

INDUCED COACTIONS ALONG A HOMOMORPHISM OF LOCALLY COMPACT QUANTUM GROUPS

KAN KITAMURA

ABSTRACT. We consider induced coactions on C^* -algebras along a homomorphism of locally compact quantum groups which need not give a closed quantum subgroup. Our approach generalizes the induced coactions constructed by Vaes, and also includes certain fixed point algebras. We focus on the case when the homomorphism satisfies a quantum analogue of properness. Induced coactions along such a homomorphism still admit the natural formulations of various properties known in the case of a closed quantum subgroup, such as imprimitivity and adjointness with restriction. Also, we show a relationship of induced coactions and restriction which is analogous to base change formula for modules over algebras. As an application, we give an example that shows several kinds of 1-categories of coactions with forgetful functors cannot recover the original quantum group.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries	3
3. Formal aspects of induced coactions	6
4. Induced coactions along a proper homomorphism	11
5. Analogue of base change	16
6. Morita and K -theoretic properties	21
7. Example: double crossed products with a discrete abelian group	25
Appendix A. Constructions for locally compact quantum groups and coactions	28
References	32

1. INTRODUCTION

Induced coactions of a locally compact group from its closed subgroup on C^* -algebras were studied by Rieffel [25], with a motivation coming from Mackey’s works on imprimitivity. Induced coactions from compact subgroups play an essential role in the reformulation of the Baum–Connes conjecture by Meyer–Nest [19]. Vaes [32] has developed the theory of induction and imprimitivity for a locally compact quantum group and its closed quantum subgroup. This induction procedure for quantum groups gives a fundamental tool to study the quantum analogue of Baum–Connes conjecture in [36], [37] for example.

For a quantum group G and its closed quantum subgroup H with suitable conditions, the quantum homogeneous space G/H can be given by the induced G -coaction $\text{Ind}_H^G \mathbb{C}$ from \mathbb{C} with a trivial H -coaction. In the classical case, a homogeneous space G/H can be also constructed by taking the quotient space of G with the right H -action, or equivalently by taking the fixed point algebra of $C_0(G)$ with the right H -coaction. When the quantum subgroup H is compact, this method still works as shown in [28]. However, in the general non-compact case, we have technical subtleties to construct $C_0^*(G/H)$ as a fixed point algebra. There is difficulty in finding a good notion of “properness” for coactions on C^* -algebras to integrate sufficiently many elements of a C^* -algebra by the Haar measure, which can be an infinite measure. See [26], [8] for some approaches to construct fixed point algebras.

Another interpretation for induced coactions and fixed point algebras is to regard them as (the coordinate rings of) balanced products of non-commutative spaces with quantum group actions, which should be realized as C^* -algebraic cotensor products if exist. For C^* -algebras with coactions of a compact quantum group, such a construction has appeared in [10], [36]. Again when the quantum group is non-compact, it becomes much harder to find appropriate constructions for cotensor products of C^* -algebras.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46L67, Secondary 16T15, 46L08, 19K35.

Key words and phrases. locally compact quantum group; comodule algebra; induction; reconstruction.

In this paper, we give a unified approach to induced coactions for a closed quantum subgroup and fixed point algebras. We introduce a generalized concept of induced coactions along a general homomorphism of locally compact quantum groups, in a similar spirit as in [14]. Next, we introduce a class of homomorphisms with a measure theoretic condition which behaves particularly nicely with our framework. This class of homomorphisms has appeared in [15] in a different formulation, and classically it corresponds to the class of continuous homomorphisms of locally compact groups that are proper as continuous maps of topological spaces. We would like to call such a homomorphism a proper homomorphism in this paper. We construct induced coactions along a proper homomorphism. We do this by decomposing the homomorphism into a closed quantum subgroup and the Pontryagin dual of an open quantum subgroup. Moreover, we use this decomposition to investigate the properties of induced coactions. Thus our work heavily depends on the techniques developed in [32], where induced coactions along closed quantum subgroup were constructed, and those in [12], where the concept of open quantum subgroups was investigated. Also, we should mention that many motivating examples and ideas in this paper come from [23].

For a locally compact quantum group G , we have a new quantum group called the quantum double of G , which contains G as a closed quantum subgroup. It is observed in [23] that the procedure of taking induced coactions from a closed quantum subgroup H of G is compatible with the coactions of their quantum doubles $D(G)$ and $D(H)$. More precisely, if the C^* -algebra A has an H -coaction which extends to a $D(H)$ -coaction, then this extension induces a $D(G)$ -coaction on the induced G -coaction $\text{Ind}_H^G A$. In this paper, we show slightly stronger statement holds for more general settings. This can be seen as a comodule analogue of base change formula for modules over algebras, and it can be stated as follows if we could use cotensor products (see Theorem 5.3 for the precise statement). Consider a commutative diagram of locally compact quantum groups and homomorphisms,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F & \longrightarrow & E \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H & \longrightarrow & G, \end{array}$$

such that $C_0^r(G) \cong C_0^r(E) \square_F C_0^r(H)$ canonically. Then for a C^* -algebra A with an H -coaction, it holds $C_0^r(G) \square_H A \cong C_0^r(E) \square_F A$, if this holds when $A = \mathbb{C}$. Although its conclusion looks quite intuitive, the verification involves several technically naive points. Practically, we consider the situation when E and F are closed quantum subgroups of G and H , respectively. Then it will give a good tool for calculation which reduces the induction procedure to smaller quantum groups.

As already mentioned, a quantum homogeneous space G/H has two (candidates of) interpretations. One is an induced coaction of \mathbb{C} along $H \rightarrow G$, and the other is a fixed point algebra of $C_0^r(G)$ with the right H -coaction. Our approach of induced coactions gives a way to compare them when we formulate the latter interpretation as an induced coaction of $C_0^r(G)$ along a trivial homomorphism $H \rightarrow 1$, where 1 is the trivial group. We give a criterion for their coincidence, and we will also see this coincidence gives a sufficient condition for the case $A = \mathbb{C}$ of the analogue of base change formula above.

For monoidally equivalent two compact quantum groups, it is proved that various properties are preserved in [10], [36] by using cotensor products with the biGalois object. It can be hoped that the techniques of the generalized induced coactions will give some tool to compare two quantum groups which need not be monoidally equivalent but still have certain similarities. As an application, we consider a certain problem of recovering a locally compact quantum group from its category of a certain kind of G -comodules with the forgetful functor, in a similar spirit with Tannaka–Krein reconstruction theorem. For compact quantum groups, a celebrated result was obtained by Woronowicz [38]. But if we consider possibly non-compact cases, the situation becomes significantly difficult, although Tatsuuma managed to give a reconstruction theorem in [30] for locally compact groups.

On the other hand, there is another approach taken by [20], as an answer for a question by Debashish Goswami. Instead of the category $\text{Rep}(G)$ of unitary representations, they consider the category \mathcal{C}^G of G -equivariant C^* -algebras with G -equivariant morphisms of C^* -algebras for a locally compact quantum group G (or more generally a C^* -bialgebra associated with a manageable multiplicative unitary). Then they show that there is a bijective correspondence of a homomorphism $H \rightarrow G$ of locally compact quantum groups and a functor $\mathcal{C}^G \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^H$ which commutes with the forgetful functors $\mathcal{C}^G \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^1 \leftarrow \mathcal{C}^H$. See [20, Theorem 6.1] for detail. We consider similar situations for the 1-categories of coactions with two different kinds of morphisms. One is the 1-category of isomorphism classes of equivariant correspondences

Corr^G , and the other is the equivariant Kasparov category KK^G . For $\mathcal{C} = \text{Corr}$ or KK and a certain pair (G, H) of locally compact quantum groups, we will construct a categorical equivalence $\mathcal{C}^G \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{C}^H$ that commutes with the forgetful functors $\mathcal{C}^G \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^1 \leftarrow \mathcal{C}^H$ up to a natural isomorphism in Corollary 7.5. We also see even when G, H are non-monoidally equivalent finite quantum groups, they can form such a pair. Here we should note that our situation is slightly weaker than that considered in [20], where they impose that the commutativity with forgetful functors must be equality, not up to a natural isomorphism.

We explain the organization of this paper. In Section 2, we recall the basic concepts about locally compact quantum groups defined by [16]. We also fix the notation. In Section 3, we define the formal generalization of induced coactions from closed quantum subgroups. We prove few properties including induction in stages (Theorem 3.8). We also discuss the relationship between two interpretations of quantum homogeneous spaces in Section 3.2. From Section 4, we focus on the case of a proper homomorphism $\phi : H \rightarrow G$ of locally compact quantum groups. In Section 4.1, we show the existence of induced coactions along ϕ when G, H are regular, as a consequence of induction in stages. As a supplementary topic, we give another description of induced coactions when $H = K$ is compact in Section 4.2. This will justify the interpretation of induced coactions as cotensor products for the compact case. In Section 5, we prove the analogue of base change formula for induced coactions (Theorem 5.3). We also give some concrete situations of this theorem by using the terminologies from Appendix A about double crossed products and Yetter–Drinfeld C^* -algebras. For completeness in Section 6, we show several properties of induced coactions along a proper homomorphism ϕ that were previously known in the case of closed quantum subgroups by [32], [23]. We emphasize the functorial aspects of induced coactions. We consider a relationship of induced coactions and twisted tensor products (Proposition 6.3), imprimitivity for reduced crossed products (Proposition 6.4), and adjointness of induction and restriction (Proposition 6.6). Finally in Section 7, we use these techniques to compare categories of coactions of certain double crossed products with a discrete abelian group. Especially, we show there is a pair of non-isomorphic finite quantum groups whose categories of coactions are equivalent in a compatible way with forgetful functors (Corollary 7.5).

Acknowledgements: This work contains the master’s thesis of the author. He appreciates Yasuyuki Kawahigashi, who is the supervisor of the author, for invaluable supports. He is also grateful to Yuki Arano for helpful advices and discussions. This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP21J21283, and the WINGS-FMSP program at the University of Tokyo.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we recall and fix notation and terminologies about quantum groups and their coactions on C^* -algebras. We roughly follow [29] and [17] for general notation about operator algebras and Hilbert C^* -modules.

Let A, B be C^* -algebras. For a non-degenerate c.p. map $f : A \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(B)$, we abuse notation and simply write $f : \mathcal{M}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(B)$ for $\mathcal{M}(f) : \mathcal{M}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(B)$ which is the unique u.c.p. map extending f such that it is strictly continuous on the unit ball of $\mathcal{M}(A)$. We also write 1_A for the unit of the multiplier C^* -algebra $\mathcal{M}(A)$. Unless stated otherwise, $A \otimes B$ always means the spatial tensor product of A and B . We will use $\bar{\otimes}$ for von Neumann algebraic tensor products. For C^* -algebras A, B and Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}'$, we write σ and Σ for the flipping maps $\sigma : A \otimes B \cong B \otimes A$ and $\Sigma : \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}' \cong \mathcal{H}' \otimes \mathcal{H}$, respectively. We also use σ for the multipliers of tensor products of C^* -algebras and the tensor products of von Neumann algebras. We also use the so-called leg-numbering notation. By using this we can write $\sigma = (-)_{21}$. Often we ignore the leg of \mathbb{C} .

When X, Y are sets and $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$ are maps, we write $\text{Ker}(f, g) := \{x \in X \mid f(x) = g(x)\}$. When Y is a subset of a Banach space X , we write $[Y]$ for the norm-closure of the linear span of Y in X .

2.1. Locally compact quantum groups and multiplicative unitaries. Next, we recall and fix the notation about locally compact quantum groups and multiplicative unitaries by following [16], [3], [39].

Definition 2.1. Let A be a C^* -algebra and $\Delta : A \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(A \otimes A)$ be an injective non-degenerate $*$ -homomorphism.

- (1) We say (A, Δ) is a C^* -bialgebra if $(\Delta \otimes \text{id}_A)\Delta = (\text{id}_A \otimes \Delta)\Delta$.
- (2) A C^* -bialgebra (A, Δ) is *bisimplifiable* if $[\Delta(A)(1_A \otimes A)] = [\Delta(A)(A \otimes 1_A)] = A \otimes A$.
- (3) For C^* -bialgebras $(A, \Delta_A), (B, \Delta_B)$ and a non-degenerate $*$ -homomorphism $f : A \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(B)$, we say f is a *homomorphism of C^* -bialgebras* if $\Delta_B f = (f \otimes f)\Delta_A$.
- (4) When M is a von Neumann algebra and $\Delta : M \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \bar{\otimes} M$ is an injective normal unital $*$ -homomorphism, (M, Δ) is a *von Neumann bialgebra* if $(\Delta \bar{\otimes} \text{id}_M)\Delta = (\text{id}_M \bar{\otimes} \Delta)\Delta$.

Definition 2.2 (Locally compact quantum group). Let $G = (M, \Delta)$ be a von Neumann bialgebra, and $h : M_+ \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be a normal semi-finite faithful weight on M .

- (1) The weight h is called a *left* [resp. *right*] *invariant* if for all $x \in h^{-1}(\mathbb{R}_{>0})$ and for all $0 \leq \omega \in M_*$ it holds that $\omega(1)h(x) = h((\omega \otimes \text{id}_M)\Delta(x))$ [resp. $\omega(1)h(x) = h((\text{id}_M \otimes \omega)\Delta(x))$].
- (2) The von Neumann bialgebra $G = (M, \Delta)$ is called a *locally compact quantum group* if there exist a normal semi-finite faithful left invariant weight and a normal semi-finite faithful right invariant weight. Then we write $(L^\infty(G), \Delta_G) := (M, \Delta)$, and its left [resp. right] invariant weight is called a *left* [resp. *right*] *Haar weight*.

Let $G = (L^\infty(G), \Delta_G)$ be a locally compact quantum group. The left or right Haar weight is unique up to a scalar multiplication. Take the left Haar weight h of G . Via the GNS construction of h , we obtain a Hilbert space $L^2(G)$ with $\Lambda : \{x \in L^\infty(G) \mid h(x^*x) < \infty\} \rightarrow L^2(G)$, and a normal faithful $*$ -representation $M \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$. Moreover we can obtain a multiplicative unitary $W^G \in \mathcal{U}(L^2(G)^{\otimes 2})$ in the sense that $W_{23}^G W_{12}^G = W_{12}^G W_{13}^G W_{23}^G$, which is characterized by $W^{G*}(\Lambda(x) \otimes \Lambda(y)) = (\Lambda \otimes \Lambda)\Delta_G(y)(x \otimes 1)$ for any $x, y \in \text{Dom}(\Lambda)$, where $\Lambda \otimes \Lambda$ means the map given by the GNS construction of $h \otimes h$. It holds $\Delta_G = \text{Ad } W^{G*}(-)_2$. We shall write $\mathcal{K}(G) = \mathcal{K}(L^2(G))$ for short, and often identify $\mathcal{K}(G)^*$ as $\mathcal{B}(L^2(G))^*$.

We write $C_0^r(G) := [(\text{id}_{\mathcal{K}(G)} \otimes \mathcal{K}(G)^*)(W^G)] \subset \mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$, which is a well-defined C^* -algebra with $C_0^r(G)'' = L^\infty(G)$. We still write Δ_G for the restriction of Δ_G on $C_0^r(G)$, and it holds $(C_0^r(G), \Delta_G)$ is a well-defined bisimplifiable C^* -bialgebra.

There is a locally compact quantum group \widehat{G} , which we call the dual of G , such that the GNS construction of the left Haar weight of \widehat{G} can be canonically identified with $L^2(G)$ and $W^{\widehat{G}} = \Sigma W^{G*} \Sigma$ under this identification. We write $\widehat{W}^G := W^{\widehat{G}}$. When we write $\Delta_G^{\text{cop}} := \sigma \Delta_G$, then $G^{\text{op}} := (L^\infty(G), \Delta_G^{\text{cop}})$ and $G^{\text{cop}} := (L^\infty(G)^{\text{op}}, \Delta_G)$ are also locally compact quantum groups, where $L^\infty(G)^{\text{op}}$ means the opposite algebra of $L^\infty(G)$. We write J^G for the modular conjugation of the left Haar weight of G . Then we have an isomorphism of von Neumann bialgebras $R^G := \widehat{J}^G(-)^* \widehat{J}^G : L^\infty(G) \rightarrow L^\infty(G^{\text{op, cop}})$ called the unitary antipode, where $\widehat{J}^G := J^{\widehat{G}}$ is the modular conjugation of the left Haar weight of \widehat{G} . Sometimes we use the fact that $J^G \widehat{J}^G$ is a scalar multiplication of $\widehat{J}^G J^G$.

For a locally compact quantum group G we also have the universal version $(C_0^u(G), \Delta_G^u)$ of the C^* -bialgebra $(C_0^r(G), \Delta_G)$, and a canonical homomorphism of C^* -bialgebras $\lambda_G : C_0^u(G) \rightarrow C_0^r(G)$ with $\lambda_G(C_0^u(G)) = C_0^r(G)$.

Definition 2.3. Let G be a locally compact quantum group.

- (1) We say G is a *compact quantum group* if $C_0^r(G)$ is unital.
- (2) We say G is a *discrete quantum group* if \widehat{G} is a compact quantum group.
- (3) We say G is *regular* if the multiplicative unitary $W^G \in \mathcal{U}(L^2(G) \otimes L^2(G))$ is *regular*, i.e. $[(\text{id} \otimes \mathcal{K}(G)^*)(\Sigma W^G)] = \mathcal{K}(G)$.

When a locally compact quantum group G is regular, then \widehat{G} and G^{op} are also regular, and we have $[C_0^r(G)_1 W^G \mathcal{K}(G)_2] = [\mathcal{K}(G)_2 W^G C_0^r(G)_1] = C_0^r(G) \otimes \mathcal{K}(G)$.

We also have a multiplicative unitary $V^G = (\widehat{J}^G \otimes \widehat{J}^G) \widehat{W}^G (\widehat{J}^G \otimes \widehat{J}^G) \in \mathcal{M}(\widehat{J}^G C_0^r(\widehat{G}) \widehat{J}^G \otimes C_0^r(G))$ with respect to the right regular representation of G . This satisfies $\Delta_G = \text{Ad } V^G(-)_1$. We warn that in some references the symbol W is used instead of V , which roughly corresponds to the different choice of left or right for the GNS construction of Haar weights.

2.2. Homomorphisms and bicharacters. We recall several forms of homomorphisms between quantum groups by following [20].

Definition 2.4. Let G, H be locally compact quantum groups.

- (1) A unitary $X \in \mathcal{UM}(C_0^r(H) \otimes C_0^r(\widehat{G}))$ is called a *bicharacter* if $(\Delta_H \otimes \text{id}_{C_0^r(\widehat{G})})X_{12} = X_{13}X_{23}$ and $(\text{id}_{C_0^r(H)} \otimes \Delta_{\widehat{G}})X_{12} = X_{13}X_{12}$.
- (2) A *homomorphism* of locally compact quantum groups from H to G is a homomorphism of C^* -bialgebras $C_0^u(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(C_0^u(H))$. We use a formal symbol $\phi : H \rightarrow G$ to indicate a homomorphism from H to G , and we write $\phi^u : C_0^u(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(C_0^u(H))$ for the homomorphism of C^* -bialgebras associated with ϕ .
- (3) For a homomorphism $\phi : H \rightarrow G$, we define $\phi^r : C_0^r(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(C_0^r(H))$ as a $*$ -homomorphism with $\phi^r \lambda_G = \lambda_H \phi^u$, if it exists. Such a $*$ -homomorphism is unique if it exists, and ϕ^r is necessarily a homomorphism of C^* -bialgebras.

Let G, H be locally compact quantum groups. For a homomorphism $\phi : H \rightarrow G$, it holds that there is the non-degenerate $*$ -homomorphism $\phi^* \Delta_G : C_0^r(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(C_0^r(H) \otimes C_0^r(G))$ with $(\phi^* \Delta_G) \lambda_G = (\lambda_H \otimes \lambda_G)(\phi^u \otimes \text{id}) \Delta_G^u$, and that there is the bicharacter $W^\phi \in \mathcal{UM}(C_0^r(H) \otimes C_0^r(\widehat{G}))$ which satisfies $(\phi^* \Delta_G \otimes \text{id}) W^G = W_{13}^\phi W_{23}^G$. It also holds that $\phi^* \Delta_G = \text{Ad } W^{\phi*}(-)_2$. The correspondence $\phi \mapsto W^\phi$ is bijective as a map from the set of homomorphisms $H \rightarrow G$ to the set of bicharacters in $\mathcal{UM}(C_0^r(H) \otimes C_0^r(\widehat{G}))$. Any homomorphism $C_0^r(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(C_0^r(H))$ of C^* -bialgebras has the form of ϕ^r for some homomorphism $\phi : H \rightarrow G$, and we have $W^\phi = (\phi^r \otimes \text{id})(W^G)$.

For a homomorphism $\phi : H \rightarrow G$, there are well-defined homomorphisms $\widehat{\phi} : \widehat{G} \rightarrow \widehat{H}$ and $\phi^{\text{op}} : H^{\text{op}} \rightarrow G^{\text{op}}$ such that $W^{\widehat{\phi}} = \Sigma W^{\phi*} \Sigma$ and $(\phi^{\text{op}})^u = \phi^u : C_0^u(G) \rightarrow C_0^u(H)$. When $\phi : H \rightarrow G$ and $\psi : G \rightarrow F$ are homomorphisms of locally compact quantum groups, their composition $\psi \phi : H \rightarrow F$ can be given by $(\psi \phi)^u := \phi^u \psi^u$. This is a well-defined homomorphism of locally compact quantum groups and the corresponding bicharacter $W^{\psi \phi}$ satisfies $W_{23}^{\psi \phi} W_{12}^\phi = W_{12}^\psi W_{13}^{\psi \phi} W_{23}^\psi$.

We have the trivial homomorphism $1_{H \rightarrow G} : H \rightarrow G$ determined by the bicharacter $1 \in \mathcal{UM}(C_0^r(H) \otimes C_0^r(\widehat{G}))$. The identity homomorphism $\text{id}_G : G \rightarrow G$ which is given by $\text{id}_{C_0^r(G)}$ satisfies $W^{\text{id}_G} = W^G$.

Similarly to $\phi^* \Delta_G$, for a homomorphism $\phi : H \rightarrow G$ there is the non-degenerate $*$ -homomorphism $\Delta_G^* \phi : C_0^r(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(C_0^r(G) \otimes C_0^r(H))$ with $(\Delta_G^* \phi) \lambda_G = (\lambda_G \otimes \lambda_H)(\text{id} \otimes \phi^u) \Delta_G^u$. Then we can take the unitary $V^\phi \in \mathcal{UM}(\widehat{\mathcal{J}}^G C_0^r(\widehat{G}) \widehat{\mathcal{J}}^G \otimes C_0^r(H))$ such that $(\text{id} \otimes \Delta_G^* \phi) V^G = V_{12}^G V_{13}^\phi$. It holds $\sigma(R^H \otimes R^G)(\phi^* \Delta_G) = (\Delta_G^* \phi) R^G$, and $V^\phi = (\widehat{\mathcal{J}}^G \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{J}}^H) \widehat{W}^\phi (\widehat{\mathcal{J}}^G \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{J}}^H)$. It also follows that $V^\phi = (\text{id} \otimes \phi^r) V^G$ if ϕ^r exists and that $V^\phi = (\widehat{\phi}^{r'} \otimes \text{id}) V^H$ if $\widehat{\phi}^{r'}$ exists, where we write $\widehat{\phi}^{r'} := \widehat{\mathcal{J}}^G \widehat{\phi}^r (\widehat{\mathcal{J}}^H(-)) \widehat{\mathcal{J}}^G$. We write $\widehat{V}^G := V^G$, $\widehat{V}^\phi := V^\phi$ and $\widehat{W}^\phi := W^\phi$ just as \widehat{W}^G and $\widehat{\mathcal{J}}^G$.

2.3. Coactions. Next, we collect definitions about coactions on C^* -algebras of quantum groups.

Definition 2.5. Let G be a locally compact quantum group, and A be a C^* -algebra. Let $\alpha : A \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(C_0^r(G) \otimes A)$ be an injective non-degenerate $*$ -homomorphism.

- (1) We say α is a *left G -coaction* on A if $(\text{id} \otimes \alpha) \alpha = (\Delta_G \otimes \text{id}) \alpha$.
- (2) When α is a left G -coaction on A , we say α is *continuous (in the strong sense)* if $[(C_0^r(G) \otimes 1_A) \alpha(A)] = C_0^r(G) \otimes A$. In this situation, we say $A = (A, \alpha)$ is a *left G - C^* -algebra*.
- (3) When α is a left G -coaction on A , we say α is *continuous in the weak sense* if $[(\mathcal{K}(G)^* \otimes \text{id}_A)(\alpha(A))] = A$.
- (4) Let $(A, \alpha), (B, \beta)$ be pairs of C^* -algebras and left G -coactions, and $f : A \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(B)$ be a $*$ -homomorphism. When f is non-degenerate, f is a *left G - $*$ -homomorphism* if it holds that $\beta f = (\text{id} \otimes f) \alpha$. When α is continuous, we say a $*$ -homomorphism $f : A \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(B)$ (which need not be non-degenerate) is a *left G - $*$ -homomorphism* if for all $a \in A$ and $x \in C_0^r(G)$, it holds that $(x \otimes 1_A)(\beta f(a)) = (\text{id} \otimes f)((x \otimes 1_A) \alpha(a))$.
- (5) Consider a von Neumann algebra M and an injective normal unital $*$ -homomorphism $\alpha : M \rightarrow L^\infty(G) \bar{\otimes} M$. We say α is a *von Neumann algebraic left G -coaction* on M if $(\text{id} \bar{\otimes} \alpha) \alpha = (\Delta_G \bar{\otimes} \text{id}) \alpha$.

We define a right G -coaction $\alpha : A \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(A \otimes C_0^r(G))$ on a C^* -algebra A so that $\sigma \alpha$ is a left G^{op} -coaction on A , and a von Neumann algebraic right coaction in a similar way. Likewise, we also define continuity and a G - $*$ -homomorphism for a right coaction.

For a locally compact quantum group G , a left G -coaction is continuous in the weak sense if it is continuous in the strong sense, and when G is regular the converse also holds by [4, Proposition 5.8].

For a locally compact quantum group G and a left G - C^* -algebra (A, α) , we can consider $\alpha(A)$ as a left G - C^* -algebra with $\Delta_G \otimes \text{id}_A$, and $\alpha : A \rightarrow \alpha(A)$ is a left G - $*$ -isomorphism. When C is a C^* -algebra (with a trivial coaction), $A \otimes C$ is a left G - C^* -algebra with $\alpha \otimes \text{id}$. Also, $C_0^r(G)$ can be considered as a left G - C^* -algebra with Δ_G and as a right G - C^* -algebra again with Δ_G . Unless stated otherwise, we always equip these algebras with the coactions described here.

For a left G - C^* -algebra (A, α) , we can define the reduced crossed product $G \times_r A := [C_0^r(\widehat{G})_1 \alpha(A)] \subset \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{K}(G) \otimes A)$, which is a C^* -algebra with the continuous right \widehat{G} -coaction $\text{Ad } \widehat{V}_{13}^G(-)_{12}$. For a homomorphism $\phi : H \rightarrow G$ and a left G - C^* -algebra (A, α) , there is the left continuous H -coaction $\phi^* \alpha$ on A such that $(\text{id} \otimes \alpha) \phi^* \alpha = (\phi^* \Delta_G \otimes \text{id}) \alpha$. We call $\phi^* \alpha$ the *restriction (or pullback) of α along ϕ* . We sometimes write $\phi^* A$ in this situation to make it clear what quantum group coacts on A . Similarly for a right G - C^* -algebra (A, α) , we can also define the restriction $\alpha^* \phi$ of α along ϕ as the right continuous H -coaction on A which satisfies $(\alpha \otimes \text{id}) \alpha^* \phi = (\text{id} \otimes \Delta_G^* \phi) \alpha$. Also, we sometimes write $A^* \phi$.

