

# (1+)-COMPLEMENTED, (1+)-ISOMORPHIC COPIES OF $L_1$ IN DUAL BANACH SPACES

DONGYANG CHEN, TOMASZ KANIA, AND YINGBIN RUAN

**ABSTRACT.** The present paper contributes to the ongoing programme of quantification of isomorphic Banach space theory focusing on the Hagler–Stegall characterisation of dual spaces containing complemented copies of  $L_1$ . As a corollary, we obtain the following quantitative version of the Hagler–Stegall theorem asserting that for a Banach space  $X$  the following statements are equivalent:

- $X$  contains almost isometric copies of  $(\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \ell_{\infty}^n)_{\ell_1}$ ,
- for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $X^*$  contains a  $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -complemented,  $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -isomorphic copy of  $L_1$ ,
- for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $X^*$  contains a  $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -complemented,  $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -isomorphic copy of  $C[0, 1]^*$ .

Moreover, if  $X$  is separable, one may add the following assertion:

- for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a  $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -quotient map  $T: X \rightarrow C(\Delta)$  so that  $T^*[C(\Delta)^*]$  is  $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -complemented in  $X^*$ ,

where  $\Delta$  is the Cantor set.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In 1968, Pełczyński [17] showed that if a Banach space  $X$  contains an isomorphic copy of  $\ell_1$ , then the dual space  $X^*$  contains an isomorphic copy of  $L_1$  and proved that the converse holds as well subject to a mild technical condition that was later removed by Hagler [7]. More precisely, the result stated that the isomorphic containment of  $\ell_1$  is equivalent to the following assertions:  $X^*$  contains a subspace isomorphic to  $L_1$ ,  $X^*$  contains a subspace isomorphic to  $C[0, 1]^*$ . When  $X$  is separable, these are further equivalent to the assertions:  $X^*$  contains a subspace isomorphic to  $\ell_1([0, 1])$ , and  $C[0, 1]$  is a quotient of  $X$ .

Shortly after, Hagler and Stegall [8] obtained a ‘complemented’ version of the aforementioned Pełczyński’s classical work:

**Theorem (Hagler–Stegall).** Let  $X$  be a Banach space. Then the following assertions are equivalent:

- (1)  $X$  contains a subspace isomorphic to  $(\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \ell_{\infty}^n)_{\ell_1}$ ;
- (2)  $X^*$  contains a complemented subspace isomorphic to  $L_1$ ;
- (3)  $X^*$  contains a complemented subspace isomorphic to  $C[0, 1]^*$ ;

---

*Date:* March 30, 2022.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46B15 (primary), 46C05 (secondary).

*Key words and phrases.* Isomorphic copies of  $L_1$ ; Complemented subspaces; Quotient maps; Banach spaces.

- (4)  $X^*$  contains an infinite set  $K$  such that  $K$  is equivalent to the usual basis of  $\ell_1(\Gamma)$  for some  $\Gamma$ ,  $[K]$  is complemented in  $X^*$ , and  $K$  is dense in itself in the weak\* topology on  $X^*$ ;

If, in addition,  $X$  is separable, then the assertions (1)–(4) are equivalent to

- (5) There exists a surjective operator  $T: X \rightarrow C[0, 1]$  such that  $T^*[C[0, 1]^*]$  is complemented in  $X^*$ .

The purpose of this note is to quantify the Hagler–Stegall Theorem in the spirit of a large number of recent results on quantitative versions of various theorems on and properties of Banach spaces, such as, quantitative versions of Krein’s theorem [6], Eberlein–Šmul’yan and Gantmacher theorems [2], James’ compactness theorem [5], weak sequential continuity and the Schur property [11, 12], the (reciprocal) Dunford–Pettis property [13, 10], the Banach–Saks property [3], etc. More broadly speaking, the present paper contributes to the on-going programme of quantification of Banach space theory.

In the present paper, we quantify the Hagler–Stegall theorem by introducing the following three quantities denoted by lower-case Greek letters and defined as infima of certain sets (when the sets happen to be empty, we use the convention that the corresponding value is  $\infty$ ).

Hereinafter  $X$  and  $Y$  will stand for Banach spaces;  $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$  is the space of (bounded, linear) operators from  $X$  to  $Y$ . We then introduce the following quantities.

- $\alpha_Y(X) = \inf\{d(Y, Z): Z \text{ is a subspace of } X\}$ , where  $d(Y, Z)$  is the Banach–Mazur distance between  $Y$  and  $Z$ .

The quantity  $\alpha_Y(X)$ , being directly related to the Banach–Mazur distance, measures how well  $Y$  is from being isomorphically embeddable into  $X$ . Obviously,  $\alpha_Y(X) = 1$  if and only if  $X$  contains almost isometric copies of  $Y$ , that is, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $X$  contains a subspace  $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -isomorphic to  $Y$ .

