

# Sentence-level Online Handwritten Chinese Character Recognition

Yunxin Li  
Harbin Institute of Technology  
Shenzhen, China  
liyunxin987@163.com

Qian Yang  
Harbin Institute of Technology  
Shenzhen, China  
20s051056@stu.hit.edu.cn

Qingcai Chen  
Harbin Institute of Technology  
Shenzhen, China

Lin Ma  
Meituan  
Beijing, China  
forest.linma@gmail.com

Baotian Hu  
Harbin Institute of Technology  
Shenzhen, China  
hubaotian@hit.edu.cn

Xiaolong Wang  
Harbin Institute of Technology  
Shenzhen, China

Yuxin Ding  
Harbin Institute of Technology  
Shenzhen, China

## ABSTRACT

Single online handwritten Chinese character recognition (single OLHCCR) has achieved prominent performance. However, in real application scenarios, users always write multiple Chinese characters to form one complete sentence and the contextual information within these characters holds the significant potential to improve the accuracy, robustness and efficiency of sentence-level OLHCCR. In this work, we first propose a simple and straightforward end-to-end network, namely vanilla compositional network (VCN) to tackle the sentence-level OLHCCR. It couples convolutional neural network with sequence modeling architecture to exploit the handwritten character's previous contextual information. Although VCN performs much better than the state-of-the-art single OLHCCR model, it exposes high fragility when confronting with not well written characters such as sloppy writing, missing or broken strokes. To improve the robustness of sentence-level OLHCCR, we further propose a novel deep spatial-temporal fusion network (DSTFN). It utilizes a pre-trained autoregressive framework as the backbone component, which projects each Chinese character into word embeddings, and integrates the spatial glyph features of handwritten characters and their contextual information multiple times at multi-layer fusion module. We also construct a large-scale sentence-level handwriting dataset, named as CSOHD to evaluate models. Extensive experiment results demonstrate that DSTFN achieves the state-of-the-art performance, which presents strong robustness compared with VCN and existing single OLHCCR models. The in-depth empirical analysis and case studies indicate that DSTFN can significantly improve the efficiency of handwriting input, with

the handwritten Chinese character with incomplete strokes being recognized precisely.

## CCS CONCEPTS

• **Computing methodologies** → **Object recognition**; *Artificial intelligence*; *Computer vision*; *Computer vision problems*.

## KEYWORDS

handwriting recognition, sentence-level, multi-modal fusion

### ACM Reference Format:

Yunxin Li, Qian Yang, Qingcai Chen, Lin Ma, Baotian Hu, Xiaolong Wang, and Yuxin Ding. 2018. Sentence-level Online Handwritten Chinese Character Recognition. In *Woodstock '18: ACM Symposium on Neural Gaze Detection, June 03–05, 2018, Woodstock, NY*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 10 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/1122445.1122456>

## 1 INTRODUCTION

Online handwritten Chinese character recognition (OLHCCR) has made a remarkable progress. Most researches [15, 19, 23, 25] focus on the single handwritten Chinese character recognition where each character is predicted independently without considering its previous contextual information as shown in Figure 1(a). How to deal with the sloppy writing, missing or broken strokes is always a challenging problem for OLHCCR. As shown in Figure 1(b), '话' (speech) written by Person 1 (the last handwritten character) is extremely similar to the character '活' (live) because of the sloppy writing of '讠' and '讠'. Our preliminary investigation shows that even the state-of-the-art single online handwritten Chinese character recognition model SSDCNN [20] fails to recognize it. As we know, users always write consecutive Chinese characters to form complete sentences in real application scenarios. It is very obvious that '活' (live) does not conform with the contextual information of the sentence. It indicates that the contextual information has the significant potential to improve the accuracy and robustness of OLHCCR.

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than ACM must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from [permissions@acm.org](mailto:permissions@acm.org).

Woodstock '18, June 03–05, 2018, Woodstock, NY

© 2018 Association for Computing Machinery.  
ACM ISBN 978-1-4503-XXXX-X/18/06...\$15.00  
<https://doi.org/10.1145/1122445.1122456>


For sentence-level online handwritten Chinese character recognition (sentence-level OLHCCR), the contextual information can also help infer Chinese characters with incomplete strokes to promote the overall efficiency of handwriting input, yet it is a very challenging task. Firstly, it mainly confronts two technical challenges: 1) how to integrate the glyph feature of handwritten character and its previous contextual information. 2) how to design precise, robust, and efficient sentence-level OLHCCR models. Secondly, at the data level, there is no large-scale Chinese sentence-level handwriting dataset and constructing one needs enormous time and resources.

To tackle the technical challenges of sentence-level OLHCCR, the traditional method considers the contextual information of handwritten Chinese characters based on the recognized candidate characters [27, 34], which is a multi-stage pipeline instead of the unitized end-to-end approach. The procedure is complicated and inefficient. We argue it can be by natural end-to-end compositional networks and first propose a simple and straightforward end-to-end network, namely vanilla compositional network (VCN). It couples deep convolutional network with sequence modeling structure such as recurrent neural networks [6, 9, 12] and Transformer [33]. It integrates the previous contextual information based on the representation of each handwritten character yielded by the deep convolutional network. Although VCN incorporates the contextual information of handwritten characters, it exposes high fragility while confronting with not well written characters, as the convolutional representations of them are indistinguishable due to the irregular shapes of them.