Now we recall and fix terminologies about coactions on Hilbert C^* -modules and C^* -correspondences. We refer [2] for the details of the definitions and the constructions. Consider a locally compact quantum

group G and left G - C^* -algebras (A, α) , (B, β) . For a right B -Hilbert module \mathcal{E} , we can define a left or right coaction and its continuity in a similar way as in Definition 2.5, but we additionally require $[\kappa(\mathcal{E})(C_0^r(G) \otimes 1_B)] = C_0^r(G) \otimes \mathcal{E}$ for a left G -coaction κ of \mathcal{E} to be continuous. A left G -coaction κ on \mathcal{E} gives rise to the left coaction on the linking algebra $\mathcal{K}_B(\mathcal{E} \oplus B)$ whose restrictions to B and \mathcal{E} equal β and κ , respectively. By abusing notation we continue to write κ for this coaction on $\mathcal{K}_B(\mathcal{E} \oplus B)$. It is shown in [32, Remark 12.5] that when G is a regular locally compact quantum group and (B, β) is a left G - C^* -algebra, a left G -coaction κ on a right Hilbert B -module \mathcal{E} is automatically continuous, whose corresponding left coaction on $\mathcal{K}_B(\mathcal{E} \oplus B)$ is also continuous.

For an (A, B) -correspondence (\mathcal{E}, π) (i.e. a right Hilbert B -module with a $*$ -homomorphism $\pi : A \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_B(\mathcal{E})$), a continuous left G -coaction κ on \mathcal{E} is a *continuous left G -coaction on (\mathcal{E}, π)* if $\pi : A \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_B(\mathcal{E}) = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{K}_B(\mathcal{E}))$ is a left G - $*$ -homomorphism. In this case we say (\mathcal{E}, π) is a *left G - (A, B) -correspondence*. The inner tensor product of left G - C^* -correspondences has a canonical structure of a left G - C^* -correspondence. We say a left G - (A, B) -correspondence \mathcal{E} is a *left G - (A, B) -imprimitivity bimodule* if \mathcal{E} is also an imprimitivity bimodule. In such a situation, A and B are said to be *left G -Morita equivalent*.

2.4. Closed and open quantum subgroups. We recall the notions of closed quantum subgroups and open quantum subgroups by following [9], [12].

Definition 2.6. Let G, H be locally compact quantum groups and $\phi : H \rightarrow G$ be a homomorphism.

- (1) ϕ gives a *closed quantum subgroup* if $\widehat{\phi}^r$ exists and $\widehat{\phi}^r$ extends to a normal injective unital $*$ -homomorphism $L^\infty(\widehat{H}) \rightarrow L^\infty(\widehat{G})$. We still write $\widehat{\phi}^r$ for this extension.
- (2) ϕ gives an *open quantum subgroup* if ϕ^r exists and ϕ^r extends to a normal surjective unital $*$ -homomorphism $L^\infty(G) \rightarrow L^\infty(H)$. We still write ϕ^r for this extension.

We have followed the definition of a closed quantum subgroup by Vaes. By [9, Theorem 3.5, Theorem 3.6], if $\phi : H \rightarrow G$ gives a closed quantum subgroup then $\phi^u : C_0^u(G) \rightarrow C_0^u(H)$ is surjective. An open quantum subgroup is a closed quantum subgroup by [12, Theorem 3.6].

We collect some properties about open quantum subgroups and their consequences (see Section 2 in [12]). Consider a homomorphism $\iota : H \rightarrow G$ giving an open quantum subgroup. Let $P = P^\iota \in \mathcal{PZL}^\infty(G)$, where \mathcal{P} indicates the set of projections, be the central support of $\iota^r : L^\infty(G) \rightarrow L^\infty(H)$. Then P satisfies $[W^G, P \otimes P] = 0$, and $\Delta_G(P)(P \otimes 1) = P \otimes P = \Delta_G(P)(1 \otimes P)$ in $\mathcal{B}(L^2(G)^{\otimes 2})$. It follows $H = (L^\infty(H), \Delta_H)$ can be canonically identified as $(PL^\infty(G), (P \otimes P)\Delta_G(-))$, with the left and right Haar weights given by restricting those of G via P . We write $p = p^\iota$ for P considered as a coisometry $L^2(G) \rightarrow L^2(H)$, so we have $P = p^*p$. It holds $\iota^r = p(-)p^* : L^\infty(G) \rightarrow L^\infty(H) = pL^\infty(G)p^*$, and $(p \otimes p)W^G = W^H(p \otimes p)$. We also remark that the compatibility of the left Haar weights shows $pJ^G = J^H p$. The calculations

$$\begin{aligned} p_2 W^G p_2^* &= p_2 W^G \Delta_G(P) p_2^* = p_2 W^G (P \otimes P) p_2^* = p_2 (p \otimes p)^* W^H (p \otimes p) p_2^* = p_1^* W^H p_1, \\ W^H &= (p \otimes p) W^G (p \otimes p)^* = p_2 W^\iota p_2^* = p_2 (\text{id} \otimes \widehat{\iota}^r) (W^H) p_2^*, \end{aligned}$$

show that $\widehat{\iota}^r(p(-)p^*) : C_0^r(\widehat{G}) \rightarrow \widehat{\iota}^r C_0^r(\widehat{H})$ is a well-defined conditional expectation by taking $\omega \otimes \text{id}$ for each ω in $\mathcal{B}(L^2(G))_*$ or $\mathcal{B}(L^2(H))_*$ to them. This map also gives the conditional expectations $L^\infty(\widehat{G}) \rightarrow \widehat{\iota}^r L^\infty(\widehat{H})$ and $\mathcal{M}(C_0^r(\widehat{G})) \rightarrow \widehat{\iota}^r \mathcal{M}(C_0^r(\widehat{H}))$.

Finally, we remark that if G is regular, then H is also regular. To see this, since we have

$$\begin{aligned} [(\text{id} \otimes \mathcal{K}(H)^*)(\Sigma W^H)] &= [(\text{id} \otimes \mathcal{K}(H)^*)((p \otimes p)\Sigma W^G(p \otimes p)^*)] \\ &\subset p [(\text{id} \otimes \mathcal{K}(G)^*)(\Sigma W^G)] p^* = p \mathcal{K}(G) p^* = \mathcal{K}(H), \end{aligned}$$

it suffices to show $\mathcal{K}(H) \subset [(\text{id} \otimes \mathcal{K}(H)^*)(\Sigma W^H)]$, which is called *semi-regularity* of H . Note that H is semi-regular if and only if so is \widehat{H} . But as pointed out in the proof of [5, Proposition 9.3], it follows from [4, Proposition 5.7] that semi-regularity passes to any closed quantum subgroups.

3. FORMAL ASPECTS OF INDUCED COACTIONS

First, we recall the description in [32] of induced coactions along a homomorphism $\phi : H \rightarrow G$ of regular locally compact quantum groups which gives a closed quantum subgroup. We write the von Neumann algebra $L^\infty(G/H) := \{x \in L^\infty(G) \mid \text{Ad } V^\phi x_1 = x \otimes 1\}$, and when G, H are regular there is a C^* -subalgebra $C_0^r(G/H) \subset L^\infty(G/H)$. The restrictions of Δ_G give a von Neumann algebraic left G -coaction on $L^\infty(G/H)$ and a continuous left G -coaction on $C_0^r(G/H)$. We note $\text{Ad } V_{12}^G(-)_1$ gives

$L^\infty(\widehat{G}) \vee L^\infty(G/H) \xrightarrow{\sim} G \rtimes_r L^\infty(G/H)$ and $[C_0^r(\widehat{G})C_0^r(G/H)] \xrightarrow{\sim} G \rtimes_r C_0^r(G/H)$. These $*$ -isomorphisms are from algebras with one leg and algebras with two legs, and it will be convenient to distinguish these algebras for later usages of the leg-numbering notation. Let

$$\mathcal{I}^\phi := \{v \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(H), L^2(G)) \mid v\widehat{J}^H x \widehat{J}^H = \widehat{J}^G \widehat{\phi}^r(x) \widehat{J}^G v \text{ for all } x \in L^\infty(\widehat{H})\},$$

which is an $(L^\infty(\widehat{G}) \vee L^\infty(G/H), L^\infty(\widehat{H}))$ -imprimitivity W^* -bimodule (see the remark after [32, Definition 4.2]). Now suppose G, H are regular. There is $I^\phi \subset \mathcal{I}^\phi$ which is a $([C_0^r(\widehat{G})C_0^r(G/H)], C_0^r(\widehat{H}))$ -imprimitivity $(C^*$ -)bimodule by Theorem 6.2 (or more generally Theorem 7.3) and the argument from Theorem 8.2 in [32]. Actually I^ϕ is constructed before $C_0^r(G/H)$, and then $C_0^r(G/H)$ is constructed by using [32, Theorem 6.7], which is the quantum version of Landstad's theorem. It follows that $I^\phi \subset \mathcal{I}^\phi$ is dense with respect to the strong* operator topology of $\mathcal{B}(L^2(G) \oplus L^2(H))$, and that

$$[I^\phi L^2(H)] = [\mathcal{I}^\phi L^2(H)] = L^2(G), \quad \text{and} \quad [I^{\phi*} L^2(G)] = [\mathcal{I}^{\phi*} L^2(G)] = L^2(H). \quad (3.0.1)$$

More generally for a left H - C^* -algebra (A, α) , there exists the C^* -subalgebra $\text{Ind}_\phi A \subset \mathcal{M}(C_0^r(G) \otimes A)$ with the induced left G -coaction. This is constructed as a certain C^* -subalgebra of the C^* -algebra that will turn out to be $\mathcal{M}(G \rtimes_r \text{Ind}_\phi A)$, again by using [32, Theorem 6.7]. Also, by Theorem 7.3 and the proof of Theorem 8.2 in [32], it holds that $[I_1^\phi \alpha(A)] \subset \mathcal{L}_A(L^2(H) \otimes A, L^2(G) \otimes A)$ is a right \widehat{G} - $([C_0^r(\widehat{G})_1 \text{Ind}_\phi A], H \rtimes_r A)$ -imprimitivity bimodule with the continuous right \widehat{G} -coaction given by $\widehat{V}_{13}^G(-)_{12} \widehat{V}_{13}^{\phi*}$. When $A = \mathbb{C}$ we have $\text{Ind}_\phi \mathbb{C} = C_0^r(G/H)$.

Remark 3.1. We note that the imprimitivity theorem for full crossed products is also shown in [32] and locally compact quantum groups are assumed to be strongly regular. But as long as we concern only with the reduced crossed products, regularity is enough to show the results quoted above. Indeed, it is already pointed out by the remark after Theorem 6.2 in the original paper [32] and by Remark 1.5 in [12], that the existence of the imprimitivity bimodule I^ϕ do not require strong regularity. We can continue to check the proofs of the quoted facts still work only with regularity. We list the results of [32] which were stated under the assumption of strong regularity, that we will use hereafter: Theorem 7.2, Theorem 7.3 for reduced crossed products, the proof of Theorem 8.2. We only need these results for injective coactions.

3.1. Definition and first properties. The left G - C^* -algebra $\text{Ind}_\phi A$ above satisfies the characterization due to [32, Theorem 7.2]. In order to consider induced coactions in more general settings, we formally extend that characterization as follows.

Definition 3.2. Let $\phi : H \rightarrow G$ be a homomorphism of locally compact quantum groups, and (A, α) be a C^* -algebra with a left H -coaction which is continuous in the weak sense. We define

$$\widetilde{\text{Ind}}_\phi A := \{x \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{K}(G) \otimes A) \mid x \in (C_0^r(G)' \otimes 1_A)'\text{ and } \text{Ad } V_{12}^\phi(x_{13}) = (\text{id}_{\mathcal{K}(G)} \otimes \alpha)(x)\}.$$

A C^* -algebra C with the left G -coaction given below is called an *induced coaction of (A, α) along ϕ* if following three conditions hold.

- (i) $C \subset \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{K}(G) \otimes A)$ is a non-degenerate C^* -subalgebra with $C \subset \widetilde{\text{Ind}}_\phi A$.
- (ii) The restriction of $\text{Ad } V_{12}^G(-)_{13} : \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{K}(G) \otimes A) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{K}(G) \otimes \mathcal{K}(G) \otimes A)$ gives a well-defined left G -coaction on C which is continuous in the weak sense.
- (iii) $\text{Ad } V_{12}^G(-)_{13} : \widetilde{\text{Ind}}_\phi A \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{K}(G) \otimes C)$ is a well-defined $*$ -homomorphism whose restriction to the unit ball is continuous from the strict topology of $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{K}(G) \otimes A)$ to the strict topology of $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{K}(G) \otimes C)$.

We can also define an induced coaction of a right coaction that is continuous in the weak sense along a homomorphism of locally compact quantum groups, by reversing left and right in the definition above.

Remark 3.3. In the situation of Definition 3.2 above, an induced coaction C of (A, α) along ϕ is unique if it exists by the same argument from [32, Remark 6.8]. Indeed, for any C^* -algebra D satisfying (i) and (ii), it holds that

$$[CD] = [C((\mathcal{K}(G)^* \otimes \text{id} \otimes \text{id})(\text{Ad } V_{12}^G D_{13}))] = C.$$

If D additionally satisfies (iii), we also have $C = [DC] = D$ by symmetry. We will write $\text{Ind}_\phi A$ for the unique induced coaction C of (A, α) along ϕ if it exists, and $\text{Ind}_\phi \alpha$ for its left G -coaction.

Remark 3.4. Let $\phi : H \rightarrow G$ be a homomorphism of locally compact quantum groups and $(A, \alpha), (B, \beta)$ be C^* -algebras with left H -coactions which are continuous in the weak sense. Suppose $\text{Ind}_\phi A$ and $\text{Ind}_\phi B$ exist. It holds $\text{Ind}_\phi A \subset \mathcal{M}(C_0^r(G) \otimes A)$ is a non-degenerate C^* -subalgebra since

$$\begin{aligned} [(\text{Ind}_\phi A)(C_0^r(G) \otimes A)] &= [(\mathcal{K}(G)^* \otimes \text{id} \otimes \text{id})(V_{12}^G(\text{Ind}_\phi A)_{13} V_{12}^{G*}(\mathcal{K}(G) \otimes C_0^r(G) \otimes A))] \\ &= C_0^r(G) \otimes A. \end{aligned}$$

When $f : A \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(B)$ is a non-degenerate left H -*-homomorphism, $(\text{id} \otimes f) \text{Ind}_\phi A$ satisfies (i) and (ii) for the definition of $\text{Ind}_\phi B$. It follows from the previous Remark 3.3 that $\text{id} \otimes f : \text{Ind}_\phi A \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\text{Ind}_\phi B)$ is a well-defined non-degenerate left G -*-homomorphism.

As already mentioned, when $\phi : H \rightarrow G$ is a homomorphism of regular locally compact quantum groups giving a closed quantum subgroup, then the construction of the induced coaction $\text{Ind}_\phi A$ due to Vaes satisfies Definition 3.2 by [32, Theorem 7.2]. Next, we give a few examples of this formal generalization of induced coactions when the homomorphism does not give a closed quantum subgroup.

Example 3.5. Let $\phi : H \rightarrow G$ be a homomorphism of locally compact quantum groups.

- (1) Consider the case of a trivial homomorphism $1_{H \rightarrow 1} : H \rightarrow 1 = G$. Assume H is a locally compact group and X is a locally compact Hausdorff space with a proper left action of H . Then $C_0(H \backslash X)$ gives $\text{Ind}_{1_{H \rightarrow 1}} C_0(X)$. Indeed, we observe that $\mathcal{M}(C_0(H \backslash X)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \widetilde{\text{Ind}}_{1_{H \rightarrow 1}} C_0(X)$ via the quotient map $X \rightarrow H \backslash X$, which shows (i) of Definition 3.2. (ii) is trivial. We show (iii). Take a net $(f_\lambda)_\lambda$ in the unit ball of $\widetilde{\text{Ind}}_{1_{H \rightarrow 1}} C_0(X)$ and $f \in \widetilde{\text{Ind}}_{1_{H \rightarrow 1}} C_0(X)$ such that $\|f_\lambda g - fg\| \xrightarrow{\lambda} 0$ for any $g \in C_0(X)$. Since for any $0 \leq h \in C_0(H \backslash X)$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is some $0 \leq h_\varepsilon \in C_c(X)$ such that for all $x \in X$ we have $\sup_{t \in H} h_\varepsilon(tx) > h(x) - \varepsilon$, it follows $\|f_\lambda h - fh\| \xrightarrow{\lambda} 0$.
- (2) As a tautological example, for any homomorphism $\phi : H \rightarrow G$ of locally compact quantum groups, $(\Delta_G^* \phi) C_0^r(G)$ gives $\text{Ind}_\phi C_0^r(H)$. The conditions (i) and (ii) in Definition 3.2 are clear. The restriction of the map $\text{Ad } V_{12}^\phi(-)_1 : \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{K}(G)) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{K}(G) \otimes C_0^r(H))$ to the unit ball is a homeomorphism onto its image with respect to the strict topologies. Indeed, the inverse is given by $(\text{id} \otimes \omega) \text{Ad } V_{12}^{\phi*}$ for some state ω on $C_0^r(H)$. Since $\widetilde{\text{Ind}}_\phi C_0^r(H) \subset (\Delta_G^* \phi) L^\infty(G)$ by the following Lemma 3.6, (iii) of Definition 3.2 follows from the next commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{\text{Ind}}_\phi C_0^r(H) & \xrightarrow{\text{Ad } V_{12}^G(-)_{13}} & \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{K}(G) \otimes C_0^r(G) \otimes C_0^r(H)) \\ \downarrow (\text{id} \otimes \omega) \text{Ad } V_{12}^{\phi*} & & \uparrow \cup \\ \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{K}(G)) & \xrightarrow{\text{Ad } V^G(-)_1} \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{K}(G) \otimes C_0^r(G)) \xrightarrow{\text{Ad } V_{23}^\phi(-)_{12}} & \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{K}(G) \otimes \Delta^* \phi C_0^r(G)), \end{array}$$

whose vertical arrows are homeomorphic on the unit balls with respect to the strict topologies.

Lemma 3.6. *For a homomorphism $\phi : H \rightarrow G$ of locally compact quantum groups, it holds*

$$(L^\infty(G) \bar{\otimes} L^\infty(H)) \cap \text{Ker}(\Delta_G^* \phi \bar{\otimes} \text{id}, \text{id} \bar{\otimes} \Delta_H) = (\Delta_G^* \phi)(L^\infty(G)).$$

Proof. We write M for the left hand side. It is clear that $M \supset (\Delta_G^* \phi)(L^\infty(G))$. As for the converse inclusion, we observe $\text{id} \bar{\otimes} \Delta_H$ is a right von Neumann algebraic H -coaction on M . Therefore by [31, Theorem 2.6.1] it holds $(1 \otimes 1 \otimes \mathcal{B}(L^2(H))) \vee (\text{id} \otimes \Delta_H)M = M \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{B}(L^2(H))$, but its left hand side is contained in $((\Delta_G^* \phi) L^\infty(G)) \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{B}(L^2(H))$ by the definition of M . \square

Next, we are going to show the induction in stages Theorem 3.8, which gives a foundation of the remaining part. We begin with the following lemma.

Lemma 3.7. *Let $\phi : H \rightarrow G$ be a homomorphism of locally compact quantum groups, (A, α) be a C^* -algebra with a left H -coaction which is continuous in the weak sense, and \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space. If $\text{Ind}_\phi A$ exists, then $\text{Ind}_\phi(A \otimes \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}))$ also exists and is given by $(\text{Ind}_\phi A) \otimes \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$.*

Proof. It is clear that $(\text{Ind}_\phi A) \otimes \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$ satisfies (i) and (ii) in Definition 3.2 for $\text{Ind}_\phi(A \otimes \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}))$. If \mathcal{H} is finite dimensional, (iii) also holds since $\widetilde{\text{Ind}}_\phi(A \otimes \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})) = (\widetilde{\text{Ind}}_\phi A) \otimes \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$. We consider the general case and for each finite dimensional subspace $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{H}$ we write $1_{\mathcal{V}}$ for the unit of $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{V})$.

Fix arbitrarily a net $(x_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ and an element x in the unit ball of $\widetilde{\text{Ind}}_\phi(A \otimes \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}))$ such that $x_\lambda \xrightarrow{\lambda \in \Lambda} x$ with respect to the strict topology of $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{K}(G) \otimes A \otimes \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}))$. For each finite dimensional subspace $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{H}$

we have $(1_{\mathcal{V}})_3(x_{\lambda} - x)^*(x_{\lambda} - x)(1_{\mathcal{V}})_3 \xrightarrow{\lambda} 0$ strictly in $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{K}(G) \otimes A \otimes \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{V}))$ and

$$V_{12}^G(1_{\mathcal{V}})_4(x_{\lambda} - x)_{134}^*(x_{\lambda} - x)_{134}(1_{\mathcal{V}})_4 V_{12}^{G*} \xrightarrow{\lambda} 0$$

strictly in $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{K}(G) \otimes (\text{Ind}_{\phi} A) \otimes \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{V}))$ by the finite dimensional case. Thus for any $z \in \mathcal{K}(G) \otimes (\text{Ind}_{\phi} A) \otimes \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$, it holds

$$\|(\text{Ad } V_{12}^G(x_{\lambda} - x)_{134})z\| = \|z^* V_{12}^G(x_{\lambda} - x)_{134}^*(x_{\lambda} - x)_{134} V_{12}^{G*} z\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \xrightarrow{\lambda} 0.$$

By replacing x and x_{λ} with x^* and x_{λ}^* , respectively, we also get $\|(\text{Ad } V_{12}^G(x_{\lambda}^* - x^*)_{134})z\| \xrightarrow{\lambda} 0$.

It remains to show $\text{Ad } V_{12}^G(x)_{134} \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{K}(G) \otimes (\text{Ind}_{\phi} A) \otimes \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}))$ for any $x \in \widetilde{\text{Ind}}_{\phi}(A \otimes \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}))$. Let Λ be the poset of all finite dimensional subspace of \mathcal{H} with respect to the inclusions, and for each $\mathcal{V} \in \Lambda$ put $x_{\mathcal{V}} := (1_{\mathcal{V}})_3 x (1_{\mathcal{V}})_3$. Then $(x_{\mathcal{V}})_{\mathcal{V}}$ is a norm-bounded net in $\widetilde{\text{Ind}}_{\phi}(A \otimes \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}))$ strictly converging to x in $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{K}(G) \otimes A \otimes \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}))$. For any $z \in \mathcal{K}(G) \otimes (\text{Ind}_{\phi} A) \otimes \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$, the argument above shows $(\text{Ad } V_{12}^G x_{134})z$ is a norm-limit of $(\text{Ad } V_{12}^G(x_{\mathcal{V}})_{134})z \in \mathcal{K}(G) \otimes (\text{Ind}_{\phi} A) \otimes \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$. Similarly it holds $(\text{Ad } V_{12}^G x_{134}^*)z \in \mathcal{K}(G) \otimes (\text{Ind}_{\phi} A) \otimes \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$, and thus we get $\text{Ad } V_{12}^G x_{134} \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{K}(G) \otimes (\text{Ind}_{\phi} A) \otimes \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}))$. \square

Later we will see the stronger compatibility of induced coactions with tensor products holds for the class of proper homomorphism in Corollary 4.4 and Proposition 6.3.

Theorem 3.8. *Let $\phi : H \rightarrow G$, $\psi : G \rightarrow F$ be homomorphisms of locally compact quantum groups and (A, α) be a C^* -algebra with a left H -coaction which is continuous in the weak sense. Suppose $\text{Ind}_{\phi} A$ and $\text{Ind}_{\psi}(\text{Ind}_{\phi} A)$ exist. Then $\text{Ind}_{\psi\phi} A$ also exists and $\text{Ad } V_{12}^{\psi}(-)_{13}$ gives a well-defined left H -*-isomorphism $\text{Ind}_{\psi\phi} A \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ind}_{\psi}(\text{Ind}_{\phi} A)$.*

Proof. It follows from Lemma 3.6 that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{K}(F) \otimes \mathcal{K}(G) \otimes A) \cap (L^{\infty}(F)' \bar{\otimes} L^{\infty}(G)' \otimes 1_A)' \cap \text{Ker} \left(\text{Ad } V_{12}^{\psi}(-)_{134}, \text{Ad } V_{23}^G(-)_{124} \right) \\ & \subset \text{Ad } V_{12}^{\psi} \left(\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{K}(F) \otimes 1_{\mathcal{K}(G)} \otimes A) \cap (L^{\infty}(F)' \otimes 1_{\mathcal{K}(G)} \otimes 1_A)' \right). \end{aligned}$$

Indeed, for any element x in the left hand side and any $\omega \in A^*$ we have $(\text{Ad } V^{\psi*} \otimes \omega)x \in L^{\infty}(F) \otimes 1_{\mathcal{K}(G)}$, which shows $\text{Ad } V_{12}^{\psi*} x \in (L^{\infty}(F)' \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{B}(L^2(G)) \otimes 1_A)'$. Thus there is some C^* -subalgebra $C \subset \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{K}(F) \otimes A) \cap (L^{\infty}(F)' \otimes 1_A)'$ such that $\text{Ind}_{\psi}(\text{Ind}_{\phi} A) = \text{Ad } V_{12}^{\psi} C_{13}$. Since for any $z \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{K}(F) \otimes A)$ it holds

$$\text{Ad}(V_{12}^F V_{13}^{\psi})(z)_{14} = \text{Ad}(V_{12}^F V_{13}^{\psi} V_{23}^{\psi})(z)_{14} = \text{Ad}(V_{23}^{\psi} V_{12}^F)(z)_{14}, \quad (3.8.1)$$

it follows from (ii) of Definition 3.2 for $\text{Ind}_{\psi}(\text{Ind}_{\phi} A)$ that $\text{Ad } V_{12}^F(-)_{13}$ gives a well-defined left F -coaction on C such that $\text{Ad } V_{12}^{\psi} : C \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ind}_{\psi}(\text{Ind}_{\phi} A)$ is a left F -*-isomorphism. In particular, this left F -coaction on C is continuous in the weak sense. Therefore it is enough to show that C satisfies (i) and (iii) of Definition 3.2 of $\text{Ind}_{\psi\phi} A$.

Since $\text{Ind}_{\psi}(\text{Ind}_{\phi} A) \subset \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{K}(F) \otimes \mathcal{K}(G) \otimes A)$ is non-degenerate,

$$C = \left[(\text{id} \otimes \mathcal{K}(G)^* \otimes \text{id}) \left(\text{Ad } V_{12}^{\psi*}(\text{Ind}_{\psi}(\text{Ind}_{\phi} A)) \right) \right] \subset \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{K}(F) \otimes A)$$

is also non-degenerate. For any $z \in C$ we have $\text{Ad } V_{12}^{\psi}(z)_{13} \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{K}(F) \otimes \text{Ind}_{\phi} A)$, and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ad}(V_{13}^{\psi\phi})(z)_{14} &= \text{Ad}(V_{12}^{\psi*} V_{23}^{\phi} V_{12}^{\psi} V_{23}^{\phi*})(z)_{14} \\ &= \text{Ad } V_{12}^{\psi*} ((\text{id} \otimes \text{id} \otimes \alpha)(\text{Ad } V_{12}^{\psi}(z)_{13})) = ((\text{id} \otimes \alpha)(z))_{134}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows $C \subset \text{Ker} \left(\text{Ad } V_{12}^{\psi\phi}(-)_{13}, \text{id} \otimes \alpha \right)$. Therefore C satisfies (i).