- $\beta_Y(X) = \inf\{\|A\|\|B\|: A \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y), B \in \mathcal{B}(Y, X), AB = I_Y\}$ .

The quantity  $\beta_Y(X)$  measures how well  $Y$  is from being isomorphic to a complemented subspace of  $X$ . It is easy to see that  $\beta_Y(X) = 1$  if and only if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a subspace  $M$  of  $X$  so that  $M$  is  $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -isomorphic to  $Y$  and  $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -complemented in  $X$ .

- $\theta_Y(X) = \inf\{\|A\|\|S\|: A \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y), S \in \mathcal{B}(X^*, Y^*), SA^* = I_{Y^*}\}$ .

The quantity  $\theta_Y(X)$  measures how well  $Y$  is isomorphic to a quotient of  $X$  and its dual  $Y^*$  is isomorphic to a complemented subspace of  $X^*$ . We see that  $\theta_Y(X) = 1$  if and only if, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a  $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -quotient map  $T: X \rightarrow Y$  so that  $T^*[Y^*]$  is  $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -complemented in  $X^*$ .

A straightforward argument shows that

$$(1.1) \quad \beta_{Y^*}(X^*) \leq \theta_Y(X) \leq \beta_Y(X).$$

By using the aforementioned three quantities, we quantify the Hagler–Stegall theorem as follows.

**Theorem A.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space. Then*

$$\alpha_{(\oplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \ell_{\infty}^n)_{l_1}}(X) = \beta_{C[0,1]^*}(X^*) = \beta_{L_1}(X^*).$$

*If, in addition,  $X$  is separable, then*

$$\theta_{C(\Delta)}(X) = \beta_{L_1}(X^*).$$

The following  $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -version of the Hagler–Stegall theorem follows from Theorem A.

**Corollary 1.1.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space. Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $X$  contains almost isometric copies of  $(\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \ell_{\infty}^n)_{l_1}$ ;
- (2)  $X^*$  contains a  $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -complemented subspace that is  $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -isomorphic to  $L_1$  for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ;
- (3)  $X^*$  contains a  $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -complemented subspace that is  $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -isomorphic to  $C[0, 1]^*$  for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

*If, in addition,  $X$  is separable, then*

- (4) *For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a  $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -quotient map  $T: X \rightarrow C(\Delta)$  so that  $T^*[C(\Delta)^*]$  is  $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -complemented in  $X^*$ .*

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Our notation and terminology are standard and mostly in-line with [1, 16]. Throughout the paper, all Banach spaces can be considered either real or complex. We work with real scalars but the results can be easily amended to the complex too. By a *subspace* we understand a closed, linear subspace and by an *operator* we understand a bounded, linear map. If  $X$  is a Banach space, we denote by  $B_X$  the closed unit ball of  $X$ , by  $I_X$  the identity operator on  $X$  and, for a subset  $K \subseteq X$ ,  $[K]$  for the closed linear span of  $K$ . For  $\lambda \geq 1$ , we say that a surjective operator  $T: X \rightarrow Y$  is a  $\lambda$ -*quotient map* if  $\|T\| \operatorname{co}(T) \leq \lambda$ . *Quotient maps* are 1-quotient maps according to the above terminology. A norm-one surjective operator  $T: X \rightarrow Y$  is a quotient map if and only if  $T$  is a  $(1+)$ -quotient map, that is, a  $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -quotient map for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

The *Banach–Mazur distance*  $d(X, Y)$  between two isomorphic Banach spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  is defined by  $\inf \|T\| \|T^{-1}\|$ , where the infimum is taken over all isomorphisms  $T$  from  $X$  onto  $Y$ . As defined by Lindenstrauss and Rosenthal [15], for  $\lambda \geq 1$ , a Banach space  $X$  is said to be a  $\mathcal{L}_{1,\lambda}$ -*space* whenever for every finite-dimensional subspace  $E$  of  $X$  there

is a finite-dimensional subspace  $F$  of  $X$  such that  $F \supseteq E$  and  $d(F, l_1^{\dim F}) \leq \lambda$ . We say that a Banach space  $X$  is an  $\mathcal{L}_{1,\lambda}$ -space if it is an  $\mathcal{L}_{1,\lambda+\varepsilon}$ -space for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

Following the notation from [8], we denote

$$\mathcal{F} = \{(n, i) : n = 0, 1, \dots, i = 0, 1, \dots, 2^n - 1\}$$

and, for  $(n, i), (m, j) \in \mathcal{F}$  we write  $(n, i) \geq (m, j)$  whenever

- $n \geq m$ ,
- $2^{n-m}j \leq i \leq 2^{n-m}(j+1) - 1$ .

Let  $\Delta = \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  be the Cantor set endowed with the metric

$$d((a_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}, (b_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} |a_n - b_n| \quad ((a_n)_n, (b_n)_n \in \Delta).$$

By Miljutin's Theorem ([1, Lemma 4.4.7]),  $C[0, 1]$  is isomorphic (but not isometric) to  $C(\Delta)$ . It is well-known that  $C(\Delta)^*$  and  $C[0, 1]^*$  are linearly isometric, though.

