

# The fractional $k$ -truncated metric dimension of graphs

Eunjeong Yi

Texas A&M University at Galveston, Galveston, TX 77553, USA

yie@tamug.edu

August 6, 2021

## Abstract

The metric dimension,  $\dim(G)$ , and the fractional metric dimension,  $\dim_f(G)$ , of a graph  $G$  have been studied extensively. Let  $G$  be a graph with vertex set  $V(G)$ , and let  $d(x, y)$  denote the length of a shortest  $x - y$  path in  $G$ . Let  $k$  be a positive integer. For any  $x, y \in V(G)$ , let  $d_k(x, y) = \min\{d(x, y), k + 1\}$  and let  $R_k\{x, y\} = \{z \in V(G) : d_k(x, z) \neq d_k(y, z)\}$ . A set  $S \subseteq V(G)$  is a  $k$ -truncated resolving set of  $G$  if  $|S \cap R_k\{x, y\}| \geq 1$  for any distinct  $x, y \in V(G)$ , and the  $k$ -truncated metric dimension  $\dim_k(G)$  of  $G$  is the minimum cardinality over all  $k$ -truncated resolving sets of  $G$ . For a function  $g$  defined on  $V(G)$  and for  $U \subseteq V(G)$ , let  $g(U) = \sum_{s \in U} g(s)$ . A real-valued function  $g : V(G) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is a  $k$ -truncated resolving function of  $G$  if  $g(R_k\{x, y\}) \geq 1$  for any distinct  $x, y \in V(G)$ , and the fractional  $k$ -truncated metric dimension  $\dim_{k,f}(G)$  of  $G$  is  $\min\{g(V(G)) : g \text{ is a } k\text{-truncated resolving function of } G\}$ . Note that  $\dim_{k,f}(G)$  reduces to  $\dim_k(G)$  if the codomain of  $k$ -truncated resolving functions is restricted to  $\{0, 1\}$ , and  $\dim_{k,f}(G) = \dim_f(G)$  if  $k$  is at least the diameter of  $G$ . In this paper, we study the fractional  $k$ -truncated metric dimension of graphs. For any connected graph  $G$  of order  $n \geq 2$ , we show that  $1 \leq \dim_{k,f}(G) \leq \frac{n}{2}$ ; we characterize  $G$  satisfying  $\dim_{k,f}(G)$  equals 1 and  $\frac{n}{2}$ , respectively. We examine  $\dim_{k,f}(G)$  of some graph classes. We also show the existence of non-isomorphic graphs  $G$  and  $H$  such that  $\dim_k(G) = \dim_k(H)$  and  $\dim_{k,f}(G) \neq \dim_{k,f}(H)$ , and we examine the relation among  $\dim(G)$ ,  $\dim_f(G)$ ,  $\dim_k(G)$  and  $\dim_{k,f}(G)$ . We conclude the paper with some open problems.

**Keywords:** metric dimension, fractional metric dimension,  $k$ -truncated metric dimension, distance- $k$  dimension, fractional  $k$ -truncated metric dimension, fractional distance- $k$  dimension, trees, cycles

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 05C12, 05C72, 05C38

## 1 Introduction

Let  $G$  be a finite, simple, undirected, and connected graph with vertex set  $V(G)$  and edge set  $E(G)$ . The distance between two vertices  $x, y \in V(G)$ , denoted by  $d(x, y)$ , is the minimum number of edges on a path connecting  $x$  and  $y$  in  $G$ . The diameter,  $\text{diam}(G)$ , of  $G$  is  $\max\{d(x, y) : x, y \in V(G)\}$ . Let  $\mathbb{Z}^+$  denote the set of positive integers. For  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and for two vertices  $x, y \in V(G)$ , let  $d_k(x, y) = \min\{d(x, y), k + 1\}$ .

Metric dimension, introduced in [14] and [22], is a graph parameter that has been studied extensively. For distinct  $x, y \in V(G)$ , let  $R\{x, y\} = \{z \in V(G) : d(x, z) \neq d(y, z)\}$ . A vertex subset  $S \subseteq V(G)$  is a resolving set of  $G$  if  $|S \cap R\{x, y\}| \geq 1$  for any pair of distinct  $x, y \in V(G)$ , and the metric dimension  $\dim(G)$  of  $G$  is the minimum cardinality over all resolving sets of  $G$ . For  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and for distinct  $x, y \in V(G)$ , let  $R_k\{x, y\} = \{z \in V(G) : d_k(x, z) \neq d_k(y, z)\}$ . A vertex subset  $S \subseteq V(G)$  is a  $k$ -truncated resolving set (also called a distance- $k$  resolving set) of  $G$  if  $|S \cap R_k\{x, y\}| \geq 1$  for any pair of distinct  $x, y \in V(G)$ , and the  $k$ -truncated metric dimension (also called the distance- $k$  dimension)  $\dim_k(G)$  of  $G$  is the minimum cardinality over all  $k$ -truncated resolving sets of  $G$ . Notice that  $\dim_k(G) = \dim(G)$  if  $k \geq \text{diam}(G) - 1$ . The metric dimension of a metric space  $(V, d_k)$  is studied in [3]. The  $k$ -truncated metric dimension corresponds

to the  $(1, k+1)$ -metric dimension in [7, 8]. We note that  $\dim_1(G)$  is also called the adjacency dimension of  $G$  in [16]. For detailed results on  $\dim_k(G)$ , we refer to [11]. It is known that determining the metric dimension and the  $k$ -truncated metric dimension of a general graph are NP-hard problems; see [8, 10, 12, 19].

The fractionalization of various graph parameters has been extensively studied (see [21]). For definition and a formulation of fractional metric dimension as the optimal solution to a linear programming problem by relaxing a condition of the integer programming problem for metric dimension, see [5, 9]. The fractional metric dimension of graphs was officially studied in [1]. For a function  $g$  defined on  $V(G)$  and for  $U \subseteq V(G)$ , let  $g(U) = \sum_{s \in U} g(s)$ . A real-valued function  $g : V(G) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is a *resolving function* of  $G$  if  $g(R\{x, y\}) \geq 1$  for any distinct vertices  $x, y \in V(G)$ . The *fractional metric dimension*,  $\dim_f(G)$ , of  $G$  is  $\min\{g(V(G)) : g \text{ is a resolving function of } G\}$ . Notice that  $\dim_f(G)$  reduces to  $\dim(G)$  if the codomain of resolving functions is restricted to  $\{0, 1\}$ .

For  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , a real-valued function  $h : V(G) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is a  *$k$ -truncated resolving function* (also called a distance- $k$  resolving function) of  $G$  if  $h(R_k\{x, y\}) \geq 1$  for any pair of distinct  $x, y \in V(G)$ . The *fractional  $k$ -truncated metric dimension* (also called the fractional distance- $k$  dimension) of  $G$ , denoted by  $\dim_{k,f}(G)$ , is  $\min\{h(V(G)) : h \text{ is a } k\text{-truncated resolving function of } G\}$ . Note that  $\dim_{k,f}(G) = \dim_k(G)$  if the codomain of  $k$ -truncated resolving functions is restricted to  $\{0, 1\}$ ,  $\dim_{k,f}(G) = \dim_f(G)$  if  $k \geq \text{diam}(G) - 1$ , and  $\dim_{k,f}(G) = \dim(G)$  if  $k \geq \text{diam}(G) - 1$  and the codomain of  $k$ -truncated resolving functions is restricted to  $\{0, 1\}$ .

In this paper, we initiate the study of the fractional  $k$ -truncated metric dimension of graphs. Let  $P_n$ ,  $C_n$  and  $K_n$ , respectively, denote the path, the cycle and the complete graph on  $n$  vertices. In Section 2, for any connected graph  $G$  of order  $n \geq 2$  and for any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , we show that  $1 \leq \dim_{k,f}(G) \leq \frac{n}{2}$  and we characterize  $G$  satisfying  $\dim_{k,f}(G)$  equals 1 and  $\frac{n}{2}$ , respectively. Along the way, we state some useful observations. In Section 3, we examine  $\dim_{k,f}(G)$  when  $G$  is the Petersen graph, a wheel graph, a fan graph, a complete multipartite graph, a cycle, a grid graph or a tree. In Section 4, we examine the relation among  $\dim(G)$ ,  $\dim_f(G)$ ,  $\dim_k(G)$  and  $\dim_{k,f}(G)$ . We show the existence of non-isomorphic graphs  $G$  and  $H$  such that  $\dim_k(G) = \dim_k(H)$  and  $\dim_{k,f}(G) \neq \dim_{k,f}(H)$ . Based on the construction in [13], we also show the existence of two connected graphs  $H$  and  $G$  with  $H \subset G$  such that  $\frac{\dim_{k,f}(H)}{\dim_{k,f}(G)}$  can be arbitrarily large. We conclude the paper with some open problems.

## 2 Some observations and bounds on $\dim_{k,f}(G)$

In this section, for any connected graph  $G$  of order  $n \geq 2$  and for any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , we show that  $1 \leq \dim_{k,f}(G) \leq \frac{n}{2}$ ; we characterize  $G$  satisfying  $\dim_{k,f}(G)$  equals 1 and  $\frac{n}{2}$ , respectively.

We begin with some observations. For  $v \in V(G)$ , let  $N(v) = \{w \in V(G) : vw \in E(G)\}$  and  $N[v] = N(v) \cup \{v\}$ . More generally, for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and for  $v \in V(G)$ , let  $N_k[v] = \{w \in V(G) : d(v, w) \leq k\}$  and  $N_k(v) = \{w \in V(G) : d(v, w) = k\}$ . Two vertices  $x, y \in V(G)$  are called *twins* if  $N(x) - \{y\} = N(y) - \{x\}$ . Hernando et al. [15] observed that the twin relation is an equivalence relation and that an equivalence class under it, called a twin equivalence class, induces either a clique or an independence set.

**Observation 2.1.** *Let  $x, y \in V(G)$  with  $x \neq y$ , and let  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .*

- (a) [24] *If  $x$  and  $y$  are twins, then  $g(x) + g(y) \geq 1$  for any resolving function  $g$  of  $G$ .*
- (b) *If  $x$  and  $y$  are twins, then  $h(x) + h(y) \geq 1$  for any  $k$ -truncated resolving function  $h$  of  $G$ .*
- (c) *For any  $k \geq 1$ ,  $R_k\{x, y\} = (N_k[x] \cup N_k[y]) - \cup_{i=1}^k (N_i(x) \cap N_i(y))$ .*

**Observation 2.2.** *Let  $G$  be a non-trivial connected graph, and let  $k, k' \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Then*

- (a) [1]  $\dim_f(G) \leq \dim(G)$ ;
- (b) [3, 7] *if  $k > k'$ , then  $\dim(G) \leq \dim_k(G) \leq \dim_{k'}(G) \leq \dim_1(G)$ ;*
- (c)  $\dim_f(G) \leq \dim_{k,f}(G) \leq \dim_k(G)$ ;
- (d) *if  $k > k'$ , then  $\dim_f(G) \leq \dim_{k,f}(G) \leq \dim_{k',f}(G) \leq \dim_{1,f}(G) \leq \dim_1(G)$ .*

**Observation 2.3.** Let  $G$  be a connected graph with  $\text{diam}(G) = d$ , and let  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .

- (a) [7] If  $k \geq d - 1$ , then  $\dim_k(G) = \dim(G)$ .
- (b) If  $k \geq d - 1$ , then  $\dim_{k,f}(G) = \dim_f(G)$ .

Next, we recall some results involving the bounds of the  $k$ -truncated metric dimension and the fractional metric dimension of graphs.

