

Bidual extensions of Riesz multimorphisms

Geraldo Botelho* and Luis Alberto Garcia†

Abstract

We prove that all Arens extensions of finite rank Riesz multimorphisms taking values in Archimedean Riesz spaces coincide and are Riesz multimorphisms. Partial results for arbitrary Riesz multimorphisms are obtained. We also prove that, for a class of Banach lattices F , which includes $F = c_0, \ell_p, c_0(\ell_p), \ell_p(c_0), \ell_p(\ell_s), 1 < p, s < \infty$, among many others, all Aron-Berner extensions of F -valued Riesz multimorphisms between Banach lattices are Riesz multimorphisms.

1 Introduction

It is well known that the second adjoint of a Riesz homomorphism u between Riesz spaces or Banach lattices is a bidual extension of u and a Riesz homomorphism as well. For multilinear operators, bidual extensions of bilinear operators between normed spaces were first considered by Arens [4]. In this paper we investigate if Arens extensions of Riesz multimorphisms between Riesz spaces or Banach lattices are Riesz multimorphisms.

By E^\sim we denote the order dual of a Riesz space E , hence $E^{\sim\sim} = (E^\sim)^\sim$ denotes its second order dual. If E is a Banach lattice, E^* denotes its topological dual, hence E^{**} stands for its bidual. The symbols $(E^\sim)_n^\sim$ and $(E^*)_n^*$ stand for the corresponding subspaces formed by the order continuous functionals.

Given Banach lattices E_1, E_2, F , and a Riesz bimorphism $A: E_1 \times E_2 \longrightarrow F$, Scheffold [23] proved that the restriction of the Arens extension $A^{***}: E_1^{**} \times E_2^{**} \longrightarrow F^{**}$ to $(E_1^*)_n^* \times (E_2^*)_n^*$ is a Riesz bimorphism. More recently, Boulabiar, Buskes and Page [7] proved that, given Archimedean Riesz spaces E_1, E_2, F and a Riesz bimorphism $A: E_1 \times E_2 \longrightarrow F$, the restriction of the Arens extension $A^{***}: E_1^{\sim\sim} \times E_2^{\sim\sim} \longrightarrow F^{\sim\sim}$ to $(E_1^\sim)_n^\sim \times (E_2^\sim)_n^\sim$ is a Riesz bimorphism. Multilinear versions of such extensions in the Banach space setting have been studied for a long time (see, e.g. [14]), usually under the name of Aron-Berner extensions [5]. In the lattice environment, an Arens extension $A^{*(m+1)}: E_1^{\sim\sim} \times \cdots \times E_m^{\sim\sim} \longrightarrow F^{\sim\sim}$ of a regular m -linear operator $A: E_1 \times \cdots \times E_m \longrightarrow F$ between Riesz spaces was studied by Buskes and Roberts [10], and the corresponding Aron-Berner extension $A^{*(m+1)}: E_1^{**} \times \cdots \times E_m^{**} \longrightarrow F^{**}$ of a regular m -linear operator $A: E_1 \times \cdots \times E_m \longrightarrow F$ between Banach lattices was studied by Boyd, Ryan and Snigireva [8]. In the perspective of these very

*Supported by CNPq Grant 304262/2018-8 and Fapemig Grant PPM-00450-17.

†Supported by a CAPES scholarship.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 46A40, 46B40, 46B42, 46G25.

Keywords: Riesz spaces, Riesz multimorphism, Arens extension, Aron-Berner extension, Banach lattices.

recent developments, it is natural to address the generalization of the results of Scheffold and Boulabiar et al. in three directions: considering m -linear operators, $m \in \mathbb{N}$; taking into account all $m!$ Arens extensions of an m -linear operator; investigating if the extensions of Riesz multimorphisms are Riesz multimorphisms on the product of the whole biduals, and not only on the product of the corresponding restrictions. More precisely, the general problems are the following:

- (i) Given an m -linear Riesz multimorphism $A: E_1 \times \cdots \times E_m \longrightarrow F$ between Riesz spaces, are all $m!$ Arens extensions of A Riesz multimorphisms on $E_1^{\sim\sim} \times \cdots \times E_m^{\sim\sim}$?
- (ii) Given an m -linear Riesz multimorphism $A: E_1 \times \cdots \times E_m \longrightarrow F$ between Banach lattices, are all $m!$ Aron-Berner extensions of A Riesz multimorphisms on $E_1^{**} \times \cdots \times E_m^{**}$?

In order to tackle these problems, we develop in Section 2 the theory of Arens extensions of regular multilinear operators on Riesz spaces following the approach Cabello Sánchez, García and Villanueva [11] applied for Banach spaces. We obtain, in particular, an analogue of the Davie and Gamelin [13] description of the Aron-Berner extensions on Banach spaces for the Arens extensions on Riesz spaces, where the weak-star topology is replaced with the weak absolute topology. Using the approach and the results of Section 2, we prove the results on extensions of Riesz multimorphisms in Section 3. First we extend the aforementioned result due Boulabiar et al., with a different technique, to the multilinear case and to all different Arens extensions of a Riesz multimorphism. Then we show that question (i) holds true for finite rank Riesz multimorphisms taking values in Archimedean Riesz spaces. Moreover, we prove that all Arens extensions of such a Riesz multimorphism coincide. Some partial results for the general case, which will be helpful later, are also proved. Finally we prove that question (ii) holds true for Riesz multimorphisms taking values in a class of Banach lattices F which includes, for instance, $F = c_0, \ell_p$, Tsirelson's original space T^* , its dual T , Schreier's space S , the predual $d_*(w, 1)$ of the Lorenz sequence space $d(w, 1)$, $c_0(E)$ and $\ell_p(E)$ where $1 < p < \infty$ and $E = c_0, \ell_s, 1 < s < \infty, T^*, T, S, d_*(w, 1)$.

As usual, by $J_E: E \longrightarrow E^{\sim\sim}$ we denote the canonical operator ($J_E(x)(x'') = x''(x)$), which happens to be a Riesz homomorphism. If E is a Banach space, $J_E: E \longrightarrow E^{**}$ is the canonical embedding. Given Riesz spaces E_1, \dots, E_m, F , the space of regular m -linear operators from $E_1 \times \cdots \times E_m$ to F is denoted by $\mathcal{L}_r(E_1, \dots, E_m; F)$. When F is the scalar field we write $\mathcal{L}_r(E_1, \dots, E_m)$. Recall that a Riesz multimorphism is an operator $A \in \mathcal{L}_r(E_1, \dots, E_m; F)$ such that

$$|A(x_1, \dots, x_m)| = A(|x_1|, \dots, |x_m|)$$

for all $x_1 \in E_1, \dots, x_m \in E_m$ (details can be found in [6]). For the theory of regular multilinear operators we refer to [9, 19].

2 Arens extensions of regular multilinear operators

In this section we apply the method of Cabello Sánchez, García and Villanueva [11] to construct the $m!$ Arens extensions of a regular m -linear operator. Given $m \in \mathbb{N}$, by S_m we denote the set of permutations of $\{1, \dots, m\}$. Given Riesz spaces E_1, \dots, E_m , a

permutation $\rho \in S_m$ and $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, we fix the following notation:

$$E_1, \dots, \rho(1)E, \dots, \rho(k-1)E, \dots, E_m = \begin{cases} E_1, \dots, E_m \text{ in this order} & \text{if } k = 1, \\ E_1, \dots, E_m \text{ in this order, where} & \\ E_{\rho(1)}, \dots, E_{\rho(k-1)} \text{ are removed} & \text{if } k = 2, \dots, m. \end{cases}$$

For instance, $(E_1, {}_2E, E_3) = (E_1, E_3)$. The same procedure defines the $(m - k + 1)$ -tuple $(x_1, \dots, \rho(1)x, \dots, \rho(k-1)x, \dots, x_m)$ and the cartesian product $E_1 \times \dots \times \rho(1)E \times \dots \times \rho(k-1)E \times \dots \times E_m$. Moreover, for $k = 1, \dots, m - 1$, we write

$$E_1, \dots, \rho(1)E, \dots, \rho(k)E, \dots, E_m = E_1, \dots, E_m$$

in this order, where $E_{\rho(1)}, \dots, E_{\rho(k)}$ are removed. In the same fashion we define the $(m - k)$ -tuple $(x_1, \dots, \rho(1)x, \dots, \rho(k)x, \dots, x_m)$ and the corresponding cartesian product.

Finally, for $k = m$ we write $\mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, \rho(1)E, \dots, \rho(k)E, \dots, E_m; \mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}$.

Let $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, a permutation $\rho \in S_m$, Riesz spaces E_1, \dots, E_m and an operator $A \in \mathcal{L}_r(E_1, \dots, \rho(1)E, \dots, \rho(k-1)E, \dots, E_m)$ be given. For $x_r \in E_r, r \in \{1, \dots, m\} \setminus \{\rho(1), \dots, \rho(k)\}$, consider the linear functionals

$$A(x_1, \dots, \rho(1)x, \dots, \rho(k)x; \bullet; \dots, x_m): E_{\rho(k)} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R},$$

$$A(x_1, \dots, \rho(1)x, \dots, \rho(k)x; \bullet; \dots, x_m)(x_{\rho(k)}) = A(x_1, \dots, \rho(1)x, \dots, \rho(k-1)x, \dots, x_m), \quad (1)$$

where the dot \bullet is placed at the $\rho(k)$ -th coordinate. Observe that for $k = m$ we have $A(x_1, \dots, \rho(1)x, \dots, \rho(m)x; \bullet; \dots, x_m) = A \in E_{\rho(m)}^{\sim\sim}$. These are called functionals associated to the operator A .

Proposition 2.1. *Let E_1, \dots, E_m be Riesz spaces, $\rho \in S_m$, $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $x''_{\rho(k)} \in E_{\rho(k)}^{\sim\sim}$. The operator*

$$\overline{x''_{\rho(k)}{}^\rho}: \mathcal{L}_r(E_1, \dots, \rho(1)E, \dots, \rho(k-1)E, \dots, E_m) \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_r(E_1, \dots, \rho(1)E, \dots, \rho(k)E, \dots, E_m),$$

$$\overline{x''_{\rho(k)}{}^\rho}(A)(x_1, \dots, \rho(1)x, \dots, \rho(k)x, \dots, x_m) = x''_{\rho(k)}(A(x_1, \dots, \rho(1)x, \dots, \rho(k)x; \bullet; \dots, x_m)),$$

is linear, regular and $\left| \overline{x''_{\rho(k)}{}^\rho} \right| \leq \overline{|x''_{\rho(k)}|}^\rho$. Furthermore, if $0 \leq x''_{\rho(k)} \in E_{\rho(k)}^{\sim\sim}$ then the operator $\overline{x''_{\rho(k)}{}^\rho}$ is positive.

