

Schmidt Representation of Bilinear Operators on Hilbert Spaces

Eduardo Brandani da Silva^{a,*}, Dicesar Lass Fernandez^b, Marcus Vinícius de Andrade Neves^c

^a*Universidade Estadual de Maringá, Av. Colombo, 5790 - Zona 7, Maringá, Paraná Brazil*

^b*Imecc - Unicamp, Rua Sérgio Buarque de Holanda 651, 13083-859 - Campinas - SP, Brazil*

^c*Department of Mathematics, Federal University of Mato Grosso - Av. dos Estudantes 5055, 78735-901 Rondonópolis, MT, Brazil*

Abstract

Current work defines Schmidt representation of a bilinear operator $T : H_1 \times H_2 \rightarrow K$, where H_1, H_2 and K are separable Hilbert spaces. Introducing the concept of singular value and ordered singular value, we prove that if T is compact, and its singular values are ordered, then T has a Schmidt representation on real Hilbert spaces. We prove that the hypothesis of existence of ordered singular values is fundamental.

Keywords: singular value, bilinear operator, Schmidt representation, compact operator, Hilbert space

2010 MSC: 47J10, 47H60, 46G25

1. Introduction

Compact linear operators have a fundamental role in functional analysis and operator theory, and also have important applications, in particular in the study of boundary-value problems for elliptic differential equations.

Bilinear operators are a fundamental research subject in harmonic analysis and functional analysis. Research on bilinear operators in the Hilbert spaces has also attracted the attention of researchers by a long time, see [9]. The study of Hilbert-Schmidt bilinear operators is also an important topic, see [5], [7], [8]. Also, in [6], convolutions of bilinear operators acting on a product of Hilbert spaces have been studied. Compactness of bilinear operators is also a very important research topic, and it is studied in [3]. Examples are also given in [4].

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: ebsilva@uem.br (Eduardo Brandani da Silva),
dicesar@ime.unicamp.br (Dicesar Lass Fernandez), marcusmatematico@hotmail.com
(Marcus Vinícius de Andrade Neves)

A fundamental result about linear operators on Hilbert spaces is the spectral theorem, which says that for a compact self-adjoint operator L acting on a separable Hilbert space H , then there exists a system of orthonormal eigenvectors x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots of L and corresponding eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \dots$ such that

$$L(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \langle x, x_n \rangle x_n,$$

for all $x \in H$. The sequence $\{\lambda_n\}$ is decreasing and, if it is infinite, converges to 0. The series on the right hand side converges in the operator norm of $\mathfrak{L}(H)$. In this case, this representation is called Schur Representation of L , see [11, pag. 2] for more details. Later, Erhard Schmidt showed that if H_1 and H_2 are Hilbert spaces and $L \in \mathfrak{L}(H_1, H_2)$ is a compact linear operator, then

$$L(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \langle x, x_n \rangle y_n,$$

where the λ_n are now the eigenvalues of the positive compact self-adjoint operator $S = (L^*L)^{1/2}$ acting on H_1 , $Sx_n = \lambda_n y_n$ and $y_n = \lambda_n^{-1} Lx_n$, ($\lambda_n \neq 0$). The operator S is called the absolute value of L and denoted by $|L|$; its eigenvalues λ_n are called the singular values of L .

Few works on the representation of bilinear and multilinear operators exist in the literature, among which we can mention [1, 2, 12]. In [12], Shur representation of a compact bilinear operator $T : H \times H \rightarrow H$ was studied. Our main goal in current work is to introduce a Schmidt representation for bilinear operators. To attain it, we will define new concepts and prove some new results, showing similarities and differences with the linear case. For a compact bilinear operator $T : H_1 \times H_2 \rightarrow K$, we introduce the Schmidt representation

$$T(x, y) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tau_n \langle x, x_n \rangle \langle y, y_n \rangle z_n,$$

for all $(x, y) \in H_1 \times H_2$. We prove that if T has such representation, then it is compact, and the τ_n are ordered singular values of T . On other hand, we will prove that if T satisfy these conditions, then it has such representation.

The work is divided in the following sections. Making an analogy with the linear case, Sections 2 introduces the notations and shows the main properties of bilinear operators. Section 3 recall the Schmidt representation in the linear case. In Section 4 we define singular value of a bilinear operator $T \in Bil(H_1 \times H_2, K)$. We prove that if T is compact and nonzero, then $\|T\|$ is a singular value. In Section 5 we define the Schmidt Representation for a bilinear operator $T : H_1 \times H_2 \rightarrow K$. We call the attention that even if a bilinear operator is compact, it may not have a Schmidt representation, giving a striking difference with the linear case. To get around this situation, we introduce the concept of ordered singular value of T , and we prove that if T is compact, nonzero and all of its

singular values are ordered, then T has a Schmidt representation. In Section 6 we study the connections between the Schmidt representation and the Schur representation of [12]. Finally, in Section 7, we prove that, if T has a Schmidt representation, then it is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator. In all sections we give several examples and counter-examples of bilinear operators satisfying several conditions discussed in the text. Finally, we observe that our results will provide several examples of compact operators on real Hilbert spaces.

2. Compact bilinear operators

The standard notation from the Banach space theory is used throughout the paper. Let X and Y be Banach spaces. We shall consider $X \times Y$ equipped with the norm

$$\|(x, y)\|_\infty = \max\{\|x\|_X, \|y\|_Y\}.$$

If we denote by U_X the closed unity ball of a Banach space X , we see that $U_{X \times Y} = \{(x, y) \in X \times Y; \|(x, y)\|_\infty \leq 1\} = U_X \times U_Y$.

If Z is a Banach space, we denote by $Bil(X \times Y, Z)$ the linear space of all bilinear operators T , from $X \times Y$ into Z , such that

$$\|T\| = \|T\|_{Bil(X \times Y, Z)} = \sup\{\|T(x, y)\|_Z; \|(x, y)\|_\infty \leq 1\} < +\infty.$$

Equipped with this norm, the linear space $Bil(X \times Y, Z)$ is a Banach space. In particular, $Bil(X \times Y) = Bil(X \times Y, \mathbb{R})$ is the Banach space of all bounded bilinear forms. If $X = Y = Z$ we use only $Bil(X)$.

Proposition 1. *Let $T \in Bil(X \times Y, Z)$. Then,*

$$\|T\| = \sup_{\|(x, y)\|_\infty \leq 1} \|T(x, y)\|_Z = \sup_{\|x\|_X=1, \|y\|_Y=1} \|T(x, y)\|_Z.$$

In what follows, let $B_{r, X} = \{x \in X : \|x\|_X \leq r\}$ be the closed ball of radius $r > 0$ with center in the origin of a normed space X .

Given an operator $T \in Bil(X \times Y, Z)$, in general, the set $T(X \times Y)$ is not a subspace of Z . It is called image of T . The rank of T is defined by

$$\text{rank}(T) = \dim_Z([T(X \times Y)]),$$

where $[S]$ denotes the subspace generated by a set $S \subset X$.

Definition 1. *Let X, Y and Z be normed vector spaces. A bilinear operator $T : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$ is called a compact bilinear operator if $T(U_X \times U_Y)$ is pre-compact in Z , that is, the closure $\overline{T(U_X \times U_Y)}$ is compact in Z .*

3. Singular Values and Schmidt Representation in the Linear Case

We recall basic definitions on Schmidt representation for the linear case, see [10] for more details. Let H and K be real Hilbert spaces and $T : H \rightarrow K$ a bounded linear operator. Following [10] we define:

Definition 2. A positive number τ is a singular value of operator $T : H \rightarrow K$ if there exist unitary vectors $x \in H$ and $y \in K$ such that

$$T(x) = \tau y \text{ and } T^*(y) = \tau x,$$

where $T^* : K \rightarrow H$ is the adjoint operator of T .

We have:

$$\begin{aligned} T^*(T(x)) &= T^*(\tau y) = \tau(T^*(y)) = \tau^2 x \\ T(T^*(y)) &= T(\tau x) = \tau(T(x)) = \tau^2 y. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, τ^2 is eigenvalue of (T^*T) and (TT^*) . We observe that T and T^* have the same singular values. We say that (x_i) is an extended orthonormal sequence if $\langle x_i, x_j \rangle = 0$ if $i \neq j$ and each x_i is null or unitary, for all $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, if H and K are separable Hilbert spaces and $T : H \rightarrow K$ is a bilinear operator, T has a Schmidt representation if there exists a sequence $(\tau_n) \in c_0$ and extended orthonormal sequences (x_n) in H and (y_n) in K , such that

$$T(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tau_n \langle x, x_n \rangle y_n,$$

for all $x, y \in H$.

A Schmidt representation is monotone if $\tau_1 \geq \tau_2 \geq \dots \geq 0$. We have the following result from Pietsch [10].

Theorem 1. Every compact operator $T : H \rightarrow K$ has a monotone Schmidt representation.

4. Singular Value of a Bilinear Operator

In this section we define singular value of a bilinear operator and we show that every compact nonzero bilinear operator has a singular value.

Definition 3. Let H_1, H_2 and K be real separable Hilbert spaces. Given $T \in \text{Bil}(H_1 \times H_2, K)$, we define the operators

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{H_1} &: H_2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}(H_1, K) \\ \phi_{H_2} &: H_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}(H_2, K) \end{aligned}$$

where, given $x \in H_1, y \in H_2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{H_1}(y)(x) &= T(x, y) \\ \phi_{H_2}(x)(y) &= T(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

Definition 4. A positive number τ is a singular value of $T \in \text{Bil}(H_1 \times H_2, K)$ if, there exist normalized vectors $x_0 \in H_1$, $y_0 \in H_2$ and $z_0 \in K$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} T(x_0, y_0) &= \tau z_0 \\ \phi_{H_1}^*(y_0)(z_0) &= \tau x_0 \\ \phi_{H_2}^*(x_0)(z_0) &= \tau y_0 \end{aligned}$$

where $\phi_{H_1}(y_0) : H_1 \rightarrow K$ and $\phi_{H_2}(x_0) : H_2 \rightarrow K$ are given in Definition 3, and $\phi_{H_1}^*(y_0) : K \rightarrow H_1$, $\phi_{H_2}^*(x_0) : K \rightarrow H_2$ are the adjoint operators of $\phi_{H_1}(y_0)$ and $\phi_{H_2}(x_0)$, respectively.

In this case we say that (x_0, y_0, z_0) is a triple of singular vectors associated to the singular value τ .

