

FREE WEIGHTED (MODIFIED) DIFFERENTIAL ALGEBRAS, FREE (MODIFIED) ROTA-BAXTER ALGEBRAS AND GRÖBNER-SHIRSHOV BASES

ZHICHENG ZHU, HUHU ZHANG, AND XING GAO*

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we obtain respectively some new linear bases of free unitary (modified) weighted differential algebras and free nonunitary (modified) Rota-Baxter algebras, in terms of the method of Gröbner-Shirshov bases.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
1.1. Gröbner-Shirshov bases	2
1.2. (Modified) weighted differential algebras	2
1.3. (Modified) Rota-Baxter algebras	2
1.4. Structure of the paper	3
2. Free operated algebras and Gröbner-Shirshov bases	4
2.1. Free operated algebras	4
2.2. Gröbner-Shirshov bases	5
3. New bases of free weighted (modified) differential algebras	7
3.1. Two monomial orders on $\mathfrak{M}(X)$	7
3.2. New bases of free weighted differential algebras	11
3.3. New bases of free weighted modified differential algebras	14
4. New bases of free (modified) Rota-Baxter algebras	16
4.1. New bases of free nonunitary Rota-Baxter algebras	17
4.2. New bases of free nonunitary modified Rota-Baxter algebras	19
References	21

1. INTRODUCTION

The free object is the most significant object in a category. The free (modified) weighted differential algebra and the free (modified) Rota-Baxter algebra have been constructed before. The aim of the present paper is to establish two new monomial orders on bracketed words and then to obtain some new linear bases of free unitary weighted (modified) differential algebras and free nonunitary (modified) Rota-Baxter algebras on sets, using the method of Gröbner-Shirshov bases.

Date: August 10, 2021.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 16W99, 16S10, 13P10, 12H05, 08B20, 16T30,

Key words and phrases. Gröbner-Shirshov bases; free (modified) Rota-Baxter algebras; free weighted (modified) differential algebras.

* Corresponding author.

Throughout this paper, let \mathbf{k} denote a unitary commutative ring of characteristic zero, which will be the base ring of all modules, algebras, tensor products, as well as linear maps. Unless otherwise stated, all algebras are associative and noncommutative, and by an algebra we mean a unitary algebra.

1.1. Gröbner-Shirshov bases. The theories of Gröbner-Shirshov bases and Gröbner bases were invented independently by Shirshov for anti-commutative and nonassociative algebras in 1962 [43], by Hironaka [32](1964) and Buchberger [12] (1965) for polynomial algebras. Later Bokut, Chen and Guo et al. studied the Gröbner-Shirshov bases for associative algebras with multiple operators [6, 28] and constructed a lot of free objects as applications. See [5] and references therein for more details.

1.2. (Modified) weighted differential algebras. The differential algebra, introduced by Ritt [38], is an algebraic approach to differential equations replacing analytic notions like differential quotient by Leibniz rule. Later due to the work of Kolchin and many other mathematicians, the differential algebra has broad applications in mathematics and physics, such as algebraic group [33], category [36], Galois theory [44], operad [21], Poisson Hopf algebra [29] and representation theory [42].

The notion of a differential algebra of weight λ was first invented by Guo and Keigher [22], defined to be an algebra equipped with a linear operator D satisfying $D(1) = 0$ and the **weighted Leibniz rule**

$$D(xy) = D(x)y + xD(y) + \lambda D(x)D(y),$$

which generalizes simultaneously the concept of the classical differential algebra and difference algebra [27]. Applying the same method as for free differential algebras (of weight 0), free differential algebras of weight λ on sets were constructed in both the commutative case and the noncommutative case [22], taking the monomial $D(xy)$ as the role of leading monomial. In the present paper, we reconstruct free differential algebras of weight λ on sets by choosing other monomials as leading monomial, via the method of Gröbner-Shirshov bases.

A Rota-Baxter operator (see below) gives a modified Rota-Baxter operator (see below) through a linear transformation [17]. More precisely, from a Rota-Baxter operator P of weight λ , we obtain a modified Rota-Baxter operator Q of weight $-\lambda^2$ by a linear transformation: $Q := -2P - \lambda \text{id}$. Motivated by this, the concept of weighted modified differential algebra was introduced in [39], by taking a linear transformation of a differential operator d . Namely, starting from a differential operator d , the operator $D := d - \lambda \text{id}$ is a modified differential operator of weight λ satisfying

$$D(xy) = D(x)y + xD(y) + \lambda xy.$$

The modified differential operator of weight λ is a kind of differential type operator, and the corresponding free object was constructed in [28], taking the monomial $D(xy)$ as the leading monomial again. Parrel to the differential case, we reconstruct free weighted modified differential algebras by taking other monomials as leading monomial in this paper.

1.3. (Modified) Rota-Baxter algebras. The concept of a Rota-Baxter algebra was originated from Baxter's probability study to understand Spitzer's identity in fluctuation theory [3]. In that paper, Baxter was led to a linear operator P on an algebra of functions satisfying the **Rota-Baxter identity**

$$P(x)P(y) = P(P(x)y + xP(y) + \lambda xy).$$

This was further developed by Rota [40] and Cartier [13], concerning the relationship with combinatorics. After a period of relatively little activity, Rota-Baxter algebra has enjoyed a resurgence in the new century. Now it has remarkable applications in mathematics and physics [1, 4, 7, 18, 23, 37, 26]. In particular, it was found crucial applications in the Connes-Kreimer's algebraic approach to renormalization of quantum field theory [14, 15].

The construction of free Rota-Baxter algebras can be divided into the commutative case and the noncommutative case. For the commutative case, Rota [40] and Cartier [13] gave explicit constructions of the free commutative nonunitary Rota-Baxter algebra of weight -1 on a set. Later these constructions were generalized by Guo and Keigher [23]. There Guo and Keigher generalized the classical shuffle product to the mixable shuffle product and constructed the free commutative unitary Rota-Baxter algebra of any weight λ on an algebra, containing the free Rota-Baxter algebra of Rota or Cartier as a sub-Rota-Baxter algebra.

For the noncommutative case, free noncommutative Rota-Baxter algebras of arbitrary weight λ were constructed in both the category of unitary Rota-Baxter algebras and that of nonunit Rota-Baxter algebras [18, 19, 25], utilizing some combinatorial objects such as bracketed words and planar rooted trees, with the later being related rooted trees of Connes-Kreimer [14] and planar binary trees of Loday-Ronco [35]. In addition, Bokut et al. [6] established the Composition-Diamond lemma for nonunitary algebras with multiple linear operators and obtained a Gröbner-Shirshov basis of the free nonunitary Rota-Baxter algebra. More generally, Guo et al. studied the Rota-Baxter type algebra and constructed the free object uniformly in that category [47].

In all of the above constructions, the monomial $P(x)P(y)$ in the Rota-Baxter identity is treated as the role of leading monomial. A natural question is that can we choose other monomials in the Rota-Baxter identity as the leading monomial to construct free Rota-Baxter algebras. This is carried out affirmatively in the present paper via the method of Gröbner-Shirshov bases, focusing on the noncommutative nonunitary case.

In the 1980s, Semonov-Tian-Shansky [41] found that, under suitable conditions, r is a solution of the classical Yang-Baxter equation if and only if the corresponding operator P is a Rota-Baxter operator of weight zero

$$[P(x), P(y)] = P[P(x), y] + P[x, P(y)]$$

on a Lie algebra. As a modified form of the operator form of the classical Yang-Baxter equation, he also initiated in that paper the **modified classical Yang-Baxter equation**

$$[P(x), P(y)] = P[P(x), y] + P[x, P(y)] - [x, y],$$

which has connections with generalized Lax pairs and affine geometry on Lie groups [9, 11, 34]. As the associative version, the **modified associative Yang-Baxter equation**

$$P(x)P(y) = P(P(x)y) + P(xP(y)) - xy.$$

has been applied to the study of extended \mathcal{O} -operators, associative Yang-Baxter equations, infinitesimal bialgebras and dendriform algebras [10]. Quite recently, Guo et al. [46] constructed the free modified Rota-Baxter algebra via bracketed words, taking $P(x)P(y)$ as the role of leading monomial. In this paper, we also reconstructed the free nonunitary modified Rota-Baxter algebra, in terms of the method of Gröbner-Shirshov bases by choosing other monomials as leading monomial.

1.4. Structure of the paper. In Section 2, we review the construction of the free operated algebra on a set and some basic notations of the theory of Gröbner-Shirshov bases. In particular, the cornerstone Composition-Diamond lemma is recalled (Lemmas 2.9 and 2.10), which will be

used frequently in the paper. Section 3 is devoted to some new linear bases of the free (modified) differential algebra. We first define two new monomial orders on bracketed words (Theorem 3.8). Based on these two monomial orders, we then acquire two new linear bases of the free differential algebra of weight zero (Theorem 3.10 and Theorem 3.11). Next we obtain a new linear basis of the free differential algebra of nonzero weight (Theorem 3.13). Finally for the case of modified differential algebras, we erect two new linear bases of the free weighted modified differential algebra (Theorem 3.16 and Theorem 3.17). Parallel to the case of differential algebra, some new linear bases of the free nonunitary (modified) Rota-Baxter algebra were constructed in Section 4, utilizing the monomial orders in the last section. After explaining why we narrow ourself to the nonunitary case, we obtain two new linear bases of the free nonunitary Rota-Baxter algebra (Theorem 4.2 and Theorem 4.4). Similarly, these can be done for the free nonunitary modified Rota-Baxter algebra (Theorem 4.6 and Theorem 4.7).

2. FREE OPERATED ALGEBRAS AND GRÖBNER-SHIRSHOV BASES

In this section, we review the construction of free operated algebras and some required terminologies of the theory of Gröbner-Shirshov bases.

2.1. Free operated algebras. Let us start with the concept of operated algebras.

Definition 2.1. [6, 25] An **operated monoid** (resp. **operated algebra**) is a monoid (resp. algebra) U together with a map (resp. linear map) $P_U : U \rightarrow U$.

Let X be a set. Denote by $M(X)$ the free monoid on X with the identity $\mathbf{1}$. We will construct the free operated monoid $\mathfrak{M}(X)$ on X . The construction proceeds via the stages $\mathfrak{M}_n(X)$ recursively defined as follows. The initial stage is $\mathfrak{M}_0(X) := M(X)$ and $\mathfrak{M}_1(X) := M(X \cup [\mathfrak{M}_0(X)])$, where $[\mathfrak{M}_0(X)] := \{[u] \mid u \in \mathfrak{M}_0(X)\}$ is a disjoint copy of $\mathfrak{M}_0(X)$. The inclusion $X \hookrightarrow X \cup [\mathfrak{M}_0]$ induces a monomorphism

$$i_0 : \mathfrak{M}_0(X) = M(X) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{M}_1(X) = M(X \cup [\mathfrak{M}_0])$$

of monoids through which we identify $\mathfrak{M}_0(X)$ with its image in $\mathfrak{M}_1(X)$.

For $n \geq 2$, assume inductively that $\mathfrak{M}_{n-1}(X)$ has been defined and the embedding

$$i_{n-2, n-1} : \mathfrak{M}_{n-2}(X) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{n-1}(X)$$

has been obtained. Then we define

$$\mathfrak{M}_n(X) := M(X \cup [\mathfrak{M}_{n-1}(X)]).$$

Since $\mathfrak{M}_{n-1}(X) = M(X \cup [\mathfrak{M}_{n-2}(X)])$ is a free monoid, the injection

$$[\mathfrak{M}_{n-2}(X)] \hookrightarrow [\mathfrak{M}_{n-1}(X)]$$

induces a monoid embedding

$$\mathfrak{M}_{n-1}(X) = M(X \cup [\mathfrak{M}_{n-2}(X)]) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{M}_n(X) = M(X \cup [\mathfrak{M}_{n-1}(X)]).$$

Finally we define the monoid

$$\mathfrak{M}(X) := \bigcup_{n \geq 0} \mathfrak{M}_n(X),$$

whose elements are called **bracketed words** or **bracketed monomials on X** . The identity of $\mathfrak{M}(X)$ is $\mathbf{1}$.

Let $\mathbf{k}\mathfrak{M}(X)$ be the free module spanned by $\mathfrak{M}(X)$. The multiplication on $\mathfrak{M}(X)$ extends by linearity to turn the module $\mathbf{k}\mathfrak{M}(X)$ into an algebra. Furthermore, we extend the operator $[\] :$

$\mathfrak{M}(X) \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}(X)$, $w \mapsto \lfloor w \rfloor$ to an operator P on $\mathbf{k}\mathfrak{M}(X)$ by linearity, turning the algebra $\mathbf{k}\mathfrak{M}(X)$ into an operated algebra.

