

STABLY FREE MODULES AND THE UNSTABLE CLASSIFICATION OF 2-COMPLEXES

JOHN NICHOLSON

ABSTRACT. For all $k \geq 2$, we show that there exists a group G and a non-free stably free $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module of rank k . We use this to show that, for all $k \geq 2$, there exist homotopically distinct finite 2-complexes with fundamental group G and with Euler characteristic exceeding the minimal value over G by k . This resolves Problem D5 in the 1979 Problem List of C. T. C. Wall. We also explore a number of generalisations and present a potential application to the topology of closed smooth 4-manifolds.

1. INTRODUCTION

An important concept in algebra and topology is that of stabilisation. When faced with an intractable classification problem, it is often possible to classify up to a weaker notion of stable equivalence. For example, a projective R -module can be ‘stabilised’ by taking a direct sum with the free module R , and we say that two projective R -modules P and Q are (reduced) *stably equivalent* if they are related by a sequence of stabilisations, i.e. if $P \oplus R^n \cong Q \oplus R^m$ for some $n, m \geq 0$. While it is typically difficult to classify the finitely generated projective R -modules up to R -isomorphism, the set of stable equivalence classes form a group $\tilde{K}_0(R)$ which is computable, at least in principle, using the methods of algebraic K -theory. Given such a stable classification, it then remains to classify the objects within a stable class up to isomorphism. This is the corresponding *unstable classification*.

The aim of this article will be to study the unstable classification of three closely related objects, where G is a fixed group and \rightsquigarrow denotes the stabilisation:

- (I) Finitely generated (non-zero) projective $\mathbb{Z}G$ -modules up to $\mathbb{Z}G$ -isomorphism, with $P \rightsquigarrow P \oplus \mathbb{Z}G$.
- (II) Finite 2-complexes X with $\pi_1(X) \cong G$ up to homotopy equivalence, with $X \rightsquigarrow X \vee S^2$.
- (III) Closed smooth 4-manifolds M with $\pi_1(M) \cong G$ up to homeomorphism, with $M \rightsquigarrow M \# (S^2 \times S^2)$.

In each case, the stable classification can be computed in principle. For (I), the stable classification is given by $\tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}G)$, as above. For (II), the stable classification is trivial, i.e. for all X, Y we have $X \vee nS^2 \simeq Y \vee mS^2$ for some $n, m \geq 0$ [72, Theorem 13]. For (III), the stable classification can be reduced to the computation of certain bordism groups using Kreck’s modified surgery [45].

Our main result is the construction of examples which illustrate qualitative features in the unstable classifications of projective $\mathbb{Z}G$ -modules (I) and finite 2-complexes (II). We will show:

Theorem A. *For all $k \geq 2$, there exists a group G and finitely generated projective $\mathbb{Z}G$ -modules P and Q such that $P \oplus \mathbb{Z}G \cong Q \oplus \mathbb{Z}G$ and $Q \cong Q_0 \oplus \mathbb{Z}G^k$ for some $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module Q_0 , but $P \not\cong Q$.*

Theorem B. *For all $k \geq 2$, there exists finite 2-complexes X and Y such that $X \vee S^2 \simeq Y \vee S^2$ and $Y \simeq Y_0 \vee kS^2$ for some finite 2-complex Y_0 , but $X \not\cong Y$.*

In each case, for $k \geq 2$, we can take $G = *_{i=1}^k T$ to be the free product of k copies of the trefoil group $T = \langle x, y \mid x^2 = y^3 \rangle$. The examples in Theorem A are in the simplest case where $Q = \mathbb{Z}G^k$ and so P is a non-free stably free $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module of rank k . The examples X, Y in Theorem B are distinguished by showing that $\pi_2(X) \cong P$ and $\pi_2(Y) \cong \mathbb{Z}G^k$ as $\mathbb{Z}G$ -modules.

We will now review unstable classification for (I)-(III) before discussing each case individually. We give generalisations of Theorems A and B and applications to smooth 4-manifolds (III).

1.1. Background on unstable classification. For a set \mathcal{S} , define a *stabilisation* to be a function $\Sigma : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ such that $\ell(a) := \sup\{k \geq 0 : \Sigma^n(a) = \Sigma^{n+k}(b) \text{ for some } b \in \mathcal{S}, n \geq 0\} < \infty$ where n, k are integers. That is, for all $a \in \mathcal{S}$, there does not exist an infinite sequence $b_k \in \mathcal{S}$ for $k \geq 1$ such that, for each k , we have $\Sigma^n(a) = \Sigma^{n+k}(b_k)$ for some n . The stabilisations in (I)-(III) each satisfy this condition (see Section 2). The function Σ is then fixed point free and we have a *level function* $\ell : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ which is surjective and satisfies $\ell(\Sigma(a)) = \ell(a) + 1$ for $a \in \mathcal{S}$. For $n \geq 0$, we say that $a \in \mathcal{S}$ has *level* n if $\ell(a) = n$ and is at the *minimal level* if $\ell(a) = 0$.

We can view (\mathcal{S}, Σ) as a directed graph with vertex set \mathcal{S} and edges between a and $\Sigma(a)$ for each $a \in \mathcal{S}$. Let $=_{\text{st}}$ denote the corresponding (reduced) stable equivalence relation, i.e. $a =_{\text{st}} b$ if $\Sigma^n(a) = \Sigma^m(b)$ for some $n, m \geq 0$. For each stable equivalence class $c \in \mathcal{S}/=_{\text{st}}$, the subgraph $\mathcal{S}_c = \{a \in \mathcal{S} : a =_{\text{st}} c\}$ is a directed tree which is graded by $\ell_c := \ell|_{\mathcal{S}_c} : \mathcal{S}_c \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. The goal of the unstable classification is then to determine the trees \mathcal{S}_c for each $c \in \mathcal{S}/=_{\text{st}}$.

Whilst determining the trees \mathcal{S}_c explicitly will often be intractable, further progress can be made by asking for structural features of the trees. For $k \geq 0$, we say that \mathcal{S}_c has *cancellation at level* k if $\Sigma(a) = \Sigma(b)$ implies $a = b$ for all $a, b \in \mathcal{S}_c$ with $\ell(a) = \ell(b) \geq k$, or equivalently if $|\ell_c^{-1}(n)| = 1$ for all $n \geq k$ (see Fig. 1c). We say that \mathcal{S} has cancellation at level k if this holds for all $c \in \mathcal{S}/=_{\text{st}}$. If such a k exists, then we have a kind of ‘stable range’ where elements $a \in \mathcal{S}$ with $\ell(a) \geq k$ are classified by $\ell(a) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and the stable class c . We say that \mathcal{S}_c (resp. \mathcal{S}) has *cancellation* if it has cancellation at level 0, or equivalently if ℓ_c (resp. ℓ) is bijective (see Fig. 1a).




FIGURE 1. Branching phenomena for the graded trees \mathcal{S}_c . The vertical height is $\ell(\cdot) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

For a group G , let $P(\mathbb{Z}G)$, $\text{HT}(G)$ and $\mathcal{M}(G)$ denote the sets with stabilisation described in (I), (II) and (III) respectively. Each of these sets have cancellation when $G = \{1\}$ is the trivial group. For $P(\mathbb{Z})$, this is trivial. For $\text{HT}(\{1\})$ this is an exercise in homotopy theory [54, Theorem 1]. For $\mathcal{M}(\{1\})$, this follows from deep results of Donaldson [18] and Freedman [26] (see [47, p14]).

When G is a finite group, $P(\mathbb{Z}G)$, $\text{HT}(G)$ and $\mathcal{M}(G)$ each have cancellation at level one (see Fig. 1b). This was shown for $P(\mathbb{Z}G)$ by Swan [66], for $\text{HT}(G)$ by Browning [14] and for $\mathcal{M}(G)$ by Hambleton-Kreck [30]. The three proofs share a number of techniques; in fact, Hambleton-Kreck gave a new proof of case (II) before using these techniques as the basis for their proof of case (III) (see [31]). On the other hand, examples have been given to illustrate that cancellation (at level 0) fails for an arbitrary finite group G . This was shown for $P(\mathbb{Z}Q_{32})$ by Swan [67], where Q_{32} is the quaternion group of order 32, by Swan [67], for $\text{HT}((\mathbb{Z}/5)^3)$ by Metzler [57] and for $\mathcal{M}((\mathbb{Z}/5)^3)$ by Kreck-Schafer [47] by applying the boundary of thickenings construction (see Section 1.4) to the examples of Metzler.

Much less is known about the case where G is infinite. In particular, it has previously not been known whether there exists G such that $P(\mathbb{Z}G)$ fails cancellation at any level ≥ 1 , $\text{HT}(G)$ fails cancellations at any level ≥ 2 , or $\mathcal{M}(G)$ fails cancellation at any level ≥ 1 . We will now discuss each case in turn.

1.2. Projective $\mathbb{Z}G$ -modules. The *rank* of a finitely generated projective $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module P , denoted by $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}G}(P)$, is the rank of the free abelian group $P_G = \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}G} P$. We will focus on the case of stably free $\mathbb{Z}G$ -modules S where $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}G}(S) = m - n$ for any $n, m \geq 0$ such that $S \oplus \mathbb{Z}G^n \cong \mathbb{Z}G^m$. Let

$\text{SF}(\mathbb{Z}G) \subseteq P(\mathbb{Z}G)$ denote the subset of the stably free $\mathbb{Z}G$ -modules. If $\ell = \ell_{\text{SF}(\mathbb{Z}G)}$ is the level function on $P(\mathbb{Z}G)$ restricted to $\text{SF}(\mathbb{Z}G)$, then $\ell(S) = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}G}(S) - 1$ for $S \in \text{SF}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ (Proposition 2.1).

1.2.1. *Main result.* Examples of non-free stably free $\mathbb{Z}G$ -modules of rank one have been constructed over various torsion free groups [3, 8, 19, 32] and groups of the form $G = F_n \times H$ for H finite [41, 42, 61]. However, there has previously been no known examples of (a) a non-free stably free $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module of rank ≥ 2 over a group G (see [40, p623], [41, p.xiii]), or (b) a non-free projective $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module of rank ≥ 2 over a torsion free group G (see [4, p2950]). For each $k \geq 2$, Theorem A is the statement that there exists G for which $P(\mathbb{Z}G)$ fails cancellation at level k . Since $G = *_{i=1}^k T$ is torsion free, this gives examples for both (a) and (b) above.

We now state a more detailed and general version of Theorem A, motivated by applications to 2-complexes in Theorem B'. Let $\text{cd}(G)$ denote the cohomological dimension of G and recall that $\mathbb{Z}G$ -modules M, N are *Aut*(G)-isomorphic if $M \cong N_\theta$ are $\mathbb{Z}G$ -isomorphic for some $\theta \in \text{Aut}(G)$, where N_θ is the abelian group N equipped with the G -action $g \cdot x := \theta(g) \cdot_N x$ for $g \in G$ and $x \in N$.

Theorem A'. *For all $k \geq 1$ and $d \geq 2$, there exists a finitely presented group G with $\text{cd}(G) = d$ and infinitely many stably free $\mathbb{Z}G$ -modules S_i of rank k which are distinct up to *Aut*(G)-isomorphism and such that, for all i , $S_i \not\cong S \oplus \mathbb{Z}G$ for any $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module S .*

When $d = 2$, we take $G = *_{i=1}^k T$ where T is the trefoil group. Here the case $k = 1$ was shown by Berridge-Dunwoody [8]. For $d \geq 3$, the groups are constructed by applying the operation

$$G \rightsquigarrow (G * \langle q \mid - \rangle) *_{\langle q=r^2 \rangle} \langle r \mid - \rangle.$$

to $*_{i=1}^k T$ a total of $(d - 2)$ times.

We will now explain the key idea behind our proof in the $d = 2$ case, i.e. when $G = *_{i=1}^k T$. Let \mathbb{F} be a field and let $G = *_{i=1}^k G_i$ be a free product of group. We say that an $\mathbb{F}G$ -module M is *induced* if there exists $\mathbb{F}G_i$ -modules M_i and an $\mathbb{F}G$ -module isomorphism

$$M \cong \iota_{1\#}(M_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \iota_{k\#}(M_k)$$

where $\iota_{i\#}(M_i) = \mathbb{F}G \otimes_{\mathbb{F}G_i} M_i$ is extension of scalars for the inclusion $\iota_i : G_i \hookrightarrow G$. It follows from Bergman's theorem on modules over coproducts of rings [7] that, if M has no $\mathbb{F}G$ summand, then M is uniquely determined by the M_i (Corollary 3.4).

For $G = *_{i=1}^k T$, we will show that the $\mathbb{Z}G$ -modules S_i of Theorem A' are distinct by showing that the corresponding $\mathbb{F}_p[*_{i=1}^k T/T'']$ -modules $\mathbb{F}_p[*_{i=1}^k T/T''] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}G} S_i$ are distinct, where \mathbb{F}_p is the finite field of characteristic p and T'' is the second derived subgroup. This is achieved using Bergman's theorem. To show that the modules have no $\mathbb{F}_p[*_{i=1}^k T/T'']$ summand, we use that the group ring $\mathbb{F}_p[T/T'']$ is stably finite (see Section 3.1) since T/T'' is polycyclic and so is a sofic group [23]. This strategy was proposed by Evans in [24], though an example was never given.

1.2.2. *Cancellation bounds.* If $\mathbb{Z}G$ is Noetherian of Krull dimension d_G and $d = d_G + 1$, then stably free $\mathbb{Z}G$ -modules of rank $\geq d$ are free [6, Chapter IV]. If G is polycyclic-by-finite, then $\mathbb{Z}G$ is Noetherian and it is conjectured that these are the only such groups (see [48, p328]). If $\mathbb{Z}G$ is not Noetherian, then such a bound d can often still be found; for example, if G is a free group, then we can take $d = 0$ [5]. This raises the question of whether, given a group G , there always exist a bound d such that every stably free $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module of rank $\geq d$ is free. We will show that there does not.

Theorem C. *There exists a group G such that, for all $k \geq 1$, there is a non-free stably free $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module of rank k .*

We construct examples over the non-finitely generated group $G = *_{i=1}^\infty T$.

1.3. **Finite 2-complexes.** A *finite 2-complex* will be taken to mean a connected finite 2-dimensional CW-complex. Let G be finitely presented and let $\ell = \ell_{\text{HT}(G)}$. Then $\ell(X) = \chi(X) - \chi_{\min}(G)$ for all $X \in \text{HT}(G)$, where $\chi_{\min}(G) := \min\{\chi(X) : X \in \text{HT}(G)\}$ (Proposition 2.3).

Recall that a finite presentation \mathcal{P} for a group G has an associated *presentation complex* $X_{\mathcal{P}}$ which is a finite 2-complex with $\pi_1(X_{\mathcal{P}}) \cong G$. Conversely, every finite 2-complex X with $\pi_1(X) \cong G$ is homotopy equivalent to $X_{\mathcal{P}}$ for some finite presentation \mathcal{P} for G (see, for example, [35, p61]).

1.3.1. *Stably free modules and 2-complexes.* We will now discuss the link between stably free $\mathbb{Z}G$ -modules and finite 2-complexes. This is the basis for our strategy for proving Theorem B' (see below).

If X is a finite 2-complex with $\pi_1(X) \cong G$, then $\pi_2(X)$ can be viewed as a $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module via the isomorphism $\pi_2(X) \cong \pi_2(\tilde{X})$ and the monodromy action. This has the property that $\pi_2(X \vee S^2) \cong \pi_2(X) \oplus \mathbb{Z}G$ as $\mathbb{Z}G$ -modules. If $\text{gd}(G) = 2$, then $\pi_2(X)$ is a stably free $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module of rank $\ell(X) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ (Proposition 7.4). In particular, there is a level preserving map

$$\pi_2 : \text{HT}(G) \rightarrow \text{SF}(\mathbb{Z}G) \cup \{0\}$$

and $\ell_{\text{SF}(\mathbb{Z}G)}(\pi_2(X)) = \ell_{\text{HT}(G)}(X) - 1$, where we take $\ell_{\text{SF}(\mathbb{Z}G)}(\{0\}) := -1$. The trefoil group T has $\text{gd}(T) = 2$ and it was shown by Dunwoody [20] that $\text{HT}(T)$ fails cancellation at level one using a non-free stably free $\mathbb{Z}T$ -module of rank one [19].

1.3.2. *Main result.* The question of whether, for each $k \geq 2$, there exist a group G such that $\text{HT}(G)$ fails cancellation at level k has been raised in a number of variants (see [22, Problem C] and [35, p124]). Most notably, the following version appeared in the 1979 Problems List of C. T. C. Wall [70]. If such an X exists then, if X_0 is a finite 2-complex such that $\pi_1(X_0) \cong \pi_1(X)$ and $\ell(X_0) = 0$, then X and $X_0 \vee kS^2$ are homotopy distinct finite 2-complexes at level k in $\text{HT}(\pi_1(X))$.

Problem 1.1 (Problem D5 from Wall's list [70]). *For each $k \geq 2$, does there exist a finite 2-complex X such that $\ell(X) = k$ and $X \not\cong Y \vee S^2$ for any finite 2-complex Y ?*

Remark 1.2. Wall's list contains eight problems concerning 2-complexes [70, List D]. Some are classical, some are due to Wall and others were suggested by participants at the 1977 Durham Symposium on Homological Group Theory. Each problem asks whether examples exist which illustrate certain phenomena. The only examples previously found were the finite 2-complexes of Metzler [58] (see also [52]) which are homotopy equivalent but not simple homotopy equivalent, resolving Problem D6. Bestvina-Brady [9] showed that there is a counterexample to the Eilenberg-Ganea conjecture (Problem D4) or the Whitehead conjecture (Problem D7).

Our main result is an affirmative answer to this question for all $k \geq 2$. We will also pursue the following natural generalisation to higher dimensions. For $n \geq 2$, a (G, n) -complex is an n -complex X with $\pi_1(X) \cong G$ and such that the universal cover \tilde{X} is $(n-1)$ -connected. Equivalently, X is the n -skeleton of a $K(G, 1)$. Let $\text{HT}(G, n)$ denote the set of finite (G, n) -complexes up to homotopy. Then $X \rightsquigarrow X \vee S^n$ is a stabilisation (Proposition 2.3).

The natural extension of Problem 1.1 to (G, n) -complexes was considered by Dyer in [21, 22] (see [21, p378]). However, there were still no examples found at level $k \geq 2$. We will show:

Theorem B'. *For all $n \geq 2$ and $k \geq 0$, there exists a group G and infinitely many homotopically distinct finite (G, n) -complexes X_i at level k such that $X_i \not\cong Y \vee S^n$ for any finite (G, n) -complex Y .*

For $n = 2$ and $k \geq 1$, we take $G = *_{i=1}^k T$ and $X_i = \bigvee_{j=1}^k X_{\mathcal{P}_i}$ for $i \geq 1$ where

$$\mathcal{P}_i = \langle x, y, a, b \mid x^2 = y^3, a^2 = b^3, x^{2i+1} = a^{2i+1}, y^{3i+1} = b^{3i+1} \rangle$$

are the presentations of Harlander-Jensen [32]. We prove the X_i are homotopically distinct by showing that the $\mathbb{Z}G$ -modules $\pi_2(X_i)$, which are stably free, coincide with the examples behind Theorem A'. For $k = 0$, we take $G = (T * \langle q \mid - \rangle) *_{\langle q=r^2 \rangle} \langle r \mid - \rangle$ and $X_i = X_{\mathcal{Q}_i}$ where

$$\mathcal{Q}_i = \langle a, b, c \mid a^2 = b^3, [a^2, b^{2i+1}], [a^2, c^{3i+1}] \rangle$$

for the group constructed by Lustig [53]. For $n \geq 3$ and $k \geq 1$, the complexes are constructed from Theorem A' using Wall's theorem on the realisability of chain complexes in dimensions ≥ 3 (see Proposition 7.1). The case $k = 0$ requires a generalisation of the examples of Lustig (see Section 8.2).

