

Optimal decay of compressible Navier-Stokes equations with or without potential force

Jincheng Gao[†] Minling Li[‡] Zheng-an Yao[#]

School of Mathematics, Sun Yat-Sen University,
510275, Guangzhou, P. R. China

Abstract

In this paper, we investigate the optimal decay rate for the higher order spatial derivative of global solution to the compressible Navier-Stokes (CNS) equations with or without potential force in three-dimensional whole space. First of all, it has been shown in [13] that the N -th order spatial derivative of global small solution of the CNS equations without potential force tends to zero with the L^2 -rate $(1+t)^{-(s+N-1)}$ when the initial perturbation around the constant equilibrium state belongs to $H^N(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap \dot{H}^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ ($N \geq 3$ and $s \in [0, \frac{3}{2})$). Thus, our first result improves this decay rate to $(1+t)^{-(s+N)}$. Secondly, we establish the optimal decay rate for the global small solution of the CNS equations with potential force as time tends to infinity. These decay rates for the solution itself and its spatial derivatives are really optimal since the upper bounds of decay rates coincide with the lower ones.

Keywords: Compressible Navier-Stokes equations; potential force; optimal decay rates; negative Sobolev space.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35Q30, 35Q35, 35B40

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Difficulties and outline of our approach	5
3	Preliminary	7
4	The proof of Theorem 1.2	8
5	The proof of Theorems 1.5 and 1.7	12
5.1	Energy estimates	12
5.2	Optimal decay of higher order derivative	25
5.3	Optimal decay of critical derivative	26
5.4	Lower bound of decay rate	36
	References	38

1 Introduction

In this paper, we are concerned with the optimal decay rate of global small solution to the Cauchy problem for the compressible Navier-Stokes (CNS) equations with and without external force in three-dimensional whole space.

Email: [†]gaojch5@mail.sysu.edu.cn, [‡]limling3@mail2.sysu.edu.cn, [#]mcsyao@mail.sysu.edu.cn

Thus, our first result is to investigate the optimal decay rate for the CNS equations without external force as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \rho_t + \operatorname{div}(\rho u) = 0, & (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3, \\ (\rho u)_t + \operatorname{div}(\rho u \otimes u) + \nabla p - \mu \Delta u - (\mu + \lambda) \nabla \operatorname{div} u = 0, & (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where ρ , u and p represent the unknown density, velocity and pressure, respectively. The initial data is given by

$$(\rho, u)|_{t=0} = (\rho_0, u_0)(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3. \quad (1.2)$$

Furthermore, as the space variable tends to infinity, we assume

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} (\rho, u) = (\bar{\rho}, 0), \quad (1.3)$$

where $\bar{\rho}$ is a positive constant. The pressure $p(\rho)$ here is assumed to be a smooth function in a neighborhood of $\bar{\rho}$ with $p'(\bar{\rho}) > 0$. The constant viscosity coefficients μ and λ satisfy the physical conditions

$$\mu > 0, \quad 2\mu + 3\lambda \geq 0. \quad (1.4)$$

The CNS system (1.1) is a well-known model which describes the motion of compressible fluid. In the following, we will introduce some mathematical results related to the CNS equations, including the local and global-in-time well-posedness, large time behavior and so on.

When the initial data are away from the vacuum, the local existence and uniqueness of classical solutions have been obtained in [35, 46]. If the initial density may vanish in open sets, the well-posedness theory also has been studied in [2–4, 26, 40] when the initial data satisfy some compatibility conditions. The global classical solution was first obtained by Matsumura and Nishida [31] as initial data is closed to a non-vacuum equilibrium in some Sobolev space H^s . This celebrated result requires that the solution has small oscillation from a uniform non-vacuum state such that the density is strictly away from vacuum. For general data, one has to face a tricky problem of the possible appearance of vacuum. As observed in [22, 39, 56, 57], the strong (or smooth) solution for the CNS equations will blow up in finite time. In order to solve this problem, it is important to study some blow-up criteria of strong solutions, refer to [15, 16, 50, 53]. It is worth noting that the bounds established by the above papers are dependent on time. Thus, under the assumption that $\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+} \|\rho(t, \cdot)\|_{C^\alpha} \leq M$ for some $0 < \alpha < 1$, He, Huang and Wang [14] proved global stability of large solution and built the decay rate for the global solution as it tends to the constant equilibrium state. Later, Gao, Wei and Yao studied the optimal decay rate for this class of global large solution itself and its derivatives in a series of articles [10–12]. In the presence of vacuum, Huang, Li and Xin[17] established the global existence and uniqueness of strong solution for the CNS equations in three-dimensional space in the condition that the initial energy is small. Recently, Li and Xin [28] obtained similar results for the dimension two, in addition, they also studied the large time behavior of the solution for the CNS system with small initial data but allowing large oscillations. Some other related results with respect to the global well-posedness theory can be found in [25, 54].

The large time behavior of the solutions to the isentropic compressible Navier-Stokes system has been studied extensively. The optimal decay rate for strong solution to the CNS system was first derived by Matsumura and Nishida [30], and later by Ponce [38] for the optimal L^p ($p \geq 2$) decay rate. With the help of the study of Green function, the optimal L^p ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$) decay rates in \mathbb{R}^n ($n \geq 2$) were obtained in [19, 23] when the small initial perturbation bounded in $H^s \cap L^1$ with the integer $s \geq [n/2] + 3$. All these decay results mentioned above are restricted to the perturbation framework, that is, if the initial data is a small perturbation of constant equilibrium in $L^1 \cap H^3$, the decay rate of global solution to system (1.1) in L^2 -norm is

$$\|\rho(t) - \bar{\rho}\|_{L^2} + \|u(t)\|_{L^2} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}}.$$

Furthermore, Gao, Tao and Yao [9] applied the Fourier splitting method, developed by Schonbek [41], to establish optimal decay rate for the higher-order spatial derivative of global small solution. Specially, they built the decay rate as follows:

$$\|\nabla^k(\rho - \bar{\rho})(t)\|_{H^{N-k}} + \|\nabla^k u(t)\|_{H^{N-k}} \leq C_0(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4} - \frac{k}{2}}, \quad \text{for } 0 \leq k \leq N-1, \quad (1.5)$$

if the initial perturbation belongs to $H^N(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Obviously, the decay rate for the N -th order spatial derivative of global solution in (1.5) is still not optimal. **Recently, this tricky problem is addressed simultaneously in a series of articles [1, 51, 55] by using the spectrum analysis of the linearized part.** In the perturbation setting, the approach to proving the decay estimate for the solution of the CNS system relies heavily on the analysis of the linearization of the system. More precisely, most of these decay results were proved by combining the linear optimal decay of spectral analysis with the energy method. From another point of view, under the assumption that the initial perturbation is bounded in \dot{H}^{-s} ($s \in [0, \frac{3}{2})$), Guo and Wang [13] obtained the optimal decay rate of the solution and its spatial derivatives of system (1.1) under the H^N ($N \geq 3$)-framework by using pure energy method. More precisely, they established the following decay estimate

$$\|\nabla^l(\rho - \bar{\rho})(t)\|_{H^{N-l}} + \|\nabla^l u(t)\|_{H^{N-l}} \leq C_0(1+t)^{-(l+s)}, \text{ for } -s < l \leq N-1. \quad (1.6)$$

This method in [13] mainly combined the energy estimates with the interpolation between negative and positive Sobolev norms, and hence do not use the analysis of the linearized part. From the decay rate of (1.6), it is easy to see that the decay rate of N -th order derivative of solution $(\rho - \bar{\rho}, u)$ coincides with the lower one. However, the N -th order spatial derivative of heat equation has the optimal decay rate $(1+t)^{-(N+s)}$ rather than $(1+t)^{-(N-1+s)}$ (see Theorem 1.1 in [13]). **Thus, the first purpose in this paper is to investigate the optimal decay rate for the quantity $\nabla^N(\rho, u)$ as it converges to zero in L^2 -norm.**

Now, we state the result of decay rate for the CNS equations that has been established before.

Proposition 1.1. ([13]) *Assume that $(\rho_0 - \bar{\rho}, u_0) \in H^N$ for an integer $N \geq 3$. Then there exists a constant δ_0 such that if*

$$\|(\rho_0 - \bar{\rho}, u_0)\|_{H^{[\frac{N}{2}]+2}} \leq \delta_0,$$

then the problem (1.1)–(1.3) admits a unique global solution (ρ, u) satisfying that for all $t \geq 0$

$$\|(\rho - \bar{\rho})(t)\|_{H^m}^2 + \|u(t)\|_{H^m}^2 + \int_0^t (\|\nabla \rho\|_{H^{m-1}}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{H^m}^2) d\tau \leq C(\|\rho_0 - \bar{\rho}\|_{H^m}^2 + \|u_0\|_{H^m}^2), \quad (1.7)$$

where $[\frac{N}{2}] + 2 \leq m \leq N$. If further, $(\rho_0 - \bar{\rho}, u_0) \in \dot{H}^{-s}$ for some $s \in [0, \frac{3}{2})$, then for all $t \geq 0$

$$\|\Lambda^{-s}(\rho - \bar{\rho})(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda^{-s}u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C_0, \quad (1.8)$$

and

$$\|\nabla^l(\rho - \bar{\rho})(t)\|_{H^{N-l}}^2 + \|\nabla^l u(t)\|_{H^{N-l}}^2 \leq C_0(1+t)^{-(l+s)}, \text{ for } -s < l \leq N-1. \quad (1.9)$$

Now, we state the first result, concerning the optimal decay rate of N -th order spatial derivative of the solution $(\rho - \bar{\rho}, u)$ of problem (1.1)–(1.3).

Theorem 1.2. *Under all the assumptions in Proposition 1.1, then the global solution (ρ, u) of problem (1.1)–(1.3) admits the decay estimate for all $t \geq 0$*

$$\|\nabla^N(\rho - \bar{\rho})(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^N u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C_0(1+t)^{-(s+N)}. \quad (1.10)$$

where C_0 is a constant independent of time.

Remark 1.3. *The time decay estimate (1.10), together with the decay estimate (1.9), yields that*

$$\|\nabla^l(\rho - \bar{\rho})(t)\|_{H^{N-l}}^2 + \|\nabla^l u(t)\|_{H^{N-l}}^2 \leq C_0(1+t)^{-(l+s)}, \text{ for } -s < l \leq N. \quad (1.11)$$

Then, the decay estimate (1.11) provides with optimal decay rate for the global solution $(\rho - \bar{\rho}, u)$ itself and its any spatial derivative converging to zero. Here the decay rate of global solution of original problem (1.1)–(1.3) converging to equilibrium state is called optimal in the sense that it coincides with the rate of solution of the linearized system.

Remark 1.4. *Combine the decay rate (1.5) and the method as the proof of Theorem 1.2, we also have*

$$\|\nabla^N(\rho - \bar{\rho})(t)\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla^N u(t)\|_{L^2} \leq C_0(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4} - \frac{N}{2}}.$$

This decay estimate of the N -th order spatial derivative is optimal in the sense that it coincides with the rate of solution of linearized part.

Next, we consider the optimal decay rate of solution for the CNS equations with potential force in three-dimensional whole space as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \rho_t + \operatorname{div}(\rho u) = 0, & (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3, \\ (\rho u)_t + \operatorname{div}(\rho u \otimes u) + \nabla p - \mu \Delta u - (\mu + \lambda) \nabla \operatorname{div} u + \rho \nabla \phi = 0, & (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3, \end{cases} \quad (1.12)$$

where ρ , u and p represent the density, velocity and pressure, respectively. And $-\nabla \phi$ is the time independent potential force. The constant viscosity coefficients μ and λ satisfy the physical conditions (1.4). The initial data

$$(\rho, u)|_{t=0} = (\rho_0, u_0)(x) \rightarrow (\rho_\infty, 0), \quad |x| \rightarrow 0, \quad (1.13)$$

where ρ_∞ is a positive constant. We assume that $p(\rho)$ is a smooth function in a neighborhood of ρ_∞ with $p'(\rho_\infty) > 0$. The stationary solution $(\rho^*(x), u^*(x))$ for the CNS equations (1.12) is given by $(\rho^*(x), 0)$ satisfying

$$\int_{\rho_\infty}^{\rho^*(x)} \frac{p'(s)}{s} ds + \phi(x) = 0. \quad (1.14)$$

The details of derivation for the stationary solution can be found in [32]. First, Matsumura and Nishida [32] obtained the global existence of solutions to system (1.12) near the steady state $(\rho^*(x), 0)$ with initial perturbation under the H^3 -framework. In addition, they also showed that the global solution converges to the stationary state as time tends to infinity. The first work to give explicit decay estimate for solution was represented by Deckelnick [5]. Specifically, Deckelnick was concerned about the isentropic case and showed that

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^3} |(\rho(t, x) - \rho^*(x), u(t, x))| \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{4}}.$$

This was then improved by Shibata and Tanaka for more general external forces in [47, 48] to $(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}+\kappa}$ for any small positive constant κ when the initial perturbation belongs to $H^3 \cap L^{\frac{6}{5}}$. Later, Duan, Liu, Ukai and Yang [6] investigated the optimal $L^p - L^q$ convergence rates for this system when the initial perturbation is also bounded in L^p with $1 \leq p < \frac{6}{5}$. Specifically, they established the decay rate as follows:

$$\|(\rho - \rho^*, u)(t)\|_{L^2} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2})}, \quad \|\nabla^k(\rho - \rho^*, u)(t)\|_{L^2} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2})-\frac{k}{2}}, \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, 3. \quad (1.15)$$

For more result about the decay estimate for the CNS equations with potential force, one may refer to [7, 27, 33, 34, 36, 49, 52]. Obviously, the decay rates of the second and third order spatial derivatives in (1.15) are only the same as the first one. ***In this paper, our second target is to investigate the optimal decay rate for the k -th ($k \geq 2$) order spatial derivative of solution to the CNS equations with potential force.***

Finally, we aim to investigate the lower bounds of decay rates for the solution itself and its spatial derivatives. The decay rate is called optimal in the sense that this rate coincides with the linearized part. Thus, the study of the lower decay rate, which is the same as the upper one, can help us obtain the optimal decay rate of solution. Along this direction, Schonbek addressed the lower bound of decay rate for solution of the classical incompressible Navier-Stokes equations [42, 43] (see also MHD equations [44]). Based on so-called Gevrey estimates, Oliver and Titi [37] established the lower and upper bounds of decay rate for the higher order derivatives of solution to the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations in whole space. For the case of compressible flow, there are many results of lower bound of decay rate for the solution itself to the CNS equations and related models, such as the CNS equations [20, 24], compressible Navier-Stokes-Poisson equations [21, 58], and compressible viscoelastic flows [18]. However, these lower bounds mentioned above only consider the solution itself and do not involve the derivative of the solution. Recently, some scholars are devoted to studying the lower bound of decay rate for the derivative of solution, which can be referred to [1, 8, 12, 55]. ***Thus, our third target is to establish lower bound of decay rate for the global solution itself and its spatial derivatives.*** These lower bounds of decay estimates, which coincide with the upper ones, show that they are really optimal.

Now, our second result can be stated as follows.

Theorem 1.5. *Let $(\rho^*(x), 0)$ be the stationary solution of initial value problem (1.12)–(1.13), if $(\rho_0 - \rho^*, u_0) \in H^N$ for $N \geq 3$, there exists a constant δ such that the potential function $\phi(x)$ satisfies*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{N+1} \|(1+|x|)^k \nabla^k \phi\|_{L^2 \cap L^\infty} \leq \delta, \quad (1.16)$$

and the initial perturbation satisfies

$$\|(\rho_0 - \rho^*, u_0)\|_{H^N} \leq \delta. \quad (1.17)$$

Then there exists a unique global solution (ρ, u) of initial value problem (1.12)–(1.13) satisfying

$$\|(\rho - \rho^*, u)(t)\|_{H^N}^2 + \int_0^t (\|\nabla(\rho - \rho^*)\|_{H^{N-1}}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{H^N}^2) ds \leq C \|(\rho_0 - \rho^*, u_0)\|_{H^N}^2, \quad t \geq 0, \quad (1.18)$$

where C is a positive constant independent of time t . If further

$$\|(\rho_0 - \rho^*, u_0)\|_{L^1} < \infty,$$

then there exists constants $\delta_0 > 0$ and $\bar{C}_0 > 0$ such that for any $0 < \delta \leq \delta_0$, we have

$$\|\nabla^k(\rho - \rho^*)(t)\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla^k u(t)\|_{L^2} \leq \bar{C}_0(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}-\frac{k}{2}}, \quad \text{for } 0 \leq k \leq N. \quad (1.19)$$

Remark 1.6. The global well-posedness theory of the CNS equations with potential force in three-dimensional whole space was studied in [6] under the H^3 -framework. Furthermore, they also established the decay estimate (1.15) if the initial data belongs to L^p with $1 \leq p < \frac{6}{5}$. Thus, the advantage of the decay rate (1.19) in Theorem 1.5 is that the decay rate of the global solution $(\rho - \rho^*, u)$ itself and its any order spatial derivative is optimal.

Finally, we have the following result concerning the lower bounds of decay rates for solution and its spatial derivatives of the CNS equations with potential force.

Theorem 1.7. Suppose the assumptions of Theorem 1.5 hold on. Furthermore, we assume that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(\rho_0 - \rho^*)(x)dx$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_0(x)dx$ are at least one nonzero. Then, the global solution (ρ, u) obtained in Theorem 1.7 has the decay rates for any large enough t ,

$$\begin{aligned} c_0(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}-\frac{k}{2}} &\leq \|\nabla^k(\rho - \rho^*)(t)\|_{L^2} \leq c_1(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}-\frac{k}{2}}; \\ c_0(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}-\frac{k}{2}} &\leq \|\nabla^k u(t)\|_{L^2} \leq c_1(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}-\frac{k}{2}}; \end{aligned} \quad (1.20)$$

for all $0 \leq k \leq N$. Here c_0 and c_1 are positive constants independent of time t .

Remark 1.8. The decay rates showed in (1.19) and (1.20) imply that the k -th ($0 \leq k \leq N$) order spatial derivative of the solution converges to the equilibrium state $(\rho^*, 0)$ at the L^2 -rate $(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}-\frac{k}{2}}$. In other words, these decay rates of the solution itself and its spatial derivatives obtained in (1.19) and (1.20) are optimal.

Notation: Throughout this paper, for $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$, we simply denote $L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$ by L^p and H^s , respectively. And the constant C denotes a general constant which may vary in different estimates. $\widehat{f}(\xi) = \mathcal{F}(f(x))$ represents the usual Fourier transform of the function $f(x)$ with respect to $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$. $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\widehat{f}(\xi))$ means the inverse Fourier transform of $\widehat{f}(\xi)$ with respect to $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^3$. For the sake of simplicity, we write $\int f dx := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f dx$.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce the difficulties and our approach to prove the results. In Section 3, we recall some important lemmas, which will be used in later analysis. And Section 4 is devoted to giving the proof of Theorem 1.2. Finally, Theorem 1.5 and Theorem 1.7 are proved in Section 5.

2 Difficulties and outline of our approach

The main goal of this section is to explain the main difficulties of proving Theorems 1.2, 1.5 and 1.7 as well as our strategies for overcoming them. In order to establish optimal decay estimate for the CNS equations, the main difficulty comes from the system (1.1) or (1.12) satisfying hyperbolic-parabolic coupling equations, such that the density only can obtain lower dissipation estimate.

First of all, let us introduce our strategy to prove the Theorem 1.2. Indeed, applying the classical energy estimate, it is easy to establish following estimate:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla^N(n, u)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^{N+1}u\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \|\nabla(n, u)\|_{H^1}^2 \|\nabla^N(n, u)\|_{L^2}^2 + \text{some good terms}. \quad (2.1)$$

In order to control the first term on the right handside of (2.1), the idea in [13] is to establish the dissipative for the density $\nabla^N n$, which gives rise to the cross term $\frac{d}{dt} \int \nabla^{N-1} u \cdot \nabla^N n dx$ in energy part. This is the reason why the decay rate of the $N - th$ order spatial derivative of solution of the CNS equations can only attain the decay rate as the $(N - 1) - th$ one. In order to settle this problem, our strategy is to apply the time integrability of the dissipative term of density rather than absorbing it by the dissipative term. More precisely, applying the weighted energy method to the estimate (2.1) and using decay (1.9), it holds true

$$\begin{aligned} & (1+t)^{N+\sigma+\epsilon_0} \|\nabla^N(n, u)\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^t (1+\tau)^{N+\sigma+\epsilon_0} \|\nabla^{N+1} u\|_{L^2}^2 d\tau \\ & \leq \|\nabla^N(n_0, u_0)\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^t (1+\tau)^{N-1+\sigma+\epsilon_0} \|\nabla^N(n, u)\|_{L^2}^2 d\tau + \text{some good terms.} \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

Thus, we need to control the second term on the right handside of (2.2). On the other hand, it is easy to check that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}^{N-1}(t) + C(\|\nabla^N n\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^N u\|_{H^1}^2) \leq 0. \quad (2.3)$$

Here $\mathcal{E}^{N-1}(t)$ is equivalent to $\|\nabla^{N-1}(n, u)\|_{H^1}^2$. The combination of (2.3) and decay estimate (1.9) yields directly

$$(1+t)^{N-1+\sigma+\epsilon_0} \mathcal{E}^{N-1}(t) + \int_0^t (1+\tau)^{N-1+\sigma+\epsilon_0} (\|\nabla^N n\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^N u\|_{H^1}^2) d\tau \leq C(1+t)^{\epsilon_0}. \quad (2.4)$$

Thus, we apply the time integrability of the dissipative term of density in (2.4) to control the second term on the right handside of (2.2). Therefore, we can obtain the optimal decay rate for $\nabla^N(n, u)$ as it converges to zero.

Secondly, we will establish the optimal decay rate, including in Theorem 1.5, for the higher order spatial derivative of global solution to the CNS equations with external potential force. Due to the influence of potential force, the equilibrium state of global solution will depend on the spatial variable. This will create some fundamental difficulties as we establish the energy estimates, see Lemmas 5.2, 5.3 and 5.4. Similar to the decay estimate (1.15)(cf.[6]), one can combine the energy estimate and the decay rate of linearized system to obtain the following decay estimates:

$$\|\nabla^k(\rho - \rho^*)(t)\|_{H^{N-k}} + \|\nabla^k u(t)\|_{H^{N-k}} \leq C(1+t)^{-\left(\frac{3}{4} + \frac{k}{2}\right)}, \quad k = 0, 1, \quad (2.5)$$

if the initial data $(\rho_0 - \rho^*, u_0)$ belongs to $H^N \cap L^1$. To prove that this decay is true for $k \in \{2, \dots, N-1\}$, we are going to do it by mathematical induction. Thus, assume that decay (2.5) holds on for $k = l \in \{1, \dots, N-2\}$, our target is to prove the validity of (2.5) as $k = l+1$. This logical relationship can be guaranteed by using the classical Fourier splitting method(cf[9]). However, similar to the method of the proof of Theorem 1.2, we guarantee this logical relationship by using the time weighed method, see Lemma 5.5 more specifically. Since the presence of potential force term $\rho \nabla \phi$, we can not apply the time weighted method mentioned above to establish the optimal decay rate for the $N - th$ order spatial derivative of global solution. Motivated by [55], we establish some energy estimate for the quantity $\int_{|\xi| \geq \eta} \widehat{\nabla^{N-1} v} \cdot \widehat{\nabla^N n} d\xi$, namely the higher frequency part, rather than $\int \nabla^{N-1} u \cdot \nabla^N n dx$. Here $\widehat{\nabla^{N-1} v}$ and $\widehat{\nabla^N n}$ stand for the Fourier part of $\nabla^{N-1} v$ and $\nabla^N n$ respectively. The advantage is that the quantity $\|\nabla^N(n, v)\|_{L^2}^2 - \eta_3 \int_{|\xi| \geq \eta} \widehat{\nabla^{N-1} v} \cdot \widehat{\nabla^N n} d\xi$ is equivalent to $\|\nabla^N(n, v)\|_{L^2}^2$. Then, the combination of some energy estimate and decay estimate can help us build the following inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \|\nabla^N(n, v)\|_{L^2}^2 - \eta_3 \int_{|\xi| \geq \eta} \widehat{\nabla^{N-1} v} \cdot \widehat{\nabla^N n} d\xi \right\} + \|\nabla^N v^h\|_{L^2}^2 + \eta_3 \|\nabla^N n^h\|_{L^2}^2 \\ & \leq C \|\nabla^N(n^l, v^l)\|_{L^2}^2 + C(1+t)^{-3-N}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

Then, one has to estimate the decay rate of the low-frequency term $\|\nabla^N(n^l, v^l)\|_{L^2}^2$. Indeed, Duhamel's principle and decay estimate of $k - th$ ($0 \leq k \leq N$) order spatial derivative of solution obtained above allow us to obtain that

$$\|\nabla^N(n^l, v^l)\|_{L^2} \leq C\delta \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} \|\nabla^N(n, v)\|_{L^2} + C(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4} - \frac{N}{2}}.$$

which, together with (2.6), by using the smallness of δ , we can obtain the optimal decay rate for the $N - th$ order spatial derivative of global solution to the CNS equations with external potential force.

