

Pseudo-multifan and Lollipop

Yan Cao* Guantao Chen†
Guangming Jing‡ Songling Shan§

April 30, 2024

Abstract

A simple graph G with maximum degree Δ is *overfull* if $|E(G)| > \Delta \lfloor |V(G)|/2 \rfloor$. The *core* of G , denoted G_Δ , is the subgraph of G induced by its vertices of degree Δ . Clearly, the chromatic index of G equals $\Delta + 1$ if G is overfull. Conversely, Hilton and Zhao in 1996 conjectured that if G is a simple connected graph with $\Delta \geq 3$ and $\Delta(G_\Delta) \leq 2$, then $\chi'(G) = \Delta + 1$ implies that G is overfull or $G = P^*$, where P^* is obtained from the Petersen graph by deleting a vertex (Core Conjecture). The goal of this paper is to develop the concepts of “pseudo-multifan” and “lollipop” and study their properties in an edge colored graph. These concepts turn out to be powerful tools in edge coloring graphs with a small core degree.

MSC (2010): Primary 05C15

Keywords: Overfull graph, Multifan, Kierstead path, Pseudo-multifan, Lollipop.

1 Introduction

For two integers p and q , let $[p, q] = \{i \in \mathbb{Z} : p \leq i \leq q\}$. Let G be a simple graph with maximum degree Δ . The *core* of G , denoted G_Δ , is the subgraph of G induced by its vertices of degree Δ . Let $k \geq 0$ be an integer. An *edge k -coloring* of G is a mapping φ from $E(G)$ to $[1, k]$, called *colors*, such that no two adjacent edges receive the same color with respect to φ . The *chromatic index* $\chi'(G)$ of G is the smallest k so that G has an edge k -coloring.

*School of Mathematical Sciences, Dalian University of Technology, Dalian, Liaoning 116024, China.
ycao@dlut.edu.cn.

†Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Georgia State University, Atlanta, GA 30302, USA.
gchen@gsu.edu.

‡School of Mathematical and Data Sciences, West Virginia University, Morgantown, WV 26506, USA.
gujing@mail.wvu.edu.

§Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Auburn University, Auburn, AL 36849, USA.
szs0398@auburn.edu.

In 1960's, Gupta [8] and, independently, Vizing [14] proved that for all graphs G , $\Delta \leq \chi'(G) \leq \Delta + 1$. This leads to a natural classification of simple graphs. Following Fiorini and Wilson [7], a graph G is of *class 1* if $\chi'(G) = \Delta$ and of *class 2* if $\chi'(G) = \Delta + 1$. Holyer [11] showed that it is NP-complete to determine whether an arbitrary graph is of class 1. Nevertheless, if $|E(G)| > \Delta \lfloor |V(G)|/2 \rfloor$, then we have to color $E(G)$ using exactly $(\Delta + 1)$ colors. Such graphs are *overfull*. Thus the containment of an overfull subgraph of the same maximum degree is a sufficient condition for a graph to be class 2. The condition is not necessary, as the Petersen graph is class 2 but contains no 3-overfull subgraph. By Seymour [12], it is polynomial-time to determine whether G contains an overfull subgraph of maximum degree Δ . A fundamental question arising here is that for what graphs this sufficient condition of overfull subgraph containment will also be necessary.

Hilton and Zhao [10] in 1996 proposed the following Core Conjecture. If true, it implies an easy approach to determine the chromatic index for connected graphs G with $\Delta(G_\Delta) \leq 2$: just count the number of edges in G if $G \neq P^*$, where P^* is obtained from the Petersen graph by deleting one vertex.

Conjecture 1.1 (Core Conjecture). *Let G be a simple connected graph with maximum degree $\Delta \geq 3$ and $\Delta(G_\Delta) \leq 2$. Then G is class 2 implies that G is overfull or $G = P^*$.*

A connected class 2 graph G with $\Delta(G_\Delta) \leq 2$ is a *Hilton-Zhao graph (HZ-graph)*. Clearly, P^* is an HZ-graph with $\chi'(P^*) = 4$ and $\Delta(P^*) = 3$. Hence the Core Conjecture is equivalent to the claim that every HZ-graph $G \neq P^*$ with $\Delta(G) \geq 3$ is overfull. Not much progress has been made since the conjecture was proposed in 1996. A first breakthrough was achieved in 2003, when Cariolaro and Cariolaro [4] settled the base case $\Delta = 3$. They proved that P^* is the only HZ-graph with maximum degree $\Delta = 3$, an alternative proof was given later by Král', Sereny, and Stiebitz (see [13, pp. 67–63]). The next case, $\Delta = 4$, was recently solved by Cranston and Rabern [6], they proved that the only HZ-graph with maximum degree $\Delta = 4$ is obtained from the graph K_5 with one edge removed. The conjecture is wide open for $\Delta \geq 5$. Our main goal in this paper is to develop two new concepts, namely “pseudo-multifan” and “lollipop” that generalize previously known adjacency lemmas associated with multifans and Kierstead paths. These developments were used to prove the Core Conjecture [2]. Furthermore, we have applied these ideas in proving the overfullness of graphs in [3] and [1], making progress towards the Overfull Conjecture by Chetwynd and Hilton from 1986 [5]. We believe that these concepts and related results will be useful tools in the area of edge colorings.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. In next section, we give the classical edge coloring concept of a multifan, and then define “pseudo-multifans” and “lollipops.” The main results are listed as Theorem 2.5, Theorem 2.6 and Theorem 2.8. In Section 3, we provide certain preliminaries and notation. In Section 4, we prove Theorem 2.5. Theorem 2.6 and Theorem 2.8 will be proved in the last section.

2 Multifan, pseudo-multifan, and lollipop

We start with some definitions. Let G be a graph, $v \in V(G)$, and $i \geq 0$ be an integer. An i -vertex is a vertex of degree i in G , and an i -vertex from the neighborhood of v is called an i -neighbor of v . Define

$$V_i = \{w \in V(G) : d_G(w) = i\}, \quad N_i(v) = N_G(v) \cap V_i, \quad \text{and} \quad N_i[v] = N_i(v) \cup \{v\}.$$

The symbol Δ is reserved for $\Delta(G)$, the maximum degree of G throughout this paper.

Let $e \in E(G)$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^k(G - e)$ for some $k \geq 0$, where $\mathcal{C}^k(G)$ denotes the set of all edge k -colorings of G . The set of colors *present* at v is $\varphi(v) = \{\varphi(f) : f \text{ is incident to } v\}$, and the set of colors *missing* at v is $\bar{\varphi}(v) = [1, k] \setminus \varphi(v)$. If $\bar{\varphi}(v) = \{\alpha\}$ is a singleton for some $\alpha \in [1, k]$, we also write $\bar{\varphi}(v) = \alpha$. For a vertex set $X \subseteq V(G)$, define $\bar{\varphi}(X) = \bigcup_{x \in X} \bar{\varphi}(x)$. The set X is φ -elementary if $\bar{\varphi}(x) \cap \bar{\varphi}(y) = \emptyset$ for any distinct $x, y \in X$.

Let $\alpha, \beta \in [1, k]$. Each component of $G - e$ induced on edges colored by α or β is either a path or an even cycle, which is called an (α, β) -chain of $G - e$ with respect to φ . Interchanging α and β on an (α, β) -chain C of G gives a new edge k -coloring, which is denoted by φ/C . This operation is called a *Kempe change*.

For $x, y \in V(G)$, if x and y are contained in the same (α, β) -chain with respect to φ , we say x and y are (α, β) -linked. Otherwise, they are (α, β) -unlinked. If an (α, β) -chain P is a path with one endvertex as x , we also denote it by $P_x(\alpha, \beta, \varphi)$, and we just write $P_x(\alpha, \beta)$ if φ is understood. For a vertex u and an edge uv contained in $P_x(\alpha, \beta, \varphi)$, we write $u \in P_x(\alpha, \beta, \varphi)$ and $uv \in P_x(\alpha, \beta, \varphi)$. If $u, v \in P_x(\alpha, \beta, \varphi)$ such that u lies between x and v on P , then we say that $P_x(\alpha, \beta, \varphi)$ *meets u before v* .

Let T be an alternating sequence of vertices and edges of G . We denote by $V(T)$ the set of vertices contained in T , and by $E(T)$ the set of edges contained in T . We simply write $\bar{\varphi}(T)$ for $\bar{\varphi}(V(T))$. If $V(T)$ is φ -elementary and $\bar{\varphi}(T) \neq \emptyset$, then for a color $\tau \in \bar{\varphi}(T)$, we denote by $\bar{\varphi}_T^{-1}(\tau)$ the unique vertex in $V(T)$ at which τ is missed. A coloring $\varphi' \in \mathcal{C}^k(G - e)$ is (T, φ) -stable if for every $x \in V(T)$ and every $f \in E(T)$, it holds that $\bar{\varphi}'(x) = \bar{\varphi}(x)$ and $\varphi'(f) = \varphi(f)$. Clearly, φ is (T, φ) -stable.

Let $rs_1 \in E(G)$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^k(G - rs_1)$ for some $k \geq 0$. We now are ready to give the definitions of multifans and pseudo-multifans.

Definition 2.1 (Multifan). *A multifan centered at r with respect to rs_1 and φ is a sequence $F_\varphi(r, s_1 : s_p) := (r, rs_1, s_1, rs_2, s_2, \dots, rs_p, s_p)$ with $p \geq 1$ consisting of distinct vertices and edges such that for every edge rs_i with $i \in [2, p]$, there is a vertex s_j with $j \in [1, i - 1]$ satisfying $\varphi(rs_i) \in \bar{\varphi}(s_j)$.*

A multifan $F_\varphi(r, s_1 : s_p)$ is *maximum* at r if $|V(F)|$ is maximum among all multifans at r .

Definition 2.2 (Pseudo-multifan). *A pseudo-multifan with respect to rs_1 and φ is a sequence $S := S_\varphi(r, s_1 : s_t : s_p) := (r, rs_1, s_1, rs_2, s_2, \dots, rs_t, s_t, rs_{t+1}, s_{t+1}, \dots, s_{p-1}, rs_p, s_p)$ with $t, p \geq 1$ consisting of distinct vertices and edges satisfying the following conditions:*

(P1) *the subsequence $F := (r, rs_1, s_1, rs_2, s_2, \dots, rs_t, s_t)$ is a maximum multifan at r .*

(P2) *$V(S)$ is φ' -elementary for every (F, φ) -stable $\varphi' \in \mathcal{C}^k(G - rs_1)$.*

Let $F_\varphi(r, s_1 : s_p)$ be a multifan. We call $s_{\ell_1}, s_{\ell_2}, \dots, s_{\ell_h}$, a subsequence of s_2, \dots, s_p , an α -inducing sequence for some $\alpha \in [1, k]$ with respect to φ and F if $\varphi(rs_{\ell_1}) = \alpha \in \overline{\varphi}(s_1)$ and $\varphi(rs_{\ell_i}) \in \overline{\varphi}(s_{\ell_{i-1}})$ for each $i \in [2, h]$. (By this definition, $(r, rs_1, s_1, rs_{\ell_1}, s_{\ell_1}, \dots, rs_{\ell_h}, s_{\ell_h})$ is also a multifan with respect to rs_1 and φ .) A color in $\overline{\varphi}(s_{\ell_i})$ for any $i \in [1, h]$ is an α -inducing color and is induced by α . For $\alpha_i \in \overline{\varphi}(s_{\ell_i})$ and $\alpha_j \in \overline{\varphi}(s_{\ell_j})$ with $i < j$ and $i, j \in [1, h]$, we write $\alpha_i \prec \alpha_j$. For convenience, α itself is also an α -inducing color and is induced by α , and $\alpha \prec \beta$ for any $\beta \in \overline{\varphi}(s_{\ell_i})$ and any $i \in [1, h]$. An α -inducing color β is called a *last α -inducing color* if there does not exist any α -inducing color δ such that $\beta \prec \delta$.

