

THE SKEIN ALGEBRA OF THE BORROMEAN RINGS COMPLEMENT

GO MIURA AND SAKIE SUZUKI

ABSTRACT. The skein algebra of an oriented 3-manifold is a classical limit of the Kauffman bracket skein module and gives the coordinate ring of the $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ -character variety. In this paper we determine the quotient of a polynomial ring which is isomorphic to the skein algebra of a group with three generators and two relators. As an application, we give an explicit formula for the skein algebra of the Borromean rings complement in S^3 .

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
1.1. Classical limit of Kauffman bracket skein module and skein algebra	1
1.2. Results	2
2. Skein algebra of groups with three generators and two relators	4
2.1. Proof of Theorem 1.	4
2.2. Basic identities in skein algebra	5
2.3. Proof of Theorem 2	5
3. Skein algebra of Borromean rings complement in S^3	12
3.1. Fundamental group of Borromean rings complement	12
3.2. Proof of Theorem 3	13
References	21

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Classical limit of Kauffman bracket skein module and skein algebra.

Let M be an oriented 3-manifold and R a commutative ring with unit 1. Przytycki [10, 11] and Turaev [14, 15] introduced the Kauffman bracket skein module (KBSM) $S(M; R, t)$ as a generalization of the Kauffman bracket in S^3 . The KBSM of M is defined by the Kauffman bracket skein relation $\times - t \smile - t^{-1} \succ$ and the weight relation $\bigcirc + (t^2 + t^{-2})\emptyset$ dividing the free R -module spanned by framed links in M . For link complements in S^3 , the KBSM of two-bridge links are calculated [2, 3, 7, 8]. For other links and general parameter t , the KBSM is less researched because its algebraic structure is too complicated.

The classical case $t = -1$ of the KBSM is relatively simple to be calculated and is important; in this case the framing becomes irrelevant and $S(M; R, -1)$ admits a commutative algebra structure with the multiplication as the disjoint union of links. Bullock [1] showed that the algebra $S(M; \mathbb{C}, -1)$ modulo its nilradical is isomorphic to the coordinate ring of the $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ -character variety of M . From this point of view the KBSM can be seen as a deformation of $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ -character variety, and plays an important role in the study of the AJ conjecture [5, 7, 9], which relates the recurrence polynomial of the colored Jones polynomial and the A-polynomial.

In [12, 13], Przytycki and Sikora introduced the skein algebra $S(G; R)$ of a group G , which is a generalization of $S(M; R, -1)$ in the sense that $S(M; R, -1)$ can be

recovered when $G = \pi_1(M)$. They also showed that the skein algebra $S(G; \mathbb{C})$ modulo its nilradical is isomorphic to the coordinate ring of the $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ -character variety of G .

For link complements in S^3 , Tran [16, 17] calculated the skein algebras of pretzel links. Note that the fundamental groups of the complements of pretzel links and two-bridge links are generated by two elements. In this paper we determine the skein algebra of a group with three generators and two relators, and give an explicit formula for the skein algebra, i.e., the KBSM with $t = -1$, of the Borromean rings complement in S^3 .

1.2. Results. Let G be a group, R a commutative ring with unit 1 and $SR[G] = \bigoplus_m SR[G]_m$ the symmetric algebra over the group ring $R[G]$. Consider the ideal I of $SR[G]$ generated by $e - 2$ and $g \otimes h - gh - gh^{-1}$ for $g, h \in G$. Here we denote by $e \in SR[G]_1$ the identity in G , and by $2 \in SR[G]_0$ the sum of units $1 + 1 \in R$. Then the skein algebra $S(G; R)$ is defined as the quotient algebra $SR[G]/I$. In this paper we denote by $[g]$ the equivalence class of $g \in G$ in $SR[G]/I = S(G; R)$.

Horowitz [6], Culler and Shalen [4] showed that if G is generated by g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n , then each $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ -character χ is determined by the values $\chi(g_{i_1}) \cdots \chi(g_{i_k})$, $1 \leq k \leq n$, $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k \leq n$. This result implies that the skein algebra $S(G; \mathbb{C})$ is generated by $\{[g_{i_1} \cdots g_{i_k}] \mid 1 \leq k \leq n, 1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leq n\}$. The main interest of the present paper is the relations among these generators.

Let F_n be the free group with generators g_1, \dots, g_n . As for the free groups F_1 and F_2 , there are no relations among the above generators of $S(F_1; \mathbb{C})$ and $S(F_2; \mathbb{C})$, and therefore $S(F_1; \mathbb{C})$ and $S(F_2; \mathbb{C})$ are nothing but the polynomial rings $\mathbb{C}[[g_1]]$ and $\mathbb{C}[[g_1], [g_2], [g_1g_2]]$, respectively. However, as for F_n with n larger than 2, the skein algebra is no longer a polynomial ring of the variables $\{[g_{i_1} \cdots g_{i_k}] \mid 1 \leq k \leq n, 1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leq n\}$, but is the quotient of the polynomial ring by a certain ideal. In order to describe this ideal we consider the algebra homomorphism from the polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_{1\dots n}]$ with $2^n - 1$ variables $x_{i_1 \dots i_k}$ ($1 \leq k \leq n, 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k \leq n$) to the skein algebra $S(F_n; \mathbb{C})$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi: \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_{1\dots n}] &\rightarrow S(F_n; \mathbb{C}) \\ x_{i_1 \dots i_k} &\mapsto [g_{i_1} \cdots g_{i_k}]. \end{aligned}$$

Since Φ is surjective, $S(F_n; \mathbb{C})$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_{1\dots n}] / \ker \Phi$. For $n = 3$, by the argument in [6], we have $\ker \Phi = \langle K \rangle$, where

$$\begin{aligned} K := &x_{123}^2 - (x_{12}x_3 + x_{13}x_2 + x_{23}x_1 - x_1x_2x_3)x_{123} + x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_{12}^2 + x_{23}^2 + x_{13}^2 \\ &- x_1x_2x_{12} - x_1x_3x_{13} - x_2x_3x_{23} + x_{12}x_{13}x_{23} - 4, \end{aligned}$$

i.e., we have

$$S(F_3; \mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_{123}] / \langle K \rangle.$$

Let G be a group with generators g_1, \dots, g_n and set $\iota: F_n \ni g \mapsto \bar{g} \in G$ the natural group homomorphism such that $\iota(g_i) = g_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. We extend ι to the algebra homomorphism $\iota: S(F_n; \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow S(G; \mathbb{C})$ and define $\Phi_G := \iota \circ \Phi$. Then we have $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_{1\dots n}] / \ker \Phi_G \cong S(G; \mathbb{C})$.

For $n = 1$ or 2 , it is known that

$$\ker \Phi_G = \langle P_u - P_v \mid u, v \in F_n \text{ s.t. } \bar{u} = \bar{v} \rangle,$$

where P_g is any polynomial such that $\Phi(P_g) = [g]$.

We determine $\ker \Phi_G$ for $n = 3$ as follows.

Theorem 1. *Let G be a group with three generators. Then we have*

$$\ker \Phi_G = I_G := \langle K, P_u - P_v \mid u, v \in F_3 \text{ s.t. } \bar{u} = \bar{v} \rangle,$$

where we fix a polynomial $P_x \in \Phi^{-1}([x])$ for $x \in F_3$. Therefore we have

$$S(G; \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_{12}, x_{13}, x_{23}, x_{123}]/I_G.$$

Note that I_G is generated by a finite set of polynomials, since the polynomial ring is Noetherian. The following theorem gives a finite set of generators of I_G when G has two relators.

Theorem 2. *Let G be a group defined by three generators g_1, g_2, g_3 and two relators $\alpha = \beta$ and $\gamma = \delta$. Then I_G is generated by*

$$\{K, P_{\alpha g} - P_{\beta g}, P_{\gamma g} - P_{\delta g} \mid g = g_1^{i_1} g_2^{i_2} g_3^{i_3}, 0 \leq i_1, i_2, i_3 \leq 1\}.$$

By the Wirtinger presentation of the Borromean rings B , we have

$$\pi_1 M_B = \langle g_1, g_2, g_3 \mid \alpha = \beta, \gamma = \delta \rangle$$

where $\alpha = g_3 g_2^{-1} g_1 g_2 g_1^{-1}$, $\beta = g_2^{-1} g_1 g_2 g_1^{-1} g_3$, $\gamma = g_2 g_1^{-1} g_3 g_1 g_3^{-1}$ and $\delta = g_1^{-1} g_3 g_1 g_3^{-1} g_2$ (Lemma 10). Using Theorem 2 we give explicit generators of $I_{\pi_1 M_B}$ as follows.

Theorem 3. *For the Borromean rings complement M_B , let $I_{\pi_1 M_B}$ be the ideal generated by the polynomials below. Then we have $S(\pi_1 M_B; \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_{123}]/I_{\pi_1 M_B}$.*

$$\begin{aligned} K &= x_{123}^2 - (x_1 x_{23} + x_2 x_{13} + x_3 x_{12} - x_1 x_2 x_3) x_{123} + x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 \\ &\quad + x_{12}^2 + x_{13}^2 + x_{23}^2 - x_1 x_2 x_{12} - x_1 x_3 x_{13} - x_2 x_3 x_{23} + x_{12} x_{13} x_{23} - 4, \\ Q_{\alpha g_1, \beta g_1} &= -2x_{12} x_{23} + 2x_2 x_{123} - x_1^2 x_2 x_{123} + x_1 x_2 x_{12} x_{13} + x_1 x_2 x_{23} \\ &\quad + x_1 x_{12} x_{123} - x_{12}^2 x_{13} - x_2^2 x_{13}, \\ Q_{\gamma g_1, \delta g_1} &= 2x_{13} x_{23} - 2x_3 x_{123} + x_1^2 x_3 x_{123} - x_1 x_3 x_{12} x_{13} - x_1 x_3 x_{23} \\ &\quad - x_1 x_{13} x_{123} + x_{12} x_{13}^2 + x_3^2 x_{12}, \\ Q_{\alpha g_2, \beta g_2} &= -x_1 x_2 x_{12} x_{23} + x_{12}^2 x_{23} + x_1^2 x_{23} + x_1 x_2^2 x_{123} - x_2 x_{12} x_{123} \\ &\quad - 2x_1 x_{123} - x_1 x_2 x_{13} + 2x_{12} x_{13}, \\ Q_{\gamma g_3, \delta g_3} &= x_1 x_3 x_{13} x_{23} - x_{13}^2 x_{23} - x_1^2 x_{23} - x_1 x_3^2 x_{123} + x_3 x_{13} x_{123} \\ &\quad + 2x_1 x_{123} + x_1 x_3 x_{12} - 2x_{12} x_{13}, \\ Q_{\alpha g_1 g_2, \beta g_1 g_2} &= -x_1^2 x_{123} + x_2^2 x_{123} + x_1 x_{12} x_{13} - x_2 x_{12} x_{23} - 2x_2 x_{13} + 2x_1 x_{23}, \\ Q_{\gamma g_1 g_2, \delta g_1 g_2} &= x_1^3 + x_1 x_3^2 + x_1 x_{13}^2 - x_1^2 x_3 x_{13} - 4x_1 + x_1^2 x_2 x_3 x_{123} - x_1 x_2 x_{13} x_{123} \\ &\quad - x_1 x_2 x_3 x_{23} - x_1 x_3 x_{12} x_{123} + x_{12} x_{13} x_{123} - x_1^2 x_2 x_{12} + x_1 x_{12}^2 \\ &\quad + x_3 x_{12} x_{23} - x_2 x_3 x_{123} + x_2 x_{13} x_{23} + x_1 x_2^2, \\ Q_{\alpha g_1 g_3, \beta g_1 g_3} &= -4x_1 + x_1^3 + x_1 x_{12}^2 + x_{12} x_{13} x_{123} + x_1 x_{13}^2 - x_1^2 x_2 x_{12} - x_1 x_2 x_{13} x_{123} \\ &\quad + x_1 x_2^2 + x_2 x_{13} x_{23} - x_1^2 x_3 x_{13} - x_3 x_{12}^2 x_{13} + x_2 x_3 x_{123} \\ &\quad + x_1 x_2 x_3 x_{12} x_{13} - x_2^2 x_3 x_{13} - x_3 x_{12} x_{23} + x_1 x_3^2, \\ Q_{\gamma g_1 g_3, \delta g_1 g_3} &= x_1^2 x_{123} - x_3^2 x_{123} - x_1^3 x_{23} + x_3^3 x_{12} - x_1 x_{12} x_{13} + x_3 x_{13} x_{23} \\ &\quad + 2x_1 x_{23} - 2x_3 x_{12} - x_1 x_{13}^2 x_{23} + x_3 x_{12} x_{13}^2 + x_1^2 x_3 x_{12} - x_1 x_3^2 x_{23} \\ &\quad + x_1^2 x_3 x_{13} x_{23} - x_1 x_3^2 x_{12} x_{13}, \\ Q_{\alpha g_2 g_3, \beta g_2 g_3} &= x_2^3 + x_2 x_3^2 + x_2 x_{23}^2 - x_2^2 x_3 x_{23} - 4x_2 + x_1 x_2^2 x_3 x_{123} - x_1 x_2 x_{23} x_{123} \\ &\quad - x_1 x_2 x_3 x_{13} - x_2 x_3 x_{12} x_{123} + x_{12} x_{23} x_{123} - x_1 x_2^2 x_{12} + x_2 x_{12}^2 \\ &\quad + x_3 x_{12} x_{13} - x_1 x_3 x_{123} + x_1 x_{13} x_{23} + x_1^2 x_2, \\ Q_{\gamma g_2 g_3, \delta g_2 g_3} &= -x_3^3 - x_1^2 x_3 - x_3 x_{13}^2 + x_1 x_3^2 x_{13} + 4x_3 - x_1 x_2 x_3^2 x_{123} + x_2 x_3 x_{13} x_{123} \\ &\quad + x_1 x_2 x_3 x_{12} + x_1 x_3 x_{23} x_{123} - x_{13} x_{23} x_{123} + x_2 x_3^2 x_{23} - x_3 x_3^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -x_1x_{12}x_{23} + x_1x_2x_{123} - x_2x_{12}x_{13} - x_2^2x_3, \\
Q_{\alpha g_1 g_2 g_3, \beta g_1 g_2 g_3} &= -x_2x_3x_{12}x_{23} + x_3^2x_{12} + x_1x_3x_{23} - x_2x_3x_{13} - 4x_{12} + x_2^2x_{12} \\
& + x_{12}^3 + x_1^2x_{12} - x_1x_2x_{12}^2 - x_1x_2x_{123}^2 + x_{12}x_{123}^2 \\
& + x_1x_2x_3x_{12}x_{123} - x_3x_{12}^2x_{123} + x_2x_{23}x_{123} - x_1^2x_3x_{123} + x_1x_{13}x_{123}, \\
Q_{\gamma g_1 g_2 g_3, \delta g_1 g_2 g_3} &= (-x_1x_{23} + x_3x_{12})x_{13}x_{123} + (x_1x_{12} - x_3x_{23})x_{123} \\
& + (x_3^2 - x_1^2)x_{12}x_{23} + (x_{23}^2 - x_{12}^2)x_{13} + (x_1^2x_3x_{23} - x_1x_3^2x_{12})x_{123} \\
& + (x_{12}^2 - x_{23}^2)x_1x_3 + (x_1x_{23} - x_3x_{12})x_2.
\end{aligned}$$