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREM A

The present section is devoted to the proof of Theorem A and is conveniently split into more digestible parts.

*Proof of Theorem A.* We split the proof into a number of steps.

Step 1.  $\beta_{C(\Delta)^*}(X^*) \leq \alpha_{(\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \ell_{\infty}^n)_{\ell_1}}(X)$ .

Since  $Z = (\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \ell_{\infty}^{2^n})_{\ell_1}$  embeds isometrically into  $(\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \ell_{\infty}^n)_{\ell_1}$ , it suffices to prove that  $\alpha_Z(X) \geq \beta_{C(\Delta)^*}(X^*)$ . For this, let us fix  $c > \alpha_Z(X)$ . Then there exists a contractive operator  $R: Z \rightarrow X$  that is bounded below by  $1/c$ .

Let us consider a double-indexed family  $(\Delta_{n,i})_{n=0, i=0}^{\infty, 2^n-1}$  of clopen subsets of the Cantor set such that

- (1)  $\Delta_{0,0} = \Delta$ ,  $\Delta_{n,i} = \Delta_{n+1,2i} \cup \Delta_{n+1,2i+1}$  ( $(n, i) \in \mathcal{F}$ ) and  $\Delta_{n,i} \cap \Delta_{n,j} = \emptyset$  if  $i \neq j$ ;
- (2) the diameter of  $\Delta_{n,i}$  is  $1/2^n$  ( $0 \leq i \leq 2^n - 1$ ).

We set  $g_{n,i} = \mathbb{1}_{\Delta_{n,i}}$ , which is a continuous function,  $[g_{n,i}]_{i=0}^{2^n-1} \subseteq [g_{n+1,i}]_{i=0}^{2^{n+1}-1}$ ,  $(g_{n,i})_{i=0}^{2^n-1}$  is isometrically equivalent to the unit vector basis of  $\ell_{\infty}^{2^n}$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) and  $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} [g_{n,i}]_{i=0}^{2^n-1}$  is dense in  $C(\Delta)$ . We may then define an operator  $T: Z \rightarrow C(\Delta)$  by the assignment  $Te_{n,i} = g_{n,i}$ . For each  $n$ ,  $T$  is an isometry when restricted to  $[e_{n,i} : 0 \leq i \leq 2^n - 1]$ . Clearly,  $\|T\| = 1$ .

*Claim 1.* If  $W$  is a finite-dimensional Banach space and  $S: W \rightarrow C(\Delta)$  is an operator, then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists an operator  $\widehat{S}: W \rightarrow Z$  so that  $\|\widehat{S}\| \leq (1 + \varepsilon)\|S\|$  and  $\|S - T\widehat{S}\| \leq \varepsilon$ .

*Proof of Claim 1.* Let us fix an Auerbach basis  $(w_k, w_k^*)_{k=1}^N$  for  $W$  ( $\dim W = N$ ). So if  $w = \sum_{k=1}^N a_k w_k \in W$ , then for each  $1 \leq j \leq N$ , we get

$$|a_j| \leq |\langle w_j^*, \sum_{k=1}^N a_k w_k \rangle| \leq \|w_j^*\| \|w\| = \|w\|.$$

It follows that  $\sum_{k=1}^N |a_k| \leq N \|w\|$ . Let  $\delta > 0$  be such that  $\delta N \leq \varepsilon \|S\|$  and  $\delta N \leq \varepsilon$ . Then, there exist a  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $(f_k)_{k=1}^N$  in  $[g_{n,i}]_{i=0}^{2^n-1}$  so that  $\|S w_k - f_k\| < \delta$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots, N$ ). Let us write  $f_k = \sum_{i=0}^{2^n-1} t_{k,i} g_{n,i}$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots, N$ ).

Define an operator  $\widehat{S}: W \rightarrow Z$  by

$$\widehat{S} w_k = \sum_{i=0}^{2^n-1} t_{k,i} e_{n,i}.$$

We claim that  $\|\widehat{S}\| \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \|S\|$  and  $\|S - T\widehat{S}\| \leq \varepsilon$ . Indeed, for  $w = \sum_{k=1}^N a_k w_k \in W$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\widehat{S} w\| &= \left\| \sum_{k=1}^N a_k \widehat{S} w_k \right\| = \left\| \sum_{k=1}^N a_k T \widehat{S} w_k \right\| \\ &= \left\| \sum_{k=1}^N a_k f_k \right\| \leq \left\| \sum_{k=1}^N a_k (f_k - S w_k) \right\| + \left\| \sum_{k=1}^N a_k S w_k \right\| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^N |a_k| \|f_k - S w_k\| + \|S\| \|w\| \\ &\leq N \|w\| \delta + \|S\| \|w\| \\ &\leq (1 + \varepsilon) \|S\| \|w\|. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|S w - T \widehat{S} w\| &= \left\| \sum_{k=1}^N a_k (S w_k - \sum_{i=0}^{2^n-1} t_{k,i} g_{n,i}) \right\| \\ &= \left\| \sum_{k=1}^N a_k (S w_k - f_k) \right\| \\ &\leq \delta N \|w\| \\ &\leq \varepsilon \|w\|. \end{aligned}$$

