

# Nonlinear Potential Estimates for Generalized Stokes System

Lingwei Ma<sup>a</sup>, Zhenqiu Zhang<sup>\*b</sup> and Feng Zhou<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*School of Mathematical Sciences, Tianjin Normal University, Tianjin, 300387, P. R. China*

<sup>b</sup>*School of Mathematical Sciences and LPMC, Nankai University, Tianjin, 300071, P. R. China*

<sup>c</sup>*School of Mathematics and Statistics, Shandong Normal University, Jinan, Shandong, 250358, P. R. China*

September 6, 2021

## Abstract

In this paper, we consider the generalized stationary Stokes system with  $p$ -growth and Dini-BMO regular coefficients. The main purpose is to establish pointwise estimates for the shear rate and the associated pressure to such Stokes system in terms of an unconventional nonlinear Havin-Maz'ya-Wolff type potential of the nonhomogeneous term in the plane. As a consequence, a symmetric gradient  $L^\infty$  estimate is obtained. Moreover, we derive potential estimates for the weak solution to the Stokes system without additional regularity assumptions on the coefficients in higher dimensional space.

Mathematics Subject classification (2020): 35Q35; 35J92; 35B65.

Keywords: Nonlinear Stokes system; Discontinuous coefficients; Potential estimates; Havin-Maz'ya-Wolff potential.

## 1 Introduction.

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) be a bounded John domain. The concern of this paper is to study the generalized nonlinear Stokes system on  $\Omega$  as follows

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div} \mathcal{A}(x, D\mathbf{u}) - \nabla \pi = \operatorname{div} \mathbf{F} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \mathbf{u} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where the velocity of the fluid flow  $\mathbf{u} : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  and its pressure  $\pi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , the shear rate  $D\mathbf{u} = \frac{\nabla \mathbf{u} + (\nabla \mathbf{u})^T}{2}$  and the given exterior force  $\mathbf{F} : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\text{sym}}^{n \times n}$ . The vector field  $\mathcal{A} : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is assumed to be a  $C^1$ -Carathéodory function and satisfy the following ellipticity and  $p$ -growth

---

\*Corresponding author.

<sup>1</sup>E-mail: mlw1103@163.com (L. Ma), zqzhang@nankai.edu.cn (Z. Zhang), zhouleng@u.nus.edu(F. Zhou).

conditions

$$\begin{cases} \langle \mathcal{A}(x, \xi) - \mathcal{A}(x, \eta), \xi - \eta \rangle \geq \nu (\mu^2 + |\xi|^2 + |\eta|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |\xi - \eta|^2, \\ |\mathcal{A}(x, \xi) - \mathcal{A}(x, \eta)| \leq L (\mu^2 + |\xi|^2 + |\eta|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |\xi - \eta|, \\ \mathcal{A}(x, \mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{0}, \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

for almost every  $x \in \Omega$  and each  $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ . In (1.2),  $0 < \nu \leq L$ ,  $0 \leq \mu \leq 1$ ,  $1 < p < +\infty$ , and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the standard inner product in  $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ .

Stokes system (1.1) originates from the flow of non-Newtonian fluid in the field of fluid mechanics, where the stress tensor  $\mathcal{A}$  may depend nonlinearly on the shear rate  $D\mathbf{u}$ . Note that the behavior of the fluid is quite different for the cases  $p > 2$  and  $1 < p < 2$ . The former one describes the shear thickening fluids, while the latter corresponds to the shear thinning fluids. In this paper, we first establish pointwise estimates for the gradient of a solution and the pressure to (1.1) via an unconventional nonlinear Havin-Maz'ya-Wolff type potential of the nonhomogeneous term in two dimensional space for  $p > 2$  and  $1 < p < 2$ , simultaneously. Furthermore, we obtain potential estimates for the weak solution to (1.1) in higher dimensional space for the superquadratic case  $p \geq 2$ .

Nonlinear potential theory plays an essential role in the regularity theory of partial differential equations. Its aim is to establish a unified approach to capture the regularity properties of solutions to various elliptic and parabolic equations in terms of the regularity properties of the given nonhomogeneous terms and coefficients, such as the celebrated Calderón-Zygmund estimates and Schauder estimates. An important impulse on this subject can be traced back to Kilpeläinen and Malý [17, 18], who obtained pointwise estimates for solution itself to the quasilinear equations of  $p$ -Laplace type via the nonlinear Wolff type potentials of the nonhomogeneous term in the equations. The remarkable Havin-Maz'ya-Wolff potential was introduced by Maz'ya and Havin [26] and the relevant fundamental works were credited to Hedberg and Wolff [16]. After that Trudinger and Wang [30] provided similar potential estimates by virtue of a different proof. Shortly thereafter, such potential estimates have been established extensively to various kinds of equations and systems since these pioneering works (cf. [24, 25, 6, 31] and the references therein). A further propulsion on this subject was achieved by Mingione [28], who showed pointwise gradient estimates for solutions to the equations with linear growth operators in terms of the linear Riesz potentials, exactly as it happens for the Poisson equation via representation formulas. Subsequently, considerable literature deduced that such precise pointwise bounds also held for the gradient of solutions to the nonlinear equations and systems via the nonlinear Wolff type potentials (cf. [12, 13, 3, 14, 20, 21, 23, 32] and the references therein). Besides, we mention some recent works in connecting with the nonlinear Wolff type potential estimates to nonlocal equations and nonuniformly elliptic variational problems (cf. [22, 2]).

It is natural to investigate whether the so-called nonlinear potential theory is applicable to the stationary and evolutionary Stokes system. To our knowledge the first results regarding to this subject were given in [27]. The authors showed that the weak solution pair to the linear Stokes system inherits the exact analogue of pointwise potential estimates for elliptic systems. Inspired by the above literature, the purpose of this paper is to extend precise pointwise bounds to the generalized nonlinear Stokes system. The main difficulty is not only that the linear dependence of the extra stress tensor on the shear rate has been replaced by a more general nonlinear relation, but also that the relevant structure only depends on the symmetric part of the gradient.

In order to illustrate the main results of this paper, we start by presenting the following definition of weak solution pair to the generalized Stokes system (1.1), which was initiated by [19, 5].

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $\mathbf{F} \in L^{p'}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}_{\text{sym}})$ . Then there exists a unique function

$$\mathbf{u} \in W_{0,\text{div}}^{1,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n) := \left\{ \mathbf{u} \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n) \mid \text{div } \mathbf{u} = 0 \text{ in } \Omega \right\},$$

which solves (1.1) in the distribution sense, i.e.,

$$\int_{\Omega} \langle \mathcal{A}(x, D\mathbf{u}), D\phi \rangle dx = \int_{\Omega} \langle \mathbf{F}, D\phi \rangle dx$$

for any divergence free test function  $\phi \in W_{0,\text{div}}^{1,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ . Meanwhile, if  $\mathbf{u}$  is such weak solution and  $\pi \in L^{p'}(\Omega)$  stands for an associated pressure of  $\mathbf{u}$ , which satisfies

$$\int_{\Omega} \langle \mathcal{A}(x, D\mathbf{u}), D\varphi \rangle - \pi \text{div } \varphi dx = \int_{\Omega} \langle \mathbf{F}, D\varphi \rangle dx$$

for any test function  $\varphi \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ , then  $(\mathbf{u}, \pi)$  is called a weak solution pair to (1.1), where  $p' = \frac{p}{p-1}$  is a conjugate exponent of  $p$ .

We shall use the nonlinear Wolff potential to obtain the potential estimates. The definition of the classical Wolff potential is stated below.

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $s > 1$  and  $\alpha \in (0, \frac{n}{s}]$ , the truncated Havin-Maz'ya-Wolff potential  $\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,s}^R f$  of  $f \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\Omega)$  is defined by

$$\mathbf{W}_{\alpha,s}^R f(x_0) = \int_0^R \left( \varrho^{\alpha s} \int_{B_{\varrho}(x_0)} |f(x)| dx \right)^{\frac{1}{s-1}} \frac{d\varrho}{\varrho}$$

for any  $x_0 \in \Omega$  and  $R > 0$  such that  $B_R(x_0) \subset \Omega$ , where  $B_{\varrho}(x_0)$  denotes an open ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with center  $x_0$  and radius  $\varrho > 0$ .

In addition, to establish the first pointwise gradient estimates, we need more regularity assumptions on the partial map  $x \mapsto \mathcal{A}(x, \cdot)$ .

**Definition 1.3.** For some  $R > 0$ , we denote the BMO semi-norm of  $x \mapsto \mathcal{A}(x, \cdot)$  as follows

$$[\mathcal{A}]_{\text{BMO}}(R) := \sup_{\substack{y \in \Omega \\ 0 < r \leq R}} \int_{B_r(y)} \beta(\mathcal{A}, B_r(y)) dx,$$

where

$$\beta(\mathcal{A}, B_r(y)) := \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{|\mathcal{A}(x, \xi) - (\mathcal{A}(\cdot, \xi))_{B_r(y)}|}{(\mu^2 + |\xi|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |\xi|}$$

and

$$(\mathcal{A}(\cdot, \xi))_{B_r(y)} := \int_{B_r(y)} \mathcal{A}(x, \xi) dx = \frac{1}{|B_r(y)|} \int_{B_r(y)} \mathcal{A}(x, \xi) dx.$$

We say that  $[\mathcal{A}]_{\text{BMO}}(\cdot)$  is Dini-BMO regular if

$$d(R) := \int_0^R [\mathcal{A}]_{\text{BMO}}^{\frac{\hat{\sigma}}{p}}(\varrho) \frac{d\varrho}{\varrho} < \infty, \quad (1.3)$$

where  $\hat{\sigma} = \hat{\sigma}(\nu, L, n, p) > p$  will be given in (3.19).

We are now in a position to state the first result of this paper. It infers that the shear rate  $D\mathbf{u}$  and its pressure  $\pi$  to the nonlinear Stokes system (1.1) with Dini-BMO regular coefficients can be controlled by an unconventional nonlinear Havin-Maz'ya-Wolff type potential of the nonhomogeneous term  $\mathbf{F}$ .

**Theorem 1.4. (Gradient estimate.)** *Let  $(\mathbf{u}, \pi)$  be a weak solution pair to (1.1) with  $\mathbf{F} \in L_{\text{loc}}^{p'}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}_{\text{sym}}^{2 \times 2})$  and  $\mathcal{A}$  satisfying (1.2) and (1.3) for some  $\delta = \delta(p, \nu, L) > 0$  and  $R > 0$ . Then there exist a positive constant  $C = C(\nu, L, p, [\mathcal{A}]_{\text{BMO}}(\cdot))$  and a radius  $R_0 = R_0(\nu, L, p, d(\cdot))$  such that the following pointwise estimate*

$$\begin{aligned} & |D\mathbf{u}(x_0)| + |\pi(x_0)|^{\frac{p'}{p}} \\ & \leq C \int_{B_R(x_0)} (\mu + |D\mathbf{u}|) dx + C \left( \int_{B_R(x_0)} |\pi| dx \right)^{\frac{p'}{p}} + C \int_0^{2R} \left( \int_{B_\varrho(x_0)} |\mathbf{F} - (\mathbf{F})_{B_\varrho(x_0)}|^{p'} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{d\varrho}{\varrho} \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

holds for almost all  $x_0 \in \Omega$  and every  $B_{2R}(x_0) \subset \Omega$  with  $R \leq R_0$ .

*Remark 1.5.* The reason for the pointwise estimate (1.4) applied only to planar flows is due to the absence of Lipschitz regularity for solutions to the corresponding limiting problem (2.6) in higher dimensions. Note that whether such Lipschitz regularity would hold in higher dimensions is still an open problem so far. Even so, such pointwise estimate of symmetric gradient is still new for the case of elliptic systems.

*Remark 1.6.* As a consequence of Theorem 1.4, one can find a sufficient condition on the nonhomogeneous term  $\mathbf{F}$  such that  $D\mathbf{u}$  and  $\pi$  are locally bounded in the plane, which solves an open issue in the Calderón-Zygmund theory to the nonlinear Stokes system. For instance, if the given exterior force  $\mathbf{F}$  has a modulus of continuity  $\omega$  satisfying the Dini type continuous condition that  $\int_0^R \omega^{\frac{p'}{p}}(\varrho) \frac{d\varrho}{\varrho} < \infty$ , then the shear rate  $D\mathbf{u}$  and its pressure  $\pi$  are locally bounded in  $\Omega$ .

Furthermore, we establish a precise potential estimate for the weak solution  $\mathbf{u}$  to (1.1) without the restriction to the planar case. We should mention that there is no additional regularity assumption on the partial map  $x \mapsto \mathcal{A}(x, \cdot)$  in the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.7. (Zero order estimate.)** *Let  $(\mathbf{u}, \pi)$  be a weak solution pair to (1.1) with  $\mathbf{F} \in L_{\text{loc}}^{p'}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}_{\text{sym}}^{n \times n})$  for  $2 \leq p \leq n < \theta$  and  $\mathcal{A}$  satisfying (1.2), where  $\theta$  is given in (3.25). Then there exists a positive constant  $C = C(n, \nu, L, p)$  such that the pointwise estimate*

$$|\mathbf{u}(x_0)| \leq C \int_{B_R(x_0)} |\mathbf{u}| dx + C \mathbf{W}_{\frac{p}{p+1}, p+1}^{2R} \left( \mu^p + |\mathbf{F}|^{p'} \right) (x_0) \quad (1.5)$$

holds for almost all  $x_0 \in \Omega$  and every  $B_{2R}(x_0) \subset \Omega$ .

*Remark 1.8.* Indeed, even for simpler homogeneous elliptic systems of the type  $-\text{div } A(\nabla \mathbf{u}) = 0$ , the vector valued solutions  $\mathbf{u}$  may be unbounded (cf. [29]). It means that potential estimate such as (1.5) does not hold to general elliptic systems, unless additional assumptions are made on the dimension  $n$  or the vector field  $A$ . The new result of Theorem 1.7 indicates that the potential estimate (1.5) is valid for  $2 \leq p \leq n < \theta$ , which would imply the local boundedness of weak solution to nonlinear Stokes systems (1.1) in this case.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 consists of preliminary results and priori estimates, which will be fundamental to the proof of our main results. Section 3 is devoted to the comparison estimates between the localized problem and the associated homogeneous problems. In the last section, we complete the proof of Theorem 1.4 and 1.7, respectively.

## 2 Preliminaries.

In this section, we first provide a number of auxiliary results which are essential for the proof of the main results. And then, we introduce the comparison systems and establish some useful priori estimates. In what follows,  $C$  denotes a constant whose value may be different from line to line, and only the relevant dependence is specified.

### 2.1 Auxiliary results.

Let us begin with the following known technique lemma which is established in [1, Theorem 4.1].

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$  be a bounded John domain. Given  $g \in L^p(\Omega)$  with  $1 < p < +\infty$  and  $\int_{\Omega} g \, dx = 0$ , there exists at least one  $\psi \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$  satisfying*

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div} \psi &= g \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ \|\nabla \psi\|_{L^p(\Omega)} &\leq C \|g\|_{L^p(\Omega)}, \end{aligned}$$

where the positive constant  $C = C(\operatorname{diam}(\Omega), n, p)$ . Particularly, if  $\Omega = B_R(x_0)$ , then  $C$  depends only on  $n$  and  $p$ .

In the sequel, we will use the following self-improving property of reverse Hölder type inequality.