To further enhance the robustness of end-to-end network, we design the deep spatial-temporal fusion network (DSTFN) that contains the core multi-layer fusion module and glyph representation module. We adopt word embeddings to represent the previously recognized handwritten Chinese characters while predicting at ea-ch time step, which overcomes the problem of inadequate representations of not well written characters. Specifically, we utilize a Transformer based pre-trained autoregressive framework as the backbone structure. The representation of handwritten Chinese characters obtained by the glyph representation module and their contextual information are integrated multiple times in the multi-layer fusion module. Such proposed multi-layer fusion method that continuously integrates contextual information and glyph features makes the architecture of DSTFN stable.

Moreover, we reorganize a large-scale Chinese sentence-level online handwriting dataset named as CSOHD<sup>1</sup>. We construct it by the way of pair based on collected approximately 2.4 million sentences from Chinese Wikipedia<sup>2</sup> and Sougou news corpus<sup>3</sup> and 900 sets of single handwritten Chinese character. We conduct extensive experiments on CSOHD and the results demonstrate the performance of DSTFN significantly outperforms the exiting single OLHCCR model and VCN. And the in-depth empirical analysis indicates that DSTFN is more robust and efficient compared with other models, recognizing the handwritten Chinese character with incomplete strokes precisely.

The main contributions of our work are three-fold:



(a) Each handwritten character is predicted independently.

Do not like to talk to strangers

Truth: 不爱和陌生人说话  
 Person 1: 不~~爱~~和陌生人说话  
 Person 2: 不~~爱~~和陌生人~~说~~话  
 Person 3: 不~~爱~~和陌生人~~说~~话

(b) Handwritten characters have their contextual information.

**Figure 1: Figure (a) represents the single online handwritten character recognition method. Figure (b) represents some handwritten sentences and the blue colored characters are with missing or broken strokes.**

- We present two end-to-end models for sentence-level OLHCCR: vanilla compositional network (VCN) and deep spatial-temporal fusion network (DSTFN) that integrates the glyph feature of handwritten character and its previous contextual information.
- To address the lack of large-scale handwritten sentences, we collect enormous sentences and handwritten character sets to construct a Chinese sentence-level online handwriting dataset, namely CSOHD.
- Extensive experiments demonstrate that DSTFN is more precise, robust and efficient than VCN and the state-of-the-art single OLHCCR model on the constructed CSOHD dataset.

## 2 RELATED WORKS

In this section, we introduce the recent methods of OLHCCR and multi-modal fusion.

In the early researches of handwritten Chinese character recognition, researchers focus solely on the structural feature of Chinese characters. With the advent of statistical learning methods, most of handwritten Chinese character recognition are mainly divided into structural methods and statistical methods [18, 43], and the above two methods mainly rely on the handcraft features. Recently, the deep conventional neural networks (DCNNs) such as ZFNet [42], GoogLeNet [32], VGG [31] and ResNet [8], can capture the complex features of images and perform well on many image tasks such as digital classification [1], image classification [16] and image representation [10]. Hence, researchers utilize DCNNs (e.g. MCDNN [3], SSDCNN [20]) to learn multi-dimension features of handwritten Chinese characters, which achieves significant success [13, 26, 39, 44]. The above-mentioned traditional methods

<sup>1</sup>We will open it in the future.

<sup>2</sup><https://dumps.wikimedia.org/zhwiki/>

<sup>3</sup>[https://www.sougou.com/labs/resource/list\\_yuliao.php](https://www.sougou.com/labs/resource/list_yuliao.php)

usually address issues in three stages: data processing, feature extraction, and classification [20].

For OLHCCR, Liu et al. [20] propose SSDCNN model that integrates the sequence of strokes and eight directional features of Chinese characters, achieving the state-of-the-art performance on single Chinese character recognition. However, the application scenario of OLHCCR is generally at sentence level. The single online handwritten Chinese character recognition (single OLHCCR) model and traditional sentence-level handwritten recognition models [34] confront the intrinsic difficulty of inferior efficiency compared to the sentence-level pinyin input method [36]. To step forward the research of sentence-level, We first reorganize a novel dataset by the way of pair based on millions of sentences from large news corpus and hundreds of handwritten sets of single Chinese character, to simulate the sentence-level handwriting input scene.

Compared to single OLHCCR, handwritten Chinese characters of sentence-level OLHCCR contain the contextual information as well as the static glyph features of them. It can be reduced to the sequential classification task according to the step-by-step inferring way. As we know, for the similar natural language text generation task such as Abstractive Summarization [2, 5], Question Generation [30] and Paraphrase Generation [24], recurrent neural networks (RNNs) [4, 9] and Transformer [33] based models [17, 28, 29, 38] can encode the natural language text and generate coherent text. Hence, we apply language models to capture the contextual information of handwritten characters and first propose a simple vanilla compositional network (VCN).


While confronting with not well written characters, the representation of handwritten Chinese characters obtained by deep convolutional networks is insufficient. However, the word representation methods (e.g. one-hot encoding, distributed representation [7]) can convert any character into uniquely identified word embeddings without considering the glyph feature of it [22] and have made a remarkable progress in natural language processing. Hence, we utilize word embeddings to represent previously recognized handwritten Chinese characters and propose a deep spatial-temporal fusion network (DSTFN). It integrates the contextual information encoded in word embeddings space and the extracted glyph representation of sequential handwritten characters, containing two-modal features. Inspired by the previous fusion methods of multi-modal representation such as Early Fusion LSTM [35], Tensor Fusion Network [40], Memory Fusion Network [41] and Low-rank multi-modal Fusion [21], we design a multi-modal fusion sub-layer in each block of DSTFN to tackle the above features.

### 3 OUR METHODOLOGY

We introduce the problem formulation in Section 3.1 and the vanilla compositional framework in Section 3.2. Then, we propose the deep spatial-temporal fusion network in Section 3.3.