□

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Since  $C(\Delta)$  has the metric approximation property, there exists a net  $(T_\alpha)_\alpha$  of finite-rank operators on  $C(\Delta)$  such that

- $\limsup_\alpha \|T_\alpha\| \leq 1 + \varepsilon$ ,
- $\dim T_\alpha(C(\Delta)) \rightarrow \infty$ ,
- $T_\alpha \rightarrow I_{C(\Delta)}$  strongly.

For each  $\alpha$ , we may apply Claim 1 to the inclusion map  $I_\alpha: T_\alpha[C(\Delta)] \rightarrow C(\Delta)$  in order to get an operator  $\widehat{I}_\alpha: T_\alpha[C(\Delta)] \rightarrow Z$  such that

- $\|\widehat{I}_\alpha\| \leq 1 + \varepsilon$ ,
- $\|I_\alpha - T\widehat{I}_\alpha\| \leq (1 + \dim T_\alpha[C(\Delta)])^{-2}$ .

Hence, for  $f \in C(\Delta)$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|T\widehat{I}_\alpha T_\alpha f - f\| &\leq \|T\widehat{I}_\alpha T_\alpha f - I_\alpha T_\alpha f\| + \|T_\alpha f - f\| \\ &\leq \|T\widehat{I}_\alpha - I_\alpha\| \|T_\alpha\| \|f\| + \|T_\alpha f - f\| \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $S$  be a  $\sigma(\mathcal{B}(Z^*, C(\Delta)^*), Z^* \widehat{\otimes}_\pi C(\Delta))$ -cluster point of the net  $((\widehat{I}_\alpha T_\alpha)^*)_\alpha$ . We show that  $ST^* = I_{C(\Delta)^*}$ . Indeed, we choose a subnet  $((\widehat{I}_{\alpha'} T_{\alpha'})^*)_{\alpha'}$  of  $((\widehat{I}_\alpha T_\alpha)^*)_\alpha$  so that  $(\widehat{I}_{\alpha'} T_{\alpha'})^* \rightarrow S$

in  $\sigma(\mathcal{B}(Z^*, C(\Delta)^*), Z^* \widehat{\otimes}_\pi C(\Delta))$ -topology. Then, for  $f \in C(\Delta)$  and  $\mu \in C(\Delta)^*$ , we get  $\langle (\widehat{I_{\alpha'}} T_{\alpha'})^* T^* \mu, f \rangle \rightarrow \langle ST^* \mu, f \rangle$ . On the other hand, we have

$$\langle (\widehat{I_{\alpha'}} T_{\alpha'})^* T^* \mu, f \rangle = \langle \mu, T I_{\alpha'} T_{\alpha'} f \rangle \rightarrow \langle \mu, f \rangle.$$

Therefore,  $\langle ST^* \mu, f \rangle = \langle \mu, f \rangle$ .

*Claim 2.* There exists an operator  $\widetilde{T}: C(\Delta)^* \rightarrow X^*$  so that  $R^* \widetilde{T} = T^*$  and  $\|\widetilde{T}\| \leq c(1 + \varepsilon)$ .

The proof of the claim is a variation of the Lindenstrauss' compactness argument (see [9, Proposition 1] and [14, Lemma 2]). Since some amendments are required, we present the full reasoning.

*Proof of Claim 2.* We use the fact that  $C(\Delta)^*$  is isometric to  $L_1(\mu)$  for some infinite measure  $\mu$ , and as such, it is a  $\mathcal{L}_{1,1+}$ -space. Let  $\Lambda$  be the collection of all finite-dimensional subspaces of  $C(\Delta)^*$ . Then, for each  $\gamma \in \Lambda$  there exist  $E_\gamma \in \Lambda$  with  $\gamma \subseteq E_\gamma$  together with an isomorphism  $U_\gamma: \ell_1^{\dim E_\gamma} \rightarrow E_\gamma$  so that  $\|U_\gamma\| \|U_\gamma^{-1}\| \leq 1 + \varepsilon$ . Let  $S_\gamma: Z \rightarrow E_\gamma^*$  be an operator such that  $S_\gamma^* = T^*|_{E_\gamma}$  ( $\gamma \in \Lambda$ ). By the 1-injectivity of  $\ell_\infty^{\dim E_\gamma}$ , there is an operator  $R_\gamma: X \rightarrow \ell_\infty^{\dim E_\gamma}$  so that  $R_\gamma R = U_\gamma^* S_\gamma$  and  $\|R_\gamma\| \leq \|U_\gamma^* S_\gamma\| \|R^{-1}\| \leq \|U_\gamma\| \|T\| \|R^{-1}\|$ . Let  $T_\gamma = R_\gamma^* U_\gamma^{-1}: E_\gamma \rightarrow X^*$ . Then  $R^* T_\gamma = T^*|_{E_\gamma}$  and  $\|T_\gamma\| \leq c(1 + \varepsilon) \|T\|$ . For each  $\gamma$ , we define a non-linear, discontinuous function from  $C(\Delta)^*$  to  $X^*$  by