**Lemma 2.2.** (cf. [9, Corollary 3.4].) *Let  $\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h} \in L_{\operatorname{loc}}^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$ . Assume that there exist constants  $0 < \tau < 1$ ,  $\gamma > 1$  and  $C_0 > 0$  such that*

$$\left( \int_{B_{\tau r}} |\mathbf{g}|^{\gamma} \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \leq C_0 \int_{B_r} |\mathbf{g}| \, dx + C_0 \int_{B_r} |\mathbf{h}| \, dx$$

for every  $B_r \subset \Omega$ . Then for every  $0 < t \leq 1$ , there exists a positive constant  $C = C(C_0, n, \tau, t)$  such that

$$\left( \int_{B_{\tau r}} |\mathbf{g}|^{\gamma} \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \leq C \left( \int_{B_r} |\mathbf{g}|^t \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{t}} + C \int_{B_r} |\mathbf{h}| \, dx.$$

And then, combining the aforementioned Lemma 2.2 with Lemma 3.4 in [10], one obtains a reverse Hölder type estimate for the symmetric gradient  $D\mathbf{u}$  to (1.1).

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $\mathbf{u}$  be a local weak solution of (1.1) with  $\mathbf{F} \in L_{\operatorname{loc}}^{p'}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}_{\operatorname{sym}}^{n \times n})$ . Then there exists a positive constant  $C$  depending only on  $n, \nu, L$  and  $p$ , such that*

$$\int_{B_R(x_0)} |D\mathbf{u}|^p \, dx \leq C \left( \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} (\mu + |D\mathbf{u}|) \, dx \right)^p + C \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} |\mathbf{F} - \mathbf{F}_0|^{p'} \, dx \quad (2.1)$$

for almost all  $x_0 \in \Omega$ , any constant matrix  $\mathbf{F}_0 \in \mathbb{R}_{\operatorname{sym}}^{n \times n}$  and every  $B_{2R}(x_0) \subset \Omega$ .

Moreover, the following Korn's inequality will play an important role in the analysis of generalized nonlinear Stokes system.

**Lemma 2.4.** (cf. [11].) *Let  $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a ball and  $1 < p < +\infty$ . Then for all  $\mathbf{u} \in W_0^{1,p}(B, \mathbb{R}^n)$ , there holds that*

$$\int_B |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^p \, dx \leq C \int_B |D\mathbf{u}|^p \, dx. \quad (2.2)$$

While if  $\mathbf{u} \in W^{1,p}(B, \mathbb{R}^n)$ , then

$$\int_B |\nabla \mathbf{u} - (\nabla \mathbf{u})_B|^p dx \leq C \int_B |D\mathbf{u} - (D\mathbf{u})_B|^p dx, \quad (2.3)$$

where the positive constant  $C$  depends only on  $p$ .

Finally, we will frequently use the following basic estimate to discuss oscillation estimates. Let  $E$  be a measurable subset in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . For any  $\mathbf{f} \in L^p(E, \mathbb{R}^m)$  with  $p \in [1, \infty)$  and  $m \geq 1$ , we have

$$\left( \int_E |\mathbf{f}(x) - (\mathbf{f})_E|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq 2 \min_{\mathbf{h} \in \mathbb{R}^m} \left( \int_E |\mathbf{f}(x) - \mathbf{h}|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \quad (2.4)$$

## 2.2 Comparison systems and priori estimates.

In this subsection, we establish some useful priori estimates to the Stokes system (1.1) in comparison with the homogenous problem

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div} \mathcal{A}(x, D\mathbf{v}) - \nabla \pi_{\mathbf{v}} = \mathbf{0} & \text{in } B_{2R}(x_0), \\ \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} = 0 & \text{in } B_{2R}(x_0), \\ \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{u} & \text{on } \partial B_{2R}(x_0), \end{cases} \quad (2.5)$$

and the limiting problem

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div} \bar{\mathcal{A}}(D\mathbf{w}) - \nabla \pi_{\mathbf{w}} = \mathbf{0} & \text{in } B_{\frac{3R}{2}}(x_0), \\ \operatorname{div} \mathbf{w} = 0 & \text{in } B_{\frac{3R}{2}}(x_0), \\ \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{v} & \text{on } \partial B_{\frac{3R}{2}}(x_0), \end{cases} \quad (2.6)$$

where  $\bar{\mathcal{A}}(D\mathbf{w}) = (\mathcal{A}(\cdot, D\mathbf{w}))_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}(x_0)}$ ,  $B_{2R}(x_0) \subset \Omega$ ,  $\pi_{\mathbf{v}}$  and  $\pi_{\mathbf{w}}$  are the associated pressure terms to  $\mathbf{v}$  and  $\mathbf{w}$  respectively.

We first show that the shear rate  $D\mathbf{v}$  to the homogenous Stokes system (2.5) can be controlled by the shear rate  $D\mathbf{u}$ .

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $(\mathbf{u}, \pi)$  be a weak solution pair to (1.1) with  $\mathbf{F} \in L'_{\text{loc}}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}_{\text{sym}}^{n \times n})$ . Then one can find a weak solution pair  $(\mathbf{v}, \pi_{\mathbf{v}})$  to (2.5) such that*

$$\int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} |D\mathbf{v}|^p dx \leq C \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} (\mu^p + |D\mathbf{u}|^p) dx \quad (2.7)$$

for almost all  $x_0 \in \Omega$  and every  $B_{2R}(x_0) \subset \Omega$ , where the positive constant  $C = C(\nu, L, p)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v} \in W_{0, \operatorname{div}}^{1,p}(B_{2R}(x_0), \mathbb{R}^n)$ , we choose  $\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}$  as a divergence free test function to the problem (2.5). Then it follows that

$$\int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} \langle \mathcal{A}(x, D\mathbf{v}), D\mathbf{u} - D\mathbf{v} \rangle dx = 0.$$

By virtue of (1.2) and Young's inequality, we derive

$$\nu \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} (\mu^2 + |D\mathbf{v}|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |D\mathbf{v}|^2 dx$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} \langle \mathcal{A}(x, D\mathbf{v}), D\mathbf{v} \rangle dx \\
&= \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} \langle \mathcal{A}(x, D\mathbf{v}), D\mathbf{u} \rangle dx \\
&\leq \epsilon_1 \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} |\mathcal{A}(x, D\mathbf{v})|^{p'} dx + C(\epsilon_1, p) \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} |D\mathbf{u}|^p dx \\
&\leq \epsilon_1 c_1(p, L) \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} (\mu^p + |D\mathbf{v}|^p) dx + C(\epsilon_1, p) \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} |D\mathbf{u}|^p dx. \tag{2.8}
\end{aligned}$$

For the case  $p \geq 2$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned}
&\nu \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} |D\mathbf{v}|^p dx \\
&\leq \nu \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} (\mu^2 + |D\mathbf{v}|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |D\mathbf{v}|^2 dx \\
&\leq \epsilon_1 c_1 \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} (\mu^p + |D\mathbf{v}|^p) dx + C(\epsilon_1, p) \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} |D\mathbf{u}|^p dx.
\end{aligned}$$

By selecting  $\epsilon_1 = \frac{\nu}{2c_1}$ , we obtain that

$$\int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} |D\mathbf{v}|^p dx \leq C(p, \nu, L) \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} (\mu^p + |D\mathbf{u}|^p) dx. \tag{2.9}$$

While for  $1 < p < 2$ , we apply Young's inequality and (2.8) to derive

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} |D\mathbf{v}|^p dx \\
&\leq \epsilon_2 \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} (\mu^2 + |D\mathbf{v}|^2)^{\frac{p}{2}} dx + C(\epsilon_2, p) \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} (\mu^2 + |D\mathbf{v}|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |D\mathbf{v}|^2 dx \\
&\leq \epsilon_2 c_2(p) \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} (\mu^p + |D\mathbf{v}|^p) dx + \epsilon_1 c_3(\epsilon_2, p, L, \nu) \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} (\mu^p + |D\mathbf{v}|^p) dx \\
&\quad + C(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, p, \nu) \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} |D\mathbf{u}|^p dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Now selecting  $\epsilon_2 = \frac{1}{4c_2}$ , and then choosing  $\epsilon_1 = \frac{1}{4c_3}$ , we obtain

$$\int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} |D\mathbf{v}|^p dx \leq C(p, \nu, L) \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} (\mu^p + |D\mathbf{u}|^p) dx. \tag{2.10}$$

Finally, a combination of (2.9) and (2.10) yields (2.7) holds for  $1 < p < +\infty$ , which completes the proof of Lemma 2.5.  $\square$

Next, we deduce that the shear rate  $D\mathbf{w}$  to the limiting Stokes system (2.6) can be estimated in terms of the shear rate  $D\mathbf{v}$  to the homogeneous Stokes system (2.5).

**Lemma 2.6.** *Let  $(\mathbf{v}, \pi_v)$  be a weak solution pair to (2.5). Then one can find a weak solution pair  $(\mathbf{w}, \pi_w)$  to (2.6) such that*

$$\int_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}(x_0)} |D\mathbf{w}|^p dx \leq C \int_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}(x_0)} (\mu^p + |D\mathbf{v}|^p) dx \tag{2.11}$$

for almost all  $x_0 \in \Omega$  and every  $B_{\frac{3R}{2}}(x_0) \subset \Omega$ , where the positive constant  $C = C(\nu, L, p)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w} \in W_{0,\text{div}}^{1,p}(B_{\frac{3R}{2}}(x_0), \mathbb{R}^n)$ , we select  $\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w}$  as a divergence free test function for (2.6), that is to say,

$$\int_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}(x_0)} \langle \bar{\mathcal{A}}(D\mathbf{w}), D\mathbf{v} - D\mathbf{w} \rangle dx = 0.$$

Applying (1.2), Young's inequality and Hölder's inequality, one derives that

$$\begin{aligned} & \nu \int_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}(x_0)} (\mu^2 + |D\mathbf{w}|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |D\mathbf{w}|^2 dx \\ & \leq \int_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}(x_0)} \left\langle (\mathcal{A}(\cdot, D\mathbf{w}))_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}(x_0)}, D\mathbf{w} \right\rangle dx \\ & = \int_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}(x_0)} \left\langle (\mathcal{A}(\cdot, D\mathbf{w}))_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}(x_0)}, D\mathbf{v} \right\rangle dx \\ & \leq \epsilon_3 \int_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}(x_0)} \left| (\mathcal{A}(\cdot, D\mathbf{w}))_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}(x_0)} \right|^{p'} dx + C(\epsilon_3, p) \int_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}(x_0)} |D\mathbf{v}|^p dx \\ & \leq \epsilon_3 c_4(p, L) \int_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}(x_0)} (\mu^p + |D\mathbf{w}|^p) dx + C(\epsilon_3, p) \int_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}(x_0)} |D\mathbf{v}|^p dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

By proceeding similarly as in the proof of Lemma 2.5, splitting into two different cases  $p \geq 2$  and  $1 < p < 2$ , and then choosing the appropriate positive constant  $\epsilon_3$ , one concludes that

$$\int_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}(x_0)} |D\mathbf{w}|^p dx \leq C(p, \nu, L) \int_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}(x_0)} (\mu^p + |D\mathbf{v}|^p) dx \quad (2.13)$$

for any  $1 < p < +\infty$ . Thus the proof of Lemma 2.6 is completed.  $\square$

Another basic tool we use is a Caccioppoli type inequality to the Stokes system (1.1), which takes up the rest of this subsection.

**Lemma 2.7.** *Let  $(\mathbf{u}, \pi)$  be a weak solution pair to (1.1) with  $\mathbf{F} \in L_{\text{loc}}^{p'}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}_{\text{sym}}^{n \times n})$ . There holds*

$$\int_{B_R(x_0)} |D\mathbf{u}|^p dx \leq \frac{C}{R^p} \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} |\mathbf{u} - (\mathbf{u})_{B_{2R}(x_0)}|^p dx + C \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} (\mu^p + |\mathbf{F} - \mathbf{F}_0|^{p'}) dx \quad (2.14)$$

for almost all  $x_0 \in \Omega$ , any constant matrix  $\mathbf{F}_0 \in \mathbb{R}_{\text{sym}}^{n \times n}$  and every  $B_{2R}(x_0) \subset \Omega$ , where the positive constant  $C$  depends only on  $n, \nu, L$  and  $p$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi = \eta^p (\mathbf{u} - (\mathbf{u})_{B_{2R}(x_0)})$  with  $\eta \in C_0^\infty(B_{R_2}(x_0))$  be a cut-off function between 0 and 1 such that  $\eta \equiv 1$  in  $B_{R_1}(x_0)$ ,  $\eta \equiv 0$  in  $B_{R_2}^c(x_0)$  and  $|\nabla \eta| \leq \frac{C}{R_2 - R_1}$  in  $B_{R_2}(x_0) \setminus \overline{B_{R_1}(x_0)}$  for all  $R < R_1 < R_2 < 2R$ . We first correct  $\varphi$  to be a divergence free function by virtue of the Bogovskii operator "Bog" which is introduced in [4]. Let  $\psi = \text{Bog}(\text{div } \varphi)$  be a special solution to the following auxiliary problem

$$\begin{cases} \text{div } \psi = \text{div } \varphi & \text{in } B_{R_2}(x_0), \\ \psi = 0 & \text{on } \partial B_{R_2}(x_0). \end{cases} \quad (2.15)$$

As a consequence of [11, Theorem 6.6], we have

$$\int_{B_{R_2}(x_0)} |D\psi|^p dx \leq C(n, p) \int_{B_{R_2}(x_0)} |\text{div } \varphi|^p dx. \quad (2.16)$$

Then it is clear to select  $\phi = \varphi - \psi \in W_{0,\text{div}}^{1,p}(B_{R_2}(x_0), \mathbb{R}^n)$  as a divergence free test function to (1.1), that is to say

$$\int_{B_{R_2}(x_0)} \langle \mathcal{A}(x, D\mathbf{u}), D\phi \rangle dx = \int_{B_{R_2}(x_0)} \langle \mathbf{F} - \mathbf{F}_0, D\phi \rangle dx,$$

where

$$D\phi = \eta^p D\mathbf{u} + p\eta^{p-1} \frac{\left(\mathbf{u} - (\mathbf{u})_{B_{2R}(x_0)}\right) \otimes \nabla\eta + \left(\left(\mathbf{u} - (\mathbf{u})_{B_{2R}(x_0)}\right) \otimes \nabla\eta\right)^T}{2} - D\psi.$$