Proof. It is easy to see that, for each $A \in \mathcal{L}_r(E_1, \dots, \rho(1)E, \dots, \rho(k-1)E, \dots, E_m)$, $\overline{x''_{\rho(k)}{}^\rho}(A)$ is $(m - k)$ -linear. Let $A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, \dots, \rho(1)E, \dots, \rho(k-1)E, \dots, E_m)$ be positive operators such that $A = A_1 - A_2$. For every $x_j \in E_j, j \in \{1, \dots, m\} \setminus \{\rho(1), \dots, \rho(k)\}$, call

$$y = (x_1, \dots, \rho(1)x, \dots, \rho(k)x, \dots, x_m) \in E_1 \times \dots \times \rho(1)E \times \dots \times \rho(k)E \times \dots \times E_m,$$

$\varphi = A(x_1, \dots, \rho(1)x, \dots, \rho(k)x; \bullet; \dots, x_m)$ and $\varphi_i = A_i(x_1, \dots, \rho(1)x, \dots, \rho(k)x; \bullet; \dots, x_m)$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then $\varphi = \varphi_1 - \varphi_2$ because $A = A_1 - A_2$, therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{x''_{\rho(k)}{}^\rho}(A)(y) &= x''_{\rho(k)}(\varphi) = ((x''_{\rho(k)})^+ - (x''_{\rho(k)})^-)(\varphi) = (x''_{\rho(k)}^+)(\varphi) - (x''_{\rho(k)}^-)(\varphi) \\ &= ((x''_{\rho(k)}^+)(\varphi_1) + (x''_{\rho(k)}^-)(\varphi_2)) - ((x''_{\rho(k)}^+)(\varphi_2) + (x''_{\rho(k)}^-)(\varphi_1)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left(\overline{(x''_{\rho(k)})^{+\rho}}(A_1)(y) + \overline{(x''_{\rho(k)})^{-\rho}}(A_2)(y) \right) - \left(\overline{(x''_{\rho(k)})^{+\rho}}(A_2)(y) + \overline{(x''_{\rho(k)})^{-\rho}}(A_1)(y) \right) \\
&= \left(\overline{(x''_{\rho(k)})^{+\rho}}(A_1) + \overline{(x''_{\rho(k)})^{-\rho}}(A_2) \right)(y) - \left(\overline{(x''_{\rho(k)})^{+\rho}}(A_2) + \overline{(x''_{\rho(k)})^{-\rho}}(A_1) \right)(y),
\end{aligned}$$

proving that

$$\overline{x''_{\rho(k)}^\rho}(A) = \underbrace{\left(\overline{(x''_{\rho(k)})^{+\rho}}(A_1) + \overline{(x''_{\rho(k)})^{-\rho}}(A_2) \right)}_{:=T_1} - \underbrace{\left(\overline{(x''_{\rho(k)})^{+\rho}}(A_2) + \overline{(x''_{\rho(k)})^{-\rho}}(A_1) \right)}_{:=T_2}.$$

It is plain that T_1 and T_2 are $(m - k)$ -linear operators. For $x_j \in E_j^+$, $j \in \{1, \dots, m\} \setminus \{\rho(1), \dots, \rho(k)\}$, the functionals associated to A_1 and A_2 ,

$$A_i(x_1, \dots, \rho(1)x, \dots, \rho(k)x; \bullet; \dots, x_m) : E_{\rho(k)} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

are positive. Since $(x''_{\rho(k)})^+$ and $(x''_{\rho(k)})^-$ are positive functionals, we have, for $i = 1, 2$, $(x''_{\rho(k)})^\pm(A_i(x_1, \dots, \rho(1)x, \dots, \rho(k)x; \bullet; \dots, x_m))$ are positive in \mathbb{R} for $i = 1, 2$. It follows that $\overline{(x''_{\rho(k)})^\pm}^\rho(A_i)(x_1, \dots, \rho(1)x, \dots, \rho(k)x, \dots, x_m)$ are positive, so $\overline{(x''_{\rho(k)})^{+\rho}}(A_i) \geq 0$ and $\overline{(x''_{\rho(k)})^{-\rho}}(A_i) \geq 0$, $i = 1, 2$. We conclude that $T_i \geq 0$, $i = 1, 2$, which proves that $\overline{x''_{\rho(k)}^\rho}(A)$ is regular, that is, $\overline{x''_{\rho(k)}^\rho}$ is well defined. The linearity is clear. Now let $A \in \mathcal{L}_r(E_1, \dots, \rho(1)E, \dots, \rho(k-1)E, \dots, E_m)$ be positive and $0 \leq x''_{\rho(k)} \in E_{\rho(k)}^{\sim}$ be given. For all $x_j \in E_r^+$, $j \in \{1, \dots, m\} \setminus \{\rho(1), \dots, \rho(k)\}$, the functionals associated to A are positive, hence

$$0 \leq x''_{\rho(k)}(A(x_1, \dots, \rho(1)x, \dots, \rho(k)x; \bullet; \dots, x_m)) = \overline{x''_{\rho(k)}^\rho}(A)(x_1, \dots, \rho(1)x, \dots, \rho(k)x, \dots, x_m),$$

showing that $\overline{x''_{\rho(k)}^\rho}$ is positive. Note that $\overline{x''_{\rho(k)}^\rho} = \overline{(x''_{\rho(k)})^{+\rho}} - \overline{(x''_{\rho(k)})^{-\rho}}$, so, since $(x''_{\rho(k)})^+, (x''_{\rho(k)})^- \in E_{\rho(k)}^{\sim}$ are positive, by what we did above we get that $\overline{(x''_{\rho(k)})^{+\rho}}$ and $\overline{(x''_{\rho(k)})^{-\rho}}$ are positive functionals, proving that $\overline{x''_{\rho(k)}^\rho}$ is regular.

To finish the proof, let $x_j \in E_j^+$, $j \in \{1, \dots, m\} \setminus \{\rho(1), \dots, \rho(k)\}$ and let $A \in \mathcal{L}_r(E_1, \dots, \rho(1)E, \dots, \rho(k-1)E, \dots, E_m)$ be a positive operator. Since the functionals associated to A are positive,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\overline{x''_{\rho(k)}^\rho}(A)(x_1, \dots, \rho(1)x, \dots, \rho(k)x, \dots, x_m)| &= |x''_{\rho(k)}|(A(x_1, \dots, \rho(1)x, \dots, \rho(k)x; \bullet; \dots, x_m)) \\
&\geq \pm x''_{\rho(k)}(A(x_1, \dots, \rho(1)x, \dots, \rho(k)x; \bullet; \dots, x_m)) \\
&= \pm \left(\overline{x''_{\rho(k)}^\rho} \right)(A)(x_1, \dots, \rho(1)x, \dots, \rho(k)x, \dots, x_m),
\end{aligned}$$

from which we get $|\overline{x''_{\rho(k)}^\rho}|^\rho \geq \pm \left(\overline{x''_{\rho(k)}^\rho} \right)$. It follows that $|\overline{x''_{\rho(k)}^\rho}|^\rho \geq \left| \overline{x''_{\rho(k)}^\rho} \right|$. \square

In [8, 10] the authors applied the technique of Arens [4] to construct a bidual extension of a regular multilinear operators, which we describe now. Given an m -linear operator $A: E_1 \times \dots \times E_m \longrightarrow F$ between Riesz spaces, consider the following m -linear regular operators:

$$\circ A^*: F^\sim \times E_1 \times \dots \times E_{m-1} \longrightarrow E_m^\sim, \quad A^*(y', x_1, \dots, x_{m-1})(x_m) = y'(A(x_1, \dots, x_m)),$$

$$\circ A^{**}: E_m^{\sim} \times F^{\sim} \times E_1 \times \cdots \times E_{m-2} \longrightarrow E_{m-1}^{\sim},$$

$$A^{**}(x_m'', y', x_1, \dots, x_{m-2})(x_{m-1}) = x_m''(A^*(y', x_1, \dots, x_{m-1})),$$

$$\circ A^{***}: E_{m-1}^{\sim} \times E_m^{\sim} \times F^{\sim} \times E_1 \times \cdots \times E_{m-3} \longrightarrow E_{m-2}^{\sim},$$

$$A^{***}(x_{m-1}'', x_m'', y', x_1, \dots, x_{m-3})(x_{m-2}) = x_{m-1}''(A^{**}(x_m'', y', x_1, \dots, x_{m-2})),$$

⋮

$$\circ A^{*(m+1)}: E_1^{\sim} \times \cdots \times E_m^{\sim} \longrightarrow F^{\sim}, A^{*(m+1)}(x_1'', \dots, x_m'')(y') = x_1''(A^{*(m)}(x_2'', \dots, x_m'', y')).$$

By θ we denote the backward shift permutation of $\{1, \dots, m\}$, that is, $\theta(m) = m - 1, \theta(m - 1) = m - 2, \dots, \theta(2) = 1, \theta(1) = m$.

Bearing in mind the operators $\overline{x''_{\rho(k)}}^{\rho}$ of the previous proposition, we define the $m!$ Arens extensions of a regular m -linear operator and prove their basic properties.

Theorem 2.2. *Let E_1, \dots, E_m, F be Riesz spaces, $\rho \in S_m$ and $A: E_1 \times \cdots \times E_m \longrightarrow F$ be an m -linear regular operator. Define $AR_m^{\rho}(A): E_1^{\sim} \times \cdots \times E_m^{\sim} \longrightarrow F^{\sim}$ by*

$$AR_m^{\rho}(A)(x_1'', \dots, x_m'')(y') = (\overline{x''_{\rho(m)}}^{\rho} \circ \cdots \circ \overline{x''_{\rho(1)}}^{\rho})(y' \circ A)$$

for every $y' \in F^{\sim}$. Then:

- (a) $AR_m^{\rho}(A)$ is a regular m -linear operator.
- (b) $AR_m^{\rho}(A)$ extends A in the sense that $AR_m^{\rho}(A) \circ (J_{E_1}, \dots, J_{E_m}) = J_F \circ A$.
- (c) If A is positive, then $AR_m^{\rho}(A)$ is positive.
- (d) $AR_m^{\rho}(A) = A^{*(m+1)}$. In particular, $AR_2^{\rho}(A) = A^{***}$ in the bilinear case $m = 2$.