Finally, we will establish the lower decay estimate, coincided with the upper one, for the global solution itself and its spatial derivative. It is noticed that the system in terms of density and momentum is always adopted to establish the lower bounds of decay rates for the global solution and its spatial derivatives in many previous works, one may refer to ([1, 24]). However, the appearance of potential force term (i.e., $\rho\nabla\phi$) prevents us taking this method to solve the problem. Thus, let (n, v) and (\tilde{n}, \tilde{v}) be the solutions of nonlinear and linearized problem respectively. Define the difference: $(n_\delta, v_\delta) \stackrel{def}{=} (n - \tilde{n}, v - \tilde{v})$, it holds on

$$\|n\|_{L^2} \geq \|\tilde{n}\|_{L^2} - \|n_\delta\|_{L^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \|v\|_{L^2} \geq \|\tilde{v}\|_{L^2} - \|v_\delta\|_{L^2}.$$

If these quantities obey the assumptions: $\|(n_\delta, v_\delta)\|_{L^2} \leq \tilde{C}\delta(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}}$ and $\min\{\|\tilde{n}\|_{L^2}, \|\tilde{v}\|_{L^2}\} \geq \tilde{c}(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}}$, moreover, the constant δ is a small constant and independent of \tilde{c} , then we can choose the constant δ to obtain the decay rate $\min\{\|n\|_{L^2}, \|v\|_{L^2}\} \geq c_1(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}}$. Similarly, it is easy to check that the decay (1.20) holds true for $k = 1$. Based on the lower bound of decay rate for first order spatial derivative of solution and the upper bound of decay rate for the solution itself, we can deduce the lower bound of decay rate for $k - th$ ($2 \leq k \leq N$) order spatial derivative of solution by using the following Sobolev interpolation inequality:

$$\|\nabla^k(n, v)\|_{L^2} \geq C\|\nabla(n, v)\|_{L^2}^k \|(n, v)\|_{L^2}^{-(k-1)}, \quad \text{for } 2 \leq k \leq N.$$

And more proof details of Theorem 1.7 can be found in Section 5.4 below.

3 Preliminary

In this section, we collect some elementary inequalities, which will be extensively used in later sections. First of all, in order to estimate the term about $\bar{\rho}(x)$ in the CNS equations with a potential force, we need the following Hardy inequality.

Lemma 3.1 (Hardy inequality). *For $k \geq 1$, suppose that $\frac{\nabla\phi}{(1+|x|)^{k-1}} \in L^2$, then $\frac{\phi}{(1+|x|)^k} \in L^2$, with the estimate*

$$\left\| \frac{\phi}{(1+|x|)^k} \right\|_{L^2} \leq C \left\| \frac{\nabla\phi}{(1+|x|)^{k-1}} \right\|_{L^2}.$$

The proof of Lemma 3.1 is simply and we omit it here. We will use the following Sobolev interpolation of Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality frequently in energy estimates, which can be found in [13] more details.

Lemma 3.2 (Sobolev interpolation inequality). *Let $2 \leq p \leq +\infty$ and $0 \leq l, k \leq m$. If $p = +\infty$, we require furthermore that $l \leq k + 1$ and $m \geq k + 2$. Then if $\nabla^l\phi \in L^2$ and $\nabla^m\phi \in L^2$, we have $\nabla^k\phi \in L^p$. Moreover, there exists a positive constant C dependent only on k, l, m, p such that*

$$\|\nabla^k\phi\|_{L^p} \leq C\|\nabla^l\phi\|_{L^2}^\theta \|\nabla^m\phi\|_{L^2}^{1-\theta}, \quad (3.1)$$

where $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$ satisfying

$$\frac{k}{3} - \frac{1}{p} = \left(\frac{l}{3} - \frac{1}{2}\right)\theta + \left(\frac{m}{3} - \frac{1}{2}\right)(1-\theta).$$

Then we recall the following commutator estimate, which is used frequently in energy estimates. The proof and more details may refer to [29].

Lemma 3.3. *Let $k \geq 1$ be an integer and define the commutator*

$$[\nabla^k, f]g = \nabla^k(fg) - f\nabla^k g.$$

Then we have

$$\|[\nabla^k, f]g\|_{L^2} \leq C\|\nabla f\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla^{k-1}g\|_{L^2} + C\|\nabla^k f\|_{L^2} \|g\|_{L^\infty},$$

where C is a positive constant dependent only on k .

Finally, we conclude this section with the following lemma. The proof and more details may refer to [1].

Lemma 3.4. *Let $r_1, r_2 > 0$ be two real numbers, for any $0 < \epsilon_0 < 1$, we have*

$$\int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} (1+t-\tau)^{-r_1} (1+\tau)^{-r_2} d\tau \leq C \begin{cases} (1+t)^{-r_1}, & \text{for } r_2 > 1, \\ (1+t)^{-r_1+\epsilon_0}, & \text{for } r_2 = 1, \\ (1+t)^{-(r_1+r_2-1)}, & \text{for } r_2 < 1, \end{cases}$$

$$\int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t (1+t-\tau)^{-r_1} (1+\tau)^{-r_2} d\tau \leq C \begin{cases} (1+t)^{-r_2}, & \text{for } r_1 > 1, \\ (1+t)^{-r_2+\epsilon_0}, & \text{for } r_1 = 1, \\ (1+t)^{-(r_1+r_2-1)}, & \text{for } r_1 < 1, \end{cases}$$

where C is a positive constant independent of t .

4 The proof of Theorem 1.2

In this section, we study the optimal decay rate of the N -th spatial derivative of global small solution for the initial value problem (1.1)–(1.3). Thus, let us write $n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \rho - \bar{\rho}$, then the original system (1.1)–(1.2) can be rewritten in the perturbation form as

$$\begin{cases} n_t + \bar{\rho} \operatorname{div} u = S_1, & (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3, \\ u_t + \gamma \bar{\rho} \nabla n - \bar{\mu} \Delta u - (\bar{\mu} + \bar{\lambda}) \nabla \operatorname{div} u = S_2, & (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3, \\ (n, u)|_{t=0} = (\rho_0 - \bar{\rho}, u_0), & x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

where the functions $f(n), g(n)$ and source terms $S_i (i = 1, 2)$ are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} f(n) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{n}{n + \bar{\rho}}, & g(n) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{p'(n + \bar{\rho})}{n + \bar{\rho}} - \frac{p'(\bar{\rho})}{\bar{\rho}}, \\ S_1 &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} -n \operatorname{div} u - u \cdot \nabla n, \\ S_2 &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} -u \cdot \nabla u - f(n)(\bar{\mu} \Delta u - (\bar{\mu} + \bar{\lambda}) \nabla \operatorname{div} u) - g(n) \nabla n. \end{aligned}$$

Here the coefficients $\bar{\mu}, \bar{\lambda}$ and γ are defined by $\bar{\mu} = \frac{\mu}{\bar{\rho}}, \bar{\lambda} = \frac{\lambda}{\bar{\rho}}, \gamma = \frac{p'(\bar{\rho})}{\bar{\rho}^2}$. Due to the uniform estimate (1.7), then there exists a positive constant C such that for any $1 \leq k \leq N$,

$$|f(n)| \leq C|n|, \quad |g(n)| \leq C|n|, \quad |f^{(k)}(n)| \leq C, \quad |g^{(k)}(n)| \leq C.$$

Next, in order to estimate the L^2 -norm of the spatial derivatives of $f(n)$ and $g(n)$, we shall record the following lemma, which will be used frequently in later estimate.

Lemma 4.1. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.2, $f(n)$ and $g(n)$ are two functions of n defined by (4), then for any integer $1 \leq m \leq N - 1$, it holds true*

$$\|\nabla^m f(n)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^m g(n)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C(1+t)^{-(m+s)}, \quad (4.2)$$

where C is a positive constant independent of time.

Proof. We only control the first term on the left handside of (4.2), and the other one can be controlled similarly. Notice that for $m \geq 1$,

$$\nabla^m f(n) = \text{a sum of products } f^{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_j}(n) \nabla^{\gamma_1} n \dots \nabla^{\gamma_j} n$$

with the functions $f^{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_j}(n)$ are some derivatives of $f(n)$ and $1 \leq \gamma_i \leq m, i = 1, 2, \dots, j, \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \dots + \gamma_j = m, j \geq 1$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $1 \leq \gamma_1 \leq \gamma_2 \leq \dots \leq \gamma_j \leq m$. Thus, if $j \geq 2$, we have $\gamma_{j-1} \leq m - 1 \leq N - 2$. It follows from the decay estimate (1.9) that for $1 \leq m \leq N - 1$,

$$\|\nabla^m n\|_{L^2} \leq C(1+t)^{-(m+s)},$$

which, together with Sobolev inequality and the fact that $j \geq 1$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f^{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_j}(n) \nabla^{\gamma_1} n \dots \nabla^{\gamma_j} n\|_{L^2} \\ & \leq C \|\nabla^{\gamma_1} n\|_{L^\infty} \dots \|\nabla^{\gamma_{j-1}} n\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla^{\gamma_j} n\|_{L^2} \\ & \leq C \|\nabla^{\gamma_1+1} n\|_{H^1} \dots \|\nabla^{\gamma_{j-1}+1} n\|_{H^1} \|\nabla^{\gamma_j} n\|_{L^2} \\ & \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{m+j_s+(j-1)}{2}} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{m+s}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, the proof of this lemma is completed. \square

Now, based on lemma 4.1, we give the following lemma, which provides the time integrability of $N - th$ order spatial derivate of the solution (n, u) .

Lemma 4.2. *Under the assumptions in Theorem 1.2, for any fixed constant $0 < \epsilon_0 < 1$, we have*

$$(1+t)^{N+s-1} \|\nabla^{N-1}(n, u)\|_{H^1}^2 + (1+t)^{-\epsilon_0} \int_0^t (1+\tau)^{N+s+\epsilon_0-1} (\|\nabla^N n\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^N u\|_{H^1}^2) d\tau \leq C, \quad (4.3)$$

where C is a positive constant independent of t .

Proof. From Lemmas 3.2, 3.3 and 3.4 in [13], the following estimates hold on for all $0 \leq k \leq N-1$,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla^k(n, u)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^{k+1} u\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C \delta_0 \|\nabla^{k+1}(n, u)\|_{L^2}^2, \quad (4.4)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla^{k+1}(n, u)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^{k+2} u\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C \delta_0 (\|\nabla^{k+1}(n, u)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^{k+2} u\|_{L^2}^2), \quad (4.5)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int \nabla^k u \cdot \nabla^{k+1} n dx + \|\nabla^{k+1} n\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C (\|\nabla^{k+1} u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^{k+2} u\|_{L^2}^2). \quad (4.6)$$

where the constant C is a positive constant independent of time. Based on the estimates (4.4), (4.5) and (4.6), then it holds for all $0 \leq k \leq N-1$,

$$\frac{d}{dt} (\|\nabla^k(n, u)\|_{H^1}^2 + \eta_1 \int \nabla^k u \cdot \nabla^{k+1} n dx) + \eta_1 \|\nabla^{k+1} n\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^{k+1} u\|_{H^1}^2 \leq 0. \quad (4.7)$$

Here η_1 is a small positive constant. Taking $k = N-1$ in inequality (4.7), then we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}^{N-1}(t) + \eta_1 \|\nabla^N n\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^N u\|_{H^1}^2 \leq 0, \quad (4.8)$$

where the energy $\mathcal{E}^{N-1}(t)$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{E}^{N-1}(t) \stackrel{def}{=} \|\nabla^{N-1}(n, u)(t)\|_{H^1}^2 + \eta_1 \int \nabla^{N-1} u \cdot \nabla^N n dx.$$

Then, due to the smallness of η_1 , we have the following equivalent relation

$$c_1 \|\nabla^{N-1}(n, u)(t)\|_{H^1}^2 \leq \mathcal{E}^{N-1}(t) \leq c_2 \|\nabla^{N-1}(n, u)(t)\|_{H^1}^2, \quad (4.9)$$

where the constants c_1 and c_2 are independent of time. For any fixed $\epsilon_0 (0 < \epsilon_0 < 1)$, multiplying the inequality (4.8) by $(1+t)^{N+s+\epsilon_0-1}$, it holds true

$$\frac{d}{dt} \{(1+t)^{N+s+\epsilon_0-1} \mathcal{E}^{N-1}(t)\} + (1+t)^{N+s+\epsilon_0-1} (\|\nabla^N n\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^N u\|_{H^1}^2) \leq C(1+t)^{N+s+\epsilon_0-2} \mathcal{E}^{N-1}(t). \quad (4.10)$$

The decay estimate (1.9) and equivalent relation (4.9) lead us to get that for $0 < k \leq N-1$,

$$(1+t)^{N+s+\epsilon_0-2} \mathcal{E}^{N-1}(t) \leq C(1+t)^{N+s+\epsilon_0-2} \|\nabla^{N-1}(n, u)\|_{H^1}^2 \leq C(1+t)^{-1+\epsilon_0},$$

which, together with inequality (4.10), yields directly

$$\frac{d}{dt} \{(1+t)^{N+s+\epsilon_0-1} \mathcal{E}^{N-1}(t)\} + (1+t)^{N+s+\epsilon_0-1} (\|\nabla^N n\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^N u\|_{H^1}^2) \leq C(1+t)^{-1+\epsilon_0}. \quad (4.11)$$

Integrating the inequality (4.11) over $[0, t]$, it holds true

$$\begin{aligned} & (1+t)^{N+s+\epsilon_0-1} \mathcal{E}^{N-1}(t) + \int_0^t (1+\tau)^{N+s+\epsilon_0-1} (\|\nabla^N n\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^N u\|_{H^1}^2) d\tau \\ & \leq \mathcal{E}^{N-1}(0) + \int_0^t (1+\tau)^{-1+\epsilon_0} d\tau \leq C(1+t)^{\epsilon_0}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

which, together with the equivalent relation (4.9), implies that

$$(1+t)^{N+s+\epsilon_0-1} \|\nabla^k(n, u)\|_{H^1}^2 + \int_0^t (1+\tau)^{N+s+\epsilon_0-1} (\|\nabla^N n\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^N u\|_{H^1}^2) d\tau \leq C(1+t)^{\epsilon_0}.$$

Consequently, we thereupon obtain (4.3). □

Finally, using the time integrability of the dissipative term of the N -th order spatial derivative of (n, u) obtained in Lemma 4.2, we can establish the optimal decay of N -th one.

Lemma 4.3. *Under the assumptions in Theorem 1.2, then the global solution (n, u) has the decay estimate*

$$(1+t)^{N+s} \|\nabla^N(n, u)\|_{L^2}^2 + (1+t)^{-\epsilon_0} \int_0^t (1+\tau)^{N+s+\epsilon_0} \|\nabla^{N+1} u\|_{L^2}^2 d\tau \leq C, \quad (4.13)$$

where C is a positive constant independent of time.

Proof. Applying differential operator ∇^N to (4.1) and multiplying the resulting equation by $\nabla^N(n, u)$, it holds

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla^N(n, u)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^{N+1} u\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C \int \nabla^N S_1 \cdot \nabla^N n dx + C \int \nabla^N S_2 \cdot \nabla^N u dx. \quad (4.14)$$

Next, we estimate two terms on the right handside of (4.14). The definition of S_1 leads us to get

$$\int \nabla^N S_1 \cdot \nabla^N n dx = - \int \nabla^N (n \operatorname{div} u + u \cdot \nabla n) \cdot \nabla^N n dx. \quad (4.15)$$

Invoking Sobolev inequality, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \int \nabla^N (n \operatorname{div} u) \cdot \nabla^N n dx & \leq C \|\nabla^N (n \operatorname{div} u)\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^N n\|_{L^2} \\ & \leq C (\|n\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla^N \operatorname{div} u\|_{L^2} + \|\operatorname{div} u\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla^N n\|_{L^2}) \|\nabla^N n\|_{L^2} \\ & \leq \epsilon \|\nabla^{N+1} u\|_{L^2}^2 + C_\epsilon \|\nabla n\|_{H^1}^2 \|\nabla^N n\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla^2 u\|_{H^1} \|\nabla^N n\|_{L^2}^2 \\ & \leq \epsilon \|\nabla^{N+1} u\|_{L^2}^2 + C_\epsilon (1+t)^{-(1+s)} \|\nabla^N(n, u)\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

Integrating by parts, then employing Sobolev inequality, Lemma 3.3 and decay estimate (1.9), we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \int \nabla^N (u \cdot \nabla n) \cdot \nabla^N n dx & = - \int \operatorname{div} u |\nabla^N n|^2 dx + \int ([\nabla^N, u] \cdot \nabla n) \nabla^N n dx \\ & \leq C (\|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla^N n\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla^N u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla n\|_{L^\infty}) \|\nabla^N n\|_{L^2} \\ & \leq C (\|\nabla^2 u\|_{H^1} \|\nabla^N n\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla^N u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 n\|_{H^1}) \|\nabla^N n\|_{L^2} \\ & \leq C (1+t)^{-(1+\frac{s}{2})} \|\nabla^N(n, u)\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

Substituting estimates (4.16) and (4.17) into (4.15), we have

$$\int \nabla^N S_1 \cdot \nabla^N n dx \leq \epsilon \|\nabla^{N+1} u\|_{L^2}^2 + C_\epsilon (1+t)^{-1} \|\nabla^N(n, u)\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (4.18)$$

Next integrating by parts, and using Hölder inequality, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \int \nabla^N S_2 \cdot \nabla^N u dx & \leq C \|\nabla^{N-1} S_2\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^{N+1} u\|_{L^2} \\ & \leq C (\|\nabla^{N-1}(u \cdot \nabla u)\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla^{N-1}[f(n)(\bar{\mu} \Delta u + (\bar{\mu} + \bar{\lambda}) \nabla \operatorname{div} u)]\|_{L^2} \\ & \quad + \|\nabla^{N-1}(g(n) \nabla n)\|_{L^2}) \|\nabla^{N+1} u\|_{L^2} \stackrel{def}{=} H_1 + H_2 + H_3. \end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

We use Sobolev inequality, Hölder inequality and Lemma 3.3, to find

$$\begin{aligned}
 H_1 &\leq C(\|u\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla^N u\|_{L^2} + \|[\nabla^{N-1}, u] \cdot \nabla n\|_{L^2}) \|\nabla^{N+1} u\|_{L^2} \\
 &\leq C(\|u\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla^N u\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla^{N-1} u\|_{L^2}) \|\nabla^{N+1} u\|_{L^2} \\
 &\leq \epsilon \|\nabla^{N+1} u\|_{L^2}^2 + C_\epsilon \|\nabla u\|_{H^1}^2 \|\nabla^N u\|_{L^2}^2 + C_\epsilon \|\nabla^2 u\|_{H^1}^2 \|\nabla^{N-1} u\|_{L^2}^2 \\
 &\leq \epsilon \|\nabla^{N+1} u\|_{L^2}^2 + C_\epsilon (1+t)^{-(1+s)} \|\nabla^N u\|_{L^2}^2 + C_\epsilon (1+t)^{-(N+1+2s)},
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.20}$$

where we have used the decay estimate (1.9) in the last inequality. We employ once again Sobolev inequality and decay (1.9), combined with (4.2), to deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
 H_2 &\leq C(\|f(n)\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla^{N+1} u\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla^{N-1} f(n)\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^\infty}) \|\nabla^{N+1} u\|_{L^2} \\
 &\leq C(\|\nabla f(n)\|_{H^1} \|\nabla^{N+1} u\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla^{N-1} f(n)\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^3 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^4 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \|\nabla^{N+1} u\|_{L^2} \\
 &\leq C\delta_0 \|\nabla^{N+1} u\|_{L^2}^2 + C(1+t)^{-\frac{N-1+\sigma}{2}} \|\nabla^3 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^4 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^{N+1} u\|_{L^2}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.21}$$

Since the initial data $(\rho_0 - \bar{\rho}, u_0) \in H^N$ with integer $N \geq 3$, the second term on the right handside of inequality (4.21) should be estimated in the following two cases. If the integer $N = 3$, we apply the Cauchy inequality to obtain

$$(1+t)^{-\frac{3+s}{2}} \|\nabla^3 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^4 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}} \leq \epsilon \|\nabla^4 u\|_{L^2}^2 + C_\epsilon (1+t)^{-2(3+s)} \|\nabla^3 u\|_{L^2}^2. \tag{4.22}$$

If the integer $N \geq 4$, then we apply the decay (1.9) to get

$$(1+t)^{-\frac{N-1+s}{2}} \|\nabla^3 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^4 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^{N+1} u\|_{L^2} \leq \epsilon \|\nabla^{N+1} u\|_{L^2}^2 + C_\epsilon (1+t)^{-(N+2+2s)}. \tag{4.23}$$

Substituting the estimates (4.22) and (4.23) into (4.21), we find that

$$H_2 \leq (\epsilon + C\delta_0) \|\nabla^{N+1} u\|_{L^2}^2 + C_\epsilon (1+t)^{-1} \|\nabla^N u\|_{L^2}^2 + C_\epsilon (1+t)^{-(N+2+2s)}. \tag{4.24}$$

Likewise, employing (1.9) and (4.2) once again, we calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
 H_3 &\leq C\left(\|g(n)\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla^N n\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla^{N-1} g(n)\|_{L^2} \|\nabla n\|_{L^\infty}\right) \|\nabla^{N+1} u\|_{L^2} \\
 &\leq \epsilon \|\nabla^{N+1} u\|_{L^2}^2 + C_\epsilon \left(\|\nabla g(n)\|_{H^1}^2 \|\nabla^N n\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 n\|_{H^1}^2 \|\nabla^{N-1} g(n)\|_{L^2}^2\right) \\
 &\leq \epsilon \|\nabla^{N+1} u\|_{L^2}^2 + C_\epsilon (1+t)^{-(1+\sigma)} \|\nabla^N n\|_{L^2}^2 + C_\epsilon (1+t)^{-(N+1+2\sigma)}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.25}$$

Substituting the estimates (4.20), (4.24) and (4.25) into (4.19), then using Young inequality, we thereby obtain that

$$\int \nabla^N S_2 \cdot \nabla^N u dx \leq (\epsilon + C\delta_0) \|\nabla^{N+1} u\|_{L^2}^2 + C_\epsilon (1+t)^{-1} \|\nabla^N(n, u)\|_{L^2}^2 + C_\epsilon (1+t)^{-(N+1+s)}. \tag{4.26}$$

We utilize the estimates (4.18) and (4.26) into (4.14), and choose ϵ and δ_0 suitably small, to discover that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla^N(n, u)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^{N+1} u\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C(1+t)^{-1} \|\nabla^N(n, u)\|_{L^2}^2 + C(1+t)^{-(N+1+s)}.$$

Multiplying the above inequality by $(1+t)^{N+s+\epsilon_0}$ and integrating with respect to t , we find

$$\begin{aligned}
 &(1+t)^{N+s+\epsilon_0} \|\nabla^N(n, u)\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^t (1+\tau)^{N+s+\epsilon_0} \|\nabla^{N+1} u\|_{L^2}^2 d\tau \\
 &\leq \|\nabla^N(n_0, u_0)\|_{L^2}^2 + C \int_0^t (1+\tau)^{N+s-1+\epsilon_0} \|\nabla^N(n, u)\|_{L^2}^2 d\tau + C \int_0^t (1+\tau)^{-1+\epsilon_0} d\tau \\
 &\leq C(1 + \|\nabla^N(n_0, u_0)\|_{L^2}^2) + C(1+t)^{\epsilon_0},
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.27}$$

where we have used the decay estimate (4.3). Thus, the estimate (4.27) yields the estimate (4.13) directly. Therefore, we complete the proof of this lemma. \square

The proof of Theorem 1.2. With the help of estimate (4.13) in Lemma 4.3, it holds true

$$\|\nabla^N n(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^N u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C(1+t)^{-(s+N)},$$

where C is a positive constant independent of time. Thus, we obtain the estimate (1.10) immediately, and hence, finish the proof of Theorem 1.2.

