

Trace regularity for biharmonic evolution equations with Caputo derivatives

Paola Loreti ^{*} Daniela Sforza ^{†‡}

July 7, 2022

Abstract

Our goal is to establish a hidden regularity result for solutions of time fractional Petrovsky system. The order α of the Caputo fractional derivative belongs to the interval $(1, 2)$. We achieve such result for a suitable class of weak solutions.

Keywords: fractional equations, Petrovsky system, Riemann–Liouville fractional integral, hidden regularity.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 26A33, 35D30.

1 Introduction

Our aim is to study the fractional Petrovsky system

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^\alpha u + \Delta^2 u = 0 & \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega, \\ u = \Delta u = 0 & \text{on } (0, T) \times \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where $\partial_t^\alpha u$ is the Caputo derivative with $\alpha \in (1, 2)$.

A first open question we intend to investigate is the existence of weak solutions for problem (1) in appropriate Sobolev spaces. We also analyse if trace regularity holds for weak solutions. For non fractional hyperbolic partial differential equations trace regularity is also known as hidden regularity, a concept introduced by J.L. Lions in [5], see [4] too.

In the non fractional setting the Petrovsky system models vibrations of beams and plates. One can consider plate equations with different boundary conditions. Nevertheless, in the literature two classes of boundary conditions are mainly discussed. The first one involves the function and its normal derivative on the boundary (Dirichlet-Neumann) and it describes the physical model of vibration of guided beams and plates. The second type of boundary conditions includes the function and its Laplacian on the boundary: it depicts the physical model of vibration of hinged

^{*}Dipartimento di Scienze di Base e Applicate per l’Ingegneria, Sapienza Università di Roma, Via Antonio Scarpa 16, 00161 Roma (Italy); e-mail: <paola.loreti@uniroma1.it>

[†]Dipartimento di Scienze di Base e Applicate per l’Ingegneria, Sapienza Università di Roma, Via Antonio Scarpa 16, 00161 Roma (Italy); e-mail: <daniela.sforza@uniroma1.it>

[‡]To appear in: *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.* Vol. 25 (2022), at <https://www.springer.com/journal/13540>

beams and plates, see [7] and also [3]. Both problems have been studied in control theory to get the exact controllability by acting on (eventually on a part of) the boundary, see [6].

Nowadays, fractional calculus has attracted an increasing interest in modeling evolution equations. We refer to Mainardi and Gorenflo [11] for a survey devoted to the theory of relaxation processes governed by linear differential equations of fractional order, where the fractional derivatives are intended both in the sense of Riemann-Liouville and in the sense of Caputo. To our knowledge, the time-fractional Petrovsky system has not been studied yet. This paper would be a first attempt to solve some questions about trace regularity.

To begin with, a difficulty is an appropriate definition for weak solutions. For a general analysis concerning the type of regularity required for solutions to fractional differential equations, see [17].

The definition of H^2 -solutions is given in [9]: a H^2 -solution of the fractional boundary value problem (1) is a function u belonging to $C([0, T]; H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega))$ with $u_t \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \cap C([0, T]; D(\Delta^{-2\theta}))$, for some $\theta \in (0, 1)$, and satisfying, for any $v \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$,

$$\int_{\Omega} I^{2-\alpha}(u_t(\cdot, x) - u_t(0, x))v(x) dx \in C^1([0, T])$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} I^{2-\alpha}(u_t(t, x) - u_t(0, x))v(x) dx + \int_{\Omega} \Delta u(t, x)\Delta v(x) dx = 0 \quad t \in (0, T).$$

The expression H^2 -solution is suggested by the analysis of the stationary case given in [13].

As a consequence of the existence and uniqueness result for H^2 -solutions, the normal derivative of the solution on the boundary is well defined. Our purpose is to give a meaning to the normal derivative of weaker solutions belonging to $H_0^1(\Omega)$.

A function $u \in C([0, T]; H_0^1(\Omega))$ is called a H^1 -solution of (1) if, set $w = (-\Delta)^{-1}u$, we have $w \in C([0, T]; D((-\Delta)^{\frac{3}{2}}))$, $w_t \in L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega)) \cap C([0, T]; H^{-1}(\Omega))$, $\partial_t^\alpha w \in L^2([0, T]; L^2(\Omega))$ and

$$\int_{\Omega} \partial_t^\alpha w(t, x)v(x) dx - \int_{\Omega} \nabla \Delta w(t, x) \cdot \nabla v(x) dx = 0 \quad v \in H_0^1(\Omega), t \in (0, T).$$

Thanks to this setting we are able to handle the question of well-posedness. Indeed, we establish the following result

Theorem 1.1 *Let $u_0 \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ and $u_1 \in H^{-1}(\Omega)$. Then the function*

$$u(t, x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [\langle u_0, e_n \rangle E_\alpha(-\lambda_n t^\alpha) + \langle u_1, e_n \rangle_{H^{-1}} t E_{\alpha, 2}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha)] e_n(x)$$

is the H^1 -solution of (1) satisfying the initial conditions

$$u(0, \cdot) = u_0, \quad u_t(0, \cdot) = u_1. \tag{2}$$

Next step is to prove the hidden regularity for H^1 -solutions. Although the result is similar to [3, pag. 29], several technical steps need a change in order to avoid integration by parts with respect to the time.

This difficulty also appears in [8] and we borrow from [8] the way to deal with some identities useful in the proof of the hidden regularity result.

Theorem 1.2 For $u_0 \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ and $u_1 \in H^{-1}(\Omega)$, if u is the H^1 -solution of (1)–(2) then for any $T > 0$ we have

$$\int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} |\partial_\nu u|^2 d\sigma dt \leq C \left(\|u_0\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|u_1\|_{H^{-1}(\Omega)}^2 \right),$$

for some constant $C = C(T)$ independent of the initial data.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we give a mathematical background on biharmonic operators and fractional derivatives. In section 3 we introduce H^1 and H^3 solutions for fractional Petrovsky systems and we show existence theorems. In section 4 we give a result of hidden regularity.

2 Preliminaries

Here we collect some notations, definitions and known results that we use to prove our main results.

2.1 Biharmonic operators

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, $N \geq 1$, be a bounded open set with sufficiently smooth boundary denoted by $\partial\Omega$. As usual, we consider $L^2(\Omega)$ endowed with the inner product and norm defined by

$$\langle u, v \rangle = \int_{\Omega} u(x)v(x) dx, \quad \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = \left(\int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \quad u, v \in L^2(\Omega).$$

We define the operator A in $L^2(\Omega)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} D(A) &= H^4(\Omega) \cap \{u \in H^3(\Omega) : u = \Delta u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega\} \\ (Au)(x) &= \Delta^2 u(x), \quad u \in D(A), \quad x \in \Omega. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

The spectrum of the operator A consists of a sequence $\{\lambda_n\}$ tending to $+\infty$. Moreover, we assume that the eigenvalues λ_n are all distinct numbers, and hence the eigenspace generated by λ_n has dimension one. For special domains this assumption is fulfilled, e.g. if Ω is a ball in \mathbb{R}^N .