By a direct computation together with (1.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \nu \int_{B_{R_2}(x_0)} \eta^p (\mu^2 + |D\mathbf{u}|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |D\mathbf{u}|^2 dx \\ & \leq Lp \int_{B_{R_2}(x_0)} \eta^{p-1} (\mu^2 + |D\mathbf{u}|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |D\mathbf{u}| \left| \left(\mathbf{u} - (\mathbf{u})_{B_{2R}(x_0)}\right) \otimes \nabla\eta \right| dx \\ & \quad + L \int_{B_{R_2}(x_0)} (\mu^2 + |D\mathbf{u}|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |D\mathbf{u}| |D\psi| dx \\ & \quad + \int_{B_{R_2}(x_0)} |\mathbf{F} - \mathbf{F}_0| \left( \eta^p |D\mathbf{u}| + p\eta^{p-1} \left| \left(\mathbf{u} - (\mathbf{u})_{B_{2R}(x_0)}\right) \otimes \nabla\eta \right| + |D\psi| \right) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Next by applying Young's inequality, (2.16) and the assumptions of  $\eta$  to the above inequalities, and combining with  $\text{div } u = 0$ , we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_{R_2}(x_0)} \eta^p |D\mathbf{u}|^p dx \\ & \leq \epsilon_4 \int_{B_{R_2}(x_0)} \eta^p |D\mathbf{u}|^p dx + C(\epsilon_4, p) \int_{B_{R_2}(x_0)} \eta^p (\mu^2 + |D\mathbf{u}|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |D\mathbf{u}|^2 dx \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4} \int_{B_{R_2}(x_0)} |D\mathbf{u}|^p dx + (\epsilon_4 + \epsilon_5) \int_{B_{R_2}(x_0)} \eta^p |D\mathbf{u}|^p dx + C(p, \nu, L) \int_{B_{R_2}(x_0)} |D\psi|^p dx \\ & \quad + \frac{C(\epsilon_4, \epsilon_5, L, p, \nu)}{(R_2 - R_1)^p} \int_{B_{R_2}(x_0)} |\mathbf{u} - (\mathbf{u})_{B_{2R}(x_0)}|^p dx + C(\epsilon_4, \epsilon_5, L, p, \nu) \int_{B_{R_2}(x_0)} \left( \mu^p + |\mathbf{F} - \mathbf{F}_0|^{p'} \right) dx \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4} \int_{B_{R_2}(x_0)} |D\mathbf{u}|^p dx + (\epsilon_4 + \epsilon_5) \int_{B_{R_2}(x_0)} \eta^p |D\mathbf{u}|^p dx + C(n, p, \nu, L) \int_{B_{R_2}(x_0)} |\text{div } \varphi|^p dx \\ & \quad + \frac{C(\epsilon_4, \epsilon_5, L, p, \nu)}{(R_2 - R_1)^p} \int_{B_{R_2}(x_0)} |\mathbf{u} - (\mathbf{u})_{B_{2R}(x_0)}|^p dx + C(\epsilon_4, \epsilon_5, L, p, \nu) \int_{B_{R_2}(x_0)} \left( \mu^p + |\mathbf{F} - \mathbf{F}_0|^{p'} \right) dx \\ & = \frac{1}{4} \int_{B_{R_2}(x_0)} |D\mathbf{u}|^p dx + (\epsilon_4 + \epsilon_5) \int_{B_{R_2}(x_0)} \eta^p |D\mathbf{u}|^p dx + C \int_{B_{R_2}(x_0)} \left| p\eta^{p-1} \nabla\eta \cdot \left(\mathbf{u} - (\mathbf{u})_{B_{2R}(x_0)}\right) \right|^p dx \\ & \quad + \frac{C}{(R_2 - R_1)^p} \int_{B_{R_2}(x_0)} |\mathbf{u} - (\mathbf{u})_{B_{2R}(x_0)}|^p dx + C \int_{B_{R_2}(x_0)} \left( \mu^p + |\mathbf{F} - \mathbf{F}_0|^{p'} \right) dx \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4} \int_{B_{R_2}(x_0)} |D\mathbf{u}|^p dx + (\epsilon_4 + \epsilon_5) \int_{B_{R_2}(x_0)} \eta^p |D\mathbf{u}|^p dx + \frac{C(\epsilon_4, \epsilon_5, n, L, p, \nu)}{(R_2 - R_1)^p} \int_{B_{R_2}(x_0)} |\mathbf{u} - (\mathbf{u})_{B_{2R}(x_0)}|^p dx \\ & \quad + C(\epsilon_4, \epsilon_5, L, p, \nu) \int_{B_{R_2}(x_0)} \left( \mu^p + |\mathbf{F} - \mathbf{F}_0|^{p'} \right) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, choosing the positive constants  $\epsilon_4$  and  $\epsilon_5$  such that  $\epsilon_4 + \epsilon_5 = \frac{1}{2}$  and combining with  $\eta \equiv 1$  in  $B_{R_1}(x_0)$  to derive

$$\int_{B_{R_1}(x_0)} |D\mathbf{u}|^p dx \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_{R_2}(x_0)} |D\mathbf{u}|^p dx + \frac{C(n, L, p, \nu)}{(R_2 - R_1)^p} \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} |\mathbf{u} - (\mathbf{u})_{B_{2R}(x_0)}|^p dx$$

$$+ C(n, L, p, \nu) \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} \left( \mu^p + |\mathbf{F} - \mathbf{F}_0|^{p'} \right) dx$$

for all  $R < R_1 < R_2 < R$ .

Finally, by virtue of the well-known iteration lemma, which can be found in [15, Lemma 6.1], then we conclude that the Caccioppoli type inequality (2.14) holds. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.7.  $\square$

### 3 Comparison estimates.

This section is devoted to compare the weak solution pair to (1.1) to that of limiting problem (2.6) for which we have known regularity results. We start by establishing a comparison estimate regarding to  $D\mathbf{u}$  with  $D\mathbf{v}$ , as well as the associated pressures  $\pi$  with  $\pi_{\mathbf{v}}$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $(\mathbf{u}, \pi)$  be a weak solution pair to (1.1) with  $\mathbf{F} \in L^p_{\text{loc}}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$ . Then there exists a weak solution pair  $(\mathbf{v}, \pi_{\mathbf{v}})$  to (2.5) such that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} \left( |D\mathbf{u} - D\mathbf{v}|^p + |\pi - \pi_{\mathbf{v}}|^{p'} \right) dx \\ & \leq \varepsilon \chi_{\{p \neq 2\}} \left( \int_{B_{4R}(x_0)} (\mu + |D\mathbf{u}|) dx \right)^p + C \int_{B_{4R}(x_0)} |\mathbf{F} - \mathbf{F}_0|^{p'} dx \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$\int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} |\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}|^p dx \leq \varepsilon R^p \chi_{\{1 < p < 2\}} \left( \int_{B_{4R}(x_0)} (\mu + |D\mathbf{u}|) dx \right)^p + CR^p \int_{B_{4R}(x_0)} |\mathbf{F} - \mathbf{F}_0|^{p'} dx \quad (3.2)$$

for any  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ , any constant matrix  $\mathbf{F}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}_{\text{sym}}$ , almost all  $x_0 \in \Omega$  and every  $B_{4R}(x_0) \subset \Omega$ . Here the positive constant  $C = C(\varepsilon, n, \nu, L, p)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(\mathbf{u}, \pi)$  and  $(\mathbf{v}, \pi_{\mathbf{v}})$  be the weak solution pairs to (1.1) and (2.5) respectively. Then

$$(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}, \pi - \pi_{\mathbf{v}}) \in W_{0, \text{div}}^{1,p}(B_{2R}(x_0), \mathbb{R}^n) \times L^{p'}(B_{2R}(x_0))$$

is a weak solution pair to

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div}(\mathcal{A}(x, D\mathbf{u}) - \mathcal{A}(x, D\mathbf{v})) - \nabla(\pi - \pi_{\mathbf{v}}) = \operatorname{div}(\mathbf{F} - \mathbf{F}_0) & \text{in } B_{2R}(x_0), \\ \operatorname{div}(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}) = 0 & \text{in } B_{2R}(x_0), \\ \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0} & \text{on } \partial B_{2R}(x_0). \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

We choose  $\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}$  as a divergence free test function for (3.3). Then by virtue of (1.2) and Young's inequality, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \nu \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} \left( \mu^2 + |D\mathbf{u}|^2 + |D\mathbf{v}|^2 \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |D\mathbf{u} - D\mathbf{v}|^2 dx \\ & \leq \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} \langle \mathcal{A}(x, D\mathbf{u}) - \mathcal{A}(x, D\mathbf{v}), D\mathbf{u} - D\mathbf{v} \rangle dx \\ & = \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} \langle \mathbf{F} - \mathbf{F}_0, D\mathbf{u} - D\mathbf{v} \rangle dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \tau_1 \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} |D\mathbf{u} - D\mathbf{v}|^p dx + C(\tau_1, p) \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} |\mathbf{F} - \mathbf{F}_0|^{p'} dx. \quad (3.4)$$

In order to estimate the first term on the right side of (3.4), we shall consider the following two cases that  $p \geq 2$  and  $1 < p < 2$ . For the former one, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |D\mathbf{u} - D\mathbf{v}|^p &= |D\mathbf{u} - D\mathbf{v}|^{p-2} |D\mathbf{u} - D\mathbf{v}|^2 \\ &\leq (|D\mathbf{u}| + |D\mathbf{v}|)^{p-2} |D\mathbf{u} - D\mathbf{v}|^2 \\ &\leq 2^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \left( \mu^2 + |D\mathbf{u}|^2 + |D\mathbf{v}|^2 \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |D\mathbf{u} - D\mathbf{v}|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Inserting (3.5) into (3.4) and choosing  $\tau_1 = \frac{\nu}{2^{\frac{p}{2}}}$ , we derive

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} \left( \mu^2 + |D\mathbf{u}|^2 + |D\mathbf{v}|^2 \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |D\mathbf{u} - D\mathbf{v}|^2 dx \\ &\leq C(\nu, p) \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} |\mathbf{F} - \mathbf{F}_0|^{p'} dx. \end{aligned}$$

We apply (3.5) again to deduce that

$$\int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} |D\mathbf{u} - D\mathbf{v}|^p dx \leq C(\nu, p) \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} |\mathbf{F} - \mathbf{F}_0|^{p'} dx. \quad (3.6)$$

While if  $1 < p < 2$ , then it follows from Young's inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} |D\mathbf{u} - D\mathbf{v}|^p &= \left[ \left( \mu^2 + |D\mathbf{u}|^2 + |D\mathbf{v}|^2 \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |D\mathbf{u} - D\mathbf{v}|^2 \right]^{\frac{p}{2}} \left( \mu^2 + |D\mathbf{u}|^2 + |D\mathbf{v}|^2 \right)^{\frac{p(2-p)}{4}} \\ &\leq \frac{p}{2} \left( \frac{2\tau_2}{2-p} \right)^{-\frac{2-p}{p}} \left( \mu^2 + |D\mathbf{u}|^2 + |D\mathbf{v}|^2 \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |D\mathbf{u} - D\mathbf{v}|^2 \\ &\quad + \tau_2 \left( \mu^2 + |D\mathbf{u}|^2 + |D\mathbf{v}|^2 \right)^{\frac{p}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Combining (3.7) with (3.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} |D\mathbf{u} - D\mathbf{v}|^p dx \\ &\leq \frac{p}{2} \left( \frac{2\tau_2}{2-p} \right)^{-\frac{2-p}{p}} \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} \left( \mu^2 + |D\mathbf{u}|^2 + |D\mathbf{v}|^2 \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |D\mathbf{u} - D\mathbf{v}|^2 dx \\ &\quad + \tau_2 \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} \left( \mu^2 + |D\mathbf{u}|^2 + |D\mathbf{v}|^2 \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} dx \\ &\leq \frac{p}{2\nu} \left( \frac{2\tau_2}{2-p} \right)^{-\frac{2-p}{p}} \tau_1 \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} |D\mathbf{u} - D\mathbf{v}|^p dx + \tau_2 \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} \left( \mu^2 + |D\mathbf{u}|^2 + |D\mathbf{v}|^2 \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} dx \\ &\quad + C(\tau_1, \tau_2, p, \nu) \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} |\mathbf{F} - \mathbf{F}_0|^{p'} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Then we select the positive constant  $\tau_1 = \frac{\nu}{p} \left( \frac{2\tau_2}{2-p} \right)^{\frac{2-p}{p}}$  and apply Lemma 2.5 to derive that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} |D\mathbf{u} - D\mathbf{v}|^p dx \\ &\leq 2\tau_2 \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} \left( \mu^2 + |D\mathbf{u}|^2 + |D\mathbf{v}|^2 \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} dx + C(\tau_2, \nu, p) \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} |\mathbf{F} - \mathbf{F}_0|^{p'} dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq C(p, \nu, L)\tau_2 \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} (\mu^p + |D\mathbf{u}|^p) dx + C(\tau_2, \nu, p) \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} |\mathbf{F} - \mathbf{F}_0|^{p'} dx. \quad (3.8)$$

Thus, combining (3.6) with (3.8) and using Lemma 2.3, we deduce that

$$\int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} |D\mathbf{u} - D\mathbf{v}|^p dx \leq \varepsilon_1 \chi_{\{1 < p < 2\}} \left( \int_{B_{4R}(x_0)} (\mu + |D\mathbf{u}|) dx \right)^p + C \int_{B_{4R}(x_0)} |\mathbf{F} - \mathbf{F}_0|^{p'} dx \quad (3.9)$$

for any  $0 < \varepsilon_1 < 1$  and  $C = C(\varepsilon_1, n, \nu, p, L)$ .

Next, in order to prove (3.2), we apply Poincaré's inequality, Korn's inequality (2.2) and (3.9) to derive that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} |\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}|^p dx &\leq C(n, p)R^p \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} |\nabla \mathbf{u} - \nabla \mathbf{v}|^p dx \\ &\leq C(n, p)R^p \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} |D\mathbf{u} - D\mathbf{v}|^p dx \\ &\leq \varepsilon_2 R^p \chi_{\{1 < p < 2\}} \left( \int_{B_{4R}(x_0)} (\mu + |D\mathbf{u}|) dx \right)^p + C R^p \int_{B_{4R}(x_0)} |\mathbf{F} - \mathbf{F}_0|^{p'} dx \end{aligned}$$

for any  $0 < \varepsilon_2 < 1$  and  $C = C(\varepsilon_2, n, \nu, L, p)$ , which implies that (3.2) is true.

In the sequel, we establish the comparison estimate between  $\pi$  and  $\pi_{\mathbf{v}}$ . Let  $\varphi \in W_0^{1,p}(B_{2R}(x_0), \mathbb{R}^n)$  be a test function of (3.3), then

$$\int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} (\pi - \pi_{\mathbf{v}}) \operatorname{div} \varphi dx = \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} \langle \mathcal{A}(x, D\mathbf{u}) - \mathcal{A}(x, D\mathbf{v}) - (\mathbf{F} - \mathbf{F}_0), D\varphi \rangle dx. \quad (3.10)$$

More precisely, we select the above  $\varphi$  be a solution to the following auxiliary problem

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div} \varphi = \operatorname{sgn}(\pi - \pi_{\mathbf{v}}) |\pi - \pi_{\mathbf{v}}|^{\frac{1}{p-1}} - \left( \operatorname{sgn}(\pi - \pi_{\mathbf{v}}) |\pi - \pi_{\mathbf{v}}|^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \right)_{B_{2R}(x_0)} & \text{in } B_{2R}(x_0), \\ \varphi = 0 & \text{on } \partial B_{2R}(x_0). \end{cases} \quad (3.11)$$

If we denote

$$g := \operatorname{sgn}(\pi - \pi_{\mathbf{v}}) |\pi - \pi_{\mathbf{v}}|^{\frac{1}{p-1}} - \left( \operatorname{sgn}(\pi - \pi_{\mathbf{v}}) |\pi - \pi_{\mathbf{v}}|^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \right)_{B_{2R}(x_0)},$$

then it is obvious that  $g \in L^p(B_{2R}(x_0))$  and  $\int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} g(x) dx = 0$ . The existence of such a solution to auxiliary problem (3.11) is guaranteed by Lemma 2.1 and hence

$$\int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} |\nabla \varphi|^p dx \leq C \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} |\pi - \pi_{\mathbf{v}}|^{p'} dx, \quad (3.12)$$

where the positive constant  $C$  depends only on  $n$  and  $p$ . Moreover, by virtue of Lemma 2.8 in [5], there exists a unique  $\pi - \pi_{\mathbf{v}} \in L^{p'}(B_{2R}(x_0))$  to (3.3) such that  $\int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} (\pi - \pi_{\mathbf{v}}) dx = 0$ .

