} - \hat{e}_{i,j}c\hat{e}_{j,j} = \hat{e}_{i,i}d\hat{e}_{i,j} - \hat{e}_{i,j}d\hat{e}_{j,j},$$

i.e.,

$$c^{i,i} - c^{j,j} = d^{i,i} - d^{j,j}.$$

The proof is complete.  $\square$

Let  $i, j$  be arbitrary pairwise different natural numbers and let  $\sum_{k=1}^m D_{a_k, b_k}$  be a derivation on  $\mathcal{J}$ , generated by elements  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m \in M(\Omega, B_{sa}(H))$  such that

$$\Delta(s_{i,j}) = \sum_{k=1}^m D_{a_k, b_k}(s_{i,j}).$$

By Lemma 4.2 the following elements are well-defined

$$a_{i,j} = \hat{e}_{i,i} \frac{1}{4} \left( \sum_{k=1}^m [a_k, b_k] \right) \hat{e}_{j,j}, \quad a^{i,j} \hat{e}_{i,j} = \frac{1}{4} \left( \sum_{k=1}^m [a_k, b_k] \right)^{i,j} \hat{e}_{i,j}, \quad a^{i,j} = \left( \sum_{k=1}^m [a_k, b_k] \right)^{i,j} \in M(\Omega),$$

$$a_{j,i} = \hat{e}_{j,j} \frac{1}{4} \left( \sum_{k=1}^m [a_k, b_k] \right) \hat{e}_{i,i}, \quad a^{j,i} \hat{e}_{j,i} = \frac{1}{4} \left( \sum_{k=1}^m [a_k, b_k] \right)^{j,i} \hat{e}_{j,i}, \quad a^{j,i} = \left( \sum_{k=1}^m [a_k, b_k] \right)^{j,i} \in M(\Omega).$$

Under these designations the following lemmas are valid.

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let  $i, j, k$  be arbitrary pairwise different indices*

1) *let  $\sum_{k=1}^m D_{a_k, b_k}$  be derivation on  $\mathcal{J}$ , generated by elements  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m \in M(\Omega, B_{sa}(H))$  such that*

$$\Delta(s_{i,j}) = \sum_{k=1}^m D_{a_k, b_k}(s_{i,j}).$$

*Then the following equalities are valid relative to the associative multiplication*

$$\left( \sum_{k=1}^m [a_k, b_k] \right)^{i,j} - \left( \sum_{k=1}^m [a_k, b_k] \right)^{j,i} = a^{i,j} - a^{j,i},$$

$$\left( \sum_{k=1}^m [a_k, b_k] \right)^{i,i} - \left( \sum_{k=1}^m [a_k, b_k] \right)^{j,j} = a^{i,i} - a^{j,j}.$$

2) *let  $\sum_{k=1}^m D_{a_k, b_k}, \sum_{k=1}^l D_{c_k, d_k}$  be the derivations on  $\mathcal{J}$ , generated by some elements  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m, c_1, c_2, \dots, c_l, d_1, d_2, \dots, d_l \in M(\Omega, B_{sa}(H))$  such that*

$$\Delta(s_{i,j}) = \sum_{k=1}^m D_{a_k, b_k}(s_{i,j}), \quad \Delta(\hat{e}_{i,k} + \hat{e}_{k,i}) = \sum_{k=1}^l D_{c_k, d_k}(\hat{e}_{i,k} + \hat{e}_{k,i}).$$

*Then the following equality is valid*

$$\left( \sum_{k=1}^m [a_k, b_k] \right)^{i,j} - \left( \sum_{k=1}^m [a_k, b_k] \right)^{j,i} = \left( \sum_{k=1}^l [c_k, d_k] \right)^{i,j} - \left( \sum_{k=1}^l [c_k, d_k] \right)^{j,i}.$$



