SET-THEORETIC YANG-BAXTER COHOMOLOGY OF CYCLIC BIQUANDLES

MINYI LIANG, XIAO WANG, AND SEUNG YEOP YANG

ABSTRACT. We completely determine the free parts of the set-theoretic Yang-Baxter (co)homology groups of finite cyclic biquandles, along with fully computing the torsion subgroups of their 1st and 2nd homology groups. Furthermore, we provide upper bounds for the orders of torsions in the 3rd and higher dimensional homology groups. This work partially solves the conjecture that the normalized set-theoretic Yang-Baxter homology of cyclic biquandles satisfy $H_n^{NYB}(C_m) = \mathbb{Z}^{(m-1)^{n-1}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_m$ when n is odd and $H_n^{NYB}(C_m) = \mathbb{Z}^{(m-1)^{n-1}}$ when n is even. In addition, we obtain cocycle representatives of a basis for the rational cohomology group of a cyclic biquandle and introduce several non-trivial torsion homology classes.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Yang-Baxter equation [1, 31] first appeared in the study of theoretical physics, and is closely related to various fields: quantum field theory, the theory of quantum groups, and recently quantum information science. See [3, 6, 14, 15, 29] for further details. The Jones polynomial [8] and the HOMFLY-PT polynomial [5, 23] were introduced as invariants for oriented links. Since it is known that they can be obtained using certain families of solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation [9, 29], the Yang-Baxter equation plays an important role in the study of low-dimensional topology and knot theory.

A homology theory of set-theoretic Yang-Baxter operators was established by J. S. Carter, M. Elhamdadi, and M. Saito [2]. Later, it was generalized for pre-Yang-Baxter operators independently by V. Lebed [17] and J. H. Przytycki[22]. Biquandles [16], a generalization of quandles, are special cases of set-theoretic Yang-Baxter operators. Since it is known that their cocycles can be used to define invariants of (virtual) knots and links as a state-sum formulation, called *Yang-Baxter cocycle invariants* [2], the homology theory has been modified in various ways to define invariants of knotted objects such as knotted surfaces [13, 19] and handlebody-links [10]. Moreover, one can build homotopical link invariants by using geometric realizations of those homology theories. See [11, 30] for details. The homology of special families of set-theoretic Yang-Baxter operators, known as racks and quandles, has been extensively studied, see [4, 7, 18, 20, 21, 25, 26, 32], but other than for racks and quandles little is known. Therefore, it is important for the study of invariants of knotted objects to determine set-theoretic Yang-Baxter (co)homology groups of biquandles and find explicit formulae of their cocycles.

In this article, we explore the computation of cohomology groups of set-theoretic Yang-Baxter operators, focusing on biquandles. We determine the free parts completely and estimate the torsion parts of the integral set-theoretic Yang-Baxter cohomology groups of finite cyclic biquandles. This partially proves the following conjecture:

Conjecture 1.1. [24] For the cyclic biquandle C_m of order m,

$$H_n^{NYB}(C_m) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}^{(m-1)^{n-1}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_m & \text{if } n \text{ is odd;} \\ \mathbb{Z}^{(m-1)^{n-1}} & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, (co)homology theories for a set-theoretic solution of the Yang-Baxter equation are reviewed. Section 3 contains computations of the set-theoretic Yang-Baxter cohomology groups of finite cyclic biquandles. In Section 3.1, we completely calculate the Betti

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 20G10, 55N35, 57K18; Secondary: 58H10, 57K12, 55S20.

Key words and phrases. Biquandle, cyclic biquandle, set-theoretic Yang-Baxter cohomology, Betti number, torsion.

numbers for the set-theoretic Yang-Baxter (co)homology, as well as for the degenerate and normalized versions, of finite cyclic biquandles. Cocycles that form a basis for the rational set-theoretic Yang-Baxter cohomology are also investigated. The torsion parts are discussed in Section 3.2. We obtain the torsion subgroups of the 1st and 2nd homology groups of finite cyclic biquandles, which signifies that we have fully computed their 1st and 2nd homology groups. For 3rd homology, we compute that $H_3^{YB}(C_3) = \mathbb{Z}^9 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3$. We also establish upper bounds for the orders of torsions in their 3rd and higher-dimensional homology groups. Moreover, several non-trivial torsion homology classes are introduced.

2. Preliminaries

Let X be a set. A function $R: X \times X \to X \times X$ is a set-theoretic solution of the Yang-Baxter equation or a set-theoretic pre-Yang-Baxter operator if it satisfies the following equation, called the set-theoretic Yang-Baxter equation

$$(R \times \mathrm{Id}_X) \circ (\mathrm{Id}_X \times R) \circ (R \times \mathrm{Id}_X) = (\mathrm{Id}_X \times R) \circ (R \times \mathrm{Id}_X) \circ (\mathrm{Id}_X \times R).$$

A diagrammatic representation of the set-theoretic Yang-Baxter equation is shown in Figure 2.1. The function R is a set-theoretic Yang-Baxter operator if it is invertible.



FIGURE 2.1. An illustration of the set-theoretic Yang–Baxter equation

A set-theoretic version of the Yang-Baxter equation can be regarded as a generalization of selfdistributivity which corresponds to the third Reidemeister move. There are special families of settheoretic solutions, known as *biracks* and *biquandles*, that are suitable for constructing invariants of knotted objects. Their precise definitions are as follows:

Definition 2.1. Let R be a set-theoretic Yang-Baxter operator on a given set X, denoted by

$$R(A_1, A_2) = (R_1(A_1, A_2), R_2(A_1, A_2)) = (A_3, A_4)$$

where $A_i \in X$ (i = 1, 2, 3, 4) and $R_j : X \times X \to X$ (j = 1, 2) are binary operations. The algebraic structure (X, R_1, R_2) is called a *birack* if it satisfies the following:

- For any $A_1, A_3 \in X$, there exists a unique $A_2 \in X$ such that $R_1(A_1, A_2) = A_3$. In other words, R_1 is *left-invertible*.
- For any $A_2, A_4 \in X$, there exists a unique $A_1 \in X$ such that $R_2(A_1, A_2) = A_4$. In other words, R_2 is *right-invertible*.

A *biquandle* is a birack which also satisfies the following condition:

• For any $A_1 \in X$, there is a unique $A_2 \in X$ such that $R(A_1, A_2) = (A_1, A_2)$.¹

The following are basic examples of biquandles.

¹It implies that for any $A_2 \in X$, there is a unique $A_1 \in X$ such that $R(A_1, A_2) = (A_1, A_2)$.

Example 2.2. (1) Let C_m be the cyclic group of order m. The map $R: C_m \times C_m \to C_m \times C_m$ defined by

$$R(a,b) = (R_1(a,b), R_2(a,b)) = (b+1, a-1)$$

is a set-theoretic Yang-Baxter operator and, moreover, (C_m, R_1, R_2) forms a biquandle, called the *cyclic biquandle* of order m.

(2) [2] Let k be a commutative ring with unity 1 and with units s and t such that (1-s)(1-t) = 0. The function $R: k \times k \to k \times k$ given by

$$R(x,y) = (R_1(x,y), R_2(x,y)) = ((1-s)x + sy, tx + (1-t)y)$$

is a set-theoretic Yang-Baxter operator, and (k, R_1, R_2) forms a biquandle, called an *Alexander* biquandle.

For example, if we let $k = C_m$ with units s and t such that m = |(1-s)(1-t)|, the function R defined as above forms a set-theoretic Yang-Baxter operator and (C_m, R_1, R_2) is a biquandle.

Let us review the cohomology theory for the set-theoretic Yang-Baxter equation based on [2, 30]. Let $R: X \times X \to X \times X$ be a set-theoretic Yang-Baxter operator on a given set X. For each integer n > 0, let $C_n^{YB}(X)$ be the free abelian group generated by the elements of X^n , otherwise we let $C_n^{YB}(X) = 0$. For a fixed abelian group A, we define the cochain complex $(C_{YB}^n(X; A), \delta^n)$, where $C_{YB}^n(X; A) = \text{Hom}(C_n^{YB}(X), A)$ with the *n*th boundary homomorphism

$$(\delta^{n}f)(x_{1},\ldots,x_{n+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{i-1} \Big\{ (d_{l}^{i,n+1}f)(x_{1},\ldots,x_{n+1}) - (d_{r}^{i,n+1}f)(x_{1},\ldots,x_{n+1}) \Big\},\$$

where $d_l^{i,n+1}, d_r^{i,n+1}$ are the dual homomorphisms of the face maps² $d_{i,n+1}^l, d_{i,n+1}^r : C_{n+1}^{YB}(X) \to C_n^{YB}(X)$, respectively, given by

$$d_{i,n+1}^{l} = (R_2 \times \mathrm{Id}_X^{\times (n-1)}) \circ (\mathrm{Id}_X \times R \times \mathrm{Id}_X^{\times (n-2)}) \circ \cdots \circ (\mathrm{Id}_X^{\times (i-2)} \times R \times \mathrm{Id}_X^{\times (n-i+1)}),$$

$$d_{i,n+1}^{r} = (\mathrm{Id}_X^{\times (n-1)} \times R_1) \circ (\mathrm{Id}_X^{\times (n-2)} \times R \times \mathrm{Id}_X) \circ \cdots \circ (\mathrm{Id}_X^{\times (i-1)} \times R \times \mathrm{Id}_X^{\times (n-i)}).$$



FIGURE 2.2. A diagrammatic description of the face maps $d_{i,n+1}^l$ and $d_{i,n+1}^r$

Definition 2.3. The cohomology group $H_{YB}^n(X; A) = H^n(C_{YB}^*(X; A))$ is called the *n*th set-theoretic Yang-Baxter cohomology group of X with coefficient in A (cf. [13]).

Let $C_n^D(X)$ be the subgroup of $C_n^{YB}(X)$ given by $C_n^D(X) = \text{Span}\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in C_n^{YB}(X) \mid R(x_i, x_{i+1}) = (x_i, x_{i+1}) \text{ for some } i = 1, \dots, n-1\},$

 $^{^{2}}$ The face maps can be illustrated more intuitively as shown in Figure 2.2. See [17, 22] for further details.

if $n \geq 2$. Otherwise, we let $C_n^D(X) = 0$. Then $C_*^D(X) = (C_n^D(X), \partial_n)$ forms a chain subcomplex of the chain complex $C_*^{YB}(X) = (C_n^{YB}(X), \partial_n)$, where $\partial_n = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} (d_{i,n}^l - d_{i,n}^r)$. Moreover, one can construct the quotient chain complex $C_*^{NYB}(X) = C_*^{YB}(X)/C_*^D(X)$ consisting of $C_n^{NYB}(X) = C_n^{YB}(X)/C_n^D(X)$ together with the maps $\overline{\partial}_n : C_n^{NYB}(X)/C_n^D(X) \to C_{n-1}^{YB}(X)/C_{n-1}^D(X)$ uniquely determined by the universal property of the quotient. We define the cochain groups by $C_D^n(X; A) = \operatorname{Hom}(C_n^D(X), A)$ and $C_{NYB}^n(X; A) = \operatorname{Hom}(C_n^{NYB}(X), A)$.

Definition 2.4. (1) The homology group $H_n^{YB}(X; A) = H_n(C_*^{YB}(X; A))$ is called the *n*th settheoretic Yang-Baxter homology group of X with coefficient in A.

- (2) The nth degenerate set-theoretic Yang-Baxter homology and cohomology groups of X with coefficient in A are $H_n^D(X; A) = H_n(C^D_*(X; A))$ and $H_D^n(X; A) = H^n(C^*_D(X; A))$.
- (3) The nth normalized set-theoretic Yang-Baxter homology and cohomology groups of X with coefficient in A are $H_n^{NYB}(X; A) = H_n(C_*^{NYB}(X; A))$ and $H_{NYB}^n(X; A) = H^n(C_{NYB}^*(X; A))$.

3. Cohomology of finite cyclic biquandles

In the following subsections, we present a method of calculating the rank of the free part and study annihilation of torsion in the set-theoretic Yang-Baxter cohomology of cyclic biquandles.

3.1. The Betti number of a finite cyclic biquandle.

Definition 3.1. For a biquandle $X := (X, R_1, R_2)$, the group

$$G_X = \langle X \mid xy = R_1(x, y) R_2(x, y) \text{ for all } x, y \in X \rangle$$

is called the structure $group^3$ of X.

Let X be a biquandle. Then $C_{YB}^n(X; A)$ forms a right G_X -module, with the action defined on the generators by

$$(f \cdot y)(x_1, \dots, x_n) = f \circ d_{n+1, n+1}^l(x_1, \dots, x_n, y).$$

Proposition 3.2. Suppose that a biquandle X satisfies the following property:

(I)
$$f \cdot y = f \cdot R_1(a, y)$$
 for all $f \in C_{YB}^n(X; A)$ and for all $a, y \in X$.

Then the coboundary homomorphism $\delta^n : C^n_{YB}(X; A) \to C^{n+1}_{YB}(X; A)$ is a G_X -module homomorphism.

Proof. Let y be a generator of G_X , and let $f \in C^n_{YB}(X; A)$. We will show that $\delta^n(f \cdot y) = \delta^n(f) \cdot y$. Note that

$$\delta^{n}(f \cdot y)(x_{1}, \dots, x_{n+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{i-1} \Big\{ ((f \cdot y) \circ d_{i,n+1}^{l} - (f \cdot y) \circ d_{i,n+1}^{r})(x_{1}, \dots, x_{n+1}) \Big\},$$

$$(\delta^{n}(f) \cdot y)(x_{1}, \dots, x_{n+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{i-1} \Big\{ (f \circ d_{i,n+1}^{l} - f \circ d_{i,n+1}^{r})(d_{n+2,n+2}^{l}(x_{1}, \dots, x_{n+1}, y)) \Big\}.$$

Since X satisfies the set-theoretic Yang-Baxter equation and the property (I), we have

$$(f \cdot y) \circ d_{i,n+1}^{l}(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) = (f \circ d_{i,n+1}^{l}) \circ d_{n+2,n+2}^{l}(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}, y) \text{ and}$$
$$(f \cdot y) \circ d_{i,n+1}^{r}(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) = (f \circ d_{i,n+1}^{r}) \circ d_{n+2,n+2}^{l}(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}, y),$$

as desired. The two equations above can be more easily understood by the schematic explanations in Figure 3.1. $\hfill \Box$

³The structure group of a quandle was discussed in [12], and the structure group of a braided set, which can be regarded as its generalization, was studied in [28].





FIGURE 3.1. $\delta^n(f \cdot y) = \delta^n(f) \cdot y$

From now on, we assume that every biquandle X is finite and the multiplication by |X| is an automorphism of A.

Lemma 3.4. If a biquandle X satisfies the property (I), then $[f] = [f \cdot (\frac{1}{|X|} \sum_{y \in X} y)]$ in $H_{YB}^n(X; A)$.

Proof. Let $f \in Z_{YB}^n(X; A)$ be an *n*-cocycle. We consider $f' \in C_{YB}^{n-1}(X; A)$ defined by

$$f'(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) = \frac{1}{|X|} \sum_{y \in X} f(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, y).$$

Note that $(f' \circ d_{i,n}^r)(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \frac{1}{|X|} \sum_{y \in X} (f \circ d_{i,n+1}^r)(x_1, \ldots, x_n, y)$ by the left-invertibility condition of a biquandle. Then we have

$$\begin{split} (\delta^{n-1}f')(x_1,\ldots,x_n) &= \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} \Big\{ (f' \circ d_{i,n}^l)(x_1,\ldots,x_n) - (f' \circ d_{i,n}^r)(x_1,\ldots,x_n) \Big\} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} \frac{1}{|X|} \sum_{y \in X} \Big\{ (f \circ d_{i,n+1}^l)(x_1,\ldots,x_n,y) - (f \circ d_{i,n+1}^r)(x_1,\ldots,x_n,y) \Big\} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{i-1} \frac{1}{|X|} \sum_{y \in X} \Big\{ (f \circ d_{i,n+1}^l)(x_1,\ldots,x_n,y) - (f \circ d_{i,n+1}^r)(x_1,\ldots,x_n,y) \Big\} \\ &- (-1)^n \frac{1}{|X|} \sum_{y \in X} \Big\{ (f \circ d_{n+1,n+1}^l)(x_1,\ldots,x_n,y) - (f \circ d_{n+1,n+1}^r)(x_1,\ldots,x_n,y) \Big\} \\ &= \frac{1}{|X|} \sum_{y \in X} (\delta^n f)(x_1,\ldots,x_n,y) - (-1)^n \frac{1}{|X|} \sum_{y \in X} \Big\{ (f \cdot y)(x_1,\ldots,x_n) - f(x_1,\ldots,x_n) \Big\} \\ &= (-1)^{n+1} \Big\{ \frac{1}{|X|} \sum_{y \in X} (f \cdot y)(x_1,\ldots,x_n) - f(x_1,\ldots,x_n) \Big\} \end{split}$$

as desired.

Now let us assume that a biquandle X satisfies the property (I). Then we can consider the chain subcomplex $C^*_{inv}(X;A) := C^*_{YB}(X;A)^{G_X}$ of the cochain complex $C^*_{YB}(X;A)$ by Proposition 3.2, and the yielded cohomology $H^n_{inv}(X;A) := H^n(C^*_{inv}(X;A))$.

Lemma 3.5. Let C_m be the cyclic biquandle of order m. Then $H^*_{inv}(C_m; A) = H^*_{YB}(C_m; A)$.

Proof. Let $g = \sum_{y \in C_m} y$. By Remark 3.3 and Lemma 3.4, $[f] = [f \cdot (\frac{g}{m})^k]$ in $H^*_{YB}(C_m; A)$ for every

positive integer k. Consider $P = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (\frac{1}{m^i} g^i)$. Note that for any $(a, b) \in C_m \times C_m$, $R_2(a, b) = a - 1$, i.e., the value of R_2 does not depend on the choice of b. Then for each i we have $(f \cdot (\frac{1}{m^i} g^i))(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = f(x_1 - i, \ldots, x_n - i)$, and therefore,

$$(f \cdot P)(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} f(x_1 - i, \dots, x_n - i)$$

Then for any $z \in C_m$, $(f \cdot Pz)(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} f(x_1 - i - 1, \ldots, x_n - i - 1) = (f \cdot P)(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$. Thus, P projects the elements of $C_{YB}^*(C_m; A)$ onto $C_{inv}^*(C_m; A)$. Moreover, since P commutes with the boundary homomorphisms,

$$C_{YB}^*(C_m; A) = C_{inv}^*(C_m; A) \oplus C_{YB}^*(C_m; A)(1-P).$$

Note that $[f \cdot P^2] = [(f \cdot P) \cdot (\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (\frac{1}{m^i} g^i))] = [\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (f \cdot P)] = [f \cdot P]$ in $H^*_{YB}(C_m; A)$. Now we let $[r] \in H^n(C^*_{YB}(C_m; A)(1 - P))$. Then $[r] = [r \cdot P]$ by Lemma 3.4. On the other hand, there exists $s \in C^*_{YB}(C_m; A)$ such that $[r] = [s - s \cdot P]$. Then

$$[r] = [r \cdot P] = [s \cdot P - s \cdot P^2] = [s \cdot P] - [s \cdot P] = 0.$$

Hence, $C_{YB}^*(C_m; A)(1-P)$ is acyclic, as desired.