We can find some symmetries in the polynomials above. For example, if we multiply $Q_{\alpha g_1, \beta g_1}$ by -1 and exchange each "2" and "3" in the indices of the variables except for x_{23} and x_{123} , then we obtain $Q_{\gamma g_1, \delta g_1}$. This kind of symmetry can be observed between $Q_{\alpha g_1, \beta g_1}$ and $Q_{\gamma g_1, \delta g_1}$, $Q_{\alpha g_2, \beta g_2}$ and $Q_{\gamma g_2, \delta g_2}$ and among $Q_{\gamma g_1 g_2, \delta g_1 g_2}$, $Q_{\alpha g_2 g_3, \beta g_2 g_3}$ and $Q_{\gamma g_2 g_3, \delta g_2 g_3}$. Each $Q_{\alpha g_1 g_2, \beta g_1 g_2}$, $Q_{\gamma g_1 g_2, \delta g_1 g_2}$ and $Q_{\gamma g_1 g_2 g_3, \delta g_1 g_2 g_3}$ has a symmetry by themselves.

Organization of this paper. The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we study the skein algebra of groups with three generators and two relators, and prove Theorem 1 and Theorem 2. In Section 3 we consider the skein algebra of the complement M_B of the Borromean rings B . In Section 3.1 using Wirtinger's method we give a presentation of $\pi_1 M_B$ with three generators and two relators, and in Section 3.2 we prove Theorem 3.

Acknowledgments. We would like to thank K.Habiro, K.Okazaki, Y. Ota, and A. Tran for valuable discussions. This work is partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP19K14523.

2. SKEIN ALGEBRA OF GROUPS WITH THREE GENERATORS AND TWO RELATORS

In this section we prove Theorem 1 and Theorem 2.

2.1. Proof of Theorem 1. We prove Theorem 1. Let G be a group with three generators g_1, g_2, g_3 . Recall from the introduction the natural group homomorphism $\iota: F_3 \ni g \mapsto \bar{g} \in G$ such that $\iota(g_i) = g_i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. We use the following lemma.

Lemma 4. $S(G; \mathbb{C})$ is isomorphic to $S(F_3; \mathbb{C}) / \langle [u] - [v] \mid u, v \in F_3 \text{ s.t. } \bar{u} = \bar{v} \rangle$.

Proof. Let us consider the following two ideals of the symmetric algebra $SC[F_3]$:

$$\begin{aligned}
I &:= \langle e - 2, g \otimes h - gh - gh^{-1} \mid g, h \in F_3 \rangle, \\
\bar{I} &:= \langle e - 2, g \otimes h - gh - gh^{-1}, u - v \mid g, h \in F_3, u, v \in F_3 \text{ s.t. } \bar{u} = \bar{v} \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

If we consider the canonical projection

$$\pi: SC[F_3] \rightarrow SC[F_3]/I = S(F_3; \mathbb{C}),$$

then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{I}/I &= \pi(\bar{I}) = \langle \pi(u) - \pi(v) \mid u, v \in F_3 \text{ s.t. } \bar{u} = \bar{v} \rangle \\
&= \langle [u] - [v] \mid u, v \in F_3 \text{ s.t. } \bar{u} = \bar{v} \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus by the third isomorphism theorem, we have

$$S(F_3; \mathbb{C}) / \langle [u] - [v] \mid u, v \in F_3 \text{ s.t. } \bar{u} = \bar{v} \rangle = (SC[F_3]/I) / (\bar{I}/I) \cong SC[F_3]/\bar{I}.$$

Hence it suffices to show that $SC[F_3]/\bar{I} \cong S(G; \mathbb{C})$.

We extend the group homomorphism $\iota: F_3 \rightarrow G$ to the algebra homomorphism $\iota: SC[F_3] \rightarrow SC[G]$. Then ι induces the algebra isomorphism $\hat{\iota}: SC[F_3]/\ker \iota \rightarrow$

$S\mathbb{C}[G]$, where the kernel $\ker \iota$ is the ideal generated by $u - v$, $u, v \in F_3$, such that $\bar{u} = \bar{v}$. Then again by the third isomorphism theorem, we have

$$S\mathbb{C}[F_3]/\bar{I} \cong (S\mathbb{C}[F_3]/\ker \iota)/(\bar{I}/\ker \iota) \cong S\mathbb{C}[G]/\hat{i}(\bar{I}/\ker \iota) \cong S(G; \mathbb{C}),$$

where the last identity is given by

$$\hat{i}(\bar{I}/\ker \iota) = \langle \bar{e} - 2, \bar{g} \otimes \bar{h} - \bar{g}\bar{h} - \bar{g}\bar{h}^{-1} \mid g, h \in F_3 \rangle,$$

which is the defining relation of the skein algebra $S(G; \mathbb{C})$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1. Recall from the introduction that the map $\Phi: \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_{123}] \rightarrow S(F_3; \mathbb{C})$ has the kernel generated by the polynomial K , i.e., we have the isomorphism

$$\hat{\Phi}: \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_{123}]/\langle K \rangle \rightarrow S(F_3; \mathbb{C}).$$

Then by the third isomorphism theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_{123}]/I_G &\cong (\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_{123}]/\langle K \rangle) / (I_G/\langle K \rangle), \\ &\cong S(F_3; \mathbb{C}) / \langle [u] - [v] \mid u, v \in F_3 \text{ s.t. } \bar{u} = \bar{v} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4 the right hand side is isomorphic to $S(G; \mathbb{C})$, which implies the assertion. \square

2.2. Basic identities in skein algebra. The following lemma is well-known (cf. [6, 16]).

Lemma 5. *Let G be a group and $a, b, c \in G$ arbitrary elements. In $S(G; R)$, we have the following identities.*

- (1) $[a] = [a^{-1}]$
- (2) $[ab] = [ba]$
- (3) $[b] \otimes [ac] = [abc] + [ab^{-1}c]$
- (4) $[abc] = [a] \otimes [bc] + [b] \otimes [ac] + [c] \otimes [ab] - [a] \otimes [b] \otimes [c] - [acb]$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} [a] &= 2[a] - [a] = [e] \otimes [a] - [a] = ([e \cdot a] + [e \cdot a^{-1}]) - [a] = [a^{-1}], \\ [ab] &= [a] \otimes [b] - [ab^{-1}] = [b] \otimes [a] - [(ab^{-1})^{-1}] \\ &= [b] \otimes [a] - [ba^{-1}] = [b] \otimes [a] - ([b] \otimes [a] - [ba]) = [ba], \\ [b] \otimes [ac] &= [ca] \otimes [b] = [cab] + [cab^{-1}] = [abc] + [ab^{-1}c], \\ [abc] &= [a(bc)] = [a] \otimes [bc] - [ac^{-1}b^{-1}] = [a] \otimes [bc] - ([ac^{-1}] \otimes [b] - [ac^{-1}b]) \\ &= [a] \otimes [bc] - (-[ac] \otimes [b] + [a] \otimes [c] \otimes [b]) - [acb] + [ab] \otimes [c] \\ &= [a] \otimes [bc] + [b] \otimes [ac] + [c] \otimes [ab] - [a] \otimes [b] \otimes [c] - [acb]. \end{aligned}$$

\square

2.3. Proof of Theorem 2. We prove Theorem 2. Let

$$G = \langle g_1, g_2, g_3 \mid \alpha = \beta, \gamma = \delta \rangle,$$

and consider the ideal

$$\bar{I}_G := \langle K, P_{\alpha g} - P_{\beta g}, P_{\gamma g} - P_{\delta g} \mid g = g_1^{i_1} g_2^{i_2} g_3^{i_3}, 0 \leq i_1, i_2, i_3 \leq 1 \rangle \subset \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_{123}].$$

Note that Theorem 2 is equivalent to $I_G = \bar{I}_G$.

Since generators of \bar{I}_G are all contained in I_G , it suffices to show that $\bar{I}_G \supset I_G$. Since $K \in \bar{I}_G$, it is enough to prove the following lemma.

Lemma 6. For $u, v \in F_3$ such that $\bar{u} = \bar{v}$ and for $P_u \in \Phi^{-1}([u]), P_v \in \Phi^{-1}([v])$, we have $P_u - P_v \in \bar{I}_G$.

Proof. Take $u, v \in F_3$ such that $\bar{u} = \bar{v}$. Since G is the quotient of the free group F_3 by its normal subgroup $\langle h\alpha\beta^{-1}h^{-1}, h'\gamma\delta^{-1}h'^{-1} \mid h, h' \in F_3 \rangle$, we can write

$$u = \left(\prod_{i=1}^m h_i a_i b_i^{-1} h_i^{-1} \right) v$$

for $h_i \in F_3$ and $(a_i, b_i) \in \{(\alpha, \beta), (\beta, \alpha), (\gamma, \delta), (\delta, \gamma)\}$, $i = 1, \dots, m$.

We reduce the assertion to the following claim:

Claim 7. For $j = 1, \dots, m$, set

$$c_j := \begin{cases} b_j^{-1} h_j^{-1} \left(\prod_{i=j+1}^m h_i a_i b_i^{-1} h_i^{-1} \right) v h_j & (1 \leq j \leq m-1), \\ b_m^{-1} h_m^{-1} v h_m & (j = m). \end{cases}$$

For $P_u, P_v, P_{a_1 c_1}$ and $P_{b_m c_m}$ we have

$$(a) \quad P_u - P_{a_1 c_1} \in \bar{I}_G$$

$$(b) \quad P_{a_1 c_1} - P_{b_m c_m} \in \bar{I}_G$$

$$(c) \quad P_{b_m c_m} - P_v \in \bar{I}_G$$

We first prove (a) and (c). Note that

$$\begin{aligned} [u] &= \left[\left(\prod_{i=1}^m h_i a_i b_i^{-1} h_i^{-1} \right) v \right] \\ &= \left[a_1 b_1^{-1} h_1^{-1} \left(\prod_{i=2}^m h_i a_i b_i^{-1} h_i^{-1} \right) v h_1 \right] \\ &= [a_1 c_1], \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} [v] &= [h_m^{-1} v h_m] \\ &= [b_m b_m^{-1} h_m^{-1} v h_m] \\ &= [b_m c_m]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(P_u - P_{a_1 c_1}) &= [u] - [a_1 c_1] = 0, \\ \Phi(P_{b_m c_m} - P_v) &= [b_m c_m] - [v] = 0, \end{aligned}$$

which imply

$$\begin{aligned} P_u - P_{a_1 c_1} &\in \ker \Phi = \langle K \rangle \subset \bar{I}_G, \\ P_{b_m c_m} - P_v &\in \ker \Phi = \langle K \rangle \subset \bar{I}_G. \end{aligned}$$

In order to prove (b), we use the following claim.

Claim 8. For $P_{a_j c_j}, P_{b_j c_j}$ and $P_{a_{j+1} c_{j+1}}$ we have

$$(b1) \quad P_{a_j c_j} - P_{b_j c_j} \in \bar{I}_G \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq m.$$

$$(b2) \quad P_{b_j c_j} - P_{a_{j+1} c_{j+1}} \in \bar{I}_G \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq m-1.$$

By combining (b1) and (b2) we obtain (b);

$$P_{a_1 c_1} - P_{b_m c_m} = \left(\sum_{j=1}^m P_{a_j c_j} - P_{b_j c_j} \right) + \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m-1} P_{b_j c_j} - P_{a_{j+1} c_{j+1}} \right) \in \bar{I}_G.$$

As for (b1), the proof is given under more general condition in Lemma 9 below.

We prove (b2). When $1 \leq j \leq m-2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [b_j c_j] &= \left[h_j^{-1} \left(\prod_{i=j+1}^m h_i a_i b_i^{-1} h_i^{-1} \right) v h_j \right] \\ &= \left[\left(\prod_{i=j+1}^m h_i a_i b_i^{-1} h_i^{-1} \right) v \right] \\ &= \left[h_{j+1} a_{j+1} b_{j+1}^{-1} h_{j+1}^{-1} \left(\prod_{i=j+2}^m h_i a_i b_i^{-1} h_i^{-1} \right) v \right] \\ &= \left[a_{j+1} b_{j+1}^{-1} h_{j+1}^{-1} \left(\prod_{i=j+2}^m h_i a_i b_i^{-1} h_i^{-1} \right) v h_{j+1} \right] \\ &= [a_{j+1} c_{j+1}] \end{aligned}$$

and when $j = m-1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [b_{m-1} c_{m-1}] &= [h_{m-1}^{-1} h_m a_m b_m^{-1} h_m^{-1} v h_{m-1}] \\ &= [h_m a_m b_m^{-1} h_m^{-1} v] \\ &= [a_m b_m^{-1} h_m^{-1} v h_m] \\ &= [a_m c_m]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus for $1 \leq j \leq m-1$ we have $[b_j c_j] = [a_{j+1} c_{j+1}]$ and therefore

$$P_{b_j c_j} - P_{a_{j+1} c_{j+1}} \in \ker \Phi = \langle K \rangle \subset \bar{I}_G.$$

□

Lemma 9. For any $g \in F_3$ we have $P_{\alpha g} - P_{\beta g}, P_{\gamma g} - P_{\delta g} \in \bar{I}_G$.

