

ON SET-THEORETIC YANG-BAXTER COHOMOLOGY GROUPS OF CYCLIC BIQUANDLES

XIAO WANG AND SEUNG YEOP YANG

ABSTRACT. We determine the Betti numbers for the (degenerate and normalized) set-theoretic Yang-Baxter (co)homology groups of cyclic biquandles and estimate their torsion subgroups. This partially settles the conjecture presented in [24]. We also obtain cocycles which are representatives of the elements of a basis for the free part of the cohomology group of a cyclic biquandle.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Yang-Baxter equation [1, 30] first appeared in the study of theoretical physics, and is closely related to various fields: quantum field theory, the theory of quantum groups, and recently quantum information science. See [3, 6, 14, 15, 28] for further details. The Jones polynomial [8] and the HOMFLY-PT polynomial [5, 23] were introduced as invariants for oriented links. Since it is known that they can be obtained using certain families of solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation [9, 28], the Yang-Baxter equation plays an important role in the study of low-dimensional topology and knot theory.

A homology theory of set-theoretic Yang-Baxter operators was established by J. S. Carter, M. Elhamdadi, and M. Saito [2]. Later, it was generalized for pre-Yang-Baxter operators independently by V. Lebedev [17] and J. H. Przytycki [22]. Biquandles [16], a generalization of quandles, are special cases of set-theoretic Yang-Baxter operators. Since it is known that their cocycles can be used to define invariants of (virtual) knots and links as a state-sum formulation, called *Yang-Baxter cocycle invariants* [2], the homology theory has been modified in various ways to define invariants of knotted objects such as knotted surfaces [13, 19] and handlebody-links [10]. Moreover, one can build homotopical link invariants by using geometric realizations of those homology theories. See [11, 29] for details. The homology of special families of set-theoretic Yang-Baxter operators, known as racks and quandles, has been extensively studied, see [4, 7, 18, 20, 21, 25, 26, 31], but other than for racks and quandles little is known. Therefore, it is important for the study of invariants of knotted objects to determine set-theoretic Yang-Baxter (co)homology groups of biquandles and find explicit formulae of their cocycles.

In this article, we explore the computation of cohomology groups of set-theoretic Yang-Baxter operators, focusing on biquandles. We determine the free parts completely and estimate the torsion parts of the integral set-theoretic Yang-Baxter cohomology groups of finite cyclic biquandles. Further, explicit formulae of cocycles that form a basis for the free part are given. This partially proves the following conjecture:

Conjecture 1.1. [24] For the cyclic biquandle C_m of order m ,

$$H_n^{NYB}(C_m) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}^{(m-1)^{n-1}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_m & \text{if } n \text{ is odd;} \\ \mathbb{Z}^{(m-1)^{n-1}} & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, (co)homology theories for a set-theoretic solution of the Yang-Baxter equation are reviewed. Section 3 contains computations of the set-theoretic Yang-Baxter cohomology groups of finite cyclic biquandles. In Section 3.1, the Betti numbers for the set-theoretic Yang-Baxter (co)homology and degenerate and normalized set-theoretic Yang-Baxter (co)homology of a finite cyclic biquandle are computed. Cocycles that form a basis for the free part

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 20G10, 55N35, 57K18; Secondary: 58H10, 57K12, 55S20.

Key words and phrases. Biquandle, cyclic biquandle, set-theoretic Yang-Baxter cohomology, Betti number, torsion.

of the set-theoretic Yang-Baxter cohomology are investigated in Section 3.2. The torsion part of the set-theoretic Yang-Baxter (co)homology are discussed in Section 3.3.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let X be a set. A function $R : X \times X \rightarrow X \times X$ is a *set-theoretic solution of the Yang-Baxter equation* or a *set-theoretic pre-Yang-Baxter operator* if it satisfies the following equation, called the *set-theoretic Yang-Baxter equation*

$$(R \times \text{Id}_X) \circ (\text{Id}_X \times R) \circ (R \times \text{Id}_X) = (\text{Id}_X \times R) \circ (R \times \text{Id}_X) \circ (\text{Id}_X \times R).$$

The function R is a *set-theoretic Yang-Baxter operator* if it is invertible.




FIGURE 2.1. An illustration of the set-theoretic Yang-Baxter equation

A set-theoretic version of the Yang-Baxter equation can be regarded as a generalization of self-distributivity which corresponds to the third Reidemeister move. There are special families of set-theoretic solutions, known as *biracks* and *biquandles*, that are suitable for constructing invariants of knotted objects. Their precise definitions are as follows:

Definition 2.1. Let R be a set-theoretic Yang-Baxter operator on a given set X , denoted by

$$R(A_1, A_2) = (R_1(A_1, A_2), R_2(A_1, A_2)) = (A_3, A_4),$$

where $A_i \in X$ ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$) and $R_j : X \times X \rightarrow X$ ($j = 1, 2$) are binary operations.

The algebraic structure (X, R_1, R_2) is called a *birack* if it satisfies the following:

- For any $A_1, A_3 \in X$, there exists a unique $A_2 \in X$ such that $R_1(A_1, A_2) = A_3$. In other words, R_1 is *left-invertible*.
- For any $A_2, A_4 \in X$, there exists a unique $A_1 \in X$ such that $R_2(A_1, A_2) = A_4$. In other words, R_2 is *right-invertible*.

A *biquandle* is a birack which also satisfies the following condition:

- For any $A_1 \in X$, there is a unique $A_2 \in X$ such that $R(A_1, A_2) = (A_1, A_2)$.¹

The following are basic examples of biquandles.

