

A Proof to the Riemann Hypothesis Using a Simplified Xi-Function

Xiao Lin¹

CCB Fintech
Shanghai 200120, China

ABSTRACT: The Riemann hypothesis has been of great interest in the mathematics community since it was proposed by Bernhard Riemann in 1859, and makes important implications about the distribution of prime numbers. We have proved the Riemann hypothesis in this paper. First, we briefly review the simplified Riemann $\xi(s)$ function. Then we showed the simplicity of zeros and stationary points of the $\xi(s)$ function in the critical line. After that, we applied the idea of bisection search to break up the complex domain into several sub-domains, in which the imaginary part of $\xi(s)$ takes different signs. Using the Cauchy-Riemann equations we found that the zeros of the $\xi(s)$ function cannot locate outside the critical line, and thus proved that the Riemann hypothesis is true.

KEY WORDS: Riemann hypothesis.

1 Introduction

In 1859, Riemann [1] used an analytical continuation method to extend the zeta function $\zeta(s)$ into the following form:

$$\xi(s) = \frac{1}{2}s(s-1)\pi^{-s/2}\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)\zeta(s), \quad (1)$$

¹E-mail: xiao.lin_99@yahoo.com; Finished: 2021-07-21; Last Revised: 2021-10-14.

where, the function $\xi(s)$ can be analytically expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}\xi(s) &= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}s(s-1) \int_1^{\infty} \Psi(\tau) \left(\tau^{s/2-1} + \tau^{-(1+s)/2} \right) d\tau, \\ \Psi(\tau) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2 \tau}.\end{aligned}\tag{2}$$

Riemann stated that every zero of the function $\xi(s)$, which is also the non-trivial zero of zeta function $\zeta(s)$, is located in the critical strip $0 \leq \text{Re}(s) \leq 1$. Then, he asserted that all zeros are most likely to lie on the line $\text{Re}(s) = 1/2$. This conjecture later became the so-called Riemann hypothesis which is very fundamental in the study of analytic number theory [2-3]. Due to its importance, in 1900, the Riemann hypothesis was proposed as one of the famous Hilbert problems - number eight of twenty-three. And in 2000, it was selected again as one of the seven Clay Millennium Prize Problems [4-5].

In this paper, we will prove the Riemann hypothesis using a simplified $\xi(s)$ function.

2 Formula transformation

First, we briefly review the simplified formula of Riemann $\xi(s)$ function. The formula was originally made by Riemann in his paper [1] before he put forth the hypothesis. Later, the work was continued by many authors such as Jensen [6], Landau [7], Hardy [8], Wilton [9], Ramanujan [10], Titchmarsh [11], Ingham [12] and others. Here we need to change some notations in the formula in order to conveniently apply the Cauchy-Riemann equations in our work. Starting with (2), we introduce a new complex variable $z \in \mathbb{C}$, $z = x + iy$ to make a transformation

$$s = \frac{1}{2}(z + 1).\tag{3}$$

Then, $\xi(s)$ is rewritten as follows

$$\xi(s) = \Xi(z) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{8}(z^2 - 1) \int_1^{\infty} \Psi(\tau) \tau^{-3/4} (\tau^{z/4} + \tau^{-z/4}) d\tau.\tag{4}$$

By (3), the concerned strip $0 \leq \text{Re}(s) \leq 1$ to search the zeros of the function $\xi(s)$ in the s -plane has been changed to $-1 \leq \text{Re}(z) \leq 1$ in the z -plane for the function $\Xi(z)$, and the

line $\text{Re}(s) = 1/2$ becomes $\text{Re}(z) = x = 0$. Further, we change the integral argument by

$$\tau = e^{4t}, \quad (5)$$

thus,

$$\Xi(z) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}(z^2 - 1) \int_0^\infty \Psi(e^{4t}) e^t (e^{zt} + e^{-zt}) dt, \quad (6)$$

or, using a hyperbolic function $\cosh(w) = (e^w + e^{-w})/2$, we have

$$\Xi(z) = \frac{1}{2} + (z^2 - 1) \int_0^\infty F(t) \cosh(zt) dt, \quad (7)$$

where,

$$F(t) = \Psi(e^{4t}) e^t = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \exp(t - \pi n^2 e^{4t}). \quad (8)$$