We show (iii). By the strict continuity of $\text{Ad } V_{12}^{\psi}(-)_{13} : \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{K}(F) \otimes A) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{K}(F) \otimes \mathcal{K}(G) \otimes A)$ and by (3.8.1), it suffices to show that

$$\text{Ad } V_{12}^F(-)_{134} : \text{Ad } V_{12}^{\psi}(\widetilde{\text{Ind}}_{\psi\phi} A)_{13} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{K}(F) \otimes (\text{Ad } V_{12}^{\psi} C_{13})) = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{K}(F) \otimes \text{Ind}_{\psi}(\text{Ind}_{\phi} A))$$

is a well-defined *-homomorphism and continuous on the unit ball from the strict topology of $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{K}(F) \otimes \mathcal{K}(G) \otimes A)$ to the strict topology of $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{K}(F) \otimes \text{Ind}_{\psi}(\text{Ind}_{\phi} A))$. Moreover, by (iii) of $\text{Ind}_{\psi}(\text{Ind}_{\phi} A)$, it is enough to show that

$$\text{Ad } V_{12}^{\psi}(\widetilde{\text{Ind}}_{\psi\phi} A)_{13} \subset \widetilde{\text{Ind}}_{\psi}(\text{Ind}_{\phi} A) \quad \text{in } \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{K}(F) \otimes \mathcal{K}(G) \otimes A),$$

and that this inclusion is continuous on the unit ball from the strict topology of $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{K}(F) \otimes \mathcal{K}(G) \otimes A)$ to the strict topology of $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{K}(F) \otimes \text{Ind}_{\phi} A)$.

Fix a normal state ω on $\mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$. Since $\text{Ad } V_{12}^\psi(-)_{134} = \text{Ad } V_{23}^G(-)_{124}$ on $\text{Ad } V_{12}^\psi(\widetilde{\text{Ind}}_{\psi\phi} A)_{13}$, we have

$$\text{id}_{\text{Ad } V_{12}^\psi(\widetilde{\text{Ind}}_{\psi\phi} A)_{13}} = (\text{id} \otimes \omega \otimes \text{id} \otimes \text{id}) \text{Ad}(V_{12}^{\psi*} V_{23}^G)(-)_{124}. \quad (3.8.2)$$

We also have

$$\text{Ad } V_{12}^\psi(\widetilde{\text{Ind}}_{\psi\phi} A)_{13} \subset (\widetilde{\text{Ind}}_\phi(A \otimes \mathcal{K}(F)))_{231} \subset \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{K}(F) \otimes \mathcal{K}(G) \otimes A)$$

when we equip $\mathcal{K}(F)$ with a trivial coaction, because for any $z \in \widetilde{\text{Ind}}_{\psi\phi} A$ it holds

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ad}(V_{23}^\phi V_{12}^\psi)(z)_{14} &= \text{Ad}(V_{12}^\psi V_{13}^{\psi\phi} V_{23}^\phi)(z)_{14} = \text{Ad } V_{12}^\psi((\text{id} \otimes \alpha)(z))_{134} \\ &= (\text{id} \otimes \text{id} \otimes \alpha) \text{Ad } V_{12}^\psi(z)_{13}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.7, it follows from (iii) for $\text{Ind}_\phi(A \otimes \mathcal{K}(F)) = (\text{Ind}_\phi A) \otimes \mathcal{K}(F)$ that

$$\text{Ad } V_{23}^G(-)_{124} : \text{Ad } V_{12}^\psi(\widetilde{\text{Ind}}_{\psi\phi} A)_{13} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{K}(F) \otimes \mathcal{K}(G) \otimes \text{Ind}_\phi A)$$

is well-defined and continuous on the unit ball from the strict topology of $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{K}(F) \otimes \mathcal{K}(G) \otimes A)$ to the strict topology of $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{K}(F) \otimes \mathcal{K}(G) \otimes \text{Ind}_\phi A)$.

Therefore by composing this map with the strictly continuous map

$$(\text{id} \otimes \omega \otimes \text{id} \otimes \text{id}) \text{Ad } V_{12}^{\psi*} : \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{K}(F) \otimes \mathcal{K}(G) \otimes \text{Ind}_\phi A) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{K}(F) \otimes \text{Ind}_\phi A),$$

we obtain that the right hand side of (3.8.2) is a well-defined map into $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{K}(F) \otimes \text{Ind}_\phi A)$ with the desired continuity. Now it is easy to check $\text{Ad } V_{12}^\psi(\widetilde{\text{Ind}}_{\psi\phi} A)_{13} \subset \widetilde{\text{Ind}}_\psi(\text{Ind}_\phi A)$. \square

3.2. Quantum homogeneous spaces as quotients. Before going to the next section, we briefly discuss the coincidence of the two possibilities for quantum homogeneous spaces. In the following, for a C^* -algebra A with a right coaction α of a locally compact quantum group G which is continuous in the weak sense, we write $\mathcal{M}(A)^G := \{x \in \mathcal{M}(A) \mid \alpha(x) = x \otimes 1\}$. When the induced coaction $D \subset \mathcal{M}(A) \cong \mathcal{M}(A \otimes \mathbb{C})$ of A along $1_{G \rightarrow 1}$ exists, we write $A^G := D$. In other words, $A^G \subset \mathcal{M}(A)$ is the non-degenerate C^* -subalgebra such that $\mathcal{M}(A^G) = \mathcal{M}(A)^G$ and their strict topologies coincide on the unit balls. If A^G exists, it is a good candidate for the fixed point algebra of A with the right coaction G (see (1) of Example 3.5). For a homomorphism $\phi : H \rightarrow G$ of locally compact quantum group, we want to know when $C_0^r(G)^H$ and $\text{Ind}_\phi \mathbb{C}$ exist and coincide (see also the condition (d⁺) in Remark 5.7). As another consequence of Lemma 3.7, we record a criterion for their coincidence.

Proposition 3.9. *Let $\phi : H \rightarrow G$ be a homomorphism of locally compact quantum groups and suppose $C_0^r(G)^H$ exists. If the restriction of Δ_G gives a left G -coaction on $C_0^r(G)^H$ which is continuous in the weak sense, then $\text{Ind}_\phi \mathbb{C}$ also exists and $C_0^r(G)^H = \text{Ind}_\phi \mathbb{C}$.*

Proof. It is clear that $C_0^r(G)^H$ satisfies (i) and (ii) of Definition 3.2 for $\text{Ind}_\phi \mathbb{C}$. We show (iii). If we consider $C_0^r(G)^H$ as the induced coaction of the left H^{op} - C^* -algebra $(C_0^r(G), \sigma(\Delta_G^* \phi))$ along $1_{H^{\text{op}} \rightarrow 1}$, by Lemma 3.7 we get $\mathcal{K}(G) \otimes (C_0^r(G)^H) = (\mathcal{K}(G) \otimes C_0^r(G))^H$. Here we have equipped $\mathcal{K}(G)$ with a trivial coaction. Therefore $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{K}(G) \otimes C_0^r(G))^H = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{K}(G) \otimes C_0^r(G)^H)$ and on the unit balls these strict topologies coincide by (iii). Now it is easy to see $\text{Ad } V_{12}^G(-)_1 : \text{Ind}_\phi \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{K}(G) \otimes C_0^r(G))^H = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{K}(G) \otimes C_0^r(G)^H)$ is well-defined and continuous on the unit ball with respect to the strict topologies of $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{K}(G))$ and $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{K}(G) \otimes C_0^r(G)^H)$. \square

We do not know this coincidence holds in general, but it is true in many tractable cases.

Example 3.10. Let $\phi : H \rightarrow G$ be a homomorphism of locally compact quantum groups giving a closed quantum subgroup. Then $C_0^r(G/H) = \text{Ind}_\phi \mathbb{C}$ and $C_0^r(G)^H$ exist and coincide in the following cases.

- When G is a locally compact group by (1) of Example 3.5.
- Suppose G, H are regular and ϕ gives an open quantum subgroup. By the proof of Lemma 5.7 and Proposition 6.2 in [12], up to some unitary equivalence $L^2(G) \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathcal{H}_\lambda \otimes \mathcal{H}'_\lambda$ for some Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}_\lambda, \mathcal{H}'_\lambda$, it holds $C_0^r(G/H) \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} 1_{\mathcal{H}_\lambda} \otimes \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}'_\lambda)$. Therefore $L^\infty(G/H) = \mathcal{M}(C_0^r(G/H))$, and the strict topologies with respect to $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{K}(G))$ and $\mathcal{M}(C_0^r(G/H))$ coincide on the unit balls. Now it follows from the non-degenerate inclusion $\mathcal{M}(C_0^r(G/H)) \subset \mathcal{M}(C_0^r(G))^H \subset L^\infty(G/H) = \mathcal{M}(C_0^r(G/H))$ that $C_0^r(G/H)$ satisfies the definition of $C_0^r(G)^H$. Especially this holds when G is discrete.
- This is also the case when H is a compact. This is essentially proved in [28, Theorem 5.1]. See also the remark after Theorem 4.7.

4. INDUCED COACTIONS ALONG A PROPER HOMOMORPHISM

Next, we define proper homomorphisms of locally compact quantum groups, in a similar spirit as closed or open quantum subgroups.

Definition 4.1. Let G, H be locally compact quantum groups and $\phi : H \rightarrow G$ be a homomorphism. We say ϕ is *proper* if $\widehat{\phi}^r$ exists and $\widehat{\phi}^r$ extends to a normal unital $*$ -homomorphism $L^\infty(\widehat{H}) \rightarrow L^\infty(\widehat{G})$. We still write $\widehat{\phi}^r$ for this extension.

Let $\phi : H \rightarrow G$ be a proper homomorphism of locally compact quantum groups in the sense of Definition 4.1 above. Then we can define a von Neumann bialgebra F by $(L^\infty(F), \Delta_F) := (\widehat{\phi}^r(L^\infty(\widehat{H})), \Delta_{\widehat{G}})$. This is a well-defined locally compact quantum group by [5, Proposition 10.5], because $\widehat{\phi}^r(L^\infty(\widehat{H}))$ is closed under $R^{\widehat{G}}$ and the scaling automorphism $\tau_t^{\widehat{G}}$ by [16, Proposition 5.45]. By [9, Theorem 3.3] and [12, Proposition 2.3], $L^\infty(F) \subset L^\infty(\widehat{G})$ identifies $\text{Im } \phi := \widehat{F}$ as a closed quantum subgroup of G , and the surjection $\widehat{\phi}^r : L^\infty(\widehat{H}) \rightarrow L^\infty(F)$ identifies $\widehat{\text{Im}} \phi$ as an open quantum subgroup of \widehat{H} (actually the similar argument as in [12, Proposition 2.3] shows that any homomorphism $L^\infty(\widehat{H}) \rightarrow L^\infty(\widehat{G})$ of von Neumann bialgebras is $\widehat{\phi}^r$ for some proper homomorphism $\phi : H \rightarrow G$). Therefore we have obtained the

decomposition of ϕ into $H \xrightarrow{\bar{\phi}} \text{Im } \phi \xrightarrow{\text{im } \phi} G$, where $\bar{\phi} : H \rightarrow \text{Im } \phi$ is a homomorphism whose dual gives an open quantum subgroup, and $\text{im } \phi : \text{Im } \phi \rightarrow G$ is a homomorphism giving a closed quantum subgroup. This decomposition of ϕ is clearly unique (up to a natural isomorphism which makes the suitable diagram commute). Moreover if H is regular, then $\text{Im } \phi$ is also regular since its dual is regular by the last remark of Section 2.4.

We also note the result [13, Theorem 3.2] that if $\phi : H \rightarrow G$ gives an open quantum subgroup, then $\widehat{\phi}^u : C_0^u(\widehat{H}) \rightarrow C_0^u(\widehat{G})$ is injective. Therefore for a proper homomorphism $\phi : H \rightarrow G$, it follows that $\bar{\phi}^u$ is injective. Since it also holds that $(\text{im } \phi)^u(C_0^u(G)) = C_0^u(\text{Im } \phi)$ by the remark after Definition 2.6, we see $C_0^u(\text{Im } \phi)$ can be canonically identified with the image $\phi^u(C_0^u(G)) \subset C_0^u(H)$.

Finally, we remark it directly follows from [9, Theorem 4.2] and [12, Corollary 7.4] via the decompositions of homomorphisms, that when G, H are locally compact groups, a continuous group homomorphism $\phi : H \rightarrow G$ is proper in the sense of Definition 4.1 if and only if it is proper as a continuous map of topological spaces. In this sense, Definition 4.1 can be regarded as a quantum counterpart of properness (from a measure theoretic viewpoint). See [15] for other aspects of this kind of homomorphisms.

4.1. The general case. We prove the existence of induced coactions along a proper homomorphism of regular locally compact quantum groups. First, we give a detailed description of induced coactions along the dual of an open quantum subgroup.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $\phi : H \rightarrow G$ be a homomorphism of locally compact quantum groups with $\widehat{\phi}$ giving an open quantum subgroup, and (A, α) be a C^* -algebra with a left H -coaction which is continuous in the weak sense. Then $\text{Ind}_\phi A$ exists. Moreover, when we let $p = p^{\widehat{\phi}}$ be the coisometry associated with $\widehat{\phi} : \widehat{G} \rightarrow \widehat{H}$ as in Section 2.4 and put*

$$A^\phi := [(\mathcal{K}(G)^* \otimes \text{id}_A)(p_1 \alpha(A) p_1^*)] \subset \mathcal{M}(A),$$

then the followings hold.

- (1) A^ϕ is a well-defined C^* -algebra, and $\alpha^\phi := p_1 \alpha(-) p_1^* : A^\phi \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(C_0^r(G) \otimes A^\phi)$ is a well-defined left G -coaction on A^ϕ which is continuous in the weak sense.
- (2) $\text{Ind}_\phi A = \alpha^\phi(A^\phi) = p_1 \alpha(A) p_1^*$, and $(\phi^r \otimes \text{id}_A)(\text{Ind}_\phi A) = \alpha(A^\phi)$.
- (3) $(\alpha^\phi)^{-1}(p_1 \alpha(-) p_1^*) : A \rightarrow A^\phi$ is a well-defined conditional expectation.
- (4) $A^\phi = \{a \in A \mid \alpha(a) \in \mathcal{M}(\phi^r C_0^r(G) \otimes A)\}$.

In the proof, we write $P = p^* p \in \mathcal{PZL}^\infty(\widehat{H}) = \mathcal{PZ}(L^\infty(\widehat{H})')$. We recall from Section 2.4 that

$$[W^H, P \otimes P] = 0, \quad (p \otimes p)W^H = W^G(p \otimes p), \quad p_2 W^H = W^\phi p_2, \quad \text{and} \quad p \widehat{J}^H = \widehat{J}^G p.$$

It follows from that $[V^H, P \otimes P] = 0$, $(p \otimes p)V^H = V^G(p \otimes p)$ and $p_1 V^H = V^\phi p_1$, because $V^H = (\widehat{J}^H \otimes \widehat{J}^H) \widehat{W}^H (\widehat{J}^H \otimes \widehat{J}^H)$ and so are V^G and V^ϕ .

Proof. First, we note A^ϕ is a non-degenerate closed $*$ -linear subspace of $A = [(\mathcal{K}(H)^* \otimes \text{id}_A)\alpha(A)]$ by definition. Since $p_1(-) p_1 : C_0^r(H) \rightarrow C_0^r(G) \cong \phi^r C_0^r(G)$ is a conditional expectation, it holds that

$p_1\alpha(-)p_1^* : A \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(C_0^r(G) \otimes A)$ is a well-defined non-degenerate c.c.p. map. We also have as maps from A to $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{K}(G) \otimes C_0^r(H) \otimes A)$ that

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{id} \otimes \alpha) \circ (p_1\alpha(-)p_1^*) &= p_1V_{12}^H\alpha(-)_{13}V_{12}^{H*}p_1^* = V_{12}^\phi p_1\alpha(-)_{13}p_1^*V_{12}^{\phi*} \\ &= (\text{id} \otimes \phi^r \otimes \text{id})(\Delta_G \otimes \text{id})(p_1\alpha(-)p_1^*). \end{aligned} \quad (4.2.1)$$

It follows $\alpha(A^\phi) \subset \alpha(A) \cap \mathcal{M}(\phi^r C_0^r(G) \otimes A)$. Next, we show $p_1\alpha(A)p_1^* \subset p_1\alpha(A^\phi)p_1^*$, which will imply

$$p_1\alpha(A^\phi)p_1^* = p_1(\alpha(A) \cap \mathcal{M}(\phi^r C_0^r(G) \otimes A))p_1^* = p_1\alpha(A)p_1^*. \quad (4.2.2)$$

Since $\mathcal{B}(L^2(H))_* \upharpoonright_{\phi^r L^\infty(G)} = \mathcal{B}(L^2(G))_* \upharpoonright_{L^\infty(G)}$ by the injectivity and normality of ϕ^r , we get

$$\begin{aligned} [p_1\alpha(A)p_1^*] &= [(\mathcal{K}(H)^* \otimes \text{id} \otimes \text{id})(p_2W_{12}^{H*}\alpha(A)_{23}W_{12}^H p_2^*)] \\ &= [(\mathcal{K}(H)^* \otimes \text{id} \otimes \text{id})(W_{12}^\phi p_2\alpha(A)_{23}p_2^*W_{12}^\phi)] \\ &= [(\mathcal{K}(G)^* \otimes \text{id} \otimes \text{id})(W_{12}^G p_2\alpha(A)_{23}p_2^*W_{12}^G)] \\ &= [(\mathcal{K}(G)^* \otimes \text{id} \otimes \text{id})((p \otimes p)_{12}W_{12}^{H*}\alpha(A)_{23}W_{12}^H(p \otimes p)_{12}^*)] \\ &= [(\mathcal{K}(G)^* \otimes \text{id} \otimes \text{id})((p \otimes p)_{12}((\text{id} \otimes \alpha)\alpha(A))(p \otimes p)_{12}^*)] = [p_1\alpha(A^\phi)p_1^*]. \end{aligned}$$

Here we note $p_1(-)p_1^*$ is an injective $*$ -homomorphism on $\mathcal{M}(\phi^r C_0^r(G) \otimes A)$, which contains $\alpha(A^\phi)$. Thus $p_1\alpha(-)p_1^*$ is an isometry on A^ϕ , and we have $[p_1\alpha(A^\phi)p_1^*] = p_1\alpha(A^\phi)p_1^*$. Therefore (4.2.2) follows. By the second part of (4.2.2) we see A^ϕ is actually a C^* -algebra. It also follows that $\alpha^\phi := p_1\alpha(-)p_1^*$ is a well-defined injective non-degenerate $*$ -homomorphism of $A^\phi \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(C_0^r(G) \otimes A)$. Now it is easy to see (3) and (4).

We show (1). By composing $p_2(-)p_2^*$ after (4.2.1), it follows $\alpha^\phi : A^\phi \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(C_0^r(G) \otimes A^\phi)$ is a well-defined left G -coaction on A^ϕ . This is continuous in the weak sense since by using (4.2.2) we get

$$[(\mathcal{K}(G)^* \otimes \text{id})(p_1\alpha(A^\phi)p_1^*)] = [(\mathcal{K}(G)^* \otimes \text{id})(p_1\alpha(A)p_1^*)] = A^\phi.$$

Now it suffices to show that $p_1\alpha(A^\phi)p_1^*$ satisfies Definition 3.2 for $\text{Ind}_\phi A$. (i) and (ii) follow from (4.2.1) and (1). We show (iii). Since on $\widetilde{\text{Ind}}_\phi A$ we have

$$\text{Ad } V_{12}^G(-)_{13} = p_2(\text{Ad } V_{12}^\phi(-)_{13})p_2^* = p_2((\text{id} \otimes \alpha)(-))p_2^*, \quad (4.2.3)$$

it holds

$$\begin{aligned} [(\mathcal{K}(G)^* \otimes \text{id})(\widetilde{\text{Ind}}_\phi A)] &= [(\mathcal{K}(G)^* \otimes \mathcal{K}(G)^* \otimes \text{id})(V_{12}^G(\widetilde{\text{Ind}}_\phi A)_{13}V_{12}^{G*})] \\ &= [(\mathcal{K}(G)^* \otimes \mathcal{K}(G)^* \otimes \text{id})(p_2((\text{id} \otimes \alpha)\widetilde{\text{Ind}}_\phi A)p_2^*)] \\ &\subset [(\mathcal{K}(G)^* \otimes \text{id})(p_1(\alpha\mathcal{M}(A))p_1^*)] \subset \mathcal{M}(A^\phi), \end{aligned}$$

where for the last inclusion we have used the fact by (3) that $p_1\alpha(-)p_1^* : \mathcal{M}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\alpha^\phi(A^\phi))$ is a well-defined c.p. map which is strictly continuous on the unit ball. It follows $\widetilde{\text{Ind}}_\phi A \subset \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{K}(G) \otimes A^\phi)$ because $A^\phi \subset A$ is a non-degenerate C^* -subalgebra. Again by (4.2.3), the map $\text{Ad } V_{12}^G(-)_{13} : \widetilde{\text{Ind}}_\phi A \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{K}(G) \otimes \alpha^\phi(A^\phi))$ is well-defined with the desired continuity. \square

Now we have all pieces to show the existence of induced coactions along a proper homomorphism.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $\phi : H \rightarrow G$ be a proper homomorphism of regular locally compact quantum groups. Then $\text{Ind}_\phi A$ exists for any left H - C^* -algebra (A, α) .*

Proof. We decompose $\phi = (\text{im } \phi)\bar{\phi}$ as in the remark after Definition 4.1. Note that $\text{Im } \phi$ is also regular. Then by Theorem 3.8, the statement is a direct consequence of [32, Theorem 7.2] for $\text{im } \phi$ and Lemma 4.2 for $\bar{\phi}$. \square

Next, we record miscellaneous properties of induced coactions along a proper homomorphism $\phi : H \rightarrow G$ of regular locally compact groups, which will be used later. Again we decompose $\phi = (\text{im } \phi)\bar{\phi}$. We recall that $I^{\text{im } \phi}$ is the $([C_0^r(\widehat{G})C_0^r(G/\text{Im } \phi)], C_0^r(\widehat{\text{Im } \phi}))$ -imprimitivity bimodule as in the beginning of Section 3.

Corollary 4.4. *Let $\phi : H \rightarrow G$ be a proper homomorphism of regular locally compact quantum groups, (A, α) be a left H - C^* -algebra, and B be a C^* -algebra (with a trivial coaction). When we put $p := p^{\widehat{\phi}}$ for the coisometry associated with $\widehat{\phi}$, the followings hold as subsets of $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{K}(G) \otimes A)$ or $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{K}(G) \otimes A \otimes B)$.*

$$(1) \text{Ind}_\phi A = \text{Ind}_{\text{im } \phi} A^{\bar{\phi}} = \text{Ind}_{\bar{\phi}} \bar{\phi}^* A^{\bar{\phi}}.$$

- (2) $[C_0^r(G)_1 \text{Ind}_\phi A] = C_0^r(G) \otimes A^{\bar{\phi}}$.
- (3) $[C_0^r(\widehat{G})_1 \text{Ind}_\phi A] = [I_1^{\text{im } \phi} p_1 \alpha(A) p_1^* I_1^{\text{im } \phi^*}]$.
- (4) $\text{Ind}_\phi(A \otimes B) = (\text{Ind}_\phi A) \otimes B$.

Proof. As for (1), by Theorem 3.8 and Lemma 4.2 we have

$$\text{Ad } V_{12}^{\text{im } \phi}(\text{Ind}_\phi A)_{13} = \text{Ind}_{\text{im } \phi} \text{Ind}_{\bar{\phi}} A = \text{Ind}_{\text{im } \phi} \alpha^{\bar{\phi}}(A^{\bar{\phi}}) = \text{Ad } V_{12}^{\text{im } \phi}(\text{Ind}_{\text{im } \phi} A^{\bar{\phi}})_{13},$$

and it follows $\text{Ind}_\phi A = \text{Ind}_{\text{im } \phi} A^{\bar{\phi}}$. Thus $\text{Ind}_\phi \bar{\phi}^* A^{\bar{\phi}} = \text{Ind}_{\text{im } \phi}(\bar{\phi}^* A^{\bar{\phi}})^{\bar{\phi}} = \text{Ind}_{\text{im } \phi} A^{\bar{\phi}}$ by (4) of Lemma 4.2.

Next, we show (2). By (1) and regularity of V^G , we have

$$\begin{aligned} [C_0^r(G)_1 \text{Ind}_\phi A] &= [C_0^r(G)_1 \text{Ind}_{\text{im } \phi} A^{\bar{\phi}}] \\ &= [(\mathcal{K}(G)^* \otimes \text{id} \otimes \text{id})(C_0^r(G)_2 V_{12}^G(\text{Ind}_{\text{im } \phi} A^{\bar{\phi}})_{13} V_{12}^{G*})] \\ &= [(\mathcal{K}(G)^* \otimes \text{id} \otimes \text{id})(C_0^r(G)_2(\text{Ind}_{\text{im } \phi} A^{\bar{\phi}})_{13})] \\ &= [C_0^r(G) \odot ((\mathcal{K}(G)^* \otimes \text{id}) \text{Ind}_{\text{im } \phi} A^{\bar{\phi}})]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus it is enough to show $[(\mathcal{K}(G)^* \otimes \text{id}_A) \text{Ind}_\phi A] = A$ when ϕ gives a closed quantum subgroup. Here we note that when ϕ gives a closed quantum subgroup, $\text{Im } \phi$ is canonically identified with H itself and $A^{\bar{\phi}} = A^{\text{id}_H} = A$. By using (3.0.1), we see

$$\begin{aligned} [(\mathcal{K}(G)^* \otimes \text{id}) \text{Ind}_\phi A] &= [(\mathcal{K}(G)^* \otimes \text{id})(C_0^r(\widehat{G})_1 \text{Ind}_\phi A)] \\ &= [(\mathcal{K}(G)^* \otimes \text{id})(I_1^\phi \alpha(A) I_1^{\phi^*})] = [(\mathcal{K}(H)^* \otimes \text{id})\alpha(A)] = A. \end{aligned}$$

(3) holds since

$$[C_0^r(\widehat{G})_1 \text{Ind}_\phi A] = [C_0^r(\widehat{G})_1 \text{Ind}_{\text{im } \phi} A^\phi] = [I_1^\phi p_1 \alpha(A^\phi) p_1^* I_1^{\phi^*}] = [I_1^\phi p_1 \alpha(A) p_1^* I_1^{\phi^*}].$$

Now it is easy to see (4) by taking $[(\mathcal{B}(L^2(\widehat{G}))^* \otimes \text{id} \otimes \text{id})(\text{Ad } V_{12}^G(-)_{134})]$ to the following equality assured by (3), $[C_0^r(\widehat{G})_1 \text{Ind}_\phi(A \otimes B)] = [C_0^r(\widehat{G})_1 \text{Ind}_\phi A] \otimes B$. \square

4.2. The compact case. We give a description of induced coactions along a homomorphism $\phi : K \rightarrow G$ from a compact quantum group $K = H$ to a locally compact quantum group G by using cotensor products. Although the content of this section might be well-known to the experts, we contain it since we could not find appropriate references. First, we fix some notation about compact quantum groups. For details, see [22] for example. Then we introduce cotensor products of C*-algebras by following [24], [10], [36]. Especially, we closely follow the notation from Section 2 of [36].