5 The proof of Theorems 1.5 and 1.7

In this section, we will give the proof for the Theorem 1.5 that includes the global well-posedness theory and time decay estimate (1.19). First of all, the global small solution of the CNS equations can be proven just by taking the strategy of energy method in [6] when the initial data is small perturbation near the equilibrium state. Thus, we assume that the global solution (ρ, u) in Theorem 1.5 exists and satisfies the energy estimate (1.18), i.e.,

$$\|(\rho - \rho^*, u)\|_{H^N}^2 + \int_0^t (\|\nabla(\rho - \rho^*)\|_{H^{N-1}}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{H^N}^2) ds \leq C\|(\rho_0 - \rho^*, u_0)\|_{H^N}^2, \quad (5.1)$$

for all $t \geq 0$. Secondly, similar to the decay estimate (1.15), one can combine the energy estimate and the decay rate of linearized system to obtain the following decay estimates:

$$\|\nabla^k(\rho - \rho^*)(t)\|_{H^{N-k}} + \|\nabla^k u(t)\|_{H^{N-k}} \leq C(1+t)^{-\left(\frac{3}{4} + \frac{k}{2}\right)}, \quad k = 0, 1, \quad (5.2)$$

if the initial data $(\rho_0 - \rho^*, u_0)$ belongs to L^1 additionally. Now, we focus on establishing the optimal decay rate for the higher order spatial derivative of solution. In other words, we will prove that the decay rate (5.2) holds on for the case $k = 2, \dots, N$. Thus, let us set

$$n(x, t) \stackrel{def}{=} \rho(x, t) - \rho^*(x), \quad \bar{\rho}(x) \stackrel{def}{=} \rho^*(x) - \rho_\infty, \quad v \stackrel{def}{=} \frac{\rho_\infty}{\sqrt{p'(\rho_\infty)}} u,$$

then (1.12)–(1.13) can be rewritten in the perturbation form

$$\begin{cases} n_t + \gamma \operatorname{div} v = \tilde{S}_1, & (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3, \\ v_t + \gamma \nabla n - \mu_1 \Delta v - \mu_2 \nabla \operatorname{div} v = \tilde{S}_2, & (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3, \\ (n, v)|_{t=0} \stackrel{def}{=} (n_0, v_0) = (\rho_0 - \rho^*, \frac{\rho_\infty}{\sqrt{p'(\rho_\infty)}} u_0) \rightarrow (0, 0) \text{ as } |x| \rightarrow \infty, \end{cases} \quad (5.3)$$

where $\mu_1 = \frac{\mu}{\rho_\infty}$, $\mu_2 = \frac{\mu + \lambda}{\rho_\infty}$, $\gamma = \sqrt{p'(\rho_\infty)}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{S}_1 &= -\frac{\mu_1 \gamma}{\mu} \operatorname{div}[(n + \bar{\rho})v], \\ \tilde{S}_2 &= -\frac{\mu_1 \gamma}{\mu} v \cdot \nabla v - \tilde{f}(n + \bar{\rho})(\mu_1 \Delta v + \mu_2 \nabla \operatorname{div} v) - \tilde{g}(n + \bar{\rho}) \nabla n - \tilde{h}(n, \bar{\rho}) \nabla \bar{\rho}. \end{aligned}$$

Here the nonlinear functions \tilde{f}, \tilde{g} and \tilde{h} are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}(n + \bar{\rho}) &\stackrel{def}{=} \frac{n + \bar{\rho}}{n + \bar{\rho} + \rho_\infty}, \quad \tilde{g}(n + \bar{\rho}) \stackrel{def}{=} \frac{\mu}{\mu_1 \gamma} \left(\frac{p'(n + \bar{\rho} + \rho_\infty)}{n + \bar{\rho} + \rho_\infty} - \frac{p'(\rho_\infty)}{\rho_\infty} \right), \\ \tilde{h}(n, \bar{\rho}) &\stackrel{def}{=} \frac{\mu}{\mu_1 \gamma} \left(\frac{p'(n + \bar{\rho} + \rho_\infty)}{n + \bar{\rho} + \rho_\infty} - \frac{p'(\bar{\rho} + \rho_\infty)}{\bar{\rho} + \rho_\infty} \right). \end{aligned}$$

In view of the definition of \tilde{h} and \tilde{g} , it holds true

$$\tilde{h}(n, \bar{\rho}) = \tilde{g}(n + \bar{\rho}) - \tilde{g}(\bar{\rho}), \quad (5.4)$$

which will be used in this section.

5.1. Energy estimates

In this subsection, we will establish the following differential inequality that will play important role for us to establish the optimal decay rate for the higher order spatial derivative of solution. First of all, let us define the energy $\mathcal{E}_l^N(t)$ as

$$\mathcal{E}_l^N(t) \stackrel{def}{=} \sum_{m=l}^N \|\nabla^m(n, v)\|_{L^2}^2 + \eta_2 \sum_{m=l}^{N-1} \int \nabla^m v \cdot \nabla^{m+1} n dx, \quad 0 \leq l \leq N,$$

where η_2 is a small positive constant. Due to the smallness of parameter η_2 , we have the following equivalent relation

$$c_3 \|\nabla^l(n, v)\|_{H^{N-l}}^2 \leq \mathcal{E}_l^N(t) \leq c_4 \|\nabla^l(n, v)\|_{H^{N-l}}^2. \quad (5.5)$$

where c_3 and c_4 are positive constant independent of time. Finally, the relation (1.14) and the condition (1.16) in Theorem 1.5 lead us to obtain

$$\sum_{k=0}^{N+1} \|(1+|x|)^k \nabla^k(\rho^* - \rho_\infty)\|_{L^2 \cap L^\infty} \leq \delta.$$

This, together with the Sobolev interpolation inequality, yields

$$\sum_{k=0}^{N+1} \|(1+|x|)^k \nabla^k(\rho^* - \rho_\infty)\|_{L^p} \leq \delta, \quad 2 \leq p \leq +\infty. \quad (5.6)$$

This inequality will be used frequently in Section 5. Now we state the main result in this subsection.

Proposition 5.1. *Under the assumptions in Theorem 1.5, for any $1 \leq l \leq N-1$, we have*

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}_l^N(t) + \eta_2 \sum_{m=l}^{N-1} \|\nabla^{m+1} n\|_{L^2}^2 + \sum_{m=l}^N \|\nabla^{m+1} v\|_{L^2}^2 \leq 0. \quad (5.7)$$

Here η_2 is a small positive constant.

Due to the energy estimate (5.1), there exists a positive constant C such that for any $k \geq 1$,

$$|\tilde{f}(n + \bar{\rho})| \leq C|n + \bar{\rho}|, \quad |\tilde{g}(n + \bar{\rho})| \leq C|n + \bar{\rho}|, \quad |\tilde{f}^{(k)}(n + \bar{\rho})| \leq C, \quad |\tilde{g}^{(k)}(n + \bar{\rho})| \leq C.$$

Next we give three lemmas as follows, which is the key to prove Proposition 5.1. The first one is the basic energy estimate for k -th ($1 \leq k \leq N-1$) order spatial derivative of solution.

Lemma 5.2. *Under the assumptions in Theorem 1.5, for $1 \leq k \leq N-1$, we have*

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla^k(n, v)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^{k+1} v\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C\delta \|\nabla^{k+1}(n, v)\|_{L^2}^2, \quad (5.8)$$

where C is a positive constant independent of time.

Proof. Applying differential operator ∇^k to (5.3)₁ and (5.3)₂, multiplying the resulting equations by $\nabla^k n$ and $\nabla^k v$, respectively, then integrating over \mathbb{R}^3 , one easily shows that

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla^k(n, v)\|_{L^2}^2 + \mu_1 \|\nabla^{k+1} v\|_{L^2}^2 + \mu_2 \|\nabla^k \operatorname{div} v\|_{L^2}^2 = \int \nabla^k \tilde{S}_1 \cdot \nabla^k n dx + \int \nabla^k \tilde{S}_2 \cdot \nabla^k v dx. \quad (5.9)$$

Integrating by part and using the definition of \tilde{S}_1 , it holds

$$\begin{aligned} \int \nabla^k \tilde{S}_1 \cdot \nabla^k n dx &\leq C \int \nabla^{k-1}(v \cdot \nabla n) \cdot \nabla^{k+1} n dx + C \int \nabla^{k-1}(n \operatorname{div} v) \cdot \nabla^{k+1} n dx \\ &\quad + C \int \nabla^{k-1}(v \cdot \nabla \bar{\rho}) \cdot \nabla^{k+1} n dx + C \int \nabla^{k-1}(\bar{\rho} \operatorname{div} v) \cdot \nabla^{k+1} n dx \\ &\stackrel{def}{=} I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4. \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

It follows from Hölder and Sobolev interpolation inequalities that

$$|I_1| \leq C \sum_{0 \leq l \leq k-1} \|\nabla^{k-1-l} v\|_{L^3} \|\nabla^{l+1} n\|_{L^6} \|\nabla^{k+1} n\|_{L^2}. \quad (5.11)$$

The Sobolev interpolation inequality (3.1) in Lemma 3.2 yields directly

$$\|\nabla^{k-1-l} v\|_{L^3} \|\nabla^{l+1} v\|_{L^6} \leq C \|\nabla^\alpha v\|_{L^2}^{\frac{l+2}{k+1}} \|\nabla^{k+1} v\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{l+2}{k+1}} \|v\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{l+2}{k+1}} \|\nabla^{k+1} v\|_{L^2}^{\frac{l+2}{k+1}}, \quad (5.12)$$

where

$$\alpha = \frac{k+1}{2(l+2)} \leq \frac{k+1}{4} \leq \frac{N}{4}.$$

Substituting estimate (5.12) into (5.11), it holds true

$$|I_1| \leq C \sum_{0 \leq l \leq k-1} \|\nabla^\alpha v\|_{L^2}^{\frac{l+2}{k+1}} \|n\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{l+2}{k+1}} \|\nabla^{k+1}(n, v)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C\delta \|\nabla^{k+1}(n, v)\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (5.13)$$

Similarly, it is easy to check that

$$|I_2| \leq C \sum_{0 \leq l \leq k-1} \|\nabla^{k-1-l} n\|_{L^3} \|\nabla^{l+1} v\|_{L^6} \|\nabla^{k+1} n\|_{L^2} \leq C\delta \|\nabla^{k+1}(n, v)\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (5.14)$$

The application of Hölder inequality implies directly

$$|I_3| \leq C \sum_{0 \leq l \leq k-1} \|(1+|x|)^{l+1} \nabla^{l+1} \bar{\rho}\|_{L^3} \left\| \frac{\nabla^{k-1-l} v}{(1+|x|)^{l+1}} \right\|_{L^6} \|\nabla^{k+1} n\|_{L^2}. \quad (5.15)$$

Since

$$\left\| \frac{\nabla^{k-1-l} v}{(1+|x|)^{l+1}} \right\|_{L^6} \leq C \|\nabla \left(\frac{\nabla^{k-1-l} v}{(1+|x|)^{l+1}} \right)\|_{L^2} \leq C \left\| \frac{\nabla^{k-l} v}{(1+|x|)^{l+1}} \right\|_{L^2} + C \left\| \frac{\nabla^{k-1-l} v}{(1+|x|)^{l+2}} \right\|_{L^2}, \quad (5.16)$$

one can deduce from (5.15) and Hardy inequality that

$$|I_3| \leq C\delta \sum_{0 \leq l \leq k-1} \left(\left\| \frac{\nabla^{k-l} v}{(1+|x|)^{l+1}} \right\|_{L^2} + \left\| \frac{\nabla^{k-1-l} v}{(1+|x|)^{l+2}} \right\|_{L^2} \right) \|\nabla^{k+1} n\|_{L^2} \leq C\delta \|\nabla^{k+1}(n, v)\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (5.17)$$

Similarly, it is easy to check that

$$|I_4| \leq C \sum_{0 \leq l \leq k-1} \|(1+|x|)^{k-1-l} \nabla^{k-1-l} \bar{\rho}\|_{L^3} \left\| \frac{\nabla^{l+1} v}{(1+|x|)^{k-1-l}} \right\|_{L^6} \|\nabla^{k+1} n\|_{L^2} \leq C\delta \|\nabla^{k+1}(n, v)\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (5.18)$$

Substituting (5.13), (5.14), (5.17) and (5.18) into (5.10), it holds

$$\left| \int \nabla^k \tilde{S}_1 \cdot \nabla^k n dx \right| \leq C\delta \|\nabla^{k+1}(n, v)\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (5.19)$$

Recall the definition of \tilde{S}_2 , we obtain after integration by part that

$$\begin{aligned} \int \nabla^k \tilde{S}_2 \cdot \nabla^k v dx &= - \int \nabla^{k-1} \left(\frac{\mu_1 \gamma}{\mu} v \cdot \nabla v \right) \cdot \nabla^{k+1} v dx - \int \nabla^{k-1} \left\{ \tilde{f}(n + \bar{\rho}) (\mu_1 \Delta v + \mu_2 \nabla \operatorname{div} v) \right\} \cdot \nabla^{k+1} v dx \\ &\quad - \int \nabla^{k-1} \left(\tilde{g}(n + \bar{\rho}) \nabla n \right) \cdot \nabla^{k+1} v dx - \int \nabla^{k-1} \left(\tilde{h}(n, \bar{\rho}) \nabla \bar{\rho} \right) \cdot \nabla^{k+1} v dx \\ &\stackrel{def}{=} J_1 + J_2 + J_3 + J_4. \end{aligned}$$

The Sobolev inequality and (5.12) yield directly

$$|J_1| \leq C \sum_{0 \leq l \leq k-1} \|\nabla^{k-1-l} v\|_{L^3} \|\nabla^{l+1} v\|_{L^6} \|\nabla^{k+1} v\|_{L^2} \leq C\delta \|\nabla^{k+1} v\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (5.20)$$

Next, we begin to give the estimate for the term J_2 . Notice that for any $1 \leq l \leq k-1$,

$$\nabla^l \tilde{f}(n + \bar{\rho}) = \text{a sum of products } \tilde{f}^{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_{i+j}}(n + \bar{\rho}) \nabla^{\gamma_1} n \dots \nabla^{\gamma_i} n \nabla^{\gamma_{i+1}} \bar{\rho} \dots \nabla^{\gamma_{i+j}} \bar{\rho} \quad (5.21)$$

with the functions $\tilde{f}^{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_{i+j}}(n + \bar{\rho})$ are some derivatives of $\tilde{f}(n + \bar{\rho})$ and $1 \leq \gamma_\beta \leq l$, $\beta = 1, 2, \dots, i+j$; $\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \dots + \gamma_i = m$, $0 \leq m \leq l$ and $\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \dots + \gamma_{i+j} = l$. Then J_2 is split up into three terms as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} |J_2| &\leq C \int |\tilde{f}(n + \bar{\rho})| |\nabla^{k+1} v|^2 dx + C \sum_{1 \leq l \leq k-1} \sum_{\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_j = l} \int |\nabla^{\gamma_1} \bar{\rho}| \dots |\nabla^{\gamma_j} \bar{\rho}| |\nabla^{k+1-l} v| |\nabla^{k+1} v| dx \\ &\quad + C \sum_{1 \leq l \leq k-1} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_{i+j} = l \\ \gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_i = m \\ 1 \leq m \leq l}} \int |\nabla^{\gamma_1} n| \dots |\nabla^{\gamma_i} n| |\nabla^{\gamma_{i+1}} \bar{\rho}| \dots |\nabla^{\gamma_{i+j}} \bar{\rho}| |\nabla^{k+1-l} v| |\nabla^{k+1} v| dx \end{aligned} \quad (5.22)$$

$$\stackrel{def}{=} J_{21} + J_{22} + J_{23}.$$

Then it is easy to verify that

$$|J_{21}| \leq C(\|n\|_{L^\infty} + \|\bar{\rho}\|_{L^\infty}) \|\nabla^{k+1} v\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C\delta \|\nabla^{k+1} v\|_{L^2}^2.$$

We address the second term J_{22} by using Hardy inequality

$$|J_{22}| \leq C \sum_{1 \leq l \leq k-1} \sum_{\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_j = l} \|(1+|x|)^{\gamma_1} \nabla^{\gamma_1} \bar{\rho}\|_{L^\infty} \dots \|(1+|x|)^{\gamma_j} \nabla^{\gamma_j} \bar{\rho}\|_{L^\infty} \left\| \frac{\nabla^{k+1-l} v}{(1+|x|)^l} \right\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^{k+1} v\|_{L^2} \leq C\delta \|\nabla^{k+1} v\|_{L^2}^2.$$

In view of the Sobolev interpolation inequality (3.1) in Lemma 3.2 and Hardy inequality, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} |J_{23}| &\leq C \sum_{1 \leq l \leq k-1} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_{i+j} = l \\ \gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_i = m \\ 1 \leq m \leq l}} \|\nabla^{\gamma_1} n\|_{L^\infty} \dots \|\nabla^{\gamma_i} n\|_{L^\infty} \|(1+|x|)^{\gamma_{i+1}} \nabla^{\gamma_{i+1}} \bar{\rho}\|_{L^\infty} \dots \|(1+|x|)^{\gamma_{i+j}} \nabla^{\gamma_{i+j}} \bar{\rho}\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\quad \times \left\| \frac{\nabla^{k+1-l} v}{(1+|x|)^{l-m}} \right\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^{k+1} v\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C\delta \sum_{1 \leq l \leq k-1} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_{i+j} = l \\ \gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_i = m \\ 1 \leq m \leq l}} \|n\|_{L^2}^{1 - \frac{3+2\gamma_1}{2(k+1)}} \|\nabla^{k+1} n\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3+2\gamma_1}{2(k+1)}} \dots \|n\|_{L^2}^{1 - \frac{3+2\gamma_i}{2(k+1)}} \|\nabla^{k+1} n\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3+2\gamma_i}{2(k+1)}} \|\nabla^{k+1-m} v\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^{k+1} v\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C\delta \sum_{1 \leq l \leq k-1} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_{i+j} = l \\ \gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_i = m \\ 1 \leq m \leq l}} \|n\|_{L^2}^{1 - \frac{3i+2m}{2(k+1)}} \|\nabla^{k+1} n\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3i+2m}{2(k+1)}} \|\nabla^\alpha v\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3i+2m}{2(k+1)}} \|\nabla^{k+1} v\|_{L^2}^{1 - \frac{3i+2m}{2(k+1)}} \|\nabla^{k+1} v\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C\delta \|\nabla^{k+1}(n, v)\|_{L^2}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\alpha = \frac{3i(k+1)}{3i+2m} \leq \frac{3}{5}(k+1) \leq \frac{3}{5}N \leq N,$$

provided $i \geq 1$, $m \geq 1$ and $i \leq m$. Then, substituting the estimates of J_{21} , J_{22} and J_{23} into (5.22), we have

$$|J_2| \leq C\delta \|\nabla^{k+1}(n, v)\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (5.23)$$

It is easy to check that

$$\begin{aligned} |J_3| &\leq C \int |n + \bar{\rho}| |\nabla^k n| |\nabla^{k+1} v| dx + C \sum_{1 \leq l \leq k-1} \sum_{\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_j = l} \int |\nabla^{\gamma_1} \bar{\rho}| \dots |\nabla^{\gamma_j} \bar{\rho}| |\nabla^{k-l} n| |\nabla^{k+1} v| dx \\ &\quad + C \sum_{1 \leq l \leq k-1} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_{i+j} = l \\ \gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_i = m \\ 1 \leq m \leq l}} \int |\nabla^{\gamma_1} n| \dots |\nabla^{\gamma_i} n| |\nabla^{\gamma_{i+1}} \bar{\rho}| \dots |\nabla^{\gamma_{i+j}} \bar{\rho}| |\nabla^{k-l} n| |\nabla^{k+1} v| dx \\ &\stackrel{def}{=} J_{31} + J_{32} + J_{33}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.24)$$

By Sobolev inequality, it is easy to check that

$$|J_{31}| \leq C\delta \|(n + \bar{\rho})\|_{L^3} \|\nabla^k n\|_{L^6} \|\nabla^{k+1} v\|_{L^2} \leq C\delta \|\nabla^{k+1}(n, v)\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Similar to estimate (5.16), we apply Hardy inequality to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |J_{32}| &\leq C \sum_{1 \leq l \leq k} \sum_{\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_j = l} \|(1+|x|)^{\gamma_1} \nabla^{\gamma_1} \bar{\rho}\|_{L^3} \|(1+|x|)^{\gamma_2} \nabla^{\gamma_2} \bar{\rho}\|_{L^\infty} \dots \|(1+|x|)^{\gamma_j} \nabla^{\gamma_j} \bar{\rho}\|_{L^\infty} \left\| \frac{\nabla^{k-l} n}{(1+|x|)^l} \right\|_{L^6} \|\nabla^{k+1} v\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C\delta \|\nabla^{k+1}(n, v)\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

The application of Sobolev interpolation inequality (3.1) in Lemma 3.2 yields directly

$$\begin{aligned}
 |J_{33}| &\leq C \sum_{1 \leq l \leq k-1} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_{i+j} = l \\ \gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_i = m \\ 1 \leq m \leq l}} \|\nabla^{\gamma_1} n\|_{L^\infty} \dots \|\nabla^{\gamma_i} n\|_{L^\infty} \|(1+|x|)^{\gamma_{i+1}} \nabla^{\gamma_{i+1}} \bar{\rho}\|_{L^\infty} \dots \|(1+|x|)^{\gamma_{i+j}} \nabla^{\gamma_{i+j}} \bar{\rho}\|_{L^\infty} \\
 &\quad \times \left\| \frac{\nabla^{k-l} n}{(1+|x|)^{l-m}} \right\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^{k+1} v\|_{L^2} \\
 &\leq C\delta \sum_{1 \leq l \leq k-1} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_{i+j} = l \\ \gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_i = m \\ 1 \leq m \leq l}} \|n\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{3+2\gamma_1}{2(k+1)}} \|\nabla^{k+1} n\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3+2\gamma_1}{2(k+1)}} \dots \|n\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{3+2\gamma_i}{2(k+1)}} \|\nabla^{k+1} n\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3+2\gamma_i}{2(k+1)}} \|\nabla^{k-m} n\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^{k+1} v\|_{L^2} \\
 &\leq C\delta \sum_{1 \leq l \leq k-1} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_{i+j} = l \\ \gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_i = m \\ 1 \leq m \leq l}} \|n\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{3i+2m}{2(k+1)}} \|\nabla^{k+1} n\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3i+2m}{2(k+1)}} \|\nabla^\alpha n\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3i+2m}{2(k+1)}} \|\nabla^{k+1} n\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{3i+2m}{2(k+1)}} \|\nabla^{k+1} v\|_{L^2} \\
 &\leq C\delta \|(\nabla^{k+1} n, \nabla^{k+2} v)\|_{L^2}^2,
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\alpha = \frac{(3i-2)(k+1)}{3i+2m} \leq \frac{3}{5}(k+1) \leq \frac{3}{5}N \leq N,$$

provided $i \geq 1$, $m \geq 1$ and $i \leq m$. Substituting the estimates of term J_{31} , J_{32} and J_{33} into (5.24), it holds

$$|J_3| \leq C\delta \|\nabla^{k+1}(n, v)\|_{L^2}^2. \tag{5.25}$$

Before estimating the last term J_4 , we want to show that $\tilde{h}(n, \bar{\rho})$ and its spatial derivatives can be controlled by a sum of products of some terms of n and its derivatives. For this purpose, it follows from Taylor expansion that

$$\tilde{h}(n, \bar{\rho}) = \frac{p'(\rho_\infty) + p''(\rho_\infty)\rho_\infty}{(\bar{\rho} + \rho_\infty)(n + \bar{\rho} + \rho_\infty)} n + o(|n|).$$