Now we work further on the function $F(t)$. Taking differential to the first and second orders, we have

$$F'(t) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \exp(t - \pi n^2 e^{4t}) (1 - 4\pi n^2 e^{4t}), \quad (9)$$

$$\begin{aligned} F''(t) &= \sum_{n=1}^\infty \exp(t - \pi n^2 e^{4t}) \left[(1 - 4\pi n^2 e^{4t})^2 - 16\pi n^2 e^{4t} \right] \\ &= F(t) + G(t), \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where,

$$G(t) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \exp(t - \pi n^2 e^{4t}) \left[16\pi^2 n^4 e^{8t} - 24\pi n^2 e^{4t} \right] \quad (11)$$

is called Jensen function [6]. At $t = 0$, we have

$$F(0) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \exp(-\pi n^2) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\pi^{1/4}}{\Gamma(3/4)} - 1 \right), \quad (12)$$

$$F'(0) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \exp(-\pi n^2) (1 - 4\pi n^2) = -\frac{1}{2}, \quad (13)$$

and at $t \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} F(t) \sinh(zt) = 0, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} F'(t) \cosh(zt) = 0, \quad (14)$$

where (12) was credited to Romik [13], while (13) and (14) should have been found originally by Riemann [1] because they are indispensable in working for the integral representation of $\xi(s)$ function. With this knowledge, we can simplify (7). Using integration by parts,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^{\infty} F(t) \cosh(zt) dt &= \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{z} F(t) d \sinh(zt) \\
&= \frac{1}{z} F(t) \sinh(zt) \Big|_0^{\infty} - \frac{1}{z} \int_0^{\infty} F'(t) \sinh(zt) dt \\
&= -\frac{1}{z^2} F'(t) \cosh(zt) \Big|_0^{\infty} + \frac{1}{z^2} \int_0^{\infty} F''(t) \cosh(zt) dt \\
&= \frac{1}{z^2} F'(0) + \frac{1}{z^2} \int_0^{\infty} [F(t) + G(t)] \cosh(zt) dt. \tag{15}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$(z^2 - 1) \int_0^{\infty} F(t) \cosh(zt) dt = F'(0) + \int_0^{\infty} G(t) \cosh(zt) dt. \tag{16}$$

Substituting (16) into (7), we obtain an integral representation form of the Riemann's $\xi(s)$ function,

$$\Xi(z) = \int_0^{\infty} G(t) \cosh(zt) dt. \tag{17}$$

It is easy to check that the Jensen function $G(t) > 0$ on $0 < t < \infty$, and $G(t) \rightarrow 0$ very fast when $t \rightarrow \infty$. In a two-page note Wintner [14] had proved that $G(t)$ is strictly decreasing, i.e., $G'(t) < 0$. This important property had also been proved independently by Spira [15] in 1971. In his note Wintner had pointed out a property crediting to Jensen, Hurwitz and others: if the definition domain of $G(t)$ is extended to negative t -axis, it is also an even function, i.e., $G(-t) = G(t)$, and $G'(0) = 0$. We briefly repeat the proof as follows. Recall (8),

$$F(t) = \Psi(\tau)e^t, \quad \tau(t) = e^{4t}, \quad \tau'(t) = 4\tau, \quad \tau(-t) = \frac{1}{\tau}, \tag{18}$$

we can calculate

$$G(t) = F''(t) - F(t) = \left[16\tau^2 \Psi''(\tau) + 24\tau \Psi'(\tau) \right] e^t. \tag{19}$$




Figure 1: The curve shape of function $G(t)$.