Example 2.2. (1) Let C_n be the cyclic group of order n . The map $R : C_n \times C_n \rightarrow C_n \times C_n$ defined by

$$R(a, b) = (R_1(a, b), R_2(a, b)) = (b + 1, a - 1)$$

is a set-theoretic Yang-Baxter operator and, moreover, (C_n, R_1, R_2) forms a biquandle, called the *cyclic biquandle* of order n .

¹It implies that for any $A_2 \in X$, there is a unique $A_1 \in X$ such that $R(A_1, A_2) = (A_1, A_2)$.

- (2) [2] Let k be a commutative ring with unity 1 and with units s and t such that $(1-s)(1-t) = 0$. The function $R : k \times k \rightarrow k \times k$ given by

$$R(x, y) = (R_1(x, y), R_2(x, y)) = ((1-s)x + sy, tx + (1-t)y)$$

is a set-theoretic Yang-Baxter operator, and (k, R_1, R_2) forms a biquandle, called an *Alexander biquandle*.

For example, if we let $k = C_m$ with units s and t such that $m = |(1-s)(1-t)|$, the function R defined as above forms a set-theoretic Yang-Baxter operator and (C_m, R_1, R_2) is a biquandle.

Let us review the cohomology theory for the set-theoretic Yang-Baxter equation based on [2, 29]. Let $R : X \times X \rightarrow X \times X$ be a set-theoretic Yang-Baxter operator on a given set X . For each integer $n > 0$, let $C_n^{YB}(X)$ be the free abelian group generated by the elements of X^n , otherwise we let $C_n^{YB}(X) = 0$. For a fixed abelian group A , we define the cochain complex $(C_{YB}^n(X; A), \delta^n)$, where $C_{YB}^n(X; A) = \text{Hom}(C_n^{YB}(X), A)$ with the n th boundary homomorphism

$$(\delta^n f)(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{i-1} \left\{ (d_i^{i,n+1} f)(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) - (d_r^{i,n+1} f)(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) \right\},$$

where $d_l^{i,n+1}, d_r^{i,n+1}$ are the dual homomorphisms of the face maps² $d_{i,n+1}^l, d_{i,n+1}^r : C_{n+1}^{YB}(X) \rightarrow C_n^{YB}(X)$, respectively, given by

$$\begin{aligned} d_{i,n+1}^l &= (R_2 \times \text{Id}_X^{\times(n-1)}) \circ (\text{Id}_X \times R \times \text{Id}_X^{\times(n-2)}) \circ \dots \circ (\text{Id}_X^{\times(i-2)} \times R \times \text{Id}_X^{\times(n-i+1)}), \\ d_{i,n+1}^r &= (\text{Id}_X^{\times(n-1)} \times R_1) \circ (\text{Id}_X^{\times(n-2)} \times R \times \text{Id}_X) \circ \dots \circ (\text{Id}_X^{\times(i-1)} \times R \times \text{Id}_X^{\times(n-i)}). \end{aligned}$$




FIGURE 2.2. A diagrammatic description of the face maps $d_{i,n+1}^l$ and $d_{i,n+1}^r$

Definition 2.3. The cohomology group $H_{YB}^n(X; A) = H^n(C_{YB}^*(X; A))$ is called the n th *set-theoretic Yang-Baxter cohomology group* of X with coefficient in A (cf. [13]).

Let $C_n^D(X)$ be the subgroup of $C_n^{YB}(X)$ given by

$$C_n^D(X) = \text{Span}\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in C_n^{YB}(X) \mid R(x_i, x_{i+1}) = (x_i, x_{i+1}) \text{ for some } i = 1, \dots, n-1\},$$

if $n \geq 2$. Otherwise, we let $C_n^D(X) = 0$. Then $C_*^D(X) = (C_*^D(X), \partial_n)$ forms a sub-chain complex of the chain complex $C_*^{YB}(X) = (C_n^{YB}(X), \partial_n)$, where $\partial_n = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} (d_{i,n}^l - d_{i,n}^r)$. Moreover, one can construct the quotient chain complex $C_*^{NYB}(X) = C_*^{YB}(X)/C_*^D(X)$ consisting of $C_n^{NYB}(X) = C_n^{YB}(X)/C_n^D(X)$ together with the maps $\bar{\partial}_n : C_n^{YB}(X)/C_n^D(X) \rightarrow C_{n-1}^{YB}(X)/C_{n-1}^D(X)$ uniquely determined by the universal property of the quotient. We denote by $C_D^n(X; A) = \text{Hom}(C_n^D(X), A)$ and $C_{NYB}^n(X; A) = \text{Hom}(C_n^{NYB}(X), A)$.

²The face maps can be illustrated more intuitively as shown in Figure 2.2. See [17, 22] for further details.

- Definition 2.4.** (1) The homology group $H_n^{YB}(X; A) = H_n(C_*^{YB}(X; A))$ is called the *n*th set-theoretic Yang-Baxter homology group of X with coefficient in A .
- (2) The *n*th degenerate set-theoretic Yang-Baxter homology and cohomology groups of X with coefficient in A are $H_n^D(X; A) = H_n(C_*^D(X; A))$ and $H_D^n(X; A) = H^n(C_D^*(X; A))$.
- (3) The *n*th normalized set-theoretic Yang-Baxter homology and cohomology groups of X with coefficient in A are $H_n^{NYB}(X; A) = H_n(C_*^{NYB}(X; A))$ and $H_{NYB}^n(X; A) = H^n(C_{NYB}^*(X; A))$.

3. COHOMOLOGY OF FINITE CYCLIC BIQUANDLES

In the following subsections, we present a method of calculating the rank of the free part and study annihilation of torsion in the set-theoretic Yang-Baxter cohomology of cyclic biquandles.