**Theorem 2.4.** Let  $G$  be a connected graph of order  $n \geq 2$ , and let  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Then

- (a) [7]  $1 \leq \dim_k(G) \leq n - 1$ , and  $\dim_k(G) = 1$  if and only if  $G \in \cup_{i=2}^{k+2} \{P_i\}$ ;
- (b) [1, 17]  $1 \leq \dim_f(G) \leq \frac{n}{2}$ , and  $\dim_f(G) = \frac{n}{2}$  if and only if there exists a bijection  $\phi : V(G) \rightarrow V(G)$  such that  $\phi(v) \neq v$  and  $|R\{v, \phi(v)\}| = 2$  for all  $v \in V(G)$ ;
- (c) [18]  $\dim_f(G) = 1$  if and only if  $G = P_n$ .

For the characterization of connected graphs  $G$  of order  $n$  satisfying  $\dim_k(G) = n - 2$  and  $\dim_k(G) = n - 1$  respectively, see [11, 23]. For an explicit characterization of graphs  $G$  satisfying  $\dim_f(G) = \frac{|V(G)|}{2}$ , we recall the following construction from [2]. Let  $\mathcal{K} = \{K_a : a \geq 2\}$  and  $\overline{\mathcal{K}} = \{\overline{K}_b : b \geq 2\}$ , where  $\overline{G}$  denotes the complement of a graph  $G$ . Let  $H[\mathcal{K} \cup \overline{\mathcal{K}}]$  be the family of graphs obtained from a connected graph  $H$  by replacing each vertex  $u_i \in V(H)$  by a graph  $H_i \in \mathcal{K} \cup \overline{\mathcal{K}}$ , and each vertex in  $H_i$  is adjacent to each vertex in  $H_j$  if and only if  $u_i u_j \in E(H)$ .

**Theorem 2.5.** [2] Let  $G$  be a connected graph of order at least two. Then  $\dim_f(G) = \frac{|V(G)|}{2}$  if and only if  $G \in H[\mathcal{K} \cup \overline{\mathcal{K}}]$  for some connected graph  $H$ .

Next, we obtain the bounds on  $\dim_{k,f}(G)$ .

**Proposition 2.6.** For any connected graph  $G$  of order  $n \geq 2$  and for any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ,  $1 \leq \dim_{k,f}(G) \leq \frac{n}{2}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , and let  $G$  be a connected graph of order  $n \geq 2$ . By definition,  $\dim_{k,f}(G) \geq 1$ . If  $g : V(G) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is a function defined by  $g(v) = \frac{1}{2}$  for each  $v \in V(G)$ , then  $R_k\{x, y\} \supseteq \{x, y\}$  and  $g(R_k\{x, y\}) \geq g(x) + g(y) = 1$  for any distinct  $x, y \in V(G)$ ; thus,  $g$  is a  $k$ -truncated resolving function of  $G$  with  $g(V(G)) = \frac{n}{2}$ . So,  $\dim_{k,f}(G) \leq \frac{n}{2}$ .  $\square$

Next, we characterize connected graphs  $G$  satisfying  $\dim_{k,f}(G) = 1$  for any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .

**Theorem 2.7.** Let  $G$  be a non-trivial connected graph, and let  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Then  $\dim_{k,f}(G) = 1$  if and only if  $G \in \cup_{i=2}^{k+2} \{P_i\}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be a connected graph of order  $n \geq 2$ , and let  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Let  $G \in \cup_{i=2}^{k+2} \{P_i\}$ . Then  $1 = \dim_f(G) \leq \dim_{k,f}(G) \leq \dim_k(G) = 1$  by Observation 2.2(c) and Theorem 2.4(a)(c). So,  $\dim_{k,f}(G) = 1$ .

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Let  $\dim_{k,f}(G) = 1$ . By Observation 2.2(c) and Theorem 2.4(c),  $\dim_{k,f}(G) \geq \dim_f(G) \geq 1$  and  $\dim_f(G) = 1$  if and only if  $G = P_n$ . So, if  $G \neq P_n$ , then  $\dim_{k,f}(G) > 1$ . Now, suppose  $G = P_n$ , and let  $P_n$  be a path given by  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n$ . Let  $g : V(P_n) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be any minimum  $k$ -truncated resolving function of  $P_n$ . If  $n \leq k + 2$ , then  $\dim_{k,f}(P_n) = 1$  as shown above. So, suppose  $n \geq k + 3$ ; we show that  $\dim_{k,f}(P_n) > 1$ .

First, let  $k + 3 \leq n \leq 2k + 3$ . Then  $R_k\{u_1, u_2\} = \cup_{i=1}^{k+2} \{u_i\}$ ,  $R_k\{u_{n-1}, u_n\} = \cup_{i=n-(k+1)}^n \{u_i\}$ , and  $R_k\{u_{i-1}, u_{i+1}\} = V(P_n) - \{u_i\}$  for each  $i \in \{n - (k + 1), \dots, k + 2\}$ . So,  $g(R_k\{u_1, u_2\}) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{k+2} g(u_i) \geq 1$ ,  $g(R_k\{u_{n-1}, u_n\}) \geq \sum_{i=n-(k+1)}^n g(u_i) \geq 1$ , and  $g(R_k\{u_{i-1}, u_{i+1}\}) = g(V(P_n)) - g(u_i) \geq 1$  for each  $i \in \{n - (k + 1), \dots, k + 2\}$ . By summing over the  $(6 + 2k - n)$  inequalities, we have  $(5 + 2k - n)g(V(P_n)) \geq 6 + 2k - n$ , i.e.,  $g(V(P_n)) \geq \frac{6 + 2k - n}{5 + 2k - n}$ ; thus,  $\dim_{k,f}(P_n) \geq \frac{6 + 2k - n}{5 + 2k - n} > 1$  (also see Theorem 3.11(b)).

Second, let  $n \geq 2k + 4$ . Then  $R_k\{u_1, u_2\} = \cup_{i=1}^{k+2} \{u_i\}$  and  $R_k\{u_{n-1}, u_n\} = \cup_{i=n-k-1}^n \{u_i\}$ . So,  $g(R_k\{u_1, u_2\}) = \sum_{i=1}^{k+2} g(u_i) \geq 1$  and  $g(R_k\{u_{n-1}, u_n\}) = \sum_{i=n-k-1}^n g(u_i) \geq 1$ . Since  $n - k - 1 \geq 2k + 4 - k - 1 = k + 3$ ,  $g(V(P_n)) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{k+2} g(u_i) + \sum_{i=n-k-1}^n g(u_i) \geq 2$ , which implies  $\dim_{k,f}(P_n) \geq 2$ .  $\square$

Next, via a proof technique used in [2], we characterize connected graphs  $G$  satisfying  $\dim_{k,f}(G) = \frac{|V(G)|}{2}$  for any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .

**Theorem 2.8.** *Let  $G$  be a connected graph of order  $n \geq 2$ . Then  $\dim_{1,f}(G) = \frac{n}{2}$  if and only if  $G \in H[\mathcal{K} \cup \overline{\mathcal{K}}]$  for some connected graph  $H$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be a connected graph of order  $n \geq 2$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Let  $G \in H[\mathcal{K} \cup \overline{\mathcal{K}}]$  for some connected graph  $H$ . Then  $\dim_{1,f}(G) \geq \dim_f(G) = \frac{n}{2}$  by Observation 2.2(d) and Theorem 2.5. Since  $\dim_{1,f}(G) \leq \frac{n}{2}$  by Proposition 2.6,  $\dim_{1,f}(G) = \frac{n}{2}$ .

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Let  $\dim_{1,f}(G) = \frac{n}{2}$ . It suffices to show that each twin equivalence class of  $V(G)$  has cardinality at least two. Assume, to the contrary, that there exists a twin equivalence class  $Q \subset V(G)$  consisting of exactly one element; let  $z \in Q$ . Let  $h : V(G) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be a function defined by  $h(z) = 0$  and  $h(v) = \frac{1}{2}$  for each  $v \in V(G) - \{z\}$ . Since  $|R_1\{z, u\}| \geq 3$  for any  $u \in V(G) - \{z\}$ ,  $h$  is a 1-truncated resolving function of  $G$  with  $h(V(G)) = \frac{n-1}{2}$ , and hence  $\dim_{1,f}(G) \leq \frac{n-1}{2}$ , a contradiction. So, each twin equivalence class of  $V(G)$  must have cardinality at least two. By the connectedness of  $G$ , we conclude that  $G \in H[\mathcal{K} \cup \overline{\mathcal{K}}]$  for some connected graph  $H$ .  $\square$

Since  $\dim_f(G) \leq \dim_{k,f}(G) \leq \dim_{1,f}(G)$  by Observation 2.2(d), Theorems 2.5 and 2.8 imply the following

**Corollary 2.9.** *Let  $G$  be a connected graph of order  $n \geq 2$ , and let  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Then  $\dim_{k,f}(G) = \frac{n}{2}$  if and only if  $G \in H[\mathcal{K} \cup \overline{\mathcal{K}}]$  for some connected graph  $H$ .*

### 3 $\dim_{k,f}(G)$ of some graph classes

In this section, we examine  $\dim_{k,f}(G)$  for some classes of graphs.

We recall some terminology. The *join* of two graphs  $G$  and  $H$ , denoted by  $G + H$ , is the graph obtained from the disjoint union of  $G$  and  $H$  by joining an edge between each vertex of  $G$  and each vertex of  $H$ .

The *degree* of a vertex  $v \in V(G)$  is  $|N(v)|$ ; a *leaf* is a vertex of degree one and a *major vertex* is a vertex of degree at least three. Now, fix a tree  $T$ . A leaf  $\ell$  is called a *terminal vertex* of a major vertex  $v$  if  $d(\ell, v) < d(\ell, w)$  for every other major vertex  $w$  in  $T$ . The *terminal degree*,  $ter(v)$ , of a major vertex  $v$  is the number of terminal vertices of  $v$  in  $T$ , and an *exterior major vertex* is a major vertex that has positive terminal degree. Let  $M(T)$  be the set of exterior major vertices of  $T$  and let  $L(T)$  be the set of leaves of  $T$ . Let  $M_1(T) = \{w \in M(T) : ter(w) = 1\}$ ,  $M_2(T) = \{w \in M(T) : ter(w) \geq 2\}$ ; then  $M(T) = M_1(T) \cup M_2(T)$ . Let  $\sigma(T) = |L(T)|$ ,  $ex(T) = |M(T)|$  and  $ex_1(T) = |M_1(T)|$ .

Now, we recall the fractional metric dimension of some classes of graphs.