Using the fact that $p(\rho)$ is smooth in a neighborhood of ρ_∞ with $p'(\rho_\infty) > 0$, one obtains that

$$|\tilde{h}(n, \bar{\rho})| \leq C|n|. \tag{5.26}$$

Next, let us to deal with the derivatives of \tilde{h} . In view of the definition of \tilde{h} and \tilde{g} , it then follows from (5.4) that for any $l \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \nabla^l \tilde{h}(n, \bar{\rho}) &= \nabla^l \tilde{g}(n + \bar{\rho}) - \nabla^l \tilde{g}(\bar{\rho}) \\
 &= \text{a sum of products } \left\{ \tilde{g}^{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_{i+j}}(n + \bar{\rho}) \nabla^{\gamma_1} n \dots \nabla^{\gamma_i} n \nabla^{\gamma_{i+1}} \bar{\rho} \dots \nabla^{\gamma_{i+j}} \bar{\rho} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \tilde{g}^{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_{i+j}}(\bar{\rho}) \nabla^{\gamma_1} \bar{\rho} \dots \nabla^{\gamma_{i+j}} \bar{\rho} \right\}
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.27}$$

with the functions $\tilde{g}^{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_{i+j}}$ are some derivatives of \tilde{g} and $1 \leq \gamma_\beta \leq l$, $\beta = 1, 2, \dots, i+j$; $\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \dots + \gamma_i = m$, $0 \leq m \leq l$ and $\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \dots + \gamma_{i+j} = l$. For the case that $m = 0$, we use mean value theorem to find that there exists a ξ between $\bar{\rho}$ and $n + \bar{\rho}$, such that

$$\tilde{g}^{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_j}(n + \bar{\rho}) \nabla^{\gamma_1} \bar{\rho} \dots \nabla^{\gamma_j} \bar{\rho} - \tilde{g}^{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_j}(\bar{\rho}) \nabla^{\gamma_1} \bar{\rho} \dots \nabla^{\gamma_j} \bar{\rho} = \tilde{g}^{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_j)+1}(\xi) n \nabla^{\gamma_1} \bar{\rho} \dots \nabla^{\gamma_j} \bar{\rho},$$

which, together with (5.27), yields the following estimate

$$|\nabla^l \tilde{h}(n, \bar{\rho})| \leq C|n| \sum_{\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_j = l} |\nabla^{\gamma_1} \bar{\rho}| \dots |\nabla^{\gamma_j} \bar{\rho}| + C \sum_{\substack{\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_{i+j} = l \\ \gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_i = m \\ 1 \leq m \leq l}} |\nabla^{\gamma_1} n| \dots |\nabla^{\gamma_i} n| |\nabla^{\gamma_{i+1}} \bar{\rho}| \dots |\nabla^{\gamma_{i+j}} \bar{\rho}|. \tag{5.28}$$

This, together with the estimate (5.26), gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 |J_4| &\leq C \int |\tilde{h}(n, \bar{\rho})| |\nabla^k \bar{\rho}| |\nabla^{k+1} v| dx + C \sum_{1 \leq l \leq k-1} \int |\nabla^l \tilde{h}(n, \bar{\rho})| |\nabla^{k-l} \bar{\rho}| |\nabla^{k+1} v| dx \\
 &\leq C \int |n| |\nabla^k \bar{\rho}| |\nabla^{k+1} v| dx + C \sum_{1 \leq l \leq k-1} \sum_{\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_j = l} \int |n| |\nabla^{\gamma_1} \bar{\rho}| \dots |\nabla^{\gamma_j} \bar{\rho}| |\nabla^{k-l} \bar{\rho}| |\nabla^{k+1} v| dx \\
 &\quad + C \sum_{1 \leq l \leq k-1} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_{i+j} = l \\ \gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_i = m \\ 1 \leq m \leq l}} \int |\nabla^{\gamma_1} n| \dots |\nabla^{\gamma_i} n| |\nabla^{\gamma_{i+1}} \bar{\rho}| \dots |\nabla^{\gamma_{i+j}} \bar{\rho}| |\nabla^{k-l} \bar{\rho}| |\nabla^{k+1} v| dx \\
 &\stackrel{def}{=} J_{41} + J_{42} + J_{43}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.29}$$

By virtue of Sobolev and Hardy inequalities, it is easy to deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_{41} + J_{42} &\leq C \left\| \frac{n}{(1+|x|)^k} \right\|_{L^6} \left(\|(1+|x|)^k \nabla^k \bar{\rho}\|_{L^3} + \sum_{1 \leq l \leq k-1} \sum_{\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_j = l} \|(1+|x|)^{\gamma_1} \nabla^{\gamma_1} \bar{\rho}\|_{L^\infty} \dots \|(1+|x|)^{\gamma_j} \nabla^{\gamma_j} \bar{\rho}\|_{L^\infty} \|(1+|x|)^{k-l} \nabla^{k-l} \bar{\rho}\|_{L^3} \right) \|\nabla^{k+1} v\|_{L^2} \\
 &\leq C \delta \left(\left\| \frac{\nabla n}{(1+|x|)^k} \right\|_{L^2} + \left\| \frac{n}{(1+|x|)^{k+1}} \right\|_{L^2} \right) \|\nabla^{k+1} v\|_{L^2} \\
 &\leq C \delta \|\nabla^{k+1}(n, v)\|_{L^2}^2.
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.30}$$

It then follows from Sobolev inequality that

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_{43} &\leq C \sum_{1 \leq l \leq k-1} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_{i+j} = l \\ \gamma_1 = m \\ 1 \leq m \leq l}} \left\| \frac{\nabla^{\gamma_1} n}{(1+|x|)^{k-m}} \right\|_{L^6} \|(1+|x|)^{\gamma_2} \nabla^{\gamma_2} \bar{\rho}\|_{L^\infty} \dots \|(1+|x|)^{\gamma_{i+j}} \nabla^{\gamma_{i+j}} \bar{\rho}\|_{L^\infty} \\
 &\quad \times \|(1+|x|)^{k+1-l} \nabla^{k-l} \bar{\rho}\|_{L^3} \|\nabla^{k+1} v\|_{L^2} + C \sum_{1 \leq l \leq k} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_{i+j} = l \\ \gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_i = m \\ 1 \leq m \leq l, i \geq 2}} \left\| \frac{\nabla^{\gamma_1} n}{(1+|x|)^{k-m}} \right\|_{L^6} \|\nabla^{\gamma_2} n\|_{L^\infty} \dots \\
 &\quad \times \|\nabla^{\gamma_i} n\|_{L^\infty} \|(1+|x|)^{\gamma_{i+1}} \nabla^{\gamma_{i+1}} \bar{\rho}\|_{L^\infty} \dots \|(1+|x|)^{\gamma_{i+j}} \nabla^{\gamma_{i+j}} \bar{\rho}\|_{L^\infty} \|(1+|x|)^{k+1-l} \nabla^{k-l} \bar{\rho}\|_{L^3} \|\nabla^{k+1} v\|_{L^2} \\
 &\stackrel{def}{=} J_{431} + J_{432}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.31}$$

Thanks to Hardy inequality, one can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_{431} &\leq C \delta \sum_{1 \leq l \leq k-1} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_{i+j} = l \\ \gamma_1 = m \\ 1 \leq m \leq l}} \left(\left\| \frac{\nabla^{\gamma_1+1} n}{(1+|x|)^{k-m}} \right\|_{L^2} + \left\| \frac{\nabla^{\gamma_1} n}{(1+|x|)^{k-m+1}} \right\|_{L^2} \right) \|\nabla^{k+1} v\|_{L^2} \\
 &\leq C \delta \|\nabla^{k+1} n\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^{k+1} v\|_{L^2} \leq C \delta \|\nabla^{k+1}(n, v)\|_{L^2}^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

With the help of Sobolev interpolation inequality (3.1) in Lemma 3.2 and Hardy inequality, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_{432} &\leq C \delta \sum_{1 \leq l \leq k-1} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_{i+j} = l \\ \gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_i = m \\ 1 \leq m \leq l, i \geq 2}} \|\nabla^{k+1-m+\gamma_1} n\|_{L^2} \|n\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{3+2\gamma_2}{2(k+1)}} \|\nabla^{k+1} n\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3+2\gamma_2}{2(k+1)}} \dots \|n\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{3+2\gamma_i}{2(k+1)}} \|\nabla^{k+1} n\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3+2\gamma_i}{2(k+1)}} \|\nabla^{k+1} v\|_{L^2} \\
 &\leq C \delta \sum_{1 \leq l \leq k-1} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_{i+j} = l \\ \gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_i = m \\ 1 \leq m \leq l, i \geq 2}} \|\nabla^\alpha n\|_{L^2}^\theta \|\nabla^{k+1} n\|_{L^2}^{1-\theta} \|n\|_{L^2}^{1-\theta} \|\nabla^{k+1} n\|_{L^2}^\theta \|\nabla^{k+1} v\|_{L^2} \leq C \delta \|\nabla^{k+1}(n, v)\|_{L^2}^2,
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\theta = \frac{3(i-1) + 2(m-\gamma_1)}{2(k+1)}, \quad \alpha = \frac{3(i-1)(k+1)}{3(i-1) + 2(m-\gamma_1)} \leq \frac{3}{5}(k+1) \leq \frac{3}{5}N \leq N,$$

provided that $i \geq 2$ and $i - 1 \leq m - \gamma_1$. Inserting the estimates of J_{431} and J_{432} into (5.31), it follows immediately

$$J_{43} \leq C\delta \|\nabla^{k+1}(n, v)\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (5.32)$$

Thus, substituting (5.30) and (5.32) into (5.29), we deduce that

$$|J_4| \leq C\delta \|\nabla^{k+1}(n, v)\|_{L^2}^2,$$

which, together with (5.20), (5.23) and (5.25), gives

$$\int \nabla^k \tilde{S}_2 \cdot \nabla^k v dx \leq C\delta \|\nabla^{k+1}(n, v)\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (5.33)$$

We finally utilize (5.19) and (5.33) in (5.9), to obtain (5.8) directly. Thus, we complete the proof of this lemma. \square

We then derive the energy estimate for $N - th$ order spatial derivative of solution.

Lemma 5.3. *Under the assumptions in Theorem 1.5, we have*

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla^N(n, v)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^{N+1}v\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C\delta \|(\nabla^N n, \nabla^{N+1}v)\|_{L^2}^2, \quad (5.34)$$

where C is a positive constant independent of time.

Proof. Applying differential operator ∇^N to (5.3)₁ and (5.3)₂, multiplying the resulting equations by $\nabla^N n$ and $\nabla^N v$, respectively, and integrating over \mathbb{R}^3 , it holds

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla^N(n, v)\|_{L^2}^2 + \mu_1 \|\nabla^{N+1}v\|_{L^2}^2 + \mu_2 \|\nabla^N \operatorname{div} v\|_{L^2}^2 = \int \nabla^N \tilde{S}_1 \cdot \nabla^N n dx + \int \nabla^N \tilde{S}_2 \cdot \nabla^N v dx. \quad (5.35)$$

Now we estimate two terms on the right handside of (5.35) separately. In view of the definition of \tilde{S}_1 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int \nabla^N \tilde{S}_1 \cdot \nabla^N n dx &= C \int \nabla^N (v \cdot \nabla n) \cdot \nabla^N n dx + C \int \nabla^N (n \operatorname{div} v) \cdot \nabla^N n dx \\ &\quad + C \int \nabla^N (v \cdot \nabla \bar{\rho}) \cdot \nabla^N n dx + C \int \nabla^N (\bar{\rho} \operatorname{div} v) \cdot \nabla^N n dx \\ &\stackrel{def}{=} K_1 + K_2 + K_3 + K_4. \end{aligned} \quad (5.36)$$

Sobolev inequality and integration by parts yield

$$\begin{aligned} K_1 &= C \sum_{0 \leq l \leq N} \int \nabla^{l+1} n \nabla^{N-l} v \nabla^N n dx \\ &= C \int \nabla n \nabla^N v \nabla^N n dx + C \sum_{1 \leq l \leq N-2} \int \nabla^{l+1} n \nabla^{N-l} v \nabla^N n dx + C \int \nabla^N n \nabla v \nabla^N n dx - C \int \operatorname{div} v |\nabla^N n|^2 dx \\ &\leq C \|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla^N n\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla n\|_{L^3} \|\nabla^N v\|_{L^6} \|\nabla^N n\|_{L^2} + C \sum_{2 \leq l \leq N-1} \|\nabla^l n\|_{L^6} \|\nabla^{N+1-l} v\|_{L^3} \|\nabla^N n\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C \|\nabla^2 v\|_{H^1} \|\nabla^N n\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla n\|_{H^1} \|\nabla^{N+1} v\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^N n\|_{L^2} + C \sum_{2 \leq l \leq N-1} \|\nabla^l n\|_{L^6} \|\nabla^{N+1-l} v\|_{L^3} \|\nabla^N n\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the Sobolev interpolation inequality (3.1) in Lemma 3.2, the third term on the right handside of above inequality can be estimated as follows

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\nabla^l n\|_{L^6} \|\nabla^{N+1-l} v\|_{L^3} \|\nabla^N n\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C \|n\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{l+1}{N}} \|\nabla^N n\|_{L^2}^{\frac{l+1}{N}} \|\nabla^\alpha v\|_{L^2}^{\frac{l+1}{N}} \|\nabla^{N+1} v\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{l+1}{N}} \|\nabla^N n\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C\delta \|(\nabla^N n, \nabla^{N+1}v)\|_{L^2}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (5.37)$$

here we denote α that

$$\alpha = \frac{3N}{2(l+1)} + 1 \leq \frac{N}{2} + 1,$$

since $l \geq 2$. Thus, we have

$$|K_1| \leq C\delta \|(\nabla^N n, \nabla^{N+1} v)\|_{L^2}^2.$$

It follows in a similar way to K_1 that

$$\begin{aligned} K_2 &= C \sum_{0 \leq l \leq N} \int \nabla^l n \nabla^{N+1-l} v \nabla^N n dx \\ &\leq C \left(\|n\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla^{N+1} v\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla n\|_{L^3} \|\nabla^N v\|_{L^6} + \sum_{2 \leq l \leq N-1} \|\nabla^l n\|_{L^6} \|\nabla^{N+1-l} v\|_{L^3} + \|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla^N n\|_{L^2} \right) \|\nabla^N n\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C\delta \|(\nabla^N n, \nabla^{N+1} v)\|_{L^2}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (5.37) in the last inequality above. Thanks to Hardy inequality, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} |K_3| &\leq C \sum_{0 \leq l \leq N} \|(1+|x|)^{l+1} \nabla^{l+1} \bar{\rho}\|_{L^\infty} \left\| \frac{\nabla^{N-l} v}{(1+|x|)^{l+1}} \right\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^N n\|_{L^2} \leq C\delta \|(\nabla^N n, \nabla^{N+1} v)\|_{L^2}^2, \\ |K_4| &\leq C \sum_{0 \leq l \leq N} \|(1+|x|)^l \nabla^l \bar{\rho}\|_{L^\infty} \left\| \frac{\nabla^{N+1-l} v}{(1+|x|)^l} \right\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^N n\|_{L^2} \leq C\delta \|(\nabla^N n, \nabla^{N+1} v)\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting the estimates of term from K_1 to K_4 into (5.36), we have

$$\left| \int \nabla^N \tilde{S}_1 \cdot \nabla^N n dx \right| \leq C\delta \|(\nabla^N n, \nabla^{N+1} v)\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (5.38)$$

Remembering the definition of \tilde{S}_2 and integrating by part, we find

$$\begin{aligned} &\int \nabla^N \tilde{S}_2 \cdot \nabla^N v dx \\ &= \int \nabla^{N-1} \left(\frac{\mu_1 \gamma}{\mu} v \cdot \nabla v \right) \cdot \nabla^{N+1} v dx + \int \nabla^{N-1} \left\{ \tilde{f}(n + \bar{\rho}) (\mu_1 \Delta v + \mu_2 \nabla \operatorname{div} v) \right\} \cdot \nabla^{N+1} v dx \\ &\quad + \int \nabla^{N-1} \left(\tilde{g}(n + \bar{\rho}) \nabla n \right) \cdot \nabla^{N+1} v dx + \int \nabla^{N-1} \left(\tilde{h}(n, \bar{\rho}) \nabla \bar{\rho} \right) \cdot \nabla^{N+1} v dx \\ &\stackrel{def}{=} L_1 + L_2 + L_3 + L_4. \end{aligned} \quad (5.39)$$

According to the Sobolev interpolation inequality (3.1) in Lemma 3.2, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} |L_1| &\leq C \sum_{0 \leq l \leq N-1} \|\nabla^{N-1-l} v\|_{L^3} \|\nabla^{l+1} v\|_{L^6} \|\nabla^{N+1} v\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C \sum_{0 \leq l \leq N-1} \|\nabla^\alpha v\|_{L^2}^{\frac{l+2}{N+1}} \|\nabla^{N+1} v\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{l+2}{N+1}} \|v\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{l+2}{N+1}} \|\nabla^{N+1} v\|_{L^2}^{\frac{l+2}{N+1}} \|\nabla^{N+1} v\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C\delta \|\nabla^{N+1} v\|_{L^2}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (5.40)$$

where α is given by

$$\alpha = \frac{N+1}{2(l+2)} \leq \frac{N+1}{4}.$$

Next, we estimate the term L_2 and divide it into two terms as follows

$$|L_2| \leq C \int |\tilde{f}(n + \bar{\rho})| |\nabla^{N+1} v|^2 dx + C \sum_{1 \leq l \leq N-1} \int |\nabla^l \tilde{f}(n + \bar{\rho})| |\nabla^{N+1-l} v| |\nabla^{N+1} v| dx \stackrel{def}{=} L_{21} + L_{22}. \quad (5.41)$$

By Sobolev inequality, one can deduce directly that

$$L_{21} \leq C \|(n + \bar{\rho})\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla^{N+1} v\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C\delta \|\nabla^{N+1} v\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (5.42)$$

It follows from (5.21) that L_{22} can be split up into three terms as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 L_{22} &\leq C \sum_{1 \leq l \leq N-1} \sum_{\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_i = l} \int |\nabla^{\gamma_1} n| \dots |\nabla^{\gamma_i} n| |\nabla^{N+1-l} v| |\nabla^{N+1} v| dx \\
 &+ C \sum_{1 \leq l \leq N-1} \sum_{\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_j = l} \int |\nabla^{\gamma_1} \bar{\rho}| \dots |\nabla^{\gamma_j} \bar{\rho}| |\nabla^{N+1-l} v| |\nabla^{N+1} v| dx \\
 &+ C \sum_{1 \leq l \leq N-1} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_{i+j} = l \\ \gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_i = m \\ 1 \leq m \leq l-1}} \int |\nabla^{\gamma_1} n| \dots |\nabla^{\gamma_i} n| |\nabla^{\gamma_{i+1}} \bar{\rho}| \dots |\nabla^{\gamma_{i+j}} \bar{\rho}| |\nabla^{N+1-l} v| |\nabla^{N+1} v| dx \\
 &\stackrel{def}{=} L_{221} + L_{222} + L_{223}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.43}$$

We then divide L_{221} into two terms as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 L_{221} &\leq C \sum_{1 \leq l \leq N-1} \int |\nabla^l n| |\nabla^{N+1-l} v| |\nabla^{N+1} v| dx \\
 &+ C \sum_{1 \leq l \leq N-1} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_i = l \\ i \geq 2}} \int |\nabla^{\gamma_1} n| \dots |\nabla^{\gamma_i} n| |\nabla^{N+1-l} v| |\nabla^{N+1} v| dx \\
 &\stackrel{def}{=} L_{2211} + L_{2212}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using the Sobolev inequality and estimate (5.37), it holds

$$\begin{aligned}
 L_{2211} &\leq C \left(\|\nabla n\|_{L^3} \|\nabla^N v\|_{L^6} + \sum_{2 \leq l \leq N-1} \|\nabla^l n\|_{L^6} \|\nabla^{N+1-l} v\|_{L^3} \right) \|\nabla^{N+1} v\|_{L^2} \\
 &\leq C \left(\|\nabla n\|_{H^1} \|\nabla^{N+1} v\|_{L^2} + \sum_{2 \leq l \leq N-1} \|n\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{l+1}{N}} \|\nabla^N n\|_{L^2}^{\frac{l+1}{N}} \|\nabla^\alpha v\|_{L^2}^{\frac{l+1}{N}} \|\nabla^{N+1} v\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{l+1}{N}} \right) \|\nabla^{N+1} v\|_{L^2} \\
 &\leq C \delta \|(\nabla^N n, \nabla^{N+1} v)\|_{L^2}^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $i \geq 2$ in term L_{2212} , then $\gamma_\beta \leq N-2$ for $\beta = 1, 2, \dots, i$. Thus, we can use the Sobolev interpolation inequality (3.1) in Lemma 3.2 to find

$$\begin{aligned}
 L_{2212} &\leq C \sum_{1 \leq l \leq N-1} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_i = l \\ i \geq 2}} \|\nabla^{\gamma_1} n\|_{L^\infty} \dots \|\nabla^{\gamma_i} n\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla^{N+1-l} v\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^{N+1} v\|_{L^2} \\
 &\leq C \delta \sum_{1 \leq l \leq N-1} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_i = l \\ i \geq 2}} \|n\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{3+2\gamma_1}{2N}} \|\nabla^N n\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3+2\gamma_1}{2N}} \dots \|n\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{3+2\gamma_i}{2N}} \|\nabla^N n\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3+2\gamma_i}{2N}} \|\nabla^{N+1-l} v\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^{N+1} v\|_{L^2} \\
 &\leq C \sum_{1 \leq l \leq N-1} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_i = l \\ i \geq 2}} \|n\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{3i+2l}{2N}} \|\nabla^N n\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3i+2l}{2N}} \|\nabla^\alpha v\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3i+2l}{2N}} \|\nabla^{N+1} v\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{3i+2l}{2N}} \|\nabla^{N+1} v\|_{L^2} \\
 &\leq C \delta \|(\nabla^N n, \nabla^{N+1} v)\|_{L^2}^2,
 \end{aligned}$$

where α is given by

$$\alpha = 1 + \frac{3iN}{3i+2l} \leq 1 + \frac{3}{5}N \leq N,$$

provided $i \geq 1$, $m \geq 1$, $i \leq m$ and $N \geq 3$. Therefore, it follows from the estimates above that

$$L_{221} \leq C \delta \|(\nabla^N n, \nabla^{N+1} v)\|_{L^2}^2.$$

The application of Hardy inequality yields directly

$$\begin{aligned}
 L_{222} &\leq C \sum_{1 \leq l \leq N-1} \sum_{\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_j = l} \|(1+|x|)^{\gamma_1} \nabla^{\gamma_1} \bar{\rho}\|_{L^\infty} \dots \|(1+|x|)^{\gamma_j} \nabla^{\gamma_j} \bar{\rho}\|_{L^\infty} \left\| \frac{\nabla^{N+1-l} v}{(1+|x|)^l} \right\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^{N+1} v\|_{L^2} \\
 &\leq C \delta \|\nabla^{N+1} v\|_{L^2}^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using Sobolev interpolation inequality (3.1) in Lemma 3.2 again, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 L_{223} &\leq C \sum_{1 \leq l \leq N-1} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_{i+j} = l \\ \gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_i = m \\ 1 \leq m \leq l-1}} \|\nabla^{\gamma_1} n\|_{L^\infty} \dots \|\nabla^{\gamma_i} n\|_{L^\infty} \|(1+|x|)^{\gamma_{i+1}} \nabla^{\gamma_{i+1}} \bar{\rho}\|_{L^\infty} \dots \|(1+|x|)^{\gamma_{i+j}} \nabla^{\gamma_{i+j}} \bar{\rho}\|_{L^\infty} \\
 &\quad \times \left\| \frac{\nabla^{N+1-l} v}{(1+|x|)^{l-m}} \right\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^{N+1} v\|_{L^2} \\
 &\leq C\delta \sum_{1 \leq l \leq N-1} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_{i+j} = l \\ \gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_i = m \\ 1 \leq m \leq l-1}} \|n\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{3+2\gamma_1}{2N}} \|\nabla^N n\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3+2\gamma_1}{2N}} \dots \|n\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{3+2\gamma_i}{2N}} \|\nabla^N n\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3+2\gamma_i}{2N}} \|\nabla^{N+1-m} v\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^{N+1} v\|_{L^2} \\
 &\leq C\delta \sum_{1 \leq l \leq N-1} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_{i+j} = l \\ \gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_i = m \\ 1 \leq m \leq l-1}} \|n\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{3i+2m}{2N}} \|\nabla^N n\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3i+2m}{2N}} \|\nabla^\alpha v\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3i+2m}{2N}} \|\nabla^{N+1} v\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{3i+2m}{2N}} \|\nabla^{N+1} v\|_{L^2} \\
 &\leq C\delta \|(\nabla^N n, \nabla^{N+1} v)\|_{L^2}^2,
 \end{aligned}$$

where α is given by

$$\alpha = 1 + \frac{3iN}{3i+2m} \leq 1 + \frac{3}{5}N \leq N,$$

provided $i \geq 1$, $m \geq 1$ and $i \leq m$. Then, substituting estimates of terms L_{221} , L_{222} and L_{223} into (5.43), we have

$$L_{22} \leq C\delta \|(\nabla^N n, \nabla^{N+1} v)\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (5.44)$$