Proof. Let us see that $AR_m^{\rho}(A)$ is well defined. Given $x_1'' \in E_1^{\sim}, \dots, x_m'' \in E_m^{\sim}$, it is clear that $AR_m^{\rho}(A)(x_1'', \dots, x_m'')$ belongs to the algebraic bidual of F , so it is linear. We have to show that it is regular. Since each E_j^{\sim} is a Riesz space, we have $x_j'' = (x_j'')^+ - (x_j'')^-$, $j = 1, \dots, m$. For each $\xi^m = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) \in \{+1, -1\}^m$, write $P(\xi^m) = \xi_1 \cdots \xi_m \in \{+1, -1\}$. For every $y' \in F^{\sim}$, putting $U_k = \overline{x''_{\rho(m)}}^{\rho} \circ \cdots \circ \overline{x''_{\rho(k)}}^{\rho}$, $k = 2, \dots, m$, and using that $\overline{x''_{\rho(j)}}^{\rho} = (\overline{x''_{\rho(j)}}^{\rho})^+ - (\overline{x''_{\rho(j)}}^{\rho})^- = (\overline{x''_{\rho(j)}}^{\rho})^{+\rho} - (\overline{x''_{\rho(j)}}^{\rho})^{-\rho}$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} AR_m^{\rho}(A)(x_1'', \dots, x_m'')(y') &= (\overline{x''_{\rho(m)}}^{\rho} \circ \cdots \circ \overline{x''_{\rho(1)}}^{\rho})(y' \circ A) = U_2(\overline{x''_{\rho(1)}}^{\rho}(y' \circ A)) \\ &= U_2\left(\left(\overline{x''_{\rho(1)}}^{\rho}\right)^{\rho} - \left(\overline{x''_{\rho(1)}}^{\rho}\right)^{-\rho}\right)(y' \circ A) \\ &= U_2\left(\left(\overline{x''_{\rho(1)}}^{\rho}\right)^{+\rho}(y' \circ A)\right) - U_2\left(\left(\overline{x''_{\rho(1)}}^{\rho}\right)^{-\rho}(y' \circ A)\right) \\ &= U_3\left(\overline{x''_{\rho(2)}}^{\rho}\left(\left(\overline{x''_{\rho(1)}}^{\rho}\right)^{+\rho}(y' \circ A)\right)\right) - U_3\left(\overline{x''_{\rho(2)}}^{\rho}\left(\left(\overline{x''_{\rho(1)}}^{\rho}\right)^{-\rho}(y' \circ A)\right)\right) \\ &= U_3\left(\left(\overline{x''_{\rho(2)}}^{\rho}\right)^{+\rho} - \left(\overline{x''_{\rho(2)}}^{\rho}\right)^{-\rho}\right)\left(\overline{x''_{\rho(1)}}^{\rho}\right)^{+\rho}(y' \circ A) \\ &\quad - U_3\left(\left(\overline{x''_{\rho(2)}}^{\rho}\right)^{+\rho} - \left(\overline{x''_{\rho(2)}}^{\rho}\right)^{-\rho}\right)\left(\overline{x''_{\rho(1)}}^{\rho}\right)^{-\rho}(y' \circ A) \\ &= U_3\left(\left(\overline{x''_{\rho(2)}}^{\rho}\right)^{+\rho}\left(\overline{x''_{\rho(1)}}^{\rho}\right)^{+\rho}(y' \circ A)\right) - U_3\left(\left(\overline{x''_{\rho(2)}}^{\rho}\right)^{-\rho}\left(\overline{x''_{\rho(1)}}^{\rho}\right)^{+\rho}(y' \circ A)\right) \\ &\quad - U_3\left(\left(\overline{x''_{\rho(2)}}^{\rho}\right)^{+\rho}\left(\overline{x''_{\rho(1)}}^{\rho}\right)^{-\rho}(y' \circ A)\right) + U_3\left(\left(\overline{x''_{\rho(2)}}^{\rho}\right)^{-\rho}\left(\overline{x''_{\rho(1)}}^{\rho}\right)^{-\rho}(y' \circ A)\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= U_3 \left(\left(\overline{(x''_{\rho(2)})}^{+\rho} \circ \overline{(x''_{\rho(1)})}^{+\rho} + \overline{(x''_{\rho(2)})}^{-\rho} \circ \overline{(x''_{\rho(1)})}^{-\rho} \right) (y' \circ A) \right) \\
&\quad - U_3 \left(\left(\overline{(x''_{\rho(2)})}^{-\rho} \circ \overline{(x''_{\rho(1)})}^{+\rho} + \overline{(x''_{\rho(2)})}^{+\rho} \circ \overline{(x''_{\rho(1)})}^{-\rho} \right) (y' \circ A) \right) \\
&= U_3 \left(\left(\sum_{P(\xi^2)=+1} \left(\overline{(x''_{\rho(2)})}^{\xi_2 \rho} \circ \overline{(x''_{\rho(1)})}^{\xi_1 \rho} \right) - \sum_{P(\xi^2)=-1} \left(\overline{(x''_{\rho(2)})}^{\xi_2 \rho} \circ \overline{(x''_{\rho(1)})}^{\xi_1 \rho} \right) \right) (y' \circ A) \right) \\
&\quad \vdots \\
&= U_m \left(\left(\sum_{P(\xi^{m-1})=+1} \left(\overline{(x''_{\rho(m-1)})}^{\xi_{m-1} \rho} \circ \dots \circ \overline{(x''_{\rho(1)})}^{\xi_1 \rho} \right) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - \sum_{P(\xi^{m-1})=-1} \left(\overline{(x''_{\rho(m-1)})}^{\xi_{m-1} \rho} \circ \dots \circ \overline{(x''_{\rho(1)})}^{\xi_1 \rho} \right) \right) (y' \circ A) \right) \\
&= \left[\underbrace{\sum_{P(\xi^m)=+1} \left(\overline{(x''_{\rho(m)})}^{\xi_m \rho} \circ \dots \circ \overline{(x''_{\rho(1)})}^{\xi_1 \rho} \right)}_{:=T_1} - \underbrace{\sum_{P(\xi^m)=-1} \left(\overline{(x''_{\rho(m)})}^{\xi_m \rho} \circ \dots \circ \overline{(x''_{\rho(1)})}^{\xi_1 \rho} \right)}_{:=T_2} \right] (y' \circ A) \\
&= T_1(y' \circ A) - T_2(y' \circ A).
\end{aligned}$$

Taking positive operators $A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{L}_r(E_1, \dots, E_m; F)$ such that $A = A_1 - A_2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
AB_n^\rho(A)(x''_1, \dots, x''_m)(y') &= T_1(y' \circ A) - T_2(y' \circ A) \\
&= (T_1(y' \circ A_1) + T_2(y' \circ A_2)) - (T_1(y' \circ A_2) + T_2(y' \circ A_1)).
\end{aligned}$$

For $i = 1, 2$, and $y' \in F^\sim$,

$$\begin{aligned}
T_1(y' \circ A_i) &= \sum_{P(\xi^m)=+1} \left(\overline{(x''_{\rho(m)})}^{\xi_m \rho} \circ \dots \circ \overline{(x''_{\rho(1)})}^{\xi_1 \rho} \right) (y' \circ A_i) \\
&= \left[\sum_{P(\xi^m)=+1} AR_m^\rho(A_i) \left((x''_1)^{\xi_1}, \dots, (x''_m)^{\xi_m} \right) \right] (y'),
\end{aligned}$$

and analogously,

$$T_2(y' \circ A_i) = \left[\sum_{P(\xi^m)=-1} AR_m^\rho(A_i) \left((x''_1)^{\xi_1}, \dots, (x''_m)^{\xi_m} \right) \right] (y').$$

Defining, for $i = 1, 2$, the functionals $S_i = \sum_{P(\xi^m)=+1} AR_m^\rho(A_i) \left((x''_1)^{\xi_1}, \dots, (x''_m)^{\xi_m} \right) \in F^{\sim\sim}$

and $R_i = \sum_{P(\xi^m)=-1} AR_m^\rho(A_i) \left((x''_1)^{\xi_1}, \dots, (x''_m)^{\xi_m} \right) \in F^{\sim\sim}$, it follows that

$$AR_m^\rho(A)(x''_1, \dots, x''_m) = (S_1 + R_1) - (S_2 + R_2).$$

Since each $(x''_j)^{\xi_j} \in E_j^{\sim\sim}$, $j = 1, \dots, n$ is positive, by Proposition 2.1 we know that each $\overline{(x''_{\rho(j)})}^{\xi_j \rho}$, is positive as well, therefore the composition $\left(\overline{(x''_{\rho(m)})}^{\xi_m \rho} \circ \dots \circ \overline{(x''_{\rho(1)})}^{\xi_1 \rho} \right)$ is also

positive. And since A_1, A_2 are positive, $y' \circ A_i$ is positive for all $0 \leq y' \in F^\sim$ and $i = 1, 2$. Hence,

$$0 \leq \left(\overline{(x''_{\rho(m)})^{\xi_m}} \circ \cdots \circ \overline{(x''_{\rho(1)})^{\xi_1}} \right) (y' \circ A_i) = AR_m^\rho(A_i) \left((x''_1)^{\xi_1}, \dots, (x''_m)^{\xi_m} \right) (y'),$$

what gives that $S_i, R_i, i = 1, 2$, are positive, so $AR_m^\rho(A)(x''_1, \dots, x''_m)$ is regular.

(a) It is easy to see that $AR_m^\rho(A)$ is m -linear, let us prove that it is regular. For $x''_1 \in E_1^{\sim\sim}, \dots, x''_m \in E_m^{\sim\sim}$ and $y' \in F^\sim$,

$$\begin{aligned} AR_m^\rho(A)(x''_1, \dots, x''_m)(y') &= \left(\overline{(x''_{\rho(m)})^\sigma} \circ \cdots \circ \overline{(x''_{\rho(1)})^\rho} \right) (y' \circ A) \\ &= \left(\overline{(x''_{\rho(m)})^\rho} \circ \cdots \circ \overline{(x''_{\rho(1)})^\rho} \right) ((y' \circ A_1) - (y' \circ A_2)) \\ &= \left(\overline{(x''_{\rho(m)})^\rho} \circ \cdots \circ \overline{(x''_{\rho(1)})^\rho} \right) (y' \circ A_1) - \left(\overline{(x''_{\rho(m)})^\rho} \circ \cdots \circ \overline{(x''_{\rho(1)})^\rho} \right) (y' \circ A_2) \\ &= AR_m^\rho(A_1)(x''_1, \dots, x''_m)(y') - AR_m^\rho(A_2)(x''_1, \dots, x''_m)(y') \\ &= \left(AR_m^\rho(A_1)(x''_1, \dots, x''_m) - AR_m^\rho(A_2)(x''_1, \dots, x''_m) \right) (y'), \end{aligned}$$

proving that $AR_m^\rho(A) = AR_m^\rho(A_1) - AR_m^\rho(A_2)$. Since A_1 and A_2 are positive, $AR_m^\rho(A_1)$ and $AR_m^\rho(A_2)$ are also positive by what we did above, therefore $AR_m^\rho(A)$ is regular.

(b) For all $x_1 \in E_1, \dots, x_m \in E_m$ and $y' \in F^\sim$, applying the definition of $AR_m^\rho(A)$, Proposition 2.1 and the definition of the maps J_{E_i} , we get

$$\begin{aligned} AR_m^\rho(A)(J_{E_1}(x_1), \dots, J_{E_m}(x_m))(y') &= \left(\overline{J_{E_{\rho(m)}}(x_{\rho(m)})^\rho} \circ \cdots \circ \overline{J_{E_{\rho(1)}}(x_{\rho(1)})^\rho} \right) (y' \circ A) \\ &= \overline{J_{E_{\rho(m)}}(x_{\rho(m)})^\rho} \left(\left(\overline{J_{E_{\rho(m-1)}}(x_{\rho(m-1)})^\rho} \circ \cdots \circ \overline{J_{E_{\rho(1)}}(x_{\rho(1)})^\rho} \right) (y' \circ A) \right) \\ &= J_{E_{\rho(m)}}(x_{\rho(m)}) \left(\left(\overline{J_{E_{\rho(m-1)}}(x_{\rho(m-1)})^\rho} \circ \cdots \circ \overline{J_{E_{\rho(1)}}(x_{\rho(1)})^\rho} \right) (y' \circ A) \right) \\ &= \left(\left(\overline{J_{E_{\rho(m-1)}}(x_{\rho(m-1)})^\rho} \circ \cdots \circ \overline{J_{E_{\rho(1)}}(x_{\rho(1)})^\rho} \right) (y' \circ A) \right) (x_{\rho(m)}) \\ &\vdots \\ &= \overline{J_{E_{\rho(2)}}(x_{\rho(2)})^\rho} \left(\overline{J_{E_{\rho(1)}}(x_{\rho(1)})^\rho} (y' \circ A) \right) (x_1, \dots, \rho(1)x, \rho(2)x, \dots, x_m) \\ &= J_{E_{\rho(2)}}(x_{\rho(2)}) \left(\left(\overline{J_{E_{\rho(1)}}(x_{\rho(1)})^\rho} (y' \circ A) \right) (x_1, \dots, \rho(1)x, \rho(2)x; \bullet; \dots, x_m) \right) \\ &= \left(\left(\overline{J_{E_{\rho(1)}}(x_{\rho(1)})^\rho} (y' \circ A) \right) (x_1, \dots, \rho(1)x, \rho(2)x; \bullet; \dots, x_m) \right) (x_{\rho(2)}) \\ &= \overline{J_{E_{\rho(1)}}(x_{\rho(1)})^\rho} (y' \circ A) (x_1, \dots, \rho(1)x, \dots, x_m) \\ &= J_{E_{\rho(1)}}(x_{\rho(1)}) \left((y' \circ A)(x_1, \dots, \rho(1)x; \bullet; \dots, x_m) \right) \\ &= (y' \circ A) (x_1, \dots, \rho(1)x; \bullet; \dots, x_m) (x_{\rho(1)}) = (y' \circ A)(x_1, \dots, x_m) \\ &= y'(A(x_1, \dots, x_m)) = J_F(A(x_1, \dots, x_m))(y') = (J_F \circ A)(x_1, \dots, x_m)(y'). \end{aligned}$$

We omit the (easy) proof of (c).