Proof. Let P_x denote an arbitrary fixed element in $\Phi^{-1}([x])$. We show $P_{\alpha g} - P_{\beta g} \in \bar{I}_G$. One can prove $P_{\gamma g} - P_{\delta g} \in \bar{I}_G$ in a similar way. Note that if there exist certain polynomials $Q_{\alpha g} \in \Phi^{-1}([\alpha g])$ and $Q_{\beta g} \in \Phi^{-1}([\beta g])$ such that $Q_{\alpha g} - Q_{\beta g} \in \bar{I}_G$, then we have

$$P_{\alpha g} - P_{\beta g} = (P_{\alpha g} - Q_{\alpha g}) + (Q_{\alpha g} - Q_{\beta g}) + (Q_{\beta g} - P_{\beta g}) \in \bar{I}_G,$$

since $P_{\alpha g} - Q_{\alpha g}, Q_{\beta g} - P_{\beta g} \in \ker \Phi = \langle K \rangle \subset \bar{I}_G$. In what follows we construct such $Q_{\alpha g}$ and $Q_{\beta g}$ for each $g = g_{i_1}^{m_1} \cdots g_{i_r}^{m_r}$, where $g_{i_j} \in \{g_1, g_2, g_3\}$ and $m_j \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$. We assume that $g_{i_j} \neq g_{i_{j+1}}$ for $1 \leq j \leq r-1$.

case 1. $r = 0$

Let $g = e$. Then we have $P_{\alpha g} - P_{\beta g} = P_{\alpha} - P_{\beta} \in \bar{I}_G$.

case 2. $r = 1$

Let $g = g_{i_1}^{m_1}$ for $m_1 \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$.

- subcase 2-1. $m_1 = 1$
In this case by the definition of \bar{I}_G we have $P_{\alpha g_{i_1}} - P_{\beta g_{i_1}} \in \bar{I}_G$.

- subcase 2-2. $m_1 > 1$
We use induction on m_1 . Set

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{\alpha g_{i_1}^{m_1}} &:= P_{\alpha g_{i_1}^{m_1-1}} x_{i_1} - P_{\alpha g_{i_1}^{m_1-2}}, \\ Q_{\beta g_{i_1}^{m_1}} &:= P_{\beta g_{i_1}^{m_1-1}} x_{i_1} - P_{\beta g_{i_1}^{m_1-2}}, \end{aligned}$$

so that we have $\Phi(Q_{\alpha g_{i_1}^{m_1}}) = [\alpha g_{i_1}^{m_1}]$ and $\Phi(Q_{\beta g_{i_1}^{m_1}}) = [\beta g_{i_1}^{m_1}]$, which follows from the defining relation $[gh] = [g] \otimes [h] - [gh^{-1}]$ in the skein algebra. Then we have

$$Q_{\alpha g_{i_1}^{m_1}} - Q_{\beta g_{i_1}^{m_1}} = (P_{\alpha g_{i_1}^{m_1-1}} - P_{\beta g_{i_1}^{m_1-1}}) x_{i_1} - (P_{\alpha g_{i_1}^{m_1-2}} - P_{\beta g_{i_1}^{m_1-2}}) \in \bar{I}_G,$$

where the assertion for $m_1 = 2$ follows from the case 1 and the subcase 2-1, that for $m_1 = 3$ follows from the subcase 2-1 and the case $m_1 = 2$, and that for $m_1 \geq 4$ follows from the induction on m_1 .

- subcase 2-3. $m_1 < 0$
We use induction on $|m_1|$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{\alpha g_{i_1}^{m_1}} &:= P_{\alpha g_{i_1}^{m_1+1}} x_{i_1} - P_{\alpha g_{i_1}^{m_1+2}}, \\ Q_{\beta g_{i_1}^{m_1}} &:= P_{\beta g_{i_1}^{m_1+1}} x_{i_1} - P_{\beta g_{i_1}^{m_1+2}}, \end{aligned}$$

and the proof is similar to the subcase 2-2.

case 3. $r = 2$

Let $g = g_{i_1}^{m_1} g_{i_2}^{m_2}$ for $m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$.

- subcase 3-1. $m_1 = 1, m_2 = 1, 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 \leq 3$
In this case by the definition of \bar{I}_G we have $P_{\alpha g_{i_1} g_{i_2}} - P_{\beta g_{i_1} g_{i_2}} \in \bar{I}_G$.

- subcase 3-2. $m_1 = 1, m_2 = 1, 1 \leq i_2 < i_1 \leq 3$
Set

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{\alpha g_{i_1} g_{i_2}} &:= P_{\alpha} x_{i_1} x_{i_2} + x_{i_1} P_{\alpha g_{i_2}} + x_{i_2} P_{\alpha g_{i_1}} - P_{\alpha} x_{i_1} x_{i_2} - P_{\alpha g_{i_2} g_{i_1}}, \\ Q_{\beta g_{i_1} g_{i_2}} &:= P_{\beta} x_{i_1} x_{i_2} + x_{i_1} P_{\beta g_{i_2}} + x_{i_2} P_{\beta g_{i_1}} - P_{\beta} x_{i_1} x_{i_2} - P_{\beta g_{i_2} g_{i_1}}, \end{aligned}$$

so that we have $\Phi(Q_{\alpha g_{i_1} g_{i_2}}) = [\alpha g_{i_1} g_{i_2}]$ and $\Phi(Q_{\beta g_{i_1} g_{i_2}}) = [\beta g_{i_1} g_{i_2}]$, which follows from (4) in Lemma 5. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{\alpha g_{i_1} g_{i_2}} - Q_{\beta g_{i_1} g_{i_2}} &= (P_{\alpha} - P_{\beta}) x_{i_1} x_{i_2} + (P_{\alpha g_{i_2}} - P_{\beta g_{i_2}}) x_{i_1} \\ &\quad + (P_{\alpha g_{i_1}} - P_{\beta g_{i_1}}) x_{i_2} - (P_{\alpha} - P_{\beta}) x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \\ &\quad - (P_{\alpha g_{i_2} g_{i_1}} - P_{\beta g_{i_2} g_{i_1}}) \in \bar{I}_G. \end{aligned}$$

- subcase 3-3. $m_1 = 1, m_2 > 1$
We use induction on m_2 . Set

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{\alpha g_{i_1} g_{i_2}^{m_2}} &:= P_{\alpha g_{i_1} g_{i_2}^{m_2-1}} x_{i_2} - P_{\alpha g_{i_1} g_{i_2}^{m_2-2}}, \\ Q_{\beta g_{i_1} g_{i_2}^{m_2}} &:= P_{\beta g_{i_1} g_{i_2}^{m_2-1}} x_{i_2} - P_{\beta g_{i_1} g_{i_2}^{m_2-2}}, \end{aligned}$$

so that we have $\Phi(Q_{\alpha g_{i_1} g_{i_2}^{m_2}}) = [\alpha g_{i_1} g_{i_2}^{m_2}]$ and $\Phi(Q_{\beta g_{i_1} g_{i_2}^{m_2}}) = [\beta g_{i_1} g_{i_2}^{m_2}]$, which follows from the defining relation $[gh] = [g] \otimes [h] - [gh^{-1}]$ in the skein

algebra. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{\alpha g_{i_1} g_{i_2}^{m_2}} - Q_{\beta g_{i_1} g_{i_2}^{m_2}} &= (P_{\alpha g_{i_1} g_{i_2}^{m_2-1}} - P_{\beta g_{i_1} g_{i_2}^{m_2-1}}) x_{i_2} \\ &\quad - (P_{\alpha g_{i_1} g_{i_2}^{m_2-2}} - P_{\beta g_{i_1} g_{i_2}^{m_2-2}}) \in \bar{I}_G, \end{aligned}$$

where the assertion for $m_2 = 2$ follows from the case 2 and subcases 3-1 and 3-2, that for $m_2 = 3$ follows from the subcases 3-1 and 3-2 and the case $m_2 = 2$, and that for $m_2 \geq 4$ follows from the induction on m_2 .

- subcase 3-4. $m_1 = 1, m_2 < 0$
We use induction on $|m_2|$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{\alpha g_{i_1} g_{i_2}^{m_2}} &:= P_{\alpha g_{i_1} g_{i_2}^{m_2+1}} x_{i_2} - P_{\alpha g_{i_1} g_{i_2}^{m_2+2}}, \\ Q_{\beta g_{i_1} g_{i_2}^{m_2}} &:= P_{\beta g_{i_1} g_{i_2}^{m_2+1}} x_{i_2} - P_{\beta g_{i_1} g_{i_2}^{m_2+2}}, \end{aligned}$$

and the proof is similar to the subcase 3-3.

- subcase 3-5. $m_1 > 1, m_2 \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$
We use induction on m_1 . Set

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{\alpha g_{i_1}^{m_1} g_{i_2}^{m_2}} &:= P_{\alpha g_{i_1}^{m_1-1} g_{i_2}^{m_2}} x_{i_1} - P_{\alpha g_{i_1}^{m_1-2} g_{i_2}^{m_2}}, \\ Q_{\beta g_{i_1}^{m_1} g_{i_2}^{m_2}} &:= P_{\beta g_{i_1}^{m_1-1} g_{i_2}^{m_2}} x_{i_1} - P_{\beta g_{i_1}^{m_1-2} g_{i_2}^{m_2}}, \end{aligned}$$

so that we have $\Phi(Q_{\alpha g_{i_1}^{m_1} g_{i_2}^{m_2}}) = [\alpha g_{i_1}^{m_1} g_{i_2}^{m_2}]$ and $\Phi(Q_{\beta g_{i_1}^{m_1} g_{i_2}^{m_2}}) = [\beta g_{i_1}^{m_1} g_{i_2}^{m_2}]$, which follows from (3) in Lemma 5. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{\alpha g_{i_1}^{m_1} g_{i_2}^{m_2}} - Q_{\beta g_{i_1}^{m_1} g_{i_2}^{m_2}} &= (P_{\alpha g_{i_1}^{m_1-1} g_{i_2}^{m_2}} - P_{\beta g_{i_1}^{m_1-1} g_{i_2}^{m_2}}) x_{i_1} \\ &\quad - (P_{\alpha g_{i_1}^{m_1-2} g_{i_2}^{m_2}} - P_{\beta g_{i_1}^{m_1-2} g_{i_2}^{m_2}}) \in \bar{I}_G, \end{aligned}$$

where the assertion for $m_1 = 2$ follows from case 2 and subcases 3-1 through 3-4, that for $m_1 = 3$ follows from subcases 3-1 through 3-4 and the case $m_1 = 2$, and that for $m_1 \geq 4$ follows from the induction on m_1 .

- subcase 3-6. $m_1 < 0, m_2 \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$
We use induction on $|m_1|$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{\alpha g_{i_1}^{m_1} g_{i_2}^{m_2}} &:= P_{\alpha g_{i_1}^{m_1+1} g_{i_2}^{m_2}} x_{i_1} - P_{\alpha g_{i_1}^{m_1+2} g_{i_2}^{m_2}}, \\ Q_{\beta g_{i_1}^{m_1} g_{i_2}^{m_2}} &:= P_{\beta g_{i_1}^{m_1+1} g_{i_2}^{m_2}} x_{i_1} - P_{\beta g_{i_1}^{m_1+2} g_{i_2}^{m_2}}, \end{aligned}$$

and the proof is similar to the subcase 3-5.

case 4. $r = 3, i_1 \neq i_3$

Let $g = g_{i_1}^{m_1} g_{i_2}^{m_2} g_{i_3}^{m_3}$ for $i_1 \neq i_3, m_1, m_2, m_3 \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$.

- subcase 4-1. $m_1 = m_2 = m_3 = 1$
In this case (i_1, i_2, i_3) is a permutation of $(1, 2, 3)$.
If $(i_1, i_2, i_3) = (1, 2, 3)$, then $P_{\alpha g_1 g_2 g_3} - P_{\beta g_1 g_2 g_3} \in \bar{I}_G$ by the definition of \bar{I}_G .

For $(i_1, i_2, i_3) = (1, 3, 2)$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{\alpha g_1 g_3 g_2} &:= P_{\alpha g_1} x_{23} + x_3 P_{\alpha g_1 g_2} + x_2 P_{\alpha g_1 g_3} - P_{\alpha g_1} x_3 x_2 - P_{\alpha g_1 g_2 g_3}, \\ Q_{\beta g_1 g_3 g_2} &:= P_{\beta g_1} x_{23} + x_3 P_{\beta g_1 g_2} + x_2 P_{\beta g_1 g_3} - P_{\beta g_1} x_3 x_2 - P_{\beta g_1 g_2 g_3}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have $\Phi(Q_{\alpha g_1 g_3 g_2}) = [\alpha g_1 g_3 g_2]$ and $\Phi(Q_{\beta g_1 g_3 g_2}) = [\beta g_1 g_3 g_2]$ by Lemma 5 (4). We have

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{\alpha g_1 g_3 g_2} - Q_{\beta g_1 g_3 g_2} &= (P_{\alpha g_1} - P_{\beta g_1})x_{23} + x_3(P_{\alpha g_1 g_2} - P_{\beta g_1 g_2}) \\ &\quad + x_2(P_{\alpha g_1 g_3} - P_{\beta g_1 g_3}) - (P_{\alpha g_1} - P_{\beta g_1})x_3x_2 \\ &\quad - (P_{\alpha g_1 g_2 g_3} - P_{\beta g_1 g_2 g_3}) \in \bar{I}_G, \end{aligned}$$

by the cases 1-3 and $(i_1, i_2, i_3) = (1, 2, 3)$.

We can prove the other 4 cases similarly, using the following pairs of polynomials $Q_{\alpha g_{i_1} g_{i_2} g_{i_3}}, Q_{\beta g_{i_1} g_{i_2} g_{i_3}}$.

