

**EISENSTEIN SERIES ON ARITHMETIC QUOTIENTS OF RANK 2
KAC–MOODY GROUPS OVER FINITE FIELDS,
WITH AN APPENDIX BY PAUL GARRETT**

ABID ALI AND LISA CARBONE[†]

ABSTRACT. Let G be an affine or hyperbolic rank 2 Kac–Moody group over a finite field \mathbb{F}_q . Let $X = X_{q+1}$ be the Tits building of G , the $(q+1)$ –homogeneous tree, and let Γ be a nonuniform lattice in G . When Γ is a standard parabolic subgroup for the negative BN –pair, we define Eisenstein series on $\Gamma \backslash X$ and prove its convergence in a half space using Iwasawa decomposition of the Haar measure on G . A crucial tool is a description of the vertices of X in terms of Iwasawa cells. We also prove meromorphic continuation of the Eisenstein series using the Selberg–Bernstein continuation principle. This requires us to construct an integral operator on the Tits building X and a truncation operator for the Eisenstein series.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $k = \mathbb{F}_q$ be a finite field of cardinality q and G be a complete affine or hyperbolic rank 2 Kac–Moody group over k . Let $X = X_{q+1}$ be the Tits building of G . Then [10] X is the $(q+1)$ –homogeneous tree. Let $\Gamma = P_1^-$ be the standard parabolic subgroup for the negative BN –pair associated to a choice of simple root. Then Γ is a nonuniform lattice in G . We define Eisenstein series on $\Gamma \backslash X$. The definition of Eisenstein series for $\Gamma' = P_2^-$ works just as well with the obvious modifications. Our Eisenstein series is a combinatorial analog of the classical non-holomorphic Eisenstein series on the Poincaré upper half plane, where the Tits building X of G plays the role of the upper half plane.

To discuss our construction of Eisenstein series on Kac–Moody groups, we first consider the analog for $G = PGL_2(k((t^{-1})))$, where $k((t^{-1}))$ denotes the field of formal Laurent series over k . Let K be a maximal compact subgroup of G and let $\Gamma \leq G$ be a discrete subgroup such as $PGL_2(k[t])$. One can define a combinatorial Laplace operator T , which is induced by the *adjacency operator*, and it operates on functions defined on vertices of the Tits building. The vertices of the Tits building are encoded in the coset space G/K . One may also consider Γ –automorphic functions on the quotient $\Gamma \backslash G/K$. The *spectrum*, $Spec(T)$, consists of eigenvalues of T on $\Gamma \backslash G/K$. It is known that $Spec(T) \subset \mathbb{R}$, $Spec(T)$ is symmetric about the origin, has a continuous part that contains the origin and has finitely many discrete values ([16]). *Eisenstein series* are eigenfunctions of T on $\Gamma \backslash G/K$ whose eigenvalues represent the continuous spectrum of T .

More precisely, let X be the Tits building of G and $\Gamma = PGL_2(k[t])$. Efrat obtained a decomposition of $L^2(\Gamma \backslash X)$ into T –invariant subspaces generated by discrete L^2 –eigenfunctions and a family of continuous eigenfunctions of T given by suitable Eisenstein series. Namely, he showed that $L^2(\Gamma \backslash X) = R \oplus E$ where R is generated by the constant and alternating functions, and E is generated by a family of continuous eigenfunctions of T that satisfy a functional equation. Later, using the Bruhat–Tits tree, Nagoshi [32] gave new examples of the Selberg trace formula for principal congruence subgroups of $PGL_2(k[t])$. He expressed the Selberg zeta function as a determinant of the Laplacian which is composed of both discrete and continuous spectra.

Date: February 20, 2024.

[†]This work was partially supported by the Simons Foundation, Mathematics and Physical Sciences-Collaboration Grants for Mathematicians, Award Number 961267.

Previously, Harder [25] defined Eisenstein series for Chevalley groups over function fields as a function field analog of Langlands' theory of Eisenstein series for semisimple algebraic groups. These Eisenstein series converge in a half space. Harder proved analytic continuation which is simpler than Langlands' method in the number field case. He also proved that the Eisenstein series are rational functions which satisfy a functional equation. Building on Harder's work, Li [30] developed a full theory of Eisenstein series for GL_2 over function fields. She studied the intertwining operators from constant Fourier coefficients, showed that they are rational and satisfy a functional equation. She developed the theory of spectral decomposition for automorphic eigenfunctions of a certain Hecke operator, writing them as a sum of an Eisenstein series and a cusp form.

In this work, we consider an extension of the above results to rank 2 affine or hyperbolic Kac–Moody groups. Although Garland showed that affine Kac–Moody groups are central extensions of Chevalley groups over fields of formal Laurent series [19], there is no such analogous structure theorem for hyperbolic Kac–Moody groups and for these groups we must develop a theory of Eisenstein series from first principles.

The complete rank 2 Kac–Moody group $G = G_A^\lambda(\mathbb{k})$ we consider here was constructed in [10] using an integrable highest weight module V^λ of the underlying Kac–Moody algebra corresponding to a dominant integral highest weight λ . The group G is locally compact and totally disconnected, and in particular it admits a Haar measure. Such Kac–Moody groups have a twin BN -pair corresponding to positive and negative roots and a corresponding Tits building, a homogeneous tree X , which is locally finite. As mentioned at the beginning, the standard parabolic subgroup $\Gamma := P_1^-$ of the negative BN -pair is a nonuniform lattice subgroup of G [10, 34], analogous to $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ in $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ and $SL_2(\mathbb{k}[t])$ in $SL_2(\mathbb{k}((t^{-1})))$. That is, Γ is a discrete subgroup of G with finite covolume $\mu(\Gamma \backslash G)$ relative to a Haar measure μ on G and has non-compact quotient $\Gamma \backslash G$. It follows that Γ acts on the tree X with finite vertex stabilizers (see [10] and [1]). We note that the twin BN -pair structure on G admits a twin structure X^\pm on the Tits building. However, we will not use the twin structure here and we denote the Tits building as $X := X^+$.

We define Eisenstein series for the combinatorial Laplacian on the Tits building of our rank 2 Kac–Moody group G . We can therefore make use of Haar measure for convergence of Eisenstein series. To define Eisenstein series, we start with the unipotent part of the minimal parabolic subgroup for the spherical BN -pair, choose a lattice subgroup of G and define a discrete eigenfunction (quasi-character) on the spherical torus, extending it to the whole of G via the Iwasawa decomposition. We then average over an appropriate coset relative to a subgroup of the stabilizer of the standard apartment.

For our Kac–Moody group G , the Weyl group is infinite. To determine the constant term and to prove convergence of Eisenstein series for the rank 2 Kac–Moody group G , we avoid integrating over infinitely many Bruhat cells by associating a spherical building for G with respect to a finite 'spherical' Weyl group with the corresponding Bruhat decomposition:

$$G = \mathcal{B} \sqcup \mathcal{B}w_1\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B} \sqcup \mathcal{B}w_2\mathcal{B},$$

where \mathcal{B} is the stabilizer of the end of the fundamental apartment of the Tits building (see also [10]).

There are several other ingredients which are crucial to our study of Eisenstein series. Our results depend heavily on the structure of the fundamental domain for a nonuniform lattice $\Gamma \leq G$. We conjecture that the fundamental domain has the structure of a finite core graph with finitely many cusps which are semi-infinite rays [8]. We will work with the choice of lattice $\Gamma = P_1^-$ whose fundamental domain on the Tits building is a single vertex to which one cusp (semi-infinite ray) is attached. For meromorphic continuation of Eisenstein series, we will use an analog of the classical truncation operator of Arthur [2] (Section 9). We also construct integral operators on the Tits building X (Section 8). With minor modifications, we can also obtain Eisenstein series relative

to other lattices in G , such as the minimal parabolic subgroup B^- . It would be interesting to determine if there are cusp forms on $\Gamma \backslash X$ for Γ a congruence subgroup of a lattice, as constructed in [1].

The appendix on “Meromorphic continuation of Eisenstein series via Bernstein’s continuation principle” expands the results of [3] for use in this paper. Our setting, where the Tits building is one dimensional, allows us to simplify the proof of meromorphic continuation in the classical case. In particular, the quotient graph $\Gamma \backslash X$ has the particularly simple structure of a semi-infinite ray. This enables us to work with an exact fundamental domain rather than a Siegel set as in the classical case. We find that our analog of the truncation operator (Section 9) is identically zero on the exact fundamental domain. Hence it is trivially a compact operator. While it is possible to define Siegel sets and related notions such as parabolic elements and rapid decay of the constant term, these notions turn out not to be required for our proof of meromorphic continuation of Eisenstein series.

There are certain challenges associated with generalizing our results to higher rank. Our methods crucially depend on the simple structure of the root system of a rank 2 Kac–Moody algebra (see, for example, page 38 of [10]). Determining the structure of the root systems of higher rank Kac–Moody algebras would need to be done on a case-by-case basis. Moreover, our results also depend on a spherical BN-pair for rank 2 Kac–Moody groups (constructed in *op.cit.*). Such spherical BN-pairs do not exist in higher rank (see [40], [41]). Our techniques for meromorphic continuation of Eisenstein series use harmonic analysis on the Tits building, which in rank 2 is a tree. There are further complications in extending these results to higher rank Tits buildings. Such a theory is not currently well developed for the hyperbolic buildings associated to higher rank hyperbolic Kac–Moody groups.

We mention the following related results. Braverman, Finkelberg and Kazhdan ([5]) conjectured formulas for constant terms of Eisenstein series on affine Kac–Moody groups over nonarchimedean local fields \mathbb{K} and proved these for $\mathbb{K} = k((t))$. Braverman, Garland, Kazhdan and Patnaik ([6]) proved these conjectures for all nonarchimedean local fields. Lee and Lombardo ([29]) studied the constant terms of Eisenstein series on affine Kac–Moody groups over function fields over finite fields. Liu ([31]) made generalizations to affine Kac–Moody groups over general number fields. Braverman and Gaiitsgory ([4]) developed a theory of ‘geometric Eisenstein series’ for affine Kac–Moody groups in the framework of the geometric Langlands correspondence. This involves a geometric reformulation of number theoretic and representation theoretic notions in terms of algebraic curves and vector bundles. Outside of affine case, Carbone, Lee and Liu ([12]) defined Eisenstein series for rank 2 hyperbolic Kac–Moody groups over \mathbb{R} and established its convergence almost-everywhere.

The theory of Eisenstein series on Kac–Moody groups also has interesting intersections with mathematical physics. For example in [17] and [18], the authors studied Eisenstein series, their Fourier coefficients for E_9 , E_{10} and E_{11} , and their applications in string theory.

The authors are grateful to Steve Miller and Peter Sarnak for guidance and encouragement. We thank Howard Garland, Kyu-Hwan Lee and Dongwen Liu who shared many of their ideas. We also thank Dmitry Gourevich for his careful reading and comments. We are extremely grateful to Paul Garrett for his notes and Appendix on meromorphic continuation.

2. RANK 2 KAC–MOODY GROUPS

Let $I = \{1, 2\}$ and $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j \in I}$ be the symmetric generalized Cartan matrix defined by $a_{ii} = 2$ and for $i \neq j$ $a_{ij} = a_{ji} = -m$ for all $i, j \in I$ with $m \geq 2$. When $m = 2$, the matrix A is of affine type, and when $m \geq 3$, it is of hyperbolic type. In this section, we summarize the construction of a complete Kac–Moody group $G = G_A(k)$ over k associated with A . We also describe its associated Tits building, which is a homogeneous tree of degree $q + 1$.

2.1. Kac–Moody algebras. Let \mathfrak{g} be a Kac–Moody algebra associated with A . As a Lie algebra, \mathfrak{g} is generated by a finite dimensional complex vector space \mathfrak{h} and elements $\{e_i, f_j\}_{i,j \in I}$ subject to the relations given in terms of data contained in the matrix A . The space \mathfrak{h} is called the *Cartan subalgebra* of \mathfrak{g} . Let $\Pi^\vee = \{\alpha_1^\vee, \alpha_2^\vee\} \subset \mathfrak{h}$ and $\Pi = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\} \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$ be the set of simple roots and the set of simple coroots, respectively. Let \mathfrak{n}^+ and \mathfrak{n}^- be subalgebras of \mathfrak{g} generated by $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ and $\{f_j\}_{j \in I}$, respectively. Then \mathfrak{g} has a vector subspace decomposition

$$(2.1) \quad \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}^- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^+.$$

For more details on \mathfrak{g} and the related precise definitions see [27, Section 1.2].

Let Q be the root lattice $\mathbb{Z}\alpha_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}\alpha_2$, $Q_+ = \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\alpha_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\alpha_2$ and $Q_- = -Q_+$. Let $\Delta \subset Q$ denote the set of roots, $\Delta_+ \subset Q_+$ is the set of positive roots, and $\Delta_- \subset Q_-$ is the set of negative roots. For $i = 1, 2$, we define a simple reflection

$$w_i(\alpha_j) := \alpha_j - \alpha_j \langle \alpha_i^\vee, \alpha_j \rangle \alpha_i.$$

The simple reflections w_i , $i = 1, 2$, generate a subgroup $W = W(A) \subset \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{h}^*)$, called the *Weyl group*. Hence every element of W can be written as a product of simple reflections. There is a *length function* defined on W

$$(2.2) \quad \ell: W \longrightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$$

as $\ell(w) = n$, where n is the minimal number of simple reflections in the product decomposition of w . The set $\Delta^{re} = W\Pi \subset \Delta$ is known as the set of *real roots*. The remaining roots $\Delta \setminus \Delta^{re}$ are called *imaginary roots*. We denote the set of positive (resp. negative) real roots by $\Delta_+^{re} = \Delta^{re} \cap \Delta_+$ (resp. $\Delta_-^{re} = \Delta^{re} \cap \Delta_-$).

The space \mathfrak{h}^* can be equipped with a partial order \leq defined as: $\mu \leq \lambda$ if and only if $\lambda - \mu \in Q_+$ for $\lambda, \mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$. Similarly, we can define a partial order on \mathfrak{h} , which we denote by the same symbol \leq , setting $Q_+^\vee = \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\alpha_1^\vee \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\alpha_2^\vee$ and imposing the same defining condition as above. An element $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ is *integral* if $\langle \lambda, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}$, is *dominant* if $\langle \lambda, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle \geq 0$, and is called *regular* if $\langle \lambda, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle \neq 0$, for all $i \in I$. The *weight lattice* $\Lambda \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$ is defined to be

$$\Lambda := \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^* \mid \langle \lambda, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}, i = 1, 2\}.$$

Let $\Lambda^\vee = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\Lambda, \mathbb{Z})$ be the coweight lattice. We denote by Λ_+ the set of dominant weights and Λ_{reg} the set of regular weights. Similarly we define the sets Λ_+^\vee and Λ_{reg}^\vee .

