

SIMULTANEOUS NON-VANISHING OF CENTRAL VALUES OF $GL(2) \times GL(3)$ AND $GL(3)$ L -FUNCTIONS

GOPAL MAITI AND KUMMARI MALLESHAM

ABSTRACT. We study simultaneous non-vanishing of $L(\frac{1}{2}, F)$ and $L(\frac{1}{2}, g \otimes F)$, when F runs over an orthogonal basis of the space of Hecke-Maass cusp forms for $SL(3, \mathbb{Z})$ and g is a fixed $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ Hecke cusp form of weight $k \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Like the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture which relates the order of vanishing of the Hasse-Weil L -function at the central point to the rank of an elliptic curve, the vanishing or non-vanishing of an automorphic L -function at the special points are related to several deep problems with great significance in number theory. Therefore, it is a profoundly interesting question to understand whether product of two or more L -functions are simultaneous non-vanishing at the central point. This type of question has been studied by many authors (see for example [8], [15], [10], [16], [13], [6], [14]). In 2014, Das & Khan [4] proved that $GL(2) \times GL(1)$ and $GL(1)$ L -functions are simultaneous non-vanishing. Ramakrishnan & Rogawski [18] in 2005, showed a simultaneous non-vanishing result for $GL(2) \times GL(1)$ and $GL(2)$ L -functions. Similar type of non-vanishing results for $GL(2) \times GL(2)$ and $G(2)$ L -functions were proved by Xu [19] and Liu [12] for Maass form and holomorphic Hecke cusp form with weight aspect respectively. Non-vanishing problem for $GL(3) \times GL(2)$ and $GL(2)$ L -functions was first studied by Li [11] in 2009. More precisely, let f be a fixed Hecke-Maass cusp form for $SL(3)$. Li Proved that there are infinitely many $SL(2)$ Hecke-maass cusp forms u_j such that $L(\frac{1}{2}, f \times u_j) L(\frac{1}{2}, u_j) \neq 0$. In the level aspect for holomorphic Hecke cusp form case similar result was obtained by Khan in [9].

In this paper, we consider the first moment of the product of $GL(2) \times GL(3)$ and $GL(3)$ L -functions. More precisely, we fix an Hecke cusp form g for $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$. We study first moment of $L(s, F \otimes g)L(s, F)$ at the central point as F runs over an orthogonal basis of the space of Hecke-Maass cusp forms for $SL(3, \mathbb{Z})$.

Let $\boldsymbol{\mu}_F = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)$ be the Langlands parameter and $\boldsymbol{\nu}_F = (\nu_1, \nu_3, \nu_3)$ be the spectral parameter of a Hecke-Maass cusp form F for $SL(3, \mathbb{Z})$. As in Blomer-Buttcane [2], we consider the generic case in short interval. Let $\boldsymbol{\mu}_0 = (\mu_{0,1}, \mu_{0,2}, \mu_{0,3})$ be a fixed point in $\Lambda'_{1/2}$ (see (1)). So $\boldsymbol{\nu}_0 = (\nu_{0,1}, \nu_{0,2}, \nu_{0,3})$ satisfies the relations (2) and (3). We consider the case

$$|\mu_{0,j}| \asymp |\nu_{0,j}| \asymp \|\boldsymbol{\mu}_0\| \asymp \|\boldsymbol{\nu}_0\| := T, \quad 1 \leq j \leq 3.$$

Let us denote $R = T^\theta$ for any fixed θ in $(0, 1)$. We choose the test function $h(\boldsymbol{\mu})$ so that it has the localizing effect at a ball of radius R about $w(\boldsymbol{\mu}_0)$, where w are elements in the

2010 *Mathematics subject classification*: 11F12, 11F66, 11F67, 11F72.

key words and phrases: Rankin-Selberg L -functions, $GL(3)$ L -function, Kuznetsov trace formula, Simultaneous non-vanishing.

Weyl group \mathfrak{W} of $GL(3, \mathbb{R})$. It is defined by

$$h(\boldsymbol{\mu}) := P(\boldsymbol{\mu})^2 \left(\sum_{w \in \mathfrak{W}} \psi \left(\frac{w(\boldsymbol{\mu}) - \boldsymbol{\mu}_0}{R} \right) \right)^2,$$

where $\psi(\boldsymbol{\mu}) = \exp(-(\mu_1^2 + \mu_2^2 + \mu_3^2))$ and

$$P(\boldsymbol{\mu}) = \prod_{1 \leq n \leq A_0} \prod_{j=1}^3 \frac{(\nu_j - \frac{1}{3}(1+2n)) (\nu_j + \frac{1}{3}(1+2n))}{|\nu_{0,k}|^2}$$

for some fixed large $A_0 > 0$. Here

$$\mathfrak{W} := \left\{ I, w_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}, w_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}, w_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}, w_5 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}, w_6 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

is the Weyl group for $SL(3, \mathbb{R})$. Let $d_{\text{spec}} \boldsymbol{\mu} = \text{spec}(\boldsymbol{\mu}) d\boldsymbol{\mu}$ with

$$\text{spec}(\boldsymbol{\mu}) = \prod_{j=1}^3 \left(3\nu_j \tan \left(\frac{3\pi}{2} \nu_j \right) \right) \quad \text{and} \quad d\boldsymbol{\mu} = d\mu_1 d\mu_2 = d\mu_2 d\mu_3 = d\mu_3 d\mu_1.$$

Let us define

$$\mathcal{N}_F = \|F\|^2 \prod_{j=1}^3 \cos \left(\frac{3\pi}{2} \nu_j \right)$$

to be the normalizing factor. Now we state the main theorem of this article.

Theorem 1. *Let g be a Hecke cusp form for $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ of weight $k \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. Let $\{F\}$ be a basis of the space of Hecke-Maass cusp forms for $SL(3, \mathbb{Z})$. Then we have*

$$\sum_F \frac{h(\boldsymbol{\mu}_F)}{\mathcal{N}_F} L\left(\frac{1}{2}, g \otimes F\right) L\left(\frac{1}{2}, F\right) = \frac{1}{192 \pi^5} \iint_{\Re(\boldsymbol{\mu})=0} M(\boldsymbol{\mu}, k) h(\boldsymbol{\mu}) \text{spec}(\boldsymbol{\mu}) d\boldsymbol{\mu} + O\left(T^{\frac{17}{6} + \varepsilon} R^2\right),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M(\boldsymbol{\mu}, k) &= \zeta\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) + L(1, g) \prod_{j=1}^3 \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{\mu_j}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{\mu_j}{2}\right)} + L(1, g) \prod_{j=1}^3 \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{k}{2} + \mu_j\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{k}{2} - \mu_j\right)} \\ &\quad + \zeta\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) \prod_{j=1}^3 \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{k}{2} + \mu_j\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{\mu_j}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{k}{2} - \mu_j\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{\mu_j}{2}\right)}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that, $\iint_{\Re(\boldsymbol{\mu})=0} M(\boldsymbol{\mu}, k) h(\boldsymbol{\mu}) \text{spec}(\boldsymbol{\mu}) d\boldsymbol{\mu} \asymp T^3 R^2$.

Remark 1. *We have used bounds $\zeta(1/2 + it) \ll t^{1/6}$ and $L(1/2 + it, g \otimes f) \ll t$ in the estimation of the error terms (see section 3.1.6). Note that by using best known bounds for $\zeta(1/2 + it)$ and $L(1/2 + it, g \otimes f)$ one can get slight improvement in the error term. Since we are only focusing on simultaneous non-vanishing results we have not incorporate such a small improvement in the error term.*

As a corollary of Theorem 1, we have the following result.

Corollary 1. *Let g be a Hecke cusp form of weight $k \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ for $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$. Then there exist infinitely many Hecke-Maass cusp forms F for $SL(3, \mathbb{Z})$ such that $L\left(\frac{1}{2}, F\right) L\left(\frac{1}{2}, g \otimes F\right) \neq 0$.*

Remark 2. If g is a Hecke cusp form for $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ with $k \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, then $L(\frac{1}{2}, g \otimes F) = 0$. In that case one can consider the following sum

$$\sum_F \frac{h(\boldsymbol{\mu}_F)}{\mathcal{N}_F} L'(\frac{1}{2}, g \otimes F) L(\frac{1}{2}, F)$$

and get similar results.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we review some definitions, essential facts and tools that will be used in later development.