For $(i_1, i_2, i_3) = (2, 3, 1)$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{\alpha g_2 g_3 g_1} &:= P_{\alpha}x_{123} + x_{23}P_{\alpha g_1} + x_1P_{\alpha g_2 g_3} - P_{\alpha}x_{23}x_1 - P_{\alpha g_1 g_2 g_3}, \\ Q_{\beta g_2 g_3 g_1} &:= P_{\beta}x_{123} + x_{23}P_{\beta g_1} + x_1P_{\beta g_2 g_3} - P_{\beta}x_{23}x_1 - P_{\beta g_1 g_2 g_3}. \end{aligned}$$

For $(i_1, i_2, i_3) = (2, 1, 3)$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{\alpha g_2 g_1 g_3} &:= P_{\alpha g_2}x_{13} + x_1P_{\alpha g_2 g_3} + x_3P_{\alpha g_2 g_1} - P_{\alpha g_2}x_1x_3 - P_{\alpha g_2 g_3 g_1}, \\ Q_{\beta g_2 g_1 g_3} &:= P_{\beta g_2}x_{13} + x_1P_{\beta g_2 g_3} + x_3P_{\beta g_2 g_1} - P_{\beta g_2}x_1x_3 - P_{\beta g_2 g_3 g_1}. \end{aligned}$$

For $(i_1, i_2, i_3) = (3, 2, 1)$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{\alpha g_3 g_2 g_1} &:= P_{\alpha}P_{g_3 g_2 g_1} + x_{23}P_{\alpha g_1} + x_1P_{\alpha g_3 g_2} - P_{\alpha}x_{23}x_1 - P_{\alpha g_1 g_3 g_2}, \\ Q_{\beta g_3 g_2 g_1} &:= P_{\beta}P_{g_3 g_2 g_1} + x_{23}P_{\beta g_1} + x_1P_{\beta g_3 g_2} - P_{\beta}x_{23}x_1 - P_{\beta g_1 g_3 g_2}. \end{aligned}$$

For $(i_1, i_2, i_3) = (3, 1, 2)$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{\alpha g_3 g_1 g_2} &:= P_{\alpha g_3}x_{12} + x_1P_{\alpha g_3 g_2} + x_2P_{\alpha g_3 g_1} - P_{\alpha g_3}x_1x_2 - P_{\alpha g_3 g_2 g_1}, \\ Q_{\beta g_3 g_1 g_2} &:= P_{\beta g_3}x_{12} + x_1P_{\beta g_3 g_2} + x_2P_{\beta g_3 g_1} - P_{\beta g_3}x_1x_2 - P_{\beta g_3 g_2 g_1}. \end{aligned}$$

- subcase 4-2. $m_1 = m_2 = 1, m_3 > 1$

We use induction on m_3 . Set

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{\alpha g_{i_1} g_{i_2} g_{i_3}^{m_3}} &:= P_{\alpha g_{i_1} g_{i_2} g_{i_3}^{m_3-1}}x_{i_3} - P_{\alpha g_{i_1} g_{i_2} g_{i_3}^{m_3-2}}, \\ Q_{\beta g_{i_1} g_{i_2} g_{i_3}^{m_3}} &:= P_{\beta g_{i_1} g_{i_2} g_{i_3}^{m_3-1}}x_{i_3} - P_{\beta g_{i_1} g_{i_2} g_{i_3}^{m_3-2}}, \end{aligned}$$

so that we have $\Phi(Q_{\alpha g_{i_1} g_{i_2} g_{i_3}^{m_3}}) = [\alpha g_{i_1} g_{i_2} g_{i_3}^{m_3}]$ and $\Phi(Q_{\beta g_{i_1} g_{i_2} g_{i_3}^{m_3}}) = [\beta g_{i_1} g_{i_2} g_{i_3}^{m_3}]$,

which follows from the defining relation $gh = g \otimes h - gh^{-1}$ in the skein algebra. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{\alpha g_{i_1} g_{i_2} g_{i_3}^{m_3}} - Q_{\beta g_{i_1} g_{i_2} g_{i_3}^{m_3}} &= (P_{\alpha g_{i_1} g_{i_2} g_{i_3}^{m_3-1}} - P_{\beta g_{i_1} g_{i_2} g_{i_3}^{m_3-1}})x_{i_3} \\ &\quad - (P_{\alpha g_{i_1} g_{i_2} g_{i_3}^{m_3-2}} - P_{\beta g_{i_1} g_{i_2} g_{i_3}^{m_3-2}}) \in \bar{I}_G, \end{aligned}$$

where the assertion for $m_3 = 2$ follows from the case 3 and the subcase 4-1, that for $m_3 = 3$ follows from the subcase 4-1 and the case $m_3 = 2$, and that for $m_3 \geq 4$ follows from the induction on m_3 .

- subcase 4-3. $m_1 = m_2 = 1, m_3 < 0$

We use induction on $|m_3|$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{\alpha g_{i_1} g_{i_2} g_{i_3}^{m_3}} &:= P_{\alpha g_{i_1} g_{i_2} g_{i_3}^{m_3+1}}x_{i_3} - P_{\alpha g_{i_1} g_{i_2} g_{i_3}^{m_3+2}}, \\ Q_{\beta g_{i_1} g_{i_2} g_{i_3}^{m_3}} &:= P_{\beta g_{i_1} g_{i_2} g_{i_3}^{m_3+1}}x_{i_3} - P_{\beta g_{i_1} g_{i_2} g_{i_3}^{m_3+2}}, \end{aligned}$$

and the proof is similar to the subcase 4-2.

- subcase 4-4. $m_1 = 1, m_2 > 1, m_3 \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$

We use induction on m_2 . Set

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{\alpha g_{i_1} g_{i_2}^{m_2} g_{i_3}^{m_3}} &:= P_{\alpha g_{i_1} g_{i_2}^{m_2-1} g_{i_3}^{m_3}} x_{i_2} - P_{\alpha g_{i_1} g_{i_2}^{m_2-2} g_{i_3}^{m_3}}, \\ Q_{\beta g_{i_1} g_{i_2}^{m_2} g_{i_3}^{m_3}} &:= P_{\beta g_{i_1} g_{i_2}^{m_2-1} g_{i_3}^{m_3}} x_{i_2} - P_{\beta g_{i_1} g_{i_2}^{m_2-2} g_{i_3}^{m_3}}, \end{aligned}$$

so that we have $\Phi(Q_{\alpha g_{i_1} g_{i_2}^{m_2} g_{i_3}^{m_3}}) = [\alpha g_{i_1} g_{i_2}^{m_2} g_{i_3}^{m_3}]$ and $\Phi(Q_{\beta g_{i_1} g_{i_2}^{m_2} g_{i_3}^{m_3}}) = [\beta g_{i_1} g_{i_2}^{m_2} g_{i_3}^{m_3}]$, which follows from (3) in Lemma 5. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{\alpha g_{i_1} g_{i_2}^{m_2} g_{i_3}^{m_3}} - Q_{\beta g_{i_1} g_{i_2}^{m_2} g_{i_3}^{m_3}} &= (P_{\alpha g_{i_1} g_{i_2}^{m_2-1} g_{i_3}^{m_3}} - P_{\beta g_{i_1} g_{i_2}^{m_2-1} g_{i_3}^{m_3}}) x_{i_2} \\ &\quad - (P_{\alpha g_{i_1} g_{i_2}^{m_2-2} g_{i_3}^{m_3}} - P_{\beta g_{i_1} g_{i_2}^{m_2-2} g_{i_3}^{m_3}}) \in \bar{I}_G, \end{aligned}$$

where the assertion for $m_2 = 2$ follows from the case 3 and the subcases 4-1 through 4-3, that for $m_2 = 3$ follows from the subcases 4-1 through 4-3 and the case $m_2 = 2$, and that for $m_2 \geq 4$ follows from the induction on m_2 .

- subcase 4-5. $m_1 = 1, m_2 < 0, m_3 \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$

We use induction on $|m_2|$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{\alpha g_{i_1} g_{i_2}^{m_2} g_{i_3}^{m_3}} &:= P_{\alpha g_{i_1} g_{i_2}^{m_2+1} g_{i_3}^{m_3}} x_{i_2} - P_{\alpha g_{i_1} g_{i_2}^{m_2+2} g_{i_3}^{m_3}}, \\ Q_{\beta g_{i_1} g_{i_2}^{m_2} g_{i_3}^{m_3}} &:= P_{\beta g_{i_1} g_{i_2}^{m_2+1} g_{i_3}^{m_3}} x_{i_2} - P_{\beta g_{i_1} g_{i_2}^{m_2+2} g_{i_3}^{m_3}}, \end{aligned}$$

and the proof is similar to the subcase 4-4.

- subcase 4-6. $m_1 > 1, m_2 \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}, m_3 \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$

We use induction on m_1 . Set

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{\alpha g_{i_1}^{m_1} g_{i_2}^{m_2} g_{i_3}^{m_3}} &:= P_{\alpha g_{i_1}^{m_1-1} g_{i_2}^{m_2} g_{i_3}^{m_3}} x_{i_1} - P_{\alpha g_{i_1}^{m_1-2} g_{i_2}^{m_2} g_{i_3}^{m_3}}, \\ Q_{\beta g_{i_1}^{m_1} g_{i_2}^{m_2} g_{i_3}^{m_3}} &:= P_{\beta g_{i_1}^{m_1-1} g_{i_2}^{m_2} g_{i_3}^{m_3}} x_{i_1} - P_{\beta g_{i_1}^{m_1-2} g_{i_2}^{m_2} g_{i_3}^{m_3}}, \end{aligned}$$

so that we have $\Phi(Q_{\alpha g_{i_1}^{m_1} g_{i_2}^{m_2} g_{i_3}^{m_3}}) = [\alpha g_{i_1}^{m_1} g_{i_2}^{m_2} g_{i_3}^{m_3}]$ and $\Phi(Q_{\beta g_{i_1}^{m_1} g_{i_2}^{m_2} g_{i_3}^{m_3}}) = [\beta g_{i_1}^{m_1} g_{i_2}^{m_2} g_{i_3}^{m_3}]$, which follows from (3) in Lemma 5. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{\alpha g_{i_1}^{m_1} g_{i_2}^{m_2} g_{i_3}^{m_3}} - Q_{\beta g_{i_1}^{m_1} g_{i_2}^{m_2} g_{i_3}^{m_3}} &= (P_{\alpha g_{i_1}^{m_1-1} g_{i_2}^{m_2} g_{i_3}^{m_3}} - P_{\beta g_{i_1}^{m_1-1} g_{i_2}^{m_2} g_{i_3}^{m_3}}) x_{i_1} \\ &\quad - (P_{\alpha g_{i_1}^{m_1-2} g_{i_2}^{m_2} g_{i_3}^{m_3}} - P_{\beta g_{i_1}^{m_1-2} g_{i_2}^{m_2} g_{i_3}^{m_3}}) \in \bar{I}_G, \end{aligned}$$

where the assertion for $m_1 = 2$ follows from the case 3 and subcases 4-1 through 4-5, that for $m_1 = 3$ follows from the subcases 4-1 through 4-5 and the case $m_1 = 2$, and that for $m_1 \geq 4$ follows from the induction on m_1 .

- subcase 4-7. $m_1 < 0, m_2 \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}, m_3 \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$

We use induction on $|m_1|$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{\alpha g_{i_1}^{m_1} g_{i_2}^{m_2} g_{i_3}^{m_3}} &:= P_{\alpha g_{i_1}^{m_1+1} g_{i_2}^{m_2} g_{i_3}^{m_3}} x_{i_1} - P_{\alpha g_{i_1}^{m_1+2} g_{i_2}^{m_2} g_{i_3}^{m_3}}, \\ Q_{\beta g_{i_1}^{m_1} g_{i_2}^{m_2} g_{i_3}^{m_3}} &:= P_{\beta g_{i_1}^{m_1+1} g_{i_2}^{m_2} g_{i_3}^{m_3}} x_{i_1} - P_{\beta g_{i_1}^{m_1+2} g_{i_2}^{m_2} g_{i_3}^{m_3}}, \end{aligned}$$

and the proof is similar to the subcase 4-6.

case 5. $r = 3$ with $i_1 = i_3$ or $r \geq 4$

Let $g = g_{i_1}^{m_1} \dots g_{i_r}^{m_r}$. In this case there exist $i_{s_1} = i_{s_2}$ for some $1 \leq s_1 < s_2 \leq r$. Let $X := g_{i_1}^{m_1} \dots g_{i_{s_1}}^{m_{s_1}}, Y := g_{i_{s_1+1}}^{m_{s_1+1}} \dots g_{i_{s_2}}^{m_{s_2}}, Z := g_{i_{s_2+1}}^{m_{s_2+1}} \dots g_{i_r}^{m_r}$ (i.e., $g = XYZ$). We assume that $Z = e$ when $s_2 = r$. Note that both XZ and $XY^{-1}Z$ have the




FIGURE 1. Wirtinger presentation of the Borromean rings

lengths less than XYZ . For example for $r = 3$, we have $X = g_{i_1}^{m_1}$, $Y = g_{i_2}^{m_2} g_{i_1}^{m_3}$, $Z = e$, and we have $XZ = X = g_{i_1}^{m_1}$ and $XY^{-1}Z = g_{i_1}^{m_1 - m_3} g_{i_2}^{-m_2}$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{\alpha XYZ} &:= P_{\alpha XZ} P_Y - P_{\alpha XY^{-1}Z}, \\ Q_{\beta XYZ} &:= P_{\beta XZ} P_Y - P_{\beta XY^{-1}Z}, \end{aligned}$$

so that $\Phi(Q_{\alpha XYZ}) = [\alpha XYZ]$ and $\Phi(Q_{\beta XYZ}) = [\beta XYZ]$, which follows from Lemma 5 (3). Then we have

$$Q_{\alpha XYZ} - Q_{\beta XYZ} = (P_{\alpha XZ} - P_{\beta XZ}) P_Y - (P_{\alpha XY^{-1}Z} - P_{\beta XY^{-1}Z}) \in \bar{I}_G,$$

by the induction on r . \square

3. SKEIN ALGEBRA OF BORROMEAN RINGS COMPLEMENT IN S^3

In this section we consider the skein algebra of the fundamental group $\pi_1 M_B$ of the Borromean rings complement M_B . We give explicit generators of $I_{\pi_1 M_B}$.

3.1. Fundamental group of Borromean rings complement. Let M_B be the Borromean rings complement in S^3 . We will use the following presentation of $\pi_1 M_B$.