Consider a compact quantum group K with its faithful Haar state h on $C_0^r(K)$. A pair $\rho = (\mathcal{H}^\rho, u^\rho)$ of a finite dimensional Hilbert space \mathcal{H}^ρ and a unitary matrix $u^\rho \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}^\rho) \otimes C_0^r(K))$ is called a finite dimensional unitary (right) representation of K if when we express $u^\rho = (u_{i,j}^\rho)_{i,j}$ with respect

to some orthonormal basis of \mathcal{H}^ρ , it holds $\Delta_K(u_{i,j}^\rho) = \sum_{k=1}^{\dim \mathcal{H}^\rho} u_{i,k}^\rho u_{k,j}^\rho$ for all i, j . We write $\text{Rep}(K)$

for the C*-tensor category of finite dimensional unitary representations, $\text{Irr}(K) \subset \text{Rep}(K)$ for the set of representatives of isomorphism classes of irreducible objects, and $\mathbb{1} = \mathbb{1}_K \in \text{Irr}(K)$ for the trivial 1-dimensional representation of K . Any $\rho \in \text{Rep}(K)$ can be written as a direct sum of elements in $\text{Irr}(K)$, and for $\pi \in \text{Irr}(K)$ we write $\pi \leq \rho$ if ρ contains π as a direct summand. For each $\pi \in \text{Irr}(K)$, we define the positive definite matrix $F^\pi = (F_{i,j}^\pi)_{i,j} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}^\pi)$ as the unique invertible intertwiner from the representation π to its double contragredient representation π^{cc} (which need not be unitary) with $\text{tr} F^\pi = \text{tr}((F^\pi)^{-1})$. Then the quantum dimension of π is denoted by $\dim_q \pi := \text{tr} F^\pi$. As for F^π , all we need here is the following orthogonality relation. For all $\pi, \varpi \in \text{Irr}(K)$, $1 \leq i, j \leq \dim \mathcal{H}^\pi$, and $1 \leq k, l \leq \dim \mathcal{H}^\varpi$, it holds

$$h(u_{i,j}^\pi u_{k,l}^{\varpi*}) = (\dim_q \pi)^{-1} \delta_{\pi, \varpi} \delta_{i,k} F_{l,j}^\pi.$$

Hereafter for each $\pi \in \text{Irr}(K)$, we choose an orthonormal basis $(e_i^\pi)_i$ of \mathcal{H}^π such that it diagonalizes $(F_{i,j}^\pi)_{i,j}$. We define $\mathcal{O}(K) := \bigoplus_{\pi \in \text{Irr}(K)} \mathcal{O}(K)_\pi \subset C_0^r(K)$, where $\mathcal{O}(K)_\rho := (\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}^\rho)^* \otimes \text{id})(u^\rho)$ for each

$\rho \in \text{Rep}(K)$. It is a consequence of Peter-Weyl theorem that $\mathcal{O}(K)$ is a norm-dense Hopf *-subalgebra of $C_0^r(K)$ and $(u_{i,j}^\pi | \pi \in \text{Irr}(K), 1 \leq i, j \leq \dim \mathcal{H}^\pi)$ forms its linear basis.

Fix a right K -C*-algebra (A, α) . Its spectral subspace of each $\rho \in \text{Rep}(K)$ is denoted by $A_\rho := \{a \in A | \alpha(a) \in A \odot \mathcal{O}(K)_\rho\}$. For $a \in A_\rho$, we take the elements $a_{i,j}^\pi \in A$ for $\pi \in \text{Irr}(K)$ with $\pi \leq \rho$ and

$1 \leq i, j \leq \dim \mathcal{H}^\pi$ such that $\alpha(a) = \sum_{\rho \geq \pi \in \text{Irr}(K)} \sum_{i,j=1}^{\dim \mathcal{H}^\pi} a_{i,j}^\pi \otimes u_{j,i}^\pi$. We observe

$$\sum_{\rho \geq \pi \in \text{Irr}(K)} \sum_{i,j=1}^{\dim \mathcal{H}^\pi} \alpha(a_{i,j}^\pi) \otimes u_{j,i}^\pi = \sum_{\rho \geq \pi \in \text{Irr}(K)} \sum_{i,j,k=1}^{\dim \mathcal{H}^\pi} a_{i,k}^\pi \otimes u_{k,j}^\pi \otimes u_{j,i}^\pi.$$

Then we see that $\alpha(a_{i,j}^\pi) = \sum_{k=1}^{\dim \mathcal{H}^\pi} a_{i,k}^\pi \otimes u_{k,j}^\pi$, and $a = \sum_{\rho \geq \pi \in \text{Irr}(K)} \sum_{i=1}^{\dim \mathcal{H}^\pi} a_{i,i}^\pi$, by the linear independence of $\{u_{i,j}^\pi\}_{\pi,i,j}$ and the injectivity of α . Especially $a_{i,j}^\pi \in A_\pi$.

It holds that $(\text{id}_A \otimes h)\alpha : A \rightarrow A_1$ is a conditional expectation onto the non-degenerate C^* -subalgebra $A_1 \subset A$. More generally for any $\rho \in \text{Rep}(G)$, a calculation using $a_{i,j}^\pi$ shows that the continuous A_1 -bimodule map defined by

$$p_\rho^A : A \ni a \mapsto \sum_{\rho \geq \pi \in \text{Irr}(K)} \sum_{i=1}^{\dim \mathcal{H}^\pi} \frac{\dim_q \pi}{F_{i,i}^\pi} (\text{id}_A \otimes h)(\alpha(a)(1_A \otimes u_{i,i}^{\pi*})) \in A, \quad (4.4.1)$$

satisfies $p_\rho^A \upharpoonright_{A_\rho} = \text{id}_{A_\rho}$ and $p_\rho^A(A) = A_\rho$. It turns out that the $*$ -subalgebra $\bigoplus_{\pi \in \text{Irr}(K)} A_\pi \subset A$ is dense since $[(\text{id} \otimes h)(\alpha(A)\mathcal{O}(K)_2)] = A$.

Similar things hold for a left K - C^* -algebra (B, β) . For $\rho \in \text{Rep}(K)$, we let ${}_\rho B := \{b \in B \mid \beta(b) \in \mathcal{O}(K)_\rho \odot B\}$. For $b \in {}_\rho B$, the elements $b_{i,j}^\pi \in {}_\pi B$ with $\beta(b) = \sum_{\rho \geq \pi \in \text{Irr}(K)} \sum_{i,j=1}^{\dim \mathcal{H}^\pi} u_{j,i}^\pi \otimes b_{i,j}^\pi$, also satisfy $\beta(b_{i,j}^\pi) = \sum_{k=1}^{\dim \mathcal{H}^\pi} u_{i,k}^\pi \otimes b_{k,j}^\pi$ and $b = \sum_{\rho \geq \pi \in \text{Irr}(K)} \sum_{i=1}^{\dim \mathcal{H}^\pi} b_{i,i}^\pi$. The $*$ -subalgebra $\bigoplus_{\pi \in \text{Irr}(K)} {}_\pi B \subset B$ is dense.

Now we define the cotensor product by $A \square B := \left[\bigoplus_{\pi \in \text{Irr}(K)} A \square_\pi B \right] \subset A \otimes B$, where we let

$$A \square_\pi B := \{x \in A \otimes B \mid (\alpha \otimes \text{id}_B)(x) = (\text{id}_A \otimes \beta)(x) \in ((A \otimes B) \odot \mathcal{O}(K)_\rho)_{132}\},$$

for each $\rho \in \text{Rep}(K)$. By a similar calculation as that for p_ρ^A , we can show the following lemma.

Lemma 4.5. *Let K be a compact quantum group, (A, α) be a right K - C^* -algebra, (B, β) be a left K - C^* -algebra, and $\rho \in \text{Rep}(K)$. For any $x \in A \otimes B$, we define $r_\rho^{A,B}(x) = r_\rho(x) \in A \otimes B$ by*

$$r_\rho(x) := \sum_{\rho \geq \pi \in \text{Irr}(K)} \sum_{i=1}^{\dim \mathcal{H}^\pi} \frac{\dim_q \pi}{F_{i,i}^\pi} (\text{id}_A \otimes h \otimes h \otimes \text{id}_B) \left(((\alpha \otimes \beta)(x))(1_A \otimes \Delta_K(u_{i,i}^\pi)^* \otimes 1_B) \right).$$

Then $r_\rho : A \otimes B \rightarrow A \square B$ is a well-defined continuous surjective idempotent $A_1 \otimes {}_1 B$ -bimodule map.

Proof. It is clear that $r_\rho : A \otimes B \rightarrow A \otimes B$ is a well-defined continuous $A_1 \otimes {}_1 B$ -bimodule map. It holds $r_\rho = \text{id}$ on $A \square B$, because for any $x \in A \square B$ we have $(\alpha \otimes \beta)(x) = (\text{id} \otimes \Delta_K \otimes \text{id})(\alpha \otimes \text{id})(x)$ and thus

$$\begin{aligned} r_\rho(x) &= \sum_{\rho \geq \pi \in \text{Irr}(K)} \sum_{i=1}^{\dim \mathcal{H}^\pi} \frac{\dim_q \pi}{F_{i,i}^\pi} (\text{id} \otimes ((h \otimes h)\Delta_K) \otimes \text{id}) \left(((\alpha \otimes \text{id})(x))(1 \otimes u_{i,i}^{\pi*} \otimes 1) \right) \\ &= p_\rho^{A \otimes 1^* B}(x) = x, \end{aligned}$$

where $A \otimes 1^* B$ is the right K - C^* -algebra $(A \otimes B, \sigma_{12}(\text{id} \otimes \alpha)\sigma)$. For all $\pi, \varpi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ with either $\pi \neq \varpi$ or $\pi \not\leq \rho$, we see $r_\rho(A_\pi \otimes {}_\varpi B) = 0$. For any $a \in A_\rho$ and $b \in {}_\rho B$, by using $a_{i,j}^\pi$ and $b_{i,j}^\pi$ we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} r_\rho(a \otimes b) &= \sum_{\rho \geq \pi \in \text{Irr}(K)} \sum_{i,j,k,l,m,n=1}^{\dim \mathcal{H}^\pi} \frac{\dim_q \pi}{F_{i,i}^\pi} (\text{id} \otimes h \otimes h \otimes \text{id}) \left((a_{i,k}^\pi \otimes u_{k,l}^\pi \otimes u_{n,m}^\pi \otimes b_{m,n}^\pi)(u_{j,i}^{\pi*} \otimes u_{j,i}^{\pi*})_{23} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\rho \geq \pi \in \text{Irr}(K)} \sum_{i,j=1}^{\dim \mathcal{H}^\pi} \frac{F_{j,j}^\pi}{\dim_q \pi} a_{j,i}^\pi \otimes b_{i,j}^\pi. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we get $r_\rho(A_\rho \odot {}_\rho B) \subset A \square B$. Therefore it holds $r_\rho(A \otimes B) \subset A \square B$ by the continuity of r_ρ and the density of $\bigoplus_{\pi \in \text{Irr}(K)} A_\pi \odot \bigoplus_{\varpi \in \text{Irr}(K)} {}_\varpi B \subset A \otimes B$, which completes the proof. \square

Proposition 4.6. *Let G be a locally compact quantum group, K be a compact quantum group, (A, α) be a right K - C^* -algebra and (B, β) be a left K - C^* -algebra. Suppose γ is a left G -coaction on A which is continuous in the strong sense, such that $(\gamma \otimes \text{id})\alpha = (\text{id} \otimes \alpha)\gamma$. Then $A \square_K B \subset A \otimes B$ is a non-degenerate C^* -subalgebra, and $(A \square_K B, \gamma \otimes \text{id})$ is a well-defined left G - C^* -algebra.*

Proof. First, we note $A \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} B \subset A \otimes B$ is a non-degenerate C^* -subalgebra. We can check

$$A \square_K B = [\{x \in A \otimes B \mid (\alpha \otimes \text{id})(x) = (\text{id} \otimes \beta)(x) \in ((A \otimes B) \odot \mathcal{O}(K))_{132}\}] \supset A \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} B$$

by Lemma 4.5. It follows that $A \square_K B \subset A \otimes B$ is a non-degenerate C^* -subalgebra.

For each $\rho \in \text{Rep}(K)$ it holds $(\gamma \otimes \text{id})r_\rho = (\text{id} \otimes r_\rho)(\gamma \otimes \text{id})$ on $A \otimes B$ by definition, and we see

$$\begin{aligned} [C_0^r(G)_1((\gamma \otimes \text{id})(A \square_K B))] &= [C_0^r(G)_1((\text{id} \otimes r_\rho)(\gamma \otimes \text{id})(A \otimes B))] \\ &= [(\text{id} \otimes r_\rho)(C_0^r(G) \otimes A \otimes B)] = [C_0^r(G) \odot (A \square_K B)]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus it follows $\gamma \otimes \text{id} : A \square_K B \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(C_0^r(G) \otimes (A \square_K B))$ is a well-defined non-degenerate $*$ -homomorphism which gives a continuous left G -coaction. \square

Theorem 4.7. *Consider a homomorphism $\phi : K \rightarrow G$ of locally compact quantum groups and assume K is compact. Let (A, α) be a left K - C^* -algebra. Then $\text{Ind}_\phi A$ exists and is given by $C_0^r(G)^* \phi \square_K A$. Its left G -coaction $\Delta_G \otimes \text{id}$ is continuous in the strong sense.*

Especially, by letting $A = \mathbb{C}$ we get the last case of Example 3.10. Note that we do not need regularity on G . We also note that a homomorphism $\phi : K \rightarrow G$ of locally compact quantum groups is always proper when K is compact, because $C_0^r(\widehat{K})$ is a direct sum of finite dimensional C^* -algebras.

Proof. By Proposition 4.6, $C_0^r(G) \square_K A$ satisfies (i) and (ii) of Definition 3.2 for $\text{Ind}_\phi A$, and its left G -coaction is continuous. We are going to show $(\Delta_G \otimes \text{id}) \widetilde{\text{Ind}}_\phi A \subset \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{K}(G) \otimes (C_0^r(G) \square_K A))$, from which (iii) follows because $\text{Ad } V_{12}^G(-)_{13} : \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{K}(G) \otimes A) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{K}(G) \otimes C_0^r(G) \otimes A)$ is strictly continuous and $C_0^r(G) \square_K A \subset C_0^r(G) \otimes A$ is a non-degenerate C^* -subalgebra.

Via the identification $L^2(G) = \bigoplus_{\pi \in \text{Irr}(K)} (\mathcal{H}^\pi)^{\oplus \dim \mathcal{H}^\pi}$ by Peter–Weyl theorem, for each $\pi \in \text{Irr}(K)$ and $1 \leq i, j \leq \dim \mathcal{H}^\pi$ we put $E_{i,j}^\pi := (e_i^\pi \langle e_j^\pi, - \rangle)^{\oplus \dim \mathcal{H}^\pi} \in \mathcal{K}(K)$. Then we can write

$$V^K = \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^{\dim \mathcal{H}^\pi} E_{i,j}^\pi \otimes u_{i,j}^\pi \mid \pi \in \text{Irr}(K) \right) \in \mathcal{UM} \left(\widehat{J}^K C_0^r(\widehat{K}) \widehat{J}^K \otimes C_0^r(K) \right).$$

For a finite subset $\Pi \subset \text{Irr}(K)$ we let $P^\Pi := \sum_{\pi \in \Pi} \sum_{i=1}^{\dim \mathcal{H}^\pi} E_{i,i}^\pi \in \mathcal{PZ}(\widehat{J}^K C_0^r(\widehat{K}) \widehat{J}^K)$. Now we recall the homomorphism of C^* -bialgebras $\widehat{\phi}^{r'} : \widehat{J}^K C_0^r(\widehat{K}) \widehat{J}^K \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\widehat{J}^G C_0^r(\widehat{G}) \widehat{J}^G)$ with $V^\phi = (\widehat{\phi}^{r'} \otimes \text{id})(V^K)$. Then for any $x \in \widetilde{\text{Ind}}_\phi A$ it holds $\widehat{\phi}^{r'}(P^\Pi)_1 x \widehat{\phi}^{r'}(P^\Pi)_1 \xrightarrow{\Pi \subset \text{Irr}(K)} x$ strictly in $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{K}(G) \otimes A)$. Moreover we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ad } V_{23}^\phi \text{Ad } V_{12}^G \left(\widehat{\phi}^{r'}(P^\Pi)_1 x_{14} \widehat{\phi}^{r'}(P^\Pi)_1 \right) &= \text{Ad } V_{12}^G \text{Ad } V_{13}^\phi \left(\widehat{\phi}^{r'}(P^\Pi)_1 x_{14} \widehat{\phi}^{r'}(P^\Pi)_1 \right) \\ &= V_{12}^G \left((\widehat{\phi}^{r'} \otimes \text{id})(P_1^\Pi V^K) \right)_{13} x_{14} \left((\widehat{\phi}^{r'} \otimes \text{id})(V^{K^*} P_1^\Pi) \right)_{13} V_{12}^{G^*} \\ &= V_{12}^G \widehat{\phi}^{r'}(P^\Pi)_1 ((\text{id} \otimes \alpha)x)_{134} \widehat{\phi}^{r'}(P^\Pi)_1 V_{12}^{G^*} = (\text{id} \otimes \text{id} \otimes \alpha) \text{Ad } V_{12}^G \widehat{\phi}^{r'}(P^\Pi)_1 x_{13} \widehat{\phi}^{r'}(P^\Pi)_1. \end{aligned} \tag{4.7.1}$$

Put $\rho := \bigoplus_{\pi \in \Pi} \pi \in \text{Rep}(K)$ and $\widetilde{\rho} := \rho \oplus \bar{\rho} \in \text{Rep}(K)$, where $\bar{\rho} \in \text{Rep}(K)$ is the unitary conjugate of ρ . Since $P_1^\Pi V^K \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{K}(G) \otimes \mathcal{O}(K))_\rho$, (4.7.1) is an element in $(\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{K}(G) \otimes C_0^r(G) \otimes A) \odot \mathcal{O}(K))_{\widetilde{\rho}}$. Thus for any $c \in \mathcal{K}(G) \otimes (C_0^r(G)_1)$ and $a \in \mathbf{1}A$, it holds that

$$(c \otimes a) V_{12}^G \widehat{\phi}^{r'}(P^\Pi)_1 x \widehat{\phi}^{r'}(P^\Pi)_1 V_{12}^{G^*} \in (\mathcal{K}(G) \otimes C_0^r(G)) \square_{\widetilde{\rho}} A = [\mathcal{K}(G) \odot (C_0^r(G) \square_{\widetilde{\rho}} A)],$$

where we have equipped $\mathcal{K}(G)$ with a trivial coaction, and used $r_{\widetilde{\rho}}^{\mathcal{K}(G) \otimes C_0^r(G), A} = \text{id} \otimes r_{\widetilde{\rho}}^{C_0^r(G), A}$. It follows from the strict continuity of $\text{Ad } V_{12}^G(-)_{13} : \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{K}(G) \otimes A) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{K}(G) \otimes C_0^r(G) \otimes A)$ that

$(c \otimes a)V_{12}^G x_{13} V_{12}^{G*} \in \mathcal{K}(G) \otimes (C_0^r(G) \square_K A)$, and thus $\text{Ad } V_{12}^G x_{13} \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{K}(G) \otimes (C_0^r(G) \square_K A))$ by the non-degeneracy of $C_0^r(G) \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} A \subset C_0^r(G) \square_K A$. \square

5. ANALOGUE OF BASE CHANGE

We go back to the case of induced coactions along general proper homomorphisms. From now we restrict our attention to regular locally compact quantum groups to assure the existence of induced coactions. Throughout this section, we consider the following situation, which changes quantum groups coacting on C^* -algebras.

Condition 5.1. Consider the following diagram of regular locally compact quantum groups and homomorphisms,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F & \xrightarrow{\theta} & E \\ j \downarrow & & \downarrow \iota \\ H & \xrightarrow{\phi} & G, \end{array} \quad (5.1.1)$$

which commutes in the sense of $\phi j = \iota \theta$, such that there is a $*$ -isomorphism $\delta : C_0^r(G) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ind}_\theta j^* C_0^r(H)$ which makes the following diagram commutes,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_0^u(G) & \xrightarrow{\Delta_G^u} \mathcal{M}(C_0^u(G) \otimes C_0^u(G)) & \xrightarrow{\iota^u \otimes \phi^u} \mathcal{M}(C_0^u(E) \otimes C_0^u(H)) \\ \lambda_G \downarrow & & \downarrow \lambda_E \otimes \lambda_H \\ C_0^r(G) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \mathcal{M}(C_0^r(E) \otimes C_0^r(H)). \end{array} \quad (5.1.2)$$

Here we remark that by using the fact $[C_0^r(G) \mathbf{1} (\lambda_G \otimes \text{id}) \Delta_G^u (C_0^u(G))] = C_0^r(G) \otimes C_0^u(G)$, it can be seen that the diagram (5.1.2) commutes if and only if $(\text{id} \otimes \delta) \Delta_G = (\Delta_G^* \iota \otimes \text{id}) \Delta_G^* \phi$ as maps from $C_0^r(G)$. Similarly, this is also equivalent to $(\delta \otimes \text{id}) \Delta_G = (\text{id} \otimes \phi^* \Delta_G) \iota^* \Delta_G$ as maps from $C_0^r(G)$.

We want to compare $\text{Ind}_\phi A$ and $\text{Ind}_\theta j^* A$ for a left H - C^* -algebra A . First, we are going to construct the map to compare two induced coactions formally. It immediately turns out that it is isomorphic in some special case.

Lemma 5.2. Consider the square (5.1.1) with Condition 5.1, and let (A, α) be a left H - C^* -algebra. If $\text{Ind}_\phi A$ and $\text{Ind}_\theta j^* A$ exist, then the followings hold.

- (1) $(\text{id}_{\mathcal{K}(E)} \otimes \alpha)^{-1} (\delta \otimes \text{id}_A) : \iota^* \text{Ind}_\phi A \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\text{Ind}_\theta j^* A)$ is a well-defined injective non-degenerate left E - $*$ -homomorphism.
- (2) Suppose ι gives an open quantum subgroup (or more generally $\widehat{\iota}$ is proper). When $A = \mathbb{C}$, the map in (1) is a $*$ -isomorphism of $\text{Ind}_\phi \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ind}_\theta \mathbb{C}$.

Proof. We show (1). Since its injectivity and equivariance are automatic, we prove well-definedness and non-degeneracy. Also, since $(\text{id} \otimes \alpha) : \text{Ind}_\theta j^* A \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ind}_\theta j^*(\alpha A)$ is a left E - $*$ -isomorphism, it is enough to show the map $(\delta \otimes \text{id}) : \iota^* \text{Ind}_\phi A \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\text{Ind}_\theta j^*(\alpha A))$ is a well-defined non-degenerate $*$ -homomorphism.

We have a non-degenerate inclusion

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta \otimes \text{id}) \text{Ind}_\phi A &= [(\mathcal{K}(G)^* \otimes \text{id} \otimes \text{id} \otimes \text{id})(\text{id} \otimes \delta \otimes \text{id})(\Delta_G \otimes \text{id}) \text{Ind}_\phi A] \\ &\subset [(\mathcal{K}(G)^* \otimes \text{id} \otimes \text{id} \otimes \text{id})((\Delta_G^* \iota \otimes \text{id})(\text{id} \otimes \alpha) \mathcal{M}(C_0^r(G) \otimes A))] \\ &\subset \mathcal{M}(C_0^r(E) \otimes \alpha(A)). \end{aligned}$$

It follows $(\delta \otimes \text{id}) \text{Ind}_\phi A \subset \widetilde{\text{Ind}_\theta j^*(\alpha A)}$ is a non-degenerate C^* -subalgebra, and $\Delta_E \otimes \text{id} \otimes \text{id}$ gives well-defined continuous E -coaction on it. Therefore the argument of Remark 3.3 shows

$$[(\delta \otimes \text{id}) \text{Ind}_\phi A] \text{Ind}_\theta j^*(\alpha A) = \text{Ind}_\theta j^*(\alpha A).$$

Next, we show (2). By (1) it is enough to show $[\delta(\text{Ind}_\phi \mathbb{C})((\text{Ind}_\theta \mathbb{C}) \otimes 1_{\mathcal{K}(H)})] = \delta(\text{Ind}_\theta \mathbb{C})$. First, we observe that the restriction of δ gives a $*$ -isomorphism

$$\delta : \mathcal{M}(C_0^r(G))^H \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{M}(\text{Ind}_\theta C_0^r(H)) \cap (\mathcal{M}(C_0^r(E))^F \otimes 1_{\mathcal{K}(H)}).$$

Then by Remark 3.4 for $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(C_0^r(H))$ we get an injective non-degenerate $*$ -homomorphism

$$f : \text{Ind}_\theta \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{M}(\text{Ind}_\theta C_0^r(H)) \cap (\mathcal{M}(C_0^r(E))^F \otimes 1) \xrightarrow{\delta^{-1}} \mathcal{M}(C_0^r(G)).$$

By construction f is a left E -*-homomorphism. Thus by the normality of ι^r and (iii) of Definition 3.2 for $\text{Ind}_\phi \mathbb{C}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} [(\text{Ind}_\phi \mathbb{C})(f(\text{Ind}_\theta \mathbb{C}))] &= [(\text{Ind}_\phi \mathbb{C})((\mathcal{K}(E)^* \otimes f)\Delta_E(\text{Ind}_\theta \mathbb{C}))] \\ &= [(\text{Ind}_\phi \mathbb{C})((\mathcal{K}(E)^* \otimes \text{id})(\iota^r \otimes \text{id})\Delta_G f(\text{Ind}_\theta \mathbb{C}))] \\ &\subset [(\text{Ind}_\phi \mathbb{C})((\mathcal{K}(G)^* \otimes \text{id})\Delta_G f(\text{Ind}_\theta \mathbb{C}))] \subset \text{Ind}_\phi \mathbb{C}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.2.1)$$

As for the converse inclusion, let $(e_\lambda)_\lambda$ be an approximate unit of $\text{Ind}_\theta \mathbb{C}$ and ω be a normal state on $\mathcal{B}(L^2(E))$. Then we have $(\omega \iota^r \otimes \text{id})\Delta_G f(e_\lambda) \xrightarrow{\lambda} 1$ strictly in $\mathcal{M}(C_0^r(G))$, and thus the two inclusions in (5.2.1) are equalities. By taking δ the desired claim follows. \square

Next, we suppose ϕ and θ are proper, and state the analogue of base change formula.

Theorem 5.3. *Consider the square (5.1.1) with Condition 5.1, and let ϕ and θ be proper. Then the followings are equivalent.*

- (a) *For any left H - C^* -algebra (A, α) , it holds $(\text{id}_{\mathcal{K}(E)} \otimes \alpha)^{-1}(\delta \otimes \text{id}_A) : \iota^* \text{Ind}_\phi A \rightarrow \text{Ind}_\theta j^* A$ is a well-defined left E -*-isomorphism.*
- (b) *(a) holds for $A = \mathbb{C}$.*

Remark 5.4. As in Theorem 5.3 above, consider the situation of Condition 5.1 with ϕ and θ proper. Then the condition (b) in the theorem is equivalent to the following conditions.