**Theorem 3.1.** (a) [24] *For any tree  $T$ ,  $\dim_f(T) = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma(T) - ex_1(T))$ .*

(b) [1] *For the Petersen graph  $\mathcal{P}$ ,  $\dim_f(\mathcal{P}) = \frac{5}{3}$ .*

(c) [1] *For  $n \geq 3$ ,  $\dim_f(C_n) = \begin{cases} \frac{n}{n-1} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ \frac{n}{n-2} & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$*

(d) [1] *For the wheel graph  $W_n = C_{n-1} + K_1$  of order  $n \geq 5$ ,  $\dim_f(W_n) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } n = 5, \\ \frac{3}{2} & \text{if } n = 6, \\ \frac{n-1}{4} & \text{if } n \geq 7. \end{cases}$*

(e) [24] *For  $m \geq 2$ , let  $G = K_{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m}$  be a complete  $m$ -partite graph of order  $n = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i$ , and let  $s$  be the number of partite sets of  $G$  consisting of exactly one element. Then*

$$\dim_f(G) = \begin{cases} \frac{n-1}{2} & \text{if } s = 1, \\ \frac{n}{2} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(f) [1] *For the grid graph  $G = P_s \times P_t$  ( $s, t \geq 2$ ),  $\dim_f(G) = 2$ .*

### 3.1 Graphs $G$ with $\text{diam}(G) \leq 2$

If  $G$  is a graph with  $\text{diam}(G) \leq 2$ , then  $\text{dim}_{k,f}(G) = \text{dim}_f(G)$  for any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . So, for any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , we have  $\text{dim}_{k,f}(C_n + K_1) = \text{dim}_f(C_n + K_1)$ ,  $\text{dim}_{k,f}(P_n + K_1) = \text{dim}_f(P_n + K_1)$ ,  $\text{dim}_{k,f}(\mathcal{P}) = \text{dim}_f(\mathcal{P})$  for the Petersen graph  $\mathcal{P}$ , and  $\text{dim}_{k,f}(G) = \text{dim}_f(G)$  for any complete multipartite graph  $G$ , for examples. So, Theorem 3.1(b)(d)(e) implies the following.

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .*

(a) *For the Petersen graph  $\mathcal{P}$ ,  $\text{dim}_{k,f}(\mathcal{P}) = \frac{5}{3}$ .*

(b) *For  $n \geq 3$ ,*

$$\text{dim}_{k,f}(C_n + K_1) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } n \in \{3, 4\}, \\ \frac{3}{2} & \text{if } n = 5, \\ \frac{n}{4} & \text{if } n \geq 6. \end{cases}$$

(c) *For  $m \geq 2$ , let  $G = K_{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m}$  be a complete  $m$ -partite graph of order  $n = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i$ . Let  $s$  be the number of partite sets of  $G$  consisting of exactly one element. Then*

$$\text{dim}_{k,f}(G) = \begin{cases} \frac{n-1}{2} & \text{if } s = 1, \\ \frac{n}{2} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Next, we examine  $\text{dim}_{k,f}(P_n + K_1)$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .*

(a) *For  $n \geq 1$ ,*

$$\text{dim}_{k,f}(P_n + K_1) = \text{dim}_f(P_n + K_1) = \begin{cases} \frac{n+1}{2} & \text{if } n \in \{1, 2, 3\}, \\ \frac{5}{3} & \text{if } n \in \{4, 5\}, \\ \frac{n+1}{4} & \text{if } n \geq 6 \text{ and } n \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{4}, \\ \frac{n+2}{4} & \text{if } n \geq 6 \text{ and } n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

(b) *If  $n \geq 8$  and  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ , then  $\frac{n}{4} \leq \text{dim}_{k,f}(P_n + K_1) = \text{dim}_f(P_n + K_1) \leq \frac{n+2}{4}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and  $n \geq 1$ . Since  $\text{diam}(P_n + K_1) \leq 2$ ,  $\text{dim}_{k,f}(P_n + K_1) = \text{dim}_f(P_n + K_1)$  by Observation 2.3(b). Let  $G = P_n + K_1$  such that  $P_n$  is given by  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n$  and  $w$  is the vertex in  $K_1$ . Let  $g : V(G) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be any minimum ( $k$ -truncated) resolving function of  $G$ .

First, let  $n \in \{1, 2\}$ ; then  $P_n + K_1 = K_{n+1}$  and  $\text{dim}_{k,f}(P_n + K_1) = \text{dim}_f(P_n + K_1) = \frac{n+1}{2}$  by Theorem 3.1(e). Second, let  $n = 3$ . Since  $u_1$  and  $u_3$  are twins and  $u_2$  and  $w$  are twins in  $G$ ,  $g(u_1) + g(u_3) \geq 1$  and  $g(u_2) + g(w) \geq 1$  by Observation 2.1(a)(b); thus  $g(V(G)) \geq 2$ , and hence  $\text{dim}_{k,f}(G) = \text{dim}_f(G) \geq 2$ . By Theorem 2.4(b),  $\text{dim}_{k,f}(G) = \text{dim}_f(G) = 2$ .

Third, let  $n = 4$ . Then  $R_k\{u_1, u_2\} = R\{u_1, u_2\} = V(G) - \{w, u_4\}$ ,  $R_k\{u_1, u_3\} = R\{u_1, u_3\} = V(G) - \{w, u_2\}$ ,  $R_k\{u_2, u_4\} = R\{u_2, u_4\} = V(G) - \{w, u_3\}$ ,  $R_k\{u_3, u_4\} = R\{u_3, u_4\} = V(G) - \{w, u_1\}$ ,  $R_k\{u_2, w\} = R\{u_2, w\} = V(G) - \{u_1, u_3\}$ , and  $R_k\{u_3, w\} = R\{u_3, w\} = V(G) - \{u_2, u_4\}$ . So,  $g(V(G)) - g(w) - g(u_4) \geq 1$ ,  $g(V(G)) - g(w) - g(u_2) \geq 1$ ,  $g(V(G)) - g(w) - g(u_3) \geq 1$ ,  $g(V(G)) - g(w) - g(u_1) \geq 1$ ,  $3g(V(G)) - 3g(u_1) - 3g(u_3) \geq 3$  and  $3g(V(G)) - 3g(u_2) - 3g(u_4) \geq 3$ . By summing over the six inequalities, we have  $6g(V(G)) \geq 10$ ; thus,  $\text{dim}_{k,f}(G) = \text{dim}_f(G) \geq \frac{5}{3}$ . On the other hand, if  $h : V(G) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is a function defined by  $h(w) = h(u_i) = \frac{1}{3}$  for each  $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ , then  $h$  is a ( $k$ -truncated) resolving function of  $G$  with  $h(V(G)) = \frac{5}{3}$ ; thus  $\text{dim}_{k,f}(G) = \text{dim}_f(G) \leq \frac{5}{3}$ . Therefore,  $\text{dim}_{k,f}(G) = \text{dim}_f(G) = \frac{5}{3}$ .

Fourth, let  $n = 5$ . Then  $R_k\{u_1, u_2\} = R\{u_1, u_2\} = V(G) - \{w, u_4, u_5\}$ ,  $R_k\{u_4, u_5\} = R\{u_4, u_5\} = V(G) - \{w, u_1, u_2\}$ ,  $R_k\{u_2, w\} = R\{u_2, w\} = V(G) - \{u_1, u_3\}$ ,  $R_k\{u_3, w\} = R\{u_3, w\} = V(G) - \{u_2, u_4\}$  and  $R_k\{u_4, w\} = R\{u_4, w\} = V(G) - \{u_3, u_5\}$ . So,  $g(V(G)) - g(w) - g(u_4) - g(u_5) \geq 1$ ,  $g(V(G)) - g(w) - g(u_1) - g(u_2) \geq 1$ ,  $g(V(G)) - g(u_1) - g(u_3) \geq 1$ ,  $g(V(G)) - g(u_2) - g(u_4) \geq 1$  and  $g(V(G)) - g(u_3) - g(u_5) \geq 1$ . By summing over the five inequalities, we have  $3g(V(G)) \geq 5$ ; thus,  $\text{dim}_{k,f}(G) = \text{dim}_f(G) \geq \frac{5}{3}$ . On the other hand, if  $h : V(G) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is a function defined by  $h(w) = 0$  and  $h(u_i) = \frac{1}{3}$  for each  $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ , then

$h$  is a ( $k$ -truncated) resolving function of  $G$  with  $h(V(G)) = \frac{5}{3}$ ; thus  $\dim_{k,f}(G) = \dim_f(G) \leq \frac{5}{3}$ . Therefore,  $\dim_{k,f}(G) = \dim_f(G) = \frac{5}{3}$ .

Next, let  $n \geq 6$ , and we consider the following cases.

*Case 1:  $n \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{4}$ .* In this case,  $n$  is odd; let  $n = 2x + 1$ , where  $x \geq 3$ . Note that  $R_k\{u_1, u_2\} = R\{u_1, u_2\} = \{u_1, u_2, u_3\}$ ,  $R_k\{u_{2x}, u_{2x+1}\} = R\{u_{2x}, u_{2x+1}\} = \{u_{2x-1}, u_{2x}, u_{2x+1}\}$ , and  $R_k\{u_{i-1}, u_{i+1}\} = R\{u_{i-1}, u_{i+1}\} = \{u_{i-2}, u_{i-1}, u_{i+1}, u_{i+2}\}$  for each odd  $i \in \{3, 5, \dots, 2x-1\}$ . So,  $g(u_1) + g(u_2) + g(u_3) \geq 1$ ,  $g(u_{2x-1}) + g(u_{2x}) + g(u_{2x+1}) \geq 1$ , and  $g(u_{i-2}) + g(u_{i-1}) + g(u_{i+1}) + g(u_{i+2}) \geq 1$  for each odd  $i \in \{3, 5, \dots, 2x-1\}$ . By summing over the  $x+1$  inequalities, we have  $2g(V(G)) \geq 2 \sum_{i=1}^{2x+1} g(u_i) \geq x+1$ , and thus  $\dim_{k,f}(G) = \dim_f(G) \geq \frac{x+1}{2} = \frac{n+1}{4}$ . Now, let  $h : V(G) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be a function defined by  $h(u_i) = \frac{1}{2}$  if  $i$  is odd, and  $h(w) = h(u_i) = 0$  if  $i$  is even. Then  $h$  is a ( $k$ -truncated) resolving function of  $G$  with  $h(V(G)) = \frac{x+1}{2}$  since  $|R\{u_i, u_j\} \cap (\cup_{\alpha=1}^{x+1} \{u_{2\alpha-1}\})| \geq 2$  and  $|R\{u_i, w\} \cap (\cup_{\alpha=1}^{x+1} \{u_{2\alpha-1}\})| \geq 2$ . So,  $\dim_{k,f}(G) = \dim_f(G) \leq \frac{x+1}{2} = \frac{n+1}{4}$ . Thus,  $\dim_{k,f}(G) = \dim_f(G) = \frac{n+1}{4}$  for  $n \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{4}$ .

*Case 2:  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ .* Let  $n = 4x + 2$ , where  $x \geq 1$ . Since  $R_k\{u_1, u_2\} = R\{u_1, u_2, u_3\}$ ,  $R_k\{u_{4x+1}, u_{4x+2}\} = R\{u_{4x+1}, u_{4x+2}\} = \{u_{4x}, u_{4x+1}, u_{4x+2}\}$ , and  $R_k\{u_{4i+1}, u_{4i+2}\} = R\{u_{4i+1}, u_{4i+2}\} = \{u_{4i}, u_{4i+1}, u_{4i+2}, u_{4i+3}\}$  for each  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, x-1\}$ , we have  $g(u_1) + g(u_2) + g(u_3) \geq 1$ ,  $g(u_{4x}) + g(u_{4x+1}) + g(u_{4x+2}) \geq 1$ , and  $g(u_{4i}) + g(u_{4i+1}) + g(u_{4i+2}) + g(u_{4i+3}) \geq 1$  for each  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, x-1\}$ . By summing over the  $x+1$  inequalities, we have  $g(V(G)) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{4x+2} g(u_i) \geq x+1 = \frac{n+2}{4}$ ; thus,  $\dim_{k,f}(G) = \dim_f(G) \geq \frac{n+2}{4}$ . If we let  $h : V(G) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be a function defined by  $h(w) = 0$ ,  $h(u_1) = h(u_{4x+2}) = \frac{1}{2}$ , and  $h(u_j) = \frac{1}{4}$  for each  $j \in \{2, 3, \dots, 4x+1\}$ , then  $h$  is a ( $k$ -truncated) resolving function of  $G$  with  $h(V(G)) = \frac{n+2}{4}$ ; thus  $\dim_{k,f}(G) = \dim_f(G) \leq \frac{n+2}{4}$ . Therefore,  $\dim_{k,f}(G) = \dim_f(G) = \frac{n+2}{4}$  for  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ .

*Case 3:  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ .* Let  $n = 4x$ , where  $x \geq 2$ . For each  $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, x-1\}$ ,  $R_k\{u_{4i+2}, u_{4i+3}\} = R\{u_{4i+2}, u_{4i+3}\} = \{u_{4i+1}, u_{4i+2}, u_{4i+3}, u_{4i+4}\}$  and  $g(u_{4i+1}) + g(u_{4i+2}) + g(u_{4i+3}) + g(u_{4i+4}) \geq 1$ . By summing over the  $x$  inequalities, we have  $g(V(G)) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{4x} g(u_i) \geq x$ ; thus,  $\dim_{k,f}(G) = \dim_f(G) \geq \frac{n}{4}$ . If we let  $h : V(G) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be a function defined by  $h(w) = 0$ ,  $h(u_1) = \frac{1}{2} = h(u_{4x})$ , and  $h(u_i) = \frac{1}{4}$  for each  $i \in \{2, 3, \dots, 4x-1\}$ , then  $h$  is a ( $k$ -truncated) resolving function of  $G$  with  $g(V(G)) = \frac{n+2}{4}$ ; thus,  $\dim_{k,f}(G) = \dim_f(G) \leq \frac{n+2}{4}$ . So,  $\frac{n}{4} \leq \dim_{k,f}(G) = \dim_f(G) \leq \frac{n+2}{4}$  for  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ .  $\square$

## 3.2 Cycles

We determine  $\dim_{k,f}(C_n)$  for any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and for  $n \geq 3$ .

**Theorem 3.4.** *For any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and for  $n \geq 3$ ,*

$$\dim_{k,f}(C_n) = \begin{cases} \frac{n}{n-1} & \text{if } n \leq 2k+3 \text{ and } n \text{ is odd,} \\ \frac{n}{n-2} & \text{if } n \leq 2k+3 \text{ and } n \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{n}{2(k+1)} & \text{if } n \geq 2k+4. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Let  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . For  $n \geq 3$ , let  $C_n$  be given by  $u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}, u_0$ . Let  $g : V(C_n) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be any minimum  $k$ -truncated resolving function of  $C_n$ .

First, let  $n \leq 2k+3$ ; then  $\text{diam}(C_n) \leq \lfloor \frac{2k+3}{2} \rfloor = k+1$ . By Observation 2.3(b),  $\dim_{k,f}(C_n) = \dim_f(C_n)$ . So, by Theorem 3.1(c),  $\dim_{k,f}(C_n) = \frac{n}{n-1}$  for an odd  $n$  and  $\dim_{k,f}(C_n) = \frac{n}{n-2}$  for an even  $n$ .

Second, let  $n \geq 2k+4$ . Note that, for each  $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ ,  $R_k\{u_i, u_{i+2}\} = \cup_{j=0}^k \{u_{i-j}, u_{i+2+j}\}$ , where the subscript is taken modulo  $n$ ; thus  $\sum_{j=0}^k (g(u_{i-j}) + g(u_{i+2+j})) \geq 1$ . By summing over  $n$  such inequalities, we have  $2(k+1)g(V(C_n)) \geq n$  since each vertex appears  $2(k+1)$  times in the  $n$  inequalities. So,  $g(V(C_n)) \geq \frac{n}{2(k+1)}$ , and hence  $\dim_{k,f}(C_n) \geq \frac{n}{2(k+1)}$ . On the other hand, if we let  $h : V(C_n) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be a function defined by  $h(u_i) = \frac{1}{2(k+1)}$  for each  $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ , then  $h$  is a  $k$ -truncated resolving function of  $C_n$  with  $h(V(C_n)) = \frac{n}{2(k+1)}$ . To see this, for any distinct  $x, y \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ , note that  $|R_k\{u_x, u_y\}| \geq 2(k+1)$  and  $h(R_k\{u_x, u_y\}) \geq \frac{1}{2(k+1)} \cdot 2(k+1) = 1$ . So,  $\dim_{k,f}(C_n) \leq h(V(C_n)) = \frac{n}{2(k+1)}$ . Therefore,  $\dim_{k,f}(C_n) = \frac{n}{2(k+1)}$ .  $\square$

Theorem 3.4 implies the following

**Corollary 3.5.** *For  $n \geq 3$ ,*

$$\dim_{1,f}(C_n) = \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} & \text{if } n \in \{3, 4\}, \\ \frac{n}{4} & \text{if } n \geq 5. \end{cases}$$

### 3.3 Grid graphs

We examine  $\dim_{k,f}(P_s \times P_t)$  for  $s, t \geq 2$ . We show that, for some  $k > 1$ ,  $\frac{\dim_{1,f}(G)}{\dim_{k,f}(G)}$  and  $\frac{\dim_{k,f}(G)}{\dim_f(G)}$  can be arbitrarily large. We also characterize grid graphs  $G$  satisfying  $\dim_{1,f}(G) = \dim_f(G)$ .

We recall some notations. Let  $f(x)$  and  $g(x)$  be functions defined on some subset of real numbers. We write  $f(x) = O(g(x))$  if there exist positive constants  $N$  and  $C$  such that  $|f(x)| \leq C|g(x)|$  for all  $x > N$ ,  $f(x) = \Omega(g(x))$  if  $g(x) = O(f(x))$ , and  $f(x) = \Theta(g(x))$  if  $f(x) = O(g(x))$  and  $f(x) = \Omega(g(x))$ . We note that  $\frac{\dim_1(G)}{\dim(G)}$  can be arbitrarily large (see [13]) and that both  $\frac{\dim_1(G)}{\dim_k(G)}$  and  $\frac{\dim_k(G)}{\dim(G)}$  can be arbitrarily large for some  $k > 1$  (see [11]).

First, we show that  $\frac{\dim_{1,f}(G)}{\dim_{k,f}(G)}$  and  $\frac{\dim_{k,f}(G)}{\dim_f(G)}$  can be arbitrarily large for some  $k > 1$ .

**Proposition 3.6.** [13] *If  $G = P_m \times P_m$  for  $m \geq 2$ , then  $\dim_1(G) = \Theta(m^2)$ .*

**Proposition 3.7.** [11] *For some positive integer  $k > 1$ , let  $G = P_{k^2} \times P_{k^2}$ . Then  $\dim_k(G) = \Theta(k^2)$ .*

**Proposition 3.8.** *If  $G = P_{4m} \times P_{3m}$  for  $m \geq 1$ , then  $\dim_{1,f}(G) = \Theta(m^2)$ .*

*Proof.* By Proposition 3.6 and Observation 2.2(d),  $\dim_{1,f}(G) = O(m^2)$ . To see that  $\dim_{1,f}(G) = \Omega(m^2)$ , suppose that the grid graph  $G = P_{4m} \times P_{3m}$  is drawn in the  $xy$ -plane with the four corners at  $(1, 1)$ ,  $(4m, 1)$ ,  $(1, 3m)$  and  $(4m, 3m)$  with horizontal and vertical edges of equal lengths, and let  $g : V(G) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be any 1-truncated resolving function of  $G$ . Then, for every  $P_4 \times P_3$  subgraph, say  $B_{i,j}$ , of  $G$  with the four corners  $(1+4i, 1+3j)$ ,  $(4+4i, 1+3j)$ ,  $(1+4i, 3+3j)$  and  $(4+4i, 3+3j)$ , where  $i, j \in \{0, 1, \dots, m-1\}$ , we have  $R_1\{(2+4i, 2+3j), (3+4i, 2+3j)\} \subset V(B_{i,j})$ , and thus  $g(V(B_{i,j})) \geq 1$ . So,  $\dim_{1,f}(G) \geq \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} g(V(B_{i,j})) \geq m^2$ , and hence  $\dim_{1,f}(G) = \Omega(m^2)$ . Therefore,  $\dim_{1,f}(G) = \Theta(m^2)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.9.** *For some positive integer  $k > 1$ , let  $G = P_{(2k+2)^2} \times P_{(2k+1)^2}$ . Then  $\dim_{k,f}(G) = \Theta(k^2)$ , and thus both  $\frac{\dim_{1,f}(G)}{\dim_{k,f}(G)}$  and  $\frac{\dim_{k,f}(G)}{\dim_f(G)}$  can be arbitrarily large.*

*Proof.* For some positive integer  $k > 1$ , let  $G = P_{(2k+2)^2} \times P_{(2k+1)^2}$ . Then  $\dim_f(G) = 2$  by Theorem 3.1(f), and  $\dim_{1,f}(G) = \Theta(k^4)$  by Proposition 3.8. Next, we show that  $\dim_{k,f}(G) = \Theta(k^2)$ . By Proposition 3.7 and Observation 2.2(c),  $\dim_{k,f}(G) = O(k^2)$ . To see that  $\dim_{k,f}(G) = \Omega(k^2)$ , notice that  $G$  contains disjoint union of  $(2k+2)(2k+1)$  copies of  $P_{2k+2} \times P_{2k+1}$ . Let  $g : V(G) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be any  $k$ -truncated resolving function of  $G$ . For each subgraph  $P_{2k+2} \times P_{2k+1}$  of  $G$ , if  $x$  and  $y$  are the two adjacent central vertices of  $P_{2k+2} \times P_{2k+1}$ , then  $R_k\{x, y\} \subseteq V(P_{2k+2} \times P_{2k+1})$ , and hence  $g(V(P_{2k+2} \times P_{2k+1})) \geq g(R_k\{x, y\}) \geq 1$ ; thus  $\dim_{k,f}(G) \geq (2k+2)(2k+1)$ . So,  $\dim_{k,f}(G) = \Omega(k^2)$ . Therefore,  $\dim_{k,f}(G) = \Theta(k^2)$  for some positive integer  $k > 1$ , and both  $\frac{\dim_{1,f}(G)}{\dim_{k,f}(G)}$  and  $\frac{\dim_{k,f}(G)}{\dim_f(G)}$  can be arbitrarily large.  $\square$

Next, we characterize grid graphs  $G$  satisfying  $\dim_{1,f}(G) = \dim_f(G)$ .

**Proposition 3.10.** *For the grid graph  $G = P_s \times P_t$  with  $s \geq t \geq 2$ ,  $\dim_{1,f}(G) = \dim_f(G)$  if and only if  $G \in \{P_2 \times P_2, P_3 \times P_2, P_4 \times P_2, P_3 \times P_3\}$ .*




Figure 1: The four grid graphs  $G$  with  $\dim_{1,f}(G) = \dim_f(G)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $G = P_s \times P_t$  with  $s \geq t \geq 2$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Let  $G \in \{P_2 \times P_2, P_3 \times P_2, P_4 \times P_2, P_3 \times P_3\}$ ; see Figure 1. For each grid graph  $G$  in Figure 1, if we let  $g : V(G) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be a function defined by  $g(v) = \frac{1}{2}$  if  $v$  is a solid vertex and  $g(w) = 0$  if  $w$

is an open vertex, then  $g$  is a 1-truncated resolving function of  $G$ . So,  $\dim_{1,f}(G) \leq g(V(G)) = 2$ . Since  $\dim_{1,f}(G) \geq \dim_f(G) = 2$  by Observation 2.2(d) and Theorem 3.1(f),  $\dim_{1,f}(G) = \dim_f(G) = 2$ .