An edge $e \in E(G)$ is a *critical edge* if $\chi'(G - e) < \chi'(G)$, and G is *edge Δ -critical* or simply *Δ -critical* if G is connected, $\chi'(G) = \Delta + 1$, and every edge of G is critical. The following result by Hilton and Zhao in [9] indicates that $V(G) = V_\Delta \cup V_{\Delta-1}$ for any HZ-graph G with $\Delta \geq 3$.

Lemma 2.3. *If G is an HZ-graph with maximum degree Δ , then the following statements hold.*

(a) *G is Δ -critical and G_Δ is 2-regular.*

(b) *$\delta(G) = \Delta - 1$, or $\Delta = 2$ and G is an odd cycle.*

(c) *Every vertex of G has at least two neighbors in G_Δ .*

By Lemma 2.3 (a), every edge of an HZ graph is critical. For an HZ-graph G with maximum degree $\Delta \geq 3$, we let $rs_1 \in E(G)$ with $r \in V_\Delta$ and $s_1 \in N_{\Delta-1}(r) := \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{\Delta-2}\}$, and $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^\Delta(G - rs_1)$. Then we call (G, rs_1, φ) a *coloring-triple*. As Δ -degree vertices in a multifan do not miss any color, for multifans in HZ-graphs, we add a further requirement in its definition as follows and we use this new definition in HZ-graphs in the remainder.

Assumption. *For multifans in HZ-graphs, all of its vertices except the center have degree $\Delta - 1$.*

Let (G, rs_1, φ) be a coloring-triple and $F := F_\varphi(r, s_1 : s_p)$ be a multifan. By its definition, $|\overline{\varphi}(s_1)| = 2$, $|\overline{\varphi}(s_i)| = 1$ for each $i \in [2, p]$, and so every color in $\overline{\varphi}(F) \setminus \overline{\varphi}(r)$ is induced by one of the two colors in $\overline{\varphi}(s_1)$. We call F a *typical multifan*, denoted $F_\varphi(r, s_1 : s_\alpha : s_\beta) := (r, rs_1, s_1, rs_2, s_2, \dots, rs_\alpha, s_\alpha, rs_{\alpha+1}, s_{\alpha+1}, \dots, rs_\beta, s_\beta)$ where $\beta := p$,

- if $\overline{\varphi}(r) = 1$ (recall we denote $\overline{\varphi}(v)$ by a number if $|\overline{\varphi}(v)| = 1$) and $\overline{\varphi}(s_1) = \{2, \Delta\}$; and

- if $|V(F)| \geq 3$, then $\varphi(rs_{\alpha+1}) = \Delta$ and $\overline{\varphi}(s_{\alpha+1}) = \alpha + 2$ (if $\beta > \alpha$), and for each $i \in [2, \beta]$ with $i \neq \alpha + 1$, $\varphi(rs_i) = i$ and $\overline{\varphi}(s_i) = i + 1$.

It is clear that s_2, \dots, s_α is the longest 2-inducing sequence and $s_{\alpha+1}, \dots, s_\beta$ (if $\beta > \alpha$) is the longest Δ -inducing sequence of $F_\varphi(r, s_1 : s_\alpha : s_\beta)$. By relabelling vertices and colors if necessary, any multifan in an HZ-graph can be assumed to be a typical multifan, see Figure 1 (a) for a depiction. If $\alpha = \beta$, then we write $F_\varphi(r, s_1 : s_\alpha)$ for $F_\varphi(r, s_1 : s_\alpha : s_\beta)$, and call it a *typical 2-inducing multifan*.

If $F = (a_1, \dots, a_t)$ is a sequence, then for a new entry b , (F, b) denotes the sequence (a_1, \dots, a_t, b) .

Definition 2.4 (Lollipop). *Let (G, rs_1, φ) be a coloring-triple. A lollipop centered at r is a sequence $L = (F, ru, u, ux, x)$ of distinct vertices and edges such that $F = F_\varphi(r, s_1 : s_\alpha : s_\beta)$ is a typical multifan, $u \in N_\Delta(r)$ and $x \in N_{\Delta-1}(u)$ with $x \notin \{s_1, \dots, s_\beta\}$ (see Figure 1 (b) for a depiction).*




Figure 1: (a) A typical multifan $F_\varphi(r, s_1 : s_\alpha : s_\beta)$, where $\overline{\varphi}(r) = 1$ and $\overline{\varphi}(s_1) = \{2, \Delta\}$; (b) A lollipop centered at r , where x can be the same as some s_ℓ for $\ell \in [\beta + 1, \Delta - 2]$; (c) A rotation centered at r , where a dashed line at a vertex indicates a color missing at the vertex.

Let (G, rs_1, φ) be a coloring-triple. A sequence of distinct vertices $w_1, \dots, w_t \in N_{\Delta-1}(r)$ form a *rotation* if $\{w_1, \dots, w_t\}$ is φ -elementary, and for each ℓ with $\ell \in [1, t]$, it holds that $\varphi(rw_\ell) = \overline{\varphi}(w_{\ell-1})$, where $w_0 := w_t$. An example of a rotation is given in Figure 1 (c).

For $u, v \in V(G)$, we write $u \sim v$ if u and v are adjacent in G , and write $u \not\sim v$ otherwise. The main results of this paper are the following.

Theorem 2.5. *Let (G, rs_1, φ) be a coloring-triple, $S := S_\varphi(r, s_1 : s_t : s_{\Delta-2})$ be a pseudo-multifan with $F := F_\varphi(r, s_1 : s_t)$ being the maximum multifan contained in it. Let $j \in [t+1, \Delta-2]$ and $\delta \in \overline{\varphi}(s_j)$. Then*

- (a) $\{s_{t+1}, \dots, s_{\Delta-2}\}$ can be partitioned into rotations with respect to φ .
- (b) s_j and r are $(1, \delta)$ -linked with respect to φ .
- (c) For every color $\gamma \in \overline{\varphi}(F)$ with $\gamma \neq 1$, it holds that $r \in P_y(\gamma, \delta) = P_{s_j}(\gamma, \delta)$, where $y = \overline{\varphi}_F^{-1}(\gamma)$. Furthermore, for $z \in N_G(r)$ such that $\varphi(rz) = \gamma$, $P_y(\gamma, \delta)$ meets z before r .
- (d) For every $\delta^* \in \overline{\varphi}(S) \setminus \overline{\varphi}(F)$ with $\delta^* \neq \delta$, it holds that $P_y(\delta, \delta^*) = P_{s_j}(\delta, \delta^*)$, where $y = \overline{\varphi}_S^{-1}(\delta^*)$. Furthermore, either $r \in P_{s_j}(\delta, \delta^*)$ or $P_r(\delta, \delta^*)$ is an even cycle.

Theorem 2.6. *Let (G, rs_1, φ) be a coloring-triple, $F := F_\varphi(r, s_1 : s_\alpha : s_\beta)$ be a typical multifan, and $L := (F, ru, u, ux, x)$ be a lollipop centered at r . If $\varphi(ru) = \alpha+1$, $\overline{\varphi}(x) = \alpha+1$, and $\varphi(ux) = \Delta$, then the following two statements hold.*

- (1) If $u \sim s_1$, then $\varphi(us_1)$ is a Δ -inducing color of F .
- (2) If $u \sim s_\alpha$, then $\varphi(us_\alpha)$ is a Δ -inducing color of F .

Since in a typical 2-inducing multifan, $\Delta \in \overline{\varphi}(s_1)$ is the only Δ -inducing color, we have the following consequence of Theorem 2.6.

Corollary 2.7. *Let (G, rs_1, φ) be a coloring-triple, $F := F_\varphi(r, s_1 : s_\alpha)$ be a typical 2-inducing multifan, and $L := (F, ru, u, ux, x)$ be a lollipop centered at r . If $\varphi(ru) = \alpha+1$, $\overline{\varphi}(x) = \alpha+1$, and $\varphi(ux) = \Delta$, then $u \not\sim s_1$ and $u \not\sim s_\alpha$.*

Theorem 2.8. *Let (G, rs_1, φ) be a coloring-triple, $F := F_\varphi(r, s_1 : s_\alpha)$ be a typical 2-inducing multifan, and $L := (F, ru, u, ux, x)$ be a lollipop centered at r . If $\varphi(ru) = \alpha+1$, $\overline{\varphi}(x) = \alpha+1$, and $\varphi(ux) = \mu \in \overline{\varphi}(F)$ is a 2-inducing color of F , then $u \not\sim s_{\mu-1}$ and $u \not\sim s_\mu$.*

3 Preliminaries

In this section, we list some known results on multifans and introduce further notation.

Lemma 3.1 ([13, Theorem 2.1]). *Let G be a class 2 graph and $F_\varphi(r, s_1 : s_p)$ be a multifan with respect to rs_1 and $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^\Delta(G - rs_1)$. Then the following statements hold.*

- (a) $V(F)$ is φ -elementary.
- (b) For any $\alpha \in \overline{\varphi}(r)$ and any $\beta \in \overline{\varphi}(s_i)$ with $i \in [1, p]$, r and s_i are (α, β) -linked with respect to φ .

By Lemma 3.1 (a) and the definition of a multifan $F_\varphi(r, s_1 : s_p)$, each color in $\overline{\varphi}(F) \setminus \overline{\varphi}(r)$ is induced by a unique color in $\overline{\varphi}(s_1)$. Also if α_1 and α_2 are two distinct colors in $\overline{\varphi}(s_1)$, then an α_1 -inducing sequence is disjoint from an α_2 -inducing sequence. As a consequence of Lemma 3.1 (a), we have the following properties for a multifan.

Lemma 3.2. *Let G be a class 2 graph and $F_\varphi(r, s_1 : s_p)$ be a multifan with respect to rs_1 and $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^\Delta(G - rs_1)$. For any two colors δ, λ with $\delta \in \overline{\varphi}(s_i)$ and $\lambda \in \overline{\varphi}(s_j)$ for some distinct $i, j \in [1, p]$, the following statements hold.*

- (a) If δ and λ are induced by different colors from $\overline{\varphi}(s_1)$, then s_i and s_j are (δ, λ) -linked with respect to φ .
- (b) If δ and λ are induced by the same color from $\overline{\varphi}(s_1)$ such that $\delta \prec \lambda$ and s_i and s_j are (δ, λ) -unlinked with respect to φ , then $r \in P_{s_j}(\delta, \lambda, \varphi)$.