In addition we denote by e_n the eigenfunctions of A ($Ae_n = \lambda_n e_n$) that constitute an orthonormal basis of $L^2(\Omega)$.

The biharmonic operator given by (3) is self-adjoint, positive and the domain $D(A)$ is dense in $L^2(\Omega)$.

The fractional powers A^θ are defined for $\theta > 0$, see e.g. [14, 10]. The domain $D(A^\theta)$ of A^θ consists of those functions $u \in L^2(\Omega)$ such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{2\theta} |\langle u, e_n \rangle|^2 < +\infty$$

and

$$A^\theta u = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^\theta \langle u, e_n \rangle e_n, \quad u \in D(A^\theta).$$

Moreover $D(A^\theta)$ is a Hilbert space with the norm given by

$$\|u\|_{D(A^\theta)} = \|A^\theta u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{2\theta} |\langle u, e_n \rangle|^2 \right)^{1/2} \quad u \in D(A^\theta), \quad (4)$$

and for any $0 < \theta_1 < \theta_2$ we have $D(A^{\theta_2}) \subset D(A^{\theta_1})$.

In particular, $D(A^{\frac{1}{2}}) = H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$ and $D(A^{\frac{1}{4}}) = H_0^1(\Omega)$ with the respective norms given by

$$\|u\|_{D(A^{\frac{1}{2}})} = \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n |\langle u, e_n \rangle|^2 \right)^{1/2} = \|\Delta u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \quad u \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega), \quad (5)$$

$$\|u\|_{D(A^{\frac{1}{4}})} = \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{\frac{1}{2}} |\langle u, e_n \rangle|^2 \right)^{1/2} = \|u\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} = \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \quad u \in H_0^1(\Omega). \quad (6)$$

We also note that $D(A^{\frac{3}{4}}) = \{u \in H^3(\Omega) : u = \Delta u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega\}$ and

$$\|u\|_{D(A^{\frac{3}{4}})} = \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{\frac{3}{2}} |\langle u, e_n \rangle|^2 \right)^{1/2} = \|\nabla \Delta u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \quad u \in D(A^{\frac{3}{4}}), \quad (7)$$

see [3, Lemma 1.7]. If we identify the dual $(L^2(\Omega))'$ with $L^2(\Omega)$ itself, then we have $D(A^\theta) \subset L^2(\Omega) \subset (D(A^\theta))'$. From now on we set

$$D(A^{-\theta}) := (D(A^\theta))', \quad (8)$$

whose elements are continuous linear functionals on $D(A^\theta)$. If $u \in D(A^{-\theta})$ and $\varphi \in D(A^\theta)$ the value $u(\varphi)$ is denoted by

$$\langle u, \varphi \rangle_{-\theta, \theta} := u(\varphi). \quad (9)$$

According to the Riesz-Fréchet representation theorem $D(A^{-\theta})$ is a Hilbert space with the norm given by

$$\|u\|_{D(A^{-\theta})} = \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{-2\theta} |\langle u, e_n \rangle_{-\theta, \theta}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \quad u \in D(A^{-\theta}). \quad (10)$$

Moreover,

$$A^{-\theta} u = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{-\theta} \langle u, e_n \rangle_{-\theta, \theta} e_n, \quad \|u\|_{D(A^{-\theta})} = \|A^{-\theta} u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \quad u \in D(A^{-\theta}),$$

and for any $0 < \theta_1 < \theta_2$ we have $D(A^{-\theta_1}) \subset D(A^{-\theta_2})$. We also recall that

$$\langle u, \varphi \rangle_{-\theta, \theta} = \langle u, \varphi \rangle \quad \text{for } u \in L^2(\Omega), \varphi \in D(A^\theta), \quad (11)$$

see e.g. [1, Chapitre V]. We note that $D(A^{-\frac{1}{4}}) = H^{-1}(\Omega)$ and

$$\|u\|_{D(A^{-\frac{1}{4}})} = \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{-\frac{1}{2}} |\langle u, e_n \rangle_{-\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}}|^2 \right)^{1/2} = \|u\|_{H^{-1}(\Omega)} \quad u \in H^{-1}(\Omega). \quad (12)$$

2.2 Fractional derivatives

Definition 2.1 For any $\beta > 0$ we denote the Riemann–Liouville fractional integral operator of order β by

$$I^\beta(f)(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{\beta-1} f(\tau) d\tau, \quad f \in L^1(0, T), \text{ a.e. } t \in (0, T), \quad (13)$$

where $T > 0$ and $\Gamma(\beta) = \int_0^\infty t^{\beta-1} e^{-t} dt$ is the Euler Gamma function.

The Caputo fractional derivative of order $\alpha \in (1, 2)$ is given by

$$\partial_t^\alpha f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(2 - \alpha)} \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{1-\alpha} \frac{d^2 f}{d\tau^2}(\tau) d\tau. \quad (14)$$

By means of the Riemann–Liouville integral operator $I^{2-\alpha}$ we can write

$$\partial_t^\alpha f(t) = I^{2-\alpha} \left(\frac{d^2 f}{dt^2} \right) (t). \quad (15)$$

We also note that

$$\partial_t^\alpha f(t) = \frac{d}{dt} I^{2-\alpha} (f' - f'(0))(t), \quad (16)$$

when f' is absolutely continuous.

For arbitrary constants $\alpha, \beta > 0$, we denote the Mittag–Leffler functions by

$$E_{\alpha, \beta}(z) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{\Gamma(\alpha k + \beta)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (17)$$

One notices that the power series $E_{\alpha, \beta}(z)$ is an entire function of $z \in \mathbb{C}$. The Mittag–Leffler function $E_{\alpha, 1}(z)$ is usually denoted by $E_\alpha(z)$. We observe that $E_\alpha(0) = 1$.