2.1. Automorphic forms for $SL(3, \mathbb{Z})$ and their L -functions. Let

$$\mathbb{H}_3 = GL(3, \mathbb{R})/O(3, \mathbb{R})\mathbb{R}^*$$

be the generalized upper half plane. For $0 \leq c \leq \infty$, let

$$\Lambda'_c = \left\{ \boldsymbol{\mu} = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3) \in \mathbb{C}^3, \begin{array}{l} |\Re(\mu_j)| \leq c, \quad \mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu_3 = 0, \\ \{-\mu_1, -\mu_2, -\mu_3\} = \{\bar{\mu}_1, \bar{\mu}_2, \bar{\mu}_3\} \end{array} \right\}. \quad (1)$$

Consider $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ to be the Langlands parameter of a Hecke-Maass form F in $L^2(SL(3, \mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathbb{H}_3)$. Let us define

$$\nu_1 = \frac{1}{3}(\mu_1 - \mu_2), \quad \nu_2 = \frac{1}{3}(\mu_2 - \mu_3), \quad \nu_3 = -\nu_1 - \nu_2 = \frac{1}{3}(\mu_3 - \mu_1) \quad (2)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\nu} = (\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3)$ is known as the spectral parameter of F . So

$$\mu_1 = 2\nu_1 + \nu_2, \quad \mu_2 = \nu_2 - \nu_1, \quad \mu_3 = -\nu_1 - 2\nu_2. \quad (3)$$

Let $A_F(m_1, m_2)$ be the normalised Fourier coefficients of a $GL(3)$ Hecke Maass cusp form F with Langlands parameters $\boldsymbol{\mu}_F = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)$. The stander L -function associated to F is given by

$$L(s, F) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{A_F(1, n)}{n^s} \quad \text{for } \Re(s) > 1.$$

The dual form of F is denoted by \tilde{F} with the Langlands parameter $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\tilde{F}} = (-\mu_1, -\mu_2, -\mu_3)$ and the coefficients $A_F(n, 1) = \bar{A}_F(1, n) = A_{\tilde{F}}(1, n)$. Let us define

$$\Lambda(s, F) := \gamma(s, F) L(s, F),$$

where $\gamma(s, F) = \prod_{j=1}^3 \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s - \mu_j)$ and $\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s) = \pi^{-\frac{s}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{s}{2})$. $\Lambda(s, F)$ is called the completed

L -function, which is an entire function and satisfies the functional equation

$$\Lambda(s, F) = \Lambda(1 - s, \tilde{F}).$$

2.2. The maximal Eisenstein series. Let $u \in \mathbb{C}$ have sufficiently large real part. Let f be a Hecke-Maass cusp form for $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ with the spectral parameter it_f , Hecke eigenvalues $\lambda_f(m)$ and $\|f\| = 1$. The maximal Eisenstein series and it's Hecke eigenvalue at (m, n) are denoted by $E_{u, f}^{\max}(z)$ and $B_{u, f}^{\max}(m, n)$, respectively. The Hecke eigenvalue $B_{u, f}^{\max}(1, m)$ is defined by (see Goldfeld [5])

$$B_{u, f}^{\max}(1, m) = \sum_{d_1 d_2 = m} \lambda_f(d_1) d_1^{-u} d_2^{-2u}$$

and satisfies the following Hecke relations

$$B_{u,f}^{\max}(m, 1) = \overline{B_{u,f}^{\max}(1, m)}, \quad B_{u,f}^{\max}(m, n) = \sum_{d|(m,n)} \mu(d) B_{u,f}^{\max}\left(\frac{m}{d}, 1\right) B_{u,f}^{\max}\left(1, \frac{n}{d}\right).$$

The L -function associated to $E_{u,f}^{\max}(z)$ is given by

$$L(s, E_{u,f}^{\max}) = \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{B_{u,f}^{\max}(1, m)}{m^s} = \zeta(s - 2u) L(s + u, f), \quad (4)$$

for sufficiently large $\Re(s)$. It satisfies the functional equation

$$\Lambda(s, E_{u,f}^{\max}) = \prod_{j=1}^3 \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s + \mu'_j) L(s, E_{u,f}^{\max}) = \Lambda(1 - s, E_{-u,f}^{\max}),$$

where $\mu'_1 = u + it_f$, $\mu'_2 = u - it_f$ and $\mu'_3 = -2u$. The normalized factor for the maximal Eisenstein series is define by

$$\mathcal{N}_{u,f}^{\max} := 8L(1, \text{Ad}^2 f) |L(1 + 3u, f)|^2.$$

2.3. The minimal Eisenstein series. Let $\nu_1, \nu_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ and (μ_1, μ_2, μ_3) be the Langlands parameter given by (3). We denote the minimal Eisenstein series by $E_{\nu_1, \nu_2}^{\min}(z)$. The Hecke eigenvalue $B_{\nu_1, \nu_2}^{\min}(m, n)$ of $E_{\nu_1, \nu_2}^{\min}(z)$ at (m, n) is defined by (see Goldfeld [5])

$$B_{\mu}^{\min}(1, m) = B_{\nu_1, \nu_2}^{\min}(1, m) := \sum_{d_1 d_2 d_3 = m} d_1^{-\mu_1} d_2^{-\mu_2} d_3^{-\mu_3}$$

and satisfies the following Hecke relations

$$B_{\nu_1, \nu_2}^{\min}(m, 1) = \overline{B_{\nu_1, \nu_2}^{\min}(1, m)}, \quad B_{\nu_1, \nu_2}^{\min}(m, n) = \sum_{d|(m,n)} \mu(d) B_{\nu_1, \nu_2}^{\min}\left(\frac{m}{d}, 1\right) B_{\nu_1, \nu_2}^{\min}\left(1, \frac{n}{d}\right).$$

The L -function associated to $E_{\nu_1, \nu_2}^{\max}(z)$ is given by

$$L(s, E_{\nu_1, \nu_2}^{\min}) = \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{B_{\nu_1, \nu_2}^{\min}(1, m)}{m^s} = \zeta(s + \mu_1) \zeta(s + \mu_2) \zeta(s + \mu_3), \quad (5)$$

for $\Re(s) > 1$. The normalized factor for the minimal Eisenstein series is define by

$$\mathcal{N}_{\mu}^{\min} = \mathcal{N}_{\nu_1, \nu_2}^{\min} := \frac{1}{16} \prod_{j=1}^3 |\zeta(1 + 3\nu_j)|^2.$$

2.4. The Rankin-Selberg L -function on $\text{GL}(2) \times \text{GL}(3)$. Let F be a $\text{GL}(3)$ Hecke Maass cusp with Langlands parameters $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)$. Let g be a Hecke cusp form for $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ of weight k and $\lambda_g(n)$ be the n -th Hecke eigenvalue. The Rankin-Selberg L -function of g and F is defined by

$$L(s, g \otimes F) = \sum_{m, n \geq 1} \sum \frac{\lambda_g(n) \overline{A_F(m, n)}}{(nm^2)^s}, \quad \Re(s) > 1.$$

It is entire and satisfies the functional equation

$$\Lambda(s, g \otimes F) = i^{3k} \Lambda(1 - s, g \otimes \tilde{F}),$$

where

$$\Lambda(s, g \otimes F) = \gamma(s, g \otimes F) L(s, g \otimes F)$$

and

$$\gamma(s, g \otimes F) = \prod_{j=1}^3 \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}} \left(s + \frac{k-1}{2} - \mu_j \right) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}} \left(s + \frac{k+1}{2} - \mu_j \right).$$

Let $E_{u,f}^{\max}$ be the maximal Eisenstein series as in §2.2. The Rankin-Selberg L -function $L(s, g \otimes E_{u,f}^{\max})$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} L(s, g \otimes E_{u,f}^{\max}) &= \sum_{m,n \geq 1} \sum \frac{\lambda_g(n) \overline{B_{u,f}^{\max}(m, n)}}{(nm^2)^s} \\ &= L(s + 2u, g) L(s - u, g \otimes f), \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

for sufficiently large $\Re(s)$.

Let E_{ν_1, ν_2}^{\min} be the minimal Eisenstein series as in §2.3. The Rankin-Selberg L -function $L(s, g \otimes E_{\nu_1, \nu_2}^{\min})$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} L(s, g \otimes E_{\nu_1, \nu_2}^{\min}) &= \sum_{m,n \geq 1} \sum \frac{\lambda_g(n) \overline{B_{\nu_1, \nu_2}^{\min}(m, n)}}{(nm^2)^s} \\ &= L(s - \mu_1, g) L(s - \mu_2, g) L(s - \mu_3, g) \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

for $\Re(s) > 1$.