- (c) *There is some non-degenerate C^* -subalgebra $D \subset \mathcal{M}(C_0^r(G))$ which is a left G - C^* -algebra by Δ_G , such that $\delta(D) = (\text{Ind}_\theta \mathbb{C}) \otimes 1_{\mathcal{K}(H)}$.*
- (d) *$(\text{Ind}_\theta \mathbb{C}) \otimes 1_{\mathcal{K}(H)} \subset \delta \mathcal{M}(\text{Ind}_\phi \mathbb{C})$ is a non-degenerate C^* -subalgebra.*

Indeed, clearly (b) implies (c). (c) implies (d) because by (iii) of Definition 3.2 for $\text{Ind}_\phi \mathbb{C}$ it holds

$$D = [(\mathcal{K}(G)^* \otimes \text{id}_{C_0^r(G)})\Delta_G(D)] \subset [(\mathcal{K}(G)^* \otimes \text{id}_{C_0^r(G)})\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{K}(G) \otimes \text{Ind}_\phi \mathbb{C})].$$

It is proved at the beginning of the proof of (2) in Lemma 5.2 that (d) implies (b).

To prove Theorem 5.3, we decompose the homomorphisms as we did in Theorem 4.3, and reduce the statement to the cases of closed quantum subgroups and duals of open quantum subgroups. In (4) of Lemma 5.5 next, we prove the latter case at the same time with the reduction step.

Lemma 5.5. *Consider the square (5.1.1) with Condition 5.1, and let ϕ and θ be proper. Then the followings hold.*

- (1) *There is a unique homomorphism $\bar{j} : \text{Im } \phi \rightarrow \text{Im } \theta$ such that the two squares in the following diagram are commutative,*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} F & \xrightarrow{\bar{\theta}} & \text{Im } \theta & \xrightarrow{\text{im } \theta} & E \\ \downarrow j & & \downarrow \bar{j} & & \downarrow \iota \\ H & \xrightarrow{\bar{\phi}} & \text{Im } \phi & \xrightarrow{\text{im } \phi} & G. \end{array} \quad (5.5.1)$$

- (2) *The left square of (5.5.1) satisfies Condition 5.1. The well-defined left $\text{Im } \theta$ -*-isomorphism*

$$(\text{id}_{C_0^r(\text{Im } \theta)} \otimes \bar{\phi}^r)(\bar{j}^* \Delta_{\text{Im } \phi}) : C_0^r(\text{Im } \phi) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ind}_{\bar{\theta}} j^* C_0^r(H)$$

makes the diagram (5.1.2) for this square commute. This square satisfies (b) of Theorem 5.3 automatically.

- (3) *The right square of (5.5.1) satisfies Condition 5.1. The well-defined left E -*-isomorphism*

$$\bar{\delta} := (\text{id}_{C_0^r(E)} \otimes \bar{\phi}^r)^{-1} \delta : C_0^r(G) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ind}_{\text{im } \theta} \bar{j}^* C_0^r(\text{Im } \phi)$$

makes the diagram (5.1.2) for this square commute. This square satisfies (b) of Theorem 5.3 if the original square (5.1.1) satisfies (b).

- (4) *If (a) of Theorem 5.3 holds for the right square of (5.5.1), then (a) for the original square (5.1.1) also holds.*

Proof. (1) follows from the fact that $C_0^u(\text{Im } \phi)$ can be canonically identified with the image of $\phi^u : C_0^u(G) \rightarrow C_0^u(H)$, and so is $C_0^u(\text{Im } \theta)$.

We show (2). The commutativity of the diagram (5.1.2) for this square is easy. By using (2) of Example 3.5 and Corollary 4.4 we calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
C_0^r(H)^{\bar{\phi}} &= [(\mathcal{K}(G)^* \otimes \text{id})(\text{Ind}_\phi C_0^r(H))] = [(\mathcal{K}(G)^* \otimes \text{id})((\Delta_G^* \phi) C_0^r(G))] \\
&= [(\mathcal{K}(G)^* \otimes \mathcal{K}(E)^* \otimes \text{id})(\text{Ad } V_{12}((\Delta_G^* \phi) C_0^r(G))_{13})] \\
&= [(\mathcal{K}(G)^* \otimes \mathcal{K}(E)^* \otimes \text{id})(\text{id} \otimes \delta) \Delta_G C_0^r(G)] = [(\mathcal{K}(E)^* \otimes \text{id})(\delta C_0^r(G))] \\
&= [(\mathcal{K}(E)^* \otimes \text{id})(\text{Ind}_\theta j^* C_0^r(H))] = (j^* C_0^r(H))^{\bar{\theta}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus again a similar calculation shows

$$(j^* C_0^r(H))^{\bar{\theta}} = C_0^r(H)^{\bar{\phi}} = [(\mathcal{K}(\text{Im } \phi)^* \otimes \bar{\phi}^r)(\Delta_{\text{Im } \phi} C_0^r(\text{Im } \phi))] = \bar{\phi}^r C_0^r(\text{Im } \phi). \quad (5.5.2)$$

From this and Lemma 4.2 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(\bar{\theta}^r \otimes \bar{\phi}^r)(j^* \Delta_{\text{Im } \phi}) C_0^r(\text{Im } \phi) &= (j^* \Delta_H) \bar{\phi}^r C_0^r(\text{Im } \phi) = (j^* \Delta_H)(j^* C_0^r(H))^{\bar{\theta}} \\
&= (\bar{\theta}^r \otimes \text{id})((j^* \Delta_H)^{\bar{\theta}})(j^* C_0^r(H))^{\bar{\theta}} = (\bar{\theta}^r \otimes \text{id}) \text{Ind}_{\bar{\theta}} j^* C_0^r(H).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore the desired *-isomorphism follows from the injectivity of $\bar{\theta}^r \otimes \text{id}$. Now (b) of Theorem 5.3 for this square holds because of (1) in Lemma 5.2 and the fact $\text{Ind}_{\bar{\phi}} \mathbb{C} \cong \mathbb{C} \cong \text{Ind}_{\bar{\theta}} \mathbb{C}$.

As for (3), $\bar{\delta}$ is well-defined as a map of $C_0^r(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(C_0^r(E) \otimes C_0^r(\text{Im } \phi))$ because

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta C_0^r(G) &= (\lambda_E \otimes \lambda_H)(\iota^u \otimes \phi^u) \Delta_G^u C_0^u(G) = (\text{id} \otimes \bar{\phi}^r)(\lambda_E \otimes \lambda_{\text{Im } \phi})(\iota^u \otimes (\text{im } \phi)^u) \Delta_G^u C_0^u(G) \\
&\subset (\text{id} \otimes \bar{\phi}^r) \mathcal{M}(C_0^r(E) \otimes C_0^r(\text{Im } \phi)).
\end{aligned}$$

By definition, $\bar{\delta}$ makes the diagram (5.1.2) commute. By using Corollary 4.4 and (5.5.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(\text{id} \otimes \bar{\phi}^r) \text{Ind}_{\text{im } \theta} j^* C_0^r(\text{Im } \phi) &= (\text{id} \otimes \bar{\phi}^r) \text{Ind}_\theta (\bar{j}\bar{\theta})^* C_0^r(\text{Im } \phi) \\
&= (\text{id} \otimes \bar{\phi}^r) \text{Ind}_\theta (\bar{\phi}j)^* C_0^r(\text{Im } \phi) = \text{Ind}_\theta \bar{\theta}^*(j^* C_0^r(H))^{\bar{\theta}} = \text{Ind}_\theta j^* C_0^r(H).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\bar{\delta} : C_0^r(G) \rightarrow \text{Ind}_{\text{im } \theta} j^* C_0^r(\text{Im } \phi)$ is a well-defined *-isomorphism because δ is a *-isomorphism by the assumption. (b) of Theorem 5.3 for the original square (5.1.1) implies (b) for the right square of (5.5.1) because $\text{Ind}_{\text{im } \theta} \mathbb{C} = \text{Ind}_\theta \mathbb{C}$ and $\text{Ind}_{\text{im } \phi} \mathbb{C} = \text{Ind}_\phi \mathbb{C}$ hold by Corollary 4.4.

Finally, we prove (4). Take a left H - C^* -algebra (A, α) arbitrarily. By Lemma 4.2 and (5.5.2), we calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
(j^* \Delta_H \otimes \text{id}) \alpha(A^{\bar{\phi}}) &= (j^* \Delta_H \otimes \text{id}) [\alpha(A) \cap \mathcal{M}(\bar{\phi}^r C_0^r(\text{Im } \phi) \otimes A)] \\
&= (j^* \Delta_H \otimes \text{id}) [\alpha(A) \cap \mathcal{M}(j^* C_0^r(H))^{\bar{\theta}} \otimes A] \\
&= (\bar{\theta}^r \otimes \text{id} \otimes \text{id})(p_1((j^* \Delta_H \otimes \text{id}) \alpha(A)) p_1^*) = (j^* \Delta_H \otimes \text{id})(j^*(\alpha A))^{\bar{\theta}},
\end{aligned}$$

where we have put $p := p^{\bar{\theta}}$ associated with $\hat{\theta}$. Thus we get $j^*(\alpha(A^{\bar{\phi}})) = \bar{\theta}^*(j^*(\alpha A))^{\bar{\theta}}$ as left F - C^* -algebras. If (a) of Theorem 5.3 for the right square of (5.5.1) holds, then $(\bar{\delta} \otimes \text{id})(\text{Ind}_{\text{im } \phi} B) = \text{Ind}_{\text{im } \theta} \bar{j}^*(\beta B)$ for any left $(\text{Im } \phi)$ - C^* -algebra (B, β) . Therefore we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(\bar{\delta} \otimes \text{id}) \text{Ind}_\phi A &= (\bar{\delta} \otimes \text{id}) \text{Ind}_{\text{im } \phi} A^{\bar{\phi}} = (\text{id} \otimes \bar{\phi}^r \otimes \text{id}) \text{Ind}_{\text{im } \theta} \bar{j}^*(\alpha^{\bar{\phi}}(A^{\bar{\phi}})) \\
&= (\text{id} \otimes \bar{\phi}^r \otimes \text{id}) \text{Ind}_\theta (\bar{j}\bar{\theta})^*(\alpha^{\bar{\phi}}(A^{\bar{\phi}})) = (\text{id} \otimes \bar{\phi}^r \otimes \text{id}) \text{Ind}_\theta (\bar{\phi}j)^*(\alpha^{\bar{\phi}}(A^{\bar{\phi}})) \\
&= \text{Ind}_\theta j^*(\alpha(A^{\bar{\phi}})) = \text{Ind}_\theta \bar{\theta}^*(j^*(\alpha A))^{\bar{\theta}} = \text{Ind}_\theta j^*(\alpha A) = (\text{id} \otimes \alpha) \text{Ind}_\theta j^* A.
\end{aligned}$$

Now (a) for the original square (5.1.1) follows since $\bar{\delta} \otimes \text{id}_A$ is an injective non-degenerate left E -*-homomorphism. \square

We proceed to prove Theorem 5.3. The remaining case is the case when ϕ and θ give closed quantum subgroups. In order to prove this, we will concretely realize a left F - C^* -algebra B with $\text{Ind}_\theta B \cong \iota^* \text{Ind}_\phi A$ by following the proof of [32, Theorem 9.2], and reduce the conclusion to $j^* \alpha(A) \cong B$.

Proof of Theorem 5.3. We only have to prove (b) implies (a). By (3) and (4) of Lemma 5.5, it suffices to consider the case when ϕ and θ give closed quantum subgroups. Consider the C^* -algebras

$$C^\phi := \left[C_0^r(\widehat{E})_1((\delta \otimes \text{id}_A) \text{Ind}_\phi A) \right], \quad \text{and} \quad C^\theta := \left[C_0^r(\widehat{E})_1(\text{Ind}_\theta j^*(\alpha A)) \right],$$

which are well-defined right \widehat{E} - C^* -algebras with the coactions given by the restrictions of $\text{Ad } \widehat{V}_{14}^E(-)_{123}$. The restrictions of $\text{Ad } V_{12}^E(-)_{134}$ give right \widehat{E} - $*$ -isomorphisms from C^ϕ and C^θ to $E \times_r ((\delta \otimes \text{id}) \text{Ind}_\phi A)$ and $E \times_r \text{Ind}_\theta j^*(\alpha A)$, respectively.

Let us assume (b). Then we can consider a non-degenerate $*$ -homomorphism

$$C_0^r(E/F) \xrightarrow{-\otimes 1_{\mathcal{K}(H)}} \delta \mathcal{M}(C_0^r(G/H)) \xrightarrow{-\otimes 1_A} \mathcal{M}(C^\phi),$$

where the last map is well-defined and non-degenerate because $C_0^r(G/H) \otimes 1_A \subset \mathcal{M}(\text{Ind}_\phi A)$ is a non-degenerate C^* -subalgebra by (iii) for Definition 3.2 of $\text{Ind}_\phi A$. It follows $\left[C_0^r(\widehat{E}) C_0^r(E/F) \right]_1 \subset \mathcal{M}(C^\phi)$ is a non-degenerate C^* -subalgebra, and thus $[I_1^\theta I_1^{\theta*} C^\phi I_1^\theta I_1^{\theta*}] = C^\phi$.

It turns out $[I_1^{\theta*} C^\phi I_1^\theta]$ is a right \widehat{E} - C^* -algebra with $\text{Ad } \widehat{V}_{14}^\theta(-)_{123}$, because $\widehat{V}^E(-)_1 \widehat{V}^{\theta*}$ gives a well-defined continuous right \widehat{E} -coaction on I^θ . Therefore $\text{Ad } \widehat{V}_{14}^F(-)_{123}$ is a well-defined continuous right \widehat{F} -coaction on $[I_1^{\theta*} C^\phi I_1^\theta]$ by the argument from [32, Theorem 9.2] (see Lemma 5.6 below). Since $W_{12}^F \in \mathcal{UM}(C_0^r(F) \otimes [I_1^{\theta*} C^\phi I_1^\theta])$ satisfies $(\Delta_F \otimes \text{id}) W^F = W_{13}^F W_{23}^F$ and $\text{Ad } \widehat{V}_{25}^F(W_{12}^F) = W_{15}^F W_{12}^F$, we can use (the proof of) [32, Theorem 6.7]. As a consequence, it holds that

$$B := \left[(\mathcal{K}(F)^* \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{K}(F) \otimes \mathcal{K}(H) \otimes A}) \left(\text{Ad}(W_{12}^F * \widehat{V}_{21}^F) [I_1^{\theta*} C^\phi I_1^\theta]_{234} \right) \right] \subset \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{K}(F) \otimes \mathcal{K}(H) \otimes A)$$

with $\text{Ad } W_{12}^{F*}(-)_{234}$ is a well-defined left F - C^* -algebra, and that $F \times_r B = \text{Ad}(W_{12}^{F*} \widehat{V}_{21}^F) [I_1^{\theta*} C^\phi I_1^\theta]_{234}$.

We note that up to a scalar it holds $V^F \Sigma(\widehat{J}^F J^F)_1 = W^{F*} \widehat{V}_{21}^F$, since up to a scalar $1 = (\Sigma(J^F \widehat{J}^F)_2 V^F)^3$ by [4, (2.2)]. Combining this with $I_1^\theta V^{F*} = ((\widehat{\theta}^{r'} \otimes \text{id}) V^F)^* I_1^\theta = V^{\theta*} I_1^\theta$, we have

$$\text{Ad}(W_{12}^{F*} \widehat{V}_{21}^F) [I_1^{\theta*} C^\phi I_1^\theta]_{234} = \text{Ad } V_{12}^F [I_1^{\theta*} C^\phi I_1^\theta]_{134} = [I_1^{\theta*} V_{12}^\theta C_{134}^\phi V_{12}^{\theta*} I_1^\theta].$$

Since $\text{Ad } V_{12}^\theta(C_{134}^\phi) = \text{Ad } W_{23}^{J*}(C_{134}^\phi)$ by the definition of δ , we obtain from the arguments so far,

$$\left[I_1^\theta C_0^r(\widehat{F})_1 W_{12}^{F*} B_{234} W_{12}^F I_1^{\theta*} \right] = \left[I_1^\theta I_1^{\theta*} W_{23}^{J*} C_{134}^\phi W_{23}^J I_1^\theta I_1^{\theta*} \right] = \text{Ad } W_{23}^{J*}(C_{134}^\phi).$$

On the other hand, we also have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[I_1^\theta C_0^r(\widehat{F})_1 (\text{Ad}(W_{12}^F * W_{23}^{J*}) \alpha(A)_{34}) I_1^{\theta*} \right] \\ &= \left[I_1^\theta C_0^r(\widehat{F})_1 (\text{Ad}(W_{23}^{J*} * W_{13}^{J*}) \alpha(A)_{34}) I_1^{\theta*} \right] = \text{Ad } W_{23}^{J*}(C_{134}^\phi). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore if it holds

$$B = \text{Ad } W_{12}^{J*} \alpha(A)_{23} \quad \text{in } \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{K}(F) \otimes \mathcal{K}(H) \otimes A), \quad (5.5.3)$$

then $C^\phi = C^\theta \subset \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{K}(E) \otimes \mathcal{K}(H) \otimes A)$, and by taking $[(\mathcal{K}(E)^* \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{K}(E) \otimes \mathcal{K}(H) \otimes A}) (\text{Ad } V_{12}^E(-)_{134})]$ it follows $(\delta \otimes \text{id}) \text{Ind}_\phi A = \text{Ind}_\theta j^*(\alpha A)$, which will complete the proof. But we calculate by writing $A_{\mathcal{K}} := \mathcal{K}(F) \otimes \mathcal{K}(H) \otimes A$,

$$\begin{aligned} B &= \left[(\mathcal{K}(F)^* \otimes \text{id}_{A_{\mathcal{K}}}) \left(C_0^r(\widehat{F})_1 (\text{Ad } W_{12}^{F*}(B)_{234}) \right) \right] \\ &= \left[(\mathcal{K}(E)^* \otimes \text{id}_{A_{\mathcal{K}}}) \left(I_1^\theta C_0^r(\widehat{F})_1 (\text{Ad } W_{12}^{F*}(B)_{234}) I_1^{\theta*} \right) \right] \\ &= \left[(\mathcal{K}(E)^* \otimes \text{id}_{A_{\mathcal{K}}}) \left(\text{Ad } W_{23}^{J*} C_{134}^\phi \right) \right] = \left[(\mathcal{K}(E)^* \otimes \text{id}_{A_{\mathcal{K}}}) \left(\text{Ad } W_{23}^{J*} ((\delta \otimes \text{id}_A) \text{Ind}_\phi A)_{134} \right) \right] \\ &= \left[(\mathcal{K}(G)^* \otimes \mathcal{K}(E)^* \otimes \text{id}_{A_{\mathcal{K}}}) \left(\text{Ad } W_{34}^{J*} ((\text{id}_{\mathcal{K}(G)} \otimes \delta \otimes \text{id}_A) (\Delta_G \otimes \text{id}_A) \text{Ind}_\phi A)_{1245} \right) \right] \\ &= \left[(\mathcal{K}(G)^* \otimes \mathcal{K}(E)^* \otimes \text{id}_{A_{\mathcal{K}}}) \left(\text{Ad}(V_{12}^J W_{34}^{J*} V_{14}^\phi) (\text{Ind}_\phi A)_{15} \right) \right] \\ &= \left[(\mathcal{K}(G)^* \otimes \text{id}_{A_{\mathcal{K}}}) \left(\text{Ad}(W_{23}^{J*} V_{13}^\phi) (\text{Ind}_\phi A)_{14} \right) \right] \\ &= \text{Ad } W_{12}^{J*} \left[(\mathcal{K}(G)^* \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{K}(H) \otimes A}) (\text{Ind}_\phi \alpha(A)) \right]_{23} = \text{Ad } W_{12}^{J*} \alpha(A)_{23}, \end{aligned}$$

and thus (5.5.3) holds as desired. \square

In the proof above, we have used an argument taken from [32, Theorem 9.2]. We record this for the convenience of the reader.

Lemma 5.6. *Let $\phi : H \rightarrow G$ be a homomorphism of regular locally compact quantum groups which gives a closed quantum subgroup, \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space, and $A \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a non-degenerate C^* -subalgebra. Let $\alpha : A \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \widehat{\otimes} L^\infty(\widehat{H})$ be a $*$ -homomorphism such that $(\text{id} \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\phi}^r)\alpha$ is a continuous right \widehat{G} -coaction on A . Then α is a continuous right \widehat{H} -coaction on A .*

Proof. Clearly α is injective on A . By putting

$$\mathcal{J} := \{v \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(G), L^2(H)) \mid xv = v\widehat{\phi}^r(x) \text{ for all } x \in L^\infty(\widehat{H})\},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\alpha(A)L^2(H)_2] &= [\alpha(A)(\mathcal{J}L^2(G))_2] = [\mathcal{J}_2((\text{id} \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\phi}^r)\alpha(A))L^2(G)_2] \\ &= [\mathcal{J}_2(A \otimes L^2(G))] = A \otimes L^2(H). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\alpha : A \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(A \otimes \mathcal{K}(H))$ is a well-defined non-degenerate $*$ -homomorphism. By the injectivity of $\widehat{\phi}^r$ it holds $(\alpha \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{K}(H)})\alpha = (\text{id}_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})} \widehat{\otimes} \Delta_{\widehat{H}})\alpha$. Then it follows from the regularity of \widehat{H} that

$$[\alpha(A)C_0^r(\widehat{H})_2] = [(\text{id} \otimes \text{id} \otimes \mathcal{K}(H)^*)(\widehat{W}_{23}^{H*} \alpha(A)_{13} \widehat{W}_{23}^H C_0^r(\widehat{H})_2)] = A \otimes C_0^r(\widehat{H}),$$

which shows the desired statement. \square

Remark 5.7. Consider the square (5.1.1) with Condition 5.1 and let ϕ and θ be proper as in Theorem 5.3. To use Theorem 5.3, the condition (b) looks still technical. But in many practical cases, this follows automatically from other assumptions, as we list here.

- As observed by [32] and [23], (c) of Remark 5.4 holds when the square (5.1.1) is given by (generalized) quantum doubles. See Example 5.9 below for detail. This is our motivating example of Theorem 5.3.
 - (b) holds when \imath gives an open quantum subgroup by (2) of Lemma 5.2.
 - (b) holds when $\widehat{\phi}$ gives an open quantum subgroup by (2) of Lemma 5.5. This is also contained in the following case.
 - (b) holds if the next condition holds.
- (d⁺) $\text{Ind}_\phi \mathbb{C}$ also gives the induced coaction $C_0^r(G)^H$ of the right H - C^* -algebra $C_0^r(G)^*$ along the homomorphism $1_{H \rightarrow 1}$.

To see this, we observe as in the beginning of the proof of (2) in Lemma 5.2 that

$$(\text{Ind}_\theta \mathbb{C}) \otimes 1_{\mathcal{K}(H)} \subset \delta \mathcal{M}(C_0^r(G))^H = \delta \mathcal{M}(C_0^r(G)^H).$$

This inclusion is non-degenerate by (iii) of Definition 3.2 for $C_0^r(G)^H$, which shows (d) of Remark 5.4. As we saw in Example 3.10, (d⁺) holds when G is a locally compact group, ϕ gives an open quantum subgroup, or H is a compact quantum group.

We give some examples. From now, we use the notation and terminologies from Appendix A except Proposition A.7 and Example A.8, which concern twisted tensor products.

Example 5.8. Let F, G, H be regular locally compact quantum groups, $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Aut}(C_0^r(G) \otimes C_0^r(F))$ extend to a matching on G and F , and $\mathfrak{n} \in \text{Aut}(C_0^r(H) \otimes C_0^r(F))$ extend to a matching on H and F . Consider a proper homomorphism $\phi : H \rightarrow G$ satisfying (A.3.1). Then we have a homomorphism $\phi^{\text{op}} \bowtie \text{id}_F : \mathbb{D}(\mathfrak{n}) \rightarrow \mathbb{D}(\mathfrak{m})$ by Proposition A.3 and the commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^{\text{op}} & \xrightarrow{\phi^{\text{op}}} & G^{\text{op}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{D}(\mathfrak{n}) & \xrightarrow{\phi^{\text{op}} \bowtie \text{id}_F} & \mathbb{D}(\mathfrak{m}) \end{array}$$

satisfies Condition 5.1. Indeed, δ is given by $(\sigma\phi^* \Delta_G) \otimes \text{id}$ and $C_0^r(\mathbb{D}(\mathfrak{n})) \cong C_0^r(H^{\text{op}}) \otimes C_0^r(\widehat{F})$ with $\Delta_H^{\text{cop}} \otimes \text{id}$ as left H^{op} - C^* -algebras. For example, when ϕ gives an open quantum subgroup it follows that $\phi^{\text{op}} \bowtie \text{id}$ also gives an open quantum subgroup by Proposition A.3, and thus (a) of Theorem 5.3 holds by Remark 5.7.

Example 5.9. Consider a homomorphism $\phi : H \rightarrow G$ of strongly regular locally compact quantum groups that gives a closed quantum subgroup and a H -Yetter–Drinfeld C^* -algebra $(A, \alpha, \widehat{\alpha})$. It is shown by [23, Proposition 3.4] that $\text{Ind}_\phi((\text{id} \bowtie \widehat{\phi})^* A)$ has a canonical structure of an G -Yetter–Drinfeld C^* -algebra. Note that with Remark 3.1 taken into account, this statement only requires regularity.

More generally, let $\phi : H \rightarrow G$, $\psi : G \rightarrow F$ be homomorphisms of regular locally compact quantum groups with ϕ being proper. By the previous example, the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H & \xrightarrow{\phi} & G \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ D(\psi\phi) & \xrightarrow{\phi \boxtimes \text{id}_{\widehat{F}}} & D(\psi) \end{array}$$

satisfies Condition 5.1. By Example A.5, $\phi \boxtimes \text{id}_{\widehat{F}} : D(\psi\phi) \rightarrow D(\psi)$ is a well-defined proper homomorphism. We want to show this satisfies (a) in Theorem 5.3.

By the proof of [32, Theorem 8.2], it holds $\text{Ind}_{\phi} \mathbb{C} = C_0^r(G/\text{Im } \phi)$ with $\text{Ad } \widehat{W}^{G^*}(-)_2$ is a well-defined left \widehat{G} - C^* -algebra. By taking $\widehat{\psi}^*$, it follows $(C_0^r(G/\text{Im } \phi), \Delta_G, \text{Ad } \widehat{W}^{\psi^*}(-)_2)$ is a well-defined ψ -Yetter–Drinfeld C^* -algebra. Also, it is easy to see $C_0^r(G/\text{Im } \phi) \otimes 1_{\mathcal{K}(F)} \subset \mathcal{M}(C_0^r(D(\psi)))$ is a left $D(\psi)$ - $*$ -homomorphism. This means (c) of Remark 5.4, because

$$\delta(C_0^r(G/\text{Im } \phi) \otimes 1_{\mathcal{K}(F)}) = \text{Ad } V_{12}^{\phi}(C_0^r(G/\text{Im } \phi))_1 = C_0^r(G/\text{Im } \phi)_1 \subset \delta\mathcal{M}(C_0^r(D(\psi))).$$

Therefore (a) holds, and for any $\psi\phi$ -Yetter–Drinfeld C^* -algebra $(A, \alpha, \widehat{\alpha})$, $\text{Ind}_{\phi \boxtimes \text{id}} A \cong \text{Ind}_{\phi} A$ as left G - C^* -algebras. Via this $*$ -isomorphism, $(\text{Ind}_{\phi} A, \Delta_G, \sigma_{12} \text{Ad } W_{12}^{\psi}(\text{id} \otimes \widehat{\alpha}))$ is a ψ -Yetter–Drinfeld C^* -algebra.