The proof of the following result can be found in [15, p. 35], see also [16, Lemma 3.1]. In the following we denote the Laplace transform of a function $f(t)$ by the symbol

$$\mathcal{L}[f(t)](z) := \int_0^\infty e^{-zt} f(t) dt \quad z \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (18)$$

Lemma 2.2 1. Let $\alpha \in (1, 2)$ and $\beta > 0$ be. Then for any $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\pi\alpha/2 < \mu < \pi$ there exists a constant $C = C(\alpha, \beta, \mu) > 0$ such that

$$|E_{\alpha, \beta}(z)| \leq \frac{C}{1 + |z|}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}, \quad \mu \leq |\arg(z)| \leq \pi. \quad (19)$$

2. For $\alpha, \beta, \lambda > 0$ one has

$$\mathcal{L}[t^{\beta-1} E_{\alpha, \beta}(-\lambda t^\alpha)](z) = \frac{z^{\alpha-\beta}}{z^\alpha + \lambda} \quad \Re z > \lambda^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}. \quad (20)$$

3. If $\alpha, \lambda > 0$, then we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}E_\alpha(-\lambda t^\alpha) = -\lambda t^{\alpha-1}E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda t^\alpha), \quad t > 0, \quad (21)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(t^k E_{\alpha,k+1}(-\lambda t^\alpha)\right) = t^{k-1}E_{\alpha,k}(-\lambda t^\alpha), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, t \geq 0, \quad (22)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(t^{\alpha-1}E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda t^\alpha)\right) = t^{\alpha-2}E_{\alpha,\alpha-1}(-\lambda t^\alpha), \quad t \geq 0. \quad (23)$$

We recall an elementary result that is useful in the estimates.

Lemma 2.3 For any $0 < \beta < 1$ the function $x \rightarrow \frac{x^\beta}{1+x}$ gains its maximum on $[0, +\infty[$ at point $\frac{\beta}{1-\beta}$ and the maximum value is given by

$$\max_{x \geq 0} \frac{x^\beta}{1+x} = \beta^\beta (1-\beta)^{1-\beta}, \quad \beta \in (0, 1). \quad (24)$$

We now call to mind the definition of fractional vector-valued Sobolev spaces. For $\beta \in (0, 1)$, $T > 0$ and a Hilbert space H , endowed with the norm $\|\cdot\|_H$, $H^\beta(0, T; H)$ is the space of all $u \in L^2(0, T; H)$ such that

$$[u]_{H^\beta(0,T;H)} := \left(\int_0^T \int_0^T \frac{\|u(t) - u(\tau)\|_H^2}{|t - \tau|^{1+2\beta}} dt d\tau \right)^{1/2} < +\infty, \quad (25)$$

that is $[u]_{H^\beta(0,T;H)}$ is the so-called Gagliardo semi-norm of u . $H^\beta(0, T; H)$ is endowed with the norm

$$\|\cdot\|_{H^\beta(0,T;H)} := \|\cdot\|_{L^2(0,T;H)} + [\cdot]_{H^\beta(0,T;H)}. \quad (26)$$

The following extension of a known result (see [2, Theorem 2.1]) to the case of vector valued functions is crucial in the proof of Theorem 4.4. We use the symbol \sim between norms to indicate two equivalent norms.

Theorem 2.4 Let H be a separable Hilbert space.

(i) The Riemann–Liouville operator $I^\beta : L^2(0, T; H) \rightarrow L^2(0, T; H)$, $0 < \beta \leq 1$, is injective and the range $\mathcal{R}(I^\beta)$ of I^β is given by

$$\mathcal{R}(I^\beta) = \begin{cases} H^\beta(0, T; H), & 0 < \beta < \frac{1}{2}, \\ \left\{ v \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}(0, T; H) : \int_0^T t^{-1}|v(t)|^2 dt < \infty \right\}, & \beta = \frac{1}{2}, \\ {}_0H^\beta(0, T; H), & \frac{1}{2} < \beta \leq 1, \end{cases} \quad (27)$$

where ${}_0H^\beta(0, T) = \{u \in H^\beta(0, T) : u(0) = 0\}$.

(ii) For the Riemann–Liouville operator I^β and its inverse operator $I^{-\beta}$ the norm equivalences

$$\begin{aligned} \|I^\beta(u)\|_{H^\beta(0,T;H)} &\sim \|u\|_{L^2(0,T;H)}, & u \in L^2(0, T; H), \\ \|I^{-\beta}(v)\|_{L^2(0,T;H)} &\sim \|v\|_{H^\beta(0,T;H)}, & v \in \mathcal{R}(I^\beta), \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

hold true.

3 Existence and regularity of solutions

First, we recall the definition of H^2 -solutions and strong solutions, see [9]. Let $\alpha \in (1, 2)$ and $T > 0$.

Definition 3.1 1. A function u is called a H^2 -solution of the fractional boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^\alpha u + \Delta^2 u = 0 & \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega, \\ u = \Delta u = 0 & \text{on } (0, T) \times \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (29)$$

if $u \in C([0, T]; H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega))$, $u_t \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \cap C([0, T]; D(A^{-\theta}))$, for some $\theta \in (0, 1)$, and for any $v \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$ one has $\int_\Omega I^{2-\alpha}(u_t(\cdot, x) - u_t(0, x))v(x) dx \in C^1([0, T])$ and

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_\Omega I^{2-\alpha}(u_t(t, x) - u_t(0, x))v(x) dx + \int_\Omega \Delta u(t, x) \Delta v(x) dx = 0 \quad t \in [0, T]. \quad (30)$$

2. A function u is called a strong solution if $u \in C([0, T]; D(A)) \cap C^1([0, T]; L^2(\Omega))$, $\partial_t^\alpha u \in C([0, T]; L^2(\Omega))$ and satisfies problem (29).

Remark 3.2 A strong solution is also a H^2 -solution.

We recall the following existence result, see [9, Theorem 4.3].

Theorem 3.3 (i) If $u_0 \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$ and $u_1 \in L^2(\Omega)$, then the function

$$u(t, x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [\langle u_0, e_n \rangle E_\alpha(-\lambda_n t^\alpha) + \langle u_1, e_n \rangle t E_{\alpha, 2}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha)] e_n(x) \quad (31)$$

is the unique H^2 -solution of (29) satisfying the initial conditions

$$u(0, \cdot) = u_0, \quad u_t(0, \cdot) = u_1. \quad (32)$$

In addition

$$u_t(t, x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [-\lambda_n \langle u_0, e_n \rangle t^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha) + \langle u_1, e_n \rangle E_\alpha(-\lambda_n t^\alpha)] e_n(x), \quad (33)$$

and $u_t \in C([0, T]; D(A^{-\theta}))$ for $\theta \in (\frac{2-\alpha}{2\alpha}, \frac{1}{2})$.

(ii) For $u_0 \in H^4(\Omega) \cap \{u \in H^3(\Omega) : u = \Delta u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega\}$ and $u_1 \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$ the H^2 -solution given by (31) is a strong one and

$$\partial_t^\alpha u(t, x) = - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [\lambda_n \langle u_0, e_n \rangle E_{\alpha, 1}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha) + \lambda_n \langle u_1, e_n \rangle t E_{\alpha, 2}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha)] e_n(x). \quad (34)$$

Proposition 3.4 For $u_0 \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$ and $u_1 \in L^2(\Omega)$ if u is the H^2 -solution given by (31), then $A^{-\frac{1}{2}}u$ is a strong solution.