(d) Given $x_1 \in E_1, \dots, x_{m-1} \in E_{m-1}, y' \in F^\sim, x''_m \in E_m^{\sim\sim}$, since $A^*(y', x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}) = (y' \circ A)(x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}, \bullet)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A^{**}(x''_m, y', x_1, \dots, x_{m-2})(x_{m-1}) &= x''_m(A^*(y', x_1, \dots, x_{m-1})) = x''_m((y' \circ A)(x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}, \bullet)) \\ &= \overline{x''_m}^\theta (y' \circ A)(x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}) = \overline{x''_m}^\theta (y' \circ A)(x_1, \dots, x_{m-2}, \bullet)(x_{m-1}), \end{aligned}$$

which gives that $A^{**}(x''_m, y', x_1, \dots, x_{m-2}) = \overline{x''_m}^\theta(y' \circ A)(x_1, \dots, x_{m-2}, \bullet)$. And given $x_1 \in E_1, \dots, x_{m-2} \in E_{m-2}, y' \in F^\sim, x''_{m-1} \in E_{m-1}^{\sim\sim}, x''_m \in E_m^{\sim\sim}$,

$$\begin{aligned} A^{***}(x''_{m-1}, x''_m, y', x_1, \dots, x_{m-3})(x_{m-2}) &= x''_{m-1}(A^{**}(x''_m, y', x_1, \dots, x_{m-2})) \\ &= x''_{m-1}(\overline{x''_m}^\theta(y' \circ A)(x_1, \dots, x_{m-2}, \bullet)) = \overline{x''_{m-1}}^\theta(\overline{x''_m}^\theta(y' \circ A))(x_1, \dots, x_{m-2}) \\ &= \overline{x''_{m-1}}^\theta(\overline{x''_m}^\theta(y' \circ A))(x_1, \dots, x_{m-3}, \bullet)(x_{m-2}) \\ &= (\overline{x''_{m-1}}^\theta \circ \overline{x''_m}^\theta)(y' \circ A)(x_1, \dots, x_{m-3}, \bullet)(x_{m-2}), \end{aligned}$$

proving that $A^{***}(x''_{m-1}, x''_m, y', x_1, \dots, x_{m-3}) = (\overline{x''_{m-1}}^\theta \circ \overline{x''_m}^\theta)(y' \circ A)(x_1, \dots, x_{m-3}, \bullet)$. Repeating the procedure $m - 2$ times we get that, for all $x''_1 \in E_1^{\sim\sim}, \dots, x''_m \in E_m^{\sim\sim}, y' \in F^\sim$,

$$A^{*(m+1)}(x''_1, \dots, x''_m)(y') = (\overline{x''_1}^\theta \circ \dots \circ \overline{x''_m}^\theta \circ)(y' \circ A) = AR_m^\theta(A)(x''_1, \dots, x''_m)(y').$$

□

Remark 2.3. In Theorem 2.2, if $u \in \mathcal{L}_r(F; G)$, then $AR_m^\rho(u \circ A) = u'' \circ AR_m^\rho(A)$. Indeed, for $x''_1 \in E_1^{\sim\sim}, \dots, x''_m \in E_m^{\sim\sim}$ and $z' \in G^\sim$,

$$\begin{aligned} AR_m^\rho(u \circ A)(x''_1, \dots, x''_m)(z') &= (\overline{x''_{\rho(m)}}^\rho \circ \dots \circ \overline{x''_{\rho(1)}}^\rho)(z' \circ u \circ A) \\ &= AR_m^\rho(A)(x''_1, \dots, x''_m)(z' \circ u) \\ &= AR_m^\rho(A)(x''_1, \dots, x''_m)(u'(z')) \\ &= (u'' \circ AR_m^\rho(A))(x''_1, \dots, x''_m)(z'). \end{aligned}$$

Our next aim is to show that a description of the Arens extensions on Riesz spaces similar to the Davie and Gamelin [13] description of the Aron-Berner extensions on Banach spaces holds true replacing the weak-star topology with the weak absolute topology $|\sigma|$. This description shall be useful later. For the topology $|\sigma|$ on the order dual of a Riesz space, see [2, Chapter 6].

Lemma 2.4. Let E_1, \dots, E_m, F be Riesz spaces, $A \in \mathcal{L}_r(E_1, \dots, E_m; F)$, $\rho \in S_m$, $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, $x''_{\rho(1)} \in E_{\rho(1)}^{\sim\sim}, \dots, x''_{\rho(k-1)} \in E_{\rho(k-1)}^{\sim\sim}, x_{\rho(k+1)} \in E_{\rho(k+1)}, \dots, x_{\rho(m)} \in E_{\rho(m)}$. Define z''_1, \dots, z''_m by

$$z''_{\rho(j)} = \begin{cases} x''_{\rho(j)} & \text{if } j = 1, \dots, k, \\ J_{E_{\rho(j)}}(x_{\rho(j)}) & \text{if } j = k+1, \dots, n. \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

Then the regular linear operator

$$AR_m^\rho(A)(x''_1, \dots, \bullet, \dots, x''_m): E_{\rho(k)}^{\sim\sim} \longrightarrow F^{\sim\sim},$$

$$AR_m^\rho(A)(x''_1, \dots, \bullet, \dots, x''_m)(x''_{\rho(k)}) = AR_m(A)(z''_1, \dots, z''_m),$$

where the dot \bullet is placed at the $\rho(k)$ -th coordinate, is $|\sigma|$ - $|\sigma|$ continuous.

Proof. For $y' \in F^\sim$ and $x''_{\rho(k)} \in E_{\rho(k)}^{\sim\sim}$, put $B = y' \circ A \in \mathcal{L}_r(E_1, \dots, E_m)$ and $w_{\rho(j)} = J_{E_{\rho(j)}}(x_{\rho(j)})$, $j = k+1, \dots, m$. By the definition of the coordinates of z''_1, \dots, z''_m ,

$$AR_m^\rho(A)(x''_1, \dots, \bullet, \dots, x''_m)(x''_{\rho(k)})(y') = AR_m(A)(z''_1, \dots, z''_m)(y')$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (\overline{w_{\rho(m)}^\sigma} \circ \cdots \circ \overline{w_{\rho(k+1)}^\sigma} \circ \overline{x''_{\rho(k)}^\rho} \circ \cdots \circ \overline{x''_{\rho(1)}^\rho})(B) \\
&= \overline{w_{\rho(m)}^\rho} \left((\overline{w_{\rho(m-1)}^\sigma} \circ \cdots \circ \overline{w_{\rho(k+1)}^\rho} \circ \overline{x''_{\rho(k)}^\rho} \circ \cdots \circ \overline{x''_{\rho(1)}^\rho})(B) \right) \\
&= w_{\rho(m)} \left((\overline{w_{\rho(m-1)}^\rho} \circ \cdots \circ \overline{w_{\rho(k+1)}^\rho} \circ \overline{x''_{\rho(k)}^\rho} \circ \cdots \circ \overline{x''_{\rho(1)}^\rho})(B) \right) \\
&= (\overline{w_{\rho(m-1)}^\rho} \circ \cdots \circ \overline{w_{\rho(k+1)}^\rho} \circ \overline{x''_{\rho(k)}^\rho} \circ \cdots \circ \overline{x''_{\rho(1)}^\rho})(B)(x_{\rho(m)}) \\
&\vdots \\
&= (\overline{w_{\rho(k+2)}^\rho} \circ \overline{w_{\rho(k+1)}^\rho} \circ \overline{x''_{\rho(k)}^\rho} \circ \cdots \circ \overline{x''_{\rho(1)}^\rho})(B)(x_1, \dots, \rho(1)x, \dots, \rho(k+2)x, \dots, x_m) \\
&= \overline{w_{\rho(k+2)}^\rho} \left((\overline{w_{\rho(k+1)}^\rho} \circ \overline{x''_{\rho(k)}^\rho} \circ \cdots \circ \overline{x''_{\rho(1)}^\rho})(B) \right)(x_1, \dots, \rho(1)x, \dots, \rho(k+2)x, \dots, x_m) \\
&= w_{\rho(k+2)} \left((\overline{w_{\rho(k+1)}^\rho} \circ \overline{x''_{\rho(k)}^\rho} \circ \cdots \circ \overline{x''_{\rho(1)}^\rho})(B) \right)(x_1, \dots, \rho(1)x, \dots, \rho(k+2)x, \bullet, \dots, x_m) \\
&= (\overline{w_{\rho(k+1)}^\rho} \circ \overline{x''_{\rho(k)}^\rho} \circ \cdots \circ \overline{x''_{\rho(1)}^\rho})(B)(x_1, \dots, \rho(1)x, \dots, \rho(k+2)x, \bullet, \dots, x_m)(x_{\rho(k+2)}) \\
&= (\overline{w_{\rho(k+1)}^\rho} \circ \overline{x''_{\rho(k)}^\rho} \circ \cdots \circ \overline{x''_{\rho(1)}^\rho})(B)(x_1, \dots, \rho(1)x, \dots, \rho(k+1)x, \dots, x_m) \\
&= \overline{w_{\rho(k+1)}^\rho} \left((\overline{x''_{\rho(k)}^\rho} \circ \cdots \circ \overline{x''_{\rho(1)}^\rho})(B) \right)(x_1, \dots, \rho(1)x, \dots, \rho(k+1)x, \dots, x_m) \\
&= w_{\rho(k+1)} \left((\overline{x''_{\rho(k)}^\rho} \circ \cdots \circ \overline{x''_{\rho(1)}^\rho})(B) \right)(x_1, \dots, \rho(1)x, \dots, \rho(k+1)x, \bullet, \dots, x_m) \\
&= (\overline{x''_{\rho(k)}^\rho} \circ \cdots \circ \overline{x''_{\rho(1)}^\rho})(B)(x_1, \dots, \rho(1)x, \dots, \rho(k+1)x, \bullet, \dots, x_m)(x_{\rho(k+1)}) \\
&= (\overline{x''_{\rho(k)}^\rho} \circ \cdots \circ \overline{x''_{\rho(1)}^\rho})(B)(x_1, \dots, \rho(1)x, \dots, \rho(k)x, \dots, x_m) \\
&= \overline{x''_{\rho(k)}^\rho} \left((\overline{x''_{\rho(k-1)}^\rho} \circ \cdots \circ \overline{x''_{\rho(1)}^\rho})(B) \right)(x_1, \dots, \rho(1)x, \dots, \rho(k)x, \dots, x_m) \\
&= \underbrace{x''_{\rho(k)} \left((\overline{x''_{\rho(k-1)}^\rho} \circ \cdots \circ \overline{x''_{\rho(1)}^\rho})(B) \right)(x_1, \dots, \rho(1)x, \dots, \rho(k)x, \bullet, \dots, x_m)}_{:= \varphi_{\rho(k)} \in E_{\rho(k)}^\sim},
\end{aligned}$$

that is, $AR_m^\rho(A)(x''_1, \dots, \bullet, \dots, x''_m)(x''_{\rho(k)})(y') = x''_{\rho(k)}(\varphi_{\rho(k)})$ for all $y' \in F^\sim$ and $x''_{\rho(k)} \in E_{\rho(k)}^{\sim\sim}$. Let $(x''_{\alpha_{\rho(k)}})_{\alpha_{\rho(k)} \in \Omega_{\rho(k)}}$ be a net in $E_{\rho(k)}^{\sim\sim}$ such that $x''_{\alpha_{\rho(k)}} \xrightarrow{|\sigma|} x''_{\rho(k)}$. Given $\varphi \in F^\sim$, putting