By substituting such  $\varphi$  into equality (3.10) and combining Young's inequality with (1.2) and (3.12), one computes that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} |\pi - \pi_{\mathbf{v}}|^{p'} dx \\ &= \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} |\pi - \pi_{\mathbf{v}}|^{p'} dx - \left( \operatorname{sgn}(\pi - \pi_{\mathbf{v}}) |\pi - \pi_{\mathbf{v}}|^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \right)_{B_{2R}(x_0)} \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} (\pi - \pi_{\mathbf{v}}) dx \\ &= \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} (\pi - \pi_{\mathbf{v}}) \left[ \operatorname{sgn}(\pi - \pi_{\mathbf{v}}) |\pi - \pi_{\mathbf{v}}|^{\frac{1}{p-1}} - \left( \operatorname{sgn}(\pi - \pi_{\mathbf{v}}) |\pi - \pi_{\mathbf{v}}|^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \right)_{B_{2R}(x_0)} \right] dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} \langle \mathcal{A}(x, D\mathbf{u}) - \mathcal{A}(x, D\mathbf{v}) - (\mathbf{F} - \mathbf{F}_0), D\varphi \rangle dx \\
&\leq C(\tau_3, p) \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} \left( |\mathcal{A}(x, D\mathbf{u}) - \mathcal{A}(x, D\mathbf{v})|^{p'} + |\mathbf{F} - \mathbf{F}_0|^{p'} \right) dx + \tau_3 \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} |D\varphi|^p dx \\
&\leq C(\tau_3, L, p) \left\{ \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} \left[ \left( \mu^2 + |D\mathbf{u}|^2 + |D\mathbf{v}|^2 \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |D\mathbf{u} - D\mathbf{v}| \right]^{p'} dx + \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} |\mathbf{F} - \mathbf{F}_0|^{p'} dx \right\} \\
&\quad + \tau_3 C(p) \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} |\nabla\varphi|^p dx \\
&\leq C(\tau_3, L, p) \left\{ \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} \left[ \left( \mu^2 + |D\mathbf{u}|^2 + |D\mathbf{v}|^2 \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |D\mathbf{u} - D\mathbf{v}| \right]^{p'} dx + \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} |\mathbf{F} - \mathbf{F}_0|^{p'} dx \right\} \\
&\quad + \tau_3 C_1(n, p) \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} |\pi - \pi_{\mathbf{v}}|^{p'} dx.
\end{aligned}$$

By choosing the positive constant  $\tau_3 = \frac{1}{2C_1}$ , one infers that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} |\pi - \pi_{\mathbf{v}}|^{p'} dx \tag{3.13} \\
&\leq C \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} \left[ \left( \mu^2 + |D\mathbf{u}|^2 + |D\mathbf{v}|^2 \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |D\mathbf{u} - D\mathbf{v}| \right]^{p'} dx + C \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} |\mathbf{F} - \mathbf{F}_0|^{p'} dx,
\end{aligned}$$

where  $C = C(n, p, L)$ . The following estimate is also split into two cases according to the value of  $p$ . If  $1 < p \leq 2$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} |\pi - \pi_{\mathbf{v}}|^{p'} dx \tag{3.14} \\
&\leq C \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} |D\mathbf{u} - D\mathbf{v}|^p dx + C \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} |\mathbf{F} - \mathbf{F}_0|^{p'} dx \\
&\leq C(n, p, L) \varepsilon_1 \chi_{\{1 < p < 2\}} \left( \int_{B_{4R}(x_0)} (\mu + |D\mathbf{u}|) dx \right)^p + C(\varepsilon_1, n, p, \nu, L) \int_{B_{4R}(x_0)} |\mathbf{F} - \mathbf{F}_0|^{p'} dx,
\end{aligned}$$

which is ensured by (3.9). While the situation is however different when  $p > 2$ , we combine Young's inequality with Lemma 2.5 and (3.9) to derive

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} \left[ \left( \mu^2 + |D\mathbf{u}|^2 + |D\mathbf{v}|^2 \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |D\mathbf{u} - D\mathbf{v}| \right]^{p'} dx \\
&\leq \tau_4 \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} (\mu^p + |D\mathbf{u}|^p + |D\mathbf{v}|^p) dx + C(\tau_4, p) \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} |D\mathbf{u} - D\mathbf{v}|^p dx \\
&\leq \tau_4 C(\nu, L, p) \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} (\mu^p + |D\mathbf{u}|^p) dx + C(\tau_4, p, \nu, L) \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} |\mathbf{F} - \mathbf{F}_0|^{p'} dx. \tag{3.15}
\end{aligned}$$

By inserting (3.15) into (3.13) and applying Lemma 2.3 again, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} |\pi - \pi_{\mathbf{v}}|^{p'} dx \\
&\leq \tau_4 C(n, \nu, L, p) \left( \int_{B_{4R}(x_0)} (\mu + |D\mathbf{u}|) dx \right)^p + C(\tau_4, n, p, \nu, L) \int_{B_{4R}(x_0)} |\mathbf{F} - \mathbf{F}_0|^{p'} dx. \tag{3.16}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, a combination of (3.14) and (3.16) yields that

$$\int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} |\pi - \pi_{\mathbf{v}}|^{p'} dx \leq \varepsilon_3 \chi_{\{p \neq 2\}} \left( \int_{B_{4R}(x_0)} (\mu + |D\mathbf{u}|) dx \right)^p + C \int_{B_{4R}(x_0)} |\mathbf{F} - \mathbf{F}_0|^{p'} dx \quad (3.17)$$

for any  $0 < \varepsilon_3 < 1$  and  $C = C(\varepsilon_3, n, p, \nu, L)$ .

Finally, the inequalities (3.9) and (3.17) reveal that the comparison estimate (3.1) holds for  $1 < p < +\infty$ . Thus the proof of Lemma 3.1 is completed.  $\square$

The second part of this section is to establish a comparison estimate between the symmetric gradient  $D\mathbf{v}$  and the associated pressure  $\pi_{\mathbf{v}}$  to (2.5) with  $D\mathbf{w}$  and  $\pi_{\mathbf{w}}$  to (2.6).

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $(\mathbf{v}, \pi_{\mathbf{v}})$  be a weak solution pair to (2.5). Then there exist a weak solution pair  $(\mathbf{w}, \pi_{\mathbf{w}})$  to (2.6) and a positive constant  $C = C(n, p, \nu, L)$  such that*

$$\int_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}(x_0)} (|D\mathbf{v} - D\mathbf{w}|^p + |\pi_{\mathbf{v}} - \pi_{\mathbf{w}}|^{p'}) dx \leq C [\mathcal{A}]_{\text{BMO}}^{\hat{\sigma}} \left( \frac{3R}{2} \right) \left( \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} (\mu + |D\mathbf{v}|) dx \right)^p \quad (3.18)$$

for almost all  $x_0 \in \Omega$  and every  $B_{2R}(x_0) \subset \Omega$ , where

$$\hat{\sigma} = \begin{cases} \frac{p}{2(p-1)} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\theta} \right), & \text{if } p \geq 2, \\ \frac{p}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\hat{\theta}} \right), & \text{if } 1 < p < 2, \end{cases} \quad (3.19)$$

for some  $\theta = \theta(n, \nu, L, p) > p$  and  $\hat{\theta} = \min\{\theta, \bar{q}\}$  with  $\bar{q}$  defined in (3.29).

*Proof.* A direct computation reveals that  $(\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w}, \pi_{\mathbf{v}} - \pi_{\mathbf{w}}) \in W_{0,\text{div}}^{1,p}(B_{\frac{3R}{2}}(x_0), \mathbb{R}^n) \times L^{p'}(B_{\frac{3R}{2}}(x_0))$  is a weak solution pair to

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div}(\bar{\mathcal{A}}(D\mathbf{v}) - \bar{\mathcal{A}}(D\mathbf{w})) - \nabla(\pi_{\mathbf{v}} - \pi_{\mathbf{w}}) = \operatorname{div}(\bar{\mathcal{A}}(D\mathbf{v}) - \mathcal{A}(x, D\mathbf{v})) & \text{in } B_{\frac{3R}{2}}, \\ \operatorname{div}(\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w}) = 0 & \text{in } B_{\frac{3R}{2}}, \\ \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{0} & \text{on } \partial B_{\frac{3R}{2}}, \end{cases} \quad (3.20)$$

where we abbreviate the ball  $B_{\frac{3R}{2}}(x_0)$  to  $B_{\frac{3R}{2}}$ . Selecting  $\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w}$  as a divergence free test function of (3.20), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}} \left\langle (\mathcal{A}(\cdot, D\mathbf{v}))_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}} - (\mathcal{A}(\cdot, D\mathbf{w}))_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}}, D\mathbf{v} - D\mathbf{w} \right\rangle dx \\ &= \int_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}} \left\langle (\mathcal{A}(\cdot, D\mathbf{v}))_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}} - \mathcal{A}(x, D\mathbf{v}), D\mathbf{v} - D\mathbf{w} \right\rangle dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

Involving the conditions (1.2), we start by estimating the term on the left side of (3.21) as follows

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}} \left\langle (\mathcal{A}(\cdot, D\mathbf{v}))_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}} - (\mathcal{A}(\cdot, D\mathbf{w}))_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}}, D\mathbf{v} - D\mathbf{w} \right\rangle dx \\ &= \int_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}} \int_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}} \langle \mathcal{A}(y, D\mathbf{v}) - \mathcal{A}(y, D\mathbf{w}), D\mathbf{v} - D\mathbf{w} \rangle dy dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\geq \nu \int_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}} (\mu^2 + |D\mathbf{v}|^2 + |D\mathbf{w}|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |D\mathbf{v} - D\mathbf{w}|^2 dx. \quad (3.22)$$

The proof will be divided into two cases that  $p \geq 2$  and  $1 < p < 2$ . For the former situation, we estimate the term on the right side of (3.21). By Young's inequality, one derives

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}} \left\langle (\mathcal{A}(\cdot, D\mathbf{v}))_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}} - \mathcal{A}(x, D\mathbf{v}), D\mathbf{v} - D\mathbf{w} \right\rangle dx \\ & \leq \int_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}} \beta \left( \mathcal{A}, B_{\frac{3R}{2}} \right) (\mu^2 + |D\mathbf{v}|^2)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} |D\mathbf{v} - D\mathbf{w}| dx \\ & \leq C(\hat{\tau}_1) \int_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}} \beta^2 \left( \mathcal{A}, B_{\frac{3R}{2}} \right) (\mu^2 + |D\mathbf{v}|^2)^{\frac{p}{2}} dx \\ & \quad + \hat{\tau}_1 \int_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}} (\mu^2 + |D\mathbf{v}|^2 + |D\mathbf{w}|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |D\mathbf{v} - D\mathbf{w}|^2 dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

Then combining (3.22) with (3.23), and choosing  $\hat{\tau}_1 = \frac{\nu}{2}$ , we derive

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}} (\mu^2 + |D\mathbf{v}|^2 + |D\mathbf{w}|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |D\mathbf{v} - D\mathbf{w}|^2 dx \\ & \leq C(\nu) \int_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}} \beta^2 \left( \mathcal{A}, B_{\frac{3R}{2}} \right) (\mu^2 + |D\mathbf{v}|^2)^{\frac{p}{2}} dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

The technique [10, Theorem 3.4] and the known Gehring's Lemma indicate that the following higher integrability result of  $D\mathbf{v}$  holds for some  $\theta = \theta(n, \nu, L, p) > p$  and any  $1 < p < +\infty$

$$\int_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}(x_0)} |D\mathbf{v}|^\theta dx \leq C \left( \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} (\mu^p + |D\mathbf{v}|^p) dx \right)^{\frac{\theta}{p}}. \quad (3.25)$$

Then by virtue of Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\int_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}(x_0)} |D\mathbf{v}|^\theta dx \leq C \left( \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} (\mu + |D\mathbf{v}|) dx \right)^\theta, \quad (3.26)$$

where  $C = C(n, \nu, L, p)$ .

Since  $p \geq 2$ , then we apply Hölder's inequality, the boundedness of  $\beta \left( \mathcal{A}, B_{\frac{3R}{2}} \right)$  and (3.26) to the inequality (3.24), and derive that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}} |D\mathbf{v} - D\mathbf{w}|^p dx \\ & \leq \int_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}} (\mu^2 + |D\mathbf{v}|^2 + |D\mathbf{w}|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |D\mathbf{v} - D\mathbf{w}|^2 dx \\ & \leq C(\nu) \int_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}} \beta^2 \left( \mathcal{A}, B_{\frac{3R}{2}} \right) (\mu^2 + |D\mathbf{v}|^2)^{\frac{p}{2}} dx \\ & \leq C(\nu) \left( \int_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}} \beta^{\frac{2\theta}{\theta-1}} \left( \mathcal{A}, B_{\frac{3R}{2}} \right) dx \right)^{\frac{\theta-1}{\theta}} \left( \int_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}} (\mu^2 + |D\mathbf{v}|^2)^{\frac{p\theta}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\theta}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq C(n, \nu, L, p) \left( \int_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}} \beta(\mathcal{A}, B_{\frac{3R}{2}}) dx \right)^{\frac{\theta-1}{\theta}} \left( \int_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}} (\mu^2 + |D\mathbf{v}|^2)^{\frac{\theta}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\theta}} \\
&\leq C(n, \nu, L, p) [\mathcal{A}]_{\text{BMO}}^{1-\frac{1}{\theta}} \left( \frac{3R}{2} \right) \left( \int_{B_{2R}} (\mu + |D\mathbf{v}|) dx \right)^p. \tag{3.27}
\end{aligned}$$

While for the case  $1 < p < 2$ , the inequality (3.24) is replaced by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}} (\mu^2 + |D\mathbf{v}|^2 + |D\mathbf{w}|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |D\mathbf{v} - D\mathbf{w}|^2 dx \\
&\leq C(\nu) \int_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}} \beta^2(\mathcal{A}, B_{\frac{3R}{2}}) (\mu^2 + |D\mathbf{v}|^2 + |D\mathbf{w}|^2)^{\frac{p}{2}} dx. \tag{3.28}
\end{aligned}$$

Then we shall use the following higher integrability result of  $D\mathbf{w}$  which is introduced in [5, Lemma 4.3]

$$\int_{B_R(x_0)} |D\mathbf{w}|^q dx \leq C \left( \int_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}(x_0)} (\mu^p + |D\mathbf{w}|^p) dx \right)^{\frac{q}{p}}$$

for all  $q \in [p, \bar{q}]$  and  $p \in (1, +\infty)$ , where

$$\bar{q} = \begin{cases} \text{any number in } (p, +\infty) & \text{if } n = 2, \\ \frac{np}{n-2} & \text{if } n \geq 3. \end{cases} \tag{3.29}$$

It follows from Lemma 2.2 that

$$\int_{B_R(x_0)} |D\mathbf{w}|^q dx \leq C \left( \int_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}(x_0)} (\mu + |D\mathbf{w}|) dx \right)^q, \tag{3.30}$$

where  $C = C(n, \nu, L, p)$ .

Since  $1 < p < 2$ , we apply Hölder's inequality, (3.30) as well as Lemma 2.6 to the inequality (3.28), and then combine with the same argument as (3.27) to deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}} |D\mathbf{v} - D\mathbf{w}|^p dx \\
&\leq \left( \int_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}} (\mu^2 + |D\mathbf{v}|^2 + |D\mathbf{w}|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |D\mathbf{v} - D\mathbf{w}|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \left( \int_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}} (\mu^2 + |D\mathbf{v}|^2 + |D\mathbf{w}|^2)^{\frac{p}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{2-p}{2}} \\
&\leq C(n, \nu, L, p) [\mathcal{A}]_{\text{BMO}}^{\frac{p}{2}(1-\frac{1}{\theta})} \left( \frac{3R}{2} \right) \left( \int_{B_{2R}} (\mu + |D\mathbf{v}|) dx \right)^p, \tag{3.31}
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\hat{\theta} = \min\{\theta, \bar{q}\}$ .