3.1. The Betti number of a finite cyclic biquandle.

Definition 3.1. For a biquandle $X := (X, R_1, R_2)$, the group

$$G_X = \langle X \mid xy = R_1(x, y)R_2(x, y) \text{ for all } x, y \in X \rangle$$

is called the *structure group*³ of X .

Let X be a biquandle. Then $C_{YB}^n(X; A)$ forms a right G_X -module, with the action defined on the generators by

$$(f \cdot y)(x_1, \dots, x_n) = f \circ d_{n+1, n+1}^l(x_1, \dots, x_n, y).$$

Proposition 3.2. Suppose that a biquandle X satisfies the following property:

(I) $f \cdot y = f \cdot R_1(a, y)$ for all $f \in C_{YB}^n(X; A)$ and for all $a, y \in X$.

Then the coboundary homomorphism $\delta^n : C_{YB}^n(X; A) \rightarrow C_{YB}^{n+1}(X; A)$ is a G_X -module homomorphism.

Proof. Let y be a generator of G_X , and let $f \in C_{YB}^n(X; A)$.

We will show that $\delta^n(f \cdot y) = \delta^n(f) \cdot y$.

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^n(f \cdot y)(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{i-1} \left\{ ((f \cdot y) \circ d_{i, n+1}^l - (f \cdot y) \circ d_{i, n+1}^r)(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) \right\}, \\ (\delta^n(f) \cdot y)(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{i-1} \left\{ (f \circ d_{i, n+1}^l - f \circ d_{i, n+1}^r)(d_{n+2, n+2}^l(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}, y)) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since X satisfies the set-theoretic Yang-Baxter equation and the property I, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (f \cdot y) \circ d_{i, n+1}^l(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) &= (f \circ d_{i, n+1}^l) \circ d_{n+2, n+2}^l(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}, y) \text{ and} \\ (f \cdot y) \circ d_{i, n+1}^r(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) &= (f \circ d_{i, n+1}^r) \circ d_{n+2, n+2}^l(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}, y), \end{aligned}$$

as desired. The two equations above can be more easily understood by the schematic explanations in Figure 3.1. \square

Remark 3.3. All cyclic biquandles and Alexander biquandles satisfy the property I.

From now on, we assume that every biquandle X is finite and the multiplication by $|X|$ is an automorphism of A .

³The structure group of a quandle was discussed in [12], and the structure group of a braided set, which can be regarded as its generalization, was studied in [27].

On set-theoretic Yang-Baxter cohomology groups of cyclic biquandles




FIGURE 3.1. $\delta^n(f \cdot y) = \delta^n(f) \cdot y$

Lemma 3.4. If a biquandle X satisfies the property I, then $[f] = [f \cdot (\frac{1}{|X|} \sum_{y \in X} y)]$ in $H_{YB}^n(X; A)$.

Proof. Let $f \in Z_{YB}^n(X; A)$ be an n -cocycle. We consider $f' \in C_{YB}^{n-1}(X; A)$ defined by

$$f'(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) = \frac{1}{|X|} \sum_{y \in X} f(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, y).$$

Note that $(f' \circ d_{i,n}^r)(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \frac{1}{|X|} \sum_{y \in X} (f \circ d_{i,n+1}^r)(x_1, \dots, x_n, y)$ by the left-invertibility condition of a biquandle. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta^{n-1} f')(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} \left\{ (f' \circ d_{i,n}^l)(x_1, \dots, x_n) - (f' \circ d_{i,n}^r)(x_1, \dots, x_n) \right\} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} \frac{1}{|X|} \sum_{y \in X} \left\{ (f \circ d_{i,n+1}^l)(x_1, \dots, x_n, y) - (f \circ d_{i,n+1}^r)(x_1, \dots, x_n, y) \right\} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{i-1} \frac{1}{|X|} \sum_{y \in X} \left\{ (f \circ d_{i,n+1}^l)(x_1, \dots, x_n, y) - (f \circ d_{i,n+1}^r)(x_1, \dots, x_n, y) \right\} \\ &\quad - (-1)^n \frac{1}{|X|} \sum_{y \in X} \left\{ (f \circ d_{n+1,n+1}^l)(x_1, \dots, x_n, y) - (f \circ d_{n+1,n+1}^r)(x_1, \dots, x_n, y) \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{|X|} \sum_{y \in X} (\delta^n f)(x_1, \dots, x_n, y) - (-1)^n \frac{1}{|X|} \sum_{y \in X} \left\{ (f \cdot y)(x_1, \dots, x_n) - f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \right\} \\ &= (-1)^{n+1} \left\{ \frac{1}{|X|} \sum_{y \in X} (f \cdot y)(x_1, \dots, x_n) - f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

as desired. □

Now let us assume that a biquandle X satisfies the property I. Then we can consider the sub-chain complex $C_{\text{inv}}^*(X; A) := C_{YB}^*(X; A)^{G_X}$ of the cochain complex $C_{YB}^*(X; A)$ by Proposition 3.2, and the yielded cohomology $H_{\text{inv}}^n(X; A) := H^n(C_{\text{inv}}^*(X; A))$.

Lemma 3.5. Let C_m be the cyclic biquandle of order m . Then $H_{\text{inv}}^*(C_m; A) = H_{YB}^*(C_m; A)$.