Applying derivatives to the identity of Jacobi that Riemann gave in his paper [1]

$$2\Psi(\tau) + 1 = \tau^{-1/2} \left[2\Psi\left(\frac{1}{\tau}\right) + 1 \right], \quad (20)$$

we obtain

$$\left[16\tau^2\Psi''(\tau) + 24\tau\Psi'(\tau) \right] e^t = \left[\frac{16}{\tau^2}\Psi''\left(\frac{1}{\tau}\right) + \frac{24}{\tau}\Psi'\left(\frac{1}{\tau}\right) \right] e^{-t} = G(-t). \quad (21)$$

With above features, $G(t)$ is similar to a Gaussian function, its curve shape is shown in Figure 1. If integral in (17) is extended to the whole t -axis, the equation takes a form of Fourier transform

$$\Xi(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} G(t) e^{-zt} dt, \quad (22)$$

which gives us a different point of view to study the Riemann hypothesis.

We now verify the convergency property of the integral in (17). From (11), the ratio of two general terms in the series $G(t)$ is

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\exp [t - \pi(n+1)^2 e^{4t}]}{\exp (t - \pi n^2 e^{4t})} \cdot \frac{(n+1)^2}{n^2} \cdot \frac{2\pi(n+1)^2 e^{4t} - 3}{2\pi n^2 e^{4t} - 3} \right| \\ & \leq 28 \exp [-\pi(2n+1)e^{4t}] \leq 28e^{-3\pi} = r, \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

where, $r < 1$. Thus, the series $G(t)$ in (11) is uniformly convergent for t , and the related differentials in (9) and (10) are valid. Then, for any given $z \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| G(t) \cosh(zt) \right| &\leq \exp[t - \pi e^{4t}] (16\pi^2 e^{8t} - 24\pi e^{4t}) \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r^{n-1} \right) |e^{zt}| \\ &= \left| \frac{\exp[zt + 9t - \pi e^{4t}] (16\pi^2 - 24\pi e^{-4t})}{1 - r} \right|, \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

and we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^2 \left| G(t) \cosh(zt) \right| = 0. \quad (25)$$

Therefore, there exists a $T > 0$, when $t > T$, we have $t^2 |G(t) \cosh(zt)| < G(T)$, and as $T \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\left| \Xi(z) - \int_0^T G(t) \cosh(zt) dt \right| \leq \int_T^{\infty} \left| G(t) \cosh(zt) \right| dt < \frac{G(T)}{T} \rightarrow 0, \quad (26)$$

i.e., the integral (17) converges absolutely for given z , and $\Xi(z)$ is an analytic function.

3 The Proof

We now use (17) to study the Riemann hypothesis. Let $z = x + iy$, the function $\Xi(z)$ is divided into the real and imaginary parts,

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi(z) &= u(x, y) + i v(x, y) \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} G(t) \cosh(xt) \cos(yt) dt + i \int_0^{\infty} G(t) \sinh(xt) \sin(yt) dt, \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

then, $\Xi(z) = 0$ is equivalent to $u(x, y) = v(x, y) = 0$. Our proof will be carried out through four theorems. We start the work from the critical line $x = 0$, where $v(0, y) = 0$ and

$$\Xi(iy) = u(0, y) = \int_0^{\infty} G(t) \cos(yt) dt. \quad (28)$$

Since at a very early time Riemann and Siegel [16] obtained the first few zeros of the zeta-function till now, over many trillion zeros have been found numerically on the critical line. The calculation work done by van de Lune, te Riele and Winter [17], and Odlyzko [18],

and Platt and Trudgian [19] showed that the Riemann Hypothesis is true up to the range $\text{Im}(s) \leq 3 \cdot 10^{12}$. Thus, we only need to prove the theorems on the large y region.

Theorem 1: The function $u(0, y)$ in (28) has only simple zeros.

Proof: This work is based on a Hardy's result [8] that $u(0, y)$ has infinite zeros lying on the critical line $x = 0$. We will show those zeros are simple. Specifically, we mainly focus on the large y region.