Example 5.10. Consider a sequence of regular locally compact quantum groups with K being compact,

$$1 \longrightarrow K \xrightarrow{\iota} H \xrightarrow{\phi} G \longrightarrow 1,$$

which is exact in the sense of $\iota, \widehat{\phi}$ giving closed quantum subgroup and $C_0^r(H/K) = \phi^r C_0^r(G)$ (see (3) of Example A.5). Then

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ \downarrow \iota & & \downarrow \\ H & \xrightarrow{\phi} & G \end{array}$$

satisfies Condition 5.1, and condition (d) of Theorem 5.3 by Remark 5.7. Therefore for any left H - C^* -algebra A , it holds $A^{\phi} = \text{Ind}_{K \rightarrow 1} \iota^* A = \mathbf{1}_K \iota^* A$.

6. MORITA AND K -THEORETIC PROPERTIES

In this section, we consider the functors between several kinds of the categories of coactions which are induced by induced coactions along a proper homomorphism ϕ . We prove several properties of such functors which are known when ϕ gives a closed quantum subgroup in [23] and [32]. We say left G - (A, B) -correspondences (\mathcal{E}, π) and (\mathcal{F}, ϖ) , are *left G -unitarily isomorphic* if there is a unitary $U \in \mathcal{UL}_B(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ satisfying $(\text{Ad } U)\pi = \varpi$, such that $\text{Ad} \begin{pmatrix} U & 0 \\ 0 & 1_B \end{pmatrix} : \mathcal{K}_B(\mathcal{E} \oplus B) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{K}_B(\mathcal{F} \oplus B)$ is a left G - $*$ -isomorphism. We will also use the terminologies from Appendix A, especially those about twisted tensor products.

Definition 6.1. Let G be a regular locally compact quantum group.

- (1) Let $C^*\text{alg}^G$ be the category of left G - C^* -algebras as objects, and G - $*$ -homomorphisms $A \rightarrow B$ as morphisms from A to B for $A, B \in C^*\text{alg}^G$.
- (2) Let Corr^G be the category of left G - C^* -algebras as objects, and morphisms as follows. For $A, B \in \text{Corr}^G$, a morphism from A to B is a left G -unitary isomorphism class of left G - (A, B) -correspondences (\mathcal{E}, π) with non-degenerate π . The composition of morphisms is given by inner tensor products.
- (3) Suppose $C_0^r(G)$ is separable. Let KK^G be the category of separable left G - C^* -algebras as objects, and the G -equivariant bivariant K -group $\text{KK}^G(A, B)$ as the set of morphisms from A to B for $A, B \in \text{KK}^G$. The composition of morphisms is given by Kasparov products. We refer [2] for details.

We will use the following facts from [23] about KK^G for a regular locally compact quantum group G with $C_0^r(G)$ separable. Let $F : C^*\text{alg}^G \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be a functor to an additive category \mathcal{A} with following three properties.

- For $A, B \in \mathbf{C}^*\text{alg}^G$ and a left G -*-homomorphism $f : A \rightarrow B \otimes C([0, 1])$, it holds $F(f_0) = F(f_1) : F(A) \rightarrow F(B)$, where $f_t(a)$ denotes $(f(a))(t)$ for all $a \in A$ and $t \in [0, 1]$.
- For a split exact sequence of left G -*-homomorphisms $0 \rightarrow I \xrightarrow{\iota} A \xrightarrow[s]{\tau} B \rightarrow 0$ with $I, A, B \in \mathbf{C}^*\text{alg}^G$, it holds $F(\iota) \oplus F(s) : F(I) \oplus F(B) \xrightarrow{\sim} F(A)$ is isomorphic.
- For $A \in \mathbf{C}^*\text{alg}^G$ and left G -Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}'$, it holds $F(\iota \otimes \text{id}_A) : F(\mathcal{K}_A(\mathcal{H} \otimes A)) \xrightarrow{\sim} F(\mathcal{K}_A((\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}') \otimes A))$ is isomorphic, where we write $\iota : \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}')$ for the canonical inclusion.

Then there is an additive functor $\bar{F} : \mathbf{KK}^G \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ such that $\bar{F}\iota \cong F$, where we write $\iota : \mathbf{C}^*\text{alg}^G \rightarrow \mathbf{KK}^G$ for the canonical functor. Moreover, such \bar{F} is unique up to a natural isomorphism and ι itself satisfies the three properties above. Also, there is a canonical structure of a triangulated category on \mathbf{KK}^G .

Remark 6.2. Consider a homomorphism $\phi : H \rightarrow G$ of regular locally compact quantum groups, and $\mathcal{C} \in \{\mathbf{C}^*\text{alg}, \text{Corr}, \mathbf{KK}\}$, where we additionally assume separability of $C_0^r(G)$ and $C_0^r(H)$ when $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{KK}$.

- (1) We still write $\phi^* : \mathcal{C}^G \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^H$ for the functor given by restrictions of left coactions along ϕ .
- (2) When ϕ is proper, induced coactions canonically induces the well-defined functor $\phi_* : \mathbf{C}^*\text{alg}^H \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^*\text{alg}^G$. When ϕ gives a closed quantum subgroup, this is shown in the last paragraph of Section 2 in [23]. When $\hat{\phi}$ gives an open quantum subgroup, this follows from $f(A^{\hat{\phi}}) \subset B^{\bar{\phi}}$ for any left H -*-homomorphism $f : A \rightarrow B$ of left H - \mathbf{C}^* -algebras. The general case holds by Theorem 3.8. We can also check that this functor preserves split exact sequences.
- (3) Suppose $\mathcal{C} = \text{Corr}$ or \mathbf{KK} . We also write $\phi_* : \mathcal{C}^H \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^G$ for the well-defined functor given by $\hat{\phi}^{\text{op}} \times_r (\hat{\phi}^{\text{op}*}(H \times_r -))$. This construction is compatible with compositions of homomorphisms by Baaĵ–Skandalis duality.

As for (3), we note that when ϕ does not give a closed quantum subgroup induced coactions along ϕ need not induce a functor $\mathcal{C}^H \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^G$ in a direct way (see the remark before Proposition 6.4).

6.1. Induced coactions and twisted tensor products. We want to regard the functors $\phi_* : \mathcal{C}^H \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^G$ of (3) in Remark 6.2 as the functors induced by induced coactions. This is justified because it holds $\phi_*(A) \cong \text{Ind}_\phi(\hat{G}^{\text{op}} \times_r G \times_r A)$ as a special case of Proposition 6.3 next by (2) of Example A.8.

Proposition 6.3. *Let $\phi : H \rightarrow G$, $\psi : G \rightarrow F$, $\theta : F \rightarrow E$ be homomorphisms of regular locally compact quantum groups and suppose ϕ is proper. Then for any $\psi\phi$ -Yetter–Drinfeld \mathbf{C}^* -algebra A and any θ -Yetter–Drinfeld \mathbf{C}^* -algebra B , it holds $\text{Ad } W_{12}^{\psi*} \sigma_{12} : (\text{Ind}_\phi A) \boxtimes_F B \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ind}_\phi(A \boxtimes_F B)$ is a well-defined left $\mathbf{D}(\theta\psi)$ -*-isomorphism.*

When ϕ gives a closed quantum subgroup, this is proved in [23, Theorem 3.6]. Their proof can be easily adapted to our situation, but nevertheless we include the proof since their statement is made in a slightly different way. The crucial point for the proof is an argument from [32, Theorem 8.2] that for any left G - \mathbf{C}^* -algebra (B, β) , it holds

$$[I_1^\phi(\phi^*\beta)(B)] = [\beta(B)I_1^\phi]. \quad (6.3.1)$$

We again note that the argument is still valid when G and H are regular.

Proof. We put $p := p^{\hat{\phi}}$ associated with $\hat{\phi}$. Therefore by Corollary 4.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & [C_0^r(\hat{G})_1 \text{Ad } W_{12}^{\psi*} \sigma_{12}((\text{Ind}_\phi A) \boxtimes_F B)] \\ &= [C_0^r(\hat{G})_1 (\text{Ad } W_{12}^{\psi*} \text{Ad } W_{12}^{\psi}((\text{id} \otimes \hat{\alpha}) \text{Ind}_\phi A))_{123} (\text{Ad } W_{12}^{\psi*} \beta(B)_{24})] \\ &= [((\text{id} \otimes \hat{\alpha})(I_1^{\text{im } \phi} p_1 \alpha(A) p_1^* I_1^{\text{im } \phi*}))_{123} ((\text{id} \otimes \beta)(\psi^* \beta) B)_{124}] \\ &= [I_1^{\text{im } \phi} p_1 (\text{Ad } W_{12}^{\psi\phi*} \sigma_{12}(\text{id} \otimes \alpha) \hat{\alpha}(A))_{123} p_1^* I_1^{\text{im } \phi*} ((\text{id} \otimes \beta)(\psi^* \beta) B)_{124}] \\ &= [I_1^{\text{im } \phi} p_1 (\text{Ad } W_{12}^{\psi\phi*} \sigma_{12}(\text{id} \otimes \alpha) \hat{\alpha}(A))_{123} p_1^* ((\text{id} \otimes \beta)((\text{im } \phi)^* \psi^* \beta)(B))_{124} I_1^{\text{im } \phi*}] \\ &= [I_1^{\text{im } \phi} p_1 (\text{Ad } W_{12}^{\psi\phi*} \sigma_{12}(\text{id} \otimes \alpha) \hat{\alpha}(A))_{123} (\text{Ad } W_{12}^{\psi\phi*} \beta(B)_{24}) p_1^* I_1^{\text{im } \phi*}] \\ &= \sigma_{23} [C_0^r(\hat{G})_1 \text{Ind}_\phi(A \boxtimes_F B)]. \end{aligned}$$

Here for the last three equalities we have used (6.3.1), and $p_1 W^{\psi\phi} = p_1(\bar{\phi}^r \otimes \text{id}) W^{\psi \text{im } \phi} = W^{\psi \text{im } \phi} p_1$.

By taking $[(\mathcal{K}(G)^* \otimes \text{id}) \text{Ad } V_{12}^G(-)_{1345}]$, it follows $\text{Ad } W_{12}^{\psi*} \sigma_{12}((\text{Ind}_\phi A) \boxtimes_F B) = \text{Ind}_\phi(A \boxtimes_F B)$. It is easy to check that this equality preserves left G - and \widehat{E} -coactions. \square

6.2. Imprimitivity. For a proper homomorphism $\phi : H \rightarrow G$ of regular locally compact quantum groups, sometimes we want to know when the functor ϕ_* becomes genuine Ind_ϕ , for the purpose of calculation. For left G - C^* -algebras (A, α) , (B, β) and a left G - (A, B) -correspondence (\mathcal{E}, π) with non-degenerate π , the canonical non-degenerate left H -*-homomorphism $\iota : \mathcal{K}_B(\mathcal{E}) \oplus B \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_B(\mathcal{E} \oplus B)$ induces an injective non-degenerate left G -*-homomorphism $\text{id} \otimes \iota : \text{Ind}_\phi \mathcal{K}_B(\mathcal{E}) \oplus \text{Ind}_\phi B \rightarrow \text{Ind}_\phi \mathcal{K}_B(\mathcal{E} \oplus B)$. Via this map we identify $\text{Ind}_\phi \mathcal{K}_B(\mathcal{E}) \oplus \text{Ind}_\phi B \subset \text{Ind}_\phi \mathcal{K}_B(\mathcal{E} \oplus B)$. We define $\text{Ind}_\phi \mathcal{E} := 1_{\text{Ind}_\phi \mathcal{K}_B(\mathcal{E})}(\text{Ind}_\phi \mathcal{K}_B(\mathcal{E} \oplus B))1_{\text{Ind}_\phi B}$, and this is a well-defined right $(\text{Ind}_\phi B)$ -Hilbert module with a canonical continuous left G -coaction (which is different from an induced corepresentation in nature). By definition we also have a non-degenerate left G -*-homomorphism $\mu : \text{Ind}_\phi \mathcal{K}_B(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_B(\text{Ind}_\phi \mathcal{E})$, and $\text{Ind}_\phi(\mathcal{E}, \pi) := (\text{Ind}_\phi \mathcal{E}, \mu(\text{id} \otimes \pi))$ is a well-defined left G - (A, B) -correspondence with non-degenerate $\text{Ind}_\phi \pi := \mu(\text{id} \otimes \pi)$.

When ϕ gives a closed quantum subgroup, the imprimitivity theorem for reduced crossed products [32, Theorem 7.3] assures $\phi_* A$ is naturally isomorphic to $\text{Ind}_\phi A$ for any $A \in \text{Corr}^H$. For morphisms $(\mathcal{E}, \pi) : A \rightarrow B$ and $(\mathcal{F}, \varpi) : B \rightarrow C$ in Corr^H , we can check that $(\text{Ind}_\phi \mathcal{E}) \otimes_{\text{Ind}_\phi \varpi} (\text{Ind}_\phi \mathcal{F}) = \text{Ind}_\phi(\mathcal{E} \otimes_{\varpi} \mathcal{F})$ up to a left G -unitary equivalence, by the construction of Ind_ϕ using I^ϕ .

For a general proper ϕ , this is no longer true since Ind_ϕ need not preserve equivariant Morita equivalence. For example, consider $\phi := 1_{K \rightarrow 1} : K \rightarrow 1$ for a compact quantum group K . Then the left K - C^* -algebras \mathbb{C} and $(\mathcal{K}(K), \text{Ad } W_{12}^{K*}(-)_2)$ are left K -Morita equivalent, but $\text{Ind}_\phi \mathbb{C} = \mathbb{C}$ and $\text{Ind}_\phi \mathcal{K}(K) \cong \text{Ind}_\phi C_0^r(K) \boxtimes_{\widehat{K}^{\text{op}}} C_0^r(\widehat{K}) \cong C_0^r(\widehat{K})$ are not Morita equivalent unless K is trivial. To get the well-behavior of Ind_ϕ , we consider a relative variant of freeness as follows.

Proposition 6.4. *Consider a proper homomorphism $\phi : H \rightarrow G$ of regular locally compact quantum groups. Let Corr_ϕ^H be the full subcategory of Corr^H whose objects are left H - C^* -algebras satisfying*

$$[\alpha(A)((\lambda_H \phi^u C_0^u(G)) \otimes A)] = C_0^r(H) \otimes A.$$

Then Ind_ϕ gives a well-defined functor $\text{Corr}_\phi^H \rightarrow \text{Corr}^G$ which is naturally isomorphic to $\phi_ \upharpoonright_{\text{Corr}_\phi^H}$.*

We note in the situation of the proposition above, $(A, \alpha) \in \text{Corr}^H$ is an object of Corr_ϕ^H if and only if it is an object of Corr_ϕ^H for $\bar{\phi} : H \rightarrow \text{Im } \phi$, which is equivalent to say $[\alpha(A)(\bar{\phi}^r(C_0^r(\text{Im } \phi)) \otimes A)] = C_0^r(H) \otimes A$. We also note that for a regular locally compact quantum group F and a left F - C^* -algebra B it holds $[(F \times_r B)_{12} \text{Ad } \widehat{V}_{13}^F(F \times_r B)_{12}] = (F \times_r B) \otimes C_0^r(\widehat{F})$. In particular, $\widehat{H}^{\text{op}} \times_r H \times_r A \in \text{Corr}_\phi^H$ for any left H - C^* -algebra A . For a right Hilbert A -module \mathcal{E} and an (A, B) -correspondence (\mathcal{F}, ϖ) , we write $\mathcal{E} \otimes_{\varpi} \mathcal{F}$ for the tensor product of bimodule in a purely algebraic sense.

Proof. As we saw, the result holds when ϕ gives a closed quantum subgroup. By decomposing $\phi = (\text{im } \phi) \bar{\phi}$ and using Theorem 3.8, it suffices to consider the case when $\widehat{\phi}$ gives an open quantum subgroup.

Let $A, B \in \text{Corr}^H$. Take a left H -Hilbert A -module $(\mathcal{E}, \kappa_{\mathcal{E}})$ and a morphism $(\mathcal{F}, \varpi) : A \rightarrow B$ in Corr^H with its left H -coaction $\kappa_{\mathcal{F}}$. It is easy to see $(\mathcal{E}^\phi, \kappa_{\mathcal{E}}^\phi)$ is a left G -Hilbert A^ϕ -module, and $(\mathcal{F}^\phi, \varpi) : A^\phi \rightarrow B^\phi$ is a morphism in Corr^H . We can naturally identify $\mathcal{E}^\phi \otimes_{\varpi} \mathcal{F}^\phi \subset (\mathcal{E} \otimes_{\varpi} \mathcal{F})^\phi$.

Now suppose $A \in \text{Corr}_\phi^H$. It is enough to show that $(\mathcal{E} \otimes_{\varpi} \mathcal{F})^\phi = \mathcal{E}^\phi \otimes_{\varpi} \mathcal{F}^\phi$ holds as subsets of $\mathcal{E} \otimes_{\varpi} \mathcal{F}$. Indeed, from this it will follow that Ind_ϕ defines a well-defined functor $\text{Corr}_\phi^H \rightarrow \text{Corr}^G$, which must be naturally isomorphic to $\phi_* \cong \text{Ind}_\phi(\widehat{H}^{\text{op}} \times_r H \times_r (-))$ via Baaĵ-Skandalis duality because $\widehat{H}^{\text{op}} \times_r H \times_r A \in \text{Corr}_\phi^H$ for any $A \in \text{Corr}^H$. Since $A \in \text{Corr}_\phi^H$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\kappa_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{E})(\phi^r C_0^r(G) \otimes A)] &= [\kappa_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{E})\alpha(A)(\phi^r C_0^r(G) \otimes A)] \\ &= [\kappa_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{E})(C_0^r(H) \otimes A)] = C_0^r(H) \otimes \mathcal{E}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus when we put $p := p^{\widehat{\phi}}$, it follows

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\mathcal{E}^{\phi} \underset{\varpi}{\circlearrowleft} \mathcal{F} \right] &= \left[(\mathcal{K}(G)^* \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{E} \underset{\varpi}{\circlearrowleft} \mathcal{F}}) \left((C_0^r(G) \otimes \mathcal{E}) \underset{\text{id}_{C_0^r(G)} \otimes \varpi}{\circlearrowleft} (C_0^r(G) \otimes (\varpi(A)\mathcal{F})) \right) \right] \\ &= \left[(\mathcal{K}(G)^* \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{E} \underset{\varpi}{\circlearrowleft} \mathcal{F}}) \left((p_1 \kappa_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{E}) p_1^*(C_0^r(G) \otimes A)) \underset{\text{id}_{C_0^r(G)} \otimes \varpi}{\circlearrowleft} (C_0^r(G) \otimes \mathcal{F}) \right) \right] \\ &= \left[(\mathcal{K}(G)^* \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{E} \underset{\varpi}{\circlearrowleft} \mathcal{F}}) \left((p C_0^r(H) p^* \otimes \mathcal{E}) \underset{\text{id}_{C_0^r(G)} \otimes \varpi}{\circlearrowleft} (C_0^r(G) \otimes \mathcal{F}) \right) \right] = \mathcal{E} \underset{\varpi}{\circlearrowleft} \mathcal{F}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore when we write κ for the left H -coaction of $\mathcal{E} \underset{\varpi}{\circlearrowleft} \mathcal{F}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{E} \underset{\varpi}{\circlearrowleft} \mathcal{F})^{\phi} &= \left[(\mathcal{K}(G)^* \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{E} \underset{\varpi}{\circlearrowleft} \mathcal{F}}) \left(p_1 \kappa(\mathcal{E} \underset{\varpi}{\circlearrowleft} \mathcal{F}) p_1^* \right) \right] = \left[(\mathcal{K}(G)^* \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{E} \underset{\varpi}{\circlearrowleft} \mathcal{F}}) \left(p_1 \kappa(\mathcal{E}^{\phi} \underset{\varpi}{\circlearrowleft} \mathcal{F}) p_1^* \right) \right] \\ &= \left[(\mathcal{K}(G)^* \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{E} \underset{\varpi}{\circlearrowleft} \mathcal{F}}) \left((C_0^r(G)_1 p_1 \kappa_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{E}^{\phi}) p_1^*) \underset{\text{id}_{C_0^r(G)} \otimes \varpi}{\circlearrowleft} (p_1(\phi^r C_0^r(G))_1 \kappa_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{F}) p_1^*) \right) \right] \\ &= \mathcal{E}^{\phi} \underset{\varpi}{\circlearrowleft} \mathcal{F}^{\phi}. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Remark 6.5. Consider a proper homomorphism $\phi : H \rightarrow G$ of regular locally compact quantum groups. Let Corr_{\bullet}^H be the full subcategory of Corr^H whose objects are left H - C^* -algebra A , such that ϕ fits into some diagram of the form (5.1.1) with proper θ , satisfying Condition 5.1 and $j^* A \in \text{Corr}_{\theta}^F$. We write (5.1.1) $_A$ for such a diagram. Then Ind_{ϕ} still gives a well-defined functor $\text{Corr}_{\bullet}^H \rightarrow \text{Corr}^G$ which is naturally isomorphic to $\phi_* \downarrow_{\text{Corr}_{\bullet}^H}$. We can see this by combining the argument of the analogue of base change and the proof of Proposition 6.4. Indeed, by (2) of Lemma 5.5, we may assume ϕ and θ in (5.1.1) $_A$ for each $A \in \text{Corr}_{\bullet}^H$ give duals of open quantum subgroups. Take a morphism $(\mathcal{F}, \varpi) : A \rightarrow B$ in Corr^H with $A \in \text{Corr}_{\bullet}^H$, and a left H -Hilbert A -module \mathcal{E} . Then we can see θ in (5.1.1) $_A$ satisfies $(\mathcal{E} \underset{\varpi}{\circlearrowleft} \mathcal{F})^{\phi} = (\mathcal{E} \underset{\varpi}{\circlearrowleft} \mathcal{F})^{\theta} = \mathcal{E}^{\theta} \underset{\varpi}{\circlearrowleft} \mathcal{F}^{\theta} = \mathcal{E}^{\phi} \underset{\varpi}{\circlearrowleft} \mathcal{F}^{\phi}$ by the argument of Proposition 6.4 for Corr_{θ}^F and (b) of Theorem 5.3. From this the claim follows.

6.3. Adjointness. As the last topic of this section, we record the adjointness of induced coactions and restriction. For a while, we fix a proper homomorphism $\phi : H \rightarrow G$ of regular locally compact quantum groups which has a *cocompact* image in the sense of $\text{Ind}_{\phi} \mathbb{C}$ being unital. Suppose ϕ^r exists, and let $\mathcal{C} \in \{C^*\text{alg}, \text{Corr}, \text{KK}\}$, where we additionally assume separability of $C_0^r(G)$ and $C_0^r(H)$ when $\mathcal{C} = \text{KK}$.

When ϕ gives a cocompact closed quantum subgroup and G is coamenable, it is proved in [23, Proposition 4.7] that there is an adjunction $\phi^* \dashv \phi_*$ as functors between KK^G and KK^H , as the quantum version of the result for locally compact groups in [19]. We note that their proof is quite analogous to Frobenius reciprocity for unitary representations of a compact group and its closed subgroup (see [11] for example). By slightly modifying their construction of the counit denoted by κ below, we can loosen the assumption on the coamenability of G into the existence of ϕ^r as follows.

First, let $\mathcal{C} = C^*\text{alg}$. Consider a proper homomorphism $\phi : H \rightarrow G$ with the conditions above. For all $(A, \alpha) \in C^*\text{alg}^G$ and $(B, \beta) \in C^*\text{alg}^H$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{id} \otimes \alpha) \text{Ind}_{\phi} \phi^* A &= \text{Ind}_{\phi} (\mathbb{C}_{\phi} \boxtimes A) = \text{Ad } W_{12}^{G*} \sigma_{12} ((\text{Ind}_{\phi} \mathbb{C}_{\phi}) \boxtimes_G A) \\ &\supset \text{Ad } W_{12}^{G*} (1_{\mathcal{K}(G)} \otimes \alpha(A)) = (\text{id} \otimes \alpha) \alpha(A) \end{aligned}$$

by Proposition 6.3, and

$$\begin{aligned} (\phi^r \otimes \text{id}) \text{Ind}_{\phi} B &= [(\mathcal{K}(H)^* \otimes \phi^r \otimes \text{id}) ((\phi^* \Delta_G \otimes \text{id}) \text{Ind}_{\phi} B)] \\ &= [(\mathcal{K}(H)^* \otimes \text{id} \otimes \text{id}) ((\phi^r \otimes \beta) \text{Ind}_{\phi} B)] \\ &= [(\mathcal{K}(H)^* \otimes \text{id} \otimes \text{id}) (\text{id} \otimes \beta) ((\phi^r C_0^r(G)) \otimes B^{\bar{\phi}})] \subset \beta(B) \end{aligned}$$

by Corollary 4.4. Thus the well-defined non-degenerate left G - and H -*-homomorphisms $\eta_A : A \cong \alpha(A) \subset \text{Ind}_{\phi} \phi^* A$ and $\kappa_B : \phi^* \text{Ind}_{\phi} B \xrightarrow{\phi^r \otimes \text{id}_B} \beta(B) \cong B$ give the natural transformations $\eta : \text{id}_{C^*\text{alg}^G} \rightarrow \phi_* \phi^*$ and $\kappa : \phi^* \phi_* \rightarrow \text{id}_{C^*\text{alg}^H}$, respectively. For all $(A, \alpha) \in C^*\text{alg}^G$ and $(B, \beta) \in C^*\text{alg}^H$, it holds

$$\kappa_{\phi^* A} \circ \phi^*(\eta_A) = (\phi^* \alpha)^{-1} (\phi^r \otimes \text{id}_A) \circ \alpha = \text{id}_A : \phi^* A \rightarrow \phi^* A,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ind}_{\phi} (\kappa_B) \circ \eta_{\text{Ind}_{\phi} B} &= (\text{id}_{\mathcal{K}(G)} \otimes (\beta^{-1} (\phi^r \otimes \text{id}_B))) \circ (\Delta_G \otimes \text{id}_B) \\ &= (\text{id}_{\mathcal{K}(G)} \otimes \beta)^{-1} \circ (\Delta_G \phi \otimes \text{id}_B) = \text{id}_{\text{Ind}_{\phi} B} : \text{Ind}_{\phi} B \rightarrow \text{Ind}_{\phi} B. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore η and κ are the unit and counit which assure $\phi^* \dashv \phi_*$ as functors between $\mathcal{C}^*\text{alg}^G$ and $\mathcal{C}^*\text{alg}^H$.

Now suppose that $\mathcal{C} = \text{Corr}$ or KK , and that ϕ gives a closed quantum subgroup. Then Proposition 6.4 and the universality of KK^H show $\phi^* \dashv \phi_*$ as functors between \mathcal{C}^G and \mathcal{C}^H , as claimed above.

Next, we seek such adjointness when $\widehat{\phi}$ gives an open quantum subgroups and $\mathcal{C} = \text{Corr}$ or KK . When $\mathcal{C} = \text{KK}$, it is proved as a special case of [37, Theorem 4.8] that $\widehat{\phi}_* \dashv \widehat{\phi}^*$ as functors between KK^G and KK^H . We remark that the proof still works for $\mathcal{C} = \text{Corr}$. Thus by Baaĵ–Skandalis duality it follows $\phi^* \dashv \phi_*$ as functors between \mathcal{C}^G and \mathcal{C}^H , where $\mathcal{C} = \text{Corr}$ or KK .

By combining the arguments so far, we obtain the following.