Proof. For (a), suppose otherwise that s_i and s_j are (δ, λ) -unlinked with respect to φ . Assume that δ and λ are induced by α and β respectively where $\alpha, \beta \in \overline{\varphi}(s_1)$ are distinct. Let $s_{i_1}, s_{i_2}, \dots, s_{i_k} = s_i$ be an α -inducing sequence containing s_i , and $s_{j_1}, s_{j_2}, \dots, s_{j_\ell} = s_j$ be a β -inducing sequence containing s_j . Since $V(F)$ is φ -elementary, s_i is the only vertex in F that misses δ . Therefore, the other end of $P_{s_j}(\delta, \lambda, \varphi)$ is outside of $V(F)$. Let $\varphi' = \varphi / P_{s_j}(\delta, \lambda, \varphi)$. Then $F^* = (r, rs_1, s_1, rs_{i_1}, s_{i_1}, \dots, s_{i_k}, rs_{j_1}, s_{j_1}, \dots, s_{j_\ell})$ is a multifan under φ' . However, $\delta \in \overline{\varphi}'(s_i) \cap \overline{\varphi}'(s_j)$, contradicting Theorem 3.1 (a).

For (b), suppose otherwise that $r \notin P_{s_j}(\delta, \lambda, \varphi)$. Assume, without loss of generality, that $i < j$, and $s_2, \dots, s_i, s_{i+1}, \dots, s_j$ is an α -inducing sequence for some $\alpha \in \overline{\varphi}(s_1)$. Since $V(F)$ is φ -elementary, s_i is the only vertex in F that misses δ . Therefore, when s_i and s_j are (δ, λ) -unlinked with respect to φ , the other end of $P_{s_j}(\delta, \lambda, \varphi)$ is outside of $V(F)$. Let $\varphi' = \varphi / P_{s_j}(\delta, \lambda, \varphi)$. Since $r \notin P_{s_j}(\delta, \lambda, \varphi)$, φ' agrees with φ on F at every edge and every vertex except s_j . Therefore, the sequence $F_{\varphi'}(r, s_1 : s_j)$, obtained from $F_\varphi(r, s_1 : s_p)$ by deleting every entry after s_j is still a multifan. However, $\delta \in \overline{\varphi}'(s_i) \cap \overline{\varphi}'(s_j)$, contradicting Theorem 3.1 (a). \square

Let (G, rs_1, φ) be a coloring-triple and $i, j \in [2, \Delta - 2]$. The *shift from s_i to s_j* is an operation that, for each ℓ with $\ell \in [i, j]$, recolor rs_ℓ by the color in $\overline{\varphi}(s_\ell)$. We will apply a shift either on a sequence of vertices from a multifan or on a rotation.

Let $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \tau \in [1, \Delta]$ and $x, y \in V(G)$. If P is an (α, β) -chain containing both x and y such that P is a path, we denote by $P_{[x,y]}(\alpha, \beta, \varphi)$ the subchain of P that has endvertices x and y . Suppose $|\overline{\varphi}(x) \cap \{\alpha, \beta\}| = 1$. Then an (α, β) -swap at x is just the Kempe change on $P_x(\alpha, \beta, \varphi)$. By convention, an (α, α) -swap at x does nothing at x . Suppose $\beta_0 \in \overline{\varphi}(x)$ and $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_t \in \varphi(x)$ for colors $\beta_0, \dots, \beta_t \in [1, \Delta]$ for some integer $t \geq 1$. Then a

$$(\beta_0, \beta_1) - (\beta_1, \beta_2) - \dots - (\beta_{t-1}, \beta_t) - \text{swap}$$

at x consists of t Kempe changes: let $\varphi_0 = \varphi$, then $\varphi_i = \varphi_{i-1}/P_x(\beta_{i-1}, \beta_i, \varphi_{i-1})$ for each $i \in [1, t]$. Suppose the current color of an edge uv of G is α , the notation $uv : \alpha \rightarrow \beta$ means to recolor the edge uv using the color β .

We will use a matrix with two rows to denote a sequence of operations taken based on φ . For example, the matrix below indicates three consecutive operations:

$$\begin{bmatrix} P_{[a,b]}(\alpha, \beta, \varphi) & s_c : s_d & rs \\ \alpha/\beta & \text{shift} & \gamma \rightarrow \tau \end{bmatrix}.$$

Step 1 Exchange α and β on the (α, β) -subchain $P_{[a,b]}(\alpha, \beta, \varphi)$.

Step 2 Based on the coloring obtained from Step 1, shift from s_c to s_d for vertices s_c, \dots, s_d .

Step 3 Based on the coloring obtained from Step 2, do $rs : \gamma \rightarrow \tau$.

In the reminder, for simpler description, we may skip the phrase “with respect to φ ” in related notation, which then needs to be understood with respect to the current edge coloring.

4 Proof of Theorem 2.5

Theorem 2.5. *Let (G, rs_1, φ) be a coloring-triple, $S := S_\varphi(r, s_1 : s_t : s_{\Delta-2})$ be a pseudo-multifan with $F := F_\varphi(r, s_1 : s_t)$ being the maximum multifan contained in it. Let $j \in [t+1, \Delta-2]$ and $\delta \in \overline{\varphi}(s_j)$. Then*

- (a) $\{s_{t+1}, \dots, s_{\Delta-2}\}$ can be partitioned into rotations with respect to φ .
- (b) s_j and r are $(1, \delta)$ -linked with respect to φ .
- (c) For every color $\gamma \in \overline{\varphi}(F)$ with $\gamma \neq 1$, it holds that $r \in P_y(\gamma, \delta) = P_{s_j}(\gamma, \delta)$, where $y = \overline{\varphi}_F^{-1}(\gamma)$. Furthermore, for $z \in N_G(r)$ such that $\varphi(rz) = \gamma$, $P_y(\gamma, \delta)$ meets z before r .
- (d) For every $\delta^* \in \overline{\varphi}(S) \setminus \overline{\varphi}(F)$ with $\delta^* \neq \delta$, it holds $P_y(\delta, \delta^*) = P_{s_j}(\delta, \delta^*)$, where $y = \overline{\varphi}_S^{-1}(\delta^*)$. Furthermore, either $r \in P_{s_j}(\delta, \delta^*)$ or $P_r(\delta, \delta^*)$ is an even cycle.

Proof. By relabeling colors and vertices, we assume F is typical and let $F = F_\varphi(r, s_1 : s_\alpha : s_\beta)$, where $\beta = t$.

Let u, v be the two Δ -neighbors of r in G . Then $\overline{\varphi}(F) \setminus \{1\} = \{\varphi(rs_i) : i \in [2, \beta]\} \cup \{\varphi(ru), \varphi(rv)\}$. Thus $\{\varphi(rs_i) : i \in [\beta + 1, \Delta - 2]\} = [1, \Delta] \setminus \overline{\varphi}(F)$. Also $\cup_{i=\beta+1}^{\Delta-2} \overline{\varphi}(s_i) = [1, \Delta] \setminus \overline{\varphi}(F)$ since $V(S)$ is φ -elementary. Therefore,

$$\cup_{i=\beta+1}^{\Delta-2} \overline{\varphi}(s_i) = \{\varphi(rs_i) : i \in [\beta + 1, \Delta - 2]\}.$$

Thus, the sequence of missing colors $\overline{\varphi}(s_{\beta+1}), \dots, \overline{\varphi}(s_{\Delta-2})$ is a permutation of the sequence of colors $\varphi(rs_{\beta+1}), \dots, \varphi(rs_{\Delta-2})$. Since every permutation can be partitioned into disjoint cycles, $\{s_{\beta+1}, \dots, s_{\Delta-2}\}$ has a partition into rotations. This finishes the proof for (a).

By statement (a), there is a rotation containing s_j . Assume, without loss of generality, that this rotation is $s_j, s_{j+1}, \dots, s_\ell$ in the remainder of this proof.

For (b), if s_j and r are $(1, \delta)$ -unlinked with respect to φ , then $P_{s_j}(1, \delta)$ ends at a vertex outside $V(F)$ and does not contain any edge in F . Thus $\varphi' = \varphi/P_{s_j}(1, \delta)$ is (F, φ) -stable. But $V(S)$ is not φ' -elementary, giving a contradiction to (P2) in the definition of a pseudo-multifan.

For the first part of statement (c), since F is typical, suppose to the contrary that there exists $\gamma \in \overline{\varphi}(s_{\gamma-1})$, $\gamma \in [2, \beta + 1] \cup \{\Delta\}$, such that $r \in P_{s_{\gamma-1}}(\gamma, \delta) = P_{s_j}(\gamma, \delta)$ does not hold, where $s_{\Delta-1} := s_1$. As the proof on the 2-inducing sequence and Δ -inducing sequence of F are symmetric up to renaming vertices and colors, we assume that $\gamma \in [2, \alpha + 1]$. We have the following three cases: $r \notin P_{s_{\gamma-1}}(\gamma, \delta)$ and $r \notin P_{s_j}(\gamma, \delta)$; $r \notin P_{s_{\gamma-1}}(\gamma, \delta)$ and $r \in P_{s_j}(\gamma, \delta)$; and $r \in P_{s_{\gamma-1}}(\gamma, \delta)$ and $r \notin P_{s_j}(\gamma, \delta)$.

Suppose first that $r \notin P_{s_{\gamma-1}}(\gamma, \delta)$ and $r \notin P_{s_j}(\gamma, \delta)$. Let $\varphi' = \varphi/Q$, where Q is the (γ, δ) -chain containing r . Note that φ' and φ agree on every edge incident to r except two edges rs_{j+1} and rz , where recall $z \in N_G(r)$ such that $\varphi(rz) = \gamma$. Thus $z = s_\gamma$ if $\gamma \leq \alpha$. If $\gamma \leq \alpha$, then $\overline{\varphi}'(s_{\gamma-1}) = \gamma = \varphi'(rs_{j+1})$ and $\overline{\varphi}'(s_j) = \delta = \varphi'(rs_\gamma)$. If $\gamma = \alpha + 1$ and $\beta > \alpha$, then $\varphi'(rs_\gamma) = \varphi(rs_\gamma) = \Delta \in \overline{\varphi}'(s_1)$. Since $r \notin P_{s_{\gamma-1}}(\gamma, \delta, \varphi)$, $r \notin P_{s_j}(\gamma, \delta, \varphi)$ and $N_{\Delta-1}(r)$ is φ -elementary, $\overline{\varphi}'(s_i) = \overline{\varphi}(s_i)$ for each $i \in [1, \Delta - 2]$. Thus under the new coloring φ' , $F^* = (r, rs_1, s_1, \dots, s_{\gamma-1}, rs_{j+1}, s_{j+1}, \dots, rs_\ell, s_\ell, rs_j, s_j, rs_\gamma, s_\gamma, \dots, s_\beta)$ is a multifan, where we remove repeated elements in F if $\gamma = 2$ (see Table 1 for an illustration of “connecting” vertices to form F^* when $\gamma \leq \alpha$). However, $|V(F)| < |V(F^*)|$, we obtain a contradiction to the maximality of F as required in (P1) of the definition of a pseudo-multifan.