In Corollary 8.4, we will point out that Theorem B' shows that, for each $n \geq 2$ and $k \geq 2$, there exists a finitely presented group G such that the syzygies $\Omega_n^G(\mathbb{Z})$ have non-cancellation at level $k \geq 0$. This resolves a problem raised by Johnson in [41, p.xiii].

1.3.3. *Homotopy classification over free products.* The key ingredient in the proofs of Theorems A' and B' is Bergman's theorem on $\mathbb{F}G$ -modules for a field \mathbb{F} and a group $G = *_{i=1}^k G_i$ (see Section 1.2). For a finite 2-complex X with $\pi_1(X) \cong G$, this can be applied to determine the structure of $\pi_2(X) \otimes \mathbb{F}$ as an $\mathbb{F}G$ -module (see Propositions 10.1 and 10.5). This raises the question of whether a general classification of $P(\mathbb{Z}G)$ and $\text{HT}(G)$ can be obtained for $G = *_{i=1}^k G_i$. We will show that it cannot since, in general, we lose information by passing from $\mathbb{Z}G$ -modules to $\mathbb{F}G$ -modules.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $k \geq 2$. Then:*

- (i) *There exists a group $G = *_{i=1}^k G_i$ and a finite 2-complex X with $\pi_1(X) \cong G$ such that $\pi_2(X)$ is not an induced $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module.*
- (ii) *There exists a group $G = *_{i=1}^k G_i$ and a finite 2-complex X with $\pi_1(X) \cong G$ such that $\pi_2(X)$ has two induced module structures*

$$\pi_2(X) \cong \iota_{1\#}(M_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \iota_{k\#}(M_k) \cong \iota_{1\#}(M'_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \iota_{k\#}(M'_k)$$

such that, for each i , M_i and M'_i have no $\mathbb{Z}G_i$ summands and are not $\text{Aut}(G_i)$ -isomorphic.

For (i), we take $G = *_{i=1}^k (\mathbb{Z}/p_i)^2$ for distinct primes p_i and our results are a minor extension of those of Hog-Angeloni–Lustig–Metzler [34]. For (ii), we take $G = *_{i=1}^k (\mathbb{Z}/p_i)^3$ for distinct primes p_i such that $p_i \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. These examples combine ideas from [34] with those of Metzler [57].

1.4. **Smooth 4-manifolds.** A 4-manifold will be assumed to be closed, smooth and connected. Alongside $\mathcal{M}(G)$, we can also consider the set $\mathcal{M}^{\text{Diff}}(G)$ of 4-manifolds M with $\pi_1(M) \cong G$ up to diffeomorphism. Whilst $\mathcal{M}(\{1\})$ has cancellation, the existence of exotic smooth structures on simply connected 4-manifolds shows that $\mathcal{M}^{\text{Diff}}(\{1\})$ fails cancellation. There are only a few examples where cancellation is known to fail for $\mathcal{M}(G)$ (see [44, Sections 5.(4,7,10)]). On the other hand, for each $k \geq 1$, it is currently open whether there exists G such that either $\mathcal{M}(G)$ or $\mathcal{M}^{\text{Diff}}(G)$ fail cancellation at level k . The question for $\mathcal{M}^{\text{Diff}}(G)$ was considered by Kreck [46, p198] and Crowley [12, Problem 10B].

The following is a potential application of stably free $\mathbb{Z}G$ -modules to the unstable classification of 4-manifolds. A $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module S is said to be *geometrically realisable* if there exists a finite 2-complex X such that $\pi_1(X) \cong G$ and $\pi_2(X) \cong S$. Let $S^* = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}G}(S, \mathbb{Z}G)$ denote the dual (see Section 3.4).

Theorem 1.4. *Let G be a finitely presented group such that $\text{gd}(G) = 2$ and suppose there exists a stably free $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module S which is geometrically realisable and such that $S \oplus S^*$ is not a free $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module. Then both $\mathcal{M}^{\text{Diff}}(G)$ and $\mathcal{M}(G)$ fail cancellation at level k .*

Remark 1.5. If G is a finitely presented group such that $\text{gd}(G) = 2$, then every stably free $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module is geometrically realisable if and only if G has the D2 property (see Section 7). In particular, if a stably free $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module S exists such that $S \oplus S^*$ is free, then either G is a counterexample to Wall's D2 problem or both $\mathcal{M}^{\text{Diff}}(G)$ and $\mathcal{M}(G)$ fail cancellation at level k .

We do not know whether or not a stably free $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module exists which satisfies these conditions, or even whether there exists any group G and a stably free $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module S such that $S \oplus S^*$ is non-free (see Problem A5). Such examples would also provide further examples for Theorem A since $S \oplus S^*$ would be a stably free $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module of even rank ≥ 2 . The natural candidates to investigate are the examples of Berridge–Dunwoody (Theorem 5.1) which are geometrically realisable by Harlander–Jenson (Theorem 8.2). The proof of Theorem 1.4 uses the boundary of thickenings construction which, given a finite 2-complex X , assigns a closed smooth 4-manifold $M(X)$ given by the boundary of a smooth regular neighbourhood of an embedding $i : X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^5$ (see Section 11.1).

Organisation of the paper. The paper is organised as follows. In Section 2, we will fill in further details on unstable classification, building upon Section 1.1. Sections 3–5 will be devoted to the proof of Theorem A', Sections 6–8 to the proof of Theorem B' and Section 9 to the proof of Theorem C. In Section 10, we will prove Theorem 1.3. In Section 11 we will explore applications to 4-manifolds, culminating in a proof of Theorem 1.4. Finally, in Section 12, we will propose a number of directions in the study of $P(\mathbb{Z}G)$, $\text{HT}(G)$ and $\mathcal{M}(G)$. In particular, we pose Problems A1–A6 concerning projective

$\mathbb{Z}G$ -modules and Problems B1-B3 concerning finite 2-complexes. We hope that Theorems A, B and C go some way towards convincing the reader that progress on these problems is possible.

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank Martin Dunwoody, Jens Harlander, F. E. A. Johnson and Mark Powell for useful correspondence and a number of helpful comments. This work was supported by EPSRC grant EP/N509577/1 and the Heilbronn Institute for Mathematical Research.

2. PRELIMINARIES ON $P(\mathbb{Z}G)$, $\text{HT}(G, n)$ AND $\mathcal{M}(G)$

The aim of this section will be to establish basic properties of the set $P(\mathbb{Z}G)$ of $\mathbb{Z}G$ -isomorphism classes of finitely generated non-zero projective $\mathbb{Z}G$ -modules, the set $\text{HT}(G, n)$ of homotopy types of finite (G, n) -complexes, and the set $\mathcal{M}(G)$ of homeomorphism classes of closed smooth 4-manifolds M with $\pi_1(M) \cong G$.

Recall from the introduction that, for a set \mathcal{S} , a stabilisation is a function $\Sigma : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ such that $\ell(a) := \sup\{k \geq 0 : \Sigma^n(a) = \Sigma^{n+k}(b) \text{ for some } b \in \mathcal{S}, n \geq 0\} < \infty$ where n, k are integers. We then have a level function $\ell : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ which is surjective and satisfies $\ell(\Sigma(a)) = \ell(a) + 1$ for $a \in \mathcal{S}$. We will write $\ell = \ell_{\mathcal{S}}$ when we want to emphasise the set \mathcal{S} . We let $=_{\text{st}}$ denote the corresponding (reduced) stable equivalence relation, i.e. $a =_{\text{st}} b$ if $\Sigma^n(a) = \Sigma^m(b)$ for some $n, m \geq 0$.

Recall that the rank of a finitely generated projective $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module P , denoted by $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}G}(P)$, is the rank of the free abelian group $P_G = \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}G} P$.

Proposition 2.1. *Let G be a group and let $\ell = \ell_{P(\mathbb{Z}G)}$. Then:*

- (i) $\Sigma : P(\mathbb{Z}G) \rightarrow P(\mathbb{Z}G)$, $P \mapsto P \oplus \mathbb{Z}G$ is a stabilisation, i.e. $\ell(P) < \infty$ for all $P \in P(\mathbb{Z}G)$.
- (ii) For each $P \in P(\mathbb{Z}G)$, we have $\ell(P) = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}G}(P) - \text{rank}_{\min}([P])$ and, for each $c \in \tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}G)$,

$$\text{rank}_{\min}(c) := \min\{\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}G}(P_0) : P_0 \in P(\mathbb{Z}G), [P_0] = c \in \tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}G)\}$$

is the minimal rank of a projective $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module in the class c .

Remark 2.2. If $c = 0$, then $\text{rank}_{\min}(c) = 1$ and so $\ell(P) = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}G}(P) - 1$ for P a stably free $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module. It is not known whether $\text{rank}_{\min}(c) = 1$ for all groups G and all $c \in \tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}G)$ (see Problem A2).

Proof. (i) Recall that, for $P \in P(\mathbb{Z}G)$, we defined:

$$\ell(P) := \sup\{k \geq 0 : P \oplus \mathbb{Z}G^r \cong Q \oplus \mathbb{Z}G^{r+k}, \text{ for some } Q \in P(\mathbb{Z}G), r \geq 0\}.$$

If $P \oplus \mathbb{Z}G^r \cong Q \oplus \mathbb{Z}G^{r+k}$, then $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}G}(P) = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}G}(Q) + k$ and so $k \leq \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}G}(P)$. In particular, we have $\ell(P) \leq \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}G}(P) < \infty$.

(ii) Let $P \in P(\mathbb{Z}G)$. Since $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}G}(P \oplus \mathbb{Z}G) = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}G}(P) + 1$, we have that $\ell(P) - \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}G}(P)$ is invariant under the operation $P \mapsto P \oplus \mathbb{Z}G$ and so is a function of the class $c = [P] \in \tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}G)$. Let $P_0 \in P(\mathbb{Z}G)$ be such that $[P_0] = c$ and $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}G}(P_0) = \text{rank}_{\min}(c)$, where the minimal value exists since it is bounded below by 0. Since $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}G}(\cdot)$ is minimal at P_0 , and $\ell(\cdot) - \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}G}(\cdot)$ is constant on c , it follows that $\ell(\cdot)$ is minimal at P_0 , i.e. $\ell(P_0) = 0$. Hence $\ell(P) - \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}G}(P) = -\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}G}(P_0) = -\text{rank}_{\min}(c)$. \square

For $n \geq 2$ and X a finite (G, n) -complex, define the *directed Euler characteristic* $\chi(X) = (-1)^n \chi(X)$.

Proposition 2.3. *Let $n \geq 2$, let G be a group of type F_n and let $\ell = \ell_{\text{HT}(G, n)}$. Then:*

- (i) $\Sigma : \text{HT}(G, n) \rightarrow \text{HT}(G, n)$, $X \mapsto X \vee S^n$ is a stabilisation, i.e. $\ell(X) < \infty$ for all $X \in \text{HT}(G, n)$.
- (ii) For each $X \in \text{HT}(G, n)$, we have $\ell(X) = \chi(X) - \chi_{\min}(G, n)$ where

$$\chi_{\min}(G, n) := \min\{\chi(X) : X \in \text{HT}(G, n)\}$$

is the minimal directed Euler characteristic in $\text{HT}(G, n)$, which always exists.

Proof. (i) Recall that, for $X \in \text{HT}(G, n)$, we defined:

$$\ell(X) := \sup\{k \geq 0 : X \vee rS^n \simeq Y \vee (r+k)S^n, \text{ for some } Y \in \text{HT}(G, n), r \geq 0\}.$$

If $X \vee rS^n \simeq Y \vee (r+k)S^n$, then $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}}(H_n(X)) = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}}(H_n(Y)) + k$ and so $k \leq \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}}(H_n(X))$.

(ii) This is analogous to the proof of Proposition 2.1 (ii) and so will be omitted for brevity. \square

Proposition 2.4. *Let G be a finitely presented group and let $\ell = \ell_{\mathcal{M}(G)}$. Then:*

- (i) $\Sigma : \mathcal{M}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(G)$, $M \mapsto M \# (S^2 \times S^2)$ is a stabilisation, i.e. $\ell(M) < \infty$ for all $M \in \mathcal{M}(G)$.
- (ii) For each $M \in \mathcal{M}(G)$, we have $\ell(M) = \frac{1}{2}(\chi(M) - \chi_{\min}([M]))$ where, for $c \in \mathcal{M}(G)/\cong_{\text{st}}$,

$$\chi_{\min}(c) := \min\{\chi(M) : M \in c\}$$

is the minimal Euler characteristic of a 4-manifold in c , which always exists.

Remark 2.5. The same holds with $\mathcal{M}(G)$ replaced by $\mathcal{M}^{\text{Diff}}(G)$.

Proof. (i) Recall that, for $M \in \mathcal{M}(G)$, we defined:

$$\ell(M) := \sup\{k \geq 0 : M \# r(S^2 \times S^2) \cong N \# (r+k)(S^2 \times S^2), \text{ for some } N \in \mathcal{M}(G), r \geq 0\}.$$

If $M \# r(S^2 \times S^2) \cong N \# (r+k)(S^2 \times S^2)$, then $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}}(H_2(M)) = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}}(H_2(N)) + 2k$. This implies that $k \leq \frac{1}{2} \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}}(H_2(M))$.

- (ii) This is analogous to the proof of Proposition 2.1 (ii) and so will be omitted for brevity. \square

3. PRELIMINARIES ON RG -MODULES

Let G be a group, let R be a ring and let RG denote the group ring of G with coefficients in R . We will now develop the necessary preliminaries on RG -modules.

3.1. Stably free RG -modules. For a ring R , a finitely generated (left) R -module S is *stably free* if there exists n, m such that $S \oplus R^n \cong R^m$. In order to have a well-defined notion of rank, certain conditions on R must be imposed:

- (I) For all n, m , $R^n \cong R^m$ implies $n = m$ (*invariant basis number property*)
- (II) For all n, m , $S \oplus R^n \cong R^m$ implies $n \leq m$ (*surjective rank property*)
- (III) For all n , $S \oplus R^n \cong R^n$ implies $S = 0$ (*stable finiteness property*)

Suppose R satisfies (I). If S is a stably free R -module, then we can define the *rank* of S to be $\text{rank}(S) = m - n$ for any n, m such that $S \oplus R^n \cong R^m$. If R satisfies (II), then $\text{rank}(S) \geq 0$ for all S . If R satisfies (III), then $S \neq 0$ implies that $\text{rank}(S) \geq 1$.

It is straightforward to see that (III) \Rightarrow (II) \Rightarrow (I). Conversely, examples were given by Cohn [17] to show that $(R \neq 0) \not\Rightarrow$ (I) $\not\Rightarrow$ (II) $\not\Rightarrow$ (III). Rings which satisfy (III) are also known as weakly finite and satisfy the equivalent condition that, for all n , one-sided inverses in $M_n(R)$ are two-sided, i.e. $uv = 1$ if and only if $vu = 1$.

We would now like to determine when conditions (I)-(III) hold for RG . The following is a consequence of [17, Proposition 2.4, Theorem 2.6].

Proposition 3.1. *Let R be a commutative ring and let G be a group. Then RG has the surjective rank property, and hence also the invariant basis number property.*

It remains to determine when RG is stably finite. It was shown by Kaplansky [43] that, if \mathbb{F} is a field of characteristic 0, then $\mathbb{F}G$ is stably finite for all groups G . This implies that $\mathbb{Z}G$ is stably finite since $\mathbb{Z}G \subseteq \mathbb{Q}G$. Kaplansky conjectured that this holds for all fields \mathbb{F} , but this remains open.

The best result for general fields \mathbb{F} is the following theorem of Elek-Szabó [23], which built upon earlier work of Ara, O'Meara and Perera [2, Theorem 3.4].

Theorem 3.2. *Let \mathbb{F} be a field and let G be a sofic group. Then $\mathbb{F}G$ is stably finite.*

For a definition of sofic, see [23, p430]. For our purposes, it suffices to note that $G = 1$ is sofic and that sofic groups are closed under direct/free products, direct/inverse limits, subgroups, and that the extension of an amenable group (see [2, p227]) by a sofic group is sofic. There is no known example of a non-sofic group.

All groups which will be considered in this article are sofic. We can therefore assume, when needed, that non-trivial stably free $\mathbb{F}G$ -modules have $\text{rank} \geq 1$.

3.2. RG -modules over free products. Fix groups G_1, \dots, G_n , let $G = *_{k=1}^n G_k$ denote the free product and let $\iota_k : G_k \hookrightarrow G$ denote the inclusion map for each k .

Let R be a ring. If M_k is an RG_k -module, then $\iota_{k\#}(M_k) = RG \otimes_{RG_k} M_k$ is an RG -module. We say that an RG -module M is *induced* if there exists RG_k -modules M_k and an RG -module isomorphism

$$M \cong \iota_{1\#}(M_1) \oplus \dots \oplus \iota_{n\#}(M_n).$$

We now define two special types of map between induced RG -modules. Firstly, if $M = \bigoplus_{k=1}^n \iota_{k\#}(M_k)$ and $M' = \bigoplus_{k=1}^n \iota_{k\#}(M'_k)$ are induced RG -modules, then an RG -module homomorphism $f : M \rightarrow M'$ is called an *induced homomorphism* if there exists RG_k -module homomorphisms $f_k : M_k \rightarrow M'_k$ such that $f = \bigoplus_{k=1}^n \iota_{k*}(f_k)$.

Now, let $M = \bigoplus_{k=1}^n \iota_{k\#}(M_k)$ be an induced RG -module and suppose there exists a for which $M_a \cong M'_a \oplus RG_a$ for some RG_a -module M'_a . Then, for any $b \neq a$, there is an isomorphism

$$f_{a,b} : \iota_{a\#}(M'_a \oplus RG_a) \oplus \iota_{b\#}(M_b) \rightarrow \iota_{a\#}(M'_a) \oplus \iota_{b\#}(M_b \oplus RG_b)$$

induced by $\iota_{a\#}(RG_a) \cong RG \cong \iota_{b\#}(RG_b)$. We define a *free transfer isomorphism* on a, b to be the isomorphism $F_{a,b} : M \rightarrow M'$ which extends $f_{a,b}$ by the identity map on the other components and where

$$M' = \iota_{1\#}(M_1) \oplus \dots \oplus \iota_{a\#}(M'_a) \oplus \dots \oplus \iota_{b\#}(M_b \oplus RG_b) \oplus \dots \oplus \iota_{n\#}(M_n).$$

The following can be viewed as a special case of Bergman's theorem on modules over coproducts of rings [7]. We now restrict to the case where $R = \mathbb{F}$ is a field.

Theorem 3.3 (Bergman). *Let M be a finitely generated induced $\mathbb{F}G$ -module. Then:*

- (i) *If $M' \subseteq M$ is a submodule, then M' is an induced $\mathbb{F}G$ -module.*
- (ii) *If M' is an induced $\mathbb{F}G$ -module, then $M \cong M'$ if and only if they are connected by a sequence of induced isomorphisms and free transfer isomorphisms.*

For the convenience of the reader, we will briefly outline how this can be deduced from Bergman's results. Here we will use the terminology from [7, p1-4].

Proof (outline). Firstly, note that $\mathbb{F}G$ is the coproduct of the \mathbb{F} -rings $\mathbb{F}G_k$ which are faithful since they come equipped with natural injections $\iota_k : \mathbb{F}G_k \hookrightarrow \mathbb{F}G$.