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Let  $\dim_{1,f}(G) = \dim_f(G)$ . Let the grid graph  $G$  be drawn in the  $xy$ -plane with the four corners at  $(1, 1)$ ,  $(s, 1)$ ,  $(1, t)$  and  $(s, t)$  with horizontal and vertical edges of equal lengths; we denote by  $u_{i,j}$  the vertex  $(i, j)$ . Let  $g : V(G) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be any 1-truncated resolving function of  $G$ .

First, suppose  $G = P_s \times P_t$  for  $s, t \geq 4$ . Then  $R_1\{u_{1,1}, u_{2,2}\} = \{u_{1,1}, u_{2,2}, u_{2,3}, u_{3,2}\}$ ,  $R_1\{u_{1,2}, u_{2,1}\} = \{u_{1,2}, u_{1,3}, u_{2,1}, u_{3,1}\}$  and  $R_1\{u_{s-1,t}, u_{s,t-1}\} = \{u_{s-2,t}, u_{s-1,t}, u_{s,t-1}, u_{s,t-2}\}$ ; thus  $g(u_{1,1}) + g(u_{2,2}) + g(u_{2,3}) + g(u_{3,2}) \geq 1$ ,  $g(u_{1,2}) + g(u_{1,3}) + g(u_{2,1}) + g(u_{3,1}) \geq 1$  and  $g(u_{s-2,t}) + g(u_{s-1,t}) + g(u_{s,t-1}) + g(u_{s,t-2}) \geq 1$ . By summing over the three inequalities, we have  $g(V(G)) \geq 3$  since  $s, t \geq 4$ ; thus  $\dim_{1,f}(G) \geq 3 > 2 = \dim_f(G)$ . So,  $\dim_{1,f}(G) = \dim_f(G)$  implies  $t \leq 3$ .

Second, suppose  $G = P_s \times P_3$  for  $s \geq 3$ . If  $s = 3$ , then  $\dim_{1,f}(G) = 2 = \dim_f(G)$  as shown above. If  $s = 4$ , then  $R_1\{u_{1,1}, u_{2,2}\} = \{u_{1,1}, u_{2,2}, u_{2,3}, u_{3,2}\}$ ,  $R_1\{u_{1,2}, u_{2,1}\} = \{u_{1,2}, u_{1,3}, u_{2,1}, u_{3,1}\}$ ,  $R_1\{u_{3,3}, u_{4,2}\} = \{u_{2,3}, u_{3,3}, u_{4,2}, u_{4,1}\}$ ,  $R_1\{u_{3,2}, u_{4,3}\} = \{u_{2,2}, u_{3,1}, u_{3,2}, u_{4,3}\}$  and  $R_1\{u_{1,1}, u_{4,3}\} = \{u_{1,1}, u_{1,2}, u_{2,1}, u_{3,3}, u_{4,2}, u_{4,3}\}$ ; thus,  $g(u_{1,1}) + g(u_{2,2}) + g(u_{2,3}) + g(u_{3,2}) \geq 1$ ,  $g(u_{1,2}) + g(u_{1,3}) + g(u_{2,1}) + g(u_{3,1}) \geq 1$ ,  $g(u_{2,3}) + g(u_{3,3}) + g(u_{4,2}) + g(u_{4,1}) \geq 1$ ,  $g(u_{2,2}) + g(u_{3,1}) + g(u_{3,2}) + g(u_{4,3}) \geq 1$  and  $g(u_{1,1}) + g(u_{1,2}) + g(u_{2,1}) + g(u_{3,3}) + g(u_{4,2}) + g(u_{4,3}) \geq 1$ . By summing over the five inequalities, we have  $2g(V(G)) \geq 5$ , and hence  $\dim_{1,f}(G) \geq \frac{5}{2} > 2 = \dim_f(G)$ . If  $s \geq 5$ , then  $R_1\{u_{1,1}, u_{2,2}\} = \{u_{1,1}, u_{2,2}, u_{2,3}, u_{3,2}\}$ ,  $R_1\{u_{1,2}, u_{2,1}\} = \{u_{1,2}, u_{1,3}, u_{2,1}, u_{3,1}\}$  and  $R_1\{u_{s-1,3}, u_{s,2}\} = \{u_{s-2,3}, u_{s-1,3}, u_{s,2}, u_{s,1}\}$ ; thus,  $g(u_{1,1}) + g(u_{2,2}) + g(u_{2,3}) + g(u_{3,2}) \geq 1$ ,  $g(u_{1,2}) + g(u_{1,3}) + g(u_{2,1}) + g(u_{3,1}) \geq 1$  and  $g(u_{s-2,3}) + g(u_{s-1,3}) + g(u_{s,2}) + g(u_{s,1}) \geq 1$ . By summing over the three inequalities, we have  $g(V(G)) \geq 3$  since  $s \geq 5$ , and hence  $\dim_{1,f}(G) \geq 3 > 2 = \dim_f(G)$ .

Third, suppose  $G = P_s \times P_2$  for  $s \geq 2$ . If  $s \in \{2, 3, 4\}$ , then  $\dim_{1,f}(G) = 2 = \dim_f(G)$  as shown above. So, suppose  $s \geq 5$ . Then  $R_1\{u_{1,1}, u_{2,2}\} = \{u_{1,1}, u_{2,2}, u_{3,2}\}$ ,  $R_1\{u_{1,2}, u_{2,1}\} = \{u_{1,2}, u_{2,1}, u_{3,1}\}$  and  $R_1\{u_{s,1}, u_{s,2}\} = \{u_{s-1,1}, u_{s-1,2}, u_{s,1}, u_{s,2}\}$ ; thus,  $g(u_{1,1}) + g(u_{2,2}) + g(u_{3,2}) \geq 1$ ,  $g(u_{1,2}) + g(u_{2,1}) + g(u_{3,1}) \geq 1$  and  $g(u_{s-1,1}) + g(u_{s-1,2}) + g(u_{s,1}) + g(u_{s,2}) \geq 1$ . By summing over the three inequalities, we have  $g(V(G)) \geq 3$  since  $s \geq 5$ , and thus  $\dim_{1,f}(G) \geq 3 > 2 = \dim_f(G)$ .  $\square$

### 3.4 Trees

We examine  $\dim_{k,f}(T)$  for non-trivial trees  $T$ . For  $n \geq 2$ ,  $\dim_{k,f}(P_n) = \dim_f(P_n)$  if and only if  $n \in \{2, 3, \dots, k+2\}$  by Theorems 2.4(c) and 2.7. We characterize trees  $T$  satisfying  $\dim_{1,f}(T) = \dim_f(T)$ . For trees  $T$  with  $ex(T) = 1$  and for any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , we also characterize  $T$  satisfying  $\dim_{k,f}(T) = \dim_f(T)$ .

We first consider  $\dim_{k,f}(P_n)$ .

**Theorem 3.11.** *Let  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and  $n \geq 2$ .*

(a) *If  $n \leq k+2$ , then  $\dim_{k,f}(P_n) = 1$ .*

(b) *If  $k+3 \leq n \leq 2k+3$ , then  $\dim_{k,f}(P_n) = \frac{6+2k-n}{5+2k-n}$ .*

(c) *Let  $n \geq 2k+4$ .*

(i) *If  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{(2k+2)}$ , then  $\dim_{k,f}(P_n) = \frac{n+k}{2k+2}$ .*

(ii) *If  $n \equiv 2, 3, \dots, k+2 \pmod{(2k+2)}$ , then  $\dim_{k,f}(P_n) = \lceil \frac{n}{2k+2} \rceil$ .*

(iii) *If  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{(2k+2)}$  or  $n \equiv k+3, k+4, \dots, 2k+1 \pmod{(2k+2)}$ , then  $\lceil \frac{n}{2k+2} \rceil \leq \dim_{k,f}(P_n) \leq \lceil \frac{n}{2k+2} \rceil + \frac{1}{2}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . For  $n \geq 2$ , let  $P_n$  be given by  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n$ . Let  $g : V(P_n) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be any  $k$ -truncated resolving function of  $P_n$ . For (a), suppose  $n \leq k+2$ . Then  $\dim_{k,f}(P_n) = 1$  by Theorem 2.7.

For (b), suppose  $k+3 \leq n \leq 2k+3$ . Then  $R_k\{u_1, u_2\} = \cup_{i=1}^{k+2} \{u_i\}$ ,  $R_k\{u_{n-1}, u_n\} = \cup_{i=n-(k+1)}^n \{u_i\}$ , and  $R_k\{u_{i-1}, u_{i+1}\} = V(P_n) - \{u_i\}$  for  $u_i \in R_k\{u_1, u_2\} \cap R_k\{u_{n-1}, u_n\}$  (i.e.,  $n-k-1 \leq i \leq k+2$ ). By summing over the  $(6+2k-n)$  inequalities, we have  $(5+2k-n)g(V(P_n)) \geq 6+2k-n$ , i.e.,  $g(V(P_n)) \geq \frac{6+2k-n}{5+2k-n}$ ; thus,  $\dim_{k,f}(P_n) \geq \frac{6+2k-n}{5+2k-n}$ . On the other hand, let  $h : V(P_n) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be a function defined by

$$h(u_i) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{5+2k-n} & \text{if } u_i \in \{u_1, u_n\} \cup (R_k\{u_1, u_2\} \cap R_k\{u_{n-1}, u_n\}), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$



Then  $h$  is a  $k$ -truncated resolving function of  $P_n$  with  $h(V(P_n)) = \frac{6+2k-n}{5+2k-n}$ ; thus,  $\dim_{k,f}(P_n) \leq \frac{6+2k-n}{5+2k-n}$ . Therefore,  $\dim_{k,f}(P_n) = \frac{6+2k-n}{5+2k-n}$  for  $k+3 \leq n \leq 2k+3$ .

For (c), let  $n \geq 2k+4$ , and we consider three cases.

Case 1:  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{(2k+2)}$ . Note that  $R_k\{u_1, u_2\} = \cup_{i=1}^{k+2}\{u_i\}$ ,  $R_k\{u_{n-1}, u_n\} = \cup_{i=n-(k+1)}^n\{u_i\}$ , and  $R_k\{u_{i-1}, u_{i+1}\} = \cup_{j=1}^{k+1}\{u_{i-j}, u_{i+j}\}$  for  $i \equiv 1 \pmod{(k+1)}$  and  $i \notin \{1, n\}$ . By summing over the  $\lceil \frac{n}{k+1} \rceil$  inequalities, we have  $2g(V(P_n)) \geq \lceil \frac{n}{k+1} \rceil = \frac{n-1}{k+1} + 1 = \frac{n+k}{k+1}$ , i.e.,  $g(V(P_n)) \geq \frac{n+k}{2(k+1)}$ ; thus,  $\dim_{k,f}(P_n) \geq \frac{n+k}{2k+2}$ . On the other hand, if we let  $h : V(P_n) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be a function defined by

$$h(u_i) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } i \equiv 1 \pmod{(k+1)}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

then  $h$  is a  $k$ -truncated resolving function of  $P_n$  with  $h(V(P_n)) = \frac{1}{2} \lceil \frac{n}{k+1} \rceil = \frac{n+k}{2k+2}$ ; thus,  $\dim_{k,f}(P_n) \leq \frac{n+k}{2k+2}$ . Therefore,  $\dim_{k,f}(P_n) = \frac{n+k}{2k+2}$  for  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{(2k+2)}$ .