Lemma 10. *We have*

$$\pi_1 M_B = \langle g_1, g_2, g_3 \mid \alpha = \beta, \gamma = \delta \rangle,$$

where $\alpha = g_3 g_2^{-1} g_1 g_2 g_1^{-1}$, $\beta = g_2^{-1} g_1 g_2 g_1^{-1} g_3$, $\gamma = g_2 g_1^{-1} g_3 g_1 g_3^{-1}$, $\delta = g_1^{-1} g_3 g_1 g_3^{-1} g_2$.

Proof. Let $g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4, g_5, g_6$ be the meridians of the link as shown in Figure 1. By the Wirtinger presentation of the Borromean rings, we have

$$\pi_1 M_B = \langle g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4, g_5, g_6 \mid \mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, \mathbf{r}_3, \mathbf{r}_4, \mathbf{r}_5, \mathbf{r}_6 \rangle$$

where \mathbf{r}_i , $i = 1, \dots, 6$, is the relation obtained from the i -th crossing as below;

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{r}_1 &: g_2 g_1^{-1} g_5^{-1} g_1 = e, \\ \mathbf{r}_2 &: g_6 g_5 g_3^{-1} g_5^{-1} = e, \\ \mathbf{r}_3 &: g_1 g_3^{-1} g_4^{-1} g_3 = e, \\ \mathbf{r}_4 &: g_4 g_6 g_1^{-1} g_6^{-1} = e, \\ \mathbf{r}_5 &: g_5 g_4 g_2^{-1} g_4^{-1} = e, \\ \mathbf{r}_6 &: g_3 g_2^{-1} g_6^{-1} g_2 = e.\end{aligned}$$

By the relations $\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_3, \mathbf{r}_6$, we have

$$g_5 = g_1 g_2 g_1^{-1}, \quad g_4 = g_3 g_1 g_3^{-1}, \quad g_6 = g_2 g_3 g_2^{-1},$$

respectively. Thus $\pi_1 M_B$ is presented by generators g_1, g_2, g_3 and relations

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{r}'_2 &: (g_2 g_3 g_2^{-1})(g_1 g_2 g_1^{-1}) g_3^{-1} (g_1 g_2^{-1} g_1^{-1}) = e, \\ \mathbf{r}'_4 &: (g_3 g_1 g_3^{-1})(g_2 g_3 g_2^{-1}) g_1^{-1} (g_2 g_3^{-1} g_2^{-1}) = e, \\ \mathbf{r}'_5 &: (g_1 g_2 g_1^{-1})(g_3 g_1 g_3^{-1}) g_2^{-1} (g_3 g_1^{-1} g_3^{-1}) = e.\end{aligned}$$

In fact the relation \mathbf{r}'_4 is derived from $\mathbf{r}'_2, \mathbf{r}'_5$ as follows. By \mathbf{r}'_2 and \mathbf{r}'_5 we have

$$\begin{aligned}(g_2 g_3 g_2^{-1})(g_1 g_2 g_1^{-1}) g_3^{-1} &= (g_1 g_2 g_1^{-1}) \\ &= (g_3 g_1 g_3^{-1}) g_2 (g_3 g_1^{-1} g_3^{-1}),\end{aligned}$$

thus we have $g_3 g_1 g_3^{-1} g_2 g_3 = g_2 g_3 g_2^{-1} g_1 g_2$, which is equivalent to \mathbf{r}'_4 . Note that \mathbf{r}'_2 is equivalent to $\alpha = \beta$, and \mathbf{r}'_5 is equivalent to $\gamma = \delta$.

Thus we have the assertion. \square

3.2. Proof of Theorem 3. In this subsection we prove Theorem 3. Recall the polynomials $Q_{\alpha g, \beta g}, Q_{\gamma g, \delta g}$ in Theorem 3. We define $Q_{\alpha, \beta}, Q_{\gamma, \delta}, Q_{\gamma g_2, \delta g_2}, Q_{\alpha g_3, \beta g_3}$, which are not on the list in Theorem 3, as copies of the zero polynomial, and consider the ideal

$$\hat{I}_{\pi_1 M_B} := \langle K, Q_{\alpha g, \beta g}, Q_{\gamma g, \delta g} \mid g = g_1^{i_1} g_2^{i_2} g_3^{i_3}, 0 \leq i_1, i_2, i_3 \leq 1 \rangle \subset \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_{123}].$$

Recall that we have

$$I_{\pi_1 M_B} = \bar{I}_{\pi_1 M_B} = \langle K, P_{\alpha g} - P_{\beta g}, P_{\gamma g} - P_{\delta g} \mid g = g_1^{i_1} g_2^{i_2} g_3^{i_3}, 0 \leq i_1, i_2, i_3 \leq 1 \rangle$$

by Theorem 2, and thus it suffices to show that $\bar{I}_{\pi_1 M_B} = \hat{I}_{\pi_1 M_B}$.

We reduce Theorem 3 to the following lemma.

Lemma 11. $\Phi(Q_{\alpha g, \beta g}) = [\alpha g] - [\beta g]$ and $\Phi(Q_{\gamma g, \delta g}) = [\gamma g] - [\delta g]$ for each $g \in \{g_1^{i_1} g_2^{i_2} g_3^{i_3} \mid 0 \leq i_1, i_2, i_3 \leq 1\}$.

Proof of Theorem 3 assuming Lemma 11. By Lemma 11 we have $\bar{I}_{\pi_1 M_B} = \hat{I}_{\pi_1 M_B}$ because

$$\begin{aligned}(P_{\alpha g} - P_{\beta g}) - Q_{\alpha g, \beta g} &\in \ker \Phi = \langle K \rangle \subset \hat{I}_{\pi_1 M_B} \cap \bar{I}_{\pi_1 M_B}, \\ (P_{\gamma g} - P_{\delta g}) - Q_{\gamma g, \delta g} &\in \ker \Phi = \langle K \rangle \subset \hat{I}_{\pi_1 M_B} \cap \bar{I}_{\pi_1 M_B}.\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

In what follows, we prove Lemma 11. We will use the following formulae.

Lemma 12. *We have*

- (a) $[g_i^2] = [g_i]^2 - 2, \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, 3,$
- (b) $[g_i g_j^{-1}] = [g_i] \otimes [g_j] - [g_i g_j], \quad \text{for } i, j = 1, 2, 3, \quad i \neq j,$
- (c1) $[g_1^{-1} g_3 g_2] = -[g_2] \otimes [g_1 g_3] - [g_3] \otimes [g_1 g_2] + [g_1] \otimes [g_2] \otimes [g_3] + [g_1 g_2 g_3],$
- (c2) $[g_2^{-1} g_1 g_3] = -[g_3] \otimes [g_1 g_2] - [g_1] \otimes [g_2 g_3] + [g_1] \otimes [g_2] \otimes [g_3] + [g_1 g_2 g_3],$
- (c3) $[g_3^{-1} g_2 g_1] = -[g_1] \otimes [g_2 g_3] - [g_2] \otimes [g_1 g_3] + [g_1] \otimes [g_2] \otimes [g_3] + [g_1 g_2 g_3].$

Proof. (a1) and (a2) follow from the defining relations $[gh] = [g] \otimes [h] - [gh^{-1}]$ ($= [g] \otimes [h] - [g^{-1}h]$) and $[e] = 2$ of the skein algebra. For (b1), using Lemma 5 (4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} [g_1^{-1} g_3 g_2] &= [g_1^{-1}] \otimes [g_3 g_2] - [g_1 g_3 g_2] \\ &= [g_1] \otimes [g_3 g_2] - ([g_1] \otimes [g_3 g_2] + [g_3] \otimes [g_1 g_2] + [g_2] \otimes [g_1 g_3] \\ &\quad - [g_1] \otimes [g_3] \otimes [g_2] - [g_1 g_2 g_3]) \\ &= -[g_2] \otimes [g_1 g_3] - [g_3] \otimes [g_1 g_2] + [g_1] \otimes [g_2] \otimes [g_3] + [g_1 g_2 g_3]. \end{aligned}$$

We can prove (b2) and (b3) similarly. □

Proof of Lemma 11. We use the defining relation $[e] = 2$ and $[gh] = [g] \otimes [h] - [gh^{-1}]$ ($= [g] \otimes [h] - [g^{-1}h]$) of the skein algebra and Lemma 5 freely.

$$\boxed{Q_{\alpha, \beta} \text{ and } Q_{\gamma, \delta}}$$

We have $\Phi(Q_{\alpha, \beta}) = 0 = [\alpha] - [\beta]$ and $\Phi(Q_{\gamma, \delta}) = 0 = [\gamma] - [\delta]$.

$$\boxed{Q_{\alpha g_1, \beta g_1} \text{ and } Q_{\gamma g_1, \delta g_1}}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} [\alpha g_1] - [\beta g_1] &= [g_3 g_2^{-1} g_1 g_2 g_1^{-1} g_1] - [g_2^{-1} g_1 g_2 g_1^{-1} g_3 g_1] \\ &= [g_3 \cdot g_2^{-1} \cdot (g_1 g_2)] - [(g_2^{-1} g_1) \cdot (g_2 g_1^{-1} g_3 g_1)] \\ &= \left([g_3] \otimes [g_2^{-1} g_1 g_2] + [g_2^{-1}] \otimes [g_3 g_1 g_2] + [g_1 g_2] \otimes [g_3 \cdot g_2^{-1}] \right. \\ &\quad \left. - [g_3] \otimes [g_2^{-1}] \otimes [g_1 g_2] - [g_3 \cdot (g_1 g_2) \cdot g_2^{-1}] \right) \\ &\quad - \left([g_2^{-1} \cdot g_1] \otimes [g_2] g_1^{-1} (g_3 g_1) - [(g_1^{-1} g_2) \cdot (g_2 g_1^{-1} g_3 g_1)] \right) \\ &= \left([g_3] \otimes [g_1] + [g_2] \otimes [g_1 g_2 g_3] + [g_1 g_2] \otimes ([g_3] \otimes [g_2] - [g_3 g_2]) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - [g_3] \otimes [g_2] \otimes [g_1 g_2] - [g_3 g_1] \right) \\ &\quad - \left(([g_2] \otimes [g_1] - [g_2 g_1]) \otimes ([g_1^{-1}] \otimes [g_3 g_1 g_2] - [g_1 g_3 g_1 g_2]) - [g_2^2 g_1^{-1} g_3] \right) \\ &= [g_3] \otimes [g_1] + [g_2] \otimes [g_1 g_2 g_3] - [g_1 g_2] \otimes [g_2 g_3] - [g_1 g_3] \\ &\quad - ([g_1] \otimes [g_2] - [g_1 g_2]) \otimes ([g_1] \otimes [g_1 g_2 g_3] - [g_1 g_3 g_1 g_2]) + [g_2^2 g_1^{-1} g_3]. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have

$$\begin{aligned} [(g_1g_3) \cdot (g_1g_2)] &= [g_1g_3] \otimes [g_1g_2] - [g_3^{-1}g_1^{-1}g_1g_2] \\ &= [g_1g_3] \otimes [g_1g_2] - [g_3^{-1}g_2], \\ [g_2^2g_1^{-1}g_3] &= [g_2 \cdot (g_2g_1^{-1}g_3)] \\ &= [g_2] \otimes [g_2g_1^{-1}g_3] - [g_1^{-1}g_3]. \end{aligned}$$

Using the above identities and Lemma 12 (b), (c1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\alpha g_1] - [\beta g_1] &= -2[g_1g_2] \otimes [g_2g_3] + 2[g_2] \otimes [g_1g_2g_3] - [g_1]^{\otimes 2} \otimes [g_2] \otimes [g_1g_2g_3] \\ &\quad + [g_1] \otimes [g_2] \otimes [g_1g_2] \otimes [g_1g_3] + [g_1] \otimes [g_2] \otimes [g_2g_3] + [g_1] \otimes [g_1g_2] \otimes [g_1g_2g_3] \\ &\quad - [g_1g_2]^{\otimes 2} \otimes [g_1g_3] - [g_2]^{\otimes 2} \otimes [g_1g_3] \\ &= \Phi(Q_{\alpha g_1, \beta g_1}). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\gamma g_1] - [\delta g_1] &= [(g_2g_1^{-1}g_3g_1) \cdot (g_3^{-1}g_1)] - [g_1^{-1}g_3g_1g_3^{-1}g_2g_1] \\ &= ([g_2g_1^{-1}g_3g_1] \otimes [g_3^{-1}g_1] - [g_2g_1^{-1}g_3^2]) - [g_3g_1g_3^{-1}g_2] \\ &= ([g_2g_1^{-1}g_3g_1] \otimes [g_3^{-1}g_1] - [g_3] \otimes [g_2g_1^{-1}g_3] + [g_2g_1^{-1}]) - [g_3g_1g_3^{-1}g_2]. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have

$$\begin{aligned} [g_2 \cdot g_1^{-1} \cdot (g_3g_1)] &= [g_2] \otimes [g_3] + [g_1^{-1}] \otimes [g_2g_3g_1] + [g_3g_1] \otimes [g_2g_1^{-1}] - [g_2] \otimes [g_1^{-1}] \otimes [g_3g_1] - [g_2g_3], \\ [(g_3g_1) \cdot g_3^{-1} \cdot g_2] &= [g_3g_1] \otimes [g_3^{-1}g_2] + [g_3^{-1}] \otimes [g_3g_1g_2] + [g_2] \otimes [g_1] - [g_3g_1] \otimes [g_3^{-1}] \otimes [g_2] - [g_1g_2]. \end{aligned}$$