Remark 1. In Examples 1 and 2 next we will see that we can have more than one triple (x_0, y_0, z_0) of singular vectors associated with the same singular value τ .

Remark 2. We can see that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T(x_0, y_0), z_0 \rangle_K &= \langle \phi_{H_1}(y_0)(x_0), z_0 \rangle_K = \langle x_0, \phi_{H_1}^*(y_0)(z_0) \rangle_{H_1} = \langle x_0, \tau x_0 \rangle_{H_1} = \tau \\ \langle T(x_0, y_0), z_0 \rangle_K &= \langle \phi_{H_2}(x_0)(y_0), z_0 \rangle_K = \langle y_0, \phi_{H_2}^*(x_0)(z_0) \rangle_{H_2} = \langle y_0, \tau y_0 \rangle_{H_2} = \tau. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have that τ^2 is eigenvalue of:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{H_1}(y_0) \circ \phi_{H_1}^*(y_0) : K &\rightarrow K & \phi_{H_2}(x_0) \circ \phi_{H_2}^*(x_0) : K &\rightarrow K \\ \phi_{H_1}^*(y_0) \circ \phi_{H_1}(y_0) : H_1 &\rightarrow H_1 & \phi_{H_2}^*(x_0) \circ \phi_{H_2}(x_0) : H_2 &\rightarrow H_2. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Indeed, just note that

$$\phi_{H_1}(y_0) \circ \phi_{H_1}^*(y_0)(z_0) = \phi_{H_1}(y_0)(\tau x_0) = T(\tau x_0, y_0) = \tau T(x_0, y_0) = \tau^2 z_0.$$

Similar reasoning applies to the other linear operators in (1).

Given a bilinear operator $T \in \text{Bil}(H_1 \times H_2, K)$, will it have a singular value? As in the linear case, we will show in Theorem 2 that if the bilinear operator is compact, then we guarantee the existence of at least one singular value.

Lemma 1. Let $T \in \text{Bil}(H_1 \times H_2, K)$. If T is compact, then the operators ϕ_{H_1} and ϕ_{H_2} given in the Definition 3 are linear and compact operators.

PROOF. Let $y, y' \in H_2$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{H_1}(\alpha y + y')(x) &= T(x, \alpha y + y') \\ &= \alpha T(x, y) + T(x, y') \\ &= (\alpha \phi_{H_1}(y) + \phi_{H_1}(y'))(x), \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in H_1$, then, $\phi_{H_1}(\alpha y + y') = \alpha \phi_{H_1}(y) + \phi_{H_1}(y')$. Thus, ϕ_{H_1} is linear. In a similar way we show that ϕ_{H_2} is also linear.

If $T \in \text{Bil}(H_1 \times H_2, K)$ is compact, $T(x, \cdot) : H_2 \rightarrow K$ and $T(\cdot, y) : H_1 \rightarrow K$ are compact linear operators for all $x \in H_1, y \in H_2$ fixed. Indeed, suppose ϕ_{H_1} is not compact. So, there is a bounded sequence $(y_n) \subset H_2$, such that $(\phi_{H_1}(y_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ has no convergent subsequence, that is, if $(\phi_{H_1}(y_{n_k}))_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a subsequence of $(\phi_{H_1}(y_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, then there is $\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$\epsilon \leq \|\phi_{H_1}(y_{n_i}) - \phi_{H_1}(y_{n_j})\|_{\mathcal{L}(H_1, K)}, \quad (2)$$

for all $i \neq j \in \mathbb{N}$.

Note that for each fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\phi_{H_1}(y_{n_k}) : H_1 \rightarrow K$ is compact because

$$\phi_{H_1}(y_{n_k}) = T(\cdot, y_{n_k}).$$

Let $x \in H_1$, tal que $\|x\|_{H_1} = 1$. We have that $(y_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a bounded sequence, and since $T(x, \cdot) : H_2 \rightarrow K$ is compact, then $(T(x, y_{n_k}))_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ has a convergent subsequence in K , that is, there exists a subsequence $(y_{n_{k_s}})_{s \in \mathbb{N}} \subset (y_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$T(x, y_{n_{k_s}}) \rightarrow z \text{ com } s \rightarrow \infty,$$

where $z \in K$ depends on $x \in H_1$.

Note that $(\phi_{H_1}(y_{n_{k_s}}))_{s \in \mathbb{N}} \subset (\phi_{H_1}(y_{n_k}))_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\phi_{H_1}(y_{n_{k_s}})(x) = T(x, y_{n_{k_s}}) \rightarrow z$, when $s \rightarrow \infty$. Then, we have

$$\|\phi_{H_1}(y_{n_{k_i}})(x) - \phi_{H_1}(y_{n_{k_j}})(x)\|_K \rightarrow 0,$$

when $i, j \rightarrow \infty$. Thus,

$$\|\phi_{H_1}(y_{n_{k_i}}) - \phi_{H_1}(y_{n_{k_j}})\|_{\mathcal{L}(H_1, K)} = \sup_{\|x\|_{H_1}=1} \|\phi_{H_1}(y_{n_{k_i}})(x) - \phi_{H_1}(y_{n_{k_j}})(x)\|_K \rightarrow 0,$$

when $i, j \rightarrow \infty$. From (2), we get a contradiction. Therefore, ϕ_{H_1} is compact. Similarly, ϕ_{H_2} is shown to be compact.

With the Lemma 1 we can prove the following theorem.

Theorem 2. *Let $T \in \text{Bil}(H_1 \times H_2, K)$ be a compact operator. If $T \neq 0$, then $\tau = \|T\|$ is a singular valor of T .*

PROOF. We know that $\|T\| = \sup_{\|x\|_{H_1}=1, \|y\|_{H_2}=1} \|T(x, y)\|_K$. Thus, there exists a sequence $\{(x_n, y_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in H_1 \times H_2$, with $\text{com } \|x\|_{H_1} = \|y\|_{H_2} = 1$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T(x_n, y_n)\|_K = \|T\| = \tau$.

Let $(z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in K$ be the sequence defined by $z_n = \tau^{-1}T(x_n, y_n)$. For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $\|z_n\|_K \leq 1$, since

$$\begin{aligned} \|z_n\|_K &= \|\tau^{-1}T(x_n, y_n)\|_K = \tau^{-1}\|T(x_n, y_n)\|_K \\ &\leq \tau^{-1}\|T\|\|x_n\|_{H_1}\|y_n\|_{H_2} \\ &= \tau^{-1}\tau = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Since T is compact and $\{(x_n, y_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a bounded sequence in $H_1 \times H_2$, the sequence $T(x_n, y_n)$ has a convergent subsequence in K , that is, there exists $z \in K$ such that $z_{n_k} = \lambda^{-1}T(x_{n_k}, y_{n_k}) \rightarrow z$, when $k \rightarrow \infty$. Note that $\|z\|_K = 1$, since

$$\begin{aligned} \|z\|_K &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|z_{n_k}\|_K = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|\tau^{-1}T(x_{n_k}, y_{n_k})\|_K \\ &= \tau^{-1} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|T(x_{n_k}, y_{n_k})\|_K \\ &= \tau^{-1}\tau = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Now, as $\|y_{n_k}\|_{H_2} = 1$, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then $(y_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a bounded sequence in H_2 . By Lemma 1 the operator $\phi_{H_1} : H_2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}(H_1, K)$ is compact, then $(\phi_{H_1}(y_{n_k}))_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ has a convergent subsequence. Thus, there exists a subsequence $(y_{n_{k_s}})_{s \in \mathbb{N}} \subset (y_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $(\phi_{H_1}(y_{n_{k_s}}))_{s \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges in $\mathfrak{L}(H_1, K)$, that is, there exists $\phi_1 \in \mathfrak{L}(H_1, K)$ such that $\phi_{H_1}(y_{n_{k_s}}) \rightarrow \phi_1$, when $s \rightarrow \infty$.

We note that, given $y \in H_2$ such that $\|y\|_{H_2} = 1$, we have $\phi_{H_1}(y) \leq T$. Indeed, since $\phi_{H_1}(y) \in \mathfrak{L}(H_1, K)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi_{H_1}(y)\|_{\mathfrak{L}(H_1, K)} &= \sup_{\|x\|_{H_1}=1} \|\phi_{H_1}(y)(x)\|_K = \sup_{\|x\|_{H_1}=1} \|T(x, y)\|_K \\ &\leq \sup_{\|x\|_{H_1}=1, \|y\|_{H_2}=1} \|T(x, y)\|_K = \|T\|. \end{aligned}$$

As $\phi_{H_1}(y_{n_{k_s}}) \rightarrow \phi_1$ and $\|\phi_{H_1}(y_{n_{k_s}})\|_{\mathfrak{L}(H_1, K)} \leq T$, for all $s \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\|\phi_1\|_{\mathfrak{L}(H_1, K)} \leq T$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi_{H_1}^*(y_{n_{k_s}})(z_{n_{k_s}}) - \tau x_{n_{k_s}}\|_{H_1}^2 &= \langle \phi_{H_1}^*(y_{n_{k_s}})(z_{n_{k_s}}) - \tau x_{n_{k_s}}, \phi_{H_1}^*(y_{n_{k_s}})(z_{n_{k_s}}) - \tau x_{n_{k_s}} \rangle \\ &= \langle \phi_{H_1}^*(y_{n_{k_s}})(z_{n_{k_s}}), \phi_{H_1}^*(y_{n_{k_s}})(z_{n_{k_s}}) \rangle \\ &\quad - 2\tau \langle \phi_{H_1}^*(y_{n_{k_s}})(z_{n_{k_s}}), x_{n_{k_s}} \rangle + \tau^2 \\ &= \|\phi_{H_1}^*(y_{n_{k_s}})(z_{n_{k_s}})\|_{H_1}^2 - 2\tau \langle z_{n_{k_s}}, \phi_{H_1}(y_{n_{k_s}})(x_{n_{k_s}}) \rangle + \tau^2 \\ &= \|\phi_{H_1}^*(y_{n_{k_s}})(z_{n_{k_s}})\|_{H_1}^2 \\ &\quad - 2\tau \langle \tau^{-1}T(x_{n_{k_s}}, y_{n_{k_s}}), T(x_{n_{k_s}}, y_{n_{k_s}}) \rangle + \tau^2 \\ &\leq \|\phi_{H_1}^*(y_{n_{k_s}})\|_{\mathfrak{L}(K, H_1)}^2 \|z_{n_{k_s}}\|_K^2 - 2\|T(x_{n_{k_s}}, y_{n_{k_s}})\|_K^2 + \tau^2 \\ &\leq \|\phi_{H_1}^*(y_{n_{k_s}})\|_{\mathfrak{L}(K, H_1)}^2 - 2\|T(x_{n_{k_s}}, y_{n_{k_s}})\|_K^2 + \tau^2 \\ &= \|\phi_{H_1}(y_{n_{k_s}})\|_{\mathfrak{L}(K, H_1)}^2 - 2\|T(x_{n_{k_s}}, y_{n_{k_s}})\|_K^2 + \tau^2 \\ &\leq \|T\|^2 + \tau^2 - 2\|T(x_{n_{k_s}}, y_{n_{k_s}})\|_K^2 \\ &= 2\tau^2 - 2\|T(x_{n_{k_s}}, y_{n_{k_s}})\|_K^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\|\phi_{H_1}^*(y_{n_{k_s}})(z_{n_{k_s}}) - \tau x_{n_{k_s}}\|_{H_1}^2 \leq 2\tau^2 - 2\|T(x_{n_{k_s}}, y_{n_{k_s}})\|_K^2 \rightarrow 0,$$