*Proof.* The proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 3.3.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.4.** *Let  $i, j$  be arbitrary different indices and let  $\sum_{k=1}^l D_{c_k, d_k}$  be a derivation on  $\mathcal{J}$ , generated by the elements  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_l, d_1, d_2, \dots, d_l \in M(\Omega, B_{sa}(H))$  such that*

$$\Delta(s_{i,j}) = \sum_{k=1}^l D_{c_k, d_k}(s_{i,j}).$$

*Then the following equality is valid*

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(s_{i,j}) = & \left( \sum_{k \neq l} a_{k,l} \right) s_{i,j} - s_{i,j} \left( \sum_{k \neq l} a_{k,l} \right) \\ & + \left( \sum_{k=1}^l [c_k, d_k] \right)^{i,i} - \left( \sum_{k=1}^l [c_k, d_k] \right)^{j,j} \hat{e}_{i,j} + \left( \sum_{k=1}^l [c_k, d_k] \right)^{j,j} - \left( \sum_{k=1}^l [c_k, d_k] \right)^{i,i} \hat{e}_{j,i}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* The present lemma is proved similarly to the proof of Lemma 3.5.  $\square$

Fix different indices  $i_o, j_o$ . Let  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_l, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_l \in M(\Omega, B_{sa}(H))$  be elements such that

$$\Delta(s_{i_o, j_o}) = \sum_{k=1}^l [x_k(y_k s_{i_o, j_o}) - y_k(x_k s_{i_o, j_o})]$$

and

$$\Delta(x_o) = \sum_{k=1}^l [x_k(y_k x_o) - y_k(x_k x_o)].$$

Let  $c = \frac{1}{4}(\sum_{k=1}^l [x_k, y_k])$ . Put  $c = \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} c^{i,j} \hat{e}_{i,j} \in M(\Omega, B_{sa}(H))$  and  $\bar{a} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} a_{i,j}$ , where  $a_{i,i} = c^{i,i} \hat{e}_{i,i}$  for each  $i$ . In the above notations we have

**Lemma 4.5.** *Let  $k, l$  be arbitrary different natural numbers, and let  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_m, d_1, d_2, \dots, d_m$  be elements in  $M(\Omega, B_{sa}(H))$  such that*

$$\Delta(s_{k,l}) = \sum_{p=1}^m [c_p(d_p s_{k,l}) - d_p(c_p s_{k,l})]$$

and

$$\Delta(x_o) = \sum_{p=1}^m [c_p(d_p x_o) - d_p(c_p x_o)].$$

*Then  $c^{k,k} - c^{l,l} = b^{k,k} - b^{l,l}$ , where  $b = \frac{1}{4}(\sum_{p=1}^m [c_p, d_p])$ .*

*Proof.* We may assume that  $k < l$ . We have

$$\Delta(x_o) = c x_o - x_o c = b x_o - x_o b.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} e_{k,k}(c x_o - x_o c) e_{k+1, k+1} &= e_{k,k}(b x_o - x_o b) e_{k+1, k+1}, \\ e_{k,k}(c \lambda_k s_{k, k+1} - \lambda_k s_{k, k+1} c) e_{k+1, k+1} &= e_{k,k}(b \lambda_k s_{k, k+1} - \lambda_k s_{k, k+1} b) e_{k+1, k+1}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\lambda_k e_{k,k} c \hat{e}_{k,k+1} - \lambda_k \hat{e}_{k,k+1} c e_{k+1,k+1} = \lambda_k e_{k,k} b \hat{e}_{k,k+1} - \lambda_k \hat{e}_{k,k+1} b e_{k+1,k+1},$$

and

$$c^{k,k} - c^{k+1,k+1} = b^{k,k} - b^{k+1,k+1}.$$

Thus, this lemma is proved similarly to the proof of Lemma 3.6.  $\square$

The following theorem is the key result of this section.