The combination of estimate (5.41), (5.42) and (5.44) yields directly

$$|L_2| \leq C\delta \|(\nabla^N n, \nabla^{N+1} v)\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (5.45)$$

Now we give the estimate for the term L_3 . Indeed, it is easy to check that

$$\begin{aligned}
 |L_3| &\leq C \int |\tilde{g}(n + \bar{\rho})| |\nabla^N n| |\nabla^{N+1} v| dx + C \sum_{1 \leq l \leq N-1} \sum_{\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_i = l} \int |\nabla^{\gamma_1} n| \dots |\nabla^{\gamma_i} n| |\nabla^{N-l} n| |\nabla^{k+2} v| dx \\
 &\quad + C \sum_{1 \leq l \leq N-1} \sum_{\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_j = l} \int |\nabla^{\gamma_1} \bar{\rho}| |\nabla^{N-l} n| \dots |\nabla^{\gamma_j} \bar{\rho}| |\nabla^{N-l} n| |\nabla^{N+1} v| dx \\
 &\quad + C \sum_{1 \leq l \leq N-1} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_{i+j} = l \\ \gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_i = m \\ 1 \leq m \leq l-1}} \int |\nabla^{\gamma_1} n| \dots |\nabla^{\gamma_i} n| |\nabla^{\gamma_{i+1}} \bar{\rho}| \dots |\nabla^{\gamma_{i+j}} \bar{\rho}| |\nabla^{N-l} n| |\nabla^{N+1} v| dx \\
 &\stackrel{def}{=} L_{31} + L_{32} + L_{33} + L_{34}.
 \end{aligned} \quad (5.46)$$

By Sobolev inequality, it is easy to check that

$$L_{31} \leq C \|(n + \bar{\rho})\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla^N n\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^{N+1} v\|_{L^2} \leq C\delta \|(\nabla^N n, \nabla^{N+1} v)\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (5.47)$$

For L_{32} , we divide it into the following two terms:

$$\begin{aligned}
 L_{32} &\leq C \sum_{1 \leq l \leq N-1} \int |\nabla^l n| |\nabla^{N-l} n| |\nabla^{N+1} v| dx \\
 &\quad + C \sum_{1 \leq l \leq N-1} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_i = l \\ i \geq 2}} \int |\nabla^{\gamma_1} n| \dots |\nabla^{\gamma_i} n| |\nabla^{N-l} n| |\nabla^{N+1} v| dx \\
 &\stackrel{def}{=} L_{321} + L_{322}.
 \end{aligned}$$

By Sobolev inequality, it holds

$$\begin{aligned}
 L_{321} &\leq C \left(\|\nabla n\|_{L^3} \|\nabla^{N-1} n\|_{L^6} + \sum_{2 \leq l \leq N-1} \|\nabla^l n\|_{L^6} \|\nabla^{N-l} n\|_{L^3} \right) \|\nabla^{N+1} v\|_{L^2} \\
 &\leq C \left(\|\nabla n\|_{L^3} \|\nabla^{N-1} n\|_{L^6} + \sum_{2 \leq l \leq N-1} \|n\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{l+1}{N}} \|\nabla^N n\|_{L^2}^{\frac{l+1}{N}} \|\nabla^\alpha n\|_{L^2}^{\frac{l+1}{N}} \|\nabla^N n\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{l+1}{N}} \right) \|\nabla^{N+1} v\|_{L^2} \\
 &\leq C\delta \|(\nabla^N n, \nabla^{N+1} v)\|_{L^2}^2,
 \end{aligned}$$

where α is defined by $\alpha = \frac{3N}{2(l+1)} \leq \frac{N}{2}$. For the term L_{322} , the fact $i \geq 2$ implies $\gamma_\beta + 2 \leq l+1 \leq N$ for $\beta = 1, 2, \dots, i$. Then, it follows from Sobolev interpolation inequality (3.1) in Lemma 3.2 that

$$\begin{aligned}
 L_{322} &\leq C \sum_{1 \leq l \leq N-1} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_i = l \\ i \geq 2}} \|\nabla^{\gamma_1} n\|_{L^\infty} \dots \|\nabla^{\gamma_i} n\|_{L^\infty} \left\| \frac{\nabla^{N-l} n}{(1+|x|)^{l-m}} \right\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^{N+1} v\|_{L^2} \\
 &\leq C \sum_{1 \leq l \leq N-1} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_i = l \\ i \geq 2}} \|n\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{3+2\gamma_1}{2N}} \|\nabla^N n\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3+2\gamma_1}{2N}} \dots \|n\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{3+2\gamma_i}{2N}} \|\nabla^N n\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3+2\gamma_i}{2N}} \|\nabla^{N-m} n\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^{N+1} v\|_{L^2} \\
 &\leq C\delta \sum_{1 \leq l \leq N-1} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_i = l \\ i \geq 2}} \|n\|_{L^2}^{1-\theta} \|\nabla^N n\|_{L^2}^\theta \|\nabla^\alpha n\|_{L^2}^\theta \|\nabla^N n\|_{L^2}^{1-\theta} \|\nabla^{N+1} v\|_{L^2} \\
 &\leq C\delta \|(\nabla^N n, \nabla^{N+1} v)\|_{L^2}^2,
 \end{aligned}$$

where we denote

$$\theta = \frac{3i+2l}{2N}, \quad \alpha = \frac{3iN}{3i+2l} \leq \frac{3}{5}N \leq N,$$

provided $l \geq 1$, $i \leq l$ and $i \geq 2$. Then, the combination of estimates of terms L_{321} and L_{322} implies directly

$$L_{32} \leq C\delta \|(\nabla^N n, \nabla^{N+1} v)\|_{L^2}^2. \tag{5.48}$$

For the term L_{33} , by Hardy inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 L_{33} &\leq C \sum_{1 \leq l \leq N-1} \sum_{\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_j = l} \|(1+|x|)^{\gamma_1} \nabla^{\gamma_1} \bar{\rho}\|_{L^\infty} \dots \|(1+|x|)^{\gamma_j} \nabla^{\gamma_j} \bar{\rho}\|_{L^\infty} \left\| \frac{\nabla^{N-l} n}{(1+|x|)^l} \right\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^{N+1} v\|_{L^2} \\
 &\leq C\delta \|(\nabla^N n, \nabla^{N+1} v)\|_{L^2}^2.
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.49}$$

In view of Sobolev interpolation inequality (3.1) in Lemma 3.2 and Hardy inequality, one deduces that

$$\begin{aligned}
 L_{34} &\leq C \sum_{1 \leq l \leq N-1} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_{i+j} = l \\ \gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_i = m \\ 1 \leq m \leq l-1}} \|\nabla^{\gamma_1} n\|_{L^\infty} \dots \|\nabla^{\gamma_i} n\|_{L^\infty} \|(1+|x|)^{\gamma_{i+1}} \nabla^{\gamma_{i+1}} \bar{\rho}\|_{L^\infty} \dots \|(1+|x|)^{\gamma_{i+j}} \nabla^{\gamma_{i+j}} \bar{\rho}\|_{L^\infty} \\
 &\quad \times \left\| \frac{\nabla^{N-l} n}{(1+|x|)^{l-m}} \right\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^{N+1} v\|_{L^2} \\
 &\leq C\delta \sum_{1 \leq l \leq N-1} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_{i+j} = l \\ \gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_i = m \\ 1 \leq m \leq l-1}} \|n\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{3+2\gamma_1}{2N}} \|\nabla^N n\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3+2\gamma_1}{2N}} \dots \|n\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{3+2\gamma_i}{2N}} \|\nabla^N n\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3+2\gamma_i}{2N}} \|\nabla^{N-m} n\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^{N+1} v\|_{L^2} \\
 &\leq C\delta \sum_{1 \leq l \leq N-1} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_{i+j} = l \\ \gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_i = m \\ 1 \leq m \leq l-1}} \|n\|_{L^2}^{1-\theta} \|\nabla^N n\|_{L^2}^\theta \|\nabla^\alpha n\|_{L^2}^\theta \|\nabla^N n\|_{L^2}^{1-\theta} \|\nabla^{N+1} v\|_{L^2} \\
 &\leq C\delta \|(\nabla^N n, \nabla^{N+1} v)\|_{L^2}^2,
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.50}$$

where

$$\theta = \frac{3i+2m}{2N}, \quad \alpha = \frac{3iN}{3i+2m} \leq \frac{3}{5}N \leq N,$$

provided $i \geq 1$, $m \geq 1$ and $i \leq m$. We substitute (5.47)-(5.50) into (5.46), to find that

$$|L_3| \leq C\delta \|(\nabla^N n, \nabla^{N+1} v)\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (5.51)$$

For the last term L_4 , with the aid of the estimates (5.26) and (5.28) of \tilde{h} , it is easy to deduce

$$\begin{aligned} |L_4| &\leq C \int |\tilde{h}(n, \bar{\rho})| |\nabla^N \bar{\rho}| |\nabla^{N+1} v| dx + C \sum_{1 \leq l \leq N-1} \int |\nabla^l \tilde{h}(n, \bar{\rho})| |\nabla^{N-l} \bar{\rho}| |\nabla^{N+1} v| dx \\ &\leq C \int |n| |\nabla^N \bar{\rho}| |\nabla^{N+1} v| dx + C \sum_{1 \leq l \leq N-1} \sum_{\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_j = l} \int |n| |\nabla^{\gamma_1} \bar{\rho}| \dots |\nabla^{\gamma_j} \bar{\rho}| |\nabla^{N-l} \bar{\rho}| |\nabla^{N+1} v| dx \\ &\quad + C \sum_{0 \leq l \leq N-1} \sum_{\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_i = l} \int |\nabla^{\gamma_1} n| \dots |\nabla^{\gamma_i} n| |\nabla^{N-l} \bar{\rho}| |\nabla^{N+1} v| dx \\ &\quad + C \sum_{1 \leq l \leq N-1} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_{i+j} = l \\ \gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_i = m \\ 1 \leq m \leq l-1}} \int |\nabla^{\gamma_1} n| \dots |\nabla^{\gamma_i} n| |\nabla^{\gamma_{i+1}} \bar{\rho}| \dots |\nabla^{\gamma_{i+j}} \bar{\rho}| |\nabla^{N-l} \bar{\rho}| |\nabla^{N+1} v| dx \\ &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} L_{41} + L_{42} + L_{43} + L_{44}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.52)$$

According to Hardy inequality, we obtain immediately

$$\begin{aligned} L_{41} &\leq \sum_{0 \leq l \leq N-1} \left\| \frac{n}{(1+|x|)^N} \right\|_{L^2} \| (1+|x|)^N \nabla^N \bar{\rho} \|_{L^\infty} \| \nabla^{N+1} v \|_{L^2} \leq C\delta \|(\nabla^N n, \nabla^{N+1} v)\|_{L^2}^2, \\ L_{42} &\leq \sum_{0 \leq l \leq N-1} \sum_{\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_j = l} \left\| \frac{n}{(1+|x|)^N} \right\|_{L^2} \| (1+|x|)^{\gamma_1} \nabla^{\gamma_1} \bar{\rho} \|_{L^\infty} \dots \| (1+|x|)^{\gamma_j} \nabla^{\gamma_j} \bar{\rho} \|_{L^\infty} \\ &\quad \times \| (1+|x|)^{N-l} \nabla^{k+1} \bar{\rho} \|_{L^\infty} \| \nabla^{N+1} v \|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C\delta \|(\nabla^N n, \nabla^{N+1} v)\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Next, let us to deal with L_{43} . It is easy to deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} L_{43} &\leq C \sum_{0 \leq l \leq N-1} \int |\nabla^l n| |\nabla^{N-l} \bar{\rho}| |\nabla^{N+1} v| dx + C \sum_{0 \leq l \leq k} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_i = l \\ i \geq 2}} \int |\nabla^{\gamma_1} n| \dots |\nabla^{\gamma_i} n| |\nabla^{N-l} \bar{\rho}| |\nabla^{N+1} v| dx \\ &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} L_{431} + L_{432}. \end{aligned}$$

We employ Hardy inequality once again, to get

$$L_{431} \leq \sum_{0 \leq l \leq k} \left\| \frac{\nabla^l n}{(1+|x|)^{N-l}} \right\|_{L^2} \| (1+|x|)^{N-l} \nabla^{N-l} \bar{\rho} \|_{L^\infty} \| \nabla^{N+1} v \|_{L^2} \leq C\delta \|(\nabla^N n, \nabla^{N+1} v)\|_{L^2}^2.$$

The fact $i \geq 2$ implies that $\gamma_\beta + 2 \leq l + 1 \leq N$, for $\beta = 1, \dots, i$. Applying Sobolev interpolation inequality (3.1) in Lemma 3.2 and Hardy inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} L_{432} &\leq C \sum_{1 \leq l \leq N-1} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_i = l \\ i \geq 2}} \left\| \frac{\nabla^{\gamma_1} n}{(1+|x|)^{N-l}} \right\|_{L^2} \| \nabla^{\gamma_2} n \|_{L^\infty} \dots \| \nabla^{\gamma_i} n \|_{L^\infty} \| (1+|x|)^{N-l} \nabla \bar{\rho} \|_{L^2} \| \nabla^{N+1} v \|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C\delta \sum_{1 \leq l \leq N-1} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_i = l \\ i \geq 2}} \| \nabla^{N-l+\gamma_1} n \|_{L^2} \| n \|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{3+2\gamma_2}{2N}} \| \nabla^N n \|_{L^2}^{\frac{3+2\gamma_2}{2N}} \dots \| n \|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{3+2\gamma_i}{2N}} \| \nabla^N n \|_{L^2}^{\frac{3+2\gamma_i}{2N}} \| \nabla^{N+1} v \|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C\delta \sum_{1 \leq l \leq N-1} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_{i+j} = l \\ i \geq 2}} \| \nabla^\alpha n \|_{L^2}^\theta \| \nabla^N n \|_{L^2}^{1-\theta} \| n \|_{L^2}^{1-\theta} \| \nabla^N n \|_{L^2}^\theta \| \nabla^{N+1} v \|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C\delta \|(\nabla^N n, \nabla^{N+1} v)\|_{L^2}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\theta = \frac{3(i-1) + 2(l-\gamma_1)}{2N}, \quad \alpha = \frac{3(i-1)N}{3(i-1) + 2(l-\gamma_1)} \leq \frac{3}{5}N \leq N,$$

provided that $i \geq 2$ and $i - 1 \leq l - \gamma_1$. Two estimates of terms from L_{431} and L_{432} gives

$$L_{43} \leq C\delta \|(\nabla^N n, \nabla^{N+1} v)\|_{L^2}^2.$$

The last term on the right handside of (5.52) can be divided into two terms:

$$\begin{aligned} L_{44} &\leq C \sum_{1 \leq l \leq N-1} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_{i+j} = l \\ \gamma_1 = m \\ 1 \leq m \leq l-1}} \left\| \frac{\nabla^{\gamma_1} n}{(1+|x|)^{N-m}} \right\|_{L^2} \| (1+|x|)^{\gamma_2} \nabla^{\gamma_2} \bar{\rho} \|_{L^\infty} \cdots \| (1+|x|)^{\gamma_{1+j}} \nabla^{\gamma_{1+j}} \bar{\rho} \|_{L^\infty} \\ &\quad \times \| (1+|x|)^{N-l} \nabla^{N-l} \bar{\rho} \|_{L^\infty} \| \nabla^{N+1} v \|_{L^2} + C \sum_{1 \leq l \leq N-1} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_{i+j} = l \\ \gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_i = m \\ 1 \leq m \leq l-1, i \geq 2}} \left\| \frac{\nabla^{\gamma_1} n}{(1+|x|)^{N-m}} \right\|_{L^2} \| \nabla^{\gamma_2} n \|_{L^\infty} \cdots \| \nabla^{\gamma_i} n \|_{L^\infty} \\ &\quad \times \| (1+|x|)^{\gamma_{i+1}} \nabla^{\gamma_{i+1}} \bar{\rho} \|_{L^\infty} \cdots \| (1+|x|)^{\gamma_{i+j}} \nabla^{\gamma_{i+j}} \bar{\rho} \|_{L^\infty} \| (1+|x|)^{N-l} \nabla^{N-l} \bar{\rho} \|_{L^\infty} \| \nabla^{N+1} v \|_{L^2} \\ &\stackrel{def}{=} L_{441} + L_{442}. \end{aligned}$$

We use Hardy inequality, to find

$$L_{441} \leq C\delta \sum_{1 \leq l \leq N-1} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_{i+j} = l \\ 1 \leq m \leq l-1}} \| \nabla^N n \|_{L^2} \| \nabla^{N+1} v \|_{L^2} \leq C\delta \|(\nabla^N n, \nabla^{N+1} v)\|_{L^2}^2,$$

To deal with term L_{442} , by virtue of Sobolev interpolation inequality (3.1) in Lemma 3.2 and Hardy inequality, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} L_{442} &\leq C\delta \sum_{1 \leq l \leq N-1} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_{i+j} = l \\ \gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_i = m \\ 1 \leq m \leq l-1, i \geq 2}} \| \nabla^{N-m+\gamma_1} n \|_{L^2} \| n \|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{3+2\gamma_2}{2N}} \| \nabla^N n \|_{L^2}^{\frac{3+2\gamma_2}{2N}} \cdots \| n \|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{3+2\gamma_i}{2N}} \| \nabla^N n \|_{L^2}^{\frac{3+2\gamma_i}{2N}} \| \nabla^{N+1} v \|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C\delta \sum_{1 \leq l \leq N-1} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_{i+j} = l \\ \gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_i = m \\ 1 \leq m \leq l-1, i \geq 2}} \| \nabla^\alpha n \|_{L^2}^\theta \| \nabla^N n \|_{L^2}^{1-\theta} \| n \|_{L^2}^{1-\theta} \| \nabla^N n \|_{L^2}^\theta \| \nabla^{N+1} v \|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C\delta \|(\nabla^N n, \nabla^{N+1} v)\|_{L^2}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\theta = \frac{3(i-1) + 2(m - \gamma_1)}{2N}, \quad \alpha = \frac{3(i-1)N}{3(i-1) + 2(m - \gamma_1)} \leq \frac{3}{5}N \leq N,$$

provided that $i \geq 2$ and $i - 1 \leq m - \gamma_1$. Hence, the combination of estimates of terms L_{441} and L_{442} implies directly

$$L_{44} \leq C\delta \|(\nabla^N n, \nabla^{N+1} v)\|_{L^2}^2.$$

We substitute estimates of terms from L_{41} to L_{44} into (5.52) to find

$$|L_4| \leq C\delta \|(\nabla^N n, \nabla^{N+1} v)\|_{L^2}^2. \tag{5.53}$$

Inserting (5.40), (5.45), (5.51) and (5.53) into (5.39), we thereby deduce that

$$\left| \int \nabla^N \tilde{S}_2 \cdot \nabla^N v dx \right| \leq C\delta \|(\nabla^N n, \nabla^{N+1} v)\|_{L^2}^2. \tag{5.54}$$

Plugging (5.38) and (5.54) into (5.35) gives (5.34) directly. Therefore, the proof of this lemma is completed. \square

Finally, we aim to recover the dissipation estimate for n .

Lemma 5.4. *Under the assumptions in Theorem 1.5, for $1 \leq k \leq N - 1$, we have*

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int \nabla^k v \cdot \nabla^{k+1} n dx + \| \nabla^{k+1} n \|_{L^2}^2 \leq C_2 \|(\nabla^{k+1} v, \nabla^{k+2} v)\|_{L^2}^2, \tag{5.55}$$

where C_2 is a positive constant independent of t .

Proof. Applying differential operator ∇^k to (5.3)₂, multiplying the resulting equation by $\nabla^{k+1}n$, and integrating over \mathbb{R}^3 , one arrives at

$$\int \nabla^k v_t \cdot \nabla^{k+1} n dx + \|\nabla^{k+1} n\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C \|\nabla^{k+2} v\|_{L^2}^2 + \int \nabla^k \tilde{S}_2 \cdot \nabla^{k+1} n dx. \quad (5.56)$$

In order to deal with $\int \nabla^k v_t \cdot \nabla^{k+1} n dx$, we turn the time derivative of velocity to the density. Then, applying differential operator ∇^k to the mass equation (5.3)₁, we find

$$\nabla^k n_t + \gamma \nabla^k \operatorname{div} v = \nabla^k \tilde{S}_1.$$

Hence, we can transform time derivative to the spatial derivative, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \int \nabla^k v_t \cdot \nabla^{k+1} n dx &= \frac{d}{dt} \int \nabla^k v \cdot \nabla^{k+1} n dx - \int \nabla^k v \cdot \nabla^{k+1} n_t dx \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} \int \nabla^k v \cdot \nabla^{k+1} n dx + \gamma \int \nabla^k v \cdot \nabla^{k+1} \operatorname{div} v dx - \int \nabla^k v \cdot \nabla^{k+1} \tilde{S}_1 dx \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} \int \nabla^k v \cdot \nabla^{k+1} n dx - \gamma \|\nabla^k \operatorname{div} v\|_{L^2}^2 - \int \nabla^{k+1} \operatorname{div} v \cdot \nabla^{k-1} \tilde{S}_1 dx \end{aligned}$$

Substituting the identity above into (5.56) and integrating by parts yield

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt} \int \nabla^k v \cdot \nabla^{k+1} n dx + \|\nabla^{k+1} n\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\leq C \|\nabla^{k+1} v, \nabla^{k+2} v\|_{L^2}^2 + C \int \nabla^{k+1} \operatorname{div} v \cdot \nabla^{k-1} \tilde{S}_1 dx - C \int \nabla^k \tilde{S}_2 \cdot \nabla^{k+1} n dx. \end{aligned} \quad (5.57)$$

As for the term of \tilde{S}_1 . It then follows in a similar way to the estimates of term from I_1 to I_4 in Lemma 5.2 that

$$\left| \int \nabla^{k+1} \operatorname{div} v \cdot \nabla^{k-1} \tilde{S}_1 dx \right| \leq C \|\nabla^{k+2} v\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^{k-1} \tilde{S}_1\|_{L^2} \leq C \delta \|\nabla^{k+1} n\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla^{k+1} v, \nabla^{k+2} v\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (5.58)$$

To deal with the term of \tilde{S}_2 , we only need to follow the idea as the estimates of term from L_1 to L_4 in Lemma 5.3. Hence, we give the estimates as follow

$$\left| \int \nabla^k \tilde{S}_2 \cdot \nabla^{k+1} n dx \right| \leq C \|\nabla^k \tilde{S}_2\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^{k+1} n\|_{L^2} \leq C \delta \|\nabla^{k+1} n, \nabla^{k+2} v\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (5.59)$$

We then utilize (5.58) and (5.59) in (5.57), to deduce (5.55) directly. \square

The proof of Proposition 5.1. With the help of Lemmas 5.2-5.4, it is easy to establish the estimate (5.7). Therefore, we complete the proof of Proposition 5.1.

5.2. Optimal decay of higher order derivative

In this subsection, we will study the optimal decay rate for the k -th ($2 \leq k \leq N-1$) order spatial derivatives of global solution. In order to achieve this target, the optimal decay rate of higher order spatial derivative will be established by the lower one. In this aspect, the Fourier splitting method, developed by Schonbek (see [41]), is applied to establish the optimal decay rate for higher order derivative of global solution in [9, 45]. However, We're going to use time weighted and mathematical induction to solve this problem.

Lemma 5.5. *Under the assumption of Theorem 1.5, for $0 \leq k \leq N-1$, we have*

$$\|\nabla^k(n, v)\|_{H^{N-k}} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}-\frac{k}{2}}, \quad (5.60)$$

where C is a positive constant independent of time.

Proof. We will take the strategy of induction to give the proof for the decay rate (5.60). In fact, the decay rate (5.2) implies (5.60) holds true for the the case $k = 0, 1$. By the general step of induction, assume that the decay rate (5.60) holds on for the case $k = m$, i.e.,

$$\|\nabla^m(n, v)\|_{H^{N-m}} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}-\frac{m}{2}}, \quad (5.61)$$

for $m = 1, \dots, N-2$. Choosing the integer $l = m$ in (5.7) and multiplying it by $(1+t)^{\frac{3}{2}+m+\epsilon_0}$ ($0 < \epsilon_0 < 1$), we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left\{ (1+t)^{\frac{3}{2}+m+\epsilon_0} \mathcal{E}_m^N(t) \right\} + (1+t)^{\frac{3}{2}+m+\epsilon_0} (\|\nabla^{m+1}n\|_{H^{N-m-1}}^2 + \|\nabla^{m+1}v\|_{H^{N-m}}^2) \leq C(1+t)^{\frac{1}{2}+m+\epsilon_0} \mathcal{E}_m^N(t).$$

Integrating with respect to t , using the equivalent relation (5.5) and the decay estimate (5.61), one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} & (1+t)^{\frac{3}{2}+m+\epsilon_0} \mathcal{E}_m^N(t) + \int_0^t (1+\tau)^{\frac{3}{2}+m+\epsilon_0} (\|\nabla^{m+1}n\|_{H^{N-m-1}}^2 + \|\nabla^{m+1}v\|_{H^{N-m}}^2) d\tau \\ & \leq \mathcal{E}_m^N(0) + C \int_0^t (1+\tau)^{\frac{1}{2}+m+\epsilon_0} \mathcal{E}_m^N(\tau) d\tau \\ & \leq C \|\nabla^m(n_0, v_0)\|_{H^{N-m}}^2 + C \int_0^t (1+\tau)^{\frac{1}{2}+m+\epsilon_0} \|\nabla^m(n, v)\|_{H^{N-m}}^2 d\tau \\ & \leq C \|\nabla^m(n_0, v_0)\|_{H^{N-m}}^2 + C \int_0^t (1+\tau)^{-1+\epsilon_0} d\tau \leq C(1+t)^{\epsilon_0}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.62)$$

On the other hand, taking $l = m+1$ in (5.7), we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}_{m+1}^N(t) + \|\nabla^{m+2}n\|_{H^{N-m-2}}^2 + \|\nabla^{m+2}v\|_{H^{N-m-1}}^2 \leq 0. \quad (5.63)$$

Multiplying (5.63) by $(1+t)^{\frac{5}{2}+m+\epsilon_0}$, integrating over $[0, t]$ and using estimate (5.62), we find

$$\begin{aligned} & (1+t)^{\frac{5}{2}+m+\epsilon_0} \mathcal{E}_{m+1}^N(t) + \int_0^t (1+\tau)^{\frac{5}{2}+m+\epsilon_0} (\|\nabla^{m+2}n\|_{H^{N-m-2}}^2 + \|\nabla^{m+2}v\|_{H^{N-m-1}}^2) d\tau \\ & \leq \mathcal{E}_{m+1}^N(0) + \int_0^t (1+\tau)^{\frac{3}{2}+m+\epsilon_0} \mathcal{E}_{m+1}^N(\tau) d\tau \\ & \leq C \|\nabla^{m+1}(n_0, v_0)\|_{H^{N-m-1}}^2 + \int_0^t (1+\tau)^{\frac{3}{2}+m+\epsilon_0} \|\nabla^{m+1}(n, v)\|_{H^{N-m-1}}^2 d\tau \leq C(1+t)^{\epsilon_0}, \end{aligned}$$

which, together with the equivalent relation (5.5), yields immediately

$$\|\nabla^{m+1}(n, v)\|_{H^{N-m-1}} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}-\frac{m+1}{2}}.$$

Then, the decay estimate (5.60) holds on for case of $k = m+1$. By the general step of induction, we complete the proof of this lemma. \square

5.3. Optimal decay of critical derivative

In this subsection, our target is to establish the optimal decay rate for the $N-th$ order spatial derivative of global solution (n, v) as it tends to zero. The decay rate of $N-th$ order derivative of global solution (n, v) in Lemma 5.5 is not optimal since it only has the same decay rate as the lower one. The loss of time decay estimate comes from the appearance of cross term $\frac{d}{dt} \int \nabla^{N-1}v \cdot \nabla^N n dx$ in energy when we set up the dissipation estimate for the density. Now let us introduce some notations that will be used frequently in this subsection. Let $0 \leq \varphi_0(\xi) \leq 1$ be a function in $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that

$$\varphi_0(\xi) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } |\xi| \leq \frac{\eta}{2}, \\ 0, & \text{for } |\xi| \geq \eta, \end{cases}$$

where η is a fixed positive constant. Based on the Fourier transform, we can define a low-medium-high-frequency decomposition $(f^l(x), f^h(x))$ for a function $f(x)$ as follows:

$$f^l(x) \stackrel{def}{=} \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\varphi_0(\xi)\widehat{f}(\xi)) \quad \text{and} \quad f^h(x) \stackrel{def}{=} f(x) - f^l(x). \quad (5.64)$$

Lemma 5.6. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.5, there exists a positive small constant η_3 , such that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \|\nabla^N(n, v)\|_{L^2}^2 - \eta_3 \int_{|\xi| \geq \eta} \widehat{\nabla^{N-1}v} \cdot \overline{\widehat{\nabla^N n} d\xi} \right\} + \|\nabla^N v^h\|_{L^2}^2 + \eta_3 \|\nabla^N n^h\|_{L^2}^2 \\ & \leq C_4 \|\nabla^N(n^l, v^l)\|_{L^2}^2 + C(1+t)^{-3-N}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.65)$$

where C_4 is a positive constant independent of time.

Proof. Taking differential operating ∇^{N-1} to the equation (5.3), it holds true

$$\begin{cases} \nabla^{N-1}n_t + \gamma \nabla^{N-1} \operatorname{div} v = \nabla^{N-1} \widetilde{S}_1, \\ \nabla^{N-1}v_t + \gamma \nabla^N n - \mu_1 \nabla^{N-1} \Delta v - \mu_2 \nabla^N \operatorname{div} v = \nabla^{N-1} \widetilde{S}_2. \end{cases} \quad (5.66)$$

Taking the Fourier transform of (5.66)₂, multiplying the resulting equation by $\overline{\widehat{\nabla^N n}}$ and integrating on $\{\xi \mid |\xi| \geq \eta\}$, it holds true

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{|\xi| \geq \eta} \widehat{\nabla^{N-1}v_t} \cdot \overline{\widehat{\nabla^N n} d\xi} + \gamma \int_{|\xi| \geq \eta} |\widehat{\nabla^N n}|^2 d\xi \\ & = \int_{|\xi| \geq \eta} (\mu_1 \nabla^{N-1} \Delta v + \mu_2 \nabla^N \operatorname{div} v) \cdot \overline{\widehat{\nabla^N n} d\xi} + \int_{|\xi| \geq \eta} \widehat{\nabla^{N-1} \widetilde{S}_2} \cdot \overline{\widehat{\nabla^N n} d\xi}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.67)$$

It is easy to deduce from (5.66)₁ that

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\nabla^{N-1}v_t} \cdot \overline{\widehat{\nabla^N n}} & = -i\xi \widehat{\nabla^{N-1}v_t} \cdot \overline{\widehat{\nabla^{N-1}n}} = -\widehat{\nabla^N v_t} \cdot \overline{\widehat{\nabla^{N-1}n}} \\ & = -\partial_t (\widehat{\nabla^N v} \cdot \overline{\widehat{\nabla^{N-1}n}}) + \widehat{\nabla^N v} \cdot \overline{\widehat{\nabla^{N-1}n_t}} \\ & = -\partial_t (\widehat{\nabla^N v} \cdot \overline{\widehat{\nabla^{N-1}n}}) - \gamma \widehat{\nabla^N v} \cdot \overline{\widehat{\nabla^{N-1} \operatorname{div} v}} + \widehat{\nabla^N v} \cdot \overline{\widehat{\nabla^{N-1} \widetilde{S}_1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, substituting this identity into identity (5.67), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{d}{dt} \int_{|\xi| \geq \eta} \widehat{\nabla^N v} \cdot \overline{\widehat{\nabla^{N-1}n} d\xi} + \gamma \int_{|\xi| \geq \eta} |\widehat{\nabla^N n}|^2 d\xi \\ & = \int_{|\xi| \geq \eta} (\mu_1 \nabla^{N-1} \Delta v + \mu_2 \nabla^N \operatorname{div} v) \cdot \overline{\widehat{\nabla^N n} d\xi} + \gamma \int_{|\xi| \geq \eta} \widehat{\nabla^N v} \cdot \overline{\widehat{\nabla^{N-1} \operatorname{div} v} d\xi} \\ & \quad - \int_{|\xi| \geq \eta} \widehat{\nabla^N v} \cdot \overline{\widehat{\nabla^{N-1} \widetilde{S}_1} d\xi} + \int_{|\xi| \geq \eta} \widehat{\nabla^{N-1} \widetilde{S}_2} \cdot \overline{\widehat{\nabla^N n} d\xi} \\ & \stackrel{def}{=} M_1 + M_2 + M_3 + M_4. \end{aligned} \quad (5.68)$$

The application of Cauchy inequality yields directly

$$|M_1| \leq C \int_{|\xi| \geq \eta} |\xi|^{2N+1} |\widehat{v}| |\widehat{n}| d\xi \leq \epsilon \int_{|\xi| \geq \eta} |\xi|^{2N} |\widehat{n}|^2 d\xi + C_\epsilon \int_{|\xi| \geq \eta} |\xi|^{2(N+1)} |\widehat{v}|^2 d\xi, \quad (5.69)$$

for some small ϵ , which will be determined later. Obviously, it holds true

$$|M_2| \leq C \int_{|\xi| \geq \eta} |\xi|^{2N} |\widehat{v}|^2 d\xi. \quad (5.70)$$

Using the Cauchy inequality and definition of \widetilde{S}_1 , it is easy to check that

$$\begin{aligned} |M_3| & \leq C \int_{|\xi| \geq \eta} |\xi|^{2(N+1)} |\widehat{v}|^2 d\xi + C \int_{|\xi| \geq \eta} |\xi|^{2(N-2)} |\widehat{\widetilde{S}_1}|^2 d\xi \\ & \leq C \int_{|\xi| \geq \eta} |\xi|^{2(N+1)} |\widehat{v}|^2 d\xi + C \int_{|\xi| \geq \eta} |\xi|^{2(N-2)} |\widehat{\nabla n v} + \widehat{n \nabla v}|^2 d\xi \\ & \quad + C \int_{|\xi| \geq \eta} |\xi|^{2(N-2)} |\widehat{\nabla \rho v} + \widehat{\rho \nabla v}|^2 d\xi \\ & \stackrel{def}{=} \int_{|\xi| \geq \eta} |\xi|^{2(N+1)} |\widehat{v}|^2 d\xi + M_{31} + M_{32}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.71)$$

Using Plancherel Theorem and Sobolev inequality, it is easy to check that

$$\begin{aligned}
 M_{31} &\leq C \|\nabla^{N-2}(\nabla n v + n \nabla v)\|_{L^2}^2 \\
 &\leq C (\|\nabla n\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\nabla^{N-2} v\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^{N-1} n\|_{L^2}^2 \|v\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|n\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\nabla^{N-1} v\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^{N-2} n\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty}^2) \\
 &\leq C (\|\nabla^2(n, v)\|_{H^1}^2 \|\nabla^{N-2}(n, v)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla(n, v)\|_{H^1}^2 \|\nabla^{N-1} v\|_{L^2}^2) \\
 &\leq C(1+t)^{-3-N},
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.72}$$

where we have used the decay (5.60) in the last inequality. Similarly, we also apply Hardy's inequality to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 M_{32} &\leq C \int_{|\xi| \geq \eta} |\xi|^{2(N-1)} |\widehat{\nabla \bar{\rho} v} + \bar{\rho} \widehat{\nabla v}|^2 d\xi \leq C \|\nabla^{N-1}(\nabla \bar{\rho} v + \bar{\rho} \nabla v)\|_{L^2}^2 \\
 &\leq C \sum_{0 \leq l \leq N-1} \left(\|(1+|x|)^{l+1} \nabla^{l+1} \bar{\rho}\|_{L^\infty} \left\| \frac{\nabla^{N-1-l} v}{(1+|x|)^{l+1}} \right\|_{L^2} + \|(1+|x|)^l \nabla^l \bar{\rho}\|_{L^\infty} \left\| \frac{\nabla^{N-l} v}{(1+|x|)^l} \right\|_{L^2} \right) \|\nabla^{N+1} v\|_{L^2} \\
 &\leq C \delta \|(\nabla^N v, \nabla^{N+1} v)\|_{L^2}^2,
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.73}$$

where we have used the fact that for any suitable function ϕ , there exists a positive constant C dependent only on η such that

$$\int_{|\xi| \geq \eta} |\xi|^{2(N-2)} |\widehat{\phi}|^2 d\xi \leq C \int_{|\xi| \geq \eta} |\xi|^{2(N-1)} |\widehat{\phi}|^2 d\xi.$$

Substituting the estimates (5.72) and (5.73) into (5.71), one can get that

$$|M_3| \leq C \delta \|(\nabla^N v, \nabla^{N+1} v)\|_{L^2}^2 + C(1+t)^{-3-N}. \tag{5.74}$$

Using Cauchy inequality and the definition of \widetilde{S}_2 , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 |M_4| &\leq C \int_{|\xi| \geq \eta} |\xi|^{2N-1} |\widehat{\widetilde{S}_2} \widehat{\tilde{n}}| d\xi \\
 &\leq C \int_{|\xi| \geq \eta} |\xi|^{2N-1} |\widehat{\tilde{n}}| |\widehat{v \cdot \nabla v}| d\xi + C \int_{|\xi| \geq \eta} |\xi|^{2N-1} |\widehat{\tilde{n}}| (\mu_1 |\widehat{\tilde{f}(n + \bar{\rho}) \Delta v}| + \mu_2 |\widehat{\tilde{f}(n + \bar{\rho}) \nabla \operatorname{div} v}|) d\xi \\
 &\quad + C \int_{|\xi| \geq \eta} |\xi|^{2N-1} |\widehat{\tilde{n}}| |\widehat{\tilde{g}(n + \bar{\rho}) \nabla n}| d\xi + C \int_{|\xi| \geq \eta} |\xi|^{2N-1} |\widehat{\tilde{n}}| |\widehat{h(n, \bar{\rho}) \nabla \bar{\rho}}| d\xi \\
 &\stackrel{def}{=} M_{41} + M_{42} + M_{43} + M_{44}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.75}$$

In view of Plancherel Theorem, Sobolev inequality and commutator estimate in Lemma 3.3, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
 M_{41} &\leq \epsilon \|\nabla^N n\|_{L^2}^2 + C_\epsilon \|\nabla^{N-1}(v \cdot \nabla v)\|_{L^2}^2 \\
 &\leq \epsilon \|\nabla^N n\|_{L^2}^2 + C_\epsilon \|v\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\nabla^N v\|_{L^2}^2 + C_\epsilon \|[\nabla^{N-1}, v] \cdot \nabla v\|_{L^2}^2 \\
 &\leq \epsilon \|\nabla^N n\|_{L^2}^2 + C_\epsilon \|\nabla v\|_{H^1}^2 \|\nabla^N v\|_{L^2}^2 + C_\epsilon \|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\nabla^{N-1} v\|_{L^2}^2 \\
 &\leq \epsilon \|\nabla^N n\|_{L^2}^2 + C_\epsilon (1+t)^{-3-N},
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.76}$$

where we have used the estimate (5.60) in the last inequality. Similarly, it is easy to check that

$$\begin{aligned}
 M_{42} &\leq \epsilon \|\nabla^N n\|_{L^2}^2 + C_\epsilon \|\nabla^{N-1}(\tilde{f}(n + \bar{\rho})(\mu_1 \Delta v + \mu_2 \nabla \operatorname{div} v))\|_{L^2}^2 \\
 &\leq \epsilon \|\nabla^N n\|_{L^2}^2 + C_\epsilon \|(n, \bar{\rho})\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\nabla^{N+1} v\|_{L^2}^2 + C_\epsilon \|\nabla n\|_{L^3}^2 \|\nabla^N v\|_{L^6}^2 + C_\epsilon \|(1+|x|) \nabla \bar{\rho}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \left\| \frac{\nabla^N v}{1+|x|} \right\|_{L^2}^2 \\
 &\quad + C_\epsilon \sum_{2 \leq l \leq N-1} \|\nabla^l \tilde{f}(n + \bar{\rho}) \nabla^{N+1-l} v\|_{L^2}^2 \\
 &\leq \epsilon \|\nabla^N n\|_{L^2}^2 + C_\epsilon \delta \|\nabla^{N+1} v\|_{L^2}^2 + C_\epsilon \sum_{2 \leq l \leq N-1} \|\nabla^l \tilde{f}(n + \bar{\rho}) \nabla^{N+1-l} v\|_{L^2}^2.
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.77}$$

Now let us deal with the last term on the right handside of (5.77). Indeed, it is easy to deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{2 \leq l \leq N-1} \|\nabla^l \tilde{f}(n + \bar{\rho}) \nabla^{N+1-l} v\|_{L^2} \\
 &\leq C \sum_{2 \leq l \leq N-1} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_{i+j} = l \\ \gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_i = m \\ 0 \leq m \leq l}} \|\nabla^{\gamma_1} n \dots \nabla^{\gamma_i} n \|\nabla^{\gamma_{i+1}} \bar{\rho}\| \dots \|\nabla^{\gamma_{i+j}} \bar{\rho}\| \|\nabla^{N+1-l} v\|_{L^2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

For $m = 0$, we apply the Hardy inequality to obtain

$$\sum_{2 \leq l \leq N-1} \sum_{\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_j = l} \|(1 + |x|)^{\gamma_{i+1}} \nabla^{\gamma_{i+1}} \bar{\rho}\|_{L^\infty} \cdots \|(1 + |x|)^{\gamma_{i+j}} \nabla^{\gamma_{i+j}} \bar{\rho}\|_{L^\infty} \left\| \frac{\nabla^{N+1-l} v}{(1 + |x|)^l} \right\|_{L^2} \leq C\delta \|\nabla^{N+1} v\|_{L^2}.$$

For $1 \leq m \leq l-1$, the Sobolev inequality and decay estimate (5.60) imply

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{2 \leq l \leq N-1} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_{i+j} = l \\ \gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_i = m \\ 1 \leq m \leq l-1}} \|\nabla^{\gamma_1} n\|_{L^\infty} \cdots \|\nabla^{\gamma_i} n\|_{L^\infty} \|(1 + |x|)^{\gamma_{i+1}} \nabla^{\gamma_{i+1}} \bar{\rho}\|_{L^\infty} \cdots \|(1 + |x|)^{\gamma_{i+j}} \nabla^{\gamma_{i+j}} \bar{\rho}\|_{L^\infty} \left\| \frac{\nabla^{N+1-l} v}{(1 + |x|)^{l-m}} \right\|_{L^2} \\ & \leq C \sum_{2 \leq l \leq N-1} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_{i+j} = l \\ \gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_i = m \\ 1 \leq m \leq l-1}} \|\nabla^{\gamma_1+1} n\|_{H^1} \cdots \|\nabla^{\gamma_i+1} n\|_{H^1} \|\nabla^{N+1-m} v\|_{L^2} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{4+N}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

For $m = l$, the Sobolev inequality and decay estimate (5.60) yield directly

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{2 \leq l \leq N-1} \sum_{\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_i = l} \|\nabla^{\gamma_1} n\|_{L^\infty} \cdots \|\nabla^{\gamma_{i-1}} n\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla^{\gamma_i} n\|_{L^3} \|\nabla^{N+1-l} v\|_{L^6} \\ & \leq \sum_{2 \leq l \leq N-1} \sum_{\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_i = l} \|\nabla^{\gamma_1+1} n\|_{H^1} \cdots \|\nabla^{\gamma_{i-1}+1} n\|_{H^1} \|\nabla^{\gamma_i} n\|_{H^1} \|\nabla^{N+1-l} v\|_{H^1} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{4+N}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we can obtain the following estimate

$$\sum_{2 \leq l \leq N-1} \|\nabla^l \tilde{f}(n + \bar{\rho}) \nabla^{N+1-l} v\|_{L^2} \leq C\delta \|\nabla^{N+1} v\|_{L^2} + C(1+t)^{-\frac{4+N}{2}}, \quad (5.78)$$

which, together with the estimate (5.77), yields directly

$$M_{42} \leq \epsilon \|\nabla^N n\|_{L^2}^2 + C_\epsilon \delta \|\nabla^{N+1} v\|_{L^2}^2 + C_\epsilon (1+t)^{-4-N}. \quad (5.79)$$

One can deal with the term M_{43} in the manner of M_{42} . It holds true

$$\begin{aligned} M_{43} & \leq \epsilon \|\nabla^N n\|_{L^2}^2 + C_\epsilon \|(n, \bar{\rho})\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\nabla^N n\|_{L^2}^2 + C_\epsilon \sum_{1 \leq l \leq N-1} \|\nabla^l \tilde{g}(n + \bar{\rho}) \nabla^{N-l} n\|_{L^2}^2 \\ & \leq (\epsilon + C_\epsilon \delta) \|\nabla^N n\|_{L^2}^2 + C_\epsilon \sum_{2 \leq l \leq N-1} \|\nabla^l \tilde{g}(n + \bar{\rho}) \nabla^{N-l} n\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (5.80)$$

Similar to the estimate (5.78), it is easy to check that

$$\sum_{2 \leq l \leq N-1} \|\nabla^l \tilde{g}(n + \bar{\rho}) \nabla^{N+1-l} v\|_{L^2} \leq C\delta \|\nabla^N n\|_{L^2} + C(1+t)^{-\frac{3+N}{2}},$$

which, together with the inequality (5.80), yields directly

$$M_{43} \leq (\epsilon + C_\epsilon \delta) \|\nabla^N n\|_{L^2}^2 + C_\epsilon (1+t)^{-3-N}. \quad (5.81)$$

Finally, let us deal with the term M_{44} . Indeed, the Hardy inequality and identity (5.26) yield directly

$$\begin{aligned} M_{44} & \leq \epsilon \|\nabla^N n\|_{L^2}^2 + C_\epsilon \|\tilde{h}(n, \bar{\rho}) \nabla^N \bar{\rho}\|_{L^2}^2 + C_\epsilon \sum_{1 \leq l \leq N-1} \|\nabla^l \tilde{h}(n, \bar{\rho}) \nabla^{N-l} \bar{\rho}\|_{L^2}^2 \\ & \leq \epsilon \|\nabla^N n\|_{L^2}^2 + C_\epsilon \left\| \frac{n}{(1 + |x|)^N} \right\|_{L^2}^2 \|(1 + |x|)^N \nabla^N \bar{\rho}\|_{L^\infty}^2 + C_\epsilon \sum_{1 \leq l \leq N-1} \|\nabla^l \tilde{h}(n, \bar{\rho}) \nabla^{N-l} \bar{\rho}\|_{L^2}^2 \\ & \leq (\epsilon + C\delta) \|\nabla^N n\|_{L^2}^2 + C_\epsilon \sum_{1 \leq l \leq N-1} \|\nabla^l \tilde{h}(n, \bar{\rho}) \nabla^{N-l} \bar{\rho}\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (5.82)$$

To deal with the last term on the right side of (5.82), we employ the estimate (5.28) of \tilde{h} , to find

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\nabla^l \tilde{h}(n, \bar{\rho}) \nabla^{N-l} \bar{\rho}\|_{L^2} \\
\leq & C \sum_{\substack{\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_{1+j} = l \\ \gamma_1 = m \\ 0 \leq m \leq l}} \left\| \frac{\nabla^{\gamma_1} n}{(1+|x|)^{N-m}} \right\|_{L^2} \|(1+|x|)^{\gamma_2} \nabla^{\gamma_2} \bar{\rho}\|_{L^\infty} \cdots \|(1+|x|)^{\gamma_{1+j}} \nabla^{\gamma_{1+j}} \bar{\rho}\|_{L^\infty} \|(1+|x|)^{N-l} \nabla^{N-l} \bar{\rho}\|_{L^\infty} \\
& + C \sum_{\substack{\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_{i+j} = l \\ \gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_i = m \\ 1 \leq m \leq l, i \geq 2}} \left\| \frac{\nabla^{\gamma_1} n}{(1+|x|)^{N-m}} \right\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^{\gamma_2} n\|_{L^\infty} \cdots \|\nabla^{\gamma_i} n\|_{L^\infty} \|(1+|x|)^{\gamma_{i+1}} \nabla^{\gamma_{i+1}} \bar{\rho}\|_{L^\infty} \\
& \quad \cdots \|(1+|x|)^{\gamma_{i+j}} \nabla^{\gamma_{i+j}} \bar{\rho}\|_{L^\infty} \|(1+|x|)^{N-l} \nabla^{N-l} \bar{\rho}\|_{L^\infty} \\
\stackrel{def}{=} & M_{441} + M_{442}.
\end{aligned}$$

In view of Hardy inequality, we can obtain the estimate

$$M_{441} \leq C\delta \|\nabla^N n\|_{L^2}.$$

To deal with the term M_{442} , we can apply Hardy inequality and the decay estimate (5.60) to obtain

$$M_{442} \leq C\delta \sum_{\substack{\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_{i+j} = l \\ \gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_i = m \\ 0 \leq m \leq l, i \geq 2}} \|\nabla^{N-m+\gamma_1} n\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^{\gamma_2+1} n\|_{H^1} \cdots \|\nabla^{\gamma_{i+1}} n\|_{H^1} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{4+N}{2}}.$$

The combination of estimates from term M_{441} to M_{442} yields directly

$$\sum_{1 \leq l \leq N-1} \|\nabla^l \tilde{h}(n, \bar{\rho}) \nabla^{N-l} \bar{\rho}\|_{L^2} \leq C\delta \|\nabla^N n\|_{L^2} + C(1+t)^{-\frac{4+N}{2}},$$

which, together with the inequality (5.82), yields directly

$$M_{44} \leq (\epsilon + C_\epsilon \delta) \|\nabla^N n\|_{L^2}^2 + C_\epsilon (1+t)^{-4-N}. \quad (5.83)$$

Consequently, by virtue of the estimates (5.75), (5.76), (5.79), (5.81) and (5.83), it holds true

$$M_4 \leq (\epsilon + C_\epsilon \delta) \|\nabla^N n\|_{L^2}^2 + C_\epsilon \delta \|\nabla^{N+1} v\|_{L^2}^2 + C_\epsilon (1+t)^{-3-N}. \quad (5.84)$$

Substituting the estimates (5.69)-(5.74) and (5.84) into (5.68), we find

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{d}{dt} \int_{|\xi| \geq \eta} \widehat{\nabla^N v} \cdot \overline{\widehat{\nabla^{N-1} n}} d\xi + \gamma \int_{|\xi| \geq \eta} |\widehat{\nabla^N n}|^2 d\xi \\
& \leq (\epsilon + C_\epsilon \delta) \|\nabla^N n\|_{L^2}^2 + C_\epsilon \|(\nabla^N v, \nabla^{N+1} v)\|_{L^2}^2 + C_\epsilon (1+t)^{-3-N}.
\end{aligned}$$

Due to the definition (5.64), there exists a positive constant C such that

$$\|\nabla^N v^h\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C \|\nabla^{N+1} v^h\|_{L^2}^2, \quad \|\nabla^{N+1} v^l\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C \|\nabla^N v^l\|_{L^2}^2, \quad (5.85)$$

and choosing ϵ and δ suitably small, we deduce that

$$-\frac{d}{dt} \int_{|\xi| \geq \eta} \widehat{\nabla^N v} \cdot \overline{\widehat{\nabla^{N-1} n}} d\xi + \gamma \int_{|\xi| \geq \eta} |\widehat{\nabla^N n}|^2 d\xi \leq C \|\nabla^N(n, v)^l\|_{L^2}^2 + C_3 \|\nabla^{N+1} v^h\|_{L^2}^2 + C(1+t)^{-3-N}. \quad (5.86)$$

Recalling the estimate (5.34) in Lemma 5.3, one has the following estimate

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla^N(n, v)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^{N+1} v\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C\delta \|(\nabla^N n, \nabla^{N+1} v)\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (5.87)$$

Multiplying (5.86) by η_3 , then adding to (5.87), and choosing δ and η_3 suitably small, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \|\nabla^N(n, v)\|_{L^2}^2 - \eta_3 \int_{|\xi| \geq \eta} \widehat{\nabla^{N-1}v} \cdot \overline{\widehat{\nabla^N n d\xi}} \right\} + \|\nabla^{N+1}v\|_{L^2}^2 + \eta_3 \|\nabla^N n^h\|_{L^2}^2 \\ & \leq C_4 \|\nabla^N(n^l, v^l)\|_{L^2}^2 + C(1+t)^{-3-N}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (5.85) once again, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \|\nabla^N(n, v)\|_{L^2}^2 - \eta_3 \int_{|\xi| \geq \eta} \widehat{\nabla^{N-1}v} \cdot \overline{\widehat{\nabla^N n d\xi}} \right\} + \|\nabla^N v^h\|_{L^2}^2 + \eta_3 \|\nabla^N n^h\|_{L^2}^2 \\ & \leq C_4 \|\nabla^N(n^l, v^l)\|_{L^2}^2 + C(1+t)^{-3-N}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we complete the proof of this lemma. \square

Observe the right handside of the estimate (5.65) in Lemma 5.6, we need to estimate the low frequency of $\nabla^N(n, v)$. For this purpose, we need to analyze the initial value problem for linearized system of (5.3):

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{n}_t + \gamma \operatorname{div} \tilde{v} = 0, & (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3, \\ \tilde{u}_t + \gamma \nabla \tilde{n} - \mu_1 \Delta \tilde{v} - \mu_2 \nabla \operatorname{div} \tilde{v} = 0, & (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3, \\ (\tilde{n}, \tilde{v})|_{t=0} = (n_0, v_0), & x \in \mathbb{R}^3. \end{cases} \quad (5.88)$$

In terms of the semigroup theory for evolutionary equations, the solution (\tilde{n}, \tilde{v}) of the linearized system (5.88) can be expressed as

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{U}_t = A\tilde{U}, & t \geq 0, \\ \tilde{U}(0) = U_0, \end{cases} \quad (5.89)$$

where $\tilde{U} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\tilde{n}, \tilde{v})^t$, $U_0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (n_0, v_0)$ and the matrix-valued differential operator A is given by

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\gamma \operatorname{div} \\ -\gamma \nabla & \mu_1 \Delta + \mu_2 \nabla \operatorname{div} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Denote $S(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} e^{tA}$, then the system (5.89) gives rise to

$$\tilde{U}(t) = S(t)U_0 = e^{tA}U_0, \quad t \geq 0. \quad (5.90)$$

Then, it is easy to check that the following estimate holds

$$\|\nabla^N(S(t)U_0)\|_{L^2} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}-\frac{N}{2}} \|U_0\|_{L^1 \cap H^N}, \quad (5.91)$$

where C is a positive constant independent of time. The estimate (5.91) can be found in [1, 6]. Finally, let us denote $F(t) = (\tilde{S}_1(t), \tilde{S}_2(t))^{tr}$, then the system (5.3) can be rewritten as follows:

$$\begin{cases} U_t = AU + F, \\ U(0) = U_0. \end{cases} \quad (5.92)$$

Then we can use Duhamel's principle to represent the solution of system (5.3) in term of the semigroup

$$U(t) = S(t)U_0 + \int_0^t S(t-\tau)F(\tau)d\tau. \quad (5.93)$$

Now, one can establish the estimate for the low frequency of $\nabla^N(n, v)$ as follows:

Lemma 5.7. *Under the assumption of Theorem 1.5, we have*

$$\|\nabla^N(n^l, v^l)(t)\|_{L^2} \leq C\delta \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|\nabla^N(n, v)(s)\|_{L^2} + C(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}-\frac{N}{2}}, \quad (5.94)$$

where C is a positive constant independent of time.

Proof. It follows from the formula (5.93) that

$$\nabla^N(n, v) = \nabla^N(S(t)U_0) + \int_0^t \nabla^N[S(t-\tau)F(\tau)]d\tau,$$

which yields directly

$$\|\nabla^N(n^l, v^l)\|_{L^2} \leq \|\nabla^N(S(t)U_0)^l\|_{L^2} + \int_0^t \|\nabla^N[S(t-\tau)F(\tau)]^l\|_{L^2}d\tau. \quad (5.95)$$

Since the initial data $U_0 = (n_0, v_0) \in L^1 \cap H^N$, it follows from the estimate (5.91) that

$$\|\nabla^N(S(t)U_0)^l\|_{L^2} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}-\frac{N}{2}}\|U_0\|_{L^1 \cap H^N}. \quad (5.96)$$

Then we compute by means of Sobolev inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t \|\nabla^N[S(t-\tau)F(\tau)]^l\|_{L^2}d\tau \leq \int_0^t \|\xi^N|\widehat{S}(t-\tau)|\widehat{F}(\tau)\|_{L^2(|\xi|\leq\eta)}d\tau \\ & \leq \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} \|\xi^N|\widehat{S}(t-\tau)\|_{L^2(|\xi|\leq\eta)}\|\widehat{F}(\tau)\|_{L^\infty(|\xi|\leq\eta)}d\tau + \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t \|\xi|\widehat{S}(t-\tau)\|_{L^2(|\xi|\leq\eta)}\|\xi^{N-1}\widehat{F}(\tau)\|_{L^\infty(|\xi|\leq\eta)}d\tau \\ & \leq \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} (1+t-\tau)^{-\frac{3}{4}-\frac{N}{2}}\|\widehat{F}(\tau)\|_{L^\infty(|\xi|\leq\eta)}d\tau + \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t (1+t-\tau)^{-\frac{5}{4}}\|\xi^{N-1}\widehat{F}(\tau)\|_{L^\infty(|\xi|\leq\eta)}d\tau \\ & \stackrel{def}{=} N_1 + N_2. \end{aligned} \quad (5.97)$$

Now we estimate the first term on the right handside of (5.97) as follows:

$$N_1 = \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} (1+t-\tau)^{-\frac{3}{4}-\frac{N}{2}}\|F\|_{L^1}d\tau \leq C \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} (1+t-\tau)^{-\frac{3}{4}-\frac{N}{2}}(\|\widetilde{S}_1\|_{L^1} + \|\widetilde{S}_2\|_{L^1})d\tau. \quad (5.98)$$

In view of the definitions of $\widetilde{S}_i(i=1,2)$ and decay estimate (5.60), we have

$$\|\widetilde{S}_1\|_{L^1} \leq C\|\nabla(n, v)\|_{L^2}\|(n, v)\|_{L^2} + C\|(1+|x|)\nabla\bar{\rho}\|_{L^2}\frac{v}{1+|x|}\|_{L^2} + C\|\bar{\rho}\|_{L^2}\|\nabla v\|_{L^2} \leq C\delta(1+t)^{-\frac{5}{4}}, \quad (5.99)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\widetilde{S}_2\|_{L^1} & \leq C\|v\|_{L^2}\|\nabla v\|_{L^2} + C\|\tilde{f}(n+\bar{\rho})\|_{L^2}\|\nabla^2 v\|_{L^2} + C\|\tilde{g}(n+\bar{\rho})\|_{L^2}\|\nabla n\|_{L^2} + C\|\tilde{h}(n, \bar{\rho})\|_{L^2}\|\nabla\bar{\rho}\|_{L^2} \\ & \leq C\|v\|_{L^2}\|\nabla v\|_{L^2} + C\|n\|_{L^2}\|\nabla^2 v\|_{L^2} + C\|\bar{\rho}\|_{L^2}\|\nabla^2 v\|_{L^2} + C\|n\|_{L^2}\|\nabla n\|_{L^2} \\ & \quad + C\|\bar{\rho}\|_{L^2}\|\nabla n\|_{L^2} + C\|\frac{n}{1+|x|}\|_{L^2}\|(1+|x|)\nabla\bar{\rho}\|_{L^2} \\ & \leq C\delta(1+t)^{-\frac{5}{4}}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.100)$$

Substituting the estimates (5.99) and (5.100) into (5.98), and using the estimate in Lemma 3.4, it holds

$$N_1 \leq C \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} (1+t-\tau)^{-\frac{3}{4}-\frac{N}{2}}(1+\tau)^{-\frac{5}{4}}d\tau \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}-\frac{N}{2}}. \quad (5.101)$$

Next, let us deal with the N_2 term. For any smooth function ϕ , there exists a positive constant C dependent only on η , such that

$$\|\xi^{N-1}\widehat{\phi}\|_{L^\infty(|\xi|\leq\eta)} \leq C\|\xi^{N-2}\widehat{\phi}\|_{L^\infty(|\xi|\leq\eta)},$$

then we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\xi^{N-1}\widehat{F}\|_{L^\infty(|\xi|\leq\eta)} & \leq C\|\nabla^{N-1}\widetilde{S}_1^l\|_{L^1} + C\|\nabla^{N-1}\widetilde{S}_2^l\|_{L^1} \\ & \leq C\|\nabla^{N-1}(\nabla n v + n \nabla v)\|_{L^1} + C\|\nabla^{N-1}(\nabla \bar{\rho} v + \bar{\rho} \nabla v)\|_{L^1} + C\|\nabla^{N-1}(v \nabla v)\|_{L^1} \\ & \quad + C\|\nabla^{N-2}(\tilde{f}(n+\bar{\rho})(\mu_1 \Delta v + \mu_2 \nabla \operatorname{div} v))\|_{L^1} + C\|\nabla^{N-1}(\tilde{g}(n+\bar{\rho})\nabla n)\|_{L^1} \\ & \quad + C\|\nabla^{N-1}(\tilde{h}(n, \bar{\rho})\nabla \bar{\rho})\|_{L^1} \\ & \stackrel{def}{=} N_{21} + N_{22} + N_{23} + N_{24} + N_{25} + N_{26}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.102)$$

First of all, applying the decay estimate (5.60), then the term N_{21} can be estimated as follows

$$N_{21} \leq C \sum_{0 \leq l \leq N-1} (\|\nabla^{l+1} n\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^{N-l-1} v\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla^l n\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^{N-l} v\|_{L^2}) \leq C(1+t)^{-1-\frac{N}{2}}. \quad (5.103)$$

By virtue of Hardy inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} N_{22} &\leq C \sum_{0 \leq l \leq N-1} \left(\|(1+|x|)^{l+1} \nabla^{l+1} \bar{\rho}\|_{L^2} \left\| \frac{\nabla^{N-l-1} v}{(1+|x|)^{l+1}} \right\|_{L^2} + \|(1+|x|)^l \nabla^l \bar{\rho}\|_{L^2} \left\| \frac{\nabla^{N-l} v}{(1+|x|)^l} \right\|_{L^2} \right) \\ &\leq C\delta \|\nabla^N v\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.104)$$

In view of the decay estimate (5.60), it follows directly

$$N_{23} \leq C \sum_{0 \leq l \leq N-1} \|\nabla^l v\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^{N-l} v\|_{L^2} \leq C(1+t)^{-1-\frac{N}{2}}. \quad (5.105)$$

Applying the estimate (5.21) of function \tilde{f} , we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} N_{24} &\leq C \int |\tilde{f}(n + \bar{\rho})| |\nabla^N v| dx + C \sum_{1 \leq l \leq N-2} \sum_{\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_j = l} \int |\nabla^{\gamma_1} \bar{\rho}| \dots |\nabla^{\gamma_j} \bar{\rho}| |\nabla^{N-l} v| dx \\ &\quad + C \sum_{1 \leq l \leq N-2} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_{i+j} = l \\ \gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_i = m \\ 1 \leq m \leq l}} \int |\nabla^{\gamma_1} n| \dots |\nabla^{\gamma_i} n| |\nabla^{\gamma_{i+1}} \bar{\rho}| \dots |\nabla^{\gamma_{i+j}} \bar{\rho}| |\nabla^{N-l} v| dx \\ &\stackrel{def}{=} N_{241} + N_{242} + N_{243}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.106)$$

It follows from Hölder inequality that

$$N_{241} \leq C \|(n + \bar{\rho})\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^N v\|_{L^2} \leq C\delta \|\nabla^N v\|_{L^2}.$$

By Hardy inequality, it is easy to deduce

$$\begin{aligned} N_{242} &\leq C \sum_{1 \leq l \leq N-2} \sum_{\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_j = l} \|(1+|x|)^{\gamma_1} \nabla^{\gamma_1} \bar{\rho}\|_{L^2} \|(1+|x|)^{\gamma_2} \nabla^{\gamma_2} \bar{\rho}\|_{L^\infty} \dots \|(1+|x|)^{\gamma_j} \nabla^{\gamma_j} \bar{\rho}\|_{L^\infty} \left\| \frac{\nabla^{N-l} v}{(1+|x|)^l} \right\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C\delta \|\nabla^N v\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Without loss of generality, we assume that $1 \leq \gamma_1 \leq \dots \leq \gamma_i \leq N-2$. The fact $i \geq 2$ implies $\gamma_{i-1} \leq N-3 \leq N-2$. Thus, we can exploit Hardy inequality, Sobolev interpolation inequality (3.1) in Lemma 3.2 and the decay estimate (5.60) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} N_{243} &\leq C \sum_{1 \leq l \leq N-2} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_{i+j} = l \\ \gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_i = m \\ 1 \leq m \leq l}} \|\nabla^{\gamma_1} n\|_{L^\infty} \dots \|\nabla^{\gamma_{i-1}} n\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla^{\gamma_i} n\|_{L^2} \|(1+|x|)^{\gamma_{i+1}} \nabla^{\gamma_{i+1}} \bar{\rho}\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\quad \dots \|(1+|x|)^{\gamma_{i+j}} \nabla^{\gamma_{i+j}} \bar{\rho}\|_{L^\infty} \left\| \frac{\nabla^{N-l} v}{(1+|x|)^{l-m}} \right\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C\delta \sum_{1 \leq l \leq N-2} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_{i+j} = l \\ \gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_i = m \\ 1 \leq m \leq l}} \|\nabla^{\gamma_1+1} n\|_{H^1} \dots \|\nabla^{\gamma_{i-1}+1} n\|_{H^1} \|\nabla^{\gamma_i} n\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^{N-m} v\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C\delta \sum_{1 \leq l \leq N-2} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_{i+j} = l \\ \gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_i = m \\ 1 \leq m \leq l}} (1+t)^{-\frac{N}{2} - \frac{5i}{4} - \frac{7}{4}} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{2} - \frac{N}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting the estimates of N_{241} , N_{242} and N_{243} into (5.106), we arrive at

$$N_{24} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{2} - \frac{N}{2}} + C\delta \|\nabla^N v\|_{L^2}. \quad (5.107)$$

One can deal with the term N_{25} in the same manner of N_{24} . Then, it is easy to check that

$$N_{25} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{2}-\frac{N}{2}} + C\delta\|\nabla^N n\|_{L^2}. \quad (5.108)$$

Finally, let us deal with N_{26} . By virtue of the estimate (5.26) and (5.28) of \tilde{h} , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} N_{26} &\leq C \int |n| |\nabla^N \bar{\rho}| dx + C \sum_{1 \leq l \leq N-1} \sum_{\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_j = l} \int |n| |\nabla^{\gamma_1} \bar{\rho}| \dots |\nabla^{\gamma_j} \bar{\rho}| |\nabla^{N-l} \bar{\rho}| dx \\ &\quad + C \sum_{1 \leq l \leq N-1} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_{i+j} = l \\ \gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_i = m \\ 1 \leq m \leq l}} \int |\nabla^{\gamma_1} n| \dots |\nabla^{\gamma_i} n| |\nabla^{\gamma_{i+1}} \bar{\rho}| \dots |\nabla^{\gamma_{i+j}} \bar{\rho}| |\nabla^{N-l} \bar{\rho}| dx \\ &\stackrel{def}{=} N_{261} + N_{262} + N_{263}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.109)$$

It follows from Hardy inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} N_{261} + N_{262} &\leq C \left\| \frac{n}{(1+|x|)^N} \right\|_{L^2} \|(1+|x|)^N \nabla^N \bar{\rho}\|_{L^2} + C \sum_{1 \leq l \leq N-1} \sum_{\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_j = l} \left\| \frac{n}{(1+|x|)^N} \right\|_{L^2} \\ &\quad \times \|(1+|x|)^{\gamma_1} \nabla^{\gamma_1} \bar{\rho}\|_{L^\infty} \dots \|(1+|x|)^{\gamma_j} \nabla^{\gamma_j} \bar{\rho}\|_{L^\infty} \|(1+|x|)^{N-l} \nabla^{N-l} \bar{\rho}\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C\delta \|\nabla^N n\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.110)$$

For the term N_{263} , it is easy to check that

$$\begin{aligned} N_{263} &\leq C \sum_{1 \leq l \leq N-1} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_{i+j} = l \\ \gamma_1 = m \\ 1 \leq m \leq l}} \left\| \frac{\nabla^{\gamma_1} n}{(1+|x|)^{N-\gamma_1}} \right\|_{L^2} \|(1+|x|)^{\gamma_2} \nabla^{\gamma_2} \bar{\rho}\|_{L^\infty} \dots \|(1+|x|)^{\gamma_{1+j}} \nabla^{\gamma_{1+j}} \bar{\rho}\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\quad \|(1+|x|)^{N-l} \nabla^{N-l} \bar{\rho}\|_{L^2} + C \sum_{1 \leq l \leq N-1} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_{i+j} = l \\ \gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_i = m \\ 1 \leq m \leq l, i \geq 2}} \|\nabla^{\gamma_1} n\|_{L^\infty} \dots \|\nabla^{\gamma_{i-1}} n\|_{L^\infty} \left\| \frac{\nabla^{\gamma_i} n}{(1+|x|)^{N-m}} \right\|_{L^2} \\ &\quad \times \|(1+|x|)^{\gamma_{i+1}} \nabla^{\gamma_{i+1}} \bar{\rho}\|_{L^\infty} \dots \|(1+|x|)^{\gamma_{i+j}} \nabla^{\gamma_{i+j}} \bar{\rho}\|_{L^\infty} \|(1+|x|)^{N-l} \nabla^{N-l} \bar{\rho}\|_{L^2} \\ &\stackrel{def}{=} N_{2631} + N_{2632}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.111)$$

We employ Hardy inequality once again, to discover

$$N_{2631} \leq C\delta \|\nabla^N n\|_{L^2}.$$

Without loss of generality, we assume that $1 \leq \gamma_1 \leq \dots \leq \gamma_i \leq N-1$. The fact $i \geq 2$ implies $\gamma_i \leq m-1 \leq N-2$. For the term N_{2632} , by virtue of Hardy inequality, Sobolev interpolation inequality 3.1 in Lemma 3.2 and the decay estimate (5.60), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} N_{2632} &\leq C\delta \sum_{1 \leq l \leq N-1} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_{i+j} = l \\ \gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_i = m \\ 1 \leq m \leq l, i \geq 2}} \|\nabla^{\gamma_1+1} n\|_{H^1} \dots \|\nabla^{\gamma_{i-1}+1} n\|_{H^1} \|\nabla^{N-m+\gamma_i} n\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C\delta \sum_{1 \leq l \leq N-1} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_{i+j} = l \\ \gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_i = m \\ 1 \leq m \leq l, i \geq 2}} (1+t)^{-\frac{N}{2} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{5i}{4}} \leq C(1+t)^{-2-\frac{N}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting estimates of terms N_{2631} and N_{2632} into (5.111), we find

$$N_{263} \leq C\delta \|\nabla^N n\|_{L^2} + C(1+t)^{-2-\frac{N}{2}}.$$

Inserting (5.110) and (5.111) into (5.109), we get immediately

$$N_{26} \leq C\delta \|\nabla^N n\|_{L^2} + C(1+t)^{-2-\frac{N}{2}}. \quad (5.112)$$

We then conclude from (5.102)-(5.105), (5.107), (5.108) and (5.112) that

$$\|\xi|^{N-1}\widehat{F}\|_{L^\infty(|\xi|\leq\eta)} \leq C\delta\|\nabla^N(n,v)\|_{L^2} + (1+t)^{-1-\frac{N}{2}},$$

which, together with the definition of term N_2 and the estimate in Lemma 3.4, yields directly

$$\begin{aligned} N_2 &\leq C \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t (1+t-\tau)^{-\frac{5}{4}} (\delta\|\nabla^N(n,v)\|_{L^2} + (1+\tau)^{-1-\frac{N}{2}}) d\tau \\ &\leq C\delta \sup_{0\leq\tau\leq t} \|\nabla^N(n,v)\|_{L^2} \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t (1+t-\tau)^{-\frac{5}{4}} d\tau + C(1+t)^{-1-\frac{N}{2}} \\ &\leq C\delta \sup_{0\leq\tau\leq t} \|\nabla^N(n,v)\|_{L^2} + C(1+t)^{-1-\frac{N}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.113)$$

Substituting (5.101) and (5.113) into (5.97), it holds true

$$\int_0^t \|\nabla^N[S(t-\tau)F(U(\tau))]^l\|_{L^2} d\tau \leq C\delta \sup_{0\leq\tau\leq t} \|\nabla^N(n,v)\|_{L^2} + C(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}-\frac{N}{2}}. \quad (5.114)$$

Inserting (5.96) and (5.114) into (5.95), one obtains immediately that

$$\|\nabla^N(n^l, v^l)\|_{L^2} \leq C\delta \sup_{0\leq s\leq t} \|\nabla^N(n,v)\|_{L^2} + C(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}-\frac{N}{2}}.$$

This completes the proof of this lemma. \square

Finally, we focus on establishing optimal decay rate for the $N - th$ order spatial derivative of solution.

Lemma 5.8. *Under the assumption of Theorem 1.5, we have*

$$\|\nabla^N(n,v)(t)\|_{L^2} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}-\frac{N}{2}}, \quad (5.115)$$

where C is a positive constant independent of t .

Proof. We may rewrite the estimate (5.65) in Lemma 5.6 as

$$\frac{d}{dt}\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}^N(t) + \|\nabla^N v^h\|_{L^2}^2 + \eta_3\|\nabla^N n^h\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C_4\|\nabla^N(n,v)^l\|_{L^2}^2 + C(1+t)^{-3-N}. \quad (5.116)$$

where the energy $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}^N(t)$ is defined by

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}^N(t) \stackrel{def}{=} \|\nabla^N(n,v)\|_{L^2}^2 - \eta_3 \int_{|\xi|\geq\eta} \widehat{\nabla^{N-1}v} \cdot \overline{\widehat{\nabla^N n}} d\xi.$$

With the help of Young inequality, by choosing η_3 small enough, one obtains the equivalent relation

$$c_5\|\nabla^N(n,v)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}^N(t) \leq c_6\|\nabla^N(n,v)\|_{L^2}^2, \quad (5.117)$$

where the constants c_5 and c_6 are independent of time. Then adding on both sides of (5.116) by $\|\nabla^N(n^l, v^l)\|_{L^2}^2$ and applying the estimate (5.94) in Lemma 5.7, we find

$$\frac{d}{dt}\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}^N(t) + \|\nabla^N(n,v)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq (C_4+1)\|\nabla^N(n^l, v^l)\|_{L^2}^2 + C(1+t)^{-3-N} \leq C\delta \sup_{0\leq\tau\leq t} \|\nabla^N(n,v)\|_{L^2}^2 + C(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{2}-N}.$$

By virtue of the equivalent relation (5.117), we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}^N(t) + \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}^N(t) \leq C\delta \sup_{0\leq\tau\leq t} \|\nabla^N(n,v)\|_{L^2}^2 + C(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{2}-N}, \quad (5.118)$$

which, using Gronwall inequality, gives immediately

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}^N(t) \leq e^{-t}\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}^N(0) + C\delta \sup_{0\leq\tau\leq t} \|\nabla^N(n,v)\|_{L^2}^2 \int_0^t e^{\tau-t} d\tau + C \int_0^t e^{\tau-t} (1+\tau)^{-\frac{3}{2}-N} d\tau. \quad (5.119)$$

It is easy to deduce that

$$\int_0^t e^{\tau-t} d\tau \leq C$$

and

$$\int_0^t e^{\tau-t} (1+\tau)^{-\frac{3}{2}-N} d\tau \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{2}-N}.$$

From the equivalent relation (5.117) and (5.119), it holds

$$\sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} \|\nabla^N(n, v)(\tau)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C e^{-t} \|\nabla^N(n_0, v_0)\|_{L^2}^2 + C\delta \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} \|\nabla^N(n, v)\|_{L^2}^2 + C(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{2}-N}.$$

Applying the smallness of δ , one arrives at

$$\sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} \|\nabla^N(n, v)(\tau)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{2}-N}.$$

Therefore, we complete the proof of this lemma. □

The Proof of Theorem 1.5. Combining the estimate (5.60) in Lemma 5.5 with estimate (5.115) in Lemma 5.8, then we can obtain the decay rate (1.19) in Theorem 1.5. Consequently, we finish the proof of Theorem 1.5.

5.4. Lower bound of decay rate

In this subsection, the content of our analysis is to establish the lower bound of decay rate for the global solution and its spatial derivatives of the initial value problem (5.3). In order to achieve this target, we need to analyze the linearized system (5.88). We obtain the following proposition immediately, whose proof is similar to [1] and standard, so we omit here.

Proposition 5.9. *Let $U_0 = (n_0, v_0) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap H^l(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $l \geq 3$, assume that $M_n \stackrel{def}{=} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} n_0(x) dx$ and $M_v \stackrel{def}{=} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v_0(x) dx$ are at least one nonzero. Then there exists a positive constant \tilde{c} independent of time such that for any large enough t , the global solution (\tilde{n}, \tilde{v}) of the linearized system (5.88) satisfies*

$$\min\{\|\partial_x^k \tilde{n}(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \|\partial_x^k \tilde{v}(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}\} \geq \tilde{c}(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}-\frac{k}{2}}, \quad \text{for } 0 \leq k \leq l. \quad (5.120)$$

Here \tilde{c} is a positive constant depending only on M_n and M_v .

Define the difference $(n_\delta, v_\delta) \stackrel{def}{=} (n - \tilde{n}, v - \tilde{v})$, then the quantity (n_δ, v_δ) satisfies the following system:

$$\begin{cases} n_{\delta t} + \gamma \operatorname{div} v_\delta = \tilde{S}_1, & (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3, \\ v_{\delta t} + \gamma \nabla n_\delta - \mu_1 \Delta v_\delta - \mu_2 \nabla \operatorname{div} v_\delta = \tilde{S}_2, & (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3, \\ (n_\delta, v_\delta)|_{t=0} = (0, 0). \end{cases} \quad (5.121)$$

By virtue of the formula (5.93), the solution of system (5.121) can be represented as

$$(n_\delta, v_\delta)^t = \int_0^t S(t-\tau) F(U(\tau)) d\tau.$$

Next, we aim to establish the upper bounds of decay rates for the difference (n_δ, v_δ) and its first order spatial derivative.

Lemma 5.10. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.7, assume (n_δ, v_δ) be the smooth solution of the initial value problem (5.121). Then, it holds on for $t \geq 0$,*

$$\|\nabla^k (n_\delta, v_\delta)(t)\|_{L^2} \leq \tilde{C} \delta (1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}-\frac{k}{2}}, \quad \text{for } k = 0, 1, \quad (5.122)$$

where \tilde{C} is a constant independent of time.

Proof. By Duhamel's principle, it holds for $k \geq 0$,

$$\|\nabla^k(n_\delta, v_\delta)(t)\|_{L^2} \leq \int_0^t (1+t-\tau)^{-\frac{3}{4}-\frac{k}{2}} (\|(\tilde{S}_1, \tilde{S}_2)(\tau)\|_{L^1} + \|\nabla^k(\tilde{S}_1, \tilde{S}_2)(\tau)\|_{L^2}) d\tau. \quad (5.123)$$

It then follows from decay estimates (5.99) and (5.100) that

$$\|(\tilde{S}_1, \tilde{S}_2)\|_{L^1} \leq C\delta(1+t)^{-\frac{5}{4}}. \quad (5.124)$$

In view of Sobolev and Hardy inequality, it is easy to deduce that

$$\|\tilde{S}_1\|_{L^2} \leq C\|\bar{\rho}\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla n\|_{L^2} + C\|(1+|x|)\nabla\bar{\rho}\|_{L^\infty}\|\frac{n}{1+|x|}\|_{L^2} \leq C\delta\|\nabla n\|_{L^2} \leq C\delta(1+t)^{-\frac{5}{4}}, \quad (5.125)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{S}_2\|_{L^2} &\leq C\|v\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla v\|_{L^2} + \|(n+\bar{\rho})\|_{L^\infty}\|(\nabla^2 v, \nabla n)\|_{L^2} + \|\frac{n}{1+|x|}\|_{L^\infty}\|(1+|x|)\nabla\bar{\rho}\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C\delta\|(\nabla n, \nabla v, \nabla^2 v)\|_{L^2} \leq C\delta(1+t)^{-\frac{5}{4}}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.126)$$

Then, substituting the decay estimates (5.124), (5.125) and (5.126) into (5.123) with $k = 0$, it holds

$$\begin{aligned} \|(n_\delta, v_\delta)(t)\|_{L^2} &\leq \int_0^t (1+t-\tau)^{-\frac{3}{4}} (\|(\tilde{S}_1, \tilde{S}_2)(\tau)\|_{L^1} + \|(\tilde{S}_1, \tilde{S}_2)(\tau)\|_{L^2}) d\tau \\ &\leq C\delta \int_0^t (1+t-\tau)^{-\frac{3}{4}} (1+\tau)^{-\frac{5}{4}} d\tau \\ &\leq C\delta(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the Lemma 3.4 in the last inequality. Similar to (5.125) and (5.126), one arrives at

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla\tilde{S}_1\|_{L^2} &\leq C\|\bar{\rho}\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla^2 n\|_{L^2} + C\|(1+|x|)\nabla\bar{\rho}\|_{L^\infty}\|\frac{\nabla n}{1+|x|}\|_{L^2} + C\|(1+|x|)^2\nabla^2\bar{\rho}\|_{L^\infty}\|\frac{n}{(1+|x|)^2}\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C\delta\|\nabla^2 n\|_{L^2} \leq C\delta(1+t)^{-\frac{7}{4}}, \\ \|\nabla\tilde{S}_2\|_{L^2} &\leq C\|v\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla^2 v\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla v\|_{L^3}\|\nabla v\|_{L^6} + \|n+\bar{\rho}\|_{L^\infty}\|(\nabla^3 v, \nabla^2 n)\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla n\|_{L^3}\|(\nabla^2 v, \nabla n)\|_{L^6} \\ &\quad + \|(1+|x|)\nabla\bar{\rho}\|_{L^\infty}\|(\frac{\nabla^2 v}{1+|x|}, \frac{\nabla n}{1+|x|})\|_{L^2} + \|(1+|x|)\nabla\bar{\rho}\|_{L^\infty}^2\|\frac{n}{(1+|x|)^2}\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C\delta\|(\nabla^2 n, \nabla^2 v, \nabla^3 v)\|_{L^2} \leq C\delta(1+t)^{-\frac{7}{4}}, \end{aligned}$$

which, together with (5.123), (5.124) and Lemma 3.4, yields directly

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla(n_\delta, v_\delta)(t)\|_{L^2} &\leq \int_0^t (1+t-\tau)^{-\frac{5}{4}} (\|(\tilde{S}_1, \tilde{S}_2)(\tau)\|_{L^1} + \|\nabla(\tilde{S}_1, \tilde{S}_2)(\tau)\|_{L^2}) d\tau \\ &\leq C\delta \int_0^t (1+t-\tau)^{-\frac{5}{4}} (1+\tau)^{-\frac{5}{4}} d\tau \leq C\delta(1+t)^{-\frac{5}{4}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the proof of this lemma is completed. \square

Finally, we establish the lower bound of decay rate for the global solution and its spatial derivatives of compressible Navier-Stokes equations with potential force. Then, the estimate (5.127) in Lemma 5.11 below will yield the optimal decay estimate in Theorem 1.7.

Lemma 5.11. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.7, then for any large enough t , we have*

$$\min\{\|\nabla^k n(t)\|_{L^2}, \|\nabla^k v(t)\|_{L^2}\} \geq c_1(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}-\frac{k}{2}}, \quad \text{for } 0 \leq k \leq N, \quad (5.127)$$

where c_1 is a positive constant independent of time.

Proof. By virtue of the definition of n_δ , it holds true

$$\|\nabla^k \tilde{n}\|_{L^2} \leq \|\nabla^k n\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla^k n_\delta\|_{L^2},$$

which, together with the lower bound decay (5.120) and upper bound decay (5.122), yields directly

$$\|\nabla^k n\|_{L^2} \geq \|\nabla^k \tilde{n}\|_{L^2} - \|\nabla^k n_\delta\|_{L^2} \geq \tilde{c}(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}-\frac{k}{2}} - \tilde{C}\delta(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}-\frac{k}{2}}, \quad (5.128)$$

where $k = 0, 1$. It is worth noting that the small constant δ is used to control the upper bound of initial data in L^2 -norm instead of L^1 one (see (1.16) and (1.17)). From the estimate (5.120) in Lemma 5.9, the constant \tilde{c} in (5.128) only depends on the quantities M_n and M_v . Then, we can choose δ small enough such that $\tilde{C}\delta \leq \frac{1}{2}\tilde{c}$, and hence, it follows from (5.128) that

$$\|\nabla^k n\|_{L^2} \geq \frac{1}{2}\tilde{c}(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}-\frac{k}{2}}, \quad k = 0, 1. \quad (5.129)$$

By virtue of the Sobolev interpolation inequality in Lemma 3.2, it holds true for $k \geq 2$

$$\|\nabla n\|_{L^2} \leq C\|n\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{1}{k}}\|\nabla^k n\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{k}},$$

which, together with the lower bound decay (5.129) and upper bound decay (1.19), implies directly

$$\|\nabla^k n\|_{L^2} \geq C\|\nabla n\|_{L^2}^k \|n\|_{L^2}^{-(k-1)} \geq C(1+t)^{-\frac{5k}{4}}(1+t)^{\frac{3(k-1)}{4}} \geq c_1(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}-\frac{k}{2}}, \quad (5.130)$$

for all $k \geq 2$. In the same manner, it is easy to deduce that

$$\|\nabla^k v\|_{L^2} \geq c_1(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}-\frac{k}{2}}, \quad \text{for } k \geq 0. \quad (5.131)$$

Then, the combination of estimates (5.129), (5.130) and (5.131) yields the estimate (5.127). Therefore, we complete the proof of this lemma. \square

Acknowledgements

This research was partially supported by NNSF of China(11801586, 11971496, 12026244), Guangzhou Science and technology project of China(202102020769), Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Province of China (2020A1515110942), National Key Research and Development Program of China(2020YFA0712500).

References

- [1] Y.H.Chen, R.H.Pan, L.L.Tong, The sharp time decay rate of the isentropic Navier-Stokes system in \mathbb{R}^3 , *Electron. Res. Arch.*, 29 (2) (2021) 1945–1967.
- [2] Y.Cho, H.J.Cho, H.Kim, Unique solvability of the initial boundary value problems for compressible viscous fluid, *J. Math. Pures Appl.*(9), 83 (2) (2004) 243–275.
- [3] Y.Cho, H.Kim, On classical solutions of the compressible Navier-Stokes equations with nonnegative initial densities, *Manuscr. Math.*, 120 (1) (2006) 91–129.
- [4] H.J.Cho, H.Kim, Strong solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations for isentropic compressible fluids, *J. Differential Equations*, 190 (2) (2003) 504–523.
- [5] K.Deckelnick, Decay estimates for the compressible Navier-Stokes equations in unbounded domains, *Math. Z.*, 209 (1) (1992) 115–130.
- [6] R.J.Duan, H.X.Liu, S.Ukai, T.Yang, Optimal $L^p - L^q$ convergence rates for the compressible Navier-Stokes equations with potential force, *J. Differential Equations*, 238 (1) (2007) 220–233.

- [7] R.J.Duan, S.Ukai, T.Yang, H.J.Zhao, Optimal convergence rates for the compressible Navier-Stokes equations with potential forces, *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.* 17 (5) (2007) 737–758.
- [8] J.C.Gao, Z.Y.Lyu, Z.A.Yao, Lower Bound and Space-time Decay Rates of Higher Order Derivatives of Solution for the Compressible Navier-Stokes and Hall-MHD Equations, *arXiv: Analysis of PDEs*, 2019.
- [9] J.C.Gao, Q.Tao, Z.A.Yao, Long-time behavior of solution for the compressible nematic liquid crystal flows in \mathbb{R}^3 , *J. Differential Equations*, 261 (4) (2016) 2334–2383.
- [10] J.C.Gao, Z.Z.Wei, Z.A.Yao, The optimal decay rate of strong solution for the compressible Navier-Stokes equations with large initial data, *Phys.D* 406 (2020), 132506, 9 pp.
- [11] J.C.Gao, Z.Z.Wei, Z.A.Yao, Decay of strong solution for the compressible Navier-Stokes equations with large initial data, *Nonlinear Anal.* 213 (2021) 112494.
- [12] J.C.Gao, Z.Z.Wei, Z.A.Yao, The Optimal Decay Rate of Strong Solution for the Compressible Nematic Liquid Crystal Equations with Large Initial Data, *arXiv:2106.03104*, 2021.
- [13] Y.Guo, Y.J.Wang, Decay of dissipative equations and negative Sobolev spaces, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 37 (12) (2012) 2165–2208.
- [14] L.B.He, J.C.Huang, C.Wang, Global stability of large solutions to the 3D compressible Navier-Stokes equations, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 234 (3) (2019) 1167–1222.
- [15] X.D.Huang, J.Li, Z.P.Xin, Blowup criterion for viscous barotropic flows with vacuum states, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 301 (1) (2011) 23–35.
- [16] X.D.Huang, J.Li, Z.P.Xin, Serrin-type criterion for the three-dimensional viscous compressible flows, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 43 (4) (2011) 1872–1886.
- [17] X.D.Huang, J.Li, Z.P.Xin, Global well-posedness of classical solutions with large oscillations and vacuum to the three dimensional isentropic compressible Navier-Stokes equations, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 65 (4) (2012) 549–585.
- [18] X.P.Hu, G.C.Wu, Global existence and optimal decay rates for three-dimensional compressible viscoelastic flows, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 45 (5) (2013) 2815–2833.
- [19] D.Hoff, K.Zumbrun, Multi-dimensional diffusion waves for the Navier-Stokes equations of compressible flow, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 44 (2) (1995) 603–676.
- [20] Y.Kagei, T.Kobayashi, On large time behavior of solutions to the compressible Navier-Stokes equations in the half space in \mathbb{R}^3 , *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 165 (2) (2002) 89–159.
- [21] H.-L.Li, A.Matsumura, G.J.Zhang, Optimal decay rate of the compressible Navier-Stokes-Poisson system in \mathbb{R}^3 , *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 196 (2) (2010) 681–713.
- [22] H.-L.Li, Y.X.Wang, and Z.P.Xin. Non-existence of classical solutions with finite energy to the Cauchy problem of the compressible Navier-Stokes equations, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 232 (2) (2019) 557–590.
- [23] T.-P.Liu, W.K.Wang, The point wise estimates of diffusion wave for the Navier-Stokes systems in odd multi-dimensions, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 196 (1) (1998) 145–173.
- [24] H.-L.Li, T.Zhang, Large time behavior of isentropic compressible Navier-Stokes system in \mathbb{R}^3 , *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.*, 34 (6) (2011) 670–682.
- [25] J.K.Li, Global small solutions of heat conductive compressible Navier-Stokes equations with vacuum: smallness on scaling invariant quantity, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 237 (2) (2020) 899–919.
- [26] J.Li, Z.L.Liang, On classical solutions to the Cauchy problem of the two-dimensional barotropic compressible Navier-Stokes equations with vacuum, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* (9), 102 (4) (2014) 640–671.

- [27] J.Li, A.Matsumura, On the Navier-Stokes equations for three-dimensional compressible barotropic flow subject to large external potential forces with discontinuous initial data, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* (9), 95 (5) (2011) 495–512.
- [28] J.Li, Z.P.Xin, Global well-posedness and large time asymptotic behavior of classical solutions to the compressible Navier-Stokes equations with vacuum, *Ann. PDE.*, 5 (1) (2019) 37 pp.
- [29] A. Majda, A. Bertozzi, *Vorticity and Incompressible Flow*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press (2002).
- [30] A.Matsumura, T.Nishida, The initial value problem for the equations of motion of compressible viscous and heat-conductive fluids, *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci.*, 55 (9) (1979) 337–342.
- [31] A.Matsumura, T.Nishida, The initial value problem for the equations of motion of viscous and heat-conductive gases, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, 20 (1) (1980) 67–104.
- [32] A.Matsumura, T.Nishida, Initial-boundary value problems for the equations of motion of compressible viscous and heat-conductive fluids, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 89 (4) (1983) 445–464.
- [33] A.Matsumura, M.Padula, Stability of the stationary solutions of compressible viscous fluids with large external forces, *Stab. Appl. Anal. Cont. Media*, 2 (1992) 183–202.
- [34] A.Matsumura, N.Yamagata, Global weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations for multidimensional compressible flow subject to large external potential forces, *Osaka J. Math.*, 38 (2) (2001) 399–418.
- [35] J.Nash, Le problème de Cauchy pour les équations différentielles d’un fluide général, *Bulletin de la Soc. Math. de France*, 90 (1962) 487–497.
- [36] M.Okita, On the convergence rates for the compressible Navier-Stokes equations with potential force, *Kyushu J. Math.*, 68 (2) (2014) 261–286.
- [37] M.Oliver, E.S.Titi, Remark on the rate of decay of higher order derivatives for solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations in \mathbb{R}^n , *J. Funct. Anal.*, 172 (1) (2000) 1–18.
- [38] G.Ponce, Global existence of small solutions to a class of nonlinear evolution equations, *Nonlinear Anal.*, 9 (5) (1985) 399–418.
- [39] O.Rozanova. Blow up of smooth highly decreasing at infinity solutions to the compressible Navier-Stokes equations, *J. Differential Equations*, 245 (7) (2008) 1762–1774.
- [40] R.Salvi, I.Straškraba, Global existence for viscous compressible fluids and their behavior as $t \rightarrow \infty$, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math.*, 40 (1) (1993) 17–51.
- [41] M.E.Schonbek, L^2 decay for weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 88 (3) (1985) 209–222.
- [42] M.E.Schonbek, Large time behaviour of solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 11 (7) (1986) 733–763.
- [43] M.E.Schonbek, Lower bounds of rates of decay for solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 4 (3) (1991) 423–449.
- [44] M.E.Schonbek, T.P.Schonbek, E.Suli, Large-time behaviour of solutions to the magnetohydrodynamics equations, *Math. Ann.* 304 (4) (1996) 717–756.
- [45] M.E.Schonbek, M.Wiegner, On the decay of higher-order norms of the solutions of Navier-Stokes equations, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A*, 126 (3) (1996) 677–685.
- [46] J.Serrin, On the uniqueness of compressible fluid motion, *Arch. Rational. Mech. Anal.*, 3 (1959) 271–288.

- [47] Y.Shibata, K.Tanaka, On the steady flow of compressible viscous fluid and its stability with respect to initial disturbance, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 55 (3) (2003) 797–826.
- [48] Y.Shibata, K.Tanaka, Rate of convergence of non-stationary flow to the steady flow of compressible viscous fluid, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 53 (3–4) (2007) 605–623.
- [49] S.Ukai, T.Yang, H.J.Zhao, Convergence rate for the compressible Navier-Stokes equations with external force, *J. Hyperbolic Differ. Equ.*, 3 (3) (2006) 561–574.
- [50] Y.Z.Sun, C.Wang, Z.F.Zhang, A Beale-Kato-Majda blow-up criterion for the 3-D compressible Navier-Stokes equations, *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, (9) 95 (1) (2011) 36–47
- [51] W.J.Wang, H.Y.Wen, Global well-posedness and time-decay estimates for compressible Navier-Stokes equations with reaction diffusion, *Sci China Math.*, 64 (2021).
- [52] W.J.Wang, Optimal convergence rates for the strong solutions to the compressible Navier-Stokes equations with potential force, *Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl.*, 34 (2017) 363–378.
- [53] H.Y.Wen, C.J.Zhu, Blow-up criteria of strong solutions to 3D compressible Navier-Stokes equations with vacuum, *Adv. Math.*, 248 (2013) 534–572.
- [54] H.Y.Wen, C.J.Zhu, Global solutions to the three-dimensional full compressible Navier-Stokes equations with vacuum at infinity in some classes of large data, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 49 (1) (2017) 162–221.
- [55] G.C.Wu, Y.H.Zhang, L.Zhou, Optimal large-time behavior of the two-phase fluid model in the whole space, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 52 (6) (2020) 5748–5774.
- [56] Z.P.Xin. Blowup of smooth solutions to the compressible Navier-Stokes equation with compact density, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 51 (3) (1998) 229–240.
- [57] Z.P.Xin and W.Yan. On blowup of classical solutions to the compressible Navier-Stokes equations, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 321 (2) (2013) 529–541.
- [58] G.J.Zhang, H.-L.Li, C.J.Zhu, Optimal decay rate of the non-isentropic compressible Navier-Stokes-Poisson system in \mathbb{R}^3 , *J. Differential Equations*, 250 (2) (2011) 866–891.