when $s \rightarrow \infty$. Since $\phi_{H_1}(y_{n_{k_s}}) \rightarrow \phi_1$, then $\|\phi_1 - \phi_{H_1}(y_{n_{k_s}})\|_{\mathfrak{L}(H_1, K)} \rightarrow 0$, when $s \rightarrow \infty$, giving

$$\|\phi_1^* - \phi_{H_1}^*(y_{n_{k_s}})\|_{\mathfrak{L}(K, H_1)} = \|(\phi_1 - \phi_{H_1}(y_{n_{k_s}}))^*\|_{\mathfrak{L}(K, H_1)} = \|\phi_1 - \phi_{H_1}(y_{n_{k_s}})\|_{\mathfrak{L}(H_1, K)} \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\phi_1^*(z) - \tau x_{n_{k_s}}\|_{H_1} &= \|\phi_1^*(z) - \phi_{H_1}^*(y_{n_{k_s}})(z_{n_{k_s}}) + \phi_{H_1}^*(y_{n_{k_s}})(z_{n_{k_s}}) - \tau x_{n_{k_s}}\|_{H_1} \\
&\leq \|\phi_1^*(z) - \phi_{H_1}^*(y_{n_{k_s}})(z_{n_{k_s}})\|_{H_1} + \|\phi_{H_1}^*(y_{n_{k_s}})(z_{n_{k_s}}) - \tau x_{n_{k_s}}\|_{H_1} \\
&= \|\phi_1^*(z) - \phi_1^*(z_{n_{k_s}}) + \phi_1^*(z_{n_{k_s}}) - \phi_{H_1}^*(y_{n_{k_s}})(z_{n_{k_s}})\|_{H_1} \\
&\quad + \|\phi_{H_1}^*(y_{n_{k_s}})(z_{n_{k_s}}) - \tau x_{n_{k_s}}\|_{H_1} \\
&\leq \|\phi_1^*(z) - \phi_1^*(z_{n_{k_s}})\|_{H_1} + \|\phi_1^*(z_{n_{k_s}}) - \phi_{H_1}^*(y_{n_{k_s}})(z_{n_{k_s}})\|_{H_1} \\
&\quad + \|\phi_{H_1}^*(y_{n_{k_s}})(z_{n_{k_s}}) - \tau x_{n_{k_s}}\|_{H_1} \\
&\leq \|\phi_1^*\|_{\mathfrak{L}(K, H_1)} \|z - z_{n_{k_s}}\|_K + \|\phi_1^* - \phi_{H_1}^*(y_{n_{k_s}})\|_{\mathfrak{L}(K, H_1)} \|z_{n_{k_s}}\|_K \\
&\quad + \|\phi_{H_1}^*(y_{n_{k_s}})(z_{n_{k_s}}) - \tau x_{n_{k_s}}\|_{H_1}.
\end{aligned}$$

As $(z_{n_{k_s}})_{s \in \mathbb{N}} \subset (z_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $z_{n_k} \rightarrow z$, then $z_{n_{k_s}} \rightarrow z$. Since the terms $\|z - z_{n_{k_s}}\|_K$, $\|\phi_1^* - \phi_{H_1}^*(y_{n_{k_s}})\|_{\mathfrak{L}(K, H_1)}$ and $\|\phi_{H_1}^*(y_{n_{k_s}})(z_{n_{k_s}}) - \tau x_{n_{k_s}}\|_{H_1}$ converge to zero, we get $\tau x_{n_{k_s}} \rightarrow \phi_1^*(z)$, or $x_{n_{k_s}} \rightarrow \tau^{-1}\phi_1^*(z)$. Define $x = \tau^{-1}\phi_1^*(z) \in H_1$, thus, $x_{n_{k_s}} \rightarrow x$.

The same calculations are valid for the operator $\phi_{H_2} : H_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}(H_2, K)$, where an operator $\phi_2 : H_2 \rightarrow K$ is obtained such that $\|\phi_2^*(z) - \tau y_{n_{k_s}}\|_{H_2} \rightarrow 0$. Define $y = \tau^{-1}\phi_2^*(z) \in H_2$, then $y_{n_{k_s}} \rightarrow y$. For all $h_1 \in H_1$ we have

$$\phi_1(h_1) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \phi_{H_1}(y_{n_{k_s}})(h_1) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} T(h_1, y_{n_{k_s}}) = T(h_1, y) = \phi_{H_1}(y)(h_1),$$

implying $\phi_1 = \phi_{H_1}(y)$. With the same reasoning we get $\phi_2 = \phi_{H_2}(x)$. Since

$$x = \tau^{-1}\phi_1^*(z) = \tau^{-1}\phi_{H_1}^*(y)(z),$$

then $\phi_{H_1}^*(y)(z) = \tau x$, and in the same way, $\phi_{H_2}^*(x)(z) = \tau y$. Since $\tau z_{n_{k_s}} = T(x_{n_{k_s}}, y_{n_{k_s}})$, taking the limit, we get $T(x, y) = \tau z$. It is easy to show that $\|x\|_{H_1} = 1$ and $\|y\|_{H_2} = 1$, giving that $\|T\|$ is a singular value of T .

5. Schmidt representation of a bilinear operator

If $T \in \text{Bil}(H_1 \times H_2, K)$ is compact, then $\|T\|$ is a singular value. Now, we can define the Schmidt representation of an operator $T \in \text{Bil}(H_1 \times H_2, K)$.

Definition 5. *The summation*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \tau_i \langle \cdot, x_i \rangle \langle \cdot, y_i \rangle z_i$$

is a Schmidt representation of the operator $T \in \text{Bil}(H_1 \times H_2, K)$ if the following conditions are satisfied:

1. $(\tau_i) \in c_0$;
2. (x_i) , (y_i) and (z_i) are orthonormal extended sequences of H_1 , H_2 and K , respectively;

3. $T(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \tau_i \langle x, x_i \rangle \langle y, y_i \rangle z_i$ for all $(x, y) \in H_1 \times H_2$;
4. If $x_i \neq 0$, $y_i \neq 0$ and $z_i \neq 0$, then it follows that $\langle T(x_i, y_i), z_i \rangle = \tau_i$. Otherwise, if at least one of the elements x_i , y_i or z_i is zero, the value of the corresponding coefficient τ_i has no effect. Therefore we naturally assume that $\tau_i = 0$. Then, we get $\langle T(x_i, y_i), z_i \rangle = \tau_i$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

The Schmidt representation is monotone if $\tau_1 \geq \tau_2 \geq \dots \geq 0$.

If H and K are Hilbert spaces of finite dimension, and $T : H \rightarrow K$ is a linear operator with rank r , so the operator T has r singular values. We will see in the Example 1 that this does not occur for bilinear operators. We saw that every compact linear operator $T \in \mathfrak{L}(H, K)$ has a monotonous Schmidt representation. We will see in Examples 1 and 2 that not all compact bilinear operator $T \in \text{Bil}(H_1 \times H_2, K)$ has a Schmidt representation.

Example 1. Let $T : \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4$ defined in the following way: if $x = (a_1, a_2, a_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $y = (b_1, b_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $z = (u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4) \in \mathbb{R}^4$, then:

$$T(x, y) = (2a_1b_1, 3a_2b_2, 0, 0).$$

It is simple to verify that $T \in \text{Bil}(\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}^4)$. Let $y \in \mathbb{R}^2$ fixed. We have $\phi_{\mathbb{R}^3}(y) : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4$ is given by $\phi_{\mathbb{R}^3}(y)(x) = T(x, y)$. Let $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ be the canonical basis of \mathbb{R}^3 , then we have

$$\begin{cases} \phi_{\mathbb{R}^3}(y)(e_1) &= (2b_1, 0, 0, 0) \\ \phi_{\mathbb{R}^3}(y)(e_2) &= (0, 3b_2, 0, 0) \\ \phi_{\mathbb{R}^3}(y)(e_3) &= (0, 0, 0, 0). \end{cases}$$

That is,

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2b_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3b_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

is the matrix of $\phi_{\mathbb{R}^3}(y) : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4$ whence the canonical basis of \mathbb{R}^3 e \mathbb{R}^4 is fixed. To find $\phi_{\mathbb{R}^3}^*(y) : \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$, we just calculate the transposed matrix of A . Then,

$$\phi_{\mathbb{R}^3}^*(y)(z) = (2b_1u_1, 3b_2u_2, 0).$$

Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ fixed, in an analogous way we show that

$$\phi_{\mathbb{R}^2}^*(x)(z) = (2a_1u_1, 3a_2u_2).$$

To find the singular values of T we have to solve the system:

$$\begin{cases} T(x_0, y_0) &= \tau z_0 \\ \phi_{\mathbb{R}^3}^*(y_0)(z_0) &= \tau x_0 \\ \phi_{\mathbb{R}^2}^*(x_0)(z_0) &= \tau y_0, \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

where (x_0, y_0, z_0) is a triple of singular vectors associated to the singular value τ . Replacing the operators in (3), we get

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 2a_1b_1 = \tau u_1 & 3a_2b_2 = \tau u_2 \\ 0 = \tau u_3 & 0 = \tau u_4 \\ 2b_1u_1 = \tau a_1 & 3b_2u_2 = \tau a_2 \\ 0 = \tau a_3 & 2a_1u_1 = \tau b_1 \\ 3a_2u_2 = \tau b_2 & (a_1)^2 + (a_2)^2 + (a_3)^2 = 1 \\ (b_1)^2 + (b_2)^2 = 1 & (u_1)^2 + (u_2)^2 + (u_3)^2 + (u_4)^2 = 1 \\ \tau > 0. & \end{array} \right.$$

Using a computational algebraic system as Maple or MatLab, we solve the system above and obtain the following singular values, with their respective associated triple of singular vectors.