**Theorem 4.6.** *Let  $\Omega$  be an arbitrary set,  $M(\Omega, B_{sa}(H))$  be the Jordan algebra of all maps from  $\Omega$  to  $B_{sa}(H)$ . Let  $\mathcal{J}$  be a Jordan subalgebra of  $M(\Omega, B_{sa}(H))$  containing the element  $x_o$  and the family  $\{\hat{e}_{i,i}\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \cup \{s_{i,j}\}_{i,j=1, i \neq j}^{\infty}$ . Then any 2-local spatial derivation on  $\mathcal{J}$  implemented by elements in  $M(\Omega, B_{sa}(H))$  is a derivation.*

*Proof.* We prove that each inner 2-local derivation  $\Delta$  on  $\mathcal{J}$  satisfies the condition

$$\Delta(x) = \bar{a}x - x\bar{a}, x \in \mathcal{J}$$

for the element  $\bar{a} \in M(\Omega, B_{sa}(H))$  defined above. Let  $i, j$  be arbitrary distinct natural numbers. The proofs of the equalities

$$\hat{e}_{j,j} \Delta(x) \hat{e}_{i,i} = \hat{e}_{j,j} (\bar{a}x - x\bar{a}) \hat{e}_{i,i},$$

$$\hat{e}_{i,i} \Delta(x) \hat{e}_{i,i} = \hat{e}_{i,i} (\bar{a}x - x\bar{a}) \hat{e}_{i,i}$$

are the same as the proofs of the appropriate equalities in the proof of Theorem 3.7. If, for arbitrary elements  $y, z$  in  $M(\Omega, B_{sa}(H))$ ,

$$\hat{e}_{k,k} y \hat{e}_{l,l} = \hat{e}_{k,k} z \hat{e}_{l,l}$$

for each pair  $k, l$  of natural numbers, then  $y = z$ . Hence,

$$\Delta(x) = \bar{a}x - x\bar{a} \in M(\Omega, B_{sa}(H)).$$

But,  $\Delta(x) \in \mathcal{J}$ , since  $x \in \mathcal{J}$ . Therefore

$$\Delta(x) = \bar{a}x - x\bar{a} \in \mathcal{J}$$

for any element  $x \in \mathcal{J}$ . So,  $\Delta$  is a derivation on  $\mathcal{J}$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

In particular, Theorem 4.6 implies the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.7.** *Let  $\Omega$  be an arbitrary set,  $M(\Omega, B_{sa}(H))$  be the Jordan algebra of all maps of  $\Omega$  to  $B_{sa}(H)$ . Then any 2-local inner derivation on the Jordan algebra  $M(\Omega, B_{sa}(H))$  is a derivation.*

Let  $\Omega$  be a hyperstonean compact,  $C(\Omega)$  denotes the algebra of all  $\mathbb{C}$ -valued ( $\mathbb{R}$ -valued) continuous maps on  $\Omega$ . There exists a subalgebra  $\mathcal{N}$  in  $M(\Omega, B_{sa}(H))$  which is a (real) von Neumann algebra with the center isomorphic to  $C(\Omega)$  (see [1, Page 12]). More precisely  $\mathcal{N}$  is a (real) von Neumann algebra of type I.

The vector space

$$\mathcal{N}_{sa} = \{a \in \mathcal{N} : a^* = a\}$$

of all self-adjoint elements in  $\mathcal{N}$  is a Jordan algebra with respect to Jordan multiplication

$$a \cdot b = \frac{1}{2}(ab + ba), a, b \in \mathcal{N}_{sa}.$$

The Jordan algebra  $\mathcal{N}_{sa}$  is a Jordan subalgebra of  $M(\Omega, B_{sa}(H))$  containing the element  $x_o$  and the family  $\{\hat{e}_{i,i}\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \cup \{s_{i,j}\}_{i,j=1, i \neq j}^{\infty}$ . Hence, by Theorem 4.6, we have the following statement.