Figure 2: The curve plots of functions $p(y)$ and $q(y)$.

We change the integrand yt by t in (28), and make an integration by parts, and take the integral in a set of sub-intervals in which the function $\sin(t)$ does not change sign, and then apply the first mean value theorem [20] to each integral,

$$\begin{aligned}
 u(0, y) &= -\frac{1}{y^2} \int_0^{\infty} G'\left(\frac{t}{y}\right) \sin(t) dt = -\frac{1}{y^2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{k\pi}^{(k+1)\pi} G'\left(\frac{t}{y}\right) \sin(t) dt \\
 &= -\frac{1}{y^2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} G'\left(\frac{\theta_{k+0.5}}{y}\right) \int_{k\pi}^{(k+1)\pi} \sin(t) dt = -\frac{2}{y^2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k G'\left(\frac{\theta_{k+0.5}}{y}\right) \\
 &= -\frac{2}{y^2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[G'\left(\frac{\theta_{2k+0.5}}{y}\right) - G'\left(\frac{\theta_{2k+1.5}}{y}\right) \right], \tag{29}
 \end{aligned}$$

where, $2k\pi < \theta_{2k+0.5} < (2k+1)\pi$, etc.. We denote

$$p(y) = -\frac{2}{y^2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} G' \left(\frac{\theta_{2k+0.5}}{y} \right), \quad q(y) = -\frac{2}{y^2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} G' \left(\frac{\theta_{2k+1.5}}{y} \right). \quad (30)$$

Since $G'(t) < 0$, we have both $p(y) > 0$ and $q(y) > 0$. Thus, neither $p(y)$ nor $q(y)$ has a zero. The curve plots of two functions are shown in Figure 2.

The summations in both two functions can be seen as the Riemann sums of $G'(t)$ in a partition with bigger sub-intervals $\{0, 2\pi/y, 4\pi/y, \dots\}$. Since $G'(t)$ is continuous, any choices of the sample points t_k on the sub-intervals $[2k\pi/y, (2k+2)\pi/y]$ are allowed. Thus, when y becomes large,

$$p(y) = -\frac{1}{\pi y} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} G' \left(\frac{\theta_{2k+0.5}}{y} \right) \frac{2\pi}{y} \rightarrow -\frac{1}{\pi y} \int_0^{\infty} G'(t) dt = \frac{1}{\pi y} G(0), \quad (31)$$

$$\begin{aligned} p'(y) &= \frac{4}{y^3} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} G' \left(\frac{\theta_{2k+0.5}}{y} \right) + \frac{2}{y^2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} G'' \left(\frac{\theta_{2k+0.5}}{y} \right) \frac{\theta_{2k+0.5}}{y^2} \\ &\rightarrow \frac{2}{\pi y^2} \int_0^{\infty} G'(t) dt + \frac{1}{\pi y^2} \int_0^{\infty} G''(t) t dt = -\frac{1}{\pi y^2} G(0) < 0, \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

where in (32), we have taken the integration by parts for the second integral, and used a property $G'(t)t \rightarrow 0$ when $t \rightarrow \infty$ that was credited to Jensen [6]. The equation (32) implies that there exists an $a > 0$ such that $p'(y) < 0$ when $y > a$, i.e., $p(y)$ is monotonic decreasing. The function $q(y)$ has also the same property. From Figure 2 we know $p(y)$ is monotonic decreasing from $y > 0$, and $q(y)$ is monotonic decreasing from $y > 24$.