Proof. To prove the statement we set $w = A^{-\frac{1}{2}}u$. So, we have $w \in D(A^{\frac{1}{2}}) = H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$, and in particular $w = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$. Moreover

$$-\Delta w = A^{\frac{1}{2}}A^{-\frac{1}{2}}u = u \quad \text{on } \Omega.$$

Since $u \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$, we get $w \in H^4(\Omega)$ and $\Delta w = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$.

In addition, thanks to Theorem 3.3 we have $A^{\frac{1}{2}}w = u \in C^1([0, T]; D(A^{-\theta}))$ for $\theta \in (\frac{2-\alpha}{2\alpha}, \frac{1}{2})$. Therefore

$$\|w_t(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{2\theta-1} \lambda_n^{1-2\theta} |\langle w_t, e_n \rangle|^2 \leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{1-2\theta} |\langle w_t, e_n \rangle|^2 = C \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}w_t(t, \cdot)\|_{D(A^{-\theta})}^2,$$

and hence $A^{-\frac{1}{2}}u = w \in C^1([0, T]; L^2(\Omega))$.

To complete the proof we have to show that

$$\frac{d}{dt} I^{2-\alpha}(w_t - A^{-\frac{1}{2}}u_1) = \partial_t^\alpha w. \quad (35)$$

To this end, thanks to (16) it is sufficient to prove that w_t is absolutely continuous. Indeed, by (33) we get

$$w_t(t, \cdot) = A^{-\frac{1}{2}}u_t(t, \cdot) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{-\frac{1}{2}} [-\lambda_n \langle u_0, e_n \rangle t^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha) + \langle u_1, e_n \rangle E_\alpha(-\lambda_n t^\alpha)] e_n.$$

The series of the derivatives with respect to the variable t , thanks to (23) and (21), is given by

$$-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{\frac{1}{2}} [\langle u_0, e_n \rangle t^{\alpha-2} E_{\alpha, \alpha-1}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha) + \langle u_1, e_n \rangle t^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha)] e_n. \quad (36)$$

In virtue of (19) we note that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{\frac{1}{2}} [\langle u_0, e_n \rangle t^{\alpha-2} E_{\alpha, \alpha-1}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha) + \langle u_1, e_n \rangle t^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha)] e_n \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)} dt \\ \leq C(T^{\alpha-1} \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + T^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|u_1\|_{L^2(\Omega)}), \end{aligned}$$

that is the series in (36) belongs to $L^1(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$, and hence w_t is absolutely continuous.

In conclusion, if we substitute in formula (30) u with $A^{\frac{1}{2}}w$, thanks to (35) we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} A^{\frac{1}{2}}(\partial_t^\alpha w(t, x) + \Delta^2 w(t, x))v(x) dx = 0 \quad t \in [0, T], \quad v \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega),$$

that is $\partial_t^\alpha w + \Delta^2 w = 0$. \square

Definition 3.5 1. A function u is called a H^3 -solution of the fractional boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^\alpha u + \Delta^2 u = 0 & \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega, \\ u = \Delta u = 0 & \text{on } (0, T) \times \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (37)$$

if $u \in C([0, T]; D(A^{\frac{3}{4}}))$, $u_t \in L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega)) \cap C([0, T]; H^{-1}(\Omega))$, $\partial_t^\alpha u \in L^2([0, T]; L^2(\Omega))$ and for any $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ one has

$$\int_{\Omega} \partial_t^\alpha u(t, x)v(x) dx - \int_{\Omega} \nabla \Delta u(t, x) \cdot \nabla v(x) dx = 0 \quad t \in (0, T). \quad (38)$$

2. A function $u \in C([0, T]; H_0^1(\Omega))$ is called a H^1 -solution of (37) if $A^{-\frac{1}{2}}u$ is a H^3 -solution.

Theorem 3.6 (i) Let $u_0 \in D(A^{\frac{3}{4}})$ and $u_1 \in H_0^1(\Omega)$. Then the H^2 -solution

$$u(t, x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [\langle u_0, e_n \rangle E_{\alpha}(-\lambda_n t^{\alpha}) + \langle u_1, e_n \rangle t E_{\alpha, 2}(-\lambda_n t^{\alpha})] e_n(x) \quad (39)$$

of (37) with initial conditions

$$u(0, \cdot) = u_0, \quad u_t(0, \cdot) = u_1, \quad (40)$$

is a H^3 -solution and

$$\|\partial_t^{\alpha} u\|_{L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega))} \leq C(\|\nabla \Delta u_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\nabla u_1\|_{L^2(\Omega)}), \quad (C > 0). \quad (41)$$

In addition, for $\theta \in (0, \frac{1}{2\alpha})$ we have $\nabla \Delta u \in L^2(0, T; D(A^{\theta}))$ and

$$\|\nabla \Delta u\|_{L^2(0, T; D(A^{\theta}))} \leq C(\|\nabla \Delta u_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\nabla u_1\|_{L^2(\Omega)}), \quad (C > 0). \quad (42)$$

(ii) Let $u_0 \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ and $u_1 \in H^{-1}(\Omega)$. Then the function

$$u(t, x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [\langle u_0, e_n \rangle E_{\alpha}(-\lambda_n t^{\alpha}) + \langle u_1, e_n \rangle_{-\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}} t E_{\alpha, 2}(-\lambda_n t^{\alpha})] e_n(x) \quad (43)$$

is a H^1 -solution of (37).

Proof. (i) First, we note that for any $t \in [0, T]$ we have $u(t) \in D(A^{\frac{3}{4}})$. Indeed, in view of (7) we have

$$\|\nabla \Delta u(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{\frac{3}{2}} |\langle u_0, e_n \rangle E_{\alpha}(-\lambda_n t^{\alpha})|^2 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{\frac{3}{2}} |\langle u_1, e_n \rangle t E_{\alpha, 2}(-\lambda_n t^{\alpha})|^2.$$

Thanks to (19) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_n^{\frac{3}{2}} |\langle u_0, e_n \rangle E_{\alpha}(-\lambda_n t^{\alpha})|^2 &\leq C \lambda_n^{\frac{3}{2}} |\langle u_0, e_n \rangle|^2, \\ \lambda_n^{\frac{3}{2}} |\langle u_1, e_n \rangle t E_{\alpha, 2}(-\lambda_n t^{\alpha})|^2 &\leq C t^{2-\alpha} \lambda_n^{\frac{1}{2}} |\langle u_1, e_n \rangle|^2 \frac{\lambda_n t^{\alpha}}{(1 + \lambda_n t^{\alpha})^2} \leq C t^{2-\alpha} \lambda_n^{\frac{1}{2}} |\langle u_1, e_n \rangle|^2, \end{aligned}$$

whence, being $\alpha < 2$, we obtain

$$\|\nabla \Delta u(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq C \|\nabla \Delta u_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + C T^{2-\alpha} \|\nabla u_1\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2. \quad (44)$$