Using the above identities and Lemma 12 (b), (c1), by a straight calculation we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\gamma g_1] - [\delta g_1] &= 2[g_1g_3] \otimes [g_2g_3] - 2[g_3] \otimes [g_1g_2g_3] + [g_1]^{\otimes 2} \otimes [g_3] \otimes [g_1g_2g_3] \\ &\quad - [g_1] \otimes [g_3] \otimes [g_1g_2] \otimes [g_1g_3] - [g_1] \otimes [g_1g_3] \otimes [g_1g_2g_3] - [g_1] \otimes [g_3] \otimes [g_2g_3] \\ &\quad + [g_1g_2] \otimes [g_1g_3]^{\otimes 2} + [g_1g_2] \otimes [g_3]^{\otimes 2} \\ &= \Phi(Q_{\gamma g_1, \delta g_1}). \end{aligned}$$

$$\boxed{Q_{\alpha g_2, \beta g_2} \text{ and } Q_{\gamma g_2, \delta g_2}}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} [\alpha g_2] - [\beta g_2] &= [(g_3g_2^{-1}g_1g_2) \cdot (g_1^{-1}g_2)] - [g_2^{-1}g_1g_2g_1^{-1}g_3g_2] \\ &= ([g_3g_2^{-1}g_1g_2] \otimes [g_1^{-1}g_2] - [g_3g_2^{-1}g_1^2]) - [g_1g_2g_1^{-1}g_3]. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have

$$\begin{aligned} [g_3 \cdot g_2^{-1} \cdot (g_1g_2)] &= [g_3] \otimes [g_1] + [g_2^{-1}] \otimes [g_3g_1g_2] + [g_1g_2] \otimes [g_3g_2^{-1}] - [g_3] \otimes [g_2^{-1}] \otimes [g_1g_2] - [g_3g_1], \\ [g_3g_2^{-1}g_1^2] &= [(g_3g_2^{-1}g_1) \cdot g_1] \\ &= [g_3g_2^{-1}g_1] \otimes [g_1] - [g_3g_2^{-1}] \\ [(g_1g_2) \cdot g_1^{-1} \cdot g_3] &= [g_1g_2] \otimes [g_1^{-1}g_3] + [g_1^{-1}] \otimes [g_1g_2g_3] + [g_3] \otimes [g_2] - [g_1g_2] \otimes [g_1^{-1}] \otimes [g_3] - [g_2g_3]. \end{aligned}$$

Using the above identities and Lemma 12 (b), (c2), by a straight calculation we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\alpha g_2] - [\beta g_2] &= -[g_1] \otimes [g_2] \otimes [g_1 g_2] \otimes [g_2 g_3] + [g_1 g_2]^{\otimes 2} \otimes [g_2 g_3] + [g_1]^{\otimes 2} \otimes [g_2 g_3] \\ &\quad + [g_1] \otimes [g_2]^{\otimes 2} \otimes [g_1 g_2 g_3] - [g_2] \otimes [g_1 g_2] \otimes [g_1 g_2 g_3] - 2[g_1] \otimes [g_1 g_2 g_3] \\ &\quad - [g_1] \otimes [g_2] \otimes [g_1 g_3] + 2[g_1 g_2] \otimes [g_1 g_3] \\ &= \Phi(Q_{\alpha g_2, \beta g_2}). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$[\gamma g_2] - [\delta g_2] = [g_2 g_1^{-1} g_3 g_1 g_3^{-1} g_2] - [g_1^{-1} g_3 g_1 g_3^{-1} g_2 g_2] = 0 = \Phi(Q_{\gamma g_2, \delta g_2}).$$

$$\boxed{Q_{\alpha g_3, \beta g_3} \text{ and } Q_{\gamma g_3, \delta g_3}}$$

We have

$$[\alpha g_3] - [\beta g_3] = [g_3 g_2^{-1} g_1 g_2 g_1^{-1} g_3] - [g_2^{-1} g_1 g_2 g_1^{-1} g_3 g_3] = 0 = \Phi(Q_{\alpha g_3, \beta g_3}).$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\gamma g_3] - [\delta g_3] &= [g_2 g_1^{-1} g_3 g_1 g_3^{-1} g_3] - [(g_1^{-1} g_3) \cdot (g_1 g_3^{-1} g_2 g_3)] \\ &= [g_2 g_1^{-1} g_3 g_1] - ([g_1^{-1} g_3] \otimes [g_1 g_3^{-1} g_2 g_3] - [g_1 \cdot (g_1 g_3^{-1} g_2)]) \\ &= [g_2 g_1^{-1} g_3 g_1] - ([g_1^{-1} g_3] \otimes [g_1 g_3^{-1} g_2 g_3] - [g_1] \otimes [g_1 g_3^{-1} g_2] + [g_3^{-1} g_2]). \end{aligned}$$

Here we have

$$\begin{aligned} [g_2 \cdot g_1^{-1} \cdot (g_3 g_1)] &= [g_2] \otimes [g_3] + [g_1^{-1}] \otimes [g_2 g_3 g_1] + [g_3 g_1] \otimes [g_2 g_1^{-1}] - [g_2] \otimes [g_1^{-1}] \otimes [g_3 g_1] - [g_2 g_3], \\ [g_1 g_3^{-1} g_2 g_3] &= [(g_3 g_1) \cdot g_3^{-1} \cdot g_2] \\ &= [g_3 g_1] \otimes [g_3^{-1} g_2] + [g_3^{-1}] \otimes [g_3 g_1 g_2] + [g_2] \otimes [g_1] - [g_3 g_1] \otimes [g_3^{-1}] \otimes [g_2] - [g_1 g_2]. \end{aligned}$$

Using the above identities and Lemma 12 (b), (c3), by a straight calculation we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\gamma g_3] - [\delta g_3] &= [g_1] \otimes [g_3] \otimes [g_1 g_3] \otimes [g_2 g_3] - [g_1 g_3]^{\otimes 2} \otimes [g_2 g_3] - [g_1]^{\otimes 2} \otimes [g_2 g_3] \\ &\quad - [g_1] \otimes [g_3]^{\otimes 2} \otimes [g_1 g_2 g_3] + [g_3] \otimes [g_1 g_3] \otimes [g_1 g_2 g_3] \\ &\quad + 2[g_1] \otimes [g_1 g_2 g_3] + [g_1] \otimes [g_3] \otimes [g_1 g_2] - 2[g_1 g_2] \otimes [g_1 g_3] \\ &= \Phi(Q_{\gamma g_3, \delta g_3}). \end{aligned}$$

$$\boxed{Q_{\alpha g_1 g_2, \beta g_1 g_2} \text{ and } Q_{\gamma g_1 g_2, \delta g_1 g_2}}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} [\alpha g_1 g_2] - [\beta g_1 g_2] &= [g_3 g_2^{-1} g_1 g_2 g_1^{-1} g_1 g_2] - [g_2^{-1} g_1 g_2 g_1^{-1} g_3 g_1 g_2] \\ &= [(g_3 g_2^{-1} g_1 g_2) \cdot g_2] - [(g_1 g_2 g_1^{-1} g_3) \cdot g_1] \\ &= ([g_3 g_2^{-1} g_1 g_2] \otimes [g_2] - [g_3 g_2^{-1} g_1]) - ([g_1 g_2 g_1^{-1} g_3] \otimes [g_1] - [g_2 g_1^{-1} g_3]). \end{aligned}$$

Here we have

$$\begin{aligned} [g_3 \cdot g_2^{-1} \cdot (g_1 g_2)] &= [g_3] \otimes [g_1] + [g_2^{-1}] \otimes [g_3 g_1 g_2] + [g_1 g_2] \otimes [g_3 g_2^{-1}] - [g_3] \otimes [g_2^{-1}] \otimes [g_1 g_2] - [g_3 g_1], \\ [(g_1 g_2) \cdot g_1^{-1} \cdot g_3] &= [g_1 g_2] \otimes [g_1^{-1} g_3] + [g_1^{-1}] \otimes [g_1 g_2 g_3] + [g_3] \otimes [g_2] - [g_1 g_2] \otimes [g_1^{-1}] \otimes [g_3] - [g_2 g_3]. \end{aligned}$$

Using the above identities and Lemma 12 (b), (c1) and (c2), by a straight calculation we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\alpha g_1 g_2] - [\beta g_1 g_2] &= -[g_1]^{\otimes 2} \otimes [g_1 g_2 g_3] + [g_2]^{\otimes 2} \otimes [g_1 g_2 g_3] + [g_1] \otimes [g_1 g_2] \otimes [g_1 g_3] \\ &\quad - [g_2] \otimes [g_1 g_2] \otimes [g_2 g_3] - 2[g_2] \otimes [g_1 g_3] + 2[g_1] \otimes [g_2 g_3] \\ &= \Phi(Q_{\alpha g_1 g_2, \beta g_1 g_2}). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand we have

$$\begin{aligned}
[\gamma g_1 g_2] - [\delta g_1 g_2] &= [(g_2 g_1^{-1} g_3 g_1 g_3^{-1} g_1) \cdot g_2] - [(g_1^{-1} g_3 g_1 g_3^{-1} g_2) \cdot (g_1 g_2)] \\
&= ([g_2 g_1^{-1} g_3 g_1] \cdot [g_3^{-1} g_1]) \otimes [g_2] - [g_1^{-1} g_3 g_1 g_3^{-1} g_1] \\
&\quad - ([g_1^{-1} g_3 g_1 g_3^{-1} g_2] \otimes [g_1 g_2] - [g_1^{-1} g_3 g_1 g_3^{-1} g_1^{-1}]) \\
&= \left(([g_2 g_1^{-1} g_3 g_1] \otimes [g_3^{-1} g_1] - [g_2 g_1^{-1} g_3^2]) \otimes [g_2] - [g_1] \right) \\
&\quad - ([g_1^{-1} g_3 g_1 g_3^{-1} g_2] \otimes [g_1 g_2] - [g_1^{-1} g_3 g_1 g_3^{-1} g_1^{-1}]).
\end{aligned}$$

Here we have

$$\begin{aligned}
[g_2 g_1^{-1} g_3 g_1] &= [(g_1 g_2) \cdot g_1^{-1} \cdot g_3] \\
&= [g_1 g_2] \otimes [g_1^{-1} g_3] + [g_1^{-1}] \otimes [g_1 g_2 g_3] + [g_3] \otimes [g_2] \\
&\quad - [g_1 g_2] \otimes [g_1^{-1}] \otimes [g_3] - [g_2 g_3], \\
[g_2 g_1^{-1} g_3^2] &= [(g_2 g_1^{-1} g_3) \cdot g_3] = [g_2 g_1^{-1} g_3] \otimes [g_3] - [g_2 g_1^{-1}], \\
[(g_1^{-1} g_3) \cdot g_1 \cdot (g_3^{-1} g_2)] &= [g_1^{-1} g_3] \otimes [g_1 g_3^{-1} g_2] + [g_1] \otimes [g_1^{-1} g_2] + [g_3^{-1} g_2] \otimes [g_3] \\
&\quad - [g_1^{-1} g_3] \otimes [g_1] \otimes [g_3^{-1} g_2] - [g_2], \\
[(g_1^{-1} g_3) \cdot g_1 \cdot (g_3^{-1} g_1^{-1})] &= [g_1^{-1} g_3] \otimes [g_1 g_3^{-1} g_1^{-1}] + [g_1] \otimes [g_1^{-2}] + [g_3^{-1} g_1^{-1}] \otimes [g_3] \\
&\quad - [g_1^{-1} g_3] \otimes [g_1] \otimes [g_3^{-1} g_1^{-1}] - [g_1^{-1}],
\end{aligned}$$

Using the above identities and Lemma 12 (a), (b), (c1), (c3), by a straight calculation we have

$$\begin{aligned}
[\gamma g_1 g_2] - [\delta g_1 g_2] &= [g_1]^{\otimes 3} + [g_1] \otimes [g_3]^{\otimes 2} + [g_1] \otimes [g_1 g_3]^{\otimes 2} - [g_1]^{\otimes 2} \otimes [g_3] \otimes [g_1 g_3] - 4[g_1] \\
&\quad + [g_1]^{\otimes 2} \otimes [g_2] \otimes [g_3] \otimes [g_1 g_2 g_3] - [g_1] \otimes [g_2] \otimes [g_1 g_3] \otimes [g_1 g_2 g_3] \\
&\quad - [g_1] \otimes [g_2] \otimes [g_3] \otimes [g_2 g_3] - [g_1] \otimes [g_3] \otimes [g_1 g_2] \otimes [g_1 g_2 g_3] \\
&\quad + [g_1 g_2] \otimes [g_1 g_3] \otimes [g_1 g_2 g_3] - [g_1]^{\otimes 2} \otimes [g_2] \otimes [g_1 g_2] + [g_1] \otimes [g_1 g_2]^{\otimes 2} \\
&\quad + [g_3] \otimes [g_1 g_2] \otimes [g_2 g_3] - [g_2] \otimes [g_3] \otimes [g_1 g_2 g_3] + [g_2] \otimes [g_1 g_3] \otimes [g_2 g_3] \\
&\quad + [g_1] \otimes [g_2]^{\otimes 2} \\
&= \Phi(Q_{\gamma g_1 g_2, \delta g_1 g_2}).
\end{aligned}$$

$$\boxed{Q_{\alpha g_1 g_3, \beta g_1 g_3} \text{ and } Q_{\gamma g_1 g_3, \delta g_1 g_3}}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
[\alpha g_1 g_3] - [\beta g_1 g_3] &= [g_3 \cdot (g_2^{-1} g_1 g_2 g_1^{-1} g_1 g_3)] - [(g_2^{-1} g_1 g_2 g_1^{-1} g_3) \cdot (g_1 g_3)] \\
&= ([g_3] \otimes [g_2^{-1} g_1 g_2 g_3] - [g_1]) - ([g_2^{-1} g_1 g_2 g_1^{-1} g_3] \otimes [g_1 g_3] - [g_2^{-1} g_1 g_2 g_1^{-1} g_1^{-1}]).
\end{aligned}$$

Here we have

$$\begin{aligned}
[g_2^{-1} \cdot g_1 \cdot (g_2 g_3)] &= [g_2^{-1}] \otimes [g_1 g_2 g_3] + [g_1] \otimes [g_3] + [g_2 g_3] \otimes [g_2^{-1} g_1] \\
&\quad - [g_2^{-1}] \otimes [g_1] \otimes [g_2 g_3] - [g_1 g_3], \\
[(g_2^{-1} g_1) \cdot g_2 \cdot (g_1^{-1} g_3)] &= [g_2^{-1} g_1] \otimes [g_2 g_1^{-1} g_3] + [g_2] \otimes [g_2^{-1} g_3] + [g_1^{-1} g_3] \otimes [g_1] \\
&\quad - [g_2^{-1} g_1] \otimes [g_2] \otimes [g_1^{-1} g_3] - [g_3], \\
[(g_2^{-1} g_1 g_2 g_1^{-1}) \cdot g_1^{-1}] &= [g_2^{-1} \cdot (g_1 g_2) \cdot g_1^{-1}] \otimes [g_1^{-1}] - [g_2^{-1} g_1 g_2] \\
&= ([g_2^{-1}] \otimes [g_2] + [g_1 g_2] \otimes [g_2^{-1} g_1^{-1}] + [g_1^{-1}] \otimes [g_1] - [g_2^{-1}] \otimes [g_1 g_2] \otimes [g_1^{-1}] - [e]) \\
&\quad \otimes [g_1^{-1}] - [g_1] \\
&= ([g_2]^{\otimes 2} + [g_1 g_2]^{\otimes 2} + [g_1]^{\otimes 2} - [g_2] \otimes [g_1 g_2] \otimes [g_1] - 2) \otimes [g_1] - [g_1].
\end{aligned}$$