If $y = y_0$ is a zero of $u(0, y)$, the two curves $p(y)$ and $q(y)$ intersect at the point y_0 ,

$$u(0, y_0) = p(y_0) - q(y_0) = 0. \quad (33)$$

Since $p(y)$ and $q(y)$ are monotonic, for a small number $\delta > 0$ there are

$$\begin{aligned} p(y_0 - \delta) + p(y_0 + \delta) &= 2p(y_0) + \mathcal{O}(p''(y_0)\delta^2), \\ q(y_0 - \delta) + q(y_0 + \delta) &= 2q(y_0) + \mathcal{O}(q''(y_0)\delta^2). \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

Combining these two equations with (33), we have

$$u(0, y_0 - \delta) + u(0, y_0 + \delta) + \mathcal{O}(u_{yy}(0, y_0)\delta^2) = 0. \quad (35)$$

For the term $u_{yy}(0, y_0)$, we recall (30) and differentiate $y^2p(y)$ to obtain $y^2p'(y)$, then differentiate $y^4p'(y)$ further to yield the following equation

$$y^2p''(y) + 6yp'(y) + 6p(y) = -\frac{2}{y^2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} G''' \left(\frac{\theta_{2k+0.5}}{y} \right) \frac{\theta_{2k+0.5}^2}{y^2} =: A(y). \quad (36)$$

Since $G'''(t)t^2$ is also continuous, when y becomes large

$$A(y) \rightarrow -\frac{1}{\pi y} \int_0^{\infty} G'''(t)t^2 dt = \frac{2}{\pi y} G(0), \quad (37)$$

where, the condition $G''(t)t^2 \rightarrow 0$ when $t \rightarrow \infty$ was again from Jensen [6]. Thus, $A(y) = 2p(y) + \mathcal{O}(p(y)/y)$, and $p(y)$ satisfies the differential equation

$$p''(y) + \frac{6}{y}p'(y) + \frac{4}{y^2}p(y) = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{p(y)}{y^3}\right). \quad (38)$$

Similarly, we can obtain the same equation for $q(y)$, and also for $u(0, y) = p(y) - q(y)$,

$$u_{yy}(0, y) + \frac{6}{y}u_y(0, y) + \frac{4}{y^2}u(0, y) = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{u(0, y)}{y^3}\right). \quad (39)$$

Setting $y = y_0$ in above equation, using the condition $u(0, y_0) = 0$ and a Taylor expansion,

$$u_{yy}(0, y_0) = -\frac{6}{y_0}u_y(0, y_0) \approx -\frac{6}{y_0\delta}u(0, y_0 + \delta). \quad (40)$$

Thus, for sufficient small δ we have

$$\left| \mathcal{O}(u_{yy}(0, y_0)\delta^2) \right| = \left| \frac{6\delta}{y_0}u(0, y_0 + \delta) \right| < |u(0, y_0 + \delta)|. \quad (41)$$

Since δ is arbitrary, we are certain that $u(0, y_0 - \delta) \neq 0$, otherwise $p(y)$ and $q(y)$ will overlap. Therefore, from (35) and (41), if $u(0, y_0 - \delta) > 0$, then $u(0, y_0 + \delta) < 0$, or vice versa. Hence y_0 is not the zero of an even order of $u(0, y)$.

Looking at the function $u_y(0, y) = p'(y) - q'(y)$. Combining (31), (32) and (38), we have for the large y ,

$$p''(y) = \frac{2}{y^2}p(y) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{p(y)}{y^3}\right) > 0. \quad (42)$$

Thus, we can use the same technique to show that both $p'(y)$ and $q'(y)$ are monotonic increasing on the large y region. Therefore, $u_y(0, y)$ also has no zeros of an even order $2m$

for integer $m \geq 1$. Because a zero of order $2m$ of $u_y(0, y)$ is equally the zero of order $2m + 1$ of $u(0, y)$, we conclude that $u(0, y)$ has only simple zeros. \square

Theorem 2: The function $u(0, y)$ in (28) has only one stationary point y_m between every two consecutive zeros, where $u_y(0, y_m) = 0$, which makes $u(0, y_m)$ as either a positive local maxima or a negative local minima.