2.2. Highest weight representations. Let $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ be the universal enveloping algebra of \mathfrak{g} . The triangular decomposition (2.1) of \mathfrak{g} yields the triangular decomposition

$$\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{n}^+) \otimes \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h}) \otimes \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{n}^-),$$

where $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{n}^+)$ and $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{n}^-)$ are the universal enveloping algebras of \mathfrak{n}^+ and \mathfrak{n}^- , respectively.

For $\lambda \in \Lambda_+$, a \mathfrak{g} -representation V^λ over \mathbb{C} is a *highest weight representation* with the *highest weight* $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ and a *highest weight vector* v_λ if:

- (i) $\mathfrak{n}^+ \cdot v_\lambda = 0$,
- (ii) $h \cdot v_\lambda = \lambda(h)v_\lambda$, for all $h \in \mathfrak{h}$,
- (iii) $V^\lambda = \mathcal{U} \cdot v_\lambda$, through the induced action of \mathcal{U} on V^λ .

Moreover, the representation V^λ is said to be an *integrable* highest weight representation if:

- (iv) for all $i \in I$, the elements e_i and f_i act as locally nilpotent operators on V , that is, for each $v \in V^\lambda$ and $i \in I$ there exist positive integers $M = M(v)$ and $N = N(v)$ such that $e_i^M \cdot v = f_i^N \cdot v = 0$.

The representation V^λ has a *weight space decomposition*

$$(2.3) \quad V^\lambda = \bigoplus_{\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*} V_\mu^\lambda,$$

where $V_\mu^\lambda = \{v \in V^\lambda \mid h \cdot v = \mu(h)v \text{ for all } h \in \mathfrak{h}\}$. Denote by P_λ the set of weights of V^λ . The set P_λ inherits the partial order from \mathfrak{h}^* and each $\mu \in P_\lambda$ satisfies $\mu \leq \lambda$, which means $\lambda - \mu = \sum_{i \in I} n_i \alpha_i$ with $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, for all $i \in I$.

2.3. Minimal Kac–Moody groups. In this work, we use the minimal Kac–Moody group and its completion from [10]. (See also [12].) We describe the former group below and leave the latter for Section 2.5.

Let $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}} \subset \mathcal{U}$ be the \mathbb{Z} -subalgebra generated by $e_i^n/n!$, $f_i^n/n!$, and $\binom{h}{n}$, for $i = 1, 2$, $h \in \Lambda^\vee$ and $n \geq 0$. Then $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is a \mathbb{Z} -form of \mathcal{U} , i.e. $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is a subring and the canonical map $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ is bijective. Set $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Z}} = \mathfrak{g} \cap \mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}$. For a field k , let $\mathcal{U}_k = \mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes k$, and $\mathfrak{g}_k = \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes k$.

Let λ be a dominant integral regular weight, and let V^λ be the corresponding integrable highest weight representation with a fixed highest weight vector v_λ as introduced in the previous subsection. Let

$$V_{\mathbb{Z}}^\lambda = \mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}} \cdot v_\lambda.$$

Then $V_{\mathbb{Z}}^\lambda$ is a \mathbb{Z} -form of V^λ as well as a $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ -module. Similarly, $V_k^\lambda := k \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} V_{\mathbb{Z}}^\lambda$ is a \mathfrak{g}_k - and \mathcal{U}_k -module.

A minimal Kac–Moody group over k , which we denote by $G_0^\lambda(k)$, has a generating set

$$\langle \chi_{\pm\alpha_i}(u) \mid i = 1, 2, u \in k \rangle \subset \text{Aut}(V_k^\lambda),$$

where for each $i = 1, 2$

$$(2.4) \quad \chi_{+\alpha_i}(u) := \exp(ue_i) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u^n \frac{e_i^n}{n!}$$

and

$$(2.5) \quad \chi_{-\alpha_i}(u) := \exp(uf_i) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u^n \frac{f_i^n}{n!}.$$

Since V^λ is integrable, the elements e_i and f_i are locally nilpotent on V^λ and hence the expressions on the right-hand side of (2.4) and (2.5) are well defined automorphisms of V_k^λ . From now on, we drop k and λ from the notations and just write $G_0 = G_0^\lambda(k)$.

2.4. Unipotent subgroups. The unipotent subgroups generated by the elements below will be used in the next sections. See [38] for the full set of defining relations for G_0 .

For each $i = 1, 2$ and $u \in k^*$, set

$$\tilde{w}_i(u) := \chi_{\alpha_i}(u)\chi_{-\alpha_i}(-u^{-1})\chi_{\alpha_i}(u), \quad \tilde{w}_i := \tilde{w}_i(1) \quad \text{and} \quad h_i(u) := \tilde{w}_i(u)\tilde{w}_i^{-1}.$$

The elements \tilde{w}_i , $i = 1, 2$, generate a group \tilde{W} , and we have

$$\tilde{w}_i \chi_{\alpha_j}(u) \tilde{w}_i^{-1} = \chi_{w_i \alpha_j}(\eta_{i,j} u),$$

where $\eta_{i,j} \in \{\pm 1\}$ for $i, j = 1, 2$. The set $\{h_i(u) \mid i = 1, 2 \text{ and } u \in k^*\}$ generate a subgroup H which is isomorphic to $k^* \times k^*$. For $w \in W$, take a reduced word $w = w_{i_1} w_{i_2} \cdots w_{i_k}$ and set

$$\tilde{w} := \tilde{w}_{i_1} \tilde{w}_{i_2} \cdots \tilde{w}_{i_k}.$$

By abusing notation slightly, we will sometimes write w for \tilde{w} and identify \tilde{W} with W . For a real root α be a real root. We fix $w \in W$ and $i \in I$ such that $\alpha = w\alpha_i$. For $u \in k$, define

$$\chi_\alpha(u) := \tilde{w} \chi_{\alpha_i}(u) (\tilde{w})^{-1}.$$

The element $\chi_\alpha(u)$ has an expression analogous to (2.5), where e_i and f_i are replaced with those corresponding to positive and negative real root α , respectively. We introduce the *root subgroup*

$$(2.6) \quad U_\alpha := \{\chi_\alpha(u) \mid u \in \mathfrak{k}\}$$

corresponding to the real root α . Finally, define a pair of unipotent subgroups

$$\begin{aligned} U_0^+ &:= \langle \chi_\alpha(u) \mid \alpha \in \Delta_+^{re}, u \in \mathfrak{k} \rangle = \langle U_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Delta_+^{re} \rangle, \\ U_0^- &:= \langle \chi_\alpha(u) \mid \alpha \in \Delta_-^{re}, u \in \mathfrak{k} \rangle = \langle U_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Delta_-^{re} \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta_\pm^{re} = \Delta^{re} \cap \Delta_\pm$.

For a real root α and $u \in \mathfrak{k}^*$, we write

$$(2.7) \quad \tilde{w}_\alpha(u) = \chi_\alpha(u)\chi_{-\alpha}(-u^{-1})\chi_\alpha(u)$$

and set $\tilde{w}_\alpha = \tilde{w}_\alpha(1)$ and for

$$(2.8) \quad h_\alpha(u) = \tilde{w}_\alpha(u)\tilde{w}_\alpha$$

where $u \in \mathfrak{k}^*$. We need the following lemma for the next sections

Lemma 2.1. *For real root α and $u \in \mathfrak{k}^*$,*

$$(2.9) \quad \chi_\alpha(u) = \chi_{-\alpha}(u)h_\alpha(u)w_\alpha^{-1}\chi_{-\alpha}(u)$$

2.5. Completion of the minimal group. In this subsection, we follow [10, 12]. The module $V_{\mathfrak{k}}^\lambda$ admits a *coherently ordered basis* $\Psi = \{v_1, v_2, \dots\}$ which is defined as follows. For each weight μ of V^λ , we have $\mu = \lambda - \sum_{i=1}^\ell k_i \alpha_i$, where $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. The *depth* of μ is defined to be

$$\text{depth}(\mu) = \sum_{i=1}^\ell k_i.$$

A basis $\Psi = \{v_1, v_2, \dots\}$ of V^λ is called *coherently ordered* if

- (1) Ψ consists of weight vectors.
- (2) If $v_i \in V_\mu^\lambda$, $v_j \in V_{\mu'}^\lambda$ and $\text{depth}(\mu') > \text{depth}(\mu)$, then $j > i$.
- (3) $\Psi \cap V_\mu^\lambda$ consists of an interval $v_k, v_{k+1}, \dots, v_{k+m}$.

We denote by B_0 the subgroup of G_0 consisting of the elements represented by upper triangular matrices with respect to Ψ . For $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, we let V_t be the span of the $v_s \in \Psi$ for $s \leq t$. Then $B_0 V_t \subset V_t$ for each t . Let B_t be the image of B_0 in $\text{Aut}(V_t)$. We then have surjective homomorphisms

$$\pi_{tt'} : B_{t'} \longrightarrow B_t, \quad t' \geq t.$$

We define B to be the projective limit of the projective family $\{B_t, \pi_{tt'}\}$.

Now define a topology on G_0 as follows: for a base of neighborhoods of the identity, we take sets U_t defined by

$$U_t := \{g \in G_0 : gv_i = v_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, t\} \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}.$$

Let $g = (g_i)$ and $h = (h_i)$ be Cauchy sequences in G_0 . By definition, for any s , there exists $N_1 > 0$ such that $g_i g_j^{-1}(v) = v$ for $v \in V_s$ whenever $i, j > N_1$. We can find $t \geq s$ such that $\{g_i^{-1}(V_s)\}$ is contained in V_t for any $i > N_1$, since $g_j^{-1}v = g_i^{-1}g_i g_j^{-1}v = g_i^{-1}v$ for $v \in V_s$ and $j > N_1$. Take $N > N_1$ sufficiently large so that $h_i h_j^{-1}v = v$ for any $v \in V_t$ whenever $i, j > N$. Assume that $i, j > N$. Then we have

$$g_i h_i h_j^{-1} g_j^{-1}(v) = g_i g_j^{-1}(v) = v \quad \text{for any } v \in V_s,$$

since $g_j^{-1}(v) \in V_t$. This proves that $g_i h_i h_j^{-1} g_j^{-1} \in U_s$ whenever $i, j > N$. Therefore $gh = (g_i h_i)$ is Cauchy as well.

Define $G = G_A^\lambda(\mathbb{k})$ to be the completion of G_0 , i.e. the equivalence classes of all Cauchy sequences of G_0 , which is called the complete (or maximal) Kac-Moody group.

2.6. BN -pairs and lattice subgroups. We have the decomposition $B = HU$ where U is the completion of U_0^+ . We define $B^- := HU_0^-$ and write $U^- = U_0^-$ for convenience. The subgroup $B \subset G$ is called a *minimal parabolic subgroup*. The group B is compact and forms a profinite neighborhood of the identity in G [9]. We will also write $B^+ = B$. Suppose N is the subgroup generated by H and \tilde{w}_i for $i = 1, 2$. Then we have $N = N_G(H)$.

In [10], Carbone and Garland have shown that (G, B^\pm, N) are twin BN -pairs, which yield the Bruhat decomposition

$$G = \bigsqcup_{w \in W} B^\pm w B^\pm.$$

The *standard parabolic subgroups* are given as

$$P_i^\pm := B^\pm \sqcup B^\pm w_i B^\pm, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

The subgroup B^- is a nonuniform lattice in G (see Theorem 8.2 in [10]). This result is also obtained independently by Rémy [33]. It follows that for $i = 1, 2$, the negative parabolic subgroups $P_i^- = B^- \sqcup B^- w_i B^-$ are nonuniform lattices. There are bijective correspondences ([38])

$$B^- \backslash G / B \cong W, \quad P_i^- \backslash G / B \cong W^+, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

where W^+ denotes an index 2 subgroup of W .

From now and on, we often drop the ‘+’ and refer to P_i^+ as P_i for $i = 1, 2$ and U_0^+ as U_0 .

3. DECOMPOSITIONS OF UNIPOTENT GROUPS

For $w \in W$, we set

$$(3.1) \quad S_w := \{\beta \in \Delta_+ \mid w\beta \in \Delta_-\} = \Delta_+ \cap w^{-1}(\Delta_-)$$

and call it the *inversion set* associated to w . Then S_w is a finite subset of Δ_+^{rc} .

Let α_i be a positive simple root and w_i be the corresponding simple reflection for $i = 1, 2$. Suppose $w \in W$ is such that $w = w'w_i$ with $\ell(w) = \ell(w') + 1$, then

$$(3.2) \quad S_w = \{\alpha_i\} \cup w_i S_{w'},$$

where $w_i S_{w'} = \{w_i \beta \mid \beta \in S_{w'}\}$.

We introduce the subgroup

$$U_{0,w} := \langle U_\beta \mid \beta \in S_w \rangle \subset U_0,$$

where U_β is the root subgroup corresponding to β as defined in (2.6). Since S_w consists of finitely many elements, the group $U_{0,w}$ is a finite-dimensional subgroup of U_0 .

Combining (3.2) with Lemma 6.3 of [10], we obtain the following.

Lemma 3.1. *For $w \in W$ such that $w = w'w_i$ with $\ell(w) = \ell(w') + 1$, $i = 1, 2$, we have*

$$(3.3) \quad U_{0,w} = (w_i^{-1} U_{0,w'} w_i) \rtimes U_{\alpha_i}.$$

We set

$$U_0^w := U_0 \cap w^{-1} U_0 w.$$

Lemma 3.2. *Let $w \in W$, then*

$$(3.4) \quad U_0 = U_0^w U_{0,w} = U_{0,w} U_0^w.$$

Proof. We prove $U_0 = U_0^w U_{0,w}$ by induction on $\ell(w)$. For the simple reflections $w_i \in W$, $i = 1, 2$, the statement follows from Lemma 6.3 of [10], and we can write an element $u \in U_0$ as

$$(3.5) \quad u = u^{w_i} u_{\alpha_i},$$

for some $u_{\alpha_i} \in U_{\alpha_i}$ and $u^{w_i} \in U_0^{w_i}$. We assume now that the assertion holds for all $w' \in W$ with $\ell(w') = n - 1$. Suppose $w \in W$ is such that $w = w' w_i$, where w_i is a simple root reflection and $w' \in W$ with $\ell(w') = \ell(w) - 1$. Continue to consider $u \in U_0$ with the decomposition (3.5) and write $u^{w_i} = w_i^{-1} \tilde{u} w_i$ for $\tilde{u} \in U_0$. By the induction hypothesis, there exists $\tilde{u}_{w'} \in U_{0,w'}$ and $\tilde{u}^{w'} \in U_0^{w'}$ such that

$$(3.6) \quad \tilde{u} = \tilde{u}^{w'} \tilde{u}_{w'}.$$

Substituting (3.6) into (3.5), we get

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} u &= [w_i^{-1} \tilde{u}^{w'} \tilde{u}_{w'} w_i] u_{\alpha_i} \\ &= [w_i^{-1} \tilde{u}^{w'} w_i] [w_i^{-1} \tilde{u}_{w'} w_i] u_{\alpha_i}. \end{aligned}$$

For the simple root reflection w_i , U^{w_i} is a normal subgroup of U_0 and $U^{w_i} = \langle U_\beta \mid \beta \in \Delta \setminus \{\alpha_i\} \rangle$ (see [10, Lemma 6.3]). This and the fact that $\tilde{u} \in U^{w_i}$ gives $w_i^{-1} \tilde{u}^{w'} w_i \in U_0$. In particular, $w_i^{-1} \tilde{u}^{w'} w_i \in U_0^w$. By Lemma 3.1, $[w_i^{-1} \tilde{u}_{w'} w_i] u_{\alpha_i} \in U_{0,w}$. This proves $u \in U_0^w U_{0,w}$ and thus $U_0 = U_0^w U_{0,w}$.