$$\psi_{\rho(k)} = (\overline{|x''_{\rho(k-1)}|}^\rho \circ \cdots \circ \overline{|x''_{\rho(1)}|}^\rho)(|\varphi| \circ |A|)(|x_1|, \dots, |\rho(1)x|, \dots, |\rho(k)x|, \bullet, \dots, |x_m|) \in E_{\rho(k)}^\sim,$$

the $|\sigma|$ -convergence gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&|AR_m^\rho(A)(x''_1, \dots, \bullet, \dots, x''_m)(x''_{\alpha_{\rho(k)}}) - AR_m^\rho(A)(x''_1, \dots, \bullet, \dots, x''_m)(x''_{\rho(k)})|(|\varphi|) \\
&= |AR_m^\rho(A)(x''_1, \dots, \bullet, \dots, x''_m)(x''_{\alpha_{\rho(k)}} - x''_{\rho(k)})|(|\varphi|) \\
&\leq AR_m^\rho(|A|)(|x''_1|, \dots, \bullet, \dots, |x''_m|)(|x''_{\alpha_{\rho(k)}} - x''_{\rho(k)}|)(|\varphi|) \\
&= |x''_{\alpha_{\rho(k)}} - x''_{\rho(k)}|(\psi_{\rho(k)}) \longrightarrow 0,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$|AR_m^\rho(A)(x''_1, \dots, \bullet, \dots, x''_m)(x''_{\alpha_{\rho(k)}}) - AR_m^\rho(A)(x''_1, \dots, \bullet, \dots, x''_m)(x''_{\rho(k)})|(|\varphi|) \longrightarrow 0,$$

proving that

$$AR_m^\rho(A)(x''_1, \dots, \bullet, \dots, x''_m)(x''_{\alpha_{\rho(k)}}) \xrightarrow{|\sigma|} AR_m^\rho(A)(x''_1, \dots, \bullet, \dots, x''_m)(x''_{\rho(k)}).$$

□

Proposition 2.5. *Let E_1, \dots, E_m, F be Riesz spaces with E_1, \dots, E_m Archimedean, $\rho \in S_m$ and $A: E_1 \times \dots \times E_m \rightarrow F$ be an m -linear regular operator. For $x''_k \in (E_k^\sim)_n^\sim$, $k = 1, \dots, m$,*

$$AR_m^\rho(A)(x''_1, \dots, x''_m) = |\sigma| - \lim_{\alpha_{\rho(1)}} \dots |\sigma| - \lim_{\alpha_{\rho(m)}} J_F(A(x_{\alpha_1}, \dots, x_{\alpha_m})),$$

where $(x_{\alpha_k})_{\alpha_k}$ is a net in E_k such that $J_{E_k}(x_{\alpha_k}) \xrightarrow{|\sigma|} x''_k$ in $(E_k^\sim)_n^\sim$, $k = 1, \dots, m$.

Proof. By [16, Theorem 2] there exist nets $(x_{\alpha_j})_{\alpha_j \in \Omega_j}$ in E_j such that $J_{E_j}(x_{\alpha_j}) \xrightarrow{|\sigma|} x''_j$, $j = 1, \dots, m$. Consider z''_1, \dots, z''_m as in Lemma 2.4. In the next computation, the symbol $\stackrel{k=j}{\equiv}$ means that Lemma 2.4 is being applied for $k = j$, and the symbol $\stackrel{2,k=j}{\equiv}$ means that (2) is being used for $k = j$:

$$\begin{aligned} AR_m^\rho(A)(x''_1, \dots, x''_m) &= AR_m^\rho(A)(x''_1, \dots, \bullet, \dots, x''_m)(x''_{\sigma(m)}) \\ &\stackrel{k=m}{\equiv} |\sigma| - \lim_{\alpha_{\sigma(m)}} AR_m^\rho(A)(x''_1, \dots, \bullet, \dots, x''_m)(J_{E_{\sigma(m)}}(x_{\alpha_{\sigma(m)}})) \\ &\stackrel{2,k=m-1}{\equiv} |\sigma| - \lim_{\alpha_{\sigma(m)}} AR_m^\rho(A)(z''_1, \dots, z''_m) \\ &= |\sigma| - \lim_{\alpha_{\sigma(m)}} AR_m^\rho(A)(x''_1, \dots, \bullet, \dots, x''_m)(x''_{\sigma(m-1)}) \\ &\stackrel{k=m-1}{\equiv} |\sigma| - \lim_{\alpha_{\sigma(m)}} |\sigma| - \lim_{\alpha_{\sigma(m-1)}} AR_m^\rho(A)(x''_1, \dots, \bullet, \dots, x''_m)(J_{E_{\sigma(m-1)}}(x_{\alpha_{\sigma(m-1)}})) \\ &\stackrel{2,k=m-2}{\equiv} |\sigma| - \lim_{\alpha_{\sigma(m)}} |\sigma| - \lim_{\alpha_{\sigma(m-1)}} AR_m^\rho(A)(z''_1, \dots, z''_m) \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &\stackrel{2,k=1}{\equiv} |\sigma| - \lim_{\alpha_{\sigma(m)}} \dots |\sigma| - \lim_{\alpha_{\sigma(2)}} AR_m^\rho(A)(z''_1, \dots, z''_m) \\ &= |\sigma| - \lim_{\alpha_{\sigma(m)}} \dots |\sigma| - \lim_{\alpha_{\sigma(2)}} AR_m^\rho(A)(x''_1, \dots, \bullet, \dots, x''_m)(x''_{\sigma(1)}) \\ &\stackrel{k=1}{\equiv} |\sigma| - \lim_{\alpha_{\sigma(m)}} \dots |\sigma| - \lim_{\alpha_{\sigma(1)}} AR_m^\rho(A)(x''_1, \dots, \bullet, \dots, x''_m)(J_{E_{\sigma(1)}}(x_{\alpha_{\sigma(1)}})) \\ &= |\sigma| - \lim_{\alpha_{\sigma(m)}} \dots |\sigma| - \lim_{\alpha_{\sigma(1)}} AR_m^\rho(A)(J_{E_1}(x_{\alpha_1}), \dots, J_{E_m}(x_{\alpha_m})) \\ &= |\sigma| - \lim_{\alpha_{\sigma(m)}} \dots |\sigma| - \lim_{\alpha_{\sigma(1)}} J_F(A(x_{\alpha_1}, \dots, x_{\alpha_m})). \end{aligned}$$

□

3 Extensions of Riesz multimorphisms

Given a Riesz multimorphism $A \in \mathcal{L}_r(E_1, \dots, E_m; F)$, from Theorem 2.2(b) it follows immediately that the restriction of any Arens extension $AR_m^\rho(A)$ of A to $J_{E_1}(E_1) \times \dots \times J_{E_m}(E_m)$ is a Riesz multimorphism. Moreover, in [7] it was proved in the bilinear case that the restriction of the Arens extension $AR_2^\rho(A)$ to $(E_1^\sim)_n^\sim \times (E_2^\sim)_n^\sim$ is a Riesz multimorphism.

Next we show that the formula obtained in Proposition 2.5 gives a short proof of an extension of this fact to any Arens extension of A and to any m .

Proposition 3.1. *If the Riesz spaces E_1, \dots, E_m are Archimedean, F is a Riesz space and $A: E_1 \times \dots \times E_m \rightarrow F$ is a Riesz multimorphism, then, for every $\rho \in S_m$, the restriction of $AR_m^\rho(A)$ to $(E_1^\sim)_n^\sim \times \dots \times (E_m^\sim)_n^\sim$ is a Riesz multimorphism.*

Proof. Given functionals $x_1'' \in (E_1^\sim)_n^\sim, \dots, x_m'' \in (E_m^\sim)_n^\sim$, let $(x_{\alpha_j})_{\alpha_j \in \Omega_j}$ be nets in E_j such that $J_{E_j}(x_{\alpha_j}) \xrightarrow{|\sigma|} x_j'', j = 1, \dots, m$. The $|\sigma|$ - $|\sigma|$ continuity of the lattice operators yields $J_{E_j}(|x_{\alpha_j}|) \xrightarrow{|\sigma|} |x_j''|, j = 1, \dots, m$. Since A is a Riesz multimorphism and J_F is a Riesz homomorphism, applying the $|\sigma|$ - $|\sigma|$ continuity of the lattice operators once again and calling on Proposition 2.5,

$$\begin{aligned} AR_m^\rho(A)(|x_1''|, \dots, |x_m''|) &= |\sigma| - \lim_{\alpha_{\rho(m)}} \dots |\sigma| - \lim_{\alpha_{\rho(1)}} J_F(A(|x_{\alpha_1}|, \dots, |x_{\alpha_m}|)) \\ &= |\sigma| - \lim_{\alpha_{\rho(m)}} \dots |\sigma| - \lim_{\alpha_{\rho(1)}} |J_F(A(x_{\alpha_1}, \dots, x_{\alpha_m}))| \\ &= \left| |\sigma| - \lim_{\alpha_{\rho(m)}} \dots |\sigma| - \lim_{\alpha_{\rho(1)}} J_F(A(x_{\alpha_1}, \dots, x_{\alpha_m})) \right| \\ &= |AR_m^\rho(A)(x_1'', \dots, x_m'')|. \end{aligned}$$

□

Now we proceed to our main results. Similarly to the notion of finite rank maps between linear spaces (see [21]), we say that a map taking values in a Riesz space has *finite rank* if the sublattice generated by its range is finite dimensional.

Theorem 3.2. *If E_1, \dots, E_m are Riesz spaces, F is an Archimedean Riesz space and $A: E_1 \times \dots \times E_m \rightarrow F$ is a finite rank Riesz multimorphism, then all Arens extensions of A , $AR_m^\rho(A): E_1^{\sim\sim} \times \dots \times E_m^{\sim\sim} \rightarrow F^{\sim\sim}, \rho \in S_m$, coincide and are Riesz multimorphisms.*