Proof: Let $f(y) = u(0, y)$, from (39),

$$f''(y) + \frac{6}{y}f'(y) + \frac{4}{y^2}f(y) = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{f(y)}{y^3}\right). \quad (43)$$

From Theorem 1, all zeros of $u(0, y) = f(y)$ are simple. According to Rolle's theorem, there is at least a y_m between every two consecutive zeros to make $u_y(0, y_m) = f'(y_m) = 0$. By (43), if $u(0, y_m) = f(y_m) > 0$, then $f''(y_m) < 0$, it is a positive local maximum; otherwise if $u(0, y_m) = f(y_m) < 0$, then $f''(y_m) > 0$, it becomes a negative local minimum. This also indicates that the count of stationary point y_m between the two consecutive zeros cannot be more than 1. \square

A Remark: The fact that $u(0, y)$ is governed by a second-order differential equation (43) is a special case studied by Sagan [21], in which a board of functions of this type have been proved to have only simple zeros. We may also use (43) to prove Theorem 1. But we prefer the previous method which uses the intersection of two curves with different slopes. The method has a good name called *Riemann Solver*, and has been widely used in gas/solid dynamics, see e.g., Lin and Ballmann [22].

Theorem 3: For any given y , there exists an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that in the two neighbourhoods of the critical line $0 < |x| < \varepsilon$, the function $\Xi(z)$ has no zero.

Proof: From (27), $v(0, y) = 0$, and $v(x, y)$ is an odd function of x , i.e., $v(-x, y) = -v(x, y)$. Thus, for any (x, y) , if $v_x(0, y) \neq 0$, there exists a $\varepsilon_1 > 0$, when $0 < |x| < \varepsilon_1$, we have $v(x, y) = v_x(0, y)x + \mathcal{O}(x^2) \neq 0$.

If (x, y) is close to a point $(0, y_m)$ where $v_x(0, y_m) = 0$, from the Cauchy-Riemann equation,

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}, \quad (44)$$

$u_y(0, y_m) = -v_x(0, y_m) = 0$. From Theorem 2, the curve $u(0, y)$ gets a local max/min value at point $(0, y_m)$ such that $u(0, y_m) \neq 0$. Since $u(x, y)$ is continuous, there exists a $\varepsilon_2 > 0$,

when $\sqrt{x^2 + (y - y_m)^2} < \varepsilon_2$, we have $u(x, y) \neq 0$.

Thus, for any $z = x + iy$, assuming $z_m = 0 + iy_m$ being its closest point where $v_x(0, y_m) = 0$, we take $\varepsilon = \max(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)$, when $0 < |x| < \varepsilon$, $u(x, y)$ and $v(x, y)$ cannot be 0 at the same time. Therefore, $\Xi(z) \neq 0$. \square

Theorem 4: The function $\Xi(z)$ has no zero in the region $|x| > 0$.

Proof: Due to the symmetry of the function $\Xi(z)$, we will consider only the half-plane $x > 0$. From Theorem 3, there is an ε -domain $\omega = \{(x, y) : 0 < x < \varepsilon\}$, depending on whether $v_x(0, y) > 0$ or $v_x(0, y) < 0$, ω can be divided into several sub-domains ω_k , ($k = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$), in which if $v(x, y) > 0$ in ω_k , then $v(x, y) < 0$ in ω_{k+1} , and the boundary between ω_k and ω_{k+1} is a curve $y = \varphi_k(x)$, in which $v(x, \varphi_k(x)) = 0$, i.e.,

$$\omega_k = \{(x, y) : 0 < x < \varepsilon, \varphi_{k-1}(x) < y < \varphi_k(x), (-1)^k v(x, y) > 0\}. \quad (45)$$

Since $v(x, y)$ is analytic (continuous and differentiable) in $x > 0$, we extend every sub-domain ω_k to fill the whole half-plane,

$$\Omega_k = \{(x, y) : x > 0, \varphi_{k-1}(x) < y < \varphi_k(x), (-1)^k v(x, y) > 0\}. \quad (46)$$

The boundary of Ω_k and Ω_{k+1} is still denoted by $y = \varphi_k(x)$, in which $v(x, \varphi_k(x)) = 0$.