Hence, a combination of (3.27) and (3.31) concludes that

$$\int_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}(x_0)} |D\mathbf{v} - D\mathbf{w}|^p dx \leq C [\mathcal{A}]_{\text{BMO}}^\sigma \left( \frac{3R}{2} \right) \left( \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} (\mu + |D\mathbf{v}|) dx \right)^p, \tag{3.32}$$

where  $C = C(n, \nu, L, p)$  and

$$\sigma = \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{1}{\theta} & \text{if } p \geq 2, \\ \frac{p}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\theta}\right) & \text{if } 1 < p < 2. \end{cases}$$

In the sequel, it suffices to estimate  $\int_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}} |\pi_{\mathbf{v}} - \pi_{\mathbf{w}}|^2 dx$ . Choosing  $\phi \in W_0^{1,p} \left( B_{\frac{3R}{2}}, \mathbb{R}^n \right)$  as a test function of (3.20), that is to say

$$\int_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}} (\pi_{\mathbf{v}} - \pi_{\mathbf{w}}) \operatorname{div} \phi \, dx = \int_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}} \langle \mathcal{A}(x, D\mathbf{v}) - (\mathcal{A}(\cdot, D\mathbf{w}))_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}}, D\phi \rangle \, dx. \quad (3.33)$$

More precisely, selecting the above  $\phi$  be a solution to the auxiliary problem

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div} \phi = \operatorname{sgn}(\pi_{\mathbf{v}} - \pi_{\mathbf{w}}) |\pi_{\mathbf{v}} - \pi_{\mathbf{w}}|^{\frac{1}{p-1}} - \left( \operatorname{sgn}(\pi_{\mathbf{v}} - \pi_{\mathbf{w}}) |\pi_{\mathbf{v}} - \pi_{\mathbf{w}}|^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \right)_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}} & \text{in } B_{\frac{3R}{2}}, \\ \phi = 0 & \text{on } \partial B_{\frac{3R}{2}}. \end{cases} \quad (3.34)$$

Let

$$h := \operatorname{sgn}(\pi_{\mathbf{v}} - \pi_{\mathbf{w}}) |\pi_{\mathbf{v}} - \pi_{\mathbf{w}}|^{\frac{1}{p-1}} - \left( \operatorname{sgn}(\pi_{\mathbf{v}} - \pi_{\mathbf{w}}) |\pi_{\mathbf{v}} - \pi_{\mathbf{w}}|^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \right)_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}}.$$

Then it is not difficult to verify that  $h \in L^p(B_{\frac{3R}{2}})$  and  $\int_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}(x_0)} h(x) \, dx = 0$ . Hence, the existence of such a solution to auxiliary problem (3.34) is ensured by Lemma 2.1 and so

$$\int_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}} |\nabla \phi|^p \, dx \leq C \int_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}} |\pi_{\mathbf{v}} - \pi_{\mathbf{w}}|^{p'} \, dx, \quad (3.35)$$

where the positive constant  $C$  depends only on  $n$  and  $p$ . Moreover, [5, Lemma 2.8] infers that there exists a unique  $\pi_{\mathbf{v}} - \pi_{\mathbf{w}} \in L^{p'}(B_{\frac{3R}{2}}(x_0))$  to the problem (3.20) such that  $\int_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}} (\pi_{\mathbf{v}} - \pi_{\mathbf{w}}) \, dx = 0$ .

By substituting such  $\phi$  into equality (3.33) and combining Young's inequality with (3.32) and (3.35), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}} |\pi_{\mathbf{v}} - \pi_{\mathbf{w}}|^{p'} \, dx \\ &= \int_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}} (\pi_{\mathbf{v}} - \pi_{\mathbf{w}}) \left[ \operatorname{sgn}(\pi_{\mathbf{v}} - \pi_{\mathbf{w}}) |\pi_{\mathbf{v}} - \pi_{\mathbf{w}}|^{\frac{1}{p-1}} - \left( \operatorname{sgn}(\pi_{\mathbf{v}} - \pi_{\mathbf{w}}) |\pi_{\mathbf{v}} - \pi_{\mathbf{w}}|^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \right)_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}} \right] \, dx \\ &= \int_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}} \langle \mathcal{A}(x, D\mathbf{v}) - (\mathcal{A}(\cdot, D\mathbf{w}))_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}}, D\phi \rangle \, dx \\ &\leq C(\hat{\tau}_2, p) \int_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}} \left| \mathcal{A}(x, D\mathbf{v}) - (\mathcal{A}(\cdot, D\mathbf{w}))_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}} \right|^{p'} \, dx + \hat{\tau}_2 \int_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}} |D\phi|^p \, dx \\ &\leq C(n, p) \hat{\tau}_2 \int_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}} |\nabla \phi|^p \, dx + C(\hat{\tau}_2, n, p, \nu, L) [A]_{\text{BMO}}^\sigma \left( \frac{3R}{2} \right) \left( \int_{B_{2R}} (\mu + |D\mathbf{v}|) \, dx \right)^p \\ &\quad + C(\hat{\tau}_2, p, L) \int_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}} \left[ (\mu^2 + |D\mathbf{v}|^2 + |D\mathbf{w}|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |D\mathbf{v} - D\mathbf{w}| \right]^{p'} \, dx \\ &\leq C_2(n, p) \hat{\tau}_2 \int_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}} |\pi_{\mathbf{v}} - \pi_{\mathbf{w}}|^{p'} \, dx + C(\hat{\tau}_2, n, p, \nu, L) [A]_{\text{BMO}}^\sigma \left( \frac{3R}{2} \right) \left( \int_{B_{2R}} (\mu + |D\mathbf{v}|) \, dx \right)^p \end{aligned}$$

$$+ C(\hat{\tau}_2, p, L) \int_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}} \left[ (\mu^2 + |D\mathbf{v}|^2 + |D\mathbf{w}|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |D\mathbf{v} - D\mathbf{w}| \right]^{p'} dx.$$

Choosing the positive constant  $\hat{\tau}_2$  sufficiently small such that  $C_2 \hat{\tau}_2 = \frac{1}{2}$ , we derive that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}} |\pi_{\mathbf{v}} - \pi_{\mathbf{w}}|^{p'} dx \\ & \leq C [\mathcal{A}]_{\text{BMO}}^\sigma \left( \frac{3R}{2} \right) \left( \int_{B_{2R}} (\mu + |D\mathbf{v}|) dx \right)^p + C \int_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}} \left[ (\mu^2 + |D\mathbf{v}|^2 + |D\mathbf{w}|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |D\mathbf{v} - D\mathbf{w}| \right]^{p'} dx, \end{aligned} \quad (3.36)$$

where  $C = C(n, p, \nu, L)$ .

In order to estimate the last term on the right side of (3.36), we proceed in two situations. Regarding to the case of  $1 < p < 2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}} |\pi_{\mathbf{v}} - \pi_{\mathbf{w}}|^{p'} dx \\ & \leq C [\mathcal{A}]_{\text{BMO}}^{\frac{p}{2}(1-\frac{1}{\theta})} \left( \frac{3R}{2} \right) \left( \int_{B_{2R}} (\mu + |D\mathbf{v}|) dx \right)^p + C \int_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}} |D\mathbf{v} - D\mathbf{w}|^p dx \\ & \leq C(n, p, \nu, L) [\mathcal{A}]_{\text{BMO}}^{\frac{p}{2}(1-\frac{1}{\theta})} \left( \frac{3R}{2} \right) \left( \int_{B_{2R}} (\mu + |D\mathbf{v}|) dx \right)^p, \end{aligned} \quad (3.37)$$

where the last inequality is ensured by (3.32).

While for the case of  $p \geq 2$ , we use Hölder's inequality, (3.27), Lemma 2.6 and the reserve Hölder's inequality (3.26) to deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}} \left[ (\mu^2 + |D\mathbf{v}|^2 + |D\mathbf{u}|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |D\mathbf{v} - D\mathbf{w}| \right]^{p'} dx \\ & \leq \left( \int_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}} (\mu^2 + |D\mathbf{v}|^2 + |D\mathbf{w}|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |D\mathbf{v} - D\mathbf{w}|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{p}{2(p-1)}} \\ & \quad \times \left( \int_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}} (\mu^2 + |D\mathbf{v}|^2 + |D\mathbf{w}|^2)^{\frac{p}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2(p-1)}} \\ & \leq C(n, p, \nu, L) [\mathcal{A}]_{\text{BMO}}^{\frac{p}{2(p-1)}(1-\frac{1}{\theta})} \left( \frac{3R}{2} \right) \left( \int_{B_{2R}} (\mu + |D\mathbf{v}|) dx \right)^p. \end{aligned} \quad (3.38)$$

Inserting (3.38) into (3.36), it follows from  $p \geq 2$  that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}} |\pi_{\mathbf{v}} - \pi_{\mathbf{w}}|^{p'} dx \\ & \leq C [\mathcal{A}]_{\text{BMO}}^{1-\frac{1}{\theta}} \left( \frac{3R}{2} \right) \left( \int_{B_{2R}} (\mu + |D\mathbf{v}|) dx \right)^p + C [\mathcal{A}]_{\text{BMO}}^{\frac{p}{2(p-1)}(1-\frac{1}{\theta})} \left( \frac{3R}{2} \right) \left( \int_{B_{2R}} (\mu + |D\mathbf{v}|) dx \right)^p \\ & \leq C [\mathcal{A}]_{\text{BMO}}^{\frac{p}{2(p-1)}(1-\frac{1}{\theta})} \left( \frac{3R}{2} \right) \left( \int_{B_{2R}} (\mu + |D\mathbf{v}|) dx \right)^p. \end{aligned} \quad (3.39)$$

Finally, a combination of (3.32), (3.37) with (3.39) yields that (3.18) is true for  $1 < p < +\infty$ . Then the proof of Lemma 3.2 is completed.  $\square$

In the end of this section, our intention is to establish the comparison estimate between  $D\mathbf{u}$ ,  $\pi$  with  $D\mathbf{w}$ ,  $\pi_{\mathbf{w}}$  by using Lemma 3.1, Lemma 3.2, Hölder's inequality, and combining Lemma 2.5 with Lemma 2.3.

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $(\mathbf{u}, \pi)$  be a weak solution pair to (1.1). Then there exists a weak solution pair  $(\mathbf{w}, \pi_{\mathbf{w}})$  to (2.6) such that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}(x_0)} \left( |D\mathbf{u} - D\mathbf{w}|^p + |\pi - \pi_{\mathbf{w}}|^{p'} \right) dx \\ & \leq C_1 \left( \varepsilon \chi_{\{p \neq 2\}} + [\mathcal{A}]_{\text{BMO}}^{\hat{\sigma}} \left( \frac{3R}{2} \right) \right) \left( \int_{B_{4R}(x_0)} (\mu + |D\mathbf{u}|) dx \right)^p \\ & \quad + C_2 \left( 1 + [\mathcal{A}]_{\text{BMO}}^{\hat{\sigma}} \left( \frac{3R}{2} \right) \right) \int_{B_{4R}(x_0)} |\mathbf{F} - \mathbf{F}_0|^{p'} dx \end{aligned} \quad (3.40)$$

for any  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ , any constant matrix  $\mathbf{F}_0 \in \mathbb{R}_{\text{sym}}^{n \times n}$ , almost all  $x_0 \in \Omega$  and every  $B_{4R}(x_0) \subset \Omega$ . Here the positive constants  $C_1 = C_1(n, p, \nu, L)$ ,  $C_2 = C_2(\varepsilon, n, p, \nu, L)$ , and  $\hat{\sigma}$  is given in (3.19).

## 4 Nonlinear potential estimates.

In this section, we first establish the pointwise gradient estimate in Theorem 1.4. Before proceeding further, we need to prove the following Campanato type decay estimate for the shear rate  $D\mathbf{w}$  to (2.6) in the plane.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $\mathbf{w}$  be the weak solution to (2.6) and the dimension  $n = 2$ . Then there exist positive constants  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$  depending only on  $p, \nu$  and  $L$ , such that the estimate*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_{\rho R}(x_0)} \left| D\mathbf{w} - (D\mathbf{w})_{B_{\rho R}(x_0)} \right| dx \\ & \leq \varepsilon \chi_{\{p \neq 2\}} \int_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}(x_0)} (\mu + |D\mathbf{w}|) dx + C \rho^\alpha \int_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}(x_0)} \left| D\mathbf{w} - (D\mathbf{w})_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}(x_0)} \right| dx \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

holds for any  $\rho \in (0, \frac{3}{2}]$  and  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ , where  $C = C(\varepsilon, p, \nu, L)$ .

*Proof.* We may assume without loss of generality that  $\rho \in (0, 1]$ , since (4.1) obviously holds for  $1 < \rho \leq \frac{3}{2}$ . By virtue of Theorem 3.8 in [10], we have

$$\int_{B_{\rho R}(x_0)} \left| V_p(D\mathbf{w}) - (V_p(D\mathbf{w}))_{B_{\rho R}(x_0)} \right|^2 dx \leq C(p, \nu, L) \rho^{p\alpha} \int_{B_R(x_0)} \left| V_p(D\mathbf{w}) - (V_p(D\mathbf{w}))_{B_R(x_0)} \right|^2 dx,$$

where  $V_p(\xi) = (\mu^2 + |\xi|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{4}} \xi$ . And then, using [9, Lemma 6.2], the above inequality is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_{\rho R}(x_0)} \left| V_p(D\mathbf{w}) - V_p \left( (D\mathbf{w})_{B_{\rho R}(x_0)} \right) \right|^2 dx \\ & \leq C(p, \nu, L) \rho^{p\alpha} \int_{B_R(x_0)} \left| V_p(D\mathbf{w}) - V_p \left( (D\mathbf{w})_{B_R(x_0)} \right) \right|^2 dx, \end{aligned}$$

Now dividing into two cases that  $p \geq 2$  and  $1 < p < 2$ , and proceeding similarly as before, we obtain

$$\int_{B_{\rho R}(x_0)} \left| D\mathbf{w} - (D\mathbf{w})_{B_{\rho R}(x_0)} \right|^p dx$$

$$\leq \varepsilon_1 \chi_{\{p \neq 2\}} \int_{B_R(x_0)} (\mu^p + |D\mathbf{w}|^p) dx + C(\varepsilon_1, p, \nu, L) \rho^{p\alpha} \int_{B_R(x_0)} \left| D\mathbf{w} - (D\mathbf{w})_{B_R(x_0)} \right|^p dx. \quad (4.2)$$

Next, the approach of estimate for the last term on the right side of (4.2) is to use the reverse Hölder inequality introduced in [8] as follows

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_R(x_0)} \left| V_p(D\mathbf{w}) - V_p\left((D\mathbf{w})_{B_R(x_0)}\right) \right|^2 dx \\ & \leq C(p, \nu, L) \left( \int_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}(x_0)} \left| V_p(D\mathbf{w}) - V_p\left((D\mathbf{w})_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}(x_0)}\right) \right|^{\frac{2}{p}} dx \right)^p. \end{aligned}$$

The following discussion is still divided into  $p \geq 2$  and  $1 < p < 2$ , then we can derive

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_R(x_0)} \left| D\mathbf{w} - (D\mathbf{w})_{B_R(x_0)} \right|^p dx \\ & \leq \varepsilon_2 \chi_{\{p \neq 2\}} \left( \int_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}(x_0)} (\mu + |D\mathbf{w}|) dx \right)^p + C(\varepsilon_2, \nu, L, p) \left( \int_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}(x_0)} \left| D\mathbf{w} - (D\mathbf{w})_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}(x_0)} \right| dx \right)^p. \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

Finally, inserting (4.3) into (4.2) and combining Hölder's inequality with the reverse Hölder inequality (3.30) for  $D\mathbf{w}$ , we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_{\rho R}(x_0)} \left| D\mathbf{w} - (D\mathbf{w})_{B_{\rho R}(x_0)} \right| dx \\ & \leq \varepsilon \chi_{\{p \neq 2\}} \int_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}(x_0)} (\mu + |D\mathbf{w}|) dx + C \rho^\alpha \int_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}(x_0)} \left| D\mathbf{w} - (D\mathbf{w})_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}(x_0)} \right| dx \end{aligned}$$

is valid for any  $\rho \in (0, 1]$  and  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ , where  $C = C(\varepsilon, p, \nu, L)$ . Thus, the proof of Lemma 4.1 is completed.  $\square$

*Remark 4.2.* Due to the absence of Lipschitz regularity for solution to the corresponding limiting problem (2.6) in higher dimensional space, the above Campanato type decay estimate holds only in the plane. This is the immediate trigger for the pointwise gradient estimate established only to the planar flows.