Proof. Let $\rho \in S_m$. We start with a scalar-valued Riesz multimorphism $B: E_1 \times \dots \times E_m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. By Theorem 2.2 we know that $AR_m^\rho(B): E_1^{\sim\sim} \times \dots \times E_m^{\sim\sim} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a regular m -linear form. By [17, Theorem 6] there are Riesz homomorphisms $\varphi_i: E_i \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, i = 1, \dots, m$, such that $B(x_1, \dots, x_m) = \varphi_1(x_1) \dots \varphi_m(x_m)$ for all $x_1 \in E_1, \dots, x_m \in E_m$. For $k = 1, \dots, m$, it is plain that

$$B_k: E_1 \times \dots \times {}_{\rho(1)}E \times \dots \times {}_{\rho(k-1)}E \times \dots \times E_m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

defined by $B_k(x_1, \dots, {}_{\rho(1)}x, \dots, {}_{\rho(k-1)}x, \dots, x_m) =$

$$\varphi_1(x_1) \dots {}_{\rho(1)}\varphi({}_{\rho(1)}x) \dots {}_{\rho(k-1)}\varphi({}_{\rho(k-1)}x) \dots \varphi_m(x_m),$$

is a multilinear form. It is also clear that B_k is positive because each φ_i is a Riesz homomorphism. In particular, $B_k \in \mathcal{L}_r(E_1, \dots, {}_{\rho(1)}E, \dots, {}_{\rho(k-1)}E, \dots, E_m)$. For $k = 1, \dots, m - 1$ and every $x_{\rho(k)} \in E_{\rho(k)}$,

$$B_k(x_1, \dots, {}_{\rho(1)}x, \dots, {}_{\rho(k)}x, \bullet, \dots, x_m)(x_{\rho(k)}) = B_k(x_1, \dots, {}_{\rho(1)}x, \dots, {}_{\rho(k-1)}x, \dots, x_m)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \varphi_1(x_1) \cdots \varphi_{\rho(1)}(x_{\rho(1)}) \cdots \varphi_{\rho(k-1)}(x_{\rho(k-1)}) \cdots \varphi_m(x_m) \\
&= \varphi_1(x_1) \cdots \varphi_{\rho(1)}(x_{\rho(1)}) \cdots \varphi_{\rho(k)}(x_{\rho(k)}) \cdots \varphi_m(x_m) \varphi_{\rho(k)}(x_{\rho(k)}) \\
&= B_{k+1}(x_1, \dots, \varphi_{\rho(1)}x, \dots, \varphi_{\rho(k)}x, \dots, x_m) \varphi_{\rho(k)}(x_{\rho(k)}),
\end{aligned}$$

that is $B_k(x_1, \dots, \varphi_{\rho(1)}x, \dots, \varphi_{\rho(k)}x, \bullet, \dots, x_m) = B_{k+1}(x_1, \dots, \varphi_{\rho(1)}x, \dots, \varphi_{\rho(k)}x, \dots, x_m) \varphi_{\rho(k)}$, $k = 1, \dots, m-1$. And for $k = m$ we have $B_m = \varphi_{\rho(m)}$. Given $x''_{\rho(k)} \in E_{\rho(k)}^{\sim\sim}$, $k = 1, \dots, m-1$, by Proposition 2.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{x''_{\rho(k)}{}^\rho}(B_k)(x_1, \dots, \varphi_{\rho(1)}x, \dots, \varphi_{\rho(k)}x, \dots, x_m) &= x''_{\rho(k)}(B_k(x_1, \dots, \varphi_{\rho(1)}x, \dots, \varphi_{\rho(k)}x; \bullet; \dots, x_m)) \\
&= x''_{\rho(k)}(B_{k+1}(x_1, \dots, \varphi_{\rho(1)}x, \dots, \varphi_{\rho(k)}x, \dots, x_m) \varphi_{\rho(k)}) \\
&= B_{k+1}(x_1, \dots, \varphi_{\rho(1)}x, \dots, \varphi_{\rho(k)}x, \dots, x_m) x''_{\rho(k)}(\varphi_{\rho(k)}).
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\overline{x''_{\rho(k)}{}^\rho}(B_k) = x''_{\rho(k)}(\varphi_{\rho(k)}) B_{k+1}$ for $k = 1, \dots, m-1$. And for $k = m$ we have $\overline{x''_{\rho(m)}{}^\rho}(B_m) = \overline{x''_{\rho(m)}{}^\rho}(\varphi_{\rho(m)}) = x''_{\rho(m)}(\varphi_{\rho(m)})$. Using that $B = B_1$, for all $x''_1 \in E_1^{\sim\sim}, \dots, x''_m \in E_m^{\sim\sim}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
AR_m^\rho(B)(x''_1, \dots, x''_m) &= (\overline{x''_{\rho(m)}{}^\rho} \circ \cdots \circ \overline{x''_{\rho(1)}{}^\rho})(B) = (\overline{x''_{\rho(m)}{}^\rho} \circ \cdots \circ \overline{x''_{\rho(1)}{}^\rho})(B_1) \\
&= \overline{x''_{\rho(m)}{}^\rho}(\cdots (\overline{x''_{\rho(2)}{}^\rho}(\overline{x''_{\rho(1)}{}^\rho}(B_1))) \cdots) \\
&= \overline{x''_{\rho(m)}{}^\rho}(\cdots (\overline{x''_{\rho(2)}{}^\rho}(x''_{\rho(1)}(\varphi_{\rho(1)}) B_2)) \cdots) \\
&= x''_{\rho(1)}(\varphi_{\rho(1)}) \overline{x''_{\rho(m)}{}^\rho}(\cdots (\overline{x''_{\rho(3)}{}^\rho}(\overline{x''_{\rho(2)}{}^\rho}(B_2))) \cdots) \\
&= x''_{\rho(1)}(\varphi_{\rho(1)}) \overline{x''_{\rho(m)}{}^\rho}(\cdots (\overline{x''_{\rho(3)}{}^\rho}(x''_{\rho(1)}(\varphi_{\rho(2)}) B_3)) \cdots) \\
&= x''_{\rho(1)}(\varphi_{\rho(1)}) x''_{\rho(2)}(\varphi_{\rho(2)}) \overline{x''_{\rho(m)}{}^\rho}(\cdots (\overline{x''_{\rho(3)}{}^\rho}(B_3)) \cdots) \\
&\quad \vdots \\
&= x''_{\rho(1)}(\varphi_{\rho(1)}) \cdots x''_{\rho(m-2)}(\varphi_{\rho(m-2)}) \overline{x''_{\rho(m)}{}^\rho}(\overline{x''_{\rho(m-1)}{}^\rho}(B_{m-1})) \\
&= x''_{\rho(1)}(\varphi_{\rho(1)}) \cdots x''_{\rho(m-2)}(\varphi_{\rho(m-2)}) \overline{x''_{\rho(m)}{}^\rho}(x''_{\rho(m-1)}(\varphi_{\rho(m-1)}) B_m) \\
&= x''_{\rho(1)}(\varphi_{\rho(1)}) \cdots x''_{\rho(m-2)}(\varphi_{\rho(m-2)}) x''_{\rho(m-1)}(\varphi_{\rho(m-1)}) \overline{x''_{\rho(m)}{}^\rho}(\varphi_{\rho(m)}) \\
&= x''_{\rho(1)}(\varphi_{\rho(1)}) \cdots x''_{\rho(m-2)}(\varphi_{\rho(m-2)}) x''_{\rho(m-1)}(\varphi_{\rho(m-1)}) x''_{\rho(m)}(\varphi_{\rho(m)}),
\end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$AR_m^\rho(B)(x''_1, \dots, x''_m) = x''_1(\varphi_1) \cdots x''_m(\varphi_m) = \varphi''_1(x''_1) \cdots \varphi''_m(x''_m),$$

where φ''_i is the second adjoint of the Riesz homomorphism φ_i , $i = 1, \dots, m$. So, the extension does not depend on ρ and, since $\varphi''_1, \dots, \varphi''_m$ are Riesz homomorphisms [3, Theorems 2.19 and 2.20], it follows immediately that $AR_m^\rho(B)$ is a Riesz multimorphism.

Now, let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be given, consider \mathbb{R}^n with the coordinatewise order and let $C: E_1 \times \cdots \times E_m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a Riesz multimorphism. By Theorem 2.2 we know that $AR_m^\rho(C): E_1^{\sim\sim} \times \cdots \times E_m^{\sim\sim} \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^n)^{\sim\sim} = \mathbb{R}^n$ is a regular m -linear form. Taking the canonical projections $\pi_j: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, which are Riesz multimorphisms, each $\pi_j \circ C$ is a scalar-valued Riesz multimorphism, so the first part of the proof gives that $AR_m^\rho(\pi_j \circ C): E_1^{\sim\sim} \times \cdots \times E_m^{\sim\sim} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Riesz multimorphism that does not depend on ρ . By Remark 2.3,

$$\pi_j \circ AR_m^\rho(C) = \pi_j'' \circ AR_m^\rho(C) = AR_m^\rho(\pi_j \circ C)$$

is a Riesz multimorphism for every $j = 1, \dots, n$. From

$$AR_m^\rho(C)(x''_1, \dots, x''_m) = ((\pi_j \circ AR_m^\rho(C))(x''_1, \dots, x''_m))_{j=1}^n$$

it follows that $AR_m^\rho(C)$ does not depend on ρ and is a Riesz multimorphism because we have the coordinatewise order in \mathbb{R}^n .

Finally, given an Archimedean Riesz space F and a finite rank Riesz multimorphism $A: E_1 \times \dots \times E_m \rightarrow F$, call G the finite-dimensional sublattice of F generated by the range of A , denote by $i: G \rightarrow F$ the inclusion operator and note that the astriction of A to G , $A_1: E_1 \times \dots \times E_m \rightarrow G$, is a Riesz multimorphism. Since G is Archimedean, letting $n \in \mathbb{N}$ denote its dimension, by [22, Corollary 1, p. 70] there is a Riesz isomorphism $I: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, where \mathbb{R}^n has the coordinatewise order. Noting that $I \circ A_1$ is a \mathbb{R}^n -valued Riesz homomorphism, the second part of the proof gives that $AR_m^\rho(I \circ A_1)$ is a Riesz multimorphism not depending on ρ . By Remark 2.3,

$$AR_m^\rho(A) = AR_m^\rho(i \circ I^{-1} \circ I \circ A_1) = i'' \circ (I^{-1})'' \circ AR_m^\rho(I \circ A_1)$$

is a Riesz multimorphism, not depending on ρ , because i'' and $(I^{-1})''$ are Riesz homomorphisms. \square

Remark 3.3. Let E_1, \dots, E_m be Riesz spaces, $(\mathcal{A}, *)$ be an f -algebra and $A: E_1 \times \dots \times E_m \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be a multiplicative Riesz multimorphism, that is, $A(x_1, \dots, x_m) = T_1(x_1) * \dots * T_m(x_m)$ for all $x_i \in E_i, i = 1, \dots, m$, where each $T_i: E_i \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is a Riesz homomorphism. The first part of the proof above can be adapted to show that all Arens extensions of A are Riesz multimorphisms. Actually, the reasoning shows that, for every $\rho \in S_m$ and all $x''_i \in E_i^{\sim\sim}, i = 1, \dots, m$,

$$AR_m^\rho(A)(x''_1, \dots, x''_m) = (x''_{\rho(m)} \circ T'_{\rho(m)}) \odot \dots \odot (x''_{\rho(1)} \circ T'_{\rho(1)}),$$

where \odot is the Arens product that makes $\mathcal{A}^{\sim\sim}$ an f -algebra.

This shows, in particular, that all Arens extensions of any Riesz multimorphism taking values in a universally complete Riesz space with a weak order unit are Riesz multimorphisms.

The following partial results for arbitrary Riesz multimorphisms will be helpful later.

Proposition 3.4. Let E_1, \dots, E_m, F be Riesz spaces, $A \in \mathcal{L}_r(E_1, \dots, E_m; F)$ be a Riesz multimorphism and $\rho \in S_m$. For every Riesz homomorphism $y' \in F^\sim$, $J_{F^\sim}(y') \circ AR_m^\rho(A)$ is a Riesz multimorphism and

$$|AR_m^\rho(A)(x''_1, \dots, x''_m)|(y') = AR_m^\rho(A)(|x''_1|, \dots, |x''_m|)(y')$$

for all $x''_1 \in E_1^{\sim\sim}, \dots, x''_m \in E_m^{\sim\sim}$.