Theorem 3.6. The *n*th Betti number of the cyclic biquandle C_m of order *m* is m^{n-1} .

Proof. We take A to be \mathbb{Q} . Then the *n*th Betti number of C_m , i.e., $\dim H^n_{YB}(C_m; \mathbb{Q})$, is equal to $\dim H^n_{inv}(C_m; \mathbb{Q})$ by Lemma 3.5.

Note that for each $(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in C_n^{YB}(C_m; \mathbb{Q})$, its orbit is $\{(x_1 - i, \ldots, x_n - i) \mid i = 0, 1, \ldots, m - 1\}$. Thus, $C_{inv}^n(C_m; \mathbb{Q})$ has a basis consists of functions that are constant on each of these orbits. If we let $f \in C_{inv}^n(C_m; \mathbb{Q})$, then

$$(\delta^{n} f)(x_{1}, \dots, x_{n+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{i-1} \left\{ (f \circ d_{i,n+1}^{l})(x_{1}, \dots, x_{n+1}) - (f \circ d_{i,n+1}^{r})(x_{1}, \dots, x_{n+1}) \right\}$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{i-1} \left\{ f(x_{1} - 1, \dots, x_{i-1} - 1, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{n+1}) - f(x_{1}, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1} + 1, \dots, x_{n+1} + 1) \right\}$$
$$= 0$$

because $(x_1 - 1, ..., x_{i-1} - 1, x_{i+1}, ..., x_{n+1})$ and $(x_1, ..., x_{i-1}, x_{i+1} + 1, ..., x_{n+1} + 1)$ are in the same orbit. That is, $H^n_{inv}(C_m; \mathbb{Q}) = C^n_{inv}(C_m; \mathbb{Q})$, and therefore

$$\dim H^n_{YB}(C_m; \mathbb{Q}) = \dim H^n_{inv}(C_m; \mathbb{Q}) = \dim C^n_{inv}(C_m; \mathbb{Q}) = m^{n-1}.$$

Note that $C_n^D(C_m) = \text{Span}\{(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in C_n^{YB}(C_m) \mid x_i = x_{i+1} + 1 \text{ for some } i = 1, \ldots, n-1\}$, i.e., $\operatorname{rank} C_n^D(C_m) = m^n - m(m-1)^{n-1}$. In a similar way as above, one can show that $\dim H_D^n(C_m; \mathbb{Q}) = m^{n-1} - (m-1)^{n-1}$. Furthermore, it was proved in [24] that the set-theoretic Yang-Baxter homology group of C_m splits into the normalized and degenerate parts, and by the universal coefficient theorem for cohomology we completely calculate the free parts:

Corollary 3.7. For the cyclic biquandle C_m of order m,

(1) $\operatorname{rank} H_{\underline{n}}^{YB}(C_m) = m^{n-1},$

(2) rank
$$H_n^D(C_m) = m^{n-1} - (m-1)^{n-1}$$
,

(3) rank $H_n^{NYB}(C_m) = (m-1)^{n-1}$.

The above partially proves Conjecture 1.1.

Corollary 3.8. Let ∂_n be the *n*th boundary homomorphism of the chain complex $(C_n^{YB}(C_m), \partial_n)$. Then we have

(1) dim(Im ∂_n) = $\frac{(-1)^n (m-1)\{(-1)^n m^n + m\})}{m(m+1)}$ (2) dim(Ker ∂_n) = $m^n - \frac{(-1)^n (m-1)\{(-1)^n m^n + m\})}{m(m+1)}$

Proof. These follow directly from the recursive formulas given below, which are obtained by Corollary 3.7(1):

$$\begin{cases} \dim(\operatorname{Ker}\partial_n) + \dim(\operatorname{Im}\partial_n) = m^n;\\ \dim(\operatorname{Ker}\partial_n) - \dim(\operatorname{Im}\partial_{n+1}) = m^{n-1};\\ \dim(\operatorname{Ker}\partial_1) = m, \ \dim(\operatorname{Im}\partial_1) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Let $C = (C_n, \partial_n)$ be a chain complex. For each chain group C_n , we consider its dual cochain groups $C_n^* = \text{Hom}(C_n, \mathbb{Z})$ and $\widetilde{C_n}^* = \text{Hom}(C_n, \mathbb{Q})$, and the inclusion $\iota : \mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}$. For an *n*-cocycle $f \in Z_n^*$, we denote by $[f]_{\mathbb{Q}} = [\iota \circ f]$.

Let C_m be the cyclic biquandle of order m. For each *n*-tuple $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in (C_m)^n$, we consider the function $f_{\mathbf{x}} \in C_{VB}^n(C_m; \mathbb{Z})$ defined by

$$f_{\mathbf{x}}(y_1, \dots, y_n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (y_1, \dots, y_n) = (x_1 - i, \dots, x_n - i) \text{ for some } i = 0, \dots, m - 1; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and extended linearly on $C_n^{YB}(C_m)$. There are in total m^{n-1} such functions. Let us denote them by $F_1, \ldots, F_{m^{n-1}}$ and define the set $FR^n(C_m; \mathbb{Z}) = \{F_i \mid i = 1, 2, \ldots, m^{n-1}\}$.

Remark 3.9. The set $\{[F_i]_{\mathbb{Q}} \mid F_i \in FR^n(C_m; \mathbb{Z})\}$ is a basis for $H^n_{YB}(C_m; \mathbb{Q})$, as described in the proof of Theorem 3.6.

Proposition 3.10. Let C_m be the cyclic biquandle of order m. Then

- (1) for any $f \in Z_{YB}^n(C_m; \mathbb{Z})$ and $y \in C_m, m[f \cdot y] = m[f],$
- (2) the submodule $\text{Span}\{[F_i] \mid F_i \in FR^n(C_m; \mathbb{Z})\}$ is contained in $\text{Free}H^n_{YB}(C_m; \mathbb{Z})$,
- (3) the set $\{[F_i] \mid F_i \in FR^n(C_m; \mathbb{Z})\}$ is linearly independent.

Proof. (1) By Lemma 3.4, we have $m[f] = [f \cdot (\sum_{y \in C_m} y)] = [f \cdot (my)] = m[f \cdot y].$

(2) We denote the submodule $\operatorname{Span}\{[F_i] \mid F_i \in FR^n(C_m; \mathbb{Z})\}$ of $H^n_{YB}(C_m; \mathbb{Z})$ by M_F . Let $[f] \in M_F \cap \operatorname{Tor} H^n_{YB}(C_m; \mathbb{Z})$, i.e., $f = \sum_{i=1}^{m^{n-1}} k_i F_i$ $(k_i \in \mathbb{Z})$. If [f] = 0, we are done. Assume that $[f] \neq 0$. Then $[f]_{\mathbb{Q}} \neq 0$ in $H^n_{VB}(C_m; \mathbb{Q})$ because $H^n_{VB}(C_m; \mathbb{Q}) = \operatorname{Span}\{[F_i]_{\mathbb{Q}} \mid F_i \in FR^n(C_m; \mathbb{Z})\}$

Then $[f]_{\mathbb{Q}} \neq 0$ in $H^n_{YB}(C_m; \mathbb{Q})$ because $H^n_{YB}(C_m; \mathbb{Q}) = \text{Span}\{[F_i]_{\mathbb{Q}} \mid F_i \in FR^n(C_m; \mathbb{Z})\}$. Since $[f] \in \text{Tor}H^n_{YB}(C_m; \mathbb{Z})$, $pf = \delta^{n-1}g$ for some $g \in C^{n-1}(C_m; \mathbb{Z})$ and for some integer p > 1. Thus, $p[f]_{\mathbb{Q}} = 0$ in $H^n_{YB}(C_m; \mathbb{Q})$, which contradicts with the fact that $[f]_{\mathbb{Q}} \neq 0$. Therefore, $M_F \cap \text{Tor}H^n_{YB}(C_m; \mathbb{Z})$ is trivial, as desired.

(3) Suppose that $\sum_{i=1}^{m^{n-1}} k_i[F_i] = 0$ $(k_i \in \mathbb{Z})$. Then we have $0 = \sum_{i=1}^{m^{n-1}} k_i[F_i]_{\mathbb{Q}}$, which implies that $k_i = 0$ for all i because $\{[F_i]_{\mathbb{Q}} \mid F_i \in FR^n(C_m; \mathbb{Z})\}$ is a basis for $H^n_{YB}(C_m; \mathbb{Q})$.

3.2. The torsion of a finite cyclic biquandle.

The 1st normalized set-theoretic Yang-Baxter homology of every finite cyclic biquandle was determined in [24].

Theorem 3.11. [24] Let C_m be the cyclic biquandle of order m. Then $H_1^{NYB}(C_m) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_m$.

We proceed to show that the 2nd set-theoretic Yang-Baxter homology of finite cyclic biquandles are torsion-free.

Theorem 3.12. For every finite cyclic biquandle, its 2nd set-theoretic Yang-Baxter homology group is torsion-free. Hence, $H_2^{YB}(C_m) = \mathbb{Z}^m$.

Proof. For the cyclic biquandle C_m of order m, dim $(\text{Im}\partial_3) = (m-1)^2$ by Corollary 3.8. Thus, we only need to show that all of $(m-1)^2$ diagonal elements of the Smith normal form of ∂_3 are ± 1 s. To do this, we will find an $(m-1)^2 \times (m-1)^2$ minor M of ∂_3 such that the determinant of M is ± 1 , so that the (m-1)th determinant divisor of the Smith normal form of ∂_3 is ± 1 .

Consider the minor M of ∂_3 corresponding to the generators $\{(0, 1, 0), (0, 1, 1), \dots, (0, 1, m-1), (0, 2, 0), (0, 2, 1), \dots, (0, 2, m-1), (0, 3, 0), \dots, (0, m-3, m-1), (0, m-2, 0), (0, m-2, 1), \dots, (0, m-2, m-1), (0, m-1, 0)\}$ of $C_3^{YB}(C_m)$ and the generators $\{(1, 0), (1, 1), \dots, (1, m-1), (2, 0), (2, 1), \dots, (2, m-1), (3, 0), \dots, (m-3, m-1), (m-2, 0), (m-2, 1), \dots, (m-2, m-1), (0, m-1)\}$ of $C_2^{YB}(C_m)$. Then M forms a lower triangular matrix with diagonal elements all equal to 1, except for the last one, which is -1. Because for each $1 \leq i \leq m-2$ and $1 \leq j \leq m-1$,

 $\partial_3(0,i,j) = (i,j) - (i+1,j+1) - (m-1,j) + (0,j+1) + (m-1,i-1) - (0,i).$

Note that in the image of ∂_3 above, the first term is (i, j), and the first entries of all the remaining terms are either greater than i or equal to 0. Moreover,

$$\partial_3(0, m-1, 0) = (m-1, m-2) - (0, m-1).$$

ne determinant of *M* is -1, as desired.

Remark 3.13. By the splitness of the set-theoretic Yang-Baxter homology of cyclic biquandles [24], Corollary 3.7, Theorem 3.11, and Theorem 3.12, we completely compute the 1st and 2nd set-theoretic Yang-Baxter homology, degenerate set-theoretic Yang-Baxter homology, and normalized set-theoretic Yang-Baxter homology of all finite cyclic biquandles as follows.

For every positive integer m,

Therefore, th

$$\begin{cases} H_1^{YB}(C_m) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_m, \\ H_1^D(C_m) = 0, \\ H_1^{NYB}(C_m) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_m. \end{cases}$$
$$\begin{cases} H_2^{YB}(C_m) = \mathbb{Z}^m, \\ H_2^D(C_m) = \mathbb{Z}, \\ H_2^{NYB}(C_m) = \mathbb{Z}^{(m-1)}. \end{cases}$$

We move on to compute the torsion in the 3rd set-theoretic Yang-Baxter homology of C_3 . Before that, we investigate some non-trivial torsion homology classes.

Proposition 3.14. Let C_m be the cyclic biquandle of order m. For a fixed $y \in C_m$, the following is a (2n + 1)-cycle:

$$A_{2n+1,y} := \sum_{a_1,\dots,a_n \in C_m} (y, a_1, a_1, \dots, a_n, a_n).$$

Proof. By direct computation.

Theorem 3.15. [24] Let C_m be the cyclic biquandle of order m. For $n \geq 2$, consider the maps $\theta_n \in C_{YB}^n(C_m; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ defined by

$$\theta_n(x_1,\ldots,x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n x_i \pmod{2}$$

and extending linearly to all elements of $C_n^{YB}(C_m)$. Then θ_n is an *n*-cocycle when *m* is even.

Theorem 3.16. Let C_m be the cyclic biquandle of order m. If m = 4k - 2 $(k \in \mathbb{N})$, then the homology class $[A_{2n+1,y} - A_{2n+1,y+1}]$ is non-trivial and belongs to $\operatorname{Tor} H_{2n+1}^{YB}(C_m)$.

Proof. For a fixed $y \in C_m$, we consider $B_{2n+2,y} := \sum_{z,a_1,\dots,a_n \in C_m} (z, y, a_1, a_1, \dots, a_n, a_n)$. By direct com-

putation, we have

$$\partial_{2n+2}(B_{2n+2,y}) = m(A_{2n+1,y} - A_{2n+1,y+1}).$$

Thus, we only need to show $[A_{2n+1,y} - A_{2n+1,y+1}]$ is non-trivial.

Consider the cocycle θ_{2n+1} given in Theorem 3.15. The restriction $\theta_{2n+1}^Z := \theta_{2n+1}|_{Z_{2n+1}^{YB}(C_m)}$ induces the quotient homomorphism $\bar{\theta}_{2n+1}^Z : H_{2n+1}^{YB}(C_m) \to \mathbb{Z}_2$, where $Z_{2n+1}^{YB}(C_m) = \operatorname{Ker}\partial_{2n+1}$. Since m = 4k - 2, there are 2k - 1 odd numbers in C_m . Therefore, $\bar{\theta}_{2n+1}^Z([A_{2n+1,y} - A_{2n+1,y+1}]) \equiv (2k-1)^n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, that is, $[A_{2n+1,y} - A_{2n+1,y+1}]$ is non-trivial.

We then approximate the torsions in the higher-dimensional set-theoretic Yang-Baxter cohomology of finite cyclic biquandles. The following is an annihilation theorem for those torsions.

Theorem 3.17. Let C_m be the cyclic biquandle of order m. Then the torsion subgroup of $H^n_{YB}(C_m; \mathbb{Z})$ is annihilated by m if m is odd and by 2m if m is even.

Proof. Let $f \in Z_{YB}^n(C_m; \mathbb{Z})$ be an *n*-cocycle, and let $y \in C_m$ be fixed. Consider $f_y \in C_{YB}^{n-1}(C_m; \mathbb{Z})$, defined by

$$f_y(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}) = f(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}, y).$$

Note that

$$\begin{split} \delta^{n-1}(f_y)(x_1,\dots,x_n) &= \delta^{n-1}(f_y)(x_1,\dots,x_n) - \delta^n(f)(x_1,\dots,x_n,y) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} f_y \circ (d_{i,n}^l - d_{i,n}^r)(x_1,\dots,x_n) \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{i-1} f \circ (d_{i,n+1}^l - d_{i,n+1}^r)(x_1,\dots,x_n,y) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i \Big\{ f_y \circ d_{i,n}^r(x_1,\dots,x_n) - f \circ d_{i,n+1}^r(x_1,\dots,x_n,y) \Big\} \\ &\quad - (-1)^n f \circ (d_{n+1,n+1}^l - d_{n+1,n+1}^r)(x_1,\dots,x_n,y) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i \Big\{ f_y \circ d_{i,n}^r(x_1,\dots,x_n) - f_{y+1} \circ d_{i,n}^r(x_1,\dots,x_n) \Big\} \\ &\quad - (-1)^n \Big\{ (f \cdot y)(x_1,\dots,x_n) - f(x_1,\dots,x_n) \Big\}. \end{split}$$

Denote by $\Delta_y f := \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i (f_y \circ d_{i,n}^r - f_{y+1} \circ d_{i,n}^r)$. Then $\Delta_y f - (-1)^n (f \cdot y - f) \in \operatorname{Im} \delta^{n-1}$. Thus, we have

(3.1)
$$[f \cdot y] - [f] = [\Delta_y f].$$

Then since $[f \cdot y^k] - [f \cdot y^{k-1}] = [\Delta_y f \cdot y^{k-1}]$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $[f \cdot y^k] - [f] = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} [\Delta_y f \cdot y^j]$. Therefore, (3.2) $\left[f \cdot (\sum_{i=1}^m y^i)\right] - [mf] = \sum_{i=1}^m ([f \cdot y^i] - [f]) = \left[\Delta_y f \cdot (\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} y^j)\right].$

Note that

$$f_y \circ d_{i,n}^r(x_1, \dots, x_n) = f(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1} + 1, \dots, x_n + 1, y)$$

= $f_y \circ d_{i,n}^l(x_1 + 1, \dots, x_n + 1)$
= $((f_y \circ d_{i,n}^l) \cdot y^{-1})(x_1, \dots, x_n),$

i.e., $(f_y \circ d_i^r) \cdot y = f_y \circ d_i^l$. Then we have

$$\begin{split} \delta^{n-1}(f_y - f_{y+1}) &= \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} \Big\{ (f_y \circ d_{i,n}^l - f_y \circ d_{i,n}^r) - (f_{y+1} \circ d_{i,n}^l - f_{y+1} \circ d_{i,n}^r) \Big\} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} \Big\{ (f_y \circ d_{i,n}^l - f_{y+1} \circ d_{i,n}^l) - (f_y \circ d_{i,n}^r - f_{y+1} \circ d_{i,n}^r) \Big\} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} \Big\{ ((f_y \circ d_{i,n}^r) \cdot y - (f_{y+1} \circ d_{i,n}^r) \cdot y) - (f_y \circ d_{i,n}^r - f_{y+1} \circ d_{i,n}^r) \Big\} \\ &= -(\Delta_y f) \cdot y + \Delta_y f. \end{split}$$

Then $[(\Delta_y f) \cdot y] = [\Delta_y f]$, and therefore, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, (3.3) $[\Delta_y f] = [(\Delta_y f) \cdot y] = \cdots = [(\Delta_y f) \cdot y^k].$

By the equations 3.2 and 3.3, we have

(3.4)
$$\left[f \cdot \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} y^{i}\right)\right] - [mf] = \left[\frac{m(m+1)}{2}\Delta_{y}f\right].$$

Moreover, $m[\Delta_y f] = m[f \cdot y] - m[f] = 0$ by the equation 3.1 and Lemma 3.10(1). Thus, by the equation 3.4

$$\begin{cases} m[f] = \left[f \cdot \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} y^{i}\right) \right], & \text{if } m \text{ is odd;} \\ 2m[f] = 2\left[f \cdot \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} y^{i}\right) \right], & \text{if } m \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

Note that $\left[f \cdot (\sum_{i=1}^{m} y^i)\right]$ belongs to the free part of $H_{YB}^n(C_m; \mathbb{Z})$ by Lemma 3.10(2). Therefore, $m \operatorname{Tor} H_{YB}^n(C_m; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ if m is odd and $2m \operatorname{Tor} H_{YB}^n(C_m; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ if m is even.

Remark 3.18. The same results can be obtained for the degenerate and the normalized set-theoretic Yang-Baxter (co)homology of a finite cyclic biquandle as in Theorem 3.17, because the set-theoretic Yang-Baxter homology splits into the degenerate part and the normalized part [24].

Combining the results above, one can compute the torsion in the 3rd set-theoretic Yang-Baxter homology of C_3 .

Theorem 3.19. $H_3^{YB}(C_3) = \mathbb{Z}^9 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3.$

 $\begin{array}{l} \textit{Proof. Note that Free} H_3^{YB}(C_3) = \mathbb{Z}^9 \text{ by Corollary 3.7(1). For } \partial_4 : C_4^{YB}(C_3) \rightarrow C_3^{YB}(C_3), \dim(\mathrm{Im}\partial_4) = 14 \text{ by Corollary 3.8. Consider the } 13 \times 13 \text{ minor } M \text{ of } \partial_4 \text{ corresponding to the generators } \{(0,1,0,0), (0,1,0,1), (0,1,0,2), (0,1,1,0), (0,1,1,2), (0,1,2,0), (0,1,2,1), (0,1,2,2), (0,0,2,2) + (0,1,0,0), (0,0,2,0) + (0,1,0,1), (0,0,2,1) + (0,1,0,2), (0,0,1,1) + (0,1,2,2) - (0,0,2,2) - (0,1,0,0) \} \text{ of } C_4^{YB}(C_3) \end{array}$

and the generators $\{(1,0,0), (1,0,1), (1,0,2), (1,1,0), (1,1,1), (1,1,2), (1,2,0), (1,2,1), (1,2,2), (0,2,2), (0,2,0), (0,2,1), (0,1,1)\}$ of $C_3^{YB}(C_3)$ given below.

	Γ1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	[0
	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
M =	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
	0	0	0	0	1	1	-1	0	0	0	0	0	1

Since the determinant of M is 1, the 13th determinant divisor of the Smith normal form of ∂_4 is 1. Therefore, if $H_3^{YB}(C_3)$ is not torsion-free, then $\text{Tor}H_3^{YB}(C_3) = \mathbb{Z}_3$ by the universal coefficient theorem for cohomology and Theorem 3.17.

Consider the 3-cocycle $\phi \in C^3_{YB}(C_3; \mathbb{Z}_3)$ defined by

$$\phi(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (x_1, x_2, x_3) = (0, 1, 1), (2, 2, 0); \\ 2 & \text{if } (x_1, x_2, x_3) = (0, 1, 2), (2, 2, 2); \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For the induced homomorphism $\bar{\phi}: H_3^{YB}(C_3) \to \mathbb{Z}_3$ given by $\bar{\phi}([a]) = \phi(a)$, we have $\bar{\phi}([A_{3,1} - A_{3,2}]) = \phi(A_{3,1} - A_{3,2}) = 1 \neq 0$. That is, $[A_{3,1} - A_{3,2}] \neq 0$ in $H_3^{YB}(C_3)$. Moreover, $[A_{3,1} - A_{3,2}]$ is a torsion element as $\partial_4(B_{4,1}) = 3(A_{3,1} - A_{3,2})$, where $B_{4,1} = \sum_{z,a \in C_3} (z, 1, a, a)$. Hence, $H_3^{YB}(C_3)$ is not torsion-free,

as desired.

Table 1 summarizes the results of the set-theoretic Yang-Baxter cohomology of finite cyclic biquandles obtained so far.

TABLE 1. Set-theoretic Yang-Baxter homology groups of cyclic biquandles

\overline{n}	1	2	3	4	5	• • •
$H_n^{YB}(C_2)$	$\mathbb{Z}\oplus\mathbb{Z}_2$	\mathbb{Z}^2	$\mathbb{Z}^4\oplus\mathbb{Z}_2$	\mathbb{Z}^8	$\mathbb{Z}^{16}\oplus\mathbb{Z}_2$	
$H_n^D(C_2)$	0	\mathbb{Z}	\mathbb{Z}^3	\mathbb{Z}^7	\mathbb{Z}^{15}	
$H_n^{NYB}(C_2)$	$\mathbb{Z}\oplus\mathbb{Z}_2$	\mathbb{Z}	$\mathbb{Z}\oplus\mathbb{Z}_2$	\mathbb{Z}	$\mathbb{Z}\oplus\mathbb{Z}_2$	
$H_n^{YB}(C_3)$	$\mathbb{Z}\oplus\mathbb{Z}_3$	\mathbb{Z}^3	$\mathbb{Z}^9\oplus\mathbb{Z}_3$	$\mathbb{Z}^{27}\oplus$?	$\mathbb{Z}^{81}\oplus$?	
$H_n^D(C_3)$	0	\mathbb{Z}	$\mathbb{Z}^5\oplus$?	$\mathbb{Z}^{19}\oplus$?	$\mathbb{Z}^{65}\oplus$?	• • •
$H_n^{NYB}(C_3)$	$\mathbb{Z}\oplus\mathbb{Z}_3$	\mathbb{Z}^2	$\mathbb{Z}^4\oplus$?	$\mathbb{Z}^8\oplus ?$	$\mathbb{Z}^{16}\oplus$?	
$H_n^{YB}(C_4)$	$\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4$	\mathbb{Z}^4	$\mathbb{Z}^{16}\oplus$?	$\mathbb{Z}^{64}\oplus \red{2}$	$\mathbb{Z}^{256}\oplus$?	
$H_n^D(C_4)$	0	\mathbb{Z}	$\mathbb{Z}^7\oplus$?	$\mathbb{Z}^{37}\oplus$?	$\mathbb{Z}^{175}\oplus$?	• • •
$H_n^{NYB}(C_4)$	$\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4$	\mathbb{Z}^3	$\mathbb{Z}^9\oplus$?	$\mathbb{Z}^{27}\oplus$?	$\mathbb{Z}^{81}\oplus$?	
$H_n^{YB}(C_5)$	$\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_5$	\mathbb{Z}^5	$\mathbb{Z}^{25}\oplus$?	$\mathbb{Z}^{125}\oplus$?	$\mathbb{Z}^{625}\oplus$?	
$H_n^D(C_5)$	0	\mathbb{Z}	$\mathbb{Z}^9\oplus ?$	$\mathbb{Z}^{61}\oplus$?	$\mathbb{Z}^{369}\oplus$?	• • •
$H_n^{NYB}(C_5)$	$\mathbb{Z}\oplus\mathbb{Z}_5$	\mathbb{Z}^4	$\mathbb{Z}^{16}\oplus$?	$\mathbb{Z}^{64}\oplus$?	$\mathbb{Z}^{256}\oplus$?	
:	•	:	:	:	:	

4. Future research

Based on Conjecture 1.1, we can observe that Theorem 3.17 closely approximates the torsions of the set-theoretic Yang-Baxter (co)homology of finite cyclic biquandles C_m when m is odd, but not when m is even. Furthermore, not much is known about the (co)homology groups of other biquandles, such as Alexander biquandles.

5. Acknowledgements

The work of Xiao Wang was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11901229, No. 22341304, No. 12371029). The work of Seung Yeop Yang was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korean government (MSIT)(No. 2022R1A5A1033624) and by Global - Learning & Academic research institution for Master's PhD students, and Postdocs (G-LAMP) Program of the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Ministry of Education (No. RS-2023-00301914).

References

- [1] R. J. Baxter, Partition function of the eight-vertex lattice model, Ann. Physics 70 (1972), 193-228.
- [2] J. S. Carter, M. Elhamdadi, and M. Saito, Homology theory for the set-theoretic Yang-Baxter equation and knot invariants from generalizations of quandles, Fund. Math. 184 (2004), 31-54.
- [3] V. G. Drinfel'd, Quantum groups, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. 1, 2 (Berkeley, Calif., 1986), 798–820, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987.
- [4] P. Etingof and M. Graña, On rack cohomology, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 177 (2003), no. 1, 49-59.
- [5] P. Freyd, D. Yetter, J. Hoste, W. B. R. Lickorish, K. Millett, and A. Ocneanu, A new polynomial invariant of knots and links, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 12 (1985), no. 2, 239–246.
- [6] J. Fröhlich, Statistics of fields, the Yang-Baxter equation, and the theory of knots and links, Nonperturbative quantum field theory (Cargèse, 1987), 71–100, NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. B Phys., 185, Plenum, New York, 1988.
- [7] A. García Iglesias and L. Vendramin, An explicit description of the second cohomology group of a quandle, Math. Z. 286 (2017), no. 3-4, 1041–1063.
- [8] V. F. R. Jones, Hecke algebra representations of braid groups and link polynomials, Ann. of Math. (2) 126 (1987), no. 2, 335-388.
- [9] V. F. R. Jones, On knot invariants related to some statistical mechanical models, Pacific J. Math. 137 (1989), no. 2, 311–334.
- [10] A. Ishii, M. Iwakiri, S. Kamada, J. Kim, S. Matsuzaki, and K. Oshiro, *Biquandle (co)homology and handlebody-links*, J. Knot Theory Ramifications 27 (2018), no. 11, 1843011, 33 pp.
- [11] K. Ishikawa and K. Tanaka, Quandle colorings vs. biquandle colorings, Preprint; e-print: arxiv.org/abs/1912.12917.
- [12] D. Joyce, A classifying invariant of knots, the knot quandle, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 23 (1982), no. 1, 37–65.
- [13] S. Kamada, A. Kawauchi, J. Kim, and S. Y. Lee, Biquandle cohomology and state-sum invariants of links and surface-links, J. Knot Theory Ramifications 27 (2018), no. 11, 1843016, 37 pp.
- [14] C. Kassel, Quantum groups, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 155. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
- [15] L. H. Kauffman and S. J. Lomonaco Jr., Braiding Operators are Universal Quantum Gates, New J. Phys. 6 (2004) 134.
- [16] L. H. Kauffman and D. Radford, Bi-oriented quantum algebras, and a generalized Alexander polynomial for virtual links, Diagrammatic morphisms and applications (San Francisco, CA, 2000), 113–140, Contemp. Math., 318, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003.
- [17] V. Lebed, Homologies of algebraic structures via braidings and quantum shuffles, J. Algebra 391 (2013), 152-192.
- [18] R. A. Litherland and S. Nelson, The Betti numbers of some finite racks, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 178 (2003), no. 2, 187–202.
- [19] S. Nelson and J. Rosenfield, Bikei homology, Homology Homotopy Appl. 19 (2017), no. 1, 23–35.
- [20] M. Niebrzydowski and J. H. Przytycki, Homology operations on homology of quandles, J. Algebra 324 (2010), no. 7, 1529–1548.
- [21] T. Nosaka, On quandle homology groups of Alexander quandles of prime order, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 365 (2013), no. 7, 3413–3436.
- [22] J. H. Przytycki, Knots and distributive homology: from arc colorings to Yang-Baxter homology, New ideas in low dimensional topology, 413-488, Ser. Knots Everything, 56, World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2015.
- [23] J. H. Przytycki and P. Traczyk, Invariants of links of Conway type, Kobe J. Math. 4 (1988), no. 2, 115–139.
- [24] J. H. Przytycki, P. Vojtěchovský, and S. Y. Yang, Set-theoretic Yang-Baxter (co)homology theory of involutive non-degenerate solutions, to appear in J. Knot Theory Ramifications; e-print: arxiv.org/abs/1911.03009

- [25] J. H. Przytycki and S. Y. Yang, The torsion of a finite quasigroup quandle is annihilated by its order, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 219 (2015), no. 10, 4782–4791.
- [26] J. H. Przytycki and S. Y. Yang, Annihilation of torsion in homology of finite m-AQ quandles, J. Knot Theory Ramifications 25 (2016), no. 12, 1642012, 16 pp.
- [27] H. J. S. Smith, On systems of linear indeterminate equations and congruences, Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. 151 (1) (1861), 293–326.
- [28] A. Soloviev, Non-unitary set-theoretical solutions to the quantum Yang-Baxter equation, Math. Res. Lett. 7 (2000), no. 5-6, 577–596.
- [29] V. G. Turaev, The Yang-Baxter equation and invariants of links, Invent. Math. 92 (1988), no. 3, 527-553.
- [30] X. Wang and S. Y. Yang, The geometric realization of a normalized set-theoretic Yang-Baxter homology of biquandles, J. Knot Theory Ramifications 31 (2022), no. 9, 2250051, 18 pp.
- [31] C. N. Yang, Some exact results for the many-body problem in one dimension with repulsive delta-function interaction, Phys. Rev. Lett. 19 (1967), 1312-1315.
- [32] S. Y. Yang, Torsion in homology of dihedral quandles of even order, Kyungpook Math. J. 60 (2020), no. 2, 279–288.

Email address, Minyi Liang: liangmymath@gmail.com Email address, Xiao Wang: wangxiaotop@jlu.edu.cn Email address, Seung Yeop Yang: seungyeop.yang@knu.ac.kr

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, JILIN UNIVERSITY, CHANGCHUN, 130012, CHINA

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, JILIN UNIVERSITY, CHANGCHUN, 130012, CHINA

KNU G-LAMP PROJECT GROUP, KNU INSTITUTE OF BASIC SCIENCES, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KYUNG-POOK NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, DAEGU, 41566, REPUBLIC OF KOREA