#### 3.1 Problem Formulation

Compared to single OLHCCR whose input and output both are single character, the input and output of sentence-level OLHCCR are sentences. The input is the sentence composed of consecutive handwritten Chinese characters and each character consists of multiple strokes, denoted as  $\mathbf{H} = (s_{1,1}, \dots, s_{i,j}, \dots, s_{i,k_i}, \dots, s_{n,k_n})$ , where



**Figure 2: The overall structure of vanilla compositional network composed of deep convolutional network and language model.**

$s_{i,j}$  represents the  $j$ th stroke of the  $i$ th handwritten character,  $s_{i,k_i}$  represents the final stroke of  $i$ th handwritten character and  $n$  is the number of characters. Despite users do not necessarily write Chinese characters in the correct order of strokes, each handwritten Chinese character can be divided into multiple fragments (at least the whole character) according to the handwriting pattern of humans. The output is the standard Chinese sentence denoted as  $\mathbf{T} = (w_1, \dots, w_n)$ , where  $w_i$  represents the  $i$ th word in the sentence.

#### 3.2 Vanilla Compositional Network

For sentence-level OLHCCR, an intuitive approach is to use deep convolutional neural network for single handwritten Chinese character representation with one followed language model to capture the previous contextual information of it as shown in Figure 2. We name this simple end-to-end framework vanilla combination network (VCN). Concretely, we formulate the strokes based deep convolutional neural network (SBDCNN) to extract the image features of handwritten Chinese characters, which utilizes the method presented in state-of-the-art single OLHCCR model SSDCNN [20]. Then, we apply language models LSTM [9] or GPT-2 [28] to learn the contextual information of handwritten characters, i.e. the dependency patterns between characters in handwritten sentences. Note that each character only observe its previous characters. The whole process is that the sequential representation obtained by SBDCNN is inputted into the downstream language model to get the hidden state that incorporates the contextual information. Finally, we utilize a Linear and Softmax layer as the final output layer of VCN to predict the target words of input sequential handwritten Chinese characters.

#### 3.3 Deep Spatial-Temporal Fusion Network

Although VCN incorporates the contextual information of handwritten characters, the convolutional representation of characters with not well written shape (e.g. sloppy writing, missing or broken strokes) is inadequate to be directly used in the downstream network because the representation of them is indistinguishable and it easily causes cascading errors while inferring.

To address the inadequate representation problem of not well written characters and deeply integrate glyph features of handwritten characters and their contextual information, we propose the deep spatial-temporal fusion network (DSTFN). As shown in Figure 3, DSTFN is composed of core *multi-layer fusion module* and *glyph representation module*. We introduce the structure and function of each module in detail below.

**3.3.1 Glyph Representation Module.** The glyph representation module is used to obtain the sequential representation of handwritten characters. We utilize the aforementioned SBDCNN model as the glyph representation framework except for the different output dimension of the last fully connected layer as shown in Figure 3. We set the image size of each stroke to  $32 \times 32$  and the maximum number of each character to 28. More concretely, for any handwritten Chinese character, we fill the gap between the last stroke and the maximum number set with full-zero feature maps. The position on each feature map is set to 1 where the strokes pass; otherwise, the position is set to 0.

The architecture of glyph representation module contains four identical blocks and each block has three sub-layers, which are the convolutional layer, residual layer and max-pooling layer in turn. The multi-channel stroke maps encoded by convolutional sub-layer of the  $l$ th layer can be denoted as Eq. 1.

$$z_c^l = \text{ReLU}(W^l z^{l-1} + b^l) \quad (1)$$

where  $z^{l-1}$  is the output of the  $l-1$ th layer.  $w^l$  and  $b^l$  are the parameters of the convolutional layer. The output  $z_c^l$  then passes the residual network layer [8] and the process can be denoted as Eq. 2.

$$z_r^l = W_s^l z_c^l + \mathcal{F}(z_c^l, W_r^l) \quad (2)$$


where the function  $\mathcal{F}(z_c^l, W_r^l)$  represents the residual operation block. It generally contains the convolutional layer and activation function. Note that we perform the projection parameter  $W_s^l$  for the shortcut connection to make sure that the output and input of residual block have the matching dimensions. The residual operation layer is followed by the max-pooling operation, which can expand the horizon of perception and maintain the invariance of spatial rotation and translation. We utilize  $2 \times 2$  window for multi-channel feature maps  $z_r^l$ , which can be denoted as Eq. 3.

$$z^l = \text{Max}_{2 \times 2}(z_r^l) \quad (3)$$

The whole process is iterated through four convolutional blocks until gaining the fixed length output vector. We can gain the final representation of one handwritten Chinese character, denoted as  $\mathbf{R} = (r_1, \dots, r_m)$ , where  $m$  is the dimension of the output vector. Hence, the representation of sequential handwritten Chinese characters can be denoted as  $\mathcal{R} = (\mathbf{R}_1, \mathbf{R}_2, \dots, \mathbf{R}_n)$  where  $n$  is the length of the input sequence.

**3.3.2 Multi-layer Fusion Module.** After obtaining the glyph representation of handwritten characters, we utilize the multi-layer fusion module shown in Figure 3 to integrate the glyph feature of handwritten characters and their contextual information.