Proof. Let a Riesz homomorphism $y' \in F^\sim$ be given. Then $y' \circ A \in \mathcal{L}_r(E_1, \dots, E_m)$ is a Riesz multimorphism, so $AR_m^\rho(y' \circ A): E_1^{\sim\sim} \times \dots \times E_m^{\sim\sim} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Riesz multimorphism by Theorem 3.2. To prove the first assertion it is enough to check that $J_{F^\sim}(y') \circ AR_m^\rho(A) = AR_m^\rho(y' \circ A)$: for all $x''_1 \in E_1^{\sim\sim}, \dots, x''_m \in E_m^{\sim\sim}$,

$$(J_{F^\sim}(y') \circ AR_m^\rho(A))(x''_1, \dots, x''_m) = J_{F^\sim}(y')(AR_m^\rho(A)(x''_1, \dots, x''_m))$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= AR_m^\rho(A)(x''_1, \dots, x''_m)(y') \\
&= (\overline{x''_{\rho(m)}}^\rho \circ \dots \circ \overline{x''_{\rho(1)}}^\rho)(y' \circ A) \\
&= AR_m^\rho(y' \circ A)(x''_1, \dots, x''_m).
\end{aligned}$$

Once we have just proved that $J_{F^\sim}(y') \circ AR_m^\rho(A)$ is a Riesz multimorphism and using that $AR_m^\rho(A) \geq 0$ (Theorem 2.2) and $y' \geq 0$, for all $x''_1 \in E_1^{\sim\sim}, \dots, x''_m \in E_m^{\sim\sim}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
AR_m^\rho(A)(|x''_1|, \dots, |x''_m|)(y') &= (J_{F^\sim}(y') \circ AR_m^\rho(A))(|x''_1|, \dots, |x''_m|) \\
&= |(J_{F^\sim}(y') \circ AR_m^\rho(A))(x''_1, \dots, x''_m)| \\
&= |AR_m^\rho(A)(x''_1, \dots, x''_m)(y')| \\
&\leq |AR_m^\rho(A)(x''_1, \dots, x''_m)|(y') \\
&\leq AR_m^\rho(A)(|x''_1|, \dots, |x''_m|)(y'),
\end{aligned}$$

from which the second assertion follows. \square

Henceforth we present our results in the environment of Banach lattices. We start with the following immediate consequences of our previous results.

Proposition 3.5. *Let E_1, \dots, E_m, F be Banach lattices.*

(a) *If $A \in \mathcal{L}_r(E_1, \dots, E_m; F)$ is a finite rank Riesz multimorphism, then the Aron-Berner extensions of A , $AB_m^\rho(A): E_1^{**} \times \dots \times E_m^{**} \rightarrow F^{**}$, $\rho \in S_m$, coincide and are Riesz multimorphisms.*

(b) *If E_1^*, \dots, E_m^* have order continuous norms and $A \in \mathcal{L}_r(E_1, \dots, E_m; F)$ is a Riesz multimorphism, then, for every $\rho \in S_m$, the Aron-Berner extension $AB_m^\rho(A): E_1^{**} \times \dots \times E_m^{**} \rightarrow F^{**}$ is a Riesz multimorphism.*

Proof. For a Banach lattice E , E^\sim is a Banach lattice and $E^\sim = E^*$, thus $E^{\sim\sim} = E^{**}$ [3, Theorem 4.1 and Corollary 4.5]. Thus, (a) follows from Theorem 3.2. And $(E^\sim)^\sim_n = E^{**}$ whenever E^* has order continuous norm [20, Theorem 2.4.2]. Thus, (b) follows from Proposition 3.1. \square

The next results concern Aron-Berner extensions of vector-valued Riesz homomorphisms defined on arbitrary Banach lattices.

Proposition 3.6. *Let E_1, \dots, E_m, F be Banach lattices, $A \in \mathcal{L}_r(E_1, \dots, E_m; F)$ be a Riesz multimorphism and $\rho \in S_m$. Then:*

(a) *$y^{***} \circ AB_m^\rho(A)$ is a Riesz multimorphism for every w^* -continuous Riesz homomorphism $y^{***} \in F^{***}$.*

(b) *It holds*

$$|AB_m^\rho(A)(x_1^{**}, \dots, x_m^{**})|(y^*) = AB_m^\rho(A)(|x_1^{**}|, \dots, |x_m^{**}|)(y^*),$$

for all $x_1^{**} \in E_1^{**}, \dots, x_m^{**} \in E_m^{**}$ and any $y^* \in \overline{\text{span}}\{\varphi \in F^* : \varphi \text{ is a Riesz homomorphism}\}$.

Proof. (a) Given a w^* -continuous Riesz homomorphisms $y^{***} \in F^{***}$, take $y^* \in F^*$ such that $y^{***} = J_{F^*}(y^*)$. For every $y \in F$,

$$(y^{***} \circ J_F)(y) = y^{***}(J_F(y)) = J_{F^*}(y^*)(J_F(y)) = J_F(y)(y^*) = y^*(y),$$

that is, $y^* = y^{***} \circ J_F$. As the composition of two Riesz homomorphisms, y^* is a Riesz homomorphism as well. Corollary 3.4 gives that $y^{***} \circ AB_m^\rho(A) = J_{F^*}(y^*) \circ AB_m^\rho(A)$ is a Riesz multimorphism.

(b) Let $x_1^{**} \in E_1^{**}, \dots, x_m^{**} \in E_m^{**}$ and $y^* \in \overline{\text{span}}\{\varphi \in F^* : \varphi \text{ is a Riesz homomorphism}\}$ be given. Take a sequence $(y_n^*)_{n=1}^\infty$ in $\text{span}\{\varphi \in F^* : \varphi \text{ is a Riesz homomorphism}\}$ such that $y_n^* \rightarrow y^*$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there are $k_n \in \mathbb{N}$, Riesz homomorphisms $\varphi_n^1, \dots, \varphi_n^{k_n} \in F^*$ and scalars $\alpha_n^1, \dots, \alpha_n^{k_n}$ such that $y_n^* = \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} \alpha_n^j \varphi_n^j$. The continuity of $|AB_m^\rho(A)(x_1^{**}, \dots, x_m^{**})|$ and $AB_m^\rho(A)(|x_1^{**}|, \dots, |x_m^{**}|)$ and Corollary 3.4 give

$$\begin{aligned} |AB_m^\rho(A)(x_1^{**}, \dots, x_m^{**})|(y^*) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |AB_m^\rho(A)(x_1^{**}, \dots, x_m^{**})|(y_n^*) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} \alpha_n^j |AB_m^\rho(A)(x_1^{**}, \dots, x_m^{**})|(\varphi_n^j) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} \alpha_n^j AB_m^\rho(A)(|x_1^{**}|, \dots, |x_m^{**}|)(\varphi_n^j) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} AB_m^\rho(A)(|x_1^{**}|, \dots, |x_m^{**}|)(y_n^*) \\ &= AB_m^\rho(A)(|x_1^{**}|, \dots, |x_m^{**}|)(y^*). \end{aligned}$$

□

From now on, for a Banach lattice F the expression *all Aron-Berner extensions of any F -valued Riesz multimorphism are Riesz multimorphisms* means that, regardless of the natural number m and the Banach lattices E_1, \dots, E_m , all Aron-Berner extensions of any Riesz multimorphism from $E_1 \times \dots \times E_m$ to F are Riesz multimorphisms on $E_1^{**} \times \dots \times E_m^{**}$.

Let F be a Banach lattice such that F^* has a Schauder basis formed by Riesz homomorphisms. Then $F^* = \overline{\text{span}}\{\varphi \in F^* : \varphi \text{ is a Riesz homomorphism}\}$, so the next result follows immediately from Proposition 3.6(b).

Corollary 3.7. *Let F be a Banach lattice such that F^* has a Schauder basis formed by Riesz homomorphisms. Then all Aron-Berner extensions of any F -valued Riesz multimorphism are Riesz multimorphisms.*

Before giving concrete examples, let us see a simple result in the realm of Riesz spaces.

Proposition 3.8. *If G is a projection band in the Riesz space F and all Arens extensions of any F -valued Riesz multimorphism are Riesz multimorphisms, then the same holds for G -valued Riesz multimorphisms.*

Proof. Let E_1, \dots, E_m be Riesz spaces, $A \in \mathcal{L}_r(E_1, \dots, E_m; G)$ be a Riesz multimorphism and $\rho \in S_m$. Denoting by $i: G \rightarrow F$ the inclusion operator and by $\pi: F \rightarrow G$ the corresponding band projection, which is a Riesz homomorphism, it follows that

$$AR_m^\rho(A) = AR_m^\rho(\pi \circ i \circ A) = \pi'' \circ AR_m^\rho(i \circ A)$$

is a Riesz multimorphism since $AR_m^\rho(i \circ A)$ is a Riesz multimorphism by assumption and π'' is a Riesz homomorphism. □

Example 3.9. (a) The canonical unit vectors $(e_j)_{j=1}^\infty$ is a Schauder basis formed by Riesz homomorphisms in ℓ_p , $1 \leq p < \infty$. So, Corollary 3.7 applies to $F = c_0$ and $F = \ell_p$, $1 < p < \infty$.

Henceforth in this example, whenever we say that a Banach space F has an 1-unconditional Schauder basis, F is regarded as a Banach lattice with the order given by the basis.

(b) Let F be a Banach space with an 1-unconditional Schauder basis $(x_j)_{j=1}^\infty$ not containing a copy of ℓ_1 . The basis is shrinking by [18, Proposition 1.b.1], so the biorthogonal functionals $(x_j^*)_{j=1}^\infty$ associated to $(x_j)_{j=1}^\infty$ form a Schauder basis of F^* by [18, Proposition 1.b.1]. It is easy to check that each x_j^* is a Riesz homomorphism, so Corollary 3.7 applies to F .

(c) By (b), Corollary 3.7 applies to every reflexive Banach space with an 1-unconditional basis. Just to give reflexive examples different from ℓ_p , $1 < p < \infty$, note that Tsirelson's original space T^* [25] and its dual T are reflexive spaces with 1-unconditional bases [12, Theorem I.8 and Notes and Remarks p.16]. So, Corollary 3.7 applies to $F = T^*$ and $F = T$.

(d) Just to illustrate, let us give two nonreflexive examples different from c_0 . Schreier's space S [24] and the predual $d_*(w, 1)$ of the Lorenz sequence space $d(w, 1)$ [18, 4.e] are nonreflexive Banach spaces with 1-unconditional bases not containing a copy of ℓ_1 (for S see [12, Corollary 0.8, Proposition 0.4, Theorem 0.5] and for $d_*(w, 1)$ see [15, p.1202], [1, p.1643] and [18, p.19]). By (b), Corollary 3.7 applies to $F = S$ and $F = d_*(w, 1)$.

(e) By Proposition 3.8, Corollary 3.7 applies to every Banach lattice F that is a projection band in any of the Banach lattices listed above.

Our last purpose is to enlarge substantially the class of Banach lattices F for which all Aron-Berner extensions of any F -valued Riesz multimorphism are Riesz multimorphisms. To do so, recall that, given a sequence $(F_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ of Banach lattices and $1 \leq p < \infty$,

$$(\oplus_n F_n)_p = \left\{ (x_n)_{n=1}^\infty : x_n \in F_n \text{ and } \|(x_n)_{n=1}^\infty\|_p = \left(\sum_{n=1}^\infty \|x_n\|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty \right\} \text{ and}$$

$$(\oplus_n F_n)_0 = \{ (x_n)_{n=1}^\infty : x_n \in F_n \text{ and } \|x_n\| \rightarrow 0 \}, \|(x_n)_{n=1}^\infty\| = \sup_n \|x_n\|,$$

are Banach lattices with the coordinatewise order. If $F = F_n$ for every n , it is usual to write $\ell_p(F)$ and $c_0(F)$ instead of $(\oplus_n F)_p$ and $(\oplus_n F)_0$.

Proposition 3.10. *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and let $(F_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of Banach lattices such that, for every n , all Aron-Berner extensions of any F_n -valued Riesz multimorphism are Riesz multimorphisms. Then all Aron-Berner extensions of any $(\oplus_n F_n)_0$ -valued and any $(\oplus_n F_n)_p$ -valued Riesz multimorphism are Riesz multimorphisms.*

Proof. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\rho \in S_m$ and let E_1, \dots, E_m be Banach lattices.