Part (i) follows immediately from [7, Theorem 2.2]. For part (ii), suppose $f : M \rightarrow M'$ is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{F}G$ -modules. By [7, Theorem 2.3], and the remark on [7, p3], f is the composition of induced isomorphisms, free transfer isomorphisms and transvections. Since transvections are module automorphisms, omitting them from the composition still leaves an isomorphism of $\mathbb{F}G$ -modules. \square

Corollary 3.4. *Let $M = \bigoplus_{k=1}^n \iota_{k\#}(M_k)$ be a finitely generated induced $\mathbb{F}G$ -module and suppose each M_k has no direct summand of the form $\mathbb{F}G_k$. Then:*

- (i) *If $M' = \bigoplus_{k=1}^n \iota_{k\#}(M'_k)$ is an induced $\mathbb{F}G$ -module, then $M \cong M'$ as $\mathbb{F}G$ -modules if and only if $M_k \cong M'_k$ as $\mathbb{F}G_k$ -modules for all k .*
- (ii) *M has no direct summand of the form $\mathbb{F}G$.*

Proof. Part (i) follows from Theorem 3.3 (ii) since, if the M_k have no direct summands of the form $\mathbb{F}G_k$, then there are no free transfer isomorphisms by definition.

To see part (ii) note that, if $M \cong M' \oplus \mathbb{F}G$, then $M' \subseteq M$ is a submodule and so is an induced $\mathbb{F}G$ -module by Theorem 3.3 (i). If $M' = \bigoplus_{k=1}^n \iota_{k\#}(M'_k)$, then $M \cong \iota_{1\#}(M'_1 \oplus \mathbb{F}G_1) \oplus \bigoplus_{k=2}^n \iota_{k\#}(M'_k)$ which contradicts the result from (i). \square

3.3. RG -modules up to $\text{Aut}(G)$ -isomorphism. If M is an RG -module and $\theta \in \text{Aut}(G)$, then we can define M_θ to be the RG -module with the same underlying R -module as M but with G -action given by $g \cdot_{M_\theta} m = \theta(g) \cdot m$ for $g \in G$ and $m \in M$. We say that RG -modules M and M' are *$\text{Aut}(G)$ -isomorphic* if $M \cong (M')_\theta$ are isomorphic as RG -modules for some $\theta \in \text{Aut}(G)$. This is equivalent to the existence of a θ -isomorphism $f : M \rightarrow M'$ which is an R -module isomorphism for which $f(g \cdot m) = \theta(g) \cdot f(m)$ for $g \in G$ and $m \in M$.

This has a number of basic properties. In particular, if M and M' are RG -modules and $\theta \in \text{Aut}(G)$, then $(M \oplus M')_\theta \cong M_\theta \oplus (M')_\theta$, and $(RG)_\theta \cong RG$ for all $\theta \in \text{Aut}(G)$.

Recall that a subgroup $N \subseteq G$ is *characteristic* if $\theta(N) = N$ for all $\theta \in \text{Aut}(G)$. We also say that a surjective map $f : G \twoheadrightarrow H$ is characteristic if $\text{Ker}(f) \subseteq G$ is characteristic and, if so, then there is an induced map $\bar{\cdot} : \text{Aut}(G) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(H)$.

The following is straightforward (see, for example, [59, Corollary 7.4]).

Proposition 3.5. *Let G be a group, let $f : G \twoheadrightarrow H$ be characteristic and let $\bar{\cdot} : \text{Aut}(G) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(H)$ be the map induced by f . If M is an RG module and $\theta \in \text{Aut}(G)$, then $f_\#(M_\theta) \cong (f_\#(M))_{\bar{\theta}}$ are isomorphic as RH -modules.*

The following will be of use in applying Proposition 3.5 to the case where G is a free product. We say that a group G is *indecomposable* if it is non-trivial and $G \cong G_1 * G_2$ implies G_1 or G_2 is trivial.

Proposition 3.6. *Let $G = G_1 * \cdots * G_n$ where each G_k is indecomposable and not infinite cyclic. For each k , let $f_k : G_k \twoheadrightarrow H_k$ be characteristic and such that, if $G_i \cong G_j$, then $H_i \cong H_j$ and f_i, f_j differ by automorphisms of G_i, H_i .*

*If $f : G \twoheadrightarrow H_1 * \cdots * H_n$ is the map with $f|_{G_k} = f_k$, then f is characteristic.*

Our proof will be a routine application of the following version of the Kurosh subgroup theorem [56, Theorem 5.1].

Theorem 3.7 (Kurosh subgroup theorem). *Let $G = G_1 * \cdots * G_n$. If $H \subseteq G$ is a subgroup, then*

$$H = F(X) * (*_{k=1}^n g_k H_k g_k^{-1})$$

where $F(X)$ is the free group on a set X , $H_k \subseteq G_k$ is a subgroup and $g_k \in G$.

Proof of Proposition 3.6. Let $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(G)$. Then $\varphi(G_k) \subseteq G$ is indecomposable and not infinite cyclic and so, by the Kurosh subgroup theorem, we have $\varphi(G_k) = g_{i_k} H_{i_k} g_{i_k}^{-1}$ for some subgroup $H_{i_k} \subseteq G_{i_k}$. Since φ is an automorphism, we have:

$$G = *_{k=1}^n (g_{i_k} H_{i_k} g_{i_k}^{-1}) \subseteq *_{k=1}^n (g_{i_k} G_{i_k} g_{i_k}^{-1}) \subseteq *_{k=1}^n (g_k G_k g_k^{-1}) = G$$

which implies that $H_{i_k} = G_{i_k}$ and that the i_k are distinct.

Let $N_k = \text{Ker}(f_k) \subseteq G_k$ and note that $N = \text{Ker}(f)$ is generated by the subgroups $g N_k g^{-1}$ for $g \in G$. If $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(G)$, then the above implies that $\varphi|_{G_k} = c_{g_{i_k}} \circ \varphi_{i_k}$ where $\varphi_{i_k} : G_i \rightarrow G_{i_k}$ is an isomorphism and $c_{g_{i_k}} : G_{i_k} \rightarrow G$ is conjugation by g_{i_k} . Since f_i, f_{i_k} differ by automorphisms of G_i, G_{i_k} , we have $\varphi_{i_k}(N_i) = \varphi_{i_k}(N_{i_k})$ for some $\varphi_{i_k} \in \text{Aut}(G_{i_k})$ and so $\varphi_{i_k}(N_i) = N_{i_k}$ since N_{i_k} is characteristic. Hence $\varphi(g N_k g^{-1}) = (g g_{i_k}) N_{i_k} (g g_{i_k})^{-1} \subseteq N$ and so N is characteristic. \square

3.4. Duals of RG -modules. We will make use of the following in Section 11 on the unstable classification of 4-manifolds. Recall that RG comes equipped with an involution

$$\bar{\cdot} : RG \rightarrow RG, \quad \sum_{i=1}^r n_i g_i \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^r n_i g_i^{-1}$$

where $n_i \in R$, $g_i \in G$. This is an anti-isomorphism of rings.

If M is a (left) RG -module, then define $M^* = \text{Hom}_{RG}(M, RG)$ to be the (left) RG -module with RG -action given by letting

$$(\lambda\varphi) : m \mapsto \varphi(m)\bar{\lambda}$$

for $\lambda \in RG$ and $\varphi \in M^*$. This satisfies a number of basic properties such as that $(RG^n)^* \cong RG^n$ as RG -modules. The following facts about duals of projective RG -modules are standard. Part (ii) says that projective modules are *reflexive*.

Proposition 3.8. *Let P be a finitely generated projective RG -module. Then:*

- (i) P^* is a finitely generated projective RG -module
- (ii) The evaluation map $\text{ev}_P : P \rightarrow P^{**}$, $x \mapsto (\varphi \mapsto \varphi(x))$ is an isomorphism of RG -modules.

Proof. (i) If $P \oplus Q \cong RG^n$, then $P^* \oplus Q^* \cong (RG^n)^* \cong RG^n$.

(ii) If $P \oplus Q \cong RG^n$, then $\text{ev}_{RG^n} = \text{ev}_P \oplus \text{ev}_Q$ so ev_P is an isomorphism since ev_{RG^n} is. \square

We will now prove that dualising commutes with the action of $\text{Aut}(G)$ defined in Section 3.3.

Proposition 3.9. *Let M be an RG -module and let $\theta \in \text{Aut}(G)$. Then there is an isomorphism of RG -modules*

$$(M_\theta)^* \cong (M^*)_\theta.$$

In particular, if RG -modules M and N are $\text{Aut}(G)$ -isomorphic, then M^ and N^* are $\text{Aut}(G)$ -isomorphic.*

Proof. For each $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(G)$, there is an isomorphism of RG -modules given by

$$\alpha_* : RG \rightarrow RG_\alpha, \quad \sum_{i=1}^r n_i g_i \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^r n_i \alpha(g_i)$$

where $n_i \in R$ and $g_i \in G$. Given this, define

$$f : M^* \rightarrow (M_\theta)^*, \quad \varphi \mapsto (\theta^{-1})_* \circ \varphi$$

where we are viewing $\varphi : M \rightarrow RG$ as an RG -homomorphism $\varphi : M_\theta \rightarrow RG_\theta$.

We claim that f is a θ^{-1} -isomorphism. This gives the desired result since it implies that $M^* \cong ((M_\theta)^*)_{\theta^{-1}}$ and so $(M^*)_\theta \cong (M_\theta)^*$ by applying $(\cdot)_\theta$ to both sides. Firstly, it is clear that this is an R -module homomorphism, and is an isomorphism with inverse given by post-composing with θ_* . For $g \in G$, $\varphi \in M^*$ and $m \in M$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(g \cdot \varphi)(m) &= (\theta^{-1})_*(\varphi(m)g^{-1}) = ((\theta^{-1})_* \circ \varphi)(m)(\theta^{-1}(g))^{-1} \\ &= (\theta^{-1}(g) \cdot ((\theta^{-1})_* \circ \varphi))(m) = (\theta^{-1}(g) \cdot f(\varphi))(m) \end{aligned}$$

and so $f(g \cdot \varphi) = \theta^{-1}(g) \cdot f(\varphi)$, as required. \square

4. GROUPS OF FINITE COHOMOLOGICAL DIMENSION

We will now recall some basic facts about groups with finite cohomological dimension which are due to Serre [63]. A standard reference is the notes of Bieri [10].

A group G has *cohomological dimension* n , written $\text{cd}(G) = n$, if n is the smallest integer for which there exists a projective resolution of $\mathbb{Z}G$ -modules of the form:

$$0 \rightarrow P_n \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0.$$

This is equivalent to asking that $H^i(G; M) = 0$ for all $i > n$ and all $\mathbb{Z}G$ -modules M [10, Proposition 5.1(a)]. If no such n exists, then we take $\text{cd}(G) = \infty$.

A group G is said to be *of type FL* if, for some $n \geq 0$, there exists a resolution of finitely generated free $\mathbb{Z}G$ -modules of the form:

$$0 \rightarrow F_n \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$$

The following is [10, Propositions 1.5, 4.1(b)].

Proposition 4.1. *Let G be a group with $\text{cd}(G) = n$. If G is of type FL, then there exists a resolution of finitely generated free $\mathbb{Z}G$ -modules of the form:*

$$0 \rightarrow F_n \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0.$$

We now recall how these conditions are related under amalgamated free products and direct products. The following is [10, Proposition 2.13(a), Proposition 6.1].

Lemma 4.2. *Let $G = G_1 *_H G_2$ for groups G_1, G_2 with a common subgroup H .*

- (i) *If G_1, G_2 are of type FL and H is of type FL, then G is of type FL*
- (ii) *If $n = \max\{\text{cd}(G_1), \text{cd}(G_2)\} < \infty$ and $\text{cd}(H) < n$, then $\text{cd}(G) = n$.*

The following is a consequence of more general results on group extensions which can be found in [10, Proposition 2.7, Theorem 5.5].

Lemma 4.3. *Let $G = G_1 \times G_2$ for groups G_1, G_2 .*

- (i) *If G_1, G_2 are of type FL, then G is of type FL*
- (ii) *If $\text{cd}(G_1), \text{cd}(G_2) < \infty$, G_1 is of type FL and $H^n(G_1; \mathbb{Z}G_1)$ is \mathbb{Z} -free for $n = \text{cd}(G_1)$, then $\text{cd}(G) = \text{cd}(G_1) + \text{cd}(G_2)$.*

We will now give a construction of groups which will be the basis for our examples in Theorem A' in the case $d \geq 3$. This is inspired by a construction of Lustig [53].

Let G be a group and let $m \geq 2$ be an integer. Then define

$$G_+ = (G * \langle r \mid - \rangle) / [r^m, G],$$

which is isomorphic to $(G \times \langle q \mid - \rangle) *_{\langle q=r^m \rangle} \langle r \mid - \rangle$. For integers $m_1, \dots, m_{n-1} \geq 2$, we can define $G_{(n)}$ inductively by letting $G_{(1)} = G$ and $G_{(i+1)} = (G_{(i)})_+$ for $i \geq 1$. We will label the new generator by r_i . The choice of $m_i \geq 2$ will not matter for the purposes of this article; it suffices to consider the case $m_i = 2$.

Let $\iota : G \rightarrow G_{(n)}$ be the composition of the natural maps $G_{(i)} \rightarrow G_{(i+1)}$ and let $f : G_{(n)} \rightarrow G$ be the map which sends $r_i \mapsto 1$ for each i . We have that $f \circ \iota = \text{id}_G$ and so ι is injective, f is surjective and G is a retract of $G_{(n)}$.

Proposition 4.4. *Let $n \geq 1$ and let G be a finitely presented group of type FL with $\text{cd}(G) = d$. Then:*

- (i) *$G_{(n)}$ is a finitely presented group of type FL with $\text{cd}(G_{(n)}) = n + d - 1$*
- (ii) *The map $f : G_{(n)} \rightarrow G$ is characteristic.*

In order to prove this, we will first need the following lemma. The proof is identical to the one given in [53, p174].

Lemma 4.5. *Let G be a torsion free group and let $G_+ = (G \times \langle q \mid - \rangle) *_{\langle q=r^m \rangle} \langle r \mid - \rangle$ for some $m \geq 2$. Then the map $f : G_+ \rightarrow G$ which sends $r \mapsto 1$ is characteristic.*

Proof of Proposition 4.4. It is clear that $G_{(n)}$ is finitely presented. We now prove (i) by induction, noting that it is trivial in the case $n = 1$.

Suppose (i) holds for n and note that $G_{(n+1)} \cong (G_{(n)} \times \mathbb{Z}) *_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}$. It is well known that $K(\mathbb{Z}, 1) \simeq S^1$ and so \mathbb{Z} is of type FL, $\text{cd}(\mathbb{Z}) = 1$ and $H^1(\mathbb{Z}; \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}]) = 0$. By Lemma 4.3, $G_{(n)} \times \mathbb{Z}$ is of type FL and $\text{cd}(G_{(n)} \times \mathbb{Z}) = n + d$. By Lemma 4.2, this implies that $G_{(n+1)}$ is of type FL and $\text{cd}(G_{(n+1)}) = n + d$ as required.

Since $\text{cd}(G_{(n)}) < \infty$, $G_{(n)}$ is torsion free for all n [10, Proposition 4.11]. By Lemma 4.5, this implies that the map $f_{i+1} : G_{(i+1)} \rightarrow G_{(i)}$, $r_{i+1} \mapsto 1$ is characteristic for all $i \geq 1$. Hence $f = f_n \circ f_{n-1} \circ \dots \circ f_2$ is characteristic by composition. \square

5. PROOF OF THEOREM A'

Recall that the trefoil group T is defined as $\pi_1(S^3 \setminus N(K))$ where $N(K)$ is the knot exterior of the trefoil knot $K \subseteq S^3$. It has presentation $\mathcal{P} = \langle x, y \mid x^2 = y^3 \rangle$.

Let T'' denote the second derived subgroup of T , i.e. $T'' = (T')'$, and let $f : T \rightarrow T/T''$ be the quotient map. Note that T/T'' is polycyclic since $(T/T'')' \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$ and $(T/T'')/(T/T'')' \cong \mathbb{Z}$. The following was shown by P. H. Berridge and M. J. Dunwoody [8], building upon previously work of Dunwoody [20].

Theorem 5.1 (Berridge-Dunwoody). *There exists infinitely many rank one stably free $\mathbb{Z}T$ -modules S_i for $i \geq 1$ such that:*

- (i) $S_i \oplus \mathbb{Z}T \cong \mathbb{Z}T^2$.
- (ii) *There exists distinct primes p_i for which $\mathbb{F}_{p_i} \otimes f_{\#}(S_j) \cong \mathbb{F}_{p_i}[T/T'']$ are isomorphic as $\mathbb{F}_{p_i}[T/T'']$ -modules if and only if $i = j$.*

In particular, the S_i are distinct up to $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module isomorphism.

Remark 5.2. For $i \geq 0$, let $M_i = \text{Ker}\left(\cdot \begin{pmatrix} x^{2i+1} & -1 \\ y^{3i+1} & -1 \end{pmatrix} : \mathbb{Z}T^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}T\right)$ be the relation module for the generating set $\{x^{2i+1}, y^{3i+1}\}$, which is a stably free $\mathbb{Z}T$ -module of rank one. It was shown in [8] that

$\mathbb{F}_p \otimes f_{\#}(M_i) \cong \mathbb{F}_p[T/T'']$ as $\mathbb{F}_p[T/T'']$ -modules if and only if $p \mid i(i+1)$. There exists integers ℓ_i for $i \geq 1$ and primes p_i such that $p_i \mid \ell_j(\ell_j + 1)$ if and only if $i = j$, and so we can take $S_i = M_{\ell_i}$ in Theorem 5.1. It is not known whether or not the M_i are all distinct up to $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module isomorphism.

For the rest of this section, fix $k \geq 1$ and $n \geq 1$. Let $G = T_1 * \cdots * T_k$ where $T_j \cong T$ is the trefoil group and let $G_{(n)}$ be as defined in Section 4. Since T is a knot group, T has type FL and $\text{cd}(T) = 2$ [13, p212]. By Lemma 4.2 and Proposition 4.4, this implies that $G_{(n)}$ has type FL and $\text{cd}(G_{(n)}) = n + 1$. The aim of the rest of this section will be to prove the following theorem which implies Theorem A'.

Theorem 5.3. *For each $n \geq 1$ and $1 \leq m \leq k$, there exists infinitely many stably free $\mathbb{Z}G_{(n)}$ -modules \widehat{S}_i for $i \geq 1$ such that:*

- (i) $\widehat{S}_i \oplus \mathbb{Z}G_{(n)} \cong \mathbb{Z}G_{(n)}^{m+1}$.
- (ii) \widehat{S}_i has no direct summand of the form $\mathbb{Z}G_{(n)}$.
- (iii) The \widehat{S}_i for $i \geq 1$ are distinct up to $\text{Aut}(G_{(n)})$ -isomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}G_{(n)}$ -modules.

Note that the case $m = k$ is sufficient to establish Theorem A'. This result shows that the tree of stably free $\mathbb{Z}G_{(n)}$ -modules has branching at all ranks $1 \leq m \leq k$. We do not know whether branching occurs at ranks $\geq k + 1$, even in the case $G = T$.

In order to prove Theorem 5.3, we will begin with the following lemma.

Lemma 5.4. *Let $f_j : T_j \rightarrow T_j/(T_j)''$ be the quotient maps and let*

$$f : G \rightarrow (T_1/T_1'') * \cdots * (T_k/T_k'')$$

be the map induced by the f_j . Then f is characteristic.