Using the above identities and Lemma 12 (b), (c1), by a straight calculation we have

$$\begin{aligned}
[\alpha g_1 g_3] - [\beta g_1 g_3] &= -4[g_1] + [g_1]^{\otimes 3} + [g_1] \otimes [g_1 g_2]^{\otimes 2} + [g_1 g_2] \otimes [g_1 g_3] \otimes [g_1 g_2 g_3] \\
&\quad + [g_1] \otimes [g_1 g_3]^{\otimes 2} - [g_1]^{\otimes 2} \otimes [g_2] \otimes [g_1 g_2] \\
&\quad - [g_1] \otimes [g_2] \otimes [g_1 g_3] \otimes [g_1 g_2 g_3] + [g_1] \otimes [g_2]^{\otimes 2} \\
&\quad + [g_2] \otimes [g_1 g_3] \otimes [g_2 g_3] - [g_1]^{\otimes 2} \otimes [g_3] \otimes [g_1 g_3] \\
&\quad - [g_3] \otimes [g_1 g_3] \otimes [g_1 g_2]^{\otimes 2} + [g_2] \otimes [g_3] \otimes [g_1 g_2 g_3] \\
&\quad + [g_1] \otimes [g_2] \otimes [g_3] \otimes [g_1 g_2] \otimes [g_1 g_3] \\
&\quad - [g_2]^{\otimes 2} \otimes [g_3] \otimes [g_1 g_3] - [g_3] \otimes [g_1 g_2] \otimes [g_2 g_3] + [g_1] \otimes [g_3]^{\otimes 2} \\
&= \Phi(Q_{\alpha g_1 g_3, \beta g_1 g_3}).
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand we have

$$\begin{aligned}
[\gamma g_1 g_3] - [\delta g_1 g_3] &= [(g_2 g_1^{-1} g_3) \cdot (g_1 g_3^{-1} g_1 g_3)] - [(g_1^{-1} g_3) \cdot (g_1 g_3^{-1} g_2 g_1 g_3)] \\
&= ([g_2 g_1^{-1} g_3] \otimes [g_1 g_3^{-1} g_1 g_3] - [g_1 g_2^{-1} g_1 g_3^{-1} g_1]) \\
&\quad - ([g_1^{-1} g_3] \otimes [g_1 g_3^{-1} g_2 g_1 g_3] - [g_1 g_1 g_3^{-1} g_2 g_1]).
\end{aligned}$$

Here we have

$$\begin{aligned}
[(g_1 g_3^{-1}) \cdot (g_1 g_3)] &= [g_1 g_3^{-1}] \otimes [g_1 g_3] - [g_3^2] \\
[(g_1 g_2^{-1}) \cdot (g_1 g_3^{-1} g_1)] &= [g_1 g_2^{-1}] \otimes [g_1 \cdot (g_3^{-1} g_1)] - [g_2] \cdot g_3^{-1} \cdot [g_1] \\
&= [g_1 g_2^{-1}] \otimes ([g_1] \otimes [g_3^{-1} g_1] - [g_3]) - ([g_3] \otimes [g_1 g_2] - [g_1 g_2 g_3]) \\
[(g_1 g_3^{-1} g_2) \cdot (g_1 g_3)] &= [g_1 g_3^{-1} g_2] \otimes [g_1 g_3] - [g_3^{-1} \cdot (g_2 g_3^{-1})] \\
&= [g_1 g_3^{-1} g_2] \otimes [g_1 g_3] - [g_3^{-1}] \otimes [g_2 g_3^{-1}] + [g_2], \\
[g_1 \cdot (g_1 g_3^{-1} g_2 g_1)] &= [g_1] \otimes [g_1 \cdot (g_3^{-1} g_2 g_1)] - [g_3^{-1} g_2 g_1] \\
&= [g_1] \otimes ([g_1] \otimes [g_3^{-1} g_2 g_1] - [g_3^{-1} g_2]) - [g_3^{-1} g_2 g_1].
\end{aligned}$$

Using the above identities and Lemma 12 (a), (b), (c3), by a straight calculation we have

$$\begin{aligned}
[\gamma g_1 g_3] - [\delta g_1 g_3] &= [g_1]^{\otimes 2} \otimes [g_1 g_2 g_3] - [g_3]^{\otimes 2} \otimes [g_1 g_2 g_3] - [g_1]^{\otimes 3} \otimes [g_2 g_3] \\
&\quad + [g_3]^{\otimes 3} \otimes [g_1 g_2] - [g_1] \otimes [g_1 g_2] \otimes [g_1 g_3] + [g_3] \otimes [g_1 g_3] \otimes [g_2 g_3] \\
&\quad + 2[g_1] \otimes [g_2 g_3] - 2[g_3] \otimes [g_1 g_2] - [g_1] \otimes [g_1 g_3]^{\otimes 2} \otimes [g_2 g_3] \\
&\quad + [g_3] \otimes [g_1 g_2] \otimes [g_1 g_3]^{\otimes 2} + [g_1]^{\otimes 2} \otimes [g_3] \otimes [g_1 g_2] \\
&\quad - [g_1] \otimes [g_3]^{\otimes 2} \otimes [g_2 g_3] + [g_1]^{\otimes 2} \otimes [g_3] \otimes [g_1 g_3] \otimes [g_2 g_3] \\
&\quad - [g_1] \otimes [g_3]^{\otimes 2} \otimes [g_1 g_2] \otimes [g_1 g_3] \\
&= \Phi(Q_{\gamma g_1 g_3, \delta g_1 g_3}).
\end{aligned}$$

$$\boxed{Q_{\alpha g_2 g_3, \beta g_2 g_3} \text{ and } Q_{\gamma g_2 g_3, \delta g_2 g_3}}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
[\alpha g_2 g_3] - [\beta g_2 g_3] &= [g_3 \cdot (g_2^{-1} g_1 g_2 g_1^{-1} g_2 g_3)] - [(g_2^{-1} g_1 g_2 g_1^{-1} g_3) \cdot (g_2 g_3)] \\
&= ([g_3] \otimes [g_2^{-1} g_1 g_2] \cdot (g_1^{-1} g_2) \cdot (g_3) - [(g_2^{-1} g_1 g_2) \cdot (g_1^{-1} g_2)]) \\
&\quad - ([g_2^{-1} g_1 g_2 g_1^{-1} g_3] \otimes [g_2 g_3] - [g_2^{-1} \cdot (g_1 g_2 g_1^{-1} g_2^{-1})]) \\
&= \left([g_3] \otimes ([g_3 g_2^{-1} g_1 g_2] \otimes [g_1^{-1} g_2] - [g_3 g_2^{-1} g_1 g_1]) - ([g_1] \otimes [g_1^{-1} g_2] - [g_2^{-1} g_1 g_1]) \right) \\
&\quad - \left([g_2^{-1} g_1 g_2 g_1^{-1} g_3] \otimes [g_2 g_3] - ([g_2^{-1}] \otimes [g_1 g_2 g_1^{-1} g_2^{-1}] - [g_2]) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Here we have

$$\begin{aligned}
[g_3 \cdot g_2^{-1} \cdot (g_1 g_2)] &= [g_3] \otimes [g_1] + [g_2^{-1}] \otimes [g_3 g_1 g_2] + [g_1 g_2] \otimes [g_3 g_2^{-1}] \\
&\quad - [g_3] \otimes [g_2^{-1}] \otimes [g_1 g_2] - [g_3 g_1], \\
[(g_3 g_2^{-1} g_1) \cdot g_1] &= [g_3 g_2^{-1} g_1] \otimes [g_1] - [g_3 g_2^{-1}], \\
[(g_2^{-1} g_1) \cdot g_1] &= [g_2^{-1} g_1] \otimes [g_1] - [g_2^{-1}], \\
[(g_2^{-1} g_1) \cdot g_2 \cdot (g_1^{-1} g_3)] &= [g_2^{-1} g_1] \otimes [g_2 g_1^{-1} g_3] + [g_2] \otimes [g_2^{-1} g_3] + [g_1^{-1} g_3] \otimes [g_1] \\
&\quad - [g_2^{-1} g_1] \otimes [g_2] \otimes [g_1^{-1} g_3] - [g_3], \\
[g_1 \cdot g_2 \cdot (g_1^{-1} g_2^{-1})] &= [g_1] \otimes [g_1^{-1}] + [g_2] \otimes [g_2^{-1}] + [g_1^{-1} g_2^{-1}] \otimes [g_1 g_2] - [g_1] \otimes [g_2] \otimes [g_1^{-1} g_2^{-1}] - [e] \\
&= [g_1]^{\otimes 2} + [g_2]^{\otimes 2} + [g_1 g_2]^{\otimes 2} - [g_1] \otimes [g_2] \otimes [g_1 g_2] - 2.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the above identities and Lemma 12 (b), (c2), by a straight calculation we have

$$\begin{aligned}
[\alpha g_2 g_3] - [\beta g_2 g_3] &= [g_2]^{\otimes 3} + [g_2] \otimes [g_3]^{\otimes 2} + [g_2] \otimes [g_2 g_3]^{\otimes 2} - [g_2]^{\otimes 2} \otimes [g_3] \otimes [g_2 g_3] \\
&\quad - 4[g_2] + [g_1] \otimes [g_2]^{\otimes 2} \otimes [g_3] \otimes [g_1 g_2 g_3] - [g_1] \otimes [g_2] \otimes [g_2 g_3] \otimes [g_1 g_2 g_3] \\
&\quad - [g_1] \otimes [g_2] \otimes [g_3] \otimes [g_1 g_3] - [g_2] \otimes [g_3] \otimes [g_1 g_2] \otimes [g_1 g_2 g_3] \\
&\quad + [g_1 g_2] \otimes [g_2 g_3] \otimes [g_1 g_2 g_3] - [g_1] \otimes [g_2]^{\otimes 2} \otimes [g_1 g_2] + [g_2] \otimes [g_1 g_2]^{\otimes 2} \\
&\quad + [g_3] \otimes [g_1 g_2] \otimes [g_1 g_3] - [g_1] \otimes [g_3] \otimes [g_1 g_2 g_3] \\
&\quad + [g_1] \otimes [g_1 g_3] \otimes [g_2 g_3] + [g_1]^{\otimes 2} \otimes [g_2] \\
&= \Phi(Q_{\alpha g_2 g_3, \beta g_2 g_3}).
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
[\gamma g_2 g_3] - [\delta g_2 g_3] &= [(g_2 g_1^{-1} g_3 g_1 g_3^{-1}) \cdot (g_2 g_3)] - [g_1^{-1} g_3 g_1 g_3^{-1}] \cdot g_2 \cdot (g_2 g_3) \\
&= ([g_2 g_1^{-1} g_3 g_1 g_3^{-1}] \otimes [g_2 g_3] - [(g_1^{-1} g_3 g_1 g_3^{-1}) \cdot g_3^{-1}]) \\
&\quad - ([g_2 g_3] \cdot (g_1^{-1} g_3) \cdot (g_1 g_3^{-1}) \otimes [g_2] - [g_3 g_1^{-1} g_3 g_1 g_3^{-1}]) \\
&= [g_2 g_1^{-1} g_3 g_1 g_3^{-1}] \otimes [g_2 g_3] - [g_1^{-1} g_3 g_1 g_3^{-1}] \otimes [g_3^{-1}] + [g_1^{-1} g_3 g_1] \\
&\quad - ([g_1^{-1} g_3] \otimes [g_1 g_3^{-1} g_2 g_3] - [g_1 g_1 g_3^{-1} g_2]) \otimes [g_2] + [g_3].
\end{aligned}$$

Here we have

$$\begin{aligned}
[(g_2 g_1^{-1}) \cdot g_3 \cdot (g_1 g_3^{-1})] &= [g_2 g_1^{-1}] \otimes [g_1] + [g_3] \otimes [g_2 g_3^{-1}] + [g_1 g_3^{-1}] \otimes [g_2 g_1^{-1} g_3] \\
&\quad - [g_2 g_1^{-1}] \otimes [g_3] \otimes [g_1 g_3^{-1}] - [g_2], \\
[g_1^{-1} \cdot (g_3 g_1) \cdot g_3^{-1}] &= [g_1^{-1}] \otimes [g_1] + [g_1 g_3] \otimes [g_1^{-1} g_3^{-1}] + [g_3^{-1}] \otimes [g_3] - [g_1^{-1}] \otimes [g_1 g_3] \otimes [g_3^{-1}] - [e] \\
&= [g_1]^{\otimes 2} + [g_1 g_3]^{\otimes 2} + [g_3]^{\otimes 2} - [g_1] \otimes [g_1 g_3] \otimes [g_3] - 2, \\
[g_1 g_3^{-1} g_2 g_3] &= [(g_3 g_1) \cdot g_3^{-1} \cdot g_2] \\
&= [g_3 g_1] \otimes [g_3^{-1} g_2] + [g_3^{-1}] \otimes [g_3 g_1 g_2] + [g_2] \otimes [g_1] \\
&\quad - [g_3 g_1] \otimes [g_3^{-1}] \otimes [g_2] - [g_1 g_2],
\end{aligned}$$

$$[g_1 \cdot (g_1 g_3^{-1} g_2)] = [g_1] \otimes [g_1 g_3^{-1} g_2] - [g_3^{-1} g_2].$$