$$\widetilde{T}_\gamma f = \begin{cases} T_\gamma f, & f \in E_\gamma \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then  $(\widetilde{T}_\gamma)_\gamma$  is a net in the compact space

$$\prod_{f \in C(\Delta)^*} c(1 + \varepsilon) \|T\| \|f\| B_{X^*}.$$

and as such, it has a cluster point  $\widetilde{T}$ . Standard arguments show that  $\widetilde{T}$  is linear,  $R^* \widetilde{T} = T^*$  and  $\|\widetilde{T}\| \leq c(1 + \varepsilon) \|T\| = c(1 + \varepsilon)$ .  $\square$

Finally, we get  $SR^* \widetilde{T} = ST^* = I_{C(\Delta)^*}$  and hence

$$\beta_{C(\Delta)^*}(X^*) \leq \|\widetilde{T}\| \|SR^*\| \leq c(1 + \varepsilon)^3.$$

Letting  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , we get  $\beta_{C(\Delta)^*}(X^*) \leq c$ . As  $c$  is arbitrary, we get Step 1.

**Step 2.**  $\beta_{L_1}(X^*) \leq \beta_{C[0,1]^*}(X^*)$ .

It is well known that  $L_1$  is isometric to a 1-complemented subspace of  $C[0, 1]^*$  (see, e.g., [1, p. 85]), which implies Step 2.

**Step 3.**  $\alpha(\bigoplus_{n=1}^\infty \ell_\infty^n)_{\ell_1}(X) \leq \beta_{L_1}(X^*)$ .

Let  $c > \beta_{L_1}(X^*)$ . Then there exist operators  $A: L_1 \rightarrow X^*, B: X^* \rightarrow L_1$  so that  $BA = I_{L_1}, \|A\| = 1$  and  $\|B\| < c$ . Let  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$  and  $\varepsilon_n = \varepsilon/2^{2n+3}$  ( $n = 0, 1, \dots$ ).

By [8, Lemma 3], we get  $(f_{n,i})_{(n,i) \in \mathcal{F}}$  in  $L_\infty$  and  $(x_{n,i})_{(n,i) \in \mathcal{F}}$  in  $X$  satisfying

$$(1) \|f_{n,i}\|_1 = 1 \text{ and } f_{n,i} \geq 0 \text{ everywhere for all } (n, i) \in \mathcal{F};$$

(2) For each  $n$  and  $i \neq j$ ,  $f_{n,i}(t)$  and  $f_{n,j}(t)$  cannot be both non-zero for the same  $t \in [0, 1]$ ;

(3)

$$\langle Af_{n,i}, x_{m,j} \rangle = \begin{cases} 1, & (n, i) \geq (m, j), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

(4)  $\max_{0 \leq i \leq 2^n - 1} |t_i| \leq \|\sum_{i=0}^{2^n - 1} t_i x_{n,i}\| \leq c(1 + \varepsilon_n) \max_{0 \leq i \leq 2^n - 1} |t_i|$   
 $(n = 0, 1, \dots; t_0, \dots, t_{2^n - 1} \in \mathbb{R})$ .

We may now define recursively a sequence  $(W_{n,i})_{(n,i) \in \mathcal{F}}$  of non-empty weak\*-closed subsets of  $B_{X^*}$  as follows:

- $W_{0,0} = \{x^* \in B_{X^*} : |\langle x^*, x_{0,0} \rangle - 1| \leq \varepsilon_0\}$ ,
- $W_{1,0} = W_{0,0} \cap \{x^* \in B_{X^*} : |\langle x^*, x_{1,0} \rangle - 1| \leq \varepsilon_1, |\langle x^*, x_{1,1} \rangle| \leq \varepsilon_1\}$ ,
- $W_{1,1} = W_{0,0} \cap \{x^* \in B_{X^*} : |\langle x^*, x_{1,1} \rangle - 1| \leq \varepsilon_1, |\langle x^*, x_{1,0} \rangle| \leq \varepsilon_1\}$ ,
- $W_{2,0} = W_{1,0} \cap \{x^* \in B_{X^*} : |\langle x^*, x_{2,0} \rangle - 1| \leq \varepsilon_2, |\langle x^*, x_{2,j} \rangle| \leq \varepsilon_2, j = 1, 2, 3\}$ ,
- $W_{2,1} = W_{1,0} \cap \{x^* \in B_{X^*} : |\langle x^*, x_{2,1} \rangle - 1| \leq \varepsilon_2, |\langle x^*, x_{2,j} \rangle| \leq \varepsilon_2, j = 0, 2, 3\}$ ,
- $W_{2,2} = W_{1,1} \cap \{x^* \in B_{X^*} : |\langle x^*, x_{2,2} \rangle - 1| \leq \varepsilon_2, |\langle x^*, x_{2,j} \rangle| \leq \varepsilon_2, j = 0, 1, 3\}$ ,
- $W_{2,3} = W_{1,1} \cap \{x^* \in B_{X^*} : |\langle x^*, x_{2,3} \rangle - 1| \leq \varepsilon_2, |\langle x^*, x_{2,j} \rangle| \leq \varepsilon_2, j = 0, 1, 2\}$ ,

and so on. By (3), each  $W_{n,i}$  is non-empty. By the choice of  $\varepsilon_n$ , the sets  $W_{n,i}, W_{n,j}$  are disjoint as long as  $i \neq j$ . Let

$$K = \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \bigcup_{i=0}^{2^n - 1} W_{n,i} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad K_{n,i} = W_{n,i} \cap K \quad ((n, i) \in \mathcal{F}).$$

By (3),  $Af_{n,i} \in W_{m,j}$  if  $(n, i) \geq (m, j)$ , which implies that each  $K_{n,i}$  is non-empty. By the construction of the sequence  $(W_{n,i})$ , we see that  $K_{0,0} = K$ ,  $K_{n+1,2i} \cup K_{n+1,2i+1} = K_{n,i}$  and  $K_{n,i} \cap K_{n,j} = \emptyset$  if  $i \neq j$ .

Let us define an operator  $T: X \rightarrow C(K)$  by  $\langle Tx, x^* \rangle = \langle x^*, x \rangle$  ( $x \in X, x^* \in K$ ). Then  $|\langle Tx_{n,i}, x^* \rangle - 1| \leq \varepsilon_n$  if  $x^* \in K_{n,i}$ , and  $|\langle Tx_{n,i}, x^* \rangle| \leq \varepsilon_n$  if  $x^* \in \bigcup_{j \neq i} K_{n,j}$ . Set  $g_{n,i} = \mathbb{1}_{K_{n,i}}$ , which is continuous as  $K_{n,i}$  is clopen. Then  $\|Tx_{n,i} - g_{n,i}\| \leq \varepsilon_n$ . Moreover,  $[g_{n,i}]_{i=0}^{2^n - 1} \subseteq [g_{n+1,i}]_{i=0}^{2^{n+1} - 1}$ ,  $(g_{n,i})_{i=0}^{2^n - 1}$  is isometrically equivalent to the unit vector basis of  $\ell_\infty^{2^n}$  for all  $n$ , and

$$[g_{n,i} : (n, i) \in \mathcal{F}] = \overline{\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} [g_{n,i}]_{i=0}^{2^n - 1}}$$

is isometric to  $C(\Delta)$ . Let  $Z$  be a subspace of  $C(\Delta)$  isometric to  $(\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \ell_\infty^n)_{\ell_1}$  and let  $(z_{n,j})_{n=1, j=0}^{\infty, n-1}$  be a basis of  $Z$  isometrically equivalent to the unit vector basis of  $(\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \ell_\infty^n)_{\ell_1}$ . Fix  $n \geq 1$ . Then there exist  $m > n$  and unit vectors  $h_{n,j} \in [g_{m,i}]_{i=0}^{2^m - 1}$  so that  $\|z_{n,j} - h_{n,j}\| \leq \varepsilon/2^{n+3}$  ( $j = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ ). We write  $h_{n,j} = \sum_{i=0}^{2^m - 1} a_{i,j} g_{m,i}$  and define  $y_{n,j} = \sum_{i=0}^{2^m - 1} a_{i,j} x_{m,i} \in X$ .

*Claim 2a.* For all  $(t_{n,j})_{n=1,j=0}^{\infty,n-1} \in (\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \ell_{\infty}^n)_{\ell_1}$  we have

$$(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \max_{0 \leq j \leq n-1} |t_{n,j}| \leq \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} t_{n,j} y_{n,j} \right\| \leq c(1 + \varepsilon)^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \max_{0 \leq j \leq n-1} |t_{n,j}|.$$