$\tau = 2$:

$$\begin{aligned} &(x = (1, 0, 0), y = (1, 0), z = (1, 0, 0, 0)) \\ &(x = (1, 0, 0), y = (-1, 0), z = (-1, 0, 0, 0)) \\ &(x = (-1, 0, 0), y = (1, 0), z = (-1, 0, 0, 0)) \\ &(x = (-1, 0, 0), y = (-1, 0), z = (1, 0, 0, 0)) . \end{aligned}$$

$\tau = 3$:

$$\begin{aligned} &(x = (0, 1, 0), y = (0, 1), z = (0, 1, 0, 0)) \\ &(x = (0, 1, 0), y = (0, -1), z = (0, -1, 0, 0)) \\ &(x = (0, -1, 0), y = (0, 1), z = (0, -1, 0, 0)) \\ &(x = (0, -1, 0), y = (0, -1), z = (0, 1, 0, 0)) . \end{aligned}$$

$$\tau = \frac{6}{13}\sqrt{13}:$$

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \left(\frac{3}{13}\sqrt{13}, \frac{2}{13}\sqrt{13}, 0\right), y = \left(\frac{3}{13}\sqrt{13}, \frac{2}{13}\sqrt{13}\right), z = \left(\frac{3}{13}\sqrt{13}, \frac{2}{13}\sqrt{13}, 0, 0\right) \\ x &= \left(\frac{3}{13}\sqrt{13}, -\frac{2}{13}\sqrt{13}, 0\right), y = \left(\frac{3}{13}\sqrt{13}, -\frac{2}{13}\sqrt{13}\right), z = \left(\frac{3}{13}\sqrt{13}, \frac{2}{13}\sqrt{13}, 0, 0\right) \\ x &= \left(-\frac{3}{13}\sqrt{13}, \frac{2}{13}\sqrt{13}, 0\right), y = \left(-\frac{3}{13}\sqrt{13}, \frac{2}{13}\sqrt{13}\right), z = \left(\frac{3}{13}\sqrt{13}, \frac{2}{13}\sqrt{13}, 0, 0\right) \\ x &= \left(-\frac{3}{13}\sqrt{13}, -\frac{2}{13}\sqrt{13}, 0\right), y = \left(-\frac{3}{13}\sqrt{13}, -\frac{2}{13}\sqrt{13}\right), z = \left(\frac{3}{13}\sqrt{13}, \frac{2}{13}\sqrt{13}, 0, 0\right) \\ x &= \left(-\frac{3}{13}\sqrt{13}, \frac{2}{13}\sqrt{13}, 0\right), y = \left(\frac{3}{13}\sqrt{13}, \frac{2}{13}\sqrt{13}\right), z = \left(-\frac{3}{13}\sqrt{13}, \frac{2}{13}\sqrt{13}, 0, 0\right) \\ x &= \left(-\frac{3}{13}\sqrt{13}, -\frac{2}{13}\sqrt{13}, 0\right), y = \left(\frac{3}{13}\sqrt{13}, -\frac{2}{13}\sqrt{13}\right), z = \left(-\frac{3}{13}\sqrt{13}, \frac{2}{13}\sqrt{13}, 0, 0\right) \\ x &= \left(\frac{3}{13}\sqrt{13}, \frac{2}{13}\sqrt{13}, 0\right), y = \left(-\frac{3}{13}\sqrt{13}, \frac{2}{13}\sqrt{13}\right), z = \left(-\frac{3}{13}\sqrt{13}, \frac{2}{13}\sqrt{13}, 0, 0\right) \\ x &= \left(\frac{3}{13}\sqrt{13}, -\frac{2}{13}\sqrt{13}, 0\right), y = \left(-\frac{3}{13}\sqrt{13}, -\frac{2}{13}\sqrt{13}\right), z = \left(-\frac{3}{13}\sqrt{13}, \frac{2}{13}\sqrt{13}, 0, 0\right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that if

$$\tau_1 = 3 \quad \text{and} \quad x_1 = (0, 1, 0), y_1 = (0, 1), z_1 = (0, 1, 0, 0)$$

$$\tau_2 = 2 \quad \text{and} \quad x_2 = (1, 0, 0), y_2 = (1, 0), z_2 = (1, 0, 0, 0),$$

then,

$$T(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^2 \tau_i \langle x, x_i \rangle \langle y, y_i \rangle z_i$$

for all $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^2$. We have that the rank of T is 2 and T has three singular values.

Example 2. Let $T : \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4$ defined in the following way: if $x = (a_1, a_2, a_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $y = (b_1, b_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $z = (u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4) \in \mathbb{R}^4$, then:

$$T(x, y) = (a_1 b_1, b_1(a_1 + a_2), b_1 a_1, b_2(a_1 + a_3)).$$

Analogously to Example 1, we show that

$$\phi_{\mathbb{R}^3}^*(y)(z) = (b_1 u_1 + b_1 u_2 + b_1 u_3 + b_2 u_4, b_1 u_2, b_2 u_4),$$

$$\phi_{\mathbb{R}^2}^*(x)(z) = (a_1 u_1 + (a_1 + a_2) u_2 + a_1 u_3, (a_1 + a_3) u_4).$$

After some calculations, similarly to Example 1, we have that the singular values of T , with their respective associated triple of singular vectors, are:

$$\tau = \sqrt{2}$$

$$x = \left(\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}\right), y = (0, 1), z = (0, 0, 0, 1)$$

$$x = \left(-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}, 0, -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}\right), y = (0, 1), z = (0, 0, 0, -1)$$

$$x = \left(-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}, 0, -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}\right), y = (0, -1), z = (0, 0, 0, 1)$$

$$x = \left(\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}\right), y = (0, -1), z = (0, 0, 0, -1).$$

$$\tau = \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2}}$$

$$x = \left(\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{2}(-1 + \sqrt{2})\sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2}}, 0\right), y = (1, 0), z = \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0\right)$$

$$x = \left(-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2}}, -\frac{1}{2}(-1 + \sqrt{2})\sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2}}, 0\right), y = (1, 0), z = \left(-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}, -\sqrt{12}, 0\right)$$

$$x = \left(-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2}}, -\frac{1}{2}(-1 + \sqrt{2})\sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2}}, 0\right), y = (-1, 0), z = \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0\right)$$

$$x = \left(\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{2}(-1 + \sqrt{2})\sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2}}, 0\right), y = (-1, 0), z = \left(-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, 0\right).$$

$$\tau = \sqrt{2 - \sqrt{2}}$$

$$x = \left(-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2 - \sqrt{2}}, -\frac{1}{2}(-1 - \sqrt{2})\sqrt{2 - \sqrt{2}}, 0\right), y = (1, 0), z = \left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, 0\right)$$

$$x = \left(\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2 - \sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{2}(-1 - \sqrt{2})\sqrt{2 - \sqrt{2}}, 0\right), y = (1, 0), z = \left(\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0\right),$$

$$x = \left(\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2 - \sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{2}(-1 - \sqrt{2})\sqrt{2 - \sqrt{2}}, 0\right), y = (-1, 0), z = \left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, 0\right)$$

$$x = \left(-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2 - \sqrt{2}}, -\frac{1}{2}(-1 - \sqrt{2})\sqrt{2 - \sqrt{2}}, 0\right), y = (-1, 0), z = \left(\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0\right).$$

$$\tau = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}$$

$$x = \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}, -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}\right), y = \left(-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}, \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}\right), z = \left(0, -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}, 0, -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}\right)$$

$$x = \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}, -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}\right), y = \left(\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}, -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}\right), z = \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}\right)$$

$$x = \left(0, -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}, \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}\right), y = \left(-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}, \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}\right), z = \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}\right)$$

$$x = \left(0, -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}, \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}\right), y = \left(\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}, -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}\right), z = \left(0, -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}, 0, -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}\right).$$

After analyzing the singular values of T , we conclude that T does not have a Schmidt representation according to the Definition 5.

Examples 1 and 2 show us that $T \in \text{Bil}(H_1 \times H_2, K)$ be compact is not a sufficient condition for T to have a Schmidt representation. To work around this problem, we will have to require more properties from the operator $T \in \text{Bil}(H_1 \times H_2, K)$.

Definition 6. Let τ_1 be a singular value of $T \in \text{Bil}(H_1 \times H_2, K)$. Then, τ_1 is a ordered singular value of T if:

$$\begin{cases} T(x, y_1) = \tau_1 \langle x, x_1 \rangle z_1 & , \text{ for all } x \in H_1 \\ T(x_1, y) = \tau_1 \langle y, y_1 \rangle z_1 & , \text{ for all } y \in H_2 \\ \phi_{H_1}^*(y)(z_1) = \tau_1 \langle y, y_1 \rangle x_1 & , \text{ for all } y \in H_2 \end{cases}$$

for some triple (x_1, y_1, z_1) of singular vectors associated with the singular value τ_1 . In this case, x_1, y_1 and z_1 are ordered singular vectors associated with the ordered singular value τ_1 .

Example 3. In Example 1, the singular values $\tau = 2$ and $\tau = 3$ are ordered, and the singular value $\tau = \frac{6}{13}\sqrt{13}$ is not ordered. In Example 2, all singular values are not ordered.

With this definition of ordered singular value, we show in Theorem 3 that, if $T \in \text{Bil}(H_1 \times H_2, K)$ is compact and it has a sequence of ordered singular values, such that their respective ordered singular vectors form an orthonormal sequence of vectors, so T has a Schmidt representation.

Theorem 3. Let $T \in \text{Bil}(H_1 \times H_2, K)$ be a nonzero and compact operator. Let us define a sequence of operators (T_k) in $\text{Bil}(H_1 \times H_2, K)$ in the following way. For all $(x, y) \in H_1 \times H_2$, we set

$$T_1(x, y) = T(x, y) \quad , \quad \tau_1 = \|T\|,$$

and let (x_1, y_1, z_1) be a triple of singular vectors associated to τ_1 , that is, they satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} T(x_1, y_1) &= \tau_1 z_1 \\ \phi_{H_1}^*(y_1)(z_1) &= \tau_1 x_1 \\ \phi_{H_2}^*(x_1)(z_1) &= \tau_1 y_1 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{H_1}(y) &: H_1 \rightarrow K & \phi_{H_1}(y)(x) &= T(x, y) \\ \phi_{H_2}(x) &: H_2 \rightarrow K & \phi_{H_2}(x)(y) &= T(x, y) \end{aligned}$$

for all $(x, y) \in H_1 \times H_2$.