Proof. For any group G , it is well known that $G' \subseteq G$ is characteristic and so $G'' \subseteq G$ is characteristic also. Hence f_j is characteristic for each j . Since T is indecomposable and not infinite cyclic, f is characteristic by Proposition 3.6. \square

For simplicity, we will begin by proving Theorem 5.3 in the case $n = 1$, i.e. where $G_{(n)} = G$. From now on, fix $1 \leq m \leq k$. For integers i_1, \dots, i_m , define

$$S_{i_1, \dots, i_m} = \iota_{1\#}(S_{i_1}) \oplus \cdots \oplus \iota_{m\#}(S_{i_m})$$

where $\iota_j : T_j \hookrightarrow G$ is the inclusion map. We will now prove the following as a consequence of Bergman's theorem, which we will apply by using Corollary 3.4.

Proposition 5.5. *For integers i_1, \dots, i_m , we have:*

- (i) $S_{i_1, \dots, i_m} \oplus \mathbb{Z}G \cong \mathbb{Z}G^{m+1}$.
- (ii) S_{i_1, \dots, i_m} has no direct summand of the form $\mathbb{Z}G$.
- (iii) If $S_{i_1, \dots, i_m} \cong S_{i'_1, \dots, i'_m}$ are $\text{Aut}(G)$ -isomorphic as $\mathbb{Z}G$ -modules then, as sets, we have $\{i_1, \dots, i_m\} = \{i'_1, \dots, i'_m\}$.

Proof. Part (i) is a straightforward consequence of Theorem 5.1 (i).

Let $\bar{G} = *_{j=1}^n T_j/T_j''$ and let $\bar{\iota}_j : T_j/T_j'' \hookrightarrow \bar{G}$ be inclusion. By Theorem 5.1 (ii), there exists p such that $\mathbb{F}_p \otimes f_{j\#}(S_{i_j}) \not\cong \mathbb{F}_p[T_j/T_j'']$ for all j . Fix p and note that:

$$\mathbb{F}_p \otimes f_{\#}(S_{i_1, \dots, i_m}) \cong \bigoplus_{j=1}^m \mathbb{F}_p \otimes (f \circ \iota_j)_{\#}(S_{i_j}) \cong \bigoplus_{j=1}^m \bar{\iota}_{j\#}(\mathbb{F}_p \otimes f_{j\#}(S_{i_j}))$$

is an induced $\mathbb{F}_p \bar{G}$ module. In order to show that Corollary 3.4 applies, it remains to show that $\mathbb{F}_p \otimes f_{j\#}(S_{i_j})$ has no direct summand of the form $\mathbb{F}_p[T_j/T_j'']$.

If $\mathbb{F}_p \otimes f_{j\#}(S_{i_j}) \cong S \oplus \mathbb{F}_p[T_j/T_j'']$, then $S \oplus \mathbb{F}_p[T_j/T_j'']^2 \cong \mathbb{F}_p[T_j/T_j'']^2$. Since T_j/T_j'' is polycyclic, it is amenable and so sofic. By Theorem 3.2, $\mathbb{F}_p[T_j/T_j'']$ is stably finite and so $S = 0$. Hence $\mathbb{F}_p \otimes f_{j\#}(S_{i_j}) \cong \mathbb{F}_p[T_j/T_j'']$, which is a contradiction.

To show (ii) note that, if S_{i_1, \dots, i_m} has a direct summand $\mathbb{Z}G$, then $\mathbb{F}_p \otimes f_{\#}(S_{i_1, \dots, i_m})$ has a direct summand $\mathbb{F}_p \bar{G}$. This contradicts Corollary 3.4 (ii).

To show (iii), suppose that $\{i_1, \dots, i_m\} \neq \{i'_1, \dots, i'_m\}$ as sets. By symmetry, we can assume that there exists $i'_r \notin \{i_1, \dots, i_m\}$. Let $p = p_{i'_r}$ in the notation of Theorem 5.1. By the argument above, $\mathbb{F}_p \otimes f_{\#}(S_{i_1, \dots, i_m})$ has no direct summand of the form $\mathbb{F}_p \bar{G}$. On the other hand, $\mathbb{F}_p \otimes f_{r\#}(S_{i'_r}) \cong \mathbb{F}_p[T_r/T_r'']$ which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{F}_p \otimes f_{\#}(S_{i'_1, \dots, i'_m}) &\cong \bigoplus_{j=1, j \neq r}^m \bar{\nu}_{j\#}(\mathbb{F}_p \otimes f_{j\#}(S_{i_j})) \oplus \mathbb{F}_p \bar{G} \\ &\cong \bigoplus_{j=1, j \neq r}^{m-1} \bar{\nu}_{j\#}(\mathbb{F}_p \otimes f_{j\#}(S_{i_j})) \oplus \mathbb{F}_p \bar{G}^2 \cong \dots \cong \mathbb{F}_p \bar{G}^m. \end{aligned}$$

If $S_{i_1, \dots, i_m} \cong S_{i'_1, \dots, i'_m}$ are $\text{Aut}(G)$ -isomorphic, then $S_{i_1, \dots, i_m} \cong (S_{i'_1, \dots, i'_m})_{\theta}$ for some $\theta \in \text{Aut}(G)$. By Lemma 5.4, f is characteristic and so, by Proposition 3.5, $f_{\#}((S_{i'_1, \dots, i'_m})_{\theta}) \cong (f_{\#}(S_{i'_1, \dots, i'_m}))_{\bar{\theta}}$ for some $\bar{\theta} \in \text{Aut}(\bar{G})$. In particular, we have:

$$\mathbb{F}_p \otimes f_{\#}(S_{i_1, \dots, i_m}) \cong (\mathbb{F}_p \otimes f_{\#}(S_{i'_1, \dots, i'_m}))_{\bar{\theta}} \cong (\mathbb{F}_p \bar{G}^m)_{\bar{\theta}} \cong \mathbb{F}_p \bar{G}^m$$

which is a contradiction. \square

Proof of Theorem 5.3. Let $\iota : G \hookrightarrow G_{(n)}$ and $f : G_{(n)} \rightarrow G$ be as defined in Section 4. This satisfies $f \circ \iota = \text{id}_G$ and, by Proposition 4.4, f is characteristic. Define $\widehat{S}_i = \iota_{\#}(\widehat{S}_{i_1, \dots, i_m})$, where $i_j = i$ for all j . By Proposition 5.5, it is now straightforward to check that the \widehat{S}_i has the required properties. \square

We conclude this section with extended remarks on Theorem A' and Theorem 5.3.

5.0.1. *Relation modules.* By Remark 5.2, S_i is the relation module for the generating set $\{x^{2\ell_i+1}, y^{3\ell_i+1}\}$ of T . It follows that S_{i_1, \dots, i_m} is the relation module for the generating set $\{x_i^{2\ell_i+1}, y_i^{3\ell_i+1}\}_{i=1}^m$ of $G = T_1 * \dots * T_k$ where $T_i = \langle x_i, y_i \mid x_i^2 = y_i^3 \rangle$.

5.0.2. *Change of field.* In the proof of Proposition 5.5, the $\mathbb{Z}G$ -modules were distinguished by passing to $\mathbb{F}_p G$ for various p . An alternate approach is to instead pass to $\mathbb{Q}G$ and use the results of Lewin [49]. If $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_p$ or \mathbb{Q} , then one can show:

Theorem 5.6. *Let $k \geq 1$ and let $G = T_1 * \dots * T_k$. Then there exists a stably free $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module S of rank k such that $S \otimes \mathbb{F}$ is a non-free stably free $\mathbb{F}G$ -module.*

It would be interesting to know if one could detect infinitely many distinct stably free $\mathbb{Z}G$ -modules of rank k on $\mathbb{F}G$ for some $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_p$ or \mathbb{Q} , even in the case $k = 1$.

5.0.3. *Alternate constructions.* There are more ways to deduce Theorem A' in the case $d \geq 3$ from the case $d = 2$. By Proposition 5.5 and the proof of Theorem 5.3, it suffices to find a finitely presented group G with $\text{cd}(G) = d$ and a characteristic quotient $f : G \rightarrow *_{i=1}^N T$ for some $N \geq k$. Two such constructions are as follows.

- (1) Let $G = *_{i=1}^r (*_{j=1}^{n_i} T)_{(d-1)}$ where $1 \leq n_1 \leq \dots \leq n_r$ and $N = \sum_{i=1}^r n_i$. Then $\text{cd}(G) = d$ and there is a characteristic quotient $f : G \rightarrow *_{i=1}^N T$. For example, we can take $G = (*_{i=1}^k T)_{(d-1)}$ as above, or $G = *_{i=1}^k T_{(d-1)}$ (see Theorem 9.1).
- (2) Let $G = (*_{i=1}^N T) \times \Gamma$ where Γ is a finitely presented group with $\text{cd}(\Gamma) = d-2$, $Z(\Gamma) = 1$ and which does not contain $*_{i=1}^N T$ as a direct factor. By Lemma 4.3, we have $\text{cd}(G) = d$. If $N \geq 2$, then $Z(*_{i=1}^N T) = 1$ and it can be deduced from [38, Corollary 2.2] that $f : G \rightarrow *_{i=1}^N T$ is characteristic.

For example: If $d = 3$, let Γ be a free group of rank ≥ 2 . If $d = 4$, let Γ be a surface group of genus ≥ 2 . If $d \geq 5$, let $\Gamma \subseteq L$ be a cocompact torsion free lattice in a non-compact simple Lie group L with dimension $d-2$ over its maximal compact subgroup. Note that there are infinitely many such Γ up to commensurability. I am indebted to F. E. A. Johnson for this observation.

6. MODULE INVARIANTS OF CW-COMPLEXES

Let X be a CW-complex and recall that its cellular chain complex $C_*(\widetilde{X})$ is a chain complex of free $\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(X)]$ -modules under the monodromy action. The chain homotopy type of $C_*(\widetilde{X})$ is a homotopy invariant for X and so, for all n , the $\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(X)]$ -module $H_n(C_*(\widetilde{X}))$ is also a homotopy invariant.

If G is a group and $\rho : \pi_1(X) \cong G$, then every $\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(X)]$ -module M can be converted to a $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module with action $g \cdot_{\mathbb{Z}G} m := \rho^{-1}(g) \cdot_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(X)]} m$ for $g \in G$ and $m \in M$. In this notation, $H_n(C_*(\tilde{X}))_\rho$ is a $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module. We will denote this by $H_n(X; \mathbb{Z}G)$ when ρ is understood. If $\rho' : \pi_1(X) \cong G$ and $\theta = \rho \circ (\rho')^{-1} \in \text{Aut}(G)$, then $H_n(C_*(\tilde{X}))_{\rho'} \cong (H_n(C_*(\tilde{X}))_\rho)_\theta$. In particular, the $\text{Aut}(G)$ -isomorphism class of $H_n(X; \mathbb{Z}G)$ is a homotopy invariant and is independent of the choice of ρ .

The aim of this section will be to consider how $H_n(X; \mathbb{Z}G)$ changes under wedge product. We will also give a mild variation of this invariant under group quotients.

6.1. Homology of a wedge product. The following is presumably well-known. However, we were not able to locate a suitable reference in the literature.

Proposition 6.1. *Let X_1, X_2 be CW-complexes with a single 0-cell such that $\pi_1(X_k) \cong G_k$. Let $X = X_1 \vee X_2$ which has $\pi_1(X) \cong G$ where $G = G_1 * G_2$. Then:*

$$C_i(\tilde{X}) = \begin{cases} \iota_{1\#}(C_i(\tilde{X}_1)) \oplus \iota_{2\#}(C_i(\tilde{X}_2)), & \text{if } i \geq 1 \\ \mathbb{Z}G, & \text{if } i = 0 \end{cases}$$

where $\partial_i = \iota_{1\#}(\partial_i^{X_1}) \oplus \iota_{2\#}(\partial_i^{X_2})$ for $i \geq 2$, $\partial_1 = (\iota_{1\#}(\partial_1^{X_1}), \iota_{1\#}(\partial_1^{X_2}))$ and $\partial_0 = \varepsilon_G$.

Proof. It suffices to compute an explicit model for \tilde{X} in terms of \tilde{X}_1 and \tilde{X}_2 . Such a model, which is often attributed to Scott-Wall [62], is provided by taking the graph of spaces structure on $X = X_1 \vee X_2$ and lifting it to \tilde{X} .

Define a graph (V, E) with vertex set $V = V(X_1) \sqcup V(X_2)$ where $V(X_1)$ is the set of elements in $G_1 * G_2$ with final term in G_2 , i.e. the identity e as well as the elements of the form $g_n \cdots g_1 g_1$ for $n \geq 1$ where $g_i \in G_2 \setminus \{1\}$ when i is odd and $g_i \in G_1 \setminus \{1\}$ otherwise. Define $V(X_2)$ similarly. Note that, whilst $V(X_1) \cap V(X_2) = \{1\}$ as subsets of $G_1 * G_2$, the elements $1 \in V(X_i)$ are not identified in V .

Define $E = \bigsqcup_{v \in V(X_1)} (G_1 \setminus \{1\})_v \sqcup \bigsqcup_{v \in V(X_2)} (G_2 \setminus \{1\})_v \sqcup \{e_{1,1}\}$ where, for each $v \in V(X_1)$ and $g \in G_1 \setminus \{1\}$, we have a directed edge $e_{v,vg} = (g)_v$ from v to vg which is labeled by $g \in G$. Similarly for $V(X_2)$ and G_2 . The edge $e_{1,1}$ from $1 \in V(X_1)$ to $1 \in V(X_2)$ is labeled by $1 \in G$.

Let $*$ in X_i denote the 0-cell and, for each $g \in G_i$, let $*_g \in \tilde{X}_i$ denote its corresponding lift. Our model is the CW-complex

$$X_{(V,E)} = \left(\bigsqcup_{v \in V(X_1)} (\tilde{X}_1)_v \sqcup \bigsqcup_{v \in V(X_2)} (\tilde{X}_2)_v \right) / \sim$$

where, if we have a directed edge $e_{v_1, v_2} \in E$ with label $g \in G$, then $(*_g)_{v_1} \sim (*_1)_{v_2}$ where, if $v_1 \in V(X_i)$, then $(*_g)_{v_1} \in (\tilde{X}_i)_{v_1}$ and similarly for $(*_1)_{v_2}$. By comparing with the construction in [62], we have $\tilde{X} \simeq X_{(V,E)}$.

We now determine the induced action of $G = G_1 * G_2$ on $X_{(V,E)}$. Note that G_1 acts $(\tilde{X}_1)_1$ by monodromy and freely permutes the $*_g \in (\tilde{X}_1)_1$. This action extends to all of $X_{(V,E)}$ inductively, and similarly for the action of G_2 on $(\tilde{X}_2)_2$. Since $G = \langle G_1, G_2 \rangle$, this determines the full action of G on $X_{(V,E)}$.

It now remains to read off the cell structure of $X_{(V,E)}$ under this G -action. For $i \geq 1$, the i -cells lie in the interior of the copies of \tilde{X}_1, \tilde{X}_2 and so are unaffected by the relation \sim . This implies that:

$$C_i(X_{(V,E)}) = \bigoplus_{v \in V(X_1)} v \cdot C_i(\tilde{X}_1) \oplus \bigoplus_{v \in V(X_2)} v \cdot C_i(\tilde{X}_2)$$

as an abelian group. Since G acts on the $V(X_j)$ in the natural way, and the elements of $V(X_j)$ are coset representatives for G/G_j , we have that:

$$\bigoplus_{v \in V(X_1)} v \cdot C_i(\tilde{X}_1) \cong \mathbb{Z}G \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}G_j} C_i(\tilde{X}_j) \cong \iota_{j\#}(C_i(\tilde{X}_j))$$

as $\mathbb{Z}G$ -modules. We can determine $C_0(X_{(V,E)})$ and the ∂_i similarly. \square

Corollary 6.2. *Let X_1 and X_2 be CW-complexes with a single 0-cell such that $\pi_1(X_i) \cong G_i$. Let $X = X_1 \vee X_2$ which has $\pi_1(X) \cong G$ where $G = G_1 * G_2$. Then:*

$$H_n(X; \mathbb{Z}G) \cong \iota_{1\#}(H_n(X; \mathbb{Z}G_1)) \oplus \iota_{2\#}(H_n(X; \mathbb{Z}G_2)).$$

Remark 6.3. This could be deduced from the Mayer-Vietoris sequence for homology with local coefficients [71, Theorem 2.4], though the above argument is more direct.

6.2. Homology under group quotients. Let X be a CW-complex with $\rho : \pi_1(X) \cong G$ and let $C_*(\tilde{X})_\rho$ be the corresponding chain complex of $\mathbb{Z}G$ -modules. If $f : G \twoheadrightarrow H$ is a quotient of groups, then $f_\#(C_*(\tilde{X})_\rho)$ is a chain complex of free $\mathbb{Z}H$ -modules with boundary maps $\text{id}_{\mathbb{Z}H} \otimes \partial_i$, and $H_n(f_\#(C_*(\tilde{X})_\rho))$ is a $\mathbb{Z}H$ -module. We will denote this by $H_n(X; \mathbb{Z}H)$ when f and ρ are understood.

Subject to conditions on f , this give an additional homotopy invariant for X .

Proposition 6.4. *If f is characteristic, then the $\text{Aut}(H)$ -isomorphism class of $H_n(X; \mathbb{Z}H)$ is a homotopy invariant and is independent of the choice of ρ .*

Proof. If $C_*(\tilde{X})_\rho \simeq C_*(\tilde{Y})_{\rho'}$ are chain homotopic as chain complexes of $\mathbb{Z}G$ -modules, then $f_\#(C_*(\tilde{X})_\rho) \simeq f_\#(C_*(\tilde{Y})_{\rho'})$ are chain homotopic as chain complexes of $\mathbb{Z}H$ -modules. Let $\theta \in \text{Aut}(G)$. Since f is characteristic, Proposition 3.5 implies that $f_\#((C_*(\tilde{X})_\rho)_\theta) \cong (f_\#(C_*(\tilde{X})_\rho))_{\bar{\theta}}$ for some $\bar{\theta} \in \text{Aut}(H)$. The result now follows. \square

7. ALGEBRAIC CLASSIFICATION OF FINITE (G, n) -COMPLEXES

A (G, n) -complex is an n -dimensional CW-complex X such that $\pi_1(X) \cong G$ and the universal cover \tilde{X} is $(n-1)$ -connected. By contracting a maximal spanning tree, X is homotopy equivalent to a (G, n) -complex with a single 0-cell. For convenience, we will now assume that a (G, n) -complex has a single 0-cell which is the basepoint.

If $i \geq 2$, then $\pi_i(X) \cong \pi_i(\tilde{X})$ as abelian group. In this way, we can view $\pi_i(X)$ as a $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module under the monodromy action. If $2 \leq i < n$, then $\pi_i(X) = 0$ since \tilde{X} is $(n-1)$ -connected. If $i = n$, then the Hurewicz theorem implies that:

$$\pi_n(X) \cong H_n(\tilde{X}; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_n(X; \mathbb{Z}G)$$

as $\mathbb{Z}G$ -modules. In particular, Corollary 6.2 applies to $\pi_n(X)$.

7.1. Algebraic n -complexes and the D2 problem. Let G be a group. An *algebraic n -complex over $\mathbb{Z}G$* is an exact chain complex:

$$E = (F_n \xrightarrow{\partial_n} \dots \xrightarrow{\partial_2} F_1 \xrightarrow{\partial_1} F_0 \xrightarrow{\partial_0} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0)$$

where the F_i are finitely generated stably free $\mathbb{Z}G$ -modules.

Let $\text{Alg}(G, n)$ denote the equivalence classes of algebraic n -complexes over $\mathbb{Z}G$ up to chain homotopy equivalences of the unaugmented complex $(F_i, \partial_i)_{i=1}^n$. The *n th homotopy group* of E is the $\mathbb{Z}G$ module $\pi_n(E) = \text{Ker}(\partial_n)$ and is an invariant of the chain homotopy class of E . If $n \geq 2$, we can assume the F_i are free since every algebraic n -complex is chain homotopy equivalent to such a complex.