Case 2:  $n \equiv 2, 3, \dots, k+2 \pmod{(2k+2)}$ . Note that  $R_k\{u_1, u_2\} = \cup_{i=1}^{k+2}\{u_i\}$ ,  $R_k\{u_{n-1}, u_n\} = \cup_{i=n-(k+1)}^n\{u_i\}$ , and  $R_k\{u_{(2k+2)i+1}, u_{(2k+2)i+2}\} = \cup_{j=1-k}^{k+2}\{u_{(2k+2)i+j}\}$  for  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \lceil \frac{n}{2k+2} \rceil - 2\}$ . By summing over the  $\lceil \frac{n}{2k+2} \rceil$  inequalities, we have  $g(V(P_n)) \geq \lceil \frac{n}{2k+2} \rceil$ ; thus,  $\dim_{k,f}(P_n) \geq \lceil \frac{n}{2k+2} \rceil$ . On the other hand, let  $h : V(P_n) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be a function defined by

$$h(u_i) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } i \equiv 1 \pmod{(k+1)} \text{ and } 1 \leq i \leq \frac{n}{2}, \text{ or } n+1-i \equiv 1 \pmod{(k+1)} \text{ and } \frac{n}{2} < i \leq n, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then  $h$  is a  $k$ -truncated resolving function of  $P_n$  with  $h(V(P_n)) = \lceil \frac{n}{2k+2} \rceil$ ; thus,  $\dim_{k,f}(P_n) \leq \lceil \frac{n}{2k+2} \rceil$ . Therefore,  $\dim_{k,f}(P_n) = \lceil \frac{n}{2k+2} \rceil$  for  $n \equiv 2, 3, \dots, k+2 \pmod{(2k+2)}$ .

Case 3:  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{(2k+2)}$  or  $n \equiv k+3, \dots, 2k+1 \pmod{(2k+2)}$ . Note that  $R_k\{u_{(2k+2)i+k+1}, u_{(2k+2)i+k+2}\} = \cup_{j=1}^{2k+2}\{u_{(2k+2)i+j}\}$  for each  $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, \lceil \frac{n}{2k+2} \rceil - 2\}$  and  $R_k\{u_{n-1}, u_n\} = \cup_{i=n-(k+1)}^n\{u_i\}$ . By summing over the  $\lceil \frac{n}{2k+2} \rceil$  inequalities, we have  $g(V(P_n)) \geq \lceil \frac{n}{2k+2} \rceil$ ; thus,  $\dim_{k,f}(P_n) \geq \lceil \frac{n}{2k+2} \rceil$ . If  $h : V(P_n) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is a function defined by

$$h(u_i) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } i = \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil, \text{ or} \\ & 1 \leq i < \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil \text{ and } i \equiv 1 \pmod{(k+1)}, \text{ or} \\ & \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil < i \leq n \text{ and } n+1-i \equiv 1 \pmod{(k+1)}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

then  $h$  is a  $k$ -truncated resolving function of  $P_n$  with  $h(V(P_n)) = \lceil \frac{n}{2k+2} \rceil + \frac{1}{2}$ ; thus,  $\dim_{k,f}(P_n) \leq \lceil \frac{n}{2k+2} \rceil + \frac{1}{2}$ . Therefore,  $\lceil \frac{n}{2k+2} \rceil \leq \dim_{k,f}(P_n) = \lceil \frac{n}{2k+2} \rceil + \frac{1}{2}$  for  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{(2k+2)}$  or  $n \equiv k+3, \dots, 2k+1 \pmod{(2k+2)}$ .  $\square$

Theorem 3.11 implies the following.

**Corollary 3.12.** *Let  $n \geq 2$ .*

(a) *If  $n \in \{2, 3\}$ , then  $\dim_{1,f}(P_n) = 1$ ; if  $n \in \{4, 5\}$ , then  $\dim_{1,f}(P_n) = \frac{8-n}{7-n}$ .*

(b) *If  $n \geq 6$ , then*

$$\dim_{1,f}(P_n) = \begin{cases} \frac{n+1}{4} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ \lceil \frac{n}{4} \rceil & \text{if } n \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

(c) *If  $n \geq 8$  and  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ , then  $\frac{n}{4} \leq \dim_{1,f}(P_n) \leq \frac{n+2}{4}$ .*

Next, we characterize non-trivial trees  $T$  satisfying  $\dim_{1,f}(T) = \dim_f(T)$ . We recall some terminology and notation. An *exterior degree-two vertex* is a vertex of degree 2 that lies on a path from a terminal vertex to its major vertex, and an *interior degree-two vertex* is a vertex of degree 2 such that the shortest path to any terminal vertex includes a major vertex. For  $v \in M(T)$ , let  $T_v$  be the subtree of  $T$  induced by  $v$  and all vertices belonging to the paths joining  $v$  with its terminal vertices. We begin with the following useful lemmas.

**Lemma 3.13.** *Let  $T$  be a tree with  $ex(T) \geq 1$ , and let  $g : V(T) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be any minimum resolving function of  $T$ . Then, for each  $v \in M_2(T)$  with  $ter(v) = \alpha \geq 2$ ,  $g(V(T_v) - \{v\}) = \frac{\alpha}{2}$  and  $\sum_{v \in M_2(T)} (g(V(T_v)) - g(v)) = \dim_f(T)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $T$  be a tree with  $ex(T) \geq 1$ , and let  $g : V(T) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be any minimum resolving function of  $T$ . Let  $M_2(T) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_a\}$ , where  $a \geq 1$ . For each  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, a\}$ , let  $ter(v_i) = \sigma_i \geq 2$ , let  $\ell_{i,1}, \ell_{i,2}, \dots, \ell_{i,\sigma_i}$  be the terminal vertices of  $v_i$ , and let  $N(v_i) = \{s_{i,1}, s_{i,2}, \dots, s_{i,\sigma_i}\}$  such that  $s_{i,j}$  lies on the  $v_i - \ell_{i,j}$  path and we denote by  $P^{i,j}$  the  $s_{i,j} - \ell_{i,j}$  path, where  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, \sigma_i\}$ .

For each  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, a\}$  and for any distinct  $x, y \in \{1, 2, \dots, \sigma_i\}$ , note that  $R\{s_{i,x}, s_{i,y}\} = V(P^{i,x}) \cup V(P^{i,y})$ , and thus  $g(V(P^{i,x})) + g(V(P^{i,y})) \geq 1$ ; by summing over the  $\binom{\sigma_i}{2}$  inequalities, we have  $(\sigma_i - 1) \sum_{j=1}^{\sigma_i} g(V(P^{i,j})) \geq \binom{\sigma_i}{2}$ , which implies  $g(V(T_{v_i})) - g(v_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{\sigma_i} g(V(P^{i,j})) \geq \frac{\sigma_i}{2}$ . So,  $\sum_{i=1}^a (g(V(T_{v_i})) - g(v_i)) \geq \sum_{i=1}^a \frac{\sigma_i}{2} = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma(T) - ex_1(T)) = \dim_f(T)$ . Since  $g$  is a minimum resolving function of  $T$ ,  $g(V(T)) = \dim_f(T)$ ; thus,  $g(V(T_{v_i})) - g(v_i) = \frac{\sigma_i}{2}$  for each  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, a\}$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^a (g(V(T_{v_i})) - g(v_i)) = \dim_f(T)$ .  $\square$

Lemma 3.13 implies the following

**Corollary 3.14.** *Let  $T$  be a tree with  $ex(T) \geq 1$ , and let  $g : V(T) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be any minimum resolving function of  $T$ . If  $x$  is a major vertex, an interior degree-two vertex, or a vertex belonging to  $T_v$  for  $v \in M_1(T)$ , then  $g(x) = 0$ .*

**Lemma 3.15.** *Let  $T$  be a tree with  $ex(T) \geq 1$  satisfying  $\dim_{1,f}(T) = \dim_f(T)$ .*

- (a) *If  $v \in M_2(T)$ , then every terminal vertex of  $v$  is adjacent to  $v$  in  $T$ .*
- (b)  *$T$  contains no major vertex of terminal degree one.*
- (c)  *$T$  contains neither a major vertex of terminal degree zero nor an interior degree-two vertex.*

*Proof.* Let  $T$  be a tree with  $ex(T) \geq 1$  satisfying  $\dim_{1,f}(T) = \dim_f(T)$ . Let  $g : V(T) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be any minimum resolving function of  $T$ , and let  $h : V(T) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be any minimum 1-truncated resolving function of  $T$ .

(a) Let  $v \in M_2(T)$  with  $ter(v) = \alpha \geq 2$ . Let  $\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_\alpha$  be the terminal vertices of  $v$  in  $T$ . Suppose  $d(v, \ell_i) \geq 2$  for some  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \alpha\}$ . By relabeling the vertices of  $T$  if necessary, let  $d(v, \ell_1) \geq 2$ .

First, let  $\alpha \geq 3$ . Let the  $v - \ell_1$  path be given by  $v = s_0, s_1, \dots, s_d = \ell_1$ , where  $d \geq 2$ , and we denote by  $P$  the  $v - \ell_1$  path in  $T$ . Since  $R_1\{s_{d-1}, s_d\} = \{s_{d-2}, s_{d-1}, s_d\} \subseteq V(P)$ ,  $h(V(P)) \geq 1$ . Since  $\dim_{1,f}(T) = \dim_f(T)$  and  $\alpha \geq 3$ ,  $h(V(T_v)) - h(V(P)) \geq \frac{\alpha-1}{2}$  using the argument in the proof for Lemma 3.13. So,  $h(V(T_v)) \geq \frac{\alpha+1}{2} > \frac{\alpha}{2} = g(V(T_v))$ . By Lemma 3.13 and Corollary 3.14,  $\dim_{1,f}(T) > \dim_f(T)$ . So,  $\dim_{1,f}(T) = \dim_f(T)$  implies that  $d(v, \ell_i) = 1$  for each  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \alpha\}$ .

Second, let  $\alpha = 2$ . Let the  $v - \ell_1$  path and the  $v - \ell_2$  path, respectively, be given by  $v = s_0, s_1, \dots, s_d = \ell_1$  and  $v = s_0, s'_1, \dots, s'_t = \ell_2$ , where  $d \geq 2$ ; let  $P^1$  and  $P^2$  denote the  $s_1 - \ell_1$  path and the  $s'_1 - \ell_2$  path, respectively. Note that  $R_1\{s_{d-1}, s_d\} \subseteq \{v\} \cup V(P^1)$ ,  $R_1\{s_1, s'_1\} \subseteq V(P^1) \cup V(P^2)$ ,  $R_1\{v, s_2\} \subseteq (N[v] \cup V(P^1)) - \{s_1\}$  and  $R_1\{v, s'_1\} \subseteq N[v] \cup V(P^2)$ . So,  $h(v) + h(V(P^1)) \geq 1$ ,  $h(V(P^1)) + h(V(P^2)) \geq 1$ ,  $h(N[v] \cup V(P^1)) - h(s_1) \geq 1$  and  $h(N[v] \cup V(P^2)) \geq 1$ . Since each vertex in  $N[v] \cup V(T_v)$  appears at most 3 times in the 4 inequalities, by summing over the 4 inequalities, we have  $3h(N[v] \cup V(T_v)) \geq 4$ . Since each vertex in  $N[v] - \{s_1, s'_1\}$  is either a major vertex or an interior degree-two vertex in  $T$ ,  $g(N[v] - \{s_1, s'_1\}) = 0$  by Corollary 3.14. So,  $h(N[v] \cup V(T_v)) \geq \frac{4}{3} > 1 = g(N[v] \cup V(T_v)) = g(V(T_v) - \{v\})$ . Thus,  $\dim_{1,f}(T) = \dim_f(T)$  implies that  $d(v, \ell_1) = 1 = d(v, \ell_2)$ .

(b) Let  $v \in M_1(T)$ , and let  $\ell$  be the terminal vertex of  $v$  in  $T$  such that the  $v - \ell$  path is given by  $v = s_0, s_1, \dots, s_d = \ell$ . If  $d(v, \ell) \geq 2$ , then  $R_1\{s_{d-1}, s_d\} \subseteq V(T_v)$  and  $h(V(T_v)) \geq 1 > 0 = g(V(T_v))$  by Corollary 3.14. If  $d(v, \ell) = 1$ , then  $R_1\{v, \ell\} = N[v]$  and  $h(N[v]) \geq 1 > 0 = g(N[v])$  since each vertex in  $N[v]$  is a major vertex, an interior degree-two vertex, or a vertex belonging to  $T_v$  for  $v \in M_1(T)$ . So,  $\dim_{1,f}(T) = \dim_f(T)$  implies that  $M_1(T) = \emptyset$ .

(c) Suppose  $x$  is either an interior degree-two vertex or a major vertex of terminal degree zero in  $T$ . Then there exist distinct  $w, w' \in M_2(T)$  such that  $x$  lies on the  $w - w'$  path and that  $w$  and  $w'$  are the only exterior major vertices on the  $w - w'$  path. By relabeling the vertices of  $T$  if necessary, we may assume

that  $xw \in E(T)$ . If  $\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_\alpha$  are the terminal vertices of  $w$ , where  $\alpha \geq 2$ , then  $\ell_i w \in E(T)$  for each  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \alpha\}$  by (a) of the current lemma.

For each  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \alpha\}$ ,  $R_1\{\ell_i, x\} = \{\ell_i\} \cup (N[x] - \{w\})$  and  $h(\ell_i) + h(N[x] - \{w\}) \geq 1$ . By summing over the  $\alpha$  inequalities, we have  $(\sum_{i=1}^{\alpha} h(\ell_i)) + \alpha h(N[x] - \{w\}) \geq \alpha$ . Since each vertex in  $N[x] - \{w\}$  is either a major vertex or an interior degree-two vertex in  $T$ ,  $g(N[x] - \{w\}) = 0$  by Corollary 3.14. So, we have  $(\sum_{i=1}^{\alpha} h(\ell_i)) + \alpha h(N[x] - \{w\}) \geq \alpha > \frac{\alpha}{2} = (\sum_{i=1}^{\alpha} g(\ell_i)) + \alpha g(N[x] - \{w\}) = g(V(T_w) - \{w\})$ . So,  $\dim_{1,f}(T) = \dim_f(T)$  implies that  $T$  contains neither a major vertex of terminal degree zero nor an interior degree-two vertex.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.16.** *Let  $T$  be a non-trivial tree. Then  $\dim_{1,f}(T) = \dim_f(T)$  if and only if  $T \in \{P_2, P_3\}$ , or  $ex(T) \geq 1$  and  $V(T) = M_2(T) \cup L(T)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $T$  be a tree of order  $n \geq 2$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ) If  $T \in \{P_2, P_3\}$ , then  $\dim_{1,f}(T) = 1 = \dim_f(T)$  by Theorems 2.4(c) and 2.7. Now, suppose  $ex(T) \geq 1$  and  $V(T) = M_2(T) \cup L(T)$ . If  $g : V(T) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is a function defined by  $g(u) = \frac{1}{2}$  for each  $u \in L(T)$  and  $g(w) = 0$  for each  $w \in M_2(T)$ , then  $g$  is a 1-truncated resolving function of  $T$  with  $g(V(T)) = \frac{1}{2}\sigma(T)$ ; thus  $\dim_{1,f}(T) \leq \frac{1}{2}\sigma(T)$ . Since  $\dim_{1,f}(T) \geq \dim_f(T) = \frac{1}{2}\sigma(T)$  by Observation 2.2(d) and Theorem 3.1(a),  $\dim_{1,f}(T) = \frac{1}{2}\sigma(T) = \dim_f(T)$ .

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Let  $\dim_{1,f}(T) = \dim_f(T)$ . If  $ex(T) = 0$ , then  $T = P_n$  and  $\dim_f(P_n) = 1$  by Theorem 2.4(c). So,  $\dim_{1,f}(P_n) = \dim_f(P_n)$  implies  $\dim_{1,f}(P_n) = 1$ , and thus  $n \in \{2, 3\}$  by Theorem 2.7.

Now, suppose  $ex(T) \geq 1$ . By Lemma 3.15(b)(c),  $T$  contains neither an interior degree-two vertex nor a major vertex with terminal degree at most one. Moreover, by Lemma 3.15(a),  $T$  contains no exterior degree-two vertex. So, each vertex in  $T$  is either a major vertex with terminal degree at least two, or a leaf that is adjacent to some exterior major vertex in  $T$ .  $\square$

For any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , it's an interesting yet a challenging task to characterize all connected graphs  $G$  satisfying  $\dim_k(G) = \dim(G)$  or  $\dim_{k,f}(G) = \dim_f(G)$  even when  $G$  is restricted to trees. We recall the following result on trees  $T$  satisfying  $\dim_1(T) = \dim(T)$ .

**Proposition 3.17.** [13] *For any non-trivial tree  $T$ ,  $\dim_1(T) = \dim(T)$  if and only if  $T \in \{P_2, P_3\}$  or  $T$  is a tree obtained from the star  $K_{1,x}$  ( $x \geq 3$ ) by subdividing at most  $x - 1$  edges exactly once.*

Now, for trees  $T$  with  $ex(T) = 1$ , we characterize  $T$  satisfying  $\dim_{k,f}(T) = \dim_f(T)$ .

**Proposition 3.18.** *Let  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , and let  $T$  be a tree with  $ex(T) = 1$  such that  $\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_\alpha$  are the terminal vertices of the exterior major vertex  $v$  in  $T$ . Then  $\dim_{k,f}(T) = \dim_f(T)$  if and only if  $d(v, \ell_i) \leq k$  for each  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \alpha\}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , and let  $T$  be a tree with  $ex(T) = 1$ . Let  $v$  be the exterior major vertex of  $T$ ,  $N(v) = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_\alpha\}$ , and let  $\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_\alpha$  be the terminal vertices of  $v$  in  $T$  such that  $s_i$  lies on the  $v - \ell_i$  path for each  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \alpha\}$ , where  $\alpha \geq 3$ . Let  $P^i$  denote the  $s_i - \ell_i$  path, where  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \alpha\}$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Suppose  $d(v, \ell_i) \leq k$  for each  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \alpha\}$ . Let  $g : V(T) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be a function defined by

$$g(u) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } u \in \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_\alpha\}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We show that  $g$  is a  $k$ -truncated resolving function for  $T$ . Let  $x$  and  $y$  be distinct vertices in  $T$ . First, suppose  $x$  and  $y$  lie on the  $v - \ell_i$  path for some  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \alpha\}$ ; let  $d(v, x) < d(v, y)$  without loss of generality. Since  $d(x, s_j) \leq k$  and  $d_k(x, s_j) \neq d_k(y, s_j)$  for each  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, \alpha\} - \{i\}$ ,  $R_k\{x, y\} \supseteq N(v) - \{s_i\}$  and  $g(R_k\{x, y\}) \geq g(N(v)) - g(s_i) = \frac{\alpha-1}{2} \geq 1$  since  $\alpha \geq 3$ . Second, suppose  $x$  lies on the  $s_i - \ell_i$  path and  $y$  lies on the  $s_j - \ell_j$  path for distinct  $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, \alpha\}$ . If  $d(v, x) = d(v, y)$ , then  $R_k\{x, y\} = V(P^i) \cup V(P^j)$  and  $g(R_k\{x, y\}) \geq g(V(P^i)) + g(V(P^j)) \geq g(s_i) + g(s_j) = 1$ . If  $d(v, x) \neq d(v, y)$ , say  $d(v, x) < d(v, y)$ , then  $d(x, s_t) \leq k$  and  $d_k(x, s_t) \neq d_k(y, s_t)$  for each  $t \in \{1, 2, \dots, \alpha\} - \{j\}$ ; thus,  $R_k\{x, y\} \supseteq N(v) - \{s_j\}$  and  $g(R_k\{x, y\}) \geq g(N(v)) - g(s_j) \geq \frac{\alpha-1}{2} \geq 1$ . So,  $g$  is a  $k$ -truncated resolving function of  $T$  with  $g(V(T)) = \frac{\alpha}{2}$ , and thus  $\dim_{k,f}(T) \leq \frac{\alpha}{2} = \dim_f(T)$  by Theorem 3.1(a). Since  $\dim_{k,f}(T) \geq \dim_f(T)$  by Observation 2.2(c), we have  $\dim_{k,f}(T) = \frac{\alpha}{2} = \dim_f(T)$ .

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Let  $\dim_{k,f}(T) = \dim_f(T)$ . Assume, to the contrary, that  $d(v, \ell_i) \geq k+1$  for some  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \alpha\}$ . By relabeling the vertices of  $T$  if necessary, let  $d(v, \ell_1) \geq k+1$  and let  $v - \ell_1$  path be given by  $v = u_0, s_1 = u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k, u_{k+1}, \dots, u_z = \ell_1$ . Let  $h : V(T) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be a minimum  $k$ -truncated resolving function of  $T$ . Since  $R_k\{u_{z-1}, u_z\} \subseteq \{v\} \cup V(P^1)$ ,  $h(v) + h(V(P^1)) \geq h(R_k\{u_{z-1}, u_z\}) \geq 1$ . Note that, for any distinct  $i, j \in \{2, 3, \dots, \alpha\}$ ,  $R_k\{s_i, s_j\} \subseteq V(P^i) \cup V(P^j)$  and  $h(V(P^i)) + h(V(P^j)) \geq 1$ ; thus,  $\sum_{i=2}^{\alpha} h(V(P^i)) \geq \frac{\alpha-1}{2}$ . So,  $\dim_{k,f}(T) = h(V(T)) = h(v) + \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha} h(V(P^i)) \geq 1 + \frac{\alpha-1}{2} = \frac{\alpha+1}{2} > \frac{\alpha}{2} = \dim_f(T)$ , which contradicts the assumption that  $\dim_{k,f}(T) = \dim_f(T)$ . So,  $d(v, \ell_i) \leq k$  for each  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \alpha\}$ .  $\square$

## 4 Comparison on $\dim(G)$ , $\dim_f(G)$ , $\dim_k(G)$ and $\dim_{k,f}(G)$

In this section, we examine the relation among  $\dim_f(G)$ ,  $\dim_{k,f}(G)$ ,  $\dim(G)$  and  $\dim_k(G)$  for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  in conjunction with Observation 2.2. We show that, for two connected graphs  $H$  and  $G$  with  $H \subset G$ ,  $\frac{\dim_{k,f}(H)}{\dim_{k,f}(G)}$  can be arbitrarily large. We also show the existence of non-isomorphic graphs  $G$  and  $H$  with  $\dim_k(G) = \dim_k(H)$  and  $\dim_{k,f}(G) \neq \dim_{k,f}(H)$ . We conclude the paper with some open problems.

It is known that metric dimension is not a monotone parameter on subgraph inclusion (see [6]), and the following results were obtained in [13, 11].

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $H$  and  $G$  be connected graphs with  $H \subset G$ . Then*

- (a) [13]  $\frac{\dim(H)}{\dim(G)}$  and  $\frac{\dim_1(H)}{\dim_1(G)}$  can be arbitrarily large;
- (b) [11] for any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ,  $\frac{\dim_k(H)}{\dim_k(G)}$  can be arbitrarily large.