Suppose that τ_1 is a **ordered singular value** of T and x_1, y_1 and z_1 are ordered singular vectors associated to τ_1 , that is,

$$\begin{cases} T(x, y_1) = \tau_1 \langle x, x_1 \rangle z_1 \\ T(x_1, y) = \tau_1 \langle y, y_1 \rangle z_1 \\ \phi_{H_1}^*(y)(z_1) = \tau_1 \langle y, y_1 \rangle x_1 \end{cases}$$

for all $(x, y) \in H_1 \times H_2$.

Having defined T_{k-1} , τ_{k-1} and $(x_{k-1}, y_{k-1}, z_{k-1})$, for $k \geq 2$, we define

$$T_k(x, y) = T_{k-1}(x, y) - \tau_{k-1} \langle x, x_{k-1} \rangle \langle y, y_{k-1} \rangle z_{k-1} \quad , \quad \tau_k = \|T_k\| ,$$

and x_k , y_k and z_k are ordered singular vectors associated to τ_k . Suppose that, for each k , we have

$$\begin{cases} T(x, y_k) = \tau_k \langle x, x_k \rangle z_k \\ T(x_k, y) = \tau_k \langle y, y_k \rangle z_k \\ \phi_{H_1}^*(y)(z_k) = \tau_k \langle y, y_k \rangle x_k \end{cases}$$

for all $(x, y) \in H_1 \times H_2$.

If T_k is nonzero for all k , then $(\tau_k)_k$ is a decreasing sequence of singular values of T converging to zero, such that

$$T(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \tau_i \langle x, x_i \rangle \langle y, y_i \rangle z_i , \quad (4)$$

for all $(x, y) \in H_1 \times H_2$.

PROOF. From Theorem 2, $\tau_1 = \|T\|$ is a singular value of T and let (x_1, y_1, z_1) be a triple of singular vectors associated to τ_1 . Let $T_2 \in \text{Bil}(H_1 \times H_2, K)$ given by

$$T_2(x, y) = T(x, y) - \tau_1 \langle x, x_1 \rangle \langle y, y_1 \rangle z_1 .$$

Since T is bounded and compact, T_2 is compact. If T_2 is nonzero, $\tau_2 = \|T_2\|$ is a singular value of T_2 with a triple of (x_2, y_2, z_2) of singular vectors associated to τ_2 . We have:

$$\begin{cases} T_2(x_2, y_2) = \tau_2 z_2 \\ \phi_{2H_1}^*(y_2)(z_2) = \tau_2 x_2 \\ \phi_{2H_2}^*(x_2)(z_2) = \tau_2 y_2 . \end{cases}$$

We claim that $x_1 \perp x_2$, $y_1 \perp y_2$ and $z_1 \perp z_2$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_2 \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle &= \langle x_1, \tau_2 x_2 \rangle = \langle x_1, \phi_{2H_1}^*(y_2)(z_2) \rangle \\ &= \langle \phi_{2H_1}(y_2)(x_1), z_2 \rangle \langle T_2(x_1, y_2), z_2 \rangle \\ &= \langle T(x_1, y_2) - \tau_1 \langle y_2, y_1 \rangle z_1, z_2 \rangle \\ &= \langle \tau_1 \langle y_2, y_1 \rangle z_1 - \tau_1 \langle y_2, y_1 \rangle z_1, z_2 \rangle \\ &= 0 . \end{aligned}$$

In the same way,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_2 \langle y_1, y_2 \rangle &= \langle y_1, \tau_2 y_2 \rangle = \langle y_1, \phi_{2H_2}^*(x_2)(z_2) \rangle \\ &= \langle \phi_{2H_2}(x_2)(y_1), z_2 \rangle \langle T_2(x_2, y_1), z_2 \rangle \\ &= \langle T(x_2, y_1) - \tau_1 \langle x_2, x_1 \rangle z_1, z_2 \rangle \\ &= \langle \tau_1 \langle x_2, x_1 \rangle z_1 - \tau_1 \langle x_2, x_1 \rangle z_1, z_2 \rangle \\ &= 0 , \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau_2 \langle z_1, z_2 \rangle &= \langle z_1, \tau_2 z_2 \rangle = \langle z_1, T_2(x_2, y_2) \rangle \\
&= \langle z_1, T(x_2, y_2) \rangle = \langle z_1, \phi_{H_1}(y_2)(x_2) \rangle \\
&= \langle \phi_{H_1}^*(y_2)(z_1), x_2 \rangle = \langle \tau_1 \langle y_2, y_1 \rangle x_1, x_2 \rangle \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

We have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau_2 &= \|T_2\| = \sup\{\|T_2(x, y)\|_K : \text{where } \|x\|_{H_1} = \|y\|_{H_2} = 1, x \in H_1, y \in H_2\} \\
\tau_1 &= \|T\| = \sup\{\|T(x, y)\|_K : \text{where } \|x\|_{H_1} = \|y\|_{H_2} = 1, x \in H_1, y \in H_2\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

We have that $T_2(x, y) \perp z_1$ for all $(x, y) \in H_1 \times H_2$, and this implies that $\tau_1 \geq \tau_2$. Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle T_2(x, y), z_1 \rangle &= \langle T(x, y) - \tau_1 \langle x, x_1 \rangle \langle y, y_1 \rangle z_1, z_1 \rangle \\
&= \langle T(x, y), z_1 \rangle - \tau_1 \langle x, x_1 \rangle \langle y, y_1 \rangle \\
&= \langle \phi_{H_1}(y)(x), z_1 \rangle - \tau_1 \langle x, x_1 \rangle \langle y, y_1 \rangle \\
&= \langle x, \phi_{H_1}^*(y)(z_1) \rangle - \tau_1 \langle x, x_1 \rangle \langle y, y_1 \rangle \\
&= \langle x, \tau_1 \langle y, y_1 \rangle x_1 \rangle - \langle x, \tau_1 \langle y, y_1 \rangle x_1 \rangle \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $T_2(x, y) \perp \tau_1 \langle x, x_1 \rangle \langle y, y_1 \rangle z_1$, for all $(x, y) \in H_1 \times H_2$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|T_2(x, y)\|_K^2 + \|\tau_1 \langle x, x_1 \rangle \langle y, y_1 \rangle z_1\|_K^2 &= \|T(x, y) - \tau_1 \langle x, x_1 \rangle \langle y, y_1 \rangle z_1 \\
&\quad + \tau_1 \langle x, x_1 \rangle \langle y, y_1 \rangle z_1\|_K^2 = \|T(x, y)\|_K^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\|T_2(x, y)\|_K^2 + \|\tau_1 \langle x, x_1 \rangle \langle y, y_1 \rangle z_1\|_K^2 = \|T(x, y)\|_K^2 \tag{6}$$

for all $(x, y) \in H_1 \times H_2$. From (6) and (5) we get $\tau_1 \geq \tau_2$.

Now, since $x_1 \perp x_2$, $y_1 \perp y_2$ e $z_1 \perp z_2$, we have that $T_2(x_2, y_2) = T(x_2, y_2)$, giving $T(x_2, y_2) = \tau_2 z_2$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_{2H_1}^*(y)(z) &= \phi_{H_1}^*(y)(z) - \tau_1 \langle y, y_1 \rangle \langle z, z_1 \rangle x_1 \\
\phi_{2H_2}^*(x)(z) &= \phi_{H_2}^*(x)(z) - \tau_1 \langle x, x_1 \rangle \langle z, z_1 \rangle y_1.
\end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\phi_{2H_1}^*(y_2)(z_2) = \phi_{H_1}^*(y_2)(z_2) \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_{2H_2}^*(x_2)(z_2) = \phi_{H_2}^*(x_2)(z_2),$$

giving that

$$\phi_{H_1}^*(y_2)(z_2) = \tau_2 x_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_{H_2}^*(x_2)(z_2) = \tau_2 y_2.$$

Thus, we obtain that τ_2 is a singular value of T with a triple (x_2, y_2, z_2) of singular vectors associated to τ_2 .

Assume that τ_2 is a singular ordered value of T , and x_2 , y_2 and z_2 are

singular ordered vectors associated to τ_2 , that is

$$\begin{cases} T(x, y_2) = \tau_2 \langle x, x_2 \rangle z_2 \\ T(x_2, y) = \tau_2 \langle y, y_2 \rangle z_2 \\ \phi_{H_1}^*(y)(z_2) = \tau_2 \langle y, y_2 \rangle x_2 \end{cases}$$

for all $(x, y) \in H_1 \times H_2$. Let $T_3 \in Bil(H_1 \times H_2, K)$ given by

$$T_3(x, y) = T(x, y) - \sum_{i=1}^2 \tau_i \langle x, x_i \rangle \langle y, y_i \rangle z_i,$$

for all $(x, y) \in H_1 \times H_2$. Then, T_3 is a compact bilinear operator. If T_3 is nonzero, $\tau_3 = \|T_3\|$ is a singular value of T_3 with a triple (x_3, y_3, z_3) of singular vectors associated to τ_3 . We have

$$\begin{cases} T_3(x_3, y_3) = \tau_3 z_3 \\ \phi_{3H_1}^*(y_3)(z_3) = \tau_3 x_3 \\ \phi_{3H_2}^*(x_3)(z_3) = \tau_3 y_3. \end{cases}$$