Following the same argumentations used to prove (44), we get for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \nabla \Delta \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} [\langle u_0, e_k \rangle E_{\alpha}(-\lambda_k t^{\alpha}) + \langle u_1, e_k \rangle t E_{\alpha, 2}(-\lambda_k t^{\alpha})] e_k \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \\ \leq C \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \lambda_k^{\frac{3}{2}} |\langle u_0, e_k \rangle|^2 + C T^{2-\alpha} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \lambda_k^{\frac{1}{2}} |\langle u_1, e_k \rangle|^2, \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left\| \nabla \Delta \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} [\langle u_0, e_k \rangle E_{\alpha}(-\lambda_k t^{\alpha}) + \langle u_1, e_k \rangle t E_{\alpha, 2}(-\lambda_k t^{\alpha})] e_k \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = 0.$$

As a consequence, the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [\langle u_0, e_n \rangle E_{\alpha}(-\lambda_n t^{\alpha}) + \langle u_1, e_n \rangle t E_{\alpha, 2}(-\lambda_n t^{\alpha})] e_n$ is convergent in $D(A^{\frac{3}{4}})$ uniformly in $t \in [0, T]$, so $u \in C([0, T]; D(A^{\frac{3}{4}}))$.

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{d}{dt} I^{2-\alpha}(u_t(t, \cdot) - u_1) \right\|_{H^{-1}(\Omega)}^2 &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left| \frac{d}{dt} \left(\lambda_n \langle u_0, e_n \rangle t E_{\alpha, 2}(-\lambda_n t^{\alpha}) + \lambda_n \langle u_1, e_n \rangle t^2 E_{\alpha, 3}(-\lambda_n t^{\alpha}) \right) \right|^2 \\ &\leq C (\|\nabla \Delta u_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + t^{2-\alpha} \|\nabla u_1\|_{L^2(\Omega)}). \end{aligned}$$

To prove (41) we note that, thanks to (34) and (19), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_t^{\alpha} u(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \lambda_n \langle u_0, e_n \rangle E_{\alpha}(-\lambda_n t^{\alpha}) + \lambda_n \langle u_1, e_n \rangle t E_{\alpha, 2}(-\lambda_n t^{\alpha}) \right|^2 \\ &\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{\frac{3}{2}} |\langle u_0, e_n \rangle|^2 \frac{\lambda_n^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(1 + \lambda_n t^{\alpha})^2} + C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{\frac{1}{2}} |\langle u_1, e_n \rangle|^2 \frac{\lambda_n^{\frac{3}{2}} t^2}{(1 + \lambda_n t^{\alpha})^2}. \quad (45) \end{aligned}$$

Observing that

$$\frac{\lambda_n^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(1 + \lambda_n t^{\alpha})^2} = \left(\frac{(\lambda_n t^{\alpha})^{\frac{1}{4}}}{1 + \lambda_n t^{\alpha}} \right)^2 t^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}, \quad \frac{\lambda_n^{\frac{3}{2}} t^2}{(1 + \lambda_n t^{\alpha})^2} = \left(\frac{(\lambda_n t^{\alpha})^{\frac{3}{4}}}{1 + \lambda_n t^{\alpha}} \right)^2 t^{2-\frac{3}{2}\alpha},$$

from (24) and (45) we deduce

$$\|\partial_t^{\alpha} u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq C t^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|\nabla \Delta u_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + C t^{2-\frac{3}{2}\alpha} \|\nabla u_1\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2.$$

Therefore, since $\alpha < 2$ we have

$$\|\partial_t^{\alpha} u\|_{L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega))}^2 \leq C T^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|\nabla \Delta u_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + C T^{3-\frac{3}{2}\alpha} \|\nabla u_1\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2,$$

so $\partial_t^{\alpha} u \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$ and (41) holds.

Now, we fix $\theta \in (0, \frac{1}{2\alpha})$. Thanks to (31) and (19) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla \Delta u(t, \cdot)\|_{D(A^{\theta})}^2 &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{\frac{3}{2}+2\theta} \left| \langle u_0, e_n \rangle E_{\alpha}(-\lambda_n t^{\alpha}) + \langle u_1, e_n \rangle t E_{\alpha, 2}(-\lambda_n t^{\alpha}) \right|^2 \\ &\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{\frac{3}{2}} |\langle u_0, e_n \rangle|^2 \frac{\lambda_n^{2\theta}}{(1 + \lambda_n t^{\alpha})^2} + C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{\frac{1}{2}} |\langle u_1, e_n \rangle|^2 \frac{\lambda_n^{1+2\theta} t^2}{(1 + \lambda_n t^{\alpha})^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\frac{\lambda_n^{2\theta}}{(1 + \lambda_n t^{\alpha})^2} = \left(\frac{(\lambda_n t^{\alpha})^{\theta}}{1 + \lambda_n t^{\alpha}} \right)^2 t^{-2\alpha\theta}, \quad \frac{\lambda_n^{1+2\theta} t^2}{(1 + \lambda_n t^{\alpha})^2} = \left(\frac{(\lambda_n t^{\alpha})^{\frac{1+2\theta}{2}}}{1 + \lambda_n t^{\alpha}} \right)^2 t^{2-\alpha(1+2\theta)},$$

and $0 < \theta < \frac{1}{2}$, we can apply (24) to have

$$\|\nabla \Delta u(t, \cdot)\|_{D(A^{\theta})}^2 \leq C t^{-2\alpha\theta} \|\nabla \Delta u_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + C t^{2-\alpha(1+2\theta)} \|\nabla u_1\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2.$$

Taking into account that $\theta \in (0, \frac{1}{2\alpha})$ we have $\nabla \Delta u \in L^2(0, T; D(A^{\theta}))$ and (42) follows.

(ii)

□

4 Hidden regularity results

We develop a procedure similar to that followed in [3] for Petrovsky systems. First, we prove some identities that are useful in the proof of the main theorem.

Lemma 4.1 *If $w \in H^4(\Omega)$ with $\Delta w = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$ and $h : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ is a vector field of class C^1 , then*

$$2 \int_{\Omega} \Delta^2 w \, h \cdot \nabla \Delta w \, dx = \int_{\partial\Omega} h \cdot \nu |\partial_{\nu} \Delta w|^2 \, d\sigma - 2 \sum_{i,j=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \partial_i h_j \partial_i \Delta w \partial_j \Delta w \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \sum_{j=1}^N \partial_j h_j |\nabla \Delta w|^2 \, dx. \quad (46)$$

Proof. First, we observe that by $w \in H^4(\Omega)$ it follows that the normal derivative $\partial_{\nu} \Delta w$ is well defined on $\partial\Omega$.