From the above functional equation we deduce the approximate functional equation for $L(s, F)$ and $L(s, g \otimes F)$ at the central point $s = \frac{1}{2}$ (See §5.2 of [7]). Let $G(s) = e^{s^2}$. We define

$$\begin{aligned} V_F(y) &:= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(3)} y^{-u} \frac{\gamma(\frac{1}{2} + u, F)}{\gamma(\frac{1}{2}, F)} G(u) \frac{du}{u}, \\ \tilde{V}_F(y) &:= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(3)} y^{-u} \frac{\gamma(\frac{1}{2} + u, \tilde{F})}{\gamma(\frac{1}{2}, F)} G(u) \frac{du}{u}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} W_F(y) &:= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(3)} y^{-u} \frac{\gamma(\frac{1}{2} + u, g \otimes F)}{\gamma(\frac{1}{2}, g \otimes F)} G(u) \frac{du}{u}, \\ \tilde{W}_F(y) &:= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(3)} y^{-u} \frac{\gamma(\frac{1}{2} + u, g \otimes \tilde{F})}{\gamma(\frac{1}{2}, g \otimes F)} G(u) \frac{du}{u}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.1. *We have*

$$L(\frac{1}{2}, F) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{A_F(1, l)}{l^{1/2}} V_F(l) + \sum_{l \geq 1} \frac{\overline{A_F(1, l)}}{l^{1/2}} \tilde{V}_F(l).$$

Moreover, for $\boldsymbol{\mu} = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)$ with $\mu_j \asymp T$, one has

$$y^{j_1} \frac{\partial^{j_1}}{\partial y^{j_1}} V_F(y) \ll \left(\frac{y}{T^3} \right)^{-A}, \quad y^{j_1} \frac{\partial^{j_1}}{\partial y^{j_1}} \tilde{V}_F(y) \ll \left(\frac{y}{T^3} \right)^{-A}$$

for any $A > 0$ and any $j_1 \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Also, for $y \gg T^3$

$$V_F(y) = 1 + O_A \left(\frac{T^3}{y} \right)^{-A}, \quad \tilde{V}_F(y) = \prod_{j=1}^3 \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{\mu_j}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{\mu_j}{2})} + O_A \left(\frac{T^3}{y} \right)^{-A}$$

for any $A > 0$.

Lemma 2.2. *We have*

$$L\left(\frac{1}{2}, g \otimes F\right) = \sum_{m,n \geq 1} \sum \frac{\lambda_g(n) A_F(m, n)}{(nm^2)^{1/2}} W_F(nm^2) + i^{3k} \sum_{m,n \geq 1} \sum \frac{\lambda_g(n) \overline{A_F(m, n)}}{(nm^2)^{1/2}} \tilde{W}_F(nm^2),$$

Moreover, for $\boldsymbol{\mu} = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)$ with $\mu_j \asymp T$, one has

$$y^{j_1} \frac{\partial^{j_1}}{\partial y^{j_1}} W_F(y) \ll_k \left(\frac{y}{T^3}\right)^{-A}, \quad y^{j_1} \frac{\partial^{j_1}}{\partial y^{j_1}} \tilde{W}_F(y) \ll_k \left(\frac{y}{T^3}\right)^{-A}$$

for any $A > 0$ and any $j_1 \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Also, for $y \gg T^3$

$$W_F(y) = 1 + O_{A,k} \left(\frac{T^3}{y}\right)^{-A}, \quad \tilde{W}_F(y) = \prod_{j=1}^3 \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{k}{2} + \mu_j\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{k}{2} - \mu_j\right)} + O_{A,k} \left(\frac{T^3}{y}\right)^{-A}$$

for any $0 < A < \frac{k-1}{2}$.

2.5. The Kloosterman sums. For $n_1, n_2, m_1, m_2, D_1, D_2 \in \mathbb{N}$, we define the following Kloosterman sums.

$$\tilde{S}(n_1, n_2, m_1; D_1, D_2) := \sum_{\substack{C_1 \pmod{D_1}, C_2 \pmod{D_2} \\ (C_1, D_1) = (C_2, D_2/D_1) = 1}} \sum e\left(n_2 \frac{\bar{C}_1 C_2}{D_1} + m_1 \frac{\bar{C}_2}{D_2/D_1} + n_1 \frac{C_1}{D_1}\right)$$

for $D_1 \mid D_2$, and

$$S(n_1, m_2, m_1, n_2; D_1, D_2) := \sum_{\substack{B_1, C_1 \pmod{D_1}; B_2, C_2 \pmod{D_2} \\ D_1 C_2 + B_1 B_2 + D_2 C_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{D_1 D_2} \\ (B_j, C_j, D_j) = 1}} \sum \sum e\left(\frac{n_1 B_1 + m_1 (Y_1 D_2 - Z_1 B_2)}{D_1} + \frac{m_2 B_2 + n_2 (Y_2 D_1 - Z_2 B_1)}{D_2}\right),$$

where $B_j Y_j + C_j Z_j \equiv 1 \pmod{D_j}$ for $j = 1, 2$.

2.6. Integral Kernels. Following [[3], Theorem 2 & 3], we define the integral kernel in terms of Mellin-Barnes representations. For $s \in \mathbb{C}$, $\boldsymbol{\mu} = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)$ define the meromorphic function

$$\tilde{G}^\pm(s, \boldsymbol{\mu}) := \frac{\pi^{-3s}}{12288\pi^{7/2}} \left(\prod_{j=1}^3 \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}(s - \mu_j)\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}(1 - s + \mu_j)\right)} \pm i \prod_{j=1}^3 \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}(1 + s - \mu_j)\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}(2 - s + \mu_j)\right)} \right),$$

and for $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, s_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2$, $\boldsymbol{\mu} = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)$ define the meromorphic function

$$G(\mathbf{s}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(s_1 + s_2)} \prod_{j=1}^3 \Gamma(s_1 - \mu_j) \Gamma(s_2 + \mu_j).$$

We also define the following trigonometric functions

$$\begin{aligned} S^{++}(\mathbf{s}; \boldsymbol{\mu}) &:= \frac{1}{24\pi^2} \prod_{j=1}^3 \cos\left(\frac{3}{2}\pi\nu_j\right), \\ S^{+-}(\mathbf{s}; \boldsymbol{\mu}) &:= -\frac{1}{32\pi^2} \frac{\cos\left(\frac{3}{2}\pi\nu_2\right) \sin(\pi(s_1 - \mu_1)) \sin(\pi(s_2 + \mu_2)) \sin(\pi(s_2 + \mu_3))}{\sin\left(\frac{3}{2}\pi\nu_1\right) \sin\left(\frac{3}{2}\pi\nu_3\right) \sin(\pi(s_1 + s_2))}, \\ S^{-+}(\mathbf{s}; \boldsymbol{\mu}) &:= -\frac{1}{32\pi^2} \frac{\cos\left(\frac{3}{2}\pi\nu_1\right) \sin(\pi(s_1 - \mu_1)) \sin(\pi(s_1 - \mu_2)) \sin(\pi(s_2 + \mu_3))}{\sin\left(\frac{3}{2}\pi\nu_2\right) \sin\left(\frac{3}{2}\pi\nu_3\right) \sin(\pi(s_1 + s_2))}, \\ S^{--}(\mathbf{s}; \boldsymbol{\mu}) &:= \frac{1}{32\pi^2} \frac{\cos\left(\frac{3}{2}\pi\nu_3\right) \sin(\pi(s_1 - \mu_2)) \sin(\pi(s_2 + \mu_2))}{\sin\left(\frac{3}{2}\pi\nu_2\right) \sin\left(\frac{3}{2}\pi\nu_1\right)}. \end{aligned}$$

For $y \in \mathbb{R}^*$ with $\text{sgn}(y) = \epsilon$, let

$$K_{w_4}(y; \boldsymbol{\mu}) := \int_{-i\infty}^{+i\infty} |y|^{-s} \tilde{G}^\epsilon(s, \boldsymbol{\mu}) \frac{ds}{2\pi i}.$$

For $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2) \in (\mathbb{R}^*)^2$ with $\text{sgn}(y_1) = \epsilon_1$, $\text{sgn}(y_2) = \epsilon_2$, let

$$K_{w_6}^{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2}(\mathbf{y}; \boldsymbol{\mu}) := \int_{-i\infty}^{+i\infty} \int_{-i\infty}^{+i\infty} |4\pi^2 y_1|^{-s_1} |4\pi^2 y_2|^{-s_2} G(\mathbf{s}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) S^{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2}(\mathbf{s}; \boldsymbol{\mu}) \frac{ds_1 ds_2}{(2\pi i)^2}.$$

2.7. The Kuznetsov formula. Define the spectral measure on the hyperplane $\mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu_3 = 0$ by $d_{\text{spec}}\boldsymbol{\mu} = \text{spec}(\boldsymbol{\mu})d\boldsymbol{\mu}$, where

$$\text{spec}(\boldsymbol{\mu}) = \prod_{j=1}^3 \left(3\nu_j \tan\left(\frac{3\pi}{2}\nu_j\right) \right) \quad \text{and} \quad d\boldsymbol{\mu} = d\mu_1 d\mu_2 = d\mu_2 d\mu_3 = d\mu_3 d\mu_1.$$

Now we state the Kuznetsov trace formula in the version of Buttcane [[3], Theorem 2, 3, 4].