The other case follows from the equations

$$U_0 = U_0^{-1} = (U_0^w U_{0,w})^{-1} = (U_{0,w})^{-1} (U_0^w)^{-1} = U_{0,w} U_0^w.$$

□

The decomposition (3.4) of U_0 in the above lemma extends to its completion U . More precisely,

Corollary 3.3. *For $w \in W$ we have*

$$U = U^w U_w = U_w U^w$$

where $U^w = U \cap w^{-1} U w$ and $U_w = U_{0,w}$ in U .

The above decomposition of U is also obtained in slightly different contexts in [20, Corollary 6.5] and [28, Lemma 6.1.3].

4. THE TITS BUILDING OF G , A TREE

We can associate Tits buildings X^+ and X^- to each BN -pair (G, B^+, N) and (G, B^-, N) of G , respectively. The buildings X^+ and X^- are isomorphic as chamber complexes (see [15, Appendix TKM]). We will consider only X^+ and denote it by X . The building X is a simplicial complex of dimension 1. Since W is infinite, by the Solomon–Tits theorem ([36]), X is contractible, and so X is a tree.

4.1. Vertices and edges of X . The set VX of vertices of X is defined to be the set of conjugates of P_1 and P_2 in G . Vertices Q_1 and Q_2 are connected by an edge if the intersection $Q_1 \cap Q_2$ contains a conjugate of B . Let EX denote the set of edges of X . It is known that P_1 and P_2 are not conjugate and that P_i , $i = 1, 2$ and B are self-normalizing in G . Thus we have the following bijective correspondences [10, Section 9] assigning gHg^{-1} to gH for $H = P_i$, $i = 1, 2$ and for $H = B$:

$$\begin{aligned} VX &\cong G/P_1 \sqcup G/P_2, \\ EX &\cong G/B. \end{aligned}$$

Using these bijections, we identify the elements of VX and EX with the corresponding cosets.

There are natural projections on cosets induced by the inclusion of B in P_1 and P_2 :

$$\pi_i : G/B \longrightarrow G/P_i, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

For a vertex $v \in G/P_i$, $i = 1, 2$, define $St^X(v) := \pi_i^{-1}(v)$ to be the set of edges with origin v . The following describes how the cosets Bw_1B and Bw_2B are indexed modulo B :

$$Bw_iB/B = \{\chi_i(t)w_iB/B \mid t \in \mathfrak{k}\} \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2.$$

It follows that the edges emanating from P_1 and P_2 may be indexed as follows:

$$St^X(P_i) = \{B\} \sqcup \{\chi_i(t)w_iB/B \mid t \in \mathfrak{k}\} \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2.$$

The sets $St^X(v)$ of other vertices v are obtained by translating (conjugating) these. We have the diagram of tree associated with G in Figure 1, where we use cosets to label vertices.

The coset $1 \cdot B$ corresponds to an edge called the *standard simplex* with vertices P_1 and P_2 . Apartments in X are bi-infinite paths. The *standard apartment*, denoted by \mathcal{A}_0 , in X consists of all Weyl group translates of the standard simplex.




FIGURE 1. Tree of G with coset labels. The ‘dots’ indicate that the tree is constructed over the field with q elements.

4.2. Adjacency and labeling. Define the *distance function* d on X to be given by

$$d: VX \times VX \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$$

such that for all $v, v' \in VX$

$$d(v, v') = \text{number of edges in a shortest path connecting } v \text{ and } v'.$$

The vertices v and v' are said to be *adjacent* if $d(v, v') = 1$. For a vertex $v \in VX$, let Ω_v be the set of vertices adjacent to v . That is,

$$\Omega_v := \{y \in VX \mid d(y, v) = 1\}.$$

The following lemma gives an explicit labeling of Ω_v .

Lemma 4.1. *Let $v \in VX$ be a vertex corresponding to gP_j ($j = 1, 2$). Then Ω_v is a disjoint union of the two sets given by*

$$\Omega_v^1 := \{gP_{3-j}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega_v^q := \{g\chi_{\alpha_j}(s)w_jP_{3-j} \mid s \in \mathfrak{k}\}.$$

The statement of Lemma 4.1 can be understood through the following local picture in Figure 2 of the vertex v and its adjacent vertices when labeled in terms of the corresponding cosets of the parabolic subgroups.




FIGURE 2. Local Picture

Proof of Lemma 4.1. Note that

$$St^X(v) = \{gB\} \sqcup \{g\chi_{\alpha_j}(s)w_jB/B \mid s \in \mathfrak{k}\}.$$

Since we have

$$\begin{aligned} & g\chi_{\alpha_j}(s)w_jB(g\chi_{\alpha_j}(s)w_j)^{-1} \\ \subset & g\chi_{\alpha_j}(s)w_j(P_j \cap P_{3-j})(g\chi_{\alpha_j}(s)w_j)^{-1} \\ = & (g\chi_{\alpha_j}(s)w_jP_j(g\chi_{\alpha_j}(s)w_j)^{-1}) \cap (g\chi_{\alpha_j}(s)w_jP_{3-j}(g\chi_{\alpha_j}(s)w_j)^{-1}) \\ = & gP_jg^{-1} \cap (g\chi_{\alpha_j}(s)w_j)P_{3-j}(g\chi_{\alpha_j}(s)w_j)^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

the vertex v is connected to the vertices (corresponding to) $g\chi_{\alpha_j}(s)w_jP_{3-j}$ for $s \in \mathfrak{k}$. Similarly, $gBg^{-1} \subset gP_jg^{-1} \cap gP_{3-j}g^{-1}$, and v is also connected to gP_{3-j} . This shows $\Omega_v = \Omega_v^1 \sqcup \Omega_v^q$ as desired. \square

5. SPHERICAL TITS SYSTEM AND IWASAWA DECOMPOSITION FOR G

As before, G denotes a rank 2 complete Kac–Moody group over $\mathfrak{k} = \mathbb{F}_q$. Let X be the Tits building of G , the homogeneous tree of degree $q + 1$.

5.1. Spherical Bruhat decomposition. It follows from the definition of X that the group G acts on X by conjugation. Let \mathcal{A} be an apartment in X . Fix a ‘base edge’ of \mathcal{A} , denoted $\beta(\mathcal{A})$, and let $g \in G$ be such that

$$(5.1) \quad g \cdot \beta(\mathcal{A}) = B,$$

where B is set to be the base edge $\beta(\mathcal{A}_0)$ of the standard apartment \mathcal{A}_0 . Such a $g \in G$ exists since G acts transitively on the set of edges [10]. By Lemma 4.1, we may assume that

$$g \cdot Q_1 = P_1 \quad \text{and} \quad g \cdot Q_2 = P_2,$$

where Q_1 and Q_2 are the endpoints of $\beta(\mathcal{A})$ that are conjugate to P_1 and P_2 , respectively.

We let \mathcal{A}^+ be the subcomplex of \mathcal{A} consisting of the ray joined to $\beta(\mathcal{A})$ at Q_2 and not containing $\beta(\mathcal{A})$. We let \mathcal{A}^- be the subcomplex of \mathcal{A} consisting of $\mathcal{A} \setminus (\mathcal{A}^+ \sqcup \beta(\mathcal{A}))$. Then we have

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}^+ \sqcup \mathcal{A}^- \sqcup \beta(\mathcal{A}).$$

We present a finite part of \mathcal{A}_0 and \mathcal{A}_0^\pm in Figure 3.




FIGURE 3. The labeling of the standard apartment with its positive and negative halves

We have the following lemma and its corollaries from Lemma 14.1 and Corollaries 14.1 and 14.2 of [10], which are slightly modified for our purposes.

Lemma 5.1 ([10]). *Let \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{A}_0 , \mathcal{A}^\pm , and \mathcal{A}_0^\pm be as introduced above and let $g \in G$ be as in (5.1). We can find elements $b_1, b_2 \in U$ such that*

$$b_1g\mathcal{A}^+ = \mathcal{A}_0^+ \quad \text{and} \quad b_2b_1g\mathcal{A}^- = \mathcal{A}_0^-,$$

and furthermore b_2 stabilizes \mathcal{A}_0^+ pointwise so that $b_2b_1g\mathcal{A}^+ = \mathcal{A}_0^+$.

Define an equivalence relation on the set of paths in X as follows: two paths in X are equivalent if their intersection is infinite. An *end* of X is defined to be an equivalence class of semi-infinite paths in X . Then there is a 1-1 correspondence between apartments in X and pairs of ends of X .

Corollary 5.2 ([10]). *The group G acts doubly transitively on the set of ends of X .*

Definition 5.3. *Define \mathcal{B}_1 (resp. \mathcal{B}_2) to be the stabilizer of the end containing \mathcal{A}_0^+ (resp. \mathcal{A}_0^-).*

Corollary 5.4 ([10]). *We have*

$$G = \mathcal{B}_i \sqcup \mathcal{B}_i w_j \mathcal{B}_i \quad \text{for } i, j = 1, 2.$$

This decomposition of G is called the *spherical Bruhat decomposition* of G , which we will use in the computation of constant term of the Eisenstein series in Section 7.3.

5.2. Explicit description of \mathcal{B}_i . In this subsection we give a decomposition of \mathcal{B}_i , $i = 1, 2$, which will be used later. The set of real roots Δ^{re} can be written as a disjoint union

$$(5.2) \quad \Delta^{re} = \Delta_1^{re} \sqcup \Delta_2^{re},$$

where Δ_1^{re} and Δ_2^{re} are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_1^{re} &:= \{-\alpha_2, -w_2\alpha_1, -w_2w_1\alpha_2, \dots\} \cup \{\alpha_1, w_1\alpha_2, w_1w_2\alpha_1, \dots\}, \\ \Delta_2^{re} &:= \{-\alpha_1, -w_1\alpha_2, -w_1w_2\alpha_1, \dots\} \cup \{\alpha_2, w_2\alpha_1, w_2w_1\alpha_2, \dots\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let \mathcal{U}_i be the closure of the group generated by U_α with $\alpha \in \Delta_i^{re}$, $i = 1, 2$. The group \mathcal{U}_i is commutative by Lemma 14.2 in [10]¹. Since

$$w_1 \cdot \alpha_1 = -\alpha_1, \quad w_2 \cdot \alpha_2 = -\alpha_2,$$

w_1 and w_2 interchange Δ_1^{re} and Δ_2^{re} .

Define

$$\mathcal{T} := \{(w_1w_2)^n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

to be the subset of W consisting of even-length elements. Then we obtain the following immediate consequences from the definition of \mathcal{T} .

¹In [10], real roots are called Weyl roots.

Lemma 5.5.

- (a) For $i = 1, 2$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have $w_i(w_i w_{3-i})^m = (w_i w_{3-i})^{-m} w_i$.
- (b) The simple reflections w_i normalize \mathcal{T} for $i = 1, 2$.
- (c) The subgroup \mathcal{T} normalizes \mathcal{U}_i for $i = 1, 2$.

Proof. We prove (a) and part (b) directly follows from it. For $i = 1, 2$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\begin{aligned} w_i(w_i w_{3-i})^m &= (w_{3-i} w_i)^{m-1} w_{3-i} = (w_i w_{3-i})^{1-m} w_{3-i} \\ &= (w_i w_{3-i})^{-m} (w_i w_{3-i}) w_{3-i} = (w_i w_{3-i})^{-m} w_i. \end{aligned}$$

Part (c) follows from

$$(w_1 w_2)^m \Delta_1^{re} = \Delta_1^{re} \quad \text{and} \quad (w_1 w_2)^m \Delta_2^{re} = \Delta_2^{re}$$

for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. □

The following decomposition of \mathcal{B}_i ($i = 1, 2$) is proven in [10, Theorem 14.1] (See also [11, Theorem 8.8]). Let $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{I}} := \bigcap_{w \in W} w B w^{-1} \subset B$.

Theorem 5.6. For $i = 1, 2$, we have

$$\mathcal{B}_i = \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{I}} \mathcal{U}_i \mathcal{T} = \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{I}} \mathcal{T} \mathcal{U}_i = \mathcal{U}_i \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{I}} \mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T} \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{I}} \mathcal{U}_i = \mathcal{U}_i \mathcal{T} \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{I}} = \mathcal{T} \mathcal{U}_i \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{I}}.$$

5.3. The Iwasawa Decomposition of G . We need the following lemma for the next theorem

Theorem 5.7. For $i, j = 1, 2$, we have

$$G = P_j \mathcal{B}_i = \mathcal{B}_i P_j.$$

Proof. We prove the assertion of theorem for \mathcal{B}_1 only. For \mathcal{B}_2 , it follows similarly. We set $\beta = \beta(B)$. Then every end of X is represented by a ray beginning at β . By Corollary 5.2 the groups P_i , $i = 1, 2$ act transitively on the ends of X . Let $g \in G$. Then by Lemma 5.1, the end determined by $g \mathcal{A}_0^+$ is equivalent to the end determined by $b \mathcal{A}_0^+$ for some $b \in U \leq P_i$. So $b^{-1} g \mathcal{A}_0^+$ is equivalent to the end determined by \mathcal{A}_0^+ . Therefore $b^{-1} g \in \mathcal{B}_1$, so $g \in K \mathcal{B}_1$, $K = P_1, P_2$. Similarly $g^{-1} b \in \mathcal{B}_1$, so $g \in \mathcal{B}_1 K$, $K = P_1, P_2$. □

Since $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{I}} \subset B \subset P_i$ for $i, j = 1, 2$, the following result is a straightforward consequence of Theorems 5.6 and 5.7.

Corollary 5.8. For $i, j = 1, 2$, the group G admits the following decomposition:

$$G = \mathcal{U}_i \mathcal{T} P_j.$$

The above decomposition of G will be called the *Iwasawa decomposition* of G . Indeed, if we fix i and j and write $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}_i$ and $K = P_j$, the decomposition becomes

$$G = \mathcal{U} \mathcal{T} K,$$

which can be considered as an analogue of the Iwasawa decomposition of a p -adic reductive group, and we may thus write $g \in G$ as

$$g = u_g t_g k_g, \quad u_g \in \mathcal{U}, \quad t_g \in \mathcal{T}, \quad k_g \in K.$$

The following lemma shows that we have uniqueness of the \mathcal{T} -component.