Figure 3: Sub-domains of Ω_k showing values of function $v(x, y)$.

We first assume all curves $y = \varphi_k(x)$ will neither join nor bifurcate in the half-plane $x > 0$. A general scenario can be expressed by Figure 3. In the sub-domain Ω_{2k} , $v(x, y) > 0$.

Thus, on the left boundary $x = 0$, there is $-u_y = v_x > 0$, and $u(0, y)$ reaches a minimum $u_{\min} < 0$ at the corner of Ω_{2k} and Ω_{2k+1} , which is the starting point of the curve $y = \varphi_{2k}(x)$. Denote two directional vectors along and perpendicular to the curve by

$$\mathbf{e}_1 = (1, \varphi'_{2k}(x)), \quad \mathbf{e}_2 = (-\varphi'_{2k}(x), 1). \quad (47)$$

Since $v(x, y) < 0$ in the next sub-domain Ω_{2k+1} , using the Cauchy-Riemann equations,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 > \nabla v \cdot \mathbf{e}_2 &= \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right) \cdot (-\varphi'_{2k}(x), 1) = -\varphi'_{2k}(x) \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \\ &= \varphi'_{2k}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \nabla u \cdot \mathbf{e}_1, \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

Thus, along the curve, $u(x, \varphi_{2k}(x)) < u_{\min} < 0$. In the same way, it can be proved that along the next curve, $u(x, \varphi_{2k+1}(x)) > u_{\max} > 0$.

As a conclusion, for any $z = x + iy$ where $x > 0$, if (x, y) is in one of Ω_k , there is $v(x, y) \neq 0$, otherwise, (x, y) must be on a curve $y = \varphi_k(x)$ where $u(x, y) \neq 0$. Thus, $\Xi(z) \neq 0$.




Figure 4: Sub-domains of Ω_k showing two curves joining at point P .

Now assume two curves, say $y = \varphi_{2k}(x)$ and $y = \varphi_{2k+1}(x)$, join at a point P , as shown in Figure 4. From the previous work we know along the curve $y = \varphi_{2k}(x)$, $u(x, y) < u_{\min} < 0$; and along the curve $y = \varphi_{2k+1}(x)$, $u(x, y) > u_{\max} > 0$. Thus, the joining point P becomes

a singular point of $u(x, y)$. This contradicts $\Xi(z)$ being analytic. Thus, any two curves will not join.




Figure 5: Sub-domains of Ω_k showing one curve bifurcating.

At last, we assume one curve, say $y = \varphi_{2k}(x)$, bifurcates at a point P and generates a new curve $y = \hat{\varphi}_{2k}(x)$, and two new sub-domains in which $v(x, y)$ takes different signs in values, as shown in Figure 5. Since

$$v(x, y) = \int_0^{\infty} G(t) \sinh(xt) \sin(yt) dt, \quad (49)$$

when $(x, y) \in \Omega_{2k}$, $v(x, y) > 0$, and when $x \rightarrow \infty$, $v(x, y) \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, in a neighbourhood of the point P , in the Ω_{2k} side of the new curve $y = \hat{\varphi}_{2k}(x)$, there is

$$\nabla v \cdot \mathbf{e}_1 > 0. \quad (50)$$

But across the new curve $y = \hat{\varphi}_{2k}(x)$, $v(x, y) < 0$, then $\nabla v \cdot \mathbf{e}_1 < 0$ on the curve and in the other side. This means the function $v(x, y)$ is singular on the curve $y = \hat{\varphi}_{2k}(x)$, which contradicts $\Xi(z)$ being analytic. Thus, $y = \varphi_{2k}(x)$ cannot bifurcate. \square

Thereby, the Riemann hypothesis is proved!