Proposition 6.6. *Let $\phi : H \rightarrow G$ be a proper homomorphism of regular locally compact quantum groups with $\text{Ind}_\phi \mathbb{C}$ being unital, and $\mathcal{C} \in \{\mathcal{C}^*\text{alg}, \text{Corr}, \text{KK}\}$, where we additionally assume separability of $C_0^r(G)$ and $C_0^r(H)$ when $\mathcal{C} = \text{KK}$. Suppose ϕ^r exists. Then $\phi^* \dashv \phi_*$ as functors between \mathcal{C}^G and \mathcal{C}^H . \square*

As already mentioned, this adjointness in the case of a closed quantum subgroup can be considered as an analogue of Frobenius reciprocity. On the other hand, let $\phi := 1_{K \rightarrow 1} : K \rightarrow 1$ for a compact quantum group K with separable $C_0^r(K)$, as a special case when $\widehat{\phi}$ gives an open quantum subgroup. Then $(1_{K \rightarrow 1})^* \dashv (1_{K \rightarrow 1})_*$ means that $KK^K(A, B) \cong KK(A, K \rtimes_r B)$ holds for any $A \in \text{KK}$ and $B \in \text{KK}^K$, which is exactly (the quantum version of) Green–Julg theorem, [35, Théorème 5.10].

Consider a homomorphism $\phi : H \rightarrow G$ of regular locally compact quantum groups which gives a closed quantum subgroup. In [23], they considered induced coactions along ϕ to give a functor $\mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{D}(H)} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{D}(G)}$. In our notation, this is given by $(\phi \bowtie \text{id})_*(\text{id} \bowtie \widehat{\phi})^* : \mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{D}(H)} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{D}(\phi)} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{D}(G)}$. As an immediate consequence from the argument of Proposition 6.6, we have the following adjointability.

Corollary 6.7. *Let $\phi : H \rightarrow G$ be a homomorphism of regular locally compact quantum groups giving an open quantum subgroup, and $\mathcal{C} \in \{\mathcal{C}^*\text{alg}, \text{Corr}, \text{KK}\}$, where we additionally assume separability of $C_0^r(G)$ and $C_0^r(H)$ when $\mathcal{C} = \text{KK}$. Then there is a right adjoint for $(\phi \bowtie \text{id})_*(\text{id} \bowtie \widehat{\phi})^* : \mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{D}(H)} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{D}(G)}$.*

Proof. Note that $\phi \bowtie \text{id}$ and the dual of $\text{id} \bowtie \widehat{\phi}$ give open quantum subgroups by Example A.5. Thus the statement follows from the fact that $(\phi \bowtie \text{id})_* \dashv (\phi \bowtie \text{id})^*$ and $(\text{id} \bowtie \widehat{\phi})^* \dashv (\text{id} \bowtie \widehat{\phi})_*$. \square

7. EXAMPLE: DOUBLE CROSSED PRODUCTS WITH A DISCRETE ABELIAN GROUP

In this section, we stick to the following situation.

Condition 7.1. Let F, G, H be regular locally compact quantum groups and Γ be a discrete abelian group. Consider the following sequences of homomorphisms of locally compact quantum groups.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \widehat{\Gamma} & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\iota} \\ \xleftarrow{\pi} \end{array} & H & \xrightarrow{\phi} & G \longrightarrow 1, \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \widehat{\Gamma} & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{j} \\ \xleftarrow{\pi} \end{array} & H & \xrightarrow{\psi} & F \longrightarrow 1. \end{array}$$

Moreover, we assume they are “split exact” (with common π) in the sense of satisfying the three conditions as follows.

- $\iota, \widehat{\phi}, j, \widehat{\psi}$ give closed quantum subgroups.
- $(C_0^r(H)^*)^{\widehat{\Gamma}} = \phi^r C_0^r(G)$, and $(C_0^r(H)^*)^{\widehat{\Gamma}} = \psi^r C_0^r(F)$.
- $\pi \iota = \text{id}_{\widehat{\Gamma}} = \pi j$.

Remark 7.2. Assume the situation of Condition 7.1. By (3) of Example A.5 we have $\phi^r C_0^r(G) = \text{Ind}_{\widehat{\Gamma} \rightarrow 1} \iota^* C_0^r(H)$, and similarly $\psi^r C_0^r(F) = \text{Ind}_{\widehat{\Gamma} \rightarrow 1} j^* C_0^r(H)$. By using this and the commutativity of $C_0(\Gamma)$, it follows for any $x \in (\phi^r C_0^r(G)) \otimes C_0(\Gamma)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ad } W_{12}^{\iota^*} \text{ Ad } W_{23}^{\pi} x_{23} &= \text{Ad}(\widehat{W}_{13}^{\Gamma} W_{23}^{\pi} W_{12}^{\iota^*}) x_{23} = \text{Ad } W_{23}^{\pi} x_{23}, \\ \text{Ad } W_{12}^{\iota^*} \text{ Ad } W_{23}^{\psi^*} x_{23} &= \text{Ad}(W_{23}^{\pi} \widehat{W}_{13}^{\Gamma} W_{12}^{\psi^*}) x_{23} = \text{Ad } W_{23}^{\pi} x_{23}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\text{Ad } W^\pi$ gives a $*$ -automorphism on $(\phi^r C_0^r(G)) \otimes C_0(\Gamma)$, and induces a matching \mathfrak{m} on G^{op} and Γ . By the same reason we also have a matching \mathfrak{n} on G^{op} and Γ coming from a $*$ -automorphism $\text{Ad } W^\pi$ on $(\psi^r C_0^r(F)) \otimes C_0(\Gamma)$. By (3) of Example A.5 we see $\widehat{\phi} \bowtie \text{id}$ and $\widehat{\psi} \bowtie \text{id}$ are well-defined homomorphisms which give open quantum subgroups.

When F, G, H are compact, such a situation arises from a more general treatment in [6]. Here we give an example coming from finite groups.

Example 7.3. Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Consider an action of $\Gamma := \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ on a group $\widehat{G} := \mathbb{Z}/2n\mathbb{Z}$ given by $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \ni 1 \mapsto (1 \mapsto -1) \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}/2n\mathbb{Z})$. The semi-direct product $\widehat{H} := \mathbb{Z}/2n\mathbb{Z} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ is the dihedral group $D_{2n} = \langle a, b \mid a^{2n} = b^2 = 1, bab^{-1} = a^{-1} \rangle$. This has a subgroup $\widehat{F} := D_n \cong \langle a^2, b \rangle \subset D_{2n}$. Then we have the following two split exact sequences,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2n\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\subset} D_{2n} \xrightleftharpoons[\widehat{\pi}]{\cong} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 1, \\ 1 &\longrightarrow D_n \xrightarrow{\subset} D_{2n} \xrightleftharpoons[\widehat{\pi}]{\cong} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 1, \end{aligned}$$

where $\widehat{\pi} : \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \ni 1 \mapsto ab \in D_{2n}$. By taking these duals, we get a pair of sequences satisfying Condition 7.1. Then a calculation shows $D(\mathfrak{m}) \cong D_{2n}$. We can also see that $C_0^r(D(\mathfrak{n})) \cong C^*(D_n) \otimes C(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$. We calculate the representation rings of their duals as $R(\widehat{D_{2n}}) \cong K_0(C(D_{2n})) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 4n}$ and $R(\widehat{D(\mathfrak{n})}) \cong K_0(C^*(D_n) \otimes C(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})) \cong R(D_n)^{\oplus 2}$. Here the rank of $R(D_n)$ over \mathbb{Z} is $\frac{n-1}{2} + 2$ if n is odd, and $\frac{n}{2} + 3$ if n is even. In particular, $\widehat{D(\mathfrak{m})}$ and $\widehat{D(\mathfrak{n})}$ are not monoidally equivalent if $n \geq 3$.

Similarly we can also get a situation of Condition 7.1 with $(\widehat{G}, \widehat{H}) = (D_{2n}, Q_{4n})$, where $Q_{4n} := \langle a, c \mid a^{2n} = 1, a^n = c^2, cac^{-1} = a^{-1} \rangle$ is the generalized quaternion group. Indeed, by letting

$$\widehat{H} := \langle a, b, c \mid a^{2n} = b^2 = 1, a^n = c^2, bab^{-1} = cac^{-1} = a^{-1}, bcb^{-1} = c^{-1} \rangle,$$

we see $D_{2n} \cong \langle a, b \rangle \subset \widehat{H}$ and $Q_{4n} \cong \langle a, c \rangle \subset \widehat{H}$. We can give $\pi : H \rightarrow \widehat{\Gamma} := \widehat{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}}$ by $\widehat{\pi} : \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \ni 1 \mapsto bc \in \widehat{H}$.

When F, G are compact quantum groups, various aspects of them are compared in [6], [7]. In terms of double crossed products and induced coactions, we are going to compare them in our settings of Condition 7.1. See [7, Section 3] for the closely related result in a more algebraic situation. We will also see such a comparison can be done in the levels of $\mathcal{C} = \text{Corr}$ and KK .

Theorem 7.4. *Consider the situation of Condition 7.1 and let $\mathcal{C} \in \{\text{C}^*\text{-alg}, \text{Corr}, \text{KK}\}$, where we additionally assume separability of $C_0^r(H)$ when $\mathcal{C} = \text{KK}$. Consider the following functors*

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\mathfrak{m}} : \mathcal{C}^{D(\pi)} &\xrightarrow{\Gamma \times_r -} \mathcal{C}^{D(\pi)} \xrightarrow{(\phi \boxtimes \text{id})_*} \mathcal{C}^{D(\mathfrak{m})}, \\ T_{\mathfrak{n}} : \mathcal{C}^{D(\pi)} &\xrightarrow{\Gamma \times_r -} \mathcal{C}^{D(\pi)} \xrightarrow{(\psi \boxtimes \text{id})_*} \mathcal{C}^{D(\mathfrak{n})}. \end{aligned}$$

Here for any π -Yetter–Drinfeld C^* -algebra $(A, \alpha, \widehat{\alpha})$, we have equipped $\Gamma \times_r A$ with a structure of π -Yetter–Drinfeld C^* -algebra via the canonical $*$ -isomorphism $\Gamma \times_r A \cong A \boxtimes_{\widehat{\Gamma}} C(\widehat{\Gamma})$, by considering $C_0^r(\widehat{\Gamma})$ as a $\widehat{\Gamma}$ -Yetter–Drinfeld C^* -algebra, with the left $\widehat{\Gamma}$ -coaction $\Delta_{\widehat{\Gamma}}$ and the trivial left Γ -coaction. Then $T_{\mathfrak{n}}(\phi \boxtimes \text{id}_{\Gamma})^*$ and $T_{\mathfrak{m}}(\psi \boxtimes \text{id}_{\Gamma})^*$ are mutually inverse categorical equivalences between $\mathcal{C}^{D(\mathfrak{m})}$ and $\mathcal{C}^{D(\mathfrak{n})}$. If $\mathcal{C} = \text{KK}$, they are triangulated.

In the proof, the unitary in $C(\widehat{\Gamma}) = C^*(\Gamma)$ corresponding to each $t \in \Gamma$ is denoted by $u^t : L^2(\Gamma) \ni f \mapsto f(t^{-1}(-)) \in L^2(\Gamma)$. Then we have $W^{\Gamma} = \sum_{t \in \Gamma} \delta_t \otimes u^t \in \mathcal{U}(C_0(\Gamma) \otimes C^*(\Gamma))$. We also canonically identify $\text{Irr}(\widehat{\Gamma}) = \Gamma$.

Proof. By symmetry, it is enough to show that $T_{\mathfrak{n}}' := (\Gamma \times_r -)^{\psi \boxtimes \text{id}} : \mathcal{C}^{D(\pi)} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{D(\mathfrak{n})}$ is a well-defined functor which is naturally isomorphic to $T_{\mathfrak{n}}$, and that $\text{id}_{\mathcal{C}^{D(\mathfrak{m})}} \cong T_{\mathfrak{m}}'(\psi \boxtimes \text{id})^* T_{\mathfrak{n}}'(\phi \boxtimes \text{id})^*$ when we define $T_{\mathfrak{m}}' : \mathcal{C}^{D(\pi)} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{D(\mathfrak{m})}$ similarly. We also write $\widetilde{T}_{\mathfrak{n}} := (\Gamma \times_r -) \circ (\psi \boxtimes \text{id})^* \circ T_{\mathfrak{n}}' : \mathcal{C}^{D(\pi)} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{D(\pi)}$.

First, we prove them when $\mathcal{C} = \text{C}^*\text{-alg}$. Clearly $T_{\mathfrak{n}}'$ is well-defined and $T_{\mathfrak{n}}' \cong T_{\mathfrak{n}}$. For any π -Yetter–Drinfeld C^* -algebra $(A, \alpha, \widehat{\alpha})$, it holds $A^{\psi \boxtimes \text{id}} = A^{\psi} = (j^* A)^{\widehat{\Gamma} \rightarrow 1}$ by Example 5.8 and Example 5.10. We note that the π -Yetter–Drinfeld structure of $\Gamma \times_r A$ is given by the left H -coaction $u_1^{t*} \widehat{\alpha}(a) \mapsto (\pi^r(u^{t*}) \otimes u^{t*})_{12}(\text{id} \otimes \widehat{\alpha})\alpha(a)$ and the left Γ -coaction $u_1^{t*} \widehat{\alpha}(a) \mapsto u_2^{t*}(\text{id} \otimes \widehat{\alpha})\widehat{\alpha}(a)$, where $t \in \Gamma$, $a \in A$. From Section 4.2, we recall ${}_t(j^* A) := \{a \in A \mid (j^* \alpha)(a) \in u^t \otimes A\}$ for each $t \in \Gamma$, and we can describe

$$T_{\mathfrak{n}}'(A) = \left[\bigoplus_{t \in \Gamma} u_1^{t*} \widehat{\alpha}({}_t(j^* A)) \right] \subset \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{K}(\Gamma) \otimes A).$$

Proof. By Baaj–Skandalis duality and Theorem 7.4, the statement reduces to the commutativity of the diagram (7.5.1) up to some natural isomorphism. It suffices to show that the left adjoints of two composed functors $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{D}(\mathfrak{m})} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^1$ in the (7.5.1) exist and are naturally isomorphic.

Note that $(1_{1 \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{D}(\mathfrak{m})}^{\text{op}}})^*(\mathbb{D}(\mathfrak{m}) \rtimes_r -) \cong (1_{\mathbb{D}(\mathfrak{m}) \rightarrow 1})_* : \mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{D}(\mathfrak{m})} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^1$ admits its left adjoint, and this is given by $(1_{\mathbb{D}(\mathfrak{m}) \rightarrow 1})^* : \mathcal{C}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{D}(\mathfrak{m})}$ because of Green–Julg theorem. By symmetry we also have $(1_{\mathbb{D}(\mathfrak{n}) \rightarrow 1})^* \dashv (1_{1 \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{D}(\mathfrak{n})}^{\text{op}}})^*(\mathbb{D}(\mathfrak{n}) \rtimes_r -)$. Therefore it is enough to show $(1_{\mathbb{D}(\mathfrak{m}) \rightarrow 1})^* \cong T'_m(\psi \rtimes \text{id})^*(1_{\mathbb{D}(\mathfrak{n}) \rightarrow 1})^* : \mathcal{C}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{D}(\mathfrak{m})}$ by Theorem 7.4. But by the construction of T'_m , it is easy to see that for any $A \in \mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{D}(\pi)}$ whose coaction is trivial, $T'_m(A) \in \mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{D}(\mathfrak{m})}$ also has a trivial coaction and canonically $*$ -isomorphic to A . \square

Finally, we briefly discuss the implications for the quantum analogue of torsion phenomena and Baum–Connes conjecture. Let Λ be a discrete quantum group. In the context of the quantum analogue of Baum–Connes conjecture, finite dimensional left $\widehat{\Lambda}$ - C^* -algebras up to left $\widehat{\Lambda}$ -Morita equivalence are considered to reflect the torsion phenomena of Λ (or Λ^{op}). In [18], torsion-freeness for Λ is defined to state the quantum analogue of Baum–Connes conjecture for such a discrete quantum group. Recently a formulation of the quantum analogue of Baum–Connes conjecture for Λ which need not be torsion-free is proposed in [1]. Both works use certain decompositions of the triangulated category $\text{KK}^{\widehat{\Lambda}}$.

Now consider the situation of Condition 7.1 with H being compact and Γ being finite, and we have $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{D}(\mathfrak{m})} \simeq \mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{D}(\mathfrak{n})}$ as in Theorem 7.4. It is easy to see $\text{Corr}^{\mathbb{D}(\mathfrak{m})} \simeq \text{Corr}^{\mathbb{D}(\mathfrak{n})}$ preserve finite dimensionality of equivariant C^* -algebras, and there is a bijective correspondence between the equivariant Morita equivalence classes of finite dimensional left $\mathbb{D}(\mathfrak{m})$ - C^* -algebras, and those for $\mathbb{D}(\mathfrak{n})$, which preserves finite direct sums. Also when $C_0^r(H)$ is separable, we can see the triangulated equivalence $\text{KK}^{\mathbb{D}(\mathfrak{m})} \simeq \text{KK}^{\mathbb{D}(\mathfrak{n})}$ preserves the decompositions of the two triangulated categories given by [1, Corollary 5.1].

APPENDIX A. CONSTRUCTIONS FOR LOCALLY COMPACT QUANTUM GROUPS AND COACTIONS

In this section we gather some constructions which are not directly related to the general theory of induced coactions. For a C^* -algebra A , we write $\text{Aut}(A) := \{f : A \rightarrow A \mid f \text{ is a } *\text{-automorphism}\}$.

A.1. Double crossed products and homomorphisms. We collect some definitions and properties about double crossed products of locally compact quantum groups by following [5].

Definition A.1. Let G, H be locally compact quantum groups and $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Aut}(L^\infty(H) \bar{\otimes} L^\infty(G))$.

- (1) The map \mathfrak{m} is called a *matching* of H and G if

$$(\Delta_H \bar{\otimes} \text{id})\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}_{23}\mathfrak{m}_{13}(\Delta_H \bar{\otimes} \text{id}), \quad \text{and} \quad (\text{id} \bar{\otimes} \Delta_G)\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}_{13}\mathfrak{m}_{12}(\text{id} \bar{\otimes} \Delta_G).$$

- (2) When \mathfrak{m} is a matching of H and G , the well-defined von Neumann bialgebra

$$\mathbb{D}(\mathfrak{m}) := (L^\infty(H) \bar{\otimes} L^\infty(G), (\text{id} \bar{\otimes} (\sigma\mathfrak{m}) \bar{\otimes} \text{id})(\Delta_H^{\text{cop}} \bar{\otimes} \Delta_G))$$

is called the *double crossed product associated with \mathfrak{m}* .

When \mathfrak{m} is a matching of locally compact quantum groups H and G , the von Neumann bialgebra $\mathbb{D}(\mathfrak{m})$ is a locally compact quantum group by [5, Theorem 5.3]. If G, H are regular, then $\mathbb{D}(\mathfrak{m})$ is also regular by [5, Proposition 9.3]. If G, H are regular and the restriction of \mathfrak{m} gives a $*$ -automorphism on $C_0^r(H) \otimes C_0^r(G)$, then $C_0^r(\mathbb{D}(\mathfrak{m})) = C_0^r(H) \otimes C_0^r(G)$ (as subsets of $L^\infty(H) \bar{\otimes} L^\infty(G)$) by [5, Proposition 9.2].

Example A.2. Let $\phi : H \rightarrow G$ be a homomorphism of locally compact quantum groups. Then $\mathfrak{m} := \text{Ad } W^\phi \in \text{Aut}(C_0^r(H) \otimes C_0^r(\widehat{G}))$ gives a matching of H^{op} and \widehat{G} . Then we have a locally compact quantum group $\mathbb{D}(\text{Ad } W^\phi)$ called the *generalized quantum double*, for which we simply write $\mathbb{D}(\phi)$. When $\phi = \text{id}_G$ we also write $\mathbb{D}(G) = \mathbb{D}(\text{id}_G)$ for short, which is called the quantum double of G . If G, H are regular, then $C_0^r(\mathbb{D}(\phi)) = C_0^r(H) \otimes C_0^r(\widehat{G})$. See Section 8 of [5] for detail.

Before stating the next proposition, we note some facts needed from [5]. For a matching \mathfrak{m} of locally compact quantum groups H and G , there is a locally compact quantum group $\mathcal{G} = (H \rtimes L^\infty(G), \Delta_{\mathcal{G}})$ called the *bicrossed product* associated with \mathfrak{m} , where the left coaction of H on $L^\infty(G)$ is given by $\mathfrak{m}(-)_2$. See [33] for detail. We write $J^{\mathfrak{m}} := J^{\mathcal{G}}$ and $\widehat{J}^{\mathfrak{m}} := \widehat{J}^{\mathcal{G}}$. Then the unitary $Z^{\mathfrak{m}} := J^{\mathfrak{m}}\widehat{J}^{\mathfrak{m}}(\widehat{J}^H J^H \otimes \widehat{J}^G J^G)$ in $\mathcal{B}(L^2(H) \otimes L^2(G))$ satisfies $\text{Ad } Z^{\mathfrak{m}} = \mathfrak{m}$. The multiplicative unitary of $\mathbb{D}(\mathfrak{m})$ can be described as $W^{\mathbb{D}(\mathfrak{m})} := V_{31}^{H*} Z_{34}^{\mathfrak{m}*} W_{24}^G Z_{34}^{\mathfrak{m}}$ in $\mathcal{B}((L^2(H) \otimes L^2(G))^{\otimes 2})$. Also, the unitary $U^{\mathfrak{m}} := J^{\mathfrak{m}}(\widehat{J}^H \otimes J^G)$ satisfies $U^{\mathfrak{m}} \in L^\infty(H) \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$, $(\Delta_H \otimes \text{id})(U^{\mathfrak{m}}) = U_{23}^{\mathfrak{m}} U_{13}^{\mathfrak{m}}$, and $\mathfrak{m}(1 \otimes x) = \text{Ad } U^{\mathfrak{m}}(1 \otimes x)$ for any $x \in L^\infty(G)$.

Proposition A.3. *Let F, G, H be locally compact quantum groups, \mathfrak{m} be a matching of G and F , and \mathfrak{n} be a matching of H and F . Suppose a homomorphism $\phi : H \rightarrow G$ satisfies*

$$(\Delta_G^* \phi \bar{\otimes} \text{id}) \mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{n}_{23} \mathfrak{m}_{13} (\Delta_G^* \phi \bar{\otimes} \text{id}). \quad (\text{A.3.1})$$

Let (P) be each one of the following properties about homomorphisms: being proper, giving a closed quantum subgroup, and giving the dual of an open quantum subgroup. Then the followings hold.

(1) *Then there is a well-defined homomorphism $\phi^{\text{op}} \bowtie \text{id}_F : \mathsf{D}(\mathfrak{n}) \rightarrow \mathsf{D}(\mathfrak{m})$ satisfying*

$$(\phi^{\text{op}} \bowtie \text{id}_F)^* \Delta_{\mathsf{D}(\mathfrak{m})} = (\text{id} \bar{\otimes} (\sigma \mathfrak{m}) \bar{\otimes} \text{id}) (\phi^{\text{op}*} \Delta_{G^{\text{op}}} \bar{\otimes} \Delta_F), \quad (\text{A.3.2})$$

$$\Delta_{\mathsf{D}(\mathfrak{m})}^* (\phi^{\text{op}} \bowtie \text{id}_F) = (\text{id} \bar{\otimes} (\sigma \mathfrak{n}) \bar{\otimes} \text{id}) (\Delta_{G^{\text{op}}}^* \phi^{\text{op}} \bar{\otimes} \Delta_F). \quad (\text{A.3.3})$$

(2) *If $\widehat{\phi}$ has (P), then $\widehat{\phi^{\text{op}} \bowtie \text{id}}$ also has (P).*

(3) *Suppose $(\phi^* \Delta_G \otimes \text{id}) U^{\mathfrak{m}} = U_{23}^{\mathfrak{m}} U_{13}^{\mathfrak{n}}$. If ϕ has (P), then $\phi^{\text{op}} \bowtie \text{id}$ also has (P).*

Proof. First, the unitaries $Y := Z_{23}^{\mathfrak{m}} * W_{13}^F Z_{23}^{\mathfrak{m}} \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(F) \otimes L^2(G) \otimes L^2(F))$ and $X := V_{31}^{\phi} * Y_{234} \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(H) \otimes L^2(F) \otimes L^2(G) \otimes L^2(F))$ satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} X_{1234} * W_{3456}^{\mathsf{D}(\mathfrak{m})} X_{1234} &= Y_{234} * V_{31}^{\phi} V_{53}^G * V_{31}^{\phi} * Y_{456} Y_{234} \\ &= Y_{234} * V_{51}^{\phi} * V_{57}^G V_{57}^G * V_{53}^G * Y_{456} Y_{234} = V_{51}^{\phi} * V_{57}^G Y_{234} * V_{37}^G V_{53}^G * V_{37}^G * Y_{456} Y_{234} \\ &= V_{51}^{\phi} * V_{57}^G W_{7234}^{\mathsf{D}(\mathfrak{m})} * W_{3456}^{\mathsf{D}(\mathfrak{m})} W_{7234}^{\mathsf{D}(\mathfrak{m})} = V_{51}^{\phi} * V_{57}^G W_{7256}^{\mathsf{D}(\mathfrak{m})} W_{3456}^{\mathsf{D}(\mathfrak{m})} = X_{1256} W_{3456}^{\mathsf{D}(\mathfrak{m})}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.3.4})$$

We write Δ_L and Δ_R for the right hand sides of (A.3.2) and (A.3.3), respectively. Then we have

$$\text{Ad } X^*(-)_{34} = \sigma_{23} \mathfrak{m}_{24}^{-1} (\text{id} \otimes \Delta_F) \mathfrak{m}_{23} (\phi^{\text{op}*} \Delta_{G^{\text{op}}} \otimes \text{id}) = \Delta_L \quad \text{on } L^\infty(\mathsf{D}(\mathfrak{m})).$$

It follows from (A.3.4) $(\Delta_L \bar{\otimes} \text{id}) \Delta_{\mathsf{D}(\mathfrak{m})} = (\text{id} \bar{\otimes} \Delta_{\mathsf{D}(\mathfrak{m})}) \Delta_L$. We can also calculate by the definition of a matching and (A.3.1) that $(\Delta_{\mathsf{D}(\mathfrak{n})} \bar{\otimes} \text{id}) \Delta_L = (\text{id} \bar{\otimes} \Delta_L) \Delta_L$ on $L^\infty(\mathsf{D}(\mathfrak{m}))$. This shows

$$\begin{aligned} W_{1234}^{\mathsf{D}(\mathfrak{n})} * X_{3478} W_{1234}^{\mathsf{D}(\mathfrak{n})} &= (\Delta_{\mathsf{D}(\mathfrak{n})12} \Delta_{L12}(W^{\mathsf{D}(\mathfrak{m})})) (W_{5678}^{\mathsf{D}(\mathfrak{m})})^* = (\Delta_{L34} \Delta_{L12}(W^{\mathsf{D}(\mathfrak{m})})) (W_{5678}^{\mathsf{D}(\mathfrak{m})})^* \\ &= (\Delta_{L34}(X_{1256} W_{3456}^{\mathsf{D}(\mathfrak{m})})) (W_{5678}^{\mathsf{D}(\mathfrak{m})})^* = X_{1278} X_{3478}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.3.5})$$

By (A.3.4) and (A.3.5), it follows $X \in \mathcal{M}(C_0^r(\mathsf{D}(\mathfrak{n})) \otimes C_0^r(\widehat{\mathsf{D}(\mathfrak{m})}))$ and X is a bicharacter (cf. [20, Lemma 3.4]). Therefore there is a unique homomorphism $\Phi : \mathsf{D}(\mathfrak{n}) \rightarrow \mathsf{D}(\mathfrak{m})$ such that $\Phi^* \Delta_{\mathsf{D}(\mathfrak{m})} = \Delta_L$ and $X = W^\Phi$. It follows that $(\Delta_{\mathsf{D}(\mathfrak{m})}^* \Phi \bar{\otimes} \text{id}) \Delta_{\mathsf{D}(\mathfrak{m})} = (\text{id} \bar{\otimes} \Phi^* \Delta_{\mathsf{D}(\mathfrak{m})}) \Delta_{\mathsf{D}(\mathfrak{m})}$. We can also see by using (A.3.1) to see $(\Delta_R \bar{\otimes} \text{id}) \Delta_{\mathsf{D}(\mathfrak{m})} = (\text{id} \bar{\otimes} \Delta_L) \Delta_{\mathsf{D}(\mathfrak{m})}$, and thus $(\Delta_R \bar{\otimes} \text{id}) \Delta_{\mathsf{D}(\mathfrak{m})} = (\Delta_{\mathsf{D}(\mathfrak{m})}^* \Phi \bar{\otimes} \text{id}) \Delta_{\mathsf{D}(\mathfrak{m})}$. Since $((\text{id} \otimes \mathcal{K}(\mathsf{D}(\mathfrak{m}))^*) \Delta_{\mathsf{D}(\mathfrak{m})}(L^\infty(\mathsf{D}(\mathfrak{m}))))' = L^\infty(\mathsf{D}(\mathfrak{m}))$, it follows $\Delta_R = \Delta_{\mathsf{D}(\mathfrak{m})}^* \Phi$. Thus (1) is proved.