Using the above identities and Lemma 12 (b) (c3), by a straight calculation we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\gamma g_2 g_3] - [\delta g_2 g_3] &= -[g_3]^{\otimes 3} - [g_1]^{\otimes 2} \otimes [g_3] - [g_3] \otimes [g_1 g_3]^{\otimes 2} + [g_1] \otimes [g_3]^{\otimes 2} \otimes [g_1 g_3] \\ &\quad + 4[g_3] - [g_1] \otimes [g_2] \otimes [g_3]^{\otimes 2} \otimes [g_1 g_2 g_3] + [g_2] \otimes [g_3] \otimes [g_1 g_3] \otimes [g_1 g_2 g_3] \\ &\quad + [g_1] \otimes [g_2] \otimes [g_3] \otimes [g_1 g_2] + [g_1] \otimes [g_3] \otimes [g_2 g_3] \otimes [g_1 g_2 g_3] \\ &\quad - [g_1 g_3] \otimes [g_2 g_3] \otimes [g_1 g_2 g_3] + [g_2] \otimes [g_3]^{\otimes 2} \otimes [g_2 g_3] - [g_3] \otimes [g_2 g_3]^{\otimes 2} \\ &\quad - [g_1] \otimes [g_1 g_2] \otimes [g_2 g_3] + [g_1] \otimes [g_2] \otimes [g_1 g_2 g_3] - [g_2] \otimes [g_1 g_2] \otimes [g_1 g_3] \\ &\quad - [g_2]^{\otimes 2} \otimes [g_3] \\ &= \Phi(Q_{\gamma g_2 g_3, \delta g_2 g_3}). \end{aligned}$$

$$\boxed{Q_{\alpha g_1 g_2 g_3, \beta g_1 g_2 g_3} \text{ and } Q_{\gamma g_1 g_2 g_3, \delta g_1 g_2 g_3}}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} [\alpha g_1 g_2 g_3] - [\beta g_1 g_2 g_3] &= [g_3 \cdot (g_2^{-1} g_1 g_2 g_1^{-1} g_1 g_2 g_3)] - [(g_2^{-1} g_1 g_2 g_1^{-1} g_3) \cdot (g_1 g_2 g_3)] \\ &= ([g_3] \otimes [g_2^{-1} g_1] \cdot g_2 \cdot (g_2 g_3) - [g_1 g_2]) \\ &\quad - ([g_2^{-1} g_1 g_2 g_1^{-1} g_3] \otimes [g_1 g_2 g_3] - [(g_2^{-1} g_1 g_2 g_1^{-1}) \cdot (g_2^{-1} g_1^{-1})]) \\ &= ([g_3] \otimes ([g_2 g_3 g_2^{-1} g_1] \otimes [g_2] - [g_3 g_2^{-1} g_1]) - [g_1 g_2]) \\ &\quad - ([g_2^{-1} g_1 g_2 g_1^{-1} g_3] \otimes [g_1 g_2 g_3] - [g_2^{-1} g_1 g_2 g_1^{-1}] \otimes [g_2^{-1} g_1^{-1}] + [g_1 g_2]). \end{aligned}$$

Here we have

$$\begin{aligned} [g_2 g_3 g_2^{-1} g_1] &= [g_2^{-1} \cdot g_1 \cdot (g_2 g_3)] \\ &= [g_2^{-1}] \otimes [g_1 g_2 g_3] + [g_1] \otimes [g_3] + [g_2 g_3] \otimes [g_2^{-1} g_1] \\ &\quad - [g_2^{-1}] \otimes [g_1] \otimes [g_2 g_3] - [g_1 g_3], \\ [(g_2^{-1} g_1) \cdot g_2 \cdot (g_1^{-1} g_3)] &= [g_2^{-1} g_1] \otimes [g_2 g_1^{-1} g_3] + [g_2] \otimes [g_2^{-1} g_3] + [g_1^{-1} g_3] \otimes [g_1] \\ &\quad - [g_2^{-1} g_1] \otimes [g_2] \otimes [g_1^{-1} g_3] - [g_3], \\ [g_2^{-1} \cdot (g_1 g_2) \cdot g_1^{-1}] &= [g_2^{-1}] \otimes [g_2] + [g_1 g_2] \otimes [g_2^{-1} g_1^{-1}] + [g_1^{-1}] \otimes [g_1] - [g_2^{-1}] \otimes [g_1 g_2] \otimes [g_1^{-1}] - [e] \\ &= [g_2]^{\otimes 2} + [g_1 g_2]^{\otimes 2} + [g_1]^{\otimes 2} - [g_2] \otimes [g_1 g_2] \otimes [g_1] - 2. \end{aligned}$$

Using the above identities and Lemma 12 (b), (c1) and (c2), by a straight calculation we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\alpha g_1 g_2 g_3] - [\beta g_1 g_2 g_3] &= -[g_2] \otimes [g_3] \otimes [g_1 g_2] \otimes [g_2 g_3] + [g_1 g_2] \otimes [g_3]^{\otimes 2} + [g_1] \otimes [g_3] \otimes [g_2 g_3] \\ &\quad - [g_2] \otimes [g_3] \otimes [g_1 g_3] - 4[g_1 g_2] + [g_2]^{\otimes 2} \otimes [g_1 g_2] + [g_1 g_2]^{\otimes 3} \\ &\quad + [g_1]^{\otimes 2} \otimes [g_1 g_2] - [g_1] \otimes [g_2] \otimes [g_1 g_2]^{\otimes 2} - [g_1] \otimes [g_2] \otimes [g_1 g_2 g_3]^{\otimes 2} \\ &\quad + [g_1 g_2] \otimes [g_1 g_2 g_3]^{\otimes 2} + [g_1] \otimes [g_2] \otimes [g_3] \otimes [g_1 g_2] \otimes [g_1 g_2 g_3] \\ &\quad - [g_3] \otimes [g_1 g_2]^{\otimes 2} \otimes [g_1 g_2 g_3] + [g_2] \otimes [g_2 g_3] \otimes [g_1 g_2 g_3] \\ &\quad - [g_1]^{\otimes 2} \otimes [g_3] \otimes [g_1 g_2 g_3] + [g_1] \otimes [g_1 g_3] \otimes [g_1 g_2 g_3] \\ &= \Phi(Q_{\alpha g_1 g_2 g_3, \beta g_1 g_2 g_3}). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand we have

$$\begin{aligned}
[\gamma g_1 g_2 g_3] - [\delta g_1 g_2 g_3] &= [(g_2 g_1^{-1} g_3) \cdot (g_1 g_3^{-1} g_1 g_2 g_3)] - [(g_1^{-1} g_3) \cdot (g_1 g_3^{-1} g_2 g_1 g_2 g_3)] \\
&= ([g_2 g_1^{-1} g_3] \otimes [g_1 g_3^{-1} g_1 g_2 g_3] - [(g_1 g_2^{-1} g_1 g_3^{-1}) \cdot (g_1 g_2)]) \\
&\quad - ([g_1^{-1} g_3] \otimes [(g_1 g_3^{-1} g_2) \cdot (g_1 g_2 g_3)] - [g_1 \cdot (g_1 g_3^{-1} g_2 g_1 g_2)]) \\
&= ([g_2 g_1^{-1} g_3] \otimes [g_1 g_3^{-1} g_1 g_2 g_3] - [g_1 g_2^{-1} g_1 g_3^{-1}] \otimes [g_1 g_2] + [g_2^{-1} g_1 g_3^{-1} g_2^{-1}]) \\
&\quad - ([g_1^{-1} g_3] \otimes ([g_1 g_3^{-1} g_2] \otimes [g_1 g_2 g_3] - [g_2^{-1} g_3 g_2 g_3]) \\
&\quad + [g_1] \otimes [g_1 g_3^{-1} g_2 g_1 g_2] - [g_3^{-1} g_2 g_1 g_2]).
\end{aligned}$$

Here we have

$$\begin{aligned}
[(g_1 g_3^{-1}) \cdot (g_1 g_2 g_3)] &= [g_1 g_3^{-1}] \otimes [g_1 g_2 g_3] - [g_3 \cdot (g_2 g_3)] \\
&= [g_1 g_3^{-1}] \otimes [g_1 g_2 g_3] - [g_3] \otimes [g_2 g_3] + [g_2], \\
[(g_1 g_2^{-1}) \cdot (g_1 g_3^{-1})] &= [g_1 g_2^{-1}] \otimes [g_1 g_3^{-1}] - [g_2 g_3^{-1}], \\
[g_2^{-1} \cdot (g_1 g_3^{-1} g_2^{-1})] &= [g_2^{-1}] \otimes [(g_1 g_3^{-1}) \cdot g_2^{-1}] - [g_1 g_3^{-1}] \\
&= [g_2^{-1}] \otimes ([g_1 g_3^{-1}] \otimes [g_2^{-1}] - [g_1 g_3^{-1} g_2]) - [g_1 g_3^{-1}], \\
[(g_2^{-1} g_3) \cdot (g_2 g_3)] &= [g_2^{-1} g_3] \otimes [g_2 g_3] - [g_2^2] \\
&= [g_2^{-1} g_3] \otimes [g_2 g_3] - [g_2]^{\otimes 2} + 2, \\
[(g_1 g_3^{-1} g_2) \cdot (g_1 g_2)] &= [g_1 g_3^{-1} g_2] \otimes [g_1 g_2] - [g_3], \\
[(g_3^{-1} g_2) \cdot (g_1 g_2)] &= [g_3^{-1} g_2] \otimes [g_1 g_2] - [g_3 g_1].
\end{aligned}$$

Using the above identities and Lemma 12 (b), (c1) and (c3), by a straight calculation we have

$$\begin{aligned}
[\gamma g_1 g_2 g_3] - [\delta g_1 g_2 g_3] &= -[g_1] \otimes [g_1 g_3] \otimes [g_2 g_3] \otimes [g_1 g_2 g_3] + [g_3] \otimes [g_1 g_2] \otimes [g_1 g_3] \otimes [g_1 g_2 g_3] \\
&\quad + [g_1] \otimes [g_1 g_2] \otimes [g_1 g_2 g_3] - [g_3] \otimes [g_2 g_3] \otimes [g_1 g_2 g_3] \\
&\quad + [g_3]^{\otimes 2} \otimes [g_1 g_2] \otimes [g_2 g_3] - [g_1]^{\otimes 2} \otimes [g_1 g_2] \otimes [g_2 g_3] + [g_1 g_3] \otimes [g_2 g_3]^{\otimes 2} \\
&\quad - [g_1 g_2]^{\otimes 2} \otimes [g_1 g_3] + [g_1]^{\otimes 2} \otimes [g_3] \otimes [g_2 g_3] \otimes [g_1 g_2 g_3] \\
&\quad - [g_1] \otimes [g_3]^{\otimes 2} \otimes [g_1 g_2] \otimes [g_1 g_2 g_3] + [g_1] \otimes [g_3] \otimes [g_1 g_2]^{\otimes 2} \\
&\quad - [g_1] \otimes [g_3] \otimes [g_2 g_3]^{\otimes 2} + [g_1] \otimes [g_2] \otimes [g_2 g_3] - [g_2] \otimes [g_3] \otimes [g_1 g_2] \\
&= \Phi(Q_{\gamma g_1 g_2 g_3, \delta g_1 g_2 g_3}).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we have the assertion. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Bullock, Rings of $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ -characters and the Kauffman bracket skein module, *Comment. Math. Helv.*, **72** (1997), no. 4, 521–542.
- [2] D. Bullock, The $(2, \infty)$ -skein module of the complement of a $(2, 2p + 1)$ -torus knot, *J. Knot Theory Ramifications* **4** (1995), no. 4, 619–632.
- [3] D. Bullock and W. Lo Faro, The Kauffman bracket skein module of a twist knot exterior, *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* **5** (2005), 107–118 (electronic).
- [4] M. Culler and P. Shalen, Varieties of group representations and splittings of 3-manifolds, *Ann. of Math.*, (2) **117** (1983), no. 1, 109–146.
- [5] C. Frohman, R. Gelca, and W. Lofaro, The A-polynomial from the noncommutative viewpoint,
- [6] R. D. Horowitz, Characters of free groups represented in the two-dimensional special linear group, *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.*, **25** (1972) 635–649.
- [7] T. Le, The colored Jones polynomial and the A-polynomial of knots, *Adv. Math.* **207** (2006),
- [8] T. Le and A. Tran, The Kauffman bracket skein module of two-bridge links, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **142** (2014), no. 3, 1045–1056.

- [9] T. Le and A. Tran, On the AJ conjecture for knots, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, **64** (2015), 1103–1151.
- [10] J. H. Przytycki, Skein modules of 3-manifolds. *Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math.* **39** (1991), no. 1–2, 91–100.
- [11] J. H. Przytycki, Fundamentals of Kauffman bracket skein modules. *Kobe Math. J.*, **16** (1), 1999, 45–66.
- [12] J. H. Przytycki and A. S. Sikora, Skein algebra of a group, *Knot theory (Warsaw, 1995)*, 297–306, *Banach Center Publ.*, **42**, Polish Acad. Sci. Inst. Math., Warsaw, 1998.
- [13] J. H. Przytycki and A. S. Sikora, On skein algebras and $Sl_2(\mathbb{C})$ -character varieties, *Topology*, **39** (2000), no. 1, 115–148.
- [14] V. G. Turaev, Skein quantization of Poisson algebras of loops on surfaces. *Ann. Sci. cole Norm. Sup. (4)* **24** (1991), no. 6, 635–704.
- [15] V. G. Turaev, The Conway and Kauffman modules of a solid torus. *Soviet Math.* **52** (1990), no. 1, 2799–2805.
- [16] A. Tran, The universal character ring of some families of one-relator groups, *Algeb. Geom. Topol.*, **13** (2013), no. 4, 2317–2333.
- [17] A. Tran, The universal character ring of the $(-2, 2m + 1, 2n)$ -pretzel link, *Internat. J. Math.*, **24** (2013), no. 8, 1350063, 13 pp.

BRAINS TECHNOLOGY, INC., TOKYO, 108-0074, JAPAN.
Email address: miura.go@brains-tech.co.jp

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL AND COMPUTING SCIENCE, SCHOOL OF COMPUTING, TOKYO
INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, TOKYO, 152-8550, JAPAN.
Email address: sakie@c.titech.ac.jp