Indeed, by (4) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} t_{n,j} y_{n,j} \right\| &= \left\| \sum_{i=0}^{2^m-1} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_{i,j} t_{n,j} \right) x_{m,i} \right\| \\ &\leq c(1 + \varepsilon_m) \max_{0 \leq i \leq 2^m-1} \left| \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_{i,j} t_{n,j} \right| \\ &= c(1 + \varepsilon_m) \left\| \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} t_{n,j} h_{n,j} \right\| \\ &\leq c(1 + \varepsilon_m) \left( \left\| \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} t_{n,j} z_{n,j} \right\| + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} t_{n,j} (h_{n,j} - z_{n,j}) \right) \\ &\leq c(1 + \varepsilon_m) \left( \max_{0 \leq j \leq n-1} |t_{n,j}| + n\varepsilon/2^{n+3} \max_{0 \leq j \leq n-1} |t_{n,j}| \right) \\ &\leq c(1 + \varepsilon)^2 \max_{0 \leq j \leq n-1} |t_{n,j}|. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} t_{n,j} y_{n,j} \right\| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\| \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} t_{n,j} y_{n,j} \right\| \leq c(1 + \varepsilon)^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \max_{0 \leq j \leq n-1} |t_{n,j}|.$$

On the other hand, by the choice of  $m$  and  $h_{n,j}$ , we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \|Ty_{n,j} - z_{n,j}\| &\leq \|Ty_{n,j} - h_{n,j}\| + \|h_{n,j} - z_{n,j}\| \\ &= \left\| \sum_{i=0}^{2^m-1} a_{i,j} (Tx_{m,i} - g_{m,i}) \right\| + \varepsilon/2^{n+3} \\ &\leq \varepsilon_m 2^m \max_{0 \leq i \leq 2^m-1} |a_{i,j}| + \varepsilon/2^{n+3} \\ &\leq \varepsilon/2^{n+3} + \varepsilon/2^{n+3} = \varepsilon/2^{n+2}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} t_{n,j} y_{n,j} \right\| &\geq \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} t_{n,j} Ty_{n,j} \right\| \\ &\geq \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} t_{n,j} z_{n,j} \right\| - \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} t_{n,j} (Ty_{n,j} - z_{n,j}) \right\| \\ &\geq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \max_{0 \leq j \leq n-1} |t_{n,j}| - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \max_{0 \leq j \leq n-1} |t_{n,j}| \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{n+2}} \\ &\geq (1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \max_{0 \leq j \leq n-1} |t_{n,j}|. \end{aligned}$$



Finally, by Claim, we get

$$\alpha_{(\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \ell_{\infty}^n)_{\ell_1}}(X) \leq c(1 + \varepsilon)^2 / (1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}).$$

Letting  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  yields  $\alpha_{(\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \ell_{\infty}^n)_{\ell_1}}(X) \leq c$ ; since  $c$  was arbitrary the proof of Step 3 is complete.

Step 4.  $\beta_{L_1}(X^*) \leq \theta_{C(\Delta)}(X)$ .

This step follows from (1.1) together with Step 2. We are now ready to establish the final step of the proof.

Step 5. Suppose that  $X$  is separable. Then  $\theta_{C(\Delta)}(X) \leq \beta_{L_1}(X^*)$ .

Let  $c > \beta_{L_1}(X^*)$ . Then there exist operators  $A: L_1 \rightarrow X^*, B: X^* \rightarrow L_1$  so that  $BA = I_{L_1}, \|A\| = 1$ , and  $\|B\| < c$ .

Let  $(f_{n,i})_{(n,i) \in \mathcal{F}}$  be a family of functions in  $L_{\infty}$ ,  $(x_{n,i})_{(n,i) \in \mathcal{F}}$  in  $X$ , and  $(W_{n,i})_{(n,i) \in \mathcal{F}}$  associated to  $\varepsilon_n = 1/2^{2n+2}$  ( $n = 0, 1, \dots$ ) as described in Step 3. Since  $X$  is separable, we may assume that the  $d$ -diameter of  $W_{n,i} \leq 2^{-n}$  for each  $i$ , where  $d$  is a metric giving the relative  $\sigma(X^*, X)$ -topology on  $B_{X^*}$ . Let

$$K = \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \bigcup_{i=0}^{2^n-1} W_{n,i} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad K_{n,i} = W_{n,i} \cap K \quad ((n,i) \in \mathcal{F}).$$

Then  $K$  is a compact, totally disconnected metric space without isolated points, hence homeomorphic to  $\Delta$ . Moreover,  $K_{0,0} = K, K_{n+1,2i} \cup K_{n+1,2i+1} = K_{n,i}$  and  $K_{n,i} \cap K_{n,j} = \emptyset$  if  $i \neq j$ . Hence  $K = \bigcup_{i=0}^{2^n-1} K_{n,i}$  for all  $n$ . As seen in Step 3, the operator  $T: X \rightarrow C(K)$ , defined by  $\langle Tx, x^* \rangle = \langle x^*, x \rangle$  ( $x \in X, x^* \in K$ ), satisfies  $\|Tx_{n,i} - g_{n,i}\| \leq \varepsilon_n$ , where  $g_{n,i} = \mathbb{1}_{K_{n,i}} \in C(K)$ .