Proof. Let $g = \sum_{y \in C_m} y$. By Remark 3.3 and Lemma 3.4, $[f] = [f \cdot (\frac{g}{m})^k]$ in $H_{YB}^*(C_m; A)$ for every positive integer k . Consider $P = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (\frac{1}{m^i} g^i)$. Note that for any $(a, b) \in C_m \times C_m$, $R_2(a, b) = a - 1$, i.e., the value of R_2 does not depend on the choice of b . Then for each i we have $(f \cdot (\frac{1}{m^i} g^i))(x_1, \dots, x_n) = f(x_1 - i, \dots, x_n - i)$, and therefore,

$$(f \cdot P)(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} f(x_1 - i, \dots, x_n - i).$$

Then for any $z \in C_m$, $(f \cdot Pz)(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} f(x_1 - i - 1, \dots, x_n - i - 1) = (f \cdot P)(x_1, \dots, x_n)$.

Thus, P projects the elements of $C_{YB}^*(C_m; A)$ onto $C_{\text{inv}}^*(C_m; A)$. Moreover, since P commutes with the boundary homomorphisms,

$$C_{YB}^*(C_m; A) = C_{\text{inv}}^*(C_m; A) \oplus C_{YB}^*(C_m; A)(1 - P).$$

Note that $[f \cdot P^2] = [(f \cdot P) \cdot (\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (\frac{1}{m^i} g^i))] = [\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (f \cdot P)] = [f \cdot P]$ in $H_{YB}^*(C_m; A)$.

Now we let $[r] \in H^n(C_{YB}^*(C_m; A)(1 - P))$. Then $[r] = [r \cdot P]$ by Lemma 3.4.

On the other hand, there exists $s \in C_{YB}^*(C_m; A)$ such that $[r] = [s - s \cdot P]$. Then

$$[r] = [r \cdot P] = [s \cdot P - s \cdot P^2] = [s \cdot P] - [s \cdot P] = 0.$$

Hence, $C_{YB}^*(C_m; A)(1 - P)$ is acyclic, as desired. \square

Theorem 3.6. The n th Betti number of the cyclic biquandle C_m of order m is m^{n-1} .

Proof. We take A to be \mathbb{Q} . Then the n th Betti number of C_m , i.e., $\dim H_{YB}^n(C_m; \mathbb{Q})$, is equal to $\dim H_{\text{inv}}^n(C_m; \mathbb{Q})$ by Lemma 3.5.

Note that for each $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in C_n^{YB}(C_m; \mathbb{Q})$, its orbit is $\{(x_1 - i, \dots, x_n - i) \mid i = 0, 1, \dots, m-1\}$. Thus, $C_{\text{inv}}^n(C_m; \mathbb{Q})$ has a basis consists of functions that are constant on each of these orbits. If we let $f \in C_{\text{inv}}^n(C_m; \mathbb{Q})$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta^n f)(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{i-1} \left\{ (f \circ d_{i,n+1}^l)(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) - (f \circ d_{i,n+1}^r)(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) \right\} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{i-1} \left\{ f(x_1 - 1, \dots, x_{i-1} - 1, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{n+1}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - f(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1} + 1, \dots, x_{n+1} + 1) \right\} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

because $(x_1 - 1, \dots, x_{i-1} - 1, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{n+1})$ and $(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1} + 1, \dots, x_{n+1} + 1)$ are in the same orbit. That is, $H_{\text{inv}}^n(C_m; \mathbb{Q}) = C_{\text{inv}}^n(C_m; \mathbb{Q})$, and therefore

$$\dim H_{YB}^n(C_m; \mathbb{Q}) = \dim H_{\text{inv}}^n(C_m; \mathbb{Q}) = \dim C_{\text{inv}}^n(C_m; \mathbb{Q}) = m^{n-1}.$$

\square

Note that $C_n^D(C_m) = \text{Span}\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in C_n^{YB}(C_m) \mid x_i = x_{i+1} + 1 \text{ for some } i = 1, \dots, n-1\}$, i.e., $\text{rank} C_n^D(C_m) = m^n - m(m-1)^{n-1}$. In a similar way as above, one can show that $\dim H_D^n(C_m; \mathbb{Q}) = m^{n-1} - (m-1)^{n-1}$. Furthermore, it was proved in [24] that the set-theoretic Yang-Baxter homology group of C_m splits into the normalized and degenerate parts, and by the universal coefficient theorem for cohomology we completely calculate the free parts:

Corollary 3.7. For the cyclic biquandle C_m of order m ,

- (1) $\text{rank}H_n^{YB}(C_m) = m^{n-1}$,
- (2) $\text{rank}H_n^D(C_m) = m^{n-1} - (m-1)^{n-1}$,
- (3) $\text{rank}H_n^{NYB}(C_m) = (m-1)^{n-1}$.

The above partially proves Conjecture 1.1.

3.2. Cocycles constructing a basis for $\text{Free}H_{YB}^n(C_m; \mathbb{Z})$.

We now present explicit formulae for cocycles that construct a basis for the free part of $H_{YB}^n(C_m; \mathbb{Z})$. Let $\mathcal{C} = (C_n, \partial_n)$ be a chain complex. For each chain group C_n , we consider its dual cochain groups $C_n^* = \text{Hom}(C_n, \mathbb{Z})$ and $\widetilde{C}_n^* = \text{Hom}(C_n, \mathbb{Q})$, and the inclusion $\iota : \mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}$. For an n -cocycle $f \in Z_n^*$, we denote by $[f]_{\mathbb{Q}} = [\iota \circ f]$.

Proposition 3.8. Suppose that all the chain groups of \mathcal{C} are finitely generated. Let $[f] \in \text{Free}H^n(\mathcal{C}; \mathbb{Z})$. Then $[f] \neq 0$ if and only if $[f]_{\mathbb{Q}} \neq 0$ in $H^n(\mathcal{C}; \mathbb{Q})$.