Let $\text{PHT}(G, n)$ denote the polarised homotopy types of finite (G, n) -complexes, i.e. the homotopy types of pairs (X, ρ) where $\rho : \pi_1(X) \cong G$. If $(X, \rho) \in \text{PHT}(G, n)$, then $C_*(\tilde{X})_\rho$ is a chain complex of $\mathbb{Z}G$ -modules such that $H_0(C_*(\tilde{X})_\rho) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ and $H_i(C_*(\tilde{X})_\rho) = 0$ for $1 \leq i < n$. In particular, there is a map:

$$\Psi : \text{PHT}(G, n) \rightarrow \text{Alg}(G, n).$$

Recall that a finitely presented group G has the *D2 property* if every finite CW-complex X such that $\pi_1(X) \cong G$, $H_i(\tilde{X}; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ for $i > 2$ and $H^{n+1}(X; M) = 0$ for all finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}G$ -modules M is homotopy equivalent to a finite 2-complex. The following is a mild improvement of Wall's results on finiteness conditions for CW-complexes due to Johnson [39] and Mannan [55]. This precise version follows from [41, Corollary 8.27] in the case $n \geq 3$ and [60, Theorem 2.1] in the case $n = 2$.

Proposition 7.1. *Let G be a finitely presented group. If $n \geq 3$, then Ψ is bijective. If $n = 2$, then Ψ is injective and is bijective if and only if G has the D2 property.*

Remark 7.2. The first part is often vacuous since there are finitely presented groups G for which no algebraic n -complex over $\mathbb{Z}G$ exists for all $n \geq 3$. The first example was found by Stallings in [65] (see also [10, Proposition 2.14]) and was later generalised to a class of right-angled Artin groups by Bestvina-Brady [9, Main Theorem].

7.2. Realising $\mathbb{Z}G$ -modules by algebraic n -complexes. The n th stable syzygy $\Omega_n^G(\mathbb{Z})$ is the set of $\mathbb{Z}G$ -modules M for which $M \oplus \mathbb{Z}G^i \cong \pi_{n-1}(E) \oplus \mathbb{Z}G^j$ for some $i, j \geq 0$ and some algebraic $(n-1)$ -complex E over $\mathbb{Z}G$. We will denote this by $\Omega_n(\mathbb{Z})$ when the choice of G is clear from the context. This is well-defined and does not depend on the choice of E [41, Theorem 8.9]. It also comes with a map:

$$\pi_n : \text{Alg}(G, n) \rightarrow \Omega_{n+1}(\mathbb{Z}).$$

The following can be found in [41, Proposition 8.18].

Proposition 7.3. *Let $n \geq 2$ and let G be an infinite finitely presented group of type FL such that $H^{n+1}(G; \mathbb{Z}G) = 0$. Then π_n is bijective.*

The following is a straightforward consequence of Propositions 4.1 and 7.3.

Proposition 7.4. *Let G be a finitely presented group of type FL with $\text{cd}(G) = d$.*

- (i) *If $n \geq d$, then $\Omega_n(\mathbb{Z})$ is the set of stably free $\mathbb{Z}G$ -modules*
- (ii) *If $n \geq d$, then $\pi_n : \text{Alg}(G, n) \rightarrow \Omega_{n+1}(\mathbb{Z})$ is bijective*
- (iii) *If $n = d - 1$, then $0 \notin \text{Im}(\pi_n : \text{Alg}(G, n) \rightarrow \Omega_{n+1}(\mathbb{Z}))$.*

Remark 7.5. This implies that, for $n \geq 2$, $\pi_n : \text{Alg}(G, n) \rightarrow \Omega_{n+1}(\mathbb{Z})$ is not surjective whenever $\text{cd}(G) = n + 1$ (for example, $G = \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$). This was noted in [41, p107].

It is possible to see that $\text{cd}(G) \leq n$ implies that $\pi_n : \text{Alg}(G, n) \rightarrow \Omega_{n+1}(\mathbb{Z})$ is surjective directly (see, for example, [33, Theorem 4]). The following is now clear.

Corollary 7.6. *Let $n \geq 3$ and let G be a finitely presented group of type FL with $\text{cd}(G) = n$. Then π_n gives a one-to-one correspondence between homotopy types of finite (G, n) -complexes and $\text{Aut}(G)$ -isomorphism classes of stably free $\mathbb{Z}G$ -modules.*

Finally, we note the following where $\text{rank}(P)$ denotes the stably free rank of P .

Proposition 7.7. *Let G be a finitely presented group of type FL with $\text{cd}(G) = d$ and let $n \geq d - 1$. Then $\chi(X) = k + \chi_{\min}(G, n)$ if and only if:*

$$\text{rank}(\pi_n(X)) = k + \min\{\text{rank}(\pi_n(X_0)) : X_0 \text{ a finite } (G, n)\text{-complex}\}.$$

In particular, if $n \geq \max\{3, d\}$, then $k = \text{rank}(\pi_n(X))$.

8. PROOF OF THEOREM B'

We will now prove Theorem B' separately in the two cases of non-minimal Euler characteristic ($k \geq 1$) and minimal Euler characteristic ($k = 0$). Throughout, $T_i \cong T$ will denote the trefoil group and $G_{(n)}$ will be as defined in Section 4.

8.1. Finite (G, n) -complexes with non-minimal Euler characteristic. The aim of this section will be to prove the following. Note that, in the case $n \geq 3$, we could also take G to be one of the other groups listed at the end of Section 5.

Theorem 8.1. *Let $n \geq 2$, let $k \geq 1$ and let $G = (T_1 * \cdots * T_k)_{(n-1)}$. Then, for all $1 \leq m \leq k$, there exists infinitely many finite (G, n) -complexes \widehat{X}_i such that:*

- (i) $\pi_n(\widehat{X}_i) \cong \widehat{S}_i$ as $\mathbb{Z}G$ -modules (where \widehat{S}_i is as defined in Theorem 5.3)
- (ii) $\chi(\widehat{X}_i) = m + \chi_{\min}(G, n)$

(iii) $\widehat{X}_i \not\cong Y_i \vee S^2$ for any finite (G, n) -complex Y_i .

Since the $\text{Aut}(G)$ -isomorphism class of $\pi_n(\widehat{X}_i)$ is a homotopy invariant, it follows that the \widehat{X}_i are homotopically distinct by Theorem 5.3. By restricting to the case $m = k$, this implies Theorem B' for $k \geq 1$.

We will begin with the case $n = 2$, where $G = T_1 * \cdots * T_k$. Let S_i be the stably free $\mathbb{Z}T$ -modules from Theorem 5.1 and, for $1 \leq m \leq k$, recall that:

$$S_{i_1, \dots, i_m} = \iota_{1\#}(S_{i_1}) \oplus \cdots \oplus \iota_{m\#}(S_{i_m}).$$

The case of interest will be $\widehat{S}_i = S_{i_1, \dots, i_m}$ where $i_j = i$ for all j .

The main result which we will use is the following, which is [32, Theorem 4.5].

Theorem 8.2 (Harlander-Jensen). *The trefoil group T has presentations*

$$\mathcal{P}_i = \langle x, y, a, b \mid x^2 = y^3, a^2 = b^3, x^{2i+1} = a^{2i+1}, y^{3i+1} = b^{3i+1} \rangle$$

for $i \geq 0$. For each i , there exists ℓ_i such that $S_i \cong \pi_2(X_{\mathcal{P}_{\ell_i}})$ as $\mathbb{Z}T$ -modules.

Remark 8.3. Note that $\mathcal{P}_0 \simeq \langle x, y \mid x^2 = y^3, 1 \rangle$ and \mathcal{P}_1 is homotopy equivalent to the presentation found by Dunwoody in [20].

Let $X_i = X_{\mathcal{P}_{\ell_i}}$ for each $i \geq 1$. For integers $i_j \geq 1$, define:

$$X_{i_1, \dots, i_n} = X_{i_1} \vee \cdots \vee X_{i_n}$$

which is a finite 2-complex with $\pi_1(X_{i_1, \dots, i_n}) \cong T_1 * \cdots * T_k$. Let $\widehat{X}_i = X_{i_1, \dots, i_n}$ where $i_j = i$ for all j . By repeated application of Corollary 6.2, we have that $\pi_2(X_{i_1, \dots, i_n}) \cong S_{i_1, \dots, i_n}$ and so $\pi_2(\widehat{X}_i) \cong \widehat{S}_i$. Since $\text{rank}(\widehat{S}_i) = m$, we have that $\chi(\widehat{X}_i) = m + \chi_{\min}(G)$ by Proposition 7.7. Finally, if $\widehat{X}_i \simeq Y_i \vee S^2$, then:

$$\widehat{S}_i \cong \pi_2(\widehat{X}_i) \cong \pi_2(Y_i) \oplus (\mathbb{Z}G \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \pi_2(S^2)) \cong \pi_2(Y_i) \oplus \mathbb{Z}G$$

which is a contradiction since \widehat{S}_i has no summand of the form $\mathbb{Z}G$ by Theorem 5.3. This completes the proof of Theorem 8.1 in the case $n = 2$.

We will now consider the case $n \geq 3$, where $G = (T_1 * \cdots * T_k)_{(n-1)}$. By Theorem 5.3, there exists stably free $\mathbb{Z}G$ -modules \widehat{S}_i of rank m and which have no summand of the form $\mathbb{Z}G$. By Proposition 4.4, we have that $\text{cd}(G) = n$ and so, by Corollary 7.6, there exists finite (G, n) -complexes \widehat{X}_i such that $\pi_n(\widehat{X}_i) \cong \widehat{S}_i$. We can now argue similarly to the case $n = 2$. This completes the proof of Theorem 8.1.

8.1.1. Application to Syzygies. We now discuss consequences of Theorem 8.1 for syzygies. Recall that a $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module $M_0 \in \Omega_n(\mathbb{Z})$ is *minimal* if $M \in \Omega_n(\mathbb{Z})$ implies that $M \oplus \mathbb{Z}G^i \cong M_0 \oplus \mathbb{Z}G^j$ for some $i \leq j$. For $k \geq 0$, we say that $M \in \Omega_n(\mathbb{Z})$ has *level k* if $M \oplus \mathbb{Z}G^i \cong M_0 \oplus \mathbb{Z}G^j$ where $j - i = k$ and M_0 is minimal. If X is a finite (G, n) -complex, then $\pi_n(X) \in \Omega_{n+1}(\mathbb{Z})$. If $\text{cd}(G) = n$ and $\pi_n(X)$ is stably free $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module of rank k , then $\pi_n(X)$ has level k . Hence, by Theorem 8.1, we have:

Corollary 8.4. *For all $n \geq 3$ and $k \geq 1$, there exists a group G and infinitely many $\mathbb{Z}G$ -modules $M_i \in \Omega_n(\mathbb{Z})$ at level k which are distinct up to $\text{Aut}(G)$ -isomorphism.*

8.1.2. \mathbb{F} -homotopy. For a field \mathbb{F} , an \mathbb{F} -homotopy equivalence is a map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $\pi_1(f)$ is a group isomorphism and $\pi_i(f) \otimes \mathbb{F} : H_i(\widetilde{X}) \otimes \mathbb{F} \rightarrow H_i(\widetilde{Y}) \otimes \mathbb{F}$ is bijective for $i \geq 2$. If $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_p$ or \mathbb{Q} then, similarly to Theorem 5.6, we can show:

Theorem 8.5. *If $n \geq 2$, $k \geq 1$ and $G = (T_1 * \cdots * T_k)_{(n-1)}$, then there exists \mathbb{F} -homotopically distinct finite (G, n) -complexes X_1, X_2 with $\chi(X_i) = k + \chi_{\min}(G, n)$.*

8.2. Finite (G, n) -complexes with minimal Euler characteristic. The following is the main result of [53].

Theorem 8.6 (Lustig). *Let $G = T_{(2)}$. Then there exists infinitely many homotopically distinct finite 2-complexes X_i for $i \geq 1$ such that $\pi_1(X_i) \cong G$ and $\chi(X_i) = 1$.*

The aim of this section will be to give the following generalisation of this result:

Theorem 8.7. *Let $n \geq 2$ and let $G = T_{(n)}$. Then there exists infinitely many finite (G, n) -complexes \widehat{X}_i for $i \geq 1$ such that:*

- (i) $H_n(\widehat{X}_i; \mathbb{Z}T) \cong S_i$ as $\mathbb{Z}T$ -modules (where S_i is as defined in Theorem 5.1)
- (ii) $\chi(\widehat{X}_i) = \chi_{\min}(G, n)$

Remark 8.8. This corrects a statement made in [33, Section 5] where it was suggested that $\chi(X_i) = 1 + \chi_{\min}(T_{(2)})$. In fact, we have $\chi(\widehat{X}_i) = \chi_{\min}(T_{(n)}, n) = 1 - n$.

By Proposition 6.4, the $\text{Aut}(T)$ -isomorphism class of $H_n(\widehat{X}_i; \mathbb{Z}T)$ is a homotopy invariant and so the \widehat{X}_i are homotopically distinct by Theorem 5.1. Hence this implies Theorem B' in the case $k = 0$.

We will begin with the following lemma, which can be verified directly.

Lemma 8.9. *Let $n \geq 2$, let G be a group and let $E = (\mathbb{Z}G^{d_i}, \partial_i)_{i=1}^n \in \text{Alg}(G, n)$. If $G_+ = (G \times \langle q \mid - \rangle) *_{\langle q=r^2 \rangle} \langle r \mid - \rangle$, then:*

$$E_+ = (\mathbb{Z}G_+^{d_n} \xrightarrow{\partial_{n+1}^+} \dots \xrightarrow{\partial_2^+} \mathbb{Z}G_+^{d_1+1} \xrightarrow{\partial_1^+} \mathbb{Z}G_+ \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{G_+}} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0) \in \text{Alg}(G_+, n+1)$$

where $\partial_1^+ = \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \partial_1 \\ r-1 \end{pmatrix}$, $\partial_2^+ = \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \partial_2 & 0 \\ r^2-1 & -\partial_1 \cdot (r+1) \end{pmatrix}$ and $\partial_i^+ = \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \partial_i & 0 \\ r^2-1 & -\partial_{i-1} \end{pmatrix}$ for $i \geq 3$. The ∂_* are the induced maps and we take $d_{n+1} = 0$, $\partial_{n+1} = 0$.

Remark 8.10. This also works when $G_+ = (G \times \langle q \mid - \rangle) *_{\langle q=r^m \rangle} \langle r \mid - \rangle$ for $m \geq 2$.

Let $\mathcal{P} = \langle x, y \mid x^2 = y^3 \rangle$ be the standard presentation for T and note that:

$$C_*(\widetilde{X}_{\mathcal{P}}) \cong (\mathbb{Z}T \xrightarrow{\partial_2} \mathbb{Z}T^2 \xrightarrow{\partial_1} \mathbb{Z}T \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_T} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0) \in \text{Alg}(T, 2)$$

where $\partial_1 = \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x-1 \\ y-1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\partial_2 = \cdot (x+1 - (y^2+y+1))$. This has $\pi_2(C_*(\widetilde{X}_{\mathcal{P}})) = 0$.

For each $n \geq 1$, define $\widetilde{E}_n \in \text{Alg}(T_{(n)}, n+1)$ by $\widetilde{E}_1 = C_*(\widetilde{X}_{\mathcal{P}})$ and $\widetilde{E}_n = (\widetilde{E}_{n-1})_+$ for $n \geq 2$ using Lemma 8.9. Let $E_n \in \text{Alg}(T_{(n)}, n)$ denote the restriction to the first $n+1$ terms in \widetilde{E}_n . Note that $\pi_{n+1}(\widetilde{E}_n) = 0$ and so $\pi_n(E_n) = \text{Im}(\partial_{n+1}^{\widetilde{E}_n}) \cong \mathbb{Z}T_{(n)}$.

For $n \geq 2$, let $\Delta_n = \partial_n^{\widetilde{E}_n}$ denote the final boundary map in E_n , so that:

$$\Delta_1 = \partial_1 \cdot (r_1 + 1), \quad \Delta_n = \cdot \begin{pmatrix} v_n & 0 \\ r_{n-1}^2-1 & -\Delta_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} : \mathbb{Z}T_{(n)}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}T_{(n)}^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}}$$

where $v_n = (r_{n-2}^2 - 1, (-1)(r_{n-3}^2 - 1), \dots, (-1)^{n-3}(r_1^2 - 1), (-1)^{n-2}\partial_2)$. Here Δ_1 is defined for the purposes of this definition and does not coincide with $\partial_1^{E_1} = \partial_1$.

Let α_n, β_n denote the last two row vectors in Δ_n , which are defined by:

$$\alpha_1 = (x-1)(r_1+1), \quad \beta_1 = (y-1)(r_1+1)$$

$$\alpha_n = (\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{n-2}, r_{n-1}^2 - 1, 0, -\alpha_{n-1}), \quad \beta_n = (\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{n-1}, r_{n-1}^2 - 1, -\beta_{n-1}).$$

For each $i \geq 0$, let $\alpha_n^{(i)} = \Sigma_x \alpha_n$, $\beta_n^{(i)} = \Sigma_y \beta_n$ where $\Sigma_x = \sum_{j=0}^{2i} x^j$, $\Sigma_y = \sum_{j=0}^{3i} y^j$.

We will now show that following, where we adopt the notation of Section 6.2.

Proposition 8.11. *For $n \geq 2$, let $\Delta_n^{(i)}$ be the matrix Δ_n but with α_n, β_n replaced by $\alpha_n^{(i)}, \beta_n^{(i)}$, and let $E_n^{(i)}$ be the resolution E_n but with Δ_n replaced by $\Delta_n^{(i)}$. Then:*

- (i) $E_n^{(i)} \in \text{Alg}(T_{(n)}, n)$
- (ii) *If $f : T_{(n)} \rightarrow T$, then $H_n(E_n^{(i)}; \mathbb{Z}T) \cong \text{Ker}(\cdot \begin{pmatrix} x^{2i+1}-1 \\ y^{3i+1}-1 \end{pmatrix})$ as $\mathbb{Z}T$ -modules.*

For the convenience of the reader, we will write this explicitly in the case $n = 2$:

$$E_2^{(i)} = (\mathbb{Z}T_{(2)}^3 \xrightarrow{\cdot \begin{pmatrix} x+1 & -(y^2+y+1) & 0 \\ (r_1^2-1)\Sigma_x & 0 & (1-x^{2i+1})(r_1+1) \\ 0 & (r_1^2-1)\Sigma_y & (1-y^{3i+1})(r_1+1) \end{pmatrix}} \mathbb{Z}T_{(2)}^3 \xrightarrow{\cdot \begin{pmatrix} x-1 \\ y-1 \\ r_1-1 \end{pmatrix}} \mathbb{Z}T_{(2)} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0).$$

In order to prove this, we will first need the following technical lemma.

Lemma 8.12. *Let G be a group with $T \subseteq G$. For $i = 1, 2, 3$, there exists $\lambda_i, \mu_i \in \mathbb{Z}T \subseteq \mathbb{Z}G$ such that, for all $r \in G$, we have:*

$$\begin{aligned} (r-1, 0, 1-x) &= \lambda_1 \cdot (\Sigma_x(r-1), 0, 1-x^{2i+1}) + \lambda_2 \cdot (0, \Sigma_y(r-1), 1-y^{3i+1}) + \lambda_3 \cdot (\partial_2, 0) \cdot (r-1) \\ (0, r-1, 1-y) &= \mu_1 \cdot (\Sigma_x(r-1), 0, 1-x^{2i+1}) + \mu_2 \cdot (0, \Sigma_y(r-1), 1-y^{3i+1}) + \mu_3 \cdot (\partial_2, 0) \cdot (r-1) \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Proposition 8.11. To prove (i), it suffices to show that $\text{Im}(\cdot\Delta_n^{(i)}) = \text{Im}(\cdot\Delta_n)$ for $i \geq 1$. We have $\text{Im}(\cdot\Delta_n^{(i)}) \subseteq \text{Im}(\cdot\Delta_n)$, so it remains to show $\alpha_n, \beta_n \in \text{Im}(\cdot\Delta_n^{(i)})$.