First, we project the sentence example  $\mathbf{T} = (w_1, \dots, w_n)$  into the fixed-dimensional word embeddings via a learnable embedding table, which has the start symbol *BOS*. Meanwhile, we incorporate the learned positional information for the sequence as shown in Figure 3 to help learn the dependency relationship between words. After the word representation is obtained, we first pre-train Transformer decoder based autoregressive framework by the task of predicting the next word, where each layer contains two sub-layers, i.e. Masked Multi-Head Attention layer and Multi Perceptron layer. It can obtain context-sensitive word representation and generate



**Figure 3: Overview of the Deep Spatial-Temporal Fusion Network for sentence-level OLHCCR.** ( $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n$ ) represent the sequential multi-channel stroke maps of handwritten characters. The glyph representation module is used to represent the handwriting input. The multi-modal fusion sub-layer is used to integrate the glyph feature of handwritten characters and their contextual information gained by the masked multi-head attention sub-layer. The value of  $N$  is set to 4.

coherent sentences. Ideally, the pre-trained autoregressive framework can capture the dependency between words and predict the following word accurately, given the preceding words.

However, it is notable that sentence-level OLHCCR has continuous strokes input of the target word at each time step of predicting besides the outputs of previous steps compared to text generation task [11]. Inspired by the above observation, we incorporate the prompting glyph information of the target word into each layer of the aforementioned autoregressive framework by adding the extra multi-modal fusion sub-layer, and the other sub-layers are identical as the structure of pre-trained autoregressive framework. The overall multi-layer fusion module has four identical blocks as shown in Figure 3. Each block can be computed as Eq. 4.

$$\begin{aligned} h_l^M &= \text{LayerNorm}(h_{l-1} + \text{MultiHeadAttention}(h_{l-1})) \\ h_l^F &= \text{LayerNorm}(h_l^M + \text{MultiModalFusion}(h_l^M)) \\ h_l &= \text{LayerNorm}(h_l^F + \text{MLP}(h_l^F)) \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where  $h_{l-1}$  is the output of the  $l-1$ th layer. **MultiHeadAttention** is based on the multi-head attention mechanism, which can capture the contextual information of each handwritten character. **MLP** is

The child is introverted and does not like to talk to strangers  
 这孩子性格内向不爱和陌生人说话  
 Person 1:   
 Person 2:   
 Diversity:

**Figure 4: Person 1/2 represent each character is from the same handwritten set. Diversity represents each character is from different handwritten sets.**

composed of fully connected neural network and activation function as the feed-forward networks of Transformer [33]. The computation of MultiModalFusion is denoted as Eq. 5.

$$y = W_F^l [h^M, \mathcal{R}] + b^l \quad (5)$$

where  $[\cdot]$  represents the concatenate operation and  $W_F^l, b^l$  are the projection parameters. Concatenate operation is a useful method for integrating two modal information generally [35]. The top output of multi-layer fusion module, denoted as  $G = (g_1, \dots, g_n)$ , goes through a Linear and Softmax layer to obtain the final prediction probability as Eq. 6.

$$P(\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n)) = \text{Softmax}(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{G} + \mathbf{b}) \quad (6)$$

where  $P(\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n))$  is the prediction probability of the whole sequence of input handwritten Chinese characters and  $\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{b}$  are the parameters.

To summarize, the method of utilizing word embeddings to represent identified handwritten characters enriches the representation of them and further addresses the inadequate representation problem of not well written characters. The multi-layer fusion module integrates the contextual information of handwritten Chinese characters and the glyph features of them multiple times, to highly integrate the temporal and spatial features. The whole architecture of DSTFN is more stabilized and stronger compared to VCN.

### 3.4 Training and Inference

For training, suppose the target sequence  $\mathbf{T} = (w_1, \dots, w_n)$  has been obtained, VCN can be trained via minimizing the loss function as Eq. 7.

$$\mathcal{L}_{sg} = - \sum_{i=1}^n \log p_i(x_i = w_i | s_{1,1}, \dots, s_{i,j}, \dots, s_{i,k_i}) \quad (7)$$

and DSTFN can be trained via minimizing the loss function as Eq. 8.

$$\mathcal{L}_{DFTRN} = - \sum_{i=1}^n \log p_i(x_i = w_i | s_{1,1}, \dots, s_{i,j}, \dots, s_{i,k_i}; w_1, \dots, w_{i-1}) \quad (8)$$

where  $(s_{1,1}, \dots, s_{i,j}, \dots, s_{i,k_i})$  represent the sequential handwritten Chinese characters and  $(w_1, \dots, w_{i-1})$  represent the target sequence of input handwritten characters before the  $i$ th position.

For inferring, at each time step, the input of VCN is the sequential handwritten characters until the current time step, yet the input of DSTFN has the characters recognized in the previous time steps besides the above inputs. The outputs of the two methods are both

**Table 1: The detailed statistics of CSOHD. Len-1/2/3(%) represent the proportion of the three levels of sentence length, which is divided according to an interval of 10 words.**

CSOHD	Size	Len-1(%)	Len-2(%)	Len-3(%)
# Train	2,386,485	31.63	34.58	32.79
# Dev	15,412	23.60	42.89	33.51
# Test	46,089	20.31	41.80	37.89

the recognition results of all handwritten Chinese characters up to the current time step.

## 4 CHINESE SENTENCE-LEVEL ONLINE HANDWRITING DATASET

### 4.1 Dataset Construction

**Handwritten Chinese Character Set.** The character set is 3755 Chinese characters based on the level-1 of GB2312-80 and we collect 900 sets of handwritten Chinese characters from the exiting data set such as CASIA-OLHWDB 1.0 and 1.1, HIT-OR3C and SCUT-COUCH2009, where only 513 sets of them contain the complete 3755 Chinese characters. Hence, We select 200 complete sets of handwritten Chinese characters for testing and select another 100 ones for validation set. The rest 600 sets of handwritten characters are utilized for training.

**Sentence Corpus.** We collect enormous texts from Sougou news corpus and Chinese Wikipedia, and then split them into sentences according to segmentation symbols. We clear up the special symbols in the sentences and filter out the sentences that have characters not contained in the aforementioned character set. We retain sentences between 10 and 40 words. The detailed statistics are shown in Table 1.

**Reorganization.** We match the Chinese characters in every sentence with the corresponding handwritten forms to reorganize a large-scale Chinese sentence-level online handwriting dataset named as CSOHD. As 'Diversity' shown in Figure 4, each Chinese character has various matching handwritten forms, so each sentence can have millions of handwriting styles because each Chinese character has approximately 900 handwritten samples. Such simple yet effective way of reorganization can simulate almost any online handwriting scenario, based on large-scale sentence corpus and various handwritten Chinese characters.

### 4.2 Data Statistics

**Data Analysis.** As shown in Table 1 and Figure 5(d), we count the proportion of sentence length in the dataset, where the length of the sentence is divided into three levels according to the interval of 10 words. To better evaluate the recognition ability of models at sentence level, sentences with more than 20 Chinese words in the validation and test set account for more proportion, compared to the uniform distribution of the three length levels in the training set. Note that the 3755 Chinese characters must be contained in the train/dev/test set in order to fully evaluate all handwritten Chinese characters. Moreover, from Figure 5(a) to Figure 5(c), we observe that the word distribution of train, dev and test set are almost identical, which ensure the consistency of data features to a certain






Figure 5: The statistics of SOHCD, containing the word distribution of dataset and the distribution of sentence length.

Table 2: Automatic evaluation on the test set under the condition that all handwritten Chinese characters has the full strokes.  $P@i$  ( $i=1,2,3,4,5$ ) represents the proportion of the  $i$  results with the highest probability that contains the correct category.

Model	P@1	P@2	P@3	P@4	P@5
SBDCNN	97.68	98.69	98.97	99.16	99.23
VCN (LSTM)	97.99	98.72	98.96	99.10	99.17
VCN (GPT)	98.62	99.31	<b>99.49</b>	<b>99.55</b>	<b>99.61</b>
DSTFN	<b>98.73</b>	<b>99.33</b>	99.45	99.53	99.59

extent. Most words are low-frequency and a few commonly used words are high-frequency, which conforms to the human habit of using Chinese.

## 5 EXPERIMENTS

### 5.1 Experiment Setting

**SBDCNN**: there are various single OLHCCR models which have achieved significant performance such as DSamCNN [37], DirMapCNN [43] and MCDNN [3]. We adopt the state-of-the-art model SBDCNN [20] that achieves the high performance and can model the sequence of strokes. **VCN**: we select sequence modeling architectures LSTM and GPT-2 for the downstream network, named VCN (LSTM) and VCN (GPT). We set the depth of LSTM and GPT-2 both to 4. **DSTFN**: the dimension of the hidden size is 256, identical as the aforementioned VCN model. Each block of it has eight attention heads.

We construct our vocabulary on Chinese Internal Code Specification<sup>4</sup> whose size is 3755. To speed up training, we load the stroke

<sup>4</sup><http://www.sunchateau.com/free/fantizi/ziku/gbk.htm>

Table 3: Automatic evaluation on the test set with five selected sets of handwritten characters. We uniformly utilize  $p@1$  as the evaluation metric. HS-1, 2, ..., 5 represent the selected five sets of single handwritten Chinese character.

Model	HS-1	HS-2	HS-3	HS-4	HS-5
SBDCNN	95.26	70.20	81.14	90.03	97.05
VCN (LSTM)	97.04	71.56	88.45	91.43	97.03
VCN (GPT)	97.87	76.46	89.29	91.80	98.25
DSTFN	<b>98.15</b>	<b>78.47</b>	<b>92.25</b>	<b>91.89</b>	<b>98.32</b>




Figure 6: Two handwritten Chinese character samples of the selected five sets. The written shapes of HS-2/3 are more sloppy than others.

maps to memory at first instead of repeatedly loading. Due to the limit of the memory size, we load 150 sets each time, and randomly reload the handwritten Chinese character sets every 1000 steps to get new sets. For the selected 150 sets, we randomly select one handwritten sample for each character of the sentence. For VCN and DSTFN, we pre-train LSTM, GPT-2 and Transformer based autoregressive framework on the training sentences for 8 epochs to make them better understand the sentences and the maximum sequence length is set to 512. During training, each character in sentences is represented by one  $28 \times 32 \times 32$  tensor and we train the above models on 3 V100 GPUs through the Adam [14] optimizer with the base learning rate of  $1e-3$  and set the batch size to 64.

### 5.2 Results and Analysis

In this section, we present and analyse the performances of models introduced in Section 3.2 and 3.3 on our designed experiments.

**5.2.1 Accuracy.** We first test all models under the circumstance that each handwritten character has complete strokes, which can evaluate the best performance of models without considering the robustness and efficiency at sentence level. During inferring, the number of handwritten character sets are too large to be tested for each set. Hence, we randomly extract one handwritten sample from the 200 handwritten sets for each character and test **five** times for each model to ensure the confidence of experiment results. The average results are shown in Table 2. Moreover, we select five sets from the total 200 test sets of handwritten Chinese characters and separately test characters with the selected five forms for all models. The experiment result is shown in Table 3. We also select two handwritten character samples from the selected five handwritten sets, shown in Figure 6, where the handwritten characters of HS-2/3/4 are extremely irregular. Note that the selected five handwritten sets are used in the following experiments.

**Table 4: Automatic evaluation on the test set under the condition that all handwritten Chinese characters retain 70% of strokes.**

Model	P@1	P@2	P@3	P@4	P@5
SBDCNN	72.21	78.94	82.11	84.20	85.65
VCN (GPT)	76.10	81.21	83.57	85.09	86.07
DSTFN	<b>81.97</b>	<b>87.28</b>	<b>89.48</b>	<b>90.71</b>	<b>91.51</b>

**Table 5: Automatic evaluation (P@1) on the test set with five selected handwritten sets where each handwritten Chinese character retains 70% of strokes.**

Model	HS-1	HS-2	HS-3	HS-4	HS-5
SBDCNN	49.71	24.33	42.10	41.72	56.83
VCN (GPT)	54.46	29.02	46.76	48.45	62.81
DSTFN	<b>64.56</b>	<b>31.43</b>	<b>55.99</b>	<b>51.18</b>	<b>67.38</b>

**Table 6: Automatic evaluation on the test set under the circumstance that each handwritten Chinese character misses the last stroke.**


Model	P@1	P@2	P@3	P@4	P@5
SBDCNN	88.87	92.37	93.62	94.35	94.85
VCN (GPT)	90.27	93.02	93.95	94.50	94.89
DSTFN	<b>92.81</b>	<b>95.22</b>	<b>96.01</b>	<b>96.45</b>	<b>96.72</b>

The experiment results in Table 2 show that VCN (GPT) and DSTFN perform well on all evaluation metrics, especially on P@1 where they both exceed SBDCNN by about 1 point. Compared with single-character recognition model, models that consider the previous contextual information of characters perform better on most evaluation metrics. Furthermore, from the experiment results of Table 3, we observe that the recognition accuracy of DSTFN is very higher than single-character model SBDCNN especially on irregular and scribbled handwritten Chinese characters (P2: 78.47 vs 70.20, P3: 92.25 vs 81.14). The above experiment results demonstrate that single-character recognition model can not recognize irregular and scribbled handwritten Chinese characters well without considering their contextual information, and models that incorporate the contextual information of handwritten characters are more robust and generalized. Compared to VCN(LSTM), VCN(GPT) performs better on all evaluation metrics, which indicates that pre-trained language models with huger parameters can learn more abundant sentence-level contextual information. An interesting phenomenon shown in Table 2 is that the recognition accuracy gap between DSTFN and VCN (GPT) is not great, which may be attributed to the fact that the glyph representation of handwritten Chinese character and its corresponding word embeddings have almost identical effect as the representation of them with complete strokes.

**5.2.2 Robustness and Efficiency.** To evaluate the robustness of models, we test them under the circumstance that each handwritten Chinese character retains 70% strokes or losses the last stroke. We retrain the models introduced in Section 5.1 under the

**Table 7: Automatic evaluation (P@1) on the test set with five selected handwritten sets where each handwritten Chinese character misses the last stroke.**

Model	HS-1	HS-2	HS-3	HS-4	HS-5
SBDCNN	75.34	31.10	55.94	58.95	78.27
VCN (GPT)	78.67	35.48	56.82	63.41	79.73
DSTFN	<b>81.59</b>	<b>37.98</b>	<b>60.95</b>	<b>70.45</b>	<b>82.00</b>

	The child is introverted and does not like to talk to strangers
	这孩子性格内向不爱和陌生人说话
MLS-Person A:	
SBDCNN:	这孩子性格内向 <b>石乳</b> 和 <b>阵亡七</b> 说话
VCN (GPT):	这孩子性格内向 <b>不受</b> 和 <b>防生么</b> 说话
DSTFN:	这孩子性格内向不爱和陌生人说话


**Figure 7: MLS-Person A represents that each handwritten character is with missing the last stroke. Red colored words are the wrong recognition result (same as the figure below).**

above circumstances of missing strokes, and the test procedure is identical as in the case of complete strokes except that the stroke retention of handwritten characters is different. The experiment results in tables 4 to 7 show that DSTFN significantly exceeds VCN and SBDCNN on all evaluation metrics (e.g. P@1, HS-2/3). The results also demonstrate that DSTFN is more robust than the other two models due to less performance degradation. In addition, we observe that the recognition ability of DSTFN decreases less than the other two models as the strokes of handwritten character reduce, especially on P@5. It further indicates that it is a feasible and robust method to integrate the glyph features of handwritten characters and their contextual information multi times based on the strong memory ability of pre-trained autoregressive framework.

As shown in Figure 7, Chinese characters with similar structures are extremely identical while missing strokes, such as the third last words of the sentence: '七' (seven), '么' (me) and '人' (people) have the similar stroke '丿'. Hence, the spatial features of handwritten characters with missing strokes extracted by the deep convolutional network easily lack the discrimination. It may lead to the weak robustness of DSTFN and VCN(GPT). From Figure 8, we observe that DSTFN still recognizes '坦' (smooth) accurately while it retains 70% of strokes, which has a similar structure with another Chinese character '坤' (Kun) at this time. It may be attributed to the fact that the phrase '真诚坦率' (sincere and frank) is often used by persons and DSTFN can learn and utilize words combination information well. From the above experiment results and instances, we observe that DSTFN performs best among all compared models whether it is a simple or complex Chinese character, whether it has complete or incomplete handwritten forms. In conclusion, DSTFN is the most robust model among compared models for recognizing sentence-level online handwriting input.







**Figure 10: The statistics of minimum number of strokes at each position recognized accurately by models. The evaluation metric of accuracy is P@3.**

propose the vanilla compositional network (VCN) coupled deep convolutional network with language model, and further design deep spatial-temporal fusion network (DSTFN) in view of not well written characters. Finally, we conduct extensive experiments to evaluate the accuracy, robustness and efficiency of our models. DSTFN performs best and achieves excellent performance under all scenarios. As for implementation, DSTFN with large parameters can be applied in actual applications efficiently with the rapid development of large-scale cloud servers.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Adam Byerly, Tatiana Kalganova, and Ian Dear. 2020. A branching and merging convolutional network with homogeneous filter capsules. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2001.09136* (2020).
- [2] Danqi Chen, Jason Bolton, and Christopher D. Manning. 2016. A Thorough Examination of the CNN/Daily Mail Reading Comprehension Task. In *Proceedings of the 54th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*. 2358–2367. <https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/P16-1223>
- [3] D. Ciregan, U. Meier, and J. Schmidhuber. 2012. Multi-column deep neural networks for image classification. In *2012 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*. 3642–3649. <https://doi.org/10.1109/CVPR.2012.6248110>
- [4] Albert Gatt and Emiel Krahmer. 2017. Survey of the State of the Art in Natural Language Generation: Core tasks, applications and evaluation. *CoRR abs/1703.09902* (2017). <http://arxiv.org/abs/1703.09902>
- [5] Sebastian Gehrmann, Yuntian Deng, and Alexander Rush. 2018. Bottom-Up Abstractive Summarization. In *Proceedings of the 2018 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*. 4098–4109. <https://www.aclweb.org/anthology/D18-1443>
- [6] Alex Graves. 2013. Generating sequences with recurrent neural networks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1308.0850*.
- [7] Zellig Harris. 1954. Distributional structure. *Word* 10, 2-3 (1954), 146–162. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-009-8467-7\\_1](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-009-8467-7_1)
- [8] Kaiming He, Xiangyu Zhang, Shaoqing Ren, and Jian Sun. 2016. Deep residual learning for image recognition. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*. 770–778.
- [9] Sepp Hochreiter and Jürgen Schmidhuber. 1997. Long Short-Term Memory. *Neural Comput.* 9, 8 (Nov. 1997), 1735–1780. <https://doi.org/10.1162/neco.1997.9.8.1735>
- [10] Y. Hou, H. Zhang, and S. Zhou. 2015. Convolutional neural network-based image representation for visual loop closure detection. In *2015 IEEE International Conference on Information and Automation*. 2238–2245. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICInfA.2015.7279659>
- [11] Touseef Iqbal and Shaima Qureshi. 2020. The survey: Text generation models in deep learning. *Journal of King Saud University - Computer and Information Sciences* (2020).
- [12] Kun Jing and Jungang Xu. 2019. A Survey on Neural Network Language Models. *CoRR abs/1906.03591* (2019). <http://arxiv.org/abs/1906.03591>
- [13] A. Kawamura, K. Yura, T. Hayama, Y. Hidai, T. Minamikawa, A. Tanaka, and S. Masuda. 1992. Online recognition of freely handwritten Japanese characters using directional feature densities. In *Proceedings., 11th IAPR International Conference on Pattern Recognition. Vol.II. Conference B: Pattern Recognition Methodology and Systems*. 183–186. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICPR.1992.201750>
- [14] Diederik P. Kingma and Jimmy Ba. 2014. Adam: A Method for Stochastic Optimization. <http://arxiv.org/abs/1412.6980>
- [15] Songxuan Lai, Lianwen Jin, and Weixin Yang. 2017. Toward high-performance online HCCR: A CNN approach with DropDistortion, path signature and spatial stochastic max-pooling. *Pattern Recognition Letters* 89 (2017), 60–66.
- [16] Yann LeCun and Yoshua Bengio. 1998. *Convolutional Networks for Images, Speech, and Time Series*. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, USA, 255–258.
- [17] Mike Lewis, Yinhan Liu, Naman Goyal, Marjan Ghazvininejad, Abdelrahman Mohamed, Omer Levy, Veselin Stoyanov, and Luke Zettlemoyer. 2020. BART: Denoising Sequence-to-Sequence Pre-training for Natural Language Generation, Translation, and Comprehension. In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*. 7871–7880. <https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/2020.acl-main.703>
- [18] C. . Liu, S. Jaeger, and M. Nakagawa. 2004. 'Online recognition of Chinese characters: the state-of-the-art. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence* 26, 2 (2004), 198–213. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TPAMI.2004.1262182>
- [19] Cheng-Lin Liu and Xiang-Dong Zhou. 2006. Online Japanese character recognition using trajectory-based normalization and direction feature extraction. In *Tenth International Workshop on Frontiers in Handwriting Recognition*. Suvisoft.
- [20] X. Liu, B. Hu, Q. Chen, X. Wu, and J. You. 2020. Stroke Sequence-Dependent Deep Convolutional Neural Network for Online Handwritten Chinese Character Recognition. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems* 31, 11 (2020), 4637–4648. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TNNLS.2019.2956965>
- [21] Zhun Liu, Ying Shen, Varun Bharadhwaj Lakshminarasimhan, Paul Pu Liang, AmirAli Bagher Zadeh, and Louis-Philippe Morency. 2018. Efficient Low-rank Multimodal Fusion With Modality-Specific Factors. In *Proceedings of the 56th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*. Association for Computational Linguistics, Melbourne, Australia, 2247–2256. <https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/P18-1209>
- [22] Yuxian Meng, Wei Wu, Fei Wang, Xiaoya Li, Ping Nie, Fan Yin, Muyu Li, Qinghong Han, Xiaofei Sun, and Jiwei Li. 2019. Glyce: Glyph-vectors for Chinese Character Representations. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, H. Wallach, H. Larochelle, A. Beygelzimer, F. d'Alché-Buc, E. Fox, and R. Garnett (Eds.), Vol. 32. Curran Associates, Inc.
- [23] Vishal A Naik and Apurva A Desai. 2017. Online handwritten Gujarati character recognition using SVM, MLP, and K-NN. In *2017 8th International Conference on Computing, Communication and Networking Technologies (ICCCNT)*. IEEE, 1–6.
- [24] Badri Narayana Patro, Vinod Kumar Kurmi, Sandeep Kumar, and Vinay Namboodiri. 2018. Learning Semantic Sentence Embeddings using Sequential Pair-wise Discriminator. In *Proceedings of the 27th International Conference on Computational Linguistics*. 2715–2729. <https://www.aclweb.org/anthology/C18-1230>
- [25] R. Plamondon and S. N. Srihari. 2000. Online and off-line handwriting recognition: a comprehensive survey. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence* 22, 1 (2000), 63–84. <https://doi.org/10.1109/34.824821>
- [26] X. Qu, N. Xu, W. Wang, and K. Lu. 2015. Similar handwritten Chinese character recognition based on adaptive discriminative locality alignment. In *2015 14th IAPR International Conference on Machine Vision Applications (MVA)*. 130–133.
- [27] Solen Quiniou, François Bouteruche, and Eric Anquetil. 2009. Word extraction associated with a confidence index for online handwritten sentence recognition. *International Journal of Pattern Recognition and Artificial Intelligence* 23, 05 (2009), 945–966.
- [28] Alec Radford, Jeffrey Wu, Rewon Child, David Luan, Dario Amodei, and Ilya Sutskever. 2019. Language Models are Unsupervised Multitask Learners. *OpenAI blog* 1, 8 (2019), 9.
- [29] Colin Raffel, Noam Shazeer, Adam Roberts, Katherine Lee, Sharan Narang, Michael Matena, Yanqi Zhou, Wei Li, and Peter J. Liu. 2020. Exploring the Limits of Transfer Learning with a Unified Text-to-Text Transformer. *Journal of Machine Learning Research* 21, 140 (2020), 1–67. <http://jmlr.org/papers/v21/20-074.html>
- [30] Pranav Rajpurkar, Robin Jia, and Percy Liang. 2018. Know What You Don't Know: Unanswerable Questions for SQuAD. In *Proceedings of the 56th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 2: Short Papers)*. 784–789. <https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/P18-2124>
- [31] Karen Simonyan and Andrew Zisserman. 2014. Very deep convolutional networks for large-scale image recognition. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1409.1556* (2014).
- [32] C. Szegedy, Wei Liu, Yangqing Jia, P. Sermanet, S. Reed, D. Anguelov, D. Erhan, V. Vanhoucke, and A. Rabinovich. 2015. Going deeper with convolutions. In *2015 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*. 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1109/CVPR.2015.7298594>
- [33] Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N Gomez, Lukasz Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin. 2017. Attention is all you need. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1706.03762* (2017).
- [34] Da-Han Wang, Cheng-Lin Liu, and Xiang-Dong Zhou. 2012. An approach for real-time recognition of online Chinese handwritten sentences. *Pattern Recognition* 45, 10 (2012), 3661–3675.
- [35] Jennifer Williams, Steven Kleinogesse, Ramona Comanescu, and Oana Radu. 2018. Recognizing Emotions in Video Using Multimodal DNN Feature Fusion.

- In *Proceedings of Grand Challenge and Workshop on Human Multimodal Language (Challenge-HML)*. Association for Computational Linguistics, Melbourne, Australia, 11–19. <https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/W18-3302>
- [36] Z. Xu, X. Wang, and S. Jiang. 2000. A Sentence-Level Chinese Character Input Method. *HIGH TECHNOLOGY LETTERS* (2000).
- [37] Weixin Yang, Lianwen Jin, Dacheng Tao, Zecheng Xie, and Ziyong Feng. 2016. DropSample: A new training method to enhance deep convolutional neural networks for large-scale unconstrained handwritten Chinese character recognition. *Pattern Recognition* 58 (2016), 190–203.
- [38] Zhilin Yang, Zihang Dai, Yiming Yang, Jaime Carbonell, Russ R Salakhutdinov, and Quoc V Le. 2019. Xlnet: Generalized autoregressive pretraining for language understanding. In *Advances in neural information processing systems*. 5753–5763.
- [39] F. Yin, Q. Wang, X. Zhang, and C. Liu. 2013. ICDAR 2013 Chinese Handwriting Recognition Competition. In *2013 12th International Conference on Document Analysis and Recognition (ICDAR)*. IEEE Computer Society, Los Alamitos, CA, USA, 1464–1470. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICDAR.2013.218>
- [40] Amir Zadeh, Minghai Chen, Soujanya Poria, Erik Cambria, and Louis-Philippe Morency. 2017. Tensor Fusion Network for Multimodal Sentiment Analysis. In *Proceedings of the 2017 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*. Association for Computational Linguistics, Copenhagen, Denmark, 1103–1114. <https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/D17-1115>
- [41] Amir Zadeh, Paul Pu Liang, Navonil Mazumder, Soujanya Poria, Erik Cambria, and Louis-Philippe Morency. 2018. Memory fusion network for multi-view sequential learning. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, Vol. 32.
- [42] Matthew D Zeiler and Rob Fergus. 2014. Visualizing and understanding convolutional networks. In *European conference on computer vision*. Springer, 818–833.
- [43] Xu-Yao Zhang, Yoshua Bengio, and Cheng-Lin Liu. 2017. Online and offline handwritten chinese character recognition: A comprehensive study and new benchmark. *Pattern Recognition* 61 (2017), 348–360.
- [44] Zhen-Long Bai and Qiang Huo. 2005. A study on the use of 8-directional features for online handwritten Chinese character recognition. In *Eighth International Conference on Document Analysis and Recognition (ICDAR'05)*. 262–266 Vol. 1. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICDAR.2005.34>