Integrating by parts we get

$$\int_{\Omega} \Delta^2 w \, h \cdot \nabla \Delta w \, dx = \int_{\partial\Omega} \partial_{\nu} \Delta w \, h \cdot \nabla \Delta w \, d\sigma - \int_{\Omega} \nabla \Delta w \cdot \nabla (h \cdot \nabla \Delta w) \, dx.$$

Since $\Delta w = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$ we have

$$\nabla \Delta w = (\partial_{\nu} \Delta w) \nu \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega,$$

(see e.g. [12, Lemma 2.1] for a detailed proof) and hence

$$\int_{\Omega} \Delta^2 w \, h \cdot \nabla \Delta w \, dx = \int_{\partial\Omega} h \cdot \nu |\partial_{\nu} \Delta w|^2 \, d\sigma - \int_{\Omega} \nabla \Delta w \cdot \nabla (h \cdot \nabla \Delta w) \, dx. \quad (47)$$

We note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \nabla \Delta w \cdot \nabla (h \cdot \nabla \Delta w) \, dx \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \partial_i \Delta w \, \partial_i (h_j \partial_j \Delta w) \, dx = \sum_{i,j=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \partial_i \Delta w \, \partial_i h_j \partial_j \Delta w \, dx + \sum_{i,j=1}^N \int_{\Omega} h_j \, \partial_i \Delta w \partial_j (\partial_i \Delta w) \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

We estimate the last term on the right-hand side again by an integration by parts, so we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j=1}^N \int_{\Omega} h_j \, \partial_i \Delta w \partial_j (\partial_i \Delta w) \, dx &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N \int_{\Omega} h_j \, \partial_j \left(\sum_{i=1}^N (\partial_i \Delta w)^2 \right) \, dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial\Omega} h \cdot \nu |\nabla \Delta w|^2 \, d\sigma - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{j=1}^N \partial_j h_j |\nabla \Delta w|^2 \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

In conclusion, putting the above identities into (47) we deduce (46). \square

We prove the next result for strong solutions, see Definition 3.1-2, whose existence is given by Theorem 3.3–(ii). We recall that I^{β} is the Riemann–Liouville operator of order $\beta > 0$, see (13).

Lemma 4.2 *Suppose u is a strong solution of*

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^\alpha u + \Delta^2 u = 0 & \text{in } [0, T] \times \Omega, \\ u = \Delta u = 0 & \text{on } [0, T] \times \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (48)$$

For a vector field $h : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ of class C^1 and $\beta \in (0, 1)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\partial\Omega} h \cdot \nu |I^\beta(\partial_\nu \Delta u)(t)|^2 d\sigma = -2 \int_{\Omega} I^\beta(\partial_t^\alpha u)(t) h \cdot I^\beta(\nabla \Delta u)(t) dx \\ & + 2 \sum_{i,j=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \partial_i h_j I^\beta(\partial_i \Delta u)(t) I^\beta(\partial_j \Delta u)(t) dx - \int_{\Omega} \sum_{j=1}^N \partial_j h_j |I^\beta(\nabla \Delta u)(t)|^2 dx, \quad t \in [0, T], \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\partial\Omega} h \cdot \nu |I^\beta(\partial_\nu \Delta u)(t) - I^\beta(\partial_\nu \Delta u)(\tau)|^2 d\sigma \\ & = -2 \int_{\Omega} (I^\beta(\partial_t^\alpha u)(t) - I^\beta(\partial_t^\alpha u)(\tau)) h \cdot (I^\beta(\nabla \Delta u)(t) - I^\beta(\nabla \Delta u)(\tau)) dx \\ & + 2 \sum_{i,j=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \partial_i h_j (I^\beta(\partial_i \Delta u)(t) - I^\beta(\partial_i \Delta u)(\tau)) (I^\beta(\partial_j \Delta u)(t) - I^\beta(\partial_j \Delta u)(\tau)) dx \\ & \quad - \sum_{j=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \partial_j h_j |I^\beta(\nabla \Delta u)(t) - I^\beta(\nabla \Delta u)(\tau)|^2 dx, \quad t, \tau \in [0, T]. \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

Proof. First, we apply the operator I^β , $\beta \in (0, 1)$, to equation (48):

$$I^\beta(\partial_t^\alpha u)(t) = -I^\beta(\Delta^2 u)(t) \quad t \in [0, T]. \quad (51)$$

By means of the scalar product in $L^2(\Omega)$ we multiply (51) by

$$2h \cdot \nabla \Delta I^\beta(u)(t),$$

that is

$$2 \int_{\Omega} I^\beta(\partial_t^\alpha u)(t) h \cdot \nabla \Delta I^\beta(u)(t) dx = -2 \int_{\Omega} \Delta^2 I^\beta(u)(t) h \cdot \nabla \Delta I^\beta(u)(t) dx. \quad (52)$$

To evaluate the term

$$2 \int_{\Omega} \Delta^2 I^\beta(u)(t) h \cdot \nabla \Delta I^\beta(u)(t) dx,$$

we apply Lemma 4.1 to the function $w(t, x) = I^\beta(u)(t)$, so from (46) we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \int_{\Omega} \Delta^2 I^\beta(u)(t) h \cdot \nabla \Delta I^\beta(u)(t) dx &= \int_{\partial\Omega} h \cdot \nu |I^\beta(\nabla \Delta u)(t)|^2 d\sigma \\ &\quad - 2 \sum_{i,j=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \partial_i h_j I^\beta(\partial_i \Delta u)(t) I^\beta(\partial_j \Delta u)(t) dx + \int_{\Omega} \sum_{j=1}^N \partial_j h_j |I^\beta(\nabla \Delta u)(t)|^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

In conclusion, plugging the above formula into (52), we obtain (49).

The proof of (50) is alike: we start from

$$I^\beta(\partial_t^\alpha u)(t) - I^\beta(\partial_t^\alpha u)(\tau) = -(I^\beta(\Delta^2 u)(t) - I^\beta(\Delta^2 u)(\tau)) \quad t, \tau \in [0, T],$$

and we multiply both terms by $2h \cdot \nabla \Delta (I^\beta(u)(t) - I^\beta(u)(\tau))$. Then, applying Lemma 4.1 to the function $w(t, \tau, x) = I^\beta(u)(t) - I^\beta(u)(\tau)$ we get the identity (50). \square

Remark 4.3 We observe that the proof of the identities (49) and (50) cannot be done for a general function w and then applied to $w = I^\beta(u)$, since

$$\partial_t^\alpha I^\beta(u) \neq I^\beta(\partial_t^\alpha u),$$

as one can conclude from (14).

Theorem 4.4 Let $u_0 \in H^4(\Omega) \cap \{u \in H^3(\Omega) : u = \Delta u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega\}$ and $u_1 \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$. Then, for $T > 0$ the strong solution u of problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^\alpha u + \Delta^2 u = 0 & \text{in } [0, T] \times \Omega, \\ u = \Delta u = 0 & \text{on } [0, T] \times \partial\Omega \\ u(0, \cdot) = u_0, \quad u_t(0, \cdot) = u_1. \end{cases} \quad (53)$$

satisfies the inequality

$$\int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} |\partial_\nu \Delta u|^2 d\sigma dt \leq C(\|\nabla \Delta u_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\nabla u_1\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2), \quad (54)$$

for some constant $C = C(T) > 0$.

Proof. We apply Theorem 2.4 to prove the statement. Indeed, for $H = L^2(\partial\Omega)$ and $\beta \in (0, 1)$ we can apply (28) to have

$$\|\partial_\nu \Delta u\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\partial\Omega))} \sim \|I^\beta(\partial_\nu \Delta u)\|_{H^\beta(0,T;L^2(\partial\Omega))}. \quad (55)$$

So, the inequality (54) is equivalent to

$$\|I^\beta(\partial_\nu \Delta u)\|_{H^\beta(0,T;L^2(\partial\Omega))} \leq C(\|\nabla \Delta u_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\nabla u_1\|_{L^2(\Omega)}). \quad (56)$$

To prove (56), taking into account (26), we have to estimate $\|I^\beta(\partial_\nu \Delta u)\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\partial\Omega))}$ and $[I^\beta(\partial_\nu \Delta u)]_{H^\beta(0,T;L^2(\partial\Omega))}$. To this end we employ the two identities in Lemma 4.2 by means of a suitable choice of the vector field h . Indeed, we consider a vector field $h \in C^1(\bar{\Omega}; \mathbb{R}^N)$ satisfying the condition

$$h = \nu \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \quad (57)$$

(see e.g. [3] for the existence of such vector field h). If we integrate (49) over $[0, T]$, then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} |I^\beta(\partial_\nu \Delta u)(t)|^2 d\sigma dt &= -2 \int_0^T \int_\Omega I^\beta(\partial_t^\alpha u)(t) h \cdot I^\beta(\nabla \Delta u)(t) dx dt \\ &+ 2 \sum_{i,j=1}^N \int_0^T \int_\Omega \partial_i h_j I^\beta(\partial_i \Delta u)(t) I^\beta(\partial_j \Delta u)(t) dx dt - \int_0^T \int_\Omega \sum_{j=1}^N \partial_j h_j |I^\beta(\nabla \Delta u)(t)|^2 dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

Since $h \in C^1(\bar{\Omega}; \mathbb{R}^N)$ from the above inequality we get

$$\|I^\beta(\partial_\nu \Delta u)\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\partial\Omega))} \leq C\left(\|I^\beta(\partial_t^\alpha u)\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} + \|I^\beta(\nabla \Delta u)\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}\right), \quad (58)$$

for some constant $C > 0$.

Now we have to evaluate the Gagliardo semi-norm $[I^\beta(\partial_\nu \Delta u)]_{H^\beta(0,T;L^2(\partial\Omega))}$, recalled in (25). To this end we multiply both terms of (50) by $\frac{1}{|t-\tau|^{1+2\beta}}$ and integrate over $[0, T] \times [0, T]$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& [I^\beta(\partial_\nu \Delta u)]_{H^\beta(0,T;L^2(\partial\Omega))}^2 \\
&= -2 \int_0^T \int_0^T \frac{1}{|t-\tau|^{1+2\beta}} \int_\Omega (I^\beta(\partial_t^\alpha u)(t) - I^\beta(\partial_t^\alpha u)(\tau)) h \cdot (I^\beta(\nabla \Delta u)(t) - I^\beta(\nabla \Delta u)(\tau)) dx \, dt d\tau \\
&+ 2 \int_0^T \int_0^T \frac{1}{|t-\tau|^{1+2\beta}} \sum_{i,j=1}^N \int_\Omega \partial_i h_j (I^\beta(\partial_i \Delta u)(t) - I^\beta(\partial_i \Delta u)(\tau)) (I^\beta(\partial_j \Delta u)(t) - I^\beta(\partial_j \Delta u)(\tau)) dx \, dt d\tau \\
&\quad - \int_0^T \int_0^T \frac{1}{|t-\tau|^{1+2\beta}} \int_\Omega \sum_{j=1}^N \partial_j h_j |I^\beta(\nabla \Delta u)(t) - I^\beta(\nabla \Delta u)(\tau)|^2 dx \, dt d\tau. \quad (59)
\end{aligned}$$

The first term on the right-hand side can be estimated as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
& -2 \int_0^T \int_0^T \frac{1}{|t-\tau|^{1+2\beta}} \int_\Omega (I^\beta(\partial_t^\alpha u)(t) - I^\beta(\partial_t^\alpha u)(\tau)) h \cdot (I^\beta(\nabla \Delta u)(t) - I^\beta(\nabla \Delta u)(\tau)) dx \, dt d\tau \\
&\leq C \left([I^\beta(\partial_t^\alpha u)]_{H^\beta(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}^2 + [I^\beta(\nabla \Delta u)]_{H^\beta(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}^2 \right),
\end{aligned}$$

and hence from (59) we deduce

$$[I^\beta(\partial_\nu \Delta u)]_{H^\beta(0,T;L^2(\partial\Omega))} \leq C \left([I^\beta(\partial_t^\alpha u)]_{H^\beta(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} + [I^\beta(\nabla \Delta u)]_{H^\beta(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} \right). \quad (60)$$

Combining (58) and (60) we obtain

$$\|I^\beta(\partial_\nu \Delta u)\|_{H^\beta(0,T;L^2(\partial\Omega))} \leq C \left(\|I^\beta(\partial_t^\alpha u)\|_{H^\beta(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} + \|I^\beta(\nabla \Delta u)\|_{H^\beta(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} \right). \quad (61)$$

Thanks again to Theorem 2.4 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|I^\beta(\partial_t^\alpha u)\|_{H^\beta(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} &\sim \|\partial_t^\alpha u\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}, \\
\|I^\beta(\nabla \Delta u)\|_{H^\beta(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} &\sim \|\nabla \Delta u\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))},
\end{aligned}$$

and hence from (41), (42) and (61) we deduce (56). The proof is complete. \square

Theorem 4.5 *Let $u_0 \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ and $u_1 \in H^{-1}(\Omega)$. If u is the H^1 -solution of*

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^\alpha u + \Delta^2 u = 0 & \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega, \\ u = \Delta u = 0 & \text{on } (0, T) \times \partial\Omega, \\ u(0, \cdot) = u_0, \quad u_t(0, \cdot) = u_1, \end{cases} \quad (62)$$

then we define the normal derivative $\partial_\nu u$ of u such that for any $T > 0$ we have

$$\int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} |\partial_\nu u|^2 d\sigma dt \leq C \left(\|u_0\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|u_1\|_{H^{-1}(\Omega)}^2 \right), \quad (63)$$

for some constant $C = C(T)$ independent of the initial data.

Proof. For $u_0 \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$ and $u_1 \in L^2(\Omega)$ we consider the H^2 - solution u of (62). We note that $A^{-\frac{1}{2}}u$ is the strong solution of (62) with the initial conditions u_0 and u_1 replaced by $A^{-\frac{1}{2}}u_0 \in H^4(\Omega) \cap D(A^{\frac{3}{4}})$ and $A^{-\frac{1}{2}}u_1 \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$. Therefore, by Theorem 4.4 the inequality

$$\int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} |\partial_\nu \Delta A^{-\frac{1}{2}}u|^2 d\sigma dt \leq C(\|\nabla \Delta A^{-\frac{1}{2}}u_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\nabla A^{-\frac{1}{2}}u_1\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2),$$

holds for any $T > 0$. Taking into account that

$$\|\nabla A^{-\frac{1}{2}}u_1\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = \|u_1\|_{H^{-1}(\Omega)},$$

we get

$$\int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} |\partial_\nu u|^2 d\sigma dt \leq C(\|\nabla u_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|u_1\|_{H^{-1}(\Omega)}^2).$$

By density there exists a unique continuous linear map

$$\mathcal{D}_\nu : H_0^1(\Omega) \times H^{-1}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^2(0, T; L^2(\partial\Omega))$$

such that

$$\mathcal{D}_\nu(u_0, u_1) = \partial_\nu u \quad \forall (u_0, u_1) \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$$

and

$$\int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} |\mathcal{D}_\nu(u_0, u_1)|^2 d\sigma dt \leq C(\|u_0\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|u_1\|_{H^{-1}(\Omega)}^2) \quad \forall (u_0, u_1) \in H_0^1(\Omega) \times H^{-1}(\Omega).$$

In conclusion, given $u_0 \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ and $u_1 \in H^{-1}(\Omega)$ we define the normal derivative of the H^1 -solution u of (62) as $\mathcal{D}_\nu(u_0, u_1)$ and use the standard notation $\partial_\nu u$ instead of $\mathcal{D}_\nu(u_0, u_1)$ in such a way that we get (63). \square

Remark 4.6 *Theorem 4.5 does not follow from the strong trace theorems of the Sobolev spaces. For this reason it can be called a hidden regularity result. The corresponding inequality (63) is often called a direct inequality.*

5 Conclusions and further questions

In this paper we prove existence and hidden regularity for weak solutions of the fractional boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^\alpha u + \Delta^2 u = 0 & \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega, \\ u = \Delta u = 0 & \text{on } (0, T) \times \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

To conclude our analysis, we direct reader's attention to the following open problems.

1. Investigate the hidden regularity in the case of Dirichlet–Neumann boundary conditions

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^\alpha u + \Delta^2 u = 0 & \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega, \\ u = \partial_\nu u = 0 & \text{on } (0, T) \times \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

with $\alpha \in (1, 2)$. This study needs a new setting of the spaces and also a trick in order to apply the multiplier method without using the integration by parts.

2. A generalization of our research is dealing with polyharmonic operator Δ^{2m} of order $2m$ with boundary conditions

$$u = \Delta u = \dots = \Delta^{2m-1}u = 0.$$

The corresponding problem is

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^\alpha u + \Delta^{2m}u = 0 & \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega, \\ u = \Delta u = \dots = \Delta^{2m-1}u = 0 & \text{on } (0, T) \times \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

To carry out this study, first one has to understand in which spaces the solution u lives, and then to analyze how the methods used in this paper may be applied.

References

- [1] H. Brezis, *Analyse fonctionnelle. Théorie et applications*. Collection Mathématiques Appliquées pour la Maîtrise. Masson, Paris, 1983.
- [2] R. Gorenflo, Y. Luchko, M. Yamamoto, *Time-fractional diffusion equation in the fractional Sobolev spaces* Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal. 18 (2015), no. 3, 799–820.
- [3] V. Komornik, *Exact controllability and stabilization. The multiplier method*, RAM: Research in Applied Mathematics, Masson, Paris; John Wiley and Sons, Ltd., Chichester, 1994.
- [4] I. Lasiecka, R. Triggiani, *Regularity of hyperbolic equations under $L_2(0, T; L_2(\Gamma))$ boundary terms*, Appl. Math. Optim. 10 (1983), 275–286.
- [5] J.-L. Lions, *Hidden regularity in some nonlinear hyperbolic equations* Mat. Apl. Comput. 6 (1987), 7–15.
- [6] J.-L. Lions, *Contrôlabilité exacte, perturbations et stabilisation de systèmes distribués I-II*, Recherches en Mathématiques Appliquées, 8-9 (1988), Masson, Paris.
- [7] J.-L. Lions, *Exact controllability, stabilization and perturbations for distributed systems*, SIAM Rev. 30 (1988), no. 1, 1–68.
- [8] P. Loreti, D. Sforza, *Fractional diffusion-wave equation: hidden regularity for weak solutions*, Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal., vol. 24, no. 4, 2021, 1015–1034. <https://doi.org/10.1515/fca-2021-0044>
- [9] P. Loreti, D. Sforza, *Weak solutions for time-fractional evolution equations in Hilbert spaces*, arXiv:2106.07416.
- [10] A. Lunardi, *Interpolation theory*. Third edition [of MR2523200]. Appunti. Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa (Nuova Serie) [Lecture Notes. Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa (New Series)], 16. Edizioni della Normale, Pisa, 2018. xiv+199 pp.
- [11] F. Mainardi, R. Gorenflo, *Time-fractional derivatives in relaxation processes: a tutorial survey* Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal. 10 (2007), no. 3, 269–308.
- [12] M. Milla Miranda, L. A. Medeiros, *Hidden regularity for semilinear hyperbolic partial differential equations*, Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. (5) 9 (1988), 103–120.

- [13] S. A. Nazarov, G. Sweers, A hinged plate equation and iterated Dirichlet Laplace operator on domains with concave corners, *J. Differential Equations* 233 (2007), no. 1, 151–180.
- [14] A. Pazy, *Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.
- [15] I. Podlubny, *Fractional Differential Equations*, Academic Press, San Diego, 1999.
- [16] K. Sakamoto, M. Yamamoto, *Initial value/boundary value problems for fractional diffusion-wave equations and applications to some inverse problems*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 382 (1) (2011) 426–447.
- [17] M. Stynes, Too much regularity may force too much uniqueness. *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.* **19**, No 6 (2016), 1554–1562; DOI: 10.1515/fca-2016-0080.