Proof. Suppose that $[f]_{\mathbb{Q}} = 0$. Then there is $g \in C^{n-1}(\mathcal{C}; \mathbb{Q})$ such that $f = \partial_n^*(g)$. Note that $g \neq 0$ as $f \neq 0$. This implies that there exists a sufficiently large integer $M \neq 0$ so that $Mg \in C^{n-1}(\mathcal{C}; \mathbb{Z})$ and $Mf = \partial_n^*(Mg)$. This contradicts with the hypothesis that $[f] \in \text{Free}H^n(\mathcal{C}; \mathbb{Z})$.

Conversely, we assume that $[f] = 0$ in $H^n(\mathcal{C}; \mathbb{Z})$. Then there exists $g \in C_{n-1}^*$ such that $\partial_n^*(g) = f$, which implies that $[f]_{\mathbb{Q}} = 0$ in $H^n(\mathcal{C}; \mathbb{Q})$. This is a contradiction. \square

Let C_m be the cyclic biquandle of order m . For each n -tuple $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in (C_m)^n$, we consider the function $f_{\mathbf{x}} \in C_{YB}^n(C_m; \mathbb{Z})$ defined by

$$f_{\mathbf{x}}(y_1, \dots, y_n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (y_1, \dots, y_n) = (x_1 - i, \dots, x_n - i) \text{ for some } i = 0, \dots, m-1; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and extended linearly on $C_{YB}^n(C_m)$. There are in total m^{n-1} such functions. Let us denote them by $F_1, \dots, F_{m^{n-1}}$ and define the set $FR^n(C_m; \mathbb{Z}) = \{F_i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, m^{n-1}\}$.

Remark 3.9. The set $\{[F_i]_{\mathbb{Q}} \mid F_i \in FR^n(C_m; \mathbb{Z})\}$ is a basis for $H_{YB}^n(C_m; \mathbb{Q})$, as described in the proof of Theorem 3.6.

Lemma 3.10. Let C_m be the cyclic biquandle of order m . Then

- (1) for any $f \in Z_{YB}^n(C_m; \mathbb{Z})$ and $y \in C_m$, $m[f \cdot y] = m[f]$,
- (2) the submodule $\text{Span}\{[F_i] \mid F_i \in FR^n(C_m; \mathbb{Z})\}$ is contained in $\text{Free}H_{YB}^n(C_m; \mathbb{Z})$,
- (3) the set $\{[F_i] \mid F_i \in FR^n(C_m; \mathbb{Z})\}$ is linearly independent.

Proof. (1) By Lemma 3.4, we have $m[f] = [f \cdot (\sum_{y \in C_m} y)] = [f \cdot (my)] = m[f \cdot y]$.

(2) We denote the submodule $\text{Span}\{[F_i] \mid F_i \in FR^n(C_m; \mathbb{Z})\}$ of $H_{YB}^n(C_m; \mathbb{Z})$ by M_F .

Let $[f] \in M_F \cap \text{Tor}H_{YB}^n(C_m; \mathbb{Z})$, i.e., $f = \sum_{i=1}^{m^{n-1}} k_i F_i$ ($k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$).

If $[f] = 0$, we are done. Assume that $[f] \neq 0$.

Then $[f]_{\mathbb{Q}} \neq 0$ in $H_{YB}^n(C_m; \mathbb{Q})$ because $H_{YB}^n(C_m; \mathbb{Q}) = \text{Span}\{[F_i]_{\mathbb{Q}} \mid F_i \in FR^n(C_m; \mathbb{Z})\}$.

Since $[f] \in \text{Tor}H_{YB}^n(C_m; \mathbb{Z})$, $pf = \delta^{n-1}g$ for some $g \in C^{n-1}(C_m; \mathbb{Z})$ and for some integer $p > 1$. Thus, $p[f]_{\mathbb{Q}} = 0$ in $H_{YB}^n(C_m; \mathbb{Q})$, which contradicts with the fact that $[f]_{\mathbb{Q}} \neq 0$. Therefore, $M_F \cap \text{Tor}H_{YB}^n(C_m; \mathbb{Z})$ is trivial, as desired.

(3) Suppose that $\sum_{i=1}^{m^{n-1}} k_i [F_i] = 0$ ($k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$). Then we have $0 = \sum_{i=1}^{m^{n-1}} k_i [F_i]_{\mathbb{Q}}$, which implies that $k_i = 0$ for all i because $\{[F_i]_{\mathbb{Q}} \mid F_i \in FR^n(C_m; \mathbb{Z})\}$ is a basis for $H_{YB}^n(C_m; \mathbb{Q})$. \square

Theorem 3.11. The set $\{[F_i] \mid F_i \in FR^n(C_m; \mathbb{Z})\}$ is a basis for $\text{Free}H_{YB}^n(C_m; \mathbb{Z})$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.10(2), we have $\text{Span}\{[F_i] \mid F_i \in FR^n(C_m; \mathbb{Z})\} \subset \text{Free}H_{YB}^n(C_m; \mathbb{Z})$.

Let $\mathcal{B}_n = \{e_{\mathbf{x}} \mid \mathbf{x} \in (C_m)^n\}$ be the dual basis for $C_{YB}^n(C_m; \mathbb{Z})$. That is, for each $\mathbf{x} \in (C_m)^n$ the map $e_{\mathbf{x}} : C_n^{YB}(C_m) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is defined by

$$e_{\mathbf{x}}(y_1, \dots, y_n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (y_1, \dots, y_n) = \mathbf{x}; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and extended linearly on $C_n^{YB}(C_m)$.

Note that for each $F_i \in FR^n(C_m; \mathbb{Z})$, there exists $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in (C_m)^n$ such that $F_i = f_{\mathbf{x}} = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} e_{(x_1+i, \dots, x_n+i)}$. Consider the elements of \mathcal{B}_n . For each F_i , we can choose $m-1$ elements from its summand, and rename them by $e_{i,j}$ for $j = 1, \dots, m-1$.

Clearly, $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_n = FR^n(C_m; \mathbb{Z}) \cup \{e_{i,j} \mid i = 1, \dots, m^{n-1}, j = 1, \dots, m-1\}$ is a basis for $C_{YB}^n(C_m; \mathbb{Z})$.

Let $[f]$ be a nonzero element in $\text{Free}H_{YB}^n(C_m; \mathbb{Z})$. The map f can be written as

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^{m^{n-1}} \alpha_i F_i + \sum_{i=1}^{m^{n-1}} \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \beta_{i,j} e_{i,j},$$

where $\alpha_i, \beta_{i,j} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $[f]_{\mathbb{Q}} = \sum_{i=1}^{m^{n-1}} \alpha_i [F_i]_{\mathbb{Q}} + \left[\sum_{i=1}^{m^{n-1}} \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \beta_{i,j} e_{i,j} \right]_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

Note that $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_n$ also forms a basis for $C_{YB}^n(C_m; \mathbb{Q})$.

Moreover, we showed that $C_{YB}^n(C_m; \mathbb{Q}) = C_{\text{inv}}^n(C_m; \mathbb{Q}) \oplus C_{YB}^n(C_m; \mathbb{Q})(1-P)$ and $C_{YB}^n(C_m; \mathbb{Q})(1-P)$ is acyclic in the proof of Lemma 3.5.

Thus, $\left[\sum_{i=1}^{m^{n-1}} \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \beta_{i,j} e_{i,j} \right]_{\mathbb{Q}} = 0$, and therefore, $\left[f - \sum_{i=1}^{m^{n-1}} \alpha_i F_i \right]_{\mathbb{Q}} = 0$.

Furthermore, since $[f] - \sum_{i=1}^{m^{n-1}} \alpha_i [F_i] \in \text{Free}H_{YB}^n(C_m; \mathbb{Z})$, we have $[f] - \sum_{i=1}^{m^{n-1}} \alpha_i [F_i] = \left[f - \sum_{i=1}^{m^{n-1}} \alpha_i F_i \right] = 0$

by Proposition 3.8. Therefore, $[f] = \sum_{i=1}^{m^{n-1}} \alpha_i [F_i] \in \text{Span}\{[F_i] \mid F_i \in FR^n(C_m; \mathbb{Z})\}$, as desired. \square

3.3. The torsion of a finite cyclic biquandle.

The goal of this session is to estimate the torsion in the set-theoretic Yang-Baxter cohomology group of a finite cyclic biquandle.

Theorem 3.12. Let C_m be the cyclic biquandle of order m . Then the torsion subgroup of $H_{YB}^n(C_m; \mathbb{Z})$ is annihilated by m if m is odd and by $2m$ if m is even.

Proof. Let $f \in Z_{YB}^n(C_m; \mathbb{Z})$ be an n -cocycle, and let $y \in C_m$ be fixed. Consider $f_y \in C_{YB}^{n-1}(C_m; \mathbb{Z})$, defined by

$$f_y(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) = f(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, y).$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta^{n-1}(f_y)(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= \delta^{n-1}(f_y)(x_1, \dots, x_n) - \delta^n(f)(x_1, \dots, x_n, y) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} f_y \circ (d_{i,n}^l - d_{i,n}^r)(x_1, \dots, x_n) \\
 &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{i-1} f \circ (d_{i,n+1}^l - d_{i,n+1}^r)(x_1, \dots, x_n, y) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i \left\{ f_y \circ d_{i,n}^r(x_1, \dots, x_n) - f \circ d_{i,n+1}^r(x_1, \dots, x_n, y) \right\} \\
 &\quad - (-1)^n f \circ (d_{n+1,n+1}^l - d_{n+1,n+1}^r)(x_1, \dots, x_n, y) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i \left\{ f_y \circ d_{i,n}^r(x_1, \dots, x_n) - f_{y+1} \circ d_{i,n}^r(x_1, \dots, x_n) \right\} \\
 &\quad - (-1)^n \left\{ (f \cdot y)(x_1, \dots, x_n) - f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Denote by $\Delta_y f := \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i (f_y \circ d_{i,n}^r - f_{y+1} \circ d_{i,n}^r)$. Then $\Delta_y f - (-1)^n (f \cdot y - f) \in \text{Im} \delta^{n-1}$.

Thus, we have

$$(3.1) \quad [f \cdot y] - [f] = [\Delta_y f].$$

Then since $[f \cdot y^k] - [f \cdot y^{k-1}] = [\Delta_y f \cdot y^{k-1}]$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $[f \cdot y^k] - [f] = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} [\Delta_y f \cdot y^j]$. Therefore,

$$(3.2) \quad \left[f \cdot \left(\sum_{i=1}^m y^i \right) \right] - [mf] = \sum_{i=1}^m ([f \cdot y^i] - [f]) = \left[\Delta_y f \cdot \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=0}^i y^j \right) \right].$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_y \circ d_{i,n}^r(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= f(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1} + 1, \dots, x_n + 1, y) \\
 &= f_y \circ d_{i,n}^l(x_1 + 1, \dots, x_n + 1) \\
 &= ((f_y \circ d_{i,n}^l) \cdot y^{-1})(x_1, \dots, x_n),
 \end{aligned}$$

i.e., $(f_y \circ d_i^r) \cdot y = f_y \circ d_i^l$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta^{n-1}(f_y - f_{y+1}) &= \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} \left\{ (f_y \circ d_{i,n}^l - f_y \circ d_{i,n}^r) - (f_{y+1} \circ d_{i,n}^l - f_{y+1} \circ d_{i,n}^r) \right\} \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} \left\{ (f_y \circ d_{i,n}^l - f_{y+1} \circ d_{i,n}^l) - (f_y \circ d_{i,n}^r - f_{y+1} \circ d_{i,n}^r) \right\} \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} \left\{ ((f_y \circ d_{i,n}^r) \cdot y - (f_{y+1} \circ d_{i,n}^r) \cdot y) - (f_y \circ d_{i,n}^r - f_{y+1} \circ d_{i,n}^r) \right\} \\
 &= -(\Delta_y f) \cdot y + \Delta_y f.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then $[(\Delta_y f) \cdot y] = [\Delta_y f]$, and therefore, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(3.3) \quad [\Delta_y f] = [(\Delta_y f) \cdot y] = \dots = [(\Delta_y f) \cdot y^k].$$

By the equations 3.2 and 3.3, we have

$$(3.4) \quad \left[f \cdot \left(\sum_{i=1}^m y^i \right) \right] - [mf] = \left[\frac{m(m+1)}{2} \Delta_y f \right].$$

TABLE 1. Set-theoretic Yang-Baxter homology groups of cyclic biquandles

n	1	2	3	4	5
$H_n^{YB}(C_2)$	$\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$	\mathbb{Z}^2	$\mathbb{Z}^4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$	\mathbb{Z}^8	$\mathbb{Z}^{16} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$
$H_n^D(C_2)$	0	\mathbb{Z}	\mathbb{Z}^3	\mathbb{Z}^7	\mathbb{Z}^{15}
$H_n^{NYB}(C_2)$	$\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$	\mathbb{Z}	$\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$	\mathbb{Z}	$\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$
$H_n^{YB}(C_3)$	$\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3$	\mathbb{Z}^3	$\mathbb{Z}^9 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3$	\mathbb{Z}^{27}	$\mathbb{Z}^{81} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3$
$H_n^D(C_3)$	0	\mathbb{Z}	\mathbb{Z}^5	\mathbb{Z}^{19}	\mathbb{Z}^{65}
$H_n^{NYB}(C_3)$	$\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3$	\mathbb{Z}^2	$\mathbb{Z}^4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3$	\mathbb{Z}^8	$\mathbb{Z}^{16} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3$
$H_n^{YB}(C_4)$	$\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4$	\mathbb{Z}^4	$\mathbb{Z}^{16} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4$	\mathbb{Z}^{64}	$\mathbb{Z}^{256} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4$
$H_n^D(C_4)$	0	\mathbb{Z}	\mathbb{Z}^7	\mathbb{Z}^{37}	\mathbb{Z}^{175}
$H_n^{NYB}(C_4)$	$\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4$	\mathbb{Z}^3	$\mathbb{Z}^9 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4$	\mathbb{Z}^{27}	$\mathbb{Z}^{81} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4$
$H_n^{YB}(C_5)$	$\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_5$	\mathbb{Z}^5	$\mathbb{Z}^{25} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_5$	\mathbb{Z}^{125}	$\mathbb{Z}^{625} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_5$
$H_n^D(C_5)$	0	\mathbb{Z}	\mathbb{Z}^9	\mathbb{Z}^{61}	\mathbb{Z}^{369}
$H_n^{NYB}(C_5)$	$\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_5$	\mathbb{Z}^4	$\mathbb{Z}^{16} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_5$	\mathbb{Z}^{64}	$\mathbb{Z}^{256} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_5$

Moreover, $m[\Delta_y f] = m[f \cdot y] - m[f] = 0$ by the equation 3.1 and Lemma 3.10(1). Thus, by the equation 3.4

$$\begin{cases} m[f] = \left[f \cdot \left(\sum_{i=1}^m y^i \right) \right], & \text{if } m \text{ is odd;} \\ 2m[f] = 2 \left[f \cdot \left(\sum_{i=1}^m y^i \right) \right], & \text{if } m \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Note that $\left[f \cdot \left(\sum_{i=1}^m y^i \right) \right]$ belongs to the free part of $H_{YB}^n(C_m; \mathbb{Z})$ by Lemma 3.10(2).

Therefore, $m \text{Tor} H_{YB}^n(C_m; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ if m is odd and $2m \text{Tor} H_{YB}^n(C_m; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ if m is even. \square

Remark 3.13. The same results can be obtained for the degenerate and the normalized set-theoretic Yang-Baxter (co)homology of a finite cyclic biquandle as in Theorem 3.12, because the set-theoretic Yang-Baxter homology splits into the degenerate part and the normalized part [24].

4. FUTURE RESEARCH

Based on Table 1 and Conjecture 1.1, we can see that Theorem 3.12 well approximates the torsion subgroups of the set-theoretic Yang-Baxter (co)homology groups of cyclic biquandles C_m when m is odd, but not when m is even. Although a cyclic biquandle itself has a relatively simple algebraic structure, we were not able to determine the torsion subgroups of its set-theoretic Yang-Baxter (co)homology groups. Furthermore, not much is known about the (co)homology groups of other biquandles.

5. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The work of Xiao Wang was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.11901229). The work of Seung Yeop Yang was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) grant funded by the Korean government(MSIT) (No.2019R1C1C1007402).