Lemma 2.3. *Let $n_1, n_2, m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ and h be a holomorphic function on*

$$\Lambda_{\frac{1}{2}+\delta} = \left\{ \boldsymbol{\mu} = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3) \in \mathbb{C}^3, \mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu_3 = 0, \Re(\mu_j) \leq \frac{1}{2} + \delta \right\}$$

for some $\delta > 0$, symmetric under the Weyl group \mathcal{W} , of rapid decay when $|\Im(\mu_j)| \rightarrow \infty$ and satisfies

$$h(3\nu_1 \pm 1, 3\nu_2 \pm 1, 3\nu_3 \pm 1) = 0.$$

Then we have

$$\mathcal{C} + \mathcal{E}_{\min} + \mathcal{E}_{\max} = \Delta + \Sigma_4 + \Sigma_5 + \Sigma_6,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C} &:= \sum_F \frac{h(\boldsymbol{\mu}_F)}{\mathcal{N}_F} \overline{A_F(m_1, m_2)} A_F(n_1, n_2), \\ \mathcal{E}_{\min} &:= \frac{1}{24(2\pi i)^2} \iint_{\Re(\boldsymbol{\mu})=0} \frac{h(\boldsymbol{\mu})}{\mathcal{N}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}^{\min}} \overline{B_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}^{\min}(m_1, m_2)} B_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}^{\min}(n_1, n_2) d\mu_1 d\mu_2, \\ \mathcal{E}_{\max} &:= \sum_f \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Re(u)=0} \frac{h(u + it_f, u - it_f, -2u)}{\mathcal{N}_{u,f}^{\max}} \overline{B_{u,f}^{\max}(m_1, m_2)} B_{u,f}^{\max}(n_1, n_2) du, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta &:= \delta_{m_1, n_1} \delta_{m_2, n_2} \frac{1}{192\pi^5} \iint_{\Re(\boldsymbol{\mu})=0} h(\boldsymbol{\mu}) d_{\text{spec}} \boldsymbol{\mu}, \\ \Sigma_4 &:= \sum_{\epsilon=\pm 1} \sum_{\substack{D_2|D_1 \\ m_2 D_1 = n_1 D_2^2}} \frac{\tilde{S}(-\epsilon n_2, m_2, m_1; D_2, D_1)}{D_1 D_2} \Phi_{w_4} \left(\frac{\epsilon m_1 m_2 n_2}{D_1 D_2} \right), \\ \Sigma_5 &:= \sum_{\epsilon=\pm 1} \sum_{\substack{D_1|D_2 \\ m_1 D_2 = n_2 D_1^2}} \frac{\tilde{S}(\epsilon n_1, m_1, m_2; D_1, D_2)}{D_1 D_2} \Phi_{w_5} \left(\frac{\epsilon m_1 m_2 n_1}{D_1 D_2} \right), \\ \Sigma_6 &:= \sum_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 = \pm 1} \sum_{D_1, D_2} \frac{S(\epsilon_2 n_2, \epsilon_1 n_1, m_1, m_2; D_1, D_2)}{D_1 D_2} \Phi_{w_6} \left(-\frac{\epsilon_2 m_1 n_2 D_2}{D_1^2}, -\frac{\epsilon_1 m_2 n_1 D_1}{D_2^2} \right)\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_{w_4}(y) &:= \iint_{\Re(\boldsymbol{\mu})=0} h(\boldsymbol{\mu}) K_{w_4}(y; \boldsymbol{\mu}) d_{\text{spec}} \boldsymbol{\mu}, \\ \Phi_{w_5}(y) &:= \iint_{\Re(\boldsymbol{\mu})=0} h(\boldsymbol{\mu}) K_{w_4}(-y; -\boldsymbol{\mu}) d_{\text{spec}} \boldsymbol{\mu}, \\ \Phi_{w_6}(\mathbf{y}) &:= \iint_{\Re(\boldsymbol{\mu})=0} h(\boldsymbol{\mu}) K_{w_6}^{\text{sgn}(y_1), \text{sgn}(y_2)}(\mathbf{y}; \boldsymbol{\mu}) d_{\text{spec}} \boldsymbol{\mu}.\end{aligned}\tag{8}$$

Here we quote Lemma 8 and Lemma 9 of [2] which are used in truncating summation in geometric terms after the application of the Kuznetsov formula.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $0 < |y| \leq T^{3-\varepsilon}$. Then for any constant $B > 0$, we have*

$$\Phi_{w_4}(y) \ll_{\varepsilon, B} T^{-B}.$$

If $|y| > T^{3-\varepsilon}$, then

$$|y|^j \frac{d^j}{dy^j} \Phi_{w_4}(y) \ll_{\varepsilon, j} T^{3+\varepsilon} R^2 (T + |y|^{1/3})^j$$

for any $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $\Upsilon := \min\{|y_1|^{1/3}|y_2|^{1/6}, |y_2|^{1/3}|y_1|^{1/6}\}$. If $\Upsilon \ll T^{1-\varepsilon}$, then for any constant $B > 0$*

$$\Phi_{w_6}(y_1, y_2) \ll_{\varepsilon, B} T^{-B}.$$

If $\Upsilon \gg T^{1-\varepsilon}$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}& |y_1|^{j_1} |y_2|^{j_2} \frac{\partial^{j_1}}{\partial y_1^{j_1}} \frac{\partial^{j_2}}{\partial y_2^{j_2}} \Phi_{w_6}(y_1, y_2) \\ & \ll_{\varepsilon, j_1, j_2} T^3 R^2 (T + |y_1|^{1/2} + |y_1|^{1/3}|y_2|^{1/6})^{j_1} (T + |y_2|^{1/2} + |y_2|^{1/3}|y_1|^{1/6})^{j_2}\end{aligned}$$

for any $j_1, j_2 \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

Let us define

$$\mathcal{S}(T) := \sum_F \frac{h(\boldsymbol{\mu}_F)}{\mathcal{N}_F} L(1/2, g \otimes F) L(1/2, F).$$

By using the approximate functional equations of $L(1/2, g \otimes F)$ and $L(1/2, F)$ (Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}(T) &= \sum_{m,n \geq 1} \sum_{l \geq 1} \frac{\lambda_g(n)}{m(nl)^{1/2}} \sum_F \frac{h_1(\boldsymbol{\mu}_F)}{\mathcal{N}_F} A_F(m, n) \overline{A_F(1, l)} \\ &+ \sum_{m,n \geq 1} \sum_{l \geq 1} \frac{\lambda_g(n)}{m(nl)^{1/2}} \sum_F \frac{h_2(\boldsymbol{\mu}_F)}{\mathcal{N}_F} A_F(m, n) A_F(1, l) \\ &+ \sum_{m,n \geq 1} \sum_{l \geq 1} \frac{\lambda_g(n)}{m(nl)^{1/2}} \sum_F \frac{h_3(\boldsymbol{\mu}_F)}{\mathcal{N}_F} \overline{A_F(m, n)} A_F(1, l) \\ &+ \sum_{m,n \geq 1} \sum_{l \geq 1} \frac{\lambda_g(n)}{m(nl)^{1/2}} \sum_F \frac{h_4(\boldsymbol{\mu}_F)}{\mathcal{N}_F} \overline{A_F(m, n)} \overline{A_F(1, l)} \\ &= \mathcal{S}_1(T) + \mathcal{S}_2(T) + \mathcal{S}_3(T) + \mathcal{S}_4(T), \text{ (say)}. \end{aligned}$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned} h_1(\boldsymbol{\mu}_F) &= h(\boldsymbol{\mu}_F) W_F(nm^2) \tilde{V}_F(l), \quad h_2(\boldsymbol{\mu}_F) = h(\boldsymbol{\mu}_F) W_F(nm^2) V_F(l), \\ h_3(\boldsymbol{\mu}_F) &= h(\boldsymbol{\mu}_F) \tilde{W}_F(nm^2) V_F(l) \text{ and } h_4(\boldsymbol{\mu}_F) = h(\boldsymbol{\mu}_F) \tilde{W}_F(nm^2) \tilde{V}_F(l). \end{aligned}$$

Now, Theorem 1 follows immediately by the proposition given below.