We now turn our attention to the Campanato type decay estimate of  $D\mathbf{u}$ , which is the main ingredient to carry on the proof of Theorem 1.4.

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let  $\beta \in (0, 1)$  and  $(\mathbf{u}, \pi)$  be a weak solution pair to (1.1) with  $\mathbf{F} \in L'_{\text{loc}}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}_{\text{sym}}^{2 \times 2})$ . There exists a positive constant  $\rho = \rho(p, \nu, L, \beta) \in (0, \frac{1}{4}]$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \int_{B_{\rho R}(x_0)} \left| D\mathbf{u} - (D\mathbf{u})_{B_{\rho R}(x_0)} \right| dx \right)^p + \left( \int_{B_{\rho R}(x_0)} \left| \pi - (\pi)_{B_{\rho R}(x_0)} \right| dx \right)^{p'} \\ & \leq \beta C_1 \left( \int_{B_R(x_0)} \left| D\mathbf{u} - (D\mathbf{u})_{B_R(x_0)} \right| dx \right)^p + C_2 \int_{B_R(x_0)} |\mathbf{F} - \mathbf{F}_0|^{p'} dx \\ & \quad + C_3 \left( [\mathcal{A}]_{\text{BMO}}^{\hat{\sigma}}(R) + \varepsilon \chi_{\{p \neq 2\}} \right) \left( \int_{B_R(x_0)} (\mu + |D\mathbf{u}|) dx \right)^p \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

for any  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ , any constant matrix  $\mathbf{F}_0 \in \mathbb{R}_{\text{sym}}^{2 \times 2}$  and every  $B_R(x_0) \subset \Omega$ , where the positive constants  $C_1 = C_1(\varepsilon, \nu, L, p)$ ,  $C_2 = C_2(\varepsilon, \nu, L, p, \beta)$ ,  $C_3 = C_3(\nu, L, p, \beta)$ , and  $\hat{\sigma}$  is given in (3.19).

*Proof.* In order to prove this technical lemma, we apply Hölder's inequality with (2.4) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left( \int_{B_{\rho R}(x_0)} |D\mathbf{u} - (D\mathbf{u})_{B_{\rho R}(x_0)}| dx \right)^p + \left( \int_{B_{\rho R}(x_0)} |\pi - (\pi)_{B_{\rho R}(x_0)}| dx \right)^{p'} \\
& \leq \frac{C(p)}{\rho^{2p}} \left[ \int_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}(x_0)} (|D\mathbf{u} - D\mathbf{w}|^p + |\pi - \pi_{\mathbf{w}}|^{p'}) dx \right] + C(p) \left( \int_{B_{\rho R}(x_0)} |D\mathbf{w} - (D\mathbf{w})_{B_{\rho R}(x_0)}| dx \right)^p \\
& \quad + C(p) \left( \int_{B_{\rho R}(x_0)} |\pi_{\mathbf{w}} - (\pi_{\mathbf{w}})_{B_{\rho R}(x_0)}| dx \right)^{p'} \tag{4.5}
\end{aligned}$$

for any  $0 < \rho \leq 1$ .

We first estimate the last term on the right side of (4.5). Let  $\psi \in W_0^{1,p}(B_{\rho R}(x_0), \mathbb{R}^2)$  be a test function of (2.6), i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{B_{\rho R}(x_0)} (\pi_{\mathbf{w}} - (\pi_{\mathbf{w}})_{B_{\rho R}(x_0)}) \operatorname{div} \psi \, dx \\
& = \int_{B_{\rho R}(x_0)} \left\langle (\mathcal{A}(\cdot, D\mathbf{w}))_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}(x_0)} - \left( \mathcal{A}(\cdot, (D\mathbf{w})_{B_{\rho R}(x_0)}) \right)_{B_{\frac{3R}{2}}(x_0)}, D\psi \right\rangle dx. \tag{4.6}
\end{aligned}$$

More precisely, we select the above  $\psi$  be a solution to the following auxiliary problem

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div} \psi = \operatorname{sgn}(\pi_{\mathbf{w}} - (\pi_{\mathbf{w}})_{B_{\rho R}(x_0)}) \left| \pi_{\mathbf{w}} - (\pi_{\mathbf{w}})_{B_{\rho R}(x_0)} \right|^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \\ \quad - \left( \operatorname{sgn}(\pi_{\mathbf{w}} - (\pi_{\mathbf{w}})_{B_{\rho R}(x_0)}) \left| \pi_{\mathbf{w}} - (\pi_{\mathbf{w}})_{B_{\rho R}(x_0)} \right|^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \right)_{B_{\rho R}(x_0)} & \text{in } B_{\rho R}(x_0), \\ \psi = 0 & \text{on } \partial B_{\rho R}(x_0), \end{cases} \tag{4.7}$$

where the nonhomogeneous term belongs to  $L^p(B_{\rho R}(x_0))$  and satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{B_{\rho R}(x_0)} \operatorname{sgn}(\pi_{\mathbf{w}} - (\pi_{\mathbf{w}})_{B_{\rho R}(x_0)}) \left| \pi_{\mathbf{w}} - (\pi_{\mathbf{w}})_{B_{\rho R}(x_0)} \right|^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \\
& \quad - \left( \operatorname{sgn}(\pi_{\mathbf{w}} - (\pi_{\mathbf{w}})_{B_{\rho R}(x_0)}) \left| \pi_{\mathbf{w}} - (\pi_{\mathbf{w}})_{B_{\rho R}(x_0)} \right|^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \right)_{B_{\rho R}(x_0)} dx = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Then Lemma 2.1 infers that there exists a solution to auxiliary problem (4.7) such that

$$\|\nabla \psi\|_{L^p(B_{\rho R}(x_0))} \leq C(p) \left\| \pi_{\mathbf{w}} - (\pi_{\mathbf{w}})_{B_{\rho R}(x_0)} \right\|_{L^{p'}(B_{\rho R}(x_0))}. \tag{4.8}$$

Substituting such  $\psi$  into equality (4.6) and combining Young's inequality with (1.2), (4.8) and reserve Hölder type inequality (3.30), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{B_{\rho R}(x_0)} |\pi_{\mathbf{w}} - (\pi_{\mathbf{w}})_{B_{\rho R}(x_0)}|^{p'} dx \\
& \leq C(\tau, L, p) \int_{B_{\rho R}(x_0)} \left[ \left( \mu^2 + |D\mathbf{w}|^2 + |(D\mathbf{w})_{B_{\rho R}(x_0)}|^2 \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |D\mathbf{w} - (D\mathbf{w})_{B_{\rho R}(x_0)}| \right]^{p'} dx \\
& \quad + \tau \int_{B_{\rho R}(x_0)} |\nabla \psi|^p dx \\
& \leq \varepsilon \chi_{\{p>2\}} \int_{B_{\rho R}(x_0)} (\mu^p + |D\mathbf{w}|^p) dx + C(\varepsilon, \tau, L, p) \int_{B_{\rho R}(x_0)} |D\mathbf{w} - (D\mathbf{w})_{B_{\rho R}(x_0)}|^p dx
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + C(p) \tau \int_{B_{\rho R}(x_0)} \left| \pi_{\mathbf{w}} - (\pi_{\mathbf{w}})_{B_{\rho R}(x_0)} \right|^{p'} dx \\
\leq & C(\nu, L, p) \varepsilon \chi_{\{p>2\}} \left( \int_{B_{\frac{3}{2}\rho R}(x_0)} (\mu + |D\mathbf{w}|) dx \right)^p + C(\varepsilon, \tau, L, p) \int_{B_{\rho R}(x_0)} \left| D\mathbf{w} - (D\mathbf{w})_{B_{\rho R}(x_0)} \right|^p dx \\
& + C(p) \tau \int_{B_{\rho R}(x_0)} \left| \pi_{\mathbf{w}} - (\pi_{\mathbf{w}})_{B_{\rho R}(x_0)} \right|^{p'} dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Selecting the positive constant  $\tau = \frac{1}{2C(p)}$ , we apply Hölder's inequality and (4.3) to derive that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{B_{\rho R}(x_0)} \left| \pi_{\mathbf{w}} - (\pi_{\mathbf{w}})_{B_{\rho R}(x_0)} \right|^{p'} dx \\
\leq & C(\nu, L, p) \varepsilon \chi_{\{p>2\}} \left( \int_{B_{\frac{3}{2}\rho R}(x_0)} (\mu + |D\mathbf{w}|) dx \right)^p + C(\varepsilon, L, p) \int_{B_{\rho R}(x_0)} \left| D\mathbf{w} - (D\mathbf{w})_{B_{\rho R}(x_0)} \right|^p dx \\
\leq & C(\nu, L, p) \varepsilon \chi_{\{p>2\}} \left[ \rho^{-2p} \int_{B_{\frac{3}{2}\rho R}(x_0)} (\mu^p + |D\mathbf{u} - D\mathbf{w}|^p) dx + \left( \int_{B_{\frac{3}{2}\rho R}(x_0)} |D\mathbf{u}| dx \right)^p \right] \\
& + C(\varepsilon, L, p) \int_{B_{\rho R}(x_0)} \left| D\mathbf{w} - (D\mathbf{w})_{B_{\rho R}(x_0)} \right|^p dx \\
\leq & C(\nu, L, p) \varepsilon \chi_{\{p \neq 2\}} \left[ \rho^{-2p} \int_{B_{\frac{3}{2}\rho R}(x_0)} (\mu^p + |D\mathbf{u} - D\mathbf{w}|^p) dx + \left( \int_{B_{\frac{3}{2}\rho R}(x_0)} |D\mathbf{u}| dx \right)^p \right] \\
& + C(\varepsilon, \nu, L, p) \left( \int_{B_{\frac{3}{2}\rho R}(x_0)} \left| D\mathbf{w} - (D\mathbf{w})_{B_{\frac{3}{2}\rho R}(x_0)} \right| dx \right)^p. \tag{4.9}
\end{aligned}$$

Next, inserting (4.9) into (4.5), and combining Lemma 4.1 with Lemma 3.3 together with the non-decreasing function  $[\mathcal{A}]_{\text{BMO}}(\cdot)$ , we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left( \int_{B_{\rho R}(x_0)} \left| D\mathbf{u} - (D\mathbf{u})_{B_{\rho R}(x_0)} \right| dx \right)^p + \left( \int_{B_{\rho R}(x_0)} \left| \pi - (\pi)_{B_{\rho R}(x_0)} \right| dx \right)^{p'} \\
\leq & C\rho^{-2p} \int_{B_{\frac{3}{2}\rho R}(x_0)} (|D\mathbf{u} - D\mathbf{w}|^p + |\pi - \pi_{\mathbf{w}}|^{p'}) dx + C\varepsilon \chi_{\{p \neq 2\}} \rho^{-2p} \left( \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} (\mu + |D\mathbf{u}|) dx \right)^p \\
& + C\rho^{\alpha p} \left( \int_{B_{\frac{3}{2}\rho R}(x_0)} \left| D\mathbf{w} - (D\mathbf{w})_{B_{\frac{3}{2}\rho R}(x_0)} \right| dx \right)^p \\
\leq & C\rho^{\alpha p} \left( \int_{B_{\frac{3}{2}\rho R}(x_0)} \left| D\mathbf{u} - (D\mathbf{u})_{B_{\frac{3}{2}\rho R}(x_0)} \right| dx \right)^p + \hat{C}_\rho \left( 1 + [\mathcal{A}]_{\text{BMO}}^{\hat{\sigma}} \left( \frac{3R}{2} \right) \right) \int_{B_{4R}(x_0)} |\mathbf{F} - \mathbf{F}_0|^{p'} dx \\
& + C_\rho \left( [\mathcal{A}]_{\text{BMO}}^{\hat{\sigma}} \left( \frac{3R}{2} \right) + \varepsilon \chi_{\{p \neq 2\}} \right) \left( \int_{B_{4R}(x_0)} (\mu + |D\mathbf{u}|) dx \right)^p \\
\leq & C\rho^{\alpha p} \left( \int_{B_{4R}(x_0)} \left| D\mathbf{u} - (D\mathbf{u})_{B_{4R}(x_0)} \right| dx \right)^p + \hat{C}_\rho \left( 1 + [\mathcal{A}]_{\text{BMO}}^{\hat{\sigma}}(4R) \right) \int_{B_{4R}(x_0)} |\mathbf{F} - \mathbf{F}_0|^{p'} dx \\
& + C_\rho \left( [\mathcal{A}]_{\text{BMO}}^{\hat{\sigma}}(4R) + \varepsilon \chi_{\{p \neq 2\}} \right) \left( \int_{B_{4R}(x_0)} (\mu + |D\mathbf{u}|) dx \right)^p \tag{4.10}
\end{aligned}$$

for any  $0 < \rho \leq 1$  and  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ , where the positive constants  $C = C(\varepsilon, p, \nu, L)$ ,  $C_\rho = C_\rho(\rho, p, \nu, L)$  and  $\hat{C}_\rho = \hat{C}_\rho(\varepsilon, \rho, p, \nu, L)$ . It is plain that (4.10) is equivalent to the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \int_{B_{\rho R}(x_0)} |D\mathbf{u} - (D\mathbf{u})_{B_{\rho R}(x_0)}| dx \right)^p + \left( \int_{B_{\rho R}(x_0)} |\pi - (\pi)_{B_{\rho R}(x_0)}| dx \right)^{p'} \\ & \leq C\rho^{\alpha p} \left( \int_{B_R(x_0)} |D\mathbf{u} - (D\mathbf{u})_{B_R(x_0)}| dx \right)^p + \hat{C}_\rho \left( 1 + [\mathcal{A}]_{\text{BMO}}^{\hat{\sigma}}(R) \right) \int_{B_R(x_0)} |\mathbf{F} - \mathbf{F}_0|^{p'} dx \\ & \quad + C_\rho \left( [\mathcal{A}]_{\text{BMO}}^{\hat{\sigma}}(R) + \varepsilon \chi_{\{p \neq 2\}} \right) \left( \int_{B_R(x_0)} (\mu + |D\mathbf{u}|) dx \right)^p \end{aligned}$$

for any  $0 < \rho \leq \frac{1}{4}$  and  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ .

Finally, selecting  $\rho$  small enough such that  $\rho^{\alpha p} \leq \beta$  for any  $\beta \in (0, 1)$ , the above inequality implies that the desired decay estimate (4.4) holds for any  $B_R(x_0) \subset \Omega$ . Thus, the proof of Lemma 4.3 is completed.  $\square$

Based on the above preparations, we are now in a position to prove Theorem 1.4.