Suppose then that $r \notin P_{s_{\gamma-1}}(\gamma, \delta)$ and $r \in P_{s_j}(\gamma, \delta)$. Let $\varphi' = \varphi/P_{s_j}(\gamma, \delta)$. Similar to the case above, one can easily check that $F^* = (r, rs_1, s_1, \dots, s_{\gamma-1}, rs_{j+1}, s_{j+1}, \dots, rs_\ell, s_\ell, rs_j, s_j)$ is a multifan. Since $\overline{\varphi}'(s_{\gamma-1}) = \overline{\varphi}'(s_j) = \gamma$, we obtain a contradiction to Lemma 3.1 (a) that $V(F^*)$ is φ' -elementary.

Suppose lastly that $r \in P_{s_{\gamma-1}}(\gamma, \delta)$ and $r \notin P_{s_j}(\gamma, \delta)$. Then let $\varphi' = \varphi/P_{s_j}(\gamma, \delta)$. Note that φ' is (F, φ) -stable. Thus by the definition of a pseudo-multifan, $V(S)$ is φ' -elementary. But $\overline{\varphi}'(s_{\gamma-1}) = \overline{\varphi}'(s_j) = \gamma$, giving a contradiction. This completes the proof of the first

	s_1	...	$s_{\gamma-1}$	s_γ	...	s_α	...	s_β	...	s_j	s_{j+1}	...	s_ℓ
Missing color of s_i	$2, \Delta$...	γ	$\gamma + 1$...	$\alpha + 1$...	$\beta + 1$...	δ	δ_1	...	δ_ℓ
Color of rs_i		...	$\gamma - 1$	$\gamma \rightarrow \delta$...	α	...	β	...	δ_ℓ	$\delta \rightarrow \gamma$...	$\delta_{\ell-1}$

Table 1: Statement (c): when $r \notin P_{s_{\gamma-1}}(\gamma, \delta)$ and $r \notin P_{s_j}(\gamma, \delta)$, the coloring changes in the neighborhood of r when $2 < \gamma \leq \alpha$.

part of statement (c).

For the second part of statement (c), assume to the contrary that $P_y(\gamma, \delta)$ meets r before z . Then $P_y(\gamma, \delta)$ meets s_{j+1} before r as $\varphi(rs_{j+1}) = \delta$. Let φ' be obtained from φ by shift from s_j to s_ℓ . Then $r \notin P_y(\gamma, \delta, \varphi')$, showing a contradiction to the first part of (c).

For the first part of statement (d), assume to the contrary that there exists $\delta^* = \overline{\varphi}(s_{j^*})$ for some $j^* \neq j$ and $j^* \in [t+1, \Delta-2]$ such that $P_{s_j}(\delta, \delta^*) \neq P_{s_{j^*}}(\delta, \delta^*)$. Then let $\varphi' = \varphi/P_{s_j}(\delta, \delta^*)$. Note that φ' is (F, φ) -stable, but $V(S)$ is not φ' -elementary, showing a contradiction to the definition of a pseudo-multifan. For the second part of (d), assume that $r \notin P_{s_j}(\delta, \delta^*)$ and the (δ, δ^*) -chain containing r is a path Q . By statement (a), we let $s_{\ell_1}, \dots, s_{\ell_k}$ be a rotation with $\varphi(rs_{\ell_1}) = \overline{\varphi}(s_{\ell_k}) = \delta^*$ (note $s_{\ell_k} = s_{j^*}$). Note that the path Q contains rs_{j+1} and rs_{ℓ_1} since $\varphi(rs_{j+1}) = \delta$ and $\varphi(rs_{\ell_1}) = \delta^*$. So $Q - rs_{j+1} - rs_{\ell_1}$ consists of two disjoint paths, say Q_j and Q_{j^*} , which contain s_{j+1} and s_{ℓ_1} respectively. Let φ' be obtained from φ by shift from s_j to s_ℓ and from s_{ℓ_1} to s_{ℓ_k} (only shift once if they are the same sequence up to permutation). Then $P_{s_{j+1}}(\delta, \delta^*, \varphi') = Q_j$. Let $\varphi^* = \varphi'/P_{s_{j+1}}(\delta, \delta^*, \varphi')$. We see that φ^* is (F, φ) -stable, but $\delta^* \in \overline{\varphi^*}(s_{j+1}) \cap \overline{\varphi^*}(s_{\ell_1})$, giving a contradiction to the definition of a pseudo-multifan. \square

5 Proof of Theorems 2.6 and 2.8

5.1 Fundamental lemmas

Lemma 5.1. *Let (G, rs_1, φ) be a coloring-triple, $F := F_\varphi(r, s_1 : s_\alpha : s_\beta)$ be a typical multifan, and $L := (F, ru, u, ux, x)$ be a lollipop centered at r such that $\varphi(ru) = \alpha + 1$ and $\overline{\varphi}(x) = \alpha + 1$. Then*

(a) $\varphi(ux) \neq 1$ and $ux \in P_r(1, \varphi(ux))$.

If $\varphi(ux) = \tau$ is a 2-inducing color with respect to φ and F , then the following holds.

(b) Let $P_x(1, \tau)$ be the $(1, \tau)$ -chain starting at x in $G - rs_1 - ux$. Then $P_x(1, \tau)$ ends at r .

(c) For any 2-inducing color δ of F with $\tau \prec \delta$, we have $r \in P_{s_1}(\delta, \Delta) = P_{s_{\delta-1}}(\delta, \Delta)$.

(d) For any Δ -inducing color δ of F , we have $r \in P_{s_{\delta-1}}(\delta, \alpha + 1) = P_{s_\alpha}(\delta, \alpha + 1)$, where $s_{\Delta-1} = s_1$ if $\delta = \Delta$.

(e) For any 2-inducing color δ of F with $\delta \prec \tau$, we have $r \in P_{s_\alpha}(\delta, \alpha + 1) = P_{s_{\delta-1}}(\delta, \alpha + 1)$.

Proof. The assertion $\varphi(ux) \neq 1$ is clear. As otherwise, $P_r(1, \alpha + 1, \varphi) = rux$, contradicting Lemma 3.1 (b) that r and s_α are $(1, \alpha + 1)$ -linked with respect to φ . Let $\varphi(ux) = \tau$ and assume $ux \notin P_r(1, \tau)$. By the first part of (a), $\tau \neq 1$. Let Q be the $(1, \tau)$ -chain containing u . Then Q does not start or end at any vertex of F , since r and $\varphi_F^{-1}(\tau)$ are $(1, \tau)$ -linked with respect to φ by Lemma 3.1 (b) if $\tau \in \overline{\varphi}(F)$. Therefore $\varphi' = \varphi/Q$ is (F, φ) -stable. Consequently, r and s_α are still $(1, \alpha + 1)$ -linked with respect to φ' . However $P_r(1, \alpha + 1, \varphi') = rux$ ends at x , giving a contradiction, proving (a).

For statement (b), by (a), it follows that $ux \in P_r(1, \tau)$. Thus $P_x(1, \tau)$ is a subpath of $P_r(1, \tau) = P_{s_{\tau-1}}(1, \tau)$. So $P_x(1, \tau)$ ends at either r or $s_{\tau-1}$. Assume to the contrary that $P_x(1, \tau)$ ends at $s_{\tau-1}$. Then $P_r(1, \tau)$ meets u before x . Since $\varphi(rs_\tau) = \tau$, it follows that $P_{[s_\tau, u]}(1, \tau)$ does not contain any edge from the lollipop L . Hence we can do the following operations, which are also demonstrated in Figure 2.

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc} s_\tau : s_\alpha & P_{[s_\tau, u]}(1, \tau) & ru & ux \\ \text{shift} & 1/\tau & \alpha + 1 \rightarrow 1 & \tau \rightarrow \alpha + 1 \end{array} \right].$$




Figure 2: Coloring operations in the proof of Lemma 5.1 (b).

Clearly $(r, rs_1, s_1, \dots, s_{\tau-1})$ is still a multifan under the new coloring, but τ is missing at both r and $s_{\tau-1}$, showing a contradiction to Lemma 3.1 (a).

Before proving the remaining statements, we introduce a new coloring φ^* established on statement (b). Let φ^* be the coloring obtained from φ by doing the following operations:

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc} P_x(1, \tau) & ru & ux \\ 1/\tau & \alpha + 1 \rightarrow \tau & \tau \rightarrow \alpha + 1 \end{array} \right],$$

where $P_x(1, \tau)$ is the $(1, \tau)$ -chain starting at x in $G - rs_1 - ux$, which ends at r by statement (b). Let $E_{ch} = E(P_x(1, \tau)) \cup \{ux, ur\}$. Clearly φ^* and φ agree on all edges in $E(G) \setminus E_{ch}$. Note that $\overline{\varphi^*}(r) = \alpha + 1$ and $\overline{\varphi^*}(s) = \overline{\varphi}(s)$ for all $s \in V(F) \setminus \{r\}$, and both $(r, rs_1, s_1, rs_2, s_2, \dots, s_{\tau-1})$ and $(r, rs_1, s_1, rs_{\alpha+1}, s_{\alpha+1}, \dots, s_\beta)$ are multifans with respect to φ^* . These properties will be frequently used in the following proof.

Now for the statement (c), we have $P_{s_1}(\delta, \Delta, \varphi) = P_{s_{\delta-1}}(\delta, \Delta, \varphi)$ by Lemma 3.2 (a). Assume to the contrary that $r \notin P_{s_1}(\delta, \Delta, \varphi)$. Since $\{\delta, \Delta\} \cap \{1, \tau\} = \emptyset$, $P_{s_1}(\delta, \Delta, \varphi) = P_{s_{\delta-1}}(\delta, \Delta, \varphi)$ does not contain any edge from E_{ch} . Thus $P_{s_1}(\delta, \Delta, \varphi^*) = P_{s_{\delta-1}}(\delta, \Delta, \varphi^*) = P_{s_1}(\delta, \Delta, \varphi)$, and so $r \notin P_{s_1}(\delta, \Delta, \varphi^*)$. Let $\varphi' = \varphi^*/P_{s_1}(\delta, \Delta, \varphi^*)$. Then $\delta \in \overline{\varphi'}(s_1)$ and $(r, rs_1, s_1, rs_\delta, s_\delta, \dots, s_\alpha)$ is a multifan under φ' . However $\alpha + 1$ is missing at both r and s_α , giving a contradiction to Lemma 3.1 (a).

For statement (d), we have $P_{s_{\delta-1}}(\alpha + 1, \delta, \varphi) = P_{s_\alpha}(\alpha + 1, \delta, \varphi)$ by Lemma 3.2 (a). Assume to the contrary that $r \notin P_{s_{\delta-1}}(\alpha + 1, \delta, \varphi)$. Since $\{\alpha + 1, \delta\} \cap \{1, \tau\} = \emptyset$, $P_{s_{\delta-1}}(\alpha + 1, \delta, \varphi) = P_{s_\alpha}(\alpha + 1, \delta, \varphi)$ does not contain any edge from E_{ch} . Thus $P_{s_{\delta-1}}(\alpha + 1, \delta, \varphi^*) = P_{s_\alpha}(\alpha + 1, \delta, \varphi^*) = P_{s_{\delta-1}}(\alpha + 1, \delta, \varphi)$, and so $r \notin P_{s_{\delta-1}}(\alpha + 1, \delta, \varphi^*)$. This gives a contradiction to Lemma 3.1 (b) that r and $s_{\delta-1}$ are $(\alpha + 1, \delta)$ -linked with respect to φ^* , since $(r, rs_1, s_1, rs_{\alpha+1}, s_{\alpha+1}, \dots, s_\beta)$ is a multifan under φ^* .

For statement (e), if it fails then we have that either $P_{s_\alpha}(\delta, \alpha + 1, \varphi) \neq P_{s_{\delta-1}}(\delta, \alpha + 1, \varphi)$ or $P_{s_\alpha}(\delta, \alpha + 1, \varphi) = P_{s_{\delta-1}}(\delta, \alpha + 1, \varphi)$ but $r \notin P_{s_\alpha}(\delta, \alpha + 1, \varphi)$. For the first case, $r \in P_{s_\alpha}(\delta, \alpha + 1, \varphi)$ by Lemma 3.2 (b) and so $r \notin P_{s_{\delta-1}}(\delta, \alpha + 1, \varphi)$. Therefore $r \notin P_{s_{\delta-1}}(\delta, \alpha + 1, \varphi)$ in both cases. Consequently, $E(P_{s_{\delta-1}}(\delta, \alpha + 1, \varphi)) \cap E_{ch} = \emptyset$. Hence, $P_{s_{\delta-1}}(\delta, \alpha + 1, \varphi^*) = P_{s_{\delta-1}}(\delta, \alpha + 1, \varphi)$ and $r \notin P_{s_{\delta-1}}(\delta, \alpha + 1, \varphi^*)$. This gives a contradiction, since under φ^* , $(r, rs_1, s_1, rs_2, s_2, \dots, s_{\tau-1})$ is a multifan, and so r and $s_{\delta-1}$ should be $(\delta, \alpha + 1)$ -linked by Lemma 3.1 (b). This finishes the proof of statement (e). \square

Let (G, rs_1, φ) be a coloring-triple. For a color $\alpha \in [1, \Delta]$, a sequence of *Kempe* $(\alpha, *)$ -changes is a sequence of Kempe changes that each involve the exchanging of the color α with another color from $[1, \Delta]$.

Lemma 5.2. *Let (G, rs_1, φ) be a coloring-triple, $F := F_\varphi(r, s_1 : s_\alpha : s_\beta)$ be a typical multifan, and $L := (F, ru, u, ux, x)$ be a lollipop centered at r such that $\varphi(ru) = \alpha + 1$. Then for $w_1 \in \{s_{\beta+1}, \dots, s_{\Delta-2}\}$ with $\varphi(rw_1) = \tau_1 \in [\beta + 2, \Delta - 1]$, the following statements hold.*

- (1) *If exists a vertex $w \in V(G) \setminus (V(F) \cup \{w_1\})$ such that $w \in P_r(1, \tau_1, \varphi')$ for every (F, φ) -stable $\varphi' \in \mathcal{C}^\Delta(G - rs_1)$ with $\varphi'(ru) = \alpha + 1$, then there exists a sequence of distinct*

vertices $w_1, \dots, w_t \in \{s_{\beta+1}, \dots, s_{\Delta-2}\}$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (a) $\varphi(rw_{i+1}) = \overline{\varphi}(w_i) \in [\beta + 2, \Delta - 1]$ for each $i \in [1, t - 1]$;
- (b) r and w_i are $(1, \overline{\varphi}(w_i))$ -linked with respect to φ for each $i \in [1, t]$;
- (c) $\overline{\varphi}(w_t) = \tau_1$.

(2) If $\overline{\varphi}(x) = \alpha + 1$ and there exists a vertex $w \in V(G) \setminus (V(F) \cup \{w_1\})$ such that $w \in P_r(1, \tau_1, \varphi')$ for every (L, φ) -stable $\varphi' \in \mathcal{C}^\Delta(G - rs_1)$ obtained from φ through a sequence of Kempe $(1, *)$ -changes not using r or x as endvertices, then there exists a sequence of distinct vertices $w_1, \dots, w_t \in \{s_{\beta+1}, \dots, s_{\Delta-2}\}$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (a) $\varphi(rw_{i+1}) = \overline{\varphi}(rw_i) \in [\beta + 2, \Delta - 1]$ for each $i \in [1, t - 1]$;
- (b) r and w_i are $(1, \overline{\varphi}(w_i))$ -linked with respect to φ for each $i \in [1, t - 1]$;
- (c) $\overline{\varphi}(w_t) = \tau_1$ or $\overline{\varphi}(w_t) = \alpha + 1$. If $\overline{\varphi}(w_t) = \tau_1$, then w_t and r are $(1, \tau_1)$ -linked with respect to φ .

Proof. We show (1) and (2) simultaneously. Let $\overline{\varphi}(w_1) = \tau_2$. For (2), we may assume that $\tau_2 \neq \alpha + 1$, as otherwise, w_1 is the desired sequence for it. Note that $\tau_2 \neq 1$, since otherwise $w \notin P_r(1, \tau_1) = rw_1$, giving a contradiction. We claim that w_1 satisfies (a) and (b) in (1). If (a) fails, then $\tau_2 \in [1, \Delta] \setminus [\beta + 2, \Delta - 1] = \overline{\varphi}(F)$. In this case we know that r and $\overline{\varphi}_F^{-1}(\tau_2)$ are $(1, \tau_2)$ -linked by Lemma 3.1 (b); if (b) fails, then w_1 and r are $(1, \tau_2)$ -unlinked. In both cases, we have w_1 and r are $(1, \tau_2)$ -unlinked. Now let $\varphi' = \varphi/P_{w_1}(1, \tau_2)$. Clearly, φ' is (F, φ) -stable. Since r and s_α are $(1, \alpha + 1)$ -linked with respect to φ by Lemma 3.1 (b) and $\varphi(ru) = \alpha + 1$, we have $\varphi'(ru) = \varphi(ru)$. For (1), we already achieve a contradiction since $\overline{\varphi}'(w_1) = 1$ implies $w \notin P_r(1, \tau_1, \varphi') = rw_1$. For (2), since $\tau_2 \neq \alpha + 1$, $\overline{\varphi}'(x) = \overline{\varphi}(x)$. By Lemma 5.1 (a), the color $\varphi(ux)$ on ux will keep unchanged under any Kempe $(1, *)$ -change not using r or x as endvertices. Thus, φ' is (L, φ) -stable. We again reach a contradiction since $w \notin P_r(1, \tau_1, \varphi') = rw_1$.

Now w_1 is a sequence that satisfies (a) and (b) in (1) and so in (2). Let w_1, \dots, w_k be a longest sequence of vertices from $\{s_{\beta+1}, \dots, s_{\Delta-2}\}$ that satisfies (a) and (b) in (1). Let $\overline{\varphi}(w_i) = \tau_{i+1}$ for each $i \in [1, k]$. We are done if $\overline{\varphi}(w_k) = \tau_1$. Thus $\overline{\varphi}(w_k) = \tau_{k+1} \neq \tau_1$. Since the sequence satisfies statement (1) (a), we have $\tau_{k+1} \in [\beta + 2, \Delta - 1]$. Since w_i is $(1, \tau_{i+1})$ -linked with r for each $i \in [1, k]$, we know $\tau_{k+1} \notin \{\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_k\}$. Thus, there exists $w_{k+1} \in N_{\Delta-1}(r)$ such that $\varphi(rw_{k+1}) = \tau_{k+1}$. Let $\overline{\varphi}(w_{k+1}) = \tau_{k+2}$. By the maximality of the sequence w_1, \dots, w_k , either $\overline{\varphi}(w_{k+1}) \in \overline{\varphi}(F)$ or $\overline{\varphi}(w_k) \in [\beta + 2, \Delta - 1]$ and w_{k+1} and r are $(1, \tau_{k+2})$ -unlinked. In both cases, w_{k+1} and r are $(1, \tau_{k+2})$ -unlinked. Again, for (2), we assume $\overline{\varphi}(w_{k+1}) \neq \alpha + 1$. Thus, we assume that we are proving (1) and proving (2) under the assumption that $\overline{\varphi}(w_{k+1}) \neq \alpha + 1$. In both cases, let $\varphi_0 = \varphi$, we do a sequence

of Kempe changes around r from w_{k+1} to w_1 as below:

$$\varphi_j = \varphi_{j-1}/P_{w_{k+1-(j-1)}}(1, \tau_{k+2-(j-1)}, \varphi_{j-1}) \quad \text{for each } j \in [1, k+1].$$

Note that

$$P_r(1, \tau_{k+1-(j-1)}, \varphi_j) = rw_{k+1-(j-1)} \quad \text{for each } j \in [1, k+1].$$

Clearly, φ_{k+1} is obtained from φ through a sequence of Kempe $(1, *)$ -changes not using r as endvertices. For (1), φ_{k+1} is (F, φ) -stable such that $\varphi_{k+1}(ru) = \varphi(ru)$. For the case of proving (2), as we assumed $\overline{\varphi}(w_{k+1}) \neq \alpha + 1$, each of the Kempe $(1, *)$ -changes from the sequence did not use x as an endvertex. Thus we still have $\overline{\varphi}_{k+1}(x) = \overline{\varphi}(x) = \alpha + 1$. As a consequence, by Lemma 5.1 (a), the color $\varphi(ux)$ on ux is kept unchanged. Therefore φ_{k+1} is (L, φ) -stable in the case of proving (2). However, in both cases, $w \notin P_r(1, \tau_1, \varphi_{k+1}) = rw_1$. This gives a contradiction to the assumptions in (1) and (2). \square

By the definition, w_1, \dots, w_t in Lemma 5.2 (1) and in the case of Lemma 5.2 (2) when $\overline{\varphi}(w_t) = \tau_1$ form a rotation with the additional property that $\overline{\varphi}(w_i) \in [\beta + 2, \Delta - 1]$ and r and w_i are $(1, \overline{\varphi}(w_i))$ -linked for each $i \in [1, t]$. We call such a rotation a *stable rotation*. In the case of Lemma 5.2 (2) when $\overline{\varphi}(w_t) = \alpha + 1$, we call w_1, \dots, w_t a *near stable rotation*.