Given a Riesz multimorphism $A \in \mathcal{L}_r(E_1, \dots, E_m; (\oplus_n F_n)_p)$, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ the projection $\pi_k : (\oplus_n F_n)_p \rightarrow F_k$, $\pi_k((y_n)_{n=1}^\infty) = y_k$, is a Riesz homomorphism, hence $\pi_k \circ A \in \mathcal{L}_r(E_1, \dots, E_m; F_k)$ is a Riesz multimorphism. By assumption,

$$AB_m^\rho(\pi_k \circ A) \in \mathcal{L}_r(E_1^{**}, \dots, E_m^{**}; F_k^{**}) \text{ is a Riesz multimorphism for every } k. \quad (3)$$

Taking q such that $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, it is well know that the maps

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_1: (\oplus_n F_n^*)_q &\longrightarrow (\oplus_n F_n)_p^*, \quad \psi_1((y_n^*)_{n=1}^\infty)((y_n)_{n=1}^\infty) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty y_n^*(y_n), \\ \psi_2: (\oplus_n F_n^{**})_p &\longrightarrow (\oplus_n F_n^*)_q^*, \quad \psi_2((y_n^{**})_{n=1}^\infty)((y_n^*)_{n=1}^\infty) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty y_n^{**}(y_n^*),\end{aligned}$$

are lattice isomorphisms, that is, Banach space isomorphisms + Riesz homomorphisms (see [3, Theorem 4.6 and its proof]). So, $\psi_3 := (\psi_1^{-1})^*: (\oplus_n F_n^*)_q^* \longrightarrow (\oplus_n F_n)_p^{**}$ and $\psi := \psi_2^{-1} \circ \psi_3^{-1}: (\oplus_n F_n)_p^{**} \longrightarrow (\oplus_n F_n^{**})_p$ are Banach space isomorphisms. It is clear that $\psi_3^{-1} = \psi_1^*: (\oplus_n F_n)_p^{**} \longrightarrow (\oplus_n F_n^*)_q^*$. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, by $i_k: F_k^* \longrightarrow (\oplus_n F_n^*)_q$ we denote the canonical embedding, $i_k(y_k^*) = (0, \dots, 0, y_k^*, 0, \dots)$. It will be useful to bear in mind that the inverse of ψ_2 is given by

$$\psi_2^{-1}: (\oplus_n F_n^*)_q^* \longrightarrow (\oplus_n F_n^{**})_p, \quad \psi_2^{-1}(y^{**}) = (y^{**} \circ i_n)_{n=1}^\infty.$$

For all $y_k^* \in F_k^*$ and $(y_n)_{n=1}^\infty \in (\oplus_n F_n)_p$,

$$(y_k^* \circ \pi_k)((y_n)_{n=1}^\infty) = y_k^*(\pi_k((y_n)_{n=1}^\infty)) = y_k^*(y_k) = \psi_1(0, \dots, 0, y_k^*, 0, \dots)((y_n)_{n=1}^\infty),$$

showing that $y_k^* \circ \pi_k = \psi_1(0, \dots, 0, y_k^*, 0, \dots)$. So, for $x_j^{**} \in E_j^{**}, j = 1, \dots, m, k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $y_k^* \in F_k^*$,

$$\begin{aligned}(AB_m^\rho(A)(x_1^{**}, \dots, x_m^{**}) \circ \psi_1 \circ i_k)(y_k^*) &= (AB_m^\rho(A)(x_1^{**}, \dots, x_m^{**}) \circ \psi_1)(0, \dots, 0, y_k^*, 0, \dots) \\ &= AB_m^\rho(A)(x_1^{**}, \dots, x_m^{**})(\psi_1(0, \dots, 0, y_k^*, 0, \dots)) \\ &= AB_m^\rho(A)(x_1^{**}, \dots, x_m^{**})(y_k^* \circ \pi_k) \\ &= AB_m^\rho(\pi_k \circ A)(x_1^{**}, \dots, x_m^{**})(y_k^*),\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from Remark 2.3. This shows that $AB_m^\rho(A)(x_1^{**}, \dots, x_m^{**}) \circ \psi_1 \circ i_k = AB_m^\rho(\pi_k \circ A)(x_1^{**}, \dots, x_m^{**})$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}(\psi \circ AB_m^\rho(A))(x_1^{**}, \dots, x_m^{**}) &= \psi(AB_m^\rho(A)(x_1^{**}, \dots, x_m^{**})) \\ &= (\psi_2^{-1} \circ \psi_3^{-1})(AB_m^\rho(A)(x_1^{**}, \dots, x_m^{**})) = \psi_2^{-1}(\psi_3^{-1}(AB_m^\rho(A)(x_1^{**}, \dots, x_m^{**}))) \\ &= \psi_2^{-1}(AB_m^\rho(A)(x_1^{**}, \dots, x_m^{**}) \circ \psi_1) = (AB_m^\rho(A)(x_1^{**}, \dots, x_m^{**}) \circ \psi_1 \circ i_n)_{n=1}^\infty \\ &= (AB_m^\rho(\pi_n \circ A)(x_1^{**}, \dots, x_m^{**}))_{n=1}^\infty.\end{aligned}$$

By (3),

$$\begin{aligned}|(\psi \circ AB_m^\rho(A))(x_1^{**}, \dots, x_m^{**})| &= |(AB_m^\rho(\pi_n \circ A)(x_1^{**}, \dots, x_m^{**}))_{n=1}^\infty| \\ &= (|AB_m^\rho(\pi_n \circ A)(x_1^{**}, \dots, x_m^{**})|)_{n=1}^\infty = (AB_m^\rho(\pi_n \circ A)(|x_1^{**}|, \dots, |x_m^{**}|))_{n=1}^\infty \\ &= (\psi \circ AB_m^\rho(A))(|x_1^{**}|, \dots, |x_m^{**}|).\end{aligned}$$

Thus far we have proved that $\psi \circ AB_m^\rho(A)$ is a Riesz multimorphism. Since ψ is a positive bijection with positive inverse, ψ is a Riesz homomorphism [3, Theorem 2.15], so is ψ^{-1} . It follows that $AB_m^\rho(A) = \psi^{-1} \circ \psi \circ AB_m^\rho(A)$ is a Riesz multimorphism as well.

The case of $(\oplus_n F_n)_0$ -valued multimorphisms is analogous using the lattice isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_1: (\oplus_n F_n^*)_1 &\longrightarrow (\oplus_n F_n)_0^*, \quad \gamma_1((y_n^*)_{n=1}^\infty)((y_n)_{n=1}^\infty) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty y_n^*(y_n), \\ \gamma_2: (\oplus_n F_n^{**})_\infty &\longrightarrow (\oplus_n F_n^*)_1^*, \quad \gamma_2((y_n^{**})_{n=1}^\infty)((y_n^*)_{n=1}^\infty) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty y_n^{**}(y_n^*),\end{aligned}$$

where $(\oplus_n F_n^{**})_\infty$ is the Banach lattice formed by bounded sequences. \square

Example 3.11. Let $1 < p < \infty$, $(p_n)_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq (1, +\infty)$ and let $(F_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of Banach lattices such that, for every n , $F_n = c_0$ or ℓ_{p_n} or any of the Banach lattices in Example 3.9. By Corollary 3.7 and Proposition 3.10, all Aron-Berner extensions of any Riesz multimorphisms taking values in $(\oplus_n F_n)_0$ or in $(\oplus_n F_n)_p$ are Riesz multimorphisms. In particular, all Aron-Berner extensions of any Riesz multimorphism taking values in one of the following Banach lattices are Riesz multimorphisms: $c_0(E)$ and $\ell_p(E)$ where $1 < p < \infty$ and $E = c_0, \ell_s, 1 < s < \infty, T^*, T, S, d_*(w, 1)$ or a projection band in any of these spaces. The space $c_0(c_0)$ is not a new example because it is Riesz isometric to c_0 .

References

- [1] F. Albiac, C. Leránoz, *Uniqueness of unconditional basis in Lorentz sequence spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **136** (2008), no. 5, 1643–1647.
- [2] C. D. Aliprantis and D. O. Burkinshaw, *Locally solid Riesz spaces with applications to economics*, Second Edition. Amer. Math. Soc., 2003.
- [3] C. D. Aliprantis and D. O. Burkinshaw, *Positive Operators*, Springer, Dordrecht, 2006.
- [4] R. Arens, *The adjoint of a bilinear operation*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **2** (1951), 839–848.
- [5] R. Aron and P. Berner, *A Hahn-Banach extension theorem for analytic mappings*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **106** (1978), no. 1, 3–24.
- [6] K. Boulabiar, *Some aspect of Riesz multimorphisms*, Indag. Math. **13** (2002), 419–432.
- [7] K. Boulabiar, G. Buskes and R. Page, *On some properties of bilinear maps of order bounded variation*, Springer, Positivity **9**, 401–414, 2005.
- [8] C. Boyd, R. A. Ryan and N. Snigireva, *Synnatzschke’s theorem for polynomials*. Positivity **25**, 229–242, 2021.
- [9] Q. Bu and G. Buskes, *Polynomials on Banach lattices and positive tensor products*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **388** (2012), 845–862.
- [10] G. Buskes and S. Roberts, *Arens Extensions for polynomials and the Woodbury–Schep formula*, Positivity and noncommutative analysis, 37–48, Trends Math., Birkhäuser/Springer, 2019.
- [11] F. Cabello Sánchez, R. García, I. Villanueva, *Extension of multilinear operators on Banach spaces*, Extracta Math. **15** (2000), no. 2, 291–334.

- [12] P. G. Casazza and T. Shura, *Tsirelson's space*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1363, Springer, 1989.
- [13] A. M. Davie, T. W. Gamelin, *A theorem on polynomial-star approximation*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **106** (1989), no. 2, 351–356.
- [14] S. Dineen, *Complex Analysis on Infinite Dimensional Spaces*, Springer, 1999.
- [15] E. M. Galego, M. González, J. Pello, *On subprojectivity and superprojectivity of Banach spaces*, Results Math. **71** (2017), no. 3–4, 1191–1205.
- [16] J. J. Grobler. *Commutativity of the Arens product in lattice ordered algebras*, Positivity **3**, 357–364, 1999.
- [17] A. G. Kusraev and Z. A. Kusraeva, *Factorization of order bounded disjointness preserving multilinear operators*, Modern methods in operator theory and harmonic analysis, 217–236, Springer Proc. Math. Stat., 291, Springer, 2019.
- [18] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach Spaces I*, Springer, 1996.
- [19] J. Loane, *Polynomials on vector lattices*, PhD Thesis, National University of Ireland, Galway, 2007.
- [20] P. Meyer–Nieberg, *Banach Lattices*, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991.
- [21] J. Mujica, *Linearization of bounded holomorphic mappings on Banach spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **324** (1991), no. 2, 867–887.
- [22] H. H. Schaefer, *Banach Lattices and Positive Operators*, Springer, 1974.
- [23] E. Scheffold, *Über die Arens-Triadjungierte Abbildung von Bimorphismen* (German) [On the Arens tri-adjoint mapping of bimorphisms] Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. **41** (1996), no. 9-10, 697–701.
- [24] J. Schreier, *Ein Gegenbeispiel zur Theorie der schwachen Konvergenz*, Studia Math. **2** (1930), 58–62.
- [25] B. S. Tsirelson, *Not every Banach space contains an embedding of ℓ_p or c_0* , Functional Anal. Appl. **8** (1974), 138–141.

Faculdade de Matemática
 Universidade Federal de Uberlândia
 38.400-902 – Uberlândia – Brazil
 e-mail: botelho@ufu.br

Instituto de Matemática e Estatística
 Universidade de São Paulo
 05.508-090 – São Paulo – Brazil
 e-mail: luisgarcia@ime.usp.br