*Proof of Theorem 1.4.* Without loss of generality, we may assume that

$$\int_0^{2R} \left( \int_{B_\varrho(x_0)} |\mathbf{F} - (\mathbf{F})_{B_\varrho(x_0)}|^{p'} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{d\varrho}{\varrho} < \infty,$$

otherwise (1.4) is trivial. Through a direct calculation, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{B_{\rho^k R}(x_0)} D\mathbf{u} dx - \int_{B_R(x_0)} D\mathbf{u} dx \right| &= \left| \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \left( \int_{B_{\rho^{i+1} R}(x_0)} D\mathbf{u} dx - \int_{B_{\rho^i R}(x_0)} D\mathbf{u} dx \right) \right| \\ &\leq \rho^{-2} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \left| \int_{B_{\rho^i R}(x_0)} (D\mathbf{u} - (D\mathbf{u})_{B_{\rho^i R}(x_0)}) dx \right| \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Similarly, the following inequality

$$\left| \int_{B_{\rho^k R}(x_0)} \pi dx - \int_{B_R(x_0)} \pi dx \right| \leq \rho^{-2} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \left| \int_{B_{\rho^i R}(x_0)} (\pi - (\pi)_{B_{\rho^i R}(x_0)}) dx \right|. \quad (4.12)$$

holds for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

We first use the decay inequality (4.4) established in Lemma 4.3 to derive that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^k \left[ \int_{B_{\rho^i R}(x_0)} |D\mathbf{u} - (D\mathbf{u})_{B_{\rho^i R}(x_0)}| dx + \left( \int_{B_{\rho^i R}(x_0)} |\pi - (\pi)_{B_{\rho^i R}(x_0)}| dx \right)^{\frac{p'}{p}} \right] \\ & \leq C_1 \beta^{\frac{1}{p}} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \int_{B_{\rho^i R}(x_0)} |D\mathbf{u} - (D\mathbf{u})_{B_{\rho^i R}(x_0)}| dx + C_2 \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \left( \int_{B_{\rho^i R}(x_0)} |\mathbf{F} - (\mathbf{F})_{B_{\rho^i R}(x_0)}|^{p'} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ & \quad + C_3 \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \left( [\mathcal{A}]_{\text{BMO}}^{\hat{\sigma}}(\rho^i R) + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p}} \right) \int_{B_{\rho^i R}(x_0)} (\mu + |D\mathbf{u}|) dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \left[ C_1 \beta^{\frac{1}{p}} + C_3 \left( [\mathcal{A}]_{\text{BMO}}^{\frac{\hat{\alpha}}{p}}(\rho^i R) + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p}} \right) \right] \int_{B_{\rho^i R}(x_0)} \left( \mu + |D\mathbf{u} - (D\mathbf{u})_{B_{\rho^i R}(x_0)}| \right) dx \\
&\quad + C_3 \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \left( [\mathcal{A}]_{\text{BMO}}^{\frac{\hat{\alpha}}{p}}(\rho^i R) + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p}} \right) \left| \int_{B_{\rho^i R}(x_0)} D\mathbf{u} dx \right| \\
&\quad + C_2 \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \left( \int_{B_{\rho^i R}(x_0)} |\mathbf{F} - (\mathbf{F})_{B_{\rho^i R}(x_0)}|^{p'} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \tag{4.13}
\end{aligned}$$

We estimate the integral term involving  $\mathbf{F}$  in (4.13) as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \left( \int_{B_{\rho^i R}(x_0)} |\mathbf{F} - (\mathbf{F})_{B_{\rho^i R}(x_0)}|^{p'} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&\leq \left( \int_{B_R(x_0)} |\mathbf{F} - (\mathbf{F})_{B_R(x_0)}|^{p'} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left( \int_{B_{\rho^i R}(x_0)} |\mathbf{F} - (\mathbf{F})_{B_{\rho^i R}(x_0)}|^{p'} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&= \frac{1}{\ln 2} \int_R^{2R} \left( \int_{B_R(x_0)} |\mathbf{F} - (\mathbf{F})_{B_R(x_0)}|^{p'} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{d\varrho}{\varrho} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\ln \frac{1}{\rho}} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{\rho^i R}^{\rho^{i-1} R} \left( \int_{B_{\rho^i R}(x_0)} |\mathbf{F} - (\mathbf{F})_{B_{\rho^i R}(x_0)}|^{p'} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{d\varrho}{\varrho} \\
&\leq \frac{2^{\frac{2}{p}}}{\ln 2} \int_R^{2R} \left( \int_{B_\varrho(x_0)} |\mathbf{F} - (\mathbf{F})_{B_\varrho(x_0)}|^{p'} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{d\varrho}{\varrho} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\rho^{\frac{2}{p}} \ln \frac{1}{\rho}} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{\rho^i R}^{\rho^{i-1} R} \left( \int_{B_\varrho(x_0)} |\mathbf{F} - (\mathbf{F})_{B_\varrho(x_0)}|^{p'} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{d\varrho}{\varrho} \\
&\leq C(p, \nu, L, \beta) \int_0^{2R} \left( \int_{B_\varrho(x_0)} |\mathbf{F} - (\mathbf{F})_{B_\varrho(x_0)}|^{p'} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{d\varrho}{\varrho}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then inserting the above estimate into (4.13), and recalling that  $[\mathcal{A}]_{\text{BMO}}(R)$  is a non-decreasing function with respect to  $R$ , one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{i=1}^k \left[ \int_{B_{\rho^i R}(x_0)} |D\mathbf{u} - (D\mathbf{u})_{B_{\rho^i R}(x_0)}| dx + \left( \int_{B_{\rho^i R}(x_0)} |\pi - (\pi)_{B_{\rho^i R}(x_0)}| dx \right)^{\frac{2'}{p}} \right] \tag{4.14} \\
&\leq \left[ C_1 \beta^{\frac{1}{p}} + C_3 \left( [\mathcal{A}]_{\text{BMO}}^{\frac{\hat{\alpha}}{p}}(R) + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p}} \right) \right] \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \int_{B_{\rho^i R}(x_0)} \left( \mu + |D\mathbf{u} - (D\mathbf{u})_{B_{\rho^i R}(x_0)}| \right) dx \\
&\quad + C_3 \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \left( [\mathcal{A}]_{\text{BMO}}^{\frac{\hat{\alpha}}{p}}(\rho^i R) + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p}} \right) \left| \int_{B_{\rho^i R}(x_0)} D\mathbf{u} dx \right| + C_2 \int_0^{2R} \left( \int_{B_\varrho(x_0)} |\mathbf{F} - (\mathbf{F})_{B_\varrho(x_0)}|^{p'} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{d\varrho}{\varrho},
\end{aligned}$$

where  $C_1 = C_1(\varepsilon, \nu, L, p)$ ,  $C_2 = C_2(\varepsilon, \nu, L, p, \beta)$  and  $C_3 = C_3(\nu, L, p, \beta)$ . It is convenient for us to choose  $\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p}} = [\mathcal{A}]_{\text{BMO}}^{\frac{\hat{\alpha}}{p}}(R)$ , and then applying the monotonicity of  $d(\cdot)$  to select the radius  $R_0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}
C_3 [\mathcal{A}]_{\text{BMO}}^{\frac{\hat{\alpha}}{p}}(R) &= \frac{C_3}{\ln 2} \int_R^{2R} [\mathcal{A}]_{\text{BMO}}^{\frac{\hat{\alpha}}{p}}(R) \frac{d\varrho}{\varrho} \\
&\leq \frac{C_3}{\ln 2} \int_0^{2R} [\mathcal{A}]_{\text{BMO}}^{\frac{\hat{\alpha}}{p}}(\varrho) \frac{d\varrho}{\varrho}
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{C_3}{\ln 2} d(2R) \leq \frac{C_3}{\ln 2} d(2R_0) = \frac{1}{8}$$

for any  $R \leq R_0$ . Furthermore, selecting  $\beta$  sufficiently small such that  $C_1 \beta^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \frac{1}{4}$ . Thus, the first term on the right side of (4.14) can be absorbed by the left side that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^k \left[ \int_{B_{\rho^i R}(x_0)} |D\mathbf{u} - (D\mathbf{u})_{B_{\rho^i R}(x_0)}| dx + \left( \int_{B_{\rho^i R}(x_0)} |\pi - (\pi)_{B_{\rho^i R}(x_0)}| dx \right)^{\frac{p'}{p}} \right] \\ & \leq \int_{B_R(x_0)} (\mu + |D\mathbf{u} - (D\mathbf{u})_{B_R(x_0)}|) dx + C \int_0^{2R} \left( \int_{B_\varrho(x_0)} |\mathbf{F} - (\mathbf{F})_{B_\varrho(x_0)}|^{p'} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{d\varrho}{\varrho} \\ & \quad + C \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} [\mathcal{A}]_{\text{BMO}}^{\frac{\sigma}{p}}(\rho^i R) \left| \int_{B_{\rho^i R}(x_0)} D\mathbf{u} dx \right|, \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

where  $C = C(\nu, L, p, [\mathcal{A}]_{\text{BMO}}(\cdot))$ .

Next, we turn our attention to estimate the last term on the right side of (4.15). A combination of (4.15) and (2.4) yields that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{B_{\rho^{k+1}R}(x_0)} D\mathbf{u} dx \right| \\ & = \left| \sum_{i=0}^k \left( \int_{B_{\rho^{i+1}R}(x_0)} D\mathbf{u} dx - \int_{B_{\rho^i R}(x_0)} D\mathbf{u} dx \right) + \int_{B_R(x_0)} D\mathbf{u} dx \right| \\ & \leq \rho^{-2} \sum_{i=0}^k \int_{B_{\rho^i R}(x_0)} |D\mathbf{u} - (D\mathbf{u})_{B_{\rho^i R}(x_0)}| dx + \left| \int_{B_R(x_0)} D\mathbf{u} dx \right| \\ & \leq \frac{2}{\rho^2} \int_{B_R(x_0)} (\mu + |D\mathbf{u} - (D\mathbf{u})_{B_R(x_0)}|) dx + C \int_0^{2R} \left( \int_{B_\varrho(x_0)} |\mathbf{F} - (\mathbf{F})_{B_\varrho(x_0)}|^{p'} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{d\varrho}{\varrho} \\ & \quad + C \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} [\mathcal{A}]_{\text{BMO}}^{\frac{\sigma}{p}}(\rho^i R) \left| \int_{B_{\rho^i R}(x_0)} D\mathbf{u} dx \right| + \left| \int_{B_R(x_0)} D\mathbf{u} dx \right| \\ & \leq C \int_{B_R(x_0)} (\mu + |D\mathbf{u}|) dx + C \int_0^{2R} \left( \int_{B_\varrho(x_0)} |\mathbf{F} - (\mathbf{F})_{B_\varrho(x_0)}|^{p'} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{d\varrho}{\varrho} \\ & \quad + C \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} [\mathcal{A}]_{\text{BMO}}^{\frac{\sigma}{p}}(\rho^i R) \left| \int_{B_{\rho^i R}(x_0)} D\mathbf{u} dx \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

Setting the notation

$$M := \int_{B_R(x_0)} (\mu + |D\mathbf{u}|) dx + \int_0^{2R} \left( \int_{B_\varrho(x_0)} |\mathbf{F} - (\mathbf{F})_{B_\varrho(x_0)}|^{p'} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{d\varrho}{\varrho},$$

we claim that the following uniform estimate

$$\left| \int_{B_{\rho^{k+1}R}(x_0)} D\mathbf{u} dx \right| \leq C(p, \nu, L)M \quad (4.17)$$

holds for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

The proof of this claim is based on the method of induction. Obviously, the estimate for the case of  $k = 0$  is trivial. We assume that (4.17) is true for all  $k \leq k_0$ , and then verify the case of  $k = k_0 + 1$ . In terms of (4.16), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{B_{\rho^{k_0+2}R}(x_0)} D\mathbf{u} \, dx \right| &\leq CM + C \sum_{i=0}^{k_0} [\mathcal{A}]_{\text{BMO}}^{\frac{\hat{\alpha}}{p}}(\rho^i R) \left| \int_{B_{\rho^i R}(x_0)} D\mathbf{u} \, dx \right| \\ &\leq CM + CM \sum_{i=0}^{k_0} [\mathcal{A}]_{\text{BMO}}^{\frac{\hat{\alpha}}{p}}(\rho^i R). \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

Applying the fact that  $[\mathcal{A}]_{\text{BMO}}(\cdot)$  is non-decreasing,  $\rho \in (0, \frac{1}{4}]$  and the definition of  $d(\cdot)$  in (1.3), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^{k_0} [\mathcal{A}]_{\text{BMO}}^{\frac{\hat{\alpha}}{p}}(\rho^i R) &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} [\mathcal{A}]_{\text{BMO}}^{\frac{\hat{\alpha}}{p}}(\rho^i R) \\ &= \frac{1}{\ln 2} \int_R^{2R} \left( [\mathcal{A}]_{\text{BMO}}^{\frac{\hat{\alpha}}{p}}(\varrho) \right) \frac{d\varrho}{\varrho} + \frac{1}{\ln \frac{1}{\rho}} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{\rho^i R}^{\rho^{i-1}R} \left( [\mathcal{A}]_{\text{BMO}}^{\frac{\hat{\alpha}}{p}}(\sigma^i R) \right) \frac{d\varrho}{\varrho} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\ln 2} \int_R^{2R} \left( [\mathcal{A}]_{\text{BMO}}^{\frac{\hat{\alpha}}{p}}(\varrho) \right) \frac{d\varrho}{\varrho} + \frac{1}{\ln \frac{1}{\rho}} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{\rho^i R}^{\rho^{i-1}R} \left( [\mathcal{A}]_{\text{BMO}}^{\frac{\hat{\alpha}}{p}}(\varrho) \right) \frac{d\varrho}{\varrho} \\ &\leq \left( \frac{1}{\ln 2} + \frac{1}{\ln 4} \right) \int_0^{2R} \left( [\mathcal{A}]_{\text{BMO}}^{\frac{\hat{\alpha}}{p}}(\varrho) \right) \frac{d\varrho}{\varrho} \\ &\leq \frac{2}{\ln 2} d(2R). \end{aligned}$$

We further restrict the value of  $R_0$  such that

$$\frac{2}{\ln 2} d(2R_0) \leq 1.$$

By virtue of the monotonicity of  $d(\cdot)$ , we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k_0} [\mathcal{A}]_{\text{BMO}}^{\frac{\hat{\alpha}}{p}}(\rho^i R) \leq 1 \quad (4.19)$$

for any  $R \leq R_0$ . Thus the above argument implies that

$$\left| \int_{B_{\rho^{k_0+2}R}(x_0)} D\mathbf{u} \, dx \right| \leq CM.$$

Hence, the assertion (4.17) holds whenever  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

In the sequel, by applying this claim to (4.15), and combining (4.19) with (??) and (2.4), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{i=1}^k \left[ \int_{B_{\rho^i R}(x_0)} \left| D\mathbf{u} - (D\mathbf{u})_{B_{\rho^i R}(x_0)} \right| dx + \left( \int_{B_{\rho^i R}(x_0)} \left| \pi - (\pi)_{B_{\rho^i R}(x_0)} \right| dx \right)^{\frac{p'}{p}} \right] \\ &\leq C \int_{B_R(x_0)} (\mu + |D\mathbf{u}|) dx + C \int_0^{2R} \left( \int_{B_\varrho(x_0)} |\mathbf{F} - (\mathbf{F})_{B_\varrho(x_0)}|^{p'} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{d\varrho}{\varrho}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

where  $C$  depends only on  $p, \nu, L$  and  $[\mathcal{A}]_{\text{BMO}}(\cdot)$ . We pass to the limit as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  in (4.20) to derive

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left[ \int_{B_{\rho^i R}(x_0)} |D\mathbf{u} - (D\mathbf{u})_{B_{\rho^i R}(x_0)}| dx + \left( \int_{B_{\rho^i R}(x_0)} |\pi - (\pi)_{B_{\rho^i R}(x_0)}| dx \right)^{\frac{p'}{p}} \right] \\ & \leq C \int_{B_R(x_0)} (\mu + |D\mathbf{u}|) dx + C \int_0^{2R} \left( \int_{B_\varrho(x_0)} |\mathbf{F} - (\mathbf{F})_{B_\varrho(x_0)}|^{p'} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{d\varrho}{\varrho}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.21)$$

And then, let  $k \rightarrow \infty$  in (4.11) and (4.12), we combine the Lebesgue differentiation Theorem with (4.21) to conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| D\mathbf{u}(x_0) - \int_{B_R(x_0)} D\mathbf{u} dx \right| + \left| \pi(x_0) - \int_{B_R(x_0)} \pi dx \right|^{\frac{p'}{p}} \\ & \leq \rho^{-2} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left| \int_{B_{\rho^i R}(x_0)} (D\mathbf{u} - (D\mathbf{u})_{B_{\rho^i R}(x_0)}) dx \right| + \rho^{-\frac{2p'}{p}} C(p) \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left| \int_{B_{\rho^i R}(x_0)} (\pi - (\pi)_{B_{\rho^i R}(x_0)}) dx \right|^{\frac{p'}{p}} \\ & \leq C \int_{B_R(x_0)} (\mu + |D\mathbf{u}|) dx + C \left( \int_{B_R(x_0)} |\pi| dx \right)^{\frac{p'}{p}} + C \int_0^{2R} \left( \int_{B_\varrho(x_0)} |\mathbf{F} - (\mathbf{F})_{B_\varrho(x_0)}|^{p'} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{d\varrho}{\varrho} \end{aligned}$$

for almost every  $x_0 \in \Omega$ . As a consequence of the above inequalities, we finally derive the following pointwise estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & |D\mathbf{u}(x_0)| + |\pi(x_0)|^{\frac{p'}{p}} \\ & \leq C \int_{B_R(x_0)} (\mu + |D\mathbf{u}|) dx + C \left( \int_{B_R(x_0)} |\pi| dx \right)^{\frac{p'}{p}} + C \int_0^{2R} \left( \int_{B_\varrho(x_0)} |\mathbf{F} - (\mathbf{F})_{B_\varrho(x_0)}|^{p'} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{d\varrho}{\varrho} \end{aligned}$$

holds for almost every  $x_0 \in \Omega$  and every  $B_{2R}(x_0) \subset \Omega$  with  $R \leq R_0$ , where the positive constant  $C = C(\nu, L, p, [\mathcal{A}]_{\text{BMO}}(\cdot))$  and the radius  $R_0 = R_0(\nu, L, p, d(\cdot))$ . Thus we complete the proof of Theorem 1.4.  $\square$

Subsequently, it is devoted to establish a nonlinear potential estimate for the weak solution to (1.1) with  $p \geq 2$  in higher dimensions. Note that there is no extra regularity assumption on the partial map  $x \mapsto \mathcal{A}(x, \cdot)$  from now on.