Lemma 5.9. Let $g \in G$. For fixed i and j , let $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}_i$ and $K = P_j$. If $g = utk = u't'k'$ with $u, u' \in \mathcal{U}$, $t, t' \in \mathcal{T}$ and $k, k' \in K$, then $t = t'$.

Proof. Let ξ be a vertex on the standard apartment fixed by K . Then

$$ut \cdot \xi = u't' \cdot \xi$$

so

$$t'^{-1}u'^{-1}ut \cdot \xi = \xi.$$

Thus

$$t'^{-1}u''t \in K$$

for $u'' = u'^{-1}u$. But

$$t'^{-1}u''t = t'^{-1}t(t^{-1}u''t).$$

Writing $u''' = (t^{-1}u''t)$ and $t'' = t'^{-1}t$, we have $t''u''' \cdot \xi = \xi$.

Let η be a vertex sufficiently far out on the positive half of the standard apartment. We recall that there is a 1-1 correspondence between apartments in X and pairs of ends of X . Then η belongs to the end determined by the standard apartment and thus $u''' \in \text{Stab}(\eta)$. The action of u''' on the end determined by the standard apartment permutes the lines that meet at this end. Sufficiently far out, η is on the intersection of these lines. Since η is fixed by u''' , we have $u'''\eta = \eta$. Left multiplying by t'' , we obtain

$$t''u''' \cdot \eta = t''\eta.$$

But t'' is a translation along the standard apartment and $t''u'''$ preserves translation length. Thus we have

$$t''u''' \cdot \xi = \xi$$

as above, and

$$t''u''' \cdot \eta = t''\eta.$$

But this implies that $t'' = 1$ and hence $t = t'$. □

Proposition 5.10. *Let Γ be the parabolic subgroup P_1^- of G . For fixed i and j , let $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}_i$ and $K = P_j$. Suppose $\gamma = u_\gamma t_\gamma k_\gamma \in \Gamma$ with $u_\gamma \in \mathcal{U}$, $t_\gamma = (w_i w_{3-i})^{n_i(\gamma)} \in \mathcal{T}$ and $k_\gamma \in K$. Then $n_i(\gamma)$ is bounded below, that is, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $n_i(\gamma) \geq n_0$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$.*

Proof. We assume on contrary that $n_i(\gamma)$ is not bounded below. Let $1 \neq \tilde{\gamma} \in \Gamma \cap \mathcal{U}$. Hence we may suppose that for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ there exists $\gamma_n \in \Gamma$ with $n_i(\gamma_n) < n$. We have

$$\gamma_n^{-1} \tilde{\gamma} \gamma_n = k_{\gamma_n}^{-1} t_{\gamma_n}^{-1} \tilde{\gamma} t_{\gamma_n} k_{\gamma_n} \in \Gamma \setminus \{1\},$$

since u_γ and $\tilde{\gamma}$ both belong to $\Gamma \cap \mathcal{U}$ and so u_γ centralizes $\tilde{\gamma}$. We may take $\tilde{\gamma} \in U_\alpha \subset \mathcal{U}$ for some $\alpha \in \Delta_i^{re}$. Now $t_{\gamma_n} = (w_i w_{3-i})^{n_i(\gamma_n)}$ acts on the set of roots Δ_i^{re} by translation. The height of $t_{\gamma_n}^{-1}(\alpha)$ grows as $n \rightarrow -\infty$. If α is positive, then a sufficiently high element $t_{\gamma_n}^{-1}(\alpha)$ will flip α to a negative root and thus $t_{\gamma_n}^{-1}U_\alpha t_{\gamma_n} \rightarrow \{1\}$ in G . If α is a negative root, the same conclusion holds. But if $\gamma_n^{-1} \tilde{\gamma} \gamma_n = 1$, then $\tilde{\gamma} = 1$. Since we chose $\tilde{\gamma} \neq 1$, we have a contradiction. □

5.4. Iwasawa cells and vertices. For $i = 1, 2$, we introduce the following sets:

$$I_i := \left(\bigcup_{x \in \mathcal{U}_i} \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} x(w_i w_{3-i})^n P_1 \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{x \in \mathcal{U}_i} \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} x(w_i w_{3-i})^n P_2 \right)$$

The Iwasawa decomposition in Corollary 5.8 of G that $I_1 = G$ and $I_2 = G$ (as sets). Thus we may write (with some redundancy):

$$(5.3) \quad G = I_1 \cup I_2.$$

Since the vertices of the building are defined to be $G/P_1 \sqcup G/P_2$, we may relabel the set of vertices as follows

$$\left(\bigcup_{x \in \mathcal{U}_i} x\mathcal{T}P_1 \right) / P_1 \sqcup \left(\bigcup_{y \in \mathcal{U}_i} y\mathcal{T}P_2 \right) / P_2.$$

This choice of labeling of the vertices will be convenient for the rest of this section.

The next result gives a labeling of X which allows us to relate the \mathcal{T} -component of the Iwasawa cells and the vertices of X .

Lemma 5.11. *If $w \in W$ has the reduced decomposition $w = w_{i_1}w_{i_2}\dots w_{i_k}$, then $U_{0,w} \subset \mathcal{U}_{i_k}$ and hence $U_w \subset \mathcal{U}_{i_k}$ for $i_k = 1, 2$.*

Proof. The first assertion of lemma is an implication of the explicit description of the set S_w^+ , which makes it a subset of $\Delta_{i_k}^{re}$, for $i_k = 1, 2$. The containment $U_w \subset \mathcal{U}_{i_k}$ follows easily. \square

Proposition 5.12. *Every vertex on X corresponds to a coset in the Iwasawa decomposition of G .*

Proposition 5.12 provides us a relationship between the Bruhat labels and Iwasawa labels of the vertices of the tree. The Iwasawa labels are given in Figure 4 (cf. Figure 1).




FIGURE 4. The tree labelled by Iwasawa Cells. The Iwasawa labels contain Weyl group elements of even lengths.

We use the decomposition (5.3) of G to give a proof of Proposition 5.12.

Proof. We show that each vertex on X corresponds to a coset in the above decompositions. Let v be a vertex on X and $v = \sigma_{gP_j}$ for $j = 1, 2$ and $g \in G$. Let $g \in BwB$ for some $w \in W$ with $\ell(w) = k$, where ℓ is the length function as defined in (2.2) and the reduced decomposition $w = w_{i_1}w_{i_2}\dots w_{i_k}$. Corollary 3.3 implies that

$$(5.4) \quad BwB = UHwB = UwB = U_wU^w wB = U_w w(w^{-1}U^w w)B = U_w wB$$

In the second last equality, we used the fact that $w^{-1}U^w w \subset U$. Write $g = u_w w b$ for some $u_w \in U_w$ and $b \in B$. Then $gP_j = u_w w b P_j = u_w w P_j$. Next, if k is even, then $w = (w_2 w_1)^n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and hence $gP_j = u_w (w_2 w_1)^n P_j$. If k is odd, then we write $w' = w w_j$ and $w' = (w_2 w_1)^n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ and $u_w w' P_j = u_w w P_j = gP_j$.

In both cases Lemma 5.11 implies that $gP_j \in \mathcal{U}_i \mathcal{T} P_j$ for $i, j = 1, 2$, and this completes the proof. \square

Corollary 5.13. *For all $g \in G$, there exists $w' \in W$ such that $gP_j = u w' P_j$ for some $u \in \mathcal{U}_i$, where $\ell(w')$ is even.*

We use the following description of elements of \mathcal{T} :

$$\mathcal{T} = \{(w_i w_{3-i})^n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, i = 1, 2\}.$$

We denote an Iwasawa cell with \mathcal{T} -component of length n_i by $\mathcal{U}_i (w_i w_{3-i})^{n_i} P_j$. For the sake of brevity, we will use n to denote n_i .

Suppose v is a vertex on X , which corresponds to an Iwasawa cell $\mathcal{U}_i (w_i w_{3-i})^{n_i} P_j$ for $i, j = 1, 2$. Further assume that the element of the set Ω_v^1 corresponds to the Iwasawa cell with \mathcal{T} -component length $\ell^1(i)$ and the elements of Ω_v^q correspond to the Iwasawa cell with \mathcal{T} -component length $\ell_r^q(i)$, where $r = 1, 2, \dots, q$.

Proposition 5.14. *In the above notations*

(1) *We have*

$$\ell^1(i) = \begin{cases} n-1 & \text{if } j = 3-i \\ n & \text{if } j = i. \end{cases}$$

(2) *For each $r = 1, 2, \dots, q$,*

$$\ell_r^q(i) = \begin{cases} n & \text{if } j = 3-i \\ n+1 & \text{if } j = i. \end{cases}$$

Proof. For (1), if $j = 3-i$ then

$$\mathcal{U}_i (w_i w_{3-i})^{n_i} P_j = \mathcal{U}_i (w_i w_{3-i})^{n_i-1} w_i w_{3-i} P_{3-i} = \mathcal{U}_i (w_i w_{3-i})^{n_i-1} w_i P_{3-i}.$$

By using the argument similar to the one used in the proof of Proposition 5.12, we can assume that v corresponds to the conjugate gP_{3-i} with $g = u_w w b$ for some $b \in B$, $w = (w_i w_{3-i})^{n_i-1} w_i$ and $u_w \in U_w$. Therefore, the element of Ω_v^1 corresponds to the coset

$$(5.5) \quad \begin{aligned} u_w w b P_i &= u_w w P_i \\ &= u_w (w_i w_{3-i})^{n_i-1} w_i P_i \\ &= u_w (w_i w_{3-i})^{n_i-1} P_i. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the element of Ω_v^1 corresponds to the Iwasawa cell with \mathcal{T} -component length $n-1$. The proof for $j = i$ can be obtained along the same lines.

In the proof (2), we assume $j = i$. The other case follows similarly. Let $\sigma_{g\chi_{\alpha_i}(s)w_iP_{3-i}} \in \Omega_v^q$ for some $s \in k$.

$$\begin{aligned}
g\chi_{\alpha_i}(s)w_iP_{3-i} &= u_w w b\chi_{\alpha_i}(s)w_iP_{3-i} \\
&= u_w w \chi_{\alpha_i}(s)w_i (w_i \chi_{\alpha_i}(-s) b\chi_{\alpha_i}(s)w_i) P_{3-i} \\
&= u_w \chi_{w\alpha_i}(s) w w_i (w_i \chi_{\alpha_i}(-s) b\chi_{\alpha_i}(s)w_i) P_{3-i} \\
(5.6) \qquad \qquad \qquad &= u'_w w w_i (w_i \chi_{\alpha_i}(-s) b\chi_{\alpha_i}(s)w_i) P_{3-i}
\end{aligned}$$

for $u'_w = u_w \chi_{w\alpha_i}(s) \in U$. Using [10, Lemma 6.3], we write

$$(5.7) \qquad \qquad \qquad w_i \chi_{\alpha_i}(-s) b\chi_{\alpha_i}(s)w_i = u_{-\alpha_i} u^{\alpha_i} h,$$

for some $u_{-\alpha_i} \in U_{-\alpha_i}$, $u^{\alpha_i} \in U^{w_i} = w_i U w_i \cap U$ and $h \in H$. Using (5.7) in (5.6), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
g\chi_{\alpha_i}(s)w_iP_{3-i} &= u'_w w w_i u_{-\alpha_i} u^{\alpha_i} h P_{3-i} \\
&= u'_w w w_i u_{-\alpha_i} P_{3-i} \\
&= u'_w u_{w\alpha_i} w w_i P_{3-i} \\
(5.8) \qquad \qquad \qquad &= u''_w w w_i P_{3-i},
\end{aligned}$$

for some $u_{w\alpha_i} \in U_{w\alpha_i}$ and $u''_w = u'_w u_{w\alpha_i} \in U$. We set $w' = w w_i$ and use Corollary 3.3 to write

$$u''_w = u_{w'} u^{w'}$$

for some $u_{w'} \in U_{w'}$ and $u^{w'} \in U^{w'}$. We use $w' = w w_i$ and the above expression for u''_w in the right hand side of (5.8) to get

$$\begin{aligned}
g\chi_{\alpha_i}(s)w_iP_{3-i} &= u_{w'} u^{w'} w' P_{3-i} \\
&= u_{w'} w' P_{3-i} \\
(5.9) \qquad \qquad \qquad &= u_{w'} w w_i P_{3-i}.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally we get the assertion by putting $w = (w_i w_{3-i})^{n_i}$ in the right hand side of (5.9), which gives

$$u_{w'} (w_i w_{3-i})^{n_i} w_i P_{3-i} = u_{w'} (w_i w_{3-i})^{n_i} w_i w_{3-i} P_{3-i} = u_{w'} (w_i w_{3-i})^{n_i+1} P_{3-i}.$$

This completes the proof. \square

The following local picture (Figure 5) gives a graphical interpretation of the above proposition.




FIGURE 5. Local picture of adjacent vertices

6. OPERATORS AND FUNCTIONS ON THE TITS BUILDING

In this section, we define a character on the vertices of the tree X associated with G that we will use to define Eisenstein series on quotients of X by subgroups of the Kac–Moody group G .

6.1. Adjacency operator and eigenfunctions. Let $\mathcal{F}(X) = \{f : VX \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\}$. We define the adjacency operator T on $\mathcal{F}(X)$ as follows

$$(6.1) \quad Tf(x) = \sum_{d(x,y)=1} f(y) = \sum_{e \in EX, o(e)=x} f(t(e))$$

where $x \in VX$, and $o(e)$ and $t(e)$ denote the origin and terminus of e respectively.

We recall that a nonuniform lattice is a discrete subgroup of finite covolume. The adjacency operator T may also be defined on vertices of a quotient $\Gamma \backslash X$ for a nonuniform lattice $\Gamma \in \text{Aut}(X)$. The operator T is defined on a sequence of vertices a_{n-1}, a_n, a_{n+1} approaching the end of the ray by

$$(Tf)(a_n) = qf(a_{n-1}) + f(a_{n+1}).$$

We say that a function $f : VX \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is an *eigenfunction* for the adjacency operator T with eigenvalue λ if $Tf(x) = \lambda f(x)$ for all but finitely many $x \in VX$.

Let $g \in G$, then by the Iwasawa decomposition $g \in \mathcal{U}_i(w_i w_{3-i})^{n_i(g)} P_j$ for some $i = 1, 2$ and $j = 1, 2$. We define the function $\Psi_{i,s} : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ as follows. Let q be the cardinality of finite field k , $s \in \mathbb{C}$, then for $g \in \mathcal{U}_i(w_i w_{3-i})^{n_i(g)} P_j$ we set

$$(6.2) \quad \Psi_{i,s}(g) = \begin{cases} q^{-2n_i(g)s} & \text{if } j = i \\ q^{-(2n_i(g)-1)s} & \text{if } j = 3 - i. \end{cases}$$

The function $\Psi_{i,s}$ is left \mathcal{U}_i invariant and is locally constant on each Iwasawa cell $\mathcal{U}_i(w_i w_{3-i})^{n_i} P_j$. By combining this with the decomposition (5.3), one can deduce that $\Psi_{i,s}$ becomes a function on the set of vertices of tree X for $i = 1, 2$.

Theorem 6.1. *For $i = 1, 2$ and $s \in \mathbb{C}$, the function $\Psi_{i,s}$ is an eigenfunction for the adjacency operator T with an eigenvalue $q^{1-s} + q^s$.*

Proof. Let v be a vertex on X , and it corresponds to a coset in the Iwasawa cell $\mathcal{U}_i(w_i w_{3-i})^{n_i} P_j$ for $i, j = 1, 2$. We use the notation $n = n_i$ and discuss the following two cases:

Case 1: $j = 3 - i$.

By definition $\Psi_{i,s}(v) = q^{-(2n-1)s}$. By Proposition 5.14, the set of a vertex Ω_v^1 corresponds to the Iwasawa cell with \mathcal{T} -component of length $n - 1$ and q elements of Ω_v^q correspond to the Iwasawa cells with the fixed \mathcal{T} -component of length n . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} T\Psi_{i,s}(v) &= q\Psi_{i,s}(\mathcal{U}_i(w_i w_{3-i})^n P_i) + \Psi_{i,s}(\mathcal{U}_i(w_i w_{3-i})^{n-1} P_i) \\ &= q \cdot q^{-2ns} + q^{-(2n-2)s} \\ &= (q^{1-s} + q^s)q^{-(2n-1)s} \\ &= (q^{1-s} + q^s)\Psi_{i,s}(v). \end{aligned}$$

Case 2: $j = i$.

In this case $\Psi_{i,s}(v) = q^{-2ns}$. By Proposition 5.14, the set of a vertex Ω_v^1 corresponds to the Iwasawa cell with \mathcal{T} -component of length n and q elements of Ω_v^q correspond to the Iwasawa cells with the fixed \mathcal{T} -component of length $n + 1$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} T\Psi_{i,s}(v) &= q\Psi_{i,s}(\mathcal{U}_i(w_i w_{3-i})^{n+1} P_{3-i}) + \Psi_{i,s}(\mathcal{U}_i(w_i w_{3-i})^n P_{3-i}) \\ &= q \cdot q^{-(2n+1)s} + q^{-(2n-1)s} \\ &= (q^{1-s} + q^s)q^{-2ns} \\ &= (q^{1-s} + q^s)\Psi_{i,s}(v). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

7. EISENSTEIN SERIES

We fix $\Gamma = P_1^-$. For $i = 1, 2$, the groups \mathcal{U}_i and Γ contain the subgroup generated by the root subgroups corresponding to the real roots $\{-\alpha_{3-i}, -w_{3-i}\alpha_i, -w_{3-i}w_i\alpha_{3-i}, \dots\}$. Hence $\Gamma \cap \mathcal{B}_i \neq \emptyset$ for $i = 1, 2$. Define the Eisenstein series $E_{i,s}$ on $\Gamma \backslash X = \Gamma \backslash G/P_1 \sqcup \Gamma \backslash G/P_2$ corresponding to \mathcal{B}_i ,

$$(7.1) \quad E_{i,s}(gP_j) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma \cap \mathcal{B}_i \backslash \Gamma} \Psi_{i,s}(\gamma gP_j), \quad j = 1, 2.$$

From now on we will write the Eisenstein series by $E_{i,s}(g) = E_{i,s}(gP_j)$ by fixing the coset representative g of gP_j .

For $\ell \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, let

$$L^2(\Gamma \backslash X, \ell) := \{f : \Gamma \backslash X \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \int_{\Gamma \backslash X} |f(g)|^2 \Psi_{-2\ell}(g) dg < \infty\}$$

denote the space of ℓ -moderate growth functions on $\Gamma \backslash X$. Then the Eisenstein series $E_{i,s}$ lies in $L^2(\Gamma \backslash X, \ell)$ for ℓ sufficiently large, which will be assumed from now on.

The analysis of $E_{1,s}$ is similar to that of $E_{2,s}$. So, for the rest of this paper, we just consider $E_{1,s}$. Moreover, for a notational simplicity, we set

$$\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}_1, \quad \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_1$$

and write

$$\Psi_s = \Psi_{1,s} \quad \text{and} \quad E_s = E_{1,s}$$

by dropping 1 in the notation.

7.1. Iwasawa decomposition of Haar measure on G . Let $\pi : \mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{T} \times K \rightarrow G$ be the product map with $K = P_j$ for $j = 1, 2$. Then π is surjective by the Iwasawa decomposition. Let du, da, dk be Haar measures on $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{T}, K$ respectively. In particular we take da to be the counting measure on the discrete group \mathcal{T} . We let π_* denote the induced map $(du, da, dk) \mapsto d\mu$. As is standard, we normalize the Haar measure to equal 1 on compact subgroups.

The following theorem indicates that we can decompose the Haar measure on G as in the Iwasawa decomposition of G .

Theorem 7.1. (*Iwasawa decomposition of Haar measure*)

- (i) \mathcal{U} is normalized by \mathcal{T} and $\text{Ad}(a)du = a^{2\rho}du$, where $a^{2\rho} := q^{-2n(a)}$ if $a = (w_1w_2)^{n(a)}$.
- (ii) Let $d\nu = a^{-2\rho}du da dk$. Then $\pi_*\nu = \mu$, the Haar measure on G , and $\pi_*\nu$ is bi-invariant.
- (iii) $a^{-2\rho}du da$ is a left \mathcal{T} -invariant Haar measure on $\mathcal{U}\mathcal{T}$.

Proof. Recall that w_1w_2 acts on Δ_1^{re} by translation. It is enough to consider the subgroup of \mathcal{U} generated by positive root groups. Let $\mathcal{U}^+ \subset \mathcal{U}$ be the completion of the group generated by U_α with $\alpha \in \Delta_1^{re}$, $\alpha > 0$. It is easy to see that

$$\frac{\mathcal{U}^+}{\text{Ad}((w_1w_2)^n)\mathcal{U}^+} \cong U_{\alpha_1}U_{w_2\alpha_1} \cdots U_{(w_1w_2)^{n-1}\alpha_1}U_{(w_1w_2)^{n-1}w_1\alpha_2}, \quad n \geq 0,$$

which has cardinality q^{2n} . This proves (i).

For (ii) we note that K acts on G by right translation. Also $\pi_*\nu$ and μ agree and coincide with the Haar measure on $K \subset G$ which is an open compact subgroup acting transitively on G . Hence $\pi_*\nu$ must be the Haar measure on all of G . We note also that $\pi_*\nu$ is right K -invariant and left $\mathcal{U}\mathcal{T}$ -invariant.

For (iii) we note that $\mathcal{U}\mathcal{T}$ acts on G by left translation. Since $\pi_*\nu$ is left $\mathcal{U}\mathcal{T}$ -invariant, it follows that $a^{-2\rho}du da$ is left \mathcal{T} -invariant on $\mathcal{U}\mathcal{T}$ (see also [25, 1.1.1]), which proves (iii). \square

7.2. Convergence of Eisenstein series. The following theorem shows that the series $E_s(g)$ converges uniformly and absolutely on compact subsets. Also for $\gamma \in \Gamma$ we have $E_s(g) = E_s(\gamma g)$. We assume that $s \in \mathbb{C}$ is ‘sufficiently regular’, that is, $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$.

Theorem 7.2. *The Eisenstein series*

$$E_s(g) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma \cap \mathcal{B} \setminus \Gamma} \Psi_s(\gamma g)$$

converges absolutely, provided $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$.

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that s is real. Choose a neighborhood Ω of the identity e , such that $\Omega \subset K$ and $\gamma g \Omega \neq \gamma' g \Omega$ whenever $\gamma \neq \gamma'$, $\gamma, \gamma' \in \Gamma$. Since $a_{\gamma g \omega} = a_{\gamma g}$ for $\omega \in \Omega$ (even for $\omega \in K$), we may average $\Psi_s(\gamma g)$ over the coset $\gamma g \Omega$:

$$\Psi_s(\gamma g) = \int_{\gamma g \Omega} \Psi_s(x) dx,$$

where we normalize the measure of Ω to be 1. Hence

$$E_s(g) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma \cap \mathcal{B} \setminus \Gamma} \Psi_s(\gamma g) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma \cap \mathcal{B} \setminus \Gamma} \int_{\gamma g \Omega} \Psi_s(x) dx = \int_{\Gamma \cap \mathcal{B} \setminus \Gamma g \Omega} \Psi_s(x) dx.$$

Applying a variant of Proposition 5.10, we may conclude that $\Gamma g \Omega \subset \mathcal{UT}(n_0)K$ for some $n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $\mathcal{T}(n_0) = \{(w_1 w_2)^n \in \mathcal{T} \mid n \geq n_0\}$. When $s > 0$ from (6.2) it is easy to deduce the estimate

$$\Psi_s(a) \leq q^{s-2n(a)s}, \quad a \in \mathcal{T}.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_s(g) &\leq \int_{\Gamma \cap \mathcal{B} \setminus \mathcal{UT}(n_0)K} \Psi_s(x) dx \\ &= C \int_{\mathcal{T}(n_0)} \Psi_s(a) a^{-2\rho} da \\ &\leq C q^s \int_{\mathcal{T}(n_0)} q^{-2n(a)(s-1)} da \\ &= C q^s \sum_{n \geq n_0} q^{-2n(s-1)} \end{aligned}$$

where $C = \operatorname{Vol}(\Gamma \cap \mathcal{B} \setminus \mathcal{B}) \operatorname{Vol}(\mathcal{B} \setminus K)$, noting that $\Gamma \cap \mathcal{B} \setminus \mathcal{B}$ and K are compact. Hence $E_s(g)$ converges absolutely provided $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$. \square

7.3. The constant term. Suppose now that $f \in L^2(\Gamma \setminus X, \ell)$, then f is a Γ -left and K -right invariant function on G , where $K = P_j$, $j = 1, 2$ as before. We normalize the Haar measure on \mathcal{U} such that $\operatorname{Vol}(\Gamma \cap \mathcal{U} \setminus \mathcal{U}) = 1$, and define the *constant term* of f along \mathcal{U} , to be

$$C_{\mathcal{U}} f(g) := \int_{\Gamma \cap \mathcal{U} \setminus \mathcal{U}} f(ug) du,$$

where du is the induced Haar measure. In this subsection we compute the constant term $C_{\mathcal{U}}^0(E_s)$.

Since $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{B}$ and \mathcal{B} is the stabilizer of the end of the positive half \mathcal{A}_0^+ of the standard apartment, we may view the constant terms as being computed ‘along \mathcal{A}_0^+ ’.

Proposition 7.3. *The constant term of E_s is given as follows,*

$$C_{\mathcal{U}} E_s(g) = \Psi_s(g) + c(s) \Psi_{1-s}(g),$$

where $c(s)$ is holomorphic for $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$ and is independent of g .

Proof. The absolute convergence of the integral defining $C_{\mathcal{U}}E_s$ for $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$ follows easily from Theorem 7.2 and the fact that $\Gamma \cap \mathcal{U} \backslash \mathcal{U}$ is compact. This also implies that $C_{\mathcal{U}}E_s$ is holomorphic in $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$.

Since $C_{\mathcal{U}}E_s(g)$ is (\mathcal{U}, K) -biinvariant, without loss of generality we may assume that $g = a \in \mathcal{T}$. By the Bruhat decomposition $G = \mathcal{B} \sqcup \mathcal{B}w_1\mathcal{B}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\mathcal{U}}E_s(a) &= \int_{\Gamma \cap \mathcal{U} \backslash \mathcal{U}} \Psi_s(ua) du + \int_{\Gamma \cap \mathcal{U} \backslash \mathcal{U}} \sum_{\gamma \in (\Gamma \cap \mathcal{B}) \backslash (\Gamma \cap \mathcal{B}w_1\mathcal{B})} \Psi_s(\gamma ua) du \\ (7.2) \quad &= \Psi_s(a) + \int_{\Gamma \cap \mathcal{U} \backslash \mathcal{U}} \sum_{\gamma \in (\Gamma \cap \mathcal{B}) \backslash (\Gamma \cap \mathcal{B}w_1\mathcal{B})} \Psi_s(\gamma ua) du. \end{aligned}$$

To evaluate the second term in (7.2), we exchange the integration and summation using absolute convergence, and reassemble to obtain

$$(7.3) \quad \sum_{\gamma \in (\Gamma \cap \mathcal{B}) \backslash (\Gamma \cap \mathcal{B}w_1\mathcal{B}) / (\Gamma \cap \mathcal{U})} \int_{\mathcal{U}} \Psi_s(\gamma ua) du.$$

By Theorem 7.1 and a change of variable $u \mapsto \operatorname{Ad}(a)(u)$, (7.3) equals

$$\sum_{\gamma \in (\Gamma \cap \mathcal{B}) \backslash (\Gamma \cap \mathcal{B}w_1\mathcal{B}) / (\Gamma \cap \mathcal{U})} a^{2\rho} \int_{\mathcal{U}} \Psi_s(\gamma au) du.$$

We can view each double coset $\gamma \in (\Gamma \cap \mathcal{B}) \backslash (\Gamma \cap \mathcal{B}w_1\mathcal{B}) / (\Gamma \cap \mathcal{U})$ as an arbitrary representative in $\Gamma \cap \mathcal{B}w_1\mathcal{B}$ and decompose it as

$$(7.4) \quad \gamma = u_{\gamma} w_1 a'_{\gamma},$$

where $u_{\gamma} \in \mathcal{U}$ and $a'_{\gamma} \in \mathcal{T}$. By using part (b) of Lemma 5.5, we write (7.4) as

$$(7.5) \quad \gamma = u_{\gamma} a_{\gamma} w_1,$$

for some $a_{\gamma} \in \mathcal{T}$. It is easy to check that

$$\int_{\mathcal{U}} \Psi_s(\gamma au) du = \int_{\mathcal{U}} \Psi_s(a_{\gamma} w_1 au) du.$$

Write $a = (w_1 w_2)^n$, then $a^{2\rho} = q^{-2n}$. By part (a) of Lemma 5.5,

$$w_1 a = w_1 (w_1 w_2)^n = (w_1 w_2)^{-n} w_1,$$

which gives that

$$\Psi_s(a_{\gamma} w_1 au) = \Psi_s(a_{\gamma} (w_1 w_2)^{-n} w_1 u) = q^{2ns} \Psi_s(a_{\gamma} w_1 u).$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} a^{2\rho} \int_{\mathcal{U}} \Psi_s(\gamma au) du &= q^{-2n(1-s)} \int_{\mathcal{U}} \Psi_s(a_{\gamma} w_1 u) du \\ &= q^{-2n(1-s)} \int_{\mathcal{U}} \Psi_s(\gamma u) du \\ &= \Psi_{1-s}(a) \cdot \delta(s) \int_{\mathcal{U}} \Psi_s(\gamma u) du, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\delta(s) := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } K = P_1, \\ q^{s-1}, & \text{if } K = P_2. \end{cases}$$

In summary, it follows that (7.3) equals $c(s)\Psi_{1-s}(a)$, where

$$c(s) := \delta(s) \sum_{\gamma \in (\Gamma \cap \mathcal{B}) \backslash (\Gamma \cap \mathcal{B} w_1 \mathcal{B}) / (\Gamma \cap \mathcal{U})} \int_{\mathcal{U}} \Psi_s(\gamma u) du.$$

This finishes the proof of the proposition. \square

8. INTEGRAL OPERATORS ON THE TITS BUILDING

8.1. Spaces of functions on G . Let $\mathcal{C}(K \backslash G / K)$ denote the space of continuous \mathbb{C} -valued bi- K -invariant functions on G for $K = P_1$ or P_2 , that is, for $f \in \mathcal{C}(K \backslash G / K)$, $f(kgk') = f(g)$ for all $g \in G$, $k, k' \in K$. For $f \in \mathcal{C}(K \backslash G / K)$ and $g \in G$ we have

$$|f(g)| = \int_{gK} |f(g')| dg',$$

where dg' is the Haar measure on G such that K has total measure 1.

Let $X = X_{q+1}$ denote the Tits building of G . A function f on VX is a pair of functions (f_1, f_2) on G such that f_i is P_i -right invariant. For $p \geq 1$, set

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_p &= \left(\int_G |f(g)|^p dg \right)^{1/p}, \\ \|f\|'_p &= \left(\sum_{x \in VX} |f(x)|^p \right)^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$L^p(G) = \{f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \|f\|_p < \infty\},$$

and

$$L^p(X) = \{f : VX \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \|f\|'_p < \infty\},$$

be the spaces of \mathbb{C} -valued integrable functions on G and VX respectively. Then $L^p(X)$ consists of pairs of functions (f_1, f_2) such that $f_i \in L^p(G)$ is P_i -right invariant.

8.2. Integral operators. In this subsection, we define the integral operators we will use to prove meromorphic continuation of Eisenstein series. From now on, we consider the following quotient of G :

$$\overline{G} := G / (\cap_{g \in G} gBg^{-1})$$

which acts faithfully on X . The defining homomorphism

$$\rho : G / (\cap_{g \in G} gBg^{-1}) \hookrightarrow \text{Aut}(X)$$

is continuous and the image is closed ([10]). Thus we may identify \overline{G} with a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(X)$. By an abuse of notation, we drop the $-$ and identify \overline{G} with G .

Let $\mathcal{X} := G/K$ and $\mathcal{K} \in L^2(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X})$ such that \mathcal{K} is a continuous function with compact support. Then \mathcal{K} can be viewed as a K -right-invariant function on G . We further assume that \mathcal{K} is K -bi-invariant. Thus \mathcal{K} is radial ([14]). Then for $g, h \in G$, $k \in K$ we have

$$\mathcal{K}(gk, hk) = \mathcal{K}(g, h),$$

$$\mathcal{K}(kg, kh) = \mathcal{K}(g, h).$$

As in [14], for a function f on \mathcal{X} we set

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{K}}(f)(g) = \int_{\mathcal{X}} f(h) \mathcal{K}(h^{-1}, g) dh,$$

whenever the integral makes sense, with dh the induced left-invariant measure on \mathcal{X} . On the set of vertices $V\mathcal{X} = \{\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3, \sigma_4, \dots\}$ we have

$$\mathcal{K}(\sigma_0, \sigma_n) = F(n)$$

for some radial function F , where $n = d(\sigma_0, \sigma_n)$. By [14], since \mathcal{K} is radial, for $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$ we have

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{K}}(f)(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F(n) \sum_{d(x,y)=n} f(y).$$

Since $\mathcal{K}(g, h) \in L^2(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X})$, $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{K}}$ is a bounded operator on $L^2(\mathcal{X})$. It is well known that $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{K}}$ is a Hilbert–Schmidt compact operator ([7], [24]). We assume that $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{K}}$ is self-adjoint, which holds if and only if

$$\mathcal{K}(g, h) = \overline{\mathcal{K}(h, g)}.$$

We further assume that \mathcal{K} is chosen such that $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{K}}$ is K -conjugation invariant on G .

9. TRUNCATION

In general for a function ϕ on $\mathcal{U}\backslash G/K$ and Γ a discrete subgroup of G we define the *special Eisenstein series*

$$(9.1) \quad E(\phi)(g) := \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma \cap \mathcal{U}\backslash \Gamma} \phi(\gamma g),$$

assuming the absolute convergence. Then $E(\phi)$ is a function on $\Gamma\backslash X$. In particular, for $\Gamma = P_1^-$ we have that $E_s = E(\Psi_s)$.

Assume from now on that $\Gamma = P_1^-$. We recall that \mathcal{A}_0^+ is a fundamental domain for $\Gamma = P_1^-$ on X and that the vertices of \mathcal{A}_0^+ are

$$(9.2) \quad V\mathcal{A}_0^+ = \{P_1, P_2, w_2P_1, w_2w_1P_2, w_2w_1w_2P_1, \dots\}.$$

By a slight abuse of terminology, we say that \mathcal{A}_0^+ is the ‘cusp’ of $\Gamma\backslash X$.

Theorem 9.1. *Let $f \in L^2(\Gamma\backslash X, \ell)$. Then $f = C_{\mathcal{U}}f$ on the cusp of $\Gamma\backslash X$.*

Theorem 9.1 follows immediately from Lemma 9.2 below.

Lemma 9.2. *On the cusp of $\Gamma\backslash X$ we have*

$$(9.3) \quad f((w_2w_1)^n P_2) = C_{\mathcal{U}}f((w_2w_1)^n P_2),$$

$$(9.4) \quad f((w_2w_1)^n w_2 P_1) = C_{\mathcal{U}}f((w_2w_1)^n w_2 P_1)$$

for any $n \geq 0$.

Proof. To prove (9.3), we will prove that

$$(9.5) \quad f((w_2w_1)^n P_2) = f(u(w_2w_1)^n P_2)$$

for any $u \in \Gamma \cap \mathcal{U}\backslash \mathcal{U}$. Note that in this case we take $K = P_2$ and the left \mathcal{U} -invariance implies that the Eisenstein series is equal to its constant term along \mathcal{U} .

It is easy to see that $\Gamma \cap \mathcal{U}\backslash \mathcal{U}$ is isomorphic to the subgroup \mathcal{U}' of \mathcal{U} which corresponds to the set of roots

$$\Delta_1^{re'} := \Delta_{1,+}^{re} \setminus \{\alpha_1\} = \{w_1\alpha_2, w_1w_2\alpha_1, \dots\},$$

where $\Delta_{1,+}^{re} := \{\alpha_1, w_1\alpha_2, w_1w_2\alpha_1, \dots\}$. Since $(w_1w_2)^n$ preserves $\Delta_1^{re'}$ (it shifts the roots in $\Delta_{1,+}^{re}$ ‘upward’), for $u \in \mathcal{U}'$ one has

$$\text{Ad}(w_1w_2)^n(u) \in \mathcal{U}' \subset P_2$$

hence

$$u(w_2w_1)^n P_2 = (w_2w_1)^n P_2.$$

From this (9.5) is clear. The proof of (9.4) is similar. In fact we only need to prove that

$$(9.6) \quad f((w_2w_1)^n w_2 P_1) = f(u(w_2w_1)^n w_2 P_1)$$

for $u \in \mathcal{U}'$. But we have $w_2(w_1w_2)^n(\Delta_1^{re'}) \subset \Delta_{2,+}^{re}$, hence $\text{Ad}(w_2(w_1w_2)^n)(\mathcal{U}') \subset B \subset P_1$. This implies that $u(w_2w_1)^n w_2 P_1 = (w_2w_1)^n w_2 P_1$ and (9.6) follows immediately. \square

For a function ϕ on $\mathcal{U} \backslash G/K$, define its tail to be

$$\text{tail}(\phi)(x) := \begin{cases} \phi(x), & \text{if } x \in V\mathcal{A}_0^+, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where \mathcal{A}_0^+ is the cusp with vertices given by (9.2). We now define the *truncation operator* on $L^2(\Gamma \backslash X, \ell)$ by

$$\text{trunc}(f) := f - E(\text{tail}(C_{\mathcal{U}}f)), \quad f \in L^2(\Gamma \backslash X, \ell).$$

We have the following corollary of Theorem 9.1 and Lemma 9.2, which is an analog of rapid decay on a Siegel set in the classical case (See also Theorem 1.11.1 and Theorem 1.11.2 in [22, page 37]).

Corollary 9.3. *For $f \in L^2(\Gamma \backslash X, \ell)$, $\text{trunc}(f)$ is of rapid decay on $\Gamma \backslash X$. Hence trunc is a compact operator on $L^2(\Gamma \backslash X, \ell)$.*

Theorem 9.4. *For $f \in L^2(\Gamma \backslash X, \ell)$, $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{K}} \circ \text{trunc}(f)$ is of rapid decay on $\Gamma \backslash X$. Hence $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{K}} \circ \text{trunc}$ is a compact operator on $L^2(\Gamma \backslash X, \ell)$.*

Proof. We apply the operator $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{K}} \circ \text{trunc}$ to f . Using the definition of $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{K}}$ in Subsection 8.2,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{K}} \circ \text{trunc}(f)(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F(n) \sum_{d(x,y)=n} \text{trunc}(f)(y)$$

for $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$. It follows from Corollary 9.3 that $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{K}} \circ \text{trunc}(f)$ has rapid decay. Hence the operator $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{K}} \circ \text{trunc}$ is compact. \square

This gives an analog of the well-known theorem by Selberg, Gelfand, Piatetskii-Shapiro (see [21], page 11) in the classical case.

10. MEROMORPHIC CONTINUATION

We will use a refinement by Bernstein of Selberg's method for meromorphic continuation of Eisenstein series (see [3], [21, 22, 23]). In particular, we will use the *Continuation Principle* (Theorem A.7) and the *Compact Operator Criterion* (Corollary A.10) from the Appendix (Section A).

10.1. Admissible representations. Let V be a vector space. We call a representation $G \rightarrow GL(V)$ *admissible* if:

- (1) Every vector in V is fixed by an open subgroup of G ,
- (2) For any open subgroup, the subspace of vectors fixed by all elements in that subgroup is finite dimensional.

We may construct such a representation of G as it is done in [13, p. 22] for the classical groups. Casselman's construction only depends on the associated Tits building and hence it is also applicable in our case. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, let

$$(10.1) \quad V = V_{\lambda} = \{f \in L^2(\Gamma \backslash X) \mid Tf = \lambda f\},$$

where T is the adjacency operator as defined in (6.1). Let V_{λ}^K be the K -fixed vectors in V_{λ} .

The following proposition follows immediately from Proposition 12.1 in *op. cit.*

Proposition 10.1. *The representation of G on V_λ is admissible, and V_λ^K has dimension 1.*

Proposition 10.1 can also be deduced from the existence of principal series representations of rank 2 Kac–Moody groups (see [26] and [35]).

10.2. Meromorphic continuation of E_s . Our strategy for proving meromorphic continuation of E_s is similar to the example in [21] but has been adapted to our setting.

Let $g \in G$ be such that $g \in \mathcal{U}a_gK$, with $a_g \in \mathcal{T}$ and $K = P_j$ for $j = 1, 2$. For notational convenience, from now on we write

$$a^s(g) := \Psi_s(g) = \Psi_s(a_g) \in \mathbb{C},$$

which depends on s and g . By Proposition 7.3, the constant term of E_s is

$$C_{\mathcal{U}}E_s(a) = a^s + c(s)a^{1-s}.$$

Let X_s be the following system of equations in $L^2(\Gamma \backslash X, \ell)$ holomorphically parameterized by $s \in \mathbb{C}$:

- (i) $[a \frac{\partial}{\partial a} - (1-s)] C_{\mathcal{U}}v_s = (2s-1)a^s$,
- (ii) $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{K}}(v_s) = \lambda_s v_s$.

Theorem 10.2. *(Uniqueness) The system X_s uniquely determines (i) the constant term and (ii) the Eisenstein series $E_s(g)$ in the region of convergence.*

Proof. For (i), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (a \frac{\partial}{\partial a} - (1-s))(a^s + c(s)a^{1-s}) \\ &= a \frac{\partial}{\partial a}(a^s + c(s)a^{1-s}) - (1-s)(a^s + c(s)a^{1-s}) \\ &= (sa^s + (1-s)c(s)a^{1-s}) - (1-s)(a^s + c(s)a^{1-s}) \\ &= (2s-1)a^s. \end{aligned}$$

For (ii), we let $K = P_1$ or P_2 , and view E_s as a function on $\Gamma \backslash G/K$. Let V be the admissible representation with the defining property (10.1). Then $a^s \in V^K$. Since $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{K}}$ is K -conjugation invariant, $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{K}} \cdot a^s \in V^K$ and thus $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{K}}a^s = \lambda_s a^s$ for some $\lambda_s \in \mathbb{C}$.

In the region of convergence, Eisenstein series is a sum of left translates of a^s . So in the region of convergence we have $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{K}}(E_s) = \lambda_s E_s$. Since $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{K}}$ is non-zero, $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{K}}$ has non-zero eigenvalues. We may choose $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{K}}$ so that λ_s is not constant and $\lambda_s \neq 0$.

We know that E_s is a solution of X_s since E_s converges on compact sets. Suppose that there exists another solution v_s to X_s . Then

- (i') $[a \frac{\partial}{\partial a} - (1-s)] C_{\mathcal{U}}(E_s - v_s) = 0$,
- (ii') $(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{K}} - \lambda_s)(E_s - v_s) = 0$.

Equation (i') implies that

$$(10.2) \quad C_{\mathcal{U}}(E_s - v_s) = \beta(s)a^{1-s}$$

for some function $\beta(s)$.

Let $f_s = E_s - v_s$. By Theorem 9.1, $f_s - C_{\mathcal{U}}f_s$ is identically zero on the cusp of $\Gamma \backslash X$, hence it has rapid decay. This together with (10.2) implies that

$$f_s = \beta(s)a^{1-s} + (\text{rapid decay}).$$

Since $\beta(s)a^{1-s} \in L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$, we have $f_s \in L^2(\Gamma \backslash G) \cap L^2(\Gamma \backslash G/K, \ell)$. Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be the inner product on $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$. Then

$$\lambda_s \langle f_s, f_s \rangle = \langle \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{K}}f_s, f_s \rangle = \langle f_s, \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{K}}f_s \rangle = \overline{\lambda_s} \langle f_s, f_s \rangle,$$

since $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{K}}$ is self-adjoint.

It follows that either $\lambda_s \in \mathbb{R}$ or $\langle f_s, f_s \rangle = 0$. However λ_s is a non-constant function of s , so $\lambda_s \notin \mathbb{R}$. Hence $f_s = E_s - v_s = 0$, so E_s is the unique solution to X_s in the region of convergence. \square

Theorem 10.3. (*Finiteness*) *The system of equations X_s has a finite holomorphic envelope.*

Proof. Fix $s_0 \in \mathbb{C}$. Let $v \in L^2(\Gamma \backslash X, \ell)$ be a solution to X_s for s close to s_0 . Then the constant term of v is of the form

$$C_{\mathcal{U}}v = b_1(s)a^s + b_2(s)a^{1-s},$$

by observing that a^s and a^{1-s} are two linearly independent solutions of the differential equation required of the constant term. Since v has moderate growth, we have that

$$v = E(\text{tail}(b_1(s)a^s + b_2(s)a^{1-s})) + (\text{rapid decay}).$$

Now define

$$L^2_{\text{trunc}}(\Gamma \backslash X, \ell) := \{f \in L^2(\Gamma \backslash X, \ell) \mid \text{trunc}(f) = f\}.$$

Let $V' := \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C} \oplus L^2_{\text{trunc}}(\Gamma \backslash X, \ell)$. We define a family of continuous linear maps

$$T_s : V' \longrightarrow L^2(\Gamma \backslash X, \ell),$$

$$(b_1, b_2, f) \mapsto E(\text{tail}(b_1a^s + b_2a^{1-s})) + f.$$

Then for each s , T_s is a continuous linear map. We also claim that $s \mapsto T_s$ is holomorphic in s . Since restriction to $L^2(\Gamma \backslash X, \ell)$ does not depend on s , the restriction map is holomorphic. Since $\phi \mapsto E(\phi)$ is linear, where $E(\phi)$ is defined as in (9.1), and the $L^2(\Gamma \backslash X, \ell)$ -valued map

$$s \mapsto E(\text{tail}(a^s))$$

is holomorphic, we conclude that the map $s \mapsto T_s$ is holomorphic.

Next define a new system X'_s defined by a single homogeneous equation $T'_s(v') = 0$, where

$$T'_s : V' \longrightarrow L^2(\Gamma \backslash X, \ell),$$

$$T'_s = (\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{K}} - \lambda_s) \circ T_s.$$

We now prove that X'_s has a finite holomorphic envelope, locally in a neighborhood of some fixed s_0 (see Appendix (Section A) for the definition of finite holomorphic envelope).

Since λ_s is a scalar valued holomorphic function of s [21, p. 10] and $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{K}}$ does not depend on s , the family of operators $s \mapsto \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{K}} - \lambda_s$ is holomorphic. It remains to show that X'_s is of finite type. For this it suffices to check that T'_s has a left inverse modulo compact operators. We now use the truncation operator to define

$$\mathbf{A} : L^2(\Gamma \backslash X, \ell) \longrightarrow V', \quad \mathbf{A}(f) = (0, 0, \text{trunc}(f)).$$

Restricted to $L^2_{\text{trunc}}(\Gamma \backslash X, \ell)$, the operator $\mathbf{A} \circ T'_{s_0}$ is given by

$$\mathbf{A} \circ T'_{s_0}(h) = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{K}} \circ \text{trunc}(h) - \lambda_{s_0} \text{trunc}(h) = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{K}} \circ \text{trunc}(h) - \lambda_{s_0} h.$$

So $\mathbf{A} \circ T'_{s_0}$ differs from the scalar operator λ_{s_0} by $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{K}} \circ \text{trunc}$, which is a compact operator by Theorem 9.4.

Applying the Compact Operator Criterion (Corollary A.10) from the Appendix (Section A), we deduce that X'_s has a finite holomorphic envelope.

Next, we use Proposition A.8 with $h_s : V' \rightarrow V'$ taken to be the identity map, which implies that X_s has a finite holomorphic envelope.

Finally, we conclude that E_s has a meromorphic continuation to $s \in \mathbb{C}$ by the Continuation Principle (Theorem A.7). \square

Corollary 10.4. *E_s has a meromorphic continuation to $s \in \mathbb{C}$.*

APPENDIX A. MEROMORPHIC CONTINUATION OF EISENSTEIN SERIES VIA BERNSTEIN'S
CONTINUATION PRINCIPLE

BY PAUL GARRETT

The ideas presented here are written very succinctly in [3]. Their origins are in lectures of Bernstein from many years ago, written up informally by various people over the years, and circulated on-line.

A.1. Weak-to-strong issues. A function f taking values in a topological vector space V is *weakly holomorphic* when $s \rightarrow (\lambda \circ f)(s)$ is holomorphic (\mathbb{C} -valued) for every $\lambda \in V^*$. A family of operators $T_s : V \rightarrow W$ from one topological vector space to another is *weakly holomorphic* in a parameter s if for every vector $v \in V$ and for every continuous functional $\mu \in W^*$ the \mathbb{C} -valued function $\mu(T_s v)$ is holomorphic in s .

Proposition A.1. *For $S_s : X \rightarrow Y$ and $T_s : Y \rightarrow Z$ be two weakly holomorphic families of continuous linear operators on topological vector spaces X, Y, Z , the composition $T_s \circ S_s : X \rightarrow Z$ is weakly holomorphic. For a weakly holomorphic Y -valued function $s \rightarrow f(s)$, the composite $T_s \circ f$ is a weakly holomorphic Z -valued function.*

Proof. This is a corollary of Hartogs' theorem, that separate analyticity of a function of several complex variables implies joint analyticity (without any other hypotheses). Consider the family of operators $T_t \circ S_s$. By weak holomorphy, for $x \in X$ and a linear functional $\mu \in Z^*$ the \mathbb{C} -valued function $(s, t) \rightarrow \mu(T_t(S_s(x)))$ is separately analytic. By Hartogs' theorem, it is jointly analytic. It follows that the diagonal function $s \rightarrow (s, s) \rightarrow \mu(T_s(S_s(x)))$ is analytic. The second assertion has a nearly identical proof. \square

A *Gelfand-Pettis* or *weak* integral of a function $s \rightarrow f(s)$ on a measure space (X, μ) with values in a topological vector space V is an element $I \in V$ so that for all $\lambda \in V^*$

$$\lambda(I) = \int_X \lambda(f(s)) d\mu(s).$$

A topological vector space is *quasi-complete* when every *bounded* (in the topological vector space sense, not necessarily the metric sense) Cauchy *net* is convergent.

Theorem A.2. *Continuous compactly-supported functions $f : X \rightarrow V$ with values in quasi-complete (locally convex) topological vector spaces V have Gelfand-Pettis integrals with respect to finite positive regular Borel measures μ on compact spaces X , and these integrals are unique. In particular, for a μ with total measure $\mu(X) = 1$, the integral $\int_X f(x) d\mu(s)$ lies in the closure of the convex hull of the image $f(X)$ of the measure space X .*

Proof. Bourbaki's *Integration*. (Thanks to Jacquet for bringing this reference to my attention.) \square

Corollary A.3. *Let $T : V \rightarrow W$ be a continuous linear map, and let $f : X \rightarrow V$ be a continuous compactly supported V -valued function on a topological measure space X with finite positive Borel measure μ . Suppose that V is locally convex and quasi-complete, so that (from above) a Gelfand-Pettis integral of f exists and is unique. Suppose that W is locally convex. Then*

$$T \left(\int_X f(x) d\mu(x) \right) = \int_X T f(x) d\mu(x).$$

In particular, $T \left(\int_X f(x) d\mu(x) \right)$ is a Gelfand-Pettis integral of $T \circ f$.

Proof. First, the integral exists in V , from above. Second, for any continuous linear functional λ on W , $\lambda \circ T$ is a continuous linear functional on V . Thus, by the defining property of the Gelfand-Pettis

integral, for every such λ

$$(\lambda \circ T) \left(\int_X f(x) d\mu(x) \right) = \int_X (\lambda T f)(x) d\mu(x).$$

This exactly asserts that $T \left(\int_X f(x) d\mu(x) \right)$ is a Gelfand-Pettis integral of the W -valued function $T \circ f$. Since the two vectors $T \left(\int_X f(x) d\mu(x) \right)$ and $\int_X T f(x) d\mu(x)$ give identical values under all $\lambda \in W^*$, and since W is locally convex, these two vectors are equal, as claimed. \square

Corollary A.4. *For quasi-complete and locally convex V , weakly holomorphic V -valued functions are (strongly) holomorphic.*

Proof. The Cauchy integral formulas involve continuous integrals on compacts, so these integrals exist as Gelfand-Pettis integrals. Thus, we can obtain V -valued convergent power series expansions for weakly holomorphic V -valued functions, from which (strong) holomorphy follows by an obvious extension of Abel's theorem that analytic functions are holomorphic. \square

Give the space $\text{Hom}^o(X, Y)$ of continuous mappings $T : X \rightarrow Y$ from an LF-space X (strict colimit of Fréchet spaces, e.g., a Fréchet space) to a quasi-complete space Y the *weak operator topology* as follows. For $x \in X$ and $\mu \in Y^*$, define a seminorm $p_{x, \mu}$ on $\text{Hom}^o(X, Y)$ by

$$p_{x, \mu}(T) = |\mu(T(x))|.$$

Corollary A.5. *With the weak topology $\text{Hom}^o(X, Y)$ is quasi-complete.*

Proof. The collection of finite linear combinations of the functionals $T \rightarrow \mu(Tx)$ is exactly the dual space of $\text{Hom}^o(X, Y)$ with the weak operator topology. Invoke the previous result. \square

Corollary A.6. *A weakly holomorphic $\text{Hom}^o(X, Y)$ -valued function T_s is holomorphic when $\text{Hom}^o(X, Y)$ is given the weak operator topology.*

A.2. A continuation principle. Let V be a topological vector space. Following Bernstein, a *system of linear equations X_0 in V* is a collection

$$X_0 = \{(W_i, T_i, w_i) : i \in I\}$$

where I is a (not necessarily countable) set of indices, each W_i is a topological vector space,

$$T_i : V \rightarrow W_i$$

is a continuous linear map for each index i , and $w_i \in W_i$ are the *targets*. A *solution* of the system X_0 is $v \in V$ such that $T_i(v) = w_i$ for all indices i . Denote the set of solutions by $\text{Sol } X_0$.

When the systems of linear equations $X_s = \{W_i, T_{i,s}, w_{i,s}\}$ depend on a parameter s , with $T_{i,s}$ and $w_{i,s}$ weakly holomorphic in s , say that the *parametrized linear system $X = \{X_s : s \in S\}$* is *holomorphic* in s . Note that $\{W_i\}$ does not depend upon s .

For $X = \{X_s\}$ a parametrized system of linear equations in a space V , holomorphic in s , suppose there is a finite-dimensional space F , a weakly holomorphic family $\{f_s\}$ of continuous linear maps $f_s : F \rightarrow V$ such that, for each s , $\text{Im } f_s \supset \text{Sol } X_s$. Then we say that f_s is a *finite holomorphic envelope* for the system X or that X is of *finite type*.

For $U_\alpha, \alpha \in A$ an open cover of the parameter space, and for each $\alpha \in A$ $\{f_s^{(\alpha)} : s \in U_\alpha\}$ is a finite envelope for the system $X^{(\alpha)} = \{X_s : s \in U_\alpha\}$, say that $\{f_s^{(\alpha)} : s \in U_\alpha, \alpha \in A\}$ is a *locally finite holomorphic envelope* of X .

Theorem A.7. (*Bernstein*) *Continuation Principle: Let $X = \{X_s : s\}$ be a locally finite system of linear equations*

$$T_{i,s} : V \rightarrow W_i$$

for s varying in a connected complex manifold, with each W_i (locally convex and) quasi-complete. Then the continuation principle holds. That is, if for s in some non-empty open subset there is a unique solution v_s , then this solution depends meromorphically upon s , has a meromorphic continuation to s in the whole manifold, and for fixed s off a locally finite set of analytic hypersurfaces inside the complex manifold, the solution v_s is the unique solution to the system X_s .

Proof. This reduces to a holomorphically parametrized version of Cramer's rule, in light of comments above about weak-to-strong principles and composition of weakly holomorphic maps.

It is sufficient to check the continuation principle locally, so let $f_s : F \rightarrow V$ be a family of morphisms so that $\text{Im } f_s \supset \text{Sol } X_s$, with F finite-dimensional. We can reformulate the statement in terms of the finite-dimensional space F . Namely, put

$$K_s^+ = \{v \in F : f_s(v) \in \text{Sol } X_s\} = \{ \text{inverse images in } F \text{ of solutions} \}.$$

(The set K_s^+ is an affine subspace of F .) By elementary finite-dimensional linear algebra, X_s has a unique solution if and only if

$$\dim K_s^+ = \dim \ker f_s.$$

The weak holomorphy of $T_{i,s}$ and f_s yield the weak holomorphy of the composite $T_{i,s} \circ f_s$ from the finite-dimensional space F to W_i , by the corollary of Hartogs' theorem above. The finite-dimensional space F is certainly LF, and W_i is quasi-complete, so by invocation of results above on weak holomorphy the space $\text{Hom}^o(F, W_i)$ is quasi-complete, and a weakly holomorphic family in $\text{Hom}^o(F, W_i)$ is in fact holomorphic.

Take $F = \mathbb{C}^n$. Using linear functionals on V and W_i which separate points we can describe $\ker f_s$ and K_s^+ by systems of linear equations of the forms

$$\ker f_s = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in F : \sum_j a_{\alpha j} x_j = 0, \alpha \in A\},$$

$$K_s^+ = \{ \text{inverse images of solutions} \} = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in F : \sum_j b_{\beta j} x_j = c_\beta, \beta \in B\},$$

where $a_{\alpha j}, b_{\beta j}, c_\beta$ all depend implicitly upon s , and are holomorphic \mathbb{C} -valued functions of s . (The index sets A, B may be of arbitrary cardinality.) Arrange these coefficients into matrices M_s, N_s, Q_s holomorphically parametrized by s , with entries

$$M_s(\alpha, j) = a_{\alpha j}, \quad N_s(\beta, j) = b_{\beta j} \quad \text{and} \quad Q_s(\beta, j) = \begin{cases} b_{\beta j} & \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq n \\ c_\beta & \text{for } j = n \end{cases}$$

of sizes $\text{card}(A)$ -by- n , $\text{card}(B)$ -by- n and $\text{card}(B)$ -by- $(n+1)$ respectively. We have

$$\dim \ker f_s = n - \text{rank } M_s.$$

Certainly for all s

$$\text{rank } N_s \leq \text{rank } Q_s,$$

and if the inequality is *strict* then there is *no solution* to the system X_s . By finite-dimensional linear algebra, assuming that $\text{rank } N_s = \text{rank } Q_s$,

$$\dim K_s^+ = n - \text{rank } B_s.$$

Therefore, the condition that $\dim K_s^+ = \dim \ker f_s$ can be rewritten as

$$\text{rank } M_s = \text{rank } N_s = \text{rank } Q_s.$$

Let S_o be the dense subset (actually, S_o is the complement of an analytic subset) of the parameter space where $\text{rank } M_s, \text{rank } N_s$, and $\text{rank } Q_s$ all take their maximum values. Since by hypothesis $S_o \cap \Omega$ is not empty, and since the ranks are equal for $s \in \Omega$, all those maximal ranks are equal to

the same number r . Then for all $s \in S_o$ the rank condition holds and X_s has a solution, and the solution is unique.

In order to prove the continuation principle we must show that $X = \{X_s\}$ has a meromorphic solution v_s . Making use of the finite envelope of the system X , to find a meromorphic solution of X it is enough to find a meromorphic solution of the parametrized system $Y = \{Y_s\}$ where

$$Y_s = \left\{ \sum b_{\beta_i} x_i = c_\beta : \text{for all } \beta \right\}$$

with implicit dependence upon s . Let r be the maximum rank, as above. Choose $s_o \in S_o$ and choose an r -by- r minor

$$D_{s_o} = \{b_{\beta,j} : \beta \in \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r\}, j \in \{j_1, \dots, j_r\}\}$$

of full rank, inside the matrix N_{s_o} , with constraints on the indices as indicated. Let $S_1 \subset S_o$ be the set of points s where D_s has full rank, that is, where $\det D_s \neq 0$. Consider the system of equations

$$Z = \left\{ \sum_{j \in \{j_1, \dots, j_r\}} b_{\beta_j} x_j = c_\beta : \beta \in \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r\} \right\} \quad (\text{with } s \text{ implicit})$$

By Cramer's Rule, for $s \in S_1$ this system has a unique solution $(x_{1,s}, \dots, x_{r,s})$. Further, since the coefficients are holomorphic in s , the expression for the solution obtained via Cramer's rule show that the solution is meromorphic in s . Extending this solution by $x_j = 0$ for j not among j_1, \dots, j_r , we see that it satisfies the r equations corresponding to rows $\beta \in \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r\}$ of the system Y_s . Then for $s \in S_1$ the equality $\text{rank } N_s = \text{rank } Q_s = r$ implies that after satisfying the first r equations of Y_s it will automatically satisfy the rest of the equations in the system Y_s .

Thus, the system has a *weakly* holomorphic solution. Earlier observations on weak-to-strong principles assure that this solution is holomorphic. This proves the continuation principle. \square

A.3. Finite envelope criteria.

Proposition A.8. (*Dominance*) (*Called inference by Bernstein.*) Let $X' = \{X'_s\}$ be another holomorphically parametrized system of equations in a linear space V' , with the same parameter space as a system $X = \{X_s\}$ on a space V . We say that X' dominates X when there is a family of morphisms $h_s : V' \rightarrow V$, weakly holomorphic in s , so that

$$\text{Sol } X_s \subset h_s(\text{Sol } X'_s)$$

for all s .

If X'_s is locally finite then X_s is locally finite.

Proof. The fact that compositions of weakly holomorphic mappings are weakly holomorphic assures that X'_s really meets the definition of *system*. Granting this, the conclusion is clear. \square

Theorem A.9. (*Banach-space criterion*) Let V be a Banach space, and X a single parametrized homogeneous equation $T_s(v) = 0$, with $T_s : V \rightarrow W$, where W is also a Banach space, and where $s \rightarrow T_s$ is holomorphic for the uniform-norm Banach-space topology on $\text{Hom}^o(V, W)$. If for some fixed s_o there exists an operator $\mathbf{A} : W \rightarrow V$ so that $\mathbf{A} \circ T_{s_o}$ has finite-dimensional kernel and closed image, then X_s is of finite type in some neighborhood of s .

Proof. Let V_1 be the image of $\mathbf{A} \circ T_{s_o}$, and V_o the kernel of $\mathbf{A} \circ T_{s_o}$.

We claim that finite dimensional $V_o \subset V$ has a continuous linear $p : V \rightarrow V_o$ which is the identity on V_o . Indeed, for a basis v_1, \dots, v_n of V_o , and for $v \in V_o$, the coefficients $c_i(v)$ in the expression $v = \sum_i c_i(v)v_i$ are continuous linear functionals on V_o . By Hahn-Banach, each c_i extends to a continuous linear functional λ_i on V , and $p(v) = \lambda_1(v)v_1 + \dots + \lambda_n(v)v_n$ is as desired.

Let $q = \mathbf{A} \circ T_{s_o} : V \rightarrow V_1$.

Let X'_s be a new system in V , given by a single equation $T'_s(v) = 0$, where $T'_s = q \circ T_s : V \rightarrow V_1$. If $T_s(v) = 0$, then $T'_s(v) = 0$, so X'_s dominates X_s .

Since $V_1 \subset V$ is closed, it is a Banach space. Consider the holomorphic family of maps

$$\varphi_s = p \oplus T'_s : V \rightarrow V_o \oplus V_1$$

where $V_o \oplus V_1$ is given its natural Banach space structure. The function $s \rightarrow \varphi_s$ is holomorphic for the operator-norm topology on $\text{Hom}^o(V, V_o \oplus V_1)$.

By construction, φ_{s_o} is a bijection, so by the Open Mapping Theorem it is an isomorphism. The continuous inverse $\varphi_{s_o}^{-1}$ has an operator norm δ^{-1} with $0 < \delta^{-1} < +\infty$. With s sufficiently near s_o so that $|\varphi_{s_o} - \varphi_s| < \delta/2$,

$$|\varphi_s(x)| \geq |\varphi_{s_o}(x)| - |\varphi_s(x) - \varphi_{s_o}(x)| \geq \delta \cdot |x| - \frac{\delta}{2} \cdot |x| \geq \frac{\delta}{2} \cdot |x|.$$

Thus, φ_s is an isomorphism for s sufficiently near s_o .

The map $s \rightarrow \varphi_s^{-1}$ is holomorphic on a neighborhood of s_o , since the operator-norm topology restricted to invertible elements in $\text{Hom}^o(V, V_o \oplus V_1)$ is the same as the operator-norm topology restricted to invertible elements in $\text{Hom}^o(V_o \oplus V_1, V)$. This follows from the continuity of $T \rightarrow T^{-1}$ on a neighborhood of an invertible operator.

There is a finite envelope $\varphi_s^{-1}(V_o \oplus \{0\})$ for X'_s . By dominance, there is a finite envelope for X_s . \square

Corollary A.10. (*Compact operator criterion*) Let V be a Banach space with system X_s given by a single equation $T_s : V \rightarrow W$, with Banach space W , requiring $T_s(v) = 0$, with $s \rightarrow T_s$ holomorphic for the operator-norm topology. Suppose for some s_o the operator T_{s_o} has a left inverse modulo compact operators, that is, there exists $\mathbf{A} : W \rightarrow V$ such that

$$\mathbf{A} \circ T_{s_o} = 1_V + (\text{compact operator}).$$

Then X_s is of finite type in some neighborhood of s_o .

Proof. Let K be that compact operator. The kernel $V_o = \ker(1_V + K)$ is the -1 eigenspace for K , finite-dimensional by the spectral theory of compact (not necessarily self-adjoint or normal) operators. Similarly, the image V_1 is closed. Thus, the theorem applies. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Ali and L. Carbone, Congruence subgroups of lattices in rank 2 Kac–Moody groups over finite fields. *Comm. Algebra* **44** (2016), 1236–1264. MR MR3463141
- [2] J. Arthur, A truncation process for reductive groups. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **83** (1977), 748–750. MR MR0480886
- [3] J. Bernstein and E. Lapid, On the meromorphic continuation of Eisenstein series. *arXiv:1911.02342v2*.
- [4] A. Braverman and D. Gaitsgory, Geometric Eisenstein series. *Invent. Math.* **150** (2002), 287–384. MR MR1933587
- [5] A. Braverman, M. Finkelberg and D. Kazhdan, Affine Gindikin–Karpelevich formula via Uhlenbeck spaces. In Contributions in Analytic and Algebraic Number Theory. *Springer Proc. Math.* **9** (2012), 17–135. MR MR3060455
- [6] A. Braverman, H. Garland, D. Kazhdan and M. Patnaik, An affine Gindikin–Karpelevich formula, Perspectives in representation theory, *Contemp. Math.* **610** (2014), 43–64. MR MR3220625
- [7] D. Bump, Automorphic forms and representations. Cambridge Univ. Press. (1997). MR MR1431508
- [8] L. Carbone, Conjecture on the structure of fundamental domains for nonuniform lattices in Kac–Moody groups. Presented at the workshop ‘DIAMANT meets GQT’, Lorentz Center, Leiden, Netherlands, October 2008. <http://www.math.rutgers.edu/~carbone1/>.
- [9] L. Carbone, M. Ershov and G. Ritter, Abstract simplicity of complete Kac–Moody groups over finite fields. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **212** (2008), 2147–2162. MR MR2418160
- [10] L. Carbone and H. Garland, Existence of Lattices in Kac–Moody Groups over finite fields. *Commun. Contemp. Math.* **5** (2003), 813–867. MR MR2017720
- [11] L. Carbone, A. J. Feingold, W. Freyn, A lightcone embedding of the twin building of a hyperbolic Kac–Moody group. *SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl.* **16** (2020), 1–47. MR MR4104416

- [12] L. Carbone, K.-H. Lee and D. Liu, Eisenstein series on rank 2 hyperbolic Kac–Moody groups. *Math. Ann.* **367** (2017), 1173–1197. MR MR3623222
- [13] B. Casselman, The Bruhat–Tits tree of $SL(2)$, <https://ncatlab.org/nlab/files/CasselmannOnBruhatTitsTree2014.pdf>
- [14] M. Cowling, S. Meda and A. Setti, Estimates for functions of the Laplace operator on homogeneous trees. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **352** 2000, 4271–4293. MR MR1653343
- [15] J. Dymara, and T. Januszkiewicz, Cohomology of buildings and of their automorphism groups, *Invent. Math.* **150** (2002), 579–627. MR MR1946553
- [16] I. Efrat, Automorphic spectra on the tree of PGL_2 , *Enseign. Math. (2)* **37** (1991), 31–43. MR MR1115742
- [17] P. Fleig and A. Kleinschmidt, Eisenstein series for infinite-dimensional U-duality groups. *J. High Energy Phys.* **054** (2012). MR MR3006875
- [18] P. Fleig, A. Kleinschmidt, D. Persson, Fourier expansions of Kac–Moody Eisenstein series and degenerate Whittaker vectors, *Commun. Number Theory Phys.* **8** (2014), 41–100. MR MR3260286
- [19] H. Garland, On extending the Langlands–Shahidi method to arithmetic quotients of loop groups. *Contemp. Math.* **557**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, (2011). MR MR2848924
- [20] H. Garland, The arithmetic theory of loop groups. II. The Hilbert-modular case, *J. Algebra.* **209**, No. 2, (1998), 446–532. MR MR1659899
- [21] P. Garrett, Meromorphic continuation of Eisenstein series for $SL(2)$, http://www-users.math.umn.edu/~garrett/m/v/mero_contn_eis.pdf
- [22] P. Garrett, *Modern analysis of automorphic forms by example*, Vol. 1 & Vol. 2 Cambridge Univ. Press (2018). MR MR3837526, MR3837525
- [23] P. Garrett, *Bernstein’s continuation principle*, http://www-users.math.umn.edu/~garrett/m/v/Bernstein_continuation_principle.pdf
- [24] P. Garret, *Compact operators, Hilbert-Schmidt operators*, http://www-users.math.umn.edu/~garrett/m/fun/compact_ops.pdf
- [25] G. Harder, Chevalley groups over function fields and automorphic forms. *Annals Math.* **100** (1974), 249–306. MR MR0563090
- [26] A. Hébert, Principal series representations of Iwahori–Hecke algebras for Kac–Moody groups over local fields. *Pacific J. Math.* **310** (2021), 303–353. MR MR4229241
- [27] V. G. Kac, *Infinite Dimensional Lie Algebra*. Cambridge Univ. Press. (1990). MR MR1104219
- [28] S. Kumar, *Kac–Moody Groups, Their flag varieties and Representation Theory.*, Progr. Math., **204**, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, (2002). MR MR1923198
- [29] K. H. Lee and P. Lombardo, Eisenstein series on affine Kac–Moody groups over function fields. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **366** (2014), 2121–2165. MR MR3152725
- [30] Li, Wen Ch’ing Winnie, Eisenstein series and decomposition theory over function fields. *Math. Ann.* **240** (1979), 115–139. MR MR0524661
- [31] D. Liu, Eisenstein series on loop groups. *Tran. Amer. Math. Soc.* **367** (2015), 2079–2135. MR MR3286509
- [32] H. Nagoshi, Selberg zeta functions over function fields, *J. Num. Theo.* **90** (2001), 207–238. MR MR1858074
- [33] B. Rémy, *Groupes de Kac–Moody déployés et presque déployés. (French) [Split and almost split Kac–Moody groups]*. Astérisque **No. 277**, (2002). MR MR1909671
- [34] B. Rémy and M. Ronan, Topological groups of Kac–Moody type, right-angled twinings and their lattices. *Comment. Math. Helv.* **81** (2006), 191–219. MR MR2208804
- [35] A. J. Rose, *Representation theory of rank two Kac–Moody groups*. Thesis (Ph.D.), University of Warwick (2011). MR MR3271797
- [36] L. Solomon, *The Steinberg character of a finite group with a BN-pair*, Theory of Finite Groups, R. Brauer and C.H. Sah, Eds., Benjamin, New York, 1969, pp. 213–221.
- [37] J. Tits, *Resume de Cours-Theorie des Groupes*, Annuaire du College de France, 1980–1981, 75–87.
- [38] J. Tits, *Groups and group functors attached to Kac–Moody data*, Lecture Notes in Math. **1111**, Springer, Berlin (1985), 193–223. MR MR0797422
- [39] J. Tits, Uniqueness and presentation of Kac–Moody groups over fields, *J. Algebra.* **105** (1987), 542–573. MR MR0873684
- [40] J. Tits, *Groupes associés aux algèbres de Kac–Moody. (French) [Groups associated to Kac–Moody algebras]*. Séminaire Bourbaki, Vol. 1988/89. *Astérisque No 177-178* (1989), Exp. No. 700, 7–31. MR MR1040566
- [41] R. M. Weiss, *The Structure of Spherical Buildings*, Princeton University Press (2003). MR MR2034361

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, RUTGERS UNIVERSITY, PISCATAWAY, NJ 08854-8019, U.S.A.
Email address: `abid.ali@rutgers.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, RUTGERS UNIVERSITY, PISCATAWAY, NJ 08854-8019, U.S.A.
Email address: `carbonel@math.rutgers.edu`

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55455, U.S.A.
Email address: `garrett@math.umn.edu`