We recall the following construction from [13]. For  $m \geq 3$ , let  $H = K_{\frac{m(m+1)}{2}}$ ; let  $V(H)$  be partitioned into  $V_1, V_2, \dots, V_m$  such that  $V_i = \{w_{i,1}, w_{i,2}, \dots, w_{i,i}\}$  with  $|V_i| = i$ , where  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ . Let  $G$  be the graph obtained from  $H$  and  $m$  isolated vertices  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m$  such that, for each  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ ,  $u_i$  is joined by an edge to each vertex of  $V_i \cup (\cup_{j=i+1}^m \{w_{j,i}\})$ ; notice  $H \subset G$ . Since  $\text{diam}(H) = 1$  and  $\text{diam}(G) = 2$ , by Observation 2.3(b),  $\dim_{k,f}(H) = \dim_f(H)$  and  $\dim_{k,f}(G) = \dim_f(G)$  for any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Note that  $\dim_f(H) = \frac{m(m+1)}{4}$  by Theorem 3.1(e), and  $\dim_f(G) \leq m$  by Observation 2.2(a) since  $\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m\}$  forms a resolving set of  $G$ . So,  $\frac{\dim_{k,f}(H)}{\dim_{k,f}(G)} = \frac{\dim_f(H)}{\dim_f(G)} \geq \frac{m+1}{4}$  for any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , which implies the following

**Corollary 4.2.** *For any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , there exist connected graphs  $H$  and  $G$  such that  $H \subset G$  and both  $\frac{\dim_f(H)}{\dim_f(G)}$  and  $\frac{\dim_{k,f}(H)}{\dim_{k,f}(G)}$  can be arbitrarily large.*

It was shown that  $\frac{\dim_k(G)}{\dim(G)}$  and  $\frac{\dim_{k,f}(G)}{\dim_f(G)}$  can be arbitrarily large (see [11] and Theorem 3.9, respectively). In view of Observation 2.2, it is easy to see that  $\dim(G) - \dim_f(G)$  and  $\dim_k(G) - \dim_{k,f}(G)$  can be arbitrarily large. We also show that  $\dim(G) - \dim_{k,f}(G)$  and  $\dim_{k,f}(G) - \dim(G)$  can be arbitrarily large.

**Theorem 4.3.** [4, 19, 20] *For any tree  $T$  that is not a path,  $\dim(T) = \sigma(T) - \text{ex}(T)$ .*

**Remark 4.4.** *Let  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , and let  $G$  be a non-trivial connected graph. Then*

- (a)  $\dim(G) - \dim_f(G)$  can be arbitrarily large;
- (b)  $\dim_k(G) - \dim_{k,f}(G)$  can be arbitrarily large;
- (c)  $\dim(G) - \dim_{k,f}(G)$  can be arbitrarily large;
- (d)  $\frac{\dim_{k,f}(G)}{\dim(G)}$  can be arbitrarily large.

*Proof.* Let  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . For (a), (b) and (c), let  $G$  be a tree with  $V(G) = M_2(G) \cup L(G)$  such that  $M_2(G) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_x\}$  is the set of exterior major vertices of  $G$  with  $\text{ter}(v_i) = \alpha \geq 3$  for each  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, x\}$ , where  $x \geq 1$ ; see Figure 2. Then  $\dim_k(G) \geq \dim(G) = x(\alpha - 1)$  by Observation 2.2(b) and Theorem 4.3. Also, note that  $\dim_{k,f}(G) \geq \dim_f(G) = \frac{x\alpha}{2}$  by Observation 2.2(c) and Theorem 3.1(a). Since a function  $g : V(G) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  defined by  $g(u) = \frac{1}{2}$  for each  $u \in L(G)$  and  $g(w) = 0$  for each  $w \in M_2(G) = V(G) - L(G)$  is

a  $k$ -truncated resolving function for  $G$  with  $g(V(G)) = \frac{x\alpha}{2}$ ,  $\dim_{k,f}(G) \leq \frac{x\alpha}{2}$ . So,  $\dim_{k,f}(G) = \dim_f(G) = \frac{x\alpha}{2}$ . Thus,  $\dim_k(G) - \dim_{k,f}(G) \geq \dim(G) - \dim_{k,f}(G) = \dim(G) - \dim_f(G) = x(\alpha - 1) - \frac{x\alpha}{2} = \frac{x(\alpha-2)}{2} \rightarrow \infty$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$  or  $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$ .

For (d), let  $G = C_{x(k+1)(k+5)}$  for some  $x \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Then  $\dim_{k,f}(G) = \frac{x(k+5)}{2}$  by Theorem 3.4 and  $\dim(G) = 2$ . So,  $\frac{\dim_{k,f}(G)}{\dim(G)} = \frac{x(k+5)}{4} \rightarrow \infty$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ .  $\square$




Figure 2: Trees  $G$  such that  $\dim(G) - \dim_f(G)$ ,  $\dim_k(G) - \dim_{k,f}(G)$  and  $\dim(G) - \dim_{k,f}(G)$  can be arbitrarily large.

Next, we show the existence of non-isomorphic graphs  $G$  and  $H$  with  $\dim_k(G) = \dim_k(H)$  and  $\dim_{k,f}(G) \neq \dim_{k,f}(H)$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . We recall the following result.

**Theorem 4.5.** [11] *Let  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Then*

- (a)  $\dim_k(P_n) = 1$  for  $2 \leq n \leq k + 2$ ;
- (b)  $\dim_k(C_n) = 2$  for  $3 \leq n \leq 3k + 3$ , and  $\dim_k(P_n) = 2$  for  $k + 3 \leq n \leq 3k + 3$ ;
- (c) for  $n \geq 3k + 4$ ,

$$\dim_k(C_n) = \dim_k(P_n) = \begin{cases} \lfloor \frac{2n+3k-1}{3k+2} \rfloor & \text{if } n \equiv 0, 1, \dots, k+2 \pmod{(3k+2)}, \\ \lfloor \frac{2n+4k-1}{3k+2} \rfloor & \text{if } n \equiv k+3, \dots, \lceil \frac{3k+5}{2} \rceil - 1 \pmod{(3k+2)}, \\ \lfloor \frac{2n+3k-1}{3k+2} \rfloor & \text{if } n \equiv \lceil \frac{3k+5}{2} \rceil, \dots, 3k+1 \pmod{(3k+2)}. \end{cases}$$

**Remark 4.6.** *Let  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . There exist non-isomorphic graphs  $G$  and  $H$  such that  $\dim_k(G) = \dim_k(H)$  and  $\dim_{k,f}(G) \neq \dim_{k,f}(H)$ . For  $n \geq 3k + 4$ ,  $\dim_k(C_n) = \dim_k(P_n)$  by Theorem 4.5 and  $\dim_{k,f}(C_n) \neq \dim_{k,f}(P_n)$  for  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{(2k+2)}$  by Theorems 3.4 and 3.11(c).*

We conclude the paper with some open problems.

**Question 4.7.** *For any tree  $T$  and for any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , can we determine  $\dim_{k,f}(T)$ ?*

**Question 4.8.** *For any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , can we characterize all connected graphs  $G$  satisfying  $\dim_{k,f}(G) = \dim_f(G)$ ?*

**Question 4.9.** *For any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , can we characterize all connected graphs  $G$  satisfying  $\dim_k(G) = \dim_{k,f}(G)$ ?*

## References

- [1] S. Arumugam and V. Mathew, The fractional metric dimension of graphs. *Discrete Math.* **312** (2012) 1584-1590.
- [2] S. Arumugam, V. Mathew and J. Shen, On fractional metric dimension of graphs. *Discrete Math. Algorithms Appl.* **5** (2013) 1350037.
- [3] A.F. Beardon and J.A. Rodríguez-Velázquez, On the  $k$ -metric dimension of metric spaces. *Ars Math. Contemp.* **16** (2019) 25-38.
- [4] G. Chartrand, L. Eroh, M.A. Johnson and O.R. Oellermann, Resolvability in graphs and the metric dimension of a graph. *Discrete Appl. Math.* **105** (2000) 99-113.
- [5] J. Currie and O.R. Oellermann, The metric dimension and metric independence of a graph. *J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput.* **39** (2001) 157-167.

- [6] L. Eroh, C.X. Kang and E. Yi, Metric dimension and zero forcing number of two families of line graphs. *Math Bohem.* **139(3)** (2014) 467-483.
- [7] A. Estrada-Moreno, On the  $(k, t)$ -metric dimension of a graph. Dissertation, Universitat Rovira i Virgili, 2016.
- [8] A. Estrada-Moreno, I.G. Yero and J.A. Rodríguez-Velázquez, On the  $(k, t)$ -metric dimension of graphs. *Comput. J.* **64(5)** (2021) 707-720.
- [9] M. Fehr, S. Gosselin and O.R. Oellermann, The metric dimension of Cayley digraphs. *Discrete Math.* **306** (2006) 31-41.
- [10] H. Fernau and J.A. Rodríguez-Velázquez, On the (adjacency) metric dimension of corona and strong product graphs and their local variants: combinatorial and computational results. *Discrete Appl. Math.* **236** (2018) 183-202.
- [11] R.M. Frongillo, J. Geneson, M.E. Lladser, R.C. Tillquist and E. Yi, Truncated metric dimension for finite graphs. *submitted*<sup>1</sup>
- [12] M.R. Garey and D.S. Johnson, *Computers and intractability: A guide to the theory of NP-completeness*. Freeman, New York, 1979.
- [13] J. Geneson and E. Yi, Broadcast metric dimension. *arXiv:2005.07311v1*
- [14] F. Harary and R.A. Melter, On the metric dimension of a graph. *Ars Combin.* **2** (1976) 191-195.
- [15] C. Hernando, M. Mora, I.M. Pelayo, C. Seara and D.R. Wood, Extremal graph theory for metric dimension and diameter. *Electron. J. Combin.* (2010) #R30.
- [16] M. Jannesari and B. Omoomi, The metric dimension of the lexicographic product of graphs. *Discrete Math.* **312(22)** (2012) 3349-3356.
- [17] C.X. Kang, On the fractional strong metric dimension of graphs. *Discrete Appl. Math.* **213** (2016) 153-161.
- [18] C.X. Kang and E. Yi, The fractional strong metric dimension of graphs. *Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci.* **8287** (2013) 84-95.
- [19] S. Khuller, B. Raghavachari and A. Rosenfeld, Landmarks in graphs. *Discrete Appl. Math.* **70** (1996) 217-229.
- [20] C. Poisson and P. Zhang, The metric dimension of unicyclic graphs. *J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput.* **40** (2002) 17-32.
- [21] E.R. Scheinerman and D.H. Ullman, Fractional graph theory: A rational approach to the theory of graphs. John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1997.
- [22] P.J. Slater, Leaves of trees. *Congr. Numer.* **14** (1975) 549-559.
- [23] R.C. Tillquist, Low-dimensional embeddings for symbolic data science. Dissertation, University of Colorado, Boulder, 2020.
- [24] E. Yi, The fractional metric dimension of permutation graphs. *Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.)* **31** (2015) 367-382.

---

<sup>1</sup>This paper is a result of merging the following two papers: (1) J. Geneson and E. Yi, The distance- $k$  dimension of graphs. *arXiv:2106.08303v2*; (2) R.C. Tillquist, R.M. Frongillo and M.E. Lladser, Truncated metric dimension for finite graphs. *arXiv:2106.14314v1*