**Theorem 4.8.** *Every 2-local spatial derivation on  $\mathcal{N}_{sa}$  implemented by elements in  $M(\Omega, B_{sa}(H))$  is a derivation.*

Let  $\mathcal{K}(H)$  be the  $C^*$ -algebra of all compact operators on the Hilbert space  $H$ ,  $\mathcal{K}_{sa}(H)$  be the Jordan algebra of all self-adjoint compact operators on the Hilbert space  $H$ . Let  $\Omega$  be a topological space. Then the vector space  $C(\Omega, \mathcal{K}_{sa}(H))$  of all continuous maps from  $\Omega$  to  $\mathcal{K}_{sa}(H)$  is a Jordan subalgebra of  $M(\Omega, B_{sa}(H))$  containing the element  $x_o$  and the family  $\{\hat{e}_{i,i}\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \cup \{s_{i,j}\}_{i,j=1, i \neq j}^{\infty}$ . Therefore by Theorem 4.6 we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.9.** *Every 2-local spatial derivation on the Jordan algebra  $C(\Omega, \mathcal{K}_{sa}(H))$  implemented by elements in  $M(\Omega, B_{sa}(H))$  is a derivation.*

## 5. LOCAL DERIVATIONS ON JORDAN AND ASSOCIATIVE ALGEBRAS.

This section is devoted to derivations and local derivations of Jordan algebras.

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an algebra (nonassociative in general). A linear map  $\Delta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is called a local derivation, if for any element  $x \in \mathcal{A}$  there exists a derivation  $D_x : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\Delta(x) = D_x(x)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an associative algebra. A derivation  $D$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  is called an inner derivation, if there exists an element  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  such that

$$D(x) = ax - xa, x \in \mathcal{A}.$$

A linear map  $\Delta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is called a local inner derivation, if for any element  $x \in \mathcal{A}$  there exists an element  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\Delta(x) = ax - xa$ .

Let  $\Delta$  be a local derivation on  $\mathcal{J}$ .  $\Delta$  is called a local inner derivation, if for each element  $x \in \mathcal{J}$  there is an inner derivation  $D$  on  $\mathcal{J}$  such that  $\Delta(x) = D(x)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an associative unital algebra over an arbitrary field. Then the vector space  $\mathcal{A}$  with respect to the operation of Jordan multiplication

$$a \cdot b = \frac{1}{2}(ab + ba), a, b \in \mathcal{A}$$

is a Jordan algebra. This Jordan algebra will be denoted by  $(\mathcal{A}, \cdot)$ .

Let  $\Delta$  be a local inner Jordan derivation of  $(\mathcal{A}, \cdot)$ . Then for each element  $x \in \mathcal{A}$  there is an inner derivation  $D$  of  $(\mathcal{A}, \cdot)$  such that  $\Delta(x) = D(x)$  and

$$D = \sum_{k=1}^m D_{a_k, b_k} = D_{\frac{1}{4}(\sum_{k=1}^m [a_k, b_k])}$$

for some elements  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m$  in  $M(\Omega, B_{sa}(H))$ . Hence,  $D$  is also an inner derivation of  $\mathcal{A}$ . So,  $\Delta$  is a local inner derivation of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Thus, every local inner derivation of the Jordan algebra  $(\mathcal{A}, \cdot)$  is a local inner derivation of the associative algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ . Therefore we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.1.** *If every local inner derivation of the associative algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is a derivation, then every local inner derivation of the Jordan algebra  $(\mathcal{A}, \cdot)$  is a derivation.*

6. LOCAL DERIVATIONS ON JORDAN ALGEBRAS OF SELF-ADJOINT  
MATRIX-VALUED MAPS

$H$  be an arbitrary Hilbert space over  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ , and, let  $B(H)$  be the algebra of all bounded linear operators on  $H$ . Let  $\Omega$  be an arbitrary set,  $M(\Omega, B_{sa}(H))$  be the Jordan algebra of all maps from  $\Omega$  to  $B_{sa}(H)$ .

In this section we describe local derivations on some class of subalgebras of  $M(\Omega, B_{sa}(H))$ .

Given  $t \in \Omega$ ,  $\phi_t : M(\Omega, B(H)) \rightarrow B(H)$  will denote the  $*$ -homomorphism defined by  $\phi_t(x) = x(t)$ ,  $x \in M(\Omega, B(H))$ . The space  $M(\Omega, B(H))$  is an  $B(H)$ -bimodule with products  $(ax)(t) = ax(t)$  and  $(xa)(t) = x(t)a$ , for every  $a \in B(H)$ ,  $x \in M(\Omega, B(H))$ . The map  $\phi_t : M(\Omega, B(H)) \rightarrow B(H)$  is an  $B(H)$ -module homomorphism.