Lemma 2.2. ([25, Corollary 3.7]) *Let $i_X : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}(X)$ and $j_X : \mathfrak{M}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{k}\mathfrak{M}(X)$ be the natural embeddings. Then, with the notations above,*

- (a) *the triple $(\mathfrak{M}(X), P, i_X)$ is the free operated monoid on X ; and*
- (b) *the triple $(\mathbf{k}\mathfrak{M}(X), P, j_X \circ i_X)$ is the free operated algebra on X .*

2.2. Gröbner-Shirshov bases. In this subsection, we provide some backgrounds on Gröbner-Shirshov bases [6, 20, 28].

Definition 2.3. Let \star be a symbol not in X and $X^\star = X \sqcup \{\star\}$.

- (a) By a **\star -bracketed word** on X , we mean any bracketed word in $\mathfrak{M}(X^\star)$ with exactly one occurrence of \star , counting multiplicities. The set of all \star -bracketed words on X is denoted by $\mathfrak{M}^\star(X)$.
- (b) For $q \in \mathfrak{M}^\star(X)$ and $u \in \mathfrak{M}(X)$, we define $q|_u := q|_{\star \mapsto u}$ to be the bracketed word on X obtained by replacing the symbol \star in q by u .
- (c) For $q \in \mathfrak{M}^\star(X)$ and $s = \sum_i c_i u_i \in \mathbf{k}\mathfrak{M}(X)$, where $c_i \in \mathbf{k}$ and $u_i \in \mathfrak{M}(X)$, we define

$$q|_s := \sum_i c_i q|_{u_i}.$$

Operated ideals in $\mathbf{k}\mathfrak{M}(X)$ can be characterized by \star -bracketed words.

Lemma 2.4. ([28, Lemma 3.2]) *Let $S \subseteq \mathbf{k}\mathfrak{M}(X)$. Then the operated ideal generated by S is*

$$\text{Id}(S) = \left\{ \sum_i c_i q_i|_{s_i} \mid c_i \in \mathbf{k}, q_i \in \mathfrak{M}^\star(X), s_i \in S \right\}.$$

A monomial order is a well-order compatible with all operations, and the definition of Gröbner-Shirshov bases is based on a fixed monomial order.

Definition 2.5. A **monomial order** on $\mathfrak{M}(X)$ is a well-order \leq on $\mathfrak{M}(X)$ such that

- (1) $u < v \implies q|_u < q|_v$, for $u, v \in \mathfrak{M}(X)$ and $q \in \mathfrak{M}^\star(X)$.

Since \leq is a well-order, it follows from Eq. (1) that $1 \leq u$ and $u < \lfloor u \rfloor$ for all $u \in \mathfrak{M}(X)$.

Definition 2.6. Let $s \in \mathbf{k}\mathfrak{M}(X)$ and \leq a linear order on $\mathfrak{M}(X)$.

- (a) Let $s \notin \mathbf{k}$. The **leading monomial** of s , denoted by \bar{s} , is the largest monomial appearing in s . The **leading coefficient** of s , denoted by c_s , is the coefficient of \bar{s} in s .
- (b) If $s \in \mathbf{k}$, we define the **leading monomial** of s to be 1 and the **leading coefficient** of s to be $c_s = s$.
- (c) The element s is called **monic with respect to \leq** if $s \notin \mathbf{k}$ and $c_s = 1$. A subset $S \subseteq \mathbf{k}\mathfrak{M}(X)$ is called **monic with respect to \leq** if every $s \in S$ is monic with respect to \leq .

The following are the main concepts of Gröbner-Shirshov bases based on $\mathbf{k}\mathfrak{M}(X)$. A nonunit element w of $\mathfrak{M}(X)$ can be uniquely expressed in the form

$$w = w_1 w_2 \cdots w_k$$

for some k and some $w_i \in X \cup \lfloor \mathfrak{M}(X) \rfloor$, $1 \leq i \leq k$. In this case, we call w_i **prime** and k the **breadth** of w , denoted by $|w| := k$. We also define $|\mathbf{1}| = 0$ for convenience.

Definition 2.7. Let \leq be a monomial order on $\mathfrak{M}(X)$ and $f, g \in \mathbf{k}\mathfrak{M}(X)$ monic.

- (a) If there are $u, v, w \in \mathfrak{M}(X)$ such that $w = \overline{f}u = \overline{v}g$ with $\max\{|\overline{f}|, |\overline{v}|\} < |w| < |\overline{f}| + |\overline{v}|$, we call

$$(f, g)_w := (f, g)_w^{u,v} := fu - vg$$

the **intersection composition of f and g with respect to w** .

- (b) If there are $w \in \mathfrak{M}(X)$ and $q \in \mathfrak{M}^*(X)$ such that $w = \overline{f} = q|_{\overline{g}}$, we call

$$(f, g)_w := (f, g)_w^q := f - q|_g$$

the **including composition of f and g with respect to w** .

Definition 2.8. Let \leq be a monomial order on $\mathfrak{M}(X)$ and $S \subseteq \mathbf{k}\mathfrak{M}(X)$ monic.

- (a) An element $f \in \mathbf{k}\mathfrak{M}(X)$ is called **trivial modulo (S, w)** with $w \in \mathfrak{M}(X)$ if

$$f = \sum_i c_i q_i |_{s_i} \text{ with } \overline{q_i |_{s_i}} < w, \text{ where } c_i \in \mathbf{k}, q_i \in \mathfrak{M}^*(X), s_i \in S,$$

denoted by $f \equiv 0 \pmod{(S, w)}$. We write $f \equiv g \pmod{(S, w)}$ if $f - g \equiv 0 \pmod{(S, w)}$.

- (b) We call S a **Gröbner-Shirshov basis** in $\mathbf{k}\mathfrak{M}(X)$ with respect to \leq if, for all pairs $f, g \in S$, every intersection composition of the form $(f, g)_w^{u,v}$ is trivial modulo (S, w) , and every including composition of the form $(f, g)_w^q$ is trivial modulo (S, w) .

The Composition-Diamond lemma is the corner stone of the theory of Gröbner-Shirshov bases.

Lemma 2.9. (Composition-Diamond lemma [28]) *Let \leq be a monomial order on $\mathfrak{M}(X)$ and $S \subseteq \mathbf{k}\mathfrak{M}(X)$ monic with respect to \leq . Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (a) S is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in $\mathbf{k}\mathfrak{M}(X)$.
 (b) For every nonzero $f \in \text{Id}(S)$, $\overline{f} = q|_{\overline{s}}$ for some $q \in \mathfrak{M}^*(X)$ and some $s \in S$.
 (c) For every nonzero $f \in \text{Id}(S)$, f can be expressed in triangular form

$$f = c_1 q_1 |_{s_1} + c_2 q_2 |_{s_2} + \cdots + c_n q_n |_{s_n},$$

where $0 \neq c_i \in \mathbf{k}$, $s_i \in S$, $q_i \in \mathfrak{M}^*(X)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, and

$$q_1 |_{\overline{s_1}} > q_2 |_{\overline{s_2}} > \cdots > q_n |_{\overline{s_n}}.$$

- (d) $\eta(\text{Irr}(S))$ is a \mathbf{k} -basis of $\mathbf{k}\mathfrak{M}(X)/\text{Id}(S)$, where $\eta: \mathbf{k}\mathfrak{M}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{k}\mathfrak{M}(X)/\text{Id}(S)$ is the canonical homomorphism of modules and

$$\text{Irr}(S) = \mathfrak{M}(X) \setminus \{q|_{\overline{s}} \mid s \in S, q \in \mathfrak{M}^*(X)\}.$$

More precisely as modules,

$$\mathbf{k}\mathfrak{M}(X) = \mathbf{k}\text{Irr}(S) \oplus \text{Id}(S).$$

The following is the nonunitary version of the above lemma. The key point is in place of the free monoid in the construction of $\mathfrak{M}(X)$ by the free semigroup to obtain $\mathfrak{S}(X)$. See[6] for more details.

Lemma 2.10. (Composition-Diamond lemma [6]) *Let \leq be a monomial order on $\mathfrak{S}(X)$ and $S \subseteq \mathbf{k}\mathfrak{S}(X)$ monic with respect to \leq . Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (a) S is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in $\mathbf{k}\mathfrak{S}(X)$.

- (b) For every nonzero $f \in \text{Id}(S)$, $\bar{f} = q|_{\bar{s}}$ for some $q \in \mathfrak{S}^*(X)$ and some $s \in S$.
(c) For every nonzero $f \in \text{Id}(S)$, f can be expressed in triangular form

$$f = c_1 q_1|_{s_1} + c_2 q_2|_{s_2} + \cdots + c_n q_n|_{s_n},$$

where $0 \neq c_i \in \mathbf{k}$, $s_i \in S$, $q_i \in \mathfrak{S}^*(X)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, and

$$q_1|_{\bar{s}_1} > q_2|_{\bar{s}_2} > \cdots > q_n|_{\bar{s}_n}.$$

- (d) $\eta(\text{Irr}(S))$ is a \mathbf{k} -basis of $\mathbf{k}\mathfrak{S}(X)/\text{Id}(S)$, where $\eta: \mathbf{k}\mathfrak{S}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{k}\mathfrak{S}(X)/\text{Id}(S)$ is the canonical homomorphism of modules and

$$\text{Irr}(S) = \mathfrak{S}(X) \setminus \{q|_{\bar{s}} \mid s \in S, q \in \mathfrak{S}^*(X)\}.$$

More precisely as modules,

$$\mathbf{k}\mathfrak{S}(X) = \mathbf{k}\text{Irr}(S) \oplus \text{Id}(S).$$

3. NEW BASES OF FREE WEIGHTED (MODIFIED) DIFFERENTIAL ALGEBRAS

In this section, we obtain some new linear bases of free weighted differential algebras and free weighted modified differential algebras, in terms of the method of Gröbner-Shirshov bases.

3.1. Two monomial orders on $\mathfrak{M}(X)$. We are going to build two new monomial orders on top of $\mathfrak{M}(X)$, which are motivated from the path-lexicographical order on planar rooted trees [31]. Let us first give an example to expose our idea. Denote $X^* = X \sqcup \{\llbracket \mathbf{1} \rrbracket\}$.

Example 3.1. Let $w = \llbracket x|y|z \rrbracket \llbracket \mathbf{1} \rrbracket \in \mathfrak{M}(X)$ with $x, y, z \in X$. From left to right, w has four letters $x, y, z, \llbracket \mathbf{1} \rrbracket \in X^*$. We would like to define $\text{Path}_w(x) := \mu P \mu x$ for the first letter x , $\text{Path}_w(y) := \mu P \mu P y$ for the second letter y , $\text{Path}_w(z) := \mu P \mu z$ for the third letter z and $\text{Path}_w(\llbracket \mathbf{1} \rrbracket) := \mu P$ for the fourth letter $\llbracket \mathbf{1} \rrbracket$. Here P and μ stand for the operator $\llbracket \]$ and the multiplication, respectively. Finally, define

$$\text{Path}_l(w) := \left(\text{Path}_w(x), \text{Path}_w(y), \text{Path}_w(z), \text{Path}_w(\llbracket \mathbf{1} \rrbracket) \right) = (\mu P \mu x, \mu P \mu P y, \mu P \mu z, \mu P)$$

and

$$\text{Path}_r(w) := \left(\text{Path}_w(\llbracket \mathbf{1} \rrbracket), \text{Path}_w(z), \text{Path}_w(y), \text{Path}_w(x) \right) = (\mu P, \mu P \mu z, \mu P \mu P y, \mu P \mu x).$$

□

Formally, let X be a set of letters and $X^* = X \sqcup \{\llbracket \mathbf{1} \rrbracket\}$. Define $\deg_{X^*}(\mathbf{1}) := 0$. For $w \in \mathfrak{M}(X) \setminus \{\mathbf{1}\}$, denote by $\deg_{X^*}(w)$ the total number of all occurrences of all letters $x \in X^*$ in w . For example,

$$\deg_{X^*}(\llbracket \mathbf{1} \rrbracket) = 1, \deg_{X^*}(x) = 1, \deg_{X^*}(xx) = 2 \text{ and } \deg_{X^*}(x \llbracket \mathbf{1} \rrbracket) = 2.$$

Let P and μ be two symbols not in X^* , representing the operator $\llbracket \]$ and the multiplication, respectively. Let $w \in \mathfrak{M}(X)$. Recall $|w|$ is the breadth of w and $\text{dep}(w) := \min\{n \mid w \in \mathfrak{M}_n(X)\}$ is the depth of w . Assume that x_1, \dots, x_k are all letters in X^* appearing in w , from left to right. Notice that x_i and x_j may be equal for different i and j . For each x_i with $1 \leq i \leq k$, we define $\text{Path}_w(x_i) \in M(X \sqcup \{P, \mu\})$ inductively on $\text{dep}(w) \geq 0$. For the initial step of $\text{dep}(w) = 0$, if $w = \mathbf{1}$, then define $\text{Path}_w(x_i) := \mathbf{1}$; if $w \neq \mathbf{1}$, then $x_i \in X$ and define

$$\text{Path}_w(x_i) := \begin{cases} x_i \in M(X \sqcup \{P, \mu\}), & \text{if } |w| = 1; \\ \mu x_i \in M(X \sqcup \{P, \mu\}), & \text{if } |w| \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

For $\text{dep}(w) \geq 1$, we reduce to the induction on breadth $|w| \geq 1$. If $w = [\mathbf{1}]$, then $x_i = [\mathbf{1}]$ and define $\text{Path}_w(x_i) := P$. Suppose $w \neq [\mathbf{1}]$. If $|w| = 1$, then $w = [w']$ for some $w' \in \mathfrak{M}(X) \setminus \{\mathbf{1}\}$ and define

$$\text{Path}_w(x_i) := P \text{Path}_{w'}(x_i) \in M(X \sqcup \{P, \mu\}),$$

where $\text{Path}_{w'}(x_i)$ is defined by the induction hypothesis on depth. If $|w| \geq 2$, then $w = w_1 \cdots w_n$ for some prime elements w_1, \dots, w_n and x_i appears in some w_j . Define

$$\text{Path}_w(x_i) := \mu \text{Path}_{w_j}(x_i),$$

where $\text{Path}_{w_j}(x_i)$ is defined by the induction hypothesis on breadth. Finally, we define

$$\text{Path}_l(w) := (\text{Path}_w(x_1), \text{Path}_w(x_2), \dots, \text{Path}_w(x_k)) \in (M(X \sqcup \{P, \mu\}))^k,$$

$$\text{Path}_r(w) := (\text{Path}_w(x_k), \text{Path}_w(x_{k-1}), \dots, \text{Path}_w(x_1)) \in (M(X \sqcup \{P, \mu\}))^k.$$

In particular, we have

$$\text{Path}_l(\mathbf{1}) = \text{Path}_r(\mathbf{1}) = \mathbf{1},$$

$$\text{Path}_l([\mathbf{1}]) = \text{Path}_r([\mathbf{1}]) = P = P\mathbf{1} = P \text{Path}_l(\mathbf{1}),$$

$$\text{Path}_l([\mathbf{1}][\mathbf{1}]) = (\mu P, \mu P) = \text{Path}_r([\mathbf{1}][\mathbf{1}]).$$

We give an example for better understanding.

Example 3.2. Let $u = [x[y]][\mathbf{1}] \in \mathfrak{M}(X)$ and $v = [xy]z$ with $x, y, z \in X$. Then

$$\text{Path}_l(u) = (\text{Path}_u(x), \text{Path}_u(y), \text{Path}_u([\mathbf{1}])) = (\mu P \mu x, \mu P \mu P y, \mu P),$$

$$\text{Path}_r(u) = (\text{Path}_u([\mathbf{1}]), \text{Path}_u(y), \text{Path}_u(x)) = (\mu P, \mu P \mu P y, \mu P \mu x),$$

$$\text{Path}_l(v) = (\text{Path}_v(x), \text{Path}_v(y), \text{Path}_v(z)) = (\mu P \mu x, \mu P \mu y, \mu z),$$

$$\text{Path}_r(v) = (\text{Path}_v(z), \text{Path}_v(y), \text{Path}_v(x)) = (\mu z, \mu P \mu y, \mu P \mu x).$$

□

Let (X, \leq) be a well-ordered set. Recall the **degree lexicographical order** \leq_{dlex} on $M(X)$ is, for any $u = u_1 \cdots u_k, v = v_1 \cdots v_\ell \in M(X) \setminus \{\mathbf{1}\}$, where $u_1, \dots, u_k, v_1, \dots, v_\ell \in X$,

$$u \leq_{\text{dlex}} v \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} |u| < |v|, \\ \text{or } |u| = |v| (= k) \text{ and } (u_1, \dots, u_k) \leq (v_1, \dots, v_k) \text{ lexicographically.} \end{cases}$$

Here we use the convention that $\mathbf{1} \leq u$ for all $u \in M(X)$. It is well-known that the degree lexicographical order \leq_{dlex} on $M(X)$ is a well-order [2]. Assume $x < P < \mu$ for all $x \in X$. Then $X \sqcup \{P, \mu\}$ is a well-ordered set, and we have a degree lexicographical order \leq_{dlex} on $M(X \sqcup \{P, \mu\})$. Let $u, v \in \mathfrak{M}(X)$. Define

$$(2) \quad u \leq_{\text{PL}_l} v \Leftrightarrow (\deg_{X^*}(u), \text{Path}_l(u)) \leq (\deg_{X^*}(v), \text{Path}_l(v)) \text{ lexicographically,}$$

$$(3) \quad u \leq_{\text{PL}_r} v \Leftrightarrow (\deg_{X^*}(u), \text{Path}_r(u)) \leq (\deg_{X^*}(v), \text{Path}_r(v)) \text{ lexicographically.}$$

Here $\text{Path}_u(x_i)$ and $\text{Path}_v(y_j)$ in $M(X \sqcup \{P, \mu\})$ are compared by \leq_{dlex} . Let us expose an example.

Example 3.3. Let $u = [x]y, v = x[y]$ and $w = [xy]$ with $x, y \in X$. Then

$$\text{Path}_l(u) = (\mu P x, \mu y), \quad \text{Path}_r(u) = (\mu y, \mu P x),$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Path}_l(v) &= (\mu x, \mu P y), & \text{Path}_r(v) &= (\mu P y, \mu x), \\ \text{Path}_l(w) &= (P \mu x, P \mu y), & \text{Path}_r(w) &= (P \mu y, P \mu x). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\deg_{X^*}(u) = \deg_{X^*}(v) = \deg_{X^*}(w) = 2 \text{ and } \mu P x \succ_{\text{dlex}} P \mu x \succ_{\text{dlex}} \mu x$$

by $\mu > P$, we have $u \succ_{\text{PL}_l} w \succ_{\text{PL}_l} v$. Similarly, $v \succ_{\text{PL}_r} w \succ_{\text{PL}_r} u$. \square

Remark 3.4. In the above constructions of \leq_{PL_l} and \leq_{PL_r} , the assumption $\mu > P > x$ for all $x \in X$ is to insure the required leading monomials used for the case of weighted (modified) differential algebras in Section 3 and the case of (modified) Rota-Baxter algebras in Section 4.

By the above construction process, the two orders \leq_{PL_l} and \leq_{PL_r} are indeed well-orders. To prove this, the following result is needed. Let \leq_{Y_i} be a well-order on Y_i for $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $k \geq 1$. Recall that the lexicographical order \leq_{clex} on the cartesian product $Y_1 \times Y_2 \times \cdots \times Y_k$ is defined recursively by

$$\begin{aligned} (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) \leq_{\text{clex}} (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k) \\ \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} x_1 <_{Y_1} y_1, \\ \text{or } x_1 = y_1 \text{ and } (x_2, \dots, x_k) \leq_{\text{clex}} (y_2, \dots, y_k), \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where $(x_2, \dots, x_k) \leq_{\text{clex}} (y_2, \dots, y_k)$ is defined by the induction hypothesis, with the convention that \leq_{clex} is the trivial relation when $k = 1$.

Lemma 3.5. [30] *Let \leq_{Y_i} be a well-order on Y_i for $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $k \geq 1$. Then the lexicographical order \leq_{clex} is a well-order on the cartesian product $Y_1 \times Y_2 \times \cdots \times Y_k$.*

As a preparation, we expose the following result.

Lemma 3.6. *With the above setting, the orders \leq_{PL_l} and \leq_{PL_r} defined in Eqs. (2) and (3) are well-orders on $\mathfrak{M}(X)$.*

Proof. We only consider the case of \leq_{PL_l} , as the case of \leq_{PL_r} is similar. Since \leq_{PL_l} is a linear order on $\mathfrak{M}(X)$ by Eq. (2), it suffices to verify that \leq_{PL_l} satisfies the descending chain condition.

Let

$$u_1 \geq_{\text{PL}_l} u_2 \geq_{\text{PL}_l} \cdots$$

be a given descending chain in $\mathfrak{M}(X)$. Note that $\deg_{X^*}(u_i) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ for $i \geq 1$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ equipped with the usual order is a well-ordered set. There is an $m \geq 1$ such that

$$k := \deg_{X^*}(u_m) = \deg_{X^*}(u_{m+1}) = \cdots.$$

Then $\text{Path}_l(u_i) \in (M(X \sqcup \{P, \mu\}))^k$ for $i \geq m$. Since the $(M(X \sqcup \{P, \mu\}), \leq_{\text{dlex}})$ is a well-ordered set, the $(M(X \sqcup \{P, \mu\}))^k$ is also a well-ordered set by Lemma 3.5. Thus there is an $n \geq m$ such that

$$\text{Path}_l(u_n) = \text{Path}_l(u_{n+1}) = \cdots,$$

and so

$$u_n =_{\text{PL}_l} u_{n+1} =_{\text{PL}_l} \cdots.$$

This completes the proof. \square

Further we are going to show that \leq_{PL_l} and \leq_{PL_r} are monomial orders. A well-order \leq on $\mathfrak{M}(X)$ is called **bracket compatible** (resp. **left (multiplication) compatible**, resp. **right (multiplication) compatible**) if $u \leq v$ implies $[u] \leq [v]$, (resp. $wu \leq wv$, resp. $uw \leq vw$, for all $w \in \mathfrak{M}(X)$).

Lemma 3.7. [47] *A well-order \leq is a monomial order if and only if it is bracket compatible, left compatible and right compatible.*

Now we are ready for the main result on orders in this section.

Theorem 3.8. *With the above setting, the orders \leq_{PL_l} and \leq_{PL_r} given in Eqs. (2) and (3) are monomial orders on $\mathfrak{M}(X)$.*

Proof. By Lemmas 3.6 and 3.7, we are left to show that \leq_{PL_l} and \leq_{PL_r} are bracket compatible, left compatible and right compatible. For this we only consider the case of \leq_{PL_l} , as the case of \leq_{PL_r} is similar. Let $u \leq_{\text{PL}_l} v$ with $u, v \in \mathfrak{M}(X)$ and $w \in \mathfrak{M}(X)$.

(Bracket compatible) By the definition of \leq_{PL_l} , we have

$$(4) \quad (\deg_{X^*}(u), \text{Path}_1(u)) \leq (\deg_{X^*}(v), \text{Path}_1(v)) \text{ lexicographically.}$$

Further we have

$$(\deg_{X^*}(\lfloor u \rfloor), \text{Path}_1(\lfloor u \rfloor)) = \begin{cases} (\deg_{X^*}(u) + 1, P \text{Path}_1(u)) = (1, P), & \text{if } u = \mathbf{1}; \\ (\deg_{X^*}(u), P \text{Path}_1(u)), & \text{if } u \neq \mathbf{1}. \end{cases}$$

and

$$(\deg_{X^*}(\lfloor v \rfloor), \text{Path}_1(\lfloor v \rfloor)) = \begin{cases} (\deg_{X^*}(v) + 1, P \text{Path}_1(v)) = (1, P), & \text{if } v = \mathbf{1}; \\ (\deg_{X^*}(v), P \text{Path}_1(v)), & \text{if } v \neq \mathbf{1}. \end{cases}$$

By the assumption $u \leq_{\text{PL}_l} v$, we have the following three cases to consider.

Case 1. If $u = v = \mathbf{1}$, then $\lfloor u \rfloor = \lfloor v \rfloor = \lfloor \mathbf{1} \rfloor$ and the result holds.

Case 2. If $u = \mathbf{1}$ and $v \neq \mathbf{1}$, then $\deg_{X^*}(\lfloor v \rfloor) = \deg_{X^*}(v) \geq 1$ and so

$$(\deg_{X^*}(\lfloor u \rfloor), \text{Path}_1(\lfloor u \rfloor)) = (1, P) < (\deg_{X^*}(\lfloor v \rfloor), \text{Path}_1(\lfloor v \rfloor)) \text{ lexicographically.}$$

Thus $\lfloor u \rfloor \leq_{\text{PL}_l} \lfloor v \rfloor$.

Case 3. If $u \neq \mathbf{1}$ and $v \neq \mathbf{1}$, then by Eq. (4),

$$\begin{aligned} (\deg_{X^*}(\lfloor u \rfloor), \text{Path}_1(\lfloor u \rfloor)) &= (\deg_{X^*}(u), P \text{Path}_1(u)) \\ &\leq (\deg_{X^*}(v), P \text{Path}_1(v)) = (\deg_{X^*}(\lfloor v \rfloor), \text{Path}_1(\lfloor v \rfloor)) \text{ lexicographically,} \end{aligned}$$

and so $\lfloor u \rfloor \leq_{\text{PL}_l} \lfloor v \rfloor$.

(Left compatible) By Eq. (4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\deg_{X^*}(wu), \text{Path}_1(wu)) &= (\deg_{X^*}(w) + \deg_{X^*}(u), \mu \text{Path}_1(w), \mu \text{Path}_1(u)) \\ &\leq (\deg_{X^*}(w) + \deg_{X^*}(v), \mu \text{Path}_1(w), \mu \text{Path}_1(v)) = (\deg_{X^*}(wv), \text{Path}_1(wv)) \text{ lexicographically,} \end{aligned}$$

so $wu \leq_{\text{PL}_l} wv$.

(Right compatible) It follows from Eq. (4) that

$$\begin{aligned} (\deg_{X^*}(uw), \text{Path}_1(uw)) &= (\deg_{X^*}(u) + \deg_{X^*}(w), \mu \text{Path}_1(u), \mu \text{Path}_1(w)) \\ &\leq (\deg_{X^*}(v) + \deg_{X^*}(w), \mu \text{Path}_1(v), \mu \text{Path}_1(w)) = (\deg_{X^*}(vw), \text{Path}_1(vw)) \text{ lexicographically,} \end{aligned}$$

Thus $uw \leq_{\text{PL}_l} vw$. This completes the proof. \square

3.2. New bases of free weighted differential algebras. The following is the concept of a weighted differential algebra, which is a generalization of the classical differential algebra and difference algebra.

Definition 3.9. [22] Let $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}$ be a fixed element. A **differential algebra of weight λ** is an algebra A together with a linear operator $D: A \rightarrow A$ such that $D(1) = 0$ and

$$(5) \quad D(xy) = D(x)y + xD(y) + \lambda D(x)D(y), \text{ for } x, y \in A.$$

Now we arrive at our first main result in this section, concerning the case of weight zero.

Theorem 3.10. Let (X, \leq) be a well-ordered set and \leq_{PL_1} the monomial order on $\mathfrak{M}(X)$ defined in Eq. (2).

(a) The set

$$S_{\text{diff}_{0,1}} := \{[x]y - [xy] + x[y] \mid x, y \in \mathfrak{M}(X)\}$$

is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in $\mathbf{k}\mathfrak{M}(X)$.

(b) The set

$$\text{Irr}(S_{\text{diff}_{0,1}}) := \{\mathfrak{M}(X) \setminus \{q|_{[x]y} \mid x, y \in \mathfrak{M}(X), q \in \mathfrak{M}^*(X)\}\}$$

is a \mathbf{k} -basis of the free differential algebra $\mathbf{k}\mathfrak{M}(X)/\text{Id}(S_{\text{diff}_{0,1}})$ of weight zero.

Proof. (a) Note that we can replace $S_{\text{diff}_{0,1}}$ by the following set

$$S_{\text{diff}_{0,1}} = \{[x]y - [xy] + x[y], [\mathbf{1}] \mid x, y \in \mathfrak{M}(X) \setminus \{\mathbf{1}\}\}.$$

Since compositions involving $[\mathbf{1}]$ are trivial, it suffices to consider compositions not involving $[\mathbf{1}]$. Denote by

$$f(x, y) = [x]y - [xy] + x[y] \in S_{\text{diff}_{0,1}}, \text{ where } x, y \in \mathfrak{M}(X) \setminus \{\mathbf{1}\}.$$

By the definition of \leq_{PL_1} , we have the leading monomial

$$\overline{f(x, y)} = [x]y.$$

The ambiguities of all possible intersection compositions are

$$w_1 = [x][y]z, \text{ where } x, y, z \in \mathfrak{M}(X) \setminus \{\mathbf{1}\},$$

and the ambiguities of all possible including compositions are

$$w_2 = [q|_{[x]y}]z \text{ and } w_3 = [x]q|_{[y]z}, \text{ where } x, y, z \in \mathfrak{M}(X) \setminus \{\mathbf{1}\} \text{ and } q \in \mathfrak{M}^*(X).$$

For the ambiguity w_1 , it is from an intersection composition

$$\left(f(x, [y]), f(y, z) \right)_{w_1},$$

which is trivial by

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(f(x, [y]), f(y, z) \right)_{w_1} \\ &= ([x][y] - [x[y]] + x[[y]])z - [x]([y]z - [yz] + y[z]) \\ &= -[x[y]]z + x[[y]]z + [x][yz] - [x]y[z] \\ &\equiv -[x[y]z] + x[y][z] + x[[y]z] - x[[yz]] + [x[yz]] - x[[yz]] - [xy[z]] + x[y[z]] \\ &\equiv -[x[y]z] + x[[y]z] + [x[yz]] - x[[yz]] - [xy[z]] + x[y[z]] \\ &\equiv [-x[yz] + xy[z]] + x[[yz] - y[z]] + [x[yz]] - x[[yz]] - [xy[z]] + x[y[z]] \\ &\equiv 0 \pmod{(S_{\text{diff}_{0,1}}, w_1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Further the ambiguity w_2 is from an including composition

$$\left(f(q|_{[x]y}, z), f(x, y)\right)_{w_2}.$$

Its triviality follows from

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(f(q|_{[x]y}, z), f(x, y)\right)_{w_2} \\ &= \left(\lfloor q|_{[x]y} \rfloor z - \lfloor q|_{[x]y} \rfloor z + q|_{[x]y} \rfloor z\right) - \left(\lfloor q|_{[x]y-[xy]+x[y]} \rfloor z\right) \\ &= -\lfloor q|_{[x]y} \rfloor z + q|_{[x]y} \rfloor z + \lfloor q|_{[xy]} \rfloor z - \lfloor q|_{[x]y} \rfloor z \\ &\equiv -\lfloor q|_{[xy]-x[y]} \rfloor z + q|_{[xy]-x[y]} \rfloor z + \lfloor q|_{[xy]} \rfloor z - q|_{[xy]} \rfloor z - \lfloor q|_{[x]y} \rfloor z + q|_{[x]y} \rfloor z \\ &\equiv 0 \pmod{(S_{\text{diff}_{0,1}}, w_2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally the triviality of the composition from the ambiguity w_3 can be shown as

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(f(x, q|_{[y]z}), f(y, z)\right)_{w_3} \\ &= \left(\lfloor x \rfloor q|_{[y]z} - \lfloor xq|_{[y]z} \rfloor + x \lfloor q|_{[y]z} \rfloor\right) - \left(\lfloor x \rfloor q|_{[y]z-[yz]+y[z]}\right) \\ &= -\lfloor xq|_{[y]z} \rfloor + x \lfloor q|_{[y]z} \rfloor + \lfloor x \rfloor q|_{[yz]} - \lfloor x \rfloor q|_{y[z]} \\ &\equiv -\lfloor xq|_{[yz]-y[z]} \rfloor + x \lfloor q|_{[yz]-y[z]} \rfloor + \lfloor xq|_{[yz]} \rfloor - x \lfloor q|_{[yz]} \rfloor - \lfloor xq|_{y[z]} \rfloor + x \lfloor q|_{y[z]} \rfloor \\ &\equiv 0 \pmod{(S_{\text{diff}_{0,1}}, w_3)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $S_{\text{diff}_{0,1}}$ is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in $\mathbf{k}\mathfrak{M}(X)$.

(b) It follows from Lemma 2.9 and Item (a). \square

Replacing the monomial \leq_{PL_1} utilized in Theorem 3.10 by \leq_{PL_r} , we have the following result.

Theorem 3.11. *Let (X, \leq) be a well-ordered set and \leq_{PL_r} the monomial order on $\mathfrak{M}(X)$ defined in Eq. (3).*

(a) *The set*

$$S_{\text{diff}_{0,r}} := \{x[y] - \lfloor xy \rfloor + \lfloor x \rfloor y \mid x, y \in \mathfrak{M}(X)\}$$

is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in $\mathbf{k}\mathfrak{M}(X)$.

(b) *The set*

$$\text{Irr}(S_{\text{diff}_{0,r}}) := \{\mathfrak{M}(X) \setminus \{q|_{[x]y} \mid x, y \in \mathfrak{M}(X), q \in \mathfrak{M}^*(X)\}\}$$

is a \mathbf{k} -basis of the free differential algebra $\mathbf{k}\mathfrak{M}(X)/\text{Id}(S_{\text{diff}_{0,r}})$ of weight zero.

Proof. It is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.10. \square

Next we move to the case of differential algebra of nonzero weight.

Remark 3.12. If $\lambda \neq 0$, the term-rewriting system

$$\{\lfloor x \rfloor y \rightarrow \lfloor xy \rfloor - x \lfloor y \rfloor - \lambda \lfloor x \rfloor \lfloor y \rfloor \mid x, y \in \mathfrak{M}(X)\} \text{ or } \{x \lfloor y \rfloor \rightarrow \lfloor xy \rfloor - \lfloor x \rfloor y - \lambda \lfloor x \rfloor \lfloor y \rfloor \mid x, y \in \mathfrak{M}(X)\}$$

on $\mathbf{k}\mathfrak{M}(X)$ is not terminating, since $\lfloor x \rfloor \lfloor y \rfloor$ on the right hand side can be rewritten again.

Remark 3.12 sheds a light on taking $\lfloor x \rfloor \lfloor y \rfloor$ as the leading monomial, which can be realized by the monomial order \leq_{PL_1} or \leq_{PL_r} .

Theorem 3.13. *Let λ be a fixed invertible element in \mathbf{k} . Let X be a well-ordered set and \leq_{PL_1} or \leq_{PL_r} the monomial order on $\mathfrak{M}(X)$ given in Eqs. (2) and (3).*

(a) *The set*

$$S_{\text{diff}_\lambda} = \left\{ [x][y] - \frac{1}{\lambda}[xy] + \frac{1}{\lambda}[x]y + \frac{1}{\lambda}x[y], [\mathbf{1}] \mid x, y \in \mathfrak{M}(X) \setminus \{\mathbf{1}\} \right\}$$

is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in $\mathbf{k}\mathfrak{M}(X)$.

(b) *The set*

$$\text{Irr}(S_{\text{diff}_\lambda}) := \left\{ \mathfrak{M}(X) \setminus \{q_{[x][y]}, q_{[\mathbf{1}]} \mid x, y \in \mathfrak{M}(X) \setminus \{\mathbf{1}\}, q \in \mathfrak{M}^*(X)\} \right\}$$

is a \mathbf{k} -basis of the free differential algebra $\mathbf{k}\mathfrak{M}(X)/\text{Id}(S_{\text{diff}_\lambda})$ of weight λ .

Proof. (a) Notice that the composition involving $[\mathbf{1}]$ are trivial. It suffices to consider compositions not involving $[\mathbf{1}]$. Denote by

$$f(x, y) = [x][y] - \frac{1}{\lambda}[xy] + \frac{1}{\lambda}[x]y + \frac{1}{\lambda}x[y] \in S_{\text{diff}_\lambda}.$$

For both \leq_{PL_1} and \leq_{PL_r} , we have the leading monomial

$$\overline{f(x, y)} = [x][y].$$

The ambiguities of all possible intersection compositions are

$$w_1 = [x][y][z], \text{ where } x, y, z \in \mathfrak{M}(X) \setminus \{\mathbf{1}\},$$

and the ambiguities of all possible including compositions are

$$w_2 = [q_{[x][y]}][z] \text{ and } w_3 = [x][q_{[y][z]}], \text{ where } x, y, z \in \mathfrak{M}(X) \setminus \{\mathbf{1}\} \text{ and } q \in \mathfrak{M}^*(X).$$

The ambiguity w_1 is from an intersection composition

$$\left(f(x, y), f(y, z) \right)_{w_1},$$

whose triviality follows from

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(f(x, y), f(y, z) \right)_{w_1} \\ &= \left([x][y] - \frac{1}{\lambda}[xy] + \frac{1}{\lambda}[x]y + \frac{1}{\lambda}x[y] \right)[z] - [x] \left([y][z] - \frac{1}{\lambda}[yz] + \frac{1}{\lambda}[y]z + \frac{1}{\lambda}y[z] \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda} \left(-[xy][z] + x[y][z] + [x][yz] - [x][y]z \right) \\ &\equiv \frac{1}{\lambda} \left(-\frac{1}{\lambda}[xyz] + \frac{1}{\lambda}[xy]z + \frac{1}{\lambda}xy[z] + \frac{1}{\lambda}x[yz] - \frac{1}{\lambda}x[y]z - \frac{1}{\lambda}xy[z] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{\lambda}[xyz] - \frac{1}{\lambda}[x]yz - \frac{1}{\lambda}x[yz] - \frac{1}{\lambda}[xy]z + \frac{1}{\lambda}[x]yz + \frac{1}{\lambda}x[y]z \right) \\ &\equiv 0 \pmod{(S_{\text{diff}_\lambda}, w_1)}. \end{aligned}$$

The ambiguity w_2 is from an including composition

$$\left(f(q_{[x][y]}, z), f(x, y) \right)_{w_2},$$

which is trivial by

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(f(q_{[x][y]}, z), f(x, y) \right)_{w_2} \\ &= \left([q_{[x][y]}][z] - \frac{1}{\lambda}[q_{[x][y]}]z + \frac{1}{\lambda}[q_{[x][y]}]z + \frac{1}{\lambda}q_{[x][y]}[z] \right) - \left([q_{[x][y]} - \frac{1}{\lambda}[xy] + \frac{1}{\lambda}[x]y + \frac{1}{\lambda}x[y]][z] \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{\lambda} \left(-[q|_{[x][y]}z] + [q|_{[x][y]}]z + q|_{[x][y]}[z] + [q|_{[xy]}]z - [q|_{[x]y}]z - [q|_{x[y]}]z \right) \\
&\equiv \frac{1}{\lambda} \left([q|_{-\frac{1}{\lambda}[xy] + \frac{1}{\lambda}[x]y + \frac{1}{\lambda}x[y]}z] + [q|_{\frac{1}{\lambda}[xy] - \frac{1}{\lambda}[x]y - \frac{1}{\lambda}x[y]}]z + q|_{\frac{1}{\lambda}[xy] - \frac{1}{\lambda}[x]y - \frac{1}{\lambda}x[y]}[z] \right. \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\lambda}[q|_{[xy]}z] - \frac{1}{\lambda}[q|_{[xy]}]z - \frac{1}{\lambda}q|_{[xy]}[z] - \frac{1}{\lambda}[q|_{[x]y}z] + \frac{1}{\lambda}[q|_{[x]y}]z + \frac{1}{\lambda}q|_{[x]y}[z] \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{1}{\lambda}[q|_{x[y]}z] + \frac{1}{\lambda}[q|_{x[y]}]z + \frac{1}{\lambda}q|_{x[y]}[z] \right) \\
&\equiv 0 \pmod{(S_{\text{diff}_\lambda}, w_2)}.
\end{aligned}$$

The case of the ambiguity w_3 is similar to the case of w_2 . Thus S_{diff_λ} is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in $\mathbf{k}\mathfrak{M}(X)$.

(b) Notice that Eq. (5) is trivial if $x = 1$ or $y = 1$. Then the result follows from Lemma 2.9 and Item (a). \square

3.3. New bases of free weighted modified differential algebras. The following is the concept of a weighted modified differential algebra.

Definition 3.14. [39] Let $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}$ be a fixed constant. A **modified differential algebra of weight λ** is an algebra A together with a linear operator $D: A \rightarrow A$ such that

$$(6) \quad D(xy) = D(x)y + xD(y) + \lambda xy, \text{ for } x, y \in A.$$

Remark 3.15. (a) It follows from Eq. (6) that $D(1) = -\lambda$.

(b) The set

$$(7) \quad \{[xy] - x[y] - [x]y - \lambda xy \mid x, y \in \mathfrak{M}(X)\}$$

is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in $\mathbf{k}\mathfrak{M}(X)$ [28, Lemma 5.3] with respect to the leading monomial $[xy]$.

We next prove that the set in Eq. (7) with respect to the leading monomial $[x]y$ or $x[y]$ is also a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in $\mathbf{k}\mathfrak{M}(X)$.

Theorem 3.16. Let (X, \leq) be a well-ordered set and \leq_{PL_1} the monomial order on $\mathfrak{M}(X)$ defined in Eq. (2).

(a) The set

$$S_{\text{mdiff}} := \{[x]y - [xy] + x[y] + \lambda xy \mid x, y \in \mathfrak{M}(X)\}$$

is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in $\mathbf{k}\mathfrak{M}(X)$.

(b) The set

$$\text{Irr}(S_{\text{mdiff}}) := \{\mathfrak{M}(X) \setminus \{q|_{[x]y} \mid x, y \in \mathfrak{M}(X), q \in \mathfrak{M}^*(X)\}\}$$

is a \mathbf{k} -basis of the free modified differential algebra $\mathbf{k}\mathfrak{M}(X)/\text{Id}(S_{\text{mdiff}})$ of weight λ .

Proof. (a) We can replace the S_{mdiff} by

$$S_{\text{mdiff}} = \{[x]y - [xy] + x[y] + \lambda xy, [\mathbf{1}] + \lambda \mid x, y \in \mathfrak{M}(X) \setminus \{\mathbf{1}\}\}.$$

Denote by

$$f(x, y) = [x]y - [xy] + x[y] + \lambda xy \in S_{\text{mdiff}}, \text{ where } x, y \in \mathfrak{M}(X) \setminus \{\mathbf{1}\}.$$

We have the leading monomial $\overline{f(x, y)} = [x]y$. The ambiguities of all possible intersection compositions are

$$w_1 = [x][y]z, \text{ where } x, y, z \in \mathfrak{M}(X) \setminus \{\mathbf{1}\},$$

and the ambiguities of all possible including compositions are

$$w_2 = [q]_{[x]y}z, w_3 = [x]q]_{[y]z}, w_4 = [q]_{[\mathbf{1}]}x \text{ and } w_5 = [x]q]_{[\mathbf{1}]},$$

where $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{M}(X) \setminus \{\mathbf{1}\}$ and $q \in \mathfrak{M}^*(X)$. For the ambiguity w_1 , the corresponding intersection composition is trivial by

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(f(x, [y]), f(y, z) \right)_{w_1} \\ &= ([x][y] - [x]y) + x[[y]] + \lambda x[y]z - [x]([y]z - [yz] + y[z] + \lambda yz) \\ &= -[x]y]z + x[[y]]z + \lambda x[y]z + [x][yz] - [x]y]z - \lambda [x]yz \\ &\equiv -[x]y]z + x[y][z] + \lambda x[y]z + x[[y]z] - x[y][z] - \lambda x[y]z + \lambda x[y]z \\ &\quad + [x]yz] - x[[yz]] - \lambda x[yz] - [xy]z] + x[y]z] + \lambda xy]z - \lambda [x]yz \\ &\equiv -[x]y]z + \lambda x[y]z + x[[y]z] + [x]yz] - x[[yz]] - \lambda x[yz] - [xy]z] + x[y]z] + \lambda xy]z - \lambda [x]yz \\ &\equiv [-x]yz + xy]z + \lambda xyz + x[[yz] - y]z - \lambda yz + [x]yz] - x[[yz]] - [xy]z] + x[y]z] \\ &\quad + \lambda(x[y]z - x[yz] + xy]z - [x]yz) \\ &\equiv \lambda([xyz] - x[yz] + x[y]z - x[yz] + xy]z - [x]yz) \\ &\equiv \lambda([xyz] + x[y]z - 2x[yz] + xy]z - [x]yz) \\ &\equiv \lambda([xyz] + x[yz] - xy]z - \lambda xyz - 2x[yz] + xy]z - [xy]z + x[y]z + \lambda xyz) \\ &\equiv \lambda([xyz] - x[yz] - [xy]z + x[y]z) \\ &\equiv \lambda([xyz] - x[yz] - [xyz] + xy]z + \lambda xyz + x[yz] - xy]z - \lambda xyz) \\ &\equiv 0 \pmod{(S_{\text{mdiff}}, w_1)}. \end{aligned}$$

The ambiguity w_2 is from the including composition

$$\left(f(q]_{[x]y}, z), f(x, y) \right)_{w_2}.$$

Its triviality follows from

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(f(q]_{[x]y}, z), f(x, y) \right)_{w_2} \\ &= ([q]_{[x]y}z - [q]_{[x]y}z + q]_{[x]y}z] + \lambda q]_{[x]y}z) - ([q]_{[x]y-[xy]+x[y]+\lambda xy}z) \\ &= -[q]_{[x]y}z + q]_{[x]y}z] + \lambda q]_{[x]y}z + [q]_{[xy]}z - [q]_{[x]y}z] - \lambda [q]_{[xy]}z \\ &\equiv -[q]_{[xy]-x[y]-\lambda xy}z + q]_{[xy]-x[y]-\lambda xy}z] + \lambda q]_{[xy]-x[y]-\lambda xy}z + [q]_{[xy]z} - q]_{[xy]}z] - \lambda q]_{[xy]}z \\ &\quad - [q]_{[x]y}z] + q]_{[x]y}z] + \lambda q]_{[x]y}z - \lambda [q]_{[xy]}z \\ &\equiv \lambda([q]_{[xy]z} - q]_{[xy]}z] + q]_{[xy]-x[y]-\lambda xy}z - q]_{[xy]}z + q]_{[x]y}z - [q]_{[xy]}z) \\ &\equiv \lambda([q]_{[xy]z} - q]_{[xy]}z] - \lambda q]_{[xy]}z - [q]_{[xy]}z + q]_{[xy]}z] + \lambda q]_{[xy]}z) \\ &\equiv 0 \pmod{(S_{\text{mdiff}}, w_2)} \end{aligned}$$

For the ambiguity w_3 , its composition is trivial by

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(f(x, q]_{[y]z}), f(y, z) \right)_{w_3} \\ &= ([x]q]_{[y]z} - [x]q]_{[y]z} + x[q]_{[y]z}] + \lambda xq]_{[y]z}) - ([x]q]_{[y]z-[yz]+y]z+\lambda yz}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -\lfloor xq \rfloor_{\lfloor yz \rfloor} + x\lfloor q \rfloor_{\lfloor yz \rfloor} + \lambda xq \rfloor_{\lfloor yz \rfloor} + \lfloor x \rfloor q \rfloor_{\lfloor yz \rfloor} - \lfloor x \rfloor q \rfloor_{\lfloor yz \rfloor} - \lfloor x \rfloor q \rfloor_{\lfloor yz \rfloor} \\
&\equiv -\lfloor xq \rfloor_{\lfloor yz \rfloor - y\lfloor z \rfloor - \lambda yz} + x\lfloor q \rfloor_{\lfloor yz \rfloor - y\lfloor z \rfloor - \lambda yz} + \lambda xq \rfloor_{\lfloor yz \rfloor - y\lfloor z \rfloor - \lambda yz} + \lfloor xq \rfloor_{\lfloor yz \rfloor} - x\lfloor q \rfloor_{\lfloor yz \rfloor} - \lambda xq \rfloor_{\lfloor yz \rfloor} \\
&\quad - \lfloor xq \rfloor_{\lfloor yz \rfloor} + x\lfloor q \rfloor_{\lfloor yz \rfloor} + \lambda xq \rfloor_{\lfloor yz \rfloor} - \lfloor x \rfloor q \rfloor_{\lambda yz} \\
&= \lambda(\lfloor xq \rfloor_{\lfloor yz \rfloor} - x\lfloor q \rfloor_{\lfloor yz \rfloor} + xq \rfloor_{\lfloor yz \rfloor - y\lfloor z \rfloor - \lambda yz} - xq \rfloor_{\lfloor yz \rfloor} + xq \rfloor_{\lfloor yz \rfloor} - \lfloor x \rfloor q \rfloor_{\lambda yz}) \\
&\equiv \lambda(\lfloor xq \rfloor_{\lfloor yz \rfloor} - x\lfloor q \rfloor_{\lfloor yz \rfloor} - \lambda xq \rfloor_{\lfloor yz \rfloor} - \lfloor xq \rfloor_{\lambda yz} + x\lfloor q \rfloor_{\lambda yz} + \lambda xq \rfloor_{\lambda yz}) \\
&\equiv 0 \pmod{(S_{\text{mdiff}}, w_3)}
\end{aligned}$$

The composition of the ambiguity w_4 is trivial by

$$\begin{aligned}
&(f(q \rfloor_{\mathbf{1}}, x), \lfloor \mathbf{1} \rfloor + \lambda) \\
&= (\lfloor q \rfloor_{\mathbf{1}} \rfloor x - \lfloor q \rfloor_{\mathbf{1}} \rfloor x + q \rfloor_{\mathbf{1}} \rfloor x + \lambda q \rfloor_{\mathbf{1}} \rfloor x) - \lfloor q \rfloor_{\mathbf{1} + \lambda} \rfloor x \\
&= -\lfloor q \rfloor_{\mathbf{1}} \rfloor x + q \rfloor_{\mathbf{1}} \rfloor x + \lambda q \rfloor_{\mathbf{1}} \rfloor x - \lfloor q \rfloor_{\lambda} \rfloor x \\
&\equiv \lfloor q \rfloor_{\lambda} \rfloor x - q \rfloor_{\lambda} \rfloor x - \lambda q \rfloor_{\lambda} \rfloor x - \lfloor q \rfloor_{\lambda} \rfloor x + q \rfloor_{\lambda} \rfloor x + \lambda q \rfloor_{\lambda} \rfloor x \\
&\equiv 0 \pmod{(S_{\text{mdiff}}, w_4)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, the triviality of the composition from the ambiguity w_5 is from

$$\begin{aligned}
&(f(x, q \rfloor_{\mathbf{1}}), \lfloor \mathbf{1} \rfloor + \lambda) \\
&= (\lfloor x \rfloor q \rfloor_{\mathbf{1}} - \lfloor xq \rfloor_{\mathbf{1}}) + x\lfloor q \rfloor_{\mathbf{1}} + \lambda xq \rfloor_{\mathbf{1}} - \lfloor x \rfloor q \rfloor_{\mathbf{1} + \lambda} \\
&= -\lfloor xq \rfloor_{\mathbf{1}} + x\lfloor q \rfloor_{\mathbf{1}} + \lambda xq \rfloor_{\mathbf{1}} - \lfloor x \rfloor q \rfloor_{\lambda} \\
&\equiv \lfloor xq \rfloor_{\lambda} - x\lfloor q \rfloor_{\lambda} - \lambda xq \rfloor_{\lambda} - \lfloor xq \rfloor_{\lambda} + x\lfloor q \rfloor_{\lambda} + \lambda xq \rfloor_{\lambda} \\
&\equiv 0 \pmod{(S_{\text{mdiff}}, w_5)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus S_{mdiff} is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in $\mathbf{k}\mathfrak{M}(X)$.

(b) It follows from Lemma 2.9 and Item (a). □

Replacing the monomial \leq_{PL_1} utilized in Theorem 3.16 by \leq_{PL_r} , we have

Theorem 3.17. *Let X be a well-ordered set and \leq_{PL_r} the monomial order on $\mathfrak{M}(X)$ defined in Eq. (3).*

(a) *The set*

$$S_{\text{mdiff}_r} := \{x\lfloor y \rfloor - \lfloor xy \rfloor + \lfloor x \rfloor y + \lambda xy \mid x, y \in \mathfrak{M}(X)\}$$

is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in $\mathbf{k}\mathfrak{M}(X)$.

(b) *The set*

$$\text{Irr}(S_{\text{mdiff}_r}) := \{\mathfrak{M}(X) \setminus \{q \rfloor_{\lfloor x \rfloor y} \mid x, y \in \mathfrak{M}(X), q \in \mathfrak{M}^*(X)\}\}$$

is a \mathbf{k} -basis of the free modified differential algebra $\mathbf{k}\mathfrak{M}(X)/\text{Id}(S_{\text{mdiff}_r})$ of weight λ .

Proof. It is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.16. □

4. NEW BASES OF FREE (MODIFIED) ROTA-BAXTER ALGEBRAS

In this section, we construct respectively some new linear bases of free nonunitary Rota-Baxter algebras and free nonunitary modified Rota-Baxter algebras, using the method of Gröbner-Shirshov bases.

4.1. New bases of free nonunitary Rota-Baxter algebras. We are going to give the first new linear basis of the free nonunitary Rota-Baxter algebra.

Definition 4.1. [3, 24] Let $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}$ be a fixed element. A **Rota-Baxter algebra of weight λ** is a pair (A, P) consisting of an algebra A and a linear operator $P : A \rightarrow A$ such that

$$P(x)P(y) = P(P(x)y) + P(xP(y)) + \lambda P(xy), \text{ for } x, y \in A.$$

Now we make clear the reason of restriction to the nonunitary case. If we employ the monomial order \leq_{db} in [47], then the leading monomial of the Rota-Baxter identity is $[x][y]$ and the set

$$\{[x][y] - [[x]y] - [x[y]] - \lambda[xy] \mid x, y \in \mathfrak{M}(X)\}$$

is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in $\mathbf{k}\mathfrak{M}(X)$ [47]. If we utilize the monomial order \leq_{PL_l} (resp. \leq_{PL_r}), then the leading monomial of the Rota-Baxter identity is $[[x]y]$ (resp. $[x[y]]$) for $x, y \in \mathfrak{M}(X) \setminus \{\mathbf{1}\}$. Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \deg_{X^*}([x[y]]) &= \deg_{X^*}([[x]y]) = \deg_{X^*}([x][y]) = \deg_{X^*}([xy]) = \deg_{X^*}(x) + \deg_{X^*}(y), \\ \text{Path}_l([[x]y]) &= (P\mu P \text{Path}_l(x), P\mu \text{Path}_l(y)) \text{ and } \text{Path}_r([[x]y]) = (P\mu \text{Path}_r(y), P\mu P \text{Path}_r(x)), \\ \text{Path}_l([x[y]]) &= (P\mu \text{Path}_l(x), P\mu P \text{Path}_l(y)) \text{ and } \text{Path}_r([x[y]]) = (P\mu P \text{Path}_r(y), P\mu \text{Path}_r(x)), \\ \text{Path}_l([x][y]) &= (\mu P \text{Path}_l(x), \mu P \text{Path}_l(y)) \text{ and } \text{Path}_r([x][y]) = (\mu P \text{Path}_r(y), \mu P \text{Path}_r(x)), \\ \text{Path}_l([xy]) &= (P\mu \text{Path}_l(x), P\mu \text{Path}_l(y)) \text{ and } \text{Path}_r([xy]) = (P\mu \text{Path}_r(y), P\mu \text{Path}_r(x)). \end{aligned}$$

By Eqs. (2) and (3),

$$[[x]y] >_{\text{PL}_l} [x][y] >_{\text{PL}_l} [x[y]] >_{\text{PL}_l} [xy]$$

and

$$[x[y]] >_{\text{PL}_r} [x][y] >_{\text{PL}_r} [[x]y] >_{\text{PL}_r} [xy].$$

However the set

$$\{[[x]y] + [x[y]] + \lambda[xy] - [x][y] \mid x, y \in \mathfrak{M}(X)\}$$

is not a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in $\mathbf{k}\mathfrak{M}(X)$ with respect to \leq_{PL_l} or \leq_{PL_r} , explained as follows. Without loss of generality, we consider the monomial order \leq_{PL_l} . The leading monomial of

$$[x][\mathbf{1}] - [x[\mathbf{1}]] - [[x]] - \lambda[x], \text{ where } x \in \mathfrak{M}(X) \setminus \{\mathbf{1}\},$$

is $[x][\mathbf{1}]$, and the leading monomial of $[\mathbf{1}][\mathbf{1}] - 2[[\mathbf{1}]] - \lambda[\mathbf{1}]$ is $[\mathbf{1}][\mathbf{1}]$. For the ambiguity $[x][\mathbf{1}][\mathbf{1}]$, the corresponding intersection composition is

$$\begin{aligned} & \left([x][\mathbf{1}] - [x[\mathbf{1}]] - [[x]] - \lambda[x], [\mathbf{1}][\mathbf{1}] - 2[[\mathbf{1}]] - \lambda[\mathbf{1}] \right)_{[x][\mathbf{1}][\mathbf{1}]} \\ &= \left([x][\mathbf{1}] - [x[\mathbf{1}]] - [[x]] - \lambda[x] \right) [\mathbf{1}] - [x] \left([\mathbf{1}][\mathbf{1}] - 2[[\mathbf{1}]] - \lambda[\mathbf{1}] \right) \\ &= - \left([x[\mathbf{1}]] [\mathbf{1}] + [[x]] [\mathbf{1}] \right) + 2[x][[\mathbf{1}]] \\ &\equiv - \left([x[\mathbf{1}][\mathbf{1}]] + [[x[\mathbf{1}]]] + \lambda[x[\mathbf{1}]] + [[x][\mathbf{1}]] + [[[x]]] + \lambda[[x]] \right) + 2[x][[\mathbf{1}]] \\ &\equiv - \left([2x[[\mathbf{1}]] + \lambda x[\mathbf{1}]] + [[x[\mathbf{1}]]] + \lambda[x[\mathbf{1}]] + [x[\mathbf{1}]] + [[x]] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \lambda[x] + [[[x]]] + \lambda[[x]] \right) + 2[x][[\mathbf{1}]] \\ &\equiv - \left(2[x[[\mathbf{1}]]] + \lambda[x[\mathbf{1}]] + [[x[\mathbf{1}]]] + \lambda[x[\mathbf{1}]] + [[x[\mathbf{1}]]] + [[[x]]] \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \lambda[\llbracket x \rrbracket] + [\llbracket \llbracket x \rrbracket \rrbracket] + \lambda[\llbracket x \rrbracket]) + 2[x][\llbracket \mathbf{1} \rrbracket] \\
& \equiv -2(\llbracket x[\llbracket \mathbf{1} \rrbracket] \rrbracket + \lambda[x[\mathbf{1}]] + \llbracket [x[\mathbf{1}]] \rrbracket + [\llbracket \llbracket x \rrbracket \rrbracket] + \lambda[\llbracket x \rrbracket]) + 2[x][\llbracket \mathbf{1} \rrbracket],
\end{aligned}$$

which is not trivial.

Roughly speaking, $\mathfrak{S}(X)$ is the subset of $\mathfrak{M}(X)$ not involving $\mathbf{1}$. For example, $\mathbf{1}, \llbracket \mathbf{1} \rrbracket, x[\mathbf{1}] \notin \mathfrak{S}(X)$ with $x \in X$. Notice that the restriction of the monomial orders \leq_{PL_l} and \leq_{PL_r} to $\mathfrak{S}(X)$ are still monomial orders.

Theorem 4.2. *Let (X, \leq) be a well-ordered set and \leq_{PL_l} the monomial order on $\mathfrak{S}(X)$.*

(a) *The set*

$$S_{\text{rbl}} := \{[\llbracket x \rrbracket y] + [x \llbracket y \rrbracket] + \lambda[xy] - [x][y] \mid x, y \in \mathfrak{S}(X)\}$$

is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in $\mathbf{k}\mathfrak{S}(X)$.

(b) *The set*

$$\text{Irr}(S_{\text{rbl}}) := \{\mathfrak{S}(X) \setminus \{q_{[\llbracket x \rrbracket y]} \mid x, y \in \mathfrak{S}(X), q \in \mathfrak{S}^*(X)\}\}$$

is a \mathbf{k} -basis of the free nonunitary Rota-Baxter algebra $\mathbf{k}\mathfrak{S}(X)/\text{Id}(S_{\text{rbl}})$ on X .

Proof. (a) Denote

$$f(x, y) = [\llbracket x \rrbracket y] + [x \llbracket y \rrbracket] + \lambda[xy] - [x][y] \in S_{\text{rbl}}, \text{ where } x, y \in \mathfrak{S}(X).$$

By Eq. (2), we have the leading monomial

$$\overline{f(x, y)} = [\llbracket x \rrbracket y].$$

Since $|\overline{f(x, y)}| = 1$, there are no intersection compositions. The ambiguities of all including possible compositions are

$$w_1 = [\llbracket q_{[\llbracket x \rrbracket y]} \rrbracket z] \text{ and } w_2 = [\llbracket x \rrbracket q_{[\llbracket y \rrbracket z]}],$$

where $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{S}(X)$ and $q \in \mathfrak{S}^*(X)$.

For the ambiguity w_1 , it is from the including composition

$$(f(q_{[\llbracket x \rrbracket y]}, z), f(x, y))_{w_1},$$

and its triviality follows from

$$\begin{aligned}
& (f(q_{[\llbracket x \rrbracket y]}, z), f(x, y))_{w_1} \\
& = ([\llbracket q_{[\llbracket x \rrbracket y]} \rrbracket z] + [q_{[\llbracket x \rrbracket y]} \llbracket z \rrbracket] + \lambda[q_{[\llbracket x \rrbracket y]} z] - [q_{[\llbracket x \rrbracket y]}][z]) \\
& \quad - ([\llbracket q_{[\llbracket x \rrbracket y]} \rrbracket z] + [\llbracket q_{[x \llbracket y \rrbracket]} \rrbracket z] + [\llbracket q_{\lambda xy} \rrbracket z] - [\llbracket q_{[x \llbracket y \rrbracket]} \rrbracket z]) \\
& = [q_{[\llbracket x \rrbracket y]} \llbracket z \rrbracket] + \lambda[q_{[\llbracket x \rrbracket y]} z] - [q_{[\llbracket x \rrbracket y]}][z] - [\llbracket q_{[x \llbracket y \rrbracket]} \rrbracket z] - [\llbracket q_{\lambda xy} \rrbracket z] + [\llbracket q_{[x \llbracket y \rrbracket]} \rrbracket z] \\
& \equiv [q_{-[x \llbracket y \rrbracket] - \lambda xy + [x \llbracket y \rrbracket]} \llbracket z \rrbracket] + \lambda[q_{-[x \llbracket y \rrbracket] - \lambda xy + [x \llbracket y \rrbracket]} z] - [q_{-[x \llbracket y \rrbracket] - \lambda xy + [x \llbracket y \rrbracket]}][z] \\
& \quad + [q_{[x \llbracket y \rrbracket]} \llbracket z \rrbracket] + \lambda[q_{[x \llbracket y \rrbracket]} z] - [q_{[x \llbracket y \rrbracket]}][z] + [q_{\lambda xy} \llbracket z \rrbracket] + \lambda[q_{\lambda xy} z] - [q_{\lambda xy}][z] \\
& \quad - [q_{[x \llbracket y \rrbracket]} \llbracket z \rrbracket] - \lambda[q_{[x \llbracket y \rrbracket]} z] + [q_{[x \llbracket y \rrbracket]}][z] \\
& \equiv 0 \pmod{(S_{\text{rbl}}, w_1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Further the ambiguity w_2 is from the including composition

$$(f(x, q_{[\llbracket y \rrbracket z]}), f(y, z))_{w_2},$$

whose triviality follows from

$$\begin{aligned}
& (f(x, q|_{\llbracket y|z \rrbracket}), f(y, z))_{w_2} \\
&= (\llbracket \llbracket x \rrbracket q|_{\llbracket y|z \rrbracket} \rrbracket + \llbracket x \rrbracket q|_{\llbracket y|z \rrbracket} \rrbracket + \lambda \llbracket xq|_{\llbracket y|z \rrbracket} \rrbracket - \llbracket x \rrbracket \llbracket q|_{\llbracket y|z \rrbracket} \rrbracket) \\
&\quad - (\llbracket \llbracket x \rrbracket q|_{\llbracket y|z \rrbracket + \llbracket y|z \rrbracket + \lambda \llbracket yz \rrbracket - \llbracket y \rrbracket \llbracket z \rrbracket} \rrbracket) \\
&= \llbracket x \rrbracket q|_{\llbracket y|z \rrbracket} \rrbracket + \lambda \llbracket xq|_{\llbracket y|z \rrbracket} \rrbracket - \llbracket x \rrbracket \llbracket q|_{\llbracket y|z \rrbracket} \rrbracket - \llbracket \llbracket x \rrbracket q|_{\llbracket y|z \rrbracket} \rrbracket - \llbracket \llbracket x \rrbracket q|_{\lambda \llbracket yz \rrbracket} \rrbracket + \llbracket \llbracket x \rrbracket q|_{\llbracket y \rrbracket \llbracket z \rrbracket} \rrbracket \\
&\equiv \llbracket x \rrbracket q|_{-\llbracket y|z \rrbracket - \lambda \llbracket yz \rrbracket + \llbracket y \rrbracket \llbracket z \rrbracket} \rrbracket + \lambda \llbracket xq|_{-\llbracket y|z \rrbracket - \lambda \llbracket yz \rrbracket + \llbracket y \rrbracket \llbracket z \rrbracket} \rrbracket - \llbracket x \rrbracket \llbracket q|_{-\llbracket y|z \rrbracket - \lambda \llbracket yz \rrbracket + \llbracket y \rrbracket \llbracket z \rrbracket} \rrbracket \\
&\quad + \llbracket x \rrbracket q|_{\llbracket y|z \rrbracket} \rrbracket + \lambda \llbracket xq|_{\llbracket y|z \rrbracket} \rrbracket - \llbracket x \rrbracket \llbracket q|_{\llbracket y|z \rrbracket} \rrbracket + \llbracket x \rrbracket q|_{\lambda \llbracket yz \rrbracket} \rrbracket + \lambda \llbracket xq|_{\lambda \llbracket yz \rrbracket} \rrbracket - \llbracket x \rrbracket \llbracket q|_{\lambda \llbracket yz \rrbracket} \rrbracket \\
&\quad - \llbracket x \rrbracket q|_{\llbracket y \rrbracket \llbracket z \rrbracket} \rrbracket - \lambda \llbracket xq|_{\llbracket y \rrbracket \llbracket z \rrbracket} \rrbracket + \llbracket x \rrbracket \llbracket q|_{\llbracket y \rrbracket \llbracket z \rrbracket} \rrbracket \\
&\equiv 0 \pmod{(S_{\text{rbl}}, w_2)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus S_{rbl} is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in $\mathbf{k}\mathfrak{S}(X)$.

(b) It follows from Lemma 2.10 and Item (a). \square

Remark 4.3. Deotsenko [16] gave an operad version of Theorem 4.2 (b), employing the path-lexicographical order [31].

Now we turn into the second new linear basis of the free nonunitary Rota-Baxter algebra.

Theorem 4.4. *Let (X, \leq) be a well-ordered set and \leq_{PL_r} the monomial order on $\mathfrak{S}(X)$.*

(a) *The set*

$$S_{\text{rbr}} := \{ \llbracket x \rrbracket \llbracket y \rrbracket \rrbracket + \llbracket \llbracket x \rrbracket y \rrbracket \rrbracket + \lambda \llbracket xy \rrbracket - \llbracket x \rrbracket \llbracket y \rrbracket \mid x, y \in \mathfrak{S}(X) \}$$

is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in $\mathbf{k}\mathfrak{S}(X)$.

(b) *The set*

$$\text{Irr}(S_{\text{rbr}}) := \{ \mathfrak{S}(X) \setminus \{ q|_{\llbracket x \rrbracket \llbracket y \rrbracket} \mid x, y \in \mathfrak{S}(X), q \in \mathfrak{S}^*(X) \} \}$$

is a \mathbf{k} -basis of the free nonunitary Rota-Baxter algebra $\mathbf{k}\mathfrak{S}(X)/\text{Id}(S_{\text{rbr}})$ on X .

Proof. It is analogous to the proof of Theorem 4.2. \square

4.2. New bases of free nonunitary modified Rota-Baxter algebras. Let us agree to begin with the concept of modified Rota-Baxter algebras.

Definition 4.5. [45, 8] Let $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}$ be a fixed constant. A **modified Rota-Baxter algebra of weight λ** is an algebra A together with a linear operator $P : A \rightarrow A$ such that

$$P(x)P(y) = P(P(x)y + xP(y)) + \lambda xy, \text{ for } x, y \in A.$$

Using the two monomial orders defined in Eqs. (2) and (3), we can obtain two new linear bases of the free nonunitary modified Rota-Baxter algebra.

Theorem 4.6. *Let (X, \leq) be a well-ordered set and \leq_{PL_l} the monomial order on $\mathfrak{S}(X)$.*

(a) *The set*

$$S_{\text{mrbl}} := \{ \llbracket \llbracket x \rrbracket y \rrbracket \rrbracket + \llbracket x \rrbracket \llbracket y \rrbracket \rrbracket + \lambda xy - \llbracket x \rrbracket \llbracket y \rrbracket \mid x, y \in \mathfrak{S}(X) \}$$

is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in $\mathbf{k}\mathfrak{S}(X)$.

(b) *The set*

$$\text{Irr}(S_{\text{mrbl}}) := \{ \mathfrak{S}(X) \setminus \{ q|_{\llbracket \llbracket x \rrbracket y \rrbracket} \mid x, y \in \mathfrak{S}(X), q \in \mathfrak{S}^*(X) \} \}$$

is a \mathbf{k} -basis of the free nonunitary modified Rota-Baxter algebra $\mathbf{k}\mathfrak{S}(X)/\text{Id}(S_{\text{mrbl}})$ on X .

Proof. (a) We set

$$f(x, y) = \llbracket [x]y \rrbracket + \llbracket x[y] \rrbracket + \lambda xy - \llbracket x \rrbracket \llbracket y \rrbracket \in S_{\text{mrbl}}.$$

In analogy to the proof of Theorem 4.2, we have

$$\overline{f(x, y)} = \llbracket [x]y \rrbracket.$$

There are no ambiguities of intersection compositions by $|\overline{f(x, y)}| = 1$. The ambiguities of all possible compositions are

$$w_1 = \llbracket [q]_{\llbracket [x]y \rrbracket} z \rrbracket \text{ and } w_2 = \llbracket [x]q \rrbracket_{\llbracket [y]z \rrbracket}$$

where $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{S}(X)$ and $q \in \mathfrak{S}^*(X)$. The ambiguity w_1 is from the including composition

$$\left(f(q|_{\llbracket [x]y \rrbracket}, z), f(x, y) \right)_{w_1},$$

whose triviality follows from

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(f(q|_{\llbracket [x]y \rrbracket}, z), f(x, y) \right)_{w_1} \\ &= \left(\llbracket [q]_{\llbracket [x]y \rrbracket} z \rrbracket + \llbracket q \rrbracket_{\llbracket [x]y \rrbracket} z \rrbracket + \lambda q|_{\llbracket [x]y \rrbracket} z - \llbracket q \rrbracket_{\llbracket [x]y \rrbracket} z \rrbracket \right) \\ & \quad - \left(\llbracket [q]_{\llbracket [x]y \rrbracket} z \rrbracket + \llbracket [q]_{\llbracket [x]y \rrbracket} z \rrbracket + \llbracket [q]_{\lambda xy} z \rrbracket - \llbracket [q]_{\llbracket [x]y \rrbracket} z \rrbracket \right) \\ &= \llbracket [q]_{\llbracket [x]y \rrbracket} z \rrbracket + \lambda q|_{\llbracket [x]y \rrbracket} z - \llbracket [q]_{\llbracket [x]y \rrbracket} z \rrbracket - \llbracket [q]_{\llbracket [x]y \rrbracket} z \rrbracket - \llbracket [q]_{\lambda xy} z \rrbracket + \llbracket [q]_{\llbracket [x]y \rrbracket} z \rrbracket \\ &\equiv \llbracket [q]_{-\llbracket [x]y \rrbracket - \lambda xy + \llbracket [x]y \rrbracket} z \rrbracket + \lambda q|_{-\llbracket [x]y \rrbracket - \lambda xy + \llbracket [x]y \rrbracket} z + \llbracket [q]_{\llbracket [x]y \rrbracket + \lambda xy - \llbracket [x]y \rrbracket} z \rrbracket \\ & \quad + \llbracket [q]_{\llbracket [x]y \rrbracket} z \rrbracket + \lambda \llbracket [q]_{\llbracket [x]y \rrbracket} z \rrbracket - \llbracket [q]_{\llbracket [x]y \rrbracket} z \rrbracket + \llbracket [q]_{\lambda xy} z \rrbracket + \lambda \llbracket [q]_{\lambda xy} z \rrbracket - \llbracket [q]_{\lambda xy} z \rrbracket \\ & \quad - \llbracket [q]_{\llbracket [x]y \rrbracket} z \rrbracket - \lambda \llbracket [q]_{\llbracket [x]y \rrbracket} z \rrbracket + \llbracket [q]_{\llbracket [x]y \rrbracket} z \rrbracket \\ &\equiv 0 \pmod{(S_{\text{mrbl}}, w_1)}. \end{aligned}$$

The ambiguity w_2 is from the including composition

$$\left(f(x, q|_{\llbracket [y]z \rrbracket}), f(y, z) \right)_{w_2},$$

which is trivial by

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(f(x, q|_{\llbracket [y]z \rrbracket}), f(y, z) \right)_{w_2} \\ &= \left(\llbracket [x]q \rrbracket_{\llbracket [y]z \rrbracket} + \llbracket x \rrbracket \llbracket [q]_{\llbracket [y]z \rrbracket} \rrbracket + \lambda xq|_{\llbracket [y]z \rrbracket} - \llbracket x \rrbracket \llbracket [q]_{\llbracket [y]z \rrbracket} \rrbracket \right) \\ & \quad - \left(\llbracket [x]q \rrbracket_{\llbracket [y]z \rrbracket + \llbracket [y]z \rrbracket + \lambda yz - \llbracket [y]z \rrbracket} \right) \\ &= \llbracket [x]q \rrbracket_{\llbracket [y]z \rrbracket} + \lambda xq|_{\llbracket [y]z \rrbracket} - \llbracket [x] \rrbracket \llbracket [q]_{\llbracket [y]z \rrbracket} \rrbracket - \llbracket [x]q \rrbracket_{\llbracket [y]z \rrbracket} - \llbracket [x]q \rrbracket_{\lambda yz} + \llbracket [x]q \rrbracket_{\llbracket [y]z \rrbracket} \\ &\equiv \llbracket [x]q \rrbracket_{-\llbracket [y]z \rrbracket - \lambda yz + \llbracket [y]z \rrbracket} + \lambda xq|_{-\llbracket [y]z \rrbracket - \lambda yz + \llbracket [y]z \rrbracket} - \llbracket [x] \rrbracket \llbracket [q]_{-\llbracket [y]z \rrbracket - \lambda yz + \llbracket [y]z \rrbracket} \rrbracket \\ & \quad + \llbracket [x]q \rrbracket_{\llbracket [y]z \rrbracket} + \lambda xq|_{\llbracket [y]z \rrbracket} - \llbracket [x] \rrbracket \llbracket [q]_{\llbracket [y]z \rrbracket} \rrbracket + \llbracket [x]q \rrbracket_{\lambda yz} + \lambda xq|_{\lambda yz} - \llbracket [x] \rrbracket \llbracket [q]_{\lambda yz} \rrbracket \\ & \quad - \llbracket [x]q \rrbracket_{\llbracket [y]z \rrbracket} - \lambda xq|_{\llbracket [y]z \rrbracket} + \llbracket [x] \rrbracket \llbracket [q]_{\llbracket [y]z \rrbracket} \rrbracket \\ &\equiv 0 \pmod{(S_{\text{rbl}}, w_2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus S_{mrbl} is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in $\mathbf{k}\mathfrak{S}(X)$.

(b) It follows from Lemma 2.10 and Item (a). □

Theorem 4.7. *Let (X, \leq) be a well-ordered set and \leq_{PL_r} the monomial order on $\mathfrak{S}(X)$.*

(a) *The set*

$$S_{\text{mrbr}} := \{[x[y]] + [[x]y] + \lambda xy - [x][y] \mid x, y \in \mathfrak{S}(X)\}$$

is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in $\mathbf{k}\mathfrak{S}(X)$.

(b) *The set*

$$\text{Irr}(S_{\text{mrbr}}) := \{\mathfrak{S}(X) \setminus \{q|_{[x[y]]} \mid x, y \in \mathfrak{S}(X), q \in \mathfrak{S}^*(X)\}\}$$

is a \mathbf{k} -basis of the free nonunitary modified Rota-Baxter algebra $\mathbf{k}\mathfrak{S}(X)/\text{Id}(S_{\text{mrbr}})$ on X .

Proof. In analogy to the proof of Theorem 4.6, the result holds. \square

Acknowledgements: This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No.12071191), the Natural Science Foundation of Gansu Province (Grant No. 20JR5RA249).

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Aguiar, On the associative analog of Lie bialgebras, *Journal of Algebra* **244** (2001), 492-532. [3](#)
- [2] F. Baader and T. Nipkow, *Term Rewriting and All That*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998. [8](#)
- [3] G. Baxter, An analytic problem whose solution follows from a simple algebraic identity, *Pacific J. Math.* **10** (1960), 731-742. [2](#), [17](#)
- [4] C. Bai, O. Bellier, L. Guo and X. Ni, Splitting of operations, Manin products and Rota-Baxter operators, *IMRN* **2013** (2013), 485-524. [3](#)
- [5] L. A. Bokut and Y. Chen, Gröbner-Shirshov bases and their calculations, *Bulletin of Mathematical Sciences* **4** (2014) 325-395. [2](#)
- [6] L. A. Bokut, Y. Chen and J. Qiu, Gröbner-Shirshov bases for associative algebras with multiple operators and free Rota-Baxter algebras, *J. P. A. Algebra* **214** (2010) 89-100. [2](#), [3](#), [4](#), [5](#), [6](#)
- [7] V. G. Bardakov and V. Gubarev, Rota-Baxter groups, skew left braces, and the Yang-Baxter equation, arXiv:2105.00428. [3](#)
- [8] C. Bai, L. Guo and X. Ni, \mathcal{O} -operators on associative algebras and associative Yang-Baxter equations, *Pacific J. Math.* **256** (2) (2012), 257-289. [19](#)
- [9] C. Bai, L. Guo and X. Ni, Nonabelian generalized Lax pairs, the classical Yang-Baxter equation and PostLie algebras, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **297** (2010), 553-596. [3](#)
- [10] C. Bai, L. Guo and X. Ni, \mathcal{O} -operators on associative algebras and associative Yang-Baxter equations, *Pacific J. Math.* **256** (2012), 257-289. [3](#)
- [11] M. Bordemann, Generalized Lax pairs, the modified classical Yang-Baxter equation, and affine geometry of Lie groups, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **135** (1990), 201-216. [3](#)
- [12] B. Buchberger, An algorithmical criteria for the solvability of algebraic systems of equations, *Aequationes Math.* **4** (1970), 374-383. (in German) [2](#)
- [13] P. Cartier, On the structure of free Baxter algebras, *Advances in Math.* **9** (1972), 253-265. [3](#)
- [14] A. Connes and D. Kreimer, Renormalization in quantum field theory and the Riemann-Hilbert problem. I. The Hopf algebra structure of graphs and the main theorem, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **210** (2000), no. 1, 249-273. [3](#)
- [15] A. Connes and D. Kreimer, Renormalization in quantum field theory and the Riemann-Hilbert problem. II. The β -function, diffeomorphisms and the renormalization group, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **216** (2001), no. 1, 215-241. [3](#)
- [16] V. Dotsenko and A. Khoroshkin, Quillen homology for operads via Gröbner bases, arXiv:1203.5053v3 [math.KT] 10 Jun 2013. [19](#)
- [17] K. Ebrahimi-Fard, Loday-type algebras and the Rota-Baxter relation, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **61** (2002), 139-147. [2](#)
- [18] K. Ebrahimi-Fard and L. Guo, Rota-Baxter algebras and dendriform dialgebras, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **212** (2) (2008) 320-339. [3](#)

- [19] K. Ebrahimi-Fard and L. Guo, Free Rota-Baxter algebras and rooted trees, *J. Algebra and Its Applications* **7** (2) (2008) 167-194. [3](#)
- [20] X. Gao, L. Guo and S. Zheng, Construction of free commutative integro-differential algebras by the method of Gröbner-Shirshov bases, *J. Algebra and Its Applications* **13** (2014), 1350160. [5](#)
- [21] V. Ginzburg and M. Kaparnov, Koszul duality for operads, *Duke Math. J.* **76** (1994), 203-272. [2](#)
- [22] L. Guo and W. Keigher, On differential Rota-Baxter algebras, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **212** (2008), 522-540. [2](#), [11](#)
- [23] L. Guo and W. Keigher, Baxter algebras and shuffle products, *Adv. in Math.* **150** (2000), 117-149. [3](#)
- [24] L. Guo, An Introduction to Rota-Baxter Algebra, International Press and Higher Education Press, 2012. [17](#)
- [25] L. Guo, Operated semigroups, Motzkin paths and rooted trees, *J. Algebraic Combinatorics* **29** (2009), 35-62. [3](#), [4](#), [5](#)
- [26] L. Guo, H. Lang and Y. H. Sheng, Integration and geometrization of Rota-Baxter Lie algebras, arXiv:2009.03492. [3](#)
- [27] L. Guo, G. Regensburger and M. Rosenkranz, On integro-differential algebras, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **218** (2014), 456-471. [2](#)
- [28] L. Guo, W. Sit and R. Zhang, Differemtail Type Operators and Gröbner-Shirshov Bases, *J. Symb. Comput.* **52** (2013) 97-123. [2](#), [5](#), [6](#), [14](#)
- [29] M. Guo, X. Hu, J. Lü and X. Wang, The structures on the universal enveloping algebras of differential graded Poisson Hopf algebras, *Comm. Algebra* **46** (2018), 2714-2729. [2](#)
- [30] E. Harzheim, *Ordered Sets*, Springer, 2005. [9](#)
- [31] E. Hoffbeck, A Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt criterion for Koszul operads, *manuscripta math.* **131** (2010), 87-110. [7](#), [19](#)
- [32] H. Hironaka, Resolution of singularities of an algebraic variety over a field of characteristic zero, I, II, *Ann. Math.* **79** (1964), 109-203, 205-326. [2](#)
- [33] E. Kolchin, *Differential Algebra and Algebraic Groups*, Academic Press, New York-London, 1973. [2](#)
- [34] Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach, Lie bialgebras, Poisson Lie groups and dressing transformations, in "Integrability of nonlinear systems", *Lecture Notes in Physics* **495**, Springer, Berlin (1997), 104-170. [3](#)
- [35] J.-L. Loday and M. O. Ronco, Hopf algebra of the planar binary trees, *Adv. Math.* **139** (2) (1998), 293-309. [3](#)
- [36] L. Pacaud and S. Jean, Differential algebras in codifferential categories, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **223** (2019), 4191-4225. [2](#)
- [37] J. Pei, C. Bai and L. Guo, Splitting of operads and Rota-Baxter operators on operads, *Applied Categorical Structures*, **25** (2017), 505-538. [3](#)
- [38] J. F. Ritt, *Differential algebra*, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, New York, 1950. [2](#)
- [39] X. S. Peng, Y. Zhang and X. Gao and Y. F. Luo, Universal enveloping of (modified) λ -differential Lie algebras, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra* **0** (2020), 1-26. [2](#), [14](#)
- [40] G.-C. Rota, Baxter algebras and combinatorial identities, I, II, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **75** (1969), 325-334. [3](#)
- [41] M. Semonov-Tian-Shansky, What is a classical R-matrix? *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **17** (1983), 259-272. [3](#)
- [42] T. Stai, Differential modules over quadratic monoidal algebras, *Algebr. Represent. Theory* **20** (2017), 1239-1247. [2](#)
- [43] A. I. Shirshov, Some algorithmic problem for ε -algebras, *Sibirsk. Mat. Z.* **3** (1962), 132-137. (in Russian) [2](#)
- [44] M. Singer and M. van der Put, *Galois theory of linear differential equations*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003. [2](#)
- [45] F. G. Tricomi, On the finite Hilbert transformation, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford*, **2** (1951), 199-211. [19](#)
- [46] X. Zhang, X. Gao and L. Guo, Free modified Rota-Baxter algebras and Hopf algebras, *International Electronic Journal of Algebra* **25** (2019), 12-34. [3](#)
- [47] S. H. Zheng, X. Gao, L. Guo and W. Sit, Rota-Baxter type operators, rewriting systems and Gröbner-Shirshov bases, *J. Symbolic Computation*, accepted, arXiv:1412.8055v1. [3](#), [10](#), [17](#)

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, LANZHOU UNIVERSITY, LANZHOU, GANSU 730000, P. R. CHINA
Email address: zhuzhch16@lzu.edu.cn

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, LANZHOU UNIVERSITY, LANZHOU, GANSU 730000, P. R. CHINA
Email address: zhanghh17@lzu.edu.cn

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, LANZHOU UNIVERSITY, LANZHOU, 730000, P.R. CHINA
Email address: gaoxing@lzu.edu.cn