5.2 Proof of Theorem 2.6

Theorem 2.6. *Let (G, rs_1, φ) be a coloring-triple, $F := F_\varphi(r, s_1 : s_\alpha : s_\beta)$ be a typical multifan, and $L := (F, ru, u, ux, x)$ be a lollipop centered at r . If $\varphi(ru) = \alpha + 1$, $\overline{\varphi}(x) = \alpha + 1$, and $\varphi(ux) = \Delta$, then the following two statements hold.*

(1) *If $u \sim s_1$, then $\varphi(us_1)$ is a Δ -inducing color of F .*

(2) *If $u \sim s_\alpha$, then $\varphi(us_\alpha)$ is a Δ -inducing color of F .*

Proof. Assume to the contrary that the statements fail. We naturally have two cases.

Case 1: $u \sim s_1$ and $\varphi(us_1)$ is not a Δ -inducing color. Let $\varphi(us_1) = \tau$. Note that $\tau \neq 2, \alpha + 1, \Delta$. We first show that us_1 can not be 1 under any (L, φ) -stable coloring.

Claim 5.1. *For every (L, φ) -stable $\varphi^* \in \mathcal{C}^\Delta(G - rs_1)$, it holds that $\varphi^*(us_1) \neq 1$. Furthermore, if $\varphi^*(us_1) = \varphi(us_1) = \tau$, then $us_1 \in P_r(1, \tau, \varphi^*)$.*

Proof of Claim 5.1. Suppose instead that $\varphi^*(us_1) = 1$ for the first part, and $us_1 \notin P_r(1, \tau, \varphi^*)$ for the second part. Let $\varphi' = \varphi^*$ in the former case and let $\varphi' = \varphi^*/Q$ in the latter case, where Q is the $(1, \tau)$ -chain containing us_1 . In both cases $\varphi'(us_1) = 1$ and so $us_1 \in P_r(1, \alpha + 1, \varphi')$. Clearly φ' is (F, φ) -stable. Since $P_r(1, \alpha + 1, \varphi') = P_{s_\alpha}(1, \alpha + 1, \varphi')$ by Lemma 3.1 (b), $P_x(1, \alpha + 1, \varphi')$ does not contain r . Thus $\varphi'' = \varphi'/P_x(1, \alpha + 1, \varphi')$ is (F, φ^*) -stable and $\varphi''(us_1) = \varphi'(us_1) = 1$. However, $P_{s_1}(1, \Delta, \varphi'') = s_1ux$, contradicting Lemma 3.1 (b) that s_1 and r are $(1, \Delta)$ -linked with respect to φ'' . \square

By Claim 5.1, we have either $\tau \in \overline{\varphi}(F)$ is 2-inducing or $\tau \in [\beta + 2, \Delta - 1]$.

Subcase 1.1: $\tau \in \overline{\varphi}(F)$ is 2-inducing.

By Claim 5.1, $us_1 \in P_r(1, \tau) = P_{s_{\tau-1}}(1, \tau)$. Let $P_u(1, \tau)$ be the $(1, \tau)$ -chain starting at u in $G - rs_1 - us_1$, which is a subpath of $P_r(1, \tau)$. Then $P_u(1, \tau)$ ends at either r or $s_{\tau-1}$. Consequently if we shift from s_τ to s_α , then $P_u(1, \tau)$ will end at either s_τ or $s_{\tau-1}$. Thus we can do the following operations:

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc} s_\tau : s_\alpha & P_u(1, \tau) & us_1 & ux & ur \\ \text{shift} & 1/\tau & \tau \rightarrow \Delta & \Delta \rightarrow \alpha + 1 & \alpha + 1 \rightarrow 1 \end{array} \right].$$

Denote the new coloring by φ' . Now $\overline{\varphi}'(s_1) = \overline{\varphi}'(r) = \tau$, we can color rs_1 by τ to obtain an edge Δ -coloring of G , which contradicts the fact that G is class 2.

Subcase 1.2: $\tau \in [\beta + 2, \Delta - 1]$.

Let $w_1 \in N_{\Delta-1}(r)$ such that $\varphi(rw_1) = \tau$. Since $us_1 \in P_r(1, \tau, \varphi)$ and the color on us_1 is not 1 under every (L, φ) -stable coloring, by Claim 5.1, for every (L, φ) -stable $\varphi' \in \mathcal{C}^\Delta(G - rs_1)$ obtained from φ through a sequence of Kempe $(1, *)$ -changes not using r or x as endvertices it holds that $\varphi'(us_1) = \varphi(us_1) = \tau$. Therefore, $u \in P_r(1, \tau, \varphi')$ by Claim 5.1 again. (Note that every Kempe $(1, *)$ -change φ^* not using r or x as an endvertex is (L, φ) -stable. Since r and s_i are $(1, \delta)$ -linked for every $\delta \in \overline{\varphi}(s_i)$ with $i \in [1, \beta]$, the Kempe $(1, *)$ -chain not using r or x as an endvertex implies that it did not use any vertex from $V(F) \cup \{x\}$ as an endvertex. Thus $\varphi^*(ru) = \varphi(ru) = \overline{\varphi}^*(x) = \overline{\varphi}(x) = \alpha + 1$. By Lemma 5.1 (a), it further implies that $\varphi^*(ux) = \varphi(ux)$. Thus φ^* is (L, φ) -stable.) Applying Lemma 5.2 on L with u playing the role of w , we find a sequence of distinct vertices $w_1, \dots, w_t \in \{s_{\beta+1}, \dots, s_{\Delta-2}\}$ that forms either a stable rotation or a near stable rotation.

Assume first that w_1, \dots, w_t is a stable rotation. In this case, $t \geq 2$ and r and w_i are $(1, \overline{\varphi}(w_i))$ -linked for each $i \in [1, t]$. By Claim 5.1, $us_1 \in P_r(1, \tau) = P_{w_t}(1, \tau)$. If $P_{w_t}(1, \tau)$ meets u before s_1 , then we do the following operations:

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc} P_{\{w_t, u\}}(1, \tau) & us_1 & ux & ur \\ 1/\tau & \tau \rightarrow \Delta & \Delta \rightarrow \alpha + 1 & \alpha + 1 \rightarrow 1 \end{array} \right].$$

The new coloring is (F, φ) -stable, but $\alpha+1$ is missing at both r and s_α , giving a contradiction to Lemma 3.1 (a). Thus $P_r(1, \tau)$ meets u before s_1 . Then shift from w_1 to w_t gives back to the previous case with w_1 playing the role of w_t .

Assume then that w_1, \dots, w_t is a near stable rotation, i.e., $\overline{\varphi}(w_t) = \alpha + 1$. Note that t could be 1 in this case. By Claim 5.1, $us_1 \in P_r(1, \tau) = P_z(1, \tau)$, for some vertex $z \in V(G) \setminus (V(F) \cup \{x, w_1, \dots, w_t\})$. Assume first that $w_t \neq x$. If $P_z(1, \tau)$ meets u before s_1 , we do the following operations:

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc} P_{\{z, u\}}(1, \tau) & us_1 & ux & ur \\ 1/\tau & \tau \rightarrow \Delta & \Delta \rightarrow \alpha + 1 & \alpha + 1 \rightarrow 1 \end{array} \right].$$

The new coloring is (F, φ) -stable, but $\alpha+1$ is missing at both r and s_α , giving a contradiction to Lemma 3.1 (a). If $P_z(1, \tau)$ meets s_1 before u , we do the following operations:

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccccc} P_{[z, s_1]}(1, \tau) & w_1 : w_t & us_1 & ux & ur \\ 1/\tau & \text{shift} & \tau \rightarrow \Delta & \Delta \rightarrow \alpha + 1 & \alpha + 1 \rightarrow \tau \end{array} \right].$$

The new coloring is (F, φ) -stable, but 1 is missing at both r and s_1 , giving a contradiction to Lemma 3.1 (a).

Assume now that $w_t = x$. We first consider the case when $t \geq 2$. Note that $P_r(1, \alpha+1) = P_{s_\alpha}(1, \alpha+1)$ and so $r \notin P_x(1, \alpha+1)$. Let $\varphi_1 = \varphi/P_x(1, \alpha+1)$. Then $P_r(1, \tau_t, \varphi_1) = rx$, where $\tau_t := \varphi(rw_t)$. We next let $\varphi_2 = \varphi_1/P_{w_{t-1}}(1, \tau_t, \varphi_1)$. Then φ_2 is (F, φ) -stable and $\bar{\varphi}_2(x) = \bar{\varphi}_2(w_{t-1}) = 1$. Now doing a $(1, \alpha+1)$ -swap at both x and w_{t-1} gives back to the previous case when $\bar{\varphi}(w_t) = \alpha+1$ and $w_t \neq x$ with w_{t-1} in place of w_t .

Thus we assume that $t = 1$. Let $\varphi_1 = \varphi/P_x(1, \alpha+1)$. Then $P_r(1, \tau, \varphi_1) = rx$. We next let $\varphi_2 = \varphi_1/Q$, where Q is the $(1, \tau)$ -chain containing us_1 under φ_1 . Then φ_2 is (F, φ) -stable, but $P_{s_1}(1, \Delta, \varphi_2) = s_1ux$ ends at x , giving a contradiction to Lemma 3.1 (b).

Case 2: $u \sim s_\alpha$ and $\varphi(rs_\alpha)$ is not a Δ -inducing color.

Let $\varphi(us_\alpha) = \tau$. Note that $\tau \neq \alpha, \alpha+1, \Delta$. By Lemma 3.2 (a), $P_{s_1}(\alpha+1, \Delta) = P_{s_\alpha}(\alpha+1, \Delta)$. Since $r \in P_x(\alpha+1, \Delta)$, we have $r \notin P_{s_1}(\alpha+1, \Delta)$. Now let $\varphi' = \varphi/P_{s_1}(\alpha+1, \Delta)$ and let φ^* be obtained from φ' by uncoloring rs_α , shift from s_2 to $s_{\alpha-1}$ and coloring rs_1 by 2. Then $F^* = (r, rs_\alpha, s_\alpha, rs_{\alpha-1}, s_{\alpha-1}, \dots, s_1, rs_{\alpha+1}, s_{\alpha+1}, \dots, s_\beta)$ is a multifan centered at r with respect to rs_α and φ^* , where $\bar{\varphi}^*(s_\alpha) = \{\alpha, \Delta\}$, $\varphi^*(ru) = \bar{\varphi}^*(x) = \alpha+1$ is the last α -inducing color, $\varphi^*(ux) = \Delta$, and $u \sim s_\alpha$. Since the Δ -sequence of F^* agrees with that of F , τ is still not Δ -inducing with respect to F^* and φ^* . Furthermore, we can assume F^* is typical by renaming colors in $[2, \alpha]$ and vertices in $\{s_1, \dots, s_\alpha\}$. Thus the current case is reduced to Case 1, finishing the proof of Theorem 2.6. \square

5.3 Proof of Theorem 2.8

Theorem 2.8. *Let (G, rs_1, φ) be a coloring-triple, $F := F_\varphi(r, s_1 : s_\alpha)$ be a typical 2-inducing multifan, and $L := (F, ru, u, ux, x)$ be a lollipop centered at r . If $\varphi(ru) = \alpha+1$, $\bar{\varphi}(x) = \alpha+1$, and $\varphi(ux) = \mu \in \bar{\varphi}(F)$ is a 2-inducing color of F , then $u \not\sim s_{\mu-1}$ and $u \not\sim s_\mu$.*

Proof. Assume to the contrary that $u \sim s_{\mu-1}$ or $u \sim s_\mu$. We consider two cases below.