We claim that $x_3 \perp x_i, y_3 \perp y_i, z_3 \perp z_i, i = 1, 2$ and $\tau_2 \geq \tau_3$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_3 \langle x_i, x_3 \rangle &= \langle x_i, \tau_3 x_3 \rangle = \langle x_i, \phi_{3H_1}^*(y_3)(z_3) \rangle \\ &= \langle \phi_{3H_1}(y_3)(x_i), z_3 \rangle = \langle T_3(x_i, y_3), z_3 \rangle \\ &= \langle T(x_i, y_3) - \sum_{k=1}^2 \tau_k \langle x_i, x_k \rangle \langle y_3, y_k \rangle z_k, z_3 \rangle \\ &= \langle T(x_i, y_3) - \tau_i \langle y_3, y_i \rangle z_i, z_3 \rangle \\ &= \langle \tau_i \langle y_3, y_i \rangle z_i - \tau_i \langle y_3, y_i \rangle z_i, z_3 \rangle \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_3 \langle y_i, y_3 \rangle &= \langle y_i, \tau_3 y_3 \rangle = \langle y_i, \phi_{3H_2}^*(x_3)(z_3) \rangle \\ &= \langle \phi_{3H_2}(x_3)(y_i), z_3 \rangle = \langle T_3(x_3, y_i), z_3 \rangle \\ &= \langle T(x_3, y_i) - \sum_{k=1}^2 \tau_k \langle x_3, x_k \rangle \langle y_i, y_k \rangle z_k, z_3 \rangle \\ &= \langle T(x_3, y_i) - \tau_i \langle x_3, x_i \rangle z_i, z_3 \rangle \\ &= \langle \tau_i \langle x_3, x_i \rangle z_i - \tau_i \langle x_3, x_i \rangle z_i, z_3 \rangle \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_3 \langle z_i, z_3 \rangle &= \langle z_i, \tau_3 z_3 \rangle = \langle z_i, T_3(x_3, y_3) \rangle \\ &= \langle z_i, T(x_3, y_3) \rangle = \langle z_i, \phi_{H_1}(y_3)(x_3) \rangle \\ &= \langle \phi_{H_1}^*(y_3)(z_i), x_3 \rangle = \langle \tau_i \langle y_3, y_i \rangle x_i, x_3 \rangle \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\tau_3 = \|T_3\| = \sup\{\|T_3(x, y)\|_K : \text{where } \|x\|_{H_1} = \|y\|_{H_2} = 1, x \in H_1, y \in H_2\}$$

$$\tau_2 = \|T_2\| = \sup\{\|T_2(x, y)\|_K : \text{where } \|x\|_{H_1} = \|y\|_{H_2} = 1, x \in H_1, y \in H_2\}.$$

We also have that $T_3(x, y) \perp z_2$, for all $(x, y) \in H_1 \times H_2$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T_3(x, y), z_2 \rangle &= \langle T(x, y) - \sum_{i=1}^2 \tau_i \langle x, x_i \rangle \langle y, y_i \rangle z_i, z_2 \rangle \\ &= \langle T(x, y), z_2 \rangle - \tau_2 \langle x, x_2 \rangle \langle y, y_2 \rangle \\ &= \langle \phi_{H_1}(y)(x), z_2 \rangle - \tau_2 \langle x, x_2 \rangle \langle y, y_2 \rangle \\ &= \langle x, \phi_{H_1}^*(y)(z_2) \rangle - \tau_2 \langle x, x_2 \rangle \langle y, y_2 \rangle \\ &= \langle x, \tau_2 \langle y, y_2 \rangle x_2 \rangle - \langle x, \tau_2 \langle y, y_2 \rangle x_2 \rangle \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

So, $T_3(x, y) \perp \tau_2 \langle x, x_2 \rangle \langle y, y_2 \rangle z_2$, for all $(x, y) \in H_1 \times H_2$. Thus,

$$\|T_3(x, y)\|_K^2 + \|\tau_2 \langle x, x_2 \rangle \langle y, y_2 \rangle z_2\|_K^2 = \|T_2(x, y)\|_K^2$$

for all $(x, y) \in H_1 \times H_2$, giving that $\tau_2 \geq \tau_3$.

Since $x_3 \perp x_i$, $y_3 \perp y_i$ and $z_3 \perp z_i$, $i = 1, 2$, we get that $T_3(x_3, y_3) = T(x_3, y_3)$, implying $T(x_3, y_3) = \tau_3 z_3$.

Note that

$$\phi_{3H_1}(y)(x) = T_3(x, y) = T(x, y) - \sum_{i=1}^2 \tau_i \langle x, x_i \rangle \langle y, y_i \rangle z_i,$$

then,

$$\phi_{3H_1}(y)(x) = \phi_{H_1}(y)(x) - \sum_{i=1}^2 \tau_i \langle x, x_i \rangle \langle y, y_i \rangle z_i$$

For all $(x, y) \in H_1 \times H_2$. Let $z \in K$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi_{3H_1}(y)(x), z \rangle &= \langle \phi_{H_1}(y)(x) - \sum_{i=1}^2 \tau_i \langle x, x_i \rangle \langle y, y_i \rangle z_i, z \rangle \\ &= \langle \phi_{H_1}(y)(x), z \rangle - \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^2 \tau_i \langle x, x_i \rangle \langle y, y_i \rangle z_i, z \right\rangle \\ &= \langle x, \phi_{H_1}^*(y)(z) \rangle - \left\langle x, \sum_{i=1}^2 \tau_i \langle y, y_i \rangle \langle z, z_i \rangle x_i \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\phi_{3H_1}^*(y)(z) = \phi_{H_1}^*(y)(z) - \sum_{i=1}^2 \tau_i \langle y, y_i \rangle \langle z, z_i \rangle x_i.$$

In a similar way, we get

$$\phi_{3H_2}^*(x)(z) = \phi_{H_2}^*(x)(z) - \sum_{i=1}^2 \tau_i \langle x, x_i \rangle \langle z, z_i \rangle y_i.$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_{H_1}^*(y_3)(z_3) &= \phi_{3H_1}^*(y_3)(z_3) = \tau_3 x_3 \\ \phi_{H_2}^*(x_3)(z_3) &= \phi_{3H_2}^*(x_3)(z_3) = \tau_3 y_3.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain that τ_3 is a singular value of $\text{de } T$ with a triple (x_3, y_3, z_3) of singular vectors associated to τ_3 .

Assume that τ_3 is an ordered singular value of T and x_3, y_3 and z_3 are ordered singular vectors associated to τ_3 , that is

$$\begin{cases} T(x, y_3) = \tau_3 \langle x, x_3 \rangle z_3 \\ T(x_3, y) = \tau_3 \langle y, y_3 \rangle z_3 \\ \phi_{H_1}^*(y)(z_3) = \tau_3 \langle y, y_3 \rangle x_3 \end{cases}$$

for all $(x, y) \in H_1 \times H_2$.

This process continues and suppose that up to step n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have that τ_i is an ordered singular value of T with ordered vectors x_i, y_i, z_i , respectively, where $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, such that $\|T\| = \tau_1 \geq \tau_2 \geq \dots \geq \tau_n$, $x_i \perp x_j$, $y_i \perp y_j$, $z_i \perp z_j$ always that $i \neq j$,

$$\begin{cases} T(x_i, y_i) = \tau_i z_i \\ \phi_{H_1}^*(y_i)(z_i) = \tau_i x_i \\ \phi_{H_2}^*(x_i)(z_i) = \tau_i y_i \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} T(x, y_i) = \tau_i \langle x, x_i \rangle z_i \\ T(x_i, y) = \tau_i \langle y, y_i \rangle z_i \\ \phi_{H_1}^*(y)(z_i) = \tau_i \langle y, y_i \rangle x_i \end{cases}$$

for all $(x, y) \in H_1 \times H_2$.

We also have

$$\begin{cases} \phi_{nH_1}(y)(x) = \phi_{H_1}(y)(x) - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \tau_i \langle x, x_i \rangle \langle y, y_i \rangle z_i \\ \phi_{nH_2}(x)(y) = \phi_{H_2}(x)(y) - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \tau_i \langle x, x_i \rangle \langle y, y_i \rangle z_i \\ \phi_{nH_1}^*(y)(z) = \phi_{H_1}^*(y)(z) - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \tau_i \langle y, y_i \rangle \langle z, z_i \rangle x_i \\ \phi_{nH_2}^*(x)(z) = \phi_{H_2}^*(x)(z) - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \tau_i \langle x, x_i \rangle \langle z, z_i \rangle y_i \\ T_n(x, y) = T(x, y) - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \tau_i \langle x, x_i \rangle \langle y, y_i \rangle z_i \quad \text{e } \tau_i = \|T_i\|, i=2,3,\dots,n \end{cases}.$$

If the process stops at any stage, say step m , then the operator T_{m+1} is null,

and we have

$$T(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^m \tau_i \langle x, x_i \rangle \langle y, y_i \rangle z_i$$

that is, T is a finite rank operator. If we put $\tau_i = 0$, $x_i = y_i = z_i = 0$ for $i > m$, we have

$$T(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \tau_i \langle x, x_i \rangle \langle y, y_i \rangle z_i.$$

Otherwise, we have $\tau_n = \|T_n\|$, and we claim that $\tau_n \rightarrow 0$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$, that is, $\|T_n\| \rightarrow 0$, and then

$$T(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \tau_i \langle x, x_i \rangle \langle y, y_i \rangle z_i.$$

Indeed, we have that T is a compact operator. Let $T(x_i, y_i) = \tau_i z_i$ and $T(x_j, y_j) = \tau_j z_j$, where $i \neq j$, $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then,

$$\|T(x_i, y_i) - T(x_j, y_j)\|_K^2 = \|\tau_i z_i - \tau_j z_j\|_K^2 = \langle \tau_i z_i - \tau_j z_j, \tau_i z_i - \tau_j z_j \rangle = \tau_i^2 + \tau_j^2$$

$$\|T(x_i, y_i) - T(x_j, y_j)\|_K^2 = \tau_i^2 + \tau_j^2.$$

Since (x_n, y_n) is bounded, $\|x_n\|_{H_1} = \|y_n\|_{H_2} = 1$, then $(T(x_n, y_n))$ has a convergent subsequence, $(T(x_{n_k}, y_{n_k}))$. So, $(T(x_{n_k}, y_{n_k}))$ is a Cauchy sequence, that is, $\|T(x_{n_i}, y_{n_i}) - T(x_{n_j}, y_{n_j})\|_K^2 \rightarrow 0$. Thus, $\tau_n \rightarrow 0$. This completes the proof.