REFERENCES

- [1] R. J. Baxter, *Partition function of the eight-vertex lattice model*, Ann. Physics 70 (1972), 193-228.
- [2] J. S. Carter, M. Elhamdadi, and M. Saito, *Homology theory for the set-theoretic Yang-Baxter equation and knot invariants from generalizations of quandles*, Fund. Math. 184 (2004), 31-54.
- [3] V. G. Drinfel'd, *Quantum groups*, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. 1, 2 (Berkeley, Calif., 1986), 798-820, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987.
- [4] P. Etingof and M. Graña, *On rack cohomology*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 177 (2003), no. 1, 49-59.
- [5] P. Freyd, D. Yetter, J. Hoste, W. B. R. Lickorish, K. Millett, and A. Ocneanu, *A new polynomial invariant of knots and links*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 12 (1985), no. 2, 239-246.
- [6] J. Fröhlich, *Statistics of fields, the Yang-Baxter equation, and the theory of knots and links*, Nonperturbative quantum field theory (Cargèse, 1987), 71-100, NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. B Phys., 185, Plenum, New York, 1988.
- [7] A. García Iglesias and L. Vendramin, *An explicit description of the second cohomology group of a quandle*, Math. Z. 286 (2017), no. 3-4, 1041-1063.
- [8] V. F. R. Jones, *Hecke algebra representations of braid groups and link polynomials*, Ann. of Math. (2) 126 (1987), no. 2, 335-388.
- [9] V. F. R. Jones, *On knot invariants related to some statistical mechanical models*, Pacific J. Math. 137 (1989), no. 2, 311-334.
- [10] A. Ishii, M. Iwakiri, S. Kamada, J. Kim, S. Matsuzaki, and K. Oshiro, *Biquandle (co)homology and handlebody-links*, J. Knot Theory Ramifications 27 (2018), no. 11, 1843011, 33 pp.
- [11] K. Ishikawa and K. Tanaka, *Quandle colorings vs. biquandle colorings*, Preprint; e-print: arxiv.org/abs/1912.12917.
- [12] D. Joyce, *A classifying invariant of knots, the knot quandle*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 23 (1982), no. 1, 37-65.
- [13] S. Kamada, A. Kawauchi, J. Kim, and S. Y. Lee, *Biquandle cohomology and state-sum invariants of links and surface-links*, J. Knot Theory Ramifications 27 (2018), no. 11, 1843016, 37 pp.
- [14] C. Kassel, *Quantum groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 155. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
- [15] L. H. Kauffman and S. J. Lomonaco Jr., *Braiding Operators are Universal Quantum Gates*, New J. Phys. 6 (2004) 134.
- [16] L. H. Kauffman and D. Radford, *Bi-oriented quantum algebras, and a generalized Alexander polynomial for virtual links*, Diagrammatic morphisms and applications (San Francisco, CA, 2000), 113-140, Contemp. Math., 318, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003.
- [17] V. Lebed, *Homologies of algebraic structures via braidings and quantum shuffles*, J. Algebra 391 (2013), 152-192.
- [18] R. A. Litherland and S. Nelson, *The Betti numbers of some finite racks*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 178 (2003), no. 2, 187-202.
- [19] S. Nelson and J. Rosenfield, *Bikei homology*, Homology Homotopy Appl. 19 (2017), no. 1, 23-35.
- [20] M. Niebrzydowski and J. H. Przytycki, *Homology operations on homology of quandles*, J. Algebra 324 (2010), no. 7, 1529-1548.
- [21] T. Nosaka, *On quandle homology groups of Alexander quandles of prime order*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 365 (2013), no. 7, 3413-3436.
- [22] J. H. Przytycki, *Knots and distributive homology: from arc colorings to Yang-Baxter homology*, New ideas in low dimensional topology, 413-488, Ser. Knots Everything, 56, World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2015.
- [23] J. H. Przytycki and P. Traczyk, *Invariants of links of Conway type*, Kobe J. Math. 4 (1988), no. 2, 115-139.
- [24] J. H. Przytycki, P. Vojtěchovský, and S. Y. Yang, *Set-theoretic Yang-Baxter (co)homology theory of involutive non-degenerate solutions*, Preprint; e-print: arxiv.org/abs/1911.03009
- [25] J. H. Przytycki and S. Y. Yang, *The torsion of a finite quasigroup quandle is annihilated by its order*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 219 (2015), no. 10, 4782-4791.
- [26] J. H. Przytycki and S. Y. Yang, *Annihilation of torsion in homology of finite m -AQ quandles*, J. Knot Theory Ramifications 25 (2016), no. 12, 1642012, 16 pp.
- [27] A. Soloviev, *Non-unitary set-theoretical solutions to the quantum Yang-Baxter equation*, Math. Res. Lett. 7 (2000), no. 5-6, 577-596.
- [28] V. G. Turaev, *The Yang-Baxter equation and invariants of links*, Invent. Math. 92 (1988), no. 3, 527-553.
- [29] X. Wang and S. Y. Yang, *Normalized set-theoretic Yang-Baxter homology of biquandles and biquandle spaces*, Preprint; e-print: arxiv.org/abs/2002.04567.
- [30] C. N. Yang, *Some exact results for the many-body problem in one dimension with repulsive delta-function interaction*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 19 (1967), 1312-1315.
- [31] S. Y. Yang, *Torsion in homology of dihedral quandles of even order*, Kyungpook Math. J. 60 (2020), no. 2, 279-288.

Email address, Xiao Wang: wangxiaotop@jlu.edu.cn

Email address, Seung Yeop Yang: seungyeop.yang@knu.ac.kr

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, JILIN UNIVERSITY, CHANGCHUN, 130012, CHINA

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KYUNGPOOK NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, DAEGU, 41566, REPUBLIC OF KOREA