*Proof of Theorem 1.7.* By proceeding similarly as in the proof of Lemma 2.7, we derive the following Caccioppoli inequality

$$\int_{B_R(x_0)} |D\mathbf{v}|^p dx \leq \frac{C}{R^p} \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} |\mathbf{v} - (\mathbf{v})_{B_{2R}(x_0)}|^p dx + C\mu^p, \quad (4.22)$$

where  $C = C(n, p, \nu, L)$ . Applying the Sobolev-Poincaré inequality introduced in [7, Theorem 7] and combining with Korn's inequality (2.3), Hölder's inequality, (2.4) and (4.22), one can find a weak solution pair  $(\mathbf{v}, \pi_{\mathbf{v}})$  to (2.5) with  $(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{v})_{B_R(x_0)} = 0$  such that

$$\left( \int_{B_R(x_0)} |\mathbf{v} - (\mathbf{v})_{B_R(x_0)}|^s dx \right)^{\frac{1}{s}}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq C(n, p)R \left( \int_{B_R(x_0)} |\nabla \mathbf{v}|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&\leq CR \left[ \left( \int_{B_R(x_0)} |\nabla \mathbf{v} - (\nabla \mathbf{v})_{B_R(x_0)}|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \left| \int_{B_R(x_0)} \nabla \mathbf{v} dx \right| \right] \\
&= CR \left[ \left( \int_{B_R(x_0)} |\nabla \mathbf{v} - (\nabla \mathbf{v})_{B_R(x_0)}|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \left| \int_{B_R(x_0)} D\mathbf{v} dx \right| \right] \\
&\leq CR \left( \int_{B_R(x_0)} |D\mathbf{v}|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&\leq C \left( \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} |\mathbf{v} - (\mathbf{v})_{B_{2R}(x_0)}|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + CR\mu
\end{aligned} \tag{4.23}$$

for some  $s > p$ , where  $\mathbf{W}\mathbf{v} = \frac{\nabla \mathbf{v} - (\nabla \mathbf{v})^T}{2}$  and  $C = C(n, p, \nu, L)$ . Thus, it follows from Lemma 2.2 and Hölder's inequality that

$$\left( \int_{B_R(x_0)} |\mathbf{v} - (\mathbf{v})_{B_R(x_0)}|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} |\mathbf{v} - (\mathbf{v})_{B_{2R}(x_0)}| dx + CR\mu. \tag{4.24}$$

Furthermore, we claim that the following Campanato-type decay estimate for  $\mathbf{v}$  holds

$$\left( \int_{B_{vR}(x_0)} |\mathbf{v} - (\mathbf{v})_{B_{vR}(x_0)}|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq Cv^\gamma \left( \int_{B_R(x_0)} |\mathbf{v} - (\mathbf{v})_{B_R(x_0)}|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + CR\mu \tag{4.25}$$

for any  $v \in (0, 1]$  and some  $\gamma = \gamma(n, p, \nu, L) > 0$ , where  $C = C(n, \lambda, \Lambda, p)$ . In fact, we only need to show that it holds for  $v \in (0, \frac{1}{4})$ , since the analogous inequality for  $v \in [\frac{1}{4}, 1]$  is trivial. Now using the same argument as in the estimates of (4.23) and combining with the higher integrability result (3.25) for  $D\mathbf{v}$  to yield that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left( \int_{B_{vR}(x_0)} |\mathbf{v} - (\mathbf{v})_{B_{vR}(x_0)}|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} &\leq C(n, p)vR \left( \int_{B_{vR}(x_0)} |\nabla \mathbf{v}|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&\leq CvR \left( \int_{B_{vR}(x_0)} |D\mathbf{v}|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&\leq Cv^{1-\frac{n}{\theta}} R \left( \int_{B_{\frac{1}{4}R}(x_0)} |D\mathbf{v}|^\theta dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\theta}} \\
&\leq Cv^{1-\frac{n}{\theta}} R \left( \int_{B_{\frac{1}{2}R}(x_0)} |D\mathbf{v}|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&\leq Cv^{1-\frac{n}{\theta}} \left( \int_{B_R(x_0)} |\mathbf{v} - (\mathbf{v})_{B_R(x_0)}|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + CR\mu.
\end{aligned}$$

Then the desired estimate (4.25) holds for  $v \in (0, \frac{1}{4})$ , which is ensured by  $p \leq n < \theta$ .

In the sequel, our intention is to derive the Campanato type decay estimate for the weak solution  $\mathbf{u}$  to (1.1). Applying Hölder's inequality, (2.4), (3.2), (4.25) and (4.24), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left( \int_{B_{vR}(x_0)} |\mathbf{u} - (\mathbf{u})_{B_{vR}(x_0)}| dx \right)^p \\
& \leq C(p) \int_{B_{vR}(x_0)} |\mathbf{u} - (\mathbf{v})_{B_{vR}(x_0)}|^p dx \\
& \leq C(n, p) v^{-n} \int_{B_R(x_0)} |\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}|^p dx + C(p) \int_{B_{vR}(x_0)} |\mathbf{v} - (\mathbf{v})_{B_{vR}(x_0)}|^p dx \\
& \leq C v^{-n} R^p \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} \left( \mu^p + |\mathbf{F} - (\mathbf{F})_{B_{2R}(x_0)}|^{p'} \right) dx + C v^{p\gamma} \int_{B_R(x_0)} |\mathbf{v} - (\mathbf{v})_{B_R(x_0)}|^p dx \\
& \leq C v^{-n} R^p \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} \left( \mu^p + |\mathbf{F} - (\mathbf{F})_{B_{2R}(x_0)}|^{p'} \right) dx + C v^{p\gamma} \left( \int_{B_R(x_0)} (|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}| + |\mathbf{u} - (\mathbf{u})_{B_{2R}(x_0)}|) dx \right)^p \\
& \leq C v^{p\gamma} \left( \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} |\mathbf{u} - (\mathbf{u})_{B_{2R}(x_0)}| dx \right)^p + C_v R^p \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} \left( \mu^p + |\mathbf{F} - (\mathbf{F})_{B_{2R}(x_0)}|^{p'} \right) dx
\end{aligned}$$

for  $p \geq 2$ , here  $C = C(n, p, \nu, L)$  and  $C_v = C(n, p, \nu, L, v)$ . Then we conclude that

$$\int_{B_{vR}(x_0)} |\mathbf{u} - (\mathbf{u})_{B_{vR}(x_0)}| dx \leq C v^\gamma \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} |\mathbf{u} - (\mathbf{u})_{B_{2R}(x_0)}| dx + C_v R \left( \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} \left( \mu^p + |\mathbf{F}|^{p'} \right) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

The subsequent proof goes exactly as that of Theorem 1.4. It suffices to reestimate the integral term involving  $\mathbf{F}$  as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} v^i R \left( \int_{B_{v^i R}(x_0)} \left( \mu^p + |\mathbf{F}|^{p'} \right) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \leq R \left( \int_{B_R(x_0)} \left( \mu^p + |\mathbf{F}|^{p'} \right) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} v^i R \left( \int_{B_{v^i R}(x_0)} \left( \mu^p + |\mathbf{F}|^{p'} \right) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& = \frac{1}{\ln 2} \int_R^{2R} R \left( \int_{B_R(x_0)} \left( \mu^p + |\mathbf{F}|^{p'} \right) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{d\varrho}{\varrho} + \frac{1}{\ln \frac{1}{v}} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{v^i R}^{v^{i-1} R} v^i R \left( \int_{B_{v^i R}(x_0)} \left( \mu^p + |\mathbf{F}|^{p'} \right) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{d\varrho}{\varrho} \\
& \leq \frac{2^{\frac{n}{p}}}{\ln 2} \int_R^{2R} \varrho \left( \int_{B_\varrho(x_0)} \left( \mu^p + |\mathbf{F}|^{p'} \right) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{d\varrho}{\varrho} + \frac{1}{v^{\frac{n}{p}} \ln \frac{1}{v}} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{v^i R}^{v^{i-1} R} \varrho \left( \int_{B_\varrho(x_0)} \left( \mu^p + |\mathbf{F}|^{p'} \right) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{d\varrho}{\varrho} \\
& \leq C(n, p, \nu, L) \int_0^{2R} \left( \int_{B_\varrho(x_0)} \left( \mu^p + |\mathbf{F}|^{p'} \right) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} d\varrho \\
& = C \mathbf{W}_{\frac{p}{p+1}, p+1}^{2R} \left( \mu^p + |\mathbf{F}|^{p'} \right) (x_0).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we deduce the desired zero order pointwise estimate (1.5), which completes the proof of Theorem 1.7.  $\square$

## Acknowledgments

The authors are very grateful to Professor G. Mingione for suggesting this interesting problem to us. The authors are supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NNSF Grant

No. 12071229 and No. 12001333), and Shandong Provincial Natural Science Foundation (Grant No. ZR2020QA005).

## References

- [1] G. Acosta, R. G. Durán, and M. A. Muschietti, *Solutions of the divergence operator on John domains*, Adv. Math. 206 (2006), 373–401.
- [2] L. Beck and G. Mingione, *Lipschitz Bounds and Nonuniform Ellipticity*, Commun. Pure Appl. Math. 73 (2020), 944–1033.
- [3] V. Bögelein and J. Habermann, *Gradient estimates via non standard potentials and continuity*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. 35 (2010), 641–678.
- [4] M. E. Bogovskiĭ, *Solution of the first boundary value problem for an equation of continuity of an incompressible medium*, Soviet Math. Dokl. 20 (1979), 1094–1098.
- [5] S. S. Byun and N. Cho, *Global estimates of Generalized Non-Newtonian Stokes systems on non-smooth domains*, arXiv:1903.06196, (2019).
- [6] A. Cianchi and S. Schwarzacher, *Potential estimates for the  $p$ -Laplace system with data in divergence form*, J. Differential Equations 265 (2018), 478–499.
- [7] L. Diening and F. Ettwein, *Fractional estimates for non-differentiable elliptic systems with general growth*, Forum Math. 20 (2008), 523–556.
- [8] L. Diening and P. Kaplický,  *$L^q$  theory for a generalized Stokes system*, Manuscripta Math. 141 (2013), 333–361.
- [9] L. Diening, P. Kaplický, and S. Schwarzacher, *BMO estimates for the  $p$ -Laplacian*, Nonlinear Anal. 75 (2012), 637–650.
- [10] L. Diening, P. Kaplický, and S. Schwarzacher, *Campanato estimates for the generalized Stokes system*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 193 (2014), 1779–1794
- [11] L. Diening, M. Růžička, and K. Schumacher, *A decomposition technique for John domains*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. 35 (2010), 87–114.
- [12] F. Duzaar and G. Mingione, *Gradient continuity estimates*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 39 (2010), 379–418.
- [13] F. Duzaar and G. Mingione, *Gradient estimates via linear and nonlinear potentials*, J. Funct. Anal. 259 (2010), 2961–2998.
- [14] F. Duzaar and G. Mingione, *Gradient estimates via non-linear potentials*, Amer. J. Math. 133 (2011), 1093–1149.
- [15] E. Giusti, *Direct methods in the calculus of variations*, World Scientific, Singapore, 2003.
- [16] L. Hedberg and Th. H. Wolff, *Thin sets in Nonlinear Potential Theory*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 33 (1983), 161–187.

- [17] T. Kilpeläinen and J. Malý, *Degenerate elliptic equations with measure data and nonlinear potentials*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. 19 (1992), 591–613.
- [18] T. Kilpeläinen and J. Malý, *The Wiener test and potential estimates for quasilinear elliptic equations*, Acta Math. 172 (1994), 137–161.
- [19] H. Koch and D. Tataru, *Well-posedness for the Navier-Stokes equations*, Adv. Math. 157 (2001), 22–35.
- [20] T. Kuusi and G. Mingione, *Universal potential estimates*, J. Funct. Anal. 262 (2012), 4205–4269.
- [21] T. Kuusi and G. Mingione, *A nonlinear Stein theorem*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 51 (2014), 45–86.
- [22] T. Kuusi, G. Mingione, and Y. Sire, *Nonlocal equations with measure data*, Comm. Math. Phys. 337 (2015), 1317–1368.
- [23] T. Kuusi and G. Mingione, *Vectorial nonlinear potential theory*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. 20 (2018), 929–1004.
- [24] D. Labutin, *Potential estimates for a class of fully nonlinear elliptic equations*, Duke Math. J. 111 (2002), 1–49.
- [25] T. Lukkari, F. Maeda and N. Marola *Wolff potential estimates for elliptic equations with non-standard growth and applications*, Forum Math. 22 (2010), 1061–1087.
- [26] V. G. Maz’ja and V. P. Havin, *A nonlinear potential theory*, Uspehi Mat. Nauk 27 (1972), 67–138.
- [27] L. Ma and Z. Q. Zhang, *Wolff type potential estimates for stationary stokes systems with Dini-BMO coefficients*, Commun. Contemp. Math. <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219199720500649>.
- [28] G. Mingione, *Gradient potential estimates*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. 13 (2011), 459–486.
- [29] C. Mooney and O. Savin, *Some singular minimizers in low dimensions in the calculus of variations*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 221 (2016), 1–22.
- [30] N. S. Trudinger and X. J. Wang, *On the weak continuity of elliptic operators and applications to potential theory*, Amer. J. Math. 124 (2002), 369–410.
- [31] F. Zhou, Z. Q. Zhang and L. W. Ma, *Potential estimates of superquadratic elliptic systems with VMO coefficients in Reifenberg domains*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 477 (2019), 805–843.
- [32] Q. Xiong and Z. Q. Zhang, *Gradient potential estimates for elliptic problems*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 495 (2021), 124698.