Remark 3. Let (τ_n) be the ordered singular values obtained in Theorem 3. We have

$$\begin{cases} \phi_{nH_1}(y_n)(x) = \phi_{H_1}(y_n)(x) \\ \phi_{nH_2}(x_n)(y) = \phi_{H_2}(x_n)(y) \\ \phi_{nH_1}^*(y_n)(z) = \phi_{H_1}^*(y_n)(z) \\ \phi_{nH_2}^*(x_n)(z) = \phi_{H_2}^*(x_n)(z) \end{cases}$$

for all $(x, y) \in H_1 \times H_2$ e $z \in K$. Thus,

$$\begin{cases} \phi_{H_1}^*(y_n) \circ \phi_{H_1}(y_n)(x_n) = \tau_n^2 x_n & \text{then } \tau_n^2 \text{ is eigenvalue of } \phi_{H_1}^*(y_n) \circ \phi_{H_1}(y_n) : H_1 \rightarrow H_1 \\ \phi_{H_2}^*(x_n) \circ \phi_{H_2}(x_n)(y_n) = \tau_n^2 y_n & \text{then } \tau_n^2 \text{ is eigenvalue of } \phi_{H_2}^*(x_n) \circ \phi_{H_2}(x_n) : H_2 \rightarrow H_2 \\ \phi_{H_1}(y_n) \circ \phi_{H_1}^*(y_n)(z_n) = \tau_n^2 z_n & \text{then } \tau_n^2 \text{ is eigenvalue of } \phi_{H_1}(y_n) \circ \phi_{H_1}^*(y_n) : K \rightarrow K \\ \phi_{H_2}(x_n) \circ \phi_{H_2}^*(x_n)(z_n) = \tau_n^2 z_n & \text{then } \tau_n^2 \text{ is eigenvalue of } \phi_{H_2}(x_n) \circ \phi_{H_2}^*(x_n) : K \rightarrow K. \end{cases}$$

The next result give us that the conditions of Theorem 3 is fundamental.

Theorem 4. Suppose that $T \in \text{Bil}(H_1 \times H_2, K)$ has a monotone Schmidt representation given by

$$T(x, y) := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \tau_i \langle x, x_i \rangle \langle y, y_i \rangle z_i,$$

where $(\tau_i) \in c_0$ and (x_i) , (y_i) e (z_i) are orthonormal sets of H_1 , H_2 and K ,

respectively. We have that $T \in \text{Bil}(H_1 \times H_2, K)$ is compact and the sequence $(\tau_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of ordered singular values of T .

PROOF. For each $N \in \mathbb{N}$, if we define $T_N(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^N \tau_i \langle x, x_i \rangle \langle y, y_i \rangle z_i$, then each T_N is compact, and T_N converges in norm to T , giving the compactness of T . Now, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi_{H_1}(y)(x), z \rangle &= \langle T(x, y), z \rangle = \langle \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \tau_i \langle x, x_i \rangle \langle y, y_i \rangle z_i, z \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \tau_i \langle x, x_i \rangle \langle y, y_i \rangle \langle z, z_i \rangle \\ &= \langle x, \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \tau_i \langle y, y_i \rangle \langle z, z_i \rangle x_i \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\phi_{H_1}^*(y)(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \tau_i \langle y, y_i \rangle \langle z, z_i \rangle x_i$. In a similar way we show that $\phi_{H_2}^*(x)(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \tau_i \langle x, x_i \rangle \langle z, z_i \rangle y_i$. Then, we have

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} T(x_i, y_i) = \tau_i z_i \\ \phi_{H_1}^*(y_i)(z_i) = \tau_i x_i \\ \phi_{H_2}^*(x_i)(z_i) = \tau_i y_i \\ T(x, y_i) = \tau_i \langle x, x_i \rangle z_i \\ T(x_i, y) = \tau_i \langle y, y_i \rangle z_i \\ \phi_{H_1}^*(y)(z_i) = \tau_i \langle y, y_i \rangle x_i. \end{array} \right.$$

Therefore, τ_i is an ordered singular value of T with associated ordered singular vectors (x_i) , (y_i) e (z_i) .

Example 4. If $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$, $y = (y_1, y_2, y_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3$, let $T \in \text{Bil}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ be given by

$$\begin{aligned} T(x, y) &= \left(\frac{\sqrt{6}}{6} x_1(y_1 + y_3) - \sqrt{2} x_2 y_2 + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} x_3(y_1 + y_3), \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{\sqrt{6}}{6} x_1(y_1 + y_3) + \sqrt{2} x_2 y_2 + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} x_3(y_1 - y_3), \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{\sqrt{6}}{6} x_1(y_1 + y_3) - \sqrt{3} x_3(y_1 - y_3) \right). \end{aligned}$$

We obtain the following singular values with their respective associated singular vectors:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_1 &= 1 \text{ with } x_1 = (1, 0, 0), y_1 = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \text{ and } z_1 = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \right) \\ \tau_2 &= 2 \text{ with } x_2 = (0, 1, 0), y_2 = (0, 1, 0) \text{ and } z_2 = \left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0 \right) \\ \tau_3 &= 3 \text{ with } x_3 = (0, 0, 1), y_3 = \left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{8}}, 0, -\frac{2}{\sqrt{8}} \right) \text{ and } z_3 = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}, -\frac{2}{\sqrt{6}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

After some calculations it can be shown that τ_i , $i = 1, 2, 3$, are ordered regular values with their ordered singular vectors associated to x_i , y_i , z_i . It is easy to show that $x_i \perp x_j$, $y_i \perp y_j$ and $z_i \perp z_j$, where $i, j = 1, 2, 3$ and $i \neq j$.

6. The Schur representation

In [12] is defined the Schur representation of a compact bilinear operator $T \in \text{Bil}(H)$, and it is given new definitions, results and conditions in order to obtain a spectral theorem for bilinear operators on real Hilbert spaces.

Definition 7. Let H be a separable Hilbert space and $T : H \times H \rightarrow H$ be a bilinear operator. T has a Schur representation if there exists a sequence $(\lambda_n) \in c_0$ and an extended orthonormal sequence (x_n) in H , such that

$$T(x, y) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \langle x, x_n \rangle \langle y, x_n \rangle x_n,$$

for all $x, y \in H$.

A Schur representation is monotone if $|\lambda_1| \geq |\lambda_2| \geq \dots \geq 0$.

For each $x \in H$, and $T \in \text{Bil}(H)$, we define $T_x^s \in \mathfrak{L}(H)$ by $T_x^s(y) = T(y, x)$. In general $T_x \neq T_x^s$, but if T is symmetric, then $T_x = T_x^s$, for all $x \in H$.

Definition 8. $T \in \text{Bil}(H)$ is self-adjoint, if T_x and T_x^s are self-adjoint linear operators for all $x \in H$.

In [11] it is shown that, if $L \in \mathfrak{L}(H)$ is compact, positive and self-adjoint, then the Schur and Schmidt representations of L coincide, that is, the singular values are eigenvalues. We will show an analogous result for the bilinear case.

Let $T : H \times H \rightarrow H$ be compact. We know that $\tau_1 = \|T\|$ is a singular value of T , that is, there are vectors x_1 , y_1 and $z_1 \in H$, such that,

$$\begin{cases} T(x_1, y_1) = \tau_1 z_1 \\ \phi_{H_1}^*(y_1)(z_1) = \tau_1 x_1 \\ \phi_{H_2}^*(x_1)(z_1) = \tau_1 y_1 \end{cases}.$$

Assume that T is self-adjoint, that is,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T(x, y), z \rangle &= \langle y, T(x, z) \rangle \\ \langle T(y, x), z \rangle &= \langle y, T(z, x) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in H$. In [12] it is proved that in this situation we get that T is symmetric. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi_{H_1}(y)(x), z \rangle &= \langle T(x, y), z \rangle = \langle x, T(z, y) \rangle = \langle x, \phi_{H_1}(y)(z) \rangle \\ \langle \phi_{H_2}(x)(y), z \rangle &= \langle T(x, y), z \rangle = \langle y, T(x, z) \rangle = \langle y, \phi_{H_2}(x)(z) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\phi_{H_1}^*(y) = \phi_{H_1}(y)$ and $\phi_{H_2}^*(x) = \phi_{H_2}(x)$, that is, $\phi_{H_1}(y)$ and $\phi_{H_2}(x)$ are self-adjoints. So, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T(x_1, y_1) &= \tau_1 z_1 \\ T(z_1, y_1) &= \tau_1 x_1 \\ T(x_1, z_1) &= \tau_1 y_1. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Thus, if $T \in Bil(H)$ is compact and self-adjoint, then $\tau_1 = \|T\|$ is a singular value with associated unitary singular vectors $x_1, y_1, z_1 \in H$, such that (7) is valid. If τ_1 is an ordered singular value with ordered singular vectors x_1, y_1 and z_1 associated to τ_1 , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} T(x, y_1) &= \tau_1 \langle x, x_1 \rangle z_1 \\ T(x_1, y) &= \tau_1 \langle y, y_1 \rangle z_1 \\ T(z_1, y) &= \tau_1 \langle y, y_1 \rangle x_1, \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in H$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} T(y_1, y_1) &= \tau_1 \langle y_1, x_1 \rangle z_1 \\ T(x_1, x_1) &= \tau_1 \langle x_1, y_1 \rangle z_1, \end{aligned}$$

that is, $T(y_1, y_1) = T(x_1, x_1)$ and $T(z_1, z_1) = \tau_1 \langle 1, y_1 \rangle x_1$.

Now, let us prove that if $T \in Bil(H)$ is compact, self-adjoint with a Schmidt representation, then it has a Schur representation of T , that is, the ordered singular values of T that appear in his Schmidt representation are also ordered eigenvalues.

Theorem 5. *Let $T \in Bil(H)$ be compact, self-adjoint and not null. If T has a Schmidt Representation according to Theorem 3, that is $T(x, y) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \tau_j \langle x, x_j \rangle \langle y, y_j \rangle z_j$, then T has a Schur representation, that is*

$$T(x, y) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j \langle x, x_j \rangle \langle y, x_j \rangle x_j$$

for all $x, y \in H$.

PROOF. Let $T(x, y) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \tau_j \langle x, x_j \rangle \langle y, y_j \rangle z_j$ be a Schmidt representation of T according to Theorem 3. We have

$$\begin{aligned} T(x_i, y_i) &= \tau_i z_i & T(x, y_i) &= \tau_i \langle x, x_i \rangle z_i \\ T(z_i, y_i) &= \tau_i x_i & T(x_i, y) &= \tau_i \langle y, y_i \rangle z_i \\ T(x_i, z_i) &= \tau_i y_i & T(z_i, y) &= \tau_i \langle y, y_i \rangle x_i \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in H$.

We have that $T(y_i, x_i) = T(x_i, y_i) = \tau_i z_i$, so

$$T(y_i, x_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \tau_j \langle y_i, x_j \rangle \langle x_i, y_j \rangle z_j = \tau_i z_i .$$

Then,

$$\tau_i \langle y_i, x_i \rangle \langle x_i, y_i \rangle \langle z_i, z_i \rangle = \tau_i \langle z_i, z_i \rangle .$$

Since $\tau_i \neq 0$ and z_i is unitary, we get

$$\langle y_i, x_i \rangle = \pm 1 . \quad (8)$$

We also have that $T(z_i, y_i) = T(y_i, z_i) = \tau_i x_i$, so

$$\begin{aligned} T(z_i, y_i) &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \tau_j \langle z_i, x_j \rangle \langle y_i, y_j \rangle z_j = \tau_i x_i \\ T(y_i, z_i) &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \tau_j \langle y_i, x_j \rangle \langle z_i, y_j \rangle z_j = \tau_i x_i . \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_i \langle z_i, x_i \rangle \langle y_i, y_i \rangle z_i &= \tau_i x_i \\ \tau_i \langle y_i, x_i \rangle \langle z_i, y_i \rangle \langle z_i, z_i \rangle &= \tau_i \langle x_i, z_i \rangle . \end{aligned}$$

Since $\tau_i > 0$ and y_i is unitary,

$$\langle z_i, x_i \rangle z_i = x_i \quad (9)$$

$$\langle y_i, x_i \rangle \langle z_i, y_i \rangle = \langle x_i, z_i \rangle . \quad (10)$$

We also have that $T(x_i, z_i) = T(z_i, x_i) = \tau_i y_i$, so

$$\begin{aligned} T(x_i, z_i) &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \tau_j \langle x_i, x_j \rangle \langle z_i, y_j \rangle z_j = \tau_i y_i \\ T(z_i, x_i) &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \tau_j \langle z_i, x_j \rangle \langle x_i, y_j \rangle z_j = \tau_i y_i . \end{aligned}$$

This implies,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_i \langle z_i, y_i \rangle z_i &= \tau_i y_i \\ \tau_i \langle z_i, x_i \rangle \langle x_i, y_i \rangle \langle z_i, z_i \rangle &= \tau_i \langle y_i, z_i \rangle . \end{aligned}$$

Since $\tau_i > 0$ and z_i is unitary, we get

$$\langle z_i, y_i \rangle z_i = y_i \quad (11)$$

$$\langle z_i, x_i \rangle \langle x_i, y_i \rangle = \langle y_i, z_i \rangle . \quad (12)$$

Provided that τ_i is an ordered singular value, we also have:

$$T(y_i, y_i) = \tau_i \langle y_i, x_i \rangle z_i$$

$$T(x_i, x_i) = \tau_i \langle x_i, y_i \rangle z_i$$

$$T(z_i, z_i) = \tau_i \langle z_i, y_i \rangle x_i .$$

By (8), $\langle y_i, x_i \rangle = \pm 1$. Let $\langle y_i, x_i \rangle = 1$. Then, by (10), $\langle z_i, y_i \rangle = \langle x_i, z_i \rangle$. From (9) and (11), we get that $y_i = x_i$.

By (9), we have $\langle z_i, x_i \rangle z_i = x_i$, so $\langle z_i, x_i \rangle = \pm 1$. Let $\langle z_i, x_i \rangle = 1$. Since

$$T(z_i, y_i) = \tau_i x_i \quad (13)$$

$$T(x, y_i) = \tau_i \langle x, x_i \rangle z_i, \quad (14)$$

for all $x \in H$, by (14) we have

$$T(z_i, y_i) = \tau_i \langle z_i, x_i \rangle z_i = \tau_i z_i.$$

For $\tau_i > 0$, by (13) we have $z_i = x_i$. If we put $\lambda_i = \tau_i$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$T(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i \langle x, x_i \rangle \langle y, x_i \rangle x_i,$$

is a Schur representation of T . If $\langle z_i, x_i \rangle = -1$, by (14) we have $T(z_i, y_i) = -\tau_i z_i$. Then, by (13) we get $z_i = -x_i$. If we put $\lambda_i = -\tau_i$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$T(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i \langle x, x_i \rangle \langle y, x_i \rangle x_i,$$

is a Schur representation of T .

Suppose now that $\langle y_i, x_i \rangle = -1$. Then, by (10) we have $\langle z_i, y_i \rangle = -\langle z_i, x_i \rangle$. Thus, by (9) and (11) we get that $y_i = -x_i$. If $\langle z_i, x_i \rangle = 1$, we have $z_i = x_i$. If we put $\lambda_i = -\tau_i$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$T(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i \langle x, x_i \rangle \langle y, x_i \rangle x_i,$$

is a Schur representation of T .

If $\langle z_i, x_i \rangle = -1$, then $z_i = -x_i$. If we put $\lambda_i = \tau_i$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$T(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i \langle x, x_i \rangle \langle y, x_i \rangle x_i,$$

is a Schur representation of T .

7. Schmidt representation and Hilbert-Schmidt bilinear operators

We know that if $L : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ is a linear compact operator, then L has a Schmidt representation, that is, $L(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tau_n \langle x, x_n \rangle y_n$. In [8] we have the following definition:

Definition 9. *Let H_1 , H_2 and K be separable Hilbert spaces. An operator*

$T \in \text{Bil}(H_1 \times H_2, K)$ is a bilinear Hilbert-Schmidt operator if the series

$$\|T\|_2 = \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|T(u_m, v_n)\|_K^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

converges for some orthonormal basis (u_m) of H_1 and (v_n) de H_2 .

The class of all bilinear Hilbert-Schmidt operators $T \in \text{Bil}(H_1 \times H_2, K)$ is denoted by $HS(H_1 \times H_2, K)$. When K is the scalar field we write $HS(H_1 \times H_2)$.

It can be proved that $HS(H_1 \times H_2, K)$ is a Hilbert space with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{HS}$ defined from the inner product

$$\langle T, S \rangle = \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} \langle T(x_i, y_j), S(x_i, y_j) \rangle.$$

The next theorems from [8] give us that Definition 3.1 is independent of the orthonormal bases in H_1 and H_2 .

Theorem 6. *Let $T \in HS(H_1 \times H_2, K)$, then $\|T\|_2$ is independent of choice of the orthonormal bases.*

Proposition 2. *Let $T \in HS(H_1 \times H_2, K)$, then*

$$\|T\|_{\text{Bil}(H_1 \times H_2, K)} \leq \|T\|_{HS(H_1 \times H_2, K)}.$$

Theorem 7. *Let $T \in HS(H_1 \times H_2, K)$, then T is compact.*

Now, we get the following result.

Theorem 8. *Let H_1, H_2 and K Hilbert spaces, and let $T \in \text{Bil}(H_1 \times H_2, K)$ be compact and not null. Suppose that T has a Schmidt representation as in the Theorem 3. Let (τ_n) be the sequence of singular values of T given in the Schmidt representation of T . If $(\tau_n) \in \ell^2$, then T is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator.*

PROOF. Let $T(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \tau_i \langle x, x_i \rangle \langle y, y_i \rangle z_i$ and $(\tau_i) \in \ell^2$, that is, $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\tau_i|^2 < \infty$. Suppose that (u_i) and (v_i) , $i \in \mathbb{N}$, are orthonormal bases of H_1 and H_2 , respectively. We want to show that $\sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} \|T(u_i, v_j)\|_K^2 < \infty$. We have

$$\|x_s\|_{H_1} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\langle u_i, x_s \rangle|^2 = 1 \text{ e } \|y_s\|_{H_2} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\langle v_j, y_s \rangle|^2 = 1.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} \|T(u_i, v_j)\|_K^2 &= \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} \left(\left\| \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \tau_s \langle u_i, x_s \rangle \langle v_j, y_s \rangle z_s \right\|_K^2 \right) \\
&= \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} |\tau_s|^2 |\langle u_i, x_s \rangle|^2 |\langle v_j, y_s \rangle|^2 \right) \\
&= \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\tau_s|^2 |\langle u_i, x_s \rangle|^2 |\langle v_j, y_s \rangle|^2 \right) \right) \\
&= \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\tau_s|^2 |\langle u_i, x_s \rangle|^2 \right) \\
&= \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} |\tau_s|^2 < \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

as desired.

References

- [1] J. C. Amson, *Representations of multilinear and polynomial operators on vector spaces*. J. London Math. Soc. **4**(2) (1972), 394–400.
- [2] J. C. Amson, N. G. Reddy, *A Hilbert algebra of Hilbert-Schmidt quadratic operators*. Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **41** (1990), 123–134.
- [3] A. Bényi, R. H. Torres, *Compact bilinear operators and commutators*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **141**(10) (2013), 3609–3621.
- [4] S. J. Bernau, P. J. Wojciechowski, *Images of bilinear mappings into \mathbb{R}^3* . Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **124**(12) (1996), 3605–3612.
- [5] D. Carando, V. Dimant, *On summability of bilinear operators*. Math. Nachr. **259**(1) (2003), 3–11.
- [6] E. Erdogan, J. M. Calabuig, E. A. Sánchez-Pérez, *Convolution-continuous bilinear operators acting on Hilbert spaces of integrable functions*. Ann. Funct. Anal. **9**(2) (2018), 166–179.
- [7] B. Hernando, *Approximation numbers of nuclear and Hilbert–Schmidt multilinear forms defined on Hilbert spaces*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **341** (2008), 922–930.
- [8] M. C. Matos, *Fully absolutely summing and Hilbert-Schmidt multilinear mappings*. Collect. Math. **54** (2003), 111–136.
- [9] F. J. Murray, *Bilinear transformations in Hilbert space*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **45** (1938), 474–507.

- [10] A. Pietsch, *Eigenvalues and s-Numbers. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics.* vol. 13. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1987.
- [11] J. R. Retherford, *Hilbert Space: Compact Operators and the Trace Theorem.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.
- [12] E. B. Silva, D. L. Fernandez, M. V. A. Neves, *A spectral theorem for bilinear compact operators in Hilbert spaces.* Banach J. Math. Anal. **15**(28) (2021).

Eduardo Brandani da Silva
Universidade Estadual de Maringá-UEM
Departamento de Matemática
Av. Colombo 5790
Maringá - PR
Brazil - 870300-110
E-mail: ebsilva@wnet.com.br

Dicesar Lass Fernandez
Universidade Estadual de Campinas - Unicamp
Instituto de Matemática
Campinas - SP
Brazil - 13083-859
E-mail: dicesar@ime.unicamp.br

Marcus Vinícius de Andrade Neves
Department of Mathematics, Federal University of Mato Grosso
Av. dos Estudantes 5055
78735-901 Rondonópolis, MT, Brazil
E-mail: marcusmatematico@hotmail.com