An argument analogous to Step 1 yields that, if  $W$  is a finite-dimensional Banach space and  $S: W \rightarrow C(K)$  is an operator, then, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists an operator  $\widehat{S}: W \rightarrow X$  so that  $\|\widehat{S}\| \leq c(1 + \varepsilon)\|S\|$  and  $\|S - T\widehat{S}\| \leq \varepsilon$ .

Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$ . By an argument analogous to the one from Step 1, we get an operator  $S: X^* \rightarrow C(K)^*$  with  $\|S\| \leq c(1 + \varepsilon)^2$  so that  $ST^* = I_{C(K)^*}$ . This means that

$$\theta_{C(\Delta)}(X) = \theta_{C(K)}(X) \leq c(1 + \varepsilon)^2.$$

Letting  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , we arrive at  $\theta_{C(\Delta)}(X) \leq c$ . As  $c$  is arbitrary, the proof is complete.  $\square$

**Acknowledgements.** The authors would like to thank Prof. W. B. Johnson for helpful discussions and comments. The first-named author was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No.11971403) and the Natural Science Foundation of Fujian Province of China (Grant No. 2019J01024). The second-named author acknowledges with thanks funding received from SONATA 15 No. 2019/35/D/ST1/01734.

## REFERENCES

- [1] F. Albiac and N. J. Kalton, *Topics in Banach space theory*, Springer, 2005.
- [2] C. Angosto and B. Cascales, *Measures of weak non-compactness in Banach spaces*, *Topology Appl.* **156**(2009), 1412-1421.
- [3] H. Bendová, O. F. K. Kalenda and J. Spurný, *Quantification of the Banach-Saks property*, *J. Funct. Anal.* **268**(2015), 1733-1754.
- [4] P. G. Casazza, *Approximation properties*, Handbook of the geometry of Banach spaces, Vol.1, W. B. Johnson and J. Lindenstrauss, eds, Elsevier, Amsterdam (2001), 271–316.
- [5] B. Cascales, O. F. K. Kalenda and J. Spurný, *A quantitative version of James' compactness theorem*, *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* **55**(2012), 369-386.
- [6] M. Fabian, P. Hájek, V. Montesinos and V. Zizler, *A quantitative version of Krein's theorem*, *Rev. Mat. Iberoamer.* **21**(2005), 237-248.
- [7] J. Hagler, *Some more Banach spaces which contain  $l^1$* , *Studia Math.* **46** (1973), 35–42.
- [8] J. Hagler and C. Stegall, *Banach spaces whose duals contain complemented subspaces isomorphic to  $C[0, 1]^*$* , *J. Funct. Anal.* **13** (1973), 233–251.
- [9] W. B. Johnson, *A complementary universal conjugate Banach space and its relation to the approximation problem*, *Israel J. Math.* **13** (3-4) (1972), 301–310.
- [10] M. Kačena, O. F. K. Kalenda, and J. Spurný, *Quantitative Dunford–Pettis property*, *Adv. Math.* **234** (2013), 488–527.
- [11] O. F. K. Kalenda, H. Pfitzner, and J. Spurný, *On quantification of weak sequential completeness*, *J. Funct. Anal.* **260** (2011), 2986–2996.
- [12] O. F. K. Kalenda and J. Spurný, *On a difference between quantitative weak sequential completeness and the quantitative Schur property*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **140**(2012), 3435-3444.
- [13] O. F. K. Kalenda and J. Spurný, *Quantification of the reciprocal Dunford–Pettis property*, *Studia Math.* **210**(2012), 261-278.
- [14] J. Lindenstrauss, *On nonseparable reflexive Banach spaces*, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **72** (1966), 967–970.
- [15] J. Lindenstrauss and H. P. Rosenthal, *The  $\mathcal{L}_p$  spaces*, *Israel J. Math.* **7** (1969), 325–349.
- [16] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach Spaces I, Sequence Spaces*, Springer, Berlin, 1977.
- [17] A. Pełczyński, *On Banach spaces containing  $L_1(\mu)$* , *Studia Math.* **30** (1968), 231–246.
- [18] C. Stegall, *Banach spaces whose duals contain  $l_1(\Gamma)$  with applications to the study of dual  $L_1(\mu)$  spaces*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **176** (1973), 463–477.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, XIAMEN UNIVERSITY, XIAMEN, 361005, CHINA  
*Email address:* cdy@xmu.edu.cn

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, CZECH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, ŽITNÁ 25, 115 67 PRAHA 1, CZECH REPUBLIC, AND, INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, JAGIELLONIAN UNIVERSITY, ŁOJASIEWICZA 6, 30-348 KRAKÓW, POLAND  
*Email address:* kania@math.cas.cz, tomasz.marcin.kania@gmail.com

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, FUJIAN NORMAL UNIVERSITY, FUZHOU, 350007, CHINA  
*Email address:* yingbinruan@sohu.com