Case 1: $u \sim s_{\mu-1}$. Let $\varphi(us_{\mu-1}) = \tau$. Note that $\tau \neq \mu-1, \mu, \alpha+1$.

Claim 5.2. *For every (L, φ) -stable $\varphi^* \in \mathcal{C}^\Delta(G - rs_1)$, it holds that $\varphi^*(us_{\mu-1}) \neq 1$. Furthermore, if $\varphi^*(us_{\mu-1}) = \varphi(us_{\mu-1}) = \tau$, then $us_{\mu-1} \in P_r(1, \tau, \varphi^*)$.*

Proof of Claim 5.2. Suppose instead that $\varphi^*(us_{\mu-1}) = 1$ for the first part, and $us_{\mu-1} \notin P_r(1, \tau, \varphi^*)$ for the second part. Let $\varphi' = \varphi^*$ in the former case and let $\varphi' = \varphi^*/Q$ in the

latter case, where Q is the $(1, \tau)$ -chain containing $us_{\mu-1}$. Clearly φ' is (F, φ) -stable. Since $P_r(1, \alpha + 1, \varphi') = P_{s_\alpha}(1, \alpha + 1, \varphi')$ by Lemma 3.1 (b), $P_x(1, \alpha + 1, \varphi')$ does not contain r . Thus $\varphi'' = \varphi'/P_x(1, \alpha + 1, \varphi')$ is (F, φ^*) -stable and $\varphi''(us_{\mu-1}) = \varphi'(us_{\mu-1}) = 1$. However $P_{s_{\mu-1}}(1, \mu, \varphi'') = s_{\mu-1}ux$, contradicting Lemma 3.1 (b) that $s_{\mu-1}$ and r are $(1, \mu)$ -linked. \square

By Claim 5.2, we have either $\tau \in \overline{\varphi}(F) \setminus \{1, \mu - 1, \mu, \alpha + 1\}$ or $\tau \in [\alpha + 2, \Delta - 1]$.

Subcase 1.1: $\tau \in \overline{\varphi}(F)$.

Assume first that $\tau \prec \mu$. By Lemma 5.1 (e), $r \in P_{s_\alpha}(\tau, \alpha + 1) = P_{s_{\tau-1}}(\tau, \alpha + 1)$. Let $\varphi' = \varphi/P_x(\tau, \alpha + 1)$. Then $P_x(\tau, \mu, \varphi') = xus_{\mu-1}$. Swapping colors along $P_x(\tau, \mu, \varphi') = xus_{\mu-1}$ to get a new coloring φ'' . Then both $s_{\tau-1}$ and $s_{\mu-1}$ miss τ with respect to φ'' , giving a contradiction to Lemma 3.1 (a) that $V(F_{\varphi''}(r, s_1 : s_{\mu-1}))$ is φ'' -elementary.

Assume then that $\tau = \Delta$. By Lemma 5.1 (d), $r \in P_{s_\alpha}(\alpha + 1, \Delta) = P_{s_1}(\alpha + 1, \Delta)$. Let $\varphi' = \varphi/P_x(\alpha + 1, \Delta)$. Then $P_x(\Delta, \mu, \varphi') = xus_{\mu-1}$. Swapping colors along $P_x(\Delta, \mu, \varphi') = xus_{\mu-1}$ to get a new coloring φ'' . Then both s_1 and $s_{\mu-1}$ miss Δ with respect to φ'' , giving a contradiction to Lemma 3.1 (a) that $V(F_{\varphi''}(r, s_1 : s_{\mu-1}))$ is φ'' -elementary.

Assume lastly that $\mu \prec \tau \prec \alpha + 1$. By Claim 5.2, $us_{\mu-1} \in P_r(1, \tau) = P_{s_{\tau-1}}(1, \tau)$. Let $P_u(1, \tau)$ be the $(1, \tau)$ -chain starting at u in $G - rs_1 - us_{\mu-1}$, which is a subpath of $P_r(1, \tau)$. Then $P_u(1, \tau)$ ends at either r or $s_{\tau-1}$. Consequently if we shift from s_τ to s_α , then $P_u(1, \tau)$ will end at either s_τ or $s_{\tau-1}$. Thus we can do the following operations:

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccccc} s_\tau : s_\alpha & P_u(1, \tau) & us_{\mu-1} & ux & ur \\ \text{shift} & 1/\tau & \tau \rightarrow \mu & \mu \rightarrow \alpha + 1 & \alpha + 1 \rightarrow 1 \end{array} \right].$$

Denote the new coloring by φ' . Now $(r, rs_1, s_1, \dots, s_{\mu-1})$ is a multifan, but $\overline{\varphi}'(s_{\mu-1}) = \overline{\varphi}'(r) = \tau$, giving a contradiction to Lemma 3.1 (a).

Subcase 1.2: $\tau \in [\alpha + 2, \Delta - 1]$.

Let $w_1 \in N_{\Delta-1}(r)$ such that $\varphi(rw_1) = \tau$. Since $us_{\mu-1} \in P_r(1, \tau, \varphi)$ and the color on $us_{\mu-1}$ is not 1 under every (L, φ) -stable coloring by Claim 5.2, for every (L, φ) -stable $\varphi' \in \mathcal{C}^\Delta(G - rs_1)$ obtained from φ through a sequence of Kempe $(1, *)$ -changes not using r or x as endvertices, it holds that $\varphi'(us_{\mu-1}) = \varphi(us_{\mu-1}) = \tau$. Therefore, $u \in P_r(1, \tau, \varphi')$ by Claim 5.2 again. Applying Lemma 5.2 on L with u playing the role of w , there exists a sequence of distinct vertices $w_1, \dots, w_t \in \{s_{\alpha+1}, \dots, s_{\Delta-2}\}$ that forms either a stable rotation or a near stable rotation.

Subcase 1.2.1: w_1, \dots, w_t form a near stable rotation, i.e., $\overline{\varphi}(w_t) = \alpha + 1$.

In this case, $t \geq 1$. By Claim 5.2, $us_{\mu-1} \in P_r(1, \tau) = P_z(1, \tau)$, for some vertex $z \in V(G) \setminus (V(F) \cup \{x, w_1, \dots, w_t\})$. Assume first that $w_t \neq x$. If $P_z(1, \tau)$ meets u before $s_{\mu-1}$, we do the following operations:

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccccc} P_{[z,u]}(1, \tau) & us_{\mu-1} & ux & ur & \\ 1/\tau & \tau \rightarrow \mu & \mu \rightarrow \alpha + 1 & \alpha + 1 \rightarrow 1 & \end{array} \right].$$

Denote the new coloring by φ' . Now $(r, rs_1, s_1, \dots, s_{\mu-1}, rw_1, w_1, \dots, w_t)$ is a multifan, but $\overline{\varphi}'(w_t) = \overline{\varphi}'(r) = \alpha + 1$, giving a contradiction to Lemma 3.1 (a). If $P_z(1, \tau)$ meets $s_{\mu-1}$ before u , we do the following operations:

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccccc} P_{[z, s_{\mu-1}]}(1, \tau) & w_1 : w_t & us_{\mu-1} & ux & ur & \\ 1/\tau & \text{shift} & \tau \rightarrow \mu & \mu \rightarrow \alpha + 1 & \alpha + 1 \rightarrow \tau & \end{array} \right].$$

Denote the new coloring by φ' . Now $(r, rs_1, s_1, \dots, s_{\mu-1})$ is a multifan, but $\overline{\varphi}'(s_{\mu-1}) = \overline{\varphi}'(r) = 1$, giving a contradiction to Lemma 3.1 (a).

Assume now that $w_t = x$. We first consider the case when $t \geq 2$. Note that $P_r(1, \alpha + 1) = P_{s_\alpha}(1, \alpha + 1)$ and so $r \notin P_x(1, \alpha + 1)$. Let $\varphi_1 = \varphi/P_x(1, \alpha + 1)$. Then $P_r(1, \tau_t, \varphi_1) = rx$, where $\tau_t := \varphi(rw_t)$. We next let $\varphi_2 = \varphi_1/P_{w_{t-1}}(1, \tau_t, \varphi_1)$. Then φ_2 is (F, φ) -stable and $\overline{\varphi}_2(x) = \overline{\varphi}_2(w_{t-1}) = 1$. Now doing a $(1, \alpha + 1)$ -swap at both x and w_{t-1} gives back to the previous case when $\overline{\varphi}(w_t) = \alpha + 1$ and $w_t \neq x$ with w_{t-1} in place of w_t .

Thus we assume that $t = 1$. Let $\varphi_1 = \varphi/P_x(1, \alpha + 1)$. Then $P_r(1, \tau, \varphi_1) = rx$. We next let $\varphi_2 = \varphi_1/Q$, where Q is the $(1, \tau)$ -chain containing $us_{\mu-1}$ under φ_1 . Then φ_2 is (F, φ) -stable, but $P_{s_{\mu-1}}(1, \mu, \varphi_2) = s_{\mu-1}ux$ ends at x , giving a contradiction to Lemma 3.1 (b).

Subcase 1.2.2: w_1, \dots, w_t form a stable rotation. In this case, $t \geq 2$. By Claim 5.2, $us_{\mu-1} \in P_r(1, \tau) = P_{w_t}(1, \tau)$.

Suppose first that $r \notin P_{s_\alpha}(\alpha + 1, \tau)$. Let $\varphi' = \varphi/P_{s_\alpha}(\alpha + 1, \tau)$. Note that $F' = (r, rs_1, s_1, \dots, s_\alpha, rw_1, w_1, \dots, w_t)$ is a multifan under φ' . If the other end of $P_{s_\alpha}(\alpha + 1, \tau, \varphi)$ is not w_t , then $\overline{\varphi}'(s_\alpha) = \overline{\varphi}'(w_t) = \tau$, giving a contradiction Lemma 3.1 (a). If the other end of $P_{s_\alpha}(\alpha + 1, \tau, \varphi)$ is w_t , then $\overline{\varphi}'(w_t) = \varphi'(ru) = \overline{\varphi}'(x) = \alpha + 1$. Note that τ is in $\overline{\varphi}'(F')$ now, and we are back to Subcase 1.1 when $\mu \prec \tau \prec \alpha + 1$ with F' in the place of F (so L is understood to be defined with respect to F' too).

Assume now that $r \in P_{s_\alpha}(\alpha + 1, \tau)$. We consider the following three cases.

Subcase A: s_α and w_t are $(\alpha + 1, \tau)$ -linked.

Let $\varphi' = \varphi/P_x(\alpha + 1, \tau)$. Then in the new coloring, $P_{s_{\mu-1}}(\mu, \tau, \varphi') = s_{\mu-1}ux$. Let $\varphi'' = \varphi'/P_{s_{\mu-1}}(\mu, \tau, \varphi')$. Then $F^* = (r, rs_1, s_1, \dots, rs_{\mu-1}, s_{\mu-1}, rw_1, w_1, \dots, rw_t, w_t)$ is a multifan with respect to φ'' . However, $\overline{\varphi}''(s_{\mu-1}) = \overline{\varphi}''(w_t) = \tau$, showing a contradiction to Lemma 3.1 (a) that $V(F^*)$ is φ'' -elementary.

Subcase B: s_α and w_t are $(\alpha + 1, \tau)$ -unlinked, but s_α and x are $(\alpha + 1, \tau)$ -linked.

Since $r \in P_{s_\alpha}(\alpha + 1, \tau)$, letting $\varphi' = \varphi/P_{w_t}(\alpha + 1, \tau)$ reducing the problem to Subcase 1.2.1.

Subcase C: s_α is $(\alpha + 1, \tau)$ -unlinked with neither w_t nor x .

We may assume that x and w_t are $(\alpha + 1, \tau)$ -linked. For otherwise, letting $\varphi' = \varphi/P_{w_t}(\alpha + 1, \tau)$ gives back to Subcase 1.2.1 again. Recall that $r \in P_{s_\alpha}(\alpha + 1, \tau)$. If $P_{s_\alpha}(\alpha + 1, \tau)$ meets w_1 before $s_{\mu-1}$, we shift from w_1 to w_t . This yields a new coloring φ' such that $r \notin P_{s_\alpha}(\alpha + 1, \tau, \varphi')$, and so we are back to the first subcase of Subcase 1.2.2 when $r \notin P_{s_\alpha}(\alpha + 1, \tau, \varphi)$. If $P_{s_\alpha}(\alpha + 1, \tau)$ meets $s_{\mu-1}$ before w_1 , then shift from w_1 to w_t yields a

new coloring φ' such that s_α and x are $(\alpha + 1, \tau)$ -linked with respect to φ' , which reduces the problem to [Subcase B](#).

Case 2: $u \sim s_\mu$. Let $\varphi(us_\mu) = \tau$. Note that $\tau \neq \mu, \mu + 1, \alpha + 1$.

Claim 5.3. *Either $\tau = \Delta$ or τ is a 2-inducing color with $\tau \prec \mu$.*

Proof of Claim 5.3. Let φ' be the coloring obtained from φ by uncoloring rs_μ , shift from s_2 to $s_{\mu-1}$ and coloring rs_1 by 2. Then $F' = (r, rs_\mu, s_\mu, rs_{\mu+1}, s_{\mu+1}, \dots, s_\alpha, rs_{\mu-1}, s_{\mu-1}, \dots, s_1)$ is a multifan under φ' , where $\overline{\varphi}'(s_\mu) = \{\mu, \mu + 1\}$, $\varphi'(ru) = \overline{\varphi}'(x) = \alpha + 1$ is the last $(\mu + 1)$ -inducing color, $\varphi'(ux) = \mu$, and $u \sim s_\mu$. We can further assume that F' is typical by remaining colors and vertices. Thus by [Theorem 2.6 \(1\)](#), τ is a μ -inducing color with respect to φ' and F' . So with respect to the original coloring φ and F , we have either $\tau = \Delta$ or τ is a 2-inducing color with $\tau \prec \mu$. \square

Subcase 2.1: τ is a 2-inducing color with $\tau \prec \mu$.

By [Lemma 5.1 \(e\)](#), $r \in P_{s_\alpha}(\alpha + 1, \tau) = P_{s_{\tau-1}}(\alpha + 1, \tau)$. Let $\varphi' = \varphi/P_x(\alpha + 1, \tau)$. Then $\overline{\varphi}'(x) = \tau$. It must be still the case that $u \in P_r(1, \tau, \varphi') = P_{s_{\tau-1}}(1, \tau, \varphi')$. For otherwise, swapping colors along $P_x(1, \tau, \varphi')$ and the $(1, \tau)$ -chain containing u (only swap once if the two chains are the same) gives a coloring φ'' such that $P_r(1, \mu, \varphi'') = rs_\mu ux$, showing a contradiction to [Lemma 3.1 \(b\)](#) that r and $s_{\mu-1}$ are $(\mu, 1)$ -linked with respect to φ'' . Let $\varphi^* = \varphi'/P_x(1, \tau, \varphi')$. Now $\overline{\varphi}^*(x) = 1$ and $u \in P_r(1, \tau, \varphi^*)$. If $P_{s_{\tau-1}}(1, \tau, \varphi^*)$ meets u before s_μ , then we do the following operations:

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccccc} s_\tau : s_{\mu-1} & rs_\mu & s_\mu u & ux & P_{[s_{\tau-1}, u]}(1, \tau, \varphi^*) & \\ \text{shift} & \mu \rightarrow \tau & \tau \rightarrow \mu & \mu \rightarrow 1 & 1/\tau & \end{array} \right].$$

Denote the new coloring by φ'' . Now $(r, rs_1, s_1, \dots, s_{\tau-1})$ is a multifan, but $\overline{\varphi}''(s_{\tau-1}) = \overline{\varphi}''(r) = 1$, giving a contradiction to [Lemma 3.1 \(a\)](#). Thus $P_{s_{\tau-1}}(1, \tau, \varphi^*)$ meets s_μ before u . We do the following operations:

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccccc} P_{[s_{\tau-1}, s_\mu]}(1, \tau, \varphi^*) & us_\mu & ur & rs_\mu & s_2 : s_{\mu-1} & s_{\mu+1} : s_\alpha \\ 1/\tau & \tau \rightarrow \mu & \alpha + 1 \rightarrow \tau & \mu \rightarrow \mu + 1 & \text{shift} & \text{shift} \end{array} \right].$$

Based on the resulting coloring from above, we color rs_1 by 2 if $\tau \neq 2$ and by 1 if $\tau = 2$, and uncolor ux . Denote the new coloring by φ'' . Now $F^* = (u, ux, x, us_\mu, s_\mu)$ is a multifan with respect to ux and φ'' . However, the color 1 is missing at both x and s_μ , showing a contradiction to [Lemma 3.1 \(a\)](#).

Subcase 2.2: $\tau = \Delta$.

By [Lemma 5.1 \(d\)](#), we know that $r \in P_{s_\alpha}(\alpha + 1, \Delta) = P_{s_1}(\alpha + 1, \Delta)$. Let $\varphi' = \varphi/P_x(\alpha + 1, \Delta)$. Then $\overline{\varphi}'(x) = \Delta$. It must be still the case that $u \in P_r(1, \Delta, \varphi') = P_{s_1}(1, \Delta, \varphi')$. For otherwise, swapping colors along $P_x(1, \Delta, \varphi')$ and the $(1, \Delta)$ -chain containing u (only swap once if the two chains are the same) gives a coloring φ'' such that $P_r(1, \mu, \varphi'') = rs_\mu ux$,

showing a contradiction to Lemma 3.1 (b) that r and $s_{\mu-1}$ are $(1, \mu)$ -linked with respect to φ'' . If $P_{s_1}(1, \Delta, \varphi')$ meets s_μ before u , then we do the following operations:

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc} P_{[s_1, s_\mu]}(1, \Delta, \varphi') & rs_\mu & s_\mu u & ux \\ 1/\Delta & \mu \rightarrow 1 & \Delta \rightarrow \mu & \mu \rightarrow \Delta \end{array} \right].$$

Denote the new coloring by φ'' . Now $(r, rs_1, s_1, \dots, s_{\mu-1})$ is a multifan, but $\overline{\varphi}''(s_{\mu-1}) = \overline{\varphi}''(r) = \mu$, giving a contradiction to Lemma 3.1 (a).

Thus $P_{s_1}(1, \Delta, \varphi')$ meets u before s_μ . We do the following operations:

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccccc} P_{[s_1, u]}(1, \Delta, \varphi') & us_\mu & ur & rs_\mu & s_2 : s_{\mu-1} & s_{\mu+1} : s_\alpha \\ 1/\Delta & \Delta \rightarrow \mu & \alpha + 1 \rightarrow 1 & \mu \rightarrow \mu + 1 & \text{shift} & \text{shift} \end{array} \right].$$

Based on the resulting coloring from above, we color rs_1 by 2 and uncolor ux . Denote the new coloring by φ'' . Now $F^* = (u, ux, x, us_\mu, s_\mu)$ is a multifan with respect to ux and φ'' . However, the color Δ is missing at both x and s_μ , showing a contradiction to Lemma 3.1 (a). The proof of Theorem 2.8 is now complete. \square

Acknowledgements

Guantao Chen was supported by NSF grants DMS-1855716 and DMS-2154331; Guangming Jing was supported by NSF grant DMS-2246292; and Songling Shan was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-2345869.

References

- [1] Y. Cao, G. Chen, G. Jing, and S. Shan. Overfullness of edge-critical graphs with small minimal core degree. [arXiv:2208.04179](https://arxiv.org/abs/2208.04179), 2022.
- [2] Y. Cao, G. Chen, G. Jing, and S. Shan. The Core Conjecture of Hilton and Zhao II: a Proof. [arXiv:2108.04399](https://arxiv.org/abs/2108.04399), 2021.
- [3] Y. Cao, G. Chen, and S. Shan. Overfullness of critical class 2 graphs with a small core degree. *J. Comb. Theory. Ser. B*, 156:145-173, 2022
- [4] D. Cariolaro and G. Cariolaro. Colouring the petals of a graph. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 10: # R6, 2003.
- [5] A. G. Chetwynd and A. J. W. Hilton. Star multigraphs with three vertices of maximum degree. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 100(2):303-317, 1986.
- [6] D. W. Cranston and L. Rabern. The Hilton-Zhao Conjecture is True for Graphs with Maximum Degree 4. *SIAM J. Discrete Math.*, 33(3):1228-1241, 2019.

- [7] S. Fiorini and R. J. Wilson. Edge-colourings of graphs. Research Notes in Mathematics, No. 16. Pitman, London; distributed by Fearon-Pitman Publishers, Inc., Belmont, Calif., 1977.
- [8] R. G. Gupta. Studies in the Theory of Graphs. *PhD thesis*, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay, 1967.
- [9] A. J. W. Hilton and C. Zhao. The chromatic index of a graph whose core has maximum degree two. *Discrete Math.*, 101(1-3): 135–147, 1992.
- [10] A. J. W. Hilton and C. Zhao. On the edge-colouring of graphs whose core has maximum degree two. *J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput.*, 21:97–108, 1996.
- [11] I. Holyer. The NP-completeness of edge-coloring. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 10(4):718–720, 1981.
- [12] P. D. Seymour. On multicolourings of cubic graphs, and conjectures of Fulkerson and Tutte. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)*, 38(3):423–460, 1979.
- [13] M. Stiebitz, D. Scheide, B. Toft, and L. M. Favrholdt. Graph Edge Coloring: Vizing’s Theorem and Goldberg’s Conjecture. *Wiley Series in Discrete Mathematics and Optimization*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, NJ, 2012.
- [14] V. G. Vizing. Critical graphs with given chromatic class. *Diskret. Analiz No.*, 5:9–17, 1965.