Proposition 1. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_1(T) &= L(1, g) \frac{1}{192 \pi^5} \iint_{\Re(\boldsymbol{\mu})=0} h(\boldsymbol{\mu}) \prod_{j=1}^3 \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{\mu_j}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{\mu_j}{2})} \text{spec}(\boldsymbol{\mu}) d\boldsymbol{\mu} + O(T^{\frac{17}{6} + \varepsilon} R^2), \\ \mathcal{S}_2(T) &= \zeta(\frac{3}{2}) \frac{1}{192 \pi^5} \iint_{\Re(\boldsymbol{\mu})=0} h(\boldsymbol{\mu}) \text{spec}(\boldsymbol{\mu}) d\boldsymbol{\mu} + O(T^{\frac{17}{6} + \varepsilon} R^2), \\ \mathcal{S}_3(T) &= L(1, g) \frac{1}{192 \pi^5} \iint_{\Re(\boldsymbol{\mu})=0} h(\boldsymbol{\mu}) \prod_{j=1}^3 \frac{\Gamma(\frac{k}{2} + \mu_j)}{\Gamma(\frac{k}{2} - \mu_j)} \text{spec}(\boldsymbol{\mu}) d\boldsymbol{\mu} + O(T^{\frac{17}{6} + \varepsilon} R^2), \\ \mathcal{S}_4(T) &= \zeta(\frac{3}{2}) \frac{1}{192 \pi^5} \iint_{\Re(\boldsymbol{\mu})=0} h(\boldsymbol{\mu}) \prod_{j=1}^3 \frac{\Gamma(\frac{k}{2} + \mu_j) \Gamma(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{\mu_j}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{k}{2} - \mu_j) \Gamma(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{\mu_j}{2})} \text{spec}(\boldsymbol{\mu}) d\boldsymbol{\mu} + O(T^{\frac{17}{6} + \varepsilon} R^2). \end{aligned}$$

3.1. Proof of the Proposition 1. We only prove the first identity ($\mathcal{S}_1(T)$) of the Proposition 1, as the proof of other identities is the same as the first identity.

Applying the Kuznetsov's trace formula (Lemma 2.3), one has

$$\mathcal{S}_1(T) = \sum_{m,n \geq 1} \sum_{l \geq 1} \frac{\lambda_g(n)}{m(nl)^{1/2}} \left(\Delta^{(1)} + \Sigma_4^{(1)} + \Sigma_5^{(1)} + \Sigma_6^{(1)} - \mathcal{E}_{\min}^{(1)} - \mathcal{E}_{\max}^{(1)} \right), \quad (9)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta^{(1)} &:= \delta_{m,1} \delta_{n,l} \frac{1}{192\pi^5} \iint_{\Re(\boldsymbol{\mu})=0} h_1(\boldsymbol{\mu}) d_{\text{spec}} \boldsymbol{\mu}, \\ \Sigma_4^{(1)} &:= \sum_{\epsilon=\pm 1} \sum_{\substack{D_2|D_1 \\ lD_1=mD_2^2}} \frac{\tilde{S}(-\epsilon n, l, 1; D_2, D_1)}{D_1 D_2} \Phi_{w_4}^{(1)} \left(\frac{\epsilon l n}{D_1 D_2} \right), \\ \Sigma_5^{(1)} &:= \sum_{\epsilon=\pm 1} \sum_{\substack{D_1|D_2 \\ D_2=nD_1^2}} \frac{\tilde{S}(\epsilon m, 1, l; D_1, D_2)}{D_1 D_2} \Phi_{w_5}^{(1)} \left(\frac{\epsilon l m}{D_1 D_2} \right), \\ \Sigma_6^{(1)} &:= \sum_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2=\pm 1} \sum_{D_1, D_2} \frac{S(\epsilon_2 n, \epsilon_1 m, 1, l; D_1, D_2)}{D_1 D_2} \Phi_{w_6}^{(1)} \left(-\frac{\epsilon_2 n D_2}{D_1^2}, -\frac{\epsilon_1 l m D_1}{D_2^2} \right),\end{aligned}$$

with $\Phi_{w_4}^{(1)}(y)$, $\Phi_{w_5}^{(1)}(y)$ and $\Phi_{w_6}^{(1)}(\mathbf{y})$ defined as in (8) by using the new test function $h_1(\boldsymbol{\mu}_F) = h(\boldsymbol{\mu}_F) W_F(nm^2) \tilde{V}_F(l)$ respectively; and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}_{\min}^{(1)} &:= \frac{1}{24(2\pi i)^2} \iint_{\Re(\boldsymbol{\mu})=0} \frac{h_1(\boldsymbol{\mu})}{\mathcal{N}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}^{\min}} \overline{B_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}^{\min}(1, l)} B_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}^{\min}(m, n) d\mu_1 d\mu_2, \\ \mathcal{E}_{\max}^{(1)} &:= \sum_f \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Re(u)=0} \frac{h_1(u + it_f, u - it_f, -2u)}{\mathcal{N}_{u,f}^{\max}} \overline{B_{u,f}^{\max}(1, l)} B_{u,f}^{\max}(m, n) du,\end{aligned}$$

3.1.1. The diagonal term. Let us denote $\mathcal{D}^{(1)}$ to be contribution of $\Delta^{(1)}$ to the sum in the equation (9). Thus we have

$$\mathcal{D}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{192\pi^5} \iint_{\Re(\boldsymbol{\mu})=0} h(\boldsymbol{\mu}) D(\boldsymbol{\mu}) d_{\text{spec}} \boldsymbol{\mu},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}D(\boldsymbol{\mu}) &:= \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{(3)} \int_{(3)} L(1 + u_1 + u_2, g) \frac{\gamma(\frac{1}{2} + u_1, \tilde{F})}{\gamma(\frac{1}{2}, F)} \frac{\gamma(\frac{1}{2} + u_2, g \otimes F)}{\gamma(\frac{1}{2}, g \otimes F)} \\ &\quad \times G(u_1) G(u_2) \frac{du_1}{u_1} \frac{du_2}{u_2}\end{aligned}\tag{10}$$

with $G(u) = e^{u^2}$, $\gamma(u, \tilde{F}) = \prod_{j=1}^3 \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(u + \mu_j)$, $\gamma(u, F) = \prod_{j=1}^3 \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(u - \mu_j)$ and

$$\gamma(u, g \otimes F) = \prod_{j=1}^3 \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}\left(u + \frac{k-1}{2} - \mu_j\right) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}\left(u + \frac{k+1}{2} - \mu_j\right).$$

Now we evaluate the double integral in the first term on the right side of the equation (10). We first shift the contour to $\Re(u_1) = \epsilon$, $\Re(u_2) = \epsilon$ without encountering a pole, for any $\epsilon > 0$. Then we move the contour $\Re(u_2) = \epsilon$ to $\Re(u_2) = -\frac{1}{2}$, in doing so we encounter a simple pole at $u_2 = 0$. Since, $G(u) \ll e^{-t^2}$ and $L(1/2 + it, g) \ll t^{1/3}$, the integral on $\Re(u_1) = \epsilon$, $\Re(u_2) = -\frac{1}{2}$ is bounded by $O\left(\prod_{j=1}^3 |\mu_j|^{-1/2}\right)$. The contribution from the residue at $u_2 = 0$ is

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(\epsilon)} L(1 + u_1, g) \frac{\gamma(\frac{1}{2} + u_1, \tilde{F})}{\gamma(\frac{1}{2}, F)} G(u_1) \frac{du_1}{u_1}.\tag{11}$$

Now shift the contour in (11) to $\Re(u_1) = -\frac{1}{2}$ encountering a simple pole at $u_1 = 0$. The integral on the line $\Re(u_1) = -\frac{1}{2}$ is bounded by $O\left(\prod_{j=1}^3 |\mu_j|^{-1/4}\right)$. The contribution from the residue at $u_1 = 0$ is $L(1, g) \frac{\gamma(\frac{1}{2}, \tilde{F})}{\gamma(\frac{1}{2}, F)}$. Note that, $\iint_{\Re(\mu)=0} h(\mu) \text{spec}(\mu) d\mu \asymp T^3 R^2$. Therefore,

$$\mathcal{D}^{(1)} = L(1, g) \frac{1}{192\pi^5} \iint_{\Re(\mu)=0} h(\mu) \prod_{j=1}^3 \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{\mu_j}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{\mu_j}{2})} \text{spec}(\mu) d\mu + O(T^{\frac{9}{4} + \varepsilon} R^2).$$

3.1.2. Contribution of $\Sigma_4^{(1)}$. Let $E_4^{(1)}$ be the contribution of Σ_4 to the sum in the equation (9). So

$$E_4^{(1)} = \sum_{m, n \geq 1} \sum_{l \geq 1} \frac{\lambda_g(n)}{m(nl)^{1/2}} \sum_{\epsilon = \pm 1} \sum_{\substack{D_2 | D_1 \\ lD_1 = mD_2^2}} \frac{\tilde{S}(-\epsilon n, l, 1; D_2, D_1)}{D_1 D_2} \Phi_{w_4}^{(1)}\left(\frac{\epsilon ln}{D_1 D_2}\right).$$