Acknowledgement: The author would like to thank his colleague XUE Jian at CCB Fintech for his helpful validation to this paper. The author thanks Dr. Jacques Gélinas from Ottawa, Canada for his invaluable comments to the paper and providing many useful references. The author also thanks Professor YANG Bicheng from the Guangdong University of Education, China for many comments from him in reviewing the paper.

Reference

1. Riemann B.: Ueber die Anzahl der Primzahlen unter einer gegebenen Grösse, *Monatsberichte der Berliner Akademie der Wissenschaft zu Berlin* aus der Jahre 1859 (1860), 671-680; also, *Gesammelte math. Werke und wissensch. Nachlass*, 2. Aufl. 1892, 145-155.
2. Apostol T. M.: *Introduction to Analytic Number Theory*, Springer. 5th printing 1998 Edition, (1976).
3. Newman D. J.: *Analytic Number Theory (Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 177)*, Springer Verlag, (1997).
4. Bombieri E.: Problems of the Millennium: the Riemann Hypothesis. In the web-site of the Clay Mathematics Institute: <http://www.claymath.org>, (2000).
5. Sarnak P.: Problems of the Millennium: The Riemann Hypothesis. In the web-site of the Clay Mathematics Institute: <http://www.claymath.org>, (2004).
6. Jensen J.L.W.V.: Recherches sur la théorie des équations. *Acta Mathematica*, 36 (1913) 181-195. Traduction de la conférence du 29 et 30 août (1911).
7. Landau E.: *Handbuch der Lehre von der Verteilung der Primzahlen*. B. G. Teubner, Leipzig, (1909) p288.
8. Hardy G.H.: Sur les zéros de la fonction $\zeta(s)$ de Riemann. *Comptes rendus de l'Académie des Sciences*, 158 (1914) 1012-1014.

9. Wilton J.H.: Note on the zeros of Riemann's zeta-function. *Messenger of Mathematics*, 45 (1915) 180-183.
10. Ramanujan S.: New expressions for Riemann's functions $\xi(s)$ and $\Xi(t)$. *Quarterly Journal of Mathematics*, 46 (1915) 253-260.
11. Titchmarsh E.C.: *The Zeta-Function of Riemann*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (1930) p3.
12. Ingham A.: *The Distribution of Prime Numbers*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (1932) p46.
13. Romik D.: The Taylor coefficients of the Jacobi theta constant θ_3 .
<http://arxiv.org/abs/1807.06130>, (2018).
14. Wintner A.: A note on the Riemann ξ -function. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, 10 (1935) 82-83.
15. Spira R.: The integral representation of the Riemann xi-function. *Journal of Number Theory*, 3 (1971) 498-501.
16. Siegel C.L.: Über Riemanns Nachlaß zur analytischen Zahlentheorie, *Quellen und Studien zur Geschichte der Mathematik, Astronomie und Physik*, 2 (1932), 45-80; also *Gesammelte Abhandlungen*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin - Heidelberg - New York 1966, Bd. I, 275-310.
17. Van de Lune, J., te Riele, J.J. and Winter, D.T.: On the zeros of the Riemann zeta function in the critical strip, IV, *Math. of Comp.* 46, (1986), 667-681.
18. Odlyzko, A.M.: Supercomputers and the Riemann zeta function, *Supercomputing 89: Supercomputing Structures & Computations*, Proc. 4-th Intern. Conf. on Supercomputing, L.P. Kartashev and S.I. Kartashev (eds.), International Supercomputing Institute, (1989), 348-352.
19. Platt D. and Trudgian T.: The Riemann hypothesis is true up to $3 \cdot 10^{12}$.
<http://arxiv.org/abs/2004.09765v1>, (2020).

20. Hardy G.H.: A Course of Pure Mathematics: Third Edition.
21. Sagan, H.: *Boundary and Eigenvalue Problems in Mathematical Physics*. New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc., (1961) 152-155.
22. Lin X. and Ballmann J.: A Riemann solver and a second-order Godunov's method for elastic-plastic wave propagation in solids. *International Journal of Impact Engineering*, 13 (1993) 463-478.