Given an arbitrary set  $\Omega$ , the  $*$ -homomorphism which maps each element  $a$  in  $B(H)$  to the constant function  $\Omega \rightarrow \{a\}$  will be denoted by  $\hat{a}$ . The map

$$\phi(a) = \hat{a}, a \in B(H),$$

is an  $B(H)$ -module homomorphism of  $B(H)$  to  $M(\Omega, B(H))$ .

**Definition 6.1.** Let  $A$  be a Jordan algebra and, let  $B$  be a Jordan subalgebra of  $A$ . A local derivation  $\nabla$  on  $B$  is called local spatial derivation with respect to the derivations implemented by elements in  $A$ , if for every element  $x \in B$  there exists a spatial derivation  $D$  on  $B$  implemented by elements in  $A$  such that  $\Delta(x) = D(x)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{A} = B_{sa}(H), \mathcal{K}_{sa}(H)$ , and let  $\Omega$  be a topological space. Let  $M(\Omega, \mathcal{A})$  be the Jordan algebra of all maps from  $\Omega$  to  $\mathcal{A}$ , and let  $C(\Omega, \mathcal{A})$  be the Jordan algebra of all continuous maps from  $\Omega$  to  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then the following theorem takes place.

**Theorem 6.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{J}$  be one of the Jordan algebras  $M(\Omega, \mathcal{A}), C(\Omega, \mathcal{A})$  and let  $\nabla$  be a local spatial derivation on  $\mathcal{J}$  with respect to the derivations implemented by elements in  $M(\Omega, B_{sa}(H))$ . Then  $\nabla$  is a derivation.*

*Proof.* We can prove that the map  $\phi_t \nabla \phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is a local inner derivation for every  $t \in \Omega$ . Indeed, for any  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_t \nabla \phi(a + b) &= \phi_t \nabla (\hat{a} + \hat{b}) \\ &= \phi_t (\nabla(\hat{a}) + \nabla(\hat{b})) = (\nabla(\hat{a}) + \nabla(\hat{b}))(t) \\ &= \nabla(\hat{a})(t) + \nabla(\hat{b})(t) = \phi_t(\nabla(\hat{a})) + \phi_t(\nabla(\hat{b})) \\ &= \phi_t \nabla \phi(a) + \phi_t \nabla \phi(b). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\phi_t \nabla \phi(\lambda a) = \lambda \phi_t \nabla \phi(a).$$

Hence,  $\phi_t \nabla \phi$  is linear. For each  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  there exists a derivation  $D_{\hat{a}}$  on  $\mathcal{J}$  such that

$$\nabla(\hat{a}) = D_{\hat{a}}(\hat{a}).$$

Note that  $\phi_t D_{\bar{a}} \phi$  is a derivation on  $\mathcal{A}$ . Indeed, it is clear that  $\phi_t D_{\bar{a}} \phi$  is linear on  $\mathcal{A}$ . For any  $b, c \in \mathcal{A}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_t D_{\bar{a}} \phi(bc) &= \phi_t D_{\bar{a}}(\phi(b)\phi(c)) = \\ &= \phi_t(D_{\bar{a}}(\phi(b))\phi(c) + \phi(b)D_{\bar{a}}(\phi(c))) \\ &= D_{\bar{a}}(\phi(b))(t)\phi(c)(t) + \phi(b)(t)D_{\bar{a}}(\phi(c))(t) \\ &= \phi_t D_{\bar{a}} \phi(b)c + b\phi_t D_{\bar{a}} \phi(c), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\phi(b)(t) = b$ ,  $\phi(c)(t) = c$ . Hence,  $\phi_t D_{\bar{a}} \phi$  is a derivation on  $\mathcal{A}$ .

$\phi_t D_{\bar{a}} \phi$  can be weak-continuously extended to a derivation on  $B_{sa}(H)$ . Every derivation on  $B_{sa}(H)$  is inner by [10]. So,  $\phi_t D_{\bar{a}} \phi$  is a spatial derivation implemented by elements in  $B_{sa}(H)$ .

Therefore,  $\phi_t \nabla \phi$  is a local derivation and is a spatial derivation on  $\mathcal{A}$  by theorem 5.4 in [4].

Let  $a_t$  be an element in  $B_{sa}(H)$  such that

$$\phi_t \nabla \phi(x) = a_t x - x a_t, x \in B_{sa}(H).$$

Let  $\bar{a}(t) = a_t$ ,  $t \in \Omega$ . Then

$$\nabla(\mathbf{x})(t) = (\bar{a}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}\bar{a})(t), \mathbf{x} \in M(\Omega, B_{sa}(H)),$$

i.e.

$$\nabla(\mathbf{x}) = \bar{a}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}\bar{a}, \mathbf{x} \in M(\Omega, B_{sa}(H))$$

and  $\bar{a} \in M(\Omega, B_{sa}(H))$ . Hence  $\nabla$  is a derivation. The proof is complete.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] F.N. Arzikulov, Infinite order decompositions of  $C^*$ -algebras, SpringerPlus. 5(1) (2016) 1–13.
- [2] Sh. Ayupov, F. Arzikulov, 2-Local derivations on associative and Jordan matrix rings over involutive commutative rings, Linear Algebra Appl. 522 (2017) 28–50.
- [3] Ayupov Sh.A., Arzikulov F.N., 2-Local derivations on algebras of matrix-valued functions on a compact, Vladikavkaz Mathematical journal. 20(1) (2018) 38–49.
- [4] Sh. A. Ayupov, K. K. Kудaybergenov, A. M. Peralta, A survey on local and 2-local derivations on  $C^*$ - and von Neumann algebras, in Topics in Functional Analysis and Algebra, Contemporary Mathematics, vol. 672, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2016, pp. 73–126.
- [5] B. Johnson, Local derivations on  $C^*$ -algebras are derivations, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 353(2001), 313–325.
- [6] R. Kadison, Local derivations, J. Algebra 130(1990), 494–509.
- [7] D. Larson, A. Sourour, Local derivations and local automorphisms, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 51(1990), 187–194.
- [8] M. Niazi, A.M. Peralta, Weak-2-local derivations on  $M_n$ , FILOMAT (2017), available from <http://arXiv/abs/1503.01346/>.
- [9] P. Šemrl, Local automorphisms and derivations on  $B(H)$ , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 125 (1997) 2677–2680.
- [10] H. Upmeyer, Derivations on Jordan  $C^*$ -algebras, Math. Scand. 46 (1980) 251–264.

<sup>1</sup> V.I.ROMANOVSKIY INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS UZBEKISTAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, TASHKENT, UZBEKISTAN

<sup>2</sup> NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF UZBEKISTAN, TASHKENT, UZBEKISTAN

*Email address:* [shavkat.ayupov@mathinst.uz](mailto:shavkat.ayupov@mathinst.uz)

<sup>3</sup> V.I. ROMANOVSKIY INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UZBEKISTAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, TASHKENT, UZBEKISTAN, <sup>4</sup> DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ANDIZHAN STATE UNIVERSITY, ANDIZHAN, UZBEKISTAN

*Email address:* [arzikulovfn@rambler.ru](mailto:arzikulovfn@rambler.ru)

<sup>5</sup> DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ANDIZHAN STATE UNIVERSITY, ANDIZHAN, UZBEKISTAN

*Email address:* [umrzaqov2010@mail.ru](mailto:umrzaqov2010@mail.ru)

<sup>6</sup> DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ANDIZHAN STATE UNIVERSITY, ANDIZHAN, UZBEKISTAN

*Email address:* [o.nuriddinov86@mail.ru](mailto:o.nuriddinov86@mail.ru)