Let $U_i(x)$ ($i = 1, 2$) be smooth functions which are compactly supported in $[1, 2]$, satisfy $x^j U_i^{(j)}(x) \ll 1$. By partition of unity, to get a bound for $E_4^{(1)}$ it is enough to estimate the following sum

$$\sum_{m, n \geq 1} \sum_{l \geq 1} \frac{\lambda_g(n) U_1\left(\frac{nm^2}{N}\right) U_2\left(\frac{l}{L}\right)}{(nm^2)^{1/2} (l)^{1/2}} \sum_{\substack{\delta, D \\ \delta l = mD}} \frac{\tilde{S}(\mp n, l, 1; D, \delta D)}{\delta D^2} \Phi_{w_4}^{(1)}\left(\frac{\pm ln}{\delta D^2}\right), \quad (12)$$

where $1 \leq N \leq T^{3+\varepsilon}$ and $1 \leq L \leq T^{3/2+\varepsilon}$. By Lemma 2.4, $\Phi_{w_4}^{(1)}\left(\frac{\pm ln}{\delta D^2}\right)$ is negligibly small unless

$$\frac{ln}{\delta D^2} \gg T^{3-\varepsilon}. \quad (13)$$

Note that $\delta l = mD$. By (13), we deduce that

$$1 \leq l\delta^3 \leq \frac{nm^2}{T^{3-\varepsilon}} \leq T^\varepsilon,$$

which implies, $\delta, L, mD \leq T^\varepsilon$ and $T^{3-\varepsilon} \leq n \leq N \leq T^{3+\varepsilon}$.

We recall the Kloosterman sum

$$\tilde{S}(\mp n, l, 1; D, \delta D) = \sum_{\substack{C_1 \pmod{D}, C_2 \pmod{\delta D} \\ (C_1, D) = (C_2, \delta) = 1}} \sum e\left(l \frac{\bar{C}_1 C_2}{D} + \frac{\bar{C}_2}{\delta} \mp n \frac{C_1}{D}\right).$$

In (12), the only non-trivial sum is the sum over n , which is given by

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \lambda_g(n) e\left(\mp n \frac{C_1}{D}\right) \theta(n),$$

where

$$\theta(y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{y}} U_1\left(\frac{m^2 y}{N}\right) \Phi_{w_4}^{(1)}\left(\frac{\pm ly}{\delta D^2}\right).$$

Now the $GL(2)$ -Voronoi summation formula transforms the above sum into

$$\frac{1}{D} \sum_{n \geq 1} \lambda_g(n) e\left(\pm n \frac{\bar{C}_1}{D}\right) \Theta(n),$$

where

$$\Theta(y) = 2\pi i^k \int_0^\infty \theta(x) J_{k-1} \left(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{xy}}{D} \right) dx.$$

We now analyse the integral transform $\Theta(n)$. Using the properties of Bessel function we arrive at the following expression

$$\frac{N^{1/4} D^{1/2}}{m^{1/2} n^{1/4}} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{x^{3/4}} U_1(x) \Phi_{w_4}^{(1)} \left(\frac{\pm l N x}{\delta(mD)^2} \right) e \left(\pm \frac{2\pi\sqrt{nNx}}{mD} \right) dx. \quad (14)$$

By Lemma 2.4, we have

$$|x|^j \frac{d^j}{dx^j} \Phi_{w_4}^{(1)}(x) \ll_{\varepsilon, j} T^{3+\varepsilon} R^2 (T + |x|^{1/3})^j.$$

First, we change the variable $x \rightarrow x^2$ in the integral of equation (14). Then by repeated integration by parts we see that

$$\Theta(n) \ll_{\varepsilon, j} \frac{N^{1/4} D^{1/2} T^{3+\varepsilon} R^2}{m^{1/2} n^{1/4}} \left(T + \left(\frac{lN}{\delta(mD)^2} \right)^{1/3} \right)^j \left(\frac{mD}{\sqrt{nN}} \right)^j.$$

Since $1 \leq \delta, L, m, D \leq T^\varepsilon$ and $T^{3-\varepsilon} \leq N \leq T^{3+\varepsilon}$.

Therefore,

$$E_4^{(1)} \ll_A T^{-A},$$

for any $A > 0$.

3.1.3. Contribution of $\Sigma_5^{(1)}$. Let $E_5^{(1)}$ be the contribution of Σ_5 to the sum in the equation (9). As in the previous case it is enough to estimate the following sum

$$\sum_{m, n \geq 1} \sum_{l \geq 1} \frac{\lambda_g(n) U_1\left(\frac{nm^2}{N}\right) U_2\left(\frac{l}{L}\right)}{(nm^2)^{1/2} (l)^{1/2}} \sum_{\substack{\delta, D \\ \delta = nD}} \frac{\tilde{S}(\pm m, 1, l; D, \delta D)}{\delta D^2} \Phi_{w_5}^{(1)} \left(\frac{\pm lm}{\delta D^2} \right) \quad (15)$$

to get a bound for $E_5^{(1)}$. Here $1 \leq nm^2 \leq N \leq T^{3+\varepsilon}$ and $1 \leq l \leq L \leq T^{3/2+\varepsilon}$. Since $\Phi_{w_5}^{(1)}\left(\frac{\pm lm}{\delta D^2}\right)$ is negligibly small unless

$$T^{3-\varepsilon} \ll \frac{lm}{\delta D^2}.$$

Note that $\delta = nD$, together with above inequality we get

$$nD^3 \leq \frac{lm}{T^{3-\varepsilon}} \leq T^\varepsilon.$$

Therefore $n, \delta, D \leq T^\varepsilon$ and $T^{3/2-\varepsilon} \leq m, l \leq T^{3/2+\varepsilon}$. Recall the Kloosterman sum

$$\tilde{S}(\pm m, 1, l; D, \delta D) = \sum_{\substack{C_1 \pmod{D}, C_2 \pmod{\delta D} \\ (C_1, D) = (C_2, \delta) = 1}} e \left(\frac{\bar{C}_1 C_2}{D} + l \frac{\bar{C}_2}{\delta} \mp m \frac{C_1}{D} \right).$$

Now, the l -sum in the equation (15) is given by

$$\sum_{l \geq 1} e \left(\frac{l \bar{C}_2}{\delta} \right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{l}} U_2 \left(\frac{l}{L} \right) \Phi_{w_5}^{(1)} \left(\frac{\pm lm}{\delta D^2} \right).$$

An application of the Poisson summation formula to the above sum yields

$$\sqrt{L} \sum_{\substack{l \in \mathbb{Z} \\ l \equiv -C_2 \pmod{\delta}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{y}} U_2(y) \Phi_{w_5}^{(1)} \left(\frac{\pm Lmy}{\delta D^2} \right) e \left(\frac{-Ly}{\delta} \right) dy. \quad (16)$$

Note that, for $l \neq 0$ (non-zero frequency), by repeated integration by parts we have the inner integral in (16) is

$$\ll_{\varepsilon, j} T^{3+\varepsilon} R^2 \left(T + \left(\frac{mL}{\delta D^2} \right)^{1/3} \right)^j \left(\frac{\delta}{|l|L} \right)^j.$$

Thus we need to have $\delta = 1$, otherwise there will be no zero frequency. Therefore, we have $D = 1$ and $n = 1$. In this case the contribution of zero frequency is given by

$$\sqrt{L} \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{1}{m} U_1 \left(\frac{m^2}{N} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{y}} U_2(y) \Phi_{w_5}^{(1)}(\pm Lmy) dy.$$

By the definition of $\Phi_{w_5}^{(1)}(y)$ and $K_{w_4}(y; \boldsymbol{\mu})$, the above y -integral becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{y}} U_2(y) \iint_{\Re(\boldsymbol{\mu})=0} h_1(\boldsymbol{\mu}) K_{w_4}(\mp Lmy; -\boldsymbol{\mu}) d_{\text{spec}} \boldsymbol{\mu} dy \\ &= \iint_{\Re(\boldsymbol{\mu})=0} h_1(\boldsymbol{\mu}) \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} y^{-s-\frac{1}{2}} U_2(y) dy \right) |mL|^{-s} \tilde{G}^{\pm}(s, -\boldsymbol{\mu}) \frac{ds}{2\pi i} d_{\text{spec}} \boldsymbol{\mu} \\ &= \iint_{\Re(\boldsymbol{\mu})=0} h_1(\boldsymbol{\mu}) \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} |mL|^{-s} \widehat{U}_1 \left(\frac{1}{2} - s \right) \tilde{G}^{\pm}(s, -\boldsymbol{\mu}) \frac{ds}{2\pi i} d_{\text{spec}} \boldsymbol{\mu}, \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where $\widehat{U}_1(s)$ is the Mellin transform of $U_1(y)$, which is entire and rapidly decaying. Then we can restrict the s -integral to $|\text{Im}(s)| \leq T^\varepsilon$. Recall the definition of $\tilde{G}^{\pm}(s, \boldsymbol{\mu})$

$$\tilde{G}^{\pm}(s, \boldsymbol{\mu}) := \frac{\pi^{-3s}}{12288\pi^{7/2}} \left(\prod_{j=1}^3 \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(s - \mu_j))}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(1 - s + \mu_j))} \pm i \prod_{j=1}^3 \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(1 + s - \mu_j))}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(2 - s + \mu_j))} \right).$$

Since $\boldsymbol{\mu}_0 \asymp \mu_{0,j} \asymp T$, then the $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ -integral in (17) is bounded by $T^{3/2+\varepsilon} R^2$.