We show (2). When $\widehat{\phi}$ is proper, the well-defined normal $*$ -homomorphism $\phi^r \bar{\otimes} \text{id} : L^\infty(G) \bar{\otimes} L^\infty(F) \rightarrow L^\infty(H) \bar{\otimes} L^\infty(F)$ clearly satisfies $\Delta_L = (\phi^r \bar{\otimes} \text{id} \bar{\otimes} \text{id} \bar{\otimes} \text{id}) \Delta_{\mathsf{D}(\mathfrak{m})}$ and therefore $\phi^r \bar{\otimes} \text{id}$ gives Φ^r . If moreover ϕ^r is surjective or injective, so is $\phi^r \bar{\otimes} \text{id}$.

We consider (3). We assume ϕ is proper and we show Φ is proper. Since $V^\phi = (\widehat{\phi}^{r'} \otimes \text{id}) V^H$, the comparison of $(\omega \otimes \text{id} \otimes \text{id}) W^{\mathsf{D}(\mathfrak{n})}$ and $(\omega \otimes \text{id} \otimes \text{id}) W^\Phi$ for each $\omega \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathsf{D}(\mathfrak{n})))^*$ shows it is enough to show that $\text{Ad}(Z^{\mathfrak{m}*} Z^{\mathfrak{n}}) : \text{Ad } Z^{\mathfrak{n}*} L^\infty(\widehat{F})_2 \rightarrow \text{Ad } Z^{\mathfrak{m}*} L^\infty(\widehat{F})_2$ and $\widehat{\phi}^{r'} : L^\infty(\widehat{H})' \rightarrow L^\infty(\widehat{G})'$ extend to some well-defined normal $*$ -homomorphism

$$L^\infty(\widehat{\mathsf{D}(\mathfrak{n})}) = (L^\infty(\widehat{H})')_1 \vee \text{Ad } Z^{\mathfrak{n}*} L^\infty(\widehat{F})_2 \rightarrow (L^\infty(\widehat{G})')_1 \vee \text{Ad } Z^{\mathfrak{m}*} L^\infty(\widehat{F})_2 = L^\infty(\widehat{\mathsf{D}(\mathfrak{m})}). \quad (\text{A.3.6})$$

Indeed, this map will give $\widehat{\Phi}^r$. Then if $\widehat{\phi}^r$ is surjective, $\widehat{\Phi}^r$ will be also surjective.

When we write \mathcal{G} for the bicrossed product associated with \mathfrak{m} , we have for all $x \in L^\infty(\widehat{G})'$,

$$J^{\mathfrak{m}} Z^{\mathfrak{m}} x_1^* Z^{\mathfrak{m}*} J^{\mathfrak{m}} = R^{\mathcal{G}}(R^{\widehat{G}}(\widehat{J}^{\mathcal{G}} x^* \widehat{J}^{\mathcal{G}})_1) \in L^\infty(\widehat{G})_1 \vee \text{Ad } U^{\mathfrak{m}} L^\infty(F)_2 = L^\infty(\mathcal{G}),$$

and for all $y \in L^\infty(\widehat{F})$,

$$J^{\mathfrak{m}} Z^{\mathfrak{m}} (\text{Ad } Z^{\mathfrak{m}*} y_2)^* Z^{\mathfrak{m}*} J^{\mathfrak{m}} = U^{\mathfrak{m}} R^{\widehat{F}}(y)_2 U^{\mathfrak{m}*} \in \text{Ad } U^{\mathfrak{m}} L^\infty(\widehat{F})_2.$$

Similar equalities hold for \mathfrak{n} . By [16, Proposition 5.45], it is enough to show that ϕ^r and $\text{id}_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(F))}$ extend to some well-defined normal $*$ -homomorphism $H \times_{\text{Ad } U^{\mathfrak{n}}} \mathcal{B}(L^2(F)) \rightarrow G \times_{\text{Ad } U^{\mathfrak{m}}} \mathcal{B}(L^2(F))$ via the

commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
L^\infty(\widehat{\mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{n})}) & \xrightarrow{\text{(A.3.6)}} & L^\infty(\widehat{\mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{m})}) \\
\downarrow J^n Z^n(-)^* Z^n J^n & & \downarrow J^m Z^m(-)^* Z^m J^m \\
H \rtimes_{\text{Ad } U^n} \mathcal{B}(L^2(F)) & \longrightarrow & G \rtimes_{\text{Ad } U^m} \mathcal{B}(L^2(F)).
\end{array}$$

If $W_{12}^\phi * U_{23}^m W_{12}^\phi = U_{23}^m U_{13}^n$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& L^\infty(\widehat{H})_1 \vee \text{Ad } U^n \mathcal{B}(L^2(F))_2 \xrightarrow{\text{Ad}(W_{12}^\phi U_{23}^m)(-)_13} (\widehat{\phi}^* \Delta_{\widehat{H}} L^\infty(\widehat{H}))_{21} \vee \text{Ad } U_{23}^m \mathcal{B}(L^2(F))_3 \\
& \xrightarrow{\widehat{\phi}^r \otimes \text{id}} (\Delta_{\widehat{G}} L^\infty(\widehat{G}))_{21} \vee \text{Ad } U_{23}^m \mathcal{B}(L^2(F))_3 \xrightarrow{\text{Ad } \widehat{V}_{21}^{G*}} 1 \otimes (L^\infty(\widehat{G}) \vee \text{Ad } U^m \mathcal{B}(L^2(F)))
\end{aligned}$$

gives the desired map. Finally, from this map and the commutative diagram above we can see that $\widehat{\Phi}^r$ is injective if so is $\widehat{\phi}^r$. \square

Remark A.4. Let F, G, H be locally compact quantum groups and \mathfrak{m} be a matching on H and G .

- (1) $\mathfrak{m}^{\text{cop}} := \sigma \mathfrak{m} \sigma \in \text{Aut}(L^\infty(G) \bar{\otimes} L^\infty(H))$ is a matching on G and H . Moreover, the $*$ -isomorphism $\sigma : L^\infty(H) \bar{\otimes} L^\infty(G) \xrightarrow{\sim} L^\infty(G) \bar{\otimes} L^\infty(H)$ gives $\mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{m}^{\text{cop}}) \cong \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{m})^{\text{op}}$ as locally compact quantum groups.
- (2) Let \mathfrak{n} be a matching on H and F and $\psi : G \rightarrow F$ be a homomorphism such that $(\text{id} \bar{\otimes} \Delta_F^* \psi) \mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{m}_{13} \mathfrak{n}_{12}$. Then the matching $\mathfrak{n}^{\text{cop}}$ on F and H , and the matching $\mathfrak{m}^{\text{cop}}$ on G and H satisfy $(\Delta_F^* \psi \bar{\otimes} \text{id}) \mathfrak{n}^{\text{cop}} = \mathfrak{m}_{23}^{\text{cop}} \mathfrak{n}_{13}^{\text{cop}} (\Delta_F^* \psi \bar{\otimes} \text{id})$. Therefore by Proposition A.3, there is a homomorphism $\psi^{\text{op}} \rtimes \text{id} : \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{m}^{\text{cop}}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{n}^{\text{cop}})$. Via isomorphism of locally compact quantum groups in (1) we get a homomorphism $\mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{m}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{n})$, for which we write $\text{id} \rtimes \psi$.

Example A.5. Let F, G, H be locally compact quantum groups.

- (1) When \mathfrak{m} is a matching on H and G , it is shown in [5] that H^{op} and G are closed quantum subgroups of $\mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{m})$. This can be also seen as the special case of Proposition A.3. Indeed, since if we consider $\text{id}_{L^\infty(G)}$ as a matching on 1 and G , we get $U^{\text{id}_{L^\infty(G)}} = J^G J^G = 1$ and the assumptions in Proposition A.3 are satisfied in a trivial way. This shows $G = \mathfrak{D}(\text{id}_{L^\infty(G)}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{m})$ gives a closed quantum subgroup, and similarly for H^{op} by the previous remark.
- (2) Let $\phi : H \rightarrow G$, $\psi : G \rightarrow F$ be homomorphisms. Consider the matching $\mathfrak{m} := \text{Ad } W^\psi$ on G^{op} and \widehat{F} , and the matching $\mathfrak{n} := \text{Ad } W^{\psi\phi}$ on H^{op} and \widehat{F} . We see $((\sigma \phi^* \Delta_G) \otimes \text{id}) W^\psi = W_{23}^{\psi\phi} W_{13}^\psi$. Also [5, Proposition 8.1] says $U^m = W^\psi (\widehat{J}^{G^{\text{op}}} \otimes \widehat{J}^F) W^{\psi*} (\widehat{J}^{G^{\text{op}}} \otimes \widehat{J}^F) = W^\psi V_{21}^\psi$, and similarly $U^n = W^{\psi\phi} V_{21}^{\psi\phi}$. Here note that the modular conjugation of the left Haar weight on $(L^\infty(\widehat{G}^{\text{op}}), \Delta_{\widehat{G}^{\text{op}}}) = (L^\infty(\widehat{G})', \widehat{J}^G (\Delta_{\widehat{G}} (\widehat{J}_G(-) \widehat{J}^G)) \widehat{J}^G)$ equals to that of G . Thus

$$W_{12}^\phi * U_{23}^m W_{12}^\phi = W_{13}^{\psi\phi} W_{23}^\psi V_{31}^{\psi\phi} V_{32}^\psi = W_{13}^{\psi\phi} V_{31}^{\psi\phi} W_{23}^\psi V_{32}^\psi = U_{13}^n U_{23}^m.$$

Therefore Proposition A.3 gives a homomorphism $\phi \rtimes \text{id} : \mathfrak{D}(\psi\phi) \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}(\psi)$, and $\phi \rtimes \text{id}$ or its dual has the same properties (P) in Proposition A.3 if so does ϕ or $\widehat{\phi}$. Similarly, we also have a homomorphism $\text{id} \rtimes \widehat{\psi} : \mathfrak{D}(\psi\phi) \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}(\phi)$.

- (3) Consider a sequence of homomorphisms of locally compact quantum groups $1 \rightarrow K \xrightarrow{\iota} H \xrightarrow{\phi} G \rightarrow 1$. Let \mathfrak{m} be a matching on G and F , and \mathfrak{n} be a matching on H and F satisfying (A.3.1). Suppose K is compact and this sequence is *exact* in the sense of satisfying the two conditions next.

- ι and $\widehat{\phi}$ give closed quantum subgroups.
- $C_0^r(H/K) = \phi^r(C_0^r(G))$.

Here we note $\phi^r C_0^r(G) = \phi^r R^G C_0^r(G) = R^H \phi^r C_0^r(G) = R^H ((C_0^r(H) \iota)^K) = \text{Ind}_{K \rightarrow 1} \iota^* C_0^r(H)$. Then by the normality of (4.4.1), we have $L^\infty(H/K) = \phi^r(L^\infty(G))$. It follows that $\widehat{\phi}$ gives an open quantum subgroup by [12, Theorem 7.2] and [34, Theorem 2.11]. By putting $\tilde{\iota} := (\text{id}_{H^{\text{op}}} \rtimes \iota)_{1 \rightarrow F} \iota^{\text{op}}$, we show the sequence of induced homomorphisms

$$1 \longrightarrow K^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\tilde{\iota}} \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{n}) \xrightarrow{\phi^{\text{op}} \rtimes \text{id}_F} \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{m}) \longrightarrow 1$$

is also exact in the sense above. Indeed, $\tilde{\iota}$ and $\phi^{\text{op}} \rtimes \text{id}_F$ give closed quantum subgroups by (1) and Proposition A.3. Since $\phi^{\text{op}} \rtimes \text{id}_F$ has $(\phi^{\text{op}} \rtimes \text{id}_F)^r$, it is easy to show $\text{Ind}_{K \rightarrow 1} \tilde{\iota}^* C_0^r(\mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{n})) =$

$(\phi^{\text{op}} \bowtie \text{id}_F)^r C_0^r(\mathbf{D}(\mathfrak{m}))$). Then by the similar reasoning as above shows $C_0^r(\mathbf{D}(\mathfrak{n})/K^{\text{op}}) = (\phi^{\text{op}} \bowtie \text{id}_F)^r C_0^r(\mathbf{D}(\mathfrak{m}))$. Especially, it follows $\widehat{\phi^{\text{op}} \bowtie \text{id}_F}$ gives an open quantum subgroup.

A.2. Yetter–Drinfeld C*-algebras and twisted tensor products. In this section, we collect some definitions and properties about Yetter–Drinfeld C*-algebras, as another picture for left coactions of a generalized quantum double, and their twisted tensor products by following [23], [27], [21].

Definition A.6. Let $\phi : H \rightarrow G$ be a homomorphism of locally compact quantum groups.

- (1) Let A be a C*-algebra, α be a continuous left H -coaction on A and $\widehat{\alpha}$ be a continuous left \widehat{G} -coaction on A . Then $A = (A, \alpha, \widehat{\alpha})$ is a (left) ϕ -Yetter–Drinfeld C*-algebra if the following diagram commutes,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
& & \mathcal{M}(C_0^r(H) \otimes A) & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \widehat{\alpha}} & \mathcal{M}(C_0^r(H) \otimes C_0^r(\widehat{G}) \otimes A) & \xrightarrow{\text{Ad } W_{12}^\phi} & & \\
& \nearrow \alpha & & & & & \searrow & \\
A & & & & & & & \mathcal{M}(C_0^r(H) \otimes C_0^r(\widehat{G}) \otimes A). \\
& \searrow \widehat{\alpha} & \mathcal{M}(C_0^r(\widehat{G}) \otimes A) & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \alpha} & \mathcal{M}(C_0^r(\widehat{G}) \otimes C_0^r(H) \otimes A) & \xrightarrow{\sigma \otimes \text{id}} & &
\end{array}$$

- (2) Let $(A, \alpha, \widehat{\alpha})$ and $(B, \beta, \widehat{\beta})$ be a ϕ -Yetter–Drinfeld C*-algebra, \mathcal{E} be a right Hilbert A -module, and κ and $\widehat{\kappa}$ be continuous left H - and \widehat{G} -coaction on $\mathcal{K}_B(\mathcal{E} \oplus B)$ that are compatible with α and $\widehat{\alpha}$, respectively. Then $\mathcal{E} = (\mathcal{E}, \kappa, \widehat{\kappa})$ is a ϕ -Yetter–Drinfeld Hilbert B -module if $\mathcal{K}_B(\mathcal{E} \oplus B)$ is a ϕ -Yetter–Drinfeld C*-algebra. An (A, B) -correspondence (\mathcal{E}, π) is a ϕ -Yetter–Drinfeld (A, B) -correspondence if \mathcal{E} is a ϕ -Yetter–Drinfeld Hilbert B -module and $\pi : A \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_B(\mathcal{E})$ is a left H - and \widehat{G} -*-homomorphism. We abbreviate the word id_G -Yetter–Drinfeld as G -Yetter–Drinfeld.

For a left $\mathbf{D}(\phi)$ -C*-algebra A , we can get a left H - and \widehat{G} -coactions of A by the restrictions along the closed quantum subgroups H and \widehat{G} of $\mathbf{D}(\phi)$, respectively. Then A becomes a ϕ -Yetter–Drinfeld C*-algebra. Conversely, for a ϕ -Yetter–Drinfeld C*-algebra $(A, \alpha, \widehat{\alpha})$, it holds $(A, (\text{id} \otimes \widehat{\alpha})\alpha)$ is a left $\mathbf{D}(\phi)$ -C*-algebra. Thus a left $\mathbf{D}(\phi)$ -C*-algebra and a ϕ -Yetter–Drinfeld C*-algebra are equivalent notions. See [27, Proposition 7.6] for detail. A *-homomorphism of left $\mathbf{D}(\phi)$ -C*-algebras is a left $\mathbf{D}(\phi)$ -*-homomorphism if and only if it is a left H - and \widehat{G} -*-homomorphism via this correspondence.

We will make use of the notion of twisted tensor products in Section 6 and Section 7. We list its fundamental properties as follows. All of them are contained in [21] and [23], or can be shown easily by using the techniques in them. As for the notation of the categories in (3), see Definition 6.1 and the remark after that.

Proposition A.7. Let $\phi : H \rightarrow G$, $\psi : G \rightarrow F$, $\theta : F \rightarrow E$ be homomorphisms of regular locally compact quantum groups, and $(A, \alpha, \widehat{\alpha})$, $(B, \beta, \widehat{\beta})$, $(C, \gamma, \widehat{\gamma})$ be left ϕ -, ψ -, θ -Yetter–Drinfeld C*-algebras, respectively. Then the followings hold.

- (1) $A \boxtimes_G B := [\widehat{\alpha}(A)_{12} \beta(B)_{13}] \subset \mathcal{M}(A \otimes \mathcal{K}(G) \otimes B)$ is a non-degenerate C*-subalgebra. Moreover,

$$(A \boxtimes_G B, \text{Ad } W_{12}^{\phi*} \sigma_{12}(\text{id} \otimes \alpha \otimes \text{id}), \sigma_{12} \sigma_{23} \text{Ad } W_{13}^{\psi}(\text{id} \otimes \text{id} \otimes \widehat{\beta}))$$

is a $\psi\phi$ -Yetter–Drinfeld C*-algebra. We call $A \boxtimes_G B$ the twisted tensor product of A and B .

- (2) $\sigma_{12} \sigma_{23} \text{Ad } W_{13}^{\psi} : A \boxtimes_G (B \boxtimes_F C) \xrightarrow{\sim} (A \boxtimes_G B) \boxtimes_F C$ is a well-defined left H - and \widehat{E} -*-isomorphism.
- (3) Let $\mathcal{C} \in \{\text{C*alg}, \text{Corr}, \text{KK}\}$, where we additionally assume separability of $C_0^r(G)$ when $\mathcal{C} = \text{KK}$. Then twisted tensor products with A induces a well-defined functor $A \boxtimes_G - : \mathcal{C}^{\mathbf{D}(\psi)} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\mathbf{D}(\psi\phi)}$. Similarly we have the well-defined functor $- \boxtimes_G B : \mathcal{C}^{\mathbf{D}(\phi)} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\mathbf{D}(\psi\phi)}$. If $\mathcal{C} = \text{KK}$, these functors are triangulated. \square

Example A.8. Let $\phi : H \rightarrow G$ be a homomorphism of locally compact quantum groups, and (A, α) be a left G -C*-algebra.

- (1) We write \mathbb{C}_ϕ to be \mathbb{C} endowed with the trivial structure of ϕ -Yetter–Drinfeld C*-algebra. Then $\alpha : \phi^* A \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}_\phi \boxtimes_G A$ is a well-defined left H -*-isomorphism. Similarly, for a left \widehat{H} -C*-algebra (B, β) , we have the left \widehat{G} -*-isomorphism $\beta : \widehat{\phi}^* B \xrightarrow{\sim} B \boxtimes_H \mathbb{C}_\phi$.

(2) Consider the $1_{\widehat{G}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow G}$ -Yetter–Drinfeld C^* -algebra $(C_0^r(\widehat{G}), \Delta_{\widehat{G}}^{\text{cop}}, \Delta_{\widehat{G}})$. Then

$$\text{Ad } \widehat{V}_{12}^G(-)_{13} : G \times_r A \xrightarrow{\sim} C_0^r(\widehat{G}) \boxtimes_G A$$

is a well-defined left $\widehat{G}^{\text{op}}*$ -isomorphism, if we regard the right \widehat{G} -coaction of $G \times_r A$ as a left \widehat{G}^{op} -coaction. See Section 6.3 in [21] for detail. When G is regular, the Baaĵ–Skandalis duality [3] says $C_0^r(G^{\text{op}}) \boxtimes_{\widehat{G}^{\text{op}}} C_0^r(\widehat{G}) \boxtimes_G A \cong \widehat{G}^{\text{op}} \times_r G \times_r A \cong \mathcal{K}_A(L^2(G) \otimes A)$ naturally. Here we have identified $C_0^r(\widehat{G}^{\text{op}}) = J^G C_0^r(G) J^G \cong C_0^r(G^{\text{op}})$ via $\text{Ad}(J^G \widehat{J}^G)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Y. Arano and A. Skalski, *On the Baum–Connes conjecture for discrete quantum groups with torsion and the quantum Rosenberg conjecture*, arXiv:2008.12453v3, preprint, to appear in Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.
- [2] S. Baaĵ and G. Skandalis, *C^* -algèbres de Hopf et théorie de Kasparov équivariante*, *K-theory* **2** (1989), no. 6, 683–721.
- [3] ———, *Unitaires multiplicatifs et dualité pour les produits croisés de C^* -algèbres*, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. S.* **26** (1993), no. 4, 425–488.
- [4] S. Baaĵ, G. Skandalis, and S. Vaes, *Non-semi-regular quantum groups coming from number theory*, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **235** (2003), no. 1, 139–167.
- [5] S. Baaĵ and S. Vaes, *Double crossed products of locally compact quantum groups*, *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu* **4** (2005), no. 1, 135–173.
- [6] J. Bichon, S. Neshveyev, and M. Yamashita, *Graded twisting of categories and quantum groups by group actions*, *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **66** (2016), no. 6, 2299–2338.
- [7] ———, *Graded twisting of comodule algebras and module categories*, *J. Noncommut. Geom.* **12** (2018), no. 1, 331–368.
- [8] A. Buss, *Generalized fixed point algebras for coactions of locally compact quantum groups*, *Münster J. Math.* **6** (2013), 295–341.
- [9] M. Daws, P. Kasprzak, A. Skalski, and P.M. Sołtan, *Closed quantum subgroups of locally compact quantum groups*, *Adv. Math.* **231** (2012), no. 6, 3473–3501.
- [10] A. De Rijdt and N. Vander Venet, *Actions of monoidally equivalent compact quantum groups and applications to probabilistic boundaries*, *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **60** (2010), no. 1, 169–216.
- [11] G.B. Folland, *A course in abstract harmonic analysis*, CRC press, 1995.
- [12] M. Kalantar, P. Kasprzak, and A. Skalski, *Open quantum subgroups of locally compact quantum groups*, *Adv. Math.* **303** (2016), no. 3, 322–359.
- [13] M. Kalantar, P. Kasprzak, A. Skalski, and P.M. Sołtan, *Induction for locally compact quantum groups revisited*, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* **150** (2020), no. 2, 1071–1093.
- [14] P. Kasprzak, *On a certain approach to quantum homogeneous spaces*, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **313** (2012), no. 1, 237–255.
- [15] P. Kasprzak, F. Khosravi, and P.M. Sołtan, *Integrable actions and quantum subgroups*, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2018** (2018), no. 10, 3224–3254.
- [16] J. Kustermans and S. Vaes, *Locally compact quantum groups*, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. S.* **33** (2000), no. 6, 837–934.
- [17] E.C. Lance, *Hilbert C^* -modules: a toolkit for operator algebraists*, vol. 210, Cambridge University Press, 1995.
- [18] R. Meyer, *Homological algebra in bivariant K -theory and other triangulated categories. II*, *Tbil. Math. J.* **1** (2008), 165–210.
- [19] R. Meyer and R. Nest, *The Baum–Connes conjecture via localisation of categories*, *Topology* **45** (2006), no. 2, 209–259.
- [20] R. Meyer, S. Roy, and S.L. Woronowicz, *Homomorphisms of quantum groups*, *Münster J. Math.* **5** (2012), 1–24.
- [21] ———, *Quantum group-twisted tensor products of C^* -algebras*, *Internat. J. Math.* **25** (2014), no. 2, 1450019.
- [22] S. Neshveyev and L. Tuset, *Compact quantum groups and their representation categories*, vol. 20, Société mathématique de France Paris, 2013.
- [23] R. Nest and C. Voigt, *Equivariant Poincaré duality for quantum group actions*, *J. Funct. Anal.* **258** (2010), no. 5, 1466–1503.
- [24] P. Podleś, *Symmetries of quantum spaces. Subgroups and quotient spaces of quantum $SU(2)$ and $SO(3)$ groups*, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **170** (1995), no. 1, 1–20.
- [25] M.A. Rieffel, *Induced representations of C^* -algebras*, *Adv. Math.* **13** (1974), no. 2, 176–257.
- [26] ———, *Proper actions of groups on C^* -algebras*, *Mappings of operator algebras*, Springer, 1991, pp. 141–182.
- [27] S. Roy, *The Drinfeld double for C^* -algebraic quantum groups*, *J. Operator Theory* **74** (2015), no. 2, 485–515.
- [28] P.M. Sołtan, *Examples of non-compact quantum group actions*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **372** (2010), no. 1, 224–236.
- [29] M. Takesaki, *Theory of operator algebras. I*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1979.
- [30] N. Tatsuuma, *A duality theorem for locally compact quantum groups*, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* **6** (1967), 187–293.
- [31] S. Vaes, *The unitary implementation of a locally compact quantum group action*, *J. Funct. Anal.* **180** (2001), no. 2, 426–480.
- [32] ———, *A new approach to induction and imprimitivity results*, *J. Funct. Anal.* **229** (2005), no. 2, 317–374.
- [33] S. Vaes and L. Vainerman, *Extensions of locally compact quantum groups and the bicrossed product construction*, *Adv. Math.* **175** (2003), no. 1, 1–101.

- [34] ———, *On low-dimensional locally compact quantum groups*, Locally compact quantum groups and groupoids, de Gruyter, 2008, pp. 127–188.
- [35] R. Vergnioux, *KK-théorie équivariante et opérateur de Julg-Valette pour les groupes quantiques*, Ph.D. thesis, Université Paris 7, 2002.
- [36] C. Voigt, *The Baum–Connes conjecture for free orthogonal quantum groups*, Adv. Math. **227** (2011), no. 5, 1873–1913.
- [37] ———, *On the assembly map for complex semisimple quantum groups*, arXiv:1912.08646v2, preprint, to appear in Int. Math. Res. Not.
- [38] S.L. Woronowicz, *Tannaka–Krein duality for compact matrix pseudogroups. twisted $SU(N)$ groups*, Invent. Math. **93** (1988), 35–76.
- [39] ———, *From multiplicative unitaries to quantum groups*, Internat. J. Math. **7** (1996), no. 1, 129–149.

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, THE UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO, 3-8-1 KOMABA MEGURO-KU TOKYO 153-8914, JAPAN

Email address: `kankita@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp`