

On the positive zeros of generalized Narayana polynomials related to the Boros-Moll polynomials

James Jing Yu Zhao

School of Mathematics, Tianjin University,
Tianjin 300350, P.R. China

Email: jjyzhao@tju.edu.cn

Abstract. The generalized Narayana polynomials $N_{n,m}(x)$ arose from the study of infinite log-concavity of the Boros-Moll polynomials. The real-rootedness of $N_{n,m}(x)$ had been proved by Chen, Yang and Zhang. They also showed that when $n \geq m + 2$, each of the generalized Narayana polynomials has one and only one positive zero and m negative zeros, where the negative zeros of $N_{n,m}(x)$ and $N_{n+1,m+1}(x)$ have interlacing relations. In this paper, we study the properties of the positive zeros of $N_{n,m}(x)$ for $n \geq m + 2$. We first obtain a new recurrence relation for the generalized Narayana polynomials. Based on this recurrence relation, we prove upper and lower bounds for the positive zeros of $N_{n,m}(x)$. Moreover, the monotonicity of the positive zeros of $N_{n,m}(x)$ are also proved by using the new recurrence relation.

AMS Classification 2020: 05A10, 11B83, 26C10

Keywords: Generalized Narayana polynomials, positive zeros, bounds, monotonicity

1 Introduction

Let $n > k \geq 0$ be integers. The classical Narayana number $N(n, k)$, named after T.V. Narayana [20], is given by

$$N(n, k) = \frac{1}{n} \binom{n}{k} \binom{n}{k+1},$$

which appears in OEIS as A001263 in [22]. It is well known that the Narayana numbers refine the Catalan numbers $C_n = \frac{1}{n+1} \binom{2n}{n}$ since

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} N(n, k) = C_n.$$

For more information on Catalan numbers, see [6, 23]. The generating polynomials of $N(n, k)$, namely

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} N(n, k) x^k,$$

are called the Narayana polynomials. The Narayana numbers and the Narayana polynomials have attracted a lot of attention, and been extensively studied in relation to algebraic combinatorics [28, 1, 3, 2, 19], number theory [16, 21], probability and statistics [24, 5, 3, 13, 10], geometry [2] and especially to enumerative combinatorics [25, 17, 3, 8, 9, 26, 21] by the mathematical community.

It is well known that the Narayana polynomials have only real zeros, see [18]. In 2018, Chen, Yang and Zhang [9] studied a generalization of the Narayana polynomials in the following form

$$N_{n,m}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \left(\binom{n}{k} \binom{m}{k} - \binom{n}{k+1} \binom{m}{k-1} \right) x^k, \quad (1.1)$$

where m and n are nonnegative integers. Clearly, when $n = m + 1$, the generalized Narayana polynomials $N_{n,m}(x)$ reduce to the classical Narayana polynomials, say,

$$N_{m+1,m}(x) = \frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{k=0}^m \binom{m+1}{k} \binom{m+1}{k+1} x^k = \sum_{k=0}^m N(m+1, k) x^k.$$

It should be mentioned that the generalized Narayana polynomials $N_{n,m}(x)$ arose in the study of infinite log-concavity of the Boros-Moll polynomials, which were first introduced by Boros and Moll [4] while studying a quartic integral. The generalized Narayana polynomials $N_{n,m}(x)$ appear to have some interesting properties on their zeros. For instance, Chen, Yang and Zhang [9] had showed the real-rootedness of $N_{n,m}(x)$ for nonnegative integers m and n . Moreover, the real zeros of $N_{n,m}(x)$ have interlacing relations for $n \leq m + 1$. Specifically, for $n \geq m + 2$, they gave the following result on positive zeros.

Theorem 1.1. [9, Theorem 3.4] *For any $m \geq 0$ and $n \geq m$, the polynomial $N_{n,m}(x)$ has only real zeros. If $n \geq m + 2$, then $N_{n,m}(x)$ has one and only one positive zero.*

The real-rootedness of $N_{n,m}(x)$ was proved by a criterion for determining whether two polynomials have interlaced zeros established by Liu and Wang [18, Theorem 2.3] and the theory of Pólya frequency sequences [27]. The existence and uniqueness of the positive zero of each $N_{n,m}(x)$ for $n \geq m + 2$ can be obtained easily by using the well known Intermediate Value Theorem together with Descartes's Rule (see [12]). Chen et al. [9, Theorem 3.4] also proved that $N_{n,m}(x)$ has m negative zeros for $n \geq m + 2$ with $m \geq 0$, and the negative zeros of $N_{n,m}(x)$ and $N_{n+1,m+1}(x)$ have interlacing relations. Many well known functions (or polynomials) have interlacing properties for their zeros. For instance, Cho and Chung [11] had proved that the positive zeros of ν -parameter families of Bessel functions are simultaneously interlaced under certain conditions. Although the real-rootedness of $N_{n,m}(x)$ and the interlace feature of their negative zeros had been deeply studied, the properties of the positive zeros of $N_{n,m}(x)$ were still left unknown.

This paper mainly concerns with the analytic properties of the positive zeros of the generalized Narayana polynomials $N_{n,m}(x)$. Note that the case of $n = m + 2$ is trivial since $N_{m+2,m}(1) = 0$ by the Chu-Vandermonde convolution (see [14] or [15, §5.1]). For $n \geq m + 3$ with $m \geq 0$, we give upper and lower bounds for the the positive zeros of $N_{n,m}(x)$. Furthermore, we also show monotonicity of the positive zeros of $N_{n,m}(x)$. These two main results are proved by using mathematical induction together with a new three-term recurrence relation of the generalized Narayana polynomials.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give a new three-term recurrence relation of $N_{n,m}(x)$ and show a proof by hands. An alternative proof by symbolic method established by Chen, Hou and Mu [7] is also mentioned. In Section 3, we prove the first main result of this paper, the upper and lower bounds for the positive zeros of $N_{n,m}(x)$. The second main result of this paper, say, the monotonicity of the positive zeros of $N_{n,m}(x)$, are stated in Section 4.

2 Recurrence relation

In this section we show a new three-term recurrence relation of the generalized Narayana polynomials $N_{n,m}(x)$ defined in (1.1). This recurrence relation will be used to prove the main results of this paper, the bounds and the monotonicity of the positive zeros of $N_{n,m}(x)$ for $n \geq m + 3$ and $m \geq 0$.

The main result of this sciotn is as follows.

Theorem 2.1. *For any integers $m \geq 0$ and $n \geq 1$, we have*

$$c_{n,m}(x)N_{n,m+1}(x) = a_{n,m}(x)N_{n,m}(x) + b_{n,m}(x)N_{n-1,m}(x), \quad (2.1)$$

where

$$\begin{cases} a_{n,m}(x) = (m+2-n)(m^2 - n^2 + 4m + 3)x - 2n, \\ b_{n,m}(x) = n[(m+2-n)(m+1-n)x - 2](x-1), \\ c_{n,m}(x) = (m+3)(m+2-n)(m+1-n)x. \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

Proof. First, let us calculate the right-hand side of (2.1). For convenience, rewrite

$$a_{n,m}(x) = Ax - 2n \quad \text{and} \quad b_{n,m}(x) = Bx^2 - Cx + 2n,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A &= (m+2-n)(m^2 - n^2 + 4m + 3), \\ B &= n(m+2-n)(m+1-n), \\ C &= B + 2n. \end{aligned}$$

Then the right-hand side of (2.1) can be expressed as a sum of three parts, that is,

$$\begin{aligned} & a_{n,m}(x)N_{n,m}(x) + b_{n,m}(x)N_{n-1,m}(x) \\ &= (Ax - 2n)N_{n,m}(x) + (Bx^2 - Cx + 2n)N_{n-1,m}(x) \\ &= 2n(N_{n-1,m}(x) - N_{n,m}(x)) + (AxN_{n,m}(x) - CxN_{n-1,m}(x)) + Bx^2N_{n-1,m}(x). \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Observe that the coefficients of x^k in the summand of (1.1) can be rewritten as

$$\binom{n}{k} \binom{m}{k} - \binom{n}{k+1} \binom{m}{k-1} = \binom{n+1}{k+1} \binom{m+1}{k} \frac{(m-n)k + m + 1}{(n+1)(m+1)}.$$

So it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} 2n(N_{n-1,m}(x) - N_{n,m}(x)) &= 2n \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{m}{k-1} \binom{n}{k} \frac{n-m-1}{n} x^k \\ &= 2nx \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{m}{k-1} \binom{n}{k} \frac{n-m-1}{n} x^{k-1} \\ &= x \sum_{k=0}^n 2(n-m-1) \binom{m}{k} \binom{n}{k+1} x^k. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

In order to calculate the second part of (2.3), set $A = C + D$, where $D = (m+1-n)(m^2 - mn + 5m - n + 6)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} AxN_{n,m}(x) - CxN_{n-1,m}(x) &= (C+D)xN_{n,m}(x) - CxN_{n-1,m}(x) \\ &= Cx(N_{n,m}(x) - N_{n-1,m}(x)) + DxN_{n,m}(x) \\ &= x \sum_{k=0}^n C \binom{m}{k-1} \binom{n}{k} \frac{m+1-n}{n} x^k \\ &\quad + x \sum_{k=0}^n D \binom{n+1}{k+1} \binom{m+1}{k} \frac{(m-n)k + m + 1}{(n+1)(m+1)} x^k. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

For the last part of (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} Bx^2N_{n-1,m}(x) &= Bx \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n}{k+1} \binom{m+1}{k} \frac{(m+1-n)k + m + 1}{n(m+1)} x^{k+1} \\ &= x \sum_{k=0}^n B \binom{n}{k} \binom{m+1}{k-1} \frac{(m+1-n)k + n}{n(m+1)} x^k. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

Now we have that the right-hand side of (2.1) is equal to a sum of three parts as given in (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6). Clearly, the left-hand side of (2.1) is

$$c_{n,m}(x)N_{n,m+1}(x) = x \sum_{k=0}^n (m+3)(m+2-n)(m+1-n) \binom{n+1}{k+1} \binom{m+2}{k} \frac{(m+1-n)k+m+2}{(n+1)(m+2)} x^k.$$

By comparing the coefficients of x^k in the summands of (2.4), (2.5), (2.6) and $c_{n,m}(x)N_{n,m+1}(x)$, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} & 2(n-m-1) \binom{m}{k} \binom{n}{k+1} + C \binom{m}{k-1} \binom{n}{k} \frac{m+1-n}{n} + D \binom{n+1}{k+1} \binom{m+1}{k} \frac{(m-n)k+m+1}{(n+1)(m+1)} \\ & + B \binom{n}{k} \binom{m+1}{k-1} \frac{(m+1-n)k+n}{n(m+1)} \\ & = (m+3)(m+2-n)(m+1-n) \binom{n+1}{k+1} \binom{m+2}{k} \frac{(m+1-n)k+m+2}{(n+1)(m+2)}, \end{aligned}$$

where B, C and D are defined above. Therefore it follows that (2.1) holds for all integers $m \geq 0$ and $n \geq 1$. This completes the proof. \square

Specifically, when $n \neq m+1$ and $n \neq m+2$, from (2.1) we have

$$N_{n,m+1}(x) = \frac{a_{n,m}(x)}{c_{n,m}(x)} N_{n,m}(x) + \frac{b_{n,m}(x)}{c_{n,m}(x)} N_{n-1,m}(x), \quad (2.7)$$

where $a_{n,m}(x)$, $b_{n,m}(x)$ and $c_{n,m}(x)$ are given by (2.2). In the remainder of this paper, we shall use the recurrence relation (2.7) to prove our main results.

Remark 2.2. *It should be mentioned that Theorem 2.1 can also be proved by using the extended Zeilberger algorithm, a symbolic method established by Chen, Hou and Mu [7]. See [10] for example.*

3 The bounds

The aim of this section is to prove the first main result of this paper, the lower and upper bounds of the positive zeros of $N_{n,m}(x)$.

Theorem 3.1. *For $m \geq 0$ and $n \geq m+3$, denote by $r_{n,m}^+$ the positive zero of the generalized Narayana polynomial $N_{n,m}(x)$. Then we have that*

$$\frac{2(n+1)}{(m+1-n)((m+2)^2 - (n+1)^2 - 1)} < r_{n,m}^+ \leq \frac{2}{(m-n)(m+1-n)}, \quad (3.1)$$

where the equality holds only for $m = 0$.

Before proving Theorem 3.1, we first show the following two lemmas, which will be used in the proofs of our main results.

Lemma 3.2. *Fixed $m \geq 0$ and $n \geq m+4$. Then for any $x > 0$ we have*

$$N_{n-1,m}(x) > N_{n,m}(x).$$

Proof. Note that when $n \geq m + 2$, the polynomial $N_{n,m}(x)$ has degree $m + 1$. So by (1.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} N_{n-1,m}(x) - N_{n,m}(x) &= \sum_{k=0}^{m+1} \left[\binom{n-1}{k} \binom{m}{k} - \binom{n-1}{k+1} \binom{m}{k-1} - \left(\binom{n}{k} \binom{m}{k} - \binom{n}{k+1} \binom{m}{k-1} \right) \right] x^k \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{m+1} \left(-\binom{n-1}{k-1} \binom{m}{k} + \binom{n-1}{k} \binom{m}{k-1} \right) x^k \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{m+1} \binom{n}{k} \binom{m}{k-1} \frac{n-m-1}{n} x^k. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $N_{n-1,m}(x) - N_{n,m}(x) > 0$ for $m \geq 0$, $n \geq m + 4$ and $x > 0$. This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 3.3. *Given integers $m \geq 0$ and $n \geq m + 2$, let $N_{n,m}(x)$ be defined as in (1.1), and $r_{n,m}^+$ be the positive zero of $N_{n,m}(x)$. Then for any $x > 0$, we have*

- (i) $N_{n,m}(x) > 0$ if and only if $x < r_{n,m}^+$;
- (ii) $N_{n,m}(x) < 0$ if and only if $x > r_{n,m}^+$.

Proof. We first prove the sufficiency of (i) and (ii). Suppose $0 < x < r_{n,m}^+$. It is clear that $N_{n,m}(x) \neq 0$ by Theorem 1.1, because each $N_{n,m}(x)$ has one and only one positive zero when $m \geq 0$ and $n \geq m + 2$. By (1.1), each polynomial $N_{n,m}(x)$ is a continuous function with respect to x in $(-\infty, +\infty)$. If $N_{n,m}(x) < 0$, then by the continuity of $N_{n,m}(x)$ there must exist at least one positive zero in $(0, x)$ since $N_{n,m}(0) = 1 > 0$ by (1.1), which contradicts Theorem 1.1. It follows that $N_{n,m}(x) > 0$.

Suppose $x > r_{n,m}^+$. Clearly, $N_{n,m}(x) \neq 0$ by Theorem 1.1. Note that for $n \geq m + 2$, the leading term of $N_{n,m}(x)$ is $-\binom{n}{m+2}x^{m+1}$ by (1.1). Hence $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} N_{n,m}(x) = -\infty$ for $n \geq m + 2$ and $m \geq 0$. Assume $N_{n,m}(x) > 0$, then by the continuity of $N_{n,m}(x)$, there is at least one positive zero in $(x, +\infty)$, a contradiction to Theorem 1.1. This leads to that $N_{n,m}(x) < 0$.

To show the necessity of (i), suppose $N_{n,m}(x) > 0$ for $x > 0$. Clearly, $x \neq r_{n,m}^+$. If $x > r_{n,m}^+$, then by the sufficiency of (ii) proved above, we have $N_{n,m}(x) < 0$, a contradiction. It follows that $x < r_{n,m}^+$. The necessity of (ii) is obtained in a similar argument and the details are omitted. This completes the proof. \square

Now we are able to show the proof of the first main result of this paper.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. It is clear that $N_{n,m}(x)$ are polynomials with real coefficients and leading term $-\binom{n}{m+2}x^{m+1}$ for $m \geq 0$ and $n \geq m + 3$. Hence $N_{n,m}(x)$ are continuous functions with respect to x in $(-\infty, +\infty)$. Since the degree of each $N_{n,m}(x)$ is $m + 1$ for $n \geq m + 3$ and $m \geq 0$, we shall prove the bounds in (3.1) by mathematical induction on m .

For $m = 0$, we have $N_{n,0}(x) = -\binom{n}{2}x + 1$ by (1.1). It is clear that

$$\frac{2(n+1)}{(1-n)(3-(n+1)^2)} < r_{n,0}^+ = \frac{2}{n(n-1)}$$

for $n \geq 3$. Hence we have (3.1) holds for $m = 0$ with $n \geq 3$.

For $m = 1$, by (1.1) we have $N_{n,1}(x) = -\binom{n}{3}x^2 - \frac{n(n-3)}{2}x + 1$. Then

$$N_{n,1} \left(\frac{2}{(n-1)(n-2)} \right) = -\frac{2(n-3)}{3(n-1)(n-2)} < 0, \quad n \geq 4.$$

It follows from Lemma 3.3 that $r_{n,1}^+ < \frac{2}{(n-1)(n-2)}$ for $n \geq 4$. Moreover,

$$N_{n,1} \left(\frac{2(n+1)}{(n-2)((n+1)^2-8)} \right) = \frac{2(n-3)[(n-1)(2n^2+n-25)+24]}{3(n-2)(n^2+2n-7)^2} > 0, \quad n \geq 4.$$

By Lemma 3.3, $r_{n,1}^+ > \frac{2(n+1)}{(n-2)((n+1)^2-8)}$ for $n \geq 4$. Thus we have (3.1) holds true for $m = 1$ with $n \geq 4$.

Now we have proved (3.1) for $m = 0$ and $m = 1$ with $n \geq m + 3$. Next assume (3.1) holds for $m \geq 1$ and $n \geq m + 3$. We aim to prove that (3.1) holds for $m + 1$ and $n \geq m + 4$. That is, for $n \geq m + 4$,

$$x_1 < r_{n,m+1}^+ < x_2, \quad (3.2)$$

where

$$x_1 = \frac{2(n+1)}{(m+2-n)((m+3)^2-(n+1)^2-1)} \quad \text{and} \quad x_2 = \frac{2}{(m+1-n)(m+2-n)}.$$

Clearly $0 < x_1 < x_2$ for $n \geq m + 4$ and $m \geq 0$. In order to prove (3.2), it is sufficient to prove that for $n \geq m + 4$,

$$N_{n,m+1}(x_1) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad N_{n,m+1}(x_2) < 0.$$

We first prove $N_{n,m+1}(x_1) > 0$. For this purpose, we use the recurrence relation (2.7) to express $N_{n,m+1}(x_1)$ as

$$N_{n,m+1}(x_1) = \frac{a_{n,m}(x_1)}{c_{n,m}(x_1)} N_{n,m}(x_1) + \frac{b_{n,m}(x_1)}{c_{n,m}(x_1)} N_{n-1,m}(x_1). \quad (3.3)$$

For $m \geq 0$ and $n \geq m + 4$, it is clear that $0 < x_1 < 1$ and hence $c_{n,m}(x_1) > 0$ by (2.2). By a simple calculation we get

$$a_{n,m}(x_1) = -\frac{2(n-m-3)(n-m-1)}{(n+m+4)(n-m-2)+1} < 0, \quad n \geq m + 4.$$

Observe that for $m \geq 0$ and $n \geq m + 4$,

$$(m+2-n)(m+1-n)x_1 - 2 = -\frac{2(m+2)(n-m-3)}{(n+m+4)(n-m-2)+1} < 0.$$

So

$$b_{n,m}(x_1) = n[(m+2-n)(m+1-n)x_1 - 2](x_1 - 1) > 0, \quad n \geq m + 4.$$

It follows that for $m \geq 0$ and $n \geq m + 4$,

$$\frac{a_{n,m}(x_1)}{c_{n,m}(x_1)} < 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{b_{n,m}(x_1)}{c_{n,m}(x_1)} > 0.$$

To determine the sign of $N_{n,m+1}(x_1)$ it remains to ensure the sign of $N_{n,m}(x_1)$ and $N_{n-1,m}(x_1)$. We claim that $N_{n-1,m}(x_1) > 0$ for $m \geq 0$ and $n \geq m + 4$. By hypothesis, we have

$$\frac{2n}{(m+2-n)((m+2)^2-n^2-1)} < r_{n-1,m}^+.$$

This leads to

$$\begin{aligned} & x_1 - \frac{2n}{(m+2-n)((m+2)^2-n^2-1)} \\ &= -\frac{2(n-m-3)(n-m-1)}{(n-m-2)[(n+m+4)(n-m-2)+1][n^2-(m+1)(m+3)]} \\ &< 0 \end{aligned}$$

for $m \geq 0$ and $n \geq m + 4$. So

$$0 < x_1 < \frac{2n}{(m+2-n)((m+2)^2 - n^2 - 1)} < r_{n-1,m}^+.$$

It follows from Lemma 3.3 that $N_{n-1,m}(x_1) > 0$. Thus for $m \geq 0$ and $n \geq m + 4$, we have

$$\frac{b_{n,m}(x_1)}{c_{n,m}(x_1)} N_{n-1,m}(x_1) > 0. \quad (3.4)$$

Now let us consider the sign of $N_{n,m}(x_1)$. Notice that $N_{n,m}(x_1)$ can not be negative for all $n \geq m + 4$. For example, when $m = 1$,

$$N_{n,1}(x_1) = \frac{n^5 - 52n^4 + 123n^3 + 1018n^2 - 4666n + 5292}{3(n-3)^2(n^2 + 2n - 14)^2}.$$

This yields $N_{n,1}(x_1) = -61/49$ for $n = 5$, and $N_{n,1}(x_1) = 48074/410346049$ for $n = 50$. So we shall discuss in two cases.

Case 1. $N_{n,m}(x_1) \leq 0$. In this case we have $\frac{a_{n,m}(x_1)}{c_{n,m}(x_1)} N_{n,m}(x_1) \geq 0$, and hence $N_{n,m+1}(x_1) > 0$ for $m \geq 0$ and $n \geq m + 4$ by (3.3) and (3.4).

Case 2. $N_{n,m}(x_1) > 0$. Since $x_1 > 0$, by Lemma 3.2 we have that $N_{n-1,m}(x_1) > N_{n,m}(x_1)$ for $m \geq 0$ and $n \geq m + 4$. Then by (2.7),

$$\begin{aligned} N_{n,m+1}(x_1) &= \frac{a_{n,m}(x_1)}{c_{n,m}(x_1)} N_{n,m}(x_1) + \frac{b_{n,m}(x_1)}{c_{n,m}(x_1)} N_{n-1,m}(x_1) \\ &> \frac{a_{n,m}(x_1) + b_{n,m}(x_1)}{c_{n,m}(x_1)} N_{n,m}(x_1), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$a_{n,m}(x_1) + b_{n,m}(x_1) = \frac{2(n+1)(n-m-3)(n-m-1)[(n-m-3)(m+1)(n+m+4) - 2]}{[(n+m+4)(n-m-2) + 1]^2(n-m-2)} > 0$$

for $m \geq 0$ and $n \geq m + 4$. Therefore $N_{n,m+1}(x_1) > 0$ for $m \geq 0$ and $n \geq m + 4$.

Thus in both cases, it follows that $N_{n,m+1}(x_1) > 0$ for all $m \geq 1$ and $n \geq m + 4$.

It remains to prove the inequality $N_{n,m+1}(x_2) < 0$ for $n \geq m + 4$. By the recurrence relation (2.7) we have

$$N_{n,m+1}(x_2) = \frac{a_{n,m}(x_2)}{c_{n,m}(x_2)} N_{n,m}(x_2) + \frac{b_{n,m}(x_2)}{c_{n,m}(x_2)} N_{n-1,m}(x_2).$$

Observe that $(m+2-n)(m+1-n)x_2 - 2 = 0$ for $n \geq m + 4$. So $b_{n,m}(x_2) = 0$. Thus

$$N_{n,m+1}(x_2) = \frac{a_{n,m}(x_2)}{c_{n,m}(x_2)} N_{n,m}(x_2). \quad (3.5)$$

Let us determine the signs of $a_{n,m}(x_2)$, $c_{n,m}(x_2)$ and $N_{n,m}(x_2)$. For $n \geq m + 4$, it is clear that $0 < x_2 \leq 1/3$, and hence $c_{n,m}(x_2) > 0$ by (2.2). Notice that

$$a_{n,m}(x_2) = \frac{(m+2-n)(m^2 - n^2 + 4m + 3)2}{(m+1-n)(m+2-n)} - 2n = \frac{2(m+1)(n-m-3)}{n-m-1} > 0$$

for $n \geq m + 4$. By hypothesis, it follows that

$$r_{n,m}^+ < \frac{2}{(m-n)(m+1-n)} < \frac{2}{(m+1-n)(m+2-n)} = x_2 < +\infty$$

for $n \geq m + 4$. By Lemma 3.3, $N_{n,m}(x_2) < 0$ for $n \geq m + 4$. Then by (3.5) we have $N_{n,m+1}(x_2) < 0$ for $n \geq m + 4$.

Now we have proved (3.2) for $m \geq 1$ with $n \geq m + 4$. By the inductive hypothesis, it follows that the inequalities in (3.1) hold for $m \geq 0$ and $n \geq m + 3$. By the procedure of the proof, it is clear that the equality in (3.1) holds only for $m = 0$. This completes the proof. \square

The following result is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.1.

Proposition 3.4. *Let $m \geq 0$ and $n \geq m + 3$. Denote by $r_{n,m}^+$ the positive zero of $N_{n,m}(x)$. Then $0 < r_{n,m}^+ \leq \frac{1}{3}$. In addition, for any fixed $m \geq 0$,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_{n,m}^+ = 0.$$

4 The monotonicity

This section is devoted to the study of the monotonicity of the positive zeros of $N_{n,m}(x)$. The second main result of this paper is as follows.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $m \geq 0$ and $n \geq m + 3$. Denote by $r_{n,m}^+$ the positive zero of $N_{n,m}(x)$, then we have*

$$r_{n+1,m}^+ < r_{n,m}^+, \quad r_{n+1,m+1}^+ < r_{n,m}^+, \quad n \geq m + 3, \quad (4.1)$$

and

$$r_{n,m}^+ < r_{n,m+1}^+, \quad n \geq m + 4. \quad (4.2)$$

Proof. Note that $N_{n,m}(x)$ are continuous functions with respect to x in $(-\infty, +\infty)$. By Lemma 3.2 we have $N_{n,m}(x) > N_{n+1,m}(x)$ for $m \geq 0$, $n \geq m + 3$ and $x > 0$. It follows from Theorem 1.1 that

$$r_{n+1,m}^+ < r_{n,m}^+ \quad (4.3)$$

for $m \geq 0$ and $n \geq m + 3$.

Notice that $r_{n,m+1}^+ < r_{n-1,m}^+$ for $n \geq m + 4$ if and only if $r_{n+1,m+1}^+ < r_{n,m}^+$ for $n \geq m + 3$. So it remains to prove

$$r_{n,m}^+ < r_{n,m+1}^+ < r_{n-1,m}^+, \quad n \geq m + 4. \quad (4.4)$$

Next we shall use mathematical induction on m to prove (4.4).

First for $m = 0$, we aim to prove

$$r_{n,0}^+ < r_{n,1}^+ < r_{n-1,0}^+, \quad n \geq 4.$$

Clearly, $0 < r_{n,0}^+ < r_{n-1,0}^+$ for $n \geq 4$ by (4.3). Thus by the continuity of $N_{n,m}(x)$ and Theorem 1.1, it suffices to show that for $n \geq 4$,

$$N_{n,1}(r_{n,0}^+) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad N_{n,1}(r_{n-1,0}^+) < 0. \quad (4.5)$$

From (1.1) we have $N_{n,0}(x) = -\binom{n}{2}x + 1$. So $r_{n,0}^+ = 2/n(n-1)$. By the recurrence relation (2.7),

$$N_{n,1}(x) = \frac{(2-n)(-n^2+3)x-2n}{3(2-n)(1-n)x}N_{n,0}(x) + \frac{n[(2-n)(1-n)x-2](x-1)}{3(2-n)(1-n)x}N_{n-1,0}(x). \quad (4.6)$$

Obviously, $N_{n,0}(r_{n,0}^+) = 0$. Then

$$N_{n,1}(r_{n,0}^+) = \frac{n[(2-n)(1-n)r_{n,0}^+-2](r_{n,0}^+-1)}{3(2-n)(1-n)r_{n,0}^+}N_{n-1,0}(r_{n,0}^+).$$

By Lemma 3.3 we have $N_{n-1,0}(r_{n,0}^+) > 0$ since $0 < r_{n,0}^+ < r_{n-1,0}^+$. Observe that $(2-n)(1-n)r_{n,0}^+-2 = 2(n-2)/n-2 < 0$, for $n \geq 4$. It is clear that $r_{n,0}^+-1 < 0$ and $3(2-n)(1-n)r_{n,0}^+ > 0$ for $n \geq 4$. Thus $N_{n,1}(r_{n,0}^+) > 0$ for $n \geq 4$.

In order to prove $N_{n,1}(r_{n-1,0}^+) < 0$, let us consider (4.6) again. Clearly, $N_{n-1,0}(r_{n-1,0}^+) = 0$. Hence by (4.6),

$$N_{n,1}(r_{n-1,0}^+) = \frac{(2-n)(-n^2+3)r_{n-1,0}^+-2n}{3(2-n)(1-n)r_{n-1,0}^+}N_{n,0}(r_{n-1,0}^+).$$

By Lemma 3.2 we have $N_{n,0}(r_{n-1,0}^+) < 0$ since $r_{n,0}^+ < r_{n-1,0}^+$. Notice that $(2-n)(-n^2+3)r_{n-1,0}^+-2n = 2(n^2-3)/(n-1)-2n = 2(n-3)/(n-1) > 0$, for $n \geq 4$. Clearly, $3(2-n)(1-n)r_{n-1,0}^+ > 0$ for $n \geq 4$. It follows that $N_{n,1}(r_{n-1,0}^+) < 0$. So we have (4.5), and hence (4.4) holds for $m = 0$.

Now assume $r_{n,m-1}^+ < r_{n,m}^+ < r_{n-1,m-1}^+$ for $n \geq m+3$. We aim to show that

$$r_{n,m}^+ < r_{n,m+1}^+ < r_{n-1,m}^+$$

for $n \geq m+4$. Clearly, $r_{n,m}^+ < r_{n-1,m}^+$ for $n \geq m+4$ by (4.3). Therefore by the continuity of $N_{n,m}(x)$ and Theorem 1.1, it is sufficient to prove that for $n \geq m+4$,

$$N_{n,m+1}(r_{n,m}^+) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad N_{n,m+1}(r_{n-1,m}^+) < 0. \quad (4.7)$$

For this purpose, let us recall the recurrence relation (2.7)

$$N_{n,m+1}(x) = \frac{a_{n,m}(x)}{c_{n,m}(x)}N_{n,m}(x) + \frac{b_{n,m}(x)}{c_{n,m}(x)}N_{n-1,m}(x),$$

where

$$\begin{cases} a_{n,m}(x) = (m+2-n)(m^2-n^2+4m+3)x-2n, \\ b_{n,m}(x) = n[(m+2-n)(m+1-n)x-2](x-1), \\ c_{n,m}(x) = (m+3)(m+2-n)(m+1-n)x. \end{cases}$$

It follows from (2.7) that

$$N_{n,m+1}(r_{n,m}^+) = \frac{b_{n,m}(r_{n,m}^+)}{c_{n,m}(r_{n,m}^+)}N_{n-1,m}(r_{n,m}^+), \quad (4.8)$$

and

$$N_{n,m+1}(r_{n-1,m}^+) = \frac{a_{n,m}(r_{n-1,m}^+)}{c_{n,m}(r_{n-1,m}^+)}N_{n,m}(r_{n-1,m}^+). \quad (4.9)$$

Let us first determine the sign of $N_{n,m+1}(r_{n,m}^+)$. By Theorem 3.1, we have

$$r_{n,m}^+ \leq \frac{2}{(m-n)(m+1-n)} < \frac{2}{(m+2-n)(m+1-n)}$$

for $m \geq 0$ and $n \geq m+3$. So $(m+2-n)(m+1-n)r_{n,m}^+ - 2 < 0$. Since $r_{n,m}^+ - 1 < 0$, it follows that $b_{n,m}(r_{n,m}^+) > 0$. Clearly, $r_{n,m}^+ > 0$, and hence $c_{n,m}(r_{n,m}^+) > 0$ for $n \geq m+4$. By Lemma 3.3 we get $N_{n-1,m}(r_{n,m}^+) > 0$ since $0 < r_{n,m}^+ < r_{n-1,m}^+$ for $n \geq 4$. Thus by (4.8) we have $N_{n,m+1}(r_{n,m}^+) > 0$ for $n \geq m+4$.

It remains to prove $N_{n,m+1}(r_{n-1,m}^+) < 0$ for $n \geq m+4$. By Theorem 3.1, we have

$$r_{n-1,m}^+ > \frac{2n}{(m+2-n)((m+2)^2 - n^2 - 1)}$$

for $m \geq 0$ and $n \geq m+4$. Hence $a_{n,m}(r_{n-1,m}^+) > 0$ for $n \geq m+4$. It is clear that $r_{n-1,m}^+ > 0$ and hence $c_{n,m}(r_{n-1,m}^+) > 0$, for $n \geq m+4$. By Lemma 3.3 we have $N_{n,m}(r_{n-1,m}^+) < 0$ because $r_{n,m}^+ < r_{n-1,m}^+$. Then by (4.9) it follows that $N_{n,m+1}(r_{n-1,m}^+) < 0$ for $m \geq 0$ and $n \geq m+4$.

Since (4.7) has been proved, it follows that

$$r_{n,m}^+ < r_{n,m+1}^+ < r_{n-1,m}^+$$

for $n \geq m+4$. By the inductive hypothesis, we have (4.4) holds for $n \geq m+4$. This completes the proof. \square

Acknowledgements. This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant Nos. 11771330 and 11971203.

References

- [1] D. Armstrong, Generalized noncrossing partitions and combinatorics of Coxeter groups, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 202 (949) (2009) x+159.
- [2] D. Armstrong, V. Reiner, B. Rhoades, Parking spaces, Adv. Math. 269 (2015) 647–706.
- [3] J.-C. Aval, M. D’Adderio, M. Dukes, A. Hicks, Y. Le Borgne, Statistics on parallelogram polyominoes and a q, t -analogue of the Narayana numbers, J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A 123 (1) (2014) 271–286.
- [4] G. Boros, V. Moll, Irresistible Integrals, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004.
- [5] P. Brändén, q -Narayana numbers and the flag h -vector of $J(2 \times n)$, Discrete Math. 281(1-3) (2004) 67–81.
- [6] M.E. Catalan, Sur les Nombres de Segner, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo 1 (1887) 190–201.
- [7] W.Y.C. Chen, Q.-H. Hou, Y.-P. Mu, The extended Zeilberger algorithm with parameters, J. Symbolic Comput. 47(6) (2012) 643–654.
- [8] H.Z.Q. Chen, A.L.B. Yang, P.B. Zhang, Kirillov’s unimodality conjecture for the rectangular Narayana polynomials, Electron. J. Combin., 25(1) (2018) P1.17.
- [9] H.Z.Q. Chen, A.L.B. Yang, P.B. Zhang, The real-rootedness of generalized Narayana polynomials related to the Boros-Moll Polynomials, Rocky Mountain J. Math. 48(1) (2018) 107–119.

- [10] X. Chen, A.L.B. Yang, J.J.Y. Zhao, Recurrences for Callan’s Generalization of Narayana Polynomials, *J. Syst. Sci. Complex.* 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11424-021-0216-z>.
- [11] Y.-K. Cho, S.-Y. Chung, Collective interlacing and ranges of the positive zeros of Bessel functions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 500 (1) (2021) 125116.
- [12] D.R. Curtiss, Recnet extensions of Descartes’ rule of signs, *Ann. Math.* 19(4) (1918) 251–278.
- [13] J. Fulman, A. Röllin, Stein’s method and Narayana numbers, *Statist. Probab. Lett.* 165 (2020) 108835.
- [14] H.W. Gould, Some generalizations of Vandermonde’s convolution, *Amer. Math. Monthly* 63(2) (1956) 84–91.
- [15] R.L. Graham, D.E. Knuth, O. Patashnik, *Concrete Mathematics: A Foundation for Computer Science*, 2nd Edition, Addison-Wesley Professional, 1994.
- [16] V.J.W. Guo, Q.-Q. Jiang, Factors of alternating sums of powers of q -Narayana numbers, *J. Number Theory* 177 (2017) 37–42.
- [17] S. Kamioka, Laurent biorthogonal polynomials, q -Narayana polynomials and domino tilings of the Aztec diamonds, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 123 (1) (2014) 14–29.
- [18] L.L. Liu, Y. Wang, A unified approach to polynomial sequences with only real zeros, *Adv. Appl. Math.* 38 (2007) 542–560.
- [19] S.-M. Ma, J. Ma, Y.-N. Yeh, γ -positivity and partial γ -positivity of descent-type polynomials, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 167 (2019) 257–293.
- [20] T.V. Narayana, Sur les treillis formés par les partitions d’une unities et leurs applications à la théorie des probabilités, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* 240 (1955) 1188–1189.
- [21] B.E. Sagan, J. Tirrell, Lucas atoms, *Adv. Math.* 374 (18) (2020) 107387.
- [22] N.J.A. Sloane, The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, <https://oeis.org/A281260>.
- [23] R.P. Stanley, *Enumerative Combinatorics*, Vol. 1, 2nd Ed., Cambridge University Press, New York, 2012.
- [24] R.A. Sulanke, The Narayana distribution, *J. Statist. Plann. Inference* 101 (2002) 311–326.
- [25] R.A. Sulanke, Generalizing Narayana and Schröder Numbers to Higher Dimensions, *Electron. J. Combin.* 11 (2004) #R54.
- [26] Y. Wang, A.L.B. Yang, Total positivity of Narayana matrices, *Discrete Maths.* 341(5) (2018) 1264–1269.
- [27] Y. Wang, Y.-N. Yeh, Polynomials with real zeros and Pólya frequency sequences, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 109 (2005) 63–74.
- [28] L.K. Williams, Enumeration of totally positive Grassmann cells, *Adv. Math.* 190 (2) (2005) 319–342.