Thus,

$$E_5^{(1)} \ll T^{\frac{9}{4}+\varepsilon} R^2.$$

3.1.4. Contribution of $\Sigma_6^{(1)}$. In this case we have to bound the following sum

$$\sum_{m, n \geq 1} \sum_{l \geq 1} \frac{\lambda_g(n) U_1(\frac{nm^2}{N}) U_2(\frac{l}{L})}{(nm^2)^{1/2} (l)^{1/2}} \sum_{D_1, D_2} \frac{S(\pm n, \pm m, 1, l; D_1, D_2)}{D_1 D_2} \Phi_{w_6}^{(1)} \left(\frac{\mp n D_2}{D_1^2}, \frac{\mp l m D_1}{D_2^2} \right). \quad (18)$$

Using the property Φ_{w_6} (Lemma 2.5), we have

$$T^{1-\varepsilon} \leq \frac{(lmn^2)^{1/6}}{D_1^{1/2}} \quad \text{and} \quad T^{1-\varepsilon} \leq \frac{(nl^2m^2)^{1/6}}{D_2^{1/2}}.$$

From these conditions we infer that $1 \leq D_2 \leq T^\varepsilon$, $1 \leq D_1 \leq T^{1/2+\varepsilon}/m$ and $1 \leq m \leq T^{1/2+\varepsilon}$, also $T^{3-\varepsilon} \leq N \leq T^{3+\varepsilon}$ and $T^{3/2-\varepsilon} \leq L \leq T^{3/2+\varepsilon}$.

The Kloosterman sum $S(\pm n, \pm m, 1, l; D_1, D_2)$ is given by

$$\sum_{\substack{B_1, C_1 \pmod{D_1}; B_2, C_2 \pmod{D_2} \\ D_1 C_2 + B_1 B_2 + D_2 C_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{D_1 D_2} \\ (B_j, C_j, D_j) = 1}} e \left(\frac{\pm n B_1 + (Y_1 D_2 - Z_1 B_2)}{D_1} + \frac{\pm m B_2 + l(Y_2 D_1 - Z_2 B_1)}{D_2} \right),$$

where $B_j Y_j + C_j Z_j \equiv 1 \pmod{D_j}$ for $j = 1, 2$. Note that $(B_1, D_1) \mid D_2$, so $(B_1, D_1) \leq T^\varepsilon$ as $D_2 \leq T^\varepsilon$. Let $B_1 = B'_1(B_1, D_1)$ and $D_1 = D'_1(B_1, D_1)$ with $(B'_1, D'_1) = 1$.

Now we apply $GL(2)$ -Voronoi summation and Poisson summation formulae on n and l -sums in the equation (18) respectively. Then the n and l -sums in (18) transform into

$$2\pi i^k \frac{m\sqrt{L}}{\sqrt{N}D_1'} \sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{\substack{l \in \mathbb{Z} \\ l \equiv -(Y_2 D_1 - Z_2 B_1) \pmod{D_2}}} \lambda_g(n) e\left(\frac{\mp \bar{B}_1' n}{D_1'}\right) \\ \times \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Phi_{w_6}^{(1)}\left(\frac{\mp N D_2 x}{D_1'^2 m^2}, \frac{\mp m L D_1 y}{D_2^2}\right) J_{k-1}\left(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{N n x}}{m D_1'}\right) e\left(\frac{-l L y}{D_2}\right) U_1(x) U_2(y) dx dy. \quad (19)$$

By repeated integration by parts, the y -integral in (19) is

$$\ll_{\varepsilon, j} T^{3+\varepsilon} R^2 \left(T + \left(\frac{m D_1 L}{D_2^2}\right)^{1/2} + \left(\frac{m D_1 L}{D_2^2}\right)^{1/3} \left(\frac{N D_2}{D_1' m^2}\right)^{1/6} \right)^j \left(\frac{D_2}{|l|L}\right)^j,$$

for $l \neq 0$. Therefore the non-zero frequency ($l \neq 0$) in (19) contributes $O(T^{-A})$. In the zero frequency ($l = 0$) case, we must have $D_2 \mid (Y_2 D_1 - Z_2 B_1)$. In this case equation (19) becomes

$$2\pi i^k \frac{m\sqrt{L}}{\sqrt{N}D_1'} \sum_{n \geq 1} \lambda_g(n) e\left(\frac{\mp \bar{B}_1' n}{D_1'}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Phi_{w_6}^{(1)}\left(\frac{\mp N D_2 x}{D_1'^2 m^2}, \frac{\mp m L D_1 y}{D_2^2}\right) \\ \times J_{k-1}\left(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{N n x}}{m D_1'}\right) U_1(x) U_2(y) dx dy.$$

Inserting the properties of Bessel function in the above equation, we have

$$s \frac{m^{3/2} \sqrt{L}}{N^{3/4} D_1'^{1/2}} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\lambda_g(n)}{n^{1/4}} e\left(\frac{\mp \bar{B}_1' n}{D_1'}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Phi_{w_6}^{(1)}\left(\frac{\mp N D_2 x}{D_1'^2 m^2}, \frac{\mp m L D_1 y}{D_2^2}\right) \\ \times e\left(\frac{2\pi\sqrt{N n x}}{m D_1'}\right) U_1(x) U_2(y) dx dy. \quad (20)$$

Integrating by parts we see that the x -integral in (20) is negligibly small unless $n \leq T^\varepsilon$ (Dual length). Therefore the sum in (18) is bounded above by

$$\frac{T^\varepsilon \sqrt{L}}{N^{3/4}} \sum_{n \leq T^\varepsilon} \frac{\lambda_g(n)}{n^{1/4}} \sum_{\substack{D_1 \leq T^{1/2+\varepsilon} \\ D_2 \leq T^\varepsilon}} \sum_{D_2} \frac{1}{D_1^{3/2} D_2} \sum_{m \leq \frac{T^{1/2+\varepsilon}}{D_1}} m^{1/2} \mathcal{C}(D_1, D_2, n, m) \mathcal{I}(D_1, D_2, n, m),$$

where

$$\mathcal{C}(D_1, D_2, n, m) = \sum_{\substack{B_1, C_1 \pmod{D_1}; B_2, C_2 \pmod{D_2} \\ D_1 C_2 + B_1 B_2 + D_2 C_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{D_1 D_2} \\ (B_j, C_j, D_j) = 1 \\ D_2 \mid (Y_2 D_1 - Z_2 B_1)}} e\left(\frac{\mp \bar{B}_1' n}{D_1'} + \frac{Y_1 D_2 - Z_1 B_2}{D_1} \pm \frac{m B_2}{D_2}\right),$$

$$\mathcal{I}(D_1, D_2, n, m) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Phi_{w_6}^{(1)}\left(\frac{\mp N D_2 x}{D_1'^2 m^2}, \frac{\mp m L D_1 y}{D_2^2}\right) e\left(\frac{2\pi\sqrt{N n x}}{m D_1'}\right) U_1(x) U_2(y) dx dy.$$

Moreover, trivially $\mathcal{C}(D_1, D_2, n, m)$ is bounded by $O(T^\varepsilon D_1)$ and the integral

$$\mathcal{I}(D_1, D_2, n, m) \ll T^{3+\varepsilon} R^2.$$

Hence, the contribution of $\Sigma_6^{(1)}$ is $O(T^{\frac{9}{4}+\varepsilon} R^2)$.

3.1.5. **Contribution of $\mathcal{E}_{\min}^{(1)}$.** Let us denote

$$E_{\min}^{(1)} := \frac{1}{24(2\pi i)^2} \iint_{\Re(\boldsymbol{\mu})=0} \frac{h(\boldsymbol{\mu})}{\mathcal{N}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}^{\min}} \sum_{m,n \geq 1} \frac{\lambda_g(n)}{mn^{1/2}} B_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}^{\min}(m, n) W_F(nm^2) \\ \times \sum_{l \geq 1} \frac{1}{l^{1/2}} \overline{B_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}^{\min}(1, l)} \tilde{V}_F(l) d\mu_1 d\mu_2.$$

Inserting the definition of $V_F(y)$, $\tilde{W}(y)$ and using (7), (5) we have

$$E_{\min}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{24(2\pi i)^2} \iint_{\Re(\boldsymbol{\mu})=0} \frac{h(\boldsymbol{\mu})}{\mathcal{N}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}^{\min}} \mathcal{I}_{\min}^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{\mu}) d\mu_1 d\mu_2,$$

where

$$\mathcal{I}_{\min}^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{\mu}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{(3)} \int_{(3)} G(s_2) \prod_{j=1}^3 \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + \frac{1}{2} + \mu_j)}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(\frac{1}{2} - \mu_j)} \zeta(s_2 + \frac{1}{2} - \mu_j) \\ \times G(s_1) \prod_{j=1}^3 \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{k-1}{2} - \mu_j) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{k+1}{2} - \mu_j)}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{k-1}{2} - \mu_j) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{k+1}{2} - \mu_j)} L(s_1 + \frac{1}{2} + \mu_j, g) \frac{ds_1 ds_2}{s_1 s_2}.$$

Now we move the line of integration from $\Re(s_1) = \Re(s_2) = 3$ to $\Re(s_1) = \Re(s_2) = \varepsilon$. Since $L(1/2 + it, g) \ll t^{1/3}$, $\zeta(1/2 + it) \ll t^{1/6}$ and $G(s) \ll e^{-t^2}$, therefore

$$\mathcal{I}_{\min}^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{\mu}) \ll \prod_{j=1}^3 (1 + |\mu_j|)^{1/2}.$$

Finally, using the bound

$$\mathcal{N}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}^{\min} = \mathcal{N}_{\nu_1, \nu_2}^{\min} := \frac{1}{16} \prod_{j=1}^3 |\zeta(1 + 3\nu_j)|^2 \gg \prod_{j=1}^3 \left(\frac{1}{\log(1 + 3\Im(\nu_j))} \right)^2,$$

we obtain

$$E_{\min}^{(1)} \ll T^{\frac{3}{2} + \varepsilon} R^2.$$

3.1.6. **Contribution of $\mathcal{E}_{\max}^{(1)}$.** Let us define

$$E_{\max}^{(1)} := \sum_f \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Re(u)=0} \frac{h(u + it_f, u - it_f, -2u)}{\mathcal{N}_{u,f}^{\max}} \\ \times \sum_{m,n \geq 1} \frac{\lambda_g(n)}{mn^{1/2}} B_{u,f}^{\max}(m, n) W_F(nm^2) \sum_{l \geq 1} \frac{1}{l^{1/2}} \overline{B_{u,f}^{\max}(1, l)} \tilde{V}_F(l) du.$$

By similar argument as above one has

$$E_{\max}^{(1)} = \sum_f \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Re(u)=0} \frac{h(u + it_f, u - it_f, -2u)}{\mathcal{N}_{u,f}^{\max}} \mathcal{I}(u + it_f, u - it_f, -2u) du,$$

where $\mathcal{I}_{\max}^{(1)}(u + it_f, u - it_f, -2u)$ is given by

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{(3)} \int_{(3)} G(s_1) G(s_2) L(s_1 + \frac{1}{2} - 2u, g) L(s_1 + \frac{1}{2} + u, g \otimes f) L(s_2 + \frac{1}{2} - u, g) \times \\ \zeta(s_2 + \frac{1}{2} + 2u) \prod_{j=1}^3 \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{k-1}{2} - \alpha_j) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{k+1}{2} - \alpha_j) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + \frac{1}{2} + \alpha_j)}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{k-1}{2} - \alpha_j) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{k+1}{2} - \alpha_j) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_j)} \frac{ds_1 ds_2}{s_1 s_2}.$$

Here $\alpha_1 = u + it_f$, $\alpha_2 = u - it_f$ and $\alpha_3 = -2u$. By the definition of h we have $h(u + it_f, u - it_f, -2u)$ is negligibly small unless

$$|u + it_f - \mu_{0,1}| \leq R, \quad |u - it_f - \mu_{0,2}| \leq R, \quad |-2u - \mu_{0,3}| \leq R.$$

Note that $\mu_{0,j} \asymp T$ and $\mathcal{N}_{u,f}^{\max} := 8L(1, \text{Ad}^2 f) |L(1 + 3u, f)|^2 \gg (1 + \log |u|)^{-1}$.

We first shift the line of integration to $\Re(s_1) = \Re(s_2) = \varepsilon$ and use the bounds

$$\zeta(1/2 + it) \ll |t|^{1/6}, \quad L(1/2 + it, f) \ll |t|^{1/3}, \quad L(1/2 + it, g) \ll |t|^{1/3}$$

and $L(1/2 + it, g \otimes f) \ll |t|$ to get

$$E_{\max}^{(1)} \ll T^{11/6+\varepsilon} R \sum_{T-R \leq t_f \leq T+R} 1.$$

Using the Weyl law for the number of eigenvalues associated to $GL(2)$ cusp forms we have

$$E_{\max}^{(1)} \ll T^{17/6+\varepsilon} R^2.$$

Acknowledgements: We thank Ritabrata Munshi, Satadal Ganguly and D. Surya Ramana for their encouragement and support. We also thank the Stat-Math unit, Indian Statistical Institute Kolkata for the excellent academic atmosphere. The second-named author is supported by the NBHM grant(No: 0204/16(14)/2021/R&D-II/01).

REFERENCES

- [1] V. Blomer, *Application of Kuznetsov formula on $GL(3)$* , Invent. Math. **194**(3) (2013), pg. 673–729.
- [2] V. Blomer and J. Buttcane, *On the subconvexity problem for L -functions on $GL(3)$* , Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér.(4) **53**(6) (2020), pg. 1441–1500.
- [3] J. Buttcane, *The spectral Kuznetsov formula on $SL(3)$* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **368**(9) (2016), pg. 6683–6714.
- [4] S. Das and R. Khan, *Simultaneous nonvanishing of Dirichlet L -functions and twists of Hecke-Maass L -functions*, J. Ramanujan Math. Soc. **30**(3) (2015), pg. 237–250.
- [5] D. Goldfeld, *Automorphic Forms and L -functions for the Group $GL(n, R)$* , Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, Vol. 99 (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006).
- [6] B. Huang, S. Liu, Z. Xu, *Mollification and non-vanishing of automorphic L -functions $GL(3)$* , Israel J. Math. **227**(2) (2018), pg. 597–622.
- [7] H. Iwaniec and E. Kowalski, *Analytic Number Theory*, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, Vol. 53 (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004).
- [8] H. Iwaniec and P. Sarnak, *The non-vanishing of central values of automorphic L -functions and Landau-Siegel zeros*, Israel J. Math. **120** (2000), pg. 155–177.
- [9] R. Khan, *Simultaneous non-vanishing of $GL(3) \times GL(2)$ and $GL(2)$ L -functions*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **152**(3) (2012), pg. 535–553.
- [10] E. Kowalski, P. Michel, J. VanderKam, *Mollification of the fourth moment of automorphic L -functions and arithmetic applications*, Invent. Math. **142**(2000), pg. 95–151.
- [11] X. Li, *The central value of the Rankin-Selberg L -functions*, Geom. Func. Anal. **18**(5) (2009), pg. 1660–1695.
- [12] S.-C. Liu, *Simultaneous nonvanishing of $GL(2) \times GL(2)$ and $GL(2)$ L -functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **142** (2014), pg. 1953–1964.
- [13] S.-C. Liu, *Simultaneous nonvanishing of automorphic L -functions*, J. Number Theory **147** (2015), pg. 620–632.
- [14] G. Maiti, *Simultaneous Non-vanishing of Quadratic Dirichlet L -functions and twists of Hecke L -functions*, (Preprint).
- [15] P. Michel and J. Vanderkam, *Simultaneous nonvanishing of twists of automorphic L -functions*, Compositio Math, **134**(2) (2002), pg. 135–191.
- [16] R. Munshi and J. Sengupta, *A case of simultaneous non-vanishing of automorphic L -functions*, Acta Arith. **182**(1) (2018), pg. 1–11.

- [17] Q. Pi, *Central values of $GL(2) \times GL(3)$ Rankin-Selberg L -functions*, Int. J. Number Theory **16** (2020), pg. 941–962.
- [18] D. Ramakrishnan and J. Rogawski, *Average values of modular L -series via the relative trace formula* Pure Appl. Math. Q. **4**(part 3) (2005), pg. 701–735.
- [19] Z. Xu, *Simultaneous non-vanishing of automorphic L -functions at the central point*, Manu. Math. **134** (2011), pg. 309–342.

KUMMARI MALLESHAM

Stat-Math Unit, Indian Statistical Institute, 203 B.T. Road, Kolkata 700108, India;

Email: iitm.mallesham@gmail.com

GOPAL MAITI

Stat-Math Unit, Indian Statistical Institute, 203 B.T. Road, Kolkata 700108, India;

Email: g.gopaltamluk@gmail.com