By the proof of Lemma 8.12, we have $\mathbb{Z}T \cdot \{x-1, y-1\} = \mathbb{Z}T \cdot \{x^{2i+1}-1, y^{3i+1}-1\}$. It follows that $\mathbb{Z}T \cdot \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\} = \mathbb{Z}T \cdot \{\alpha_1^{(i)}, \beta_1^{(i)}\}$ which implies that $\alpha_1, \beta_1 \in \text{Im}(\cdot\Delta_1^{(i)})$. The case $n=2$ is done in Lemma 8.12, which provides λ_i such that:

$$\alpha_2 = \lambda_1 \cdot \alpha_2^{(i)} + \lambda_2 \cdot \beta_2^{(i)} + \lambda_3(r_1^2 - 1) \cdot (\partial_2, 0)$$

and similarly for μ_i and β_2 . Let $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{n-1}$ denote the first $n-1$ rows of Δ_n , the remaining two rows being α_n, β_n . It is now straightforward to see that:

$$\alpha_n = \lambda_1 \cdot \alpha_n^{(i)} + \lambda_2 \cdot \beta_n^{(i)} + \lambda_3(-1)^n((r_{n-1}^2 - 1) \cdot \gamma_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} (-1)^i (r_{n-i}^2 - 1) \cdot \gamma_i)$$

for $n \geq 2$, and similarly for β_n . Hence $\alpha_n, \beta_n \in \text{Im}(\cdot\Delta_n^{(i)})$ for all $n \geq 2$.

To prove (ii), note that $H_n(E_n^{(i)}; \mathbb{Z}T) = \text{Ker}(f_{\#}(\Delta_n^{(i)}))$. For each $n \geq 2$, we have:

$$f_{\#}(\Delta_n^{(i)}) = \cdot \begin{pmatrix} f_{\#}(v_n) & 0 \\ 0 & -f_{\#}(\Delta_{n-1}^{(i)}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $f_{\#}(v_n) = (0, \dots, 0, (-1)^{n-2}\partial_2)$ is injective, this implies that $\text{Ker}(f_{\#}(\Delta_n^{(i)})) = \text{Ker}(-f_{\#}(\Delta_{n-1}^{(i)}))$ and so, by induction:

$$\text{Ker}(f_{\#}(\Delta_n^{(i)})) \cong \text{Ker}(f_{\#}(\Delta_1^{(i)})) = \text{Ker}\left(\begin{pmatrix} 2(x^{2i+1}-1) \\ 2(y^{3i+1}-1) \end{pmatrix}\right) = \text{Ker}\left(\begin{pmatrix} x^{2i+1}-1 \\ y^{3i+1}-1 \end{pmatrix}\right). \quad \square$$

Let $G = T_{(n)}$. For each $i \geq 1$, there exists ℓ_i such that $\text{Ker}\left(\begin{pmatrix} x^{2\ell_i+1}-1 \\ y^{3\ell_i+1}-1 \end{pmatrix}\right) \cong S_i$ where the S_i are as defined in the discussion following Theorem 5.1

If $n \geq 3$, then Proposition 7.1 implies that there exists finite (G, n) -complexes \widehat{X}_i such that $C_*(X) \simeq E_n^{(\ell_i)}$ are chain homotopy equivalent where X is the universal cover of \widehat{X}_i . This is also true when $n=2$ by taking $\widehat{X}_i = X_i = \mathcal{P}_{\ell_i}$ where:

$$\mathcal{P}_i = \langle a, b, c \mid a^2 = b^3, [a^2, b^{2i+1}], [a^2, c^{3i+1}] \rangle$$

are the presentations given by Lustig in [53].

By Proposition 8.11, $H_n(\widehat{X}_i; \mathbb{Z}T) \cong S_i$ as $\mathbb{Z}T$ -modules. It is straightforward to see that

$$\text{rank}(\pi_n(E_n^{(\ell_i)})) = \text{rank}(\pi_n(E_n)) = 1.$$

By Proposition 3.5, $\text{cd}(G) = n+1$ and so $0 \notin \text{Im}(\pi_n : \text{PHT}(G, n) \rightarrow \Omega_{n+1}(\mathbb{Z}))$ by Proposition 7.4. Hence, by Proposition 7.7, we have $\chi(X_i) = \chi_{\min}(G, n)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 8.7. By combining with Theorem 8.1, this completes the proof of Theorem B'.

9. PROOF OF THEOREM C

The aim of this section will be to prove the following theorem which its Theorems C. We will also give an application of this to the construction of non-finite (G, n) -complexes. The proofs are similar to that of Theorems A' and B' and so many of the details will be omitted.

We will let T denote the trefoil group.

Theorem 9.1. *Let $d \geq 2$ and let $G = *_{i=1}^{\infty} T_{(d-1)}$. Then $\text{cd}(G) = d$ and, for all $k \geq 1$, there exists infinitely many stably free $\mathbb{Z}G$ -modules of rank k which are distinct up to $\text{Aut}(G)$ -isomorphism.*

Let S_i denote the stably free $\mathbb{Z}T$ -modules of Theorem 5.1 and let $\iota_j : T_j \hookrightarrow G$.

Proof. Let $k \geq 1$ and let $\widehat{S}_i^{(k)} = \bigoplus_{j=1}^k \iota_{j\#}(S_i)$ for $i \geq 1$. Since $\widehat{S}_i^{(k)} \oplus \mathbb{Z}G \cong \mathbb{Z}G^{k+1}$, the $\widehat{S}_i^{(k)}$ are stably free $\mathbb{Z}G$ -modules of rank k . Let $f : G \rightarrow \ast_{j=1}^{\infty} T_j/T_j''$ be induced by the characteristic quotients $f_j : (T_j)_{(d-1)} \rightarrow T_j/T_j''$. This is characteristic by a mild generalisation of Proposition 3.6 which applies since T_j is finitely generated.

For p prime, we have that $\mathbb{F}_p \otimes f_{\#}(\widehat{S}_i^{(k)}) \cong \bigoplus_{j=1}^k \bar{\iota}_{j\#}(\mathbb{F}_p \otimes f_{j\#}(S_i))$ where $\bar{\iota}_j : T_j/T_j'' \hookrightarrow \ast_{j=1}^{\infty} T_j/T_j''$ is the inclusion map. Similarly to the proof of Theorem 5.3, there exists primes p_i for $i \geq 1$ such that $\mathbb{F}_{p_i} \otimes f_{j\#}(S_i) \cong \mathbb{F}_{p_i}[T_j/T_j'']$ if and only if $i = j$. Since Theorem 3.3 and Corollary 3.4 also holds for infinite free products (see [7]), we get the $\mathbb{F}_p \otimes f_{\#}(\widehat{S}_i^{(k)})$ are distinct up to $\text{Aut}(G)$ -isomorphism. Since f is characteristic, the $\widehat{S}_i^{(k)}$ are distinct up to $\text{Aut}(G)$ -isomorphism also. \square

Theorem 9.2. *Let $n \geq 2$ and let $G = \ast_{i=1}^{\infty} T_{(n-1)}$. Then there exists an aspherical (G, n) -complex Y such that, for all $k \geq 1$, there are infinitely many homotopically distinct (G, n) -complexes X_i with $X_i \vee S^n \simeq Y \vee (k+1)S^n$.*

Proof. By Lemma 8.9, there exists $\widetilde{E}_{n-1} \in \text{Alg}(T_{(n-1)}, n)$ with $\pi_n(\widetilde{E}_{n-1}) = 0$. If $n \geq 3$, then Proposition 7.1 implies that there exists a finite (G, n) -complex Y_0 such that $C_*(\widetilde{Y}_0) \simeq \widetilde{E}_{n-1}$ are chain homotopy equivalent. This is also true when $n = 2$ by taking $Y_0 = X_{\mathcal{P}}$ where $\mathcal{P} = \langle x, y \mid x^2 = y^3 \rangle$ is the standard presentation for T . Hence, for all $n \geq 2$, $Y = \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} Y_0$ is an aspherical (G, n) -complex.

For all $i \geq 1$, let $X_i = \bigvee_{j=1}^k \widehat{X}_i \vee \bigvee_{j=k+1}^{\infty} Y_0$ where the \widehat{X}_i are the finite $(T_{(n-1)}, n)$ -complexes such that $\pi_n(\widehat{X}_i) \cong S_i$ which were constructed in Theorem 8.1. Then X_i is a (G, n) -complex such that:

$$\pi_n(X_i) \cong \bigoplus_{j=1}^k \iota_{j\#}(\pi_n(\widehat{X}_i)) \oplus \bigoplus_{j=k+1}^{\infty} \iota_{j\#}(\pi_n(Y)) \cong \bigoplus_{j=1}^k \iota_{j\#}(S_i) = \widehat{S}_i^{(k)}.$$

Since the $\widehat{S}_i^{(k)}$ are distinct up to $\text{Aut}(G)$ -isomorphism, this implies that the X_i are homotopically distinct. By Theorem 5.1 and Proposition 7.4, we have that $\widehat{X}_i \vee S^n \simeq Y_0 \vee 2S^n$. It follows that $X_i \vee S^n \simeq Y \vee (k+1)S^n$, as required. \square

10. SOME REMARKS ON INDUCED MODULE DECOMPOSITIONS

Recall that Theorems A' and B' concerned stably free $\mathbb{Z}G$ -modules and finite 2-complexes X with $\pi_1(X) \cong G$ where $G = \ast_{i=1}^k G_i$. In our example, $\pi_2(X) \otimes \mathbb{F}_p$ was an induced $\mathbb{F}_p G$ -module whose component $\mathbb{F}_p T$ -modules M_i were unique up to $\mathbb{F}_p T$ -isomorphism where $G_i = T$ is the trefoil group.

The aim of this section will be to investigate the extent to which this applies to all groups of the form $G = \ast_{i=1}^k G_i$ and to $\pi_2(X)$ rather than just $\pi_2(X) \otimes \mathbb{F}$. For simplicity, we will restrict to the case of 2-complexes. However, all results have analogues for (G, n) -complexes for $n \geq 3$. The main result is Theorem 1.3, which was stated in the introduction. Part (i) will be proven as Theorem 10.2 and part (ii) will be proven as Theorem 10.6.

10.1. Existence of induced module decompositions. We will begin by considering the question of existence. From now on, we will take \mathbb{F} to be a field.

Proposition 10.1 (Existence over $\mathbb{F}[G_1 \ast \cdots \ast G_k]$). *Let X be a finite 2-complex with $\pi_1(X) \cong G_1 \ast \cdots \ast G_k$. Then $\pi_2(X) \otimes \mathbb{F}$ is an induced $\mathbb{F}[G_1 \ast \cdots \ast G_k]$ -module.*

Proof. Let X_i be a finite 2-complex with $\pi_1(X_i) \cong G_i$. Then $\pi_1(\bigvee_{i=1}^k X_i) \cong \ast_{i=1}^k G_i$ and so there exists $a, b \geq 0$ such that $X \vee aS^2 \simeq \bigvee_{i=1}^k X_i \vee bS^2$. This implies that

$$(\pi_2(X) \otimes \mathbb{F}) \oplus \mathbb{F}G^a \cong \iota_{1\#}((\pi_2(X_1) \otimes \mathbb{F}) \oplus \mathbb{F}G_1^b) \oplus \bigoplus_{j=2}^k \iota_{j\#}(\pi_2(X_j) \otimes \mathbb{F})$$

and so $\pi_2(X) \otimes \mathbb{F}$ is a submodule of an induced $\mathbb{F}[G_1 \ast \cdots \ast G_k]$ -module. Hence, by Theorem 3.3, $\pi_2(X) \otimes \mathbb{F}$ is an induced $\mathbb{F}[G_1 \ast \cdots \ast G_k]$ -module. \square

Theorem 10.2 (Non-existence over $\mathbb{Z}[G_1 * \cdots * G_k]$). *For all $k \geq 2$, there exists a finite 2-complex X with $\pi_1(X) \cong G_1 * \cdots * G_k$ such that $\pi_2(X)$ is not an induced $\mathbb{Z}[G_1 * \cdots * G_k]$ -module.*

In order to prove this, we will need the following method of proving that presentation complexes are homotopy equivalent. If $\mathcal{P} = \langle x_1, \dots, x_n \mid r_1, \dots, r_m \rangle$, then an *elementary transformation* on \mathcal{P} is an operation that replaces a relator r_i with:

- (i) $\omega r_i \omega^{-1}$ for a word $\omega \in F(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ (*conjugation*)
- (ii) r_i^{-1} (*inversion*)
- (iii) $r_i r_j$ or $r_j r_i$ for some $j \neq i$ (*left or right multiplication*).

We say that two group presentations \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{Q} are *Q-equivalent* if they are related by a sequence of elementary transformations. If \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{Q} are Q-equivalent, then $X_{\mathcal{P}}$ and $X_{\mathcal{Q}}$ are (simple) homotopy equivalent [35, p20-29].

We begin by noting the following, which is a generalisation of [34, Theorem 3].

Proposition 10.3. *Let $k \geq 1$ and let $m_i, n_i \geq 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. Suppose there exists integers r_i, q_i such that $(q_i, q_j) = 1$ for all $i \neq j$ and, for all i , we have:*

$$r_i^{m_i} - 1 = n_i q_i, \quad r_i \equiv 1 \pmod{n_i}, \quad (m_i, n_i) \neq 1.$$

Then $G = *_{i=1}^k (\mathbb{Z}/m_i \times \mathbb{Z}/n_i)$ has a presentation

$$\mathcal{P} = \langle a_1, b_1, \dots, a_k, b_k \mid a_1^{m_1}, \dots, a_k^{m_k}, a_1 b_1 a_1^{-1} b_1^{-r_1}, \dots, a_k b_k a_k^{-1} b_k^{-r_k}, b_1^{n_1} \cdots b_k^{n_k} \rangle$$

of deficiency -1 . Furthermore, if $\mathcal{P}_i = \langle a, b \mid a^{n_i}, b^{m_i}, [a, b] \rangle$ is the standard presentation for $\mathbb{Z}/m_i \times \mathbb{Z}/n_i$, then $X_{\mathcal{P}} \vee (k-1)S^2 \simeq X_{\mathcal{P}_1} \vee \cdots \vee X_{\mathcal{P}_k}$.

The conditions on m_i, n_i are satisfied in the case where $m_i = n_i = p_i$ for distinct primes p_i . In particular, this applies to all groups of the form $G = *_{i=1}^k (\mathbb{Z}/p_i)^2$.

Proof. That proof that \mathcal{P} presents G is similar to the case $k = 2$ (see [34, Theorem 3]), as so will be omitted. Let \mathcal{P}_+ denote the presentation \mathcal{P} with additional relations $b_1^{n_1}, \dots, b_{k-1}^{n_{k-1}}$, so that $X_{\mathcal{P}_+} \simeq X_{\mathcal{P}} \vee (k-1)S^2$. In order to show that $X_{\mathcal{P}} \vee (k-1)S^2 \simeq X_{\mathcal{P}_1} \vee \cdots \vee X_{\mathcal{P}_k}$, it therefore suffices to show that \mathcal{P}_+ and $\mathcal{P}_1 * \cdots * \mathcal{P}_k$ are Q-equivalent. To see this, note that we can replace $b_1^{n_1} \cdots b_k^{n_k} \rightsquigarrow b_k^{n_k}$ by left-multiplying by the $b_i^{-n_i}$ for $1 \leq i < k$. Since $r_i \equiv 1 \pmod{n_i}$, we can then replace $a_i b_i a_i^{-1} b_i^{-r_i} \rightsquigarrow [a_i, b_i]$ by successively right-multiplying by $b_i^{n_i}$. \square

We say that two $\mathbb{Z}G$ -modules M and M' are *stably isomorphic*, written $M \cong_s M'$, if there exists $a, b \geq 0$ such that $M \oplus \mathbb{Z}G^a \cong M' \oplus \mathbb{Z}G^b$.

Lemma 10.4. *For $1 \leq i \leq k$, let M_i, M'_i be finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}G_i$ -lattices such that*

$$\iota_{1\#}(M_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \iota_{k\#}(M_k) \cong \iota_{1\#}(M'_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \iota_{k\#}(M'_k)$$

as $\mathbb{Z}[G_1 * \cdots * G_k]$ -modules. Then $M_i \cong_s M'_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$.

Proof. For $1 \leq i \leq k$, let $q_i : G_1 * \cdots * G_k \twoheadrightarrow G_i$ be the projection map. By applying $(q_1)_{\#}$ to the given isomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}[G_1 * G_2]$ -modules, we get that

$$M_1 \oplus \bigoplus_{j=2}^k (q_1 \circ \iota_j)_{\#}(M_j) \cong M'_1 \oplus \bigoplus_{j=2}^k (q_1 \circ \iota_j)_{\#}(M'_j)$$

as $\mathbb{Z}G_1$ -modules. If $j \neq 1$, then $q_1 \circ \iota_j : G_j \rightarrow G_1$, $g \mapsto 1$. If M is a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}G_j$ -module, then $(q_1 \circ \iota_j)_{\#}(M) \cong \mathbb{Z}G_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} (\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}G_j} M)$. If $\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}G_j} M \cong \mathbb{Z}^{r_M} \oplus F_M$ for F_M a finite abelian group and $r_M \geq 0$, then $(q_1 \circ \iota_j)_{\#}(M) \cong \mathbb{Z}G_1^{r_M} \oplus F_M G_1$.

In particular, for some finite abelian groups F, F' and some $r, r' \geq 0$, we have $M_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}G_1^r \oplus F G_1 \cong M'_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}G_1^{r'} \oplus F' G_1$. Since M_1, M'_1 are $\mathbb{Z}G_1$ -lattices, this $\mathbb{Z}G_1$ -isomorphism must induce isomorphisms $F G_1 \cong F' G_1$ and $M_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}G_1^r \cong M'_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}G_1^{r'}$. Hence $M_1 \cong_s M'_1$ and, by symmetry, we have that $M_i \cong_s M'_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$. \square

Proof of Theorem 10.2. Let p_1, \dots, p_k be distinct primes and let $G = \ast_{i=1}^k (\mathbb{Z}/p_i)^2$. By Proposition 10.3, G has a presentation \mathcal{P} of deficiency -1 . We claim that $\pi_2(X_{\mathcal{P}})$ is not an induced $\mathbb{Z}[G_1 \ast \dots \ast G_k]$ -module, where $G_i = (\mathbb{Z}/p_i)^2$ for all i .

Suppose that $\pi_2(X_{\mathcal{P}}) = \iota_{1\#}(M_1) \oplus \dots \oplus \iota_{k\#}(M_k)$ for $\mathbb{Z}G_i$ -modules M_i . Again by Proposition 10.3, we have that $X_{\mathcal{P}} \vee (k-1)S^2 \simeq X_{\mathcal{P}_1} \vee \dots \vee X_{\mathcal{P}_k}$ where the $\mathcal{P}_i = \langle a, b \mid a^{p_i}, b^{p_i}, [a, b] \rangle$ are the standard presentations for G_i . Hence, we have:

$$\iota_{1\#}(M_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}G_1^{k-1}) \oplus \bigoplus_{j=2}^k \iota_{j\#}(M_j) \cong \bigoplus_{j=1}^k \iota_{j\#}(\pi_2(X_{\mathcal{P}_j})).$$

By Lemma 10.4, this implies that $M_i \cong_s \pi_2(X_{\mathcal{P}_i})$ for all i and so $M_i \in \Omega_3^{G_i}(\mathbb{Z})$.

It follows from [68, Proposition 2.1] that $\pi_2(X_{\mathcal{P}_i}) \in \Omega_3^{G_i}(\mathbb{Z})$ is minimal and so $M_i \oplus \mathbb{Z}G_i^{r_i} \cong \pi_2(X_{\mathcal{P}_i}) \oplus \mathbb{Z}G_i^{s_i}$ for some integers $r_i \leq s_i$. This gives that:

$$\pi_2(X_{\mathcal{P}}) \oplus \mathbb{Z}G^{s_1 + \dots + s_k + k - 1} \cong \pi_2(X_{\mathcal{P}}) \oplus \mathbb{Z}G^{r_1 + \dots + r_k}.$$

By [41, Proposition 2.1], $\sum s_i + k - 1 = \sum r_i \leq \sum s_i$ which is a contradiction. \square

10.2. Uniqueness of induced module decompositions. We will now turn to the question of uniqueness. The following is an immediate consequence of Corollary 3.4.

Proposition 10.5 (Uniqueness over $\mathbb{F}[G_1 \ast \dots \ast G_k]$). *Let X be a finite 2-complex with $\pi_1(X) \cong G_1 \ast \dots \ast G_k$. If $\pi_2(X) \otimes \mathbb{F} \cong \iota_{1\#}(M_1) \oplus \dots \oplus \iota_{k\#}(M_k)$ for $\mathbb{F}G_i$ -modules M_i such that $\mathbb{F}G_i \nmid M_i$, then the M_i are unique up to $\mathbb{F}G_i$ -module isomorphism.*

Theorem 10.6 (Non-uniqueness over $\mathbb{Z}[G_1 \ast \dots \ast G_k]$). *For all $k \geq 2$, there exists finite 2-complexes X_i, Y_i with $\pi_1(X_i) \cong \pi_1(Y_i) \cong G_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$ such that*

$$\pi_2(X_1 \vee \dots \vee X_k) \cong \pi_2(Y_1 \vee \dots \vee Y_k)$$

but, for all i , $\mathbb{Z}G_i \nmid \pi_2(X_i)$, $\pi_2(Y_i)$ and $\pi_2(X_i) \not\cong \pi_2(Y_i)$ are not $\text{Aut}(G_i)$ -isomorphic.

Remark 10.7. Note that this implies Theorem 1.3 (ii) since it implies that:

$$\pi_2(X_1 \vee \dots \vee X_k) \cong \iota_{1\#}(\pi_2(X_1)) \oplus \dots \oplus \iota_{k\#}(\pi_2(X_k)) \cong \iota_{1\#}(\pi_2(Y_1)) \oplus \dots \oplus \iota_{k\#}(\pi_2(Y_k)).$$

In order to prove this, we will begin by proving the following. We note that this holds for a larger class of abelian groups than elementary abelian p -groups.

Proposition 10.8. *Let $k \geq 2$ and let p_i be distinct primes and $n_i \geq 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. If $\mathcal{P}_i, \mathcal{P}'_i$ are two presentations for $G_i = (\mathbb{Z}/p_i)^{n_i}$ with the same deficiency, then $X_{\mathcal{P}_1} \vee \dots \vee X_{\mathcal{P}_k} \simeq X_{\mathcal{P}'_1} \vee \dots \vee X_{\mathcal{P}'_k}$.*

Proof. For ease of notation, we will let $k = 2$. The general case is analogous. Let:

$$\mathcal{P}_r^{(i)} = \langle a_1, \dots, a_{n_i} \mid a_1^{p_i}, \dots, a_{n_i}^{p_i}, [a_1^r, a_2], \{[a_i, a_j] : i < j, (i, j) \neq (1, 2)\} \rangle$$

for $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $(r, p_i) = 1$. This is a presentation for G_i and, since the homotopy type of $\mathcal{P}_r^{(i)}$ can be shown to depend only on $r \bmod p_i$, we can take $r \in (\mathbb{Z}/p_i)^\times$.

It was shown by Browning [15] (see also [27, Proposition 9.2]) that, if \mathcal{P} is a presentation for $(\mathbb{Z}/p_i)^{n_i}$, then $X_{\mathcal{P}} \simeq X_{\mathcal{P}_r^{(i)}} \vee \ell S^2$ for some $r \in (\mathbb{Z}/p_i)^\times$, $\ell \geq 0$. It suffices to show that $X_{\mathcal{P}_r^{(1)}} \vee X_{\mathcal{P}_s^{(2)}} \simeq X_{\mathcal{P}_1^{(1)}} \vee X_{\mathcal{P}_2^{(2)}}$ for all $r \in (\mathbb{Z}/p_1)^\times$, $s \in (\mathbb{Z}/p_2)^\times$.

As in Proposition 10.3, there exists integers r_i, q_i such that $(q_i, p_j) = 1$ for all $i \neq j$ and such that $r_i^{p_i} - 1 = p_i q_i$ and $r_i \equiv 1 \pmod{p_i}$ for all i . Let r, s be integers such that $(r, p_1) = 1$ and $(s, p_2) = 1$. If $(r q_1, s q_2) = 1$ then, by the same argument as given in Proposition 10.3, $G = G_1 \ast G_2$ has a presentation:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{r,s} = \langle a_1, \dots, a_{n_1}, b_1, \dots, b_{n_2} \mid \{a_i^{p_1}\}_{i=2}^{n_1}, \{b_i^{p_2}\}_{i=2}^{n_2}, a_1^{p_1} \cdot b_1^{p_2}, \\ a_2(a_1^r a_2^{-1}(a_1^r)^{-r_1}, b_2(b_1^s b_2^{-1}(b_1^s)^{-r_2}), \{[a_i, a_j], [b_i, b_j] : i < j, (i, j) \neq (1, 2)\} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

This form is general for all r, s since, by Dirichlet's theorem on arithmetic progressions, there exists r', s' such that $r' \equiv r \pmod{p_1}$, $s' \equiv s \pmod{p_2}$ and $(r' q_1, s' q_2) = 1$.

Let $(\mathcal{P}_{r,s})_+$ denote the presentation $\mathcal{P}_{r,s}$ with the additional relation $a_1^{p_1}$. In $(\mathcal{P}_{r,s})_+$, we can replace $a_1^{p_1} \cdot b_1^{p_2} \rightsquigarrow b_1^{p_2}$ by left multiplying with $a_1^{-p_1}$, then replace $a_2(a_1^r)a_2^{-1}(a_1^r)^{-r_1} \rightsquigarrow [a_2, a_1^r]$ by right multiplying with $a_1^{r_1-1}$ (which works since $r_i \equiv 1 \pmod{p_i}$), and similarly $b_2(b_1^s)b_2^{-1}(b_1^s)^{-r_2} \rightsquigarrow [b_2, b_1^s]$. This implies that $(\mathcal{P}_{r,s})_+$ and $\mathcal{P}_r^{(1)} * \mathcal{P}_s^{(2)}$ are Q -equivalent and so we have:

$$X_{\mathcal{P}_{r,s}} \vee S^2 \simeq X_{(\mathcal{P}_{r,s})_+} \simeq X_{\mathcal{P}_r^{(1)}} \vee X_{\mathcal{P}_s^{(2)}}.$$

Note that $\mathcal{P}_{1,s}$ differs from $\mathcal{P}_{r,s}$ by changing $a_2a_1a_2^{-1}a_1^{-r_1} \rightsquigarrow a_2(a_1^r)a_2^{-1}(a_1^r)^{-r_1}$. Since both relations hold in G , we can add $a_2(a_1^r)a_2^{-1}(a_1^r)^{-r_1}$ to $\mathcal{P}_{1,s}$ and add $a_2a_1a_2^{-1}a_1^{-r_1}$ to $\mathcal{P}_{r,s}$ to get that $X_{\mathcal{P}_{1,s}} \vee S^2 \simeq X_{\mathcal{P}_{r,s}} \vee S^2$. By symmetry, we also have that $X_{\mathcal{P}_{r,1}} \vee S^2 \simeq X_{\mathcal{P}_{r,s}} \vee S^2$ and so $X_{\mathcal{P}_r^{(1)}} \vee X_{\mathcal{P}_s^{(2)}} \simeq X_{\mathcal{P}_1^{(1)}} \vee X_{\mathcal{P}_1^{(2)}}$. \square

The following can be found in [50, Theorem 1.2 (3)(iv)]. This can also be deduced by combining the earlier work [64, Proposition 9] with [15, Theorem 1.7].

Lemma 10.9. *Let $G = (\mathbb{Z}/p)^n$ for p prime and $n \geq 1$. Let $\delta(G)$ denote the number of $\text{Aut}(G)$ -isomorphism classes of modules $\pi_2(X_{\mathcal{P}})$ for \mathcal{P} a presentation with $\text{def}(\mathcal{P}) = \text{def}(G)$. If $p = 2$, then $\delta(G) = 1$ and, if p is odd, then:*

$$\delta(G) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{p-1}{2}, n-1\right), & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ \left(\frac{p-1}{2}, \frac{n-1}{2}\right), & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Proof of Theorem 10.6. Let $k \geq 2$ and, for $i = 1, \dots, k$, let p_i be distinct primes with $p_i \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and let $G_i = (\mathbb{Z}/p_i)^3$. By Lemma 10.9, we have that $\delta(G_i) = 2$ and so there exists presentations $\mathcal{P}^{(i)}, \mathcal{Q}^{(i)}$ for G_i such that $\text{def}(\mathcal{P}^{(i)}) = \text{def}(\mathcal{Q}^{(i)}) = \text{def}(G)$ and $\pi_2(X_{\mathcal{P}^{(i)}}) \not\cong \pi_2(X_{\mathcal{Q}^{(i)}})$ are not $\text{Aut}(G_i)$ -isomorphic.

Similarly to the proof of Theorem 10.2, $\pi_2(X_{\mathcal{P}^{(i)}}), \pi_2(X_{\mathcal{Q}^{(i)}}) \in \Omega_3^{G_i}(\mathbb{Z})$ are minimal by [68, Proposition 2.1]. This implies that $\mathbb{Z}G_i \dagger \pi_2(X_{\mathcal{P}^{(i)}}), \pi_2(X_{\mathcal{Q}^{(i)}})$ for all i . By Proposition 10.8, we have that

$$X_{\mathcal{P}^{(1)}} \vee \dots \vee X_{\mathcal{P}^{(k)}} \simeq X_{\mathcal{Q}^{(1)}} \vee \dots \vee X_{\mathcal{Q}^{(k)}}$$

and so $\pi_2(X_{\mathcal{P}^{(1)}} \vee \dots \vee X_{\mathcal{P}^{(k)}}) \cong \pi_2(X_{\mathcal{Q}^{(1)}} \vee \dots \vee X_{\mathcal{Q}^{(k)}})$, as required. \square

11. THE UNSTABLE CLASSIFICATION OF SMOOTH 4-MANIFOLDS

The aim of this section will be to discuss applications of stably free $\mathbb{Z}G$ -modules to the unstable classification of smooth 4-manifolds. Whilst we will restrict our attention to 4-manifolds, it also possible to use the examples in Theorem B' for $n \geq 3$ to study the unstable classification of $2n$ -manifolds. For brevity, we will not discuss this here.

All manifolds will be assumed to be smooth and connected but not necessarily closed. We will let \cong denote homeomorphism and let \cong_{Diff} denote diffeomorphism.

11.1. Boundary of thickenings construction. Let X be a finite 2-complex. By [16, Statement 7.2], X is simple homotopy equivalent to a finite simplicial 2-complex X' . By a general position argument, there exists an embedding $i : X' \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^5$ and a smooth regular neighbourhood $N(i)$ of this embedding which is unique up to diffeomorphism (see, for example, [47, Section II]). We define $M(X) := \partial N(i)$, which is a closed smooth 4-manifold. This depends a priori on the choice of X' and embedding i , but we will omit these choices from the notation. For convenience, we will refer to $M(X)$ as a *model* when we have fixed some choices of X' and i to obtain a well-defined manifold.

Recall that two closed smooth 4-manifolds M_1, M_2 are *smoothly s -cobordant*, written $\cong_{s\text{Cob}}$, if there exists a smooth 5-manifold with boundary W such that $\partial W \cong_{\text{Diff}} M_1 \sqcup M_2$ and, for $i = 1, 2$, the induced inclusion maps

$$\iota_i : M_i \hookrightarrow \partial W \hookrightarrow W$$

are simple homotopy equivalences. If two closed smooth 4-manifolds are smoothly s -cobordant, then they are simple homotopy equivalent and also stably diffeomorphic (see [44, Theorem 3.4]).

The following is implicit in [69] (see also [47, p15] and [11, Proposition 5 & 6]). This gives a sense in which the construction $X \mapsto M(X)$ is well-defined.

Proposition 11.1. *Let X and Y be finite 2-complexes such that $X \simeq_s Y$. Then, for any models $M(X)$ and $M(Y)$, we have $M(X) \cong_{\text{sCob}} M(Y)$. In particular, they are stably diffeomorphic.*

The following special case will be useful later on.

Lemma 11.2. *Let X be a finite 2-complex. Then there exists models $M(X)$ and $M(X \vee S^2)$ such that $M(X \vee S^2) \cong_{\text{Diff}} M(X) \# (S^2 \times S^2)$.*

Proof. Let X' be a finite simplicial 2-complex such that $X \simeq_s X'$, let $i : X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^5$, let $N(i)$ be a smooth regular neighbourhood of i and let $M(X) = \partial N(i)$.

We have $X \vee S^2 \simeq_s X' \vee \Delta$ where Δ is a triangle and X' and Δ are wedged at a 0-simplex so that $X' \vee \Delta$ is a finite simplicial 2-complex. By embedding Δ in a sufficiently small neighbourhood of the wedge point, we can extend i to an embedding $i_+ : X' \vee \Delta \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^5$ so that $N(i_+) = N(i) \natural (S^2 \times D^3)$ is a smooth regular neighbourhood of i_+ where \natural denotes the boundary connected sum. We then take $M(X \vee S^2) = \partial N(i_+)$ and so $M(X \vee S^2) \cong_{\text{Diff}} \partial N(i) \# \partial (S^2 \times D^3) \cong_{\text{Diff}} M(X) \# (S^2 \times S^2)$. \square

11.2. Proof of Theorem 1.4. The aim of this section will be to prove the following theorem from the introduction, which we restate here for convenience.

Theorem 1.4. *Let G be a finitely presented group such that $\text{gd}(G) = 2$ and suppose there exists a stably free $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module S which is geometrically realisable and such that $S \oplus S^*$ is not a free $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module. Then both $\mathcal{M}^{\text{Diff}}(G)$ and $\mathcal{M}(G)$ fail cancellation at level k .*

We will begin by recalling the basic algebraic topology of $M(X)$ for X a finite 2-complex.

Lemma 11.4. *Let X be a finite 2-complex with $\pi_1(X) \cong G$. Then $M(X)$ is a closed smooth 4-manifold such that $\pi_1(M(X)) \cong G$ and there is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}G$ -modules:*

$$\pi_2(M(X)) \cong H^2(X; \mathbb{Z}G) \oplus H_2(X; \mathbb{Z}G).$$

Proof. This follows from the argument given in [47, Section II] in the case where G is finite. The general case was proven in [28, Theorem 4.2] (see also [29, Lemma 5.7]). \square

Lemma 11.5. *Let G be a finitely presented group such that $\text{cd}(G) = 2$ and let X be a finite 2-complex with $\pi_1(X) \cong G$. Then there are isomorphisms of $\mathbb{Z}G$ -modules:*

$$H_2(X; \mathbb{Z}G) \cong \pi_2(X), \quad H^2(X; \mathbb{Z}G) \cong \pi_2(X)^* \oplus H^2(G; \mathbb{Z}G).$$

Furthermore, $\pi_2(X)$ and $\pi_2(X)^*$ are stably free $\mathbb{Z}G$ -modules.

Proof. The first part follows from the fact that $H_2(X; \mathbb{Z}G) \cong H_2(\tilde{X}) \cong \pi_2(X)$ (see, for example, [35, p81]), and holds for any G finitely presented. Since $\text{cd}(G) = 2$, this is stably free by [29, Lemma 5.4]. By the universal coefficient spectral sequence (see [29, p11]) applied to X , there is an exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow H^2(G; \mathbb{Z}G) \rightarrow H^2(X; \mathbb{Z}G) \rightarrow \pi_2(X)^* \rightarrow H^3(G; \mathbb{Z}G) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $\text{cd}(G) = 2$, we have $H^3(G; \mathbb{Z}G) = 0$. The dual of a stably free module is stably free (the proof coincides with that of Proposition 3.8 (i)) and so $\pi_2(X)^*$ is stably free. This implies it is projective and so the exact sequence splits and so we obtain the required isomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}G$ -modules:

$$H^2(X; \mathbb{Z}G) \cong \pi_2(X)^* \oplus H^2(G; \mathbb{Z}G). \quad \square$$

Proof of Theorem 1.4. By assumption, there exists a finite 2-complex X such that $\pi_1(X) \cong G$ and $\pi_2(X) \cong S$. Since G is finitely presented with $\text{gd}(G) = 2$, there exists a finite aspherical 2-complex Y_0 . Let k be the rank of S as a stably free $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module and let $Y = Y_0 \vee kS^2$. By Lemma 11.2, there exists models $M(Y)$ and $M(Y_0)$ such that $M(Y) \cong_{\text{Diff}} M(Y_0) \# k(S^2 \times S^2)$. Fix a model $M(X)$. By [72, Theorem 13], there exists $r \geq 0$ such that $X \vee rS^2 \simeq_s Y \vee rS^2$ and so, by Proposition 11.1, we have that $M(X) \# t(S^2 \times S^2) \cong_{\text{Diff}} M(Y) \# t(S^2 \times S^2)$ for some $t \geq r$.

We will now prove that $M(X) \not\cong M(Y)$. First note that, by combining Lemmas 11.4 and 11.5, we obtain isomorphisms of $\mathbb{Z}G$ -modules:

$$\pi_2(M(X)) \cong S \oplus S^* \oplus H^2(G; \mathbb{Z}G), \quad \pi_2(M(Y)) \cong \mathbb{Z}G^{2k} \oplus H^2(G; \mathbb{Z}G).$$

Since S is stably free and so projective, we have that $S^{**} \cong S$ by Proposition 3.8 (ii). Since $\text{cd}(G) = 2$, we have $H^2(G; \mathbb{Z}G)^* = 0$ [29, Proposition 4.6]. This gives:

$$\pi_2(M(X))^* \cong S^* \oplus S^{**} \oplus H^2(G; \mathbb{Z}G)^* \cong S \oplus S^*, \quad \pi_2(M(Y)) \cong (\mathbb{Z}G^{2k})^* \oplus H^2(G; \mathbb{Z}G)^* \cong \mathbb{Z}G^{2k}.$$

If $M(X) \simeq M(Y)$ then, by the discussion at the start of Section 6, $\pi_2(M(X))$ and $\pi_2(M(Y))$ are $\text{Aut}(G)$ -isomorphic. By Proposition 3.9, this implies that $\pi_2(M(X))^*$ and $\pi_2(M(Y))^*$ are $\text{Aut}(G)$ -isomorphic. In particular, for some $\theta \in \text{Aut}(G)$, there are isomorphisms of $\mathbb{Z}G$ -modules:

$$S \oplus S^* \cong \pi_2(M(X))^* \cong (\pi_2(M(Y))^*)_\theta \cong (\mathbb{Z}G^{2k})_\theta \cong \mathbb{Z}G^{2k}.$$

This contradicts the hypothesis that $S \oplus S^*$ is non-free. Hence $M(X) \not\cong M(Y)$, as claimed.

Now let \equiv denote either homeomorphism or diffeomorphism. Let $\ell \geq 0$ be minimal for which $M(X) \# \ell(S^2 \times S^2) \equiv M(Y) \# \ell(S^2 \times S^2)$. Since $M(X) \not\cong M(Y)$ implies $M(X) \not\equiv M(Y)$, we have $\ell \geq 1$. Let $M := M(X) \# (\ell-1)(S^2 \times S^2)$, $N := M(Y) \# (\ell-1)(S^2 \times S^2)$ and $N_0 := M(Y_0) \# (\ell-1)(S^2 \times S^2)$. By minimality of ℓ , we have $M \not\equiv N$ and $M \# (S^2 \times S^2) \equiv N \# (S^2 \times S^2)$. Since $M(Y) \equiv M(Y_0) \# k(S^2 \times S^2)$, we have that $N \equiv N_0 \# k(S^2 \times S^2)$ by taking the connected sum with $(\ell-1)(S^2 \times S^2)$. This shows that both $\mathcal{M}^{\text{Diff}}(G)$ and $\mathcal{M}(G)$ fail cancellation at level k , as required. \square

12. FURTHER DIRECTIONS

We will now collect together a list of open problems on unstable classification. The problems are arranged into lists (A) Finitely generated projective $\mathbb{Z}G$ -modules, and (B) Finite 2-complexes. The spirit of these problems is to search for examples which illustrate structural features of each respective classification, much like Wall's list of problems concerning finite 2-complexes [70, List D].

Finitely generated projective $\mathbb{Z}G$ -modules. Recall that, for a projective $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module P , the *rank* is defined as

$$\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}G}(P) = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}G} P)$$

and the *level* is $\ell(P) = \max\{m - n : P \oplus \mathbb{Z}G^n \cong Q \oplus \mathbb{Z}G^m, Q \in P(\mathbb{Z}G)\}$.

Problem A1. *Do non-zero finitely generated projective $\mathbb{Z}G$ -modules have non-zero rank?*

Remark. This is true if G is finite by Swan [66] and, more generally, provided G satisfies Bass' strong conjecture on Hattori-Stallings rank. In particular, it holds if $(\mathbb{Q}, +) \not\leq G$ [25, Lemma 2.8]. This is true for stably free $\mathbb{Z}G$ -modules over all groups G since $\mathbb{Z}G$ is stably finite (see Section 3.1). In particular, if G is torsion free and satisfies the Farrell-Jones conjecture, then $\tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}G) = 0$ and so the statement holds (see Problem A6). It was pointed out by F. E. A. Johnson [37] that, by the examples of Akasaki [1], Problem A1 has a negative answer in the case of infinitely generated projective modules. More specifically, for every non-solvable finite group G there is an infinitely generated projective $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module P which is not free and for which $\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}G} P = 0$.

Problem A2. *Does every stably class in $\tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}G)$ contain a projective $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module of rank one? That is, do we have $\ell(P) = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}G}(P) - 1$ for all finitely generated projective $\mathbb{Z}G$ -modules P ?*

Remark. This is true for G finite by Swan [66]. Since the zero class in $\tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}G)$ contains $\mathbb{Z}G$, this is also true for any group G such that $\tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}G) = 0$. For example, as above, this is true provided G is torsion free and satisfies the Farrell-Jones conjecture.

Problem A3. *For which $k \geq 2$ does there exist a group G and finitely generated projective $\mathbb{Z}G$ -modules P and Q such that $P \oplus \mathbb{Z}G^k \cong Q \oplus \mathbb{Z}G^k$ but $P \oplus \mathbb{Z}G^{k-1} \not\cong Q \oplus \mathbb{Z}G^{k-1}$? (see Fig. 2a)*

Remark. This is open for all $k \geq 2$. Examples here would give further examples of the type considered in Theorem A. Presumably constructing examples which are stably free $\mathbb{Z}G$ -modules would be most straightforward.

Problem A4. *Does every finitely presented group G have a cancellation bound for projective $\mathbb{Z}G$ -modules? That is, does there exist a constant d for which $P \oplus \mathbb{Z}G \cong Q \oplus \mathbb{Z}G$ implies $P \cong Q$ for finitely generated projective $\mathbb{Z}G$ -modules P and Q of rank $\geq d$? (see Fig. 2b)*

Remark. As explained in the introduction, examples do not exist when $\mathbb{Z}G$ is Noetherian (such as if G is polycyclic-by-finite). Examples were constructed in Theorem C for $G = *_{i=1}^{\infty} T$, which is not finitely presented. It would be interesting to know whether or not this example can be modified to give an example over a finitely presented group. For example, $G = *_{i=1}^{\infty} T \cong *_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} T$ is a subgroup of the finitely presented group $(*_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} T) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$, where \mathbb{Z} freely permutes the copies of T , which is isomorphic to $T \times C_{\infty}$. However, the non-free stably free modules of Theorem C all become free upon passage to $\mathbb{Z}[T \times C_{\infty}]$ via extension of scalars. We are indebted to Sam Hughes for discussions on this point.




FIGURE 2. Further branching phenomena

Problem A5. *Does there exist a group G and finitely generated projective $\mathbb{Z}G$ -modules P and Q such that $P \oplus \mathbb{Z}G \cong Q \oplus \mathbb{Z}G$ but $P \oplus P^* \not\cong Q \oplus Q^*$?*

Remark. By Swan [66], there are no examples when G is finite. This is motivated by Theorem 1.4. Given these applications, the main case of interest is therefore the case where G is finitely presented with $\text{cd}(G) < \infty$ and type FL, and where P is stably free.

Problem A6. *Does there exist a torsion free group G and a finitely generated projective $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module which is not stably free?*

Remark. This is a well known problem and appeared, for example, in Wall's problem list [70, Problem A1]. Note that the Farrell-Jones conjecture is a broad generalisation of this question. Many torsion free groups G are known to satisfy the Farrell-Jones conjecture (see [51]) and so the question above has a negative answer in these cases. Whilst the examples obtained in this paper are all stably free, they do at least demonstrate that more elaborate projective modules exist in the case of torsion free groups.

Finite 2-complexes. Recall that a CW-complex X is irreducible if $X \simeq Y \vee Z$ for CW-complexes Y, Z implies that Y or Z is contractible.

Problem B1. *Let X_i, Y_i be irreducible non-simply connected finite 2-complexes. When does*

$$X_1 \vee \cdots \vee X_k \simeq Y_1 \vee \cdots \vee Y_k$$

imply that $X_i \simeq Y_{\sigma(i)}$ for some $\sigma \in S_k$?

Remark. This is motivated by the results in Section 10. Here irreducibility is necessary since it rules out the following two situations:

- (a) *Exchange of subfactors:* If $X \not\cong Z, Y \not\cong *$, then $(X \vee Y) \vee Z \simeq X \vee (Y \vee Z)$.
- (b) *Non-cancellation:* If $X \vee S^2 \simeq Y \vee S^2, X \not\cong Y$, then $X \vee (Z \vee S^2) \simeq Y \vee (Z \vee S^2)$.

The finite 2-complexes given in the proof of Theorem 10.6 are irreducible and so show that some further conditions must be imposed. This was shown to be true by Jajodia [36, Corollary 4] in the case where the X_i, Y_i have a single 2-cell.

Problem B2. For which $k \geq 1$ do there exist finite 2-complexes X_1, X_2 with $\pi_1(X_1) \cong \pi_1(X_2)$ such that $X_1 \vee kS^2 \simeq X_2 \vee kS^2$ and $X_1 \vee (k-1)S^2 \not\simeq X_2 \vee (k-1)S^2$? (see Fig. 2a)

Remark. This is open for all $k \geq 1$. The question was asked in the case $k = 2$ can be found in [22, Problem C] and later appeared in [35, p124]. Following the same method of proof of Theorem B', one imagines that examples in Problem A3 which are stably free could lead to examples here.

Problem B3. Does there exist a finitely presented group G such that, for infinitely many $k \geq 0$, there are homotopically distinct finite 2-complexes at level k ? (see Fig. 2b)

Remark. This is the analogue of Problem A4 for finite 2-complexes. As with Problem B2, examples there which are stably free could lead to examples here. Note that this is equivalent to asking that, for infinitely many $k \geq 0$, there are homotopically distinct finite 2-complexes X_1, X_2 with $\pi_1(X_i) \cong G$ and $\chi(X_i) = k + \chi_{\min}(G)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Akasaki, *A note on nonfinitely generated projective $\mathbf{Z}\pi$ -modules*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **86** (1982), no. 3, 391.
- [2] P. Ara, K. C. O'Meara, and F. Perera, *Stable finiteness of group rings in arbitrary characteristic*, Adv. Math. **170** (2002), no. 2, 224–238.
- [3] V. A. Artamonov, *Projective nonfree modules over group rings of solvable groups*, Mat. Sb. (N.S.) **116(158)** (1981), no. 2, 232–244.
- [4] V. A. Artamonov and A. A. Bovdi, *Integral group rings: groups of invertible elements and classical K-theory*, Algebra. Topology. Geometry, Vol. 27 (Russian), Itogi Nauki i Tekhniki, Akad. Nauk SSSR, Vsesoyuz. Inst. Nauchn. i Tekhn. Inform., Moscow, 1989, Translated in J. Soviet Math. **57** (1991), no. 2, 2931–2958, pp. 3–43, 232.
- [5] H. Bass, *Projective modules over free groups are free*, J. Algebra **1** (1964), 367–373.
- [6] ———, *Algebraic K-theory*, W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York-Amsterdam, 1968.
- [7] G. M. Bergman, *Modules over coproducts of rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **200** (1974), 1–32.
- [8] P. H. Berridge and M. J. Dunwoody, *Nonfree projective modules for torsion-free groups*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **19** (1979), no. 3, 433–436.
- [9] M. Bestvina and N. Brady, *Morse theory and finiteness properties of groups*, Invent. Math. **129** (1997), no. 3, 445–470.
- [10] R. Bieri, *Homological dimension of discrete groups*, second ed., Queen Mary College Mathematics Notes, Queen Mary College, Department of Pure Mathematics, London, 1981.
- [11] I. Bokor, D. Crowley, S. Friedl, F. Hebestreit, D. Kasproski, M. Land, and J. Nicholson, *Connected sum decompositions of high-dimensional manifolds*, 2019–20 MATRIX annals, MATRIX Book Ser., vol. 4, Springer, Cham, 2021, pp. 5–30.
- [12] J. Bowden, D. Crowley, J. Davis, S. Friedl, C. Rovi, and S. Tillmann, *Open problems in the topology of manifolds*, 2019–20 MATRIX annals, MATRIX Book Ser., vol. 4, Springer, Cham, 2021, pp. 647–659.
- [13] K. S. Brown, *Cohomology of groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 87, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1982.
- [14] W. J. Browning, *Homotopy types of certain finite CW-complexes with finite fundamental group*, Ph.D. thesis, Cornell University, 1978.
- [15] ———, *Finite CW-complexes of cohomological dimension 2 with finite abelian π_1* , ETH preprint (unpublished) (1979).
- [16] M. M. Cohen, *A course in simple-homotopy theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 10, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1973.
- [17] P. M. Cohn, *Some remarks on the invariant basis property*, Topology **5** (1966), 215–228.
- [18] S. K. Donaldson, *An application of gauge theory to four-dimensional topology*, J. Differential Geom. **18** (1983), no. 2, 279–315.
- [19] M. J. Dunwoody, *Relation modules*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **4** (1972), 151–155.
- [20] ———, *The homotopy type of a two-dimensional complex*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **8** (1976), no. 3, 282–285.
- [21] M. N. Dyer, *Non-minimal roots in homotopy trees*, Pacific J. Math. **80** (1979), no. 2, 371–380.
- [22] ———, *Trees of homotopy types of (π, m) -complexes*, Homological group theory (Proc. Sympos., Durham, 1977), London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 36, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge-New York, 1979, pp. 251–254.
- [23] G. Elek and E. Szabó, *Sofic groups and direct finiteness*, J. Algebra **280** (2004), no. 2, 426–434.
- [24] M. J. Evans, *Epimorphisms between the free groups in a variety of groups*, J. Algebra **220** (1999), no. 2, 492–511.
- [25] ———, *Relation modules of infinite groups*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **31** (1999), no. 2, 154–162.

- [26] M. H. Freedman, *The topology of four-dimensional manifolds*, J. Differential Geometry **17** (1982), no. 3, 357–453.
- [27] M. Gutierrez and M. P. Latiolais, *Partial homotopy type of finite two-complexes*, Math. Z. **207** (1991), no. 3, 359–378.
- [28] I. Hambleton, *Intersection forms, fundamental groups and 4-manifolds*, Proceedings of Gökova Geometry-Topology Conference 2008, Gökova Geometry/Topology Conference (GGT), Gökova, 2009, pp. 137–150.
- [29] I. Hambleton and A. Hildum, *Topological 4-manifolds with right-angled Artin fundamental groups*, J. Topol. Anal. **11** (2019), no. 4, 777–821.
- [30] I. Hambleton and M. Kreck, *Cancellation of hyperbolic forms and topological four-manifolds*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **443** (1993), 21–47.
- [31] ———, *Cancellation of lattices and finite two-complexes*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **442** (1993), 91–109.
- [32] J. Harlander and J. A. Jensen, *Exotic relation modules and homotopy types for certain 1-relator groups*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. **6** (2006), 2163–2173.
- [33] ———, *On the homotopy type of CW-complexes with aspherical fundamental group*, Topology Appl. **153** (2006), no. 15, 3000–3006.
- [34] C. Hog, M. Lustig, and W. Metzler, *Presentation classes, 3-manifolds and free products*, Geometry and topology (College Park, Md., 1983/84), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1167, Springer, Berlin, 1985, pp. 154–167.
- [35] C. Hog-Angeloni, W. Metzler, and A. J. Sieradski (eds.), *Two-dimensional homotopy and combinatorial group theory*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 197, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.
- [36] S. Jajodia, *On 2-dimensional CW-complexes with a single 2-cell*, Pacific J. Math. **80** (1979), no. 1, 191–203.
- [37] F. E. A. Johnson, *On the rank of projective modules*, (in preparation).
- [38] ———, *Automorphisms of direct products of groups and their geometric realisations*, Math. Ann. **263** (1983), no. 3, 343–364.
- [39] ———, *Stable modules and the D(2)-problem*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 301, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.
- [40] ———, *Stably free cancellation for group rings of cyclic and dihedral type*, Q. J. Math. **63** (2012), no. 3, 623–635.
- [41] ———, *Szygies and homotopy theory*, Algebra and Applications, vol. 17, Springer, London, 2012.
- [42] P. Kamali, *Stably free modules over infinite group algebras*, Ph.D. thesis, University College London, 2010.
- [43] I. Kaplansky, *Fields and rings*, second ed., The University of Chicago Press, Chicago, Ill.-London, 1972, Chicago Lectures in Mathematics.
- [44] D. Kasprowski, M. Powell, and A. Ray, *Counterexamples in 4-manifold topology*, EMS Surv. Math. Sci. **9** (2022), no. 1, 193–249.
- [45] M. Kreck, *Surgery and duality*, Ann. of Math. (2) **149** (1999), no. 3, 707–754.
- [46] ———, *Thoughts about a good classification of manifolds*, Proceedings of the Gökova Geometry-Topology Conference 2015, Gökova Geometry/Topology Conference (GGT), Gökova, 2016, pp. 187–201.
- [47] M. Kreck and J. A. Schafer, *Classification and stable classification of manifolds: some examples*, Comment. Math. Helv. **59** (1984), no. 1, 12–38.
- [48] P. Kropholler and K. Lorenzen, *Group-graded rings satisfying the strong rank condition*, J. Algebra **539** (2019), 326–338.
- [49] J. Lewin, *Projective modules over group-algebras of torsion-free groups*, Michigan Math. J. **29** (1982), no. 1, 59–64.
- [50] P. A. Linnell, *Minimal free resolutions and (G, n) -complexes for finite abelian groups*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **66** (1993), no. 2, 303–326.
- [51] W. Lück and H. Reich, *The Baum-Connes and the Farrell-Jones conjectures in K- and L-theory*, Handbook of K-theory. Vol. 1, 2, Springer, Berlin, 2005, pp. 703–842.
- [52] M. Lustig, *Nielsen equivalence and simple-homotopy type*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **62** (1991), no. 3, 537–562.
- [53] ———, *Infinitely many pairwise homotopy inequivalent 2-complexes K_i with fixed $\pi_1 K_i$ and $\chi(K_i)$* , J. Pure Appl. Algebra **88** (1993), no. 1-3, 173–175.
- [54] S. MacLane and J. H. C. Whitehead, *On the 3-type of a complex*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **36** (1950), 41–48.
- [55] W. H. Mannan, *Realizing algebraic 2-complexes by cell complexes*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **146** (2009), no. 3, 671–673.
- [56] W. S. Massey, *Algebraic topology: an introduction*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977, Reprint of the 1967 edition, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 56.
- [57] W. Metzler, *Über den Homotopietyp zweidimensionaler CW-Komplexe und Elementartransformationen bei Darstellungen von Gruppen durch Erzeugende und definierende Relationen*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **285** (1976), 7–23.
- [58] ———, *Die Unterscheidung von Homotopietyp und einfachem Homotopietyp bei zweidimensionalen Komplexen*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **403** (1990), 201–219.
- [59] J. Nicholson, *Projective modules and the homotopy classification of (G, n) -complexes*, Algebr. Geom. Topol., to appear (2020), arXiv:2004.04252.
- [60] ———, *On CW-complexes over groups with periodic cohomology*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **374** (2021), no. 9, 6531–6557.
- [61] S. O’Shea, *Stably free modules over virtually free groups*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **99** (2012), no. 3, 207–215.

- [62] G. P. Scott and C. T. C. Wall, *Topological methods in group theory*, Homological group theory (Proc. Sympos., Durham, 1977), London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 36, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge-New York, 1979, pp. 137–203.
- [63] J. P. Serre, *Cohomologie des groupes discrets*, Prospects in mathematics (Proc. Sympos., Princeton Univ., Princeton, N.J., 1970), 1971, pp. 77–169. Ann. of Math. Studies, No. 70.
- [64] A. J. Sieradski and M. N. Dyer, *Distinguishing arithmetic for certain stably isomorphic modules*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **15** (1979), no. 2, 199–217.
- [65] J. Stallings, *A finitely presented group whose 3-dimensional integral homology is not finitely generated*, Amer. J. Math. **85** (1963), 541–543.
- [66] R. G. Swan, *Induced representations and projective modules*, Ann. of Math. (2) **71** (1960), 552–578.
- [67] ———, *Projective modules over group rings and maximal orders*, Ann. of Math. (2) **76** (1962), 55–61.
- [68] ———, *Minimal resolutions for finite groups*, Topology **4** (1965), 193–208.
- [69] C. T. C. Wall, *Classification problems in differential topology. IV. Thickenings*, Topology **5** (1966), 73–94.
- [70] ———, *List of problems*, Homological group theory (Proc. Sympos., Durham, 1977), London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 36, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge-New York, 1979, pp. 369–394.
- [71] G. W. Whitehead, *Elements of homotopy theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 61, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1978.
- [72] J. H. C. Whitehead, *Simplicial Spaces, Nuclei and m -Groups*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) **45** (1939), no. 4, 243–327.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, IMPERIAL COLLEGE LONDON, LONDON, SW7 2AZ, U.K.

Email